Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2005-12

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-12-01 through 2005-12-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,648

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 2

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 00:46:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6Krjf.3888$A23.29@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125771

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Where in Part 97 does it say that anyone cannot comment outside
> the deadline dates?
>

Part 97 is silent on the subject of comments outside, inside, above, before,
after, abeam, abaft, or forward of the deadline.

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

2. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 03:51:38 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133409097.939023.122840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

Dee Flint spewed from her filthy fuck hole:

<cunt snipped>

Just what we needed.....more crap from amateur radio's famous, fat,
filthy, tramp.

Maybe if there weren't so many faggots in ham radio Dee might get some
dick. Then she wouldn't have to settle for the tired old nigger truck
driver. No self respecting white man wants to fuck that nasty tub of
lard, but lucky for her a nigger will fuck anything. Most hams are
looking to recruit "young men" into ham radio and "administer" tests to
them.
They're always eager to "elmer"
them.....hahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZEy,nasty cunt

3. Re: if your bored.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 03:56:22 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133409382.350876.123100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

WELL, MOST HAMS IN THE USA LOOK FOR HOMOSEXUAL ENCOUNTERS IN PUBLIC
RESTROOMS WHEN THEY'RE NOT ON THE LOCAL REPEATER.
The Magnum wrote:
> Something to while away those dull hours when no-one posts in here and the
> skip is non-existent. Oh, and when there's no spare pennies for the pub and
> your mates are all tired and you want to recapture your youth :o)
>
> http://www.neave.com/games/invaders/game.php
>
> Have fun...
> Graham
>
> --
> _._. _... ._. ._ _.. .. _ _ _
>
> Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...
>
> 73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

4. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 04:52:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133412746.615607.243960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125774

From: K0HB on Nov 30, 4:46 pm

><N...@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> Where in Part 97 does it say that anyone cannot comment outside
>> the deadline dates?
>
>Part 97 is silent on the subject of comments outside, inside, above, before,
>after, abeam, abaft, or forward of the deadline.

Try Part 0 and/or Part 1 of Title 47 C.F.R.

It is NOT in BUPERSINST 1900.8B.

Have a nice day,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5. more stevei lying and whining

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:21:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133414478.782850.190820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125776

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > trying to kill another OT thread
> >
> > little doubt Stevie will lie and claim I am censoring this thread as he
> > tries to revive his handiwork
>
> It's no lie.

who said anything about you lying yet
>
> It's not up to you (or anyone else) to decide what's "OT" or not
> and to "kill" the thread.

yes it is
>
> Anything else is censorship.

now you are lying

No one stops you from trying to revive the attack thread

there fore no censorship occours

stvei you simply don't understand english
>
> Steve, K4YZ

6. stop lying Stevie, stop making threats answer thequestion asked stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:24:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133414641.717782.56140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125777

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > you have it in your hands Stevie answer fully and truthfull one the
> > attackspost and I'll stop posting them
>
> The question's been answered, several times now.
>
> "IF YOU DON'T WANT YOUR 'SEXUALTY' OR DEVIANCE DISCUSSED, DON'T
> BRING IT UP!
>
> If you don't like the answer, it's too bad.

but it isn't an answer to the question asked
>
> Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and
> effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal
> avenues open to stop you.

more threats Stevie

and emtpy ones at that
>
> Stop now or else.

yet more threats

stop your LYING

answer the question
>
> Steve, K4YZ

7. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:26:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133414785.586508.200900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125779

an Old friend wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > I am still going to talk to a
> > lawyer to see if I can file suit against Consolidated.
> >
> Show him this..
>
> http://img498.imageshack.us/img498/2972/normaltodd10dt.jpg

why should he

fraud
like your effort to fraudulatently present yourself as me

give it up stevie or who ever
it is flaterring to be so feared that someone need to try and forge my
posts
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

8. stevie can dish it our but can't take it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:35:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133415319.088034.9030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125780

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> >
> > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
>
> Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> derranged behaviour for days, now, and now create an absolute lie that
> even Brian and Lennie know to be false.

one I have no reason to believe that BB or Len know this speculation
is flase I don't even know it is false. I think it may well be true, or
close to the truth

the simple fact is I doubt believe much of anything you write. You lie
provably and what I can't prove is a lie much of it does not make sense
leading a reasonable person to suspect you are lying

BTW no spamming is occouring, i am flooding the NG a bit but no spam at
all

>
> Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> gone?

then we have another provable Stevie lie

If I have credibilty to lose then you lied in the past when you claimed
I had no credibility

all I am doing is treating you to dose of your own medicine

and you claimed when you were doing that you not stalking the target or
harrasing the target, indeed you claim the target had to expect such
treatment for posting on the internet at all

Hoist by your own petard

Look up the refference before you try your speling bullshit on it(just
some freindly advice)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

9. well said

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:37:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133415428.822348.186270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125781

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > >
> > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> >
> > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > derranged behaviour for days, now,
>
> Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.

indeed that was the effect I was going for

How am I managing BB?

the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
does on a routinue basis

>
> > and now create an absolute lie that
> > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
>
> You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> them.
>
> > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > gone?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> For merely behaving as you have?

indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?

10. the comode shows why he got cancelled in the 50's...stupid writing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:39:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133415592.138553.122290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125782

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE
> >
>
> So shutup and sue him you stupid little 30+ year old living with your
> mommy and daddy twit.

sue whom an annymouse without name of address

a coward like yourself that will not even identify himself who likely
has no assets to collect even if todd track him down

11. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:40:10 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <dmm2bqhkf1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

Dee, it's too me that the fundamental principles of the Republican and Democratic parties don't vary much, extreme in the fringe edges.

On the other hand, when you have candidate of either parties who are total incompetent, such as Mitt Romney and Kerry Healey who not only are incompetent and also don't have a hint of a clue, it is critical to limit or inhibit their aspirations by whatever means is needed. The same can be said about John Kerry, in the last election. Kerry is a total and demonstrated dumb ass, who is only in politics to advance his rather egotistical ambitions. I would rather vote for his wife than him, in future senatorial contests.

By contrast, I rather like what Ted Kennedy does in the senate, even though I am a conservative Republican.

Perhaps I'm strange, but I would rather vote on a candidate based upon their past performance and voting record in the job, than then their party affiliation. What they professs to believe doesn't really do much for me.

Party loyalty also doesn't do much for me.

Harry C.

12. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:40:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dmm2br$hn3$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hhc314@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:dmgqvk$2o8$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey posted:
> >
cut
> > Harry C.
> >
> > p.s., If the above predictions become reality, I will be re-registering
> > as a Democrat and working my arse off to defeat both of these
> > candidates.
> >
>
>
> That's the wrong reason to change parties. First of all, look at your
> values and compare them to the values & policies of the various parties.
> Join that party whatever it may be and whoever may be the leaders. Then if
> you don't like the leaders, work your arse off to get different leaders
> within the party. What good does it do you to joint a party which may be
> diametrically opposed to your points of view !?

this is funny Dee is trying to reason wioth a cnacelled cartoon
character, vaild arguement i agree but the targeting is well off
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

13. The Milt Romney thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:40:12 UTC
From: Steve Sobol <sjsobol@JustThe.net>
Message-ID: <dmm2bshnd1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

I'm not pushing through any more of this off-topic discussion to
rec.radio.broadcasting. Take it somewhere where Republicrat bashing is
on-topic. Thanks.

--SJS, rec.radio.broadcasting moderator

--
Steve Sobol, Professional Geek 888-480-4638 PGP: 0xE3AE35ED
Company website: http://JustThe.net/
Personal blog, resume, portfolio: http://SteveSobol.com/
E: sjsobol@JustThe.net Snail: 22674 Motnocab Road, Apple Valley, CA 92307

14. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:40:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dmm2bthnm1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> That's the wrong reason to change parties. First of all, look at your
> values and compare them to the values & policies of the various parties.
> Join that party whatever it may be and whoever may be the leaders. Then if
> you don't like the leaders, work your arse off to get different leaders
> within the party. What good does it do you to joint a party which may be
> diametrically opposed to your points of view !?
>

Better yet, opt out of the sheeple-orientated party system and vote for the
CANDIDATE who best matches your politics. Urge your friends and family to do
the same. Only then will we get candidates who listen to PEOPLE, not to moneyed
interests.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

15. thank very much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:42:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133415724.042553.126770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125783

such flatery frauds of fakery of name

it is flatering that you all are so frightened of me that you must try
to fake being me

such envy

16. such flatery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:43:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133415795.344578.58070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125788

such flatery frauds of fakery of name

it is flatering that you all are so frightened of me that you must try
to fake being me

such envy

17. such complements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:44:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133415847.662476.26820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125789

```
such flatery frauds of fakery of name

it is flatering that you all are so frightened of me that you must try
to fake being me

such envy
```

18. the comode shows why he got cancelled in the 50's...stupid writing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:48:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133416093.867568.69810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

```
Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >>I'm talking to Wiseman, right?
> >>(http://img498.imageshack.us/img498/2972/normaltodd10dt.jpg)
> >
> >
> > No, wiseman would probably have a little more common sense not to post
> > copyrighted material on a newsgroup after told to stop.
>
> LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!! Now that *is* funny. Wussman doesn't have any common
> sense. All he has is a bunch of port-a-potties to clean out.

the comode need new writiers
```

19. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:54:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133416468.963093.48050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125791

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:27:11 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> > wrote in <jr_if.3303$A23.2361@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >
> > >
> > > >"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote
> > > >
> > > >> The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
> > > >> earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
> > > >> months in specialty".
> > > >
> > > >I'll take your word for it, as I missed your post. None of mine (including one
> > > >in 1979) mention anything about "years and months in specialty". Closest it
> > > >comes to that is Block 5 (Date of Rank).
> > > >
> > > >Beep beep
> > > >de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > >
> > > www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg
> > >
> > > And don't forget that Major Dud claims to have been discharged
> > > (....er, "retired") in 1992, seven years -after- my discharge. Hey,
> > > now there's something you can look up in your bupers manuals -- how
> > > can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years (17 years 9
> > > months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable' discharge -AND-
> > > get retirement status?
> >
> > Bush (1st) gave the order to drawdown the services, and later Clinton
> > implemented it.
> >
> > It was called "The Peace Dividend."
> >
> > One method was the early retirement, I think it was minus 2% for each
> > year retired early. Critical career fields were exempted from the
> > offer. RIFfed officers were given a severance package.
> >
> > But 1992 is one year too early for Steve's retirement to have been part
> > of the drawdown. Bush left office in January 1993, shortly after
> > visiting us in Somalia.
> >
> > Outside of inadequate troop strength, what has America to show for The
> > Peace Dividend?

we had the surplusess till the bush 2 folks showed that they were
mostly a product of the lucasflim contract with CBO

20. OT help wanted writers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:57:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133416651.744607.127560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125792

The Comander Buzz coery needs new writers to get his space off the ground and back on the TV

report to the cmdr if interested in the position

Just trying to be helpful

21. Re: Rotten Egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 05:59:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133416763.586787.207230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 01:20:54 GMT, "Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê"
> > > <Grümwîtch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> wrote:
> > >
> > > >The next person on usenet to reply to any post from Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a
> > > >rotten egg.
> > >
> > > such a Childish game
> > >
> > > let me see is it Stevie od Dave
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Not me.
> >
> > But seems YOU got sucked right in and turned out to be the rotten
> > egg!
>
> Hi! Hi, hi, hi!

amusing how stevie seems to have been caught by what I suspect is his
own annymouse
```

22. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:13:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133417625.746824.264390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125794

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > >
> > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> >
> > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > derranged behaviour for days, now,
>
> Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.

Uh
huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
.....

> > and now create an absolute lie that
> > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
>
> You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> them.

If you don't, then my suspicions are confirmed.

> > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > gone?
>
> For merely behaving as you have?

I have never, in my worst moments, ever "behaved" in the way Markie
is....

Never.

Steve, K4YZ

23. a formal reply to Stevie latest threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:13:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133417626.770181.192780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125795

quoting stevie blunder

Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal avenues open to stop you.

Stop now or else.
unquote

you are making yet another threat

based on the legal advice I receive I warnyou again that if your threat involve arriving at my home you should be aware that I will view the arrival of person that has made threats against in the past as prima faci proof that that the threating/ariving party intends me harm, this therefore based on the advice i have receive would justify the use of deadly force on that party.

In any event deadly force will be offered you stevie if you choose to disregard my warnings to stay away, what ever the result I will risking trying to explain/defend my actions first to the DA then if needed a jury rather than take the risk that you have come to harm me or my family.

I give you this warning in an effort to see that I don't have feel the need to try and kill you. I realy don't want I don't want to remember such a monet the rest of my life. I also give you this warning here in public, so their will be clear evidence that I have tried to deterfrom carring out this possible and unforunate action

the subject refered to as stevie or stevie and the person that is the suject of this warning is named and identified below

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

24. Re: The Milt Romney thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:15:50 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1133417750.166319.269560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
FUCK OFF ASSWIPE!
Steve Sobol wrote:
> I'm not pushing through any more of this off-topic discussion to
> rec.radio.broadcasting. Take it somewhere where Republicrat bashing is
> on-topic. Thanks.
>
> --SJS, rec.radio.broadcasting moderator
>
> --
> Steve Sobol, Professional Geek 888-480-4638 PGP: 0xE3AE35ED
> Company website: http://JustThe.net/
> Personal blog, resume, portfolio: http://SteveSobol.com/
> E: sjsobol@JustThe.net Snail: 22674 Motnocab Road, Apple Valley, CA 92307
```

25. Re: well said

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:16:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133417779.116066.140170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125797

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > >
> > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > >
> > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > >
> > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
>
> indeed that was the effect I was going for
>
> How am I managing BB?
>
> the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> does on a routinue basis

Oh, Markie, I am sure you blow low on a daily basis, so PLEASE
don't underestimate yourself.

> > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> > >
> > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > them.
> >
> > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > gone?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > For merely behaving as you have?
>
> indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?

To state "again" presumes a "first", neither of which you've
established.

Steve, K4YZ

26. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:17:09 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <mb-dnT10YZxWGhPenZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125775

N9OGL wrote:
> YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE
>

So shutup and sue him you stupid little 30+ year old living with your
mommy and daddy twit.

27. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:22:29 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <mb-dnTx0YZyQFBPenZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

N9OGL wrote:
>>I'm talking to Wiseman, right?
>>(http://img498.imageshack.us/img498/2972/normaltodd10dt.jpg)
>
>
> No, wiseman would probably have a little more common sense not to post
> copyrighted material on a newsgroup after told to stop.

LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!! Now that *is* funny. Wussman doesn't have any common
sense. All he has is a bunch of port-a-potties to clean out.

28. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:22:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133418176.666883.148670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125798

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > >
> > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> >
> > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > derranged behaviour for days, now, and now create an absolute lie that
> > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
>
> one I have no reason to believe that BB or Len know this speculation
> is flase I don't even know it is false. I think it may well be true, or
> close to the truth

"false"

It's neither.

And curious how you claim that I was "defiling" Dick, W0EX for
simply mentioning his old callsign was about to expire, yet here you
are defiling a man you never spoke to, even in THIS forum, do exactly
that thing.

How Morganesqe.

> the simple fact is I doubt believe much of anything you write. You lie
> provably and what I can't prove is a lie much of it does not make sense
> leading a reasonable person to suspect you are lying

You "don't understand" because you are functionally illiterate and
refuse to do those things necessary to educate yourself.

> BTW no spamming is occouring, i am flooding the NG a bit but no spam at
> all

Yes, Markie. You're spamming.

When 80% of the posts are of your authorship and are nothing but
your attacks, that's also spamming.

> > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > gone?
>
> then we have another provable Stevie lie

"probably"
>
> If I have credibilty to lose then you lied in the past when you claimed
> I had no credibility

Nice try to dodge.

> all I am doing is treating you to dose of your own medicine

No, you're not.

> and you claimed when you were doing that you not stalking the target or
> harrasing the target, indeed you claim the target had to expect such
> treatment for posting on the internet at all
>
> Hoist by your own petard
>
> Look up the refference before you try your speling bull#### on it(just
> some freindly advice)

"reference" "spelling" "friendly"

I have some advice for you, but I know you won't take it from
me...So it's being delivered.

Steve, K4YZ

29. Re: if your bored.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:23:33 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1133418213.858929.212810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I thought thats what they used their hammie club houses for, you know,
elmering those youngesters..............

30. forced to the conclusion stevie's lying must rise to level of being pathological

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:24:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133418263.269619.320320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125800

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > >
> > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > >
> > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > >
> > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
>
> Uh
> huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
.....
>

right
> > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> >
> > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > them.
>
> If you don't, then my suspicions are confirmed.
>
> > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > gone?
> >
> > For merely behaving as you have?
>
> I have never, in my worst moments, ever "behaved" in the way Markie
> is....

no you have behaved far worse

I can't even treat you as badly as you treat others

I can't lie and accuse you of being a pedophile, and I can lie quite
well but that is beyond my abilty to type

I can't lie and threaten you with "exposure" (to off line world) as a
pedophile, and I can lie quite well but that is beyond my abilty to

type

I have tried to write such post but my ethics don't allow that sort of attack even aginast a piece of shit like yourself who richly deserves to receive the karmamic justice that such action would invoke
I can
>
> Never.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

31. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:27:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133418441.300710.292760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125801

an old friend wrote:

> quoting stevie blunder
>
> Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and
> effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal
> avenues open to stop you.
>
>
> Stop now or else.
> unquote
>
> you are making yet another threat

Nope.

I am telling you that if your behaviour doesn't change, I have it
at my LEGAL disposal to stop it.

> based on the legal advice I receive I warnyou again that if your threat
> involve arriving at my home you should be aware that I will view the
> arrival of person that has made threats against in the past as prima
> faci proof that that the threating/ariving party intends me harm, this
> therefore based on the advice i have receive would justify the use of
> deadly force on that party.

Markie...

Stop taking "legal" advice from the man you sleep with.

> In any event deadly force will be offered you stevie if you choose to
> disregard my warnings to stay away, what ever the result I will risking
> trying to explain/defend my actions first to the DA then if needed a
> jury rather than take the risk that you have come to harm me or my
> family.

This is your third threat of deadly force in two days, Markie.

Now who's looking "paranoid"...???

> I give you this warning in an effort to see that I don't have feel the
> need to try and kill you. I realy don't want I don't want to remember
> such a monet the rest of my life.

Don't worry, Markie, you'll never be afforded that "monet".

(idiot)

> I also give you this warning here in
> public, so their will be clear evidence that I have tried to deterfrom
> carring out this possible and unforunate action

Don't worry, Markie. I ahve copies of your other "warnings" you
made in public.

And thanks. I needed them.

Steve, K4YZ

32. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:27:24 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1133418444.314742.158080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Sherwood wrote:
> First of all. What happed to rec.radio.amateur? It is not supported on
> my server.
>
> Second. I was a ham back in the 90s. I have been inactive since 1996.
> I had lots of gear and sold it all because I was tired of the hobby.
> I am beginning to regret selling some of it. I had some really nice
> antenna tuners, Heathkit SM220, nice TenTec radios, VHF/UHF all mode
> all the way up to 1.2G, etc. All gone.
>
> I just acquired a Kenwood 520 to listen to the HF bands. It seems
> like a nice basic radio that will do for a while. I really would like
> to get on 80m but I don't have the room for a 80m dipole. Is the
> matching Kenwood tuner a good one? I think it will be a while before
> any good hamfests because of the Wx in chicago.
>
> I researched rigs on line and so far I like the Icom 746 Pro but
> I'm not ready to spend that kind of cash.
>
> I was also told that I can upgrade to Extra with just a written
> test. Since my license expires in 2 years it seems like the thing
> to aim for.
>
> I still have a couple of ham friends so I will probably pick their
> brains, but I open to suggestions!
>
> chuck
> K1CAS

Hey Chuck,

Check out your local club, but they aren't interested in having their
brains picked. They'd much rather have you pick their booty.

33. forced to the conclusion stevie's lying must rise to level of being pathological

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:27:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133418448.885291.220380@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125803

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > >
> > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > >
> > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > >
> > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
>
> Uh
> huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
.....
>

right
> > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> >
> > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > them.
>
> If you don't, then my suspicions are confirmed.
>
> > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > gone?
> >
> > For merely behaving as you have?
>
> I have never, in my worst moments, ever "behaved" in the way Markie
> is....

no you have behaved far worse

I can't even treat you as badly as you treat others

I can't lie and accuse you of being a pedophile, and I can lie quite
well but that is beyond my abilty to type

I can't lie and threaten you with "exposure" (to off line world) as a
pedophile, and I can lie quite well but that is beyond my abilty to

type

I have tried to write such post but my ethics don't allow that sort of attack even aginast a piece of shit like yourself who richly deserves to receive the karmamic justice that such action would invoke
I can
>
> Never.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

34. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:32:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133418765.121416.127340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125804

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > > >
> > > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > > >
> > > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > >
> > > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
> >
> > Uh
> > huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
.....
>
> right

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> > > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> > >
> > > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > > them.
> >
> > If you don't, then my suspicions are confirmed.
> >
> > > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > > gone?
> > >
> > > For merely behaving as you have?
> >
> > I have never, in my worst moments, ever "behaved" in the way Markie
> > is....
>
> no you have behaved far worse

No, I've not.

> I can't even treat you as badly as you treat others

But you are! And far far worse than you give yourself credit for!

> I can't lie and accuse you of being a pedophile, and I can lie quite
> well but that is beyond my abilty to type

Oh, but you HAVE!

Starting off in the last 36 hours with your bombardment of the NG
and your stories about my dad...WHERE did you get that business about
"McArthur" at anyway...?!??!!

> I can't lie and threaten you with "exposure" (to off line world) as a
> pedophile, and I can lie quite well but that is beyond my abilty to
> type

But you express intent to lie to the "off line world" anyway.

> I have tried to write such post but my ethics don't allow that sort of
> attack even aginast a piece of #### like yourself who richly deserves
> to receive the karmamic justice that such action would invoke
> I can

I think you were looking into a mirror when you wrote that.

Sure looks like it.

Steve, K4YZ

35. Re: Average cost of radio repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:37:17 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1133419037.639587.240430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Volker Tonn sucked off Vinnie S:

<snipped>

All you have to do to get it fixed for free is to find a ham with at
least an advanced class license. Let the queer bastard suck your dick.
The sick ass ham will fix your cb and service your
cock.............ALL FOR FREE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

36. formal notice stevie ahs accepted that he has receive and read my formal notice to him by repling to it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:37:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133419075.227282.214150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125806

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > quoting stevie blunder
> >
> > Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and
> > effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal
> > avenues open to stop you.
> >
> >
> > Stop now or else.
> > unquote
> >
> > you are making yet another threat
>
> Nope.

yes you are
>
> I am telling you that if your behaviour doesn't change, I have it
> at my LEGAL disposal to stop it.

if true that that does not alter that you have made a threat

an empty on in this case
>
> > based on the legal advice I receive I warnyou again that if your threat
> > involve arriving at my home you should be aware that I will view the
> > arrival of person that has made threats against in the past as prima
> > faci proof that that the threating/ariving party intends me harm, this
> > therefore based on the advice i have receive would justify the use of
> > deadly force on that party.
>
> Markie...
>
> Stop taking "legal" advice from the man you sleep with.

stop lying
>
> > In any event deadly force will be offered you stevie if you choose to
> > disregard my warnings to stay away, what ever the result I will risking
> > trying to explain/defend my actions first to the DA then if needed a
> > jury rather than take the risk that you have come to harm me or my
> > family.

>
> This is your third threat of deadly force in two days, Markie.

no it isn't
>
> Now who's looking "paranoid"...???

stevie I don't care if it looks paranoid to you or anyone

you BTW have made several stament that I intend to rape you

now that does not merely look paranoid it is paranoid

you have alose claimed you can get and STD from someone that is not
infested with one

that is also paranoid

you ae a dangerous and unpredictable lunatic who might do anything
including drive about 18 hours in order to try and kill or just inorder
to comitt suicide by puting me in situation where I have no safe choice
but to kill you
>
> > I give you this warning in an effort to see that I don't have feel the
> > need to try and kill you. I realy don't want I don't want to remember
> > such a monet the rest of my life.
>
> Don't worry, Markie, you'll never be afforded that "monet".

glad to read that

alas I don't fully belive it you are clearly crazy enough to do
anything

>
> (idiot)
>
> > I also give you this warning here in
> > public, so their will be clear evidence that I have tried to deterfrom
> > carring out this possible and unforunate action
>
> Don't worry, Markie. I ahve copies of your other "warnings" you
> made in public.

stil,can't spell speling cop
>
> And thanks. I needed them.

there you admit your threatening intentions
>
> Steve, K4YZ

37. why does dos the Stevie written Bio of N8DOS read like a lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:43:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133419387.143003.148000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125807

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > > > >
> > > > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > > > >
> > > > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
> > > >
> > > Uh
> > > huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
.............
> >
> > right
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
right
>
> > > > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> > > > >
> > > > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > > > them.
> > > >
> > > If you don't, then my suspicions are confirmed.
> > >
> > > > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > > > gone?
> > > > >
> > > > For merely behaving as you have?
> > >
> > > I have never, in my worst moments, ever "behaved" in the way Markie
> > > is....
> >
> > no you have behaved far worse
>
```

> No, I've not.

yes you have
>
> > I can't even treat you as badly as you treat others
>
> But you are! And far far worse than you give yourself credit for!

no I don't but gald to have you confrim I am taking effective action
>
> > I can't lie and accuse you of being a pedophile, and I can lie quite
> > well but that is beyond my abilty to type
>
> Oh, but you HAVE!

no I have not
>
> Starting off in the last 36 hours with your bombardment of the NG
> and your stories about my dad...WHERE did you get that business about
> "McArthur" at anyway...?!??!!

i have never called you a pedphile

I got that from posts of many years back when you first jion the group
and began spinning your pack of lies

no where in my recent Flaming of you have I called you a pedophile

so you are lying yet again
>
> > I can't lie and threaten you with "exposure" (to off line world) as a
> > pedophile, and I can lie quite well but that is beyond my abilty to
> > type
>
> But you express intent to lie to the "off line world" anyway.

no I have not

I have never lied about or expressed any intent to lie about you
>
> > I have tried to write such post but my ethics don't allow that sort of
> > attack even aginast a piece of shit like yourself who richly deserves
> > to receive the karmamic justice that such action would invoke
> > I can

stop altering my word
>
> I think you were looking into a mirror when you wrote that.

then you are stupid but we knew that
>
> Sure looks like it.

more likely you are looking but you can't even see the mirror
>
> Steve, K4YZ

38. stevie his true colors as bully

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:48:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133419736.925953.205060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125808

quoting stevie repliing to me
> I can't even treat you as badly as you treat others

But you are! And far far worse than you give yourself credit for!

> I can't lie and accuse you of being a pedophile, and I can lie quite
> well but that is beyond my abilty to type

Oh, but you HAVE!

Starting off in the last 36 hours with your bombardment of the NG
and your stories about my dad...WHERE did you get that business about
"McArthur" at anyway...?!??!!

unquote

with the aboe that I choose to highlight show that stevie can't take
treat even half as bad as he dishs out

He fancies himself allowed to attack people father wives and children
but let someone spin a logic theroy about his family and he goes off
like a bomb making threats (dealt with in other threads

39. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:50:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133419856.786225.209940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125809

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > > quoting stevie blunder
> > >
> > > Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and
> > > effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal
> > > avenues open to stop you.
> > >
> > >
> > > Stop now or else.
> > > unquote
> > >
> > > you are making yet another threat
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes you are

Nope.

> > I am telling you that if your behaviour doesn't change, I have it
> > at my LEGAL disposal to stop it.
>
> if true that that does not alter that you have made a threat
>
> an empty on in this case

Nope.

> > > based on the legal advice I receive I warnyou again that if your threat
> > > involve arriving at my home you should be aware that I will view the
> > > arrival of person that has made threats against in the past as prima
> > > faci proof that that the threating/ariving party intends me harm, this
> > > therefore based on the advice i have receive would justify the use of
> > > deadly force on that party.
> >
> > Markie...
> >
> > Stop taking "legal" advice from the man you sleep with.
>
> stop lying

I'm not.

> > > In any event deadly force will be offered you stevie if you choose to
> > > disregard my warnings to stay away, what ever the result I will risking
> > > trying to explain/defend my actions first to the DA then if needed a
> > > jury rather than take the risk that you have come to harm me or my
> > > family.
> >
> > This is your third threat of deadly force in two days, Markie.
>
> no it isn't

Yes, it is.

I've saved and copied them all.

> > Now who's looking "paranoid"...???
>
> stevie I don't care if it looks paranoid to you or anyone

Good.

> you BTW have made several stament that I intend to rape you

You have made such comments.

You may not intend them to sound as such, but your idiotic,
fractured English leaves you open to interpretation.

More than a few people have told you of this, but you insist it's
"our" problem instad of yours.

> now that does not merely look paranoid it is paranoid

Yes, Markie, you ARE paranoid. Good first step forward!

> you have alose claimed you can get and STD from someone that is not
> infested with one

I didn't say you were "infested"...I said my concern would be to
be assaulted by you and potentailly contract one.

> that is also paranoid

Noooooooo....It's evidence that your Englisn comprehension sucks
and you don't pay attention to what is being wrote.

> you ae a dangerous and unpredictable lunatic who might do anything
> including drive about 18 hours in order to try and kill or just inorder
> to comitt suicide by puting me in situation where I have no safe choice
> but to kill you

Fourth expression of intent to commit deadly force without meeting
the criteria for it's use.

> > > I give you this warning in an effort to see that I don't have feel the
> > > need to try and kill you. I realy don't want I don't want to remember
> > > such a monet the rest of my life.
> >

> > Don't worry, Markie, you'll never be afforded that "monet".
>
> glad to read that
>
> alas I don't fully belive it you are clearly crazy enough to do
> anything

What I said is that if you don't cease and desist, there's LEGAL
avenues to take.

What happens from here on out is strictly within YOUR realm to
control.

> > (idiot)

Still

> > > I also give you this warning here in
> > > public, so their will be clear evidence that I have tried to deterfrom
> > > carring out this possible and unforunate action
> >
> > Don't worry, Markie. I have copies of your other "warnings" you
> > made in public.
>
> stil,can't spell speling cop

"Still" "spelling"

Sure I can.

"spelling cop"

> > And thanks. I needed them.
>
> there you admit your threatening intentions

Nope.

Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW. If you
stop now, there's no need to feel "threatened". If you don't aplogize
for the death threats, then you DO have a reason to feel "threatened",
but not from me...It will be from the next black-and-white that pulls
up in your driveway.

S'up to you, Big Boy!

Steve, K4YZ

40. stevei show how closely he waits and follows my moves on line and yet he denies stalkering me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:51:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133419919.457405.212450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125810

an old friend
Dec 1, 1:13 am

K4YZ
Dec 1, 1:27 am

interesting how quickly he manages to follow me Indeed If i were faking his posts I'd have trouble truning it arround that fast

41. stevei show how closely he waits and follows my moves on line and yet he denies stalkering me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:52:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133419936.730656.319490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125811

an old friend
Dec 1, 1:13 am

K4YZ
Dec 1, 1:27 am

interesting how quickly he manages to follow me Indeed If i were faking
his posts I'd have trouble truning it arround that fast

the subject refered to as stevie or stevie and the person that is the
suject of this warning is named and identified below

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

42. more stevie threats more stevie lying dening he is making them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 06:58:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133420322.883798.7530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125812

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > quoting stevie blunder
> > > >
> > > > Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and
> > > > effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal
> > > > avenues open to stop you.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Stop now or else.
> > > > unquote
> > > >
> > > > you are making yet another threat
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes you are
>
> Nope.

stevie lies agian

starts out his everypost with a lie
>
> > > I am telling you that if your behaviour doesn't change, I have it
> > > at my LEGAL disposal to stop it.
> >
> > if true that that does not alter that you have made a threat
> >
> > an empty on in this case
>
> Nope.

yep it is idle
>
> > > > based on the legal advice I receive I warnyou again that if your threat
> > > > involve arriving at my home you should be aware that I will view the
> > > > arrival of person that has made threats against in the past as prima
> > > > faci proof that that the threating/ariving party intends me harm, this
> > > > therefore based on the advice i have receive would justify the use of
> > > > deadly force on that party.
> > >
```

> > > Markie...
> > >
> > > Stop taking "legal" advice from the man you sleep with.
> >
> > stop lying
>
> I'm not.

yes you are

I am not egting legal from anyone I am sleeping, nor am I currently
sleeping with any man

you continue your lies by claiming my current sexual partner is male

she isn't, the next one (if there is one after her) might be male
>
> > > > In any event deadly force will be offered you stevie if you choose to
> > > > disregard my warnings to stay away, what ever the result I will risking
> > > > trying to explain/defend my actions first to the DA then if needed a
> > > > jury rather than take the risk that you have come to harm me or my
> > > > family.
> > >
> > > This is your third threat of deadly force in two days, Markie.
> >
> > no it isn't
>
> Yes, it is.

no more than your on shot one kill post
>
> I've saved and copied them all.

so what?
>
> > > Now who's looking "paranoid"...???
> >
> > stevie I don't care if it looks paranoid to you or anyone
>
> Good.
>
> > you BTW have made several stament that I intend to rape you
>
> You have made such comments.

more lies

all archived

>
> You may not intend them to sound as such, but your idiotic,
> fractured English leaves you open to interpretation.

which is not the same as making such a statement

meaning stevie lied again
>
> More than a few people have told you of this, but you insist it's
> "our" problem instad of yours.

it is your problem
>
> > now that does not merely look paranoid it is paranoid
> > you have alose claimed you can get and STD from someone that is not
> > infested with one
>
> I didn't say you were "infested"...I said my concern would be to
> be assaulted by you and potentailly contract one.

nop you are lying somemore so

Flush

43. progress stevie admit to making threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:00:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133420405.151314.11120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125813

quote
Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW.
unquote

stevei now admit to making threats

44. stevie threatens me with arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:07:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133420844.493612.247690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125814

quote
then you DO have a reason to feel "threatened",
but not from me...It will be from the next black-and-white that pulls
up in your driveway.

unquote

stvei now claims he can have arrested

well he tried that 7 years ago we can all see the results

I have made sure Stevie understands the what will happen if he chooses
to arrive here

as Stevie himself put out he has only received a death threat if he
intends to break the law and come here onto my land.

Stevie has been prented with a hypothetical if he does A, B will happen

45. why does stevie feel threatened?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:10:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133421016.703278.307680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125815

quote
> you BTW have made several stament that I intend to rape you

You have made such comments.
endquote

stevie is afraid I will drive there and rape him

and he dares to call me paranoid

46. Stevie doesn't understand the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:15:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133421331.494202.265540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125816

quote
> you ae a dangerous and unpredictable lunatic who might do anything
> including drive about 18 hours in order to try and kill or just inorder
> to comitt suicide by puting me in situation where I have no safe choice
> but to kill you

Fourth expression of intent to commit deadly force without meeting

the criteria for it's use.

endquote

if you show up you will meet the criteria

you have made death threats before

if you choose to arrive one the few possible reasons is that you intend
finaly to carry them out.

Others include that you intend suicide and have set the whole thing so
i will do the job for you, a varraint of "suicide by cop"

I encourage Stevie to report my threats. Indeed I hope he does and
that he can get the investagation off the ground, he may be able to
spin a good enough lie, then of course it will become clear I am merely
warning off a madman, warning him to keep away, and he will show to
tose in his own area that he is a danger to himself and to others, and
should thereby get put on the mental hold and eval he seems to need so
badly

47. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:19:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133421553.354913.268120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125817

an_old_friend wrote:

> quote
> > you ae a dangerous and unpredictable lunatic who might do anything
> > including drive about 18 hours in order to try and kill or just inorder
> > to comitt suicide by puting me in situation where I have no safe choice
> > but to kill you
>
> Fourth expression of intent to commit deadly force without meeting
>
> the criteria for it's use.
>
> endquote
>
> if you show up you will meet the criteria

And YOU don't understand the criteria for the use of deadly force,
Markie.

> you have made death threats before

No, I've not.

> if you choose to arrive one the few possible reasons is that you intend
> finaly to carry them out.

Carry what out?

> Others include that you intend suicide and have set the whole thing so
> i will do the job for you, a varraint of "suicide by cop"

YOU..?!?!

A COP...?!?!

BBBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> I encourage Stevie to report my threats. Indeed I hope he does and
> that he can get the investagation off the ground, he may be able to
> spin a good enough lie, then of course it will become clear I am merely
> warning off a madman, warning him to keep away, and he will show to
> tose in his own area that he is a danger to himself and to others, and
> should thereby get put on the mental hold and eval he seems to need so
> badly

One of us needs a 'mental hold", Markie...And it's not me.

Steve, K4YZ

48. Re: when will stevie decide to end this game?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:21:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133421718.841301.83680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125818

answer the question posed

why do you prefer this series of attack to teling the truth

esp from a man that claims not to tolerate lying

so come clean stop your lying
an Old friend wrote:
Stevie can end this series of attack post by answering the following
questions truthfully and senibly

what relavance does sexulaity have to radio ? (not y sexuality but any
sexuality)

why does stevie make sexualiy based attack threads

why does stevie lie about people's sexuality

all he has to do answer these question and the barrage of posts will
stop

49. stevie lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:25:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133421956.485741.316260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125819

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > quote
> > > you ae a dangerous and unpredictable lunatic who might do anything
> > > including drive about 18 hours in order to try and kill or just inorder
> > > to comitt suicide by puting me in situation where I have no safe choice
> > > but to kill you
> >
> > Fourth expression of intent to commit deadly force without meeting
> >
> > the criteria for it's use.
> >
> > endquote
> >
> > if you show up you will meet the criteria
>
> And YOU don't understand the criteria for the use of deadly force,
> Markie.

No I do

the criteria involve it being reasonable in the mind of the person
using deadly force that he or his proerty is in danger

>
> > you have made death threats before
>
> No, I've not.

yes you have

>
> > if you choose to arrive one the few possible reasons is that you intend
> > finaly to carry them out.
>
> Carry what out?

you death threat read the post before repling to it

>
> > Others include that you intend suicide and have set the whole thing so
> > i will do the job for you, a varraint of "suicide by cop"
>
> YOU..?!?!
>

> A COP...?!?!

no never said that I said you could be enacting a variant of 'suicide
by cop"

> > I encourage Stevie to report my threats. Indeed I hope he does and
> > that he can get the investagation off the ground, he may be able to
> > spin a good enough lie, then of course it will become clear I am merely
> > warning off a madman, warning him to keep away, and he will show to
> > tose in his own area that he is a danger to himself and to others, and
> > should thereby get put on the mental hold and eval he seems to need so
> > badly
>
> One of us needs a 'mental hold", Markie...And it's not me.

find out if you dare

but assuming you doing report it most likely nothing will happen at all

but you are crazy and dangerous to yourself and others which is the
standard of mental hold

>
> Steve, K4YZ

50. why can't stevie read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:28:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133422101.119724.320890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125820

quote
> Others include that you intend suicide and have set the whole thing so
> i will do the job for you, a varraint of "suicide by cop"

YOU..?!?!

A COP...?!?!
unquote

why can't stevie read and understand the sentence I never said I was a
cop but that he might be seeking a variant of "Suicide by cop"

why can't stevie understand simple enlish sentences I don't even se any
speling or gramaur erros and yet stevie can't read it

51. progres Boyo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:31:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133422268.142828.300370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125821

"One of us needs a 'mental hold", Markie" stevie

that is intend progress but it fouls it with "And it's not me. "

it is you

you with your claim I can be arrested on your say so

you show yourself delusional and dangerous

BTW to rest of the NG I am trying to deviler proper Karma to stevie
return on of his posts with 3 of mine just as provided for by the law
of Karma

52. you shall what you sow back times 3... Karma is Karma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:35:53 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133422553.266153.310580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125822

what you are all being "treated" to is my effeort to deliver instant
Karma as best I can

steve can put a stop to it by answering the questions asked answering a
yes or no question with something j3e 28k is not what I will accept as
an answer

53. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:35:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133422559.422724.86860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125823

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > Stevie can end this series of attack post by answering the following
> > > questions truthfully and senibly
> >
> > That's already been done (truthfully). What was "senibly" supposed
> > to be in English?
> >
> > > what relavance does sexulaity have to radio ? (not y sexuality but any
> > > sexuality)
> >
> > Answered.
>
> no it hasn't been

Yes it has been.

I am sorry you don't like the answer, but thems'-da-breaks.

> STOP LYING

I haven't started.

> > > why does stevie make sexualiy based attack threads
> >
> > As ofd right now, you're the one with 97 threads or resposnes to
> > threads with the words "sex" or "sxuality" (or some other abortion of
> > those wrods)
>
> that isn't the question

Sure it is.

I told you if you'd stop bringing it up, it wouldn't be a topic of
conversation.

> why do you make sexualy oreinted attack thread

As of right now, I count ovr 132 threads authored by YOU demanding
"answers" to questions about sexuality.

None by me.

And I've by NO MEANS opened all the posts. I am sure 132 is a
conservative tally.

> > > why does stevie lie about people's sexuality
> >
> > I haven't.
>
> yes you have
> about mine, brains and todd's

Nothing's been discussed that wasn't in some way, shape or form
opened by them.

Stop doing it and there's no issue.

> why do you lie like this

Asking yourself a rhetoical question?

It's hard to tell since you refuse to use proper punctuation.

> > > all he has to do answer these question and the barrage of posts will
> > > stop
> >
> > If you'd read, Markie, you'd have seen my answer THREE TIMES
> > today.
>
> I have read your wordsbut they don't answer the question

And I've told you that if you don't like my answer, that's too bad.

So now YOU have acknowledged that YOU have read what I posted.

The ONLY issue here is YOUR refusal to accept it and move on.

Ergo everything that occurs from this point on IS clearly your
doings.

> > But you've been so anxious to keep this assinine behaviour going
> > that you've either ignored it, or simply don't like it.
>
> more lies from stvie

Nope.

YOU have acknowledged that you read what I wrote...You have been
clearly stating that I haven't responded to your demands, but now you
ARE acknowledging that you HAVE been reading them.

LiarLiar Pants-On-Fire, Markie.

You're busted.

> > In either case, YOU are the one responsible for spamming the NG.
>
> more lies

Nope.

Count all the threads that presently occupy RRAP authored by you.

Open some of those threads and count the repsonses by you.

> I am not spaming the NG

Sure you are.

> you are lying and avoiding a simple question

I am not "lying", and YOU have acknowledged in this very post I am
responding to that you HAVE read my answers.

> what does Todd sexuality have to do with Radio
>
> what does Brains have to do with radio what does mine have to do with
> radio
>
> why are you aftraid to answer the question?

A N S W E R E D!

And YOU acknowledged that you read it.

> > Just one more reason to laugh at you....As if we NEEDED one more!

Still.

Steve, K4YZ

54. stevei conitues lie-athon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:39:29 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133422769.504007.93510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125824

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > Stevie can end this series of attack post by answering the following
> > > > questions truthfully and senibly
> > >
> > > That's already been done (truthfully). What was "senibly" supposed
> > > to be in English?
> > >
> > > > what relavance does sexulaity have to radio ? (not y sexuality but any
> > > > sexuality)
> > >
> > > Answered.
> >
> > no it hasn't been
>
> Yes it has been.

no it has not
>
> I am sorry you don't like the answer, but thems'-da-breaks.
>
> > STOP LYING
>
> I haven't started.
>
> > > > why does stevie make sexualiy based attack threads
> > >
> > > As ofd right now, you're the one with 97 threads or resposnes to
> > > threads with the words "sex" or "sxuality" (or some other abortion of
> > > those wrods)
> >
> > that isn't the question
>
> Sure it is.
>
> I told you if you'd stop bringing it up, it wouldn't be a topic of
> conversation.

which does not answer the question

the question is why is it relavant

i did not ask why it was the topic of conversation
```

it also does not explain why you lie and say Todd is gay
flush

55. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:39:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133422795.040370.318840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125825

an_old_friend wrote:
> "One of us needs a 'mental hold", Markie" stevie
>
> that is intend progress but it fouls it with "And it's not me. "
>
> it is you

Nope.

> you with your claim I can be arrested on your say so

No...

I say your death threats violate the law.

> you show yourself delusional and dangerous

Nope. You shopw YOUR lack of understanding of what constitutes
the legal application of Deadly Force in Michigan.

> BTW to rest of the NG I am trying to deviler proper Karma to stevie
> return on of his posts with 3 of mine just as provided for by the law
> of Karma

You'll be experiencing a bit of karma, OK, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

56. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:41:45 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133422905.107030.267430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125826

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > "One of us needs a 'mental hold", Markie" stevie
> >
> > that is intend progress but it fouls it with "And it's not me. "
> >
> > it is you
>
> Nope.
>
> > you with your claim I can be arrested on your say so
>
> No...
>
> I say your death threats violate the law.

you lack the power

>
> > you show yourself delusional and dangerous
>
> Nope. You shopw YOUR lack of understanding of what constitutes
> the legal application of Deadly Force in Michigan.

not according to the DA
>
> > BTW to rest of the NG I am trying to deviler proper Karma to stevie
> > return on of his posts with 3 of mine just as provided for by the law
> > of Karma
>
> You'll be experiencing a bit of karma, OK, Markie.

more threats Stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

57. Stevie nows tries to practic law without being member of the bar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:43:40 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133423020.323696.156270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125827

quote
I say your death threats violate the law.
unquote

you lack the power to make such a statement

58. stevie insane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:47:41 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133423261.532375.164540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125828

stevie claim that pointing out that if he shows up here he may well be killed is a death threat but when he types one shot one kill it is not a death threat

I truely hope stevie can report this to someone that can help him.

he needs help. mental help

59. was stevie the subject of a pedophile?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 07:59:26 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133423966.634362.60570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125829

quote
> I can't lie and accuse you of being a pedophile, and I can lie quite
> well but that is beyond my abilty to type

Oh, but you HAVE!

Starting off in the last 36 hours with your bombardment of the NG
and your stories about my dad
unquote

I can only express my hoor that stevie contiues to show clear sign of
having been the victum of a pedophile be that person his dad or someone

if this is the case then I would be sympathletic if Stevie had not been
enaged in his multi year jihad agianst me

assuming I am right the poer course for me would seem to be to provoke
stevie further along these line till he breaks down and get help

if this is not the case then it is not reason to stop my own effort to
get stevie syop his lie-athon

60. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 09:49:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133430546.017995.69560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125830

an Old friend wrote:
> quote
> I say your death threats violate the law.
> unquote
>
> you lack the power to make such a statement

No I don't.

Here it is again:

"I say your death threats violate the law".

See how easy that was...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

61. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 09:54:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133430855.086835.306990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125831

an_old_friend wrote:
> quote
> > Others include that you intend suicide and have set the whole thing so
> > i will do the job for you, a varraint of "suicide by cop"
>
>
> YOU..?!?!
>
> A COP...?!?!
> unquote
>
> why can't stevie read and understand the sentence I never said I was a
> cop but that he might be seeking a variant of "Suicide by cop"

I read it just fine.

You are presuming yourself to be in the role of a "cop".

> why can't stevie understand simple enlish sentences I don't even se any
> speling or gramaur erros and yet stevie can't read it

"English" "see" "spelling" "grammar" "errors"

I read and understood it just fine.

You are presuming yourself to be in the role of a "cop".

That's funny!

Steve, K4YZ

62. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 09:57:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133431067.708681.106570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125832

an_old_friend wrote:
> quote
> Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW.
> unquote
>
> stevei now admit to making threats

It's only a "threat" if you're breaking the law.

Are you?

I say you are.

Steve, K4YZ

63. Re: Average cost of radio repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 10:10:45 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dmmi5b$c4r$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 125833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote in message
news:1133419037.639587.240430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Volker Tonn sucked off Vinnie S:
>
> <snipped>
>
> All you have to do to get it fixed for free is to find a ham with at
> least an advanced class license. Let the queer bastard suck your dick.
> The sick ass ham will fix your cb and service your
> cock.............ALL FOR FREE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I think id rather pay for the repair ;o)

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

64. Markie Thinks I Didn't Like Bridget Bardot...?!?! Waddachunkacheese!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 10:16:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133432187.067454.146420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125834

an Old friend wrote:
> why does stevie need to lie about bb's sexual orientaion?

Bridget Bardot?

Who in the world would argue about HER orientation...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

65. Re: whycan't stevie say something coherantt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 10:22:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133432576.679899.44680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125836

an_old_friend wrote:

> whycan't stevie say something coherantt

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Trust you to embarrass yourself while trying to insult someone,
Markie!

WHAT AN IDIOT!

Steve, K4YZ

66. Re: if your bored.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 10:23:25 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dmmit4$su2$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 125835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote in message
news:1133418213.858929.212810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I thought thats what they used their hammie club houses for, you know,
> elmering those youngesters..............
>

I wonder how this has got cross-posted outside the CB groups?
Check out the site www.geocities.com/amateurm3 and check out M0PERV down in
M0TIT's friends list.

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

67. Re: stevle shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 10:28:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133432892.088848.48500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan Trying To Censor The Free Speech of Others...AGAIN!
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > just trying to kill this hate filled thread
>
> stevie just can't tell the ruth about anything

Who's Ruth, and what does she have to do with your attempts to
effect censorship on the NG?

Steve, K4YZ

68. More Markie Mularkie ~ ~ He'd Better Hope He Hasn't Caused Problems By Repeatedly Publishing Wrong Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 10:40:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133433645.593882.90460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125838

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 29 Nov 2005 19:53:52 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 31 Oct 2005 06:49:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
> >> >> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > SNIP
> >> >
> >> >> >If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
> >> >> >this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?
> >> >
> >> >> why are you defddning the Piece of ####
> >> >
> >> > "Why" "defending"
> >> >
> >> > He's not "defending" anyone. He said, and quite accurately, "If
> >> >you could only make some sense just once it might help."
> >> >
> >> >> hopw can you say that these are the work of an honest man
> >> >
> >> > "How"
> >> >
> >> > Markie, YOU have NO BUSINESS suggesting the words of any other
> >> >person are suspect based upon honesty. Not until you "come to Jesus"
> >> >about your ADMITTED and bragged-over propensity for lying, at least.
> >>
> >> Stveie violating the 1st amendment which he swore to uphold by
> >> demanding other follow his religion
> >
> > You need to re-familiarize yourself with the Constitution of the
> >United States and the Bill of Rights, Markie.
>
> i am quite up on it

No, you are not.

The First Ammendment to the Constitution of the United States has
NOTHING to do with the protection of religious practice.

Nor does that ammendment address individual citizens...It prevents
the GOVERNMENT from abridging the free speech of the people...

Ergo...You are NOT "up on it" when it comes to the Constitution of
the United States OR its attendant ammendments AKA "The Bill of Rights"

> > Also, you've expsoed yourseolf as having never served in the Armed
> >Forces, since if you'd had, you'd at least have a rough idea what was
> >in that oath and know that your "argument" here was no where near being
> >accurate.
>
> not at all

Absolutley "at all"

> you swoare to uphold the constitution of the USA

I did.

> you don't do so

Sure I do.

> you betary the nation and your oath daily it seems

"betray"

Nope.

YOU are the only one here proactively trying to "kill" threads,
Markie.

THAT is impeeding the free speech of others.

> >> everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
> >> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
> >>
> >> STEVEN J ROBESON
> >> 151 12TH AVE NW
> >> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> >> 931-967-6282
> >
> > Good luck, Markie.
> >
> > That number's been changed for over a year as I am sure you've
> >found out by now.
>
> then you are stupid of course
>
> I have found out no such thing

No...

YOU are the "stupid" one, Markie.

I'm going to call it later in the morning.

If it's been re-asssigned since I had it changed and the new owner reports even ONE harrassing phone call (even if it's NOT from Michigan...), guess whose name and address I am going to give them, along with links to this forum, e-mail addresses, etc etc etc...?!?!?

If I were you'I'd be finding some way to get rid of the evidence...but since it's all in Google and you CAN'T, looks like you're screwed.

Sucks to be you, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

69. Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM) and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 10:54:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133434482.854367.111560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125839

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
>
> stevi lie

Nope.

You did it. I pointed it out. Everyone except you got a laugh
out of it...Well..Everyone except your sisters in the Feeble Five...I
am sure they are blushing by reason of association.

> > Huge snip.
> >
> > No "mocking" of PAGANISM.
>
> stevi lie

Nope.

I mentioned a Tarot card.

Not ONE WORD was said about "paganism"...Well...Not one word other
than pointing out that YOU mispelled it.

> > And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
> >"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!

> stevi lie
> stevi lie

What "lie"...?!?!

YOU are the one going on-and-on about "Hiram Maxwell".

You've not said who "Hiram Maxwell" is.

There is no prominent figure in Amatuer Radio named "Hiram
Maxwell"...

> > You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
> >you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!
>
> stevi lie

Hardly.

Look in the SUBJECT LINE where YOU mispelled it originally and
>>>I<<< CORRECTED you.

Sheeeeeesh.

> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282

You'd better hope that the phone company hasn't re-assigned that
number, Markie.

Otherwise it can get REAL expensive for you!

Steve, K4YZ

70. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 11:16:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133435801.229930.229780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125840

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 29 Nov 2005 13:25:34 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> > > > > stop making threats and giving order bully
> >> > > cut
> >> > > > In the last 3 days you've "published" over 70 "demands" (eg:
> >> > > > 'giving order') to me in as many threads.
> >> > >
> >> > > I will acept your count
> >> >
> >> > You have no choice. Anyone who can make a scratch with a pencil
> >> > knows it's true.
> >>
> >> more lies
> >
> > Why do you say that?
>
> becuase you are lying

I am lying about the count of your messsages?

But YOU said "I will acept your count"

Are you now retracting that statement? Because it's the only way
you could then claim I am lying...otherwise it's all premised on what
YOU said, Markie.

> You stated I have no choice that statment was and remians a lie

No, it was not.

You have no choice since the FACTS are archived in Google.

> Indeed I think your count was low even then

It probably was. Like I said, "....over 70 "demands"..."

And that was two days ago.

You've since outdid yourself in the matters of the twisted mind run
amuck

> >> the count my well rise to a seeral hunderd
> >
> > "several" "hundred"
> >

> > No doubt it will, since it seems you have nothing better to do
> >than create "attack threads" of your own.
>
> currently right I have some time on my hands

Being unemployed and waiting for the check to come in from the
State probably ahs a lot to do with it...

> >> > I stated, FACTUALLY, that my ONLY concern from YOU would to be
> >> > assaulted by you and therein obtain an STD.
> >>
> >> but you are stating alie
> >
> > No, I am not.
>
> yes you are lying

Nope.

I said "My only concern..."

> you are in no dnager of contracting an STD from me even if we have sex

Sure I am in "dnager". You are a known and self-acknowledged
sexual deviant who participates in unsafe sex acts.

> therfore your statement is a lie

No it's not.

I said it's a "concern"...Not a statement of fact.

See, Markie...there's that lack of English comprehension tripping
you up agian.

> > I am "stating" that my only concern with you would be the STD I'd
> >undoubtedly acquire after being assaulted by you.
>
> meaning you are lying again

Nope...But you're making a fool out of yourself again....

> you can't get an STD from me even if we have sex
>
> that you claim otherwise proves you don't know how STD's are
> transmitted

Sure I do.

> >> one MUST be infected by or other carry a Diase in order to spread it
> >
> > What's a "Diase" that someone has to carry, Markie?
> >
> > Kinda like an Yves St Laurent or Gucci purse?
>

> more gay bashing

How?

You said someone needed to carry a "Diase".

I asked you if that was like aYves St Laurent or Gucci purse.

What's a "Diase" and why would someone carry one?

Since YOU bring up gays, is it a gay-thing?

> >> > You have admitted, even bragged, about having sex with men in this
> >> > USENET forum.
> >>
> >> which does not make me gay or homosexual
> >
> > Sure it does.
>
> no it does not
>
> learn english

No...YOU learn English. As witnessed above.

> >> > The only way to be MORE open would be to buy airtime on CNN.
> >> >
> >> > > I am not a devaiant let alone an
> >> > > admited one that is at least 2 lies
> >> >
> >> > Sure you are.
> >> >
> >> > Refer to the "seeking fem dom" post.
> >>
> >> nothing devaint there
> >
> > Sure there is.
>
> no there is not

Sure there is!

> >> > > > You ADMIT that a man that would WANT to have sex with you is
> >> > > > really sick!
> >> > >
> >> > > more lies I said nothing of the sort
> >> >
> >> > Sure you did.
> >>
> >> No I did not
> >
> > SURE you did!
>

> why do you lie all the time?

I am not.

YOU said that a man that would want to have sex withn you would
ahve to be sick.

For once, we agree.

> >> > > I said you wnating to have sex with me and not being able to admit is
> >> > > sick
> >> >
> >> > What would be sick is WANTING to have sex with you...but then here
> >> > again we have YOU propetuating yet another "debate" about your deviant
> >> > lifestyle...
> >>
> >> more of your gay bashing something you claim you never do
> >
> > No, it's not...If ANYTHING it's an expression of pity for men who
> >ARE gay to have to be comingled with a dishonest, mistruthful twit like
> >you.
>
> no another stevie lie you are gaybashing

No, I am not.

As a matter of fact, I am defending good, honest, gay men.

> >> piling on the lie you sack of sick
> >
> > "...sack of sick..."
>
> prefectly valid phrase stevie and no profanities

No...it was yet another of your illiterate screw-ups!

> >BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

> >>
> >> the dozen or so in this exchange alone
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...WHAT is a lie?
>
> that I have an STD
>
> that is lie
>
> therefore you are lying

No, I said I would be CONCERNED about contracting one from you.

I would be. You're an admitted sexual deviant and your now-four day rant about sex and sexuality proves it.

Steve, K4YZ

71. Re: lia-athon continued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 11:27:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133436453.327818.127000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125841

an_old_friend wrote:

> answer the question coward

OK...I admit it...

I called the lia-athon. If Princess Lia is in trouble, hey, I can
pitch in a fin or two...

Steve, K4YZ

72. Re: more lies to cover lies, and Markie's the one caught up in them AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 11:31:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133436702.990723.191390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125842

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan
> > >
> > > stevie lies in title
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Right on the money.
>
> nope absolute truth

THAT'S WHAT I SAID ! ! !

Progress, Wimpo!

You're catching on! It's going to hurt for a while, but you'll
feel better for it!\

Steve, K4YZ

73. I'd Call 130 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard of 30 November 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 11:53:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133438018.389065.135030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125843

Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.

Let's hope he get's his check soon and get's out of the house for
a while!

Steve, K4YZ

was stevie the subject of a pedophile?

stevie insane

Stevie nows tries to practic law without being member of the bar

progres Boyo

stevie #####

when will stevie decide to end this game?

stevei conitues lie-athon

why can't stevie read

you shall what you sow back times 3... Karma is Karma

Stevie doesn't understand the law

stevie lies some more

why does stevie feel threatened?

stevie threatens me with arrest

progress stevie admit to making threats

a formal reply to Stevie latest threat

formal notice stevie ahs accepted that he has receive and read my
formal notice to him by repling to it

more stevie threats more stevie lying dening he is making them

stevei show how closely he waits and follows my moves on line and yet
he denies stalkering me

stevie his true colors as bully

why does dos the Stevie written Bio of N8DOS read like a lie

why is Stevie afrad of Todd

when will Steie to alow this jihad to end

Dave support and advocates Lying, for anything he considers a good cause

when will dave call Stevie to accoutn for his lying

why does Steviue hide behind the annymouses and Dave

just how many of the posters to RRAP are sexualy insecure

was the late N8DOS a child abuser?

why does Stevie advocate elder abuse

why does Stevie hold a duoble standard and expect to get away with it?

why does Stevie balme his victums for his actions

when will stevie take responiblity and own up to his actions

when will stevie stop lying about answering the question

you knwo it is remarkaly liberating feeling to be on the attack

why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

just exaclty how did I bring up sexality for you to respond to in this post?

stevie advocates elder abuse

and try explaining this stevie

when will stevie explain his lie

why does Stevie need to lie about other people

why is Stevie afaird of BB

will stevie ever come clean

stevie is afriad of the truth

Stevie likes kiddy porn?

stevie contiues his sexual obeseion across the newsgruop

stevie CONTINUES his evasions across the newsgruop

whycan't stevie say something coherantt

when will stevie admit he has lied to NG

stevie is afriad of the truth

stvei admits to his own staking

stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease

stevie #####

stevle #####

steve of ##### but no answers just lies about giving them

when will stevie begin to deal with legacy of lies and shame

when will Stevie explain how my posting in pesonal gruop makes calling BB gay ralvant to radio

Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's sexuality is relavant to radio

a track record for Stevie

Reverand Stevie

Stevie betrays his service oath

more stevie lies

Stevie in personals group?

still more reminders of stevie the liar

stilmore reminders of our resident piece of shit Stevie

yet more of stevie sexual prevertion

another blast Stevie accuses NCI of ploting HIS murder

another interesting find about Stevie and his beliefs about marriage

Re stvie makes false charge and admits to lying r does he

when you going to fees to your lie

stevie lies

found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making

stevie continues his cowardly avoidance of the issues

Dave decide to support Stevie in his gay bashing quest

why is stevie afraid of me?

Re: stevie is afraid of me? not likely, but true

stevie in denail

stvei makes acusations of criintent to comit a criminal act so call 911 stop whining on the net about it

Stevie claim to right to make demand himself but denies that other have the same right

stevie continues to be in de nile (hopefull he can't swim)

more stevie lies

a promise to stevie

stevie lies

stevie tell the truth for once in your life

stevie refuses to fto tell the truth

stevie lies somemore

when will Stevei give a TRUTHFULL answer

will stevie the coward ever come clean

stevie the coward

stevie paranoid sees me behind everything

steve is coward

stevie contues to avoid a simple question

can stevie deal with his dshonesty issues

Re: lia-athon continued

Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Stevie lies and avoids admit his bigotry

more lies to cover lies

stevie spend another day contiing his atcks rather than answer a simple question

Re:more stevei lies

Re: more stevie abuse

stevie threatens people to avoid answering the question put to him

stevei contiues his efferts at intimadatio

answer the question stevie the coward

stevie the coward

Stevie admits to stalking

stevie conties to be a coward

stevie contiues being a coward

stevie is coward

Re: stevie is coward but so is Dave

Stevie contiues his cowardly attacks

Stevie continues his cowardly lies

the lie-athon just goes and on

Stevie would rather lie than admit he has lied

Re: Shush everyone. Steve has something to tell...

why is stevie afraid

Stevie the liar, as agreed to by Dave his buddy

Stevie the pervert, stevie the coward, Stevie admit being demented

Stevie:the lie-athon continues

the lie-athon go on

stevie one use

box score on Stevie the cowardly liar

when will Stevie learn to read

stevie must love lying

Stevie and Dave contiue to show their cowardice

Stevie continues to refuse to answer a question shwoing

Stevie is afraid of the truth

madman stevie contiues his raving to bad he isn't a dog then wed be able to put him out of his misery

stevie mental problems

steve is coward afraid to asnwer a simple question

steveie contiues his compaign of lies and deceit

stevie is a coward

stevie still the coward

74. Re: well said

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 12:04:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133438667.561237.33760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125844

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > >
> > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > >
> > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > >
> > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
>
> indeed that was the effect I was going for
>
> How am I managing BB?

Impressive. I think that you are out-Steving the Master.

> the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> does on a routinue basis

I tried being a jerk like Steve, but I had no stomach for it. Gave it
up after a couple of weeks. I don't know how he can stand himself.

> > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> >
> > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > them.
> >
> > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > gone?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > For merely behaving as you have?
>
> indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?

The double-standard couldn't have been more clear.

75. Re: well said

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 12:07:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133438833.827620.275210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125845

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > > gone?
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > For merely behaving as you have?
> >
> > indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?
>
> To state "again" presumes a "first", neither of which you've
> established.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You're the one who has established youself a liar. You did so by
lying. You affirm it by lying yet again.

76. a formal reply to Stevie latest threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 12:47:29 UTC
From: an Old friend <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <n2sto1l1jdd2kqi6atnm9vso62cfnl9frt@4ax.com>
Source record: 125846

quoting stevie blunder

Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with
a sincere and
effective apology and stop this assinine
behaviour, or there ARE legal
avenues open to stop you.

Stop now or else.
unquote

you are making yet another threat

based on the legal advice I receive I warnyou
again that if your threat
involve arriving at my home you should be aware
that I will view the
arrival of person that has made threats against in
the past as prima
faci proof that that the threating/ariving party
intends me harm, this
therefore based on the advice i have receive would
justify the use of
deadly force on that party so bware I WILL HIT YOU
WITH MY PURSE IF I SEE YOU!

I seek a Dominate male to explore the submissve
side. I am 32 yo aBi WM,
and I am interested in trying many (most?) form
of service at least twice.
I am interested in any/all of the following: GS
Anal worship, whipping, toliet serivce
. You would have to take control of my cock,
since it does seem to have a mind of its
own during sex.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

77. More Markie Mularkie ~~ Caught In His Own Word Whirlpool Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 13:12:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133442736.685146.305550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125847

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > quoting stevie blunder
> > > > >
> > > > > Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and
> > > > > effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal
> > > > > avenues open to stop you.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Stop now or else.
> > > > > unquote
> > > > >
> > > > > you are making yet another threat
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > yes you are
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> stevie lies agian
>
> starts out his everypost with a lie

Nope.

But I have to defend myself against yours.

> > > > I am telling you that if your behaviour doesn't change, I have it
> > > > at my LEGAL disposal to stop it.
> > > >
> > > if true that that does not alter that you have made a threat
> > >
> > > an empty on in this case
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> yep it is idle

Nope.

> > > > > based on the legal advice I receive I warnyou again that if your threat
> > > > > involve arriving at my home you should be aware that I will view the
> > > > > arrival of person that has made threats against in the past as prima
> > > > > faci proof that that the threating/ariving party intends me harm, this
> > > > > therefore based on the advice i have receive would justify the use of
> > > > > deadly force on that party.
> > > > >
> > > > > Markie...
> > > > >
> > > > > Stop taking "legal" advice from the man you sleep with.
> > > >
> > > > stop lying
> > >
> > I'm not.
>
> yes you are

No, I am not.

> I am not egting legal from anyone I am sleeping.....(SNIP)

WHOA! BIG LIE!

Markie, just last week you tried to "slip in" a "message": from
your allged "wife" who is supposedly a paralegal!

Shall I track down the post and THOROUGHLY humiliate you, or will
you just ante-up to this and let it be done with...?!?!?!

> nor am I currently sleeping with any man

Nor is anyone sleeping with you.

> you continue your lies by claiming my current sexual partner is male

He's not?

> she isn't, the next one (if there is one after her) might be male

So...got your "feelers" out for new conquests already, eh,
Markie...?!?!

> > > > > In any event deadly force will be offered you stevie if you choose to
> > > > > disregard my warnings to stay away, what ever the result I will risking
> > > > > trying to explain/defend my actions first to the DA then if needed a
> > > > > jury rather than take the risk that you have come to harm me or my
> > > > > family.
> > > > >
> > > > > This is your third threat of deadly force in two days, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > no it isn't
> > >
> > Yes, it is.
>
> no more than your on shot one kill post

No, I made NO THREAT to you, Markie.

If it ever gets to having defend that in court, you KNOW I am going
to pull YOUR comment out that it was made in refrence to...

YOU, on the otherhand, specifically stated you intend to inflict
deadly force in contravention to Michigan law.

> > I've saved and copied them all.
>
> so what?

So it's going to be hard for you to hide from.

> > > > Now who's looking "paranoid"...???
> > >
> > > stevie I don't care if it looks paranoid to you or anyone
> >
> > Good.
> >
> > > you BTW have made several stament that I intend to rape you
> >
> > You have made such comments.
>
> more lies

You're going to tell MORE lies...?!?!

> all archived

Yes, that's right...ALL you lies are archived.

> > You may not intend them to sound as such, but your idiotic,
> > fractured English leaves you open to interpretation.
>
> which is not the same as making such a statement

Sure it is.

YOU said them. YOU get to "defend" them.

> meaning stevie lied again

No...Meaning YOU tried to overstep your vocabulary again and got
caught looking like a fool.

> > More than a few people have told you of this, but you insist it's
> > "our" problem instad of yours.
>
> it is your problem

Nope.

It's YOURS.

> > > now that does not merely look paranoid it is paranoid
> > > you have alose claimed you can get and STD from someone that is not

> > > infested with one
> >
> > I didn't say you were "infested"...I said my concern would be to
> > be assaulted by you and potentailly contract one.
>
> nop you are lying somemore so

Nope.

> Flush

Unlike you, Markie, my words mean what they mean...No more, no
less. No "hidden definitions" that I might try to pull out of a hat to
cover my butt when for some perceived written faux pas such as YOU have
tried to do.

"Infested" was YOUR word. However YOUR use of it now leads me to
believe that you DO have or recently HAVE had some "problem" that
required medical intervention to resolve.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....

Steve, K4YZ

78. Brain Bouncing Blindly ~~ Manifests Markies Malicious Muddlings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 13:19:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133443178.883069.205970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125848

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > > >
> > > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > > >
> > > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > >
> > > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
> >
> > indeed that was the effect I was going for
> >
> > How am I managing BB?
>
> Impressive. I think that you are out-Steving the Master.

Cute!

> > the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> > does on a routinue basis
>
> I tried being a jerk like Steve, but I had no stomach for it. Gave it
> up after a couple of weeks. I don't know how he can stand himself.

Oh, NO, Brain!

Don't understimate yourself, Brain!

You're your OWN jerk, and I am sure you have PLENTY of stomach for
it!

And unlike you I can see you being able to "stand" yourself. What
I CAN'T see is you subjecting your family to a jerk like you.

> > > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> > >
> > > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > > them.
> > >

> > > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > > gone?
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > For merely behaving as you have?
> >
> > indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?
>
> The double-standard couldn't have been more clear.

Ah yes...The Feeble Five High Five.

How (un)impressive!

Steve, K4YZ

79. Re: if your bored.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 13:52:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051201085226.807$ds@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> "moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote in message
> news:1133418213.858929.212810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > I thought thats what they used their hammie club houses for, you know,
> > elmering those youngesters.............
> >
>
> I wonder how this has got cross-posted outside the CB groups?
> Check out the site www.geocities.com/amateurm3 and check out M0PERV down
> in M0TIT's friends list.
>
> Regards,
> Graham
>
Is that hook in your mouth painful?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

80. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 13:54:27 UTC
From: dontspam <test@att.net>
Message-ID: <p20uo110eu1rr760q6596hgg6p6hlpu4i4@4ax.com>
Source record: 125850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I would foget ham radio. It is on the way out.
Get some woodworking tools.

On 30 Nov 2005 22:27:24 -0800, "moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
wrote:

>
>Chuck Sherwood wrote:
>> First of all. What happed to rec.radio.amateur? It is not supported on
>> my server.
>>
>> Second. I was a ham back in the 90s. I have been inactive since 1996.
>> I had lots of gear and sold it all because I was tired of the hobby.
>> I am beginning to regret selling some of it. I had some really nice
>> antenna tuners, Heathkit SM220, nice TenTec radios, VHF/UHF all mode
>> all the way up to 1.2G, etc. All gone.
>>
>> I just acquired a Kenwood 520 to listen to the HF bands. It seems
>> like a nice basic radio that will do for a while. I really would like
>> to get on 80m but I don't have the room for a 80m dipole. Is the
>> matching Kenwood tuner a good one? I think it will be a while before
>> any good hamfests because of the Wx in chicago.
>>
>> I researched rigs on line and so far I like the Icom 746 Pro but
>> I'm not ready to spend that kind of cash.
>>
>> I was also told that I can upgrade to Extra with just a written
>> test. Since my license expires in 2 years it seems like the thing
>> to aim for.
>>
>> I still have a couple of ham friends so I will probably pick their
>> brains, but I open to suggestions!
>>
>> chuck
>> K1CAS
>
>Hey Chuck,
>
>Check out your local club, but they aren't interested in having their
>brains picked. They'd much rather have you pick their booty.

81. Re: if your bored.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 14:58:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051201095849.290$iA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 01 Dec 2005 13:52:26 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> >> "moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1133418213.858929.212810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > I thought thats what they used their hammie club houses for, you
> >> > know, elmering those youngesters..............
> >> >
> >>
> >> I wonder how this has got cross-posted outside the CB groups?
> >> Check out the site www.geocities.com/amateurm3 and check out M0PERV
> >> down in M0TIT's friends list.
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> Graham
> >>
> >Is that hook in your mouth painful?
>
> Dang Steve , you didn't post the other response? I'm shocked...
>
Nope, if it's not posted through newsreader.com, it's not me. :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com/
```

82. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:05:32 UTC
From: cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <dmn3fs$d5r@netnews.net.lucent.com>
Source record: 125852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

>I would foget ham radio. It is on the way out.
>Get some woodworking tools.

That is what they said 10 years ago..
I still hear signals on the bands so at least somebody
is xmitting...

I don't particularly like woodworking.

chuck

83. Rotten Egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:26:01 UTC
From: an Old friend <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <dc5uo19ro17os8hvc14lnmgiqh89q9ebci@4ax.com>
Source record: 125856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://tinypic.com/i20k9e.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

84. stevie lies somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:26:52 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133450812.116218.150670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125853

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > quote
> > I say your death threats violate the law.
> > unquote
> >
> > you lack the power to make such a statement
>
> No I don't.
>
> Here it is again:
>
> "I say your death threats violate the law".
go ahead lie some that is all you do here anyway

85. stevei contiiues his campaign of lies why does he refuse to stop the flow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:28:34 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133450914.361954.179680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125854

K4YZ wrote:
> Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
> distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
>
you can stopp this anytime

86. stevie adds to his paraade of lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:29:47 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133450986.979404.78250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125855

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan
cut
> > nope absolute truth
>
> THAT'S WHAT I SAID ! ! !
you siad it that is why it is a lie
```

87. stvie decides to conite his evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:30:54 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451054.673079.135900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125857

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > answer the question coward
>
> OK...I admit it...
>
you admit you can't tell the ruth

88. more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:31:55 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451115.591943.138400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125858

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 29 Nov 2005 13:25:34 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > >> K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > Why do you say that?
> > >
> > becuase you are lying
>
> I am lying about the count of your messsages?
never said that therefore you lie again

89. more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:32:53 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451173.755112.165660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125859

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
> >
> > stevi lie
>
> Nope.
stevie by altering the attirubtion

90. stevie lie yet some

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:34:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451245.202677.193170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125860

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 29 Nov 2005 19:53:52 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
cut

> > > You need to re-familiarize yourself with the Constitution of the
> > >United States and the Bill of Rights, Markie.
> >
> > i am quite up on it
>
> No, you are not.
>
> The First Ammendment to the Constitution of the United States has
> NOTHING to do with the protection of religious practice.

big lie

91. Re: stevle shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:35:12 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451312.851697.91280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > Mark C. Morgan Trying To Censor The Free Speech of Others...AGAIN!
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > just trying to kill this hate filled thread
> >
> > stevie just can't tell the ruth about anything
>
> Who's Ruth, and what does she have to do with your attempts to
> effect censorship on the NG?

none nothing

doesnt alter the fact you filled in claim any effort was made to censor
anybody
>
> Steve, K4YZ

92. new stevie threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:36:57 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451417.183057.34690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125862

quoting stevie
YOU are the "stupid" one, Markie.

I'm going to call it later in the morning.

If it's been re-asssigned since I had it changed and the new owner

reports even ONE harrassing phone call (even if it's NOT from
Michigan...), guess whose name and address I am going to give them,
along with links to this forum, e-mail addresses, etc etc etc...?!?!?
unquote

bluster away

why must you threaten people

esp with empty threats

93. Re: whycan't stevie say something coherantt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:37:41 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451461.357990.201880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125863

```
answer the fucking question
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > whycan't stevie say something coherantt
>
```

94. annoither new lie from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:38:51 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451531.499998.203170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125864

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > why does stevie need to lie about bb's sexual orientaion?
>
> Bridget Bardot?

not the subject and you know what the subject s so rack up another lie

95. stevie takes time out form his lies about me to lie about BB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:41:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451669.439576.208710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125865

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut
> > > indeed that was the effect I was going for
> > >
> > > How am I managing BB?
> >
> > Impressive. I think that you are out-Steving the Master.
>
> Cute!
>
> > > the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> > > does on a routinue basis
> >
> > I tried being a jerk like Steve, but I had no stomach for it. Gave it
> > up after a couple of weeks. I don't know how he can stand himself.
>
> Oh, NO, Brain!
>
> Don't understimate yourself, Brain!
>
> You're your OWN jerk, and I am sure you have PLENTY of stomach for
> it!
>
> And unlike you I can see you being able to "stand" yourself. What
> I CAN'T see is you subjecting your family to a jerk like you.

indeed stevie finds it ok to attack other families but claims his own
is sacrosant
>
cut
> > > indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?
> >
> > The double-standard couldn't have been more clear.
>
> Ah yes...The Feeble Five High Five.
>
> How (un)impressive!

bullshit you are quite impressed
>
> Steve, K4YZ

96. why does stvie lie rather than just answer the question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:42:43 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451763.836490.124360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125866

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > > > > you are making yet another threat
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes you are
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > stevie lies agian
> >
> > starts out his everypost with a lie
>
> Nope.

yes you do
>
> But I have to defend myself against yours.

another lie right there

you don't have to

you claim I have no cridnility can can't harm you

97. stevie backsliding already

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:43:50 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451830.093641.191970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125867

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > quote
> > Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW.
> > unquote
> >
> > stevei now admit to making threats
>
> It's only a "threat" if you're breaking the law.
nope it is threat regardless of what I doing

98. if stevie can't then stve was lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:44:44 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133451884.864425.171800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125868

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > quote
> > > Others include that you intend suicide and have set the whole thing so
> > > i will do the job for you, a varraint of "suicide by cop"
> >
> >
> > YOU..?!?!
> >
> > A COP...?!?!
> > unquote
> >
> > why can't stevie read and understand the sentence I never said I was a
> > cop but that he might be seeking a variant of "Suicide by cop"
>
> I read it just fine.
>
> You are presuming yourself to be in the role of a "cop".
then you just lied again
```

99. back to you BB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 15:57:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133452643.291192.206360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125869

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
cut
> > > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
> >
> > indeed that was the effect I was going for
> >
> > How am I managing BB?
>
> Impressive. I think that you are out-Steving the Master.

it realy isn't very hard
>
> > the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> > does on a routinue basis
>
> I tried being a jerk like Steve, but I had no stomach for it. Gave it
> up after a couple of weeks. I don't know how he can stand himself.

I am currently venting a bit someone else has pissed me off as well and
I can just let join my feeling for stevie

indeed i will not be able to sustain all that long

but we can count on stevie helping me out, by whining, bitching and
moaning
cut
> > > For merely behaving as you have?
> >
> > indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?
>
> The double-standard couldn't have been more

meaning that throught this barrage I stay on my own message which is
showing the lurkers that Stevie is not a trustwrothy source

I also get to do the same to dave as he spouts off in defense of stevie

the only thing that realy shocks me is that the annymouse even stavies
annies mouse not mount any sort of realy campaign of defending stevie
just throwing up some more stuff they are dumb enough to think
emabrsihes me.

I am not emabarished about my sexuality I just think this isn't the place for dicussing sexuality, but clearly stevie does (while claiming it is all my fault he has called Todd gay)

100. looking out over the watseland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:03:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133452980.870542.98780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125870

not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
clean truthfull dirt on Stevie

I have chosen the later

I apolgize to any intereference with the few threads that either
ontopic of at least near topic, which of course Stevie never does he
just wades in with his flamethrower and does even admit he doing it

you know all this has been squeezed in between the loading checking of
my various email accounts?

101. stvei on threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:10:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <vu7uo15kb94nj9ae6niiskq1gakl3eb5ga@4ax.com>
Source record: 125895

stavie now claims a threat is not a threat if it is empty
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

102. Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM) and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:10:14 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <308uo1tmre5cgtcgeuol6e9fb38u9qal97@4ax.com>
Source record: 125896

On 1 Dec 2005 02:54:42 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
>>
>> stevi lie
>
> Nope.
>
> You did it. I pointed it out. Everyone except you got a laugh
>out of it...Well..Everyone except your sisters in the Feeble Five...I
>am sure they are blushing by reason of association.
>
>> > Huge snip.
>> >
>> > No "mocking" of PAGANISM.
>>
>> stevi lie
>
> Nope.
>
> I mentioned a Tarot card.
>
> Not ONE WORD was said about "paganism"...Well...Not one word other
>than pointing out that YOU mispelled it.
you mock pagan practice you the lie about doing
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

103. no spmaing here Flooding the NG yes no spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:10:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <918uo1dtkcia58t7k3j2d2l0hi6oigmk7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 125897

On 30 Nov 2005 14:03:19 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
>>
>> claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
>> he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
>> Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
>
> Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
>derranged behaviour for days, now, and now create an absolute lie that
>even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
>
> Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
>gone?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

104. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:11:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133453466.175267.260590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125871

an_old_friend wrote:
> not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> clean truthfull dirt on Stevie

There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

105. more lies from stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:13:02 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <r28uo19kung47q0j67f0lgbrtijoc525pi@4ax.com>
Source record: 125899

On 30 Nov 2005 22:22:56 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
>> > >
>> > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
>> > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
>> > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
>> >
>> > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
>> > derranged behaviour for days, now, and now create an absolute lie that
>> > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
>>
>> one I have no reason to believe that BB or Len know this speculation
>> is flase I don't even know it is false. I think it may well be true, or
>> close to the truth
>
> "false"
>
> It's neither.

so you say but you never tell the truth
>
> And curious how you claim that I was "defiling" Dick, W0EX for
>simply mentioning his old callsign was about to expire, yet here you
>are defiling a man you never spoke to, even in THIS forum, do exactly
>that thing.

yes I am delfiling him

he is fair game becuase you attack other peoples fathers

>
> How Morganesqe.

Honest and truth yes indeed I am
>
>> the simple fact is I doubt believe much of anything you write. You lie
>> provably and what I can't prove is a lie much of it does not make sense
>> leading a reasonable person to suspect you are lying
>
> You "don't understand" because you are functionally illiterate and
>refuse to do those things necessary to educate yourself.

I do understand your posts

i just don't believe them

i am wel educated in spoting liars like yourse;f
>
>> BTW no spamming is occouring, i am flooding the NG a bit but no spam at
>> all
>
> Yes, Markie. You're spamming.
>
> When 80% of the posts are of your authorship and are nothing but
>your attacks, that's also spamming.
>
>> > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
>> > gone?
>>
>> then we have another provable Stevie lie
>
> "probably"
>>
>> If I have credibilty to lose then you lied in the past when you claimed
>> I had no credibility
>
> Nice try to dodge.

worng again I point where you have lied in the past
>
>> all I am doing is treating you to dose of your own medicine
>
> No, you're not.
>
>> and you claimed when you were doing that you not stalking the target or
>> harrasing the target, indeed you claim the target had to expect such
>> treatment for posting on the internet at all
>>
>> Hoist by your own petard
>>
>> Look up the refference before you try your speling bull#### on it(just
>> some freindly advice)
>
> "reference" "spelling" "friendly"
>
> I have some advice for you, but I know you won't take it from
>me...So it's being delivered.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

106. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:13:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133453604.977590.265810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125872

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > quote
> > > > Others include that you intend suicide and have set the whole thing so
> > > > i will do the job for you, a varraint of "suicide by cop"
> > >
> > >
> > > YOU..?!?!
> > >
> > > A COP...?!?!
> > > unquote
> > >
> > > why can't stevie read and understand the sentence I never said I was a
> > > cop but that he might be seeking a variant of "Suicide by cop"
> >
> > I read it just fine.
> >
> > You are presuming yourself to be in the role of a "cop".
>
> then you just lied again

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

107. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:15:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133453724.118687.207270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125873

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > quote
> > > Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW.
> > > unquote
> > >
> > > stevei now admit to making threats
> >
> > It's only a "threat" if you're breaking the law.
>
> nope it is threat regardless of what I doing

Nope.

If you're not breaking the law, you have nothing to worry about.

If you ARE breaking the law...well...then ...Partner, you HAVE
something to worry about.

Steve, K4YZ

108. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:17:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133453856.552864.301470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125874

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > > > > > > you are making yet another threat
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > yes you are
> > > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > stevie lies agian
> > >
> > > starts out his everypost with a lie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes you do
> >
> > But I have to defend myself against yours.
>
> another lie right there

Nope.

> you don't have to

Oh?

Who's spammed the NG with over 130 posts in the last 48 hours?

Wasn't me!

> you claim I have no cridnility can can't harm you

Well...Whatever "cridnility" is I am sure you can get a shot for
it.

Steve, K4YZ

109. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:20:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133454003.507754.307620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125875

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Ah yes...The Feeble Five High Five.
> >
> > How (un)impressive!
>
> bull##### you are quite impressed

No, I'm not.

Seeing one documented liar pat another on the back for spending his welfare money sitting around the computer and spamming USENET is not very impressive.

Rather pitiful, I'd say.

Steve, K4YZ

110. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:22:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133454171.389284.146370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125876

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > why does stevie need to lie about bb's sexual orientaion?
> >
> > Bridget Bardot?
>
> not the subject and you know what the subject s so rack up another lie

Nope.

You said "bb"

No one presently pariticpating in these exchages signs his/her
posts as "bb".

Even if they did, without actually signing a post that says "bb is
######## " there's nothing to go by.

Since YOU state that anyone can use whatever "difnitions" they
want to at any time, I chose to decide that "bb" is Bridget Bardot.

Steve, K4YZ

111. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:29:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133454542.514215.160540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125877

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>>an_old_friend wrote:

>>> whycan't stevie say something coherantt
>
>>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA
>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
>> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>> Trust you to embarrass yourself while trying to insult someone,
>>Markie!
>
>
> > WHAT AN IDIOT!
>
> answer the ####ing question

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

112. stevie lies about my being emabrish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:29:57 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <879uo154tqpnlv2hr1fds9i54s8gn3a2t2@4ax.com>
Source record: 125904

On 1 Dec 2005 02:22:56 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> whycan't stevie say something coherantt
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
>! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Trust you to embarrass yourself while trying to insult someone,
>Markie!

I am not embarished you should know that by now
>
> WHAT AN IDIOT!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

113. stvei ignorant of the law what else is new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:35:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <0f9uo1lu8op0mpcgke5cokhr899d4baghj@4ax.com>
Source record: 125907

On 1 Dec 2005 09:12:51 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an Old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> > > > Ah yes...The Feeble Five High Five.
>> > > >
>> > > > How (un)impressive!
>> > >
>> > > bull#### you are quite impressed
>> >
>> > No, I'm not.
>> >
>> > Seeing one documented liar pat another on the back for spending his
>> > welfare money sitting around the computer and spamming USENET is not
>> > very impressive.
>>
>> not sepending welfare money...(SNIP)
>
> Good for you.
>
> I am quite sure "sepending" is illegal...Whatever it is...
then you again proof your stupid

sepending or spending is not illegal
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

114. Re: did N8DOS teach stevie to lie about military matter or is stvei relately the made up lies of his father as his own

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:38:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4i9uo11j16lqbk9pft6gciq9r1ngsr5uf3@4ax.com>
Source record: 125908

well did your dady teach to lie or did he abuse you lying or did he
sexualy molest you

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 13:28:18 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>new theory stevie get his knowledge of the military from his dad, who
>was lying his ass off stevie is just in this theory repeated daddies
>lies which is why he is shocked they don't work
>
>his anger come therefore from learning his father was a big a fraud as
>he is
>
>it is a theory. is it true? maybe but not even stevie knows for sure
>most likely
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

115. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:40:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133455232.577065.249110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125878

an Old friend wrote:
> quoting stevie
> YOU are the "stupid" one, Markie.
>
> I'm going to call it later in the morning.
>
> If it's been re-asssigned since I had it changed and the new owner
> reports even ONE harrassing phone call (even if it's NOT from
> Michigan...), guess whose name and address I am going to give them,
> along with links to this forum, e-mail addresses, etc etc etc...?!?!?
> unquote
>
> bluster away

No bluster to it.

> why must you threaten people

It's no threat. It's a promise.

> esp with empty threats

There's nothing empty about it.

That number's been reassigned.

Lucky for you it's apparently been assigned to a pay phone or data
line as it says it's "...not in service for incomming calls..."

Check yourself.

Luck was on your side THIS time.

Steve, K4YZ

116. paying attention when are you goign to answer the question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:41:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <jj9uo1pk18comk4mvkq33f5u7f282bjgng@4ax.com>
Source record: 125909

On 30 Nov 2005 13:56:25 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> you have it in your hands Stevie answer fully and truthfull one the
>> attackspost and I'll stop posting them
>
> The question's been answered, several times now.
>
> "IF YOU DON'T WANT YOUR 'SEXUALTY' OR DEVIANCE DISCUSSED, DON'T
>BRING IT UP!
>
> If you don't like the answer, it's too bad.
>
> Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and
>effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal
>avenues open to stop you.
>
> Stop now or else.

else wht You will call th houghton county sheriff?

do you need the number?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

117. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:45:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133455532.946319.20440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125879

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
> > >
> > > > stevi lie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> stevie by altering the attirubtion

More random words in search of meaning and order, Markie.

Try again. In case you forgot, this was what you were supposed to
be responging to:

QUOTE:
kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:

> stevi lie

Nope.

You did it. I pointed it out. Everyone except you got a laugh
out of it...Well..Everyone except your sisters in the Feeble Five...I
am sure they are blushing by reason of association.

> > Huge snip.

> > No "mocking" of PAGANISM.

> stevi lie

Nope.

I mentioned a Tarot card.

Not ONE WORD was said about "paganism"...Well...Not one word other

than pointing out that YOU mispelled it.

> > And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
> >"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!

> stevi lie
> stevi lie

What "lie"...?!?!

YOU are the one going on-and-on about "Hiram Maxwell".

You've not said who "Hiram Maxwell" is.

There is no prominent figure in Amatuer Radio named "Hiram Maxwell"...

> > You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
> >you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!

> stevi lie

Hardly.

Look in the SUBJECT LINE where YOU mispelled it originally and

>>>I<<< CORRECTED you.

Sheeeeeesh.

> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282

You'd better hope that the phone company hasn't re-assigned that number, Markie.

Otherwise it can get REAL expensive for you!

Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

Lotsa stuff there you didn't address....

You didn't address it the FIRST time it was pointed out to you that you were wrong.

Guess you'll just keep trying to hide it behind more "Re: stevi ####" subject line re-tasking?

Steve, K4YZ

118. stevei make his own sxual refferenc meaning more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:52:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ip9uo1dn1hh4hbgt699rep0up04j3567c6@4ax.com>
Source record: 125910

On 30 Nov 2005 22:16:19 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
>> > > >
>> > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
>> > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
>> > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
>> > >
>> > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
>> > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
>> >
>> > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
>>
>> indeed that was the effect I was going for
>>
>> How am I managing BB?
>>
>> the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
>> does on a routinue basis
>
> Oh, Markie, I am sure you blow low on a daily basis, so PLEASE
>don't underestimate yourself.
no as point of fact I don't certainly not often enough to sut me

it is so hard to find good men

prehaps Dee or kim will agree on that one
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

119. I'd Call 130 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard of 30 November 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:54:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133456067.017961.66070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125880

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
> > distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
>
>you can stopp this anytime

It's not mine to stop, Markie.

All of the following threads were of YOUR authorship.

It's not "mine" to stop...It's yours...Boyo...

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE OF MARKIE'S THREADS IN LAST 48 HOURS

was stevie the subject of a pedophile?

stevie insane

Stevie nows tries to practic law without being member of the bar

progres Boyo

stevie ####

when will stevie decide to end this game?

stevei conitues lie-athon

why can't stevie read

you shall what you sow back times 3... Karma is Karma

Stevie doesn't understand the law

stevie lies some more

why does stevie feel threatened?

stevie threatens me with arrest

progress stevie admit to making threats

a formal reply to Stevie latest threat

formal notice stevie ahs accepted that he has receive and read my
formal notice to him by repling to it

more stevie threats more stevie lying dening he is making them

stevei show how closely he waits and follows my moves on line and yet he denies stalkering me

stevie his true colors as bully

why does dos the Stevie written Bio of N8DOS read like a lie

why is Stevie afrad of Todd

when will Steie to alow this jihad to end

Dave support and advocates Lying, for anything he considers a good cause

when will dave call Stevie to accoutn for his lying

why does Steviue hide behind the annymouses and Dave

just how many of the posters to RRAP are sexualy insecure

was the late N8DOS a child abuser?

why does Stevie advocate elder abuse

why does Stevie hold a duoble standard and expect to get away with it?

why does Stevie balme his victums for his actions

when will stevie take responiblity and own up to his actions

when will stevie stop lying about answering the question

you knwo it is remarkaly liberating feeling to be on the attack

why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

just exaclty how did I bring up sexality for you to respond to in this post?

stevie advocates elder abuse

and try explaining this stevie

when will stevie explain his lie

why does Stevie need to lie about other people

why is Stevie afaird of BB

will stevie ever come clean

stevie is afriad of the truth

Stevie likes kiddy porn?

stevie contiues his sexual obeseion across the newsgruop

stevie CONTINUES his evasions across the newsgruop

whycan't stevie say something coherantt

when will stevie admit he has lied to NG

stevie is afriad of the truth

stvei admits to his own staking

stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease

stevie ####

stevle ####

steve of #### but no answers just lies about giving them

when will stevie begin to deal with legacy of lies and shame

when will Stevie explain how my posting in pesonal gruop makes calling BB gay ralvant to radio

Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's sexuality is relavant to radio

a track record for Stevie

Reverand Stevie

Stevie betrays his service oath

more stevie lies

Stevie in personals group?

still more reminders of stevie the liar

stilmore reminders of our resident piece of shit Stevie

yet more of stevie sexual prevertion

another blast Stevie accuses NCI of ploting HIS murder

another interesting find about Stevie and his beliefs about marriage

Re stvie makes false charge and admits to lying r does he

when you going to fees to your lie

stevie lies

found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness

the post he denies making

stevie continues his cowardly avoidance of the issues

Dave decide to support Stevie in his gay bashing quest

why is stevie afraid of me?

Re: stevie is afraid of me? not likely, but true

stevie in denail

stvei makes acusations of criintent to comit a criminal act so call 911

stop whining on the net about it

Stevie claim to right to make demand himself but denies that other have

the same right

stevie continues to be in de nile (hopefull he can't swim)

more stevie lies

a promise to stevie

stevie lies

stevie tell the truth for once in your life

stevie refuses to fto tell the truth

stevie lies somemore

when will Stevei give a TRUTHFULL answer

will stevie the coward ever come clean

stevie the coward

stevie paranoid sees me behind everything

steve is coward

stevie contues to avoid a simple question

can stevie deal with his dshonesty issues

Re: lia-athon continued

Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Stevie lies and avoids admit his bigotry

more lies to cover lies

stevie spend another day contiing his atcks rather than answer a simple

question

Re:more stevei lies

Re: more stevie abuse

stevie threatens people to avoid answering the question put to him

stevei contiues his efferts at intimadatio

answer the question stevie the coward

stevie the coward

Stevie admits to stalking

stevie conties to be a coward

stevie contiues being a coward

stevie is coward

Re: stevie is coward but so is Dave

Stevie contiues his cowardly attacks

Stevie continues his cowardly lies

the lie-athon just goes and on

Stevie would rather lie than admit he has lied

Re: Shush everyone. Steve has something to tell...

why is stevie afraid

Stevie the liar, as agreed to by Dave his buddy

Stevie the pervert, stevie the coward, Stevie admit being demented

Stevie:the lie-athon continues

the lie-athon go on

stevie one use

box score on Stevie the cowardly liar

when will Stevie learn to read

stevie must love lying

Stevie and Dave contiue to show their cowardice

Stevie continues to refuse to answer a question shwoing

Stevie is afraid of the truth

madman stevie contiues his raving to bad he isn't a dog then wed be
able to put him out of his misery

stevie mental problems

steve is coward afraid to asnwer a simple question

steveie contiues his compaign of lies and deceit

stevie is a coward

stevie still the coward

120. Stevie insults Bridget Bardot lovyness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:57:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fs9uo1loq8g1iobfg664ig4n2lrpgo629t@4ax.com>
Source record: 125911

On 1 Dec 2005 02:16:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> why does stevie need to lie about bb's sexual orientaion?
>
> Bridget Bardot?
>
> Who in the world would argue about HER orientation...?!?!
>

any male might be distresed is she turns out to be homosexual
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

121. stevei you have the power to stop this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 16:59:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133456359.285476.292660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125881

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
> > > distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
> >
> >you can stopp this anytime
>
> It's not mine to stop, Markie.
>
> All of the following threads were of YOUR authorship.
It is your to stop

I have told you how I will tell you again

answer the question asked

who did you bring up and make charges about people sexuality in a radio
NG

answer that question and this will stop

Indeed just apologize to ME ,to Todd, to BB, to len, and the newsgruop
as whole, and promise never to bring up sexuality again or to make
sexual reffrnces or use sexual pejoitives and I will stop

it is all up to you

you liad out the choice I have simply choosen the best option for myself

122. stevie tried to hide his cowardly pagan bashing with more lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:00:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133456428.398121.56180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125882

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > stevi lie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > stevie by altering the attirubtion
>
> More random words in search of meaning and order, Markie.
more lies

you altered the atribtution meaning you lied before even begin to post

123. stevlie yet more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:01:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133456495.090514.299800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125883

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > quoting stevie
> > YOU are the "stupid" one, Markie.
cut
> > bluster away
>
> No bluster to it.
>
nothing but bluster
```

124. more stvie bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:02:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ku9uo1dh8cdoo766bamq1mpkugc74tt9oi@4ax.com>
Source record: 125913

On 1 Dec 2005 02:40:45 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 29 Nov 2005 19:53:52 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
cut

>> you swoare to uphold the constitution of the USA
>
> I did.

did you ?

Prove it
>
>> you don't do so
>
> Sure I do.
>
>> you betary the nation and your oath daily it seems
>
> "betray"
>
> Nope.

yes you do
>
> YOU are the only one here proactively trying to "kill" threads,
>Markie.

nope you do that yourself
>
> THAT is impeeding the free speech of others.

nope but you are truth chalnged
>
>> >> everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of
elders
>> >> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>> >>
>> >> STEVEN J ROBESON
>> >> 151 12TH AVE NW
>> >> WINCHESTER TN 37398
>> >> 931-967-6282
>> >

>> > Good luck, Markie.
>> >
>> > That number's been changed for over a year as I am sure you've
>> >found out by now.
>>
>> then you are stupid of course
>>
>> I have found out no such thing
>
> No...

yes
>
> YOU are the "stupid" one, Markie.
>
> I'm going to call it later in the morning.

threatening to Make an harrassing call to yourself

ok stevie get some meds

>
> If it's been re-asssigned since I had it changed and the new owner
>reports even ONE harrassing phone call (even if it's NOT from
>Michigan...), guess whose name and address I am going to give them,
>along with links to this forum, e-mail addresses, etc etc etc...?!?!?
>
> If I were you'I'd be finding some way to get rid of the
>evidence...but since it's all in Google and you CAN'T, looks like
>you're screwed.

not at all
>
> Sucks to be you, Markie.

no it does not wish it did as mater of fact the world would be more
fun if did truly suck
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

125. stevie admit he can't post any coherent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:03:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133456580.984218.241270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125884

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >>> whycan't stevie say something coherantt
> >
cut
> >> Trust you to embarrass yourself while trying to insult someone,
> >>Markie!
> >
> >
> > > WHAT AN IDIOT!
> >
> > answer the ####ing question
cut stevie cry or pain or whatever

> NO!

there you have stevie adasnwers that question he can't post anything
coherenat
>
> Steve, K4YZ

126. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:03:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133456639.830722.90500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125885

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > stevi lie
> > > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > stevie by altering the attirubtion
> > >
> > More random words in search of meaning and order, Markie.
> more lies
>
> you altered the atribtution meaning you lied before even begin to post

I didn't alter anything in those "attributions", Markie.

Anyone scrolling back to the lead item in this thread will see you
are a LIAR...

AGAIN!

Steve, K4YZ

127. stevie claims ignorance, what a shock stevie tell the truth but he can't make a post and tell ntohing but read and ses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:05:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133456717.422819.87330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125886

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > why does stevie need to lie about bb's sexual orientaion?
> > >
> > > Bridget Bardot?
> >
> > not the subject and you know what the subject s so rack up another lie
>
> Nope.

gues you are claiming ignorance
>
> You said "bb"
>
> No one presently pariticpating in these exchages signs his/her
> posts as "bb".

stil claiming
>
> Even if they did, without actually signing a post that says "bb is
> ######## " there's nothing to go by.
>
> Since YOU state that anyone can use whatever "difnitions" they
> want to at any time, I chose to decide that "bb" is Bridget Bardot.

anoter lie from stevie I have never said

why bother with your lies?

do you even beleiev them anymore
>
> Steve, K4YZ

128. More Proof Of Mark Morgan's Lying About His "Religion" Being "Bashed"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:05:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133456756.160477.7450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125887

QUOTE, UNEDITED, FROM MARKIE"S OPENING SUBJECT LINE

found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness
the post he denies making

All 16 messages in topic - view as tree - 3 new
an old friend
Nov 1, 1:22 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> - Find messages by this author

Date: 31 Oct 2005 23:22:42 -0800
Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 1:22 am
Subject: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my
goodness the post he denies making
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

K4YZ May 3 1999, 2:00 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
Date: 1999/05/03
Subject: Re: Smarting-Up
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

Mark,

>>Indeed ecil does admt to that so do I. What we ask is why
certain skils
are being places out of proportion to their value? and
Why Morse in particaluar retains its place today.<<

It is in place to keep YOUO off the HF bands, Mark, didn't you
know that?

In 1916, HPM was given a Tarot Card reading by an all-knowing
oracle and
she forsaw that you would one day create hate and discontent for your
fellow
licensee's by your actions in this NG and on the air. Furthermore, he
was
shown that you would be responsible for the disruption of major
disaster
communications because of your ineptness and arrogance.

Therefore, he initiated a cospiratorial effort to ensure the
perpetuation
of Morse Code so that you and others of your ilk would be thwarted from

becoming a threat to the airwaves.

>>It is simply another of lies to claim we don't admit to that.
Indeed
Iam shocked by you admiting to that, since most ProCoder on and on
about how
easy Code is for everybody<<

I know people with incapacities worse than the dyslexia you claim
that
have mastered Morse Code, so what is YOUR problem? And I know that of
those
for whom neurological deficits sufficient to cause them to really be
able to
copy code used a Neurologist, *NOT* and Allergist to document thier
ailments!

So you are either lazy, a liar, a fool, or a combination of the
three.
Please don't tell us, Mark...it's SUCH a challenge to find out for
ourselves!!!

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Nov 1, 6:29 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - Find messages by this author
Date: 1 Nov 2005 04:29:33 -0800
Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 6:29 am
Subject: Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram
Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

Poor Marky, grasping at straws.

K4YZ
Nov 1, 12:21 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800
Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 12:21 pm
Subject: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM) and
Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse

nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:

Huge snip.

No "mocking" of PAGANISM.

And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!

You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!

Sheesh!

Steve, K4YZ

Reply

Iitoi
Nov 1, 1:42 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xu...@news.radiobanter.com> - Find messages by this
author
Date: Tue, 1 Nov 2005 19:42:10 +0000
Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 1:42 pm
Subject: Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram
Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

Hiram Maxwell?

Who's that?

Man In The Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

Reply

K4YZ
Nov 1, 4:42 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 1 Nov 2005 14:42:56 -0800
Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 4:42 pm
Subject: Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM)
and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse

Iitoi wrote:
> Hiram Maxwell?

> Who's that?

That's Markie trying to impress us.

Didn't work. Just made us laugh...Again...

Steve, K4YZ

Reply

Sir Chadwick of Ousinge
Nov 1, 6:12 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Sir Chadwick of Ousinge" <Bchedri...@runbissh.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: Tue, 1 Nov 2005 19:12:29 -0500
Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 6:12 pm
Subject: Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1130829762.254079.318150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

(much ham radio Bs circumsized at the base of the root)

I think all you hams pissing on each other
in cyberspace need to make one of these:

---> http://www.homemade-sex-toys.com/halloween/index.html

Call CQ on 40 while riding this - Ho!!-Ho!!

Reply

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com
Nov 1, 7:07 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com - Find messages by this author
Date: 1 Nov 2005 17:07:13 -0800
Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 7:07 pm
Subject: Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

Iitoi wrote:
> Hiram Maxwell?

> Who's that?

> Man In The Maze
> QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

> --
> Iitoi

He invented the Maxwell machine gun. Sold them to Russia in the early
part of the last century.

Reply

kb9...@amsat.org
Nov 2, 10:53 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: kb9...@amsat.org - Find messages by this author
Date: Wed, 02 Nov 2005 11:53:00 -0500
Subject: Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM)
and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

>nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:

> Huge snip.

> No "mocking" of PAGANISM.

so what

> And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
>"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!

> You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
>you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!

by showing something you lack, respect for the disabled

I know it IS beyond you

a point I may try to also make with the nursing Board as things go
forward care to provide more material?

> Sheesh!

>Steve, K4YZ

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

Reply

K4YZ
Nov 2, 12:29 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 2 Nov 2005 10:29:45 -0800

Local: Wed, Nov 2 2005 12:29 pm
Subject: Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM) and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Remove | Report Abuse

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:

> > Huge snip.

> > No "mocking" of PAGANISM.

> so what

"so what" indeed! Thank-you for acknowledging that no mocking of Paganism took place. I'll save this post for future reference.

> > And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
> >"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!

> > You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
> >you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!

> by showing something you lack, respect for the disabled

How is YOUR lack of attention to detail somehow attended to some "lack of respect for the disabled"...?!?!

> I know it IS beyond you

No, it's not.

However I will have copies of your posts wherein you try to get various 'waivers' for the Morse Code test from an ALLERGIST...

Your only "disability" is being lazy and stupid.

> a point I may try to also make with the nursing Board as things go
> forward care to provide more material?

Like I said, Markie...you go right ahead...And if I may suggest, start adjusting your domestic budget right now to accomodate those legal fees. Most lawyers start around $50 to $75 and HOUR for their services after the initial consultation, and it goesnowhere but up from

there.

Steve, K4YZ

Reply

Dave Heil
Nov 3, 11:15 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
Date: Thu, 03 Nov 2005 17:15:52 GMT
Local: Thurs, Nov 3 2005 11:15 am
Subject: Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram
Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:

>>Hiram Maxwell?

>>Who's that?

>>Man In The Maze
>>QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

>>--
>>Iitoi

> He invented the Maxwell machine gun. Sold them to Russia in the early
> part of the last century.

Really? I didn't know that.

Did you know that Hiram Percy Maxim's uncle invented the *Maxim machine

gun*? Hiram Percy invented the automobile muffler and a gun silencer
among other things.

Dave K8MN

Reply

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com
Nov 3, 7:18 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com - Find messages by this author
Date: 3 Nov 2005 17:18:48 -0800
Local: Thurs, Nov 3 2005 7:18 pm
Subject: Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram
Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

> > Iitoi wrote:

> >>Hiram Maxwell?

> >>Who's that?

> >>Man In The Maze
> >>QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

> >>--
> >>Iitoi

> > He invented the Maxwell machine gun. Sold them to Russia in the early
> > part of the last century.

> Really? I didn't know that.

No, not really. I guess I forgot the smiley.

> Did you know that Hiram Percy Maxim's uncle invented the *Maxim machine
> gun*?

Yup.

> Hiram Percy invented the automobile muffler and a gun silencer
> among other things.

> Dave K8MN

Yup. Then the GCA 68 uninvented it. I guess most folks preferred
loud, annoying gunfire.

Reply

kb9...@amsat.org
Nov 29, 6:48 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: kb9...@amsat.org - Find messages by this author
Date: Tue, 29 Nov 2005 19:48:46 -0500
Local: Tues, Nov 29 2005 6:48 pm
Subject: Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM)
and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

>nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
stevi lie

> Huge snip.

> No "mocking" of PAGANISM.
stevi lie

> And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
>"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!

stevi lie
stevi lie

> You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
>you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!
stevi lie

> Sheesh!

>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the
abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

Reply

K4YZ
Dec 1, 4:54 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 1 Dec 2005 02:54:42 -0800
Local: Thurs, Dec 1 2005 4:54 am
Subject: Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM)
and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:

> stevi lie

Nope.

You did it. I pointed it out. Everyone except you got a laugh
out of it...Well..Everyone except your sisters in the Feeble Five...I
am sure they are blushing by reason of association.

> > Huge snip.

> > No "mocking" of PAGANISM.

> stevi lie

Nope.

I mentioned a Tarot card.

Not ONE WORD was said about "paganism"...Well...Not one word other

than pointing out that YOU mispelled it.

> > And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
> >"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!
> stevi lie
> stevi lie

What "lie"...?!?!

YOU are the one going on-and-on about "Hiram Maxwell".

You've not said who "Hiram Maxwell" is.

There is no prominent figure in Amatuer Radio named "Hiram
Maxwell"...

> > You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
> >you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!

> stevi lie

Hardly.

Look in the SUBJECT LINE where YOU mispelled it originally and

>>>I<<< CORRECTED you.

Sheeeeeesh.

> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282

You'd better hope that the phone company hasn't re-assigned that
number, Markie.

Otherwise it can get REAL expensive for you!

Steve, K4YZ

Reply

an Old friend
Dec 1, 9:32 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an Old friend" <kb9...@amsat.org> - Find messages by this author

Date: 1 Dec 2005 07:32:53 -0800
Local: Thurs, Dec 1 2005 9:32 am
Subject: more stevie shit
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> > >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:

> > stevi lie

> Nope.

stevie by altering the attirubtion

Reply

K4YZ
Dec 1, 10:45 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 1 Dec 2005 08:45:32 -0800
Local: Thurs, Dec 1 2005 10:45 am
Subject: More Markie Mularkie
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Remove | Report Abuse

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> > > >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:

> > > stevi lie

> > Nope.

> stevie by altering the attirubtion

More random words in search of meaning and order, Markie.

Try again. In case you forgot, this was what you were supposed to
be responging to:

QUOTE:

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
> stevi lie

Nope.

You did it. I pointed it out. Everyone except you got a laugh
out of it...Well..Everyone except your sisters in the Feeble Five...I
am sure they are blushing by reason of association.

> > Huge snip.
> > No "mocking" of PAGANISM.
> stevi lie

Nope.

I mentioned a Tarot card.

Not ONE WORD was said about "paganism"...Well...Not one word other

than pointing out that YOU mispelled it.

> > And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
> >"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!
> stevi lie
> stevi lie

What "lie"...?!?!

YOU are the one going on-and-on about "Hiram Maxwell".

You've not said who "Hiram Maxwell" is.

There is no prominent figure in Amatuer Radio named "Hiram
Maxwell"...

> > You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
> >you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!
> stevi lie

Hardly.

Look in the SUBJECT LINE where YOU mispelled it originally and

>>>I<<< CORRECTED you.

Sheeeeeesh.

> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282

You'd better hope that the phone company hasn't re-assigned that
number, Markie.

Otherwise it can get REAL expensive for you!

Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

Lotsa stuff there you didn't address....

You didn't address it the FIRST time it was pointed out to you that

you were wrong.

Guess you'll just keep trying to hide it behind more "Re: stevi
####" subject line re-tasking?

Steve, K4YZ

Reply

an_old_friend
Dec 1, 11:00 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> - Find messages by this
author
Date: 1 Dec 2005 09:00:28 -0800
Local: Thurs, Dec 1 2005 11:00 am
Subject: stevie tried to hide his cowardly pagan bashing with more lies

Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> > > > >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:

> > > > stevi lie

> > > Nope.

> > stevie by altering the attirubtion

> More random words in search of meaning and order, Markie.

more lies

you altered the atribtution meaning you lied before even begin to post

129. stvie lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:06:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133456807.820985.204500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125888

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Ah yes...The Feeble Five High Five.
> > >
> > > How (un)impressive!
> >
> > bull#### you are quite impressed
>
> No, I'm not.
>
> Seeing one documented liar pat another on the back for spending his
> welfare money sitting around the computer and spamming USENET is not
> very impressive.
not sepending welfare money not spaming the usenet not a documented
liar(an admitted one but not a documented liar)

130. Re: more lies to cover lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:06:57 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <r3auo1tvt0a9ht6441gb15j6jum6s89rua@4ax.com>
Source record: 125914

On 1 Dec 2005 03:31:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan
>> > >
>> > > stevie lies in title
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> >
>> > Right on the money.
>>
>> nope absolute truth
>
> THAT'S WHAT I SAID ! ! !
>
> Progress, Wimpo!
>
> You're catching on! It's going to hurt for a while, but you'll
>feel better for it!\

I feel much etter pointing you out for the fraud you are
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

131. More Mispelled Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:09:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133456941.458915.14550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125889

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > >>> whycan't stevie say something coherantt
> > >>
> > cut
> > >> Trust you to embarrass yourself while trying to insult someone,
> > >>Markie!
> > >>
> > >>
> > > > WHAT AN IDIOT!
> > >>
> > > answer the ####ing question
> cut stevie cry or pain or whatever
>
> > NO!
>
> there you have stevie adasnwers that question he can't post anything
> coherenat

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!

IT'S >>COHERENT<< YOU BLITHERING IDIOT! ! ! ! ! ! !

ROTMFFLMMFAO!

Sheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesh!

Steve, K4YZ

132. Stvie claims I am forcing him to post his lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:09:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133456959.134505.96110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125890

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
cut

> > yes you do
> > >
> > > But I have to defend myself against yours.
> >
> > another lie right there
>
> Nope.

yes
>
> > you don't have to
>
> Oh?

no you don't have to

but there you go lying agian

nobody is holding a gun to your haed and making you do it

133. more threatenings from stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:12:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133457130.547815.22180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125891

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > quote
> > > > Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW.
> > > > unquote
> > > >
> > > > stevei now admit to making threats
> > >
> > > It's only a "threat" if you're breaking the law.
> >
> > nope it is threat regardless of what I doing
>
> Nope.

yes it is a threat
>
> If you're not breaking the law, you have nothing to worry about.

I am not breakingthe law, I have very little to worry about

however this is mere diverstion
>
> If you ARE breaking the law...well...then ...Partner, you HAVE
> something to worry about.

more lies I am not your partner/ Why do you solict sex from me I have
turned you down so many times

But I have little to worry about from you

you are a coward and bully
>
> Steve, K4YZ

134. stevie babbling on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:12:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <v4auo1thu7t1n9c5nf53rkkngne6p0pj16@4ax.com>
Source record: 125915

On 1 Dec 2005 03:53:38 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
>distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
>
> Let's hope he get's his check soon and get's out of the house for
>a while!
you send me anyting and it is going to the postal nspectors
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

135. more threatenings from stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:12:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133457159.161847.82360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125892

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > quote
> > > > Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW.
> > > > unquote
> > > >
> > > > stevei now admit to making threats
> > >
> > > It's only a "threat" if you're breaking the law.
> >
> > nope it is threat regardless of what I doing
>
> Nope.

yes it is a threat
>
> If you're not breaking the law, you have nothing to worry about.

I am not breakingthe law, I have very little to worry about

however this is mere diverstion that you are maing empty thrats does
not change there status as threats
>
> If you ARE breaking the law...well...then ...Partner, you HAVE
> something to worry about.

more lies I am not your partner/ Why do you solict sex from me I have
turned you down so many times

But I have little to worry about from you

you are a coward and bully
>
> Steve, K4YZ

136. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:12:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133457171.550169.23630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125893

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Ah yes...The Feeble Five High Five.
> > > >
> > > > How (un)impressive!
> > >
> > > bull#### you are quite impressed
> >
> > No, I'm not.
> >
> > Seeing one documented liar pat another on the back for spending his
> > welfare money sitting around the computer and spamming USENET is not
> > very impressive.
>
> not sepending welfare money...(SNIP)

Good for you.

I am quite sure "sepending" is illegal...Whatever it is...

> (UNSNIP)...not spaming the usenet

Oh?

Now over 150 titles to your credit in less than 60 hours.

> not a documented liar(an admitted one but not a documented liar)

Sure it's documented.

It's documented in the archives of Google...

Search under "Colonel Chemical Corps"

Steve, K4YZ

137. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:14:06 UTC
From: dontspam <test@att.net>
Message-ID: <fmbuo1ppa27ghtis8hd8tmjd9t6a5bld94@4ax.com>
Source record: 125894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Sure you hear lots of signals on the bands. Appliance operators and
cb'ers.

On 1 Dec 2005 15:05:32 GMT, cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com (Chuck
Sherwood) wrote:

>>I would foget ham radio. It is on the way out.
>>Get some woodworking tools.
>
>That is what they said 10 years ago..
>I still hear signals on the bands so at least somebody
>is xmitting...
>
>I don't particularly like woodworking.
>
>chuck

138. Re: more threatenings from stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:15:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133457330.528713.92980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125898

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > quote
> > > > > Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW.
> > > > > unquote
> > > > >
> > > > > stevei now admit to making threats
> > > >
> > > > It's only a "threat" if you're breaking the law.
> > >
> > > nope it is threat regardless of what I doing
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes it is a threat
> >
> > If you're not breaking the law, you have nothing to worry about.
>
> I am not breakingthe law, I have very little to worry about
>
> however this is mere diverstion that you are maing empty thrats does
> not change there status as threats
> >
> > If you ARE breaking the law...well...then ...Partner, you HAVE
> > something to worry about.
>
> more lies I am not your partner/ Why do you solict sex from me I have
> turned you down so many times
>
> But I have little to worry about from you

You're right.

But you DO have issues with Houghton County Sheriff's department.

Have fun.

Steve, K4YZ

139. 971 line of bullshit from steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:17:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <28auo1to2qvt8kaiamu1b6emlf1od4i6dd@4ax.com>
Source record: 125916

On 1 Dec 2005 09:05:56 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>QUOTE, UNEDITED, FROM MARKIE"S OPENING SUBJECT LINE
can stevie claims I am flooding the newsgruop
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

140. Re: if your bored.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:19:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051201121933.376$Bx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 01 Dec 2005 14:58:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 01 Dec 2005 13:52:26 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> >> >> "moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1133418213.858929.212810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > I thought thats what they used their hammie club houses for, you
> >> >> > know, elmering those youngesters..............
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> I wonder how this has got cross-posted outside the CB groups?
> >> >> Check out the site www.geocities.com/amateurm3 and check out M0PERV
> >> >> down in M0TIT's friends list.
> >> >>
> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> Graham
> >> >>
> >> >Is that hook in your mouth painful?
> >>
> >> Dang Steve , you didn't post the other response? I'm shocked...
> >>
> >Nope, if it's not posted through newsreader.com, it's not me. :)
>
> No, I know that...
>
> Hey I ran across some car pics in one of the groups. Some of them
> were from Woodward. Thats one of them you frequent?
>
Yeah that's it. They claim it to be the biggest one of its type.

http://www.woodwarddreamcruise.com/

--
http://NewsReader.Com/
```

141. Re: More Mispelled why does stevei obsess over spelin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:23:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9pbuo1l5c53227nrackneo15hnnd5du95s@4ax.com>
Source record: 125917

On 1 Dec 2005 09:09:01 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an Old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > >>an_old_friend wrote:
>> >
>> > >>> whycan't stevie say something coherantt
>> > >
>> cut
>> > >> Trust you to embarrass yourself while trying to insult someone,
>> > >>Markie!
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > > WHAT AN IDIOT!
>> > >
>> > > answer the ####ing question
>> cut stevie cry or pain or whatever
>>
>> > NO!
>>
>> there you have stevie adasnwers that question he can't post anything
>> coherenat
nope
> IT'S >>COHERENT<< YOU BLITHERING IDIOT! ! ! ! ! ! !

no you alleged answer is not coherant
>
> ROTMFFLMMFAO!
>
> Sheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesh!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

142. stevie calls the Cop what a laugh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:31:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133458308.478411.262420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125901

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
cut
> > more lies I am not your partner/ Why do you solict sex from me I have
> > turned you down so many times
> >
> > But I have little to worry about from you
>
> You're right.

yea i knw you make empty threats
>
> But you DO have issues with Houghton County Sheriff's department.

ah yes you called them again?

it is only about 20 minutes from town
>
> Have fun.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

143. stevie hides behind annoies mouses some mor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:32:14 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6scuo113i33l359cfou6q7b2gjncbil1vh@4ax.com>
Source record: 125919

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 12:33:48 -0500, an Old friend <an Old
friend@msn.com> wrote:

>everyone should be advised that The following
>person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing
>other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>

_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

144. no spmaing here Flooding the NG yes no spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:33:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <4rcuo15b7bt5o6u16o6hs05bpac07sl18l@4ax.com>
Source record: 125905

everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

http://tinypic.com/i20k9e.jpg

N9OGL
TODD E DAUGHERTY
800 W MAIN CROSS
TAYLORVILLE IL 62568
USA

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

145. why don't you just amdit to it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:33:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133458429.276989.137460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125902

K4YZ wrote:
> QUOTE, UNEDITED, FROM MARKIE"S OPENING SUBJECT LINE
>
> found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness
> the post he denies making
>
massivcut took 20 sec or so to scrool trhough all the sstevie shit

> you altered the atribtution meaning you lied before even begin to post

you are ying everyone but you knows it

146. looking out over the watseland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:36:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133458560.796551.318120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125903

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
>
> There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.

why is censorship and stalking when I alter a title but ok if you do?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

147. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:36:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <q2duo1p5dss45vqvravtk76jplnjt05veo@4ax.com>
Source record: 125906

"monsieur sandman" <kittens@love.tod> wrote:
> On 30 Nov 2005 13:15:56 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >Don't you just hate it when that happens.
> > >LOL . Ace - WH2T
> >
> > How about I steal some pictures off your website??
>
> Here:
>
> http://tinypic.com/i2t1qb.jpg
>
Very nice monsineur!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

148. just come up Stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:38:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <f8duo1th490itdfv75rqrfo6pcopvnt2dl@4ax.com>
Source record: 125920

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 12:36:05 -0500, an Old friend <an Old
friend@msn.com> wrote:

>"monsieur sandman" <kittens@love.tod> wrote:
>> On 30 Nov 2005 13:15:56 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > >Don't you just hate it when that happens.
>> > >LOL . Ace - WH2T
>> >
>> > How about I steal some pictures off your website??
>>
>> Here:
>>

>>
>Very nice monsineur!
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

149. why did stevie claim was repsonible for this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:52:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <o2euo1l6r1vdic62cnci9qvneeb6oiuv4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 125922

On 7 Nov 2005 14:23:05 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>Return-Path: <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
>Received: from rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (rly-xa06.mail.aol.com
>[172.20.64.42]) by air-xa01.mail.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
>MAILINXA14-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:48 -0500
>Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
>rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
>MAILRELAYINXA63-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:43 -0500
>Received: from bla161.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 67A551FD689
> for <k4yz@aol.com>; Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
>Message-ID: <24643749.1131397660275.JavaMail.root@bla161.blogger.com>
>From: Todd <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
>To: k4yz@aol.com
>Subject: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil: Post
>4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of God
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Date: Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
>X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
>X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:436126017:10737418
>X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
>Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
>You mother####ing ##### #####. I told you to not ####ing mail me agan.
>
>Blog: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil
>Post: Post 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of
>God
>Link:
>http://battleinchrist.blogspot.com/2005/10/post-4-battlefield-of-mind-part-ii.html

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

150. why did stvei contiue to claim Todd was responsible

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 17:58:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <q3euo157q97qmsuc6i2t7afamkfus1v979@4ax.com>
Source record: 125924

On 8 Nov 2005 07:21:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> What's really neat mark, is I was no where near a computer the time it
>> was sent out, I was in a lecture at school with 20 other people and the
>> professor. I was no where near a computer at that time.
>
> No one said you were responsible, Todd...as a matter fo fact if
>you read the subject line, you'll see it clearly states that.
>
> What's REALLY neat is that your guilty conscience jumped in there
>and made excuses when you clearly were left blameless.
>
> Sheeesh.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

151. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 18:10:41 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1133460641.691302.138370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
ARRL Chief Executive Officer
American Radio Relay League
225 Main Street
Newington, Conn. 06111

1 December 2005

Dave,

What is W1AW's current policy with regard to its bulletins, and can you refer me to the ARRL Board minute which established this policy? Specifically, does W1AW listen and/or QRZ each published scheduled frequency before transmitting? If the frequency is busy, does W1AW QSY, delay, or even terminate the scheduled bulletin?

The policy published in QST that I have found is to stick to the published schedule regardless of whether the frequency is busy or not, just as is the policy of K1MAN for information bulletins, which is also consistent with many years of W1AW operating practice as well.

Yow will remember that K1MAN was "fined" in 1990 with regard to this policy, and my appeal of these "fines" to the full Commission was "deep sixed" and thus blocking my further appeals to the D.C. Court of Appeals and the United Stated Supreme Court. Also, these "fines" were never litigated in Federal District Court here in Maine, and my subsequent civil suit to resolve this matter in Federal District Court against the FCC was dismissed. My related civil suit in Federal District Court against ARRL was also dismissed. My many attempts to resolve this matter have thus been repeatedly thwarted. K1MAN was then "fined" again regarding this same policy in April of 2005, a full fifteen years after the original FCC action, thus creating a further legal nightmare for the Commission, of course.

I am a member of ARRL. Thank you for your attention to this request.

Best personal regards. 73.

(signed)

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
AARA Executive Director
IARN Manager

cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
       Distribution

Subj: Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - B051201A -
Date: 12/1/2005 1:04:49 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bobmiami1
To: K1MAN14275

My friend, Can't you see: Fantasy suits, Riley smeer, felony affidavit complaints, carrying racism on the broadcast, declaring you won't be regulated, defamation of many thru innuendo/rumor, no one of any normality wants anything to do with you. Save your emails. If you need this information, get it like every other Respondent/Defendant -- thru discovery.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

152. why does stvei allege Todd is repsonible for the actions of al blogers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 18:16:02 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <a2fuo157d39nhjhnjo062i1g8n91k2gpnd@4ax.com>
Source record: 125935

On 8 Nov 2005 07:17:58 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Return-Path: <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
>> > Received: from rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (rly-xa06.mail.aol.com
>> > [172.20.64.42]) by air-xa01.mail.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
>> > MAILINXA14-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:48 -0500
>> > Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
>> > rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
>> > MAILRELAYINXA63-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:43 -0500
>> > Received: from bla161.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
>> > by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 67A551FD689
>> > for <k4yz@aol.com>; Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
>> > Message-ID: <24643749.1131397660275.JavaMail.root@bla161.blogger.com>
>> > From: Todd <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
>> > To: k4yz@aol.com
>> > Subject: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil: Post
>> > 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of God
>> > Mime-Version: 1.0
>> > Content-Type: text/plain
>> > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>> > Date: Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
>> > X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
>> > X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:436126017:10737418
>> > X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
>> > Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:
>> >
>> > You mother####ing ##### #####. I told you to not ####ing mail me agan.
>> >
>> > Blog: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil
>> > Post: Post 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of
>> > God
>> > Link:
>> > http://battleinchrist.blogspot.com/2005/10/post-4-battlefield-of-mind-part-ii.html
>> >
>> > --
>> > Powered by Blogger
>> > http://www.blogger.com/
>>
>> Why on earth would you visit his "blog?"
>
> I didn't, Brainie. Follow the headers. It was sent to me in

>e-mail.
>
>> Are you one of those modern citizens that demands to be offended?
>
> No, I am not you or Markie.
>
>> Demands to be outraged?
>>
>> #### off you little #### ant.
>
> Such maturity...such deft use of the language to make a persuasive
>point.
>
> Such an idiot...Brian P Burke, N0IMD.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

153. the lie-athon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 18:24:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <qufuo1d9038g1od9greepsf5asnsr9h4h5@4ax.com>
Source record: 125947

On 1 Dec 2005 11:20:56 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
>> > > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
>> > > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
>> > > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
>> >
>> > There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.
>>
>> why is censorship and stalking when I alter a title but ok if you do?
>
> Because (a) I don't proactively attempt to stop any given thread,
>and (b) I don't spam the NG with over 160 messages in 2 1/2 days in
>order to try and "force" my will on others.

more lie stevie
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

154. more entires in the lie-athon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 18:32:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <pvfuo11qojvv1iebsuppk75asghdb66gui@4ax.com>
Source record: 125952

On 1 Dec 2005 11:27:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
>> > > > > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
>> > > > > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
>> > > > > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
>> > > >
>> > > > There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.
>> > >
>> > > why is censorship and stalking when I alter a title but ok if you do?
>> >
>> > Because (a) I don't proactively attempt to stop any given thread,
>> > and
>>
>> a lie
>
> Nope.
>
> NEVER ONCE have I EVER tried to "kill" a thread for ANY reason.

yes you have

you try to kill any thread not involving your attacks

>
>> >(b) I don't spam the NG with over 160 messages in 2 1/2 days in
>> > order to try and "force" my will on others.
>>
>> neither do I
>
> LIAR LAIR PANTS ON FIRE!

grow up or seek mental hlep

>
>> so you lied agian
>
> Nope....But you sure did!

no lie from me

>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

155. stevie now shovleing elephant shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 18:40:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133462454.498723.100660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125918

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > quote
cut
> > > You are presuming yourself to be in the role of a "cop".
> >
> > then you just lied again
>
> Nope.

yes you
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

156. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 18:53:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133463181.872027.174990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Bob Sherin, W4ASX wrote:
> Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
> ARRL Chief Executive Officer
> American Radio Relay League
> 225 Main Street
> Newington, Conn.? 06111
>
> 1 December 2005
>
>
cut

>
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> AARA Executive Director
> IARN Manager
>
>
> cc:? W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
> ?????? Distribution
>
> Subj: Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - B051201A -?
> Date: 12/1/2005 1:04:49 PM Eastern Standard Time
> From: Bobmiami1
> To: K1MAN14275
>
>
>
> My friend, Can't you see: Fantasy suits, Riley smeer, felony affidavit
> complaints, carrying racism on the broadcast, declaring you won't be
> regulated, defamation of many thru innuendo/rumor, no one of any
> normality wants anything to do with you.? Save your emails.? If you
> need this information, get it like every other Respondent/Defendant --
> thru discovery.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX

why bother with discovery How about just Google.com

157. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:08:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133464086.665648.51180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125923

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Wed, Nov 30 2005 3:21 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K0HB on Nov 28, 5:22 pm
>> >"Frank Gilliland" <w?nut@NOSPAM?house.net> wrote

>> >> Since you are Major Dud's de-facto spokesman in this matter, care to
>> >> speculate why he didn't know the purpose of box 11?
>> >
>> >I can hardly speak for myself, so I can't speculate.
>>
>> WHAAAAT?!? Har! That hasn't stopped you before...
>>
>> >Depends on the vintage of the DD214.
>>
>> My DD-214 is from 1956. That was a very good year.
>>
>> Er, shouldn't it be "DD-214" rather than 'DD214'?

Hans served on DESTROYERS?

[be prepared for his replies with lots of navy lingo]

>> We can't go against any BUPERINST in amateur radio. Everything
>> has to be "just so!"
>
>I understand that there are dashes in the phone number "911."

Only in Dudlyworld.

...and perhaps in Tennessee. It might be a literacy thing there.

>> >On a current Navy one Block 11 would indicate the NECs held during
>> >the enlistment ("NEC" is what you jarheads call an "MOS").
>>
>> "Jarheads?" Why you call ARMY people for "Jarheads," swabbie?

My apologies, Brian, I should have asked "Army or AIR FORCE"... :-(

USN apparently still tries to be "more sophisticated" than
other branches of the U.S. military? They don't have Military
Occupation Specialties (MOS)?

>> Hang in there, super chief. Whatever I say, I'm sure you will
>> "correct" me even if I'm NOT wrong. Predictable. :-)
>>
>> See ya on 1.4 GHz some time?
>>

>What mode?

A la... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

158. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:12:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133464325.099641.283670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125925

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Wed, Nov 30 2005 3:21 pm
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
cut

>
>
> >> Hang in there, super chief. Whatever I say, I'm sure you will
> >> "correct" me even if I'm NOT wrong. Predictable. :-)
> >>
> >> See ya on 1.4 GHz some time?
> >>
> >What mode?
>
> A la...

ouch that was painful
:-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

159. looking out over the wasteland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:17:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133464651.229565.296680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125926

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
>
> There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.

notice that stevie avoids dealing with his role in all this
>
> Steve, K4YZ

160. stveie pormised my arrest I have not been areasted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:18:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133464723.066346.299230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125927

stevie lies something

He promised I would arrested today

well he lied

is anyone shocked

161. Northen Michigan Gay Male seeks Domme, would love spanking on his birthday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:19:56 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org" <>
Message-ID: <b1juo1182t1jup70h6upchhk5jrqu1otnb@4ax.com>
Source record: 125932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan

my birthday is the 21 of feb
41 year old white unmarried, GAY, switch
(tend to be a a bit more sub than Dom) who has
experenced both sub and
Dom with other Males, but has only been Dom with
women, and would really
like to try Femdom. Employed but not flush enough
to make a ProDom my
first choice. i will not deal with solid waste
but i think every thing
thing else is possible (i hedge because as soon as
i think I have heard
it all someone seems to come up with something
new) Things i
diffinently like are body worship, hot wax,
bondage, spanking. i have
done and enoyed with people really into them
watersports, lite
bloodlicking (i knew a girl into Vampires ok?)
these are things i likely
to enjoy if they trun someone on, but fall flat if
the other party(s)
aren't real into them, in that vein i will
consider and may well get
into something i if it really turns you on.

Mark Morgan

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

162. when will steve decide enough is enough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:20:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133464808.927423.239280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125928

it is simple question? why does stevie do anything to avoid answering a question?

163. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:20:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133464856.446433.241330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125929

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> > > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> > > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> > > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
> >
> > There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.
>
> why is censorship and stalking when I alter a title but ok if you do?

Because (a) I don't proactively attempt to stop any given thread,
and (b) I don't spam the NG with over 160 messages in 2 1/2 days in
order to try and "force" my will on others.

Steve, K4YZ

164. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:21:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <p3Ijf.2703$YT3.1857@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125930

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
> an Issue with them.

It must seem that way if you're the guy standing around with chinky armor.

Dave K8MN

165. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:22:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133464947.619039.85090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125931

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> > > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> > > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> > > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
> >
> > There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.
>
> notice that stevie avoids dealing with his role in all this

There's no "role" here for me to play.

Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
proven over and over.

Steve, K4YZ

166. more stveie lying bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:25:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465103.198674.204230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125933

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> > > > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> > > > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> > > > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
> > >
> > > There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.
> >
> > why is censorship and stalking when I alter a title but ok if you do?
>
> Because (a) I don't proactively attempt to stop any given thread,
> and

a lie

>(b) I don't spam the NG with over 160 messages in 2 1/2 days in
> order to try and "force" my will on others.

neither do I

so you lied agian
>
> Steve, K4YZ

167. stvei claim he has no role in the fact this newsgruop has become a wasteland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:26:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465201.259248.317070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125934

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> > > > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> > > > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> > > > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
> > >
> > > There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.
> >
> > notice that stevie avoids dealing with his role in all this
>
> There's no "role" here for me to play.

stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
>
> Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
> proven over and over.

and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of shit
>
> Steve, K4YZ

168. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:27:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465268.952505.112650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125936

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> > > > > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> > > > > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> > > > > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
> > > >
> > > > There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.
> > >
> > > why is censorship and stalking when I alter a title but ok if you do?
> >
> > Because (a) I don't proactively attempt to stop any given thread,
> > and
>
> a lie

Nope.

NEVER ONCE have I EVER tried to "kill" a thread for ANY reason.

> >(b) I don't spam the NG with over 160 messages in 2 1/2 days in
> > order to try and "force" my will on others.
>
> neither do I

LIAR LAIR PANTS ON FIRE!

> so you lied agian

Nope....But you sure did!

Steve, K4YZ

169. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:28:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465291.562664.89040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125937

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
> > > an Issue with them.
>
> It must seem that way if you're the guy standing around with chinky armor.

a confession from Dave

he just admitting to notice chink in the armour meeaning he was looking
for it
>
> Dave K8MN

170. dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:28:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465321.932196.321730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125938

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
> > an Issue with them.
>
> It must seem that way if you're the guy standing around with chinky armor.

a confession from Dave

he just admitting to notice chink in the armour meeaning he was looking
for it
>
> Dave K8MN

yea I am repeating myself

171. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:31:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465462.178534.264730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125939

an old friend wrote:

> stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him

Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
anything.

> > Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
> > proven over and over.
>
> and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of ####

Deny what?

That anything said about the Feeble Five has been proven over and
over? Of course not. It's true.

That any 6 year old with basic reading and math skills can go back
through the last 2 1/2 days worth of posts and add up your trash is a
"given".

Steve, K4YZ

172. LIAR LAIR PANTS ON FIRE!guess stevie needs the FD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:31:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465514.844132.38150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125940

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
cut
>
> LIAR LAIR PANTS ON FIRE!

call 991 i guess your pants must be buring
>
> > so you lied agian

173. Re: why don't you just amdit to it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:32:09 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org" <>
Message-ID: <7tjuo1l70qcm2nhaqg22lpisu0drn0dr03@4ax.com>
Source record: 125946

On 1 Dec 2005 09:33:49 -0800, "an_old_friend"
<konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> QUOTE, UNEDITED, FROM MARKIE"S OPENING SUBJECT LINE
>>
>> found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness
>> the post he denies making
>>
>massivcut took 20 sec or so to scrool trhough all the sstevie shit
>
>> you altered the atribtution meaning you lied before even begin to post
>
>you are ying everyone but you knows it
>
Mark followed Josh to the back of the room and
slipped around the corner and out of sight while
Josh dropped to his knees and unbuckled the man's
pants and pulled out his penis. It already smelled
of fresh cum, and Josh hungrily put it into his
warm mouth, eager to receive another load of cum
from the big dicked stranger! Mark hadn't said
much up until then, but as he was being sucked he
said, "That's right little boy, suck my big
pecker, make it shoot its goo into your slutty
little mouth!" Hearing that kind of lewd talk made
Josh work even harder on the thick member, and in
a few more minutes he was rewarded with a torrent
of hot cum down his eager throat! Mark pulled him
to his feet and kissed him hard on the mouth and
told him to pull out his own cock. Mark took his
own cum covered dick and Josh's into his hand and
began jerking them together, both heads slipping
and sliding together, their velvety smoothness
combined with the saliva and cum on Mark's cock,
bringing both men to the verge of ejaculation!
Josh just stood there, his erection rubbing back
and forth against Mark's, his orgasm now fast
approaching. Josh couldn't hold back any longer,
and stammered, "Oh my, god, I'm gonna blow!!!"
Both peckers convulsed at the same instant,
sending spurts of cum into Mark's rapidly moving
fist, their cum inter mingling together as their

cock heads rubbed side by side.

Mark Morgan

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

174. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:32:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465564.547908.7190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125941

an old friend wrote:
> it is simple question? why does stevie do anything to avoid answering a
> question?

NOW who's claiming that someone is "forcing" them to do something,
Markie?

Steve, K4YZ

175. stevie keep racking up evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:33:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465594.418144.8310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125942

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
>
> Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
> anything.
>
> > > Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
> > > proven over and over.
> >
> > and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of ####
>
> Deny what?

that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter
>
> That anything said about the Feeble Five has been proven over and
> over? Of course not. It's true.

it is lie

176. Re: Don't Call For A Fireman At Markie's House!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:33:41 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <80kuo1lhu3lg491mo0f801vigc6orngss8@4ax.com>
Source record: 125989

On 1 Dec 2005 12:21:29 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > cut
>> > >
>> > > LIAR LIAR PANTS ON FIRE!
>> >
>> > call 991 i guess your pants must be buring
>>
>> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>>
>> ".....CALL 991....."
>>
>> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> !!!
>>
>> WHAT AN IDIOT!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

where is your message

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

177. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:34:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WeIjf.2712$YT3.2137@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125943

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
>>> do with radio?
>>>
>>> the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it
>> I've provided you with an answer, Mark.
>
> Liar you have prvided no answer

That is simply incorrect.

>> I've never been afraid to
>> answer the question which you put to Steve. You outed yourself through
>> stupidity or carelessness.

> more proof your your foolishness

My foolishness? Who wrote and posted your little "help wanted" ad in a
newsgroup?

> why does anybody sexaulity have anything to do with radio

My my response regarding you. Make it plural.

> answer the question the question isn't about me

You bet it is about you.

> It is about Todd Brain and myself or anyone

Did they post "help wanted" ads on usenet?

> you and stevie think accuses (correctly or not someone of being
> homsexual has something to do with radio.

The word is "homosexual". You go way beyond that. You're a bisexual,
beat-me-spank-me type with some elimination weirdness tossed in for good
measure.

> what does it have to do with radio

I've answered.

> coward

You'd say that to my face about once before finding yourself sitting
down suddenly with a very sore nose.

>> Now that you've done so, there's no putting
>> that particular genie back in the bottle.
>>
>> By your numerous posts, it appears that you are more than anxious to
>> continue drawing attention to your bisexuality. I guess it pays to
>> advertise.
>
> wropng again stupid I don't esp mind being known as Bisexaul what I
> want to show is that you are a fool for caring whcih you prove again

Wropng? You don't ESP mind? You haven't shown much here at all.

> you are afraid to admit what the truth is

The truth is that you're one sick puppy, Colonel.

> there is relavance of ones sexuality to radio

There is? Thanks for the information.

> you are just a plain old fashioned gay basher

Are you a plain, old-fashioned gay?

Dave K8MN

178. stevie can't even keep from lying for even a sentence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:35:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465718.494605.132720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125944

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > it is simple question? why does stevie do anything to avoid answering a
> > question?
>
> NOW who's claiming that someone is "forcing" them to do something,
> Markie?

no one why do you ask

what is being asked is why do you do anything to avoid answering a
question
>
> Steve, K4YZ

179. Re: LIAR LAIR PANTS ON FIRE! But Don't Catch Fire Near Markie...The Fire Dept Will Never Arrive If Markie's Dialing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:35:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465754.110052.107630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125945

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> cut
> >
> > LIAR LIAR PANTS ON FIRE!
>
> call 991 i guess your pants must be buring

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

".....CALL 991....."

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!

WHAT AN IDIOT!

Steve, K4YZ

180. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:37:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133465826.692088.110320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125949

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
> >>> do with radio?
> >>>
> >>> the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it
> >> I've provided you with an answer, Mark.
> >
> > Liar you have prvided no answer
>
> That is simply incorrect.

you refuse to answer how it is relavant to radio

you refuse then to answer why you claim it is relavant by making the
references
flushing the rest

but Dave is afraid to tell the truth

181. Re: Stevie and Dave contiue to show their cowardice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:37:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FhIjf.2713$YT3.599@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125948

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Both Stevie and Dave contiue to show they are cowards
>>>
>>> when will either of them answer the question what does a personans
>>> sexuality have to do with radio?
>>>
>>> answer the question you cowards

>> You seem to be under the misconception that I'd assume that all of your
>> recent childish posts to Steve were directed at me.

> No I addressed them you as well

Did you? I saw of number of threads begun by you. All I saw in the
headers was Stevie, Stevie, Stevie--or some misspelling thereof.

>> Well now, Mark, since you asked, I don't think that your sexuality
>> matters much in amateur radio.

> there ypou have it admiting that you are just involved in gay bashing
> to cover the fact you lack any real issue

Weren't you "cuting" just a little bit of my response? I added that
you'd still be a pervert, regardless of whether you are a radio amateur
or not. Your big mistake was in advertising the fact elsewhere on usenet.

Dave K8MN

182. stvei trying to hide his email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:39:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <u0kuo1557n1fcr0avhp51dogq3rj6f50ln@4ax.com>
Source record: 125990

On 1 Dec 2005 12:21:29 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
stvei hiding hsi id

gues things were geting hot at aol.com
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

183. Re: Stevie and Dave contiue to show their cowardice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:40:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133466032.298298.146100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125950

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> Both Stevie and Dave contiue to show they are cowards
> >>>
> >>> when will either of them answer the question what does a personans
> >>> sexuality have to do with radio?
> >>>
> >>> answer the question you cowards
> >
> >> You seem to be under the misconception that I'd assume that all of your
> >> recent childish posts to Steve were directed at me.
> >
> > No I addressed them you as well
> >
> Did you? I saw of number of threads begun by you. All I saw in the
> headers was Stevie, Stevie, Stevie--or some misspelling thereof.

try new glasses prehaps

but in this very header"Stevie and Dave contiue to show their
cowardice" i see your name there but you don't

me think you lied
>
> >> Well now, Mark, since you asked, I don't think that your sexuality
> >> matters much in amateur radio.
> >
> > there ypou have it admiting that you are just involved in gay bashing
> > to cover the fact you lack any real issue
> >
> Weren't you "cuting" just a little bit of my response?

so what you cut posts too

> I added that
> you'd still be a pervert, regardless of whether you are a radio amateur
> or not.
and again you show yourself liar in saying you don't think it is
relavant

so why is it relavant

answer the question coward
> Your big mistake was in advertising the fact elsewhere on usenet.

nah

what happen is stevei went looking for what he thought was dirt

Indeed I don't care if folks know I make no secert of it

I am just asking why it is s relavant topic here in RRAP a question you
refuse to answer
>
> Dave K8MN

184. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:42:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133466125.788036.121960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125951

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > > stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
> >
> > Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
> > anything.

And still no "proof" from Markie that I did.

> > > > Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
> > > > proven over and over.
> > >
> > > and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of ####
> >
> > Deny what?
>
> that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter

Hey Markie, YOU not only brought it to the gutter, but kicked it
in, and then stepped on it's fingers as it tried to climb out.

> > That anything said about the Feeble Five has been proven over and
> > over? Of course not. It's true.
>
> it is lie

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

185. Re: the dastardly dou rides on and on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:44:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Soljf.3209$Hk1.1564@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125953

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
>>>>>>>> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>> cut
>>>>> I do have the documentation to prove my side of arguments, some
>>>>> of that shown on other websites (links given in here) plus
>>>>> callsigns of personal references.

>>>> You do? You have documentation which proves the allegations which
>>>> you've directed at Steve?

>>> sure he does

>> You've seen Len's proof that Steve never served in the USMC?
>
> learn to read

That's really rich, coming from you.

> Stevie has posted the proof himself

No, he hasn't. You are incorrect.

> len has it. I have it. you have it. google has it.

That, too is incorrect.

> Stevies claims anything unproven is a lie

Does he?

> Stevie refuses to prove his claim,

I don't blame him.

> therfore by Stevie own rules stevie has admited to not serving in the
> USMC

I think your logic may have some problems.

>>> I do as do you Dave

>> You have proof that Steve never served in the USMC? How'd you and Len
>> gain access?

> steve posted it himself

No, he has not done so.

>> As to my having such proof, I can assure you that I have none at all.

> liar

Well, liar-liar to you, Mark. Your statement is not only wrong, it is
stupid and wrong.

>>> indeed By Stevies own standard Stevie has proven the alegations against
>>> him

>> That's simply incorrect. Steve has never offered proof that he was not
>> in the USMC.

> yes he has BY HIS STANDARDS

Has he?

>>> Stevie lies about almost everything perhaps including his name
>> Let's see if I have this straight: Steve is the same Steve Robeson who
>> appears in the FCC database, but he is lying about his name?

> no proof Stvie posting here IS the stevie in the FCC database

Do you know what a reasonable assumption is? Do you think that if I
mailed a note to the Steven Robeson in the Callbook that I could get an
email response confirming receipt from the Steven Robeson posting here?

>> Has Steve lied about being a nurse?

> maybe

Maybe?

>> Maybe he isn't even K4YZ?

> maybe

Maybe?

>> And the source for
>> this information is a guy who claims he was drafted into the Army,

> Lying agin I never made that claim any more than I ever claimed to
> receive more than 200k per as a salery

You surely have, Mark.

> you choose to assmue that from a turn of phrase

Quite the misdirection, Mark.

>> only
>> he wasn't; said the he was a Colonel in the Army, only he wasn't and
>> says he lies when he finds it convenient. I see.

> By the way the issue is Stevie lying,something he denies EVER doing
> flushing the rst

You aren't flushing anything, Mark. You're a fabricator, a teller of
falsehoods, a liar and you aren't even very good at it.

Dave K8MN

186. Re: dastartly dou contiunes lie-athon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:45:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Tpljf.3211$Hk1.1223@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125954

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> CB Frank Gilliland wrote:

>>> The only question is why you
>>> deny it. Care to answer that one? Or would you rather I find the
>>> answer myself?

>> Oh, if you'd like to play junior detective, Frank, go right ahead. Gee,
>> this is almost like one of those television shows, isn't it?
>
> and why do you contiue to protect an obviously fraud

I've done nothing to protect you, Mark.

Dave K8MN

187. poor fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:46:04 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133466364.413354.252620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> my birthday is the 21 of feb
why can't the fraud understand that no GAY male would want a Domme
which has to be female

if you are going to comit fraud then please do it correctly

and btw I would not finding such a domme for my birthday next year

188. more stevie evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:47:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133466429.551310.142390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125956

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
> > >
> > > Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
> > > anything.
>
> And still no "proof" from Markie that I did.

you provide daily
>
> > > > > Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
> > > > > proven over and over.
> > > >
> > > > and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of ####
> > >
> > > Deny what?
> >
> > that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter
>
> Hey Markie, YOU not only brought it to the gutter, but kicked it
> in, and then stepped on it's fingers as it tried to climb out.

more lies

more evasion of your responiblity

>
> > > That anything said about the Feeble Five has been proven over and
> > > over? Of course not. It's true.
> >
> > it is lie
>
> Nope.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

189. More Markie Mularkie...This One Might Cost Markie $100!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:47:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133466458.682414.159090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125957

an old friend wrote:
> stevie lies something
>
> He promised I would arrested today

Hey Markie...

Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
today".....?!?!?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

190. Re: why don't you just amdit to it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:47:59 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133466479.623284.303600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125958

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> On 1 Dec 2005 09:33:49 -0800, "an_old_friend"
> <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
admit what?

191. Re: when will Dave Stop suporting Stevie in his gay bashing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:49:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <htljf.3212$Hk1.1747@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125959

an old friend wrote:

> when will dave do the right thing and stop supporting your gay bashing
> buddies tactics?

Mark, you aren't just gay. You are perverse. I don't need a "buddy" to tell me that.

> afriad to engage in real dabte? your bet you are all you have iyou and
> stvie is your gay bashing bullshit

I'm not "afriad" of you at all. Don't mistake my disgust for fear. I'd be happy to engage in "dabte" as soon as you find someone more qualified than yourself to carry your end of it. "stvie" will have to respond for himself.

Dave K8MN

192. Re: Stevie the liar, as agreed to by Dave his buddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:50:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <luljf.3213$Hk1.936@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125960

an old friend wrote:

> Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.

No, it isn't. You'd still be a bisexual pervert if you had no amateur
radio license.

Dave K8MN

193. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:52:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133466722.154277.266760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125961

Markie's subject line: Re: why don't you just amdit to it

"amdit" to what?

Now...on with the Mularkie!

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > QUOTE, UNEDITED, FROM MARKIE"S OPENING SUBJECT LINE
> >
> > found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness
> > the post he denies making
> >
> massivcut took 20 sec or so to scrool trhough all the sstevie #####

TWENTY SECONDS...?!??!

WTF are you using...?!?! An old VIC-20...?!?!?!?

> > you altered the atribtution meaning you lied before even begin to post
>
> you are ying everyone but you knows it

No, I am yang.

But everyone else but YOU can read through the original post and
see who the REAL evader and REAL liar is...

Not that it was in doubt....

Steve, K4YZ

194. Re: More Markie Mularkie...This One Might Cost Markie $100!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:52:51 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133466771.924659.171290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125962

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > stevie lies something
> >
> > He promised I would arrested today
>
> Hey Markie...
>
> Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
> ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
> today".....?!?!?!?!

pay the money to amsat

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/da59268c3f487aee/d8e371554c72afa1?lnk=st&q=black&rnum=1#d8e371554c72afa1

relavant paragraph
Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW. If you

stop now, there's no need to feel "threatened". If you don't aplogize
for the death threats, then you DO have a reason to feel "threatened",
but not from me...It will be from the next black-and-white that pulls
up in your driveway.

therefore you lose but you will welch on the bet
>
> Steve, K4YZ

195. Re: dave is liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:54:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8yIjf.3214$Hk1.2234@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125963

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:

>>> what has radio to do sexuality

>> That depends upon how you are using the radio, Mark.

> having admitted to the truth today that sexualy has nothing to do with
> radio the above statement becomes a [rpvable and proven lie

Now, hold on there, Colonel. It is possible for you to use a radio as
part of your sexual perversions. Given your very peculiar preferences,
it isn't at all unreasonable to include a radio as a part of one of your
routines.

> therefore Dave is a LIAR again

Nobody in this newsgroup has told more baldfaced lies than Mark Morgan.

Dave K8MN

196. stevei stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:54:55 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133466895.558997.92290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125964

K4YZ wrote:
> Markie's subject line: Re: why don't you just amdit to it
>
> "amdit" to what?

to your lies

197. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:55:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Vyljf.3215$Hk1.1471@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125965

an old friend wrote:
> not an old friend wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1133185373.740696.129890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>
> cut
>>> and why BTW do you wish to contribute to the dcline of the rule of law
>>> in the US
>>>
>> Nah, you can handle that along with the moral decline.
>
> no I don't support moral decay or the undermning of the rule of law
>
> you OTOH do

Hell, Mark, you ARE part of the moral decay.

Dave K8MN

198. Re: when will Dave Stop suporting Stevie in his gay bashing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:56:24 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133466984.548886.179590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125966

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > when will dave do the right thing and stop supporting your gay bashing
> > buddies tactics?
>
> Mark, you aren't just gay.
I am not gay and that scares you it is called Biphobia

> You are perverse. I don't need a "buddy" to
> tell me that.
>
> > afriad to engage in real dabte? your bet you are all you have iyou and
> > stvie is your gay bashing bullshit
>
> I'm not "afriad" of you at all. Don't mistake my disgust for fear. I'd
> be happy to engage in "dabte" as soon as you find someone more qualified
> than yourself to carry your end of it. "stvie" will have to respond for
> himself.

why then have you never enaged in debate her

not with anyone

it is becuase you are a coard and a liar

still avoid the question why doy uo support gay bashing
>
> Dave K8MN

199. again stevie lies about atribution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:56:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <lbluo15624mnq51c1hh3bdbj5ahm8putbg@4ax.com>
Source record: 125993

On 8 Nov 2005 01:10:13 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys old friend wrote:
>
another change of attribution
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

200. why does dave lie about jhis bleiefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:57:36 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133467055.986845.64760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125967

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.
>
> No, it isn't. You'd still be a bisexual pervert if you had no amateur
> radio license.

better

but why do you insist on making an issueof if it is not relavant?
>
> Dave K8MN

201. Re: More Markie Mularkie Muddling Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 19:59:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133467172.260125.103450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125968

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 28 Nov 2005 08:11:51 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> Even as a spelling cop you are a dud, Dud.

Perhaps, Frankie, but then I'm not a disgraced ex-Marine with two
court martial's in his SRB either...

Like yourself.

Steve, K4YZ

202. Re: Frank = Babbles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:00:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NDIjf.3218$Hk1.2445@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125969

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 05:04:56 GMT, "Bubbles" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <Ydwif.1998$Hk1.1006@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>> CB Frank Gilliland wrote:

>> So, Steve refuses to provide proof. Well now, Frank, what the devil are
>> you going to do about it?
>
>
> What business is it of yours?

...just about as much as it is of yours, Frank.

>
>>> More succinctly, this is a circumstantial argument that leans HEAVILY
>>> to the conclusion that K4YZ is lying about his claimed military
>>> service. In fact, the argument is so strong that it casts doubt on the
>>> sanity, motives, and/or credibility of anyone who defends him. Yet
>>> that's what you do. And your only explanation is to say, "I've seen
>>> nothing which indicates that Steve is a fake." Well, Dave, I think you
>>> -can- seen what everyone else can see.

>> I surely can, Frank. I see that same nothing that you see. I've seen
>> absolutely zip offered as proof of your assertions. I see hunches,
>> guesses, wild ideas and even some outright untruths.
>
>
> Yep, I did a lot of prodding.

Hunches, guesses, wild ideas and outright untruths are "prodding"?

> That's how to find the tender spots. But
> now that those tender spots have been found there won't be much
> further speculation -- just persistent whining from Major Dud (and,
> apparently, yourself).

I've done absolutely no whining, Frank. I just don't accept your theory.

>>> The only question is why you
>>> deny it. Care to answer that one? Or would you rather I find the
>>> answer myself?

>> Oh, if you'd like to play junior detective, Frank, go right ahead. Gee,
>> this is almost like one of those television shows, isn't it?

> I wasn't asking for your permission, Bubbles.

You asked a couple of questions. I responded to them. That you didn't like the responses is tough.

> If you don't want to
> answer the question then that's your choice.

Now you're getting the idea!

Dave K8MN

203. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:01:17 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133467277.025613.176280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125970

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > not an old friend wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> news:1133185373.740696.129890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> > cut
> >>> and why BTW do you wish to contribute to the dcline of the rule of law
> >>> in the US
> >>>
> >> Nah, you can handle that along with the moral decline.
> >
> > no I don't support moral decay or the undermning of the rule of law
> >
> > you OTOH do
>
> Hell, Mark, you ARE part of the moral decay.

no I am not

why don't go find a theocratic state to live it
>
> Dave K8MN

204. Re: is Stevie an abused childhood liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:02:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZEIjf.3220$Hk1.980@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125971

an_old_friend wrote:

> a soimple explanation that fits the facts is the stevie lied and got
> caught and brutaozed as a kid for lying

That soimple explanation isn't very soimple. He got caught and what?

Dave K8MN

205. Re: dave is liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:03:04 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133467384.891982.180750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125972

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
>
> >>> what has radio to do sexuality
>
> >> That depends upon how you are using the radio, Mark.
>
> > having admitted to the truth today that sexualy has nothing to do with
> > radio the above statement becomes a [rpvable and proven lie
>
> Now, hold on there, Colonel.

>It is possible for you to use a radio as
> part of your sexual perversions.
no sexual preverstion accrdoing the DS<-IV the document that defines
that in the USA

> Given your very peculiar preferences,
> it isn't at all unreasonable to include a radio as a part of one of your
> routines.
>
> > therefore Dave is a LIAR again
>
> Nobody in this newsgroup has told more baldfaced lies than Mark Morgan.

i have told exactly 6 lies in 7 years
>
> Dave K8MN

206. more of stevie changing atribution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:03:53 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5oluo15qtr2g1v9ve5jp8u3kkci8p8log3@4ax.com>
Source record: 125995

On 9 Nov 2005 12:52:24 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31
>> >nobodys old friend wrote:
changing both atributions
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

207. Re: dastartly dou contiunes lie-athon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:03:54 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133467434.789537.296160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125973

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> CB Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> >>> The only question is why you
> >>> deny it. Care to answer that one? Or would you rather I find the
> >>> answer myself?
>
> >> Oh, if you'd like to play junior detective, Frank, go right ahead. Gee,
> >> this is almost like one of those television shows, isn't it?
> >
> > and why do you contiue to protect an obviously fraud
>
> I've done nothing to protect you, Mark.

agreed but I am not the fraud that is Stevie

>
> Dave K8MN

208. Re: more stevie like lies from an annymouse or is it stevie himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:04:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RGIjf.3222$Hk1.2652@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125974

an Old friend wrote:
> not an old friend wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1133191600.112603.263370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> cut
>>> no I don't support moral decay
>>>
>> I've read things posted by you that say you in fact DO support moral
>> decay, Mark Morgan. (see below)
>
> I have checked it
>
> nothing there indicating I support moral decay or the sort of
> lawlessness you are advocating

There was plenty there in your personals post indicating your own
contribution to moral decay, Mark. I don't blame you for snipping it.
I guess even you can be embarrassed.

Dave K8MN

209. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:04:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133467480.278556.82960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125975

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > when will dave do the right thing and stop supporting your gay bashing
> > > buddies tactics?
> >
> > Mark, you aren't just gay.
>
> I am not gay and that scares you it is called Biphobia

Oh no! Another Diase for Mark to carry!

> > You are perverse. I don't need a "buddy" to
> > tell me that.
> >
> > > afriad to engage in real dabte? your bet you are all you have iyou and
> > > stvie is your gay bashing bullshit
> >
> > I'm not "afriad" of you at all. Don't mistake my disgust for fear. I'd
> > be happy to engage in "dabte" as soon as you find someone more qualified
> > than yourself to carry your end of it. "stvie" will have to respond for
> > himself.
>
> why then have you never enaged in debate her

Did he ask you a question, Dave?

> not with anyone

Caught in yet another lie, Markie!

I guess all that BPL, DX, Morse Code Testing, Message Handling, etc
etc etc stuff Dave was discussing with others was ALL
"gaybashing"...?!?!

> it is becuase you are a coard and a liar

LEARN TO SPELL YOU IGNORANT PUTZ!

> still avoid the question why doy uo support gay bashing

Where?

Show ONCE INSTANCE of "gay bashing" that would withstand case law,
Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

210. stevei lie some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:05:33 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133467533.375117.202830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125976

K4YZ wrote:
Re: More Markie Mularkie Muddling Men's Minds

what do I have to do with this

> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > On 28 Nov 2005 08:11:51 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
> > Even as a spelling cop you are a dud, Dud.
>
> Perhaps, Frankie, but then I'm not a disgraced ex-Marine with two
> court martial's in his SRB either...

no I doubt you were ever a Marine
>
> Like yourself.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

211. when will stevie pay up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:06:21 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133467581.399030.86860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125977

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > stevie lies something
> > >
> > > He promised I would arrested today
> >
> > Hey Markie...
> >
> > Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
> > ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
> > today".....?!?!?!?!
>
> pay the money to amsat
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/da59268c3f4
87aee/d8e371554c72afa1?lnk=st&q=black&rnum=1#d8e371554c72afa1
>
> relavant paragraph
> Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW. If you
>
> stop now, there's no need to feel "threatened". If you don't aplogize
> for the death threats, then you DO have a reason to feel "threatened",
> but not from me...It will be from the next black-and-white that pulls
> up in your driveway.
>
>
>
> therefore you lose but you will welch on the bet
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

212. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:07:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133467667.079742.218480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125978

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > Nobody in this newsgroup has told more baldfaced lies than Mark Morgan.
>
> i have told exactly 6 lies in 7 years

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!
!
!

!
!
!
!
!
!
!

213. broing stevie tiels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:08:11 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133467691.522579.126260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125979

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > when will dave do the right thing and stop supporting your gay bashing
> > > > buddies tactics?
> > >
> > > Mark, you aren't just gay.
> >
> > I am not gay and that scares you it is called Biphobia
>
> Oh no! Another Diase for Mark to carry!

nope for dave
learn to read
flush

214. Re: Will dave apologize for his gaybashing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:09:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jMIjf.3224$Hk1.2226@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125980

an Old friend wrote:

> when will Dave apologize for his gay bashing his abusive of all moral
> decentcy indeed his failure to follow the teaching his claims are from
> God Himself

Your header says, "Will dave..." No, Dave won't. The text says, "when will Dave....". The answer to that is: never. You write of moral decency, Mark, but you don't exhibit any. You attempt to use my religion (one rejected by yourself) to justify your actions and choices in life.

> when will dave come claen on his moral failings?

...about the same time as I accept you as someone who understands the meaning of the word "morality".

Dave K8MN

215. yet another change of atribution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:10:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <26muo15tpq7bpqd2729bv8o4cj7mfd9amo@4ax.com>
Source record: 125996

On 9 Nov 2005 12:56:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
again the change of attribtuotion
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

216. dave decides to lie some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:12:22 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133467941.986502.136060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125981

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > not an old friend wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> news:1133191600.112603.263370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > cut
> >>> no I don't support moral decay
> >>>
> >> I've read things posted by you that say you in fact DO support moral
> >> decay, Mark Morgan. (see below)
> >
> > I have checked it
> >
> > nothing there indicating I support moral decay or the sort of
> > lawlessness you are advocating
>
> There was plenty there in your personals post indicating your own
> contribution to moral decay, Mark.
only in the mind of prude like yourself

> I don't blame you for snipping it.

then why are bring ing it up

> I guess even you can be embarrassed.

no i can't not by that

I'd love to find a good Domme could realy use a session

RRAP OTOH just isn't the right plate to look

Dee Flint after is the only possible canidate and even if she is a
femdomme she lives to far away
>
> Dave K8MN

217. stevie shit why doesn't he see about about all the shit he makes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:14:03 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133468043.794285.205820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125982

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > Nobody in this newsgroup has told more baldfaced lies than Mark Morgan.
> >
> > i have told exactly 6 lies in 7 years
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
that is the figure

you lie that many time is a single post at times

218. Re: Will dave apologize for his gaybashing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:16:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133468214.182150.229360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125983

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
>
> > when will Dave apologize for his gay bashing his abusive of all moral
> > decentcy indeed his failure to follow the teaching his claims are from
> > God Himself
>
> Your header says, "Will dave..." No, Dave won't. The text says, "when
> will Dave....". The answer to that is: never. You write of moral
> decency, Mark, but you don't exhibit any. You attempt to use my religion
> (one rejected by yourself) to justify your actions and choices in life.
>
> > when will dave come claen on his moral failings?
>
> ...about the same time as I accept you as someone who understands the
> meaning of the word "morality".

meaning what?

why can't you say what you mean

it sound like you mean never

meaning you will contineu your gaybashing hate fore ever (or at least
till you die)
>
> Dave K8MN

219. Re: is Stevie an abused childhood liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:17:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133468240.434307.327620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125984

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > a soimple explanation that fits the facts is the stevie lied and got
> > caught and brutaozed as a kid for lying
>
> That soimple explanation isn't very soimple. He got caught and what?

and abused likely sexualy
>
> Dave K8MN

220. more changes in atribution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:17:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <0jmuo1pek9lhun1dvq38ld8i1lu37e5lds@4ax.com>
Source record: 125997

On 9 Nov 2005 13:15:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>
> A lie before you even get to the first line...
>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> Latent Lennie Lameness Muddled by Markie Mularkie

another changed quote
>>
>> more libel 2 people at once you are getting good at libel, of course
>> you have had years of practice
>
> No libel.
>
>> > LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > From: snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31
another
>> > > >nobodys old friend wrote:

and another

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

221. Don't Call For A Fireman At Markie's House!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:21:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133468489.376028.217230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125985

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > cut
> > >
> > > LIAR LIAR PANTS ON FIRE!
> >
> > call 991 i guess your pants must be buring
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> ".....CALL 991....."
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> !!!
>
> WHAT AN IDIOT!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

222. stevie changing the atribution and claim rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:24:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <qvmuo15eovheepa7iuv2c5th7m470pu8rr@4ax.com>
Source record: 125998

On 9 Nov 2005 13:31:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>raped an old friend wrote:

a despictable change
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
a change
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

223. more mutlible changing of atribution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:31:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <1dnuo1p2phtddtn44qcdqigfc8mcupks86@4ax.com>
Source record: 125999

On 10 Nov 2005 04:34:54 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys old friend wrote:
one change
>> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
another
>> > raped an old friend wrote:

yet another
>> > > LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:

a forth

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

224. altering BB atribtution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:39:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <crnuo1lhosrrmaogjnt5f8fbfapic0js05@4ax.com>
Source record: 126000

On 10 Nov 2005 04:28:29 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com, yet another smokescreen for Brian P
>Burke, N0IMD wrote:
still more changes of atribution
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

225. stvei the coward tried BHS to conceal his id guess it is getting hot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:41:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133469703.302166.59190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125986

steverobeson@yahoo.com

226. stevie sugesting Arson?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:42:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133469759.547483.108230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125987

Don't Call For A Fireman At Markie's House!

why else would I need a firement and have anything to do stevie

makes you wnder

227. pay up welchers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:43:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133469825.163597.211490@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125988

an Old friend wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > stevie lies something
> > > >
> > > > He promised I would arrested today
> > >
> > > Hey Markie...
> > >
> > > Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
> > > ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
> > > today".....?!?!?!?!
> >
> > pay the money to amsat
> >
> > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/da59268c3
f487aee/d8e371554c72afa1?lnk=st&q=black&rnum=1#d8e371554c72afa1
> >
> > relavant paragraph
> > Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW. If you
> >
> > stop now, there's no need to feel "threatened". If you don't aplogize
> > for the death threats, then you DO have a reason to feel "threatened",
> > but not from me...It will be from the next black-and-white that pulls
> > up in your driveway.
> >
> >
> >
> > therefore you lose but you will welch on the bet
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

228. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:49:37 UTC
From: cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <dmnnl1$hh5@netnews.net.lucent.com>
Source record: 125991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

>>I still hear signals on the bands so at least somebody is xmitting...

>Sure you hear lots of signals on the bands. Appliance operators and
>cb'ers.

I doubt that CBs are sending morse code. I heard some CW signals
that sould like beginners too so perhaps there is some new blood
in the hobby.

Anyway I would rather be listening to the radio that read some of
the crap that is posted in these newsgroups. I don't the condition
of ham radio but I must say the condition of news groups associated
with ham radio have declined a lot since I last read them.

I must say that someones advice to find a local club is the way
to go. At least people there are interested in HR and not just
there to kick other people or make dirty jokes.

chuck

229. hmm was stevie taking lunch when he was posting this round

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:58:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133470690.539603.95770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125992

a breidf flurry inclduing some annymouses and anw email address for stevie

guess stevie is so worked up as to skip lunch

230. Re: when will stevie admit he has lied to NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 20:58:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <uuouo1dss8bdnndiuvqcn17gildot5o4dv@4ax.com>
Source record: 126002

answer the question

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 02:19:20 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>when will stevie admit
>his title
>Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
>Asking For It".
>was a lie?
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

231. I can never pass up a cock for lunch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 21:10:44 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org" <>
Message-ID: <fmpuo1demdsq8flldb7u1fl9gmbf965gu8@4ax.com>
Source record: 125994

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> a breidf flurry inclduing some annymouses and anw email address for
> stevie
>
> guess stevie is so worked up as to skip lunch
>
I had tube steak smothered in underware for lunch.
(cream filled)

Mark Morgan
```


```
---------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

232. Re: when will stevie explain his lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 21:14:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <00puo1d0lt3263nf3vskuvaf7re6rtmcge@4ax.com>
Source record: 126003

answer the question

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 02:43:17 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>stevie made this and claims I was the one who brought my
>sexualoreintation
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/415ffd26aa85
9187/7c0ec0b7cd835135?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=2#7c0ec0b7cd835135
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

233. Re: when will stvei expalin this lie from his past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 21:21:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <oaquo11i7hs1do60c9hv7bfklot2qr2g6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 126004

answer the question

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 02:44:30 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>
>
>stevie claims I brought it on myself
> so explain this post from keyboard in 1999
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/ffa025c98fb5
2e2c/9944b4e9f77a8430?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=5#9944b4e9f77a8430
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

234. Re: and try explaining this stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 21:29:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <spquo1p12l2s1iv87sg2upiilkutbj4tnf@4ax.com>
Source record: 126006

answer the question

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 02:51:23 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>
>here is post from
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_frm/thread/f25b4ffb19d0658
/6c849b9fc7e8cac0?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=77#6c849b9fc7e8cac0
>
>the operatant paragraph is at the end
>> Oh Noooooooooooooooo Todd...I can't be any higher than tenth
>>place. In no certain order there's you, Brian Burke N0IMD, Lennie
>>nderson, "Fritz Wuehler", "Mel A. Nomah", Any one of the numerous
>>manifestation of Lloyd and Roger (one pont each), K1MAN, and the
>>Drafted Gay Pagan Chemical Corps Officer Formerly Known As Markie.
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>how did i bring up mys exuality I was not even posting in the
>Newsgruop
>
>why don't you stop your lie in claiming I am the one who brings my
>sexuality
>
>you were doing it when I wasn't even here
>
>
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>_______________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

235. posted some examples of Stevie changing attribtution why does he deny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 21:54:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133474077.382645.134690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126001

why does stevie tell such obvious lies

236. still no cops stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 22:39:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133476755.303295.279100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126005

about 5 pam and still no cops here

more of lies

why did you waste my tax dolloar calling houghton ? or was that claim a lie too?

youlie so much a body can never tell

237. Re: Northen Michigan Gay Male seeks Domme, would love spanking on his birthday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 22:49:41 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1133477381.048810.304970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan,rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> my birthday is the 21 of feb
> 41 year old white unmarried, GAY, switch
> (tend to be a a bit more sub than Dom) who has
> experenced both sub and
> Dom with other Males, but has only been Dom with
> women, and would really
> like to try Femdom. Employed but not flush enough
> to make a ProDom my
> first choice. i will not deal with solid waste
> but i think every thing
> thing else is possible (i hedge because as soon as
> i think I have heard
> it all someone seems to come up with something
> new) Things i
> diffinently like are body worship, hot wax,
> bondage, spanking. i have
> done and enoyed with people really into them
> watersports, lite
> bloodlicking (i knew a girl into Vampires ok?)
> these are things i likely
> to enjoy if they trun someone on, but fall flat if
> the other party(s)
> aren't real into them, in that vein i will
> consider and may well get
> into something i if it really turns you on.
>
> Mark Morgan

hey mark........

we'd LOVE for you to CUM to the medina gay cb and pleasure club!

238. Re: mopathetic stars in gay WW2 movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 22:54:35 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1133477675.172370.84020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan,rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

I WANT PACK DEE'S STOOL.

239. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 22:57:56 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1133477876.085602.324800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan,rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

I WANT TO PACK DEE'S STOOL.
an old friend wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <hhc314@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:dmgqvk$2o8$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> > > Cmdr Buzz Corey posted:
> > >
> cut
> > > Harry C.
> > >
> > > p.s., If the above predictions become reality, I will be re-registering
> > > as a Democrat and working my arse off to defeat both of these
> > > candidates.
> > >
> >
> >
> > That's the wrong reason to change parties. First of all, look at your
> > values and compare them to the values & policies of the various parties.
> > Join that party whatever it may be and whoever may be the leaders. Then if
> > you don't like the leaders, work your arse off to get different leaders
> > within the party. What good does it do you to joint a party which may be
> > diametrically opposed to your points of view !?
>
> this is funny Dee is trying to reason wioth a cnacelled cartoon
> character, vaild arguement i agree but the targeting is well off
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

240. Re: ignore 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:01:35 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1133478095.185910.163320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan,rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

VINNIE THE NINNIE GAVE ME A STRANGE HEAD JOB.

241. Re: just exaclty how did I bring up sexality for you to respond to in this post?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:02:20 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <g4puo199jq19m9f9fpcf0auoleclgbndrk@4ax.com>
Source record: 126019

answer the question

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 03:14:29 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>
>
>back in March of this year when I was around you posted
>http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/3dd4fa2a4d2688ca/18c5159b8577e7cd?rnum=11&q=aids&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2F3dd4fa2a4d2688ca%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Daids%26#doc_e09f3c7f5f9c7b10
>now tell us how did I bring up sexuality in that thread I never posted
>in it at all nor did I post in the ng at till several months later
>
>when are you goign to drop the bad lie of claiming I cause you to
>bring up sexuality?
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

242. remember stevie you can stop this anytime you choose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:05:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <cdquo1d25irafkm7v219fdscmnnu9gf2rq@4ax.com>
Source record: 126020

why don't you choose now?

why msut you hide behind lies?
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

243. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:06:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HILjf.50$Of1.25@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126011

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "an old friend" on Sun, Nov 27 2005 6:55 pm
>>
>>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am
>>>>>> DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
>>>>>> moving controls, operating, all that stuff.
>>>>> I get the feeling that your knowledge of radio operating might be a
>>>>> little light. Are you of the opinion that operating a radio falls under
>>>>> "all that stuff"?
>>>> Len does not consider "operating skills" to be of much (if any) value.
>>> a fair enough assesment of len views
>> I disagree, Mark. I do not consider a RADIOTELEGRAPHY TEST to be
>> any "operating skill" worthy of being part of an amateur radio
>> operator's license.
>
> my apologies I was trying to simply agee on paper with Jim...

Paper? Where's the paper?

> ...as a
> Retorical tactic I was also accepting the screwed up procode difer that
> says Cw test was the same as operating skill...

I beg to "difer" with your "Retorical" tactic, Colonel.

> (just tryin some
> hypothecials to see if Jim could get past the nonsense or if Jim is as
> traped as Stevie and Dave

Only "hyptothecially" could you "trape" anybody.

Dave K8MN

244. did N8DOS teach stevie to lie about military matter or is stvei relately the made up lies of his father as his own

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:09:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <nequo19arg1stkhp1jnj4ef9sf1fbp4fq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 126021

new theory stevie get his knowledge of the military from his dad, who
was lying his ass off stevie is just in this theory repeated daddies
lies which is why he is shocked they don't work

his anger come therefore from learning his father was a big a fraud as
he is

it is a theory. is it true? maybe but not even stevie knows for sure
most likely
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

245. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:10:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1qLjf.52$Of1.30@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126012

N9OGL wrote:
> Let's look at some facts
>
> 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
>
> FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
> will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
> hide that fact

You've done a pretty good job of hiding it here, Todd. Trust me, nobody would have guessed.

Dave K8MN

246. stevie making coments about other people sexuality which he claims is my fault for posting in a personal gruop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:13:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ffquo1pirhvd79fscnt6la7982ebe99nt6@4ax.com>
Source record: 126022

On 2 Nov 2005 11:55:50 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OpenGangrenousLesion wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>> > > Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
>> >
>> > Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a ####ing cassette recorder,
>> > Toad.
>> >
>> I wouldn't bet on it ####head, I've spend $5,000 on audio equipment.
>
> Guess it's out of the question that you'll stop sponging off of
>Mommy and Daddie any time soon then, eh?
>
>> > > for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
>> > > pond scum (Commander ##########, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
>> > > still running the same ####.
>
> No, but now that you're "baaaccckkkk", the same old #### will
>resume.
>
>> > Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.
>
> Or is his brother finally saying "NO" and find someone else to do
>his dirty work?

>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

247. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:13:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VsLjf.54$Of1.38@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126013

an old friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>> Let's look at some facts
>>
>> 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
>>
>> FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
>> will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
>> hide that fact
>
> indeed your rep is well known enough around taylorville to reach the
> ears of peple I still know there

So you can confirm that Todd is a "womenizer"? How many "peple" know
both you and Todd (and don't you find that sort of poetic)?

Dave K8MN

248. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:26:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PELjf.4151$A23.2361@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126014

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm
>
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> Mark, there's something curious about morsemen.

I'm sure that you find any number of things curious, Leonard, like why would anyone devote the time and energy to learning a skill which can earn them no money and which you believe is quite useless?

> They are very
> SERIOUS about their hobby and INTENSE on certain skills.

Perhaps you meant that they put serious effort into learning the material required for obtaining an HF amateur radio license and were INTENT on passing the exams.

> Their
> sense of humor is limited only to THEM "laughing" at those who
> disagree on telegraphy testing.

Since you are on the outside of amateur radio and you disagree on morse code testing, I can understand how you came to that conclusion. Radio amateurs who favor retention of the morse test can and do laugh at many other things. You write only from your experience.

>>>> The beginning of the solid-state era had begun.
>>> The beginning had begun? Third graders write better than that, Len.

>> bad jimmie Stevie job is to play speling cop
>
> Sister Nun of the Above got into the act, spanking ruler at the
> ready. She didn't hit anything, though.

I'm sure I heard a dull thud as you were struck amidships.

Dave K8MN

249. Why does Dave lie about Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:32:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hc0vo1t7u7p73eu6mvmv36ofmq8teklvr5@4ax.com>
Source record: 126030

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 23:10:53 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>N9OGL wrote:
>> Let's look at some facts
>>
>> 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
>>
>> FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
>> will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
>> hide that fact
>
>You've done a pretty good job of hiding it here, Todd. Trust me, nobody
>would have guessed.

why did you just lie?
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

250. why is dave stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:37:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hd0vo1t7fph6vs9m78ot6m8n39m75c0k17@4ax.com>
Source record: 126033

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 23:13:57 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>>> Let's look at some facts
>>>
>>> 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
>>>
>>> FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
>>> will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
>>> hide that fact
>>
>> indeed your rep is well known enough around taylorville to reach the
>> ears of peple I still know there
>
>So you can confirm that Todd is a "womenizer"? How many "peple" know
>both you and Todd (and don't you find that sort of poetic)?

why are you so dumb we lived for years within simplex range
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

251. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:43:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <lf0vo1hmn9copaqiv2gv3r76g9chf3vf0n@4ax.com>
Source record: 126035

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 23:53:20 GMT, John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>
>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K0HB on Nov 30, 4:46 pm
>>
>>
>>><N...@AOL.COM> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>>Where in Part 97 does it say that anyone cannot comment outside
>>>>the deadline dates?
>>>
>>>Part 97 is silent on the subject of comments outside, inside, above, before,
>>>after, abeam, abaft, or forward of the deadline.
>>
>>
>> Try Part 0 and/or Part 1 of Title 47 C.F.R.
>>
>> It is NOT in BUPERSINST 1900.8B.
>>
>>
>> Have a nice day,
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>Are you saying that one cannot file comments outside the deadline?
>After all, you have done so!

your evedeince?

or have you been lsitening to stevie?

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

252. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:49:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fl0vo1l6u8kh8mvh856f7sospi5u02a1a6@4ax.com>
Source record: 126037

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 23:26:39 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm
>>
>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Mark, there's something curious about morsemen.
>
>I'm sure that you find any number of things curious, Leonard, like why
>would anyone devote the time and energy to learning a skill which can
>earn them no money and which you believe is quite useless?

at the risk of being wrong lety me guess

Len does not find that curious
>
>> They are very
>> SERIOUS about their hobby and INTENSE on certain skills.
>
>Perhaps you meant that they put serious effort into learning the
>material required for obtaining an HF amateur radio license and were
>INTENT on passing the exams.

nope I am sure he did not mena it

so you are trying by infeence

>
>> Their
>> sense of humor is limited only to THEM "laughing" at those who
>> disagree on telegraphy testing.
>
>Since you are on the outside of amateur radio and you disagree on morse
>code testing, I can understand how you came to that conclusion. Radio
>amateurs who favor retention of the morse test can and do laugh at many
>other things. You write only from your experience.

gee the insiders come to that conclusion too the insider and the
outsider both agree

>
>
>>>>> The beginning of the solid-state era had begun.
>>>> The beginning had begun? Third graders write better than that, Len.
>
>>> bad jimmie Stevie job is to play speling cop
>>
>> Sister Nun of the Above got into the act, spanking ruler at the
>> ready. She didn't hit anything, though.
>
>I'm sure I heard a dull thud as you were struck amidships.

now you are hearing thing
>
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

253. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-01 23:53:20 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <438F8CEE.2080502@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 126015

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K0HB on Nov 30, 4:46 pm
>
>
>><N...@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>>
>>>Where in Part 97 does it say that anyone cannot comment outside
>>>the deadline dates?
>>
>>Part 97 is silent on the subject of comments outside, inside, above, before,
>>after, abeam, abaft, or forward of the deadline.
>
>
> Try Part 0 and/or Part 1 of Title 47 C.F.R.
>
> It is NOT in BUPERSINST 1900.8B.
>
>
> Have a nice day,
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
Are you saying that one cannot file comments outside the deadline?
After all, you have done so!

254. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:05:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133481904.359200.110630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126016

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Let's look at some facts
> >
> > 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
> >
> > FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
> > will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
> > hide that fact
>
> You've done a pretty good job of hiding it here, Todd. Trust me, nobody
> would have guessed.

Dave why are you lying again
>
> Dave K8MN

255. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:06:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hg3vo1dcfj2861c9djetuuoebbtjmqb7kh@4ax.com>
Source record: 126041

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 00:26:17 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm
>>
>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> I opt NOT to bother with CB radio since it is not to my needs
>> in communicating anything by radio. The little two-way radio
>> terminal called a "cell phone" serves both me and my wife very
>> adequately in mobile communications needs.
>
>You're quite right, sir. A cell phone meets your needs. You needn't
>bother with CB or amateur radio.

indeed he NEED and you need not
>
>> My old Johnson...still works...
>
>That's nice.
>
>> Jimmy, who never worked IN the FCC (and will never do so),
>> thinks that just having an amateur license means he had
>> "something to do with amateur radio regulations." :-)
>
>Will you ever work IN the FCC, Len?

is something lacking in your reading skil dave

it seems that way

>
>>>> Morse Code wasn't "dying" back then and it isn't "dying" now - in
>>>> amateur radio, anyway.
>
>>> not what I hear
>>
>> You have to give Jimmy some slack, Mark. Since his receiver
>> can't pick up anything outside the "low end" of HF ham bands,
>> he thinks HF is still "alive with the sounds of morse code"

>> (as if Julie Andrews were singing it on top of a hill).
>
>Does your venerable Icom receiver still hit the bottom end of the HF ham
>bands, Leonard? You must think morse code is dead, poor morse is dead
>(as if Gordon McRae were singing it out by the corral).

no he doesn't think is dead just dying

I do too just not fast enough you Jim and steve certain makes a decent
case for the notion that CW uUSE casues brain damage in some people
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

256. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:06:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133482012.409131.196560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126017

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> Let's look at some facts
> >>
> >> 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
> >>
> >> FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
> >> will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
> >> hide that fact
> >
> > indeed your rep is well known enough around taylorville to reach the
> > ears of peple I still know there
>
> So you can confirm that Todd is a "womenizer"? How many "peple" know
> both you and Todd (and don't you find that sort of poetic)?

Oh at least a dozen likely at least a 100

No I don't find it poetic Tood and I spent a about a dozen years living
within FM simplex range of each other
>
> Dave K8MN

257. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:08:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133482113.491717.310810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126018

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "an old friend" on Sun, Nov 27 2005 6:55 pm
> >>
cut
> > my apologies I was trying to simply agee on paper with Jim...
>
> Paper? Where's the paper?

gog find it
>
> > ...as a
> > Retorical tactic I was also accepting the screwed up procode difer that
> > says Cw test was the same as operating skill...
>
> I beg to "difer" with your "Retorical" tactic, Colonel.

beg all you like I can't help
>
> > (just tryin some
> > hypothecials to see if Jim could get past the nonsense or if Jim is as
> > traped as Stevie and Dave
>
> Only "hyptothecially" could you "trape" anybody.

did you have anything to say?

it doesn't look like it
>
> Dave K8MN

258. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:26:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JwMjf.4168$A23.3115@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126023

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm
>
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> I opt NOT to bother with CB radio since it is not to my needs
> in communicating anything by radio. The little two-way radio
> terminal called a "cell phone" serves both me and my wife very
> adequately in mobile communications needs.

You're quite right, sir. A cell phone meets your needs. You needn't
bother with CB or amateur radio.

> My old Johnson...still works...

That's nice.

> Jimmy, who never worked IN the FCC (and will never do so),
> thinks that just having an amateur license means he had
> "something to do with amateur radio regulations." :-)

Will you ever work IN the FCC, Len?

>>> Morse Code wasn't "dying" back then and it isn't "dying" now - in
>>> amateur radio, anyway.

>> not what I hear
>
> You have to give Jimmy some slack, Mark. Since his receiver
> can't pick up anything outside the "low end" of HF ham bands,
> he thinks HF is still "alive with the sounds of morse code"
> (as if Julie Andrews were singing it on top of a hill).

Does your venerable Icom receiver still hit the bottom end of the HF ham
bands, Leonard? You must think morse code is dead, poor morse is dead
(as if Gordon McRae were singing it out by the corral).

Dave K8MN

259. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:30:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iqu92n2.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 126024

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 00:46:58 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

>> Where in Part 97 does it say that anyone cannot comment outside
>> the deadline dates?
>>
>
>Part 97 is silent on the subject of comments outside, inside, above, before,
>after, abeam, abaft, or forward of the deadline.

You left out "aloft" and "below", Master Chief..... <ggg>
>
>Sunuvagun!

For the barracks lawyers, Part 1 of the FCC Rules and Title 5 of the
C.F.R. deals with such minutia. When they totally foul interpretation
of it up, they can hire a REAL lawyer to teach them about it.

>de Hans, K0HB

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

260. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:32:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZCMjf.4169$A23.2682@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126025

```
an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
>>> an Issue with them.
>> It must seem that way if you're the guy standing around with chinky armor.
>
> a confession from Dave
>
> he just admitting to notice chink in the armour meeaning he was looking
> for it

Armour is a meat packing company. :-)

Dave K8MN
```

261. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:37:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NGMjf.4170$A23.2316@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126026

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
>>> an Issue with them.

>> It must seem that way if you're the guy standing around with chinky armor.
>
> a confession from Dave
>
> he just admitting to notice chink in the armour meeaning he was looking
> for it

> yea I am repeating myself

Not entirely, Colonel. Now you have me "stalking lens armour", which is
funny enough as is.

It must be comforting knowing that no one makes you look like a bigger
fool than you do.

Dave K8MN

262. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:37:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133483868.067001.309670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126027

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>> Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
> >>> an Issue with them.
> >> It must seem that way if you're the guy standing around with chinky armor.
> > >
> > > a confession from Dave
> > >
> > > he just admitting to notice chink in the armour meeaning he was looking
> > > for it
> >
> > Armour is a meat packing company. :-)

as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
prefer to use

not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
spelling cop shit
>
> Dave K8MN

263. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly ~~ Manifests Markies Malicious Muddlings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:37:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133483870.777986.181490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126028

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > > > >
> > > > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > > > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > > > >
> > > > > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
> > > >
> > > > indeed that was the effect I was going for
> > > >
> > > > How am I managing BB?
> > >
> > > Impressive. I think that you are out-Steving the Master.
> >
> > Cute!

...and unfortunate. You tend to be the lowest common denominator and
when people stoop to your kind of behavio[u]r, it drags the whole group
down. Thanks for a shining example of Extra Hamdom.

> > > the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> > > does on a routinue basis
> >
> > I tried being a jerk like Steve, but I had no stomach for it. Gave it
> > up after a couple of weeks. I don't know how he can stand himself.
>
> Oh, NO, Brain!

Steve, it's "Brian."

> Don't understimate yourself, Brain!

When I do I'm usually wrong.

> You're your OWN jerk, and I am sure you have PLENTY of stomach for
> it!

I prefer politeness. People can disagree without being disagreeable.
Except for a person named "Steven J Robeson."

> And unlike you I can see you being able to "stand" yourself. What
> I CAN'T see is you subjecting your family to a jerk like you.

What has my family to do with your assinine behavio[u]r on rrap? Is
this the part where you again feel a need to talk to my wife or my
minor son? Be careful how you answer.

> > > > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> > > > >
> > > > > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > > > > them.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > > > > gone?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > For merely behaving as you have?
> > > >
> > > indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?
> > >
> > The double-standard couldn't have been more clear.
> >
> Ah yes...The Feeble Five High Five.
>
> How (un)impressive!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"High Five?" Hi, hi!

Your lies are so ubiquitous that drawing attention to them is
b-o-r-i-n-g. It's like finding a tiny toy surprise in every box of
Cracker Jacks. The thrill is gone.

264. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:38:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8q5vo1dnrs0ghdgvjfh63tckohme94csjd@4ax.com>
Source record: 126047

On 1 Dec 2005 17:36:03 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> > > On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:27:11 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>> > > wrote in <jr_if.3303$A23.2361@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
cut

>> > Outside of inadequate troop strength, what has America to show for The
>> > Peace Dividend?
>>
>> we had the surplusess till the bush 2 folks showed that they were
>> mostly a product of the lucasflim contract with CBO
>
>I recall that during the last few days of the Gore campaign, Clinton's
>people come out with even more absurd surplus numbers in an attempt to
>get more votes for Gore. But the People of Tennessee wouldn't vote for
>him so why should the rest of us?
>
>Anyway, it is obvious that troop strength isn't what it should be.

and even poor spare parts store stcuk maintianing no fly zones that
had us break the lifespans of our airframe

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

265. Re: stevie keep racking up evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:40:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dKMjf.4172$A23.1835@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126029

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>>> stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
>> Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
>> anything.
>>
>>>> Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
>>>> proven over and over.

>>> and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of ####

>> Deny what?
>
> that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter

Ohh-hooooooo! Hahhhhh! *chortle*

The person who has been fouling this newsgroup is....Mark C. Morgan.

Dave K8MN

266. Re: stevie keep racking up evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:45:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133484312.108946.173500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126031

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>> stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
> >> Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
> >> anything.
> >>
> >>>> Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
> >>>> proven over and over.
>
> >>> and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of ####
>
> >> Deny what?
> >
> > that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter
>
> Ohh-hooooooo! Hahhhhh! *chortle*
>
> The person who has been fouling this newsgroup is....Mark C. Morgan.

not at all

you and stevie and your allies have been enaged in searching out
everything you don't aprove of

You and Stevie and your allies have been taking the action you deem as
fouling the NG

I am not responible for anyone's decison to post

despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am

you are a sack a shit

you proffess a religious faith then come here and violatew the word of
god in you onw faith

I call that fouling the NG and the planent

but then I am a moral person I obey the teaching of ,y faith YOU don't
follow the ditatse of yours
>
> Dave K8MN

267. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:47:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133484431.829600.4210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126032

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>> Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
> >>> an Issue with them.
> >
> >> It must seem that way if you're the guy standing around with chinky armor.
> > >
> > > a confession from Dave
> > >
> > > he just admitting to notice chink in the armour meeaning he was looking
> > > for it
> >
> > > yea I am repeating myself
> >
> > Not entirely, Colonel. Now you have me "stalking lens armour", which is
> > funny enough as is.

yes entirely

I just reposted to show you in highlight

>
> It must be comforting knowing that no one makes you look like a bigger
> fool than you do.

why can't you tell the truth
>
> Dave K8MN

268. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly ~~ Manifests Markies Malicious Muddlings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:49:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133484580.211043.171990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126034

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > > > > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
> > > > >
> > > > > indeed that was the effect I was going for
> > > > >
> > > > > How am I managing BB?
> > > >
> > > > Impressive. I think that you are out-Steving the Master.
> > >
> > > Cute!
> >

> ...and unfortunate. You tend to be the lowest common denominator and
> when people stoop to your kind of behavio[u]r, it drags the whole group
> down. Thanks for a shining example of Extra Hamdom.

very unforunate but what can you do
>
> > > > the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> > > > does on a routinue basis
> > >
> > > I tried being a jerk like Steve, but I had no stomach for it. Gave it
> > > up after a couple of weeks. I don't know how he can stand himself.
> >
> > Oh, NO, Brain!
>
> Steve, it's "Brian."
>
> > Don't understimate yourself, Brain!
>
```

> When I do I'm usually wrong.
>
> > You're your OWN jerk, and I am sure you have PLENTY of stomach for
> > it!
>
> I prefer politeness. People can disagree without being disagreeable.
> Except for a person named "Steven J Robeson."

people can I am not sure realy make that standard
>
> > And unlike you I can see you being able to "stand" yourself. What
> > I CAN'T see is you subjecting your family to a jerk like you.
>
> What has my family to do with your assinine behavio[u]r on rrap? Is
> this the part where you again feel a need to talk to my wife or my
> minor son? Be careful how you answer.
very likely after all he has called the cops on me again no results of
course

cut

269. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 00:55:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rYMjf.95$Of1.1@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126036

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> Armour is a meat packing company. :-)
>

Mark is challenging Hormel Meats as the worlds larger manufacturer of Spam.
Even Monty Python agrees ..... see ---->
http://www.montypythonsspamalot.com/low_band/index.html

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

270. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 01:00:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <31Njf.4174$A23.781@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126038

```
Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>> Armour is a meat packing company. :-)
>>
>
> Mark is challenging Hormel Meats as the worlds larger manufacturer of Spam.
> Even Monty Python agrees ..... see ---->
> http://www.montypythonsspamalot.com/low_band/index.html
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Mark hoses almost everything up. He is now "Treeting" the newsgroup.

Dave K8MN
```

271. stevie sireminded that he can have something at least resembling peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 01:01:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133485293.866334.299880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126039

to get he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
rest of us

272. Hans will liefor Stevie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 01:11:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133485886.973855.121950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126040

KØHB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> >
> > Armour is a meat packing company. :-)
> >
>
> Mark is challenging Hormel Meats as the worlds larger manufacturer of Spam.

han jion the dastartly dou in jumping off the deep end?
> Even Monty Python agrees ..... see ---->
> http://www.montypythonsspamalot.com/low_band/index.html
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

273. when will Dave stop claimingI am responible for what other people post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 01:18:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133486313.977409.137250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126042

or will he continue to assert that I am respoible for other reporting
stuuf intot he Newsgruop

274. Re: Steve is reminded that he can have something at least resembling peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 01:22:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133486546.748837.245460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126043

an_old_friend wrote:

> to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> rest of us

I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.

275. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 01:22:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KlNjf.3303$Hk1.1408@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126044

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> Mark hoses almost everything up. He is now "Treeting" the newsgroup.
>

His new screen name should be "Court Clown Morgan of Spam-a-lot"

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--
Lord High Liberator of the Electric Smoke

276. Re: Steve is reminded that he can have something at least resembling peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 01:28:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133486932.876232.271660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126045

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > rest of us
>
> I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.

I suspect you are right OToh my offer is sincere and it helps me feel
comfroatble with this type of action

277. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 01:36:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133487363.213135.111420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126046

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:27:11 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> > > wrote in <jr_if.3303$A23.2361@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote
> > > >
> > > >> The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
> > > >> earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
> > > >> months in specialty".
> > > >
> > > >I'll take your word for it, as I missed your post. None of mine (including one
> > > >in 1979) mention anything about "years and months in specialty". Closest it
> > > >comes to that is Block 5 (Date of Rank).
> > > >
> > > >Beep beep
> > > >de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > >
> > > www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg
> > >
> > > And don't forget that Major Dud claims to have been discharged
> > > (....er, "retired") in 1992, seven years -after- my discharge. Hey,
> > > now there's something you can look up in your bupers manuals -- how
> > > can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years (17 years 9
> > > months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable' discharge -AND-
> > > get retirement status?
> >
> > Bush (1st) gave the order to drawdown the services, and later Clinton
> > implemented it.
> >
> > It was called "The Peace Dividend."
> >
> > One method was the early retirement, I think it was minus 2% for each
> > year retired early. Critical career fields were exempted from the
> > offer. RIFfed officers were given a severance package.
> >
> > But 1992 is one year too early for Steve's retirement to have been part
> > of the drawdown. Bush left office in January 1993, shortly after
> > visiting us in Somalia.
> >
> > Outside of inadequate troop strength, what has America to show for The

> > Peace Dividend?
>
> we had the surplusess till the bush 2 folks showed that they were
> mostly a product of the lucasflim contract with CBO

I recall that during the last few days of the Gore campaign, Clinton's people come out with even more absurd surplus numbers in an attempt to get more votes for Gore. But the People of Tennessee wouldn't vote for him so why should the rest of us?

Anyway, it is obvious that troop strength isn't what it should be.

278. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 02:08:14 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <i0Ojf.5800$ob7.764@trnddc04>
Source record: 126048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Heh.. nobody out there willing to "Elmer"...

However, there does seem to be a plethora of people looking to inflate their egos,
or better yet, sit and tell others how clueless they are; and call it teaching.
Then there's a few that I'd swear could literally dumb another person to death with their random babble.
It's funny [and not limited to ham radio] that only the 'can't teach' types always seem be the teachers.
Plus, I've never met a ham that wasn't involved in/obsessed with, a power-struggle of some sort.
Who needs it?

No one wants to show you how to do something, they just want to talk about how you can't.
[see below]

The truly intelligent only pass through amateur radio, finding out they were better off without it.
So, yes ma'am, nothing left but appliance ops and CB-types that won't listen to
anyone and think they know it all.
It's a pathetic cycle, eh?

Oh, and ham isn't going anywhere... it's akin to a bug on a windshield....
wipe on it and all you get is a bigger mess that still won't go away.

rb
...with another shameless plug for www.hamsexy.com

"dontspam" <test@att.net> wrote in message
news:fmbuo1ppa27ghtis8hd8tmjd9t6a5bld94@4ax.com...

> Sure you hear lots of signals on the bands. Appliance operators and
> cb'ers.
>
>
> On 1 Dec 2005 15:05:32 GMT, cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com (Chuck
> Sherwood) wrote:
>
>>>I would foget ham radio. It is on the way out.
>>>Get some woodworking tools.
>>
>>That is what they said 10 years ago..

>>I still hear signals on the bands so at least somebody
>>is xmitting...
>>
>>I don't particularly like woodworking.
>>
>>chuck
>

279. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 02:13:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <f5Ojf.119$Of1.45@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126049

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
>>>>> an Issue with them.
>>>> It must seem that way if you're the guy standing around with chinky armor.
>>> a confession from Dave
>>>
>>> he just admitting to notice chink in the armour meeaning he was looking
>>> for it
>>> yea I am repeating myself
>> Not entirely, Colonel. Now you have me "stalking lens armour", which is
>> funny enough as is.
>
> yes entirely
>
> I just reposted to show you in highlight

Here's a clue for you, Sparky: You aren't showing off that IQ of 248.

>> It must be comforting knowing that no one makes you look like a bigger
>> fool than you do.
>
> why can't you tell the truth

I know it might be difficult for you to accept, but you look like a
complete boob. That, Colonel Morgan, is the truth.

Dave K8MN

280. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 02:24:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rfOjf.3337$Hk1.2901@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126050

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> I know it might be difficult for you to accept, but you look like a complete
> boob. That, Colonel Morgan, is the truth.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave,

He's changed his name to "Clown Prince Morgan of Spam-a-Lot"

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

281. Re: stevie keep racking up evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 02:30:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VkOjf.3340$Hk1.3234@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126051

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
>>>> Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
>>>> anything.
>>>>
>>>>>> Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
>>>>>> proven over and over.
>>>>> and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of ####
>>>> Deny what?
>>> that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter
>> Ohh-hooooooo! Hahhhhh! *chortle*
>>
>> The person who has been fouling this newsgroup is....Mark C. Morgan.
>
> not at all

Yes, Mark, all.

> you and stevie and your allies have been enaged in searching out
> everything you don't aprove of

I don't have any allies, Mark. Do you have allies?

> You and Stevie and your allies have been taking the action you deem as
> fouling the NG

That's incorrect, Colonel Morgan. You've been fouling the group for
some time now. Your recent pathetic post-a-thon is way, way, way over
the top.

> I am not responible for anyone's decison to post

Yes, Mark, you are. You are fully responsible for *your* decision to post.

> despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am

You see liars everywhere. According to you, just about no one tells the
truth but you--and you've been known to lie at will.

> you are a sack a @$%*

...and you continue to reveal your nature.

> you proffess a religious faith then come here and violatew the word of
> god in you onw faith

You violate any number of His teachings, Mark. For starters, you reject Him totally. I'm afraid I can't accept being lectured on Christianity by a bisexual, polytheistic pagan.

> I call that fouling the NG and the planent

I can see that it is your view. You have a pretty twisted view of quite a number of things.

> but then I am a moral person I obey the teaching of ,y faith YOU don't
> follow the ditatse of yours

You're a moral person, Mark? Please explain just how that can be. Does a moral person lie at will? Does a moral person indulge in sadistic or masochistic bisexual behavior which can involve human waste? What kind of twisted behavior is that? What sick kind of "faith" are you following?

Dave K8MN

282. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 02:33:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ioOjf.3341$Hk1.1717@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126052

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
>>>>> an Issue with them.
>>>> It must seem that way if you're the guy standing around with chinky armor.
>>> a confession from Dave
>>>
>>> he just admitting to notice chink in the armour meeaning he was looking
>>> for it
>> Armour is a meat packing company. :-)
>
> as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
> prefer to use

Oh, you're British?

> not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
> spelling cop shit

How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?

Dave K8MN
```

283. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 02:34:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jpOjf.3342$Hk1.1294@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126053

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> I know it might be difficult for you to accept, but you look like a complete
>> boob. That, Colonel Morgan, is the truth.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> Dave,
>
> He's changed his name to "Clown Prince Morgan of Spam-a-Lot"

This guy's beginning to make Michael Jackson look normal.

Dave K8MN

284. dave tries to impose his views

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 03:14:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8eevo1phcrrkoimroo3jqv5kadvpr2nk5a@4ax.com>
Source record: 126058

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 02:30:13 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>> K4YZ wrote:
cut
>> I am not responible for anyone's decison to post
>
>Yes, Mark, you are. You are fully responsible for *your* decision to post.
>
>> despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am
>
>You see liars everywhere. According to you, just about no one tells the
>truth but you--and you've been known to lie at will.
>
>> you are a sack a @$%*
>
>...and you continue to reveal your nature.
>
>> you proffess a religious faith then come here and violatew the word of
>> god in you onw faith
>
>You violate any number of His teachings, Mark.
yes I do but that is not the point

i don't believe he is God therefore I am under no obligation to foolow
his comands
> For starters, you reject
>Him totally
another lie I do not rejecthim totaly He said many intersting and good
things

>. I'm afraid I can't accept being lectured on Christianity by
>a bisexual, polytheistic pagan.

meaning you can't face the fact you DEFY his theaching you defy God
according to your faith

making you a hypocrite
>
>> I call that fouling the NG and the planent
>
>I can see that it is your view.
mighty white of you

> You have a pretty twisted view of quite
>a number of things.

your a re lying again Dave
a true stament is that "you feel ...." or "you belive.." when you say
you have.. you assert youur morality is fact not a matter of faith

>
>> but then I am a moral person I obey the teaching of ,y faith YOU don't
>> follow the ditatse of yours
>
>You're a moral person, Mark?
yes I am
> Please explain just how that can be.

by obeying the teachingof my own religion

> Does
>a moral person lie at will?

I don't lie at will, but maybe you can't see the difference. But I am
taught that Truth or lie are marks of moral or imoral people

It is under my view moral to lie in some circumstances. Indeed that
are time when the only moral coruse I can see is to lie

> Does a moral person indulge in sadistic or
>masochistic bisexual behavior which can involve human waste?

nothing wrong in with any of that in my religion indeed to me hetero
or homsexual orreintation is imoral by refuses to reconize the devine
nature of mankind in general

> What kind
>of twisted behavior is that?
now you are just making moral judgement that ONLY your faith has any
valid claim to the stauts of religion or it would apear to
constitutional protection

> What sick kind of "faith" are you following?

no kind of sick faith

>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398

931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

285. no cops have shown up yet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 03:25:21 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5ffvo1lgk4b82ns280nlgmpp5h7ktask8b@4ax.com>
Source record: 126064

I guess your calls went basical unanswered

of course the Houghton county sherriff has on most days one
deputy(never more than 2) to respond to anything in the country let
alone rspond to the raving of someone in teenessee

Indeed the poor fellows have been confounded to chase down who ever
vandalized the homes of some memebrs of the county board, that is the
biggest thing on their plate trying to get those folks off thier back
before Budget time
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

286. why does stevie claim only other folks are subject to limits on the amount of their posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 03:27:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ilfvo15qg76vse5pe3lcrnoh2cn0r57mh8@4ax.com>
Source record: 126065

Stevei in response to being asked why he kept starting new thread
began a flamefest complaining that BB was trying to impose a limit on
his postsing I agreed that stevie was limited to number of threads he
could start.

I merely claim the same preveldeg as Stevie
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

287. why has Stei gotten a new email address

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 03:29:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9qfvo1l5852aj8mouavij5q5osvhm01lr3@4ax.com>
Source record: 126066

anytime any of the rest of us change email addresses stevie charges
that they have something to hide

so what does Stevie have to hide
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

288. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 03:29:13 UTC
From: dontspam <test@att.net>
Message-ID: <trfvo1pngaa31g1jgo9hfnv0mf0aj0bc2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 126055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Amen Woody

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 02:08:14 GMT, "Woody"
<woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote:

>Heh.. nobody out there willing to "Elmer"...
>
>However, there does seem to be a plethora of people looking to inflate their
>egos,
>or better yet, sit and tell others how clueless they are; and call it
>teaching.
>Then there's a few that I'd swear could literally dumb another person to
>death with their random babble.
>It's funny [and not limited to ham radio] that only the 'can't teach' types
>always seem be the teachers.
>Plus, I've never met a ham that wasn't involved in/obsessed with, a
>power-struggle of some sort.
>Who needs it?
>
>No one wants to show you how to do something, they just want to talk about
>how you can't.
>[see below]
>
>The truly intelligent only pass through amateur radio, finding out they were
>better off without it.
>So, yes ma'am, nothing left but appliance ops and CB-types that won't listen
>to
>anyone and think they know it all.
>It's a pathetic cycle, eh?
>
>Oh, and ham isn't going anywhere... it's akin to a bug on a windshield....
>wipe on it and all you get is a bigger mess that still won't go away.
>
>rb
>...with another shameless plug for www.hamsexy.com
>
>
>
>
>
>"dontspam" <test@att.net> wrote in message
>news:fmbuo1ppa27ghtis8hd8tmjd9t6a5bld94@4ax.com...
>
>> Sure you hear lots of signals on the bands. Appliance operators and

>> cb'ers.
>>
>>
>> On 1 Dec 2005 15:05:32 GMT, cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com (Chuck
>> Sherwood) wrote:
>>
>>>>I would foget ham radio. It is on the way out.
>>>>Get some woodworking tools.
>>>
>>>That is what they said 10 years ago..
>>>I still hear signals on the bands so at least somebody
>>>is xmitting...
>>>
>>>I don't particularly like woodworking.
>>>
>>>chuck
>>
>

289. Stevie is rotten egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 03:50:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4tfvo19oaun1hdommhc549ig4ctqssvu8c@4ax.com>
Source record: 126073

amazing when an annymouse get something right
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/36f207bde9777e28/d55e70bcf199c00d#d55e70bcf199c00d

but he got it right Stvie is certainly foul

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

290. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 03:52:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133495533.179538.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126056

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
> >> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> > Having seen some of the handwritten "comments" sent in on
> >> > the 2,272 filings in WT Docket 98-143 and ALL of the 3,795
> >> > filings in WT Docket 05-235, some are a hilarious barrel
> >> > of laffs! :-)
> >
> >> > [chuckle, chuckle]
> >
> >> So you really don't know what you're talking about when
> >> you talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.
> >
> >he can make the same assumetion you can

Which assumption is that?

> Mark, there's something curious about morsemen. They are very
> SERIOUS about their hobby and INTENSE on certain skills.

Is there anything wrong with being serious or intense?

Let's see....WK3C and K2UNK spent their own time and money to
visit FCC officials about the Morse Code test issue. That's pretty
SERIOUS and INTENSE, isn't it?

(not that there's anything wrong with that...)

> BTW, there's 3,796 filings now, one was added on the 28th. :-)

Was any law broken by such late filings?

> >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
> >> > twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
> >> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)

> >> Why does that matter?
> >
> >becuase it isn't suposed to hapen at least if it does they are all
> >supose to have been mailed before the deadline

Who says it isn't supposed to happen?

> The specific date periods on comments applies to the
> Commission's activities on decision-making for a final
> Memorandum Report and Order. That date period is determined
> by statements made in the publishing of a docket/proceedure
> in the Federal Register. Standard practice at the FCC.

Your buddy Mark claims that late filings break some law or other.
Straighten him out - if you can.
>
> In the case of publishing NPRM 05-143, the Commission was 6
> calendar weeks LATE.

How? Is there a deadline for FCC?

> NPRM 05-143 was opened to the public on
> 19 July 2005. Publishing in the Federal Register didn't
> happen until 31 August 2005.

So? Does FCC have to get NPRMs in the Federal Register
within a certain amount of time? Perhaps you should tell
them off and put them right, Len - after all, you've said you're
not afraid of authority. You could put in some of your
diminutive nicknames and catchphrases, and criticize them
for taking six long weeks.....

> The date period for comments
> was not specifically stated in NPRM 05-143, was specifically
> stated in the Federal Register on 31 August 2005.

Which is why some of us didn't file comments right away. We
waited for the official announcement. Others had no patience and
just had to let fly before the official date.
>
> The normal delay on public release to publishing is anywhere
> from zero days to a week. A few have taken longer, but it
> would be a VERY long search to find a docket/proceeding that
> was delayed SIX WEEKS.

So? It took them a little longer. Have you no patience?

> In those SIX WEEKS DELAY the public
> filed 52% of all comments filed.

And the majority of those were anticodetest. The procodetest folks,
in general, waited for the official comment period.

What does that say about the two groups' understanding of the
regulations?
>
> The "public" may not be fully aware of the official comment
> period beginning date.

Nonsense. Most of those who filed comments are licensed
amateurs, aren't they?

> The Commission is fairly speedy on
> getting proceedings published in the Federal Register.

Not this time! Why don't you complain, Len? Tell 'em
how it should be done!

> The
> "public" does not consist of just attorneys and beaurocrats
> handling law, so they would generally be unaware of that
> delay. Such a long time was unexpected.

You filed before the deadline, didn't you? ;-)
Did you file any of your 10 filings too early? Maybe the folks at
FCC are chuckling over the fact that you couldn't follow the rules...

1 comment - 8 reply comments - 1 exhibit - 146 pages if my count is
right......

While you have every right in the world to comment to FCC, Len, did
it ever occur to you that maybe - just maybe - your long wordy
diatribes
really don't help the nocodetest cause one bit?

> >why does it seem you don't care about the rul of of law when it suits
> >you

What "rule of law" prohibits late comments?
>
> Jimmy Noserve only cares about the preservation of morse code,
> everything from "operating skill" to the license test. He
> can't bear to give up any of that.

Wrong on all counts there, Len. Completely wrong.

> >> > Oh, right...the ARRL TOLD YOU! Or you channeled St. Hiram on
> >> > the subject and you got the number in a vision?
> >
> >> FCC received over 6000 comments on the "incentive licensing" proposals,
> >> Len. Without the internet. That's a fact.
> >
> >indeed shwoing what a disaster the idea was

How was it a "disaster"?

> >how the ARRL tired to kill the ars

How? By trying to raise the level of technical knowledge required for a

full-provileges license?

> Mark, Jimmy has NOT proven his "fact."

You have not disproved it, Len.

> The only way to determine
> that "fact" is to visit the FCC Reading Room in DC and view all
> the filings.

How do you know I haven't done that, Len?

For most of my life I've lived in the Philadelphia metropolitan area.
Washington DC is a day trip from here - done it many times. In
fact, some things I have designed are in daily use in the DC metro
area. It would be a simple thing for me to take a day or two to see
all those 6000 "filings".

> Those old dockets and proceedings aren't on-line.

So? They were reported in the publications of that time (1960s).
Is something only true to you if it's online, Len? What evidence
do you have that the 6000+ comments claim is not true?

Perhaps you don't want to accept it because it disproves your
opinions.

> As to "disaster," that is subjective opinion.

Yep. FCC thought it was a good idea at the time. FCC still
thinks it's a good idea, but with fewer levels.

> In the long run,
> "incentive licensing" only served to harden the class
> distinction among licensees.

Subjective opinion.

> It got too cumbersome for the
> future to the Commission, so they streamlined it via FCC 99-412.

Yet the basic concept of a series of license classes, each with
increasing
test requirements and privileges, was reaffirmed by FCC. As for being
"too cumbersome", FCC refused all proposals of free (no test) upgrades,
which would have greatly simplified the license class structure and the
rules. Instead, we now have the six license classes anyway, with Novice
and Advanced no longer issued new (but renewable and modifiable
indefinitely), and Technician Plus being renewed as Technician even
though the privileges are different.

In fact, a case could be made that there are at least 9 different
license
categories (for those who hold current licenses) if you
count differences in operating privileges and test element credit:

1) Novice
2) Technician without code test
3) Tech Plus or Technician renewed from Tech Plus, pre-March 21, 1987
4) Tech Plus or Technician renewed from Tech Plus, post-March 21, 1987
5) Technician with code test CSCE less than 365 days old

6) Technician with code test CSCE more than 365 days old
7) General
8) Advanced
9) Extra

There's even more if the test credits for certain expired licenses
are considered)

Doesn't seem to faze the FCC.

> The League lobbied for, and got "incentive licensing."

But not just the League. There were no less than 10 other proposals
in favor of the concept. They differed in details but not in the basic
idea. There was widespread support for the idea both inside and
outside the League. Also widespread opposition. The support won.

It's odd that all the other proposals, and the features they suggested,
are so often forgotten, and the League gets all the blame.

> Old-timers
> of the League loved radiotelegraphy,

Is that a bad thing?

> following the "tradition"
> established by its first president, St. Hiram.

Maxim was a genius. You're not, Len.

And then why did ARRL *oppose* the creation of the Extra
class license in 1951? And why did ARRL's 1963 proposal
not include any additional code testing for full privileges?

And why did ARRL oppose FCC's 16 wpm code test proposal
and "Amateur First Grade" license class in 1965?

> Old-timers
> wanted to prove Their radiotelegraphy skill was the "highest"
> attribute of amateurism.

How? By increasing the written tests? That's what ARRL proposed.

> They got it, complete with rank-
> status-privilege.

Class A, Class B, Class C.

> Especially the privileges. They were better
> than anyone...in their minds.

Not better. Just more qualified. You're Not Qualified (to operate
an amateur radio station).

> >> > The beginning of the solid-state era had begun.
> >

> >> The beginning had begun? Third graders write better than that, Len.
> >
> >bad jimmie Stevie job is to play speling cop

Not spelling. Bad writing.

> >> You weren't a ham then and you're not one now. Morse Code is one
> >> form of excellence in radio, btw - then and now.
> >
> >only in your opinion and that of others
>
> Up to mid-2000, the highest-rate telegraphy skill was
> NECESSARY to achieve the "highest" class license.

Incorrect. Since 1990, there were medical waivers for the
13 and 20 wpm code tests. The criteria for a waiver were so
vague and general that anyone who really wanted one could
get one. You could have easily gotten one, Len. But you didn't.

> >IMO it has been one of the banes of the ARS for decades

> True enough.

Not at all. After the incentive licnesing rules went into effect
in the 1967-1969 period, the number of US hams began to
grow much faster than it had during the 1960s. The growth of
the 1970s continued into the 1980s.

If incentive licensing was so awful, why was there so much
growth in the ARS in the two decades after it was put in
place?

> >> > >In other words, you had nothing to do with FCC then, either.

> >> > "Nothing?!?" Mais non!
> >
> >> Nothing. You didn't work for FCC, didn't have anything to do with
> >> FCC rules for the Amateur Radio Service.

> >a flat out lie Jim he has had something to do with making the FCC rules
> >as has Myself Bil Sohl yourself and a couple of thousand others

Exactly.

> The FCC has had commentary periods for nearly all the major
> issues affecting U.S. radio amateurs since its creation in
> 1934. [exceptions are federal orders to cease transmission
> on Presidential orders and the "housekeeping" changes to
> Parts of Title 47 which regarded legal clarification of some
> regulations corrections]
>
> The Constitution of the United States gives all its citizens
> the Right to address their government...on anything. The
> comment period of dockets and proceedings at the FCC is one

> way to do that on specific radio regulatory issues.
>
> Jimmy seems very territorial. He regards federal amateur radio
> regulations as "private turf" which can ONLY be discussed by
> licensed radio operators to their government.

No, I don't. You try to pass off that false statement on various
people, but it's simply not true. Of course logic isn't your strong
suit, Len.

Pointing out that you are not a radio amateur is not the same thing
as saying you are not allowed to "discuss" or comment. (What you
do is not really discussion - it's mostly long boring wordy lectures
repeating the same tired old mantras and insults as if they are
sacred.)

> That is wrong.

Yep - you got it wrong again, Len.

> The FCC must listen to ALL...including English teachers who
> haven't the foggiest notion of what "radio" is, let alone
> amateur radio (she had to research the subject through
> WikiPedia). :-)

Haven't the foggiest notion of what radio is?

> Both Bill Sohl and Carl Stevenson have appeared in-person
> before the FCC in regards to the code-test/no-code-test
> issue.

Yes - pretty SERIOUS and INTENSE, huh?

> That's about as close as ANY in here have been to
> the regulation-decision-makers without actually working
> there (as Phil Kane did).

How do you know others haven't done similar things and kept
quiet about it?

Do you think those 18 proposals to FCC after July 2003 just
wrote themselves?

> The Staff and Commissioners at the FCC decide what is to be
> changed and how to change radio regulations...DEPENDING on
> input from the "public." [a "researching" of Parts 0 and 1
> of Title 47 C.F.R. will explain that, also the Communicaitons
> Act of 1934, a Law passed by Congress]

Well how about that!
>
> >> > Had already renewed that First Phone once...through the Long
> >> > Beach, CA, FCC Field Office (which was/is in the San Pedro
> >> > harbor area). I'd applied for, and gotten two CB licenses (no

> >> > test, never was a test for them).
> >
> >> Did FCC ever turn anybody down for a cb permit?
> >
> >> Are you still on cb, Len?
> >
> >why should he not be on CB
>
> Citizens Band Radio Service had "permits?" :-) Strange, my
> forms said they were LICENSES. No tests at all required.
>
> Were any "turned down?" I don't really know. I've heard of those
> but never met anyone who was "turned down."

Says a lot.
>
> I opt NOT to bother with CB radio since it is not to my needs
> in communicating anything by radio.

Gee....

> The little two-way radio
> terminal called a "cell phone" serves both me and my wife very
> adequately in mobile communications needs.

Not by itself. Needs a whole network to do the job.

If the cell phone serves your radio needs, why are you so
obsessed with changing the rules of the Amateur Radio Service?

> My old Johnson Viking Messenger CB radio still works, is still
> operating within FCC regulations.

How do you know?

> It is a relatively easy
> task to connect it up to an antenna (mag-mount) in the car,
> plug it into the car's 12 VDC system, and operate.

But you don't.

> If the
> vibrator high-voltage supply will continue working, it is as
> reliable as any old tube radio. [vibrator supplies were NEVER
> considered reliable, but they were terribly cheap in consumer
> grade tube equipments]

Gee, Len, you never modified it to a solid-state inverter supply?
Those supplies were common 40+ years ago. You can get all
the details in any ARRL Handbook of that era.

> Living within a mile of I-5 passing
> through has shown that a few channels for CB are way too few
> for the hundreds of thousands of CB users...years ago.

Why aren't 40 channels enough? Actually, if SSB is used, there
are effectively 80 channels.

Why isn't that enough? Could it be because cb users didn't follow
the rules for that radio service?

> >Cbers seem by and large politeir than hams with folks they disagree
> >with they can be a bit vulgar for my taste on the air, but there are 40
> >channels to choose from

> Irrelevant to Jimmy's remarks. All Jimmy wants to do is show
> contempt for CB.

What should my attitude towards cb be, Len? Do you think I should
praise it and say it's a model of what a radio service should be?

> Since he was living in 1958 when that service
> (on the 27 MHz band) was created, he feels contemptuous of all
> who have not taken a federal test to "qualify" for radio
> transmission below 30 MHz. :-)

Not true at all, Len. Of course you express contempt for all who
have passed such tests....

> [I think he was born an amateur...:-)]

Marconi described himself as an amateur.

> CB communications are "Too vulgar?"

I didn't say that - Mark did.

> I've heard much, much
> greater vulgarity in the military service (which Jimmy was
> never a part of nor will he ever be). I've heard greater
> vulgarity on shop floors from union members. I've heard
> greater vulgarity in the black sections of Los Angeles. I need
> to brush up on my Spanish to find out if the language there in
> the barrios is "too vulgar." :-)

You seem proud of that, Len. Why?

> >> Like I said - you had nothing to do with amateur radio policy
> >> back then, nor with FCC's regulation of amateur radio...
>
> >> > Based on my "first job in radio" I already knew that morse
> >> > code was a dead end in radio in 1964, 41 years ago.
> >
> >> Well, you were wrong, Len. Because Morse Code is still alive and
> >> well in radio today.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Jimmy's working receiver can't pick up anything but
> the "low end" of the HF amateur bands

Not true! Most of my "working receivers" are general coverage.
I also have several transceivers. You're not qualified to operate

any of them, Len.

> ...and he thinks that
> radiotelegraphy is still a big mode in radio? Incredible!

It's still a very popular mode in amateur radio. It's alive and well.

> >> > Why bother pursuing a dying technique back then?
> > >
> > >> Morse Code wasn't "dying" back then and it isn't "dying" now - in
> > >> amateur radio, anyway.
> > >
> > >not what I hear
> >
> > You have to give Jimmy some slack, Mark. Since his receiver
> > can't pick up anything outside the "low end" of HF ham bands,
> > he thinks HF is still "alive with the sounds of morse code"
> > (as if Julie Andrews were singing it on top of a hill).

Boy, are you wrong!
>
> >> How many techniques did you pursue back then which are
> >> long gone - dead - now? Does anybody use 100 wpm teletypewriters
> >> anymore? Do broadcast stations have FCC licensed engineers
> >> on duty while they're on the air anymore? Etc.
> >
> > Actually, those electromechanical teletypewriters with 100
> > WPM throughput are still in use in a few places...

Where?

> but they
> are waaayyyyyy down in numbers.

So they're a dying technique. Imagine - Morse Code is probably used far
more
than those old 100 wpm teleprinters....

> Teletype Corporation went
> defunct some years ago...they couldn't produce a product
> inexpensive enough to handle written communications needs.
> Even TDDs have dropped electromechanical teletypewriters in
> favor of smaller, easier to use solid-state terminals.

So they're all dead or dying technologies, while Morse Code lives on
and flourishes.

> The requirements for licensed COMMERCIAL radio operators at
> radio broadcasting stations is down but I haven't checked
> to see if broadcasting regulations changed to allow ALL.

ALL what?

Once upon a time, there were a great variety of commercial FCC
operator licenses. Having one usually guaranteed the licensee
a fairly decent job, protected by FCC regulations. That era is long
gone. That's why I pursued an engineering degree rather than a
First Class 'Phone or 'Telegraph license.

> An amateur radio license was NEVER a "qualification" to
> operate anything but an amateur radio on amateur frequencies.

I don't recall anyone ever saying that an amateur radio license was
anything other than a qualification to operate an amateur radio
station. Can you show us where someone claimed otherwise, Len?

> Vacuum tube design and use in designs is almost kaput.

What has that got to do with amateur radio license requirements?

> he
> solid-state devices made most of them obsolete. Tubes remain
> only as very high-power transmitter final amplifiers, as
> wideband (one octave plus) amplifiers in microwaves, as
> magnetrons in microwave ovens, as assorted klystrons in
> microwave radios. CRTs are going bye-bye, replaced by solid-
> state displays in TV sets (to press a pissy point, "liquid-
> state" in LCD screens). A very few optical detection
> devices use multi-stage photomultipliers. NODs (Night
> Observation Devices) still depend on a special photodetector
> and photon multiplier tube set. Oh, and high-power radars
> still use pulsed maggies for those transmitters.

Also in a bunch of other applications like high-end audio equipment.
There's even a computer motherboard with a tube audio section.

> Tubes are
> now used only as REPLACEMENTS...

Not true!

> except by those who can't
> hack engineering of solid-state circuits...or long for days
> of yore, when they were born (or before).

Totally false, Len. Your electropolitical correctness is showing.

> >> Your value system is very clear, Len - if something in radio
> >> took some of your time or effort but didn't pay back in dollars,
> >> you avoided it.
> >
> >if your statement is accurate (not comenting on that yea or nea) so
> >what you value nothing without involing Morse Code
>
> Poor Jimmy is verging on a breakdown.

HAW! Len, that's almost funny!

> He is picking up on the
> old socialist or communist sloganeering against evil, filthy
> capitalists who have obtained money the old fashioned way...
> they EARNED it!

Boy are *you* off base on that, Len!

>Jimmy sounds like he doesn't have much money.

What does it matter? I may have more than you, Len. Or less.

> Tsk, tsk. I entered electronics and radio in the vacuum tube
> era and learned how to design circuits using tubes. Had to
> put aside everything but the basics of those circuits in order
> to work with transistors, then ICs. Took lots of learning
> AND relearning to do all that and I did it on my own time.

The Army never gave you any training, Len? Nor any of your
employers?

> It was worth it in the knowledge acquired, the experience
> gained in making successful designs, eminently satisfactory
> to me.

Do you want a merit badge?

> Lots and lots of new things were learned out of sheer
> interest in learning more about NEW areas, things that were
> NOT of personal monetary gain.

Funny - you always talk about your jobs and such, but not
about things you've designed purely for fun, with your own
resources.

> Jimmy can't shift out of his League-conditioned thinking about
> morsemanship being the ultimate skill in radio.

Totally untrue on all counts.

> He doesn't
> understand how it is to BEGIN in HF communications WITHOUT
> any morse code mode needs.

Sure I do. That's not the point.

> He must really resent others
> who've entered the bigger world of radio communications without
> being required in any way to be morsemen.

Not me, Len. You must be looking in the mirror again.

291. Re: the comode shows why he got cancelled in the 50's...stupid writing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 03:57:36 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <438FC630.9060402@that.moc>
Source record: 126054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>>I'm talking to Wiseman, right?
>>>>(http://img498.imageshack.us/img498/2972/normaltodd10dt.jpg)
>>>
>>>
>>>No, wiseman would probably have a little more common sense not to post
>>>copyrighted material on a newsgroup after told to stop.
>>
>>LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!! Now that *is* funny. Wussman doesn't have any common
>>sense. All he has is a bunch of port-a-potties to clean out.
>
>
> the comode need new writiers

The an_old_idiot needs to learn how to spell and learn when to use the
plural of a word.

292. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 03:58:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <cahvo1p1n5qflo9u85957mmaskhh9u5f51@4ax.com>
Source record: 126076

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 04:55:47 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> cut
>>>> as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
>>>> prefer to use
>>> Oh, you're British?
>>
>> no why do you ask?
>
>"Armour" is the British spelling. If you don't paint something "grey"

but i do piant thing grey

>or put "tyres" on your car, why would you use "armour"?

don't noramly use the speling tyes but I never say it is wroung
but why not use armour

>
>>>> not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
>>>> spelling cop shit
>>> How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?
>>
>> no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything
>
>"Scrabble board", Mark. It has much to do with your more disjointed
>posts.

not as far as I can tell

just more of your speling NAZI crap

>
>> it should be obvious that scabble is not likely to be one of those
>> baord that I indulge in
>
>I don't see you as playing; I see you as shuffling the letters around.

agin you show yourself as foolish

>
>> but then you seem to lack much in the way of sense
>
>So did your last post.

nor should to a speling cop

>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

293. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:00:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <dlhvo1tcpg6kkcs8bu5amsmlvr770dfvr6@4ax.com>
Source record: 126077

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 04:52:20 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>>>>> I know it might be difficult for you to accept, but you look like a complete
>>>>> boob. That, Colonel Morgan, is the truth.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dave K8MN
>>>> Dave,
>>>>
>>>> He's changed his name to "Clown Prince Morgan of Spam-a-Lot"
>>> This guy's beginning to make Michael Jackson look normal.
>>
>> you need to get better informed on current events
>
>I'm probably one of the most informed guys you've encountered, Mark.

I am certain that you think so. I am also certain that you are wrong

>Has Michael done something in the past twenty-four hours to recapture
>your crown?
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

294. Re: stevie keep racking up evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:08:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133496534.421787.318470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126057

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> K4YZ wrote:
> >>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>> stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
> >>>> Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
> >>>> anything.
> >>>>
> >>>>> Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
> >>>>> proven over and over.
> >>>>> and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of #####
> >>>> Deny what?
> >>> that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter
> >> Ohh-hooooooo! Hahhhhh! *chortle*
> >>
> >> The person who has been fouling this newsgroup is....Mark C. Morgan.
> >
> > not at all
>
> Yes, Mark, all.

nope Stevie is the one that brought it up

and that brought out the annymouses et all
>
> > you and stevie and your allies have been enaged in searching out
> > everything you don't aprove of
>
> I don't have any allies, Mark.
you are lying you do Stevie and your annymouses

> Do you have allies?
yes haven't you noticed?
>
> > You and Stevie and your allies have been taking the action you deem as
> > fouling the NG
>
> That's incorrect, Colonel Morgan.

no it isn't

Your feind and ally Stevie decided that he would make an issue in Jun
of my sexaul oreintation

the results from there ae hs fault not mine
> You've been fouling the group for
> some time now. Your recent pathetic post-a-thon is way, way, way over
> the top.
>
> > I am not responible for anyone's decison to post
>
> Yes, Mark, you are. You are fully responsible for *your* decision to post.

but not any on else what is what you are claiming
>
> > despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am
>
> You see liars everywhere.
more lie Dave

there are 2 liar amoug the human posters you and stevie

Hans and Jim occasionaly does cross that line froom time to time

tha is hardly everywhere

> According to you, just about no one tells the
> truth but you--and you've been known to lie at will.
another lie

BB does seem to lie, len doesn't lie that I can tell he does push the
edges

295. Re: the comode shows why he got cancelled in the 50's...stupid writing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:20:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133497230.658918.294540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

```
Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
cut
> >
> > the comode need new writiers
>
> The an_old_idiot needs to learn how to spell and learn when to use the
> plural of a word.

why? I am at least human you are a canceled cartoon
```

296. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:22:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133497333.429961.88990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126060

KØHB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >
> > I know it might be difficult for you to accept, but you look like a complete
> > boob. That, Colonel Morgan, is the truth.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave,
>
> He's changed his name to "Clown Prince Morgan of Spam-a-Lot"

now as as I said Hans does cross over the line into lying from time to
time

and when he does he seem to get weirder about ti than even stve and
yourself
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

297. dave the theocrat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:22:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5nhvo11hnjeec6lrp9e012h6gcg2gg8kjn@4ax.com>
Source record: 126078

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 04:50:04 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 02:30:13 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>> cut
>>>> I am not responible for anyone's decison to post
>>> Yes, Mark, you are. You are fully responsible for *your* decision to post.
>>>
>>>> despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am
>>> You see liars everywhere. According to you, just about no one tells the
>>> truth but you--and you've been known to lie at will.
>>>
>>>> you are a sack a @$%*
>
>>> ...and you continue to reveal your nature.
>
>>>> you proffess a religious faith then come here and violatew the word of
>>>> god in you onw faith
>
>>> You violate any number of His teachings, Mark.
>
>> yes I do but that is not the point
>
>It was certainly *my* point.

then you failed to addrs mine

>
>> i don't believe he is God therefore I am under no obligation to foolow
>> his comands
>
>...and thus you can't presume to tell me how to observe my religion.

why not you presume to do so to ME about mine

and without even bothering to learn anything about the subject

>
>>> For starters, you reject

>>> Him totally
>
>> another lie I do not rejecthim totaly He said many intersting and good
>> things
>
>You're trying my patience.

Yo have patience? if you say so but it isn't very much
> You don't believe that God is God but you
>believe that he said many interesting and good things?
I don't belive Jeus is God I beleive Jesus, was a good MAN

> You find
>interesting things, but they aren't interesting enough for you to
>observe what He tells us.

anymore than I would accept the word of the pope or an Iman I will
listen to what they have to say but I am not required to foolow the
teaching of any man

are you antisemtic as well BTW?

> You find good things, but they aren't good
>enough for you to follow.

not exaclty some of them are some are not like any MAN

>
>>> . I'm afraid I can't accept being lectured on Christianity by
>>> a bisexual, polytheistic pagan.
>
>> meaning you can't face the fact you DEFY his theaching you defy God
>> according to your faith
>
>Not at all. I'm directed to shun evil and evil doers. I'm bound by
>those passages of the Bible which deal with homosexuality. Don't
>attempt to tell me that those don't apply to you somehow because you're
>bisexual.
never said that

the phrases you seem to avoid is engegance is ine sayeth the lord
(Roman 12 I believe)

or Let he who is without sin cast the first stone (one of the Gospels

You are also called not to bear false witnes against thy neighboor and
when you have lied caloinghomosexual you violate that as well

> You have sex with other men. My own Church teaches that
>homosexual practice is not compatible with Christianity.

and I am NOT christian

but obey the word of the LORD and let those who are without sin cast
the first stone, or do you calim that you have not sinned (a claim
that as I understand the matter would violate christain dogma
>
>> making you a hypocrite
>
>That is incorrect. I'd be a hypocrite if I accepted your perversions.
>
>>>> I call that fouling the NG and the planent
>
>>> I can see that it is your view.
>
>> mighty white of you
>
>Do you have a racial comment to make, Mark?
no

>
>>> You have a pretty twisted view of quite
>>> a number of things.
>
>> your a re lying again Dave
>
>You really have to quit calling folks liars, Mark. I'm calling it as I
>see it and as society sees it. The things you outline in your advert
>for sex aren't even close to mainstream thought or social mores.
>
>> a true stament is that "you feel ...." or "you belive.." when you say
>> you have.. you assert youur morality is fact not a matter of faith
>
>My views are not just my own, Mark, but those of mainstream American
>society.
so they are still a matter of faith

> Perhaps you'd like to think that there is some great
>percentage of Americans who share your views, but there isn't.
oh Id lieke to think so but I do know better
> You're
>part of a tiny, kooky fringe.

it does not matter to an American that rspects the constitution

and there again we have you sinning

render onto ceaser that which is ceaser's render unerwhat is gods"
thus spoke the enity you claim is the LORD GOD

obey what you say is the word of the lord, and rspect my freedom to
exist in this nation without harrasment or being required to comply
with your religous views (even if they are the majority)
>
>>>> but then I am a moral person I obey the teaching of ,y faith YOU don't
>>>> follow the ditatse of yours

>
>>> You're a moral person, Mark?
>
>> yes I am
>
>>> Please explain just how that can be.
>
>> by obeying the teachingof my own religion
>
>Your religion teaches you to have someone pee on you?
but it does not teach there is any wrong with it

that is you imposing your religous view on the matter

> It teaches that
>you should administer physical pain to another?

see the preceeding

> It teaches that you
>should want another to administer physical pain to you?
again see the preceeding

> It teaches that
>you should have sex with both men and women?

yes and that I should never miss a chance to do so

that act of sex is a holy act

don't you agree a person should do that which is holy?

> What kind of religion is that?

mine and others many perhaps not but that is another matter

>
>>> Does
>>> a moral person lie at will?
>>
>> I don't lie at will, but maybe you can't see the difference. But I am
>> taught that Truth or lie are marks of moral or imoral people
>
>That's about as close to saying something by saying nothing as I've seen
>in a while.
perhaps

I think the problem is you lack the abilty to look at the existance of
any moral frame of reference and therefore you can't understand what I
am saying. I may be wrong but that is what itlooks like to me

> You've told us that you lie when it is convenient for you
>to do so.
not conveneint, but when it serves my moral prupose, which surely you

can see as not the same thing

> Doesn't that mean whenever you feel like lying?
no

> You babbled
>something about telling the truth or lying are marks of moral or immoral
>people. What are you trying to say?

that lie or the lack is eneither moral nor imoral it can be either

>
>> It is under my view moral to lie in some circumstances. Indeed that
>> are time when the only moral coruse I can see is to lie
>
>C'mon, Mark.

come on what?

that is my view

you don't seem to like it but them is the facts

telling a dying man a soothing lie to help his passing is the moral
and upright thing to do

>
>>> Does a moral person indulge in sadistic or
>>> masochistic bisexual behavior which can involve human waste?
>
>> nothing wrong in with any of that in my religion indeed to me hetero
>> or homsexual orreintation is imoral by refuses to reconize the devine
>> nature of mankind in general
>
>I think you're barely de-vined. It appears that you have some peculiar
>notion that only by having sex with men and women are you fulfilling
>your religious duty. Sorry, Mark, we're through. That's a crock.

meaning you are wanna be theocrat

>
>>> What kind
>>> of twisted behavior is that?
>
>> now you are just making moral judgement that ONLY your faith has any
>> valid claim to the stauts of religion or it would apear to
>> constitutional protection
>
>You can paint a ceramic monkey gold and pray to it, Mark. It isn't
>going to make you appear less sick.

thuse speaks the theocrat

>
>>> What sick kind of "faith" are you following?
>>
>> no kind of sick faith
>
>Ask the gold monkey.

more bullshit

>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

298. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:23:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133497401.111988.277180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126061

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> I know it might be difficult for you to accept, but you look like a complete
> >> boob. That, Colonel Morgan, is the truth.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave,
> >
> > He's changed his name to "Clown Prince Morgan of Spam-a-Lot"
>
> This guy's beginning to make Michael Jackson look normal.

you need to get better informed on current events
>
> Dave K8MN

299. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:26:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133497567.077462.30740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126062

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> > as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
> > prefer to use
>
> Oh, you're British?

no why do you ask?
>
> > not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
> > spelling cop shit
>
> How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?

no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything

it should be obvious that scabble is not likely to be one of those
baord that I indulge in

but then you seem to lack much in the way of sense
>
> Dave K8MN

300. Re: stevie keep racking up evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:26:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <h2Qjf.146$Of1.116@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126063

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
>>>>>> Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
>>>>>> anything.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
>>>>>>> proven over and over.
>>>>>>> and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of ####
>>>>>> Deny what?
>>>>> that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter
>>>> Ohh-hooooooo! Hahhhhh! *chortle*
>>>>
>>>> The person who has been fouling this newsgroup is....Mark C. Morgan.
>>> not at all
>> Yes, Mark, all.
>
> nope Stevie is the one that brought it up

Mark, *you* are responsible for everything you post to usenet, or place on a web site. In this case, the very foolish person who posted the perverse sex advert is *you*. Steve is not responsible for your choosing to do so. If you didn't want it brought up, you should never have posted it.

> and that brought out the annymouses et all

The annymouses? Is that really what you mean to say?

>>> you and stevie and your allies have been enaged in searching out
>>> everything you don't aprove of

>> I don't have any allies, Mark.

> you are lying you do Stevie and your annymouses

No, Mark, I am not lying. I know the identity of only one anonymous poster, Roger Wiseman, our local sociopath. I tried to warn you of him once before. You called me a liar. You've likely learned a bit in the interval.

>> Do you have allies?

> yes haven't you noticed?

No, Mark, I've not noticed that you have any allies. Could you name several of them so that we're all aware? If you had allies, wouldn't they be supporting you in your latest nonsense? I don't think anyone would want to be seen as supporting you.

>>> You and Stevie and your allies have been taking the action you deem as
>>> fouling the NG

>> That's incorrect, Colonel Morgan.
>
> no it isn't

No matter how much you'd like to believe it, you are incorrect.

> Your feind and ally Stevie decided that he would make an issue in Jun
> of my sexaul oreintation

My "feind"? My "ally"?

As I stated earlier. If *you* didn't want your perverse sexual preferences known, *you* should never have posted the twisted advert you placed in a newsgroup.

> the results from there ae hs fault not mine

No, Mark, the results are *your* fault. *You* posted the advert, not Steve.

>> You've been fouling the group for
>> some time now. Your recent pathetic post-a-thon is way, way, way over
>> the top.

>>> I am not responible for anyone's decison to post

>> Yes, Mark, you are. You are fully responsible for *your* decision to post.

> but not any on else what is what you are claiming

What I am claiming, I wrote above. You said that you aren't responsible for anyone's decision to post. You are responsible for your own decision to post.

>>> despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am
>> You see liars everywhere.

> more lie Dave

See what I mean? For grins, can you count the number of different posters in this newsgroup whom you have accused of lying?

> there are 2 liar amoug the human posters you and stevie

Would you like time to think over that answer? It is likely to come back and bite you very shortly.

> Hans and Jim occasionaly does cross that line froom time to time

Would you like to reconsider?

> tha is hardly everywhere

The number would extend to several times as many as you have claimed.

>> According to you, just about no one tells the
>> truth but you--and you've been known to lie at will.

> another lie

See what I mean. That isn't a lie, Mark. You've accused quite a number of people who post here of lying and you have said that you'll lie at your convenience.

> BB does seem to lie, len doesn't lie that I can tell he does push the
> edges

Oh--Brian's a liar and Len is clean. That's interesting.

Dave K8MN

301. Dave ahs gone round the bend no religion that does not follow chrsitian teaching is allowed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:29:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <68jvo15sfk87eolh5b1k1nj9mdet0jccku@4ax.com>
Source record: 126080

Dave show himself the theocrat fully as bad as the Islami fasist that
we fight in the war on terror

dave presume he may lecture me on my religion but I may not do
likewise
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

302. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:35:35 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1133498134.992590.304960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Old friend,

Good point.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

303. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:38:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ydQjf.3419$Hk1.1041@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126068

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> After the incentive licnesing rules went into effect
> in the 1967-1969 period, the number of US hams began to
> grow much faster than it had during the 1960s. The growth of
> the 1970s continued into the 1980s.
>

Are you suggesting that making it tougher to get full privileges was the cause that accelerated the growth of the ARS? That has to qualify as the most outrageous notion to hit RRAP (outside the dump huck posts from Mark) in the current century.

Clearly other "market forces" were in play for the ARS to enjoy the popularity it did in the post-Sputnik years. Science was "cool" and the hot ticket for education and career planning. Scientifiic-seeming hobbies like electronics, radio, and astronomy were beneficiaries of this attitude. If anything, dis-incentive licensing was a damper (not an accelerant) on the growth of the ARS during that period.

>
> If incentive licensing was so awful, why was there so much
> growth in the ARS in the two decades after it was put in
> place?
>

Can you imagine how much more growth we'd have had without its repressive effects on our hobby!

73, de Hans, K0HB

304. I need SEX !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:44:16 UTC
From: dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133498656.754727.169370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.fm

Why do hams have such little dicks.....Guess I'll just have to
keep eating pussy!

305. Re: dave tries to impose his views

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:50:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0oQjf.152$Of1.114@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126070

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 02:30:13 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
> cut
>>> I am not responible for anyone's decison to post
>> Yes, Mark, you are. You are fully responsible for *your* decision to post.
>>
>>> despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am
>> You see liars everywhere. According to you, just about no one tells the
>> truth but you--and you've been known to lie at will.
>>
>>> you are a sack a @$%*

>> ...and you continue to reveal your nature.

>>> you proffess a religious faith then come here and violatew the word of
>>> god in you onw faith

>> You violate any number of His teachings, Mark.

> yes I do but that is not the point

It was certainly *my* point.

> i don't believe he is God therefore I am under no obligation to foolow
> his comands

...and thus you can't presume to tell me how to observe my religion.

>> For starters, you reject
>> Him totally

> another lie I do not rejecthim totaly He said many intersting and good
> things

You're trying my patience. You don't believe that God is God but you
believe that he said many interesting and good things? You find
interesting things, but they aren't interesting enough for you to
observe what He tells us. You find good things, but they aren't good
enough for you to follow.

>> . I'm afraid I can't accept being lectured on Christianity by
>> a bisexual, polytheistic pagan.

> meaning you can't face the fact you DEFY his theaching you defy God
> according to your faith

Not at all. I'm directed to shun evil and evil doers. I'm bound by
those passages of the Bible which deal with homosexuality. Don't
attempt to tell me that those don't apply to you somehow because you're
bisexual. You have sex with other men. My own Church teaches that
homosexual practice is not compatible with Christianity.

> making you a hypocrite

That is incorrect. I'd be a hypocrite if I accepted your perversions.

>>> I call that fouling the NG and the planent

>> I can see that it is your view.

> mighty white of you

Do you have a racial comment to make, Mark?

>> You have a pretty twisted view of quite
>> a number of things.

> your a re lying again Dave

You really have to quit calling folks liars, Mark. I'm calling it as I
see it and as society sees it. The things you outline in your advert
for sex aren't even close to mainstream thought or social mores.

> a true stament is that "you feel ...." or "you belive.." when you say
> you have.. you assert youur morality is fact not a matter of faith

My views are not just my own, Mark, but those of mainstream American
society. Perhaps you'd like to think that there is some great
percentage of Americans who share your views, but there isn't. You're
part of a tiny, kooky fringe.

>>> but then I am a moral person I obey the teaching of ,y faith YOU don't
>>> follow the ditatse of yours

>> You're a moral person, Mark?

> yes I am

>> Please explain just how that can be.

> by obeying the teachingof my own religion

Your religion teaches you to have someone pee on you? It teaches that
you should administer physical pain to another? It teaches that you
should want another to administer physical pain to you? It teaches that
you should have sex with both men and women? What kind of religion is that?

>> Does
>> a moral person lie at will?
>

> I don't lie at will, but maybe you can't see the difference. But I am
> taught that Truth or lie are marks of moral or imoral people

That's about as close to saying something by saying nothing as I've seen in a while. You've told us that you lie when it is convenient for you to do so. Doesn't that mean whenever you feel like lying? You babbled something about telling the truth or lying are marks of moral or immoral people. What are you trying to say?

> It is under my view moral to lie in some circumstances. Indeed that
> are time when the only moral coruse I can see is to lie

C'mon, Mark.

>> Does a moral person indulge in sadistic or
>> masochistic bisexual behavior which can involve human waste?

> nothing wrong in with any of that in my religion indeed to me hetero
> or homsexual orreintation is imoral by refuses to reconize the devine
> nature of mankind in general

I think you're barely de-vined. It appears that you have some peculiar notion that only by having sex with men and women are you fulfilling your religious duty. Sorry, Mark, we're through. That's a crock.

>> What kind
>> of twisted behavior is that?

> now you are just making moral judgement that ONLY your faith has any
> valid claim to the stauts of religion or it would apear to
> constitutional protection

You can paint a ceramic monkey gold and pray to it, Mark. It isn't going to make you appear less sick.

>> What sick kind of "faith" are you following?
>
> no kind of sick faith

Ask the gold monkey.

Dave K8MN

306. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:52:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8qQjf.153$Of1.79@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126071

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>>>> I know it might be difficult for you to accept, but you look like a complete
>>>> boob. That, Colonel Morgan, is the truth.
>>>>
>>>> Dave K8MN
>>> Dave,
>>>
>>> He's changed his name to "Clown Prince Morgan of Spam-a-Lot"
>> This guy's beginning to make Michael Jackson look normal.
>
> you need to get better informed on current events

I'm probably one of the most informed guys you've encountered, Mark.
Has Michael done something in the past twenty-four hours to recapture
your crown?

Dave K8MN

307. Dave the imoral hypocrtie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:52:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133499168.820464.200180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126072

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
but
> > nope Stevie is the one that brought it up
>
> Mark, *you* are responsible for everything you post to usenet, or place
> on a web site.

but I am not responible if someone goes looking to places where such is
right and proper and reposts
> In this case, the very foolish person who posted the
> perverse sex advert is *you*.

why was it foolish

gee If want the result i was asking it seems quite senible and logical

> Steve is not responsible for your
> choosing to do so
never said he was

he is responsible if he CHOOSES as he did to find and repost such
materail

>. If you didn't want it brought up, you should never
> have posted it.

I don't mind you and stevie seem to mind

and then you both object to answering why it is you post such materail
that you find offensive

What I object to is stevie posting then bitching about the results of
his choice to bring the stuff HERE

What I object is Stvei and yourself (as I recallyou have donethis)
saying Todd is homosexual. I object to you and Steve when you falsely
state I am homosexual instaed of telling the truth that I am bisexual

you seem to find lying moraly objectionable, if that is the case why do
you find it acceptable for Steve to tell lies

>
> > and that brought out the annymouses et all
>
> The annymouses? Is that really what you mean to say?

yes

>
> >>> you and stevie and your allies have been enaged in searching out
> >>> everything you don't aprove of
>
> >> I don't have any allies, Mark.
>
> > you are lying you do Stevie and your annymouses
>
> No, Mark, I am not lying.
yes you are you have a clear ally and partner in your bashing Steve

cuting the nosense
> >> Do you have allies?
>
> > yes haven't you noticed?
>
> No, Mark, I've not noticed that you have any allies. Could you name
> several of them so that we're all aware? If you had allies, wouldn't
> they be supporting you in your latest nonsense? I don't think anyone
> would want to be seen as supporting you.

I am not responible for your lack of sight

I could

only if I needed them

I am sure you think that

>
> >>> You and Stevie and your allies have been taking the action you deem as
> >>> fouling the NG
>
> >> That's incorrect, Colonel Morgan.
> >
> > no it isn't
>
> No matter how much you'd like to believe it, you are incorrect.

then you must be delusional

>
>
> > Your feind and ally Stevie decided that he would make an issue in Jun
> > of my sexaul oreintation
>
> My "feind"? My "ally"?

yes

>
> As I stated earlier. If *you* didn't want your perverse sexual
> preferences known,

why do you keep twisting the facts?

I don't mind it being known

you want me to hide it.

I refuse to

you object to knowing about it and that I refuse to hide it

I need not hide it anymore than you have to hide your dsilike but you
don't have the right to object to my refusing to hide something that
offends you

I would be happy to show all fo you Dave stevie, anybody, that is
bothered by mysexuality, to try an avoid it being forced on your
attention since it is irelavant to radio

but I can't stop steve from posting threads with titles like "Mark
Morgan has AIDS" or "warning Gay pagan.." that is stevie doing and then
the unstable type pick it up and post more stuff you seem to find
distateful

what is moraly wrong is that you INSIT I am responible for mentioning
my sexuality but Stevie is not
cuting you moral venom

> > the results from there ae hs fault not mine
>
> No, Mark, the results are *your* fault. *You* posted the advert, not
> Steve.

I posted it elsewhere

Stevie posted it here

I am not responible for stevie going out and reposting such materail

you statement is absurd

>
> >> You've been fouling the group for
> >> some time now. Your recent pathetic post-a-thon is way, way, way over
> >> the top.
>
> >>> I am not responible for anyone's decison to post
>
> >> Yes, Mark, you are. You are fully responsible for *your* decision to post.
>
> > but not any on else what is what you are claiming
>
> What I am claiming, I wrote above. You said that you aren't responsible
> for anyone's decision to post. You are responsible for your own
> decision to post.

then how am I rsponible for the reposting of varios ads?

>
> >>> despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am
> >> You see liars everywhere.
>
> > more lie Dave
>
> See what I mean? For grins, can you count the number of different
> posters in this newsgroup whom you have accused of lying?
>
> > there are 2 liar amoug the human posters you and stevie
>
> Would you like time to think over that answer? It is likely to come
> back and bite you very shortly.

Threats? that is getting old

>
> > Hans and Jim occasionaly does cross that line froom time to time
>
> Would you like to reconsider?

no

>
> > tha is hardly everywhere
>
> The number would extend to several times as many as you have claimed.
>
> >> According to you, just about no one tells the
> >> truth but you--and you've been known to lie at will.
>
> > another lie
>
> See what I mean. That isn't a lie, Mark. You've accused quite a number
> of people who post here of lying and you have said that you'll lie at
> your convenience.

no I haven't the Comode is not a person nor is mr slit or any of the
other annymouses

>
> > BB does seem to lie, len doesn't lie that I can tell he does push the
> > edges
>
> Oh--Brian's a liar and Len is clean. That's interesting.

nah that was typo

>
> Dave K8MN

308. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:53:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6okvo1d7o38eopb08ejfjpplipcq3kb70q@4ax.com>
Source record: 126084

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm
> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
> > >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
> > >> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > >> > Having seen some of the handwritten "comments" sent in on
> > >> > the 2,272 filings in WT Docket 98-143 and ALL of the 3,795
> > >> > filings in WT Docket 05-235, some are a hilarious barrel
> > >> > of laffs! :-)
> > >
> > >> [chuckle, chuckle]
> > >
> > >> So you really don't know what you're talking about when
> > >> you talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.
> > >
> > >he can make the same assumetion you can
> >
> Which assumption is that?

grow up old man
>
> > Mark, there's something curious about morsemen. They are very
> > SERIOUS about their hobby and INTENSE on certain skills.
> >
> Is there anything wrong with being serious or intense?

yes whn it result in them demanding that all must do as they did

>
> Let's see....WK3C and K2UNK spent their own time and money to
> visit FCC officials about the Morse Code test issue. That's pretty
> SERIOUS and INTENSE, isn't it?

strawmen
>
> (not that there's anything wrong with that...)

never said there was either

>
> > BTW, there's 3,796 filings now, one was added on the 28th. :-)

>
> Was any law broken by such late filings?

was it a late filing or merely the posting of some mail that was sent
in timely fashion by US mail

>
> > >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
> > >> > twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
> > >> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)
>
> > >> Why does that matter?
> > >
> > >becuase it isn't suposed to hapen at least if it does they are all
> > >supose to have been mailed before the deadline
>
> Who says it isn't supposed to happen?

the FCC in writing te rules as required by the Congress

>
> > The specific date periods on comments applies to the
> > Commission's activities on decision-making for a final
> > Memorandum Report and Order. That date period is determined
> > by statements made in the publishing of a docket/proceedure
> > in the Federal Register. Standard practice at the FCC.
>
> Your buddy Mark claims that late filings break some law or other.
> Straighten him out - if you can.

no I don't I calim it violates "the rule of law" the respect of
procedure

it vilates the regs to consider them

> >
> > In the case of publishing NPRM 05-143, the Commission was 6
> > calendar weeks LATE.
>
> How? Is there a deadline for FCC?

yes

>
> > NPRM 05-143 was opened to the public on
> > 19 July 2005. Publishing in the Federal Register didn't
> > happen until 31 August 2005.
>
> So? Does FCC have to get NPRMs in the Federal Register
> within a certain amount of time?

they are suposed to do in a timely manner

it certainly looks improper

> Perhaps you should tell
> them off and put them right, Len - after all, you've said you're
> not afraid of authority. You could put in some of your
> diminutive nicknames and catchphrases, and criticize them
> for taking six long weeks.....
>
> > The date period for comments
> > was not specifically stated in NPRM 05-143, was specifically
> > stated in the Federal Register on 31 August 2005.
>
> Which is why some of us didn't file comments right away. We
> waited for the official announcement. Others had no patience and
> just had to let fly before the official date.
> >
> > The normal delay on public release to publishing is anywhere
> > from zero days to a week. A few have taken longer, but it
> > would be a VERY long search to find a docket/proceeding that
> > was delayed SIX WEEKS.
>
> So? It took them a little longer. Have you no patience?

no pat with defiling the rule of law

>
> > In those SIX WEEKS DELAY the public
> > filed 52% of all comments filed.
>
> And the majority of those were anticodetest. The procodetest folks,
> in general, waited for the official comment period.

>
> What does that say about the two groups' understanding of the
> regulations?

sluing people for assuming the FCC had moved in the manner they are
supposed to

a low blow down in the stevie range

> >
> > The "public" may not be fully aware of the official comment
> > period beginning date.
>
> Nonsense. Most of those who filed comments are licensed
> amateurs, aren't they?

your coment was nonsense all right

>
> > The Commission is fairly speedy on
> > getting proceedings published in the Federal Register.
>
> Not this time! Why don't you complain, Len? Tell 'em
> how it should be done!

I thought he did as point of fact

>
> > The
> > "public" does not consist of just attorneys and beaurocrats
> > handling law, so they would generally be unaware of that
> > delay. Such a long time was unexpected.
>
> You filed before the deadline, didn't you? ;-)
> Did you file any of your 10 filings too early? Maybe the folks at
> FCC are chuckling over the fact that you couldn't follow the rules...

no rule said he had to wait for the pulication?

>
> 1 comment - 8 reply comments - 1 exhibit - 146 pages if my count is
> right......

so?

>
> While you have every right in the world to comment to FCC, Len, did
> it ever occur to you that maybe - just maybe - your long wordy
> diatribes
> really don't help the nocodetest cause one bit?

given the quality (or lack of it) from the ProCode side I don't think
his coments helped the No Code side at all, but only because the rsult
was something the Procoders had the clear burden to make the case or
esle the FCC would go with the NoCode Position

indeed I don't think any of the NoCode coments will play much role in
the final outcome. I hope my coment my affect some issues on the
margin of the NPRM but it is a slim hope

>
> > >why does it seem you don't care about the rul of of law when it suits
> > >you
>
> What "rule of law" prohibits late comments?

one should avoid being late one should meet dealines

> >
> > Jimmy Noserve only cares about the preservation of morse code,
> > everything from "operating skill" to the license test. He
> > can't bear to give up any of that.
>
> Wrong on all counts there, Len. Completely wrong.

then what have yo been fighting over

>
> > >> > Oh, right...the ARRL TOLD YOU! Or you channeled St. Hiram on
> > >> > the subject and you got the number in a vision?

> > >
> > >> FCC received over 6000 comments on the "incentive licensing" proposals,
> > >> Len. Without the internet. That's a fact.
> > >
> > >indeed shwoing what a disaster the idea was
>
> How was it a "disaster"?

by being one and something hams are whining over
>
> > >how the ARRL tired to kill the ars
>
> How? By trying to raise the level of technical knowledge required for a
>
> full-provileges license?

yes

>
> > Mark, Jimmy has NOT proven his "fact."
>
> You have not disproved it, Len.

yours is the burden you are maikng the assertion

>
> > The only way to determine
> > that "fact" is to visit the FCC Reading Room in DC and view all
> > the filings.
>
> How do you know I haven't done that, Len?

did you?

>
> For most of my life I've lived in the Philadelphia metropolitan area.
> Washington DC is a day trip from here - done it many times. In
> fact, some things I have designed are in daily use in the DC metro
> area. It would be a simple thing for me to take a day or two to see
> all those 6000 "filings".
>
> > Those old dockets and proceedings aren't on-line.
>
> So? They were reported in the publications of that time (1960s).
> Is something only true to you if it's online, Len? What evidence
> do you have that the 6000+ comments claim is not true?
>
> Perhaps you don't want to accept it because it disproves your
> opinions.
>
> > As to "disaster," that is subjective opinion.
>

> Yep. FCC thought it was a good idea at the time. FCC still
> thinks it's a good idea, but with fewer levels.

do they on what basis do you say that

>
> > In the long run,
> > "incentive licensing" only served to harden the class
> > distinction among licensees.
>
> Subjective opinion.

something had to do it

what else do you sugest?

>
> > It got too cumbersome for the
> > future to the Commission, so they streamlined it via FCC 99-412.
>
> Yet the basic concept of a series of license classes, each with
> increasing
> test requirements and privileges, was reaffirmed by FCC. As for being
> "too cumbersome", FCC refused all proposals of free (no test) upgrades,
> which would have greatly simplified the license class structure and the
> rules. Instead, we now have the six license classes anyway, with Novice
> and Advanced no longer issued new (but renewable and modifiable
> indefinitely), and Technician Plus being renewed as Technician even
> though the privileges are different.
>
> In fact, a case could be made that there are at least 9 different
> license
> categories (for those who hold current licenses) if you
> count differences in operating privileges and test element credit:
>
> 1) Novice
> 2) Technician without code test
> 3) Tech Plus or Technician renewed from Tech Plus, pre-March 21, 1987
> 4) Tech Plus or Technician renewed from Tech Plus, post-March 21, 1987
> 5) Technician with code test CSCE less than 365 days old
> 6) Technician with code test CSCE more than 365 days old
> 7) General
> 8) Advanced
> 9) Extra
>
> There's even more if the test credits for certain expired licenses
> are considered)
>
> Doesn't seem to faze the FCC.
>
> > The League lobbied for, and got "incentive licensing."
>

> But not just the League. There were no less than 10 other proposals
> in favor of the concept. They differed in details but not in the basic
> idea. There was widespread support for the idea both inside and
> outside the League. Also widespread opposition. The support won.
>
> It's odd that all the other proposals, and the features they suggested,
> are so often forgotten, and the League gets all the blame.
>
> > Old-timers
> > of the League loved radiotelegraphy,
>
> Is that a bad thing?

yes
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

309. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:55:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ntQjf.155$Of1.22@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126074

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> cut
>>> as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
>>> prefer to use
>> Oh, you're British?
>
> no why do you ask?

"Armour" is the British spelling. If you don't paint something "grey"
or put "tyres" on your car, why would you use "armour"?

>>> not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
>>> spelling cop shit
>> How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?
>
> no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything

"Scrabble board", Mark. It has much to do with your more disjointed
posts.

> it should be obvious that scabble is not likely to be one of those
> baord that I indulge in

I don't see you as playing; I see you as shuffling the letters around.

> but then you seem to lack much in the way of sense

So did your last post.

Dave K8MN

310. stevie stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 04:57:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133499434.237851.198830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.fm

willstevie allow me to try and kill this scik thread?
dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com wrote:
> Why do hams have such little dicks.....Guess I'll just have to
> keep eating pussy!

311. Re: when will Dave stop claimingI am responible for what other people post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 05:00:44 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6skvo1duovdgeqtdsii0okvfrigdoa6sc2@4ax.com>
Source record: 126085

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 13:49:15 +0800, Troy Reynolds
<troydotreynolds@westnetdotcom.au> wrote:

>On 1 Dec 2005 17:18:34 -0800, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>or will he continue to assert that I am respoible for other reporting
>>stuuf intot he Newsgruop
>
>Seriously, what the hell are you on about?
Dave and Steve object to the fact I don'thide my sexuality welle nough
to suit them

Stevei insists on lying about the sexual orientation of severl folks
on RRAP

Stevie refuses comepletely to answer what sexuality has to do with
radio and objects to me responding to these attacks which started
becuase Stevie decide to haerld my arrival with a post title Gay pagan
back in town

> Flooding the NG is one
>thing however it would be apreciated if you could make some sense
>whilst doing so.

I am dyslexisc and it sin'r easy dave and stevie also insist that for
that reason as well i lack the right to post here unless I make my
posts suit their tastes

I am trying to oush bac we shall see how it plays out

Indeed Steve claim he has called to cops to have me arrested for
flooding the NG

I assmue he is lying about that one

but stevie can stop by simply saying why what I or or Todd or BB or
anyone else does sexauly and legaly have to do with radio

or at least agree to stop doing this

any more questions on this matter I will answer assuming I see them
>
>- Troy

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

312. Re: Dave the imoral hypocrtie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 05:32:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <l%Qjf.3431$Hk1.2371@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126079

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an_old_friend wrote:
> but
>>> nope Stevie is the one that brought it up
>> Mark, *you* are responsible for everything you post to usenet, or place
>> on a web site.
>
> but I am not responible if someone goes looking to places where such is
> right and proper and reposts
>> In this case, the very foolish person who posted the
>> perverse sex advert is *you*.
>
> why was it foolish

I suggest that you ponder the issue for a few hours or even days.
Perhaps the answer will come to you.

> gee If want the result i was asking it seems quite senible and logical

Do you feel that it is getting the result you wanted here?

>> Steve is not responsible for your
>> choosing to do so

> never said he was

> he is responsible if he CHOOSES as he did to find and repost such
> materail

The material was posted in a very public place. Google and Deja News
before it archive everything. You might as well have posted your advert
on the bulletin board at your local Kroger store.

>> . If you didn't want it brought up, you should never
>> have posted it.
>
> I don't mind you and stevie seem to mind

For a guy who says he doesn't mind, your recent flood of nonsense posts
seems to indicate that you mind very much.

> and then you both object to answering why it is you post such materail
> that you find offensive

I find it very informative, Mark. I know what kind of person is on the other end of the disjointed, kooky, accusatory posts you've made in the past.

> What I object to is stevie posting then bitching about the results of
> his choice to bring the stuff HERE

I've never seen a comment by Steve in which he complains about the results of reposting the material here.

> What I object is Stvei and yourself (as I recallyou have donethis)
> saying Todd is homosexual.

You recall wrongly. I could have called you a liar, but that'd be your schtick.

> I object to you and Steve when you falsely
> state I am homosexual instaed of telling the truth that I am bisexual

Do you or have you had sex with men, Mark? If the answer is yes, you're a homosexual. You may have sex with women, but you're still a homosexual in that you participate in homosexual acts.

> you seem to find lying moraly objectionable, if that is the case why do
> you find it acceptable for Steve to tell lies

Steve, I don't find it acceptable for you to tell lies.

>>> and that brought out the annymouses et all

>> The annymouses? Is that really what you mean to say?
>
> yes

You can't say I didn't give you a chance.

>>>>> you and stevie and your allies have been enaged in searching out
>>>>> everything you don't aprove of

>>>> I don't have any allies, Mark.

>>> you are lying you do Stevie and your annymouses

>> No, Mark, I am not lying.

> yes you are you have a clear ally and partner in your bashing Steve

I'm not Steve's partner in this or any other venture, Mark. This is a public forum.

> cuting the nosense

If you cuted the nosense, you wouldn't have much to say.

>>>> Do you have allies?

>>> yes haven't you noticed?

>> No, Mark, I've not noticed that you have any allies. Could you name
>> several of them so that we're all aware? If you had allies, wouldn't
>> they be supporting you in your latest nonsense? I don't think anyone
>> would want to be seen as supporting you.

> I am not responible for your lack of sight
>
> I could
>
> only if I needed them
>
> I am sure you think that

So, the fact is that you really don't have allies.

>>>>> You and Stevie and your allies have been taking the action you deem as
>>>>> fouling the NG

>>>> That's incorrect, Colonel Morgan.

>>> no it isn't

>> No matter how much you'd like to believe it, you are incorrect.
>
> then you must be delusional

That'd be an incorrect assumption on your part too.

>>> Your feind and ally Stevie decided that he would make an issue in Jun
>>> of my sexaul oreintation

>> My "feind"? My "ally"?
>
> yes

Noooooooo.

>> As I stated earlier. If *you* didn't want your perverse sexual
>> preferences known,

> why do you keep twisting the facts?

I've twisted no facts.

> I don't mind it being known

I wrote "If *you* didn't want your perverse sexual preferences known..."

> you want me to hide it.

I do? I don't care if you bray it from the roof top. It's a little
late for you to think about hiding it now, Mark.

> I refuse to

You don't really have a choice at this point.

> you object to knowing about it and that I refuse to hide it

You *can't possibly* hide it now, Mark. That cat's out of the bag.

> I need not hide it anymore than you have to hide your dsilike but you
> don't have the right to object to my refusing to hide something that
> offends you

Get it straight, will ya. You can't hide your perverse preferences.
They're already out in the open.

> I would be happy to show all fo you Dave stevie, anybody, that is
> bothered by mysexuality, to try an avoid it being forced on your
> attention since it is irelavant to radio

...but not irrelevant to the poster, no matter what the topic. Your
sexuality is a known. It is a given. If it weren't known by the
revelation of your kinky advert, it would have been know by your writing
of men talking to you on the phone, thinking they were talking to a
woman and wanting to marry you, or your thinking that Steve wanted to
have sex with you. Those goofy posts would have been a giveaway.

> but I can't stop steve from posting threads with titles like "Mark
> Morgan has AIDS" or "warning Gay pagan.." that is stevie doing and then
> the unstable type pick it up and post more stuff you seem to find
> distateful

You mean the other unstable types. Yeah, they do. A couple of them are
downright lunatics, Mark. You cuddled up to one in the newsgroup. He
turned out to be Roger Wiseman. His posts are extremely distasteful.

>
> what is moraly wrong is that you INSIT I am responible for mentioning
> my sexuality but Stevie is not

Not "INSIT" You can "insit" at the "outhouse" but I think you mean
"insist". I insist because *you made a personal decision" to post your
advert in a very public place, just like that bulletin board at the
Kroger store. If I'd seen it at the Kroger store and wrote it down and
shared the information with my friends at a local bar or a local
restaurant, we'd have the same outcome. Now if your sexuality was
closely guarded and someone got into your private papers and revealed
your secret, you'd have a different ballgame. In this case, you have no
reasonable expectation of privacy.

> cuting you moral venom

The word is "cutting", Mark, "cutting" and you aren't cutting. The
words are still there.

>>> the results from there ae hs fault not mine

>> No, Mark, the results are *your* fault. *You* posted the advert, not
>> Steve.
>

> I posted it elsewhere

That matters not. You posted it in a public forum.

> Stevie posted it here

...only because *you* made it public to begin with.

> I am not responible for stevie going out and reposting such materail

If you'd not put it where it could be seen, nobody would have been able
to repost it. Then again, you claim that you aren't hiding it and
aren't ashamed of the ad, so why are you complaining?

> you statement is absurd

The one about the ceramic monkey? Not really.

>>>> You've been fouling the group for
>>>> some time now. Your recent pathetic post-a-thon is way, way, way over
>>>> the top.

>>>>> I am not responible for anyone's decison to post

>>>> Yes, Mark, you are. You are fully responsible for *your* decision to post.
>>> but not any on else what is what you are claiming

>> What I am claiming, I wrote above. You said that you aren't responsible
>> for anyone's decision to post. You are responsible for your own
>> decision to post.
>
> then how am I rsponible for the reposting of varios ads?

This will be the last time I'll attempt to explain it to you: Bulletin
board. Kroger store. Public. You authored it.

>>>>> despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am
>>>> You see liars everywhere.

>>> more lie Dave

>> See what I mean? For grins, can you count the number of different
>> posters in this newsgroup whom you have accused of lying?

>>> there are 2 liar amoug the human posters you and stevie

>> Would you like time to think over that answer? It is likely to come
>> back and bite you very shortly.

> Threats? that is getting old

I made no threat. I told you what is likely to take place.

>>> Hans and Jim occasionaly does cross that line froom time to time
>> Would you like to reconsider?

> no

That's very foolish of you.

>>> tha is hardly everywhere
>> The number would extend to several times as many as you have claimed.
>>
>>>> According to you, just about no one tells the
>>>> truth but you--and you've been known to lie at will.

>>> another lie

>> See what I mean. That isn't a lie, Mark. You've accused quite a number
>> of people who post here of lying and you have said that you'll lie at
>> your convenience.

> no I haven't the Comode is not a person nor is mr slit or any of the
> other annymouses

They aren't people? Are they robots? What about W0EX? What about Mike
Coslo? Are others coming to mind?

>>> BB does seem to lie, len doesn't lie that I can tell he does push the
>>> edges

>> Oh--Brian's a liar and Len is clean. That's interesting.
>
> nah that was typo

I knew it had to be. Len isn't clean.

Dave K8MN

313. Stevie could you try to show some thought in your titles?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 05:35:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <37nvo1p9jtdj326pepal8339o1ifaoq01a@4ax.com>
Source record: 126090

On 1 Dec 2005 22:33:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
cut
>> > How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?
>>
>> no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything
>
> Illiterate AND stupid. What a combo.

standard Stevie attac
>
>> it should be obvious that scabble is not likely to be one of those
>> baord that I indulge in
>
> Due to your incompetence in English, no doubt.

shcoking stevei get something right
>
>> but then you seem to lack much in the way of sense
>
> That's cute...coming from a twerp who's bombed the NG with over 200
>messages in the last 60 hours.

it has been qutie effective at my goal, is that why you object?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

314. poor Stevie showing off the sign his likely history of being sexualy abused

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 05:39:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <bbnvo1hs1rg33qe1iesm4n5mkqb4ubca4h@4ax.com>
Source record: 126093

On 1 Dec 2005 22:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> anytime any of the rest of us change email addresses stevie charges
>> that they have something to hide
>>
>> so what does Stevie have to hide
>> everyone should be advised that The following person
>> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>>
>> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>>
>> STEVEN J ROBESON
>> 151 12TH AVE NW
>> WINCHESTER TN 37398
>> 931-967-6282
>>
>> k4yz@aol.com
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhh...Markie...that's my usual e mail address.

which you were hidning duirng the days
>
> And why, after 2 days, are you continuing to broadcast the
>telephone number of someone not associated with this group?

so you say I have no reason to beleive that yours is a true statement

beside no broadcasting here
I am not seending out a radio signal nor am I sending out something
intended for the general public
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

315. Re: Dave the imoral hypocrtie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 05:41:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133502106.568818.121580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126082

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> > why was it foolish
>
> I suggest that you ponder the issue for a few hours or even days.
> Perhaps the answer will come to you.

you are a fool
>
> > gee If want the result i was asking it seems quite senible and logical
>
> Do you feel that it is getting the result you wanted here?

no nor does it deviler a result I mind

but still how did my psting a person ad allow Stevie and youself to
call Todd gay

>
> >> Steve is not responsible for your
> >> choosing to do so
>
> > never said he was
>
> > he is responsible if he CHOOSES as he did to find and repost such
> > materail
>
> The material was posted in a very public place.
so?
> Google and Deja News
> before it archive everything.
so?
> You might as well have posted your advert
> on the bulletin board at your local Kroger store.

why?

>
> >> . If you didn't want it brought up, you should never
> >> have posted it.
> >
> > I don't mind you and stevie seem to mind
>
> For a guy who says he doesn't mind, your recent flood of nonsense posts

> seems to indicate that you mind very much.
then you just can't read

I object to you and steve avoiding a question. if you can advance a
reason that it is right and proper to discuss sexuality here then I
will accept but inist that you and stevie mange to do so in a
respectfull manner

>
> > and then you both object to answering why it is you post such materail
> > that you find offensive
>
> I find it very informative, Mark.

a better than any have advanced so far

now get stevie to provide as good an answer...

> I know what kind of person is on the
> other end of the disjointed, kooky, accusatory posts you've made in the
> past.

meaning however it is proper and afir game to point out your sinfull
behavoir here and to point out where someone lies or o
>
> > What I object to is stevie posting then bitching about the results of
> > his choice to bring the stuff HERE
>
> I've never seen a comment by Steve in which he complains about the
> results of reposting the material here.

then you have not been reading
>
> > What I object is Stvei and yourself (as I recallyou have donethis)
> > saying Todd is homosexual.
>
> You recall wrongly. I could have called you a liar, but that'd be your
> schtick.

still why is it acceptable to you for Stevie to lie about Todd?

>
> > I object to you and Steve when you falsely
> > state I am homosexual instaed of telling the truth that I am bisexual
>
flsuuing your lying

316. stevei lies about spaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 05:42:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <pinvo15afap83ppmhk8o715k7uro7drpsk@4ax.com>
Source record: 126094

On 1 Dec 2005 22:40:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> Stevei in response to being asked why he kept starting new thread
>> began a flamefest complaining that BB was trying to impose a limit on
>> his postsing I agreed that stevie was limited to number of threads he
>> could start.
>
> "Stevie" "posting" "Stevie [again]
>
> I started no such "flamefest", Markie
>
>> I merely claim the same preveldeg as Stevie
>
> "priviledge"
>
> You're not claiming any "priviledge".

another lie from stevie
>
> You're spamming the NG.
nope flooding yes
> It's a violation of TOS.
no vilation of my TOS

after all you point that anyone may start as many threads as they
swish
>..Not that
>"rules" ever bothered you.

still more lies I obey lots of rules like those of the FCC
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

317. Proof That K4yz is a Pathological Liar, and that he desire htis flame fest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 05:43:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <jmnvo1lb2iecfd70o87j6t991jja18obqb@4ax.com>
Source record: 126095

On 1 Dec 2005 22:42:11 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
>> > rest of us
>>
>> I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>
> Twice a liar, at that.

you seem unable to be civil or moral or truthfull or decent
>
> Thanks, Brain.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

318. Re: when will Dave stop claimingI am responible for what other people post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 05:49:15 UTC
From: Troy Reynolds <troydotreynolds@westnetdotcom.au>
Message-ID: <3vnvo1ldaclninpu7rvgfod6m58ffef8im@4ax.com>
Source record: 126083

On 1 Dec 2005 17:18:34 -0800, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>or will he continue to assert that I am respoible for other reporting
>stuuf intot he Newsgruop

Seriously, what the hell are you on about? Flooding the NG is one
thing however it would be apreciated if you could make some sense
whilst doing so.

- Troy

319. Re: I need SEX !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 06:31:07 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <LSRjf.162222$tD4.157987@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 126086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.fm

This ham has a very BIG cock! When do you want to meet my dear? Note: I learned from exposure of electromagnetic waves of a certain frequency and strength the penial tissues swell to enormous proportions.

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)

<dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133498656.754727.169370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Why do hams have such little dicks.....Guess I'll just have to
> keep eating pussy!
>

320. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 06:33:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133505207.431089.90580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126087

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> cut
> > > as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
> > > prefer to use
> >
> > Oh, you're British?
>
> no why do you ask?
> >
> > > not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
> > > spelling cop shit
> >
> > How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?
>
> no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything

Illiterate AND stupid. What a combo.

> it should be obvious that scabble is not likely to be one of those
> baord that I indulge in

Due to your incompetence in English, no doubt.

> but then you seem to lack much in the way of sense

That's cute...coming from a twerp who's bombed the NG with over 200
messages in the last 60 hours.

Steve, K4YZ

321. Mark Off Deep End ~ ~ Continues to Broadcast Phone Number Of Non-Participant In RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 06:36:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133505413.947749.88030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126088

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> anytime any of the rest of us change email addresses stevie charges
> that they have something to hide
>
> so what does Stevie have to hide
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> k4yz@aol.com

Uhhhhhhhhhh...Markie...that's my usual e mail address.

And why, after 2 days, are you continuing to broadcast the
telephone number of someone not associated with this group?

Steve, K4YZ

322. Re: I need SEX !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 06:39:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-cqdnYKr_IcVQxLeRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.fm

dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com wrote:
> Why do hams have such little dicks.....Guess I'll just have to
> keep eating pussy!
>

Obviously you have a very small mind.

323. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 06:40:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133505623.638949.47840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126089

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Stevei in response to being asked why he kept starting new thread
> began a flamefest complaining that BB was trying to impose a limit on
> his postsing I agreed that stevie was limited to number of threads he
> could start.

"Stevie" "posting" "Stevie [again]

I started no such "flamefest", Markie

> I merely claim the same preveldeg as Stevie

"priviledge"

You're not claiming any "priviledge".

You're spamming the NG. It's a violation of TOS...Not that
"rules" ever bothered you.

Steve, K4YZ

324. More Proof That N0IMD is a Pathological Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 06:42:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133505731.450450.98080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126091

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > rest of us
>
> I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.

Twice a liar, at that.

Thanks, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

325. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 06:44:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133505847.874288.297390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126092

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > > rest of us
> >
> > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>
> I suspect you are right OToh my offer is sincere and it helps me feel
> comfroatble with this type of action

So what's to not feel comfortable about, Markie?

You've been so used to lying so much and so often, that spamming
the NG for your own gratification isn't that much more of a stretch.

Steve, K4YZ

326. Re: when will Dave stop claimingI am responible for what other people post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 06:56:14 UTC
From: Troy Reynolds <troydotreynolds@westnetdotcom.au>
Message-ID: <6urvo1p6n2m7ukrjj1rt0ljop54s1iu00p@4ax.com>
Source record: 126096

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 00:00:44 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>Stevei insists on lying about the sexual orientation of severl folks
>on RRAP

So fucking what? Nobody gives a shit and neither should you.

- Troy

327. The Message Markie Doesn't Want To Read and Doesn't Want Others To Read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 12:02:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133524937.076847.183340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126097

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Dec 2005 22:42:11 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >> > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> >> > rest of us
> >>
> >> I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
> >>
> > Twice a liar, at that.
>
> you seem unable to be civil or moral or truthfull or decent

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....

YOUR posts have "gummed up" the group for several days now. Over
200 in all now. So the "civil" issue is yours. You are not causing ME
any pain, discomfort or loss of service. However there ARE others here
who may not feel that way.

YOUR screen names are the nes they see.

YOU are the one who thinks it's OK to teach children to lie, so
again the "moral" issue is yours.

And "truthfull"...?!?!?

Mark C. Morgan has NO BUSINESS suggesting the truthfulness or
deceitfulness of any OTHER person is above or below board, Colonel!

Your "question" has been answered for three days now, yet you
continue to cause this disruption for hundreds of others in your
"quest".

That's got "selfish" AND "stupid" written all over it.

> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders

You continue you this deceit, yet everyone here KNOWS who's been
investigated for "elder abuse", the admission of which came from YOUR
own "mouth", Markie.

It isn't me.

> 931-967-6282

And still "broadcasting" some other persons phone number. And you suggest I am "unstable".

Continue on your Quest, Don Quixote!

We're all laughing at you! We'll just sit back and watch.

Steve, K4YZ

328. Sing along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 12:13:12 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org" <>
Message-ID: <5ge0p1dm5d766mg3mktgbavlvdlo4o33vj@4ax.com>
Source record: 126098

Gay, oh soo gay. They were gay. Oh sooo gay.

I once knew a group of gay guys.
They were homos to the core.
They would hit on guys all week long and,
On the weekends they were man-whores.

Now their story is one of homosexuality,
and grabbing other men's butts.
Their story is filled with gay steamy love,
And touching eachothers nuts.

Todd and Mark,and Ben,
They were homos since they were ten,
They loved making hot manly love,
They never liked wear their rubber glove,
That is why their shafts are brown,

Todd and Mark,and Ben.
They were homos since they were ten,

Repeat 2-4X - Gay oh so gay. They were gay. Ohh
soo gay!

Mark Morgan

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

329. Some Reading Markie Might Like To Brush Up On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 12:19:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133525980.148873.301350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126099

Hello,

The following message is automatically sent to every new poster or
cross-poster (as of June 19, 2002) to any of the rec.radio.amateur.*
newsgroups. It should be sent once, and only once, to each unique
address. This is a welcome message. The Internet amateur radio
community welcomes you to the newsgroups, including
rec.radio.amateur.*,
and welcomes your input, opinions, and constructive participation. To
help make you feel welcome, experienced participants like myself have
chosen to systematically inform you, as a new poster, about useful
information resources and user tips to enable you to get the most out
of
these newsgroups. I hope you will consider the message in that spirit.

* * *

1. The news.announce.newusers newsgroup, moderated by Jon Bell, et al,
contains a number of very informative articles providing an overview of
net-etiquette, suggested writing styles for electronic forums, and
other
various do's and don'ts concerning Internet culture. If the articles
have expired at your site, you may also access them from:

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.answers/news/

2. Original newsgroup voting and charter information for the
rec.radio.amateur.* hierarchy, as well as for rec.radio.info and
rec.radio.swap, may be accessed from:

ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.info
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur-reorg
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur.dx
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

3. The Guide to the rec.radio Newsgroups, originally written by Jay
Maynard, K5ZC, is now maintained by Jeffrey Herman, KH6O. It provides
an excellent overview of all of the Usenet newsgroups devoted to
amateur
and CB radio. It may be accessed from:

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.answers/radio/personal-intro

4. The rec.radio.swap FAQ: A Guide to Buying and Selling on Usenet,
also originally written by Jay Maynard, K5ZC, is now also maintained by
Jeffrey Herman, KH6O. It contains a number of good pointers for
reading

and posting articles concerning the buying and selling of radio and radio-related equipment on the Usenet newsgroup rec.radio.swap (which, with the sole exception of rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors for vintage equipment, is the only appropriate newsgroup in the rec.radio.* hierarchy for such articles). It may be accessed from:

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.answers/radio/swap-guide

Please direct any submissions feedback, or administrivia regarding the above two resources to jeffreyh@hawaii.edu.

5. The national amateur radio society of the United States, the American Radio Relay League, has a presence on the Internet. You may access their comprehensive and informative home page at:

http://www.arrl.org/

(They also have information about amateur radio organizations in other countries.)

6. Read the newsgroup first to see if your question has already been answered. You may also view, and search for keywords in, older news articles (going all the way back to 1981) at the Google (formerly DejaNews) archive:

http://groups.google.com/

Many topics have been discussed before, often in great depth, but the articles have expired at your local news server. Services like Google allow Usenet a much longer "institutional memory," greatly benefiting both new and experienced users.

7. If you do post to the newsgroup, give as many details as possible. After you post, read the newsgroup for a week or two to see all replies to your posting. A recommended practice is to ask for responses by E-mail and offer to post a summary if others are interested in the answer to your question. Note that it is (almost without exception) inappropriate to post your article to all (or even a significant subset of) rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups. Please pick only the most relevant newsgroups (2 or 3 at most), and post your article as a simultaneous cross-post (check your newsreader documentation) so that only one article is propagated, and the article is only shown once in a newsreader.

8. Remember, Usenet newsgroups are based on the idea of mutual aid. Usenet only works if we put as much into it as we get out of it.

73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU

330. Re: when will Dave stop claimingI am responible for what other people post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 12:23:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133526237.494405.104180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126100

Troy Reynolds wrote:
> On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 00:00:44 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
> >Stevei insists on lying about the sexual orientation of severl folks
> >on RRAP
>
> So ####ing what? Nobody gives a #### and neither should you.

Forget it, Troy.

Markie's considerate of and concious of one thing and one thing
only....And that's what Markie wants...As you can see by his
several-day-long spamming of the NG for his own gratification.

73

Steve, K4YZ

331. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 12:31:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133526673.535392.230830@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126101

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Dec 2005 22:40:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> Stevei in response to being asked why he kept starting new thread
> >> began a flamefest complaining that BB was trying to impose a limit on
> >> his postsing I agreed that stevie was limited to number of threads he
> >> could start.
> >
> > "Stevie" "posting" "Stevie [again]
> >
> > I started no such "flamefest", Markie
> >
> >> I merely claim the same preveldeg as Stevie
> >
> > "priviledge"
> >
> > You're not claiming any "priviledge".
>
> another lie from stevie

Nope.

> > You're spamming the NG.
>
> nope flooding yes

Spamming.

> > It's a violation of TOS.
>
> no vilation of my TOS

It's a violation of USENET TOS.

> after all you point that anyone may start as many threads as they
> swish

Well, Markie...You've got me there...especially since YOU "swish"
with the best of them, no doubt!

> >..Not that
> >"rules" ever bothered you.
>
> still more lies I obey lots of rules like those of the FCC

How can you...?!?!

You have clearly demonstrated in recent posts that you don't have a clue as to what FCC rules and regulations are, and you're incapable of understanding mode and bandwidth designators!

You asked for "info" about the 60 meter band recently, and when provided specific details on your request, called the provider a liar and said his information was "useless".

You're clearly incapable of understanding what those rules and regulations are!

Steve, K4YZ

332. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly ~~ Manifests Markies Malicious Muddlings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 12:48:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133527690.047525.268360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126102

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > > > > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > indeed that was the effect I was going for
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How am I managing BB?
> > > > >
> > > > > Impressive. I think that you are out-Steving the Master.
> > > >
> > > > Cute!
> > >
> > ...and unfortunate. You tend to be the lowest common denominator and
> > when people stoop to your kind of behavio[u]r, it drags the whole group
> > down. Thanks for a shining example of Extra Hamdom.

That behaviour is only directed at those who help to foster it.

For example those who call others names when they weren't called
similar names to start with.

BTW Brain, you've yet to answer why YOU continue to do so,
espeically in regards to Jim Miccolis.

> > > > the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> > > > does on a routinue basis
> > > >
> > > > I tried being a jerk like Steve, but I had no stomach for it. Gave it
> > > > up after a couple of weeks. I don't know how he can stand himself.
> > >
> > > Oh, NO, Brain!

>
> Steve, it's "Brian."

Is it?

Gee, Brain, I thought a few days ago I said I'd cool things if you
did.

I did, but what you did was keep right on digging in.

> > Don't understimate yourself, Brain!
>
> When I do I'm usually wrong.

See! There you go again!

> > You're your OWN jerk, and I am sure you have PLENTY of stomach for
> > it!
>
> I prefer politeness. People can disagree without being disagreeable.
> Except for a person named "Steven J Robeson."

Oh no! There are quite a few other people here with whom I
correspond, at times even "toe-to-toe", yet life seems to move on.

However those persons whoim I call the "Feeble Five" seem to be
the exception.

And, uh, Brain...That includes you.

> > And unlike you I can see you being able to "stand" yourself. What
> > I CAN'T see is you subjecting your family to a jerk like you.
>
> What has my family to do with your assinine behavio[u]r on rrap? Is
> this the part where you again feel a need to talk to my wife or my
> minor son? Be careful how you answer.

Don't presume to caution me again, Brain.

And no, I don't need to "talk" to your wife or child.

They can surf the net and see how you act behind their backs
without any assistance from me, I am sure.

> > > > > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > > > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > > > > > them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > > > > > gone?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >

> > > > > For merely behaving as you have?
> > > >
> > > > indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?
> > >
> > > The double-standard couldn't have been more clear.
> >
> > Ah yes...The Feeble Five High Five.
> >
> > How (un)impressive!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> "High Five?" Hi, hi!
>
> Your lies are so ubiquitous that drawing attention to them is
> b-o-r-i-n-g. It's like finding a tiny toy surprise in every box of
> Cracker Jacks. The thrill is gone.

The thrill is gone since there's nothing for you to do, Brain.

Now...If you want that civility thing to work, IT TAKES TWO.

How things proceed from here are up to YOU. Be careful how YOU respond!

Steve, K4YZ

333. HOWTO: Clean up rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 13:55:44 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <88087017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 126103

The easiest and most effective way to clean up this (and any other news group) is to filter the posts. Note that some of the poorer news readers don't have effective filtering abilities, so if you have one of those news readers, you'll need to download a free replacement. For Windows users, one such free replacement is Xnews. It is available at the URL

http://www.download.com/Xnews/3000-2164_4-10144167.html

The most important thing for a filtering news reader to allow you do is to set up filtering rules based on ANY arbitrary header; not just the "From" and "Subject" headers. Once you have the ability to do that, you can remove all posts whose Message-ID or whose References header contains the string "googlegroups.com". That will remove all posts made through Google as well as all followups to such posts.

You will have cleaned up 90% of the glurge.

Next, you can add a set of rules which will kill all posts coming from a specific news server, no matter what the poster may put in the From field of his posts. That's a little more complicated, but worth it, and it is done by specifying a filtering rule which examines the Path header of all posts. More of the glurge can be eliminated by using the filtering rule

Path:.*news\.usenetzone\.com![^!]+$

to kill all posts which originate at usenetzone.com. That takes care of nearly all the rest of the glurge, because it eliminates all posts produced by kb9rq under all of his sock puppets.

It is equally easy to add more Path filtering rules to kill other obnoxious news sources, such as the anonymous remailers that some posters hide behind. In that case, some of the remailers have helpfully put the strings "nym.alias.net" or "sewer-output" in the Path header, and those posts can be eliminated with the additional filters

Path:.*nym\.alias\.net
Path:.*sewer-output

Messages which are crossposted to many news groups are also very likely to be garbage, and to cut out cross posts, you can filter on the Xref header. For example, the following filter eliminates all posts which have been crossposted to more than three news groups:

Xref:.*:.*:.*:.*:

To eliminate all crossposted messages, you can use the filter

Xref:.*:.*:

to do the job.

Example: Before entering rrap, my news reader showed a count of 90 posts. After selecting the news group and filtering it with the rules given above, only five posts remained.

Guess rrap is actually dead.

334. Re: HOWTO: Clean up rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 14:10:58 UTC
From: C W McCall <CWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1133532658.6412dbc46d35a307dc62b133595f88f6@fe5.teranews.com>
Source record: 126104

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:88087017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> The easiest and most effective way to clean up this (and any other
> news group) is to filter the posts.
>
Exactly right. Killfilter these two bozo's and this newsgroup becomes
readable again.

Must killfile these:

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com

kb9rqz@amsat.org

335. Re: I'm a man fucker.....and want to ream your ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 14:30:40 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org" <>
Message-ID: <him0p11k9qhlp2i1gjndfirumlaec8vrg4@4ax.com>
Source record: 126105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Anonymous-Remailer@See.Comment.Header (mII) wrote:
> Any takers? Let's get together....
>
That sound hot! DO ME!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

336. Re: HOWTO: Clean up rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 15:51:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133538708.900221.212650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126106

C W McCall wrote:
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:88087017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> > The easiest and most effective way to clean up this (and any other
> > news group) is to filter the posts.
> >
> Exactly right. Killfilter these two bozo's and this newsgroup becomes
> readable again.
>
> Must killfile these:
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com
>
> kb9rqz@amsat.org

You forgot one:

"cwm@arrl.net"

73

Steve, K4YZ

337. nothing bu tlies from Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 15:59:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <7or0p1de8afd4hd4rh7ss332m1m29rfv44@4ax.com>
Source record: 126107

On 2 Dec 2005 04:31:13 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 1 Dec 2005 22:40:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> Stevei in response to being asked why he kept starting new thread
>> >> began a flamefest complaining that BB was trying to impose a limit on
>> >> his postsing I agreed that stevie was limited to number of threads he
>> >> could start.
>> >
>> > "Stevie" "posting" "Stevie [again]
>> >
>> > I started no such "flamefest", Markie
>> >
>> >> I merely claim the same preveldeg as Stevie
>> >
>> > "priviledge"
>> >
>> > You're not claiming any "priviledge".
>>
>> another lie from stevie
>
> Nope.

yes you are lying I am claiming preveldge
flushing th rest
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

338. stevie liee again ho hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 16:00:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <qqr0p156urcpou5dc0visl3orcoccpge59@4ax.com>
Source record: 126108

On 2 Dec 2005 04:48:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > > > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
>> > > > > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
>> > > > > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
>> > > > > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
>> > > >
>> > > > indeed that was the effect I was going for
>> > > >
>> > > > How am I managing BB?
>> > >
>> > > Impressive. I think that you are out-Steving the Master.
>> >
>> > Cute!
>>
>> ...and unfortunate. You tend to be the lowest common denominator and
>> when people stoop to your kind of behavio[u]r, it drags the whole group
>> down. Thanks for a shining example of Extra Hamdom.
>
> That behaviour is only directed at those who help to foster it.

another lie

you don't therten to murder fpeople
>
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

339. stevei contiues his sladerous lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 16:00:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <rsr0p11qlna0k64g60qg37gpe0tmn9pmn4@4ax.com>
Source record: 126109

On 2 Dec 2005 04:23:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Troy Reynolds wrote:
>> On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 00:00:44 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>> >Stevei insists on lying about the sexual orientation of severl folks
>> >on RRAP
>>
>> So ####ing what? Nobody gives a #### and neither should you.
>
> Forget it, Troy.
>
> Markie's considerate of and concious of one thing and one thing
>only....And that's what Markie wants...As you can see by his
>several-day-long spamming of the NG for his own gratification.

more lies slander boring Stevie
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

340. stevie lies I don't mind people reading your lies and deceit I just call them what they are

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 16:06:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <mur0p1tgva52i28p9cgtaorotra6um2m2l@4ax.com>
Source record: 126110

On 2 Dec 2005 04:02:17 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 1 Dec 2005 22:42:11 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
>> >> > rest of us
>> >>
>> >> I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>> >
>> > Twice a liar, at that.
>>
>> you seem unable to be civil or moral or truthfull or decent
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....
>
> YOUR posts have "gummed up" the group for several days now. Over
>200 in all now. So the "civil" issue is yours. You are not causing ME
>any pain, discomfort or loss of service. However there ARE others here
>who may not feel that way.

then why are you whining about it
>
> YOUR screen names are the nes they see.
>
> YOU are the one who thinks it's OK to teach children to lie, so
>again the "moral" issue is yours.

you lie agin I i don'tsay is ook I say that a i think a respobile
pearent MUST teach his children that there is a time to lie

>
> And "truthfull"...?!?!?
>
> Mark C. Morgan has NO BUSINESS suggesting the truthfulness or
>deceitfulness of any OTHER person is above or below board, Colonel!

why?

becuase stevie say so?

I am far more honest than you. that is a simple fact

>
> Your "question" has been answered for three days now, yet you
>continue to cause this disruption for hundreds of others in your
>"quest".

no it has not

>
> That's got "selfish" AND "stupid" written all over it.
>
>> everyone should be advised that The following person
>> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> You continue you this deceit, yet everyone here KNOWS who's been
>investigated for "elder abuse", the admission of which came from YOUR
>own "mouth", Markie.

I certainly have been investagtted for elder abuse

why becuase someone made the false charge

was that someone you steve? maye

I have also been investagatted for making an alleged death threats
that charge was found to be flase, and you made that one

>
> It isn't me.
>
>> 931-967-6282
>
> And still "broadcasting" some other persons phone number. And you
>suggest I am "unstable".

no braodcasting

>
> Continue on your Quest, Don Quixote!
>
> We're all laughing at you! We'll just sit back and watch.

then you lied above when you claiming people were suffering from my
action
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398

931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

341. Re: Some Reading Markie Might Like To Brush Up On...why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 16:09:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <c8s0p1dfgmsm7gf7kkjfm96kqt5pnvqgj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 126111

why should I read this again

i am sure nothing in it sugests that sexuality is proper topic for the
gruop

Dave says it
I am sure it and the author would disprove of your lies conercing
STD's and homosexuality

you can stop it at anytime

I have told you how
On 2 Dec 2005 04:19:40 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>Hello,
>
>The following message is automatically sent to every new poster or
>cross-poster (as of June 19, 2002) to any of the rec.radio.amateur.*
>newsgroups. It should be sent once, and only once, to each unique
>address. This is a welcome message. The Internet amateur radio
>community welcomes you to the newsgroups, including
>rec.radio.amateur.*,
>and welcomes your input, opinions, and constructive participation. To
>help make you feel welcome, experienced participants like myself have
>chosen to systematically inform you, as a new poster, about useful
>information resources and user tips to enable you to get the most out
>of
>these newsgroups. I hope you will consider the message in that spirit.
>
>* * *
>
>1. The news.announce.newusers newsgroup, moderated by Jon Bell, et al,
>contains a number of very informative articles providing an overview of
>net-etiquette, suggested writing styles for electronic forums, and
>other
>various do's and don'ts concerning Internet culture. If the articles
>have expired at your site, you may also access them from:
>
>ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.answers/news/
>
>2. Original newsgroup voting and charter information for the
>rec.radio.amateur.* hierarchy, as well as for rec.radio.info and
>rec.radio.swap, may be accessed from:
>
>ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.info
>ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur-reorg

>ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur.dx
>ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
>
>3. The Guide to the rec.radio Newsgroups, originally written by Jay
>Maynard, K5ZC, is now maintained by Jeffrey Herman, KH6O. It provides
>an excellent overview of all of the Usenet newsgroups devoted to
>amateur
>and CB radio. It may be accessed from:
>
>ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.answers/radio/personal-intro
>
>4. The rec.radio.swap FAQ: A Guide to Buying and Selling on Usenet,
>also originally written by Jay Maynard, K5ZC, is now also maintained by
>Jeffrey Herman, KH6O. It contains a number of good pointers for
>reading
>and posting articles concerning the buying and selling of radio and
>radio-related equipment on the Usenet newsgroup rec.radio.swap (which,
>with the sole exception of rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors for vintage
>equipment, is the only appropriate newsgroup in the rec.radio.*
>hierarchy for such articles). It may be accessed from:
>
>ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.answers/radio/swap-guide
>
>Please direct any submissions feedback, or administrivia regarding the
>above two resources to jeffreyh@hawaii.edu.
>
>5. The national amateur radio society of the United States, the
>American Radio Relay League, has a presence on the Internet. You may
>access their comprehensive and informative home page at:
>
>http://www.arrl.org/
>
>(They also have information about amateur radio organizations in other
>countries.)
>
>6. Read the newsgroup first to see if your question has already been
>answered. You may also view, and search for keywords in, older news
>articles (going all the way back to 1981) at the Google (formerly
>DejaNews) archive:
>
>http://groups.google.com/
>
>Many topics have been discussed before, often in great depth, but the
>articles have expired at your local news server. Services like Google
>allow Usenet a much longer "institutional memory," greatly benefiting
>both new and experienced users.
>
>7. If you do post to the newsgroup, give as many details as possible.
>After you post, read the newsgroup for a week or two to see all replies
>to your posting. A recommended practice is to ask for responses by

>E-mail and offer to post a summary if others are interested in the
>answer to your question. Note that it is (almost without exception)
>inappropriate to post your article to all (or even a significant subset
>of) rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups. Please pick only the most relevant
>newsgroups (2 or 3 at most), and post your article as a simultaneous
>cross-post (check your newsreader documentation) so that only one
>article is propagated, and the article is only shown once in a
>newsreader.
>
>8. Remember, Usenet newsgroups are based on the idea of mutual aid.
>Usenet only works if we put as much into it as we get out of it.
>
>73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

342. Re: HOWTO: Clean up rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 16:10:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ods0p1l66cc6c2s46djpqrsls25si26uua@4ax.com>
Source record: 126112

On 2 Dec 2005 07:51:48 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>C W McCall wrote:
>> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:88087017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>> > The easiest and most effective way to clean up this (and any other
>> > news group) is to filter the posts.
>> >
>> Exactly right. Killfilter these two bozo's and this newsgroup becomes
>> readable again.
>>
>> Must killfile these:
>>
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com
>>
>> kb9rqz@amsat.org
>
> You forgot one:
>
> "cwm@arrl.net"

you have promised to killfile me before

go ahead and do it again

you might keep to it if you do

but of course you ccan't you have said you must respond to me

that I force you to

>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

343. Re: stevie keep racking up evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:30:22 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133544622.321717.99080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126113

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>> K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
> >>>>>> Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
> >>>>>> anything.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
> >>>>>>> proven over and over.
> >>>>>>> and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of ####
> >>>>>> Deny what?
> >>>>> that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter
> >>>> Ohh-hooooooo! Hahhhhh! *chortle*
> >>>>
> >>>> The person who has been fouling this newsgroup is....Mark C. Morgan.
> >>> not at all
> >> Yes, Mark, all.
> >
> > nope Stevie is the one that brought it up
>
> Mark, *you* are responsible for everything you post to usenet, or place
> on a web site. In this case, the very foolish person who posted the
> perverse sex advert is *you*. Steve is not responsible for your
> choosing to do so. If you didn't want it brought up, you should never
> have posted it.
>
> > and that brought out the annymouses et all
>
> The annymouses? Is that really what you mean to say?
>
> >>> you and stevie and your allies have been enaged in searching out
> >>> everything you don't aprove of
>
> >> I don't have any allies, Mark.
>
> > you are lying you do Stevie and your annymouses
>
> No, Mark, I am not lying. I know the identity of only one anonymous

> poster, Roger Wiseman,

Poor Davey, he sees Roger Wiseman everywhere. Poor balding, fatassed
Davey the Cameron cunt.

> >> Do you have allies?
>
> > yes haven't you noticed?
>
> No, Mark, I've not noticed that you have any allies. Could you name
> several of them so that we're all aware? If you had allies, wouldn't
> they be supporting you in your latest nonsense? I don't think anyone
> would want to be seen as supporting you.

Just like they don't line up to support you, fatass.

> >>> You and Stevie and your allies have been taking the action you deem as
> >>> fouling the NG
>
> >> That's incorrect, Colonel Morgan.
> >
> > no it isn't
>
> No matter how much you'd like to believe it, you are incorrect.
>
>
> > Your feind and ally Stevie decided that he would make an issue in Jun
> > of my sexaul oreintation
>
> My "feind"? My "ally"?
>
> As I stated earlier. If *you* didn't want your perverse sexual
> preferences known, *you* should never have posted the twisted advert you
> placed in a newsgroup.

No, you like having sex with sheep and little boys out in your run down
farmhouse.

> > the results from there ae hs fault not mine
>
> No, Mark, the results are *your* fault. *You* posted the advert, not
> Steve.
>
> >> You've been fouling the group for
> >> some time now. Your recent pathetic post-a-thon is way, way, way over
> >> the top.
>
> >>> I am not responible for anyone's decison to post
>
> >> Yes, Mark, you are. You are fully responsible for *your* decision to post.
>
> > but not any on else what is what you are claiming

>
> What I am claiming, I wrote above. You said that you aren't responsible
> for anyone's decision to post. You are responsible for your own
> decision to post.
>
> >>> despite the fact you and Stvie lie and claim I am
> >> You see liars everywhere.
>
> > more lie Dave
>
> See what I mean? For grins, can you count the number of different
> posters in this newsgroup whom you have accused of lying?
>
> > there are 2 liar amoug the human posters you and stevie
>
> Would you like time to think over that answer? It is likely to come
> back and bite you very shortly.
>
> > Hans and Jim occasionaly does cross that line froom time to time
>
> Would you like to reconsider?
>
> > tha is hardly everywhere
>
> The number would extend to several times as many as you have claimed.
>
> >> According to you, just about no one tells the
> >> truth but you--and you've been known to lie at will.
>
> > another lie
>
> See what I mean. That isn't a lie, Mark. You've accused quite a number
> of people who post here of lying and you have said that you'll lie at
> your convenience.
>
> > BB does seem to lie, len doesn't lie that I can tell he does push the
> > edges
>
> Oh--Brian's a liar and Len is clean. That's interesting.
>
> Dave K8MN

And you are just an ASSHOLE.

344. Re: dave tries to impose his views

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:31:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133544703.815771.286040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126114

Translation: Davy was molsetred by his dead priest daddy and drinks piss on a daily basis.

345. Re: Dave the imoral hypocrtie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:33:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133544818.341958.58180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126115

No, you are Bert Garcia's homosexual lover, Davey.

346. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:37:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133545027.468585.76210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126116

Just like you look like a complete boob when you think Roger Wiseman is behind every anonymouse post.

347. Re: stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:39:24 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133545164.723546.150520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126117

What a dumbass, you see wiseman behind every post. You are a fucking retard!

348. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:40:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133545214.727982.153080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

wiseman hasn't even been posting, you fucking faggot.

349. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:41:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133545303.153177.77110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

BWHAHAHAHA and the commode sees Wiseman everywhere, too bad Commode Buzz Corenholer of little boys has a hard drive FULL of kiddie porn that the authorities are investigating.

350. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:45:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133545537.297226.253360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You are interested in those bukkakke sites, huh, FAGGOT Toadie?

351. Re: Wogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:49:34 UTC
From: C W McCall <CWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1133545774.1b661855e1ccc987bbe7854f7724bb8d@fe5.teranews.com>
Source record: 126125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

AB8MQ@arrl.net wrote:
> He'd fill you in all right because he likes fucking faggots like you
> up the ass. You are seeing Roger Wismean everywhere, fatty!
>
Hi Roger. Go clean the porta potties again.

352. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:50:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133545828.562827.97430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Commode Butt Cornholer wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Butt Cornholer guesses wrong again! Imagine that!

> > Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Lardass Davies
> >>>I Wanna Know wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>I wanna know. Todd, when is your next scheduled 'show'? I wanna listen.
> >>>>
> >>>>I promise I won't jam you.
> >>>>
> >>>>Woger might, but I won't.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>But you already get on 20 meters and jam, Lloyd Davies, N0VFP!
> >>>
> >>
> >>He musta learned from you 2test wogie.
> >
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > That why you got 4 letters from the FCC about your jamming, you
> > illiterate imbecile?
>
> What (more lies, Butt Cornholer got 4 letters from the FCC)

Those 4 letters you received and why is your phone number on Riley
Hollingsworth's speed dial, jammer?
```

353. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:52:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133545947.848177.101540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
> >>>>>>character
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
> >>>>
> >>>>Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
> >>>>hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
> >>>>was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
> >>>>even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>do you ever talk abotu radio in here Mr Slit?
> >>
> >>
> > "abotu"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! You can't even talk in English,
> > Markie the kiddie fucker.
> >
> >
> >>2test wogie
> >
> >
> > Commode Buzz Cornholer doesn't know about radio either, except that he
> > can get on the CB and solicit blowjobs like his mommy does down at the
> > truck stop.
> >
>
> Did you get (Poor Butt Cornholer, sees his owner everywhere)

Did you get your hard drive scanned again by the FBI for all that
kiddie porn, Butt Cornholer?

354. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:53:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133546034.311340.185430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

anon wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1132947585.276146.270030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
>
>
> Hey Wogie,

Hey it's another lisping cocksucker, like Davies.

> took ya two (wrong as usal, dumbass)

Hey dumbass, Roger hasn't even been posting. You are a fucking dumbass!

355. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 17:55:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133546140.937140.109500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not Lloyd II wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:guGdnTDgEKfB7RrenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
> >>>>>>character
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
> >>>>
> >>>>Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
> >>>>hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
> >>>>was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
> >>>>even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>do you ever talk abotu radio in here Mr Slit?
> >>
> >>
> > "abotu"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! You can't even talk in English,
> > Markie the kiddie fucker.
> >
> >
> >>2test wogie
> >
> >
> > Commode Buzz Cornholer doesn't know about radio either, except that he
> > can get on the CB and solicit blowjobs like his mommy does down at the
> > truck stop.
> >

>
> Did you get caught up sucking those nigger dicks of your HUD apartment neighbors, Lloyd > Davies aka Not Lloyd II?
>
>
>I sure did. I only ate the big chunks of cum, though.

We know you did, Davies, we know you did.

356. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 18:03:22 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@porto-toilet.com>
Message-ID: <j131p1durg3lstpf2f3tpvmjec1giggm5t@4ax.com>
Source record: 126127

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> wiseman hasn't even been posting, you fucking faggot.
>
OH YES I HAVE BEEN ASSHOLE!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

357. Re: Wogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 18:05:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133546719.478114.240520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

C W McCall wrote:

BWHAHAHAHAHA @ the fake bread salesman, say, does your wife still
provide the yeast for bread from her yeasty cunt?

> (wrong guess, shiteater)
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > He'd fill you in all right because he likes fucking faggots like you
> > up the ass. You are seeing Roger Wismean everywhere, fatty!
> >
> Hi Roger. Go clean the porta potties again.

Hi Dumbass. Wrong guess, per usual. Go suck off those nigger dicks,
just like your mom does, again.

358. Heaven on Earth!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 18:14:24 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@porto-toilet.com>
Message-ID: <am31p19o1v7ib0s5ln5dn78q6l902p92vo@4ax.com>
Source record: 126128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://www.fs.fed.us/eng/pubs/html/04231305/images/fig01.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

359. Someday I will drive the big rigs too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 18:19:54 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@porto-toilet.com>
Message-ID: <ls31p1tu09fokkke401dg5c17emp3r42g7@4ax.com>
Source record: 126129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://www.swiftloos.com/images/clean_pic2.gif

--
My FLUSH beats a full house!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

360. Re: Wogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 18:20:55 UTC
From: C W McCall <CWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1133547655.913ad35bc97e061a3f6f485e7c671185@fe5.teranews.com>
Source record: 126131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

AB8MQ@arrl.net wrote:
> He'd fill you in all right because he likes fucking faggots like you
> up the ass. You are seeing Roger Wismean everywhere, fatty!
>
Hi Roger. Go clean the porta potties again.

361. A way of life for me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 18:25:16 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@porto-toilet.com>
Message-ID: <6b41p118puekofdjotsmj56icvch37bpgr@4ax.com>
Source record: 126130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Portable toilets are modern, portable,
self-contained outhouses, usually molded of
plastic. Most portable toilets are large enough
for a single occupant. Portable toilets are
solidified by the weight of the disinfectant
liquid in the tank at the bottom of the portable
toilet. Most portable toilets have a seated toilet
as well as a urinal. Portable toilets also usually
have open holed ventilation, lockable doors and
holding tank ventilation. Like regular bathrooms,
many portable toilets have toilet paper and soap
and water.

Portable toilets are used by construction sites,
concert and sport venues, and large outdoor
gatherings, to name a few. Portable toilets are
drained, cleaned and disinfected on a weekly
basis. There are city, state, and federal codes
that require a certain amount of toilets to be
available for large events, portable toilets are
perfect for these situations. Unlike regular
bathrooms, portable toilets do not clog or
overflow. Some companies are developing upscale
portable toilets with carpeted floors, mirrors,
lights, etc. Portable toilets are usually rented
from a company and hauled around from site to site
in the bed of a pick up truck.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

362. Re: Average cost of radio repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 18:36:15 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133548575.240392.205390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

moparholic is totally ignorant about fixing CB's, but he LOVES the other part of the deal. Find him weeknights at the Girard, Ohio Petro toilet and shower area.

363. Re: Northen Michigan Gay Male seeks Domme, would love spanking on his birthday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 18:39:01 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133548741.728582.120290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan,rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

he will just come to the Girard, OH Petro instead. That way he can grab
your hair and pound your lips without all the other keyclowns getting
mad about the pain he causes you.

364. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 19:37:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133552277.417918.194810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

no you fucking little bitch, I'm into Anime

365. Re: HOWTO: Clean up rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 19:39:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4l81p1d5nuqtj4jma2njvacfp09eupdasi@4ax.com>
Source record: 126135

On 2 Dec 2005 13:55:44 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:

>The easiest and most effective way to clean up this (and any other
>news group) is to filter the posts. Note that some of the poorer news
>readers don't have effective filtering abilities, so if you have one of
>those news readers, you'll need to download a free replacement. For
>Windows users, one such free replacement is Xnews. It is available
>at the URL
>
>http://www.download.com/Xnews/3000-2164_4-10144167.html

well there is an easier way yet

Stevie could calen things up b posting about radio rther than people
sex lifes cheild reaering techniques, making lie about people having
std's but I guess stevie can't do that
>
>The most important thing for a filtering news reader to allow you do
>is to set up filtering rules based on ANY arbitrary header; not just
>the "From" and "Subject" headers. Once you have the ability to do
>that, you can remove all posts whose Message-ID or whose References
>header contains the string "googlegroups.com". That will remove all
>posts made through Google as well as all followups to such posts.
>
>You will have cleaned up 90% of the glurge.
>
>Next, you can add a set of rules which will kill all posts coming from
>a specific news server, no matter what the poster may put in the From
>field of his posts. That's a little more complicated, but worth it,
>and it is done by specifying a filtering rule which examines the
>Path header of all posts. More of the glurge can be eliminated by
>using the filtering rule
>
>Path:.*news\.usenetzone\.com![^!]+$
>
>to kill all posts which originate at usenetzone.com. That takes care
>of nearly all the rest of the glurge, because it eliminates all posts
>produced by kb9rq under all of his sock puppets.
>
>It is equally easy to add more Path filtering rules to kill other
>obnoxious news sources, such as the anonymous remailers that some
>posters hide behind. In that case, some of the remailers have
>helpfully put the strings "nym.alias.net" or "sewer-output" in the
>Path header, and those posts can be eliminated with the additional
>filters

>
>Path:.*nym\.alias\.net
>Path:.*sewer-output
>
>Messages which are crossposted to many news groups are also very
>likely to be garbage, and to cut out cross posts, you can filter on the
>Xref header. For example, the following filter eliminates all posts
>which have been crossposted to more than three news groups:
>
>Xref:.*:.*:.*:.*:
>
>To eliminate all crossposted messages, you can use the filter
>
>Xref:.*:.*:
>
>to do the job.
>
>Example: Before entering rrap, my news reader showed a count of 90
>posts. After selecting the news group and filtering it with the rules
>given above, only five posts remained.
>
>Guess rrap is actually dead.

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

366. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 19:42:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133552535.993422.96260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

>BWHAHAHAHA and the commode sees Wiseman everywhere, too bad Commode
>Buzz Corenholer of little boys has a hard drive FULL of kiddie porn
>that the authorities are investigating.

How do you know he has kiddy porn?? you been hacking peoples computers
again, or perhaps your his supplier

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

367. is my work done? prehaps for now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 19:46:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <2p81p1pcj38pjibqiil5u9isuiq1oa0bvb@4ax.com>
Source record: 126137

well what are results of several with the flame thrwoer open full
bore?

stevei is down to making about a dozen posts a day instaed 4 or 5
dozen and teach of them ismuch shorter

Some might say my work was done

they awould not be quite correct becuase the fasist like Stevie and
dave will simply wait

as a note (if you think think you are being threatened Stevie and or
dave go ahead) I can nowturn out wit typing a a cnaracter a hunder or
so post derected at Stevie and about 50 at dave it you want to show
your lack of civility again

if you want to coninue your semar campaigns trying to talk about
homosexual9ity aid false charges of of child absue and rape or stupid
inane speling coping. I can and will stop most functioning of the NG

"he that has the power to destroy a think controls a thing" therfore I
step forth and assert that I control this thing this newgruop, and I
will play samposon in the temple to keep from having to deal with the
resuls of stevie or dave contiueing thier foul attacks

the NG is about radio and thing related to that subject
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

368. FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 20:16:52 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1133554612.696150.28320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126138
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

369. Re: is my work done? prehaps for now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 20:22:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <N12kf.3850$Hk1.2476@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126139

Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote

>
> "he that has the power to destroy a think controls a thing" therfore I
> step forth and assert that I control this thing this newgruop
>

I doubt you can even control your own bladder.

370. is hans cold or something

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 20:27:32 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ufb1p1lavmo77nnfijo4jfui3d6d6kd5ao@4ax.com>
Source record: 126140

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 20:22:05 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote

taking after stevie in your pasion for fraud
>
>>
>> "he that has the power to destroy a think controls a thing" therfore I
>> step forth and assert that I control this thing this newgruop
>>
>
>I doubt you can even control your own bladder.

then you are realy dumb hans
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

371. Re: is my work done? prehaps for now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 20:49:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1r2kf.4558$A23.2741@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126141

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote
>
>> "he that has the power to destroy a think controls a thing" therfore I
>> step forth and assert that I control this thing this newgruop
>>
>
> I doubt you can even control your own bladder.

Hmmmmphhhhf! I'd love to lock the Colonel, Todd and Roger "I'm not me"
Wiseman into a room for a couple of weeks and let them attempt a Vulcan
Mind Meld.

Dave K8MN

372. Dave admits fantascies of BDSM nonconsentual BDSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 20:52:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6tc1p1t8dr6rl11g0h1mrsi9ri0t4c6vt8@4ax.com>
Source record: 126142

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 20:49:01 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote
>>
>>> "he that has the power to destroy a think controls a thing" therfore I
>>> step forth and assert that I control this thing this newgruop
>>>
>>
>> I doubt you can even control your own bladder.
>
>Hmmmmphhhhf! I'd love to lock the Colonel, Todd and Roger "I'm not me"
>Wiseman into a room for a couple of weeks and let them attempt a Vulcan
>Mind Meld.

there you DFae is kinky as I am
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

373. Re: stevie keep racking up evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 20:56:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Lx2kf.4561$A23.4491@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126143

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> stevei contiues to claim everybody forces him
>>>>>>>> Nope. Not once have I claimed anyone has "forced" me to do
>>>>>>>> anything.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Anything that's been said about you or the Feeble Five has been
>>>>>>>>> proven over and over.
>>>>>>>>> and of course you deny thatpost anything lying sack of #####
>>>>>>>> Deny what?
>>>>>>> that you have helped bring the NG in the gutter
>>>>>> Ohh-hooooooo! Hahhhhh! *chortle*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The person who has been fouling this newsgroup is....Mark C. Morgan.
>>>>> not at all
>>>> Yes, Mark, all.
>>> nope Stevie is the one that brought it up
>> Mark, *you* are responsible for everything you post to usenet, or place
>> on a web site. In this case, the very foolish person who posted the
>> perverse sex advert is *you*. Steve is not responsible for your
>> choosing to do so. If you didn't want it brought up, you should never
>> have posted it.
>>
>>> and that brought out the annymouses et all
>> The annymouses? Is that really what you mean to say?
>>
>>>>> you and stevie and your allies have been enaged in searching out
>>>>> everything you don't aprove of
>>>> I don't have any allies, Mark.
>>> you are lying you do Stevie and your annymouses
>> No, Mark, I am not lying. I know the identity of only one anonymous
>> poster, Roger Wiseman,
>
> Poor Davey, he sees Roger Wiseman everywhere. Poor balding, fatassed
> Davey the Cameron land baron.

Actually, I don't see Roger Wiseman anywhere. He keeps a very low
profile, don't you know. Where do you get the "balding" stuff, Roger?
Is it because you are vertically challenged and couldn't get a glimpse
of the top of my head that you believe me to be balding?

>>>> Do you have allies?

>>> yes haven't you noticed?

>> No, Mark, I've not noticed that you have any allies. Could you name
>> several of them so that we're all aware? If you had allies, wouldn't
>> they be supporting you in your latest nonsense? I don't think anyone
>> would want to be seen as supporting you.

> Just like they don't line up to support you, fatass.

Why, Roger/not Roger, would Mark's "allies" support me?

>> As I stated earlier. If *you* didn't want your perverse sexual
>> preferences known, *you* should never have posted the twisted advert you
>> placed in a newsgroup.
>
> No, you like having sex with sheep and little boys out in your run down
> farmhouse.

You have a fascination with both, don't you, Unwiseman?

Well, the light is about to be turned on, Roger. You can scurry back
under the baseboard with the rest of the roaches.

Be sure and take your lithium. You know how you get when you forget to
take your pills.

Dave K8MN

374. Re: dave tries to impose his views

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 20:57:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ez2kf.4562$A23.3104@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126144

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> Translation: Davy was molsetred by his dead priest daddy and drinks
> piss on a daily basis.

Is this what you're about, Wiseman? Is this all you have to show for
your decades on the planet?

Dave K8MN

375. Re: Dave the imoral hypocrtie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 20:59:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TA2kf.4563$A23.1521@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126145

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> No, you are Bert Garcia's homosexual lover, Davey.

I'm sure it looks that way when a friendless sociopath sees two men who
are friends. Do you have any friends of either gender, Unwiseman?

Dave K8MN

376. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 21:02:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KD2kf.4564$A23.3321@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126146

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Just like you look like a complete boob when you think Roger Wiseman is
> behind every anonymouse post.

Oh, he isn't behind every post. He's behind about 90% of the vile posts posted to amateur radio newsgroups. Why, he even pretends to be an anonymous friend to himself from time to time. Is that pathetic or what?

The guy can't even post as himself anymore. He has to hide.

Dave K8MN

377. Re: Dave the imoral hypocrtie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 21:03:21 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4kd1p1l53adrsar1pvj42boi4e3n48bbbs@4ax.com>
Source record: 126147

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 20:59:31 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> No, you are Bert Garcia's homosexual lover, Davey.
>
>I'm sure it looks that way when a friendless sociopath sees two men who
>are friends. Do you have any friends of either gender, Unwiseman?

sound more like a descrition of Steve
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

378. is my work done? prehaps for now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 21:08:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3vd1p1titm9h9feubad4h1pqf7ldbj0kpt@4ax.com>
Source record: 126148

well what are results of several with the flame thrwoer open full
bore?

stevei is down to making about a dozen posts a day instaed 4 or 5
dozen and teach of them ismuch shorter

Some might say my work was done

they awould not be quite correct becuase the fasist like Stevie and
dave will simply wait

as a note (if you think think you are being threatened Stevie and or
dave go ahead) I can nowturn out wit typing a a cnaracter a hunder or
so post derected at Stevie and about 50 at dave it you want to show
your lack of civility again

if you want to coninue your semar campaigns trying to talk about
homosexual9ity aid false charges of of child absue and rape or stupid
inane speling coping. I can and will stop most functioning of the NG

"he that has the power to destroy a think controls a thing" therfore I
step forth and assert that I control this thing this newgruop, and I
will play samposon in the temple to keep from having to deal with the
resuls of stevie or dave contiueing thier foul attacks

the NG is about radio and thing related to that subject
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

379. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 21:20:25 UTC
From: Peckham Spring <nelson@mandela-house.co.com>
Message-ID: <tU2kf.8119$LO4.329@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 126149
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1133554612.696150.28320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> What is Ham Radio?
>

You really are a 44 carat plonker!

380. Re: is my work done? prehaps for now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 21:35:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <q63kf.606$Of1.118@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126150

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> Hmmmmphhhhf! I'd love to lock the Colonel, Todd and Roger "I'm not me"
> Wiseman into a room for a couple of weeks and let them attempt a Vulcan Mind
> Meld.
>
> Dave K8MN

Given the size of the "minds" involved, the Meld shouldn't take more that a few
milliseconds.

Hans K0HB

381. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 21:41:07 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1133559667.420521.112050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126151
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You really are the infantile fool, "Poxic Waste" who is incapable
of passing even the simple farce of the exam that is set for
6-year-olds.

The laughing stock, in fact, amongst all _REAL_ Radio Hams.

Stupid boy.

Peckham Spring wrote:
> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1133554612.696150.28320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > What is Ham Radio?
> >
>
> You really are a 44 carat plonker!

382. Re: KKK is coming back before the election to putrefy the mayors or...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 21:46:57 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133560017.696247.77510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126152
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,alt.politics.homosexual,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Callsign: KB9RQZ Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
Name: MARK C MORGAN
Addr1: Pob 212, PO BOX PoB212
Addr2: Chassell, MI 49916
Country: USA
Effective: 06 Jul 2005 Expires: 12 Nov 2007
FRN: 0009794298 What's this?
FCC: ULS Listing
Lookups: 166
Update / Renew this License...
Apply for new Vanity callsign...

Coordinates: 47.026576 -88.527737
State: Michigan
County: Houghton
Grid: EM59
Area Code: 906
GMT Offset: -5
Time Zone: Eastern
Has DST?: Y
Email: Show email address
Home Page: http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz
QRZ Updated: 2005-07-07 08:00:06
```

383. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 21:52:19 UTC
From: offspring <bed@spring.com.co>
Message-ID: <nm3kf.22325$uR.5095@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 126153
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1133559667.420521.112050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Are you back on the dole again, Gareth?

offspring

384. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 22:02:50 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1133560970.403341.143270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126154
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; .....
they are free with rather silly personal insults;"

offspring wrote:
> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1133559667.420521.112050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Are you back on the dole again, Gareth?
>
> offspring

385. Re: Average cost of radio repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 22:03:29 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11p1h5i7dnppn11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 126155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

You would know, afterall you clean the toilets and god knows what else there.

386. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 22:12:24 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dmqgs8nh1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 126156
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"offspring" <bed@spring.com.co> wrote in message
news:nm3kf.22325$uR.5095@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net...
>
> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1133559667.420521.112050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Are you back on the dole again, Gareth?
>
> offspring
No I'm cleaning windows actually.......

--
--
73deG1LVN(M0WWS)
Xmas Lights de G1LVN QTH:
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk/xmas
```

387. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 22:13:50 UTC
From: main spring <rock-around-the-clock@com.co.uk>
Message-ID: <yG3kf.12487$0o2.8799@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 126157
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1133560970.403341.143270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "Usually such people are a
> variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; .....
> they are free with rather silly personal insults;"
>
>
You really should take the time to read some of your own postings!

Main Spring

388. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 22:29:14 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dmqhrq$b3l$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 126165
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:dmqgs8nh1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
>
> "offspring" <bed@spring.com.co> wrote in message
> news:nm3kf.22325$uR.5095@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net...
>>
>> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:1133559667.420521.112050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Are you back on the dole again, Gareth?
>>
>> offspring
> No I'm cleaning windows actually.......

--
--
73deG1LVN(M0WWS)
Xmas Lights de G1LVN QTH:
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk/xmas

389. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 22:35:26 UTC
From: Conrad Poos <poos.conrad@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <O_3kf.2$Yo5.0@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 126166
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:

> Thus a Radio Ham
> could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
> such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
> telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
> technical pursuit.

Ever since you were 'outed' as a CBer, you've been engaged in this damage limitation exercise which, to be quite honest, smacks of effort. Nobody really gives a toss whether you own a CB-set or not...its your 'holier than thou' attitude which people find 'old'.

However, at least I *can* criticise you without fear of having my door smashed down by the police and being arrested for intimidating you. You can also be sure that, should you criticise me, which you are equally entitled to do, I will take it on the chin like a decent chap and not get all stroppy about it at the local lodge.

10-10, high and low numbers, etc etc ;)

Poos

--
vy 73 de Conrad Poos

"Ich bin ein radio amateur"

390. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 22:49:33 UTC
From: "MattD.." <mattd145@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dmqj1tafv1@mattsnetwork.co.uk>
Source record: 126167
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

After replacing Conrad Poos with a small shell script on Friday 02 Dec 2005 22:35, the following appeared on stdout:

> However, at least I can criticise you without fear of having my door
> smashed down by the police and being arrested for intimidating you. You
> can also be sure that, should you criticise me, which you are equally
> entitled to do, I will take it on the chin like a decent chap and not
> get all stroppy about it at the local lodge.

Spoken like a gent, Poos. You're probably Jim's hero tonight.
--
Seasonal radio wishes #13
To be able to say "Christmas radio wishes" again without some half-wit taking offence at the simple terminology that has served this country well for centuries.

391. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 22:50:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133563812.141446.61970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

yeah, I guess that's what happens when you breath in fences all day

392. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:18:27 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3aqdndSUm4E1VQ3eRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> BWHAHAHAHA and the commode sees Wiseman everywhere,

Well 2test, did you get caught up enough on cleaning out those
port-a-potties you could finally get a break at the computer?

> too bad Commode
> Buzz Corenholer of little boys has a hard drive FULL of kiddie porn
> that the authorities are investigating.
>

Yeah, there here right now looking at toddieboy's kiddie porn site. They
should be contacting him soon and you as well.

393. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:19:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3aqdndeUm4FvVQ3eRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>What (more lies, Butt Cornholer got 4 letters from the FCC)
>
>
> Those 4 letters you received and why is your phone number on Riley
> Hollingsworth's speed dial, jammer?

Ok 2test curtains boy, put up or shut up. Post the letters. What's my
callsign?
>

394. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:22:05 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3aqdndaUm4EcVA3eRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> no you fucking little bitch, I'm into Anime
>

WOW! I guess you sure told him off.

395. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:24:22 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3aqdndGUm4GXVw3eRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

N9OGL wrote:
>>BWHAHAHAHA and the commode sees Wiseman everywhere, too bad Commode
>>Buzz Corenholer of little boys has a hard drive FULL of kiddie porn
>>that the authorities are investigating.
>
>
> How do you know he has kiddy porn?? you been hacking peoples computers
> again, or perhaps your his supplier
>
> Todd N9OGL
> http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
> http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php
>

2test doesn't even know what he has on his own hard drive, much less
anyone else's. He is too busy cleaning out port-a-potties.

396. Re: stevie keep racking up evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:26:04 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3aqdndCUm4HtVw3eRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126162

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> Actually, I don't see Roger Wiseman anywhere.

You have to look behind the curtains. LOL!!!!

397. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:27:13 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3aqdndOUm4EoVw3eRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126163

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> The guy can't even post as himself anymore. He has to hide.

Behind the curtains.

398. Re: is my work done? prehaps for now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:28:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3aqdndKUm4F4Vw3eRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126164

```
Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>>Hmmmmphhhhf! I'd love to lock the Colonel, Todd and Roger "I'm not me"
>>Wiseman into a room for a couple of weeks and let them attempt a Vulcan Mind
>>Meld.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> Given the size of the "minds" involved, the Meld shouldn't take more that a few
> milliseconds.
>
> Hans K0HB
>
>
>

LOL!!!!
```

399. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:28:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133566124.186486.312450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126169

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
>> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>> >> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Mark, there's something curious about morsemen. They are very
>> SERIOUS about their hobby and INTENSE on certain skills.
>
>Is there anything wrong with being serious or intense?
>
>Let's see....WK3C and K2UNK spent their own time and money to
>visit FCC officials about the Morse Code test issue. That's pretty
>SERIOUS and INTENSE, isn't it?

DETERMINED would be a better descriptor.

Some morsemen get positively anal-retentive about morsemanship.

BTW, Carl Stevenson wasn't "WK3C" when he and Bill appeared
before the FCC. Accuracy, accuracy! :-)

>(not that there's anything wrong with that...)

St. Hiram went to Washington after WW1.

That established a precedent on "goodness" or "badness" of
"spending their own time and money" didn't it?

>> BTW, there's 3,796 filings now, one was added on the 28th. :-)
>
>Was any law broken by such late filings?

Why do you ask? Guilty conscience about something? :-)

[as of 2 Dec 05 there are 3,800 filings on WT Docket 05-235]

[accuracy, accuracy!]

>> >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
>> >> > twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
>> >> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)

>> >> Why does that matter?

Oh, wow, I can't believe you would ask such a question?!?

Let's see...FCC 99-412 (Memorandum Report and Order) established
the last Restructuring effective in mid-2000, the R&O itself
released at the end of December 1999. WT Docket 98-143 was
ordered closed in a mid-2001 Memorandum Report and Order that
denied a bunch of proposals and semi-petitions that had been
filed in the past year and a half to that R&O.

But...a hundred-plus filings were still made after mid-2001, the
last one in 2004 (by a PhD ham, no less). He was STILL TRYING
TO TALK ABOUT WT Docket 98-143! THREE YEARS AFTER THE FACT of
closure on 98-143. :-)

That is nothing more than dumb, stupid stubbornness, especially
by someone who has obtained a Doctorate degree. Were any of
those VERY LATE filers PAYING ATTENTION?!? I don't think so.

>Your buddy Mark claims that late filings break some law or other.

INCORRECT. Mark cited NO "law or other." YOU brought out
the charges of "illegality."

>Straighten him out - if you can.

Straighten out YOURSELF.

>> In the case of publishing NPRM 05-143, the Commission was 6
>> calendar weeks LATE.
>
>How? Is there a deadline for FCC?

Why are you asking? To misdirect MORE than usual into "charges"
that you invent as you go along? Or are you just trying to fight
in words because of some frustration of yours?

The Commission has typically published Notices in the Federal
Register WITHIN A WEEK of such Notices being made to the
public.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking FCC 05-143 was released on 15
July 2005. In that initial release, the heading carried the
information that Comments [period] would exist for 60 days,
Replies to Comments [period] would exist for 75 days AFTER
PUBLISHING IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER.

Publishing did not happen until 31 August 2005. THEN the
firm date period of filings was made.

>So? Does FCC have to get NPRMs in the Federal Register
>within a certain amount of time?

If it wants to be of service to the PUBLIC, it should.

>Perhaps you should tell
>them off and put them right, Len - after all, you've said you're
>not afraid of authority. You could put in some of your
>diminutive nicknames and catchphrases, and criticize them

>for taking six long weeks.....

Already filed. See ECFS on WT Docket 05-235 for 25 November
2005, filing type EXHIBIT.

>> The normal delay on public release to publishing is anywhere
>> from zero days to a week. A few have taken longer, but it
>> would be a VERY long search to find a docket/proceeding that
>> was delayed SIX WEEKS.
>
>So? It took them a little longer. Have you no patience?

I have considerable patience. I also have fun with some
dumbsnits who only want to ARGUE for the sake of arguing.
:-)

>> In those SIX WEEKS DELAY the public
>> filed 52% of all comments filed.
>
>And the majority of those were anticodetest. The procodetest folks,
>in general, waited for the official comment period.

Bullsnit. :-)

What of all the "procodetest" folks who DID comment in the
"unofficial" period?

What of all those FOR the NPRM who filed during the "official"
period?

>What does that say about the two groups' understanding of the
>regulations?

What "regulation" states that an NPRM must be immediately
published in the Federal Register?

No, the general public EXPECTS federal agencies to perform
their duties in manner established by considerable precedent.
The Commission has done fairly fast work in the past on all
regulation change documents publishing in the Federal
Register. A SIX WEEK DELAY in publishing is an error in
serving the public, a disservice.

>> The "public" may not be fully aware of the official comment
>> period beginning date.
>
>Nonsense. Most of those who filed comments are licensed
>amateurs, aren't they?

WHAT "regulation" or "law" states that ONLY licensed radio
amateurs may communicate with the federal government on
amateur radio regulations?

Name it. NOW. Not "six weeks from now."

>Not this time! Why don't you complain, Len?

Already done, as I said. Are you suddenly blind? NOT aware
and informed?

>You filed before the deadline, didn't you? ;-)

Yes. What "law" did I break?

>While you have every right in the world to comment to FCC, Len, did
>it ever occur to you that maybe - just maybe - your long wordy
>diatribes really don't help the nocodetest cause one bit?

None at all.

Filings made to a federal agency are not "newsgroup style."

I don't consider ANY of my filings as a "diatribe."

The comments of those FOR the NPRM might be considered
"polemical" but then so would those against the NPRM. The
telegraphy test is a highly polarized, contentious issue.

Poor baby, the rest of the world just doesn't share YOUR
SUBJECTIVE VIEW of everything.

Come to think of it, YOUR single filing is long, wordy, and
filled with the conditioned-thinking phrases of the league.

I thank YOU for helping the "nocodetest cause" a lot!

>> Jimmy Noserve only cares about the preservation of morse code,
>> everything from "operating skill" to the license test. He
>> can't bear to give up any of that.
>
>Wrong on all counts there, Len. Completely wrong.

Who is "Jimmy Noserve?"

>> Mark, Jimmy has NOT proven his "fact."
>
>You have not disproved it, Len.

What "fact" are you trying to argue about?

>> The only way to determine
>> that "fact" is to visit the FCC Reading Room in DC and view all
>> the filings.
>
>How do you know I haven't done that, Len?

How do you know I don't know?

>For most of my life I've lived in the Philadelphia metropolitan area.

Hardly anything to brag about...

>Washington DC is a day trip from here - done it many times.

Irrelevant. As the song in the musical "Annie" says "Tomorrow
is only a day away..."

>In fact, some things I have designed are in daily use in the DC metro
>area.

You designed pooper scoopers?!?

>It would be a simple thing for me to take a day or two to see
>all those 6000 "filings".

So...what HAVE you said? Nothing, really. :-)

NOTHING what you said offers ANY proof that you've actually
seen and read 6000 filings of anything in DC.

>Perhaps you don't want to accept it because it disproves your
>opinions.

Perhaps you've just run out of logical responses? :-)

<snip>

>> Old-timers of the League loved radiotelegraphy,
>
>Is that a bad thing?

In the year 2005? :-)

>> following the "tradition"
>> established by its first president, St. Hiram.
>
>Maxim was a genius. You're not, Len.

How do you "know" that? :-)

Do you live within a day's travel to Champaign-Urbana, IL,
and have you read the University of Illinois' statewide
high school testing efforts of 1950? All of my two-week-
long test scores (including a Stanford-Binet IQ test) are
there in their archives.

>And then why did ARRL *oppose* the creation of the Extra
>class license in 1951? And why did ARRL's 1963 proposal
>not include any additional code testing for full privileges?

Excuse me for interrupting your misdirection diatribe but
the POLICY subject concerns the 2005 Notice of Proposed
Rulemaking FCC 05-143. Note the current year.

>And why did ARRL oppose FCC's 16 wpm code test proposal
>and "Amateur First Grade" license class in 1965?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you don't stop misdirecting, do you? :-)

Hello? 1965 is FORTY YEARS AGO!

2005 is NOW. [really...]

>Of course logic isn't your strong suit, Len.

Some dispute about that. My suits are all lightweight wool and
have traveled thousands of miles.

I don't have any suits with logic circuits in them, but I've
read about some of those.

>Pointing out that you are not a radio amateur is not the same thing
>as saying you are not allowed to "discuss" or comment.

Tsk. You contradict yourself.

>(What you
>do is not really discussion - it's mostly long boring wordy lectures
>repeating the same tired old mantras and insults as if they are
>sacred.)

Tsk. That's what the league does. :-)

Come to think of it, I read Chris Imlay's "Comment" in WT
Docket 05-235 and found it long, boring, wordy lecturing
repeating the same tired old mantras...as well as trying to
take the Commission to task for having the gall to NOT
ACCEPT the league's Petition entire (one of the 18 Petitions
made during 2003-2004)!

>If the cell phone serves your radio needs, why are you so
>obsessed with changing the rules of the Amateur Radio Service?

"Obsessed?" :-)

Okay, why are YOU so OBSESSED with trying to prevent
modernization of amateur radio regulations? :-)

YOU are "obsessed" with OLD issues.

>> My old Johnson Viking Messenger CB radio still works, is still
>> operating within FCC regulations.
>
>How do you know?

By actual measurement using calibrated test equipment. :-)

Tsk, I have working experience in metrology, two years worth.

>> It is a relatively easy
>> task to connect it up to an antenna (mag-mount) in the car,
>> plug it into the car's 12 VDC system, and operate.
>
>But you don't.

Not in the 2005 Malibu MAXX my wife and I got in June. :-)

How do you know I didn't in the 1992 Cavalier Wagon we had?

:-)

Of course you express contempt for all who *have* passed such tests...

INCORRECT. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Bad form, OM, you are displaying obvious HOSTILITY there...

<snip>

>Not true! Most of my "working receivers" are general coverage.
>I also have several transceivers. You're not qualified to operate
>any of them, Len.

I am not AUTHORIZED to transmit RF energy IN amateur-only bands
or frequencies beyond the maximum level as stated in Part 15,
Title 47 C.F.R.

I have qualified to operate, test, maintain a great number of
different receivers, transmitters, transceivers, electronic
equipment of many kinds in the last half century. All one
needs is an operating instruction manual, schematics, and an
explanation of all the unmarked controls and conenctors are.

Actually, I have co-owned a PLMRS base transceiver and mobile
transceivers which radio amateurs were NOT AUTHORIZED to
operate! :-)

<snip>

>> Actually, those electromechanical teletypewriters with 100
>> WPM throughput are still in use in a few places...
>
>Where?

As TDDs (Telecommunications Devices for the Deaf). As I/O
devices for old-time computer hobbyists. Still used in a few
businesses...who are too cheap to invest in electronic
terminals. :-)

Those are places I know about. There may be a few others. It
isn't a hot topic to me.

>> Teletype Corporation went
>> defunct some years ago...they couldn't produce a product
>> inexpensive enough to handle written communications needs.
>> Even TDDs have dropped electromechanical teletypewriters in
>> favor of smaller, easier to use solid-state terminals.
>
>So they're all dead or dying technologies, while Morse Code lives on
>and flourishes.

"Flourishes?" You have flour in your eye. :-)

Right NOW, there are hundreds of thousands of data terminals IN USE in the world, doing throughput at rates of 1200 BPS to 56 KBPS and faster, short-range to long-range, wired and wireless. DATA. Alphanumeric characters. Most with display screens, some with peripheral hard-copy printers for text on real paper with ink or toner.

ElectroMECHANICAL teletypewriters went defunct as new products because the mechanics of them didn't allow such high throughput. The last holdouts are the "chain printers" used in Information Technologies' activities, everything from wide printouts to mass check-writing. Those are being replaced with xerographic or ink-jet printing devices.

Manual morse code "lives on" ONLY in AMATEUR radio. The maritime world has largely given up on manual morse code for long-distance HF communications.

Where are the landline manual morse code telegraphy communications stations now? Where are the manual morse code communications stations in the military of the United States?

Let's brush away some of your "flour." If morse code communications is "flourishing" in the "amateur bands," why is it only Number TWO in popularity? Once it was the ONLY way to communicated. How can morsemanship be "flourishing" when it is declining in popularity?

>Once upon a time, there were a great variety of commercial FCC
>operator licenses.

Once upon a time there was NO RADIO.

Once upon a time there were NO federal regulating agencies.

Once upon a time there were no fairy stories beginning "Once upon a time..." :-)

>I don't recall anyone ever saying that an amateur radio license was
>anything other than a qualification to operate an amateur radio
>station.

"Qualification?" It isn't an AUTHORIZATION?

Oh, my, I've actually OPERATED amateur radio transmitters and have never had an amateur license! Operated: Set the controls, turned it on, tuned it up, reset some controls according to instructions in the manual, applied various modulation input, measured the RF output in terms of power, frequency, index of modulation, percentage distortion of modulation input, harmonic content, incidental RF radiation from the equipment other than the output connection, lots of things.

Oh, yes, and OPERATED a morse code key turning the transmitter on and off! That actually only to test the key connection

wiring...the rise/fall time of the RF envelope was measured
using an astable multivibrator circuit driving a mercury-
wetted contact relay that was connected to the keyer input.

I have legally and successfully OPERATED communications radios
from many places on land, aloft while flying in various places,
from a Coast Guard vessel on water, a commercial ferry on water,
and from a private sailing craft...all doing real, live
communications.

>Also in a bunch of other applications like high-end audio equipment.

Yes, by some purists who like the vacuum tube amplifier
DISTORTION effects when the amplifier input is overdriven.
No doubt that same group use "monster" cable with gold-
plating to insure the "golden quality" of sound carried
through such cable...

A small shop in the Netherlands might still make a $16,000
four-tube amplifier ready-built, fitted with nice little
white and blue LEDs to make it sparkle when turned on. I did
describe that in HERE last year close to Christmas time (it
was one of the "toys" featured in the IEEE SPECTRUM).

European electronics hobbyists - a very few - are very much
"into" Nixie and Nixie-like numeric displays and some are
going all-out into making digital systems using tubes. Hans
Summers, G0UPL, has collected a great number of specialized
tubes with the intent on duplicating a radio clock that
synchronizes automatically with the Rugby standard station
on 60 KHz. He already did that in solid-state as a college
project. All described on his large website www.hanssummers.
com.

Now compare that to NEW products like vacuum tube transmitters,
BC or space-borne comm sat transponders...like optical system
detectors using photomultipliers...like night observation
devices using their specialized photomultipliers...like the
hundreds of thousands of microwave ovens using specialized
magnetrons. Vacuum tube technology is known, studied at
length, but it has "flourished" in the PAST in NEW designs.

>> except by those who can't
>> hack engineering of solid-state circuits...or long for days
>> of yore, when they were born (or before).
>
>Totally false, Len. Your electropolitical correctness is showing.

Tsk, no. REALITY has been shown to those who cannot learn
and keep up with the times.

<snip>

>>Jimmy sounds like he doesn't have much money.
>
>What does it matter? I may have more than you, Len. Or less.

How do you "know?" :-)

>> Tsk, tsk. I entered electronics and radio in the vacuum tube
>> era and learned how to design circuits using tubes. Had to
>> put aside everything but the basics of those circuits in order
>> to work with transistors, then ICs. Took lots of learning
>> AND relearning to do all that and I did it on my own time.
>
>The Army never gave you any training, Len?

Half a year at Fort Monmouth Signal School on basic radar, then
microwave radio relay. The rest was ON THE JOB...operating and
maintaining HF transmitters, VHF and UHF receivers and
transmitters, wireline voice and teletypewriter carrier equipment,
inside plant telephone equipment. It was a case of "Here's the
manuals, there's the equipment, DO IT." :-)

> Nor any of your employers?

Not a single one of them. [howaboutthat?]

>> It was worth it in the knowledge acquired, the experience
>> gained in making successful designs, eminently satisfactory
>> to me.
>
>Do you want a merit badge?

Don't need one. I was never in the BSA, anyway.

I was a soldier, a signalman. I got my Honorable Discharge
in 1960 after serving MY country in the U.S. Army.

What have YOU done to equal that?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

400. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:52:44 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <kan1p1dekv4gam7goek34399s7uftp94ob@4ax.com>
Source record: 126172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 15:19:40 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
wrote:

>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>What (more lies, Butt Cornholer got 4 letters from the FCC)
>>
>>
>> Those 4 letters you received and why is your phone number on Riley
>> Hollingsworth's speed dial, jammer?
>
>Ok 2test curtains boy, put up or shut up. Post the letters. What's my
>callsign?

hmm Comode assuiming yu havea ccall that you are hiding it is likely
that you have a general adanced or extra class liecen by when you were
cancelled it seems like that you entered radios as a Novice. meaning
you would have gotten 2 or 3 letter from the fcc just from the
liecense issues and surely moved a time a 2 geting youan exchance of
letter for each so I'llbelive you have for letters as to being on
Riley speed that could just mean you are fiends

hel I have 3 letter from the FCC myself

but you are all too concerned about letters

even the suggestion drive you folks nuts
>>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

401. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:53:06 UTC
From: walph wotten <anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmqmka$3bo$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133545947.848177.101540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
> >>>>>>character
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
> >>>>
> >>>>Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
> >>>>hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955
and
> >>>>was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet
you
> >>>>even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>do you ever talk abotu radio in here Mr Slit?
> >>
> >>
> > "abotu"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! You can't even talk in English,
> > Markie the kiddie fucker.
> >
> >
> >>2test wogie
> >
> >
> > Commode Buzz Cornholer doesn't know about radio either, except that he

> > can get on the CB and solicit blowjobs like his mommy does down at the
> > truck stop.
> >
>
> Did you get (Poor Butt Cornholer, sees his owner everywhere)

Did you get your hard drive scanned again by the FBI for all that
kiddie porn, Butt Cornholer?

You're a lot more familiar with the FBI than he is, Wogie.

402. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-02 23:55:09 UTC
From: walph wotten <anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmqmo4$3rm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133552277.417918.194810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
no you fucking little bitch, I'm into Anime

(roger is into boys)

403. Re: dave tries to impose his views

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 00:00:47 UTC
From: walph wotten <anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmqn2m$59l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126173

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ez2kf.4562$A23.3104@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> Translation: Davy was molsetred by his dead priest daddy and drinks
> piss on a daily basis.

Is this what you're about, Wiseman? Is this all you have to show for
your decades on the planet?

Dave K8MN

What did Wiseman say? "molsetred "????
That Lithium must be causing him to type with his thumbs again.

404. Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 01:33:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133573611.430234.197100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126174

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 29 2005 3:38 am
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N..@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
> >> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

> >> The morse code test has been in amateur radio regulations
> >> for 71 years. :-)
> >>
> >That's true ;-)
> >
> >It's also been in the regulations for 72 years, and 73 years, and 74
> >years,
>
> Not longer than 71 years in the regulations of the FCC.

You didn't specify "regulations of the FCC" before. Now you're
trying to change the boundary conditions. Old trick, doesn't work.

> It was created in 1934.

> >> >As to why anyone would fuss with Morse Code in 2005, the
> >> >reasons are the same as why anyone would fuss with:
> >> >
> >> >- cars that have manual transmissions instead of automatics
> >>
> >> No problem to me...I learned to drive in a manual-transmission auto.
> >>
> >Ancient history. ;-)
>
> You have no valid comparison to morse code.

Yes, I do. Manual transmissions are a valid comparison to Morse Code.
Someone who was really interested in a logical argument could point
out that there is no separate skill test for manual transmission skill
anymore.

> Try not to venture into areas where you have no competence.

I don't do that, Len. I am skilled in both manual transmission
operation

and Morse Code operation.

You, on the other hand, have no competence in Morse Code operation, yet you blather on about it endlessly. Perhaps you should take your own advice of "Try not to venture into areas where you have no competence."

> When my wife and I got our new 2005 Chevy Malibu in June, we both
> had to learn part of its transmission control, very different in
> it's "low" setting from previous Chevrolets with automatic
> transmission. That automatic transmission allows manual gear
> changing. The automatic transmission on our older 1992 Chevy
> Cavalier Wagon allowed manual gear changing. The automatic
> transmission on our even older 1982 Chevy Berlinetta Camaro
> (as well as my old '70 Camaro and '67 Camaro) allowed manual
> gear changing.

But they are not manual transmissions. They are automatic transmissions.

> >> Didn't have to know morse code to drive...
> >
> >But isn't manual transmission a "dying" technology? Why would anyone
> >bother to learn it in 2005?
>
> Manual transmission is not favored in many states due to emission
> limits, by law, not by the fact that manual transmissions are a
> decided inconvenience.

Doesn't answer the question, Len.

> Truck-tractors have manual transmissions.

For various reasons.

> Most cars equipped with
> automatic transmissions can also do manual gear changing; they
> just don't have any clutch.

There are more differences than "they just don't have any clutch", however. But that is all beside the point.

> >> >- sailboats instead of power boats
> >>
> >> Sailing under the wind takes much less fuel than power boats...
> >
> >Morse Code takes less power than voice transmission.
>
> There is NO federal requirement to learn morse code in order
> to pilot a sailing vessel.

Not the point.

> Obviously you've never been on a water vessel that had "sound-
> powered" telecommunications sets.

Actually, I have.

> No DC or AC power needed to
> operate them. There is no equivalent for telegraphy.

Sure there is - it's called wigwag.

> >Except for a few floating museum pieces, the US Navy stopped using
> >sail power about 100 years ago.
>
> Go to the docking area at the U.S. Naval Academy or the U.S. Coast
> Guard Academy. Are those "tall ships" illusions? No, they are
> real.

Nobody said they aren't real. They're floating museum pieces. They
represent
less than 1% of the fleet of those military services.

Morse Code, OTOH, represents much more than 1% of amateur radio
operation.

> No morse code skill is needed to pilot a sail or power boat.

> >There are almost no commercial uses
> >for sailboats in the USA - powerboats dominate all but "hobby" boating,
> >and power boats probably dominate hobby boating as well.
>
> That is an absolute?

Yes - is it not true?

> Very well, we will put you down as a claimed "Master of Marine Craft."

Why? I don't claim to be an expert. I just stated a few plain, simple
facts.
Are those facts not true? Do not powerboats dominate all but "hobby"
boating?

> There are NO commercial uses for morse code skill in the USA
> except for the companies selling morse code practice material.

And the companies selling Morse Code equipment.

In any event, the analogy between sailing and Morse Code is obvious,
valid, and very clear.

> >> >- Drawing and painting instead of photography
> >>
> >> No problem to me...I did all three as a kid, still do.
> >
> >Still have your crayons, huh?
>
> Ha. Ha. You would be a hit at the Art Center School of Design

> in the Pasadena area of Greater Los Angeles.

Do you teach there, Len? Do you have a degree from there?

> Pasadena forensics
> could practice on what was left of you after saying that.

Why? Are you threatening violence against me for asking a
simple question? Sure looks like it.

> When
> I went to Art Center it was in the city of Los Angeles, on 3rd
> Street, somewhat near CBS City and the Pan-Pacific Auditorium.

Do you still have your crayons, Len?

> Do you need lessons in art, illustration, or photography?

No.

> I can
> give you them and show how it is done by actual examples. My
> photographs and illustrations have been published in national
> magazines. I can work with nearly all media in art and
> illustration: pencil, pen, (yes) crayon (but of a type that
> isn't sold to children), chalk, ink on scratchboard, Ben Day
> screen illustration board, oils, watercolors, caesin paints,
> brush or air-brush (my Paasche air-brush compressor still
> works although I preferred the CO2 bottle pressure system
> common in commercial practice). I've given up "canvas" for
> painting in preference for the finer linen media.

That's nice, Len. But the fact is that all those are old technologies.
Many would say they are "dying" or "dead" compared to computer
graphics. Why do you live in the past?
>
> Tell us how morse code skill is used in art or illustration or
> photography?

By analogy.

> >> >- Homemade food instead of packaged
> >>
> >> How do you categorize campfire cooking? :-)
> >
> >Is that where your cooking winds up, Len?
>
> Only when camping, Jimmy, and then into the interior of fellow
> campers.

I meant it winds up *in* the campfire....;-)

> Try not to "get along so well with others" in your writing.
> It looks hostile and argumentative.

Awwww...can't you take a little humor, Len? :-)
Are you so INTENSE and SERIOUS that you must threaten
others?

> >> Show me ANY evidence that ANY AM transmitter since 1906 has
> >> used amplitude modulation via a carbon microphone in series
> >> with the antenna lead... :-)
> >
> >Why?
>
> It would further prove the efficacy of "morse code efficiency"
> to all others.

How? The point is simply that Fessenden was using AM voice
effectively more than 100 years ago. You deny and denigrate
his successes, but they are well documented all the same.

> >> Tsk, tsk, trying to get around your gaffe by bringing in
> >> "engineering?" :-)
> >
> >What gaffe, Len? "Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.
>
> Electronics is a part of Physics.

No, it isn't. I studied lots of Physics in both highschool and college.
None of the physics courses covered Electronics. Electrical
engineering covered electronics.

> Part of Electronics is SCIENCE.
> What isn't science is technology.

No, it's all part of engineering.

> Application of electronic
> technology is done in electrical and electronic engineering.

Says who? You? Bwaaahaahaa!

Science is about discovering the laws of nature. Engineering
is about doing practical things.

Benjamin Franklin was both a scientist and the first true
electrical engineer. His elegant (and very dangerous)
kite/key/Leyden jar experiment proved that lightning was
simply an electrical discharge, and not the wrath of God,
celestial fire, or some other force as was commonly
thought at the time.

Franklin the scientist determined the nature of
lightning.

But ol' Ben (who also founded the University where
I earned my first Electrical Engineering degree)
didn't stop with just the scientific discovery of
the nature of lightning. He went on to develop the

first systems of lightning protection (commonly
known even today as "lightning rods" to protect
structures. His system was the first practical
electrical device or system, earning him the
honor of being the first Electrical Engineer.

> >> You are confused. I made NO mistake about DD-214s.
> >
> >Yes, you did. Also UCMJ, usenet, and many others. Buck
> >up and learn to live with your own imperfections, Len.
>
> You have never, ever been subject to the Uniform Code of
> Military Justice. You have never had a DD-214 issued to
> you. You will never have a DD-214 issued to you. You
> cannot ever understand the actual implications of the UCMJ
> other than some casual thing that applies only to others.

Irrelevant - you didn't even know what the acronym "UCMJ"
stood for. You made a mistake.

> >> >If you actually read all of the comments, you'd know that.
> >>
> >> Each and every filing from 15 July 2005 to 23 November 2005.
> >> 3,795 of them. :-)
> >
> >So you claim, but the evidence says otherwise.
>
> The evidence is the filings on WT Docket 05-235. All 3,796 of
> them from 15 July 2005 to 25 November 2005. Note that one
> more has been added in the ECFS.

You didn't know where to find the other analysis of the filings, Len,
even though the url was given in the filed comments. If you'd
actually read and understood them, you'd know have seen it and
been able to compare it with your own.

> There is NO "evidence" at www.ah0a.org

Yes, there is. Each filing is categorized, and a direct, automatic
link provided so that anyone can compare the categorization to
the actual filing documents.

I think you're jealous that someone else made the comments
so accessible.

> except in the highly-
> biased opinion of a long-time morseman...

How are those results "biased", Len?

How are they any more "biased" than yours, in which you
count multiple filings by the same person as separate
opinions, as long as they are not exactly identical?

Do you think your anticodetest opinion is 10 times more
valid than the procodetest opinion of someone who
simply filed a comment?

> one whose Petitions
> before the Commission have been DENIED.

Lots of people have had their petitions DENIED,
either in whole or in part:

NCI's petition to have a "sunset" clause on Morse Code testing was
DENIED

NCI and NCVEC's petitions to simply dump Element 1 by Memorandum
Report and Order, and to avoid the whole NPRM cycle, were both DENIED

ARRL and NCI's petitions to give free upgrades to over 300,000 amateurs
were DENIED

NCVEC's petition to create a new "Communicator" license class was
DENIED.

And your request (not even a petition, really) to create an age
requirement for
an amateur radio license was DENIED.

Have you *ever* filed a real petition with FCC, Len? One that got an RM
number,
drew comments, etc.? I think not.
>
> >> >When you make a sweeping general statement, and someone
> >> >proves an exception, the statement is shown to be false. That's
> >> >basic logic.
> >>
> >> No, Jimmy, all that proves is EXCEPTIONS. :-)
> >
> >In your illogical mind, I suppose.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
> ability to get along with others on your part.

You see facts and truth as hostile, Len. Your problem, not mine. I
will not stop writing facts and truth just because they bother you.

> >Your Commercial license does not qualify you to operate an
> >amateur radio station.
>
> Your amateur radio operator's license does NOT "qualify" you
> to operate any commercial radio station, radionavigation
> station, space-communications station, radiosonde station,
> radar of any kind, television transmitter, aircraft
> transmitter, maritime vessel transmitter, land mobile radio
> service transmitter, or microwave radio relay station.

Never said it did.

> Mine does.

Do you own any of those?

> >Legally, you are the same as a person
> >with no license at all when it comes to operating an amateur
> >radio station.
>
> Do you wish to take me to civil court? Federal court?

If I had evidence that you operated an amateur radio station
illegally, I would report it to FCC. Enforcement is their job,
not mine.

> >> Secondly, I've never tested for any amateur radio license
> >
> >(that's good)
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
> ability to get along with others on your part.

> >> so the FCC cannot say I am either "qualified" or "unqualified."
> >
> >Incorrect again!
>
> You do not understand the difference between "qualified" and
> AUTHORIZED.

Yes, I do. You are neither qualified nor authorized to operate an
amateur radio station.

> >FCC considers every unlicensed person to be unqualified to operate
> >an amateur radio station. That's why they issue licenses - to identify
> >those who are qualified.
>
> Wrong.

No, it's exactly right. Those whom the FCC considers qualified are
issued licenses. FCC does not consider any unlicensed person to
be qualified to operate an amateur radio station.

> The FCC issues licenses as part of their overall civil
> radio regulatory task.

FCC only issues licenses to those who demonstrate that they are
qualified. The licenses can be revoked if the licensee demonstrates
that they are not qualified.

All persons who have not demonstrated qualification to FCC are
considered unqualified. You, Leonard H. Anderson, are neither
qualified nor authorized.

> The FCC was never chartered by LAW to be an academic or skill-
> achievement agency. They AUTHORIZE license holders to operate
> and transmit RF energy according to the regulations pertaining

> to the type and kind of radio service they are AUTHORIZED in.

You are neither qualified nor authorized to operate an amateur radio
station, Len. FCC says so.

> >FCC says you're not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.
>
> The FCC has "said" no such thing to me.

Yes, they have.

> They've never once
> written to me that I am "unqualified" in anything...

They don't have to, Len. The regulations clearly define what an
amateur radio station is, and what license is required to operate
one. You don't have the required license, so by definition you
are not qualified - and not authorized - to operate an amateur
radio station.
>
> >The license is the qualification.
>
> It is an AUTHORIZATION. It is a PERMISSION. It is a GRANT.

You have none of those.

> >By definition. FCC says you're
> >not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.
>
> No, I am not permitted - by regulation - to transmit RF energy
> exceeding incidental RF radiation limits on allocated amateur-
> only frequencies without possessing an amateur radio license
> grant.

True, but incomplete.

By definition, you are not authorized, qualified, permitted or licensed
to operate an amateur radio station.

> The military of the United States and the federal government of
> the United States (other than the FCC) have QUALIFIED me to
> operate radio transmitters according to military/government
> regulations. Experience in actual successful transmission of
> RF energy has furthered that qualification.

None of which extends to amateur radio stations. You are not
authorized, qualified, permitted or licensed to operate an amateur
radio station.

Do you think you are qualified to operate *my* amateur radio
station, Len?

> >> I was against the code test long before Bruce Perens put NCI
> >> together.

> >
> >Prove it.
>
> Go to the FCC Reading Room and look up correspondence to them
> prior to the earliest ECFS-available date. That is an un-
> alterable third-party reference.

What date should I look for?
>
> I did not keep ALL correspondence I've done in the last four
> decades.

So you have no proof.

> I cannot digitize and present what I no longer have.
> The FCC Reading Room keeps records intact, archived.

And what date are you claiming?

> >> You've already taken that test, will never have to test for it
> >> again unless you miss the last renewal date and expire that
> >> license.
> >
> >Doesn't matter - I could pass it again easily. You can't even pass it
> >once.
>
> More hostility and combativeness. Tsk, NOT "getting along with
> others" on your part.

I'm just telling you the facts.
>
> I have never taken any amateur radio license test, therefore I
> neither "passed" nor "failed" it. That only proves the PAST.

You can't even pass the tests once. Not all of them, anyway.
>
> You stated what I allegedly "could not do" in the future.
> You are not prescient, cannot tell the future. Ergo, your
> remark is simply one of hostility and combatativeness.

No, I simply point out what you can't do *now*....

> >Telling someone the Morse Code test is a good thing isn't hostile, Len.
>
> Sorry, it IS hostile when you presume your opinion to be an
> absolute. It is only your opinion. You frequently try to make
> your opinions as absolutes. That is wrong.

Where have I made my opinions absolute? Give us a concrete example.

Also consider how many times you have stated your opinions as
facts, then had them shown to be unsupported by facts.

> >Not me. I'm not the one who's afraid to turn on a receiver and
> >listen to the low ends of the HF amateur bands....
>
> Your implication of "cowardice" is misplaced.

Really? ;-)

> First, I was
> not at any HF receiver during most of the Thanksgiving Day
> holiday weekend.

But you could have been...

> Second, I've already "turned on and listened"
> to all parts of the MF and HF spectrum...many times...even
> looked at it with a spectrum analyzer.

But you avoid those parts where Morse Code can most likely
be found, right?

> >> At the end of my "first job in radio" I got a DD-214. You don't
> >> have one.
> >
> >How do you know, Len?
>
> You've never served in the armed forces of the United States.

How do you know?

> Had you done so, you would have received a DD-214 as a release
> from active duty. You've said you did not serve,

When?

I have never claimed to have served in any military. That does not
mean I never served.

There's a lot of things I have done which I have not mentioned here.
That really seems to bother you.

> >> "It must drive you nuts not knowing" what my interests are... :-)
> >
> >I know what they are, Len.
>
> Incorrect again. All you "know" is what I've written in here.
> I've not written about all of my "interests" or "what I've
> done" or "what I do."

You've written such long diatribes about your activities that
it's hard not to know, Len.

> >> >Considering your near-complete ignorance of Morse Code and
> >> >amateur radio, it's a good thing you didn't talk about those
> >> >subjects.
> >>
> >> "Near-complete ignorance?!?"

> >
> >Yes.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
> ability to get along with others on your part.

It's a fact that you have near-complete ignorance of Morse Code and
amateur radio, Len.

> >> >That's good, considering that you're hardly a good role model.
> >>
> >> "Hardly a good role model?!?"
> >
> >Yep.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
> ability to get along with others on your part.

Do you think others should act like you, Len? Do you think you're
a good role model of professional - or amateur - behavior?

Or are you one of those who think that others should do as you
say, not as you do?

405. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 02:17:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133576249.156789.164780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126175

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > After the incentive licnesing rules went into effect
> > in the 1967-1969 period, the number of US hams began to
> > grow much faster than it had during the 1960s. The growth of
> > the 1970s continued into the 1980s.

> Are you suggesting that making it tougher to get full privileges was the cause
> that accelerated the growth of the ARS?

No, Hans. Correlation is not causation.

>That has to qualify as the most
> outrageous notion to hit RRAP (outside the dump huck posts from Mark) in the
> current century.

Why? Do you say it's impossible with no evidence?

Look at the facts:

When US hams were allowed back on the air in late 1945, there were
about
60,000 US amateurs. By the time of the 1951 restructuring, the total
had
reached about 90,000 - even though back then the "entry-level" license
was equivalent to what would later be the General.

Of course a good bit of that growth was pent-up demand from the WW2
shutdown, returning servicemen who'd learned radio in the military,
etc.

>From the 1951 restructuring to 1964, the number of US hams went from
about 90,000 to about 250,000 - and then the growth stopped dead,
even though incentive licensing would not take effect until several
years
later (1968).
>
> Clearly other "market forces" were in play for the ARS to enjoy the popularity
> it did in the post-Sputnik years.

Sputnik went up in 1957 IIRC.

> Science was "cool" and the hot ticket for
> education and career planning. Scientifiic-seeming hobbies like electronics,
> radio, and astronomy were beneficiaries of this attitude.

Sort of. When Sputnik was launched, there was widespread consternation
because the US was perceived to be lagging the USSR in the "space
race".

It did not help that the Soviets kept being the first to do things in
space time
and again for several years in the late 1950s and early 1960s. First
animal
in space - first human in space, first human to orbit, first woman in
space,
first pictures of the far side of the Moon - the list goes on and on.
The USA
was playing catch-up for several years.

Most of all, the post WW2 growth ended *before* incentive licensing.
And the
incentive licensing changes did not make any big changes to the Novice
or
Technician, and did not remove any power, modes or bands from the
General
or Advanced.

> If anything,
> dis-incentive licensing was a damper (not an accelerant) on the growth of the
> ARS during that period.

Really? Then *why* did the growth start up again after it was in place,
after almost half a decade of stagnation and even some decline? Why did
the number of US hams grow so fast in the 1970s and 1980s?

If you want to talk about "market forces", consider these:

- The 1960s were a very turbulent time, particularly for young people.
Many were
more interested in political/social causes than in "establishment"
activities like
amateur radio.

- The "space race" and the technological advances it brought made
amateur
radio look a little old-fashioned in some ways. Remember Christmas Eve
1968, when the crew of Apollo 8 showed us the Earth from lunar orbit
via live
TV? How could any terrestrial "DX" compete with that?

- CB radio, established in 1958, became popular in the mid-1960s as
more
and more people found out about it. No test at all, inexpensive,
easy-to-use
equipment, very little effort or skill needed to install or use cb.

- Up until the 1960s, many newcomers were introduced to amateur radio
by
hearing hams using AM voice on the HF ham bands, particularly 75

meters.
There was a natural progression from SWL to ham radio.

But by the early 1960s, the HF ham bands were more full of SSB voice
than
AM. Many SWLs didn't know how to tune in SSB. Many if not most lowcost
SWL-type receivers didn't have BFOs, or the slow tuning rate and
stability
needed to tune in SSB easily.

- Up until 1964 or so, a considerable part of the USA was "Conditional
country" - meaning that a trip to an FCC exam point was not needed for
a lot of potential hams. But around 1964, FCC changed the distance
requirement from 75 to 175 miles, and increased the number of exam
locations so that very little of CONUS was "Conditional country"
anymore.
This meant a lot of hams who wanted Generals or above had to travel
considerable distances to an FCC exam session, rather than going a
few miles to a local ham acting as a volunteer examiner.

> > If incentive licensing was so awful, why was there so much
> > growth in the ARS in the two decades after it was put in
> > place?

> Can you imagine how much more growth we'd have had without its repressive
> effects on our hobby!

What repressive effects? The Novice and Technician did not really
change under IL,
except that the Novice license term was extended to two years in 1967.
The upgrade
to General was the same. Advanced just required another written test.

And the tests weren't all that hard, really, even back then. I got the
Advanced at age 14,
in the summer between 8th and 9th grades. Extra two years later, and it
only took that long because of the experience requirement. How "hard"
could it have been if even a
self-taught-in-radio kid with no hams in the family could do that?

I remember how much wailing and gnashing of teeth there was back then.
I was
amazed that experienced hams were so intimidated by having to take
another test
or two. And this was in the Philadelphia metro area, where getting to
an FCC exam
session meant a quick subway ride, not a long cross-country journey.

But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that the requirements
are "too high"
and they keep being lowered. Yet the growth resulting isn't sustained.

Maybe the very people we want to attract are those who want a challenge.

73 de Jim, N2EY

406. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 04:10:12 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <694dd$43911a9b$4e0dbaf$10815@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 126176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"walph wotten" <anon@anon.up> wrote in message
news:dmqmo4$3rm$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1133552277.417918.194810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> no you fucking little bitch, I'm into Anime
>
> (roger is into boys)
>
>
So's Todd

407. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 05:03:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133586199.044632.62350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126177

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > > > rest of us
> > >
> > > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
> >
> > I suspect you are right OToh my offer is sincere and it helps me feel
> > comfroatble with this type of action
>
> So what's to not feel comfortable about, Markie?
>
> You've been so used to lying so much and so often, that spamming
> the NG for your own gratification isn't that much more of a stretch.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, what's your excuse for the hundreds of unnecessary new threads
that you've started?

408. Re: More Proof That N0IMD is a Pathological Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 05:15:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133586906.273262.101910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126180

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > > rest of us
> >
> > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>
> Twice a liar, at that.

Nope, no lies. Your last agreement to be civil didn't last even 24
hours. Google provides.

409. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 05:17:39 UTC
From: whiny_WogerWussyman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmr9kqlcl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:694dd$43911a9b$4e0dbaf$10815@DIALUPUSA.NET...

"walph wotten" <anon@anon.up> wrote in message
news:dmqmo4$3rm$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1133552277.417918.194810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> no you fucking little bitch, I'm into Anime
>
> (roger is into boys)
>
>
So's Todd

/

Yup. Me and Toad are two pees in a pod. (no typo)
Sickos, me and my butt buddy.

Call me, Toad. I got some grafficks for your site you mite like.

woger

410. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 05:46:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133588815.812656.211560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No I ain't dickhead

411. Re: dave admits to stalking lens armour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 06:08:35 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <uP6dnSXXcaNbtQzeRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126178

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Just like you look like a complete boob when you think Roger Wiseman is
> behind every anonymouse post.
>

He is mostly behind the curtains.

412. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 07:27:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133594839.995610.267770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126182

From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 2, 5:33 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 29 2005 3:38 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm

>> Not longer than 71 years in the regulations of the FCC.
>
>You didn't specify "regulations of the FCC" before. Now you're
>trying to change the boundary conditions. Old trick, doesn't work.

"Boundary conditions?" :-)

Tsk, you aren't old enough to have been licensed under any
other federal radio agency besides the FCC. :-)

>> You have no valid comparison to morse code.
>
>Yes, I do. Manual transmissions are a valid comparison to Morse Code.

Sorry, but that's really so far out of "boundary conditions"
that it is a ridiculous analogue. :-)

>I don't do that, Len. I am skilled in both manual transmission
>operation and Morse Code operation.

Oh? Tsk, I didn't see you at that NASCAR awards banquet
telecast tonight...were you waiting in the wings or
something?

Can you hop into any 18-wheeler's tractor and "skillfully"
operate the gear shifting there? :-)

>You, on the other hand, have no competence in Morse Code operation,
>yet you blather on about it endlessly. Perhaps you should take your
>own advice of "Try not to venture into areas where you have no
>competence."

Now, now, your "boundary conditions" are getting blurred by
the red haze of your hostility... :-)

>> When my wife and I got our new 2005 Chevy Malibu in June, we both
>> had to learn part of its transmission control, very different in
>> it's "low" setting from previous Chevrolets with automatic
>> transmission. That automatic transmission allows manual gear
>> changing. The automatic transmission on our older 1992 Chevy
>> Cavalier Wagon allowed manual gear changing. The automatic

>> transmission on our even older 1982 Chevy Berlinetta Camaro
>> (as well as my old '70 Camaro and '67 Camaro) allowed manual
>> gear changing.
>
>But they are not manual transmissions. They are automatic
>transmissions.

Funny! Takes MANUAL MOVEMENT by hands to set the right gear. :-)

>Doesn't answer the question, Len.

What "question?" Vehicle transmissions aren't involved in any
amateur radio license examinations. My, you DO stray from the
subject a lot when misdirecting... :-)

>There are more differences than "they just don't have any clutch",
>however. But that is all beside the point.

Ah! NOW you are getting the point. Took you long enough...

>> There is NO federal requirement to learn morse code in order
>> to pilot a sailing vessel.
>
>Not the point.

Ah, but YOU brought that up to begin with... :-)

>> Obviously you've never been on a water vessel that had "sound-
>> powered" telecommunications sets.
>
>Actually, I have.

Oh, my, you "have" done everything? :-)

>Sure there is - it's called wigwag.

No, those are called SEMAPHORE FLAGS. Those are useless at night
so the U.S. Army Signal Corps used TORCHES at night. Both kinds
on both sides during the U.S. Civil War. The very same flag/torch
positions, too! :-)

The flags crossed, overlaid by a single torch, appear as the
collar insignia of all U.S. Army signal persons today...the
same as they did when I served in the U.S. Army as a signalman.

>> >Except for a few floating museum pieces, the US Navy stopped using
>> >sail power about 100 years ago.
>
>> Go to the docking area at the U.S. Naval Academy or the U.S. Coast
>> Guard Academy. Are those "tall ships" illusions? No, they are
>> real.
>
>Nobody said they aren't real. They're floating museum pieces.

Funny! I suppose lots of USN and USCG academy midshipmen
"train to be museum curators?" :-)

>They represent less than 1% of the fleet of those military services.

How do you KNOW? :-)

>> No morse code skill is needed to pilot a sail or power boat.
>
>> >There are almost no commercial uses
>> >for sailboats in the USA - powerboats dominate all but "hobby" boating,
>> >and power boats probably dominate hobby boating as well.
>
>> That is an absolute?
>
>Yes - is it not true?

Yes, it is not true. :-)

>> Very well, we will put you down as a claimed "Master of Marine Craft."
>
>Why? I don't claim to be an expert. I just stated a few plain, simple facts.
>Are those facts not true? Do not powerboats dominate all but "hobby"
>boating?

I think your terms are a bit wrong. It is PLEASURE boating, not
"hobby" boating. :-)

So, is morse code skill required to pilot ANY boat or ship?

>In any event, the analogy between sailing and Morse Code is obvious,
>valid, and very clear.

Only in a dense fog of your own making. Sound your foghorn
like a good little "hobby" mariner...toot, toot! :-)

>> >> >- Drawing and painting instead of photography
>
>> >> No problem to me...I did all three as a kid, still do.
>
>> >Still have your crayons, huh?
>
>> Ha. Ha. You would be a hit at the Art Center School of Design
>> in the Pasadena area of Greater Los Angeles.
>
>Do you teach there, Len? Do you have a degree from there?

I went to Art Center for a year at their old campus on
3rd Street in Los Angeles. :-)

>> Pasadena forensics
>> could practice on what was left of you after saying that.
>
>Why? Are you threatening violence against me for asking a
>simple question? Sure looks like it.

BWWAAAAAAHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHE!!!!!!

Poor lad, you are WORRIED? :-)

>Do you still have your crayons, Len?

A couple of "Conte crayons." Stubs, left-overs. Few use Conte
crayons now. As far as I know, those weren't made by Crayola.

>> Do you need lessons in art, illustration, or photography?
>
>No.

You might find references to Conte crayons in an old art text.

Texts and old books seems to be where you get your "experience."

>> I can
>> give you them and show how it is done by actual examples. My
>> photographs and illustrations have been published in national
>> magazines. I can work with nearly all media in art and
>> illustration: pencil, pen, (yes) crayon (but of a type that
>> isn't sold to children), chalk, ink on scratchboard, Ben Day
>> screen illustration board, oils, watercolors, caesin paints,
>> brush or air-brush (my Paasche air-brush compressor still
>> works although I preferred the CO2 bottle pressure system
>> common in commercial practice). I've given up "canvas" for
>> painting in preference for the finer linen media.
>
>That's nice, Len. But the fact is that all those are old technologies.
>Many would say they are "dying" or "dead" compared to computer
>graphics.

Oh, my, you'll have to tell all the illustrators everywhere that
their techniques are "dying!" All the lithography producers
will have to shut down! All the Art Schools have to shut down!
Oh, my, all that commotion! :-)

>Why do you live in the past?

I live in the past?!?

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> Tell us how morse code skill is used in art or illustration or
>> photography?
>
>By analogy.

Is "analogy" a new kind of art media? [yes, I Gesso... :-)]

>> >> >- Homemade food instead of packaged
>
>> >> How do you categorize campfire cooking? :-)
>
>> >Is that where your cooking winds up, Len?

>
>> Only when camping, Jimmy, and then into the interior of fellow
>> campers.
>
>I meant it winds up *in* the campfire....;-)

How do you KNOW?

Tsk, tsk, more HOSTILITY showing there...

>Awwww...can't you take a little humor, Len? :-)

I love humor. Too bad you don't display any.

>Are you so INTENSE and SERIOUS that you must threaten
>others?

I "threatened" you? How so?

Are you worried about "threats?" Are you insecure?

>How? The point is simply that Fessenden was using AM voice
>effectively more than 100 years ago. You deny and denigrate
>his successes, but they are well documented all the same.

Tsk. Show me ANY OTHER AM transmitter that "modulates" by
putting a carbon microphone in series with the antenna lead.

:-)

>... I studied lots of Physics in both highschool and college.
>None of the physics courses covered Electronics. Electrical
>engineering covered electronics.

Tsk. "As you studied it" so goes the world? :-)

>Benjamin Franklin was both a scientist and the first true
>electrical engineer. His elegant (and very dangerous)
> kite/key/Leyden jar experiment proved that lightning was
>simply an electrical discharge, and not the wrath of God,
>celestial fire, or some other force as was commonly
>thought at the time.

Tsk, tsk. I read Ben's biography entitled "Benjamin
Franklin - An American Life," by Walter Isaacson.

>Franklin the scientist determined the nature of
>lightning.

Franklin was hardly schooled. He had only HONORARY
degrees.

>But ol' Ben (who also founded the University where
>I earned my first Electrical Engineering degree)

Yes, yes, on and on with the Philly stuff. :-)

Franklin died in 1790. The first morse code wasn't
used until 1844. "Radio" was still very unknown as
to what it was in 1844.

>> You have never, ever been subject to the Uniform Code of
>> Military Justice. You have never had a DD-214 issued to
>> you. You will never have a DD-214 issued to you. You
>> cannot ever understand the actual implications of the UCMJ
>> other than some casual thing that applies only to others.
>
>Irrelevant - you didn't even know what the acronym "UCMJ"
>stood for.

You mean UNIFORM Code of Military Justice? :-)

I knew full well what it "stood for." I was subject to it
for four years of active duty in the United States Army.

>You made a mistake.

Oh HORRORS! A MISTAKE! :-)

Let's see...this is the year 2005...and the last time I wore
a full U.S. Army UNIFORM was in 1956. That's 49 years in the
past. I still have one set of winter, one set of summer
UNIFORM clothing in a clothing bag stored in the empty space
of the guest room at the southern house. All mine. Has
the last four digits of my Army serial number stamped on the
clothing. Do you have anything like that? I don't think so.

>> >> >If you actually read all of the comments, you'd know that.
>
>> >> Each and every filing from 15 July 2005 to 23 November 2005.
>> >> 3,795 of them. :-)
>
>
>> >So you claim, but the evidence says otherwise.
>
>> The evidence is the filings on WT Docket 05-235. All 3,796 of
>> them from 15 July 2005 to 25 November 2005. Note that one
>> more has been added in the ECFS.
>
>You didn't know where to find the other analysis of the filings, Len,
>even though the url was given in the filed comments.

I don't really care one lil bitty rat snit about those
"other analyses." :-)

I did mine. The Commission knows it. That's enough for me.

By the way, as of 2 PM EST on 2 December 2005, there were
exactly 3,800 filings in WT Docket 05-235.

Haven't you been keeping up?

>I think you're jealous that someone else made the comments
>so accessible.

"Jealous" of Joe Speroni? The Hawaiian Morseman?

Hardly. All his Petitions before the Commission have been DENIED.

>Lots of people have had their petitions DENIED,
>either in whole or in part:

Speroni's have been DENIED in WHOLE. :-)

>And your request (not even a petition, really) to create an age
>requirement for an amateur radio license was DENIED.

Tsk, my SUGGESTION to the Commission (filed on 13 January
1999) on page 14 of my 14-page Reply to Comments wasn't
even mentioned in FCC 99-412, the R&O for Restructuring. :-)

Those are the breaks in regulatory politics. :-)

>You see facts and truth as hostile, Len. Your problem, not mine.

Nope, NOT "my problem." You are simply hostile to anyone
who won't accept morse code wholeheartedly. You insist on
keeping the code test and don't have any valid reasons
for doing so except for canned phrases that were conditioned
into your mind by the league.

Why ARE you so obsessed with putting down all who want the
code test eliminated? I won't matter to you, personally.
You will retain your full amateur rank-status-privileges
regardless of whether the code test goes away or stays.

Not to worry. There will always be some morseperson around
to play with you in your morse playground.

>If I had evidence that you operated an amateur radio station
>illegally, I would report it to FCC. Enforcement is their job,
>not mine.

Oh, my...do you carry a "shield" that states you are an
"official" radio person "authorized by the federal
government" or something like that?

Tsk, tsk, you ought to hang around truck stops and butt in
on truckers who you suspect are doing "illegal" CB activity!

Why, you could even be a RADIO BOUNTY HUNTER! Might even
get a movie done on your life a la Domino Harvey!

>> You do not understand the difference between "qualified" and
>> AUTHORIZED.
>
>Yes, I do. You are neither qualified nor authorized to operate an
>amateur radio station.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you have no "boundary conditions" there, senior.

[chuckle, chuckle]

>All persons who have not demonstrated qualification to FCC are
>considered unqualified. You, Leonard H. Anderson, are neither
>qualified nor authorized.

[remember the "boundary conditions!" :-)]

>> >FCC says you're not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.
>
>> The FCC has "said" no such thing to me.
>
>Yes, they have.

In a real document addressed to ME? :-)

Maybe in a telephone call? :-)

>> They've never once
>> written to me that I am "unqualified" in anything...
>
>They don't have to, Len.

Please make up your mind. :-)

First you said the FCC "said something to me," now you say
"they don't have to."

You contradict yourself.

>You have none of those.

None of what?

You have no DD-214 form. You will never have a DD-214 form.
Yet you claim to have knowledge of "how military life is."

Hey, no problem with me. Dudly the Imposter probably does
all your fantasizing for you. :-)

>By definition, you are not authorized, qualified, permitted or licensed
>to operate an amateur radio station.

Wow! Several months ago I was looking at the Burbank HRO store
station, even tweaked a transceiver dial to tune in a SSB signal
clearer! Hey, get the surveilance camera tapes! You might find
me on them doing that! Wowee! You can make an ARREST!

You might even make the cover of QST for doing that! Fame!
:-)

Article 73 of the UNIFORM Code of Amateur Morse Excellence?

[UCAME] [I'll bet you did!]

>Do you think you are qualified to operate *my* amateur radio
>station, Len?

Tsk, why SHOULD I do such a thing? :-)

You might ARREST me or something!

BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>What date should I look for?

Yours for Saturday night...you need to get out more...

>I'm just telling you the facts.

Before you kept on saying "all I'm doing is asking questions."

Which is it?

>You can't even pass the tests once. Not all of them, anyway.

How do you KNOW that? :-)

>Where have I made my opinions absolute? Give us a concrete example.

What kind of mix? Structural? Fill? Fine-sand type?

>> Your implication of "cowardice" is misplaced.
>
>Really? ;-)

I have served in the military of the United States. Volunteering
during a war time. Taking an oath to defend the United States
and its Constitution with my life if needs be.

You've NEVER done that, haven't served, don't know dink about
real military life...yet you imply "cowardice" on the part of
others and try to tell them what real military life is like.

Yes, really, no-guts.

>> First, I was
>> not at any HF receiver during most of the Thanksgiving Day
>> holiday weekend.
>
>But you could have been...

BBWWWAAAAAAAHAAAAHAAAAHAAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>I have never claimed to have served in any military. That does not
>mean I never served.

You served as a civilian waiter in an officer's club? :-)

>There's a lot of things I have done which I have not mentioned here.

You and Dudly the Imposter ought to get together and write a book
about "all the things you've not mentioned here!"

It ought to be a best smeller on some newspapers' literary section!

>It's a fact that you have near-complete ignorance of Morse Code and
>amateur radio, Len.

Oh, dear, there you go again...all that HOSTILITY! :-)

Near-COMPLETE Ignorance! :-)

>Do you think others should act like you, Len?

Considering I'm NOT in show business, yes, I don't think so! :-)

My acting would return Hollywood productions back to the silent
era. :-)

Not to worry. I'll wait patiently for amateur agents of the
UNIFORM Code of Amateur Morsemanship Excellence (UCAME) to
arrest me for "cowardice" and "being a bad role model", perhaps
some unspecified "charges" which are considered heretical to
the mighty Church of St. Hiram!

Captain Code! Captain Code! Where is Captain Code when he is
needed?!?

Help! Help! Call Isaac...I'm laughing my ass-im-off!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

413. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 09:17:44 UTC
From: Roger <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net>
Message-ID: <v1n2p1dv778r51u4jb0c3mmj2hb9tp2bg9@4ax.com>
Source record: 126183
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 19:07:01 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>
>"Roger" <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net> wrote in message
>news:g3tqo1trejtn69t8dc76egitn4a2e0cjop@4ax.com...
>> On 28 Nov 2005 18:29:10 -0800, Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com wrote:
>>
>>>"... all for $25 consumer price?"
>>>
>>>DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
>>>Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
>>>Laptop for $400? Check.
>>
>> About 4 months ago I paid about $75 for a dual layer DVD dual layer
>> drive that does about every flavour of DVD and CD, read and write.
>
>
>And at this moment you can get one (16x DVD+/- R/RW DL Pioneer DVR-110) from
>Meritline for $47... simply bloody amazing..
>
>
And mine are only 8X for DVDs and 40X on CDs. <sigh> Left behind
again<:-))

BTW I live about 14 miles from the world's largest Poly crystal
Silicon supplier. I think they also sell wafers. (very large wafers).

In 1960 a single crystal rod of the best purity you could get sold for
$165 a gram. Today the poly is of higher purity than those 10 pass,
float zone refined rods and as I recall it sells for something like a
couple bucks a Kilo. . Back then a single crystal rod of 3/4"
diameter and 16" long was about the biggest thing you'd see with poly
rods about the same size.
Now days wafers are running some where between 10" and 14". I'm not
sure the current size as I've been away from it for a long time. Poly
crystal rods are huge. It takes power hoists to move them.

Some time in the late 60's (as I recall) they were able to change to a
new method of sawing wafers which reduced the waste by about 50% or a
little better. At least one firm went out of business as overnight
they had doubled the single crystal yield with no increase in, cost,
time, or labor.

Last week there was an article in the local paper that the plant is undergoing another expansion. Not just an expansion, they are doubling the plant capacity and they are already the world's largest supplier but a good margin.

They have gone from a small operation in a cement block garage while using an old two story farm house for an office building to one huge complex.

Think about the amount of silicon used in a transistor, CPU die, or even the CCD in a camera which is probably the largest typical die and then think of the thousands of metric tons of the stuff this place produces.

The engineering costs to produce any particular IC or CPU are high, but once in mass production it drops to almost unbelievable levels. Remember CPUs starting out at a $1000 dollars or more and in about a year of so they are less than a $100 and often still in production. They end up being dropped from production, not because they are losing money as they aren't, but the new *stuff* brings a premium price so it's a no brainer to make the switch. Sure the price is high so they can write off the engineering costs in a hurry, but they are still making a healthy profit.

The same is true for tuners, decoders, converters, and other set top boxes. As that stuff is mass produced and there is a tremendous market for it, they will be moving to one and two chip designs dropping the costs to a couple of dollars where the broadcasters and cable companies can give them away with each subscription.

A nearby company purchased a large batch of high quality 19 or 20" LCD monitors. The cost was some where in the low $200 range. What was the price on those just a year ago, or two years ago if you could find one that large? <:-)) Currently the price is one of supply and demand for those. They could be sold far cheaper to the individual and it won't be long before they are.

Now If I could just convince my wife of all the good reasons for getting rid of the old 19" CRTs here and going to 21" LCDs. <:-))

I hate to say, or admit this, but I remember when the new TV sets finally came out with 21" CRTs <:-)) and those *were*expensive* Carried forward to today's dollars they cost more than one of the big 50 some inch plasma sets.

Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com

414. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 09:30:32 UTC
From: Mr W Z Boson <WZ_Boson@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1133602232.836372.26270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126184
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:

<blah>
</blah>

A little Learning is a dang'rous Thing;
Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian Spring.
Alexander Pope

415. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 10:36:54 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <ois2p117amqh7guhlnmqts5jtpfv427vea@4ax.com>
Source record: 126185
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mr W Z Boson wrote:

>Pierian Spring wrote:
>
><blah>
></blah>
>
>A little Learning is a dang'rous Thing;
>Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian Spring.
> Alexander Pope

The only thing I think we have on here that's relevant is a spring
full of crocodile tears.

I can remember someone on here who went 'screaming' to 'the plods'
when someone wrote a letter to a family member; who made a complaint
to a university vice-chancellor because an employee sent an e-mail
three minutes after the end of his working day (and so must have
written it in 'works time'); and who approached someone's head teacher
regarding his appearance on here. Doubtless there were other
incidents. He also referred to people who belonged to a tear-or-wrist
organisation as 'noble'. It seems that what was good enough for him is
not too good for anyone else.

Perhaps his latest sock-puppet is a reference to the time he dropped a
ball by saying that a spring-dashpot system was a mechanical analogue
of a coil-capacitor system, because they both exhibit 'resonance'.

from
Aero Spike

Blair: "(Saddam's) weapons of mass destruction programme is active, detailed and growing. The policy of containment is not working. The weapons of mass destruction programme is not shut down. It is up and running....The intelligence picture.....is extensive, detailed and authoritative."

416. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 11:05:05 UTC
From: Mr W Z Boson <WZ_Boson@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1133607905.315599.28980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126186
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Spike wrote:

> Mr W Z Boson wrote:
>
> >Pierian Spring wrote:
> >
> ><blah>
> ></blah>
> >
> >A little Learning is a dang'rous Thing;
> >Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian Spring.
> > Alexander Pope
>
> The only thing I think we have on here that's relevant is a spring
> full of crocodile tears.
>
> I can remember someone on here who went 'screaming' to 'the plods'
> when someone wrote a letter to a family member; who made a complaint
> to a university vice-chancellor because an employee sent an e-mail
> three minutes after the end of his working day (and so must have
> written it in 'works time'); and who approached someone's head teacher
> regarding his appearance on here. Doubtless there were other
> incidents. He also referred to people who belonged to a tear-or-wrist
> organisation as 'noble'. It seems that what was good enough for him is
> not too good for anyone else.
>
> Perhaps his latest sock-puppet is a reference to the time he dropped a
> ball by saying that a spring-dashpot system was a mechanical analogue
> of a coil-capacitor system, because they both exhibit 'resonance'.
>
> from
> Aero Spike
>
> Blair: "(Saddam's) weapons of mass destruction programme is active, detailed and growing. The policy of containment is not working. The weapons of mass destruction programme is not shut down. It is up and running....The intelligence picture.....is extensive, detailed and authoritative."

I think I was commenting on the arrogance seemingly displayed by the
choice of name.

Despite having thrown off my ball and chain, I retain some old contacts
- I emailed one recently (a guy who has a research interest in
psychopathology) and directed him to this NG with particular reference

to certain topics.

His response was "... interesting"

417. Markie Up To 246 post in 3 Days ~ ~ Says Reporting It Is "Slanderous Lies"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 11:49:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133610562.818281.182000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126187

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Dec 2005 04:23:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Troy Reynolds wrote:
> >> On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 00:00:44 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >>
> >> >Stevei insists on lying about the sexual orientation of severl folks
> >> >on RRAP
> >>
> >> So ####ing what? Nobody gives a #### and neither should you.
> >
> > Forget it, Troy.
> >
> > Markie's considerate of and concious of one thing and one thing
> >only....And that's what Markie wants...As you can see by his
> >several-day-long spamming of the NG for his own gratification.
>
> more lies slander boring Stevie

What's "boring" is watching you humiliate yourself, Markie.

So...When you going to apologize to the other users and resume
adult-like conduct?

Steve, K4YZ

418. More Markie Mularkie...But At Least He Got SOMETHING Right ! ! ! ! =)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 11:53:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133610808.576641.196030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126188

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Dec 2005 04:48:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> > That behaviour is only directed at those who help to foster it.
>
> another lie

No, it's not.

> you don't therten to murder fpeople

FINALLY! You got it RIGHT!

It's only taken seven years to get you to finally admit that your
"death threat" rants are bogus.

But now I wonder what it's going to take to get you to apologize
for the trash you've strewn in the NG for the last four or five days.

Steve, K4YZ

419. Re: Does this Thong make me look fat?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 13:13:44 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@porto-toilet.com>
Message-ID: <td63p1dmvd8ocbn6m26bh74m105l9s3t7u@4ax.com>
Source record: 126189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"whiny_Woger" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote:

NO!! YOU LOOK HOT!!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

420. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly ~~ Manifests Markies Malicious Muddlings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 13:34:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133616890.425083.214320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126190

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > > > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > > > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > > > > > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > indeed that was the effect I was going for
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > How am I managing BB?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Impressive. I think that you are out-Steving the Master.
> > > > >
> > > > > Cute!
> > >
> > > ...and unfortunate. You tend to be the lowest common denominator and
> > > when people stoop to your kind of behavio[u]r, it drags the whole group
> > > down. Thanks for a shining example of Extra Hamdom.
> >
> > That behaviour is only directed at those who help to foster it.

Always needing an excuse for your bad behavio[u]r.

> For example those who call others names when they weren't called
> similar names to start with.

Yet you attack me when I refer to you as "Steve." My bad.

> BTW Brain, you've yet to answer why YOU continue to do so,
> espeically in regards to Jim Miccolis.

I refer to Jim as "Jim." Get it? "Jim" means Jim???

> > > > > the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> > > > > does on a routinue basis
> > > > >
> > > > > I tried being a jerk like Steve, but I had no stomach for it. Gave it
> > > > > up after a couple of weeks. I don't know how he can stand himself.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, NO, Brain!
> > >
> > > Steve, it's "Brian."
> >
> > Is it?
> >
> > Gee, Brain, I thought a few days ago I said I'd cool things if you
> > did.

Yes, you did.

> I did, but what you did was keep right on digging in.

Now you lie again.

> > > Don't understimate yourself, Brain!
> > >
> > > When I do I'm usually wrong.
> >
> > See! There you go again!

I agree with your "assertion of fact" not to underestimate myself, and
you now have a problem with it.

> > > You're your OWN jerk, and I am sure you have PLENTY of stomach for
> > > > it!
> > >
> > > I prefer politeness. People can disagree without being disagreeable.
> > > Except for a person named "Steven J Robeson."
> >
> > Oh no! There are quite a few other people here with whom I
> > correspond, at times even "toe-to-toe", yet life seems to move on.

Does it?

> However those persons whoim I call the "Feeble Five" seem to be
> the exception.
>
> And, uh, Brain...That includes you.

Name calling and more name calling, yet I refer to you as "Steve."

> > > And unlike you I can see you being able to "stand" yourself. What
> > > > I CAN'T see is you subjecting your family to a jerk like you.
> > >
> > > What has my family to do with your assinine behavio[u]r on rrap? Is
> > > this the part where you again feel a need to talk to my wife or my
> > > minor son? Be careful how you answer.

>
> Don't presume to caution me again, Brain.

Caution.

> And no, I don't need to "talk" to your wife or child.

I'm certainly glad that you're off that kick.

> They can surf the net and see how you act behind their backs
> without any assistance from me, I am sure.

I am sure they can read this newsgroup. I'm not convinced that they
will see you as the Cowboy in a White hat, protecting RRAP from evil.

> > > > > > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > > > > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > > > > > them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > > > > > gone?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > > For merely behaving as you have?
> > > > > >
> > > > > indeed Did you catch how that stament made him a liar again?
> > > > >
> > > > The double-standard couldn't have been more clear.
> > > >
> > > Ah yes...The Feeble Five High Five.
> > >
> > > How (un)impressive!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > "High Five?" Hi, hi!
> >
> > Your lies are so ubiquitous that drawing attention to them is
> > b-o-r-i-n-g. It's like finding a tiny toy surprise in every box of
> > Cracker Jacks. The thrill is gone.
>
> The thrill is gone since there's nothing for you to do, Brain.

If your constant lying needed attention drawn to it, I think that Mark
has things well in hand.

> Now...If you want that civility thing to work, IT TAKES TWO.

Indeed it does. Apologize at once. When I accept it we can move on.

> How things proceed from here are up to YOU. Be careful how YOU
> respond!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Where's the apology that I'm due?

421. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 14:12:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133619166.669899.8690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126191

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > After the incentive licnesing rules went into effect
> > in the 1967-1969 period, the number of US hams began to
> > grow much faster than it had during the 1960s. The growth of
> > the 1970s continued into the 1980s.
>
> Are you suggesting that making it tougher to get full privileges was the cause
> that accelerated the growth of the ARS? That has to qualify as the most
> outrageous notion to hit RRAP (outside the dump huck posts from Mark) in the
> current century.

Sounds pretty dump huck to me, too.

> Clearly other "market forces" were in play for the ARS to enjoy the popularity
> it did in the post-Sputnik years. Science was "cool" and the hot ticket for
> education and career planning. Scientifiic-seeming hobbies like electronics,
> radio, and astronomy were beneficiaries of this attitude. If anything,
> dis-incentive licensing was a damper (not an accelerant) on the growth of the
> ARS during that period.

Amateur Radio might have been mainstreamed. Instead it remains a
basement or attic activity, hidden from view by most Americans.

> > If incentive licensing was so awful, why was there so much
> > growth in the ARS in the two decades after it was put in
> > place?
>
> Can you imagine how much more growth we'd have had without its repressive
> effects on our hobby!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

"Amateur Radio?" Isn't that like CB?

422. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 14:16:25 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <lt73p1td2lkuf8o3ef5pga229dqdtpuubj@4ax.com>
Source record: 126192
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mr W Z Boson wrote:

>Spike wrote:
>
>> Mr W Z Boson wrote:
>>
>> >Pierian Spring wrote:
>> >
>> ><blah>
>> ></blah>
>> >
>> >A little Learning is a dang'rous Thing;
>> >Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian Spring.
>> > Alexander Pope
>>
>> The only thing I think we have on here that's relevant is a spring
>> full of crocodile tears.
>>
>> I can remember someone on here who went 'screaming' to 'the plods'
>> when someone wrote a letter to a family member; who made a complaint
>> to a university vice-chancellor because an employee sent an e-mail
>> three minutes after the end of his working day (and so must have
>> written it in 'works time'); and who approached someone's head teacher
>> regarding his appearance on here. Doubtless there were other
>> incidents. He also referred to people who belonged to a tear-or-wrist
>> organisation as 'noble'. It seems that what was good enough for him is
>> not too good for anyone else.
>>
>> Perhaps his latest sock-puppet is a reference to the time he dropped a
>> ball by saying that a spring-dashpot system was a mechanical analogue
>> of a coil-capacitor system, because they both exhibit 'resonance'.
>
>I think I was commenting on the arrogance seemingly displayed by the
>choice of name.

Last weekend he appeared on the models.engineering group as "Very
Sharp Tool".

Not in this toolbox!

>Despite having thrown off my ball and chain, I retain some old contacts
>- I emailed one recently (a guy who has a research interest in
>psychopathology) and directed him to this NG with particular reference
>to certain topics.

>
>His response was "... interesting"

I don't doubt it! Someone might take up a study of the ng for a PhD
thesis - except that some on here would claim in error that the
Literature Search is plagiarism of other people's work.

from
Aero Spike

Blair: "(Saddam's) weapons of mass destruction programme is active, detailed and growing. The policy of containment is not working. The weapons of mass destruction programme is not shut down. It is up and running....The intelligence picture.....is extensive, detailed and authoritative."

423. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 14:32:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133620368.349623.77160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126193

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:

> > If anything,
> > dis-incentive licensing was a damper (not an accelerant) on the growth of the
> > ARS during that period.
>
> Really? Then *why* did the growth start up again after it was in place,
> after almost half a decade of stagnation and even some decline? Why did
> the number of US hams grow so fast in the 1970s and 1980s?
>
> If you want to talk about "market forces", consider these:
>
> - The 1960s were a very turbulent time, particularly for young people.
> Many were
> more interested in political/social causes than in "establishment"
> activities like
> amateur radio.

That's why Cop McDonald had a regular column in the counter-culture
magazine, The Mother Earth News. That's why Dentron ran advertisements
in that magazine.

> - The "space race" and the technological advances it brought made
> amateur
> radio look a little old-fashioned in some ways. Remember Christmas Eve
> 1968, when the crew of Apollo 8 showed us the Earth from lunar orbit
> via live
> TV? How could any terrestrial "DX" compete with that?

K8MN might be able to answer that. He was so upset by not getting to
play DXer from Vietnam that he made a career change because of it.

> But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that the requirements
> are "too high"
> and they keep being lowered. Yet the growth resulting isn't sustained.

Who is saying, "too high" or "too hard?" The argument is over
"relevance."

> Maybe the very people we want to attract are those who want a
> challenge.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Direct them to the nearest military recruiting station. Oh, never
mind.

424. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 14:36:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133620582.966174.119180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126194

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of November 30, 2005:

Novice - 26,885 (4.1%) [decrease of 22,444]
Technician - 273,794 (41.4%) [increase of 68,400]
Technician Plus - 44,527 (6.7%) [decrease of 84,333]
General - 135,094 (20.4%) [increase of 22,417]
Advanced - 74,373 (11.2%) [decrease of 25,409]
Extra - 107,281 (16.2%) [increase of 28,531]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,321 (48.1%) [decrease of 15,933]

Total all classes - 661,954 (decrease of 12,838)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
> are no longer issued.
>
Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
since the Technician class includes a significant
number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

425. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 14:40:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133620813.002752.274920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126195

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 00:46:58 GMT, KØHB wrote:
>
> >> Where in Part 97 does it say that anyone cannot comment outside
> >> the deadline dates?
> >>
> >
> >Part 97 is silent on the subject of comments outside, inside, above, before,
> >after, abeam, abaft, or forward of the deadline.
>
> You left out "aloft" and "below", Master Chief..... <ggg>
> >
> >Sunuvagun!
>
> For the barracks lawyers, Part 1 of the FCC Rules and Title 5 of the
> C.F.R. deals with such minutia. When they totally foul interpretation
> of it up, they can hire a REAL lawyer to teach them about it.
>
> >de Hans, K0HB
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

No need. Premature and late filers will be dealt the wrenchy smitch.

426. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 14:49:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133621390.195306.144860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126196

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > cut
> > > > as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
> > > > prefer to use
> > > >
> > > Oh, you're British?
> > >
> > no why do you ask?

"behavio[u]r"

> > > > not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
> > > > spelling cop shit
> > > >
> > > How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?
> > >
> > no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything
> >
> Illiterate AND stupid. What a combo.

Dyslexia. Do you make fun of Down's kids, too?

> > it should be obvious that scabble is not likely to be one of those
> > baord that I indulge in
> >
> Due to your incompetence in English, no doubt.

Dyslexia.

> > but then you seem to lack much in the way of sense
> >
> That's cute...coming from a twerp who's bombed the NG with over 200
> messages in the last 60 hours.
> >
> Steve, K4YZ

He's merely responding in-kind. You do see that, don't you?

427. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly ~~ Manifests Markies Malicious Muddlings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 15:22:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133623348.176423.123050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126197

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > ...and unfortunate. You tend to be the lowest common denominator and
> > > when people stoop to your kind of behavio[u]r, it drags the whole group
> > > down. Thanks for a shining example of Extra Hamdom.
> >
> > That behaviour is only directed at those who help to foster it.
>
> Always needing an excuse for your bad behavio[u]r.

No one needs an excuse for returning a favor, Brain.

You have your way of "misbehaving", I respond in kind.

You want to act a certain way but have everyone treat YOU with the
"respect" of an elder statesman.

Ain't happening as long as you keep up YOUR silliness, Bucko.

> > For example those who call others names when they weren't called
> > similar names to start with.
>
> Yet you attack me when I refer to you as "Steve." My bad.

"Attack"...?!?!

There's that Morganesque "victim" mode again, Brain.

You ridicule and "diss" me, yet think because you call me "Steve"
in the process, it's OK.

It's an all-or-nothing thing, Brain.

> > BTW Brain, you've yet to answer why YOU continue to do so,
> > espeically in regards to Jim Miccolis.
>
> I refer to Jim as "Jim." Get it? "Jim" means Jim???

And "Reverend Jim", Jimmie, etc etc etc...

It's "Jim" while the spotlight's on you.

> > > > > > the only real trouble I am having is I can deal blows as low as Stevie
> > > > > > does on a routinue basis
> > > > > >
> > > > > I tried being a jerk like Steve, but I had no stomach for it. Gave it

> > > > > up after a couple of weeks. I don't know how he can stand himself.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh, NO, Brain!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, it's "Brian."
> > >
> > > Is it?
> > >
> > > Gee, Brain, I thought a few days ago I said I'd cool things if you
> > > did.
> >
> > Yes, you did.
> >
> > > I did, but what you did was keep right on digging in.
> >
> > Now you lie again.

Nope.

Not a bit.

> > > > > Don't understimate yourself, Brain!
> > > > >
> > > > > When I do I'm usually wrong.
> > > >
> > > > See! There you go again!
> >
> > I agree with your "assertion of fact" not to underestimate myself, and
> > you now have a problem with it.

Nope, not at all.

> > > > > You're your OWN jerk, and I am sure you have PLENTY of stomach for
> > > > > > it!
> > > > >
> > > > > I prefer politeness. People can disagree without being disagreeable.
> > > > > Except for a person named "Steven J Robeson."
> > > >
> > > > Oh no! There are quite a few other people here with whom I
> > > > correspond, at times even "toe-to-toe", yet life seems to move on.
> > >
> > > Does it?

It does for me.

Others seem to have lots of time for spamming the NG, yet I don't
see you making any effort to exert some "peer pressure on them despite
over 240+ trash post...

Oh..

Wait...

THAT was because it was one of the Feeble Five doing it!

> > However those persons whoim I call the "Feeble Five" seem to be
> > the exception.
> >
> > And, uh, Brain...That includes you.
>
> Name calling and more name calling, yet I refer to you as "Steve."

Uh huh...

Cooling the flamefest is more than names, Brain...

I know I shouldn't HAVE to tell you that, but it's pretty obvious
that you're not putting one-and-one together...Or if you are, your math
skills suck.

> > > > And unlike you I can see you being able to "stand" yourself. What
> > > > I CAN'T see is you subjecting your family to a jerk like you.
> > >
> > > What has my family to do with your assinine behavio[u]r on rrap? Is
> > > this the part where you again feel a need to talk to my wife or my
> > > minor son? Be careful how you answer.
> >
> > Don't presume to caution me again, Brain.
>
> Caution.

Cute.

> > And no, I don't need to "talk" to your wife or child.
>
> I'm certainly glad that you're off that kick.
>
> > They can surf the net and see how you act behind their backs
> > without any assistance from me, I am sure.
>
> I am sure they can read this newsgroup. I'm not convinced that they
> will see you as the Cowboy in a White hat, protecting RRAP from evil.

I am sure they will see nothing of the sort, nor do I presume to
be that.

However it doesn't change what they will see in YOU.

> > > Your lies are so ubiquitous that drawing attention to them is
> > > b-o-r-i-n-g. It's like finding a tiny toy surprise in every box of
> > > Cracker Jacks. The thrill is gone.
> >
> > The thrill is gone since there's nothing for you to do, Brain.
>
> If your constant lying needed attention drawn to it, I think that Mark
> has things well in hand.
>

> > Now...If you want that civility thing to work, IT TAKES TWO.
>
> Indeed it does. Apologize at once. When I accept it we can move on.

Apologize for what?

> > How things proceed from here are up to YOU. Be careful how YOU
> > respond!
>
> Where's the apology that I'm due?

I'll tell you what...

You create a thread that answers MY questions about why YOU
participate in unilateral name calling and ridiculing of people who
don't do it to you (although you chide others for "name calling")
along with an apology to them for doing it (and we know who the "them"
is I have in mind...) and I will work one up for you.

Steve, K4YZ

428. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 15:33:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133624038.400560.74070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126198

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
> > > > > prefer to use
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh, you're British?
> > > >
> > > no why do you ask?
> >
> "behavio[u]r"
>
> > > > > not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
> > > > > spelling cop shit
> > > > >
> > > > How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?
> > > >
> > > no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything
> > >
> > Illiterate AND stupid. What a combo.
> >
> Dyslexia. Do you make fun of Down's kids, too?

His alleged "dyslexia" is nothing of the sort. He claims an IQ of
248 and expertise in computer technology yet he can't download and use
a spellchecker.

Markie needs to find a different "condicton" to suffer from...He's
worn out his excuses with this one.

> > > it should be obvious that scabble is not likely to be one of those
> > > baord that I indulge in
> > >
> > Due to your incompetence in English, no doubt.
> >
> Dyslexia.

His alleged "dyslexia" is nothing of the sort. He claims an IQ of
248 and expertise in computer technology yet he can't download and use
a spellchecker.

Markie needs to find a different "condicton" to suffer from...He's
worn out his excuses with this one.

> > > but then you seem to lack much in the way of sense
> >
> > That's cute...coming from a twerp who's bombed the NG with over 200
> > messages in the last 60 hours.
>
> He's merely responding in-kind. You do see that, don't you?

See what, Brain?

When in the last 8 years have I hit this group with almost 250
posts in a four day period? Especially with the WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAY
outlandish stuff he's ginning up.

He's not responding "in-kind" to anything. I'd ask you to find
the period in time where you think I did anything of the kind, but I am
sure there's some good "reason" why you won't...

Again...

Steve, K4YZ

429. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 15:40:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133624417.275178.15230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126199

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: "an old friend" on Sun, Nov 27 2005 6:55 pm
> > >>
> cut
> > > my apologies I was trying to simply agee on paper with Jim...
> > >
> > > Paper? Where's the paper?
> >
> gog find it

Gog find it?

Isn't "Gog" that troll-like character in the comic strip
"B.C."...?!?!?

> > > ...as a
> > > Retorical tactic I was also accepting the screwed up procode difer that
> > > says Cw test was the same as operating skill...
> > >
> > I beg to "difer" with your "Retorical" tactic, Colonel.
> >
> beg all you like I can't help

There's so little you CAN help with, Markie.

> > > (just tryin some
> > > hypothecials to see if Jim could get past the nonsense or if Jim is as
> > > traped as Stevie and Dave
> > >
> > Only "hyptothecially" could you "trape" anybody.
> >
> did you have anything to say?
> >
> it doesn't look like it

The point he was making, Blockhead, is that who can understand
YOUR point...?!?!

E N G L I S H ! ! ! !

S P E L L C H E C K E R ! ! ! !

H O O K E D O N P H O N I C S ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

430. Re: More Proof That N0IMD is a Pathological Liar...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 15:57:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133625456.875542.76880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126200

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > > > rest of us
> > >
> > > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
> >
> > Twice a liar, at that.
>
> Nope, no lies. Your last agreement to be civil didn't last even 24
> hours. Google provides.

Three.

Steve, K4YZ

431. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 16:02:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133622898.055595.252890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126201

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)
> >
> > > And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)
> >
> > You're right...
> >
> > It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
> > all.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Merely because you are a PCTA insider/double-standard holder.
>
> Everyone on the Morse Code Test side fawned all over Val Germann. He
> busted on the scene saying all the right things and dissing the
> No-Coders. But in the end, he pulled the wool over your eyes because
> he was a slick-talking salesman with no substance behind him. And it
> was soooo easy.
>
> Best of Luck

Just a few more weeks before I run another query on Val's callsign.

Wonder if he will be Morse Coded by then?

432. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 16:14:33 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Jvjkf.830$Of1.457@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126202

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> They're floating museum pieces.
>

In your dreams, landlubber! Just a couple of examples for you.....

The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors. Not a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).

The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy in Connecticutt, is a working training ship, used in training future seagoing officers.

73, de Hans, K0HB

433. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 16:28:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133627306.037064.45230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126203

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 2, 5:33 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 29 2005 3:38 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm

> Tsk, you aren't old enough to have been licensed under any
> other federal radio agency besides the FCC. :-)

Neither are you, Len ;-) ;-)

> >Sure there is - it's called wigwag.
>
> No, those are called SEMAPHORE FLAGS.

No, they're not. Semaphore and wigwag are two different things.
Look it up.

> >> >Except for a few floating museum pieces, the US Navy stopped using
> >> >sail power about 100 years ago.
> >
> >> Go to the docking area at the U.S. Naval Academy or the U.S. Coast
> >> Guard Academy. Are those "tall ships" illusions? No, they are
> >> real.
> >
> >Nobody said they aren't real. They're floating museum pieces.
>
> Funny! I suppose lots of USN and USCG academy midshipmen
> "train to be museum curators?" :-)

In a way, yes. They're keeping a tradition alive. Those sailing vessels
aren't used for actual Navy or Coast Guard operations other than
training and display.

> >> No morse code skill is needed to pilot a sail or power boat.
> >
> >> >There are almost no commercial uses
> >> >for sailboats in the USA - powerboats dominate all but "hobby" boating,
> >> >and power boats probably dominate hobby boating as well.
> >
> >> That is an absolute?
> >
> >Yes - is it not true?
>
> Yes, it is not true. :-)

Why isn't it true, Len?

> >> Very well, we will put you down as a claimed "Master of Marine Craft."
> >
> >Why? I don't claim to be an expert. I just stated a few plain, simple facts.
> >Are those facts not true? Do not powerboats dominate all but "hobby"
> >boating?
>
> I think your terms are a bit wrong. It is PLEASURE boating, not
> "hobby" boating. :-)

It's amateur radio, not "hobby" radio...

> >> >> >- Drawing and painting instead of photography
> >
> >> >> No problem to me...I did all three as a kid, still do.
> >
> >> >Still have your crayons, huh?
> >
> >> Ha. Ha. You would be a hit at the Art Center School of Design
> >> in the Pasadena area of Greater Los Angeles.
> >
> >Do you teach there, Len? Do you have a degree from there?
>
> I went to Art Center for a year at their old campus on
> 3rd Street in Los Angeles. :-)

Did you flunk out? Or perhaps you just GAVE UP?
>
> >> Pasadena forensics
> >> could practice on what was left of you after saying that.
> >
> >Why? Are you threatening violence against me for asking a
> >simple question? Sure looks like it.
>
> BWWAAAAAAHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHE!!!!!!
>
> Poor lad, you are WORRIED? :-)

Not me. I'm just curious why you would mention

"Pasadena forensics could practice on what was left of you after saying
that."

What did you mean by that sentence?

> >Do you still have your crayons, Len?
>
> A couple of "Conte crayons." Stubs, left-overs. Few use Conte
> crayons now. As far as I know, those weren't made by Crayola.
>
> >> Do you need lessons in art, illustration, or photography?
> >
> >No.

>
> You might find references to Conte crayons in an old art text.
>
> Texts and old books seems to be where you get your "experience."

Well, you're wrong about that.
>
> >> I can
> >> give you them and show how it is done by actual examples. My
> >> photographs and illustrations have been published in national
> >> magazines. I can work with nearly all media in art and
> >> illustration: pencil, pen, (yes) crayon (but of a type that
> >> isn't sold to children), chalk, ink on scratchboard, Ben Day
> >> screen illustration board, oils, watercolors, caesin paints,
> >> brush or air-brush (my Paasche air-brush compressor still
> >> works although I preferred the CO2 bottle pressure system
> >> common in commercial practice). I've given up "canvas" for
> >> painting in preference for the finer linen media.
> >
> >That's nice, Len. But the fact is that all those are old technologies.
> >Many would say they are "dying" or "dead" compared to computer
> >graphics.
>
> Oh, my, you'll have to tell all the illustrators everywhere that
> their techniques are "dying!"

It's what you've told us about Morse Code, even though you're not
involved.

> All the lithography producers
> will have to shut down! All the Art Schools have to shut down!
> Oh, my, all that commotion! :-)
>
> >Why do you live in the past?
>
> I live in the past?!?
>
Yes.

> >> >> >- Homemade food instead of packaged
> >
> >> >> How do you categorize campfire cooking? :-)
> >
> >> >Is that where your cooking winds up, Len?
> >
> >> Only when camping, Jimmy, and then into the interior of fellow
> >> campers.
> >
> >I meant it winds up *in* the campfire....;-)
>
> How do you KNOW?

It's clear from your gassiness here....;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

> >Are you so INTENSE and SERIOUS that you must threaten
> >others?
>
> I "threatened" you? How so?

"Pasadena forensics could practice on what was left of you after saying
that."

What did you mean by that?

> >... I studied lots of Physics in both highschool and college.
> >None of the physics courses covered Electronics. Electrical
> >engineering covered electronics.
>
> Tsk. "As you studied it" so goes the world? :-)

Can you show any physics textbooks or courses that include
electronic design or analysis?

> >Benjamin Franklin was both a scientist and the first true
> >electrical engineer. His elegant (and very dangerous)
> > kite/key/Leyden jar experiment proved that lightning was
> >simply an electrical discharge, and not the wrath of God,
> >celestial fire, or some other force as was commonly
> >thought at the time.
>
> Tsk, tsk. I read Ben's biography entitled "Benjamin
> Franklin - An American Life," by Walter Isaacson.

So?

> >Franklin the scientist determined the nature of
> >lightning.
>
> Franklin was hardly schooled. He had only HONORARY
> degrees.

So he founded a great University that thrives today.

> >But ol' Ben (who also founded the University where
> >I earned my first Electrical Engineering degree)
>
> Yes, yes, on and on with the Philly stuff. :-)

It's good stuff. Our country was born right here in
Philadelphia.

> Franklin died in 1790. The first morse code wasn't
> used until 1844. "Radio" was still very unknown as
> to what it was in 1844.

> You mean UNIFORM Code of Military Justice? :-)

Yep - not "universal" as you mistakenly wrote.
>
> I knew full well what it "stood for."

No you didn't. You messed up.
>
> >> >> >If you actually read all of the comments, you'd know that.
> >
> >> >> Each and every filing from 15 July 2005 to 23 November 2005.
> >> >> 3,795 of them. :-)

> >> >So you claim, but the evidence says otherwise.
> >
> >> The evidence is the filings on WT Docket 05-235. All 3,796 of
> >> them from 15 July 2005 to 25 November 2005. Note that one
> >> more has been added in the ECFS.
> >
> >You didn't know where to find the other analysis of the filings, Len,
> >even though the url was given in the filed comments.
>
> I don't really care one lil bitty rat snit about those
> "other analyses." :-)

You sure made a lot of noise about them, though. Yet
you couldn't find them even though they were right in
the comments you claim to have read and understood.

I think you didn't really read and understand all the comments.

> I did mine. The Commission knows it. That's enough for me.

Yes, Len, we know you can't deal with facts and opinions different
from your own.
>
> >I think you're jealous that someone else made the comments
> >so accessible.
>
> "Jealous" of Joe Speroni?

Yes. You're obviously very jealous. Green with envy. Your
behavior shows it.

> The Hawaiian Morseman?

There you go, acting all jealous and envious because someone
did a better analysis than you did.
>
> Hardly. All his Petitions before the Commission have been DENIED.

You don't have any Petitions before the Commission, Len. You're
too afraid to write one and have it DENIED.

> >And your request (not even a petition, really) to create an age
> >requirement for an amateur radio license was DENIED.
>

> Tsk, my SUGGESTION to the Commission (filed on 13 January
> 1999) on page 14 of my 14-page Reply to Comments wasn't
> even mentioned in FCC 99-412, the R&O for Restructuring. :-)

Yep - it wasn't worth the Commission's time or effort.

More important, you didn't follow the rules on Reply Comments
back in 1999. Reply Comments are only supposed to be a
reply to comments made by others - they are not supposed to
bring up new suggestions. If you wanted to suggest an age
requirement, the time to do that was during the Comment
period, not the Reply Comment period. You're just as guilty
of procedural mistakes as the folks who send in comments
long after the deadline.

> >You see facts and truth as hostile, Len. Your problem, not mine.
>
> Nope, NOT "my problem."

Yes, your problem.

> You are simply hostile to anyone
> who won't accept morse code wholeheartedly.

Wrong!

Am I "hostile" to K2UNK? WK3C? K2ASP?

> You insist on
> keeping the code test

Yes, I do. Is that not allowed?

> and don't have any valid reasons
> for doing so except for canned phrases that were conditioned
> into your mind by the league.

That's simply not the case, Len. You're completely wrong on that.
Grow up and accept that yours is not the only way of looking at
things.
>
> Why ARE you so obsessed with putting down all who want the
> code test eliminated?

Where have I done that?

> I won't matter to you, personally.

You don't matter to me at all, Len.

> You will retain your full amateur rank-status-privileges
> regardless of whether the code test goes away or stays.

It's not about those things at all, Len. It's about what's good and
bad for the Amateur Radio Service. I see the code test as being

a good thing for the Amateur Radio service.

> Not to worry. There will always be some morseperson around
> to play with you in your morse playground.

Can you be sure?

> >If I had evidence that you operated an amateur radio station
> >illegally, I would report it to FCC. Enforcement is their job,
> >not mine.
>
> Oh, my...do you carry a "shield" that states you are an
> "official" radio person "authorized by the federal
> government" or something like that?

Don't need any such thing.
>
> >> You do not understand the difference between "qualified" and
> >> AUTHORIZED.
> >
> >Yes, I do. You are neither qualified nor authorized to operate an
> >amateur radio station.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you have no "boundary conditions" there, senior.
>
> [chuckle, chuckle]

You are neither qualified nor authorized to operate an amateur radio
station,
Len.
>
> >All persons who have not demonstrated qualification to FCC are
> >considered unqualified. You, Leonard H. Anderson, are neither
> >qualified nor authorized.
>
> [remember the "boundary conditions!" :-)]
>
> >> >FCC says you're not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.
> >
> >> The FCC has "said" no such thing to me.
> >
> >Yes, they have.
>
> In a real document addressed to ME? :-)
>
> Maybe in a telephone call? :-)

In the regulations.
>
> >> They've never once
> >> written to me that I am "unqualified" in anything...
> >

> >They don't have to, Len.
>
> Please make up your mind. :-)
>
> First you said the FCC "said something to me," now you say
> "they don't have to."
>
> You contradict yourself.

Do you think you are qualified and/or authorized to operate an
amateur radio station, Len?

Do you think you are qualified and/or authorized to operate *my*
amateur radio station, Len?

> >By definition, you are not authorized, qualified, permitted or licensed
> >to operate an amateur radio station.
>
> Wow! Several months ago I was looking at the Burbank HRO store
> station, even tweaked a transceiver dial to tune in a SSB signal
> clearer! Hey, get the surveilance camera tapes! You might find
> me on them doing that! Wowee! You can make an ARREST!

That's not "operating".
>
> >Do you think you are qualified to operate *my* amateur radio
> >station, Len?
>
> Tsk, why SHOULD I do such a thing? :-)

I'll take that as a "no".
>
> You might ARREST me or something!

For answering a question?

> >> Your implication of "cowardice" is misplaced.
> >
> >Really? ;-)
>
> I have served in the military of the United States. Volunteering
> during a war time. Taking an oath to defend the United States
> and its Constitution with my life if needs be.

That's a brave act, Len. But it was more than a half-century ago.

> You've NEVER done that, haven't served, don't know dink about
> real military life...yet you imply "cowardice" on the part of
> others and try to tell them what real military life is like.

Not me, Len. I said you were afraid - and you are. People are
afraid of all sorts of things. You were afraid to let your neighbors
build two-story houses....

> Yes, really, no-guts.

I didn't say you had no guts, Len.

But you sure don't display any courage
or bravery here....

434. Techs in Novice HF - Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 16:59:52 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <cakkf.9203$wf.3294@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126204

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1133620582.966174.119180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> the total number of active licenses that
> class contains:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
>
> As of November 30, 2005:
>
> Novice - 26,885 (4.1%) [decrease of 22,444]
> Technician - 273,794 (41.4%) [increase of 68,400]
> Technician Plus - 44,527 (6.7%) [decrease of 84,333]
> General - 135,094 (20.4%) [increase of 22,417]
> Advanced - 74,373 (11.2%) [decrease of 25,409]
> Extra - 107,281 (16.2%) [increase of 28,531]
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,321 (48.1%) [decrease of 15,933]
>
> Total all classes - 661,954 (decrease of 12,838)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses
> that have expired but are in the grace period.
>
> They also do not include club, military, RACES
> or other station-only licenses.
>
> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
>> are no longer issued.

>>
> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
> since the Technician class includes a significant
> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
> Technician.

The interesting aspect of the renewed Tech+ as
simply Techs is that from that 4/15/00 date onward there
really is no way for anyone to know if a particular tech
operating in the Novice segments of HF is actually
authorised to do so since the FCC database doesn't
record the prior tech+ status, nor does it reflect
if/when a tech may have obtained a 5wpm CSCE
certificate after 4/15/00.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

435. stvie conitues to practice medicine without a licesne so what else is new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:10:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <l3k3p1ltoqfbfrj6481609ijvhmh0na6vv@4ax.com>
Source record: 126205

On 3 Dec 2005 07:33:58 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > cut
>> > > > > as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
>> > > > > prefer to use
>> > > > >
>> > > > Oh, you're British?
>> > >
>> > > no why do you ask?
>>
>> "behavio[u]r"
>>
>> > > > > not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
>> > > > > spelling cop shit
>> > > > >
>> > > > How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?
>> > >
>> > > no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything
>> >
>> > Illiterate AND stupid. What a combo.
>>
>> Dyslexia. Do you make fun of Down's kids, too?
>
> His alleged "dyslexia" is nothing of the sort.

you have no eveidence I don't have it then you go on o lie
> He claims an IQ of
>248 and expertise in computer technology yet he can't download and use
>a spellchecker.

more lies from stevie never said that

>
> Markie needs to find a different "condicton" to suffer from...He's
>worn out his excuses with this one.

it would truley be nice to able to trade dyslexia in on something else

but it isn't posible as you should know
cuting
>> > That's cute...coming from a twerp who's bombed the NG with over 200
>> > messages in the last 60 hours.
>>
>> He's merely responding in-kind. You do see that, don't you?
>
> See what, Brain?
>
> When in the last 8 years have I hit this group with almost 250
>posts in a four day period? Especially with the WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAY
>outlandish stuff he's ginning up.
>

my stuff is far less outlandish that than yours

Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
Asking For It".

> He's not responding "in-kind" to anything.

yes I am responding in kind

I am just choosing to respond with greater quanlty

you realy need to learn english
>'d ask you to find
>the period in time where you think I did anything of the kind, but I am
>sure there's some good "reason" why you won't...
>
> Again...
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

436. stevie hijacks another thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:12:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <cek3p1h7ksb4b3e3rn8dgomql18bmion7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 126206

On 3 Dec 2005 07:40:17 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: "an old friend" on Sun, Nov 27 2005 6:55 pm
>> > >>
>> cut
>> > > my apologies I was trying to simply agee on paper with Jim...
>> >
>> > Paper? Where's the paper?
>>
>> gog find it
>
> Gog find it?
>
> Isn't "Gog" that troll-like character in the comic strip
>"B.C."...?!?!?

nope
>
>> > > ...as a
>> > > Retorical tactic I was also accepting the screwed up procode difer that
>> > > says Cw test was the same as operating skill...
>> >
>> > I beg to "difer" with your "Retorical" tactic, Colonel.
>>
>> beg all you like I can't help
>
> There's so little you CAN help with, Markie.

ltle or not it is more than you seem to manage
>
>> > > (just tryin some
>> > > hypothecials to see if Jim could get past the nonsense or if Jim is as
>> > > traped as Stevie and Dave
>> >
>> > Only "hyptothecially" could you "trape" anybody.
>>
>> did you have anything to say?
>>
>> it doesn't look like it
>

> The point he was making, Blockhead, is that who can understand
>YOUR point...?!?!

I am not responaible for your lack of reading skill

>
> E N G L I S H ! ! ! !
>
> S P E L L C H E C K E R ! ! ! !
>
> H O O K E D O N P H O N I C S ! ! ! !
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

437. stvei blindly and predeciabley threash out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:17:11 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ojk3p15jhig845vfg128ijsqrvs31nc6em@4ax.com>
Source record: 126207

On 3 Dec 2005 07:22:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > > ...and unfortunate. You tend to be the lowest common denominator and
>> > > when people stoop to your kind of behavio[u]r, it drags the whole group
>> > > down. Thanks for a shining example of Extra Hamdom.
>> >
>> > That behaviour is only directed at those who help to foster it.
>>
>> Always needing an excuse for your bad behavio[u]r.
>
> No one needs an excuse for returning a favor, Brain.

nothing you do is ever your fault
>
> You have your way of "misbehaving", I respond in kind.

more lies
>
> You want to act a certain way but have everyone treat YOU with the
>"respect" of an elder statesman.

more lie
>
> Ain't happening as long as you keep up YOUR silliness, Bucko.

alwasy the other guys fault stevie has choices no freedom
>
>> > For example those who call others names when they weren't called
>> > similar names to start with.
>>
>> Yet you attack me when I refer to you as "Steve." My bad.
>
> "Attack"...?!?!
>
> There's that Morganesque "victim" mode again, Brain.

blaming me for your faluts hohum

>
> You ridicule and "diss" me, yet think because you call me "Steve"

>in the process, it's OK.
>
> It's an all-or-nothing thing, Brain.

more lies

you claimed by not calling me Markie" but still calling me a pedo you
cahnged thing

keep your straight
>
flushing the rest
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

438. stevie trie to admit the turth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:19:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <vok3p1p88b5ncm6r95elag4er8s76civo9@4ax.com>
Source record: 126208

On 3 Dec 2005 07:22:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
cut

> It does for me.
>
> Others seem to have lots of time for spamming the NG, yet I don't
>see you making any effort to exert some "peer pressure on them despite
>over 240+ trash post...
>
> Oh..
>
> Wait...
>
> THAT was because it was one of the Feeble Five doing it!
>
>> > However those persons whoim I call the "Feeble Five" seem to be
>> > the exception.
>> >
>> > And, uh, Brain...That includes you.
>>
>> Name calling and more name calling, yet I refer to you as "Steve."
>
> Uh huh...
>
> Cooling the flamefest is more than names, Brain...

indeed it does calling people pedo's claiming flasely they can spread
a none existant STD

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

439. Re: is my work done? prehaps for now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:31:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <gjl3p1dnmh1p9j01v46tn2js9dkvajq6mo@4ax.com>
Source record: 126209

ah well Styevie has decided I spoke too soon
On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 14:46:52 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>well what are results of several with the flame thrwoer open full
>bore?
>
>stevei is down to making about a dozen posts a day instaed 4 or 5
>dozen and teach of them ismuch shorter
>
>Some might say my work was done
>
>they awould not be quite correct becuase the fasist like Stevie and
>dave will simply wait
>
>as a note (if you think think you are being threatened Stevie and or
>dave go ahead) I can nowturn out wit typing a a cnaracter a hunder or
>so post derected at Stevie and about 50 at dave it you want to show
>your lack of civility again
>
>if you want to coninue your semar campaigns trying to talk about
>homosexual9ity aid false charges of of child absue and rape or stupid
>inane speling coping. I can and will stop most functioning of the NG
>
>"he that has the power to destroy a think controls a thing" therfore I
>step forth and assert that I control this thing this newgruop, and I
>will play samposon in the temple to keep from having to deal with the
>resuls of stevie or dave contiueing thier foul attacks
>
>the NG is about radio and thing related to that subject
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>
>k4yz@aol.com
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups

>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

440. Proof That K4yz is a Pathological Liar, and that he desire htis flame fest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:32:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <0ll3p15d6fhq0saihn4un7r8pjrqad6due@4ax.com>
Source record: 126210

On 1 Dec 2005 22:42:11 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
>> > rest of us
>>
>> I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>
> Twice a liar, at that.

you seem unable to be civil or moral or truthfull or decent
>
> Thanks, Brain.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

441. poor Stevie showing off the sign his likely history of being sexualy abused

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:33:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8ll3p15n8qbgoa9adhpnlqh26b2ujvnka8@4ax.com>
Source record: 126211

On 1 Dec 2005 22:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> anytime any of the rest of us change email addresses stevie charges
>> that they have something to hide
>>
>> so what does Stevie have to hide
>> everyone should be advised that The following person
>> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>>
>> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>>
>> STEVEN J ROBESON
>> 151 12TH AVE NW
>> WINCHESTER TN 37398
>> 931-967-6282
>>
>> k4yz@aol.com
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhh...Markie...that's my usual e mail address.

which you were hidning duirng the days
>
> And why, after 2 days, are you continuing to broadcast the
>telephone number of someone not associated with this group?

so you say I have no reason to beleive that yours is a true statement

beside no broadcasting here
I am not seending out a radio signal nor am I sending out something
intended for the general public
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

442. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:34:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hll3p1p6aa2vgg73jgkctk3uq40hlc0nkj@4ax.com>
Source record: 126212

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 04:55:47 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> cut
>>>> as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
>>>> prefer to use
>>> Oh, you're British?
>>
>> no why do you ask?
>
>"Armour" is the British spelling. If you don't paint something "grey"

but i do piant thing grey

>or put "tyres" on your car, why would you use "armour"?

don't noramly use the speling tyes but I never say it is wroung
but why not use armour

>
>>>> not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
>>>> spelling cop shit
>>> How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?
>>
>> no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything
>
>"Scrabble board", Mark. It has much to do with your more disjointed
>posts.

not as far as I can tell

just more of your speling NAZI crap

>
>> it should be obvious that scabble is not likely to be one of those
>> baord that I indulge in
>
>I don't see you as playing; I see you as shuffling the letters around.

agin you show yourself as foolish

>
>> but then you seem to lack much in the way of sense
>
>So did your last post.

nor should to a speling cop

>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

443. a note to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:58:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133632681.940791.66280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126213

as the free agent program spwes forth some repost and prepared attack
threads I am on googgle lookingand preparing some fresh stuff about
stevie

444. when will Stevie admit he lied about todd's father

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 17:59:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4ol3p1hi7maaii13tg7s5vlvqf1lgvl2po@4ax.com>
Source record: 126215

when will stevie admit he lied about todds father

claiming he has aids

when will stevie fess up to his lies
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

445. Re: Techs in Novice HF - Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:02:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133632945.122194.36920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126214

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1133620582.966174.119180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> > Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
> > Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
> > informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
> > since the Technician class includes a significant
> > number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
> > Technician.
>
> The interesting aspect of the renewed Tech+ as
> simply Techs is that from that 4/15/00 date onward there
> really is no way for anyone to know if a particular tech
> operating in the Novice segments of HF is actually
> authorised to do so since the FCC database doesn't
> record the prior tech+ status, nor does it reflect
> if/when a tech may have obtained a 5wpm CSCE
> certificate after 4/15/00.

Hmmm - are you sure the database doesn't show the
previous license class, Bill?

The only HF privileges allowed to Novices and code-
tested Techs are Morse Code on parts of 80/40/15/10,
and some 10 meter SSB. So if you hear a Tech operating
Morse Code, chances are they could pass the test anyway.
Which only leaves 10 ssb.

If you look at the enforcement letters from FCC, they have gone
after Techs for operating HF in excess of their privileges. But
such violations have always been obvious, like a Tech operating
20 or 40 meter SSB.

I do know that FCC expects Techs who have passed
a code test to retain license documents proving that
fact.

FCC has put some real oddities into the code-tested-Tech rules.

For example, if someone can produce a license document from before
February 14, 1991 that clearly says "Technician", they get credit for
Element 1. If they can produce a license document that clearly says
"Novice" or "Technician Plus", they also get credit for Element 1.
These
rules apply even if the documents are for a license that expired

decades
ago, and even if the person holds no current license.

But a CSCE for Element 1 that is more than 365 days old conveys no test element
credit at all. Yet if the person with the expired Element 1 CSCE is a Technician, that
person retains their HF privileges.

Seems to me that FCC expects that active hams who are Techs should simply get
a General or Extra. If the NPRM is enacted, Techs who are not code tested will have to get a General or Extra to get any HF at all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

446. Stevie the censor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:07:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133633237.321831.292080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126216

a crude search using google (looking for stvei using #,##,###,#### to find occasions where Stevie has censored people posts revealed 575 occasions where ggogles.com engine reutrned a hit but on page 58 (the last pgae of the search) google states that it has addtional entire it felt were less relavant , as some of those are likely just posts further down thread form the site of the censorship I will use the the 575 number

google also records 9150 posts from stevie that means 6.28 percent of all stevie posts are idnetified by google.com as acts where stevie tries to censor the words of other posters

quite a record stevie I do hope you are proud of it

447. can stevie of dave tell the ruth about anything?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:08:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <jol3p1dvovbkdj1pmkbr3rktov45d7paoc@4ax.com>
Source record: 126217

it seem they can't
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

448. stevie is obsessed with sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:13:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <rol3p11br221etvga750pihag41jij73vb@4ax.com>
Source record: 126220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>> >too.
>>
>> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>
> Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?

more of the stevie sexaulity fest

anas well as part of his lie-athon
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

449. MY DAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:13:59 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <92o3p113k6ssi32dpn0g3hn5jh2ptqsbl1@4ax.com>
Source record: 126219

SUCKS DICK

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

450. more stevei the censor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:17:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133633832.260512.326620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126218

google also reveals that Stevie has accused (or in one case demanded
the Todd engage in consership) 13 times

if we accept that all he claims were valid (accepting that any were is
a strach but I can pull it back further) then stvie ceonsor folks
himself 38 and 1/3 times for every occasion he claim other consor him

again qutie a record

and quite a load of bullshit form the leading bullshit artist here on
rrap

451. Can someone find stevie a Viagra Link?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:18:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <cpl3p15gplcpt1k9vh9u8racetgpkj7rhg@4ax.com>
Source record: 126223

when will stevie admit sexuality has nothing to do with radio
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

452. Re: when will Stevie admit he lied about todd's father

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:23:17 UTC
From: whiny_WogerWussyman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmsnlsmao0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126221

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:4ol3p1hi7maaii13tg7s5vlvqf1lgvl2po@4ax.com...
when will stevie admit he lied about todds father

claiming he has aids

when will stevie fess up to his lies
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and
cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

I don't care one whit if you are dyslexic (your excuse) or simply stupid and
lazy (my opinion), but there are any number of spell checking programs
available for your use.
And in response? What does Steve owe you? Huh? Why should he answer to one
such as yourself who has, obviously, a fourth grade education at best???

And speaking of unstable....you and Wiseman would get along quite well.

453. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:24:46 UTC
From: whiny_WogerWussyman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmsnolmkt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133588815.812656.211560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
No I ain't dickhead

Yes you is, dickhed.

454. why does Stevie lie about Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:29:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <mpl3p15r8tnvqvebaknpl0n2qcevqif3it@4ax.com>
Source record: 126226

when are you going to start telling the truth about people
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

455. well leaving the NG to automatic flames

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:31:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133634664.341952.50030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126224

I am off doing other things having loaded a program free agent with flames to contine to dispense. i'll be making lunch doing other stuff while my PC conitues full bore on flamethrower mode

this of course let memake one final point about stevie (wait for I'll get there)

Negitve attacking or flaming is easy, with a bit of work I start up the flame thrower and leave it for while do it for me without even typing a single letter if I choose. that is some thing we should know from watching the level of political debate

Stevie has been using negitive tactics here for years (they are easy) that makes him lazy

then he whines becuase someone that has help run a professional attack ads squences show him how it can truely done, and I am not even ramping up to full bore with a bit of work I do so much much that stevie can't begin to respond. it is call a saturation attack. it would leave the NG unable to funtion at all.

I have not condcutied that level of attacks as can be seen by the fact the various threads go on

and for the next mix of automated attack I have something new in mind it will require me to type about 10-20 new letters and the attack posts will satrt up a sequenece where when some NG reader/writer system go to work will add to the level of attack on stevie

stay truned Iam all but certain that stevie will step up and demand thissort of treatement

free feel to dispoint me steve

456. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:32:02 UTC
From: Alan Holmes <alan.holmes@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <Cwlkf.12450$GC1.731@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 126225
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Peckham Spring" <nelson@mandela-house.co.com> wrote in message
news:tU2kf.8119$LO4.329@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
>
> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1133554612.696150.28320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> What is Ham Radio?
>>
>
> You really are a 44 carat plonker!

And you aren't?

>
>

457. why does stevie lie about Mark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:35:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <spl3p1heqe9lc5haantpraca2a6k8bn5ih@4ax.com>
Source record: 126228

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

458. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:37:42 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1133635062.575476.31830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126227
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Poxic Waste" is one of life's failures who cannot even pass
the exams set for 6-year-olds, but somehow fools himself
into thinking that he is accepted widely by the fraternity of
Radio Hams, but the reality is that it is only CBers-Masquerading-As
-Radio-Hams who consort with him in this NG; Childish
Broadcasters (CBers) to a man.

Alan Holmes wrote:
> "Peckham Spring" <nelson@mandela-house.co.com> wrote in message
> news:tU2kf.8119$LO4.329@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
> > "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> > news:1133554612.696150.28320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> What is Ham Radio?
> > You really are a 44 carat plonker!
> And you aren't?

459. why does stevie lie about BB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:41:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3ql3p113k2aoq8alm7cpjcuqir02inshp7@4ax.com>
Source record: 126229

when are you going to start telling the truth about people
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

460. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:49:07 UTC
From: tox <tox@eternal-flames.gov>
Message-ID: <DMlkf.22973$uR.5357@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 126230
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1133635062.575476.31830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "Poxic Waste" is one of life's failures who cannot even pass
> the exams set for 6-year-olds, but somehow fools himself
> into thinking that he is accepted widely by the fraternity of
> Radio Hams, but the reality is that it is only CBers-Masquerading-As
> -Radio-Hams who consort with him in this NG; Childish
> Broadcasters (CBers) to a man.

All of the 6-year-olds who have passed the M3 exam, know how to post
correctly.

HTH
tox (who can hold down a job)

461. Re: Techs in Novice HF - Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 18:55:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FfCdnbR139n_dwzenZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126231

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1133632945.122194.36920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1133620582.966174.119180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>> > Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
>> > Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
>> > informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
>> > since the Technician class includes a significant
>> > number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
>> > Technician.
>>
>> The interesting aspect of the renewed Tech+ as
>> simply Techs is that from that 4/15/00 date onward there
>> really is no way for anyone to know if a particular tech
>> operating in the Novice segments of HF is actually
>> authorised to do so since the FCC database doesn't
>> record the prior tech+ status, nor does it reflect
>> if/when a tech may have obtained a 5wpm CSCE
>> certificate after 4/15/00.
>
> Hmmm - are you sure the database doesn't show the
> previous license class, Bill?
>

The database does show the previous license class. I just looked up my
brother, a Tech Plus, who just renewed. The ULS data now shows Tech with
the previous license class listed as Tech Plus.

However if they passed the Element 1 after 4/15/05, it won't show.

[snip]

> Seems to me that FCC expects that active hams who are Techs should
> simply get
> a General or Extra. If the NPRM is enacted, Techs who are not code
> tested will have to get a General or Extra to get any HF at all.

Actually the NPRM clearly states that very thing. And they refer to it as
taking a relatively simple written test, or words to that effect, to upgrade
to General.

As far as I can see, the FCC in all the various historical changes has never
wanted people to remain at the introductory license even when the changes
were poorly implemented. It has always looked like their goal was to get

people to expand their knowledge/skills and move up in license class.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

462. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:00:59 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <9hq3p1dqrj3i8j3hahh583jjd8hhjoi5b2@4ax.com>
Source record: 126232
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

tox wrote:

>
>"Pierian Spring of Crocodile Tears" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote
>
>> "Poxic Waste" is one of life's failures.....<snip>
>
>All of the 6-year-olds who have passed the M3 exam, know how to post
>correctly.
>
>HTH
>tox (who can hold down a job)

Remind me again, tox, who was it that was arrested earlier this year?

from
Aero Spike

Blair: "(Saddam's) weapons of mass destruction programme is active, detailed and growing. The policy of containment is not working. The weapons of mass destruction programme is not shut down. It is up and running....The intelligence picture.....is extensive, detailed and authoritative."

463. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:05:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KfOdnVZndOMBcQzenZ2dnUVZ_sydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126233

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ydQjf.3419$Hk1.1041@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>
>> After the incentive licnesing rules went into effect
>> in the 1967-1969 period, the number of US hams began to
>> grow much faster than it had during the 1960s. The growth of
>> the 1970s continued into the 1980s.
>>
>
> Are you suggesting that making it tougher to get full privileges was the
> cause that accelerated the growth of the ARS? That has to qualify as the
> most outrageous notion to hit RRAP (outside the dump huck posts from Mark)
> in the current century.
>
> Clearly other "market forces" were in play for the ARS to enjoy the
> popularity it did in the post-Sputnik years. Science was "cool" and the
> hot ticket for education and career planning. Scientifiic-seeming hobbies
> like electronics, radio, and astronomy were beneficiaries of this
> attitude. If anything, dis-incentive licensing was a damper (not an
> accelerant) on the growth of the ARS during that period.
>
>>
>> If incentive licensing was so awful, why was there so much
>> growth in the ARS in the two decades after it was put in
>> place?
>>
>
> Can you imagine how much more growth we'd have had without its repressive
> effects on our hobby!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

We will never know for sure whether it had a beneficial or adverse effect on the hobby. Although it may have made it harder to get full privileges, it seems to have made it easier to get beginner and intermediate privileges. The prospective ham could take the journey in smaller steps and have meaningful privileges along the way. Although the implementation was poorly handled (i.e. some people actually losing privileges), the concept of having a series of smaller, easier to manage steps makes sense if you want to get people involved in the hobby. They don't have to go all out to sample the

hobby. They can get basic privileges and see if they like it before they dive into it fully.

Personally I think that the previous 5 license approach was too many and that 3 steps is about right. However as far as the written test material goes, I think the jump in difficulty from Tech to General is too small and the jump from General to Extra is too large.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

464. Open Letter to Massachusetts Governor Romney

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:30:45 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1133638245.134566.220590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126234

Re: Governor Romney's Uninformed Remarks about ham radio <---(title only for this NG)

A former Massachusetts citizen, I grew up in in Swampscott, winning my ham radio license at age 13 in 1952.

More than once, I can recall when hams were essential because of adverse weather conditions that cut communications. One was during a hurricane in the 50's. My friend (Aaron Fishman of Sherborne, K1BAF) and I manned his car atop Highrock Hill, high ground in Lynn so as to hear and reach the weakest signals, like hand helds.

I can remember the howling winds, the car shaking, and the engine running to provide power. When it was written up in the Lynn Item, Aaron and I got no credit, but I recall that wasn't important.

What was important was the ethic of being a communication's foot soldier in time of need. That same ethic continues to the present time.

Hams are trained in efficient communications and in getting a signal out with very little. Hams represent a fountain of communications expertise, and that is why we are licensed by the federal government. (We are truly a national resource.)

A governor cannot know everything, and this is apparently a blind spot. However, I'm hoping Governor Romney can come up to speed on this subject, because it is one near and dear to the some 500,000 practitioners in the United States and to those whom they routinely help, like the National Weather Service.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
In Mass., my call was W1ZHG

465. Why does Stevie lie about Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:31:20 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8ql3p119188jlmn1mqveu3uqmeptu4722b@4ax.com>
Source record: 126236

when are you going to start telling the truth about people
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

466. Re: when will Stevie admit he lied about todd's father

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:33:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133638428.891827.231570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126235

whiny_WogerWussyman wrote:
> <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:4ol3p1hi7maaii13tg7s5vlvqf1lgvl2po@4ax.com...
> when will stevie admit he lied about todds father
>
> claiming he has aids
>
> when will stevie fess up to his lies
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and
> cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
>
> I don't care one whit if you are dyslexic (your excuse) or simply stupid and
> lazy (my opinion), but there are any number of spell checking programs
> available for your use.
> And in response? What does Steve owe you?
some he claims to hold dear the truth
>Huh? Why should he answer to one
> such as yourself who has, obviously, a fourth grade education at best???
>
> And speaking of unstable....you and Wiseman would get along quite well.

467. Stevie thinks STD are spread over the internet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:35:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <oql3p1t8ufodmen4naslm63r4ms199q1nb@4ax.com>
Source record: 126237

rom stevie
>I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
>about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who
>thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?
Stevie claims he wants no contact with me (but why then he contiue to
send me threatening email?)

but claims to faer contracting an STD from me

First I don't have one but that is beside the point

two he has to have contact with me to get one if I did
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

468. why doesn't stevie get treatment for his sexual obsessions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:39:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <vql3p1ha9l0l2pggci05a1du6s0qne3bp1@4ax.com>
Source record: 126239

when will stevie admit sexuality has nothing to do with radio
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

469. Re: FAQ - Important because of the CBers on the RSC Council

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:42:04 UTC
From: tox <tox@tox.co.com>
Message-ID: <gymkf.17712$0o2.507@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 126238
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:9hq3p1dqrj3i8j3hahh583jjd8hhjoi5b2@4ax.com...
>
> tox wrote:
>
>>
>>"Pierian Spring of Crocodile Tears" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote
>>
>
> Remind me again, tox, who was it that was arrested earlier this year?
>
>
Indeed Spike. Words such as "memory" and "selective", springs to mind here!

tox

470. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:44:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <url3p1tv5pdti69lt5f6o9mk3qs6229bml@4ax.com>
Source record: 126242

On 6 Nov 2005 08:31:24 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
>> > >
>> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
>> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
>> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
>> > >
>> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
>> > > Date: 1998/09/28
>> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
>> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
>> > > | Report Abuse
>> >
>> > Yikes!
>> >
>> > > Well,
>> > >
>> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
>> > > forward,
>> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
>> > >
>> > > SR
>> >
>> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
>>
>> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
>> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me
>
>Mark, where is that post where he described what marriage is like? You
>responded, then he said something about being a comedian.

he said something about being comedian but he titled his resposne Mark
Morgan caught on tape molesting 8 yo

also claimed that the title was joke

below in myrepost

kb9...@yahoo.com
Oct 20, 3:03 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: kb9...@yahoo.com - Find messages by this author
Date: Thu, 20 Oct 2005 04:03:23 -0400
Local: Thurs, Oct 20 2005 3:03 am
Subject: another interesting find about Stevie and his beliefs about
marriage
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

>Jun 29 1998, 3:00 am
>rec.aviation.homebuilt
a post from Stevie
>> There are worse ways to die, you know..

>Living death...marriage
>Steve Robeson,LPN
>Amateur Call K4YZ
>Enjoying all facets of the Radio Communications Hobby Since 1962
>NCS TN SSB Net 3980Khz@2330 on Thursdays

equating Marriage with living death what a hoot

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

Reply

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

471. PROOF POSITIVE: Steveo is dog shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:47:14 UTC
From: dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133639234.681183.255890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.ssb

Steveo wrote:

<snip you fat faggot>

Hey Steveo,
If WWM is so scared of you then tell us why you refused to meet him at
Dayton!!
You are a coward, Steveo.

472. stveie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:49:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133639346.755365.286200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.ssb

trying to bury another thread
dee-flint-ham...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> <snip you fat faggot>
>
> Hey Steveo,
> If WWM is so scared of you then tell us why you refused to meet him at
> Dayton!!
> You are a coward, Steveo.

473. Re: PROOF POSITIVE: Steveo is dog shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:53:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051203145314.758$Pw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126243
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.ssb

dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> <snip you fat faggot>
>
> Hey Steveo,
> If WWM is so scared of you then tell us why you refused to meet him at
> Dayton!!
> You are a coward, Steveo.
>
I met you at your section eight slum, dougay. You were too skeered to come
outside. You're nothing more than a felon keyboard chickenshit.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

(nice antenna install, btw)

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

474. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 19:55:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ksl3p1pbgc68ik6h4iqmfm47mmlqaab7nq@4ax.com>
Source record: 126244

On 5 Nov 2005 03:08:42 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 3 Nov 2005 02:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> "Abortion is ilegal"
>>
>> wether or not abortion should be ilegal is another matter but for the
>> presenet tabortion is legal you an LPN saying otherwise on a medical
>> matter is likely of interest to the nursing board
>
> Where are you pulling THIS gem from?

Google provide
>
> And regardless of the legality of abortions, the BoN could care
>less unless you can prove that I have been CONDUCTING them...

not the issue

the issue is Stvie is LYING about the law, indeed about the law in
medical matters

>
> Try again, Markie.
>
> And while you're doing that, I am going to try and find what
>grammar schools you went to and sue them for fraud...For taking the tax
>monies they were given for your English education and squandering them.

more empty threats Stevie
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

475. past K1MAN doing the same stuff as Current K4YZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:01:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <0tl3p1pdt36av3qir5n6vpc0ji1gn2p22v@4ax.com>
Source record: 126246

been going stevie shit (not pleasennt reading) looking for stuff to
forward to Borad of nursing I notice Stevie has sent a lot of time
accsuing others of felonies, didn't K1MAN get started in his campaigns
of making himself disliked by many ham with some kind of felony
avidaad

it struck me that prehaps the same sort of response might work on
Stevie who is often acusing others of comiting felonies
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

476. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:03:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133640185.466573.213800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.ssb

keeping up the effort to bury the thread
Steveo wrote:
> dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> >
> > <snip you fat faggot>
> >
> > Hey Steveo,
> > If WWM is so scared of you then tell us why you refused to meet him at
> > Dayton!!
> > You are a coward, Steveo.
> >
> I met you at your section eight slum, dougay. You were too skeered to come
> outside. You're nothing more than a felon keyboard chickenshit.
>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html
>
> (nice antenna install, btw)
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com/

477. Re: K4YZ STEVEN J ROBESON wants to fuck my family

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:07:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <cul3p1tm3ce0341sukp7m7bohh5i3rtmum@4ax.com>
Source record: 126249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 27 Oct 2005 20:45:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>
>> > You like Mark for sex..you hump his leg for hours and hours a day in
>> > front of a monitor. Isn't Todd getting jealous, or do you still hump
>> > his leg too?
>>
>> Naaaaah...You have me confused with your daddy.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
>> can't control her gag reflex like she used to.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>So let me get this straight. You want to bang my mom, sister, and dad?

no dount Stevie will calim that it was just sarcasm
but only he (he seems to claim) is allowed that "out" (or is willing
to share that with the MMM)
>
>What the fuck is wrong with you, STEVEN J ROBESON K4YZ ?

I don't know exactly what is wrong with Stevie, my thoughts lean
toward Meglomania represed Homosexuality prehaps the gender the
disphoria descirbed in the old DSM3 as post by "Dr Ace"

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

478. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:09:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133640599.848026.327650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FUCK YOU, YOU LITTLE BITCH, I HOPE YOU DIE FROM YOUR FUCKING AIDS

479. Re: Open Letter to Massachusetts Governor Romney

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:11:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133640708.188829.18790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126248

frankly US mail might more effective

but the rpoblems with Ham radio today is Ham themselves

look at Steve and Dave and Dan from here

nothing is their own fault the porblem is always that other refuse to work with them

Hams like these folks are making the use of Ham radio in offcial emergancy channels more and more expensive in frustarion man hours than it used to be

and as document for by Dan the served agencies are refusing to pay the price of using the ARS

this leaves Ham radio in big emergencies with only the role of trying to gaurd the falnks of EMS in passing HW traffic so that people don't bother the EMS with it

you comoents on Skywarn and stuff like is more on target but ham IMO needto deal with the fact many served agencies think "we don't work or play well with others"
Morris wrote:
> Re: Governor Romney's Uninformed Remarks about ham radio <---(title
> only for this NG)
>
> A former Massachusetts citizen, I grew up in in Swampscott, winning my
> ham radio license at age 13 in 1952.
>
cuting for breievity

480. Re: K4YZ STEVEN J ROBESON wants to fuck my family

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:13:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <iul3p11oqq87q1mfq3ak9jujtgt8fksp43@4ax.com>
Source record: 126251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 27 Oct 2005 22:30:56 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>> no dount Stevie
>>
>Get lost, cross-posting fuckstick.

getting bossy will not work

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

481. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:16:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133640967.262335.179930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> FUCK YOU, YOU LITTLE BITCH, I HOPE YOU DIE FROM YOUR FUCKING AIDS

tood that is over the top (if you posted it) i hope these folks die of
a heart attack or suicide but I have seen an aids death

I understand and agree you are bing sorley provoked but do try to be a
bit more restrained

482. Re: why can't staev tel the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:23:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <dvl3p1plvju8blds0jt4is1mgvdv90igph@4ax.com>
Source record: 126252

```
On 25 Oct 2005 12:35:27 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
>> >> > > starting out with
>> >> > > "And this is different from any other law...HOW?"
>> >> > >
>> >> > > he follows up wit
>> >> >
>> >> > "with"
>> >> >
>> >> > > >k4yz
>> >> > > >> nobodys old friend
>> >> > > >> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
>> >> > > >> are not laws
>> >> > >
>> >> > > > Sure they are.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie
>> >> >
>> >> > "maintain"
>> >> >
>> >> > It's not a lie.
>> >>
>> >> it is a lie
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> >
>> > And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
>> >it be true, Markie.
>>
>> you are lying
>>
>> Bandplans are not laws
>
> They ahve the force of law. If you violate one, and the FCC finds
>you did do maliciously, you will be fined. Ergo, thye have the force
>of law.

not what you said
```

makes you a liar
cut the rep
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

483. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:39:46 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <5h04p11dehgnsn9vb58clnoihg43gfgo5d@4ax.com>
Source record: 126253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > FUCK YOU, YOU LITTLE BITCH, I HOPE YOU DIE FROM YOUR FUCKING AIDS
>
> tood that is over the top (if you posted it) i hope these folks die of
> a heart attack or suicide but I have seen an aids death
>
I understand and agree you are bing sorley
provoked but do try to be a bit more restrained

http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

484. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:46:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lunkf.914$Of1.153@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126254

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> Although it may have made it harder to get full privileges, it seems to have
> made it easier to get beginner and intermediate privileges. The prospective
> ham could take the journey in smaller steps and have meaningful privileges
> along the way. Although the implementation was poorly handled (i.e. some
> people actually losing privileges), the concept of having a series of smaller,
> easier to manage steps makes sense if you want to get people involved in the
> hobby. They don't have to go all out to sample the hobby. They can get basic
> privileges and see if they like it before they dive into it fully.

Neat sounding theory, but that isn't the way it happened.

Dis-incentive licensing which went into effect in the fall of 1968 did not
introduce any new "steps".

Those "steps" originated at the restructuring in the early 50's when the
six-class license structure was put in place.

From the introduction of that license structure in early 50's until 1968, all
the top 4 license classes had exactly the same privileges. The license
structure (and the test structure) remained the same after dis-incentive
licensing was introduced.

73, de Hans, K0HB

485. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 20:49:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133642995.353384.153650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126255

Dee Flint wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:ydQjf.3419$Hk1.1041@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> >> After the incentive licnesing rules went into effect
> >> in the 1967-1969 period, the number of US hams began to
> >> grow much faster than it had during the 1960s. The growth of
> >> the 1970s continued into the 1980s.
> >
> > Are you suggesting that making it tougher to get full privileges was the
> > cause that accelerated the growth of the ARS? That has to qualify as the
> > most outrageous notion to hit RRAP (outside the dump huck posts from Mark)
> > in the current century.
> >
> > Clearly other "market forces" were in play for the ARS to enjoy the
> > popularity it did in the post-Sputnik years. Science was "cool" and the
> > hot ticket for education and career planning. Scientifiic-seeming hobbies
> > like electronics, radio, and astronomy were beneficiaries of this
> > attitude. If anything, dis-incentive licensing was a damper (not an
> > accelerant) on the growth of the ARS during that period.

> >> If incentive licensing was so awful, why was there so much
> >> growth in the ARS in the two decades after it was put in
> >> place?

> > Can you imagine how much more growth we'd have had without its repressive
> > effects on our hobby!
> >
> We will never know for sure whether it had a beneficial or adverse effect on
> the hobby. Although it may have made it harder to get full privileges, it
> seems to have made it easier to get beginner and intermediate privileges.

Well, sort of, Dee.

The requirements for Novice didn't change at all. The requirements for
Tech
did not change until 1987, when the single written that had been used
for both Tech and General was split into two elements.

> The prospective ham could take the journey in smaller steps and have
> meaningful privileges along the way. Although the implementation was poorly
> handled (i.e. some people actually losing privileges), the concept of having
> a series of smaller, easier to manage steps makes sense if you want to get
> people involved in the hobby. They don't have to go all out to sample the

> hobby. They can get basic privileges and see if they like it before they
> dive into it fully.

That was the genius of the Novice license.

What really torqued off a lot of hams was that for all the time they'd
been hams,
the General/Conditional had been the top license for all intents and
purposes. Sure, the
Advanced still existed, but it was closed to new issues and conveyed no
additional operating privileges. The Extra was there too, and a few
thousand
hams got one, but again there wasn't much reason to get one.

What IL did was move the finish line a lot further away.

So for most post-1952 hams, the license process consisted of learning
enough to get a Novice, using the Novice year to learn enough to get a
General or Conditional, and then enjoying full amateur privileges. IL
added two more license steps to full privileges, and the tests for
those two steps were not usually available by mail.

Some might say that there were actually three or four steps added - two
written tests and one or two code tests (depending on whether you
consider the sending and receiving code tests as one or two).

> Personally I think that the previous 5 license approach was too many and
> that 3 steps is about right. However as far as the written test material
> goes, I think the jump in difficulty from Tech to General is too small and
> the jump from General to Extra is too large.
>
If it were up to me there would be four steps, and all four would
contain a mix
of HF, VHF and UHF privileges. But FCC's vision is different, and they
said so in
the NPRM.

>From what I've read from FCC, the step from Tech to General is
intentionally kept
rather small to encourage Techs to upgrade to General rather than to
Tech Plus
or "Tech-with-HF".

73 de Jim, N2EY

486. Re: Techs in Novice HF - Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:11:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133644260.044574.144160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126256

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message

> > Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
> > Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
> > informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
> > since the Technician class includes a significant
> > number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
> > Technician.
>
> The interesting aspect of the renewed Tech+ as
> simply Techs is that from that 4/15/00 date onward there
> really is no way for anyone to know if a particular tech
> operating in the Novice segments of HF is actually
> authorised to do so since the FCC database doesn't
> record the prior tech+ status, nor does it reflect
> if/when a tech may have obtained a 5wpm CSCE
> certificate after 4/15/00.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

It's going to make keeping track of Val Germann/KC0MUB's Morse Code
progress a problem, unless Val returns to RRAP to set the record
straight.

He was the Darling of the eyes rolled back, CW Forever crowd who came
on here bashing NCI. Didn't know The Code himself, but he sure was
going to learn it. And he was loved for saying so.

To date, Val is not coded.

487. Re: more stvie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:14:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <jvl3p1lrjjmdk766kb30rkgq10v9jflp82@4ax.com>
Source record: 126257

```
On 25 Oct 2005 12:26:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>slept_with_old_friends_husband wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > On 20 Oct 2005 02:24:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> > > >
>>
>> undoing the levels so everyoone will see it again
>> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
>> Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
>> Cecil,
>>
>> >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code
>> test,
>> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
>> >
>> > You and I.
>> > > > >> >
>> > > > >> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
>> > > > >
>> > > > > "really"
>> > > > >
>> > > > > You have too much time on your hands, Markie.
>> > > >
>> > > > sometimes I certainly do
>> > >
>> > No "sometimes" to it, Markie.
>>
>> yes it is sometimes
>
> No "sometimes" to it, Markie.

more of your lying Stevie
>
>> > > > Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?
>> > > >
>> > > not likely it is just that I don't confrom to wishes of bigot
>> >
>> > "conform"
>> >
>> > Who's the bigot, other than you, Markie?
>>
```

>> I am no bigot
>
> Yes, you are. You're as much a "bigot" as you are gay, pagan,
>functionally illiterate and deceitful.

no I am not BTW i am Not gay I am pagan not Illeterate and I am at
time deceitful

so I don't know what to make of your latest hurling of shit

>
>> you are the bigot
>
> Nope.

yes you are

you prove when type out statements that are both untrue and show you
are bigoted

>
>> > > which is your problem with me
>> >
>> > No...My "problem" with you is that you're a liar and a deviate.
>> > Those facts are not in dispute since you've admitted to them yourself.
>> > And unfortunately you think those are admirable traits.
>>
>> not a devaiate accrding the AMA
>
> "deviate" "according"
>
> Yes, you are.

not accroding the AMA

>
>> and you are a liar just like the rest of us
>
> Markie, the "rest" of us are not the same kind of deceitful,
>hateful and lying scum that you are.

no hateful nor scum

same kind not realy either

I am far more honest than you, which is a very low standard indeed

>
> I don't know where you get off suggesting the rest of the world is
>like you...It's not. If it was, what passes for civilization would
>have imploded centuries ago.

by simply speaking the truth unlike your lying hyperbole
>

>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

488. Stevie cions a new lie the Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:18:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <nvl3p19ohfhap82kbvt49comfdos4a39c6@4ax.com>
Source record: 126259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>> >too.
>>
>> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>
> Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?

another lie Stevie

when are you going to stop
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

489. Re: stevie cahnges header to avoind seeing a reminder that he accused NCI of ploting his murder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:22:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <60m3p15u3odei2tnola3am0f68b9f8kpdo@4ax.com>
Source record: 126260

On 25 Oct 2005 11:40:01 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>You are a sick deviant by all standards, Marky.

wrong again Mr Slit

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

490. Re: Techs in Novice HF - Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:23:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133645011.122684.259250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126258

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> To date, Val is not coded.

How do you know if he passed the code test
or not, unless he upgrades to General or
Extra?

If he passed Element 1 but not Element 3,
he'd still be a Technician, and there would
be no indication of his CSCE on the
database.

491. more stveie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:26:24 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <s1m3p1l8c39k51uscff5m906kjnf6qjvdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 126262

On 20 Oct 2005 02:24:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
>> >Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
>> >Cecil,
>> >
>> >
>> > >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code test,
>> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
>> >
>> > You and I.
>> >
>> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
>
> "really"
>
> You have too much time on your hands, Markie.

sometimes I certainly do
>
> Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?

not likely it is just that I don't confrom to wishes of bigot

which is your problem with me
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

492. Re stvie makes false charge and admits to lying r does he

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:30:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <02m3p1l1e9atpm2aeakg5a829kfbq66o21@4ax.com>
Source record: 126264

On 20 Oct 2005 02:31:02 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Jun 29 1998, 3:00 am
>> >rec.aviation.homebuilt
>> a post from Stevie
>> >> There are worse ways to die, you know..
>> >
>> >
>> >Living death...marriage
>> >Steve Robeson,LPN
>> >Amateur Call K4YZ
>> >Enjoying all facets of the Radio Communications Hobby Since 1962
>> >NCS TN SSB Net 3980Khz@2330 on Thursdays
>>
>> equating Marriage with living death what a hoot
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Me and every stand up comedian in the US, Markie. Better start
>"busting the chops" of David Letterman, Jay Leno, etc etc etc...
>
> Oh...And the Subject line?
>
> Well, it was about as truthful as anything YOU were saying.

since yo say I was lying then you admit to lying yourself with the
titl ": Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
Was Asking For It"."
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

493. Re: Techs in Novice HF - Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:33:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133645637.325148.310360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126261

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > To date, Val is not coded.
>
> How do you know if he passed the code test
> or not, unless he upgrades to General or
> Extra?

I would know by the alternative method that I stated. The alternative
method that you conveniently snipped from my post. You must be really
desperate for message points.

> If he passed Element 1 but not Element 3,
> he'd still be a Technician, and there would
> be no indication of his CSCE on the
> database.

If...

Hi!

494. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:37:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yeokf.5218$A23.3764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126263

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that
> the requirementsare "too high"....

Who told you that? Not FCC. Not ARRL. Not me.

I have proposed to the FCC that the "standard" full privilege license technical requirements should be about on a par with the current Extra requirements.

And that there should be one other level, a "learners permit" with a generous term of ten years to study and gain experience to qualfity for a "full privilege" license.

Sorry to spoil your (il)logic.

73, de Hans, K0HB

PS: Looking for EPA in ARRL 160 tonight. They were scarce last night.

495. out on limb ..naswering a aquestion for stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:47:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <kjm3p19jtqee9muljnpm6tnp20jlcdp4ng@4ax.com>
Source record: 126269

On 2 Dec 2005 21:03:19 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
>> > > > rest of us
>> > >
>> > > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>> >
>> > I suspect you are right OToh my offer is sincere and it helps me feel
>> > comfroatble with this type of action
>>
>> So what's to not feel comfortable about, Markie?
>>
>> You've been so used to lying so much and so often, that spamming
>> the NG for your own gratification isn't that much more of a stretch.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, what's your excuse for the hundreds of unnecessary new threads
>that you've started?

that Markie orBB (or somebody made me

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

496. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly ~~ Manifests Markies Malicious Muddlings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:50:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133646601.743996.299580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126265

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > > ...and unfortunate. You tend to be the lowest common denominator and
> > > > when people stoop to your kind of behavio[u]r, it drags the whole group
> > > > down. Thanks for a shining example of Extra Hamdom.
> > >
> > > That behaviour is only directed at those who help to foster it.
> >
> > Always needing an excuse for your bad behavio[u]r.
>
> No one needs an excuse for returning a favor, Brain.

Then stop making them. Be bold for a change.

And it's "Brian."

497. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:53:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <kku3p1p5mr8mbs5bfdufsin9t7r8iu5t6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 126270

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 00:26:17 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm
>>
>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> I opt NOT to bother with CB radio since it is not to my needs
>> in communicating anything by radio. The little two-way radio
>> terminal called a "cell phone" serves both me and my wife very
>> adequately in mobile communications needs.
>
>You're quite right, sir. A cell phone meets your needs. You needn't
>bother with CB or amateur radio.

indeed he NEED and you need not
>
>> My old Johnson...still works...
>
>That's nice.
>
>> Jimmy, who never worked IN the FCC (and will never do so),
>> thinks that just having an amateur license means he had
>> "something to do with amateur radio regulations." :-)
>
>Will you ever work IN the FCC, Len?

is something lacking in your reading skil dave

it seems that way

>
>>>> Morse Code wasn't "dying" back then and it isn't "dying" now - in
>>>> amateur radio, anyway.
>
>>> not what I hear
>>
>> You have to give Jimmy some slack, Mark. Since his receiver
>> can't pick up anything outside the "low end" of HF ham bands,
>> he thinks HF is still "alive with the sounds of morse code"

>> (as if Julie Andrews were singing it on top of a hill).
>
>Does your venerable Icom receiver still hit the bottom end of the HF ham
>bands, Leonard? You must think morse code is dead, poor morse is dead
>(as if Gordon McRae were singing it out by the corral).

no he doesn't think is dead just dying

I do too just not fast enough you Jim and steve certain makes a decent
case for the notion that CW uUSE casues brain damage in some people
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

498. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:53:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dtokf.5221$A23.4752@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126266

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy in Connecticutt, is
> a working training ship, used in training future seagoing officers.

You knew it was coming, I'm sure:

Why would those otherwise qualified, future seagoing officers have to
jump through the sailing ship hoops when they have no intention of using
anything with a sail on it. After all, couldn't they just learn to sail
if they needed to? ;-)

Dave K8MN

499. K4YZ Out of Control Yelling Again, was: Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:55:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133646924.272962.46200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126267

K4YZ wrote:

> E N G L I S H ! ! ! !
>
> S P E L L C H E C K E R ! ! ! !
>
> H O O K E D O N P H O N I C S ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Get help.

500. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:57:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133647078.784489.326770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126268

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that
> > the requirementsare "too high"....

> Who told you that? Not FCC. Not ARRL. Not me.

It has been shown by the actions of the first two, and others.

First off, there's the reduction in code testing. Also code
waivers. Elimination of the sending test, the one-minute-
solid copy requirement, etc. But let's put those aside and
look at the writtens:

1) there's the official publication of the written exams.

2) CSCEs mean the tests can be taken one at a time.

3) In March 1987 the General written was split into two elements
so that Techs no longer had to pass the full General written.

4) The content of the exams has been gradually made to cover
more subjects at less depth. Want to see some study questions
from the 1976 exams?

5) Instant retest means someone can try over and over as long
as time and the wallet hold out.

In 2000, FCC reduced both the number of the written tests and
the overall number of questions for all remaining license classes.

And yet NCVEC says we need another license class because the
current Tech is "too hard".

> I have proposed to the FCC that the "standard" full privilege license technical
> requirements should be about on a par with the current Extra requirements.

That's good.
>
> And that there should be one other level, a "learners permit" with a generous
> term of ten years to study and gain experience to qualfity for a "full
> privilege" license.

Except FCC turned you down.

> Sorry to spoil your (il)logic.
>
I didn't say *you* were for lowering the requirements, Hans. But if

you haven't seen anyone saying the license requirements are/were
"too high" in the past 20 years, you haven't been paying attention.

> PS: Looking for EPA in ARRL 160 tonight. They were scarce last night.

Sorry, I'm not set up for 160 here. Sold my Viking 2s and Valiant.
(sigh).

73 de Jim, N2EY

501. why does stevie lie about BB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 21:58:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <rku3p1t85cvfdrs910s40tk0l44fi0eek2@4ax.com>
Source record: 126271

when are you going to start telling the truth about people
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

502. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 22:26:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133648806.171040.171570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126272

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > They're floating museum pieces.
>
> In your dreams, landlubber! Just a couple of examples for you.....
>
> The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in
> fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors. Not
> a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).

Wow! The flagship of the US Navy is a wooden boat. I guess that just
shows the differences in the services. Even if the Air Force could
train it's Airmen in the Wright Flyer, we wouldn't.

The Navy probably makes all communicators learn Morse Code, too.

503. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 22:46:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <t084p155rg2k27c85ljaqgso532bc7db91@4ax.com>
Source record: 126273

On Sat, 03 Dec 2005 16:14:33 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>
>> They're floating museum pieces.
>>
>
>In your dreams, landlubber! Just a couple of examples for you.....
>
>The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in
>fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors. Not
>a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).

bullshit

she may have an active duty crew but NO ONE IS ever going to allow to
to sail into into battle
>
>The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy in Connecticutt, is
>a working training ship, used in training future seagoing officers.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

504. Re: K4YZ Out of Control Yelling Again, was: Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 22:51:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4384p1l5q333knj2e7k79qv92dcrtdsefc@4ax.com>
Source record: 126274

On 3 Dec 2005 13:55:24 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>
>> E N G L I S H ! ! ! !
>>
>> S P E L L C H E C K E R ! ! ! !
>>
>> H O O K E D O N P H O N I C S ! ! ! !
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Get help.

soon you need it bad
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

505. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 23:01:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133650872.525575.105300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126275

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > They're floating museum pieces.

> In your dreams, landlubber! Just a couple of examples for you.....
>
> The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in
> fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors. Not
> a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).

Been there, Hans.

"Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece
that actually
sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
functions are
educational and historic, not military.
>
> The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy in Connecticutt, > is a
working training ship, used in training future seagoing officers.

Does she go out on search and rescue? Or is her purpose mostly
historic
and educational?

I'm glad those ships are kept in operation. But in reality they are
working museum
pieces.

They're like the steam and first-generation diesel locomotives that a
few
Class 1 American railroads have kept on their rosters. Those old locos
spend
most of the time in storage, but are occasionally brought out and run
for special purposes. They still work, meet all applicable
requirements, and are technically
on the active roster - but in reality they're museum pieces.

And the main point remains: Sailboats make up far less than 1% of the
US military
fleet.

73 de Jim, N2EY

506. Re: Open Letter to Massachusetts Governor Romney

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 23:32:02 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1133652722.641230.82490@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126276

An Old friend,

Thank you for taking the time to respond. As to your allegations about other hams, I'm utterly unknowedgable. However, knowing Dan's course of operation, I've always found him reliable yet opinionated. What I do know about Dan is: In reality, he's an American hero -- one of those selfless warriors who answered our government's call to Viet Nam.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

507. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 23:51:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133653906.423014.278650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
>I understand and agree you are bing sorley
>provoked but do try to be a bit more restrained
>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
-

i still see your violation of copyright law and the DMCA

Todd N9OGL

--------------------------
```

508. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 23:54:52 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133654092.507752.101030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

>Yeah, there here right now looking at toddieboy's kiddie porn site. They
>should be contacting him soon and you as well.

Doubt it, the stuff on my sites is LEGAL.

Todd N9OGL

509. Re: Open Letter to Massachusetts Governor Romney

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-03 23:54:52 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <5qb4p15s1uj1giru158uknf71nq5cs6rdi@4ax.com>
Source record: 126279

"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> An Old friend,
>
> Thank you for taking the time to respond. As to your allegations about
> other hams, I'm utterly unknowedgable. However, knowing Dan's course
> of operation, I've always found him reliable yet opinionated. What I
> do know about Dan is: In reality, he's an American hero -- one of those
> selfless warriors who answered our government's call to Viet Nam.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>
Yorue wlecom moris wolud you be intrestd in peeing
on me or spaking me pease?

I am interested in any/all of the following: GS
Anal worship, whipping, toliet serivce
. You would have to take control of my cock,
since it does seem to have a mind of its
own during sex.

Mark Morgan

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

510. Re: stevie is obsessed with sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:09:04 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Qkrkf.28378$Ox.11616@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 126280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:rol3p11br221etvga750pihag41jij73vb@4ax.com...
> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>>> >too.
>>>
>>> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>>> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>>
>> Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>>with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
>
> more of the stevie sexaulity fest
>

More of you licking his shoelaces. Get lost, fruitloop.
```

511. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:19:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133659146.217796.96150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126281

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Sat, 03 Dec 2005 16:14:33 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> They're floating museum pieces.
> >>
> >
> >In your dreams, landlubber! Just a couple of examples for you.....
> >
> >The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in
> >fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors. Not
> >a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).
>
> bullshit
>
> she may have an active duty crew but NO ONE IS ever going to allow to
> to sail into into battle

It might have a carbide cannon for signalling.

> >The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy in Connecticutt, is
> >a working training ship, used in training future seagoing officers.
> >
> >73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
>
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> ----------

> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

512. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:31:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YFrkf.1020$Of1.328@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126282

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> "Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that
> actually sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
> functions are educational and historic, not military.

Her "main function" is to serve as the Flagship of the Navy. That's a military function, not a "museum" function. Certainly she also serves as a "history lesson incarnate", but that is not her "main" function. If it were, she'd be property of the National Park Service, not the Department of Defense.

And to answer the comment of Clown Prince of Spamalot (aka KB9RGZ), many US Navy ships are not intended to "sail into battle" (a quaint phrase, but it reveals your ignorance of military matters). YTB's tugs don't "sail into battle", DSRV's don't "sail into battle", AD's don't "sail into battle", AOE's don't "sail into battle", AS's don't "sail into battle", ATB's don't "sail into battle", ARS's don't "sail into battle", in fact CVA's don't "sail into battle", and no, the USS Constitution will not "sail into battle", but she's still a fully commissioned ship of the line in the US Navy.

>> The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy
>> in Connecticutt, is a working training ship, used in training future
>> seagoing officers.

> Does she go out on search and rescue?

Probably not, but I'm sure she teachs some of the elements of SAR. Navigation is a big part of her training mission, and you can bet that includes things like plotting an expanding-squares search pattern, calculating set and drift, and other topics useful in real world SAR operations. Regardless of that, not all USCG ships "go out on search and rescue". Some go out an tend bouys. Some go out and break ice. Some go out on training missions. Etc., etc., etc.

> Or is her purpose mostly historic and educational?

Very little "historic" about the Eagle. Her purpose is a training ship for Coast Guard Midshipmen. That's just as much a "purpose" as SAR.

> And the main point remains: Sailboats make up far
> less than 1% of the US military fleet.

Nobody is trying to argue that point, are they? By the same token, CVA's make up a tiny percent of the US military fleet also, as do ARS's, AOE's, DSRV's, LPH's, and a host of other types. Doesn't make their mission any less important, or relegate them to "nothing more than museum pieces".

Ding ding, ding ding, ding ding, ding ding. Eight bells and all's well.

de Hans, K0HB

513. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:34:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GIrkf.1032$Of1.918@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126283

The Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote:

>>The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in
>>fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors.
>>Not
>>a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).
>
> bullshit

No bullshit, Mark. Every word is absolutely true.

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

514. Hey Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:35:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qJrkf.1033$Of1.752@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126284

Navy 42 --- Army 23

Go Navy!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

515. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:48:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <lmi4p1t10boqc1b9r2p1lefv30khq5uqvj@4ax.com>
Source record: 126285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 3 Dec 2005 15:51:46 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>I understand and agree you are bing sorley
>>provoked but do try to be a bit more restrained
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>_
>
>i still see your violation of copyright law and the DMCA

as well trying to comitt id theft
>
>
>Todd N9OGL
>
>__________________________

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

516. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:50:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <rni4p1t0ap7dknmvpovssfgcg4nqosbumo@4ax.com>
Source record: 126286

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 01:34:30 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>The Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote:
>
>>>The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in
>>>fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors.
>>>Not
>>>a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).
>>
>> bullshit
>
>No bullshit, Mark.
absolutely bullshit Han The USS Constitution, is moremore a
functioning naval vessal than the USS Arizonia

> Every word is absolutely true.

being true does not prevent something from being bullshit han
>
>Sunuvagun!
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

517. Re: Open Letter to Massachusetts Governor Romney

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:53:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <dsi4p1li19hd4g1m9nelnvo02dusg9rhtj@4ax.com>
Source record: 126287

On 3 Dec 2005 15:32:02 -0800, "Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>An Old friend,
>
>Thank you for taking the time to respond. As to your allegations about
>other hams, I'm utterly unknowedgable.
then you have not been reading the newsgroup

you listening muh at hamm meeting (unless your areas hams are a lot
than any I have encoutered)

> However, knowing Dan's course
>of operation, I've always found him reliable yet opinionated.

he is not realiable to act in a contrcutive manner as anyone than has
read much of posting can attest
> What I
>do know about Dan is: In reality, he's an American hero -- one of those
>selfless warriors who answered our government's call to Viet Nam.

no more (and no less) a hero than I, and likely as not I volunteereed
for my serivce and dan was drafted to his
>
>Bob Sherin, W4ASX

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

518. what radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:54:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <t1j4p11ei5qcv331l7nv6jgghngehtp2eh@4ax.com>
Source record: 126288

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 01:35:18 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Navy 42 --- Army 23
>
>Go Navy!
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
what radio content?
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

519. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 01:57:55 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <69428$43924d18$4e0d88e$2936@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 126289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133653906.423014.278650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >I understand and agree you are bing sorley
>>provoked but do try to be a bit more restrained
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
> _
>
> i still see your violation of copyright law and the DMCA
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> __________________________
>

Tough
Ace - www.WH2T.com

520. Dr ace promoter of crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 02:02:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <ogj4p15eafjeqf3ol7hrnnhfh4ikblgkfd@4ax.com>
Source record: 126290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 3 Dec 2005 20:57:55 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

>
>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1133653906.423014.278650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >I understand and agree you are bing sorley
>>>provoked but do try to be a bit more restrained
>>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>>>http://tinypic.com/i4pyf7.jpg
>> _
>>
>> i still see your violation of copyright law and the DMCA
>>
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>>
>> _____________________________
>>
>
>Tough
>Ace - www.WH2T.com
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

521. Re: Why does Stevie lie about Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 02:30:00 UTC
From: whiny_WogerWussyman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmtk6f$k5h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126291

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:8ql3p119188jlmn1mqveu3uqmeptu4722b@4ax.com...
when are you going to start telling the truth about people
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

<
More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.

522. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 02:49:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JOskf.5314$A23.5217@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126292

Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote

> absolutely bullshit Han The USS Constitution, is moremore a
> functioning naval vessal than the USS Arizonia

In case it escaped your attention, Mark, all the sailors now serving on USS Arizona are deceased and it is designated as a military cemetary. It was stricken from the rolls of the registry of US Naval vessels in 1942.

The USS Constitution is designated as the Flagship of the US Navy. It is commissioned as an active ship in the US Navy and carries a crew of about 45 active duty officers and enlisted ranks.

Sunuvagun!

Sorry to spoil your ignorant claim. (Well, actually I'm HAPPY to spoil your ignorant claim.)

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

523. despictable behavoir is like pron you can't define but you know when you see it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 03:05:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <e4n4p1hg8lllqqg0g925s8g4ocqc2gru38@4ax.com>
Source record: 126294

On 3 Dec 2005 19:05:38 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
>> > > >
>> > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
>> > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
>> > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
>> > >
>> > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
>> > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
>> >
>> > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
>>
>> Uh
>> huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
.....
>>
>> > > and now create an absolute lie that
>> > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
>> >
>> > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
>> > them.
>>
>> If you don't, then my suspicions are confirmed.
>
>Your paranoia is legendary.

nah Steve merely serves as the poster boy of aranoid
>
>> > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
>> > > gone?
>> >
>> > For merely behaving as you have?
>>
>> I have never, in my worst moments, ever "behaved" in the way Markie
>> is....
>>
>> Never.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

>
>I can tell when you're lying.

which is about tall the time

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

524. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 03:05:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133665537.990807.200070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126293

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> > > >
> > > > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > > > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > > > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
> > >
> > > Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> > > derranged behaviour for days, now,
> > >
> > Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.
>
> Uh
> huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
.....
>
> > > and now create an absolute lie that
> > > even Brian and Lennie know to be false.
> >
> > You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
> > them.
>
> If you don't, then my suspicions are confirmed.

Your paranoia is legendary.

> > > Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> > > gone?
> >
> > For merely behaving as you have?
>
> I have never, in my worst moments, ever "behaved" in the way Markie
> is....
>
> Never.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I can tell when you're lying.

525. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 03:06:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <e7n4p1pa0shidrrlp0su6i4u5fi5f1okin@4ax.com>
Source record: 126295

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 02:49:13 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote

more fraud from hans
>
>> absolutely bullshit Han The USS Constitution, is moremore a
>> functioning naval vessal than the USS Arizonia
>
>In case it escaped your attention, Mark, all the sailors now serving on USS
>Arizona are deceased and it is designated as a military cemetary. It was
>stricken from the rolls of the registry of US Naval vessels in 1942.

no it is hasn't

indeed it was my point

the USS Constitution is no more a warship than the Arizonia

>
>The USS Constitution is designated as the Flagship of the US Navy. It is
>commissioned as an active ship in the US Navy and carries a crew of about 45
>active duty officers and enlisted ranks.

so what it is no warship not even realy a support ship
>
>Sunuvagun!
>
>Sorry to spoil your ignorant claim. (Well, actually I'm HAPPY to spoil your
>ignorant claim.)

well then you need to in fact spoil a claim
>Beep beep
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

526. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 03:09:26 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <ccn4p1h503i9ksf1q2vqhjeboujj4bjl96@4ax.com>
Source record: 126296

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 01:31:36 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>
>> "Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that
>> actually sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
>> functions are educational and historic, not military.
>
>Her "main function" is to serve as the Flagship of the Navy. That's a military
>function, not a "museum" function. Certainly she also serves as a "history
>lesson incarnate", but that is not her "main" function. If it were, she'd be
>property of the National Park Service, not the Department of Defense.
>
>And to answer the comment of Clown Prince of Spamalot (aka KB9RGZ), many US Navy
>ships are not intended to "sail into battle" (a quaint phrase, but it reveals
>your ignorance of military matters). YTB's tugs don't "sail into battle",
>DSRV's don't "sail into battle", AD's don't "sail into battle", AOE's don't
>"sail into battle", AS's don't "sail into battle", ATB's don't "sail into
>battle", ARS's don't "sail into battle", in fact CVA's don't "sail into battle",
>and no, the USS Constitution will not "sail into battle", but she's still a
>fully commissioned ship of the line in the US Navy.

so but the USS Constitution serves NO military function can't even
truly serve as a flag unless they have finaly instaled a radio on
board

all she does is serves as a floating relic of that bygone era

>
>>> The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy
>>> in Connecticutt, is a working training ship, used in training future
>>> seagoing officers.
>
>> Does she go out on search and rescue?
>
>Probably not, but I'm sure she teachs some of the elements of SAR. Navigation
>is a big part of her training mission, and you can bet that includes things like
>plotting an expanding-squares search pattern, calculating set and drift, and
>other topics useful in real world SAR operations. Regardless of that, not all
>USCG ships "go out on search and rescue". Some go out an tend bouys. Some go
>out and break ice. Some go out on training missions. Etc., etc., etc.

>
>> Or is her purpose mostly historic and educational?
>
>Very little "historic" about the Eagle. Her purpose is a training ship for
>Coast Guard Midshipmen. That's just as much a "purpose" as SAR.
>
>> And the main point remains: Sailboats make up far
>> less than 1% of the US military fleet.
>
>Nobody is trying to argue that point, are they? By the same token, CVA's make
>up a tiny percent of the US military fleet also, as do ARS's, AOE's, DSRV's,
>LPH's, and a host of other types. Doesn't make their mission any less
>important, or relegate them to "nothing more than museum pieces".
>
>Ding ding, ding ding, ding ding, ding ding. Eight bells and all's well.
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

527. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 03:32:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133667170.620001.281290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yeah ace, i figured you were the scum that would support illegal
activities like that...now everyone knows.

Todd N9OGL

528. Re: what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 03:52:51 UTC
From: Timoleon <timoleonIII@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1dfc321996b0084e989681@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 126298
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <DD3jf.2091$YT3.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
horedson@earthlink.net says...
> <George.E.Hollis@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1133292915.003165.13270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Can you say BPL??
>
>
> Certainly: Brass Pounders League.
>
>
Hank,

We miss you over at sci.crypt. Did you hear that TSD has flown the
coop?

--
Remove the Roman numeral three to reply

529. Re: Todd's newest dress?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 03:54:32 UTC
From: Victoria's Secret <vsecret@no.spam>
Message-ID: <87090a17833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 126299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 3 Dec 2005 19:32:50 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Yeah ace, i figured you were the scum that would support illegal
> activities like that...now everyone knows.

Says you as you stand there in your provocative pink dress.

http://tinypic.com/i2t1qb.jpg

530. Re: Todd's newest dress?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 03:59:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133668792.609000.13590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

say, i'm not the stupid fuck that hides behind a annyomous newsfeeder

531. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 04:19:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <p7WdnWUpHpXk8w_eRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 126301

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:yeokf.5218$A23.3764@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>

[snip]

> PS: Looking for EPA in ARRL 160 tonight. They were scarce last night.

Hope you got EPA tonight. For me, they were everywhere!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

532. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 05:00:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OJukf.23$9R4.19@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126302

Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote:

> the USS Constitution serves NO military function

Pay attention Mark. I'll type slow so you can keep up.

The Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) is the senior military officer of the Department of the Navy (that makes him a military officer).

The CNO is a four-star admiral (that makes him a military officer) and is responsible to the Secretary of the Navy for the command, utilization of resources and operating efficiency of the operating forces of the Navy (that makes him a military officer).

A member of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the CNO is the principal naval adviser to the President and to the Secretary of the Navy on the conduct of war (that makes him a military officer), and is the pricipal adviser and naval executive to the Secretary on the conduct of activities of the Department of the Navy (that makes him a military officer).

This high ranking military officer has as his flagship the USS Constitution. Can't get much more "military" than that.

Ding ding, ding ding. Four bells and all is well.

de Hans, K0HB

533. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 05:02:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <i2u4p1drhdpgg1k83oope27j8pu7na4eam@4ax.com>
Source record: 126303

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 05:00:30 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote:
>
>> the USS Constitution serves NO military function
>
>Pay attention Mark. I'll type slow so you can keep up.
>
>The Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) is the senior military officer of the
>Department of the Navy (that makes him a military officer).

so he can't do his job form there
>
>The CNO is a four-star admiral (that makes him a military officer) and is
>responsible to the Secretary of the Navy for the command, utilization of
>resources and operating efficiency of the operating forces of the Navy (that
>makes him a military officer).
>
>A member of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the CNO is the principal naval adviser to
>the President and to the Secretary of the Navy on the conduct of war (that makes
>him a military officer), and is the pricipal adviser and naval executive to the
>Secretary on the conduct of activities of the Department of the Navy (that makes
>him a military officer).
>
>This high ranking military officer has as his flagship the USS Constitution.
>Can't get much more "military" than that.

as a show
>
>Ding ding, ding ding. Four bells and all is well.
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW

WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

534. Re: Todd's newest dress?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 06:06:39 UTC
From: WhinyCunt_Wussman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmu0sthsg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133668792.609000.13590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
say, i'm not the stupid fuck that hides behind a annyomous newsfeeder

You gotta learn how to spell, toad.

Between you and Woger you guys are a comedy act.

535. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 06:16:52 UTC
From: WhinyCunt_Wussman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmu1ft$k67$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126305

<kb9rqz@amsat.rg> wrote in message
news:i2u4p1drhdpgg1k83oope27j8pu7na4eam@4ax.com...
On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 05:00:30 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>Richard Cranium wrote>>

And so what? By posting the name and address of others is this supposed to
cause them to cower in a corner?
You and others of your ilk are, what to say? Dickheads? Anal apertures?
Troll artists?

No call sign, no credibility? Only a dumbass adheres to this mindset.

536. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 06:24:39 UTC
From: WhinyCunt_Wussman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmu1ue$m70$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pussy...

537. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 06:25:20 UTC
From: WhinyCunt_Wussman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmu1vqmaf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Pussy...

538. Re: Why does Stevie lie about Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 06:34:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <I5wkf.61$9R4.32@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126308

"whiny_WogerWussyman" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote

>
> More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.
>

Please quit insulting the midgets of the world. They don't deserve to be
compared to Clown Prince Mark of Spamalot.

539. Re: what radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 06:56:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bqwkf.67$9R4.31@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126309

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 01:35:18 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> Navy 42 --- Army 23
>>
>> Go Navy!
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB

> what radio content?

I heard the score on the radio.

Dave K8MN

540. Re: despictable behavoir is like pron you can't define but you know when you see it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:00:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kuwkf.68$9R4.20@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126310

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:

> On 3 Dec 2005 19:05:38 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
spicions are confirmed.
>> Your paranoia is legendary.
>
> nah Steve merely serves as the poster boy of aranoid

Arnold?

About your subject line, Mark:

"despictable behavoir is like pron you can't define but you know when
you see it"

Prawns? Prunes? pronouns?

Dave K8MN

541. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:04:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aywkf.69$9R4.38@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126311

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 01:31:36 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>>
>>
>>> "Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that
>>> actually sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
>>> functions are educational and historic, not military.
>> Her "main function" is to serve as the Flagship of the Navy. That's a military
>> function, not a "museum" function. Certainly she also serves as a "history
>> lesson incarnate", but that is not her "main" function. If it were, she'd be
>> property of the National Park Service, not the Department of Defense.
>>
>> And to answer the comment of Clown Prince of Spamalot (aka KB9RGZ), many US Navy
>> ships are not intended to "sail into battle" (a quaint phrase, but it reveals
>> your ignorance of military matters). YTB's tugs don't "sail into battle",
>> DSRV's don't "sail into battle", AD's don't "sail into battle", AOE's don't
>> "sail into battle", AS's don't "sail into battle", ATB's don't "sail into
>> battle", ARS's don't "sail into battle", in fact CVA's don't "sail into battle",
>> and no, the USS Constitution will not "sail into battle", but she's still a
>> fully commissioned ship of the line in the US Navy.
>
> so but the USS Constitution serves NO military function can't even
> truly serve as a flag unless they have finaly instaled a radio on
> board
>
> all she does is serves as a floating relic of that bygone era

Trying to explain anything to you is much like taking a Neanderthal Man
to a hardware store and expecting him to understand all that he sees.

Dave K8MN

542. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:05:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <m855p11hep10ni9b3of3sju3mrb0kc9upi@4ax.com>
Source record: 126312

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:04:38 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 01:31:36 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> "Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that
>>>> actually sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
>>>> functions are educational and historic, not military.
>>> Her "main function" is to serve as the Flagship of the Navy. That's a military
>>> function, not a "museum" function. Certainly she also serves as a "history
>>> lesson incarnate", but that is not her "main" function. If it were, she'd be
>>> property of the National Park Service, not the Department of Defense.
>>>
>>> And to answer the comment of Clown Prince of Spamalot (aka KB9RGZ), many US Navy
>>> ships are not intended to "sail into battle" (a quaint phrase, but it reveals
>>> your ignorance of military matters). YTB's tugs don't "sail into battle",
>>> DSRV's don't "sail into battle", AD's don't "sail into battle", AOE's don't
>>> "sail into battle", AS's don't "sail into battle", ATB's don't "sail into
>>> battle", ARS's don't "sail into battle", in fact CVA's don't "sail into battle",
>>> and no, the USS Constitution will not "sail into battle", but she's still a
>>> fully commissioned ship of the line in the US Navy.
>>
>> so but the USS Constitution serves NO military function can't even
>> truly serve as a flag unless they have finaly instaled a radio on
>> board
>>
>> all she does is serves as a floating relic of that bygone era
>
>Trying to explain anything to you is much like taking a Neanderthal Man
>to a hardware store and expecting him to understand all that he sees.

reealy? you think that?

then you are dumber than I thought

>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

543. Re: what radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:06:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <ka55p1tatu2b4fd0i32tqac03sakghg69n@4ax.com>
Source record: 126313

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 06:56:07 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 01:35:18 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Navy 42 --- Army 23
>>>
>>> Go Navy!
>>>
>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>> what radio content?
>
>I heard the score on the radio.

doin gbetter than Hans, but that is like being more in favor of
abolition than a southern planter in 1860
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

544. Re: despictable behavoir is like pron you can't define but you know when you see it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:07:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <1c55p15dloqgovsunvnljuf20b1dbt8qqn@4ax.com>
Source record: 126314

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:00:32 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>
>> On 3 Dec 2005 19:05:38 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>spicions are confirmed.
>>> Your paranoia is legendary.
>>
>> nah Steve merely serves as the poster boy of aranoid
>
>Arnold?
>
>About your subject line, Mark:
>
>"despictable behavoir is like pron you can't define but you know when
>you see it"
>
>Prawns? Prunes? pronouns?

use your braijn

I forgot you don't have one mine just plays odd tricks on me what is
your excuse dave
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

545. Re: Why does Stevie lie about Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:09:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133680179.498091.249520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126315

KØHB wrote:
> "whiny_WogerWussyman" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote
>
> >
> > More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.
> >
>
> Please quit insulting the midgets of the world. They don't deserve to be
> compared to Clown Prince Mark of Spamalot.

nteresting How you choose to support Stevie in lying about Todd

546. Re: Techs in Novice HF - Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:14:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133680457.670920.264580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126316

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > To date, Val is not coded.
> >
> > How do you know if he passed the code test
> > or not, unless he upgrades to General or
> > Extra?
>
> I would know by the alternative method that I stated. The alternative
> method that you conveniently snipped from my post. You must be really
> desperate for message points.

he is wining so few lately and of course he is losing THE BIG ONE

i missed your post about another message if you would not mind too much
repaeting yourself at least for my benifit
>
> > If he passed Element 1 but not Element 3,
> > he'd still be a Technician, and there would
> > be no indication of his CSCE on the
> > database.
>
> If...

well my csse seemd to have been recorded as note of change without
affecting the license class, In my case it rflects the passing of
element 3 (prepareing for the R&O)
>
> Hi!

547. Re: Why does Stevie lie about Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:14:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oHwkf.72$9R4.10@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126317

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "whiny_WogerWussyman" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote
>
>> More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.
>>
>
> Please quit insulting the midgets of the world. They don't deserve to be
> compared to Clown Prince Mark of Spamalot.

Hardware store clerk: "These are hinges, Mr. Morgan. See how they
work? They move back and forth like this".

Mark: "Bullshit. You're lying".

Hardware store clerk: "No, really. You use them to open and close doors".

Mark: "They aren't really functional; they're just a museum to a bygone
era".

Dave K8MN

548. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:15:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JIwkf.73$9R4.23@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126318

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:04:38 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>>> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 01:31:36 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> "Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that
>>>>> actually sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
>>>>> functions are educational and historic, not military.
>>>> Her "main function" is to serve as the Flagship of the Navy. That's a military
>>>> function, not a "museum" function. Certainly she also serves as a "history
>>>> lesson incarnate", but that is not her "main" function. If it were, she'd be
>>>> property of the National Park Service, not the Department of Defense.
>>>>
>>>> And to answer the comment of Clown Prince of Spamalot (aka KB9RGZ), many US Navy
>>>> ships are not intended to "sail into battle" (a quaint phrase, but it reveals
>>>> your ignorance of military matters). YTB's tugs don't "sail into battle",
>>>> DSRV's don't "sail into battle", AD's don't "sail into battle", AOE's don't
>>>> "sail into battle", AS's don't "sail into battle", ATB's don't "sail into
>>>> battle", ARS's don't "sail into battle", in fact CVA's don't "sail into battle",
>>>> and no, the USS Constitution will not "sail into battle", but she's still a
>>>> fully commissioned ship of the line in the US Navy.
>>> so but the USS Constitution serves NO military function can't even
>>> truly serve as a flag unless they have finaly instaled a radio on
>>> board
>>>
>>> all she does is serves as a floating relic of that bygone era

>> Trying to explain anything to you is much like taking a Neanderthal Man
>> to a hardware store and expecting him to understand all that he sees.
>
> reealy? you think that?

Reealy!

> then you are dumber than I thought

That's odd. You're just about as dumb as I thought.

Dave K8MN

549. dave has to make up stuff to try and make fun of me he is desperate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:16:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <2r55p1ltjlv6v20l9uocg7iascv7vm19m3@4ax.com>
Source record: 126320

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:14:28 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "whiny_WogerWussyman" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote
>>
>>> More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.
>>>
>>
>> Please quit insulting the midgets of the world. They don't deserve to be
>> compared to Clown Prince Mark of Spamalot.
>
breaking the atirtuiob here
Hardware store clerk: "These are hinges, Mr. Morgan. See how they
work? They move back and forth like this".

Mark: "Bullshit. You're lying".

Hardware store clerk: "No, really. You use them to open and close
doors".

Mark: "They aren't really functional; they're just a museum to a ygone
era".
returning to normal attribution

firstdave leis is claiming I would ahve that exchange

two I am sure Stveie will give us proof of his double standard by not
correcting Dave

>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

550. Re: what radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:17:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5Kwkf.74$9R4.21@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126319

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 06:56:07 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>>> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 01:35:18 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Navy 42 --- Army 23
>>>>
>>>> Go Navy!
>>>>
>>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB

>>> what radio content?

>> I heard the score on the radio.

> doin gbetter than Hans, but that is like being more in favor of
> abolition than a southern planter in 1860

Yeah, and I think the penguins may migrate north.

Dave K8MN

551. Re: Why does Stevie lie about Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:17:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <mv55p153c8q2hr2ikjj9hbv6uht44dpkj6@4ax.com>
Source record: 126321

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:14:28 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "whiny_WogerWussyman" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote
>>
>>> More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.
>>>
>>
>> Please quit insulting the midgets of the world. They don't deserve to be
>> compared to Clown Prince Mark of Spamalot.
>
cut the bullshit

and dave chimes on to support lying about todd
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

552. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:19:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <q165p1d4g78r5rjgc0kbje4ivqlhomji6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 126322

On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:15:53 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:04:38 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
cut
>>>> all she does is serves as a floating relic of that bygone era
>
>>> Trying to explain anything to you is much like taking a Neanderthal Man
>>> to a hardware store and expecting him to understand all that he sees.
>>
>> reealy? you think that?
>
>Reealy!
>
>> then you are dumber than I thought
>
>That's odd. You're just about as dumb as I thought.

humm you arelying then since i am far more inteligent than you think
unless you were lying before

you can't even keep your lies straight
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

553. Re: despictable behavoir is like pron you can't define but you know when you see it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:21:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xNwkf.77$9R4.14@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126323

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:00:32 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>>
>>> On 3 Dec 2005 19:05:38 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> spicions are confirmed.
>>>> Your paranoia is legendary.
>>> nah Steve merely serves as the poster boy of aranoid
>> Arnold?
>>
>> About your subject line, Mark:
>>
>> "despictable behavoir is like pron you can't define but you know when
>> you see it"
>>
>> Prawns? Prunes? pronouns?
>
> use your braijn

My braijn?

> I forgot you don't have one mine just plays odd tricks on me what is
> your excuse dave

You're right. I'd know if I had a braijn. Yours plays tricks on you?
Why not fire him and hire a new braijn? My excuse is that I don't think
I could afford to pay the going rate for a fully trained braijn.
Imagine having to cover one with health insurance.

Dave K8MN

554. Re: dave has to make up stuff to try and make fun of me he is desperate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:25:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uRwkf.79$9R4.0@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126324

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:14:28 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>> "whiny_WogerWussyman" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote
>>>
>>>> More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.
>>>>
>>> Please quit insulting the midgets of the world. They don't deserve to be
>>> compared to Clown Prince Mark of Spamalot.

> breaking the atirtuiob here

If you break it, that hardware clerk will insist that you pay for it.

> Hardware store clerk: "These are hinges, Mr. Morgan. See how they
> work? They move back and forth like this".
>
> Mark: "Bullshit. You're lying".
>
> Hardware store clerk: "No, really. You use them to open and close
> doors".
>
> Mark: "They aren't really functional; they're just a museum to a ygone
> era".

> returning to normal attribution
>
> firstdave leis is claiming I would ahve that exchange

Who is Dave Leis? Where did he make any claim that'd you have "that
exchange"?

> two I am sure Stveie will give us proof of his double standard by not
> correcting Dave

What is he supposed to correct?

Dave K8MN

555. Re: Why does Stevie lie about Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:28:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hUwkf.80$9R4.12@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126325

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:14:28 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>> "whiny_WogerWussyman" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote
>>>
>>>> More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.
>>>>
>>> Please quit insulting the midgets of the world. They don't deserve to be
>>> compared to Clown Prince Mark of Spamalot.

> cut the bullshit
>
> and dave chimes on to support lying about todd

Please point to the portion of my post which deals in any way with Todd.

While you're at it, could you point to any portion of Hans' post where
he writes anything about Todd or where he issues his support for Steve.

I'm sure you'll be able to clear this up in no time.

Dave K8MN

556. does anyone remeber the last time dave posted about ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:28:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <4g65p198012juar1pet6ukb0l39nu7q17j@4ax.com>
Source record: 126326

I certainly don't
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

557. why can't dave even tell the ruth about me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:30:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <8i65p1pk0ob3ikfdsjcf6ioo1jsrdor4t8@4ax.com>
Source record: 126328

with dave hatred of my why does have to make up shit rather than just
using what i provide

could it be the facts he knows that most of the NG doesn't care I am
bisexual
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

558. Hans jion in the projotive parade why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:32:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <0m65p1t363s169rmoeddci03s87eka0jmh@4ax.com>
Source record: 126329

becuase he knows that what realy bugs him is of no importance so he
has to make shsit up
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

559. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:32:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tYwkf.82$9R4.4@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126327

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:15:53 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>>> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:04:38 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
> cut
>>>>> all she does is serves as a floating relic of that bygone era
>>>> Trying to explain anything to you is much like taking a Neanderthal Man
>>>> to a hardware store and expecting him to understand all that he sees.
>>> reealy? you think that?
>> Reealy!
>>
>>> then you are dumber than I thought
>> That's odd. You're just about as dumb as I thought.
>
> humm you arelying then since i am far more inteligent than you think
> unless you were lying before

You're more intelligent than I think? No, Mark, I've never thought of
you as particularly intelligent at all. Did you think you'd seen a post
where I praised your intellect?

> you can't even keep your lies straight

Do I have it straight? I tell you that you are about as dumb as I
thought and you think I'm lying and that I don't believe you to be a
mental no-load?

Dave K8MN

560. amazing how hans prefers the company of tevie who calls him a pedo and zoophile to the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:34:32 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <1o65p114eolucpo9kh0uhioi1j618tdvuf@4ax.com>
Source record: 126332

why is that hans
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

561. Re: does anyone remeber the last time dave posted about ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:35:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <I%wkf.84$9R4.23@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126330

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> I certainly don't
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

I've left your post entirely as sent. Please scan the above material
which you wrote and posted. Feel free to point out the portion of it
which deals with amateur radio?

Dave K8MN

562. Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:37:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <M0xkf.85$9R4.34@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126331

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> with dave hatred of my why does have to make up shit rather than just
> using what i provide

Pssst, Mark! In the parody, you sound brighter and you don't butcher
the language.

Dave K8MN

563. why is dave so scared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 07:59:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683143.725568.220790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126333

why is dave so threatened by a bisexaul male

so threatened he can't even admitt o himself I exist as such much of
the time

564. why doesn't seek help for his sexual issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:00:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683217.834334.197970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126334

you can get help to deal with sxual issues

I mean you have it so bad you can't even face it that bisxuals exist

565. why does Dave set his bigotry above the word of Christ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:01:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683304.562403.226950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126335

what amkes you able to deny the order of chirst himself

"let he that is without sin cast the first stone"

566. why doesn't Dave heed the teachings of the saoints

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:03:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683400.243187.226790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126336

Vengeance is mine sayeth the Lord I shall repay

how do you justify that behoavoir as moral?

567. why does dave seem to think that being insulting is likely to end a flame war

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:04:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683476.300742.317450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126337

why provoke me

becuase Dave thinks flame will hide his imoral behavoir

568. why does Dave sat this title is moral "Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was Asking For It".

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:06:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683564.400749.248390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126338

becuase he is not moral

569. why dave as well stevie prefer the flame fest to displying wht they is moral behavoir telling the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:07:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683637.012551.239910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126339

```
it is a simple question but we will not get an snwer from the dasartly
dou
```

570. would jion stevei is making fun of downs syndrome victum too?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:08:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683690.809149.120380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126340

very likely if they dared disagree with him

571. why does stevie prefer the flamfest to simply telling the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:09:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683760.123511.123920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126341

because mostly if steve had to tell the truth (ala the film liar liar)
hed have nothing to say

572. when will steve pay up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:10:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133683811.893112.256470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126342

steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?

573. why his dave proud of his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:20:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133684450.414590.47180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126343

why does dave a an alleged follower of a loving god try to spread hate

574. Re: Open Letter to Massachusetts Governor Romney

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:22:47 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1133684567.055247.275400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126344

That makes you too, Old Friend, one of our American heroes too. Having avoided Viet Nam by enlisting in the Army for Armed Forces Radio Europe, I feel our Viet Nam vets have been treated badly, especially as regards Agent Orange. It is true that I do not give credence to much of the back and forth because it's unsubstantiated..

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

575. my bestest site!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:23:04 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1133684584.400082.307480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126345
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.homosex.victims

http://niggermania.com/

576. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:26:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133684786.934576.65290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

killing another rasist thread
Steveo wrote:
cuting something despicatble

577. why does stevie call someone a pedophile to get them to use a spellchecker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:40:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133685645.620245.44940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126347

that all steve says he wants from me, he says from time to time

but why call me a epedo to get me to do that?

does n't steve know if what me to do something he has to give me
something I want in return

578. Dave lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 08:56:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <k185p1dt3676852lbk8i6h7cvhier2afjf@4ax.com>
Source record: 126348

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 19:37:09 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>> Both Stevie and Dave contiue to show they are cowards
>>>>
>>>> when will either of them answer the question what does a personans
>>>> sexuality have to do with radio?
>>>>
>>>> answer the question you cowards
>
>>> You seem to be under the misconception that I'd assume that all of your
>>> recent childish posts to Steve were directed at me.
>
>> No I addressed them you as well
>
>Did you? I saw of number of threads begun by you. All I saw in the
>headers was Stevie, Stevie, Stevie--or some misspelling thereof.

more lies from dave
>
>>> Well now, Mark, since you asked, I don't think that your sexuality
>>> matters much in amateur radio.
>
>> there ypou have it admiting that you are just involved in gay bashing
>> to cover the fact you lack any real issue
>
>Weren't you "cuting" just a little bit of my response? I added that
>you'd still be a pervert, regardless of whether you are a radio amateur
>or not. Your big mistake was in advertising the fact elsewhere on usenet.

then you were rsponile for my coments abotu yuour flirting on line
with a bifem
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW

WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

579. Re: my bestest site!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 11:26:51 UTC
From: monsieur sandman <kittens@love.tod>
Message-ID: <yvudW7I5Kho_jcRn-rQ@gelded.not>
Source record: 126349

```
On 4 Dec 2005 00:26:27 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> killing another<STOMP!>
{Flusssssssssssssssssssssssssssh}
```

580. Re: my bestest site!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 11:35:35 UTC
From: KC8LDO <KC8LDO@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1133696135.a2ff81fee7f07b7c32ab27e03ed01389@fe5.teranews.com>
Source record: 126350
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.homosex.victims,alt.flame.niggers

"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
news:1133684584.400082.307480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> http://niggermania.com/
>
>
I HATE NIGGERS ALMOST AS MUCH AS I HATE CW!!! GREAT SITE!!!

1-248-589-0839
1-248-318-2093

581. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 12:54:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133700873.591737.254880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126351

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > "Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that
> > actually sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
> > functions are educational and historic, not military.
>
> Her "main function" is to serve as the Flagship of the Navy. That's a military
> function, not a "museum" function. Certainly she also serves as a "history
> lesson incarnate", but that is not her "main" function. If it were, she'd be
> property of the National Park Service, not the Department of Defense.

Well, Hans, it looks like we have different definitions of "museum
piece" and
"military function". I'll defer to your definitions because the USS
Constitution
is, in fact, the Flagship of the US Navy and is fully operational, with
a full time
crew. She just doesn't sail very often.
>
> And to answer the comment of Clown Prince of Spamalot (aka KB9RGZ),
> many US Navy
> ships are not intended to "sail into battle" (a quaint phrase, but it reveals
> your ignorance of military matters). YTB's tugs don't "sail into battle",
> DSRV's don't "sail into battle", AD's don't "sail into battle", AOE's don't
> "sail into battle", AS's don't "sail into battle", ATB's don't "sail into
> battle", ARS's don't "sail into battle", in fact CVA's don't "sail into battle",
> and no, the USS Constitution will not "sail into battle", but she's still a
> fully commissioned ship of the line in the US Navy.

Actually, I suppose that a considerable number of ships would
intentionally
sail *away* from battle, because they're not meant to be combat ships.

> >> The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy
> >> in Connecticutt, is a working training ship, used in training future
> >> seagoing officers.
>
> > Does she go out on search and rescue?
>
> Probably not, but I'm sure she teachs some of the elements of SAR. Navigation
> is a big part of her training mission, and you can bet that includes things like
> plotting an expanding-squares search pattern, calculating set and drift, and
> other topics useful in real world SAR operations. Regardless of that, not all
> USCG ships "go out on search and rescue". Some go out an tend bouys.

> Some go
> out and break ice. Some go out on training missions. Etc., etc., etc.
>
> > Or is her purpose mostly historic and educational?
>
> Very little "historic" about the Eagle. Her purpose is a training ship for
> Coast Guard Midshipmen. That's just as much a "purpose" as SAR.
>
> > And the main point remains: Sailboats make up far
> > less than 1% of the US military fleet.
>
> Nobody is trying to argue that point, are they?

Len was. He seems to be unable to deal with analogies.

> By the same token, CVA's make
> up a tiny percent of the US military fleet also, as do ARS's, AOE's, DSRV's,
> LPH's, and a host of other types.

Of course. But they're all "power boats", not "sailboats".

> Doesn't make their mission any less
> important, or relegate them to "nothing more than museum pieces".

Nor is the Constitution's mission unimportant - although some folks
don't value history very much...

> Ding ding, ding ding, ding ding, ding ding. Eight bells and all's well.
>
Did you get EPA?

73 de Jim, N2EY

582. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 13:08:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133701734.178562.305260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126352

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > > "Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that
> > > actually sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
> > > functions are educational and historic, not military.

Speaking of sailboats, there was at least one use of sail on a USN
submarine
- and it was in the 20th century.

In May of 1921, submarine R-14 was in the Pacific on a
search-and-rescue for
fleet tug Conestoga. Somehow the R-14 crew managed to run the boat out
of fuel.

So they sewed mattress covers and blankets together, and using the
torpedo
loading derrick, made a sail. After 5 days sailing, R-14 arrived in
Hilo, Hawaii.

73 de Jim, N2EY

583. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 13:14:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133702094.575844.297780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126353

Correction to earlier posting:

Some sources say the R-14 ran out of fuel; others say
she lost her powerplant and could not effect repair at sea.

Some sources say the periscope was used as the mast, others
refer to the torpedo loading crane.

In any event the sub made it to port under sail:

http://www.history.navy.mil/photos/sh-usn/usnsh-r/ss91.htm

(scroll down to see a picture)

73 de Jim, N2EY

584. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 16:06:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133712416.879719.284060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126354

```
K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > cut
> > > > > > as well as being a valid spelling of the the word armor the one I
> > > > > > prefer to use
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh, you're British?
> > > >
> > > > no why do you ask?
> > >
> > "behavio[u]r"
> >
> > > > > > not youdon't disupye your confession just tryo to engage in more fake
> > > > > > spelling cop shit
> > > > >
> > > > > How's that? Did you drop your Scrabble board?
> > > >
> > > > no and why do you think dropping scrable board has to do with anything
> > >
> > > Illiterate AND stupid. What a combo.
> >
> > Dyslexia. Do you make fun of Down's kids, too?
>
> His alleged "dyslexia" is nothing of the sort. He claims an IQ of
> 248 and expertise in computer technology yet he can't download and use
> a spellchecker.
>
> Markie needs to find a different "condicton" to suffer from...He's
> worn out his excuses with this one.

Are you giving a professional medical opinion?

> > > > it should be obvious that scabble is not likely to be one of those
> > > > baord that I indulge in
> > >
> > > Due to your incompetence in English, no doubt.
> >
> > Dyslexia.
>
> His alleged "dyslexia" is nothing of the sort. He claims an IQ of
```

> 248 and expertise in computer technology yet he can't download and use
> a spellchecker.
>
> Markie needs to find a different "condicton" to suffer from...He's
> worn out his excuses with this one.

Is that your professional medical opinion?

> > > > but then you seem to lack much in the way of sense
> > >
> > > That's cute...coming from a twerp who's bombed the NG with over 200
> > > messages in the last 60 hours.
> >
> > He's merely responding in-kind. You do see that, don't you?
>
> See what, Brain?
>
> When in the last 8 years have I hit this group with almost 250
> posts in a four day period? Especially with the WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAY
> outlandish stuff he's ginning up.

You have easily started 250 new threads in the past 8 years, most with
outlandish claims or demands, or merely as an opportunity to call
someone a new name.

> He's not responding "in-kind" to anything. I'd ask you to find
> the period in time where you think I did anything of the kind, but I am
> sure there's some good "reason" why you won't...
>
> Again...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You just don't like your name in the spotlight, except when you are the
agressor.

585. Re: More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 16:31:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133713882.028177.91020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126355

K4YZ wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland intentionally lied when he wrote:
>
> > He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist.
>
> Nope. Active Duty, save for the Delayed Entry Program.

Is your delayed entry period on your DD Form 214? I mean, would it be
on there if you had one?

> > We all know he has
> > been tight-lipped about his service because he didn't want to expose
> > the truth about his service. And we also know that he lied about his
> > "retirement".
>
> Nope.

Yup.

> > But what you may not know is that he wasn't discharged
> > for medical reasons, either. He simply quit. And not voluntarily, I
> > might add. Too bad he quit -before- Clinton's "don't ask, don't tell"
> > policy.....
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

He might have been able to have a full career.

> > Uniforms impress young boys.
>
> It impressed you.

Your flight-suit photo impresses no one.

> Too bad it didn't impress you enough to honor your commitment and
> stay out of trouble for ONE enlistment, Frankie.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Does your nurse uniform impress you enough to honor your oath and stay
out of medical trouble? Or does your oath encourage you to shoot your
mouth off and give medical advice on rrap?

586. Re: stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 16:32:52 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133713972.358680.140250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

an_old_friend wrote:
> killing another rasist thread
> Steveo wrote:
> cuting something despicatble

Not only is moparholic at hotmail dot com a racist idiot, he is a serial anger venter. Look at EVERY SINGLE NG he has posted to. EVERY ONE is filled with threats toward other people. The sissy can't control it.

587. Documentation of Steve's "Fibs" was: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 16:48:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133714902.339550.77720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126357

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > raped an old friend wrote:
> > > > LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > Hola Mike. Been to Hawaii lately? Start any new clubs?
> > > > >
> > > > > is this the guy who had what was iit a dozen 2 dozen club callsigns
> > > > > across several states?
> > > >
> > > > This is the guy who called me a liar for saying that he had 12
> > > > callsigns. One callsign at his address belonged to his wife. I got is
> > > > so wrong!
> > > >
> > > > The icing on the cake is that he holds a Hawaiin callsign but had never
> > > > been to Hawaii.
> > >
> > > ah yes the man fibbing to FCC about his role in the several clubs and
> > > Stevie calls me dishonest
> >
> > "fibbing"...?!?!
> >
> > Where was any "fibbing" documented?

Each time you post you produce an electronic document full of "fibs."
See the changes in the attributions above? Those are your works. All
documented in Google.

588. Mike's BBS: When???, was: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 16:54:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133715290.642009.284780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > RRAP is a violation of the amateur's code. The quicker we get over to
> > > Mikes BBS the better.
> >
> > Deceit and dishonesty are violations of The Amateur's Code.
> >
> > If you'd stop both, you wouldn't have a problem...
>
> when are you going to set the example

When is Steve leaving for Mike's BBS???

589. Re: stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:18:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051204121806.329$zM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM Doug Adair posting as----"moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > killing another rasist thread
> > Steveo wrote:
> > cuting something despicatble
>
> Not only is moparholic at hotmail dot com a racist idiot, he is a
> serial anger venter. Look at EVERY SINGLE NG he has posted to. EVERY
> ONE is filled with threats toward other people. The sissy can't control
> it.
>
Aww, what's a matta dogie? Upset that I took you up on this invitation?

N8WWM mewled anonther idle threat with:

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

When I went to your section eight dump, you were way yonder too cowardly to
come outside, as seen below, so I took -YOUR- pic instead. lol

http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

I've got a new plan for you now that you keep begging for my return. It's
gonna be fucking hilarious too.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

590. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:31:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133717478.210840.141610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Wed 16 Nov 2005 17:04
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> > Why is it that Frank spends a few years in the Marine Corps and knows
> >> > the lingo, you spend >>>almost<<> > stumble around for the names of radio gear that you supposedly used,
> >> > and stumble around using Army phrases instead of Marine phrases, claim
> >> > that sailors don't cuss (HIHIHI), etc, etc, etc???
> >> >
> >> > Frank pegged you for what you are.
> >>
> >> Frankie pegged nothing, Brain.
> >
> >Frank pinned the tail on the ass. Bray a little bray for me, Steve
> >Marie...
>
> ...that's MAJOR Steve Marie to you! :-)

But he's an ass nonetheless.

> >> Frankie's misrepresentation of the truth about some terminology
> >> is, on the face of it, ludicrous. Lame at best, and laughable that
> >> in-the-rear-with-the-gear guys such as yourself and Lennie are
> >> impressed by his rantings.
> >
> >There you go with the "REMF" stuff again. Typical bully behavio[u]r.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The guy who has NO DD-214 and went from
> some kind of enlisted person to a "commissioned" Major
> is still trying to snow job us. Unbelievable. Unbelievably
> POOR con man!

Indeed. The "Con" part of "Con Man" means confidence. Steve has yet
to impart any feeling of confidence in any of his stories and claims.

> >Tell us about an avionics maintenence tech getting hauled out on not
> >one, but seven hostile missions. Hi! Hi, hi, hi!
>
> er..."hostile ACTIONS." More NON-specific that way. :-)

Yep. The Air Force always loads support pers on aircraft to engage the
enemy. I recall a time when the entire line of breakfast cooks ....,
then there was the time they guys from military pay ....

Poor Con Man indeed. Hope he doesn't give up his day job.

> >> First of all, where's this tale about "...names of radio gear you
> >> supposedly used" come from? Lennie's tried that rant for years and now
> >> Frankie picked up on it.
> >
> >Too bad you didn't.
>
> Major Dudly Dumshit has NEVER ONCE mentioned specific radio
> gear on any of his hell-icopters he "serviced."

Sends the wrong "signal," doesn't it?

> I already know a few and can look up a lot more...and I was
> never directly involved with USMC as a civilian engineer.

Maybe if Clancy devoted more time to it, Steve would know more.

> >> It never happened.
> >
> >Much of what you say never happened.
>
> Right-ON and roger-that! :-)

Affirmative.

> >> It was part of Frankie's cross-dressing with Lennie.
> >
> >Hmmm? Still fixated on same-sex issues?
>
> Tsk, Frank Gilliland and I live roughly a thousand miles apart.
> Even though my wife and I have a house in the Puget Sound
> area of Washington, Spokane is quite a bit farther east from
> Kitsap County and nearby Tacoma.

Yeh, I'm sure you guys get together and cross-dress regularly. Hi!
Steve is sooo far out there.

> "Cross-dressing?" Dudly apparently is fixated on SEX now,
> getting very angry when he isn't "getting any." Tsk, tsk.

He does have some issues, but I'm not a medical professional. Maybe
the Nurses Board will get him help.

> "Dress riiiight...DRESS!" "Cover down." :-)

That -was- the command, but there's Steve, affixing bayonet. What
gives with -that- guy?

> >> And as for the "lingo", Frankie needed to be in the Corps longer.
> >> His one tour, half of which was spent digging his butt out of trouble,
> >> only left him with HALF the story.

> >>
> >> Nice try though.
> >
> >Gosh, it doesn't look like he tried to get out of anything.
>
> Frank Gilliland was IN the USMC. Dudly is a WANNABE.
>
> Frank and I disagree on Dudly. I say Dud was NEVER IN. Frank
> says he was in but as a Reservist.
>
> I have others NOT on this newsgroup who are Marines (but not
> on active duty) and who I've known for years. Frank's lingo
> and explanations FIT what they know first-hand.

There is no shame in being Reserves (or Guard). America needs all of
it's service people. All have a role. Then there are those who served
"in other ways" or who have "real military service" without having been
in the service at all. Lots of people with lots of issues on RRAP.

> >> > Seven hostile actions. Where and when?
> >>
> >> Both questions not going to be answered...Not because they are
> >> fraudulent or "secret", but for the reasons we're already embroiled in
> >> THIS spat..."this much" is always the catalyst for more lies and
> >> accusations from your side of the fence.
> >
> >Gosh golly, Steve. You're such an up-front kind of guy except when it
> >counts.
>
> Fork Dudly. I think he's done...

He was done a long time ago.

> >> Try to make as much from it as you care to do, Brain, but it's all
> >> you've got to play with.
> >>
> >> Have fun.
> >
> >Rest assured that your truth will surface one day.
>
> Until the "truth" comes out...it is SO much fun beating up
> a military-wannabe, an IMPOSTER, who can't prove a damn
> thing about his "service" claims. Poor sumbish.

I think it's sad, and needs professional attention.

> >> > I'm sure you think it's about him. It's not.
> >>
> >> It IS about him. He has no credibility. He lied to his country,
> >> he lied to the Corps, and now he's in here salving his "I Cudda Been A
> >> Contender" ex-Marine ego by trying to "beat up" on a former SNCO since
> >> this is the ONLY way he could do it and not get a third court martial.

> >
> >Like you bully people here?
> >
> >You're starting to get a victim complex, aren't you?
>
> The paranoia is building in Dudly. Keep watching this blog.

Paranoia is common among drug abusers.

> >> > Already been this year. DD-214. You make a copy, sanitize SSN, DOB,
> >> > scan it and post it instead of that dumpy photo of you on QRZ. Post a
> >> > message here, then take it down after 24 hours. Then put up a photo of
> >> > you with an Gold Oakleaf on each shoulder.
> >>
> >> Nope.
> >
> >You probably don't have a DD-214.
>
> Until I mentioned it years ago (DD-214), I don't think Dudly KNEW
> what it was! Hans did, you did, even Larry Roll. No-servers like
> Miccolis and Kelly wouldn't have known, no problem there.
>
> Meanwhile, Frank and I will continue to argue on Dudly's REAL
> "service", disagreeing only in a minor way.
>
> Dudly ought to change his "sign off" to Semper Pirate-us. :-)

Arrrghh!

591. Re: why does stevie call someone a pedophile to get them to use a spellchecker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:34:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133717655.162084.151700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126361

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> that all steve says he wants from me, he says from time to time
>
> but why call me a epedo to get me to do that?
>
> does n't steve know if what me to do something he has to give me
> something I want in return

Perhaps it's a technique learned or used in nursing.
```

592. Re: Hans jion in the projotive parade why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:47:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BYFkf.224$9R4.122@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126362

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote in:

>Re: Hans jion in the projotive parade why?

Got my projo workin' but it sure don't work on you...

> becuase he knows that what realy bugs him is of no importance so he
> has to make shsit up

How does it make him sit up?

Dave K8MN

593. Re: amazing how hans prefers the company of tevie who calls him a pedo and zoophile to the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:50:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <v%Fkf.225$9R4.162@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126363

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote in:

> Re: amazing how hans prefers the company of tevie who calls him a pedo > and zoophile to the truth

I like walking and I enjoy going to the zoo as well, Mark. Check out Wal-Mart. Did you know they are actually selling a PEDOmeter?

> why is that hans

Wasn't "tevie" one of the characters in "Fiddler on the Roof"?

Dave K8MN

594. Re: why is dave so scared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:52:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <U1Gkf.226$9R4.137@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126364

an_old_friend wrote:

> why is dave so threatened by a bisexaul male

The first thing I see wrong with your post is that I feel threatened by you.

> so threatened he can't even admitt o himself I exist as such much of
> the time

I'm well aware that you exist. Your misspelled spam is a sure reminder.

Dave K8MN

595. Re: why doesn't seek help for his sexual issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:56:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Z4Gkf.229$9R4.204@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126366

an_old_friend wrote in:

> Re: why doesn't seek help for his sexual issues

Len? Hans? Bill? Dave? Jim? Steve?

> you can get help to deal with sxual issues

I'd like to buy a vowel.

> I mean you have it so bad you can't even face it that bisxuals exist

"Bisxuals" don't exist. Bisexuals exist. There's one of them who has
been flooding this newsgroup in an effort to prove a point of which only
he seems to be aware.

Dave K8MN

596. Re: dave has to make up stuff to try and make fun of me he is desperate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:56:24 UTC
From: WhinyCunt_Wussman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmvafe$351$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126365

<kb9rqz@amsat.rg> wrote in message
news:2r55p1ltjlv6v20l9uocg7iascv7vm19m3@4ax.com...
On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:14:28 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> "whiny_WogerWussyman" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote
>>
>>> More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.
>>>
>>
>> Please quit insulting the midgets of the world. They don't deserve to be
>> compared to Clown Prince Mark of Spamalot.
>
breaking the atirtuiob here
Hardware store clerk: "These are hinges, Mr. Morgan. See how they
work? They move back and forth like this".

Mark: "Bullshit. You're lying".

Hardware store clerk: "No, really. You use them to open and close
doors".

Mark: "They aren't really functional; they're just a museum to a ygone
era".
returning to normal attribution

firstdave leis is claiming I would ahve that exchange

two I am sure Stveie will give us proof of his double standard by not
correcting Dave

>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and
cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

>

Your spell check program must still be on the fritz, eh?

597. Re: why does Dave set his bigotry above the word of Christ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:59:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <I7Gkf.230$9R4.198@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126368

an_old_friend wrote:
> what amkes you able to deny the order of chirst himself

chirst? Is that the fellow in whom you have no belief?

> "let he that is without sin cast the first stone"

If we attend a stoning, I'll remember that.

Now, back to amateur radio:

When connecting your radio to a power supply, be sure not to reverse
polarity.

Dave K8MN

598. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 17:59:35 UTC
From: WhinyCunt_Wussman <GlendaleTroll@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmvale$3s6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126367

<kb9rqz@amsat.rg> wrote in message
news:q165p1d4g78r5rjgc0kbje4ivqlhomji6q@4ax.com...
On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:15:53 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:

If ignorance is indeed bliss, KB9RQZ HAS to be one of the most joyful souls
walking this earth.

599. Re: why doesn't Dave heed the teachings of the saoints

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 18:01:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qaGkf.231$9R4.159@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126369

an_old_friend wrote:
> Vengeance is mine sayeth the Lord I shall repay

Are you quoting "the Lord" which you reject?

> how do you justify that behoavoir as moral?

The Lord's behavior in reserving vengeance unto himself?
I don't see anything wrong with that.

I've haven't sought any vengeance against you, Mark.

Keep your polarity straight.

Dave K8MN

600. Re: why does dave seem to think that being insulting is likely to end a flame war

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 18:04:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vcGkf.232$9R4.192@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126370

an_old_friend wrote:

> why provoke me

...because you're a spamming twit.

> becuase Dave thinks flame will hide his imoral behavoir

I don't accept the moral values of a pagan, s/m bisexual. I don't
believe you'd recognize moral behavior if it whapped you over the head.

Dave K8MN

601. Re: why does Dave sat this title is moral "Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was Asking For It".

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 18:05:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kdGkf.233$9R4.22@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126371

an_old_friend wrote:

> becuase he is not moral

Sat this title? What in the world are you babbling about?

Dave K8MN

602. Re: why dave as well stevie prefer the flame fest to displying wht they is moral behavoir telling the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 18:07:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BfGkf.234$9R4.50@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126372

an_old_friend wrote in:

> Re: why dave as well stevie prefer the flame fest to displying wht
> they is moral behavoir telling the truth

> it is a simple question but we will not get an snwer from the dasartly
> dou

The way you write it, it is certainly anything but a simple question.

You might get a snwer from me. Thanks for the chuckle over "dasartly dou".

Dave K8MN

603. Re: would jion stevei is making fun of downs syndrome victum too?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 18:08:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FgGkf.235$9R4.98@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126373

an_old_friend wrote in:

Re: would jion stevei is making fun of downs syndrome victum too?

> very likely if they dared disagree with him

Is that supposed to be another simple question?

Dave K8MN

604. Re: Dave lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 18:11:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OjGkf.236$9R4.77@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126374

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 19:37:09 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>> Both Stevie and Dave contiue to show they are cowards
>>>>>
>>>>> when will either of them answer the question what does a personans
>>>>> sexuality have to do with radio?
>>>>>
>>>>> answer the question you cowards
>>>> You seem to be under the misconception that I'd assume that all of your
>>>> recent childish posts to Steve were directed at me.
>>> No I addressed them you as well
>> Did you? I saw of number of threads begun by you. All I saw in the
>> headers was Stevie, Stevie, Stevie--or some misspelling thereof.
>
> more lies from dave
>>>> Well now, Mark, since you asked, I don't think that your sexuality
>>>> matters much in amateur radio.
>>> there ypou have it admiting that you are just involved in gay bashing
>>> to cover the fact you lack any real issue
>> Weren't you "cuting" just a little bit of my response? I added that
>> you'd still be a pervert, regardless of whether you are a radio amateur
>> or not. Your big mistake was in advertising the fact elsewhere on usenet.
>
> then you were rsponile for my coments abotu yuour flirting on line
> with a bifem

That, Mark, is incorrect. All were provided with urls to posts dealing with your accusation. The urls reveal that there was no flirting with a bifem. Rather than correct your silly accusations, you stuck to them and even elaborated upon them, revealing yourself as a lying little SOB.

I hope this clears the matter up for you.

Dave K8MN

605. Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 18:27:38 UTC
From: John Deere <NotRoger2@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmvc9v$b2v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Here you go, Markie. This may help the rest of us get through your laborious third grade level posts.

ieSpell - A Spell Checker for Internet Explorer
Introduction
ieSpell is a free Internet Explorer browser extension that spell checks text input boxes on a webpage. It should come in particularly handy for users who do a lot of web-based text entry (e.g. web mails, forums, blogs, diaries). Even if your web application already includes spell checking functionality, you might still want to install this utility because it is definitely much faster than a server-side solution. Plus you get to store and use your personal word list across all your applications, instead of maintaining separate ones on each application.

http://www.iespell.com/

606. Re: Northen Michigan Gay Male seeks Domme, would love spanking on his birthday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 19:06:43 UTC
From: chuck <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <11p6fi3ijo4h360@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 126378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan,rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

"moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote in message
news:1133548741.728582.120290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> he will just come to the Girard, OH Petro instead. That way he can grab
> your hair and pound your lips without all the other keyclowns getting
> mad about the pain he causes you.
>

Come on Doug, you know you will go to hell for talking this way. Come to
church with me, I know a very friendly priest. Wink wink

607. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 19:22:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133724166.120440.41420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126379

From: "K0HB" on Sun, Dec 4 2005 1:31 am

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> "Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that
>> actually sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
>> functions are educational and historic, not military.
>
>Her "main function" is to serve as the Flagship of the Navy. That's a military
>function, not a "museum" function. Certainly she also serves as a "history
>lesson incarnate", but that is not her "main" function. If it were, she'd be
>property of the National Park Service, not the Department of Defense.

Don't be too hard on Jimmie, Hans, he learned all his "military
expertise" from books. [sometimes I think they were coloring books]

>And to answer the comment of Clown Prince of Spamalot (aka KB9RGZ), many US Navy
>ships are not intended to "sail into battle" (a quaint phrase, but it reveals
>your ignorance of military matters). YTB's tugs don't "sail into battle",
>DSRV's don't "sail into battle", AD's don't "sail into battle", AOE's don't
>"sail into battle", AS's don't "sail into battle", ATB's don't "sail into
>battle", ARS's don't "sail into battle", in fact CVA's don't "sail into battle",
>and no, the USS Constitution will not "sail into battle", but she's still a
>fully commissioned ship of the line in the US Navy.

Most civilians don't understand that every servicemember does NOT
"go into battle." Somehow they think that "battle" is like a
street gang fight between small groups. Problem is, "battle" can
catch up to everyone in the military service when no one is
expecting it. The USS Indianapolis' crew found that out late in
WW2 when it was torpedoed and sunk by a Japanese submarine. The
Army found that out during the Battle of the Bulge...where every
soldier, regardless of MOS, were suddenly IN "battle." Ever
since the U.S. Army has made it a point to continue basic battle
training long after soldiers have finished basic training.

Jimmie Noserve and Der Klunk both thought of Japan as "rear area"
in the 1950s...a place where all are "safe." However, the USSR
did have a combat reach into Japan and did have the special
weapon. The USA, USAF, and USN all knew that and tried to prepare
for it. Now, they might or might not have been Soviet "Bear"
bombers when I was in Japan...the exact type is irrelevant...but
they did have aircraft that could reach the Kanto Plain area of
Honshu (where Tokyo is located). Jimmie wanted to make a Big
Thing about USSR aircraft so that he could make message points
and show everyone how "good" and "expert" he is. Jimmie was

never in danger of anything but diaper rash in the 1950s.

Jimmie might have been in a moderate danger zone in the 1960s
when, first, IRBMs were being targeted on east coast positions
from Cuba, then later, from ICBMs that were targeted all over
the USA. Right now he is acting like an acting secretary
of the navy with his navel history. [no Oscars for him...]

>>> The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy
>>> in Connecticutt, is a working training ship, used in training future
>>> seagoing officers.
>
>> Does she go out on search and rescue?
>
>Probably not, but I'm sure she teachs some of the elements of SAR. Navigation
>is a big part of her training mission, and you can bet that includes things like
>plotting an expanding-squares search pattern, calculating set and drift, and
>other topics useful in real world SAR operations. Regardless of that, not all
>USCG ships "go out on search and rescue". Some go out an tend bouys. Some go
>out and break ice. Some go out on training missions. Etc., etc., etc.

Well, if Jimmie Noserve said it, it must be.....something.

>> Or is her purpose mostly historic and educational?
>
>Very little "historic" about the Eagle. Her purpose is a training ship for
>Coast Guard Midshipmen. That's just as much a "purpose" as SAR.

Follows the USN academy pattern in that, yes? I thought Annapolis
had their own "tall ship" for midshipman sailing training? Lots of
other nations' naval academies have those...most were present at
the "Parade of the Tall Ships" on TV here in 1976 during the U.S.
Bicentennial celebrations.

>> And the main point remains: Sailboats make up far
>> less than 1% of the US military fleet.
>
>Nobody is trying to argue that point, are they? By the same token, CVA's make
>up a tiny percent of the US military fleet also, as do ARS's, AOE's, DSRV's,
>LPH's, and a host of other types. Doesn't make their mission any less
>important, or relegate them to "nothing more than museum pieces".

Tsk, the ideas that some civilians have. :-)

[you've lost this land person on some of those acronyms... :-)]

>Ding ding, ding ding, ding ding, ding ding. Eight bells and all's well.

Not quite...Army lost to Navy in football Saturday...saw only last
half in widescreen TV. [thank you for that other "notice"...not]

Rancors away!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

608. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 19:28:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133724518.011312.28450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126380

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat, Dec 3 2005 8:28 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 2, 5:33 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 29 2005 3:38 am
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm

>> Tsk, you aren't old enough to have been licensed under any
>> other federal radio agency besides the FCC. :-)
>
>Neither are you, Len ;-) ;-)

W R O N G ! ! !

>> >Sure there is - it's called wigwag.
>>
>> No, those are called SEMAPHORE FLAGS.
>
>No, they're not. Semaphore and wigwag are two different things.
>Look it up.

Two dictionaries I have say "see 'semaphore'" under several
different definitions of "wigwag."

Can you wigwag in morse? [no such thing]

Can you wigwag from a wigwam? Or is your hairpiece already warm?

I've already worn the collar insignia of the United States Army
Signal Corps, a torch over two crossed signal flags. You've
never done that. You can never do that.

>> >> >Except for a few floating museum pieces, the US Navy stopped using
>> >> >sail power about 100 years ago.
>> >
>> >> Go to the docking area at the U.S. Naval Academy or the U.S. Coast
>> >> Guard Academy. Are those "tall ships" illusions? No, they are
>> >> real.
>> >
>> >Nobody said they aren't real. They're floating museum pieces.

You've already argued with Hans Brakob on that. You wound up
all wet.

>Why isn't it true, Len?

What isn't it true?

>It's amateur radio, not "hobby" radio...

You don't engage in amateur radio as a HOBBY?!?

Did you think you were QUALIFIED as some kind of para-military
"service" with that amateur radio license?!?

>> I went to Art Center for a year at their old campus on
>> 3rd Street in Los Angeles. :-)
>
>Did you flunk out? Or perhaps you just GAVE UP?

I changed my studies from illustration to engineering. Career
choice change.

I can't "give up" something I have a natural talent for...that's
built-in, has been used in previous employment. I was accepted
by Art Center on the basis of submitted work that I sent them.

Tsk. You are being HOSTILE again, trying to say I "flunked out"
or "gave up."

>"Pasadena forensics could practice on what was left of you after saying
>that."
>
>What did you mean by that sentence?

Maybe they want to be on TV? You know, like "CSI" (the first one
from Las Vegas), "CSI: Miami," and "CSI: New York"? :-)

All are popular shows about forensics and criminology. Then there's
"Bones" on the Fox network, the various "Law and Order" crime
dramas. Viewing audience likes that stuff.

Pasadena isn't far from the center of movie-TV production in
Los Angeles. Gosh, you could be on TV! :-)

>> Texts and old books seems to be where you get your "experience."
>
>Well, you're wrong about that.

That MUST have been where you got all your "military expertise."

>> Oh, my, you'll have to tell all the illustrators everywhere that
>> their techniques are "dying!"
>
>It's what you've told us about Morse Code, even though you're not
>involved.

Sorry, I was VERY INVOLVED in illustration. I was VERY INVOLVED
in radio and electronics. Both for over a half century.

Where are all the commercial morse code communications sites now?

Where are all the military morse code communications sites now?

Once there was nothing else besides morse code in radio
communications. Now all there is of that is on amateur bands.

Tsk, you aren't "dying" but your time in Intensive Care Unit is
coming to a close...

>> I live in the past?!?
>>
>Yes.

No, I live in the house.

I have lived in motels and hotels.

>"Pasadena forensics could practice on what was left of you after saying
>that."
>
>What did you mean by that?

You tell me... :-)

>Can you show any physics textbooks or courses that include
>electronic design or analysis?

Yes.

>> Tsk, tsk. I read Ben's biography entitled "Benjamin
>> Franklin - An American Life," by Walter Isaacson.
>
>So?

It's a relatively recent biography, extensively researched,
takes an objective look at the life of Franklin. It does
not glorify him with gratuitous phrases but explains what
he did during his long lifetime...facts that were
referenced by historical documents.

>> >Franklin the scientist determined the nature of
>> >lightning.
>>
>> Franklin was hardly schooled. He had only HONORARY
>> degrees.
>
>So he founded a great University that thrives today.

Franklin was a prosperous printer and a POLITICIAN.
Money and power can do lots of things.

He also got current flow in the wrong direction...:-)

>It's good stuff. Our country was born right here in
>Philadelphia.

Been there.

However, the center of United States government is in
the District of Columbia, not even in Pennsylvania.

>> You mean UNIFORM Code of Military Justice? :-)
>
>Yep - not "universal" as you mistakenly wrote.

I've worn the UNIFORM of the United States Army. I've been
under the UNIFORM Code of Military Justice for four years.
You've done nothing like that. You can never do anything
like that.

>>
>> I knew full well what it "stood for."
>
>No you didn't. You messed up.

Nope. Not once when serving in UNIFORM and being under the
UNIFORM Code of Military Justice. You can't say that. You
will never be able to say that.

>I think you didn't really read and understand all the comments.

Doesn't bother me at all what you think of me now. I do
have all 3,800 filings in WT Docket 05-235 on both hard
disk and CD archives. As far as I'm concerned, there was
NO "vote for 'CW'" as Speroni put his "analysis" of
NPRM 05-143 commentary in WT Docket 05-235. The Commission
was notified on what I thought/analyzed in my Exhibit filing
of 25 Movember 2005 along with a final tally sheet of
the four categories of general comment opinions.

>Yes, Len, we know you can't deal with facts and opinions different
>from your own.

:-) You are really going the way of Dudly the Imposter.

I DEAL with them as they occur. Your problem is that YOU
don't like differing opinions. Boo-hoo on you.

>Yes. You're obviously very jealous. Green with envy. Your
>behavior shows it.
>
>> The Hawaiian Morseman?
>
>There you go, acting all jealous and envious because someone
>did a better analysis than you did.

"Better?" :-)

Ah! Jimmie the Miccolis Morseman loves and cherishes morse
code so anything anyone says against it is called "cowardly"
under YOUR fabrications.

>You don't have any Petitions before the Commission, Len. You're
>too afraid to write one and have it DENIED.

Tsk. You are teetering on the edge of sanity. :-)

Wait...where is the MICCOLIS Petition? We don't see it!

Could it be that Miccolis is "afraid?"

>More important, you didn't follow the rules on Reply Comments
>back in 1999.

"Rules?" The "rules" are given by the Commission, not by
a Miccolis or "the amateur community." :-)

>Reply Comments are only supposed to be a
>reply to comments made by others - they are not supposed to
>bring up new suggestions.

Show us that "regulation" or "law," Jimmie.

>If you wanted to suggest an age
>requirement, the time to do that was during the Comment
>period, not the Reply Comment period.

Show us that "regulation" or "law," Jimmie.

>You're just as guilty
>of procedural mistakes as the folks who send in comments
>long after the deadline.

Show us the "procedural regulations/rules/law" applying
to filings' CONTENT, Jimmie.

>> You are simply hostile to anyone
>> who won't accept morse code wholeheartedly.
>
>Wrong!

R I G H T ! ! ! :-)

>> and don't have any valid reasons
>> for doing so except for canned phrases that were conditioned
>> into your mind by the league.
>
>That's simply not the case, Len. You're completely wrong on that.
>Grow up and accept that yours is not the only way of looking at
>things.

Tsk. I "grew up" in HF radio communications beginning in
early 1953. Three dozen high-power transmitters, message
traffic 220 thousand per month. Here's a link to that:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

Never used, nor had to use any morse code modes on those three
dozen HF transmitters at any time.

>> Why ARE you so obsessed with putting down all who want the
>> code test eliminated?
>
>Where have I done that?

In this newsgroup. "Google provides." :-)

>You don't matter to me at all, Len.

Then why do you make all those "replies" to me? :-)

>> You will retain your full amateur rank-status-privileges
>> regardless of whether the code test goes away or stays.
>
>It's not about those things at all, Len.

No? Then why are you so worried and agitated by it?

Code testing doesn't involve you at all.

>It's about what's good and bad for the Amateur Radio Service.

Well there we have it! The Lord High Commissioner of
Goodness has RULED on what is "good" and what is "bad!"

>I see the code test as being a good thing for the Amateur Radio service.

Sorry, NOT strong enough. As self-appointed Lord High
whatever, you MUST state firmly and resolutely ALL that
everyone MUST do!

>> Not to worry. There will always be some morseperson around
>> to play with you in your morse playground.
>
>Can you be sure?

Can you? :-)

>> >If I had evidence that you operated an amateur radio station
>> >illegally, I would report it to FCC. Enforcement is their job,
>> >not mine.
>>
>> Oh, my...do you carry a "shield" that states you are an
>> "official" radio person "authorized by the federal
>> government" or something like that?
>
>Don't need any such thing.

Yes, we know, you HAVE THE POWER! :-)

[hey, Brian, here's another one of those idiots ready to "dial"...]

>You are neither qualified nor authorized to operate an amateur radio
>station,
>Len.

How do you know? :-)

>> >> >FCC says you're not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.
>> >
>> >> The FCC has "said" no such thing to me.
>> >
>> >Yes, they have.
>>
>> In a real document addressed to ME? :-)
>>
>> Maybe in a telephone call? :-)
>
>In the regulations.

My name isn't mentioned once in the five-volume bound set of Title
47 C.F.R. Not in any Part therein.

The ONLY place where my name appeared was on the Government Post
Office shippling label that sent me those five volumes.

Show us WHERE my name, with appropriate statement of
"unqualifications" appears in Title 47 C.F.R.

>> Wow! Several months ago I was looking at the Burbank HRO store
>> station, even tweaked a transceiver dial to tune in a SSB signal
>> clearer! Hey, get the surveilance camera tapes! You might find
>> me on them doing that! Wowee! You can make an ARREST!
>
>That's not "operating".

Define OPERATING. :-)

>> I have served in the military of the United States. Volunteering
>> during a war time. Taking an oath to defend the United States
>> and its Constitution with my life if needs be.
>
>That's a brave act, Len. But it was more than a half-century ago.

Tsk. It's something you've NEVER done, Jimmie.

I decided it was time to serve my country and volunteered to do
so during a war time. You've done NOTHING like that at any time.

>Not me, Len.

We KNOW that, Jimmie. You've NEVER done that.

>I said you were afraid - and you are.

"Afraid of what?" :-)

>People are afraid of all sorts of things.

You must have been AFRAID to risk your precious body in real
military service since you didn't volunteer. <shrug>

>You were afraid to let your neighbors build two-story houses....

W R O N G .

NOT "afraid." MY neighbors did not want the development started that would cut off our view...so much so that we all formed an action committee and we presented our case to the zoning commission in a regular meeting. That VACANT land (about 15 acres) was then UNdeveloped. The contractor won a change of zoning from only Residential to Residential-with-apartments...the existing neighbors lost that fight.

The contractor went bankrupt, couldn't develop the land, sold the land to another contractor. THAT contractor built 44 homes (average price $500,000 five years ago) after spending 9 months of re-arranging the vacant land. Our (original 'neighbors') views' were spoiled by those new homes.

My neighborhood was NOT "afraid." We took ACTION. That is recorded in the minutes of the City Zoning Commission's meetings. Okay, we neighbors tried and lost. That's the breaks in politics.

What you've done is to RE-WRITE what was originally written in here with some weird spin on "courage" and "fear" that YOU invented, fabricated, LIED about. That shows the kind of hostility you exhibit in here. ["Google provides"]

>But you sure don't display any courage or bravery here....

How so, Lord High Whatever?

Is it something about "not following YOUR orders/commands?!?"

Fork you, yer Lordship...you don't get to GIVE orders in here.

You are NOT QUALIFIED to give orders, you are NOT AUTHORIZED to give orders. You aren't even QUALIFIED to be a barracks lawyer, yet you pretend to be one with your "rules" on comment content!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

609. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 19:31:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133724709.279540.73150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126381

From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 3, 3:01 pm

>K□B wrote:
>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote

>> > They're floating museum pieces.
>> In your dreams, landlubber! Just a couple of examples for you.....
>
>> The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in
>> fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors. Not
>> a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).
>
>Been there, Hans.

There we have it! Presence of his Body makes Him "official." :-)

>"Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that actually
>sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main functions are
>educational and historic, not military.

Morse code testing for an amateur radio license is then also a
"museum piece" of no educational or historic (nor military)
need.

There are many morse code museums around the USA to display the
"educational and historic needs" for morse code...no federal
license testing is needed to keep up those museums.

If morse code is so damn good as a communications mode, then it
will survive quite well on its own WITHOUT federal license
testing requirements. Strange that all other radio services
of the USA quit using morse code for communications...

>> The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy in Connecticutt,
>> is a working training ship, used in training future seagoing officers.
>
>Does she go out on search and rescue?

Is morse code part of search and rescue?

Can you shed some light on that or are you blinking in puzzlement?

[a clue a la "Jeopardy"]

>Or is her purpose mostly historic and educational?
>
>I'm glad those ships are kept in operation.

Why? You are NOT in the USN or USCG, have never served in uniform.

You are NOT INVOLVED.

>But in reality they are working museum pieces.

Tsk, tsk. Jimmie should go on a "cruise" (or "float", whatever)
with the midshipmen of either academy and see for himself. :-)

>They're like the steam and first-generation diesel locomotives that a few
>Class 1 American railroads have kept on their rosters. Those old locos spend
>most of the time in storage, but are occasionally brought out and run
>for special purposes. They still work, meet all applicable
>requirements, and are technically
>on the active roster - but in reality they're museum pieces.

Those old choo-choos are in the military? Do prospective Army
Corps of Engineers cadets from West Point, NY, go on railroad
"cruises" also? I think not. :-)

Do those old choo-choos use morse code for communications?

>And the main point remains: Sailboats make up far less than 1% of the
>US military fleet.

Was that the "main point?" :-)

Bad on me...I thought that AMATEUR RADIO LICENSING was the "main
point" in this thread. Must be "wrong." :-)

Well, we've all Heard the Word from the Master Mariner of the navel
academy. Up-anchor and sail away into the susnet, beeping all
the way... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

610. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 19:34:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133724851.416729.80620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 4, 9:31 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed 16 Nov 2005 17:04
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> >> > Why is it that Frank spends a few years in the Marine Corps and knows
>> >> > the lingo, you spend >>>almost<<> > stumble around for the names of radio gear >>
>> >that you supposedly used,
>> >> > and stumble around using Army phrases instead of Marine phrases, claim
>> >> > that sailors don't cuss (HIHIHI), etc, etc, etc???
>
>> >> > Frank pegged you for what you are.
>
>> >> Frankie pegged nothing, Brain.
>
>> >Frank pinned the tail on the ass. Bray a little bray for me, Steve
>> >Marie...
>
>> ...that's MAJOR Steve Marie to you! :-)
>
>But he's an ass nonetheless.

True. :-)

>> >> Frankie's misrepresentation of the truth about some terminology
>> >> is, on the face of it, ludicrous. Lame at best, and laughable that
>> >> in-the-rear-with-the-gear guys such as yourself and Lennie are
>> >> impressed by his rantings.
>
>> >There you go with the "REMF" stuff again. Typical bully behavio[u]r.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The guy who has NO DD-214 and went from
>> some kind of enlisted person to a "commissioned" Major
>> is still trying to snow job us. Unbelievable. Unbelievably
>> POOR con man!
>
>Indeed. The "Con" part of "Con Man" means confidence. Steve has yet
>to impart any feeling of confidence in any of his stories and claims.

Indeed. All he has to do is digitize and make available his
DD-214 (blotting out privacy entries such as SSN if he is
worried) and that would end most of the speculation.

Dudly the Imposter hasn't been able to do that in seven years.

By now he ought to be feeling a "seven year itch" but perhaps
he has no nerves...

>> >Tell us about an avionics maintenence tech getting hauled out on not
>> >one, but seven hostile missions. Hi! Hi, hi, hi!
>
>> er..."hostile ACTIONS." More NON-specific that way. :-)
>
>Yep. The Air Force always loads support pers on aircraft to engage the
>enemy. I recall a time when the entire line of breakfast cooks ....,
>then there was the time they guys from military pay ....

Er, we can't count "hostile actions" at the NCO club...:-)

>Poor Con Man indeed. Hope he doesn't give up his day job.

Tsk, Dudly may not have a job. He claims "disability."

>> >> First of all, where's this tale about "...names of radio gear you
>> >> supposedly used" come from? Lennie's tried that rant for years and now
>> >> Frankie picked up on it.
>
>> >Too bad you didn't.
>
>> Major Dudly Dumshit has NEVER ONCE mentioned specific radio
>> gear on any of his hell-icopters he "serviced."
>
>Sends the wrong "signal," doesn't it?

His "signal" sounds like so much QRM.

>> I already know a few and can look up a lot more...and I was
>> never directly involved with USMC as a civilian engineer.
>
>Maybe if Clancy devoted more time to it, Steve would know more.

I doubt even that... :-(

>> >> It never happened.
>
>> >Much of what you say never happened.
>
>> Right-ON and roger-that! :-)
>
>Affirmative.

YO! :-)

>> >> It was part of Frankie's cross-dressing with Lennie.
>
>> >Hmmm? Still fixated on same-sex issues?
>
>> Tsk, Frank Gilliland and I live roughly a thousand miles apart.
>> Even though my wife and I have a house in the Puget Sound
>> area of Washington, Spokane is quite a bit farther east from
>> Kitsap County and nearby Tacoma.
>
>Yeh, I'm sure you guys get together and cross-dress regularly. Hi!
>Steve is sooo far out there.

Dudly is in deeper water than the Pacific above the Marianas
Trench.

At this point even a refitted Glomar Explorer couldn't bring
him back to the surface...

>> "Cross-dressing?" Dudly apparently is fixated on SEX now,
>> getting very angry when he isn't "getting any." Tsk, tsk.
>
>He does have some issues, but I'm not a medical professional. Maybe
>the Nurses Board will get him help.

I would suggest the nurses there take a board to his haid...

>> "Dress riiiight...DRESS!" "Cover down." :-)
>
>That -was- the command, but there's Steve, affixing bayonet. What
>gives with -that- guy?

Who knows? I could call the Pasadena PD and ask their forensics
team to do an autopsy and pathological exam, but that zombie
would rise from the dead and mess up the exam table, clog the
drains...

>> >> And as for the "lingo", Frankie needed to be in the Corps longer.
>> >> His one tour, half of which was spent digging his butt out of trouble,
>> >> only left him with HALF the story.
>
>> >> Nice try though.
>
>> >Gosh, it doesn't look like he tried to get out of anything.
>
>> Frank Gilliland was IN the USMC. Dudly is a WANNABE.

Major Dud can't see the difference -

Frank was IN the USMC, Dudly was never in.

Frank told it like it is. Dudly only told us how he imagined it.

>> Frank and I disagree on Dudly. I say Dud was NEVER IN. Frank
>> says he was in but as a Reservist.
>

>> I have others NOT on this newsgroup who are Marines (but not
>> on active duty) and who I've known for years. Frank's lingo
>> and explanations FIT what they know first-hand.
>
>There is no shame in being Reserves (or Guard).

NATIONAL Guard. I don't count militia groups who jus' wanna
play sojer in da woods.

>America needs all of it's service people. All have a role.

RIGHT ON!

> Then there are those who served
>"in other ways" or who have "real military service" without having been
>in the service at all.

Their "range" is all about shooting their mouths off...claiming
high scores all the time...but all they've shot is the bull.

>Lots of people with lots of issues on RRAP.

For them it's an ideal WANNABE playground.

>> >> > Seven hostile actions. Where and when?
>
>> >> Both questions not going to be answered...Not because they are
>> >> fraudulent or "secret", but for the reasons we're already embroiled in
>> >> THIS spat..."this much" is always the catalyst for more lies and
>> >> accusations from your side of the fence.
>
>> >Gosh golly, Steve. You're such an up-front kind of guy except when it
>> >counts.
>
>> Fork Dudly. I think he's done...
>
>He was done a long time ago.

Could be that his DD-214 was messed up by spilled A-1 Sauce?

>> >> Try to make as much from it as you care to do, Brain, but it's all
>> >> you've got to play with.
>
>> >> Have fun.
>
>> >Rest assured that your truth will surface one day.
>
>> Until the "truth" comes out...it is SO much fun beating up
>> a military-wannabe, an IMPOSTER, who can't prove a damn
>> thing about his "service" claims. Poor sumbish.
>
>I think it's sad, and needs professional attention.

ABSOLUTELY.

>> >> > I'm sure you think it's about him. It's not.
>
>> >> It IS about him. He has no credibility. He lied to his country,
>> >> he lied to the Corps, and now he's in here salving his "I Cudda Been A
>> >> Contender" ex-Marine ego by trying to "beat up" on a former SNCO since
>> >> this is the ONLY way he could do it and not get a third court martial.
>
>> >Like you bully people here?
>
>> >You're starting to get a victim complex, aren't you?
>
>> The paranoia is building in Dudly. Keep watching this blog.
>
>Paranoia is common among drug abusers.

YES.

>> >> > Already been this year. DD-214. You make a copy, sanitize SSN, DOB,
>> >> > scan it and post it instead of that dumpy photo of you on QRZ. Post a
>> >> > message here, then take it down after 24 hours. Then put up a photo of
>> >> > you with an Gold Oakleaf on each shoulder.
>
>> >> Nope.
>
>> >You probably don't have a DD-214.
>
>> Until I mentioned it years ago (DD-214), I don't think Dudly KNEW
>> what it was! Hans did, you did, even Larry Roll. No-servers like
>> Miccolis and Kelly wouldn't have known, no problem there.

There's only two conclusions:

1. Dud doesn't have a DD-214 because he never served.

2. Dud served but doesn't want anyone to know of the REAL
truth on that form...truth that would put an end to all
his Lying in here.

>> Meanwhile, Frank and I will continue to argue on Dudly's REAL
>> "service", disagreeing only in a minor way.
>
>> Dudly ought to change his "sign off" to Semper Pirate-us. :-)
>
>Arrrghh!

OK. :-)

If and when I address anything to Major Dud, I will toss in the
usual ending motto for all USMC Wannabes: Temper Fry.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

611. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 19:36:15 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <9vydnUQhp4YPqg7eRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126376

```
WhinyCunt_Wussman wrote:
> <kb9rqz@amsat.rg> wrote in message
> news:q165p1d4g78r5rjgc0kbje4ivqlhomji6q@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:15:53 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>
>
> If ignorance is indeed bliss, KB9RQZ HAS to be one of the most joyful souls
> walking this earth.
>
>

If we all were children playing on the playground, KB9RQZ is the one we
would call "stinky'.
```

612. Re: why does Dave set his bigotry above the word of Christ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 19:37:02 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <9vydnUchp4Zdqg7eRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 126377

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> what amkes you able to deny the order of chirst himself
>
>
> chirst? Is that the fellow in whom you have no belief?
>
>> "let he that is without sin cast the first stone"
>
>
> If we attend a stoning, I'll remember that.
>
> Now, back to amateur radio:
>
> When connecting your radio to a power supply, be sure not to reverse
> polarity.
>

Now you will have to explain to him what polarity is.
```

613. Re: why does Dave set his bigotry above the word of Christ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 20:12:16 UTC
From: John Deere <NotRoger2@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dmvie7$62s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126383

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:9vydnUchp4Zdqg7eRVn-gg@gbronline.com...
Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> what amkes you able to deny the order of chirst himself
>
>
> chirst? Is that the fellow in whom you have no belief?
>
>> "let he that is without sin cast the first stone"
>
>
> If we attend a stoning, I'll remember that.
>
> Now, back to amateur radio:
>
> When connecting your radio to a power supply, be sure not to reverse
> polarity.
>

Now you will have to explain to him what polarity is.

>

He knows what polarity is. It's where all that there ice is, ain't it?

614. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 20:24:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133727890.658708.256420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126384

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Wed, Nov 30 2005 3:21 pm
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: K0HB on Nov 28, 5:22 pm
> >> >"Frank Gilliland" <w?nut@NOSPAM?house.net> wrote
>
> >> >> Since you are Major Dud's de-facto spokesman in this matter, care to
> >> >> speculate why he didn't know the purpose of box 11?
> >> >
> >> >I can hardly speak for myself, so I can't speculate.
> >>
> >> WHAAAAT?!? Har! That hasn't stopped you before...
> >>
> >> >Depends on the vintage of the DD214.
> >>
> >> My DD-214 is from 1956. That was a very good year.
> >>
> >> Er, shouldn't it be "DD-214" rather than 'DD214'?
>
> Hans served on DESTROYERS?
>
> [be prepared for his replies with lots of navy lingo]

I've heard ot them before. They're working with George Thoroughlygood
these days.

> >> We can't go against any BUPERINST in amateur radio. Everything
> >> has to be "just so!"
> >
> >I understand that there are dashes in the phone number "911."
>
> Only in Dudlyworld.

Maybe Dolly can sell him a couple of acres so he can open up a theme
park next to her. It would probably specialize in male genitalia and
cross-dressing. Steve could play the part of Uncle Ernie in "The
Pinball Wizard."

> ...and perhaps in Tennessee. It might be a literacy thing there.

After 60 years of "progressive reform" in the south...

> >> >On a current Navy one Block 11 would indicate the NECs held during
> >> >the enlistment ("NEC" is what you jarheads call an "MOS").
> >>
> >> "Jarheads?" Why you call ARMY people for "Jarheads," swabbie?

>
> My apologies, Brian, I should have asked "Army or AIR FORCE"... :-(

Might be a messed up attribution. Air Force personnel readily respond
to "Airman," "wingnut," or "hey Sarge."

> USN apparently still tries to be "more sophisticated" than
> other branches of the U.S. military? They don't have Military
> Occupation Specialties (MOS)?

But they know what the MOS is, and what the Navy equivalent is. To
pretend that they don't is to visit Dave's World of self-imposed
ignorance and strong desire to be offended.

The Air Force has the Specialty Code, or AFSC. Asking an Airman what
their "job" is will usually evoke a correct response. The Air Force
requires one to have a high school diploma for recruitment. We also
issue the DD-214 upon discharge.

> >> Hang in there, super chief. Whatever I say, I'm sure you will
> >> "correct" me even if I'm NOT wrong. Predictable. :-)
> >>
> >> See ya on 1.4 GHz some time?
> >>
> >What mode?
>
> A la... :-)

Hopefully not the "com" mode, although I did visit an installation that
had a row of white porcelain without dividers or doors. Way too much
non-verbal communication for me.

615. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 20:32:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133728369.850618.126080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126385

KØHB wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote

> > how can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years
> > (17 years 9 months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable'
> > discharge -AND- get retirement status?
>
> My presumption (and it's only a guess) is that he was placed on the TDRL, which
> can "retire" medically disabled personnel with as few as eight years of active
> service. It's not a medical discharge, it's a medical retirement. There is no
> discharge which carries the name "Medical".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, would that include discharges for the mentally disabled?

616. Re: why doesn't Dave heed the teachings of the saoints

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 20:36:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133728596.203568.82700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126386

an_old_friend wrote:
> Vengeance is mine sayeth the Lord I shall repay
>
>
> how do you justify that behoavoir as moral?

Dave doesn't believe?

Mike, Jim, and Hans have said as much.

617. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 22:28:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133735303.776231.182110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126387

From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 4, 5:08 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> K0HB wrote:
>> > <N...@AOL.COM> wrote

>> > > "Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that
>> > > actually sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main
>> > > functions are educational and historic, not military.
>
>Speaking of sailboats, there was at least one use of sail on a USN submarine
>- and it was in the 20th century.

Was this thread about "sailboats?" Hot darn, I coulda sworn it was
all about Replies to Comments on NPRM 05-143!

>In May of 1921, submarine R-14 was in the Pacific on a search-and-rescue for
>fleet tug Conestoga.

Did the USN use the term "search-and-rescue" then, olde-tyme Mister
Mariner?

Do you understand how FAR BACK in time 1921 is? Hint: 84 years!

Why are you dredging up so much muck of the PAST? Especially when
this new misdirection isn't even close to NPRM 05-143 subject?

>Somehow the R-14 crew managed to run the boat out of fuel.

"...run the boat out of fuel?!?"

Did they fire up the spark transmitter on battery power and make
a DASH for port with their code key?

Didn't the crew hop on the long crankshaft like they did on
the Hunley?

Jimmie boy, I think you've run out of fuel on this thread. Crude.

>So they sewed mattress covers and blankets together, and using the torpedo
>loading derrick, made a sail. After 5 days sailing, R-14 arrived in
>Hilo, Hawaii.

Could it make even 2 Knots with that rigging?

Jimmie, ALL manned submarines made after WW2 have a SAIL.

Meanwhile, if you want to get into maritime lore, just go to the
appropriate newsgroup for it. This one is about amateur RADIO

policy. So far you've been all a-sea and a-drift here.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

618. decentralized emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-04 23:47:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133740047.987265.319110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126388

http://www.wired.com/wired/archive/13.12/warning_pr.html

619. FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 00:14:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133741678.515694.64560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The FCC friday affirmed Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine. You can read the article here:

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/12/02/101/?nc=1

Todd N9OGL

620. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 00:29:43 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <XRLkf.13751$aA2.7032@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126390

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1133647078.784489.326770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
K�HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> > But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that
>> > the requirementsare "too high"....
>
>> Who told you that? Not FCC. Not ARRL. Not me.
>
>It has been shown by the actions of the first two, and others.
>
>First off, there's the reduction in code testing. Also code
>waivers. Elimination of the sending test, the one-minute-
>solid copy requirement, etc. But let's put those aside and
l>ook at the writtens:
>
>1) there's the official publication of the written exams.

Did the ARRL or any other ham organization petition
for the test questions to be published?

> 2) CSCEs mean the tests can be taken one at a time.

Your point? And again, who lobbied for that change?

> 3) In March 1987 the General written was split into two elements
> so that Techs no longer had to pass the full General written.

> 4) The content of the exams has been gradually made to cover
> more subjects at less depth. Want to see some study questions
> from the 1976 exams?

One could argue that is making the test
more difficult...depending on the individual.

> 5) Instant retest means someone can try over and over as long
> as time and the wallet hold out.

Hasn't that been recently changed? Even if
not, I don't know of any VE group that allows
retesting on the smae test at the same test session.

> In 2000, FCC reduced both the number of the written tests and
> the overall number of questions for all remaining license classes.
>
> And yet NCVEC says we need another license class because

> the current Tech is "too hard".

Hasn't the ARRL said the same thing by proposing a new beginners
license?
(SNIP

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

621. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 00:35:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133742948.633536.163240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126391

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat, Dec 3 2005 8:28 am
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 2, 5:33 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 29 2005 3:38 am
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm

> >> >Sure there is - it's called wigwag.
> >>
> >> No, those are called SEMAPHORE FLAGS.
> >
> >No, they're not. Semaphore and wigwag are two different things.
> >Look it up.
>
> Two dictionaries I have say "see 'semaphore'" under several
> different definitions of "wigwag."

Wig-wag and sempahore are not the same thing.
>
> Can you wigwag in morse?

Yes.

> [no such thing]

You are mistaken, Len. As usual, you are resistant to new
information.

http://www.gordon.army.mil/ocos/Museum/IMAGES/wigwag.gif

> I've already worn the collar insignia of the United States Army
> Signal Corps, a torch over two crossed signal flags.

The US Army also used wig wag signalling

http://www.gordon.army.mil/ocos/Museum/IMAGES/wigwag.gif

but you don't seem to know about that.

> You've never done that.
> You can never do that.

I can never wear the insignia of the Signal Corps? You are
quite mistaken, Len.

> >> >> >Except for a few floating museum pieces, the US Navy stopped using
> >> >> >sail power about 100 years ago.
> >> >
> >> >> Go to the docking area at the U.S. Naval Academy or the U.S. Coast
> >> >> Guard Academy. Are those "tall ships" illusions? No, they are
> >> >> real.
> >> >
> >> >Nobody said they aren't real. They're floating museum pieces.
>
> You've already argued with Hans Brakob on that. You wound up
> all wet.

How did I wind up "all wet", Len?

> >> I went to Art Center for a year at their old campus on
> >> 3rd Street in Los Angeles. :-)
> >
> >Did you flunk out? Or perhaps you just GAVE UP?
>
> I changed my studies from illustration to engineering. Career
> choice change.

In other words, you GAVE UP on illustration as a career after a year
of school. Tsk, tsk.

You do seem to flit about, Len, with all those different jobs and
activities...

> I can't "give up" something I have a natural talent for.

"Talent"?

> ..that's
> built-in, has been used in previous employment. I was accepted
> by Art Center on the basis of submitted work that I sent them.

Yet after a year you GAVE UP.

> Tsk. You are being HOSTILE again, trying to say I "flunked out"
> or "gave up."

How is it being hostile? I'm pointing out that you GAVE UP on
illustration
as a career.

> >"Pasadena forensics could practice on what was left of you after saying
> >that."
> >
> >What did you mean by that sentence?
>
> Maybe they want to be on TV? You know, like "CSI" (the first one
> from Las Vegas), "CSI: Miami," and "CSI: New York"? :-)

I don't think so.
>

> All are popular shows about forensics and criminology. Then there's
> "Bones" on the Fox network, the various "Law and Order" crime
> dramas. Viewing audience likes that stuff.

Doesn't fit

> Pasadena isn't far from the center of movie-TV production in
> Los Angeles. Gosh, you could be on TV! :-)

> >> Texts and old books seems to be where you get your "experience."

> >Well, you're wrong about that.
>
> That MUST have been where you got all your "military expertise."

Nope. Guess again.

> >> Oh, my, you'll have to tell all the illustrators everywhere that
> >> their techniques are "dying!"

> >It's what you've told us about Morse Code, even though you're not
> >involved.
>
> Sorry, I was VERY INVOLVED in illustration.

But not in Morse Code.

And you GAVE UP on both illustration as a career and learning Morse
Code at the very modest speed of 13 wpm.

> I was VERY INVOLVED
> in radio and electronics. Both for over a half century.

Past tense, I see.
>
> Where are all the commercial morse code communications sites now?

> Where are all the military morse code communications sites now?

Where are all the 100 wpm mechanical teleprinters now?

Where are all the big multitransmitter HF sites like ADA now?
>
> Once there was nothing else besides morse code in radio
> communications.

That ended about 1900 when Reginald Fessenden began transmitting
voice and music by radio.

> Now all there is of that is on amateur bands.

Not true, Len. Have you not heard of KSM/KPH?
>
> Tsk, you aren't "dying" but your time in Intensive Care Unit is
> coming to a close...

?? I'm a lot healthier than you, Len.

> >"Pasadena forensics could practice on what was left of you after saying
> >that."
> >
> >What did you mean by that?
>
> You tell me... :-)

You were trying to threaten me physically. You've done that before,
Len.

> >Can you show any physics textbooks or courses that include
> >electronic design or analysis?
>
> Yes.

Such as?

> >> Tsk, tsk. I read Ben's biography entitled "Benjamin
> >> Franklin - An American Life," by Walter Isaacson.
> >
> >So?
>
> It's a relatively recent biography, extensively researched,
> takes an objective look at the life of Franklin. It does
> not glorify him with gratuitous phrases but explains what
> he did during his long lifetime...facts that were
> referenced by historical documents.

Such as invent lightning protection systems - the first practical
electrical devices.

> >> >Franklin the scientist determined the nature of
> >> >lightning.
> >>
> >> Franklin was hardly schooled. He had only HONORARY
> >> degrees.
> >
> >So he founded a great University that thrives today.
>
> Franklin was a prosperous printer and a POLITICIAN.

Also a genius.

> Money and power can do lots of things.

Not without direction.

His University flourishes today. Also the hospital he founded (first in
the USA),
his fire-protection societies, his free-library concepts, and much
more.

> He also got current flow in the wrong direction...:-)

Nope, he was just ahead of his time. He described the flow of holes
rather than electrons.
>
> >It's good stuff. Our country was born right here in
> >Philadelphia.
>
> Been there.

NADC to be exact, right? You weren't exactly begged to
stay there, were you, Len?

> However, the center of United States government is in
> the District of Columbia, not even in Pennsylvania.

That's a good thing! The District of Columbia was invented
so that the nation's capital would not be inside any state.

The nation's capital is nowhere near California, either...

> >> You mean UNIFORM Code of Military Justice? :-)
> >
> >Yep - not "universal" as you mistakenly wrote.
>
> I've worn the UNIFORM of the United States Army. I've been
> under the UNIFORM Code of Military Justice for four years.

Yet you messed up on what "UCMJ" meant.

> You've done nothing like that.

How do you know for sure?

> You can never do anything like that.

What does it matter?

> >I think you didn't really read and understand all the comments.
>
> Doesn't bother me at all what you think of me now.

Apparently it does, because you get so defensive.

> I do
> have all 3,800 filings in WT Docket 05-235 on both hard
> disk and CD archives.

Bully for you.

> As far as I'm concerned, there was
> NO "vote for 'CW'" as Speroni put his "analysis" of
> NPRM 05-143 commentary in WT Docket 05-235.

There are none so blind as those who will not see. You can deny
all you want, but 55% of those individuals who expressed a
preference in comments on 05-235 supported at least some
Morse Code testing. Only 45% supported complete

removal of all Morse Code testing. That's a "vote for CW" to
anyone who thinks rationally.

Of course the FCC doesn't have to follow that "vote" and
probably won't. But to deny its existence is to deny reality.

> The Commission
> was notified on what I thought/analyzed in my Exhibit filing
> of 25 Movember 2005 along with a final tally sheet of
> the four categories of general comment opinions.

Yes, you spammed them with dozens and dozens of pages
of your verbiage. I feel sorry for the poor souls at FCC who
have to read all the worthless junk you send them, Len. ;-)

> >Yes, Len, we know you can't deal with facts and opinions different
> >from your own.
>
> :-) You are really going the way of Dudly the Imposter.
>
> I DEAL with them as they occur.

You deal by denial.

> >Yes. You're obviously very jealous. Green with envy. Your
> >behavior shows it.
> >
> >> The Hawaiian Morseman?
> >
> >There you go, acting all jealous and envious because someone
> >did a better analysis than you did.
>
> "Better?" :-)

Yes. Your analysis counts those who file multiple comments as if
they are separate opinions. As if the wordiest person's opinion
somehow counts more because they typed more.

If someone had written Reply Comments to every procodetest
comment, your system would have counted them as separate
opinions even though they had the same author. That's not a
valid way of analyzing opinion. In short, it stinks.

> >You don't have any Petitions before the Commission, Len. You're
> >too afraid to write one and have it DENIED.
>
> Tsk. You are teetering on the edge of sanity. :-)
>
> Wait...where is the MICCOLIS Petition? We don't see it!
>
> Could it be that Miccolis is "afraid?"

You didn't recognize it when you saw it, Len.

> >More important, you didn't follow the rules on Reply Comments
> >back in 1999.
>
> "Rules?" The "rules" are given by the Commission,

That's right.

And you didn't follow them back in 1999.

> >Reply Comments are only supposed to be a
> >reply to comments made by others - they are not supposed to
> >bring up new suggestions.
>
> Show us that "regulation" or "law," Jimmie.

Look up the definition of "Reply Comments", Len.
>
> >If you wanted to suggest an age
> >requirement, the time to do that was during the Comment
> >period, not the Reply Comment period.
>
> Show us that "regulation" or "law," Jimmie.

Look up the definition of "Reply Comments", Len.

> >You're just as guilty
> >of procedural mistakes as the folks who send in comments
> >long after the deadline.
>
> Show us the "procedural regulations/rules/law" applying
> to filings' CONTENT, Jimmie.

Look up the definition of "Reply Comments", Len.

> >> Why ARE you so obsessed with putting down all who want the
> >> code test eliminated?
> >
> >Where have I done that?
>
> In this newsgroup. "Google provides." :-)

Then show us a link to this alleged "putting down".

> >> You will retain your full amateur rank-status-privileges
> >> regardless of whether the code test goes away or stays.
> >
> >It's not about those things at all, Len.
>
> No? Then why are you so worried and agitated by it?
>
> Code testing doesn't involve you at all.

Yes, it does. If the Amateur Radio Service is changed for the
worse, I am affected.
>

> >It's about what's good and bad for the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> Well there we have it! The Lord High Commissioner of
> Goodness has RULED on what is "good" and what is "bad!"

Anyone who comments to FCC is saying what is good and what is bad.
>
> >I see the code test as being a good thing for the Amateur Radio service.
>
> Sorry, NOT strong enough. As self-appointed Lord High
> whatever, you MUST state firmly and resolutely ALL that
> everyone MUST do!

Why?

> >You are neither qualified nor authorized to operate an amateur radio
> >station,
> >Len.
>
> How do you know? :-)

Because you're not licensed.

> >> >> >FCC says you're not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.
> >> >
> >> >> The FCC has "said" no such thing to me.
> >> >
> >> >Yes, they have.
> >>
> >> In a real document addressed to ME? :-)
> >>
> >> Maybe in a telephone call? :-)
> >
> >In the regulations.
>
> My name isn't mentioned once in the five-volume bound set of Title
> 47 C.F.R. Not in any Part therein.
>
> The ONLY place where my name appeared was on the Government Post
> Office shippling label that sent me those five volumes.
>
> Show us WHERE my name, with appropriate statement of
> "unqualifications" appears in Title 47 C.F.R.

Your name is not in the FCC database of amateur radio licenses.
Therefore
you are not qualified.
>
> >> Wow! Several months ago I was looking at the Burbank HRO store
> >> station, even tweaked a transceiver dial to tune in a SSB signal
> >> clearer! Hey, get the surveilance camera tapes! You might find
> >> me on them doing that! Wowee! You can make an ARREST!

> >
> >That's not "operating".
>
> Define OPERATING. :-)

Being the control operator of an amateur radio station. You
are not qualified to do that - have never been qualified. I've
been qualified, and doing that, since 1967.

> >> I have served in the military of the United States. Volunteering
> >> during a war time. Taking an oath to defend the United States
> >> and its Constitution with my life if needs be.
> >
> >That's a brave act, Len. But it was more than a half-century ago.
>
> Tsk. It's something you've NEVER done, Jimmie.

How do you know?
>
> I decided it was time to serve my country and volunteered to do
> so during a war time. You've done NOTHING like that at any time.

How do you know?

Besides, you seem to think that one brave act means all must defer
to your opinions and whims. Doesn't work that way.

> >I said you were afraid - and you are.
>
> "Afraid of what?" :-)
>
> >People are afraid of all sorts of things.
>
> You must have been AFRAID to risk your precious body in real
> military service since you didn't volunteer. <shrug>

Suppose you had been born in 1954, Len.

Would you have volunteered to fight in Vietnam in 1972?

> >You were afraid to let your neighbors build two-story houses....
>
> W R O N G .

(Cluck-cluck!)

> NOT "afraid."

You were afraid of how the neighborhood would change.

> MY neighbors did not want the development
> started that would cut off our view...so much so that we
> all formed an action committee and we presented our case to
> the zoning commission in a regular meeting.

Just your neighbors? Or you too?

> That VACANT land
> (about 15 acres) was then UNdeveloped. The contractor won a
> change of zoning from only Residential to Residential-with-
> apartments...the existing neighbors lost that fight.

In other words, you and your neighbors wanted to stop other people
from building certain types of buildings on *their own land* - because
it would mess up your *view*.

> The contractor went bankrupt, couldn't develop the land, sold
> the land to another contractor.

So what?

> THAT contractor built 44 homes
> (average price $500,000 five years ago)

So they were worth more than your house....

> after spending 9 months
> of re-arranging the vacant land.

How does anyone "rearrange" land? With a bulldozer?

44 homes on 15 acres is about a third of an acre each.
Half a million each is a starter home, right?

> Our (original 'neighbors')
> views' were spoiled by those new homes.

So - you thought your "view" was more important than
the newcomers' property rights. You thought that those
15 acres should not be developed, even though you
didn't own them. You resisted changes that brought in
new people and more progress. You clung to the past
and tried to hold back the future.

And you failed.
>
> My neighborhood was NOT "afraid."

Yes, you were. You feared the loss of your "views".

> We took ACTION.

And you FAILED.

> That is
> recorded in the minutes of the City Zoning Commission's
> meetings. Okay, we neighbors tried and lost. That's the
> breaks in politics.

What I find most interesting is that you fought change, progress,
and newcomers. And you thought your views should count for
more than the wants and needs of those who owned the land.

> What you've done is to RE-WRITE what was originally written in
> here with some weird spin on "courage" and "fear" that YOU
> invented, fabricated, LIED about.

What spin? What fabrication? What lies?

I simply point out that you and your neighbors feared and opposed
change in the neighborhood. That's the truth.

622. Re: More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 02:32:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qd97p1d3d7qbbj1c2k6ihb9l1ntc6jjk6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 126392

On 4 Dec 2005 08:31:22 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1133713882.028177.91020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> Frankie of Silliland intentionally lied when he wrote:
>>
>> > He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist.
>>
>> Nope. Active Duty, save for the Delayed Entry Program.
>
>Is your delayed entry period on your DD Form 214? I mean, would it be
>on there if you had one?

If it was counted as inactive reserve, it would be noted in box 12(e).

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

623. Re: Hans jion in the projotive parade why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 02:48:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3UNkf.1301$Of1.897@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126393

WTF is a "projotive"?

Is it the opposite of an "amateurjotive"?

624. Re: More Proof That K4YZ is a Pathological Liar...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 02:49:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133750959.895941.299560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126394

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > > > > rest of us
> > > >
> > > > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
> > >
> > > Twice a liar, at that.
> >
> > Nope, no lies. Your last agreement to be civil didn't last even 24
> > hours. Google provides.
>
> Three.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The pathos is yours.

625. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 03:01:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133750097.481682.250760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126395

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 3, 3:01 pm
> >K□B wrote:
> >> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote

> >> > They're floating museum pieces.
> >> In your dreams, landlubber! Just a couple of examples for you.....
> >
> >> The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in
> >> fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors. Not
> >> a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).
> >
> >Been there, Hans.
>
> There we have it! Presence of his Body makes Him "official." :-)
>
> >"Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that actually
> >sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main functions are
> >educational and historic, not military.
>
> Morse code testing for an amateur radio license is then also a
> "museum piece"

How?

Hans says the USS Constitution is not a "museum piece". So neither is a
Morse
Code test.

> of no educational or historic (nor military) need.

Why not?

> There are many morse code museums around the USA to display the
> "educational and historic needs" for morse code...no federal
> license testing is needed to keep up those museums.

The USS Constitution is supported by Federal tax dollars and Federal
law.

> If morse code is so damn good as a communications mode, then it
> will survive quite well on its own WITHOUT federal license
> testing requirements.

Maybe it will. There are reports of *more* Morse Code activity and
interest in some countries where the testing has been eliminated.
One such country is Germany.

> Strange that all other radio services
> of the USA quit using morse code for communications...

Why is that strange? Other radio services do not have the same
Basis and Purposes as amateur radio.
>
> >> The USCG Barque Eagle, homeported at the Coast Guard Academy in
> >> Connecticutt,
> >> is a working training ship, used in training future seagoing officers.
> >
> >Does she go out on search and rescue?
>
> Is morse code part of search and rescue?
>
> Can you shed some light on that or are you blinking in puzzlement?
>
> [a clue a la "Jeopardy"]
>
> >Or is her purpose mostly historic and educational?
> >
> >I'm glad those ships are kept in operation.
>
> Why? You are NOT in the USN or USCG, have never served in uniform.

You have never been in the USN or USCG either, Len. You're no more
involved than I am.

> You are NOT INVOLVED.

Yes, I am. I pay Federal taxes.
>
> >But in reality they are working museum pieces.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Jimmie should go on a "cruise" (or "float", whatever)
> with the midshipmen of either academy and see for himself. :-)

So they're not museum pieces. Which means Morse Code isn't either.

> >They're like the steam and first-generation diesel locomotives that a few
> >Class 1 American railroads have kept on their rosters. Those old locos spend
> >most of the time in storage, but are occasionally brought out and run
> >for special purposes. They still work, meet all applicable
> >requirements, and are technically
> >on the active roster - but in reality they're museum pieces.
>
> Those old choo-choos are in the military? Do prospective Army
> Corps of Engineers cadets from West Point, NY, go on railroad
> "cruises" also? I think not. :-)

Actually, there is a station at West Point. A main line goes *under*
part of the campus.
>
> Do those old choo-choos use morse code for communications?

Guess you never heard whistle signals...

> >And the main point remains: Sailboats make up far less than 1% of the
> >US military fleet.
>
> Was that the "main point?" :-)

Yes.

> Bad on me...I thought that AMATEUR RADIO LICENSING was the "main
> point" in this thread. Must be "wrong." :-)

As usual.

626. Steve concerned about the number of Mark's postings.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 03:07:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133752042.093325.203280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126396

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 2 Dec 2005 04:23:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >Troy Reynolds wrote:
> > >> On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 00:00:44 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >Stevei insists on lying about the sexual orientation of severl folks
> > >> >on RRAP
> > >>
> > >> So ####ing what? Nobody gives a #### and neither should you.
> > >
> > > Forget it, Troy.
> > >
> > > Markie's considerate of and concious of one thing and one thing
> > >only....And that's what Markie wants...As you can see by his
> > >several-day-long spamming of the NG for his own gratification.
> >
> > more lies slander boring Stevie

Definitely a lie. Steve has started more new threads (spam) than
anyone around, usually to lie about another, or to call them names.
Now he cries like a little girl about getting a mega-dose of it back.
Poor little Steve.

> What's "boring" is watching you humiliate yourself, Markie.
>
> So...When you going to apologize to the other users and resume
> adult-like conduct?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I dunno. It's kind of interesting seeing someone "out-asshole" you,
Steve. And I don't think Mark's even close to being done. My best
guess is that you've really pissed him off. Can't imagine why. Hi!

You need to answer Mark's question about what a person's sexual
orientation has to do with amateur radio and why you change
attributions and accuse people of "raped an old friend," pedophilia,
etc.

Maybe once you apologize for being a jerk and promise to behave
yourself... he will abate the very treatment that you dish out to
others. Strange that you don't like it in-turn.

But it's up to Mark to accept your apology.

Meanwhile, I'm waiting for a seperate apology...

627. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 03:15:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133752538.824595.51740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126397

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1133647078.784489.326770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >> > But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that
> >> > the requirementsare "too high"....
> >
> >> Who told you that? Not FCC. Not ARRL. Not me.
> >
> >It has been shown by the actions of the first two, and others.
> >
> >First off, there's the reduction in code testing. Also code
> >waivers. Elimination of the sending test, the one-minute-
> >solid copy requirement, etc. But let's put those aside and
> >look at the writtens:
> >
> >1) there's the official publication of the written exams.
>
> Did the ARRL or any other ham organization petition
> for the test questions to be published?

Not that I know of. The move to the VEC system was made by
FCC. Making the tests public was an unavoidable consequence
of the VEC system. Besides, if the FCC couldn't keep them
secret from Dick Bash back when FCC made up and controlled
the test distribution, how could anyone expect they could do it
when the VEs ran the testing?

> > 2) CSCEs mean the tests can be taken one at a time.
>
> Your point?

In the bad old days, all the elements for a particular license had
to be passed at the same test session. For example, getting an
Extra meant that you had to pass both code and theory at the
same time. That's a tougher requirement than being able to
take them separately.

> And again, who lobbied for that change?

I don't recall if anyone did.
>
> > 3) In March 1987 the General written was split into two elements
> > so that Techs no longer had to pass the full General written.

>
> > 4) The content of the exams has been gradually made to cover
> > more subjects at less depth. Want to see some study questions
> > from the 1976 exams?
>
> One could argue that is making the test
> more difficult...depending on the individual.

It's the difference between knowing a little bit of the basics of a
wide variety
of subjects vs. an in-depth knowledge of fewer subjects. Most people
find
the latter to be more challenging.

> > 5) Instant retest means someone can try over and over as long
> > as time and the wallet hold out.
>
> Hasn't that been recently changed?

I don't think so. It was proposed but AFAIK not acted upon.

> Even if not, I don't know of any VE group that allows
> retesting on the smae test at the same test session.

My understanding is that some do - if you pay another fee.
>
> > In 2000, FCC reduced both the number of the written tests and
> > the overall number of questions for all remaining license classes.
> >
> > And yet NCVEC says we need another license class because
> > the current Tech is "too hard".
>
> Hasn't the ARRL said the same thing by proposing a new beginners
> license?
> (SNIP

Have they really proposed a new license? Or different privileges for
the
existing one?

73 de Jim, N2EY

628. Re: Why Markie Gets Made Fun Of So Easily

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 03:24:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133753042.342322.58240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126398

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > not an old friend wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133215183.057922.94830
> > > @g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > > > Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> > > >> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
> > > >> gay-borne STD's.
> > > >>
> > > > Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture
> > > >
> > > > one I had any STD
> > > >
> > > You've had them all? YAK!
> > >
> > more lies for cowards
>
> No...It's a reply that tries to respond to your posts in the same
> possessives and plurals that you "write" in.
>
> L E A R N E N G L I S H and people will stop making fun of you!
>
> S T O P trying to OVER-WRITE to compensate for your functional
> illiteracy and it won't be so apparent!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It's so nice to see a health care professional taking a personal
interest in someone who has dyslexia. Is that your specialty?

629. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 03:54:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gl97p11mct6dmv03gs5jo5rrcjfv13mk0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 126399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 4 Dec 2005 11:34:11 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1133724851.416729.80620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 4, 9:31 am
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
<snip>
>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The guy who has NO DD-214 and went from
>>> some kind of enlisted person to a "commissioned" Major
>>> is still trying to snow job us. Unbelievable. Unbelievably
>>> POOR con man!
>>
>>Indeed. The "Con" part of "Con Man" means confidence. Steve has yet
>>to impart any feeling of confidence in any of his stories and claims.
>
> Indeed. All he has to do is digitize and make available his
> DD-214 (blotting out privacy entries such as SSN if he is
> worried) and that would end most of the speculation.
>
> Dudly the Imposter hasn't been able to do that in seven years.

I posted mine voluntarily, but I certainly wasn't the first. Even John
Kerry posted his military records during the 2004 campaign. Major Dud,
OTOH, can't seem scrape up the pocket change for a second-hand scanner
at the local Goodwill store.

<snip>
>>> Frank and I disagree on Dudly. I say Dud was NEVER IN. Frank
>>> says he was in but as a Reservist.

A couple weeks ago I realized that you're probably right -- Major Dud
was never in the USMC. Maybe he was the Steven Robeson that served in
the USCG (Semper Paratus), but I doubt that, too. Dud was most likely
a brat that was tied to his momma's apron strings until his 30's, when
some financial crisis forced him to get a job (his mommy retired and
her Social Security check wasn't enough to pay for his hobbies). And
instead of admitting that he wasted his early adulthood, he assumed
the identity and military history of someone with the same name who he
discovered while surfing the net. That's my take. It may not be 100%
accurate, but I'll bet it's pretty close.

<snip>
>> Then there are those who served

>>"in other ways" or who have "real military service" without having been
>>in the service at all.
>
> Their "range" is all about shooting their mouths off...claiming
> high scores all the time...but all they've shot is the bull.

You can tell it's bull when they don't even know which targets were
used!!!!!

<snip>
>>> Until I mentioned it years ago (DD-214), I don't think Dudly KNEW
>>> what it was! Hans did, you did, even Larry Roll. No-servers like
>>> Miccolis and Kelly wouldn't have known, no problem there.
>
> There's only two conclusions:
>
> 1. Dud doesn't have a DD-214 because he never served.
>
> 2. Dud served but doesn't want anyone to know of the REAL
> truth on that form...truth that would put an end to all
> his Lying in here.

We'll never know which because Dud is too much of a coward to post the
truth. And by 'coward' I mean he's a yellow, spineless, shit-talking,
tail-tucking, hide-behind-the-keyboard blowhard......

I have no fear at all disclosing my military record. I don't care if
people know that I was a BE but now work at a bartender (which I
actually like better than being a BE). I'm not rich or famous -- never
have been and never will be. I'm no hero (wannabe or not) and I'm not
afraid to admit that I would rather walk away from a fight than take a
chance at getting my ass kicked. But Major Dud, self-proclaimed war
hero and saver of lives, can't scarf enough valium to post his DD-214?

That's pure =-COWARDICE-=.

<snip>
> If and when I address anything to Major Dud, I will toss in the
> usual ending motto for all USMC Wannabes: Temper Fry.

That won't have any effect. Dud lives in his own little fantasy world
where he is morally superior and everyone else should worship him, yet
at the same time he seeks validation of those fantasies by engaging in
flame wars on Usenet. IOW, you can't hurt his delusions of persecution
by feeding them. What Dudly fears most is the truth, and that's how he
will go down -- in a fireball of facts:

Fact: Dudly didn't know which targets were used in boot camp.

Fact: Dudly didn't know that avionics techs are routinely assigned to
the FMF.

Fact: Dudly has claimed to have several DD-214's -and also- has
claimed to have only one.

Fact: Dudly didn't know what info is recorded on a DD-214.

Fact: Dudly fell into the "box 24" trap.

Fact: Dudly fell into the shore patrol / military police trap.

Fact: Dudly didn't know some routine USMC terminology.

Fact: Dudly didn't know what page of the SRB is used to record crimes
and punishments (after claiming to have witnessed several NJP's... he
probably doesn't even know what 'NJP' stands for).

Fact: Dudly didn't know the different types of military discharges.

Fact: Dudly didn't know the promotion process for the USMC.

Fact: Dudly didn't know about the VINSON system; that the system was
in service for a large part of his alleged service; and that the
crypto system which he claimed was the -only- crypto system on USMC
aircraft during his alleged 'career' was retired ten years before his
discharge/separation.

And here's the biggie:

Fact: Dudly has proved that he is more than willing to provide proof
of any claim -- if he has it!!!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

630. Re: Steve concerned about the number of Mark's postings.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 04:25:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <hue7p1tq59alf8s9nn8547t1o8riu27e8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 126400

On 4 Dec 2005 19:07:22 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1133752042.093325.203280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>You need to answer Mark's question about what a person's sexual
>orientation has to do with amateur radio and why you change
>attributions and accuse people of "raped an old friend," pedophilia,
>etc.

That is disturbing..... a male nurse (and Marine impersonator) who
volunteers for an organization with many members that are minors, who
admittedly trolls the highways with a scanner and emergency lights
looking for victims, who has a residential history that covers several
states, and who has a well-documented (and self-documented) propensity
for psychological tranference..... accusing someone ELSE of rape and
pedophilia? Please tell me that I'm not the only one who's connecting
the dots here?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

631. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 04:30:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133757050.572282.10050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126401

>Have they really proposed a new license? Or different privileges for
>the existing one?

Both. Both in Petitions, one that was already denied in part, the
other supposedly pending before the Commission (no RM number
assigned to it yet so it hasn't yet been accepted AS a Petition).

Tsk, tsk, you haven't looked at the league website? Both were
up there.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

632. Re: amazing how hans prefers the company of tevie who calls him a pedo and zoophile to the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 05:26:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6cQkf.575$9R4.357@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126402

The Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote

> why is that hans

I don't know anyone named "tevie".

If you mean "Steve", to be best of my knowledge we've never been within 100
miles of each other, so I've never been in his "company".

As an aside, no one else here seems to want to say it outright, so I will.
Mark, you are a genuine dumb ass, with emphasis on "ass".

With warmest personal regards,

de Hans, K0HB

633. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 06:30:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133764211.384955.85790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 4, 7:54 pm

>On 4 Dec 2005 11:34:11 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 4, 9:31 am
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The guy who has NO DD-214 and went from
>>>> some kind of enlisted person to a "commissioned" Major
>>>> is still trying to snow job us. Unbelievable. Unbelievably
>>>> POOR con man!
>
>>>Indeed. The "Con" part of "Con Man" means confidence. Steve has yet
>>>to impart any feeling of confidence in any of his stories and claims.
>
>> Indeed. All he has to do is digitize and make available his
>> DD-214 (blotting out privacy entries such as SSN if he is
>> worried) and that would end most of the speculation.
>
>> Dudly the Imposter hasn't been able to do that in seven years.
>
>I posted mine voluntarily, but I certainly wasn't the first. Even John
>Kerry posted his military records during the 2004 campaign. Major Dud,
>OTOH, can't seem scrape up the pocket change for a second-hand scanner
>at the local Goodwill store.

Tsk, Dud could go to any Kinko's, Office Depot, Office Max store
and get his DD-214 scanned. I'm sure the Hospital where he says
he works has a scanner someplace that would do it for free...

>>>> Frank and I disagree on Dudly. I say Dud was NEVER IN. Frank
>>>> says he was in but as a Reservist.
>
>A couple weeks ago I realized that you're probably right -- Major Dud
>was never in the USMC. Maybe he was the Steven Robeson that served in
>the USCG (Semper Paratus), but I doubt that, too.

I can go for the USCG part. Years ago Dud made a big thing of
his father's death (father was a ham) for a long time and said
his father had been in the USN, "taught him the code" etc.,
was "his mentor." OK, a little bit of that was fine, but Dudly
dragged it out in here.

Dud has never mentioned his mother. Dud doesn't talk much of his
first marriage, either. <shrug>

But, the interesting part is that this alleged E-7 changed from
an "avionics tech" to nursing just doesn't wash well. Especially
when Dud was so macho gung-ho about being a "warrior." [see on-
line pipedreaming about "doing HALO drops" and other heroic battle
things] Now it DOES fit that Dud - as a former CG pharmacist's
mate - COULD make a transition from military pill dispensing to
being a civilian nurse.

>... And
>instead of admitting that he wasted his early adulthood, he assumed
>the identity and military history of someone with the same name who he
>discovered while surfing the net.

Not a problem on finding those with similar names. I went looking
for others with MY name and - surprisingly - found that a Major
Leonard Anderson, USMC, was one of the pilots in the Blue Angels!
How about that, a Marine (real) Major in a precision aerobatic
team! :-)

Back when I was taking Basic Training at Camp Gordon (it wasn't
renamed as a Fort yet), the First Sergeant told me to report to
the JAG office there, but didn't know any more about it than I.
I did, showed all my ID there, got puzzled looks, showed my
dog tags too. Seems a black guy in the previous group of
trainees was also named Leonard Anderson and had lost his ID
card, had applied for a new one. I had mine, so no problem.
I went back to Basic Company 7 to the grins of the First
Soldier and a couple clerks (JAG had called them to verify
the same name in two different groups). :-)

>> Their "range" is all about shooting their mouths off...claiming
>> high scores all the time...but all they've shot is the bull.
>
>You can tell it's bull when they don't even know which targets were
>used!!!!!

Probably hasn't seen Maggie's Drawers, either. :-)

>> There's only two conclusions:
>
>> 1. Dud doesn't have a DD-214 because he never served.
>
>> 2. Dud served but doesn't want anyone to know of the REAL
>> truth on that form...truth that would put an end to all
>> his Lying in here.
>
>We'll never know which because Dud is too much of a coward to post the
>truth. And by 'coward' I mean he's a yellow, spineless, shit-talking,
>tail-tucking, hide-behind-the-keyboard blowhard......

Heh heh, that about sums him up. :-)

>I have no fear at all disclosing my military record. I don't care if
>people know that I was a BE but now work at a bartender (which I

>actually like better than being a BE). I'm not rich or famous -- never
>have been and never will be. I'm no hero (wannabe or not) and I'm not
>afraid to admit that I would rather walk away from a fight than take a
>chance at getting my ass kicked. But Major Dud, self-proclaimed war
>hero and saver of lives, can't scarf enough valium to post his DD-214?
>
>That's pure =-COWARDICE-=.

Or unreasoning CHUTZPAH. He's a low-down used auto salesman type
who won't reveal any data about the car he is trying to sell to
a customer and then gets all angry and frustrated when customer
walks off.

>> If and when I address anything to Major Dud, I will toss in the
>> usual ending motto for all USMC Wannabes: Temper Fry.
>
>That won't have any effect.

You're right. It hasn't so far.

>Dud lives in his own little fantasy world
>where he is morally superior and everyone else should worship him, yet
>at the same time he seeks validation of those fantasies by engaging in
>flame wars on Usenet. IOW, you can't hurt his delusions of persecution
>by feeding them. What Dudly fears most is the truth, and that's how he
>will go down -- in a fireball of facts:
>
>Fact: Dudly didn't know which targets were used in boot camp.

I doubt he qualified on the range. Does the USCG make
recruits qualify in weapons in boot camp? [I never knew]

>Fact: Dudly didn't know that avionics techs are routinely assigned to
>the FMF.

If he had to go to sea, it would have been the last of him.
Someone would have pushed him over the side long ago.

>Fact: Dudly has claimed to have several DD-214's -and also- has
>claimed to have only one.

None of which we have seen.

>Fact: Dudly didn't know what info is recorded on a DD-214.

That Separation form has been out and used by all branches for
over a half century. Very few changes in it over that time.
Each block has a short description of what should go in there
on the form itself.

>Fact: Dudly fell into the "box 24" trap.

Actually, anyone could if they didn't have one to look at. :-)

>Fact: Dudly fell into the shore patrol / military police trap.

Interesting. It's a very clear distinction to anyone who's
been IN the military and been near another branch.

>Fact: Dudly didn't know some routine USMC terminology.

That's surprising, given the popularity of military shows on
TV. :-)

>Fact: Dudly didn't know what page of the SRB is used to record crimes
>and punishments (after claiming to have witnessed several NJP's... he
>probably doesn't even know what 'NJP' stands for).

Heh. Well, "SRB" to me is the acronym for the strap-on booster
rockets of the space shuttle. :-)

>Fact: Dudly didn't know the different types of military discharges.

He probably made his own "discharge" dreaming of his military
heroism. :-)

>Fact: Dudly didn't know the promotion process for the USMC.

Very surprising. All of those who stayed in longer than their
first hitch would be VERY cognizant of the rank and promotion
system in every military branch.

>Fact: Dudly didn't know about the VINSON system; that the system was
>in service for a large part of his alleged service; and that the
>crypto system which he claimed was the -only- crypto system on USMC
>aircraft during his alleged 'career' was retired ten years before his
>discharge/separation.

In retrospect, that was just bluff on his part. He just
doesn't know dink about military avionics, period. He's
never mentioned one single familiar name or nomenclature
of any avionics he ever laid hands on...for seven years...
until last week when Hans tromped on his "Juliett" claim.
Anyone who takes pride in their radio-electronics work will
be willing, even eager, to talk shop on that stuff.

Several years ago, when Dudly was pressed on the matter of
names/nomenclature of military electronics, he tried to bluff
his way out with some blabbering about "secrecy" and "not
wanting to reveal military secrets." Total bullshit that was
since the names and nomenclatures have been in public
distribution for years in the DoD and GAO...along with the
makers and the contract amounts. That includes crypto gear
that was out in the field. I used to subscribe to the free
trade magazine "Defense Electronics" for months and in that
each month was a column of names/numbers/awards/makers/etc.
so that those in the industry could tell who got what. I
even posted a link for anyone to get the "catalog" of Signal
Corps communications equipment released in 1994, FM 24-24.
That same link has been posted on at least three other
amateur radio websites before I wrote it in here. [it's

from the Army's TRADOC or Training and Doctrine Command
"digital library"] All the operating specs are in there.

>And here's the biggie:
>
>Fact: Dudly has proved that he is more than willing to provide proof
>of any claim -- if he has it!!!

Yeah, well that's what he says NOW. Back a few years he used
to say "the proof is in my wallet" and "I don't have to show
it to you!" :-) In other words, all he had in his wallet
were starved-dead moths...i.e., NOTHING in the way of proof.

Spineless bullshiting hypocrite is what he is.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

634. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 06:37:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051205013703.816$4x@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Spineless bullshiting hypocrite is what he is.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
Shocking cross-posted radio usenet description, really. <g>

635. Re: Steve concerned about the number of Mark's postings.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 07:07:56 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133766476.230583.161640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126405

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 4, 8:25 pm

>On 4 Dec 2005 19:07:22 -0800, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in

><snip>
>
>>You need to answer Mark's question about what a person's sexual
>>orientation has to do with amateur radio and why you change
>>attributions and accuse people of "raped an old friend," pedophilia,
>>etc.
>
>That is disturbing........ a male nurse (and Marine impersonator) who
>volunteers for an organization with many members that are minors, who
>admittedly trolls the highways with a scanner and emergency lights
>looking for victims, who has a residential history that covers several
>states, and who has a well-documented (and self-documented) propensity
>for psychological tranference...... accusing someone ELSE of rape and
>pedophilia? Please tell me that I'm not the only one who's connecting
>the dots here?

Dots right, Frank, you ain't the only one... :-)

I connected the "dots" long ago but a bunch of the extras in
here would have none of that. Dud is one of the "chosen"
having an extra license class and is therefore an
"untouchable." [nobody can touch him, that is...]

In the past half-dozen years, two of the extras in here went
SK. Most just quit posting here. That leaves - as regulars -
only Robeson, Brakob, Heil, and Miccolis. Miccolis and Heil
are only concerned with promoting their own inherent
superiorness. Brakob vacillates between "sides" and one is
never certain if he is for anything. Hans does go after
certain folks as the mood strikes him...doesn't matter which
"side" those are on at that moment.

Robeson, aka Dudly the Imposter, has the second-highest record
of posting in this newsgroup according to Google's "top ten"
list. He is topped only by Cecil Moore who left for saner
venues. Dudly has unfailingly kept up a barrage of foul
invective against everyone who has ever said anything contrary
to his opinions...and has not YET backed up any of his claims.

Interesting situation. The remaining extras in here all
SUPPORT Dudly, condone his activity, and even (Heil does
that often) argue in his favor. But Dudly has this amateur

extra class license, the "highest class" which is supposed to exemplify the "best" that amateur radio has to offer to all others. That only paints a picture of amateur radio as some surreally dysfunctional hobby activity where all toss invective at everyone else. Sort of a "Hello, Dali" without Barbara or pretty music...just weirdness and limp watches.

"Dots" got connected a long time ago. The finished picture is something to which all avert their eyes...lest they get sick to the stomach. Blessed be the Freedom of Speech. We can all gag on Dudly's vomit. Yuck.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

636. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 10:34:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133778855.538063.259660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126406

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm
> >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
> >> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
> >> >> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> >> Mark, there's something curious about morsemen. They are very
> >> SERIOUS about their hobby and INTENSE on certain skills.
> >
> >Is there anything wrong with being serious or intense?
> >
> >Let's see....WK3C and K2UNK spent their own time and money to
> >visit FCC officials about the Morse Code test issue. That's pretty
> >SERIOUS and INTENSE, isn't it?
>
> DETERMINED would be a better descriptor.

So procodetest people are DETERMINED.
>
> >(not that there's anything wrong with that...)
>
> St. Hiram went to Washington after WW1.

Who is "St. Hiram"?

Hiram Percy Maxim went to Washington DC after WW1. He and some others
also went to Paris a few times in the 1920s to attend world radio
conferences.

Those were good things because they helped insure the continued
existence
of amateur radio.
>
> That established a precedent on "goodness" or "badness" of
> "spending their own time and money" didn't it?

Depends on the goal.
>
> >Your buddy Mark claims that late filings break some law or other.
>
> INCORRECT. Mark cited NO "law or other."

Yes, he did.

> YOU brought out
> the charges of "illegality."

He said I had no respect for the rule of law. I asked what law was
broken by
late comments.
>
> >Straighten him out - if you can.
>
> Straighten out YOURSELF.

Nothing wrong with me.

> >> In the case of publishing NPRM 05-143, the Commission was 6
> >> calendar weeks LATE.
> >
> >How? Is there a deadline for FCC?
>
> Why are you asking?

Because you stated the FCC was LATE. To be LATE, there has to be
a deadline that was exceeded.

> To misdirect MORE than usual into "charges"
> that you invent as you go along? Or are you just trying to fight
> in words because of some frustration of yours?

I'm just trying to determine how the FCC was LATE.

> The Commission has typically published Notices in the Federal
> Register WITHIN A WEEK of such Notices being made to the
> public.

So it took unusually long to get the NPRM into the Federal Register.
That's not the same as being LATE. Can't be LATE without a
deadline.

> Notice of Proposed Rulemaking FCC 05-143 was released on 15
> July 2005. In that initial release, the heading carried the
> information that Comments [period] would exist for 60 days,
> Replies to Comments [period] would exist for 75 days AFTER
> PUBLISHING IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER.

And yet you jumped the gun.

Maybe FCC won't read your comments that were filed too early, Len. ;-)

> Publishing did not happen until 31 August 2005. THEN the
> firm date period of filings was made.

But you couldn't wait....

> >So? Does FCC have to get NPRMs in the Federal Register
> >within a certain amount of time?

>
> If it wants to be of service to the PUBLIC, it should.

Who are you to pass judgement on FCC?

> >Perhaps you should tell
> >them off and put them right, Len - after all, you've said you're
> >not afraid of authority. You could put in some of your
> >diminutive nicknames and catchphrases, and criticize them
> >for taking six long weeks.....
>
> Already filed. See ECFS on WT Docket 05-235 for 25 November
> 2005, filing type EXHIBIT.

Did you call them diminutive nicknames and use your catchphrases?

> >> The normal delay on public release to publishing is anywhere
> >> from zero days to a week. A few have taken longer, but it
> >> would be a VERY long search to find a docket/proceeding that
> >> was delayed SIX WEEKS.
> >
> >So? It took them a little longer. Have you no patience?
>
> I have considerable patience.

Not from what I've seen ;-)

> I also have fun with some
> dumbsnits who only want to ARGUE for the sake of arguing.
> :-)

So you have fun with yourself....

> >> In those SIX WEEKS DELAY the public
> >> filed 52% of all comments filed.
> >
> >And the majority of those were anticodetest. The procodetest folks,
> >in general, waited for the official comment period.
>
> Bullsnit. :-)

No, it's true - according to your tally, anyway. Isn't your tally
accurate? As of August 31, didn't the majority of filings
support the nocodetest position?

> What of all the "procodetest" folks who DID comment in the
> "unofficial" period?

They were outnumbered by the nocodetest commentary - according
to you, anyway.
>
> What of all those FOR the NPRM who filed during the "official"
> period?

They were outnumbered by the procodetest commentary in the
same period.
>
> >What does that say about the two groups' understanding of the
> >regulations?
>
> What "regulation" states that an NPRM must be immediately
> published in the Federal Register?

I don't know of any - but you keep yelling that FCC was "LATE".
>
> No, the general public EXPECTS federal agencies to perform
> their duties in manner established by considerable precedent.
> The Commission has done fairly fast work in the past on all
> regulation change documents publishing in the Federal
> Register. A SIX WEEK DELAY in publishing is an error in
> serving the public, a disservice.

Horrors! Oh woe, Len had to wait SIX WEEKS!
>
> >> The "public" may not be fully aware of the official comment
> >> period beginning date.
> >
> >Nonsense. Most of those who filed comments are licensed
> >amateurs, aren't they?
>
> WHAT "regulation" or "law" states that ONLY licensed radio
> amateurs may communicate with the federal government on
> amateur radio regulations?

There's none. But that's not the point! Most of those who filed
comments are licensed amateurs. The six week delay did not
prevent anyone from filing, nor did it somehow prevent "the
public" (which includes radio amateurs) from filing comments.
>
> Name it. NOW.

You're not in charge, Len.

> Not "six weeks from now."
>
> >Not this time! Why don't you complain, Len?
>
> Already done, as I said. Are you suddenly blind? NOT aware
> and informed?

I'm more aware and informed than you, Len.

> >You filed before the deadline, didn't you? ;-)
>
> Yes. What "law" did I break?

> >While you have every right in the world to comment to FCC, Len, did
> >it ever occur to you that maybe - just maybe - your long wordy

> >diatribes really don't help the nocodetest cause one bit?
>
> None at all.

Typical.
>
> Filings made to a federal agency are not "newsgroup style."

Yours read that way.

> I don't consider ANY of my filings as a "diatribe."

Others do. They're only slightly different than you blatherings
here. The big differences are that you get to stick in footnotes
and italics and bold type.

> >For most of my life I've lived in the Philadelphia metropolitan area.
>
> Hardly anything to brag about...

Why not? Philly is a great town. Lots of history, lots of culture, lots
of diversity, lots of fun.

Better than living some place where the earth shakes every so
often, the hillsides either catch fire or slide away, and the people
elect aging movie actors to important public offices when they're
not busy trying to preserve their "views" at the expense of others'
property rights.

> >Washington DC is a day trip from here - done it many times.
>
> Irrelevant. As the song in the musical "Annie" says "Tomorrow
> is only a day away..."
>
> >In fact, some things I have designed are in daily use in the DC metro
> >area.
>
> You designed pooper scoopers?!?

Nope. Did you? ;-) ;-)

> >It would be a simple thing for me to take a day or two to see
> >all those 6000 "filings".
>
> So...what HAVE you said? Nothing, really. :-)
>
> NOTHING what you said offers ANY proof that you've actually
> seen and read 6000 filings of anything in DC.

I didn't say I did - or did not. Just that it's not an impossible or
even
difficult thing for me to do.

> >> Old-timers of the League loved radiotelegraphy,
> >
> >Is that a bad thing?
>
> In the year 2005? :-)

Yes. But the discussion was about the past.

> >> following the "tradition"
> >> established by its first president, St. Hiram.
> >
> >Maxim was a genius. You're not, Len.
>
> How do you "know" that? :-)

Your behavior here proves it.
>
> Do you live within a day's travel to Champaign-Urbana, IL,
> and have you read the University of Illinois' statewide
> high school testing efforts of 1950? All of my two-week-
> long test scores (including a Stanford-Binet IQ test) are
> there in their archives.

Do you think you're a genius, Len?

> >And then why did ARRL *oppose* the creation of the Extra
> >class license in 1951? And why did ARRL's 1963 proposal
> >not include any additional code testing for full privileges?
>
> Excuse me for interrupting your misdirection diatribe but
> the POLICY subject concerns the 2005 Notice of Proposed
> Rulemaking FCC 05-143. Note the current year.

The discussion was about the past, Len. Note the context.

> >And why did ARRL oppose FCC's 16 wpm code test proposal
> >and "Amateur First Grade" license class in 1965?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you don't stop misdirecting, do you? :-)
>
> Hello? 1965 is FORTY YEARS AGO!

The discussion was about the creation of the Extra license.

> >Pointing out that you are not a radio amateur is not the same thing
> >as saying you are not allowed to "discuss" or comment.
>
> Tsk. You contradict yourself.

How?
>
> >> My old Johnson Viking Messenger CB radio still works, is still
> >> operating within FCC regulations.
> >

> >How do you know?
>
> By actual measurement using calibrated test equipment. :-)

So you don't really know.
>
> Tsk, I have working experience in metrology, two years worth.

Yes, you kept changing jobs...
>
> >Not true! Most of my "working receivers" are general coverage.
> >I also have several transceivers. You're not qualified to operate
> >any of them, Len.
>
> I am not AUTHORIZED to transmit RF energy IN amateur-only bands
> or frequencies beyond the maximum level as stated in Part 15,
> Title 47 C.F.R.

That's a good thing.
>
> I have qualified to operate, test, maintain a great number of
> different receivers, transmitters, transceivers, electronic
> equipment of many kinds in the last half century.

But not an amateur radio station.

> All one
> needs is an operating instruction manual, schematics, and an
> explanation of all the unmarked controls and conenctors are.

And the required license and operating skills.

> Actually, I have co-owned a PLMRS base transceiver and mobile
> transceivers which radio amateurs were NOT AUTHORIZED to
> operate! :-)
>
But you have never been qualified to operate and amateur radio station.
>
> >> Actually, those electromechanical teletypewriters with 100
> >> WPM throughput are still in use in a few places...
> >
> >Where?
>
> As TDDs (Telecommunications Devices for the Deaf).

Not so many anymore.

> As I/O
> devices for old-time computer hobbyists.

Museum pieces.

> Still used in a few
> businesses...who are too cheap to invest in electronic

> terminals. :-)
>
> Those are places I know about. There may be a few others. It
> isn't a hot topic to me.
>
So it's a nearly-dead technology.
>
> >> Teletype Corporation went
> >> defunct some years ago...they couldn't produce a product
> >> inexpensive enough to handle written communications needs.
> >> Even TDDs have dropped electromechanical teletypewriters in
> >> favor of smaller, easier to use solid-state terminals.
> >
> >So they're all dead or dying technologies, while Morse Code lives on
> >and flourishes.
>
> "Flourishes?" You have flour in your eye. :-)
>
> Right NOW, there are hundreds of thousands of data terminals IN
> USE in the world, doing throughput at rates of 1200 BPS to 56 KBPS
> and faster, short-range to long-range, wired and wireless. DATA.
> Alphanumeric characters. Most with display screens, some with
> peripheral hard-copy printers for text on real paper with ink or
> toner.

But not 100 wpm teleprinters.
>
> ElectroMECHANICAL teletypewriters went defunct as new products
> because the mechanics of them didn't allow such high throughput.
> The last holdouts are the "chain printers" used in Information
> Technologies' activities, everything from wide printouts to
> mass check-writing. Those are being replaced with xerographic
> or ink-jet printing devices.
>
> Manual morse code "lives on" ONLY in AMATEUR radio.

By the choice of radio amateurs.

Why are you so against choice, Len?

> The maritime
> world has largely given up on manual morse code for long-distance
> HF communications.
>
> Where are the landline manual morse code telegraphy
> communications stations now? Where are the manual morse code
> communications stations in the military of the United States?

Same place as the 100 wpm teleprinters.

> Let's brush away some of your "flour." If morse code
> communications is "flourishing" in the "amateur bands," why

> is it only Number TWO in popularity? Once it was the ONLY
> way to communicated.

"only way to communicated"??

That ended in 1900.

> How can morsemanship be "flourishing"
> when it is declining in popularity?

How do you know it's declining?

> >I don't recall anyone ever saying that an amateur radio license was
> >anything other than a qualification to operate an amateur radio
> >station.
>
> "Qualification?" It isn't an AUTHORIZATION?

It's both. You have neither.
>
> Oh, my, I've actually OPERATED amateur radio transmitters and
> have never had an amateur license!

No, you haven't. Not legally, anyway.

> Operated: Set the controls,
> turned it on, tuned it up, reset some controls according to
> instructions in the manual, applied various modulation input,
> measured the RF output in terms of power, frequency, index of
> modulation, percentage distortion of modulation input, harmonic
> content, incidental RF radiation from the equipment other than
> the output connection, lots of things.

But not legally. Not as control operator. You're neither qualified nor
authorized.
>
> Oh, yes, and OPERATED a morse code key turning the transmitter
> on and off! That actually only to test the key connection
> wiring...the rise/fall time of the RF envelope was measured
> using an astable multivibrator circuit driving a mercury-
> wetted contact relay that was connected to the keyer input.

Into a dummy load, right?

> I have legally and successfully OPERATED communications radios

But not amateur radio.

> from many places on land, aloft while flying in various places,
> from a Coast Guard vessel on water, a commercial ferry on water,
> and from a private sailing craft...all doing real, live
> communications.

So you used a cell phone...

> >Also in a bunch of other applications like high-end audio equipment.
>
> Yes, by some purists who like the vacuum tube amplifier
> DISTORTION effects when the amplifier input is overdriven.

No.
>
> European electronics hobbyists - a very few - are very much
> "into" Nixie and Nixie-like numeric displays and some are
> going all-out into making digital systems using tubes. Hans
> Summers, G0UPL, has collected a great number of specialized
> tubes with the intent on duplicating a radio clock that
> synchronizes automatically with the Rugby standard station
> on 60 KHz. He already did that in solid-state as a college
> project. All described on his large website www.hanssummers.
> com.

Yep. I have had a QSO with Hans on 80 CW. You haven't.

> >> Tsk, tsk. I entered electronics and radio in the vacuum tube
> >> era and learned how to design circuits using tubes. Had to
> >> put aside everything but the basics of those circuits in order
> >> to work with transistors, then ICs. Took lots of learning
> >> AND relearning to do all that and I did it on my own time.
> > >
> > >The Army never gave you any training, Len?
> >
> > Half a year at Fort Monmouth Signal School on basic radar, then
> > microwave radio relay. The rest was ON THE JOB...operating and
> > maintaining HF transmitters, VHF and UHF receivers and
> > transmitters, wireline voice and teletypewriter carrier equipment,
> > inside plant telephone equipment. It was a case of "Here's the
> > manuals, there's the equipment, DO IT." :-)

And Sarge was there to help you...

> I was a soldier, a signalman. I got my Honorable Discharge
> in 1960 after serving MY country in the U.S. Army.
>
> What have YOU done to equal that?

Len, you've done things I haven't. I've done things you haven't.

But you've made it clear that nothing can equal or surpass
what you've done, if you disagree with the person who
did it. You'll call someone with much more military service than you
names if they disagree, and claim their service did not exist.

Typical of you.

>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

637. Frankie of Silliland Slips Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 11:30:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133782217.685888.149400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126407

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> That is disturbing..... a male nurse (and Marine impersonator)

Only 9 words into your post and out pops another lie.

Question: Do you kiss your significant other with that mouth, or
do you give them the option of a cleaner orifice...For example the one
you're sitting on...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

638. Re: Steve concerned about the number of Mark's postings.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 11:42:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133782920.141569.170250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126408

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

> > > more lies slander boring Stevie
>
> Definitely a lie. Steve has started more new threads (spam) than
> anyone around, usually to lie about another, or to call them names.

Not truthful, Brain.

But then you've got that reputation, so what's new about
THESE...?!?!

> Now he cries like a little girl about getting a mega-dose of it back.
> Poor little Steve.

Who's crying?

I am torn between the "ROTMFF" laughter over his exploits to being
angered that he's conducting himself in such a way as to create havoc
for everyone else.

I get the distinct impression that he think's he's somehow causing
ME a problem...And again he'd be so "wrong" on so many levels!

> > What's "boring" is watching you humiliate yourself, Markie.
> >
> > So...When you going to apologize to the other users and resume
> > adult-like conduct?
>
> I dunno. It's kind of interesting seeing someone "out-###hole" you, Steve.

Oh, Brain! You understimate your Feeble Five Bretheren! Markie
has surpassed you, me, Lennie, Frankie, and a handful of others all
rolled into one!

> And I don't think Mark's even close to being done.

Probably not. It's really hard to get people like Markie to
forget about themselves and "do the right thing". I am sure Markie has
a whole lot more "###holing" to do before he realizes that the only one
applauding him is himself.

> My best guess is that you've really pissed him off. Can't imagine why.

You can't imagine why and I couldn't care less...What a combo.

> You need to answer Mark's question about what a person's sexual
> orientation has to do with amateur radio and why you change
> attributions and accuse people of "raped an old friend," pedophilia,
> etc.

I A N S W E R E D his "question" Brain.

But since you are now telling others what they "need" to do, are WE
ever going to get the questions about Somalia, unlicensed devices,
ARES, etc etc etc answered?

> Maybe once you apologize for being a jerk and promise to behave
> yourself... he will abate the very treatment that you dish out to
> others. Strange that you don't like it in-turn.

I have nothing to apologize to Mark Morgan for, Brain...

He was asked for an apology for the stalking, death threat, "I am
a Colonel", stories for YEARS now and not a single one has been
delivered.

The "in-turn" is being delivered to him, not vice versa.

> But it's up to Mark to accept your apology.

What apology?

> Meanwhile, I'm waiting for a seperate apology...

There you go with delusions of granduer, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

639. Markie: I Typed This Slowly Since I Know You Can't Read Fast. Enjoy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 11:52:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133783573.377294.230430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126409

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 13:49:15 +0800, Troy Reynolds
> <troydotreynolds@westnetdotcom.au> wrote:
>
> >On 1 Dec 2005 17:18:34 -0800, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>or will he continue to assert that I am respoible for other reporting
> >>stuuf intot he Newsgruop
> >
> >Seriously, what the hell are you on about?
>
> Dave and Steve object to the fact I don'thide my sexuality welle nough
> to suit them

No...we don't like your idiotic threats, your perpetual claims of victimisation, your allegations of abuse, stalking and otherwise assinine behaviour.

Oh, and the lying is really got to stop.

> Stevei insists on lying about the sexual orientation of severl folks
> on RRAP
>
> Stevie refuses comepletely to answer what sexuality has to do with
> radio and objects to me responding to these attacks which started
> becuase Stevie decide to haerld my arrival with a post title Gay pagan
> back in town

The question's been answered five times in the last several days, Markie...You just don't like the answer.

I'm not going to change it just to make you happy.

> > Flooding the NG is one
> >thing however it would be apreciated if you could make some sense
> >whilst doing so.
>
> I am dyslexisc and it sin'r easy dave and stevie also insist that for
> that reason as well i lack the right to post here unless I make my
> posts suit their tastes

No...You CLAIM to have an IQ of 248 and expertise in computer technolgy, yet you can't, indeed won't, put a spellchecking program to work for you.

> I am trying to oush bac we shall see how it plays out

You're trying to WHAT?

> Indeed Steve claim he has called to cops to have me arrested for
> flooding the NG

No, I have not claimed I have called the cops to have you arrested
for flooding the newsgroup.

> I assmue he is lying about that one

You can assume all you want about "that one" since you were so far
off the mark (pardon the pun) that it doesn't matter.

> but stevie can stop by simply saying why what I or or Todd or BB or
> anyone else does sexauly and legaly have to do with radio

"Stevie" has nothing to stop.

"Stevie" isn't the one flooding the NG with illiterate threats,
profanity and unwarranted demands.

Markie is.

> or at least agree to stop doing this

Stop doing what?

> any more questions on this matter I will answer assuming I see them

More random words in search of order and meaning, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

640. Re: Hans jion (jion?) in the projotive (projotive?) parade why? WTF is a "projotive parade"...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 12:10:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133784603.319760.271940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126410

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> becuase he knows that what realy bugs him is of no importance so he
> has to make shsit up

"because" "really" And Markie...You can't even spell your
profanities right...?!?!

> 931-967-6282

It's been over a week now, Markie, and you're still broadcasting
some uninvolved third party's telephone number.

Why do you intentionally do things to hurt people you don't know
and who have nothing to do with the goings-on of this forum?

My answer? This is the proof that we need to show what kind of
"man" you really are.

Steve, K4YZ

641. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 12:11:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133784695.042609.67630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126411

an_old_friend wrote:
> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?

Sober up, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

642. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 12:21:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133785303.824493.312480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126412

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Dec 2005 04:02:17 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 1 Dec 2005 22:42:11 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> >> >> > rest of us
> >> >>
> >> >> I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
> >> >
> >> > Twice a liar, at that.
> >>
> >> you seem unable to be civil or moral or truthfull or decent
> >
> > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....
> >
> > YOUR posts have "gummed up" the group for several days now. Over
> >200 in all now. So the "civil" issue is yours. You are not causing ME
> >any pain, discomfort or loss of service. However there ARE others here
> >who may not feel that way.
>
> then why are you whining about it

I'm not whining.

You stated that I am not "civil", "moral", "truthfull [sic]" or
"decent".

I pointed out that THOSE issues were YOURS to deal with, and why.

> > YOUR screen names are the nes they see.
> >
> > YOU are the one who thinks it's OK to teach children to lie, so
> >again the "moral" issue is yours.
>
> you lie agin I i don'tsay is ook I say that a i think a respobile
> pearent MUST teach his children that there is a time to lie

"again" "responsible" "parent"

You don't have a clue as to what you're saying or suggesting.

Teaching children to "lie" at ANY level is immoral.

> > And "truthfull"...?!?!?
> >
> > Mark C. Morgan has NO BUSINESS suggesting the truthfulness or
> >deceitfulness of any OTHER person is above or below board, Colonel!
>
> why?
>
> becuase stevie say so?

Nope.

Because you've proven to anyone with an IQ over 7 that you're a
liar of immense proportion.

> I am far more honest than you. that is a simple fact

Not yesterday.

Not today.

And I seriously doubt tomorrow will be any different.

> > Your "question" has been answered for three days now, yet you
> >continue to cause this disruption for hundreds of others in your
> >"quest".
>
> no it has not

Yes it has.

That you are not satisfied with the answer is not my problem.

> > That's got "selfish" AND "stupid" written all over it.
> >
> >> everyone should be advised that The following person
> >> has been advocating the abuse of elders
> >
> > You continue you this deceit, yet everyone here KNOWS who's been
> >investigated for "elder abuse", the admission of which came from YOUR
> >own "mouth", Markie.
>
> I certainly have been investagtted for elder abuse
>
> why becuase someone made the false charge

It wasn't false.

> was that someone you steve? maye

"maye" not, Markie.

I don't make "anonymous" complaints, Markie. I thoroughly
document my concerns, package them professionally, and sign my name.

> I have also been investagatted for making an alleged death threats
> that charge was found to be flase, and you made that one

Nope. Not yet, anyway. The package for Houghton County is out
via Priority Mail today.

> > It isn't me.
> >
> >> 931-967-6282
> >
> > And still "broadcasting" some other persons phone number. And you
> >suggest I am "unstable".
>
> no braodcasting

Sure it is "braodcasting"

> > Continue on your Quest, Don Quixote!
> >
> > We're all laughing at you! We'll just sit back and watch.
>
> then you lied above when you claiming people were suffering from my
> action

They are.

As are you.

Steve, K4YZ

643. Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 14:48:08 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <IqYkf.183$Z61.139@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126413

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1133752538.824595.51740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1133647078.784489.326770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >> > But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that
> >> > the requirementsare "too high"....
>> >
>> >> Who told you that? Not FCC. Not ARRL. Not me.
>> >
>> >It has been shown by the actions of the first two, and others.

In other words, that is your opinion based
on your view of certain actions of others but
you have NO example where anyone has
said the requirements are too high. So the
reality is that we have NOT been told by
anyone that the requirements are too high.

>> >First off, there's the reduction in code testing. Also code
>> >waivers. Elimination of the sending test, the one-minute-
>> >solid copy requirement, etc. But let's put those aside and
>> >look at the writtens:
>> >
>> >1) there's the official publication of the written exams.
>>
>> Did the ARRL or any other ham organization petition
>> for the test questions to be published?
>
>Not that I know of. The move to the VEC system was made by
>FCC. Making the tests public was an unavoidable consequence
>of the VEC system. Besides, if the FCC couldn't keep them
> secret from Dick Bash back when FCC made up and controlled
> the test distribution, how could anyone expect they could do it
> when the VEs ran the testing?
>
>> > 2) CSCEs mean the tests can be taken one at a time.
>>
>> Your point?
>
> In the bad old days, all the elements for a particular license had
> to be passed at the same test session. For example, getting an

> Extra meant that you had to pass both code and theory at the
> same time. That's a tougher requirement than being able to
> take them separately.

But again, that wasn't asked for by amateurs or any amateur
organization.

>> And again, who lobbied for that change?

I don't recall if anyone did.

Exactly!

>> > 3) In March 1987 the General written was split into two elements
>> > so that Techs no longer had to pass the full General written.
>>
>> > 4) The content of the exams has been gradually made to cover
>> > more subjects at less depth. Want to see some study questions
>> > from the 1976 exams?
>>
>> One could argue that is making the test
>> more difficult...depending on the individual.
>
> It's the difference between knowing a little bit of the basics
> of a wide variety of subjects vs. an in-depth
> knowledge of fewer subjects. Most people find
> the latter to be more challenging.

Amd you know this to be true based on what scientific
study/analysis?

And again, who asked for that or drove that change?

>> > 5) Instant retest means someone can try over and over
>> > as long as time and the wallet hold out.
>>
>> Hasn't that been recently changed?

I don't think so. It was proposed but AFAIK not acted upon.

> Even if not, I don't know of any VE group that allows
> retesting on the smae test at the same test session.

My understanding is that some do - if you pay another fee.

Do they allow the taking of the exact same test?
I think not. I have been a VE at several sessions here
in NJ and have never seen anyone allowed to take the
exact same test a second time on that same session
regardless of paying an additional fee. The reality also
is that the VEs running the session have no desire to
allow anyone to just stay all day/night until the applicant's
money runs out.

>> > In 2000, FCC reduced both the number of the written tests and
>> > the overall number of questions for all remaining license classes.
>> >
>> > And yet NCVEC says we need another license class
>> > because the current Tech is "too hard".
>>
>> Hasn't the ARRL said the same thing by proposing a
>> new beginners license?
>> (SNIP
>
> Have they really proposed a new license?
> Or (just) different privileges for the existing one?

In another reply to your question,
Len stated the ARRL has filed both proposals.
I'll take his word on that.

As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
support that idea...but I think we need to approach
that concept slowly by the following path:

1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
regarding the ability to gain new hams.

Cheers
Bill K2UNK

644. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 15:45:33 UTC
From: Falky foo <falkyfoo@bonksbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <xgZkf.22964$BZ5.14477@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Man that Gerritsen guy sounds like a psycho

645. Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 16:03:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dxZkf.282$FP6.100@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126415

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote in:

Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me

> with dave hatred of my why does have to make up <snip> rather than just
> using what i provide

Using what you provide? That's what I've *been* doing, Mark. You've
provided plenty.

Per your subject line, I'll be happy to tell Dr. Ruth about you.

Dave K8MN

646. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 16:29:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133800193.504617.302450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> The FCC friday affirmed Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine. You can read the
> article here:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/12/02/101/?nc=1

This guy is an idiot and a loser, but having lived in that area I
can attest to the crapola that manifests in that area and that punks
like him are a dime-a-dozen. The infamous "W6NUT" repeater on 147.435
makes RRAP's worst day look like a Lady's Tea at Church on Sunday...

73

Steve, K4YZ

647. Re: More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 16:47:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133801251.941803.44230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126417

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Frankie of Silliland intentionally lied when he wrote:
> >
> > > He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist.
> >
> > Nope. Active Duty, save for the Delayed Entry Program.
>
> Is your delayed entry period on your DD Form 214? I mean, would it be
> on there if you had one?

I have several DD214's.

All of them have the word "Honorable" on them without exception or
qualifier.

> > > We all know he has
> > > been tight-lipped about his service because he didn't want to expose
> > > the truth about his service. And we also know that he lied about his
> > > "retirement".
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Yup.
>
> > > But what you may not know is that he wasn't discharged
> > > for medical reasons, either. He simply quit. And not voluntarily, I
> > > might add. Too bad he quit -before- Clinton's "don't ask, don't tell"
> > > policy.....
> >
> > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> He might have been able to have a full career.
>
> > > Uniforms impress young boys.
> >
> > It impressed you.
>
> Your flight-suit photo impresses no one.

Uhhhhhhhhh....not true, and that comment was not mine, Brain.

> > Too bad it didn't impress you enough to honor your commitment and
> > stay out of trouble for ONE enlistment, Frankie.
>
> Does your nurse uniform impress you enough to honor your oath and stay

> out of medical trouble? Or does your oath encourage you to shoot your
> mouth off and give medical advice on rrap?

I'll make you a deal...you stop trying to offer advice on MARS
(any branch), unlicensed devices used in emergency comms, the ARES, the
ARRL, and operating DXpeditions (all topics that you've failed
miserably at anyway...) and I won't even remotely suggest anything of
a medical or nursing nature to you in the future.

Deal?

Steve, K4YZ

648. Re: decentralized emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 16:53:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133801582.689791.28860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126418

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> http://www.wired.com/wired/archive/13.12/warning_pr.html

Great article, Brian, but the original author would have been more
impresive if he'd gotten the title a bit more accurate.

73

Steve, K4YZ

649. Re: Markie is a poor fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 16:55:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133801720.833677.16000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan

an Old friend wrote:
> kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> > my birthday is the 21 of feb
>
> why can't the fraud understand that no GAY male would want a Domme
> which has to be female

But you've indicated an interest in "humiliation", Markie...

> if you are going to comit fraud then please do it correctly

What fraud?

> and btw I would not finding such a domme for my birthday next year

Already found him, huh...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

650. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 19:08:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <e385p194s5qiouk87o2vc36rr6v9mk4hmg@4ax.com>
Source record: 126420

On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 19:34:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>> Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
>>>> do with radio?
>>>>
>>>> the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it
>>> I've provided you with an answer, Mark.
>>
>> Liar you have prvided no answer
>
>That is simply incorrect.

absolute
>
>>> I've never been afraid to
>>> answer the question which you put to Steve. You outed yourself through
>>> stupidity or carelessness.
>
>> more proof your your foolishness
>
>My foolishness? Who wrote and posted your little "help wanted" ad in a
>newsgroup?

I did and labeled it OT

you are foolish in claiming I am responible for the acts of others
>
>> why does anybody sexaulity have anything to do with radio
>
>My my response regarding you. Make it plural.

>
>> answer the question the question isn't about me
>
>You bet it is about you.
>
>> It is about Todd Brain and myself or anyone
>
>Did they post "help wanted" ads on usenet?
>
>> you and stevie think accuses (correctly or not someone of being
>> homsexual has something to do with radio.

>
>The word is "homosexual". You go way beyond that. You're a bisexual,
>beat-me-spank-me type with some elimination weirdness tossed in for good
>measure.
>
>> what does it have to do with radio
>
>I've answered.
>
>> coward
>
>You'd say that to my face about once before finding yourself sitting
>down suddenly with a very sore nose.
>
>>> Now that you've done so, there's no putting
>>> that particular genie back in the bottle.
>>>
>>> By your numerous posts, it appears that you are more than anxious to
>>> continue drawing attention to your bisexuality. I guess it pays to
>>> advertise.
>>
>> wropng again stupid I don't esp mind being known as Bisexaul what I
>> want to show is that you are a fool for caring whcih you prove again
>
>Wropng? You don't ESP mind? You haven't shown much here at all.
>
>> you are afraid to admit what the truth is
>
>The truth is that you're one sick puppy, Colonel.
>
>> there is relavance of ones sexuality to radio
>
>There is? Thanks for the information.
>
>> you are just a plain old fashioned gay basher
>
>Are you a plain, old-fashioned gay?
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

651. Re: why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 19:09:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <5685p15ssas0ane40sp0jqgsgttcbs9umq@4ax.com>
Source record: 126421

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 05:51:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an Old friend wrote:
>> why does dave who claim to be christaion support and aid stevie in
>> engaging in despictable behavoir?
>
>Well, Mark, if ever there was to be proof that you are mentally coming
>unraveled, your lengthy series of spam posts should do the trick.
>

answer the question why do you aid abet someone in violating the 10
comenedments

instaed you make an attack
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

652. Re: When will steve and Dave face the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 19:09:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <v785p19201p6h36jc1em2kq0bt071n6jqq@4ax.com>
Source record: 126422

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 00:19:59 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> dave has faced facts when you you stevie
>
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Stevie and dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality
>>> have to have do with radio?
>>>
>>> the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it
>
>This makes two of your "When will steve and Dave face the truth" rants
>today, Colonel. You are still running my name in your crazy quest to
>have Steve discuss your sexuality.
>whe you goignt o face the facts

that you are so afraid of me of what i represent that you will defy
the word of god to attack me
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

653. rememebring something fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 19:09:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <b395p1to2f5ste3tbpej0caslhnr427gjq@4ax.com>
Source record: 126423

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:26:26 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>I have it on good authority that a meteor known as X1 is now crossing into
>the Solar System. This is a 100 % accurate account.
>
>It is crossing the orbit of Pluto and is expected to arrive in the near
>vicinity of MARS on Star date 4603.4 Mark 7. It is traveling at Warp 7 and
>is expected to arrive at 99W 44N which is the upper peninsula of Michigan on
>November 31, 2005.
>
>We have been attempting communication with our Trekkie in the UP. But we
>have been unable to do so.
>
>We desperately need USENETs help in finding him.

porro dave the master gives a location in kanasa as the UP
>
>
>Acting Captain USS Enterprise
>Riker
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

654. WHAT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 22:14:15 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XY2lf.145446$Hs.95268@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 126424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

How in the He11 do you breath in fences all day? What a moron!

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133563812.141446.61970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> yeah, I guess that's what happens when you breath in fences all day
>

655. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 22:31:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Lc3lf.777$9R4.638@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126425

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 19:34:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>> Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
>>>>> do with radio?
>>>>>
>>>>> the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it
>>>> I've provided you with an answer, Mark.
>>> Liar you have prvided no answer
>> That is simply incorrect.
>
> absolute

Okay, you're absolutely incorrect.

>>>> I've never been afraid to
>>>> answer the question which you put to Steve. You outed yourself through
>>>> stupidity or carelessness.
>>> more proof your your foolishness
>> My foolishness? Who wrote and posted your little "help wanted" ad in a
>> newsgroup?
>
> I did and labeled it OT

You may label it "OT" as often as you like. That doesn't mean that it's
going away.

> you are foolish in claiming I am responible for the acts of others

That isn't what I've stated, Mark. *You* are responsible for your
actions. Others may act upon those actions as they choose. Then *they*
are responsible for *their* actions.

Dave K8MN

656. Re: why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 22:37:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ti3lf.781$9R4.691@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126426

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 05:51:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an Old friend wrote:
>>> why does dave who claim to be christaion support and aid stevie in
>>> engaging in despictable behavoir?
>> Well, Mark, if ever there was to be proof that you are mentally coming
>> unraveled, your lengthy series of spam posts should do the trick.

> answer the question why do you aid abet someone in violating the 10
> comenedments

The ten comendedments, huh? You're awfully big on stating that someone is "aiding and abetting" but you seem to have no sense of taking responsibility for fallout from your own action or lack of action.

I answered one of your questions and you've subsequently stated on a number of occasions that I didn't answer it. Then you've called me a liar. You'll excuse me if I choose not to answer any more of your questions, espeically when the question is about a religion which you've clearly rejected.

> instaed you make an attack

You've never really seen me in attack mode.

Dave K8MN

657. Re: When will steve and Dave face the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 22:41:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Km3lf.783$9R4.25@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126427

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 00:19:59 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> dave has faced facts when you you stevie
>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>> Stevie and dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality
>>>> have to have do with radio?
>>>>
>>>> the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it
>> This makes two of your "When will steve and Dave face the truth" rants
>> today, Colonel. You are still running my name in your crazy quest to
>> have Steve discuss your sexuality.

> whe you goignt o face the facts

Whe you gognt o post in English?

> that you are so afraid of me of what i represent that you will defy
> the word of god to attack me

I feel a number of things when I read one of your mad, disjointed posts,
Mark. Afraid isn't one of them. You may substitute another word if you
like. I do think that you're unbalanced. You can rave all day about
God but, remember, you don't believe in Him.

Dave K8MN

658. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 23:00:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133823635.151582.141270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126428

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1133752538.824595.51740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1133647078.784489.326770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>> KØHB wrote:
> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >> > But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that
> > >> > the requirementsare "too high"....
> >> >
> >> >> Who told you that? Not FCC. Not ARRL. Not me.
> >> >
> >> >It has been shown by the actions of the first two, and others.
>
> In other words, that is your opinion based
> on your view of certain actions of others but
> you have NO example where anyone has
> said the requirements are too high. So the
> reality is that we have NOT been told by
> anyone that the requirements are too high.

Here are some more examples:

- ARRL has proposed free (no test) upgrades for many hams. These proposed free upgrades included Novices and Tech Pluses getting Generals with no additional testing, and Advanceds getting Extras with no additional testing. While they don't come right out and say the requirements are too high, proposing that hundreds of thousands of hams get an upgrade without taking the required tests effectively says the test requirements - the *written* test requirements - are too high. Free upgrades would effectively lower the requirements.

NCI agreed with the ARRL proposal on the free upgrades, btw.
But FCC disagreed and denied all proposals for free upgrades.
FCC cited the comments of certain people in that denial - see footnote 142 in the NPRM. (ahem)

- NCVEC's "Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" paper is full of the idea that the requirements are too high, particularly for the entry-level license class. Their second proposal followed the "21st Century" paper closely.

> >> >First off, there's the reduction in code testing. Also code
> >> >waivers. Elimination of the sending test, the one-minute-
> >> >solid copy requirement, etc. But let's put those aside and

> >> >look at the writtens:
> >> >
> >> >1) there's the official publication of the written exams.
> >>
> >> Did the ARRL or any other ham organization petition
> >> for the test questions to be published?
> >
> >Not that I know of. The move to the VEC system was made by
> >FCC. Making the tests public was an unavoidable consequence
> >of the VEC system. Besides, if the FCC couldn't keep them
> > secret from Dick Bash back when FCC made up and controlled
> > the test distribution, how could anyone expect they could do it
> > when the VEs ran the testing?

Publicizing the exact Q&A makes the requirements lower because
the prospective ham knows exactly what will be on the test, down
to the exact wording, and the exact correct answers. Big difference
from secret tests!

For example, in the old days we knew there would be Ohm's Law
problems on the exam, possibly including series and parallel resistors,
voltage dividers, power calculations and more. But we didn't know
exactly what the problems to be solved would look like, so we learned
to solve almost anything we could think up.

With open pools the exact form of the problem is known, and only
solutions for the problems which may be on the test need be learned.

> >> > 2) CSCEs mean the tests can be taken one at a time.
> >>
> >> Your point?
> >
> > In the bad old days, all the elements for a particular license had
> > to be passed at the same test session. For example, getting an
> > Extra meant that you had to pass both code and theory at the
> > same time. That's a tougher requirement than being able to
> > take them separately.
>
> But again, that wasn't asked for by amateurs or any amateur
> organization.

> >> And again, who lobbied for that change?
>
> I don't recall if anyone did.
>
> Exactly!
>
> >> > 3) In March 1987 the General written was split into two elements
> >> > so that Techs no longer had to pass the full General written.
> >>
> >> > 4) The content of the exams has been gradually made to cover
> >> > more subjects at less depth. Want to see some study questions

> >> > from the 1976 exams?
> >>
> >> One could argue that is making the test
> >> more difficult...depending on the individual.
> >
> > It's the difference between knowing a little bit of the basics
> > of a wide variety of subjects vs. an in-depth
> > knowledge of fewer subjects. Most people find
> > the latter to be more challenging.
>
> Amd you know this to be true based on what scientific
> study/analysis?

Observation of human beings for over half a century ;-)

A typical first grader knows a little bit about a lot of
things, but not that much about any one thing.
>
> And again, who asked for that or drove that change?

It was driven by the QPC and NCVEC.

> >> > 5) Instant retest means someone can try over and over
> >> > as long as time and the wallet hold out.
> >>
> >> Hasn't that been recently changed?
>
> I don't think so. It was proposed but AFAIK not acted upon.
>
> > Even if not, I don't know of any VE group that allows
> > retesting on the smae test at the same test session.
>
> My understanding is that some do - if you pay another fee.
>
> Do they allow the taking of the exact same test?

No.

> I think not. I have been a VE at several sessions here
> in NJ and have never seen anyone allowed to take the
> exact same test a second time on that same session
> regardless of paying an additional fee. The reality also
> is that the VEs running the session have no desire to
> allow anyone to just stay all day/night until the applicant's
> money runs out.

Yet they allow it. In the bad old days there was a mandatory
30 day wait to retest. Which meant a lot of us went to the
test *really* prepared because coming back was not that
easy.

> >> > In 2000, FCC reduced both the number of the written tests and
> >> > the overall number of questions for all remaining license classes.

> >> >
> >> > And yet NCVEC says we need another license class
> >> > because the current Tech is "too hard".
> >>
> >> Hasn't the ARRL said the same thing by proposing a
> >> new beginners license?
> >> (SNIP
> > >
> > > Have they really proposed a new license?
> > > Or (just) different privileges for the existing one?
> >
> > In another reply to your question,
> > Len stated the ARRL has filed both proposals.
> > I'll take his word on that.

He's hardly a reliable source.

ARRL proposed a new license class in 2004.
NCVEC has too, and some others. FCC denied them all.

The original 2004 ARRL proposal would have given all Techs and
Tech pluses a free upgrade to General. Advanceds would get a
free upgrade to Extra, too.

ARRL then proposed that the Technician then be replaced by a
new entry-level license that had a balance of HF and VHF/UHF
privileges, instead of the current Technician's all-VHF/UHF
setup.

That part of the 2004 ARRL proposal was denied by FCC.

Now, in comments on the current NPRM, ARRL has
recommended expanded privileges for all Technicians, rather
than a completely new license class. The claim is that
the all-VHF/UHF privileges of the Technician are not optimum
for the entry-class license, and that it would be expecting
too much for new hams to get a General just to get on
HF - *even without any code test for General*.

> As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
> support that idea...but I think we need to approach
> that concept slowly by the following path:
>
> 1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed

Will probably happen regardless of anything else.

> 2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.

Someone would have to do this in a structured way, by downloading
the entire database at regular intervals (say once a month) and

analyzing it a la AH0A.

It would not be possible to determine "never before" hams as
opposed to "retreads" without a lot of historic info.

Upgrades could be derived by comparing the current license class
of each license with the license class from the previous analysis.

> 3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
>
Sounds reasonable, except who is going to "bell the cat" - do all
the analysis work?

It's also important to understand the effect of impending changes.

If the rules are fairly stable, newcomers and potential upgraders
have an incentive to pass the tests.

But if there are possible changes coming that will reduce the
requirements, at least some will simply wait to see how
things turn out. Why study for a test that will be gone in a
few months - or one that you won't have to take because ARRL
got you a free upgrade?

Sure, some will "go for it" but others will hold back.

As an example, yesterday I was in BestBuy and took a look at
the HDTVs. All sorts of them on the market - and some of the
older ones were being sold at clearance prices.

But I decided not to get one now, because I think the prices
will come down. I don't "need" an HD set just yet, so why
pay the high price now?

73 de Jim, N2EY

659. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-05 23:41:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133826106.771149.12670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126429

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K0HB" on Sun, Dec 4 2005 1:31 am

> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >And to answer the comment of Clown Prince of Spamalot (aka KB9RGZ), many US Navy
> >ships are not intended to "sail into battle" (a quaint phrase, but it reveals
> >your ignorance of military matters). YTB's tugs don't "sail into battle",
> >DSRV's don't "sail into battle", AD's don't "sail into battle", AOE's don't
> >"sail into battle", AS's don't "sail into battle", ATB's don't "sail into
> >battle", ARS's don't "sail into battle", in fact CVA's don't "sail into battle",
> >and no, the USS Constitution will not "sail into battle", but she's still a
> >fully commissioned ship of the line in the US Navy.
>
> Most civilians don't understand that every servicemember does NOT
> "go into battle." Somehow they think that "battle" is like a
> street gang fight between small groups. Problem is, "battle" can
> > catch up to everyone in the military service when no one is
> expecting it. The USS Indianapolis' crew found that out late in
> WW2 when it was torpedoed and sunk by a Japanese submarine.

The Indianapolis was a warship - heavy cruiser IIRC.

How many Allied merchant and cargo ships - mostly unarmed - were sunk
by
enemy action in the Battle of the North Atlantic? How many of their
crews
were lost in the service of their country?

> The
> Army found that out during the Battle of the Bulge...where every
> soldier, regardless of MOS, were suddenly IN "battle." Ever
> since the U.S. Army has made it a point to continue basic battle
> training long after soldiers have finished basic training.

And your point is?

All sorts of people in all sorts of jobs face danger every day, Len.

The electric wires don't put themselves up, and when a storm knocks
out the power, the crews don't get to wait for a sunny day to fix them.

Bridges don't paint themselves, tall buildings don't put themselves up,
and oil doesn't refine itself. Somebody has to do all those hazardous
jobs.

Think about what EMTs and ER personnel face every day. They see
all kinds of sick and injured people, and have to try to help them.
Often they have no idea if the sick or injured person has a contagious
disease, mental problem, or ulterior motive (like stealing drugs). One
wrong move and they could get a fatal disease - or something even more
quickly fatal.

> Jimmie Noserve and Der Klunk

Who are they?

> both thought of Japan as "rear area"
> in the 1950s...a place where all are "safe."

Who said that? Not me.

Japan was a lot "safer" than Korea, during that conflict. You weren't
in much danger of enemy attack then, were you?

> However, the USSR
> did have a combat reach into Japan and did have the special
> weapon.

"Special weapon"?

How many did they have, Len? How long would it take for them to get it
to Tokyo in those years - if they had it?

> The USA, USAF, and USN all knew that and tried to prepare
> for it. Now, they might or might not have been Soviet "Bear"
> bombers when I was in Japan...the exact type is irrelevant...

No, it's not irrelevant, Len. You just don't like having your
mistakes discovered.

You named a specific aircraft type that wasn't even deployed at
the time, and understated the distance from the USSR to Japan.
Then you got all abusive (as usual) when your mistakes were
pointed out - as if the mistakes were the fault of the person
pointing them out!

> but
> they did have aircraft that could reach the Kanto Plain area of
> Honshu (where Tokyo is located).

if they could get through all the air defenses.

> Jimmie wanted to make a Big
> Thing about USSR aircraft so that he could make message points
> and show everyone how "good" and "expert" he is.

Who are you talking about, Len? I don't even know what "message points"
are.

You tried to look like an expert and got very upset when a mere
civilian

pointed out and proved your mistakes. Yet you abused others who
actually saw and photographed Bear bombers in flight, saying they
could not have done what they did.

> Jimmie might have been in a moderate danger zone in the 1960s
> when, first, IRBMs were being targeted on east coast positions
> from Cuba, then later, from ICBMs that were targeted all over
> the USA.

There was no defense against IRBMs and ICBMs. Not even an
effective way to sound the alert. 1950s Soviet bombers would take hours
to get to Tokyo - if they could even get through the air defenses.
1960s ICBMs and IRBMs
would be at there targets in minutes. Big cities, particularly those
near the nation's capital, would be prime targets.

Hardly a "moderate danger zone".

660. Re: decentralized emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 00:09:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133827784.637772.327660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126430

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > http://www.wired.com/wired/archive/13.12/warning_pr.html
>
> Great article, Brian, but the original author would have been more
> impresive if he'd gotten the title a bit more accurate.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It kind of dovetails with the successes of amateur radio because of
it's decentralized network.

bb

661. Re: More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 00:27:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133828825.122815.231310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126431

K4YZ wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Frankie of Silliland intentionally lied when he wrote:
> > >
> > > > He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist.
> > >
> > > Nope. Active Duty, save for the Delayed Entry Program.
> >
> > Is your delayed entry period on your DD Form 214? I mean, would it be
> > on there if you had one?
>
> I have several DD214's.
>
> All of them have the word "Honorable" on them without exception or
> qualifier.

Frank says block 12E. I'll check mine.

> > > > We all know he has
> > > > been tight-lipped about his service because he didn't want to expose
> > > > the truth about his service. And we also know that he lied about his
> > > > "retirement".
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > > > But what you may not know is that he wasn't discharged
> > > > for medical reasons, either. He simply quit. And not voluntarily, I
> > > > might add. Too bad he quit -before- Clinton's "don't ask, don't tell"
> > > > policy.....
> > >
> > > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >
> > He might have been able to have a full career.
> >
> > > > Uniforms impress young boys.
> > >
> > > It impressed you.
> >
> > Your flight-suit photo impresses no one.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhh....not true, and that comment was not mine, Brain.

Name one person (even if it's not a young boy) that was impressed by
that photo.

> > > Too bad it didn't impress you enough to honor your commitment and
> > > stay out of trouble for ONE enlistment, Frankie.
> >
> > Does your nurse uniform impress you enough to honor your oath and stay
> > out of medical trouble? Or does your oath encourage you to shoot your
> > mouth off and give medical advice on rrap?
>
> I'll make you a deal...you stop trying to offer advice on MARS
> (any branch),

Sorry, but I have actual experience in MARS.

> unlicensed devices used in emergency comms, the ARES, the
> ARRL,

Sorry, but I am an ARRL member, and have been an ARES member. I just
can't keep quiet where my expertise is needed.

Concerning unlicensed devices, they are a part of everyday life.

> and operating DXpeditions (all topics that you've failed
> miserably at anyway...)

Sorry, but I have experience in operating from several DX locations,
and my experience and expertise is ocassionally needed to correct the
inaccurate statements made by people who should know better.

> and I won't even remotely suggest anything of
> a medical or nursing nature to you in the future.
>
> Deal?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Don't post it to -anyone- on RRAP in the future. Might keep you out of
trouble.

662. Re: Hans jion (jion?) in the projotive (projotive?) parade why? WTF is a "projotive parade"...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 00:30:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133829043.198551.244600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126432

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> > becuase he knows that what realy bugs him is of no importance so he
> > has to make shsit up
>
> "because" "really" And Markie...You can't even spell your
> profanities right...?!?!
>
> > 931-967-6282
>
> It's been over a week now, Markie, and you're still broadcasting
> some uninvolved third party's telephone number.
>
> Why do you intentionally do things to hurt people you don't know
> and who have nothing to do with the goings-on of this forum?
>
> My answer? This is the proof that we need to show what kind of
> "man" you really are.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Just curious. Why would the person at that number be uninvolved?

663. Re: Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 00:57:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jfo9p15udc4td6gupo8i0skqtgqdkqgfj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 126433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 4 Dec 2005 13:27:38 -0500, "John Deere" <NotRoger2@anon.up>
wrote:

>Here you go, Markie. This may help the rest of us get through your laborious
>third grade level posts.

>ieSpell - A Spell Checker for Internet Explorer

Maybe using a real newsreader - with an integral spell-checker - would
be even better.

664. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 01:38:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133833094.920762.317730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126434

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 4 2005 4:35 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat, Dec 3 2005 8:28 am
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 2, 5:33 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 29 2005 3:38 am
>> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm

>Wig-wag and sempahore are not the same thing.
>>
>> Can you wigwag in morse?
>
>Yes.
>
>> [no such thing]
>
>You are mistaken, Len. As usual, you are resistant to new
>information.

"New" information? No. You did, indeed, provide a link
for OLD information that was made obsolete in ACTUAL USE
by the U.S. Army Signal Corps prior to the U.S.' entry
into World War One.

Note: I am familiar with Fort Gordon as the "home" of
the Signal Corps ever since it was known as Camp Gordon.
That is where I and other signalmen did our Basic Training
as soldiers.

>http://www.gordon.army.mil/ocos/Museum/IMAGES/wigwag.gif

For some more information on the HISTORY of the Signal
Corps, United States Army, go to:

http://www.gordon.army.mil/ocos/museum/

>> I've already worn the collar insignia of the United States Army
>> Signal Corps, a torch over two crossed signal flags.
>
>The US Army also used wig wag signalling

Okay, they did up to 1912. ? This is 93 years later.

The U.S. Army ALSO used carrier pigeons and spark transmitters for communications. That ended after WW1.

The U.S. Army once used smoothbore muskets and sabers for weaponry. That ended prior to WW1.

ONE-FLAG signaling ended in 1912...according to the same museum source.

>but you don't seem to know about that.

I know far more than you ever will about what the United States Army Signal Corps has done in the last half century plus a lot more. I was IN it, you were NOT.

>I can never wear the insignia of the Signal Corps? You are
>quite mistaken, Len.

Anyone can go purchase (or steal) some insignia and put it on. The entertainment business has been allowed to do that for a very long time...as COSTUMES. Play-acting. Dudly the Imposter tries to get away with his impersonation of "being a Marine."

Here's some more from Regimental Division, Office Chief of Signal, United States Army Signal Center, Fort Gordon, GA:

CROSSED FLAGS

"Crossed flags" have been used by the Signal Corps since 1864, when they were prescribed for wear on the uniform coat by enlisted ment of the Signal Corps. In 1884, a burning torch was added to the insignia and the present design adopted on 1 July of that year. The flags and torch are symbolic of signaling or communication. Two signal flags crossed, dexter flag - the flag on the right, white with red center, the sinister flag on the left, red with white center, staffs of gold, with a flaming torch of gold color upright at the center of crossed flags. Branch colors: Orange trimmings and facings were approved for the Signal Service in 1872. The white piping was added in 1902, to conform to the custom which prevailed of having piping of a different color for all except the line branches.

To explain some terms: "Line" branches are those of the Army directly involved with warfighting; i.e., infantry, artillery, armor. Infantry uniform piping is, has been, light blue. If memory serves, artillery had red piping. "Piping" was principally the thin edge trimming on the soft cap (sometimes called an "overseas cap" as well as a vulgar feminine name).

Branch COLOR is a heritage symbol, found on branch flags and, in 1950-1960 used in an issued scarf that replaced the tie for certain ceremonial functions. [I still have mine as a memento]

The "crossed flags" have been a collar insignia for enlisted signalmen for 121 years, and remains. Signal officers have a similar collar insignia (on the lapels of coats and shirts worn beneath the letters "U.S." in gold and with color added to the flags. Date of adoption of that style depends on adoption of the officer's uniform style that changed between WW1 and WW2. Enlisted collar branch insignia has been all gold, no other color, mounted on a disc of gold. Date of adoption of that collar insignia style (to differentiate officers and enlisted) unknown exactly but was done prior to WW2.

As a never-served civilian, you no doubt feel free to ridicule the U.S. military, especially in areas of tradition, heritage, heraldry, branch colors, and so forth. That is understood. Having never been a part of an active military you would be ignorant of the experience of being part of a fighting force that was born during the "Spirit of '76."

Some other facts about the U.S. Army Signal Corps:

It is the birth-branch of the United States Air Force, having issued the very first purchase of a heavier-than-air aircraft in the military (for observation purposes). Note: The USAF was once a part of the Army, the "Air Corps", but became a separate service branch in 1948.

The ubiquitous superheterodyne receiver was born in the mind of Major Edwin Armstrong while he was on duty with the United States Army Signal Corps in Paris, 1918. The "superhet" receiver has been made by the millions worldwide since then.

The first field use of balloons for observation were done during the American Civil War, including the first "airborne" telegraphy tried then between a lofted balloon and ground. [that preceded the later massive lighter-than-air ship effort of the United States Navy]

The first weather stations and their communications of weather conditions was pioneered prior to the formation of the "National Weather Service" that was absorbed by NOAA.

The first use of carrier pigeons on a large scale for communications was done just prior to and during WW1. Signal Corps developed a field-transportable pigeon coop on a vehicle. Unfortunately, the pigeons being conscripts did not want to cooperate fully and that was disbanded after WW1.

The first handheld Transceiver ("handie-talkie") was the brainchild of Galvin Manufacturing, Chicago, (legally changed to Motorola after WW2) and the Fort Monmouth Signal Office in 1940. Galvin designed, with Signal Corps' enthusiastic support, the first useable backpack "walkie-talkie" FM voice radio that saw its first baptism of fire in the Italian campaign of 1943. [SCR-300 with its 18 tube radio the BC-1000]

The Signal Corps designed, and Galvin later made, the first horse mobile radio that could be used by a cavalry-man en ride ("in motion" for you non-equine humans). The resulting "pogo stick" (for its guidon socket bottom support pole) radio chest unit may have featured the first use of a combined speaker-microphone; that combined speaker-microphone is now a standard feature of public safety manpack radios. Mounted cavalry was disbanded during WW2 but those "pogo stick" radios remained in service, seeing their baptism of fire on Guadalcanal, man-carried in infantry units.

100 Watt semi-portable spark transmitters were used by the Army Air Corps in France in 1917-1918, those lap-held units designed by the Signal Corps. [as far as can be determined, those were one-way transmissions, air to ground only due to noise of open-cockpit aircraft]

The first use of regular communications satellite message relay for military communications, Vietnam, late 1960s, using a mobile commications van containing microwave and multi-channel circuits, designed for the purpose by the Signal Corps.

The first precision target acquisition and gun-tracking radar, the SCR-584, a joint design by MIT Radiation Lab and Signal Corps, transportable, saw service in Italy and France during WW2. Signal Corps had already designed and contracted out the radar that sighted the first Japanese air attackers in Hawaii on December 7, 1941. Signal Corps is the birthplace of the SCR-584 radar replacement, the MA-1 fire-control system which featured a Luneberg lens antenna. Radar set design at Fort Monmouth was transferred to Artillery in the 1960s.

The very first moonbounce proved at Signal Corps Engineering Laboratories (just outside Fort Monmouth) in 1946. Proved that the moon can be a reflector of radio waves. See "Project Diana" for more references. That used a modified wartime radar set, including its unmodified antenna. Those laboratories were visible from the main road connecting Fort Monmouth with Red Bank, NJ. [Coles, Evans, and Squire laboratories]

With the U.S. Navy, the U.S. Army provided for the rebirth of cryptographic services in the 1930s. The Army Signal Corps established a small agency headed by William Friedman, a civilian, to organize an Army cryptographic service for military intelligence purposes. In cooperation with an equally-small unit of the U.S. Navy (under Captain Stafford) they formed the cryptologic nucleus for the entire U.S. government prior to our entry into WW2. Machinists at the Washington Navy Yard constructed a working prototype of the Japanese "Red" and "Purple" crypto machine work-alikes that were designed by the Army. Success of this led to the USN victory at the Battle of Midway. The combined service efforts resulted in a superior "rotor" cryptographic machine that was never known to be compromised until the physical capture of the USS Pueblo intelligence ship. Cryptanalysts of both USA and USN WW2 efforts later worked at the NSA (formed officially after WW2). [for more references, see the Fort Huachuca Military Intelligence Museum web page...that includes some interesting bios of the Friedmans and some pioneers in Army aircraft not normally included in popular flying lore]

The Signal Office of the U.S. Army was the head of the second-highest priority industry during WW2; Production of quartz crystal units for all branches and some allies (England, chiefly). Galvin Mfg in Chicago was the civilian center of some 60 companies producing a million units a month by the last three years of WW2. The only other production program having a higher priority then was the Manhattan Project. Signal Corps was one of the contractor backers to provide the first crystal growth processes to replace small, irregular natural quartz. That permitted much lower-cost crystal units to be used in all electronics disciplines.

I'd like to say that the Signal Corps is responsible for precision time-frequency sources useable over military field environments, but that isn't strictly true. Such is a multi-agency cooperative effort. The USN began the GPSS with a project called NAVSTAR using miniaturized atomic-resonance oscillators for a precision time-frequency reference, beginning in 1970. Theory and practical units were first done by NIST. Improvements were done by the electronics industry. Signal Corps concentrated on all-environment precision quartz crystal oscillators that resulted in the excellent frequency stability units required for the successful SINCGARS family of jam-proof, secure radios (quarter million R/Ts produced since 1987).

The head of IEEE Time-Frequency is (or was) John R. Vig, one of the theory-and-practice heads at the Central Electronics Command that was at Monmouth. SINCGARS can check or update its precision internal time base by connecting an AN/PSN-11 GPS receiver to a front panel connector. True also for its Key Fill equipment. Both got their baptism of fire in the First Gulf War 1990-1991.

I'd like to say that the Signal Corps is responsible for direct-select-frequency-synthesizer subsystems on HF transceivers, especially for SSB AM transceivers, but that would raise all sorts of hoo-haw between Collins amateur radio fanatics and several electronics industry companies, not to mention interservice rivalry by real veterans of the military. The military wasn't the first to pioneer SSB techniques in radio, the civilian communications providers were. USAF Strategic Air Command was the contracting agency that led to single-channel SSB communications mini-revolution on the amateur HF bands, resulting in SSB AM Voice being the MOST popular mode on HF by amateurs.

USAF demands in frequency-hopping technology (and USN in radar) led to secure communications and jam-proof radar use. Refinements in that led to USA frequency-hopping for field radios, extremely stable time bases that could network frequency hoppers, the net holding despite a hop rate of 10 carrier frequency changes per second.

Who made vacuum tubes producible at a reasonable cost in the USA? Look up Western Electric, the old manufacturing arm of the Bell System (you know, the telephone infrastructure giant that "fails during every emergency"). Who invented the transistor? Two scientists at Bell Labs (with help of Bill Shockley). Signal Corps wasn't first there.

I could probably expand on all the preceding if I had the time to do real research, provide a whole list of end-notes and bibliography. The above I can write from memory without looking up a thing. I was a REAL signalman, a soldier serving in the Army of the United States. I did REAL HF (and VHF and UHF and microwave) communications in facilities that were real and large, covering the entire globe long before comm sats were aloft. Modern methods were used as well as those that existed before WW2. I am proud of what I did and am thankful that I can share in the heritage of the Signal Corps both during and after my real service. I don't

have to pretend my remaining uniform sets are some kind of "costume." When I wore it we were NOT pretending anydamnthing.

You are welcome to take your wigwaging morse code and shove it up your I/O port, sissy civilian.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

665. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 01:40:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133833217.305909.162860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126435

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 4 2005 4:35 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat, Dec 3 2005 8:28 am
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 2, 5:33 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 29 2005 3:38 am
>> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm

<snip to the sissy civilian being hostile>

>> >> I went to Art Center for a year at their old campus on
>> >> 3rd Street in Los Angeles. :-)
>> >
>> >Did you flunk out? Or perhaps you just GAVE UP?
>>
>> I changed my studies from illustration to engineering. Career
>> choice change.
>
>In other words, you GAVE UP on illustration as a career after a year
>of school. Tsk, tsk.

Incorrect. I was a working illustrator, full time, before
voluntarily enlisting in the Army. I was already an art
major in high school, instructor being Richard Martikonis,
(USNR Lieutenant) for three years.

Since changing major college study to engineering, I've done
three contract illustration jobs as part-time employment for
fixed amount of contract work and compensation. I'm not
counting the art work done for Ham Radio magazine.

>You do seem to flit about, Len, with all those different jobs and
>activities...

"Flit?" In 54 years of working full-time since high school
graduation?!?

Is everyone supposed to enter some castle-like seminary and
sit out their whole lives producing some single product?

Or do you favor some socialist-extreme existance where you
work for a single employer (or the state) until you die?
You are welcome to that sort of rut, comrade.

You don't like CONTRACT work? Too unstable for you? Is that
somehow beneath your lofty and superior standards?

>> I can't "give up" something I have a natural talent for.
>
>"Talent"?

Talent, aptitude, gifted-ability, whatever. I have it and
accept it as a normal thing.

>> ..that's
>> built-in, has been used in previous employment. I was accepted
>> by Art Center on the basis of submitted work that I sent them.
>
>Yet after a year you GAVE UP.

I thought I changed majors. :-)

I hadn't learned anything new about illustration in that first
year at Art Center. :-)

>> Tsk. You are being HOSTILE again, trying to say I "flunked out"
>> or "gave up."
>
>How is it being hostile? I'm pointing out that you GAVE UP on
>illustration as a career.

Tsk, tsk, I changed career goals. I've told you twice that
I did NOT "give up" and that I've done illustration on a
contract basis since that change.

You are trying to load negativistic words into what I explained.
Ergo, you are expressing hostility by refusing to accept what
I've already done.

>> >> Texts and old books seems to be where you get your "experience."
>
>> >Well, you're wrong about that.
>>
>> That MUST have been where you got all your "military expertise."
>
>Nope. Guess again.

This isn't a "guessing game," little boy.

Show me some proof of YOUR military experience or shove it up
your military-impersonating I/O port.

>> Sorry, I was VERY INVOLVED in illustration.
>
>But not in Morse Code.

Absolutely! Hadn't used any morse code in REAL HF radio
communications, didn't need to. Hadn't used any morse
code in the electronics industry, didn't need to.

>And you GAVE UP on both illustration as a career and learning Morse
>Code at the very modest speed of 13 wpm.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, those are NOT related items. :-)

Can you draw pictures in morse code? Send video information?

BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHHAAHHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> I was VERY INVOLVED
>> in radio and electronics. Both for over a half century.
>
>Past tense, I see.

I'm not tense. Are you tense? See your doctor for some Xanax.
I here that is good for folks like yourself who are tense about
tenses.

Maybe you should take up camping, sleep outdoors in tenses?

>?? I'm a lot healthier than you, Len.

How do you KNOW? Are you medically qualified? :-)

>You were trying to threaten me physically. You've done that before,
>Len.

Oh, my, you ARE mentally disturbed! See your physician
immediately.

>> He also got current flow in the wrong direction...:-)
>
>Nope, he was just ahead of his time. He described the flow of holes
>rather than electrons.

BWWAAAAAAAAAHAAAAHAAAAHAAAHAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Jimmie, you are friggin' weird on that.

>> >It's good stuff. Our country was born right here in
>> >Philadelphia.
>>
>> Been there.
>
>NADC to be exact, right? You weren't exactly begged to
>stay there, were you, Len?

The Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, PA, is
located outside of the city of Philadelphia. EXACTLY
I've been IN Philadelphia and IN Camden, NJ, just
across the Delaware River from Philly.

Considering that I was an employee of RCA Corporation
before, during, and after I visited NADC as a field
engineer, I was never approached to join them for any
employment and neither did I seek to get employment

there. I got along fine with NADC civilian and military
personnel there, did my assigned, pre-established work,
departed for my home in California.

I've explained all that before. You again choose to
attempt to CHANGE it to suit your hostile intent.

>> I've worn the UNIFORM of the United States Army. I've been
>> under the UNIFORM Code of Military Justice for four years.
>
>Yet you messed up on what "UCMJ" meant.

Never ever while UNDER the UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY
JUSTICE. That ended 49 years ago for me.

I acknowledged accidentally writing "universal" instead
of uniform a few days ago. I did go to UNIVERSAL CITY
after writing that to meet with some friends. Since the
DD-214 form is applicable to ALL branches of the military
I just made a mistake in associating the word "universal"
with the subject.

In case you've noticed, Lord High Whatever, this newsgroup
is NOT a court of law and no perjury has been committed
over a writing mistake in trying to explain a military
thing to some sissy civilian non-military-veteran.

>> As far as I'm concerned, there was
>> NO "vote for 'CW'" as Speroni put his "analysis" of
>> NPRM 05-143 commentary in WT Docket 05-235.
>
>There are none so blind as those who will not see. You can deny
>all you want, but 55% of those individuals who expressed a
>preference in comments on 05-235 supported at least some
>Morse Code testing. Only 45% supported complete
>removal of all Morse Code testing. That's a "vote for CW" to
>anyone who thinks rationally.

There are none so blind as the PCTA who insist that
manual morse code testing is "necessary" for a hobby
radio license examination.

There are none so blind as the PCTA who cannot see that
morse code is a dying mode in radio communications.

There are none so blind as the PCTA who cannot see that
all other radio services have GIVEN UP on using morse
code for communications.

"Rationality" is NOT defined as "being 'for' morse code."

>Of course the FCC doesn't have to follow that "vote" and
>probably won't. But to deny its existence is to deny reality.

There is NO "vote" concerning NPRM 05-143. That's
reality.

The FCC has proposed eliminating ALL code testing for
amateur radio license examinations. That's reality.

The FCC has, in the past two years, allowed the public
to comment on no less than 18 Petitions regarding code
testing. That's reality.

The FCC has NOT seen any "consensus" nor a real "majority"
of opinion for or against morse code testing for an
amateur radio license in the comments concerning amateur
radio regulation petitions. That's reality.

The FCC isn't a clubhouse manager for U.S. amateur radio
to allow ONLY already-licensed radio amateurs to comment
to them. That's reality.

>> The Commission
>> was notified on what I thought/analyzed in my Exhibit filing
>> of 25 Movember 2005 along with a final tally sheet of
>> the four categories of general comment opinions.
>
>Yes, you spammed them with dozens and dozens of pages
>of your verbiage.

My 25 November 2005 filing only had 13 pages, 5 of which
were of tally sheet tallies. NOT "dozens and dozens."

>I feel sorry for the poor souls at FCC who
>have to read all the worthless junk you send them, Len.

I feel sorry for you, sissy civilian, for having such a
hostile attitude towards freedom and equality in a radio
hobby pursuit.

>> >Yes, Len, we know you can't deal with facts and opinions different
>> >from your own.
>>
>> :-) You are really going the way of Dudly the Imposter.
>>
>> I DEAL with them as they occur.
>
>You deal by denial.

I DEAL with things based on my own experience and observations.

I DEAL with things based on what happened in the real world
of communications.

I DEAL with dump hucks as I see they deserve.

If you don't like that DEAL, go to another game and ask for a
marked deck. That's your style.

>If someone had written Reply Comments to every procodetest
>comment, your system would have counted them as separate
>opinions even though they had the same author. That's not a
>valid way of analyzing opinion. In short, it stinks.

Go stuff it in your I/O port, morseman.

>> >More important, you didn't follow the rules on Reply Comments
>> >back in 1999.
>>
>> "Rules?" The "rules" are given by the Commission,
>
>That's right.
>
>And you didn't follow them back in 1999.

Well then, you are over 6 years LATE on bringing me up on
"charges" before the Commission, aren't you?

Make a "citizen's arrest" if you want. Hire Phil Kane
if you can. :-)

>> >> You will retain your full amateur rank-status-privileges
>> >> regardless of whether the code test goes away or stays.
>> >
>> >It's not about those things at all, Len.
>>
>> No? Then why are you so worried and agitated by it?
>>
>> Code testing doesn't involve you at all.
>
>Yes, it does. If the Amateur Radio Service is changed for the
>worse, I am affected.

"For the worse?!?" BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHHAAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Tsk, you've lost your grip on reality.

PCTA can accept only THEIR concept of reality. Unreal.

>Besides, you seem to think that one brave act means all must defer
>to your opinions and whims. Doesn't work that way.

WHAT "brave act" have I done before the Commission?

Go against the mighty macho motivated morsemen on code testing?

Hardly a "brave act" to slap around some beep-happy old
morsemen who are mired in their thinking and unable to
accept change.

>Suppose you had been born in 1954, Len.

That would have been an interesting alternate universe
considering I was already IN the U.S. Army billeted in
Japan then and had advanced to E-5 rank...and my

parents (both naturalized U.S. citizens) were nowhere
near Japan at the time. :-)

>Would you have volunteered to fight in Vietnam in 1972?

The Southeast Asia Live Fire Exercise (Vietnam War)
has already been OFFICIALLY designated as being
August 4, 1964 to January 27, 1973 (date of ceasefire)
by the U.S. Department of Defense.

Oh, now I understand, would I have volunteered to fight
FOR North Vietnam IN Vietnam in 1972? Most assuredly
NOT. Most unequivocally NOT for the North!

In 1972 I had already been discharged from all military
obligations of the United States (my discharge was in
1960) and I had been, and was, working on Department
of Defense contracts for electronics. In 1972 some of
my work was on the Seismic Intrusion Devices (SIDs)
that were intended to be used in Vietnam. [those used
radio to report detected intrusions]

In case it has escaped your movie-conditioned little mind,
the United States has had a WORLDWIDE military presence
since the end of WW2. One does NOT 'volunteer to fight'
anywhere, in any service. One either gets drafted (the
compulsory military service "draft" ended 27 January
1973) or volunteers to "defend the Constitution of the
United States" and must accept whatever assignment
anywhere as deemed necessary by the DoD. Nobody got
a "choice of where to fight" unless one was the son of
a prominent politician (Shrub) or some entertainment
personality (Elvis).

>In other words, you and your neighbors wanted to stop other people
>from building certain types of buildings on *their own land* - because
>it would mess up your *view*.

The only "other people" were contractor firms. Nobody owned
"their own" land yet until the development was finished and
inspected and approved by the city.

The neighborhood organization was against the ZONING change
from "R" (pure residential) to "R1" (residences plus
aparments). The original plan was for "senior citizen
apartments" which we neighbors did not like. Yes, a two-
story house or apartment would block my VIEW that I enjoyed
for over 30 years here.

Perhaps you want me to sit back and take whatever
"authorities" toss at me without complaint? HELL NO!
None of us neighbors did. We showed up at the Zoning
Commission meeting and made our voices heard. It was for

naught according to a later investigation of graft on the part of the Zoning Commission. That parcel of land got rezoned to R1 over a decade ago and that was that. No action on development until several years later.

Perhaps you weren't really learning the REAL Ben Franklin or even REAL history prior to 1776. Franklin was a royalist to begin with. Took him a VERY long time to actually side with the "revolutionaries." [recorded history, by the way] WE neighbors weren't sheep nor anything like that and protested.

>> after spending 9 months of re-arranging the vacant land.
>
>How does anyone "rearrange" land? With a bulldozer?

All manner of earth-moving equipment were used to move 220,000 cubic yards of soil (value from contractor final report, initial estimate was 250,000 cubic yards). Actual earth moving took eight months until the final moving was done for drainage, roadway, and forming the final lay of each plot.

Nine months of the OHSA back-up beepers getting us up at 7 AM each working day of the week and some Saturdays.

>44 homes on 15 acres is about a third of an acre each.

WAYYYY INCORRECT. You are assuming flat land. Nowhere near that. Final building plots were on a quarter acre each average.

>Half a million each is a starter home, right?

Subjective.

>So - you thought your "view" was more important than
>the newcomers' property rights.

No, our neighborhood organization was against changing the ZONING from pure residential to residences-with-allowed-apartments.

>You thought that those
>15 acres should not be developed, even though you
>didn't own them.

Our neighborhood organization would accept the original "R" zoning rating of single-family residences. The Zoning Commission heard that. We objected to the "R1" zoning that allowed apartments.

>You resisted changes that brought in new people and more progress.

What "progress?" :-) You have no huckin idea of what
the development was/is, its original shape, the shape it is
in now, landscaping or anything else. You are trying to
toss out nasty sarcastic bad words to us that were here
before them. :-)

The SECOND developer managed to develop a walled community
that houses about 150 total, nearly all with little bitty
yards separated by concrete block walls.

Right now there's a possibility of civil action by two
neighbors where the original slope to the edge of the new
walled community gave way and inundated their property.
We'll just have to wait for that to sort itself out.
Meanwhile, you will no doubt make nasty remarks to my
old neighbors for DARING to PROTEST part of THEIR land
from being covered? :-)

>You clung to the past and tried to hold back the future.

I'm sorry, but you just don't grasp this NON-RADIO situation.

ZONING laws, particularly in residential areas, ARE where
the past is protected...for those who ALREADY live there.

>And you failed.

Yes, we did. I reported that. :-) That's the breaks in
political situations.

>And you FAILED.

Yes. But ONLY for the ZONING change. We were able to
enrich the pockets of some Zoning Commission members from
payola from the first contractor...which led to him going
out of business. :-) The second contractor is not in a
good situation either since that company is forced to
settle one way or the other.

NO apartments were built, only single-family residences
were finally built. That is a partial victory although
the Zoning rating still allows for apartments on that land.

>What I find most interesting is that you fought change, progress,
>and newcomers. And you thought your views should count for
>more than the wants and needs of those who owned the land.

What I find "interesting" is your continued hostility and
ignorance of the situation, even when explained to you.
I have well over a hundred images showing the earth-moving
and the house building, have a small box of documents that
go back 15 or so years on that parcel of land, copies of
plans, etc. Our neighborhood organization didn't take
anything lightly.

>What spin? What fabrication? What lies?

All that you've tried to "charge." :-)

>I simply point out that you and your neighbors feared and opposed
>change in the neighborhood. That's the truth.

OK, I simply point out that you are ignorant of the
situation and you are a dump huck.

beep beep
LenAnderson@ieee.org

666. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 01:41:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133833290.413282.107320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126436

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 4 2005 7:01 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 3, 3:01 pm
>> >K0HB wrote:
>> >> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote

>> >> > They're floating museum pieces.
>> >> In your dreams, landlubber! Just a couple of examples for you.....
>> >
>> >> The USS Constitution, homeported at Boston, is a commissioned US Navy ship (in
>> >> fact the flagship of the US Navy) with a full active duty crew of sailors. Not
>> >> a museum (the museum is across the street from her berth).
>> >
>> >Been there, Hans.
>>
>> There we have it! Presence of his Body makes Him "official." :-)
>>
>> >"Old Ironsides" is a museum piece. A fully operational museum piece that actually
>> >sails every few years, but a museum piece nonetheless. Her main functions are
>> >educational and historic, not military.
>>
>> Morse code testing for an amateur radio license is then also a
>> "museum piece"
>
>How?
>
>Hans says the USS Constitution is not a "museum piece". So neither is a
>Morse Code test.

So, Hans rightly explains a ship of the United States Navy and you
somehow equate that with morse code testing?!? Incredible NON-
connection!

>The USS Constitution is supported by Federal tax dollars and Federal
>law.

So is the entire United States Navy! And the United States Coast
Guard! :-)

>> Why? You are NOT in the USN or USCG, have never served in uniform.
>
>You have never been in the USN or USCG either, Len. You're no more
>involved than I am.

BWAAAAAAAAAHAAAAHAAAAHAAAAAHAAAA......

So...you don't think the Army or the Air Force is part of the
United States military? Hello? Jimmie, try to get your head
on straight when you get up...

>> You are NOT INVOLVED.
>
>Yes, I am. I pay Federal taxes.

HAAAR! So do I...and for longer than you have, Jimmie.

>So they're not museum pieces. Which means Morse Code isn't either.

Tsk, tsk, Jimmie must have voted for Al E. Gory and LOST!

>Guess you never heard whistle signals...

Yes I have while engaged in team sports. :-)

Yes, I have heard steam locomotive whistles.

>> >And the main point remains: Sailboats make up far less than 1% of the
>> >US military fleet.
>>
>> Was that the "main point?" :-)
>
>Yes.

Earth to Jimmie, Earth to Jimmie, hello? This thread is about
NPRM 05-143 and "qualifications" for an amateur radio license.

It isn't about "sailboats" or the "U.S. military fleet."

Jimmie be lost in another dimension of sight and sound.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

667. Re: More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 01:45:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133833514.202889.214060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126437

>hot-ham-and-cheese:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Frank Gilliland wrote:

>> > > He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist.
>
>> > Nope. Active Duty, save for the Delayed Entry Program.
>
>> Is your delayed entry period on your DD Form 214? I mean, would it be
>> on there if you had one?
>
> I have several DD214's.
>
> All of them have the word "Honorable" on them without exception or
>qualifier.

Tsk, you could have HUNDREDS of DD-214 forms...all blank. Kinko's
and other printing services (such as "job printer" independents)
can do such work a minimal cost.

So far, none of us have seen "yours" as filled out by authorities.

A DD-214 (filled out by authorities) has always been, and remains,
a RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY. It was NEVER a "discharge" document.
Each military branch of the United States has their own discharge
from all military service and those are different forms.

A close look at the upper right hand corner will show "Condition
of Release" as it pertains to the DD-214. That refers to the
RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY. RELEASE, not discharge.

So far, none of us have been able to see what is on "yours."

Here's the drill:

1. Take your (filled out by authorities) DD-214 to a scanner.
If you had later changes to the DD-214, take along your
DD-215 form (filled out by authorities) as well.

2. If you have none of "yours" available, go to the NARA
website and order a duplicate (they have forms for doing
it by surface mail). The cost is minimal, even to one
on a disability pension.

3. Make the scanned digital copy file available for
inspection by anyone, either posting it to your home page
or sending it as an attachment to e-mail directly to

those requesting a copy.

Following that simple 3-step process fully will end the endless foul invectives you've hurled at all those challengers in trying to avoid showing the truth for seven years.

Failure to comply will only result in all of us affirming that you are nothing but an Imposter, a scam artist, a con-man, a poseur, an imitator who is casting dishonor on the Corps.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

668. Re: More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 02:56:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vku9p1htcjuol8u0m6q9at32ok8i2s8o5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 126438

On 5 Dec 2005 17:45:14 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1133833514.202889.214060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>>> > > He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist.
>>
>>> > Nope. Active Duty, save for the Delayed Entry Program.
>>
>>> Is your delayed entry period on your DD Form 214? I mean, would it be
>>> on there if you had one?
>>
>> I have several DD214's.
>>
>> All of them have the word "Honorable" on them without exception or
>>qualifier.
>
> Tsk, you could have HUNDREDS of DD-214 forms...all blank. Kinko's
> and other printing services (such as "job printer" independents)
> can do such work a minimal cost.
>
> So far, none of us have seen "yours" as filled out by authorities.
>
> A DD-214 (filled out by authorities) has always been, and remains,
> a RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY. It was NEVER a "discharge" document.
> Each military branch of the United States has their own discharge
> from all military service and those are different forms.
>
> A close look at the upper right hand corner will show "Condition
> of Release" as it pertains to the DD-214. That refers to the
> RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY. RELEASE, not discharge.
>
> So far, none of us have been able to see what is on "yours."
>
> Here's the drill:
>
> 1. Take your (filled out by authorities) DD-214 to a scanner.
> If you had later changes to the DD-214, take along your
> DD-215 form (filled out by authorities) as well.
>

> 2. If you have none of "yours" available, go to the NARA
> website and order a duplicate (they have forms for doing
> it by surface mail). The cost is minimal, even to one
> on a disability pension.
>
> 3. Make the scanned digital copy file available for
> inspection by anyone, either posting it to your home page
> or sending it as an attachment to e-mail directly to
> those requesting a copy.
>
> Following that simple 3-step process fully will end the endless
> foul invectives you've hurled at all those challengers in
> trying to avoid showing the truth for seven years.
>
> Failure to comply will only result in all of us affirming that
> you are nothing but an Imposter, a scam artist, a con-man, a
> poseur, an imitator who is casting dishonor on the Corps.

I don't think it matters anymore -- his ignorant blatherings are more
than enough evidence to prove that he was never a Devil Dog. And if he
should ever produce a DD-214 in the future, I wouldn't be convinced of
it's authenticity even if the CMC hand-delivered it to my door.

The game is over. He lost. Only one question remains..... what shall
be the disposition of Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

669. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 03:20:45 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <hs7lf.10833$wf.7552@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126439

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1133823635.151582.141270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1133752538.824595.51740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1133647078.784489.326770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >>> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>> > >> > But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that
>> > >> > the requirementsare "too high"....
>> >> >
>> >> >> Who told you that? Not FCC. Not ARRL. Not me.
>> >> >
>> >> >It has been shown by the actions of the first two, and others.
>>
>> In other words, that is your opinion based
>> on your view of certain actions of others but
>> you have NO example where anyone has
>> said the requirements are too high. So the
>> reality is that we have NOT been told by
>> anyone that the requirements are too high.
>
>Here are some more examples:
>
> - ARRL has proposed free (no test) upgrades for many hams.
> These proposed free upgrades included Novices and Tech
> Pluses getting Generals with no additional testing, and Advanceds
> getting Extras with no additional testing.
> While they don't come right out and say
> the requirements are too high, proposing that hundreds of thousands
> of hams get an upgrade without taking the required tests effectively
> says the test requirements - the *written* test requirements - are
> too high.

bunk! Your logic is failed because those free upgrades were
proposed as a one time only set of upgrades to get people
to a newly aligned set of licenses and privileges without
subjecting anyone to a lose of privileges.

> Free upgrades would effectively lower the requirements.

Ditto my last comment.

> NCI agreed with the ARRL proposal on the free upgrades, btw.
> But FCC disagreed and denied all proposals for free upgrades.
> FCC cited the comments of certain people in that denial - see
> footnote 142 in the NPRM. (ahem)

OK, no point there.

> - NCVEC's "Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" paper is
> full of the idea that the requirements are too high, particularly
> for the entry-level license class. Their second proposal followed
> the "21st Century" paper closely.

Prior to 2000, was the Novice too high?
If the FCC went back or
changed Tech to a Novice level test (retaining the
General and Extra as is) would that bother you?

> >> >First off, there's the reduction in code testing. Also code
> >> >waivers. Elimination of the sending test, the one-minute-
> >> >solid copy requirement, etc. But let's put those aside and
> >> >look at the writtens:
> >> >
> >> >1) there's the official publication of the written exams.
> >>
> >> Did the ARRL or any other ham organization petition
> >> for the test questions to be published?
> >
> >Not that I know of. The move to the VEC system was made by
> >FCC. Making the tests public was an unavoidable consequence
> >of the VEC system. Besides, if the FCC couldn't keep them
> > secret from Dick Bash back when FCC made up and controlled
> > the test distribution, how could anyone expect they could do it
> > when the VEs ran the testing?
>
> Publicizing the exact Q&A makes the requirements lower because
> the prospective ham knows exactly what will be on the test, down
> to the exact wording, and the exact correct answers. Big difference
> from secret tests!

Yawn.... BUT publishing the questions was never proposed
by ARRL. That being so, who in the FCC do you
attribute the change to?

(SNIP)

>> I think not. I have been a VE at several sessions here
>> in NJ and have never seen anyone allowed to take the
>> exact same test a second time on that same session
>> regardless of paying an additional fee. The reality also
>> is that the VEs running the session have no desire to
>> allow anyone to just stay all day/night until the applicant's
>> money runs out.
>

> Yet they allow it. In the bad old days there was a mandatory
> 30 day wait to retest. Which meant a lot of us went to the
> test *really* prepared because coming back was not that
> easy.

So? If someone wants to risk failing that's their choice.
It's a real stretch to consider that making requirements easier.

(SNIP)

>> As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
>> support that idea...but I think we need to approach
>> that concept slowly by the following path:
>>
>> 1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
>
> Will probably happen regardless of anything else.
>
>> 2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
>> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
>> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
>
> Someone would have to do this in a structured way, by downloading
> the entire database at regular intervals (say once a month) and
> analyzing it a la AH0A.

ARRL is perfectly capable of that I'm sure.

> It would not be possible to determine "never before" hams as
> opposed to "retreads" without a lot of historic info.

The number of "retreads" is propably a very small percentage
of those that appear as new.

> Upgrades could be derived by comparing the current license class
> of each license with the license class from the previous analysis.

OK

>> 3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
>> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
>>
> Sounds reasonable, except who is going to "bell the cat" - do all
> the analysis work?

ARRL can do it.

> It's also important to understand the effect of impending changes.
>
> If the rules are fairly stable, newcomers and potential upgraders
>have an incentive to pass the tests.
>
> But if there are possible changes coming that will reduce the
> requirements, at least some will simply wait to see how

> things turn out. Why study for a test that will be gone in a
> few months - or one that you won't have to take because ARRL
>got you a free upgrade?
> Sure, some will "go for it" but others will hold back.

I could care less about those that might want to wait for
changes they have no assurance are coming.

(SNIP)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

670. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 03:31:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9C7If.532$FP6.54@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126440

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>> The
>> Army found that out during the Battle of the Bulge...where every
>> soldier, regardless of MOS, were suddenly IN "battle." Ever
>> since the U.S. Army has made it a point to continue basic battle
>> training long after soldiers have finished basic training.
>
> And your point is?
>
> All sorts of people in all sorts of jobs face danger every day, Len.
>
> The electric wires don't put themselves up, and when a storm knocks
> out the power, the crews don't get to wait for a sunny day to fix them.
>

Jim,

Lens point is that every serviceman and servicewoman in uniform serves with the understanding that their very life is pledged, at the very real risk of armed conflict, to serve their fellow man, commonly for material rewards less than that enjoyed by an Assistant Shift Manager at your local Burger King.

In the past (and probably in the future) Len and I have found all sorts of reasons to disagree, but on this issue I come down four-square on his side. Comparing that pledge which Len, Brian, and several other here took, to the risks "suffered" by an electric company linemen or a construction worker is mean spirited and unbecoming. I don't much care whether you served in uniform or not (the majority of todays adults chose not to), but I will not quietly tolerate your insulting characterization of military service as somehow on the same order of risk and "nobleness" as a worker who sets up replacement power poles. And please don't add to the insult with your chant about "served in other ways".

Just apologize to all of us, including Len, who served at risk of our very lives so you could enjoy the freedom to imply that our service was on the same order as a bridge painter.

de Hans, K0HB

671. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 03:39:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9dKdnXMNxp2plQjeRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 126441

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:IqYkf.183$Z61.139@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1133752538.824595.51740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1133647078.784489.326770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

[snip]

>> Even if not, I don't know of any VE group that allows
>> retesting on the smae test at the same test session.
>
> My understanding is that some do - if you pay another fee.
>
> Do they allow the taking of the exact same test?
> I think not. I have been a VE at several sessions here
> in NJ and have never seen anyone allowed to take the
> exact same test a second time on that same session
> regardless of paying an additional fee. The reality also
> is that the VEs running the session have no desire to
> allow anyone to just stay all day/night until the applicant's
> money runs out.
>

Well the VE teams that I have been on allow the applicant to keep testing on
the same element until one of the following things happens:

1. The applicant passes
2. The team runs out of different versions of the test for the element that
the applicant trying to pass
3. The applicant runs out of money or patience
4. The VE team runs out of time or patience.

The team is not required to stay just because an applicant wants to keep
trying. It is within the team's rights to set the length of the test
session and whether or not to extend it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

672. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 04:08:04 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <E88lf.10849$wf.5164@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126442

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:9dKdnXMNxp2plQjeRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:IqYkf.183$Z61.139@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1133752538.824595.51740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1133647078.784489.326770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> [snip]
>
>>> Even if not, I don't know of any VE group that allows
>>> retesting on the same test at the same test session.
>>
>> My understanding is that some do - if you pay another fee.
>>
>> Do they allow the taking of the exact same test?
>> I think not. I have been a VE at several sessions here
>> in NJ and have never seen anyone allowed to take the
>> exact same test a second time on that same session
>> regardless of paying an additional fee. The reality also
>> is that the VEs running the session have no desire to
>> allow anyone to just stay all day/night until the applicant's
>> money runs out.
>
> Well the VE teams that I have been on allow the applicant to keep testing
> on the same element until one of the following things happens:
>
> 1. The applicant passes
> 2. The team runs out of different versions of the test for the element
> that the applicant trying to pass
> 3. The applicant runs out of money or patience
> 4. The VE team runs out of time or patience.
>
> The team is not required to stay just because an applicant wants to keep
> trying. It is within the team's rights to set the length of the test
> session and whether or not to extend it.
>

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I have no problem with that since, per your point 2,
the applicant doesn't retest the same version of the
test already taken at that VE session.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

673. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 04:54:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nk0ap1l8gk5935fle9js5j08cj3md1rbnf@4ax.com>
Source record: 126443

On 4 Dec 2005 22:30:11 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1133764211.384955.85790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 4, 7:54 pm
<snip>
>>A couple weeks ago I realized that you're probably right -- Major Dud
>>was never in the USMC. Maybe he was the Steven Robeson that served in
>>the USCG (Semper Paratus), but I doubt that, too.
>
> I can go for the USCG part. Years ago Dud made a big thing of
> his father's death (father was a ham) for a long time and said
> his father had been in the USN, "taught him the code" etc.,
> was "his mentor." OK, a little bit of that was fine, but Dudly
> dragged it out in here.
>
> Dud has never mentioned his mother. Dud doesn't talk much of his
> first marriage, either. <shrug>

Oedipus Dud?

> But, the interesting part is that this alleged E-7 changed from
> an "avionics tech" to nursing just doesn't wash well. Especially
> when Dud was so macho gung-ho about being a "warrior." [see on-
> line pipedreaming about "doing HALO drops" and other heroic battle
> things] Now it DOES fit that Dud - as a former CG pharmacist's
> mate - COULD make a transition from military pill dispensing to
> being a civilian nurse.

Well, the MOS of the E-3 Robeson in the USCG was '150', whatever that
is (haven't found a CG MOS reference).

>>... And
>>instead of admitting that he wasted his early adulthood, he assumed
>>the identity and military history of someone with the same name who he
>>discovered while surfing the net.
>
> Not a problem on finding those with similar names. I went looking
> for others with MY name and - surprisingly - found that a Major
> Leonard Anderson, USMC, was one of the pilots in the Blue Angels!
> How about that, a Marine (real) Major in a precision aerobatic
> team! :-)

Apparently there was a "Frank Gilliland" that was employed directly by
the DoD..... some sort of 'hush-hush' occupation.

Speaking of similar names, I found the following list of residences
for "Steven J. Robeson":

Ravenna, OH
Trumann, AR
Dunlap, TN
Pikeville, TN
Winchester, TN
Cross Junction, VA
Berryville, VA
Huntington, WV
Milton, WV
Atlanta, GA
Duluth, GA
Marietta, GA
Costa Mesa, CA
Irvine, CA
Santa Ana, CA

Funny thing..... all these people with the same name as K4YZ have the
same approximate age as K4YZ. What a mind-boggling coincidence!!!

<snip>
>>Fact: Dudly didn't know which targets were used in boot camp.
>
> I doubt he qualified on the range. Does the USCG make
> recruits qualify in weapons in boot camp? [I never knew]

I asked my cousin about that when I was a kid and apparently they
don't. But he did say that they learn drill with parade rifles. My
memory might be a bit sour on this, it was a long time ago.....

>>Fact: Dudly didn't know that avionics techs are routinely assigned to
>>the FMF.
>
> If he had to go to sea, it would have been the last of him.
> Someone would have pushed him over the side long ago.

Must've made a big splash!!!

>>Fact: Dudly has claimed to have several DD-214's -and also- has
>>claimed to have only one.
>
> None of which we have seen.
>
>>Fact: Dudly didn't know what info is recorded on a DD-214.
>
> That Separation form has been out and used by all branches for
> over a half century. Very few changes in it over that time.
> Each block has a short description of what should go in there
> on the form itself.

Yep. And that description was included in the part of my DD-214 that I
posted. But in a frantic attempt to discredit me he just -presumed-

it's meaning because he had never seen a DD-214, and therefore didn't know that 'time in service' is recorded in a section that I didn't scan.

>>Fact: Dudly fell into the "box 24" trap.
>
> Actually, anyone could if they didn't have one to look at. :-)

I'm really suprised that the ex-military contingent of Dudly's posse didn't offer him that info..... they seem to help him out with other information when the need arises. What's up with that (as if I didn't already know)?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

674. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 05:28:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133846919.601490.202100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126444

From: Bill Sohl on Dec 5, 6:48 am

><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>> K□B wrote:
>> >> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote

<snip>

>>> >First off, there's the reduction in code testing. Also code
>>> >waivers. Elimination of the sending test, the one-minute-
>>> >solid copy requirement, etc. But let's put those aside and
>>> >look at the writtens:
>
>>> >1) there's the official publication of the written exams.
>
>>> Did the ARRL or any other ham organization petition
>>> for the test questions to be published?
>
>>Not that I know of. The move to the VEC system was made by
>>FCC. Making the tests public was an unavoidable consequence
>>of the VEC system.

License examination privatization happed to BOTH the
commercial radio operator license exams as well as
radio amateurs.

There was no "VEC system" any more than it was a "COLEM
system."

>> Besides, if the FCC couldn't keep them
>> secret from Dick Bash back when FCC made up and controlled
>> the test distribution, how could anyone expect they could do it
>> when the VEs ran the testing?

There were no "secrets" prior to the "Bash Books" since
the essential questions were included in the "Q & A"
books available in the 1950s.

The copyright laws of the United States haven't changed
appreciably since 1950 insofar as the federal government
has NO copyright on anything it publishes. One example
that should be familiar to civilians looking at news
stands is J. K. Lassers Income Tax guide books which
include copies of all IRS forms.

>> It's the difference between knowing a little bit of the basics
>> of a wide variety of subjects vs. an in-depth
>> knowledge of fewer subjects. Most people find
>> the latter to be more challenging.
>
>Amd you know this to be true based on what scientific
>study/analysis?
>
>And again, who asked for that or drove that change?

Those who don't like the amateur radio license examination
written questions can communicate with the VEC Question
Pool Committee. The VEC QPC makes up ALL the questions
for EACH class' written examinations.

That situation is eminently fair to me and should be to
all radio amateurs desiring the "clubhouse" kind of
"community." :-)

It seems more likely that the longer a complainant has
been licensed, the smarter they are, and the newcomers
are way dumber than they, the OTs, were. :-)

>> Have they really proposed a new license?
>> Or (just) different privileges for the existing one?
>
>In another reply to your question,
>Len stated the ARRL has filed both proposals.
>I'll take his word on that.

ARRL's Petition RM-10867. ARRL's Comments filed on 31
October 2005 on NPRM 05-143 is partly a slight rewrite
of RM-10867. [Chris is trying very hard to get his
handiwork approved? :-)]

ARRL's new "petition" (no RM assignment yet) calls
for a revision of the "band plans." That is
accessible from their www.arrl.org splash page.

>As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
>support that idea...but I think we need to approach
>that concept slowly by the following path:
>
>1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
>2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
>3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
> regarding the ability to gain new hams.

Whatever. :-) First item is excellent. Second, okay.
Does there really need to be an "assessment" as in the
third? What "assessments" were done in the past?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

675. Re: WHAT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 05:56:40 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-0512052356400001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 126445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

In article <XY2lf.145446$Hs.95268@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>, "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:

> How in the He11 do you breath in fences all day? What a moron!
>
> Wayne-
> (KC8UIO)
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1133563812.141446.61970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > yeah, I guess that's what happens when you breath in fences all day
> >
I really suggest you go back and read the whole thread

todd N9OGL

676. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 06:52:56 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133851976.926773.164740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126446

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 5, 8:54 pm

>On 4 Dec 2005 22:30:11 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 4, 7:54 pm

<snip>
>>>A couple weeks ago I realized that you're probably right -- Major Dud
>>>was never in the USMC. Maybe he was the Steven Robeson that served in
>>>the USCG (Semper Paratus), but I doubt that, too.
>
>> I can go for the USCG part. Years ago Dud made a big thing of
>> his father's death (father was a ham) for a long time and said
>> his father had been in the USN, "taught him the code" etc.,
>> was "his mentor." OK, a little bit of that was fine, but Dudly
>> dragged it out in here.
>
>> Dud has never mentioned his mother. Dud doesn't talk much of his
>> first marriage, either. <shrug>
>
>Oedipus Dud?

Har! Could be...

>> But, the interesting part is that this alleged E-7 changed from
>> an "avionics tech" to nursing just doesn't wash well. Especially
>> when Dud was so macho gung-ho about being a "warrior." [see on-
>> line pipedreaming about "doing HALO drops" and other heroic battle
>> things] Now it DOES fit that Dud - as a former CG pharmacist's
>> mate - COULD make a transition from military pill dispensing to
>> being a civilian nurse.
>
>Well, the MOS of the E-3 Robeson in the USCG was '150', whatever that
>is (haven't found a CG MOS reference).

Back when I was in, the Army changed the MOS numbering system, then
again after I got my discharge. The last change is what the Army
uses now and those are found on www.goarmy website. Nomenclature
of an occupation specialty is FAR less important than actually
KNOWING WHAT TO DO once one has an MOS or whatever job to do.

In the 53 years since I first volunteered for the Army, the state
of the art of communications has leapfrogged several plateaus,
changing the entire structure of C-four-I into something that
would be unrecognizeable to anyone who got out just after WW2.
The term "C-cubed-I" wasn't even coined yet, let alone "C-four."

My last look at a working Signal Center (on the Brigade level)
was in 1989, a year before Iraq invaded Kuwait. I've listened
to a Hughes Aircraft Co. field engineer explain how it was in
the build-up for Desert Storm IN Saudi Arabia, with all the
massive amounts of communications flowing to CENTCOM in Florida
(!) and Washington DC by satellite relay and by troposcatter
relay. Incredible advances from what my old Signal Battalion
did for FEC Hq using WW2-era HF communications using tube radio
equipment. I got a glimpse of the AN/PSC-5, then a newbie in
the inventory for fast, quick messaging from "behind the lines,"
slated to replace the PSC-3. Secure and able to relay off
either satellites or airborne relay aircraft on low UHF, it
beat the hell out of those old "romantic" stories of morsemen
derring-do in occupied France during WW2. The information
thus obtained enabled "73 Easting" to procede in a faster
panzerfaust than ol' Irwin Rommel could ever imagine.

>>>... And
>>>instead of admitting that he wasted his early adulthood, he assumed
>>>the identity and military history of someone with the same name who he
>>>discovered while surfing the net.
>
>> Not a problem on finding those with similar names. I went looking
>> for others with MY name and - surprisingly - found that a Major
>> Leonard Anderson, USMC, was one of the pilots in the Blue Angels!
>> How about that, a Marine (real) Major in a precision aerobatic
>> team! :-)
>
>Apparently there was a "Frank Gilliland" that was employed directly by
>the DoD..... some sort of 'hush-hush' occupation.

Way to go! :-)

>Speaking of similar names, I found the following list of residences
>for "Steven J. Robeson":
>
>Ravenna, OH
>Trumann, AR
>Dunlap, TN
>Pikeville, TN
>Winchester, TN
>Cross Junction, VA
>Berryville, VA
>Huntington, WV
>Milton, WV
>Atlanta, GA
>Duluth, GA
>Marietta, GA
>Costa Mesa, CA
>Irvine, CA
>Santa Ana, CA
>

>Funny thing..... all these people with the same name as K4YZ have the
>same approximate age as K4YZ. What a mind-boggling coincidence!!!

Sunnuvagun! :-)

<snip>

>>>Fact: Dudly didn't know which targets were used in boot camp.
>
>> I doubt he qualified on the range. Does the USCG make
>> recruits qualify in weapons in boot camp? [I never knew]
>
>I asked my cousin about that when I was a kid and apparently they
>don't. But he did say that they learn drill with parade rifles. My
>memory might be a bit sour on this, it was a long time ago.....

OK. My only prolonged contact with USCG types was a light
commander who was liason field officer on RCA's RIHANS test
in Galveston, TX. Nice guy, KNEW his communications stuff!
RIHANS = River and Inland Harbor Area Navigation System, an
R&D program partly funded by the government and done before
NAVSTAR successfully morphed into GPSS. Test was successful
to guaranteed +/- 1 yard accuracy anywhere out to 30 miles.

>>>Fact: Dudly didn't know that avionics techs are routinely assigned to
>>>the FMF.
>
>> If he had to go to sea, it would have been the last of him.
>> Someone would have pushed him over the side long ago.
>
>Must've made a big splash!!!

We could only hope... :-)

>>>Fact: Dudly has claimed to have several DD-214's -and also- has
>>>claimed to have only one.
>
>> None of which we have seen.
>
>>>Fact: Dudly didn't know what info is recorded on a DD-214.
>
>> That Separation form has been out and used by all branches for
>> over a half century. Very few changes in it over that time.
>> Each block has a short description of what should go in there
>> on the form itself.
>
>Yep. And that description was included in the part of my DD-214 that I
>posted. But in a frantic attempt to discredit me he just -presumed-
>it's meaning because he had never seen a DD-214, and therefore didn't
>know that 'time in service' is recorded in a section that I didn't
>scan.

Most inferior con artists never check details. Geez, a one-page
form that EVERY service member gets isn't terribly complex.

>>>Fact: Dudly fell into the "box 24" trap.
>
>> Actually, anyone could if they didn't have one to look at. :-)
>
>I'm really suprised that the ex-military contingent of Dudly's posse
>didn't offer him that info..... they seem to help him out with other
>information when the need arises. What's up with that (as if I didn't
>already know)?

Har, har! :-) The answer is obvious: He does not have any
ex-military contingent in his posse because he wasn't there.
I find it incredible that any career type doesn't have a single
snapshot or mention of a buddy's name for an alleged 18-year
total enlistment...of course, if one didn't actually DO that,
then there would be NO names, NO snapshots.

Think of it as a "posse comedy taught us." :-) [arghhh!]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

677. Re: More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 06:55:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133852143.014845.188910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126447

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 5, 6:56 pm

>On 5 Dec 2005 17:45:14 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>hot-ham-and-cheese:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> > Frank Gilliland wrote:

>>> I have several DD214's.
>
>>> All of them have the word "Honorable" on them without exception or
>>>qualifier.
>
>> Tsk, you could have HUNDREDS of DD-214 forms...all blank. Kinko's
>> and other printing services (such as "job printer" independents)
>> can do such work a minimal cost.
>
>> So far, none of us have seen "yours" as filled out by authorities.
>
>> A DD-214 (filled out by authorities) has always been, and remains,
>> a RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY. It was NEVER a "discharge" document.
>> Each military branch of the United States has their own discharge
>> from all military service and those are different forms.
>
>> A close look at the upper right hand corner will show "Condition
>> of Release" as it pertains to the DD-214. That refers to the
>> RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY. RELEASE, not discharge.
>
>> So far, none of us have been able to see what is on "yours."
>
>> Here's the drill:
>
>> 1. Take your (filled out by authorities) DD-214 to a scanner.
>> If you had later changes to the DD-214, take along your
>> DD-215 form (filled out by authorities) as well.
>
>> 2. If you have none of "yours" available, go to the NARA
>> website and order a duplicate (they have forms for doing
>> it by surface mail). The cost is minimal, even to one
>> on a disability pension.
>
>> 3. Make the scanned digital copy file available for
>> inspection by anyone, either posting it to your home page
>> or sending it as an attachment to e-mail directly to
>> those requesting a copy.
>

>> Following that simple 3-step process fully will end the endless
>> foul invectives you've hurled at all those challengers in
>> trying to avoid showing the truth for seven years.
>
>> Failure to comply will only result in all of us affirming that
>> you are nothing but an Imposter, a scam artist, a con-man, a
>> poseur, an imitator who is casting dishonor on the Corps.
>
>I don't think it matters anymore -- his ignorant blatherings are more
>than enough evidence to prove that he was never a Devil Dog. And if he
>should ever produce a DD-214 in the future, I wouldn't be convinced of
>it's authenticity even if the CMC hand-delivered it to my door.

Roger that! I agree with you.

>The game is over. He lost. Only one question remains..... what shall
>be the disposition of Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson?

His corpus is bio-degradable. That's all the suggestion I can
offer on "disposition." It might make suitable fertilizer for
something...?

Wait...here's a thought...teach him some Arabic, enough to get
along...strap on him as much explosive as he can carry, set him
loose among some extremist Muslim in the Middle East with orders
to go BOOM amongst them. Kind of a small payback for what those
extremist numb-nuts have been doing to us and good Muslims for
years. "Disposal" neatly solved (parts too small to recover).

Perhaps too Draconian for the lily-livered peacenik sissies in
here, though. Cancel that. Might be a waste of good C4 or RDX
and hook-up wire.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

678. Re: More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 08:32:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133857974.131975.284640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126448

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 5 Dec 2005 17:45:14 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
> <1133833514.202889.214060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

> > Failure to comply will only result in all of us affirming that
> > you are nothing but an Imposter, a scam artist, a con-man, a
> > poseur, an imitator who is casting dishonor on the Corps.

Sorry, Your Scumminess.

I've provided handfuls of other verifiable references to my
military service that you've dissed and pooh-poohed, so this is not
happpening.

> I don't think it matters anymore -- his ignorant blatherings are more
> than enough evidence to prove that he was never a Devil Dog.

"...ignorant blatherings..."

Interesting choice of adjectives coming from a "guy" who was too
wimpy to make it through ONE enlistment in the Corps without betting
court martialed TWICE.

> And if he
> should ever produce a DD-214 in the future, I wouldn't be convinced of
> it's authenticity even if the CMC hand-delivered it to my door.

Then I guess it's a good thing that we';re not really concerned
with what a liar and coward cares about MY duty status.

> The game is over. He lost.

You remind me of the folks who try to declare "victory" while
laying on the mat bruised and bloodied.

> Only one question remains..... what shall
> be the disposition of Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson?

Bad choices of new diminutives, Frankie...

But let's not dwell on that...Let's dwell on what we can do with
Bloodied Nose Gilliland, instead.

Steve, K4YZ

679. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 08:57:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133859466.539052.231360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126449

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >> The
> >> Army found that out during the Battle of the Bulge...where every
> >> soldier, regardless of MOS, were suddenly IN "battle." Ever
> >> since the U.S. Army has made it a point to continue basic battle
> >> training long after soldiers have finished basic training.
> > >
> > > And your point is?
> > >
> > > All sorts of people in all sorts of jobs face danger every day, Len.
> > >
> > > The electric wires don't put themselves up, and when a storm knocks
> > > out the power, the crews don't get to wait for a sunny day to fix them.
> > >
> >
> > Jim,
> >
> > Lens point is that every serviceman and servicewoman in uniform serves with the
> > understanding that their very life is pledged, at the very real risk of armed
> > conflict, to serve their fellow man, commonly for material rewards less than
> > that enjoyed by an Assistant Shift Manager at your local Burger King.

Yet Burger King managers, newspaper sellers, grocery clerks, etc,
get killed every day doing their jobs, often defending those places
against an armed foe.

> In the past (and probably in the future) Len and I have found all sorts of
> reasons to disagree, but on this issue I come down four-square on his side.
> Comparing that pledge which Len, Brian, and several other here took, to the
> risks "suffered" by an electric company linemen or a construction worker is mean
> spirited and unbecoming. I don't much care whether you served in uniform or not
> (the majority of todays adults chose not to), but I will not quietly tolerate
> your insulting characterization of military service as somehow on the same order
> of risk and "nobleness" as a worker who sets up replacement power poles. And
> please don't add to the insult with your chant about "served in other ways".

Sorry, Hans...we have to disagree here.

Follow OSHA safety stats there are jobs far more dangerous and less
"rewarding", both in pecuniary renumeratioon and job satisfaction, than
serving in the Armed Forces.

> Just apologize to all of us, including Len, who served at risk of our very lives
> so you could enjoy the freedom to imply that our service was on the same order
> as a bridge painter.

Jim owes no one an apology here, Hans...Not when you consider one of those who DID serve USED the deaths of men who DID die in battle well before he was even out of High School to "polish his brass" in this very same forum.

The fact of the matter is that many men DO face danger far more routinely than the average service man, short of toe-to-toe armed conflict, will face.

I note that Lennie takes great pains to try and "expose" the "myths and mythos" of Amateur Radio and places himslef above "mere mortals" for his enlightenment of it.

Funny coming from a guy who wanted us to know how courageously he "fought"...errrrr..."served" under the threat of the Soviet Bear Tu-95 (not in service when he was), or how others dare not tell HIM what it was like being under incomming artillery fire. (not unless the "Cannon Cockers" on the practice range accidentilly aimed the wrong way...)

The fact of the matter is that cops, firemen, powerline workers, slaughterhouse workers, and a laundry list of others face more imminent danger than we did in the Armed Forces on an average day.

Yes, that oath to "uphold and defend" the Constitution takes on a more direct implication of imminent mortal danger, but the truth of the matter is a serviceman is more likely to get killed in a training acident or get hit by a drunk driver than to be a KIA.

The bottom line is that while we depend on the Armed Forces to keep our borders safe and the "bad guys" at bay, all those other "served in other ways" people are no less integral to creating and maintaining our way of life.

73

Steve, K4YZ

PS: At this point "I Served Under the Bear" Anderson and "ANOTHER Court Martial?" Gilliland can come out swinging, but who cares?

680. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 09:19:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133860747.361091.12670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126450

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >> The
> >> Army found that out during the Battle of the Bulge...where every
> >> soldier, regardless of MOS, were suddenly IN "battle." Ever
> >> since the U.S. Army has made it a point to continue basic battle
> >> training long after soldiers have finished basic training.
> >
> > And your point is?
> >
> > All sorts of people in all sorts of jobs face danger every day, Len.
> >
> > The electric wires don't put themselves up, and when a storm knocks
> > out the power, the crews don't get to wait for a sunny day to fix them.

> Jim,
>
> Lens point is that every serviceman and servicewoman in uniform serves with the
> understanding that their very life is pledged, at the very real risk of armed
> conflict, to serve their fellow man, commonly for material rewards less than
> that enjoyed by an Assistant Shift Manager at your local Burger King.

I realize that, Hans, and I honor that pledge and that service. I
apologize if anyone was offended. That was not my intent.

But Len tries to make it sound like no one other than military service
ever faces any danger in their job.

> In the past (and probably in the future) Len and I have found all sorts of
> reasons to disagree, but on this issue I come down four-square on his side.
> Comparing that pledge which Len, Brian, and several other here took, to the
> risks "suffered" by an electric company linemen or a construction worker is mean
> spirited and unbecoming.

I did not mean to sound that way. Again, if it sounded that way, I
apologize.

73 de Jim, N2EY

681. Re: Hans jion (jion?) in the projotive (projotive?) parade why? WTF is a "projotive parade"...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 09:20:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133860816.793344.294240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126451

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> > > becuase he knows that what realy bugs him is of no importance so he
> > > has to make shsit up
> >
> > "because" "really" And Markie...You can't even spell your
> > profanities right...?!?!
> >
> > > 931-967-6282
> >
> > It's been over a week now, Markie, and you're still broadcasting
> > some uninvolved third party's telephone number.
> >
> > Why do you intentionally do things to hurt people you don't know
> > and who have nothing to do with the goings-on of this forum?
> >
> > My answer? This is the proof that we need to show what kind of
> > "man" you really are.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Just curious. Why would the person at that number be uninvolved?

Whomever that is is NOT a member of this forum, Brain...They will
be "involved" only in that Markie drags them into something they knew
nothing about and had no idea of save for his rantings herein.

Steve, K4YZ

682. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 09:24:54 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dn3ld6$256$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 126453

someone@somewhere wrote:

>> things] Now it DOES fit that Dud - as a former CG pharmacist's
>> mate - COULD make a transition from military pill dispensing to

There is no such rating in the CG. The Hospital Corpsman (HS) is the only medical-related rating we have.

>Well, the MOS of the E-3 Robeson in the USCG was '150', whatever that
>is (haven't found a CG MOS reference).

We don't utilize the DoD's MOS. Our job descriptions are known as "ratings."

>> I doubt he qualified on the range. Does the USCG make
>> recruits qualify in weapons in boot camp? [I never knew]

Yes, the M-9 pistol, M-16 rifle, and a custom Remington 14-inch, 12 ga shotgun. (Regarding the M-9, we're switching from the 9mm Beretta to a .40 cal Sig.)

Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

683. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 09:28:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133861309.491650.89910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126452

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >> The
> > >> Army found that out during the Battle of the Bulge...where every
> > >> soldier, regardless of MOS, were suddenly IN "battle." Ever
> > >> since the U.S. Army has made it a point to continue basic battle
> > >> training long after soldiers have finished basic training.
> > >
> > > And your point is?
> > >
> > > All sorts of people in all sorts of jobs face danger every day, Len.
> > >
> > > The electric wires don't put themselves up, and when a storm knocks
> > > out the power, the crews don't get to wait for a sunny day to fix them.
>
> > Jim,
> >
> > Lens point is that every serviceman and servicewoman in uniform serves with the
> > understanding that their very life is pledged, at the very real risk of armed
> > conflict, to serve their fellow man, commonly for material rewards less than
> > that enjoyed by an Assistant Shift Manager at your local Burger King.
>
> I realize that, Hans, and I honor that pledge and that service. I
> apologize if anyone was offended. That was not my intent.
>
> But Len tries to make it sound like no one other than military service
> ever faces any danger in their job.
>
> > In the past (and probably in the future) Len and I have found all sorts of
> > reasons to disagree, but on this issue I come down four-square on his side.
> > Comparing that pledge which Len, Brian, and several other here took, to the
> > risks "suffered" by an electric company linemen or a construction worker is mean
> > spirited and unbecoming.
>
> I did not mean to sound that way. Again, if it sounded that way, I
> apologize.

Jim, if you felt an "apology" was warranted, then bravo for you.

However if you get into the habit of "apologizing" everytime
someone doesn't like your "tone" or how it MIGHT sound, then you just
spend your whole life apologizing.

Spending your life with your tail between your legs is no way to live.

73

Steve, K4YZ

684. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 09:48:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133862510.352991.66310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126454

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 4 2005 4:35 pm

> >NADC to be exact, right? You weren't exactly begged to
> >stay there, were you, Len?
>
> The Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, PA, is
> located outside of the city of Philadelphia. EXACTLY
> I've been IN Philadelphia and IN Camden, NJ, just
> across the Delaware River from Philly.
>
> Considering that I was an employee of RCA Corporation
> before, during, and after I visited NADC as a field
> engineer, I was never approached to join them for any
> employment and neither did I seek to get employment
> there. I got along fine with NADC civilian and military
> personnel there, did my assigned, pre-established work,
> departed for my home in California.
>
> I've explained all that before. You again choose to
> attempt to CHANGE it to suit your hostile intent.

Bottom line, NO, he was not asked to return.

> >> >Yes, Len, we know you can't deal with facts and opinions different
> >> >from your own.
> >>
> >> :-) You are really going the way of Dudly the Imposter.
> >>
> >> I DEAL with them as they occur.
> >
> >You deal by denial.
>
> I DEAL with things based on my own experience and observations.

I can buy off on "observations"...But "EXPERIENCE"...?!?!?!

> I DEAL with things based on what happened in the real world
> of communications.

But you've NOT been involved in "COMMUNICATIONS". You had a
moderately successful career as a bench technician in
"ELECTRONICS"...Got to do just enough of a little of things to "know
the lingo".

> I DEAL with dump hucks as I see they deserve.

As do we...Hence the frequent slappings and humiliations you
suffer at the hands of "mere mortals".

> If you don't like that DEAL, go to another game and ask for a
> marked deck. That's your style.

Ahhh.....Not enough to call Jim "Jimmie", "The Reverend Jim",
"Jimbo", etc...NOW you have to call him a cheat...

> >Suppose you had been born in 1954, Len.
>
> That would have been an interesting alternate universe
> considering I was already IN the U.S. Army billeted in
> Japan then and had advanced to E-5 rank...and my
> parents (both naturalized U.S. citizens) were nowhere
> near Japan at the time.

Lennie, was there a problem with you going along and answering the
question?

> >Would you have volunteered to fight in Vietnam in 1972?
>
> The Southeast Asia Live Fire Exercise (Vietnam War)
> has already been OFFICIALLY designated as being
> August 4, 1964 to January 27, 1973 (date of ceasefire)
> by the U.S. Department of Defense.
>
> Oh, now I understand, would I have volunteered to fight
> FOR North Vietnam IN Vietnam in 1972? Most assuredly
> NOT. Most unequivocally NOT for the North!

Poor redirect. Lame dodge.

> In 1972 I had already been discharged from all military
> obligations of the United States (my discharge was in
> 1960) and I had been, and was, working on Department
> of Defense contracts for electronics. In 1972 some of
> my work was on the Seismic Intrusion Devices (SIDs)
> that were intended to be used in Vietnam. [those used
> radio to report detected intrusions]

Ahhhh.....Working on some of those devices that you claim that
others who "served in other ways" didn't do or didn't contribute...

Uh huh...I see.

> >I simply point out that you and your neighbors feared and opposed
> >change in the neighborhood. That's the truth.
>
> OK, I simply point out that you are ignorant of the
> situation and you are a dump huck.

So....No one that doesn't live on Lanark Street could possibly
know about "the situation"...?!?!?

Are you NOW stating that is IS impossible for someone to have a truely INFORMED opinion on something eventhough they are not directly involved in it, Lennie?

Because you've been telling us for years that there's no reason in the world for you to get an Amateur Radio license since even without actually being involved, you "know" what it's like based on second hand observation and third-party tellings...

Steve, K4YZ

685. More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 09:50:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133862638.568113.222800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126455

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 4 2005 4:35 pm
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat, Dec 3 2005 8:28 am
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 2, 5:33 pm
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 29 2005 3:38 am
> >> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm
>
> >In other words, you and your neighbors wanted to stop other people
> >from building certain types of buildings on *their own land* - because
> >it would mess up your *view*.
>
> The only "other people" were contractor firms. Nobody owned
> "their own" land yet until the development was finished and
> inspected and approved by the city.

The contractor/developers owned the land, right? They wanted to
develop it in a way you didn't like, so you tried to stop them.
>
> The neighborhood organization was against the ZONING change
> from "R" (pure residential) to "R1" (residences plus
> aparments). The original plan was for "senior citizen
> apartments" which we neighbors did not like.

Why not? You're a senior citizen ;-)

> Yes, a two-
> story house or apartment would block my VIEW that I enjoyed
> for over 30 years here.

So you think your "right" to a VIEW is more important than
people having a place to live....
>
> Perhaps you want me to sit back and take whatever
> "authorities" toss at me without complaint? HELL NO!

Indeed.

But you want *me* to sit back and take whatever rules
changes "authorities" (like the FCC) toss out without
complaint or protest. HELL NO!

The analogy is clear, whether you admit it or not.

> None of us neighbors did.

None of us procodetest folks did either.

> We showed up at the Zoning
> Commission meeting and made our voices heard.

Yes - you tried to stop progress and development, and to
restrict what others could do on their land. You wanted
the neighborhood to stay just as it was, despite the
changes in American society. (more senior citizens,
more people, etc.)

> It was for
> naught according to a later investigation of graft on the
> part of the Zoning Commission.

Did anyone go to jail? Was anyone found guilty of any
corruption?

> That parcel of land got
> rezoned to R1 over a decade ago and that was that. No
> action on development until several years later.

You folks missed a chance. When the first developer went
bankrupt, you could have all banded together and bought the land.
Then you'd have been able to control its development. But instead
of that free-market, capitalist approach, where you put your money
where your view is, you wanted The Government to value your
views over those of the people who owned that land.

> Perhaps you weren't really learning the REAL Ben Franklin
> or even REAL history prior to 1776. Franklin was a
> royalist to begin with.

Almost all the revolutionaries were royalists to begin with.

> Took him a VERY long time to
> actually side with the "revolutionaries." [recorded
> history, by the way]

> WE neighbors weren't sheep nor
> anything like that and protested.

Let's see - in his time, anyone seen as a traitor to the crown
could be executed by being drawn and quartered. Which is
more than a little unpleasant, particularly with family and friends
made to watch.

What did you neighbors risk in your protest?

> >> after spending 9 months of re-arranging the vacant land.
> >
> >How does anyone "rearrange" land? With a bulldozer?
>
> All manner of earth-moving equipment were used to move

> 220,000 cubic yards of soil (value from contractor
> final report, initial estimate was 250,000 cubic yards).
> Actual earth moving took eight months until the final
> moving was done for drainage, roadway, and forming the
> final lay of each plot.

A little digging is always needed.

> Nine months of the OHSA

OSHA

> back-up beepers getting us up
> at 7 AM each working day of the week and some Saturdays.

Awwww....why not get up before 7 AM?

> >So - you thought your "view" was more important than
> >the newcomers' property rights.
>
> No, our neighborhood organization was against changing
> the ZONING from pure residential to residences-with-
> allowed-apartments.

OK, that too. Yet those apartments never got built, right?

And what's wrong with residences-with-allowed-apartments?
People live in the apartments, right? They're not dangerous
or a nuisance. It's not like they wanted to put a refinery or a
chemical
plant there.

> >You thought that those
> >15 acres should not be developed, even though you
> >didn't own them.
>
> Our neighborhood organization would accept the original
> "R" zoning rating of single-family residences. The
> Zoning Commission heard that. We objected to the "R1"
> zoning that allowed apartments.

Why? Because they were 2 story? Because they'd house senior
citizens? Because you just don't like change?
>
> >You resisted changes that brought in new people and more progress.
>
> What "progress?" :-)

Diversity and new forms of housing in your restricted, uptight,
NIMBY neighborhood. Why can't you accept a little change?

You sure preach to others about accepting change and not
standing in the way of progress when it comes to amateur
radio rules - which don't affect you at all because you're not

going to become a ham anyway.

> You have no huckin idea of what
> the development was/is, its original shape, the shape it is
> in now, landscaping or anything else.

You have no huckin idea of what operating Morse Code
on the amateur bands was/is, their original shape, the shape
they're in now, the changes that removing the code test
will bring, or anything else.

You don't like an "outsider: like me commenting on "your"
neighborhood, but you demand that everyone accept
your comments on a "neighborhood" (the ham bands)
where you're a complete outsider.

> You are trying to
> toss out nasty sarcastic bad words to us that were here
> before them. :-)

Really?

> The SECOND developer managed to develop a walled community
> that houses about 150 total, nearly all with little bitty
> yards separated by concrete block walls.

Isn't that the walled community you bragged about some time back?
Are those houses worth more or less than yours, now?

> Right now there's a possibility of civil action by two
> neighbors where the original slope to the edge of the new
> walled community gave way and inundated their property.
> We'll just have to wait for that to sort itself out.
> Meanwhile, you will no doubt make nasty remarks to my
> old neighbors for DARING to PROTEST part of THEIR land
> from being covered? :-)

Not at all. If they suffered real damages, they deserve their
day in court.

> >You clung to the past and tried to hold back the future.
>
> I'm sorry, but you just don't grasp this NON-RADIO situation.

Oh yes I do.

> ZONING laws, particularly in residential areas, ARE where
> the past is protected...for those who ALREADY live there.

Why? And if so, why should radio be any different?

>
> >And you failed.
>
> Yes, we did. I reported that. :-) That's the breaks in

> political situations.
>
> >And you FAILED.
>
> Yes. But ONLY for the ZONING change. We were able to
> enrich the pockets of some Zoning Commission members from
> payola from the first contractor...which led to him going
> out of business. :-) The second contractor is not in a
> good situation either since that company is forced to
> settle one way or the other.
>
> NO apartments were built, only single-family residences
> were finally built. That is a partial victory although
> the Zoning rating still allows for apartments on that land.
>
> >What I find most interesting is that you fought change, progress,
> >and newcomers. And you thought your views should count for
> >more than the wants and needs of those who owned the land.
>
> What I find "interesting" is your continued hostility and
> ignorance of the situation, even when explained to you.

It's not ignorance or hostility. It's an alternate view of things. You
don't like alternate views.

> I have well over a hundred images showing the earth-moving
> and the house building, have a small box of documents that
> go back 15 or so years on that parcel of land, copies of
> plans, etc. Our neighborhood organization didn't take
> anything lightly.

Because you didn't want change or progress. You wanted
things to always stay the way they were, regardless of the
effect on others....

> beep beep

Ah! You're imitating an OSHA backup beeper!

686. Frankie Flounderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 09:55:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133862931.229338.181300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126456

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 4 Dec 2005 22:30:11 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
> <1133764211.384955.85790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

> > Not a problem on finding those with similar names. I went looking
> > for others with MY name and - surprisingly - found that a Major
> > Leonard Anderson, USMC, was one of the pilots in the Blue Angels!
> > How about that, a Marine (real) Major in a precision aerobatic
> > team!
>
> Apparently there was a "Frank Gilliland" that was employed directly by
> the DoD..... some sort of 'hush-hush' occupation.

Well go ahead and tell us about it, Frankie. You're quite the
"stolen honor" hero, so why no one more...?!?!

> Speaking of similar names, I found the following list of residences
> for "Steven J. Robeson":
>
> Ravenna, OH
> Trumann, AR
> Dunlap, TN
> Pikeville, TN
> Winchester, TN
> Cross Junction, VA
> Berryville, VA
> Huntington, WV
> Milton, WV
> Atlanta, GA
> Duluth, GA
> Marietta, GA
> Costa Mesa, CA
> Irvine, CA
> Santa Ana, CA
>
> Funny thing..... all these people with the same name as K4YZ have the
> same approximate age as K4YZ. What a mind-boggling coincidence!!!

Very "mind-boggling" indeed, since not even half of those are
valid or past-valid addresses for me.

But then only "half the story" seems to be Frankie's forte. So go
ahead, Frankie! Tell us your "theory" on this "coincidence".

We'll file it away with your "you didn't have enough time to have
a hobby" rantings.

Steve, K4YZ

687. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 10:01:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133863317.797437.30460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126457

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > The neighborhood organization was against the ZONING change
> > from "R" (pure residential) to "R1" (residences plus
> > aparments). The original plan was for "senior citizen
> > apartments" which we neighbors did not like.
>
> Why not? You're a senior citizen ;-)

He's a citzen.

There's not a whole lot "senior" about him.

And "forcing" Lennie to live near other's his age would be like
"forcing" him to get an Amateur Radio license...It might "force" him to
realize that he is not the Alpha and Omega of his realm.

> > Yes, a two-
> > story house or apartment would block my VIEW that I enjoyed
> > for over 30 years here.
>
> So you think your "right" to a VIEW is more important than
> people having a place to live....

So...We get them to raise a privacy wall around the new buildings
and let the mural artists go to work...Then Lennie can have whatever
"view", however myopic, he wants. Maybe one with no antennas and no
faces over 40?

Steve, K4YZ

688. Re: WHAT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 10:05:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133863538.588836.274520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

N9OGL wrote:
> In article <XY2lf.145446$Hs.95268@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>, "Wayne P.
> Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote:
>
> > How in the He11 do you breath in fences all day? What a moron!
> >
> > Wayne-
> > (KC8UIO)
> >
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1133563812.141446.61970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > yeah, I guess that's what happens when you breath in fences all day
> > >
> I really suggest you go back and read the whole thread

Hey CollegeBoy...How about if YOU "go back and read"...the part
where he asked you "...how do you "breath in fences" all day...

And this time, try reading it as if it were SUPPOSED to be in
ENGLISH.

Steve, K4YZ

689. Re: why doesn't seek help for his sexual issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 10:08:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133863729.593117.227100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126459

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote in:
>
> > Re: why doesn't seek help for his sexual issues
>
> Len? Hans? Bill? Dave? Jim? Steve?
>
> > you can get help to deal with sxual issues
>
> I'd like to buy a vowel.

ROTMFFLMMFAOOAOA !

Thanks, Dave!

LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

690. Re: When will steve and Dave face the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 11:16:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133867803.891319.64690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126461

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> > On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 00:19:59 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>> dave has faced facts when you you stevie
> >>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>> Stevie and dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality
> >>>> have to have do with radio?
> >>>>
> >>>> the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it
> >> This makes two of your "When will steve and Dave face the truth" rants
> >> today, Colonel. You are still running my name in your crazy quest to
> >> have Steve discuss your sexuality.
> >
> > whe you goignt o face the facts
> >
> Whe you gognt o post in English?

Dang, Dave...that's two in one day!

ROTMFFLMMFAO!

> > that you are so afraid of me of what i represent that you will defy
> > the word of god to attack me
> >
> I feel a number of things when I read one of your mad, disjointed posts,
> Mark. Afraid isn't one of them. You may substitute another word if you
> like. I do think that you're unbalanced. You can rave all day about
> God but, remember, you don't believe in Him.

Amazing, isn't it, that those who at once dismiss "God" are
usually the first to invoke Him when the argument suits...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

691. Re: rememebring something fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 12:12:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133871135.236980.247520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126462

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:26:26 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
> >I have it on good authority that a meteor known as X1 is now crossing into
> >the Solar System. This is a 100 % accurate account.
> >
> >It is crossing the orbit of Pluto and is expected to arrive in the near
> >vicinity of MARS on Star date 4603.4 Mark 7. It is traveling at Warp 7 and
> >is expected to arrive at 99W 44N which is the upper peninsula of Michigan on
> >November 31, 2005.
> >
> >We have been attempting communication with our Trekkie in the UP. But we
> >have been unable to do so.
> >
> >We desperately need USENETs help in finding him.
>
> porro dave the master gives a location in kanasa as the UP

Well, it took me three or four "reads" to figure out what Markie
was saying, but I finally got through it...

And waddaya know...Markie can't comprehend a map any better than he
can comprehend English.

I wonder if he'll blow this off as his "dyslexia" again?

Or am I being too harsh?

Afterall, Even >>>I<<< can see how much "Kansas" and "South
Dakota" look alike ! ! ! !
(snortsnortchucklechucklebbbwwwwhahahahahahahahahahahaha ! ! ! ! ! ! !
! !)

Steve, K4YZ

(gigglegiggle....nyuknyuknyuk)

692. Re: dave has to make up stuff to try and make fun of me he is desperate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 14:00:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133877634.624969.7530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126463

Whiny####_Wussman wrote:
> <kb9rqz@amsat.rg> wrote in message
> news:2r55p1ltjlv6v20l9uocg7iascv7vm19m3@4ax.com...

>> everyone should be advised that The following person
>> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and
>> cow his foes
>> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> Your spell check program must still be on the fritz, eh?

Naaaah...His "spell check program's" parents grounded him when
they found out he was going over to Markie's to tutor him in English...

Steve, K4YZ

693. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 14:08:14 UTC
From: ku4my@charter.net
Message-ID: <1133878094.531291.235760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'.....
I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.

You poor, poor sods!

694. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 14:11:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <E_glf.58$nm.5@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126465

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1133846919.601490.202100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>From: Bill Sohl on Dec 5, 6:48 am
>>As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
>>support that idea...but I think we need to approach
>>that concept slowly by the following path:
>>
>>1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
>>2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
>> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
>> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
>>3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
>> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
>
> Whatever. :-) First item is excellent. Second, okay.
> Does there really need to be an "assessment" as in the
> third? What "assessments" were done in the past?

Assessment, review, whatever. I personally think the
current 3 level license structure does not reflect a
good starting path for new hams because Techs are
(a) only allowed VHF, yet they have (b) power
privileges for full 1500 watts. My personal view is
to have a beginners license with a variety of HF
and VHF access and modes but with a limited power output
(say 200 watts or less).

The current 3 licenses and privileges are the
result of piecepart change over time and the result has
some less than logical consequences regarding
privileges and entrance level testing when compared
to the Novice tests which we had for almost 50
years. YMMV.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

695. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 14:20:26 UTC
From: paul.vigil@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1133878826.646652.130040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126466

Paul RunningHorse Vigil
FREE Telephone Consultation
http://www.capitalvigilfundingdept.com

696. Re: why dave as well stevie prefer the flame fest to displying wht they is moral behavoir telling the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 14:26:39 UTC
From: ku4my@charter.net
Message-ID: <1133879199.712862.151840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126467

Geez Markie, are you for real or is that the booze and crack typing for ya?

697. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 14:58:38 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <dn4a4p$j94$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<ku4my@charter.net> wrote in message
news:1133878094.531291.235760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'.....
> I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
>
> You poor, poor sods!
>
You should be able to assimilate yourself quite well with the likes of
"2Test" AB8MQ and some of his brother jammers and malcontents.
Does the below look familiar, Mr. Bailey????

We, at the LPWS have often been accused of being jammers, but this is far
from the truth. Admittedly, there is the playing of music on repeaters, but
these are usually idle until one of our members comes along with a jolly
tune (see the selection for download!).
An analogy of what occurs could be the following:- You stand on a river
bridge calmly throwing stones into the water, a few anglers a mile or so
away hear this and pack up and walk down to the area of the river beneath
the bridge and set up their rods. They then complain that you are disturbing
the fish!

698. Re: why dave as well stevie <snip>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 15:01:02 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <dn4a92jtt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126469

<ku4my@charter.net> wrote in message
news:1133879199.712862.151840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Geez Markie, are you for real or is that the booze and crack typing for
> ya?

Pssssst! Take a peek at Batty Bailey's off-kilter web page and ask HIM the
same quesion.

> http://www.laughingpoliceman.com/

699. Re: why dave as well stevie <snip>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 15:20:50 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <dn4be6opb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126471

```
"Anon" <anon@anon.uz> wrote in message news:dn4a92$jtt$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <ku4my@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:1133879199.712862.151840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Geez Markie, are you for real or is that the booze and crack typing for
>> ya?
>
>
> Psssst! Take a peek at Batty Bailey's off-kilter web page and ask HIM the
> same quesion.
>
>> http://www.laughingpoliceman.com/
>
(actually it is not Bailey's web site, merely one he highly approves of)
```

700. Re: WHAT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 15:32:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133883127.748491.316030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

Hey DICKHEAD why don't you answer Mark's questions??

701. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 17:04:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rwjlf.41$QQ1.27@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126472

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> I realize that, Hans, and I honor that pledge and that
> service. I apologize if anyone was offended. That was
> not my intent.

Thank you, Jim. You're a big man for having said so.

73, de Hans, K0HB

702. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 17:24:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XOjlf.155$n1.88@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126473

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Yet Burger King managers, newspaper sellers,
> grocery clerks, etc, get killed every day doing
> their jobs, often defending those places against
> an armed foe.

What sanctimonious drivel. I think I need a barf bag.

> Jim owes no one an apology here, Hans.

Jim owed one, and gave one. I'd firmly shake his hand and
say "thanks" in person.

> Not when you consider one of those who DID serve USED
> the deaths of men who DID die in battle well before he
> was even out of High School to "polish his brass" in
> this very same forum.

I don't know WTF is "brass polishing", but suffice to say this. I'm
a first-generation American. I don't have any ancestors who fought
at Yorktown or Bunker Hill or Gettysburg or Tripoli or Alamo or
San Juan Hill. But I consider every American patriot who ever wore
the uniform of these United States, all the way back to the irregulars
at Bunker Hill, to be my shipmate/comrade in arms. Likewise
the sailor/soldiers/airmen/marines who serve today and in the
future. If that association is "brass polishing", then so be it.

> The bottom line is that while we depend on the Armed
> Forces to keep our borders safe and the "bad guys" at
> bay, all those other "served in other ways" people are
> no less integral to creating and maintaining our way of life.

If you say so, Steve. On Memorial Day, make a generous donation to the "American
Bridge-Painters-Who-Fell-Off-Their-Scaffold Orphans Fund"

de Hans, K0HB

703. Hamie Glenn Baxter K1MAN Thinks He's The Pope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 19:32:55 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1133897575.908575.239920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

HOLIDAY GREETINGS FROM K1MAN

And Jesus said:

"...The sower went forth to sow....." Many seeds did not take
root. "...and other(s) (seeds) fell upon the good ground
and yielded fruit.."

So we, as Radio Amateurs, sow our seeds of national and international
good will and friendship. There is a lot of good ground out there
and much rocky soil as well.

Best wishes to all, both friends and enemies, during this 2005 holiday
season.

73 and GL de K1MAN

704. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:02:55 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133902974.946162.104600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126475

Not Roger Wiseman wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > wiseman hasn't even been posting, you fucking faggot.
> >
> OH YES I HAVE BEEN LICKING ASSHOLES!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Me Too!

Call me at 256-232-3000 ask for Lloyd Austin Davies!

705. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:03:56 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133903036.534449.242250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

N9OGL wrote:
> >BWHAHAHAHA and the commode sees Wiseman everywhere, too bad Commode
> >Buzz Corenholer of little boys has a hard drive FULL of kiddie porn
> >that the authorities are investigating.
>
> How do you know he has kiddy porn?? you been hacking peoples computers
> again, or perhaps your his supplier

No, he told me YOU supply him, you little four eyed perverted aids
ridden faggot.

706. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:06:39 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133903199.810148.296380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > BWHAHAHAHA and the commode sees Wiseman everywhere,
>
>
> Well 2test, did you get caught up enough on cleaning out those
> port-a-potties you could finally get a break at the computer?

Poor Commode, another lame response as usual, but he does hide beind a
cheezy cancelled 1950's sci-fi character whose show had shitty special
effects. Maybe that's why the Commode always talks about
port-a-potties. He always is on the losing side.

>
> > too bad Commode
> > Buzz Corenholer of little boys has a hard drive FULL of kiddie porn
> > that the authorities are investigating.
> >
>
> Yeah, there here right now looking at toddieboy's kiddie porn site. They
> should be contacting him soon and you as well.

I know they'll be contacting you, nigger dick sucker.

707. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:08:03 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133903283.137789.264000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >>BWHAHAHAHA and the commode sees Wiseman everywhere, too bad Commode
> >>Buzz Corenholer of little boys has a hard drive FULL of kiddie porn
> >>that the authorities are investigating.
> >
> >
> > How do you know he has kiddy porn?? you been hacking peoples computers
> > again, or perhaps your his supplier
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php
> > http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
> > http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php
> >
>
> 2test doesn't even know what he has on his own hard drive, much less
> anyone else's. He is too busy cleaning out port-a-potties.

Poor Commode he's always asking people for food handouts from those
port-a-potties.
Commode is also on food stamps........

708. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:10:07 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133903407.898854.38330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Anon wrote:
> <ku4my@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:1133878094.531291.235760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'........
> > I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
> >
> > You poor, poor sods!
> >
> You should be able to assimilate yourself quite well with the likes of
> "2Test" AB8MQ and some of his brother jammers and malcontents.
> Does the below look familiar, Mr. Bailey????

AB8MQ never got a fine, dumbass Davies. Unlike you!

> We, at the LPWS have often been accused of being jammers, but this is far
> from the truth. Admittedly, there is the playing of music on repeaters, but
> these are usually idle until one of our members comes along with a jolly
> tune (see the selection for download!).
> An analogy of what occurs could be the following:- You stand on a river
> bridge calmly throwing stones into the water, a few anglers a mile or so
> away hear this and pack up and walk down to the area of the river beneath
> the bridge and set up their rods. They then complain that you are disturbing
> the fish!

You jam the Huntsville and Athens, Alabama repeaters, fatty.

709. Re: When will steve and Dave face the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:11:49 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133903509.035890.314630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126480

God is for the weak of mind like you.

710. Re: dave has to make up stuff to try and make fun of me he is desperate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:13:20 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133903600.691648.73770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126481

WhinyCunt_Wussman wrote:
> <kb9rqz@amsat.rg> wrote in message
> news:2r55p1ltjlv6v20l9uocg7iascv7vm19m3@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 04 Dec 2005 07:14:28 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >KØHB wrote:
> >> "whiny_WogerWussyman" <GlendaleTroll@anon.up> wrote
> >>
> >>> More disjointed bullshit from a mental midget.
> >>>
> >>
> >> Please quit insulting the midgets of the world. They don't deserve to be
> >> compared to Clown Prince Mark of Spamalot.
> >>
> breaking the atirtuiob here
> Hardware store clerk: "These are hinges, Mr. Morgan. See how they
> work? They move back and forth like this".
>
> Mark: "Bullshit. You're lying".
>
> Hardware store clerk: "No, really. You use them to open and close
> doors".
>
> Mark: "They aren't really functional; they're just a museum to a ygone
> era".
> returning to normal attribution
>
> firstdave leis is claiming I would ahve that exchange
>
> two I am sure Stveie will give us proof of his double standard by not
> correcting Dave
>
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and
> cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> >

>
> Your spell check program must still be on the fritz, eh?

Oh, the irony.

711. Ping: "I AmnotGeorgeBush"--->On Top of Spaghetti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:16:04 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1133903764.043412.84570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.cb.skip,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.ssb

Here's your: "On Top of Spaghetti" sung to the tune of On Top of Old
Smokey,
(you silly cocksucker!)

ON TOP OF YOUR MOMMA.....HER PUSS COVERED WITH CHEESE.....SHE
SWOLLOWED MY HUGH LOAD WHEN THE OLD BITCH SNEEZED!

SHE SWOLLOWED MY THROBBING COCK.....RIGHT DOWN TO MY
BALLS.....THEN THAT CRAZY OLD WHORE FINGERED HER ASS WALLS!

I WOULDN'T FUCK HER.....SHE WILL GIVE MY DICK WARTS.....HER
ASSHOLE IS READY.....SHE LETTING OUT WET NASTY FARTS!

712. Re: why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:17:37 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133903857.349262.157200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126483

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> > On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 05:51:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> an Old friend wrote:
> >>> why does dave who claim to be christaion support and aid stevie in
> >>> engaging in despictable behavoir?
> >> Well, Mark, if ever there was to be proof that you are mentally coming
> >> unraveled, your lengthy series of spam posts should do the trick.
>
> > answer the question why do you aid abet someone in violating the 10
> > comenedments
>
> The ten comendedments, huh? You're awfully big on stating that someone
> is "aiding and abetting" but you seem to have no sense of taking
> responsibility for fallout from your own action or lack of action.
>
> I answered one of your questions and you've subsequently stated on a
> number of occasions that I didn't answer it. Then you've called me a
> liar. You'll excuse me if I choose not to answer any more of your
> questions, espeically when the question is about a religion which you've
> clearly rejected.
>
> > instaed you make an attack
>
> You've never really seen me in attack mode.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL @ the Cameron Cunt. You couldn't attack
if your life depended upon it. Maybe you can run to Markie's police
chief to whine like a cunt about what he posts like you did with
Wiseman.
```

713. Re: why does Dave set his bigotry above the word of Christ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:23:58 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133904238.636636.321140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126484

Why so you can find out what it is too, shitface?

714. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:26:26 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133904386.136786.5410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
walph wotten wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1133545947.848177.101540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >
> > >>an old friend wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
> > >>>>>>character
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
> > >>>>
> > >>>>Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
> > >>>>hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955
> and
> > >>>>was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet
> you
> > >>>>even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>do you ever talk abotu radio in here Mr Slit?
> > >
> > >
> > > "abotu"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! You can't even talk in English,
> > > Markie the kiddie fucker.
> > >
> > >
> > >>2test wogie
> > >
> > >
```

> > > Commode Buzz Cornholer doesn't know about radio either, except that he
> > > can get on the CB and solicit blowjobs like his mommy does down at the
> > > truck stop.
> > >
> >
> > Did you get (Poor Butt Cornholer, sees his owner everywhere)
>
> Did you get your hard drive scanned again by the FBI for all that
> kiddie porn, Butt Cornholer?
>
> You're a lot more familiar with the FBI than he is, Wogie.

And Lardass is wrong again, Imagine that!

715. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:26:57 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133904417.226447.7210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

WhinyCunt_Wussman wrote:
> Pussy...

Something you never get, huh, Davies?

716. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:28:36 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133904516.284029.49100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>What (more lies, Butt Cornholer got 4 letters from the FCC)
> >
> >
> > Those 4 letters you received and why is your phone number on Riley
> > Hollingsworth's speed dial, jammer?
>
> Ok 2test

Poor Butt Cornholer, sees his owner everywhere like his gay lover
Davies.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA The Commode doesn't even know his own callsign!

LOL!!!

717. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:29:21 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133904561.217012.89070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Something you never get, 32 year old VIRGIN!

718. Re: Todd's newest dress?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:31:19 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133904679.507632.23070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

WhinyCunt_Wussman wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1133668792.609000.13590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> say, i'm not the stupid fuck that hides behind a annyomous newsfeeder
>
>
> You gotta learn how to spell, toad.

Oh, the irony. (see below)

> Between you and Woger you guys are a comedy act.
^^

At least he is keeping his cocksucking in the closet for now, unlike
you, illiterate lisping cocksucker Davies.

719. K1MAN Holiday Message: Blood is Thicker Than Water

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 21:47:38 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133905658.691046.67330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

K1MAN ESSAY - ES051202 -

Blood is Thicker Than Water

America is all of us. If you come from another country and/or another culture, you are welcome by me as we offer you citizenship and the protections of our precious Constitution. Those of us who were born here, however, are willing, by definition, to die in the protection of our precious birth land and our precious Constitution.

Even though America is all of us, and it is, we will not allow you to do some quite important things such as being our President if you were not born here. There is a damn good reason for this. If push comes to shove, we are afraid you might "cut and run." I say "might." We simply don't want to take this chance, however slight it may be.

In that sense, therefore, those who are not born in America are "second class" Americans.

Same deal in Maine. If you were not born in Maine, you are not a Mainer. I "deal" with that. Mainers do cut me SOME slack, however, since I have been a citizen of Maine for 48 years. SOME slack, not very much. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.

America is predominantly Christian, and we do, indeed, have a national Christmas tree. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water. In this case, we are remembering the holy birth of Jesus and the blood many of us believe he shed for all of us. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.

English is the predominant language in America. Some day there well might be more Spanish speaking Americans born here than English speaking Americans born here. There might well be more "African Americans" born here that "whites" born here. Hey man, this is America; I just love being American!

When the first human, an American, set foot on the moon, a Russian said to an American:

"It must be great to be an American tonight!"

No, "Ivan:"

"It is great to be an American EVERY night!"

That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.

If America is threatened or if our Constitution is threatened, you had better watch out! I will STAND UP and fight, and if I need help, I will choose a "kid" born in America, educated in America, who played sports in America, and who was trained by the U.S Marines, U.S Army, U.S. Navy, U.S Air Force, the U.S. Military Academy, our Air Force Academy, Annapolis, etc., etc., over someone else who might just "cut and run" when push comes to shove, as happened in WWII and countless other situations that have cropped up over our brief 200 year history.

If your native country got "raped" during WWII, YOU are the ones who that let it happen, and those kids mentioned above are the ones who came over to "bail out" your collective asses. The "goose step" did not frighten those kids very much. Americans don't know the total humiliation of being "raped." We have NO FEAR of someone coming in the middle of the night to take one of us away. Our Constitution specifically allows us to have guns for just such an occasion if such ever occurred, however unlikely. Many Americans have more "fire power" than the so called "professionals." That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water. Be careful! Don't count America out yet! That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.

May God bless you and your family during this holy season.

73 and GL de K1MAN

Glenn Baxter, P.E.

720. Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 22:03:12 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133903989.761324.298470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126491

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote in:
>
> Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me
>
> > with dave hatred of my why does have to make up <snip> rather than just
> > using what i provide
>
> Using what you provide? That's what I've *been* doing, Mark. You've
> provided plenty.
>
> Per your subject line, I'll be happy to tell Dr. Ruth about you.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Heil's a regular Dr. Ruth listener, with his erectile dysfuction from
what he wife said when they phoned in, he needs all the help he can get.
```

721. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 22:20:27 UTC
From: Lithium Boy <2Test@lithiumboy2test.net>
Message-ID: <dn52qp$6pp$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Take your Lithium Woger.

Vertially challenged Boy.

ROTFLMAO!

722. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 22:39:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133908759.639609.121250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

I don't mess with Kiddy Porn, only Anime, all the stuff on my site is
LEGAL and there nothing you jerk weeds can do about it
HEHEHEH

723. Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 22:40:27 UTC
From: Woger II <NotRoger2@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dn53rvbgl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126493

"N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133903989.761324.298470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote in:
>
> Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me
>
> > with dave hatred of my why does have to make up <snip> rather than just
> > using what i provide
>
> Using what you provide? That's what I've *been* doing, Mark. You've
> provided plenty.
>
> Per your subject line, I'll be happy to tell Dr. Ruth about you.
^^
^^^^

Heil's a regular Dr. Ruth listener, with his erectile dysfuction from
what he wife said when they phoned in, he needs all the help he can get.
^^
^^^^
Of all people who should absolutely NOT be calling others dysfunctional,
Roger, you stand at the front of the line.
--
"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
complainant.)

724. Re: WHAT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 22:41:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133908875.822030.84070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

The smell of it dumb ass

725. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 22:51:09 UTC
From: Woger II <NotRoger2@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dn54g1$el7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Anon wrote:
> <ku4my@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:1133878094.531291.235760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'........
> > I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
> >
> > You poor, poor sods!
> >
> You should be able to assimilate yourself quite well with the likes of
> "2Test" AB8MQ and some of his brother jammers and malcontents.
> Does the below look familiar, Mr. Bailey????

AB8MQ never got a fine, dumbass Davies. Unlike you!

> We, at the LPWS have often been accused of being jammers, but this is far
> from the truth. Admittedly, there is the playing of music on repeaters,
but
> these are usually idle until one of our members comes along with a jolly
> tune (see the selection for download!).
> An analogy of what occurs could be the following:- You stand on a river
> bridge calmly throwing stones into the water, a few anglers a mile or so
> away hear this and pack up and walk down to the area of the river beneath
> the bridge and set up their rods. They then complain that you are
disturbing
> the fish!

You jam the Huntsville and Athens, Alabama repeaters, fatty.

^^
^^^^
Who said anything about a fine, Roger? We know Riley didn't fine you. He
merely warned you in writing about malicious interference and mandated (not
'invited') that you retest.
Any Google search of AB8MQ will show that.

726. KU4MY (more than another dick ham??)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 23:11:32 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1133910692.390673.234920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.homosexual.music,rec.radio.amateur.misc

**********or is this just another queer cum deposit hole?

Callsign: KU4MY Class: Advanced Codes: HAI USA
Name: THOMAS A BAILEY
Addr1: 105 KINGS COURT
Addr2: ANDERSON, SC 29621
Country: USA
Effective: 16 Sep 1999 Expires: 12 Feb 2007
FRN: 0003280187 What's this?
FCC: ULS Listing
Lookups: 588
Update / Renew this License...
Apply for new Vanity callsign...

Previously: KF4OZH
Coordinates: 34.832836 -82.589466
State: South Carolina
County: Anderson
Grid: EM84qt
Area Code: 864
GMT Offset: -5
Time Zone: Eastern
Has DST?: Y
Email: Show email address
QRZ Updated: 2003-11-01 19:44:58
Changes needed? Click here to update this listing...
Be sure and check out our Update Policy page if you have any questions

727. Re: Hamie Glenn Baxter K1MAN Thinks He's The Pope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 23:14:14 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1133910854.773669.67680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

channel391@juno.com wrote:

<who gives a fuck>

most hams just want a nice hot cock up their ass.

728. Gay Hams On Drugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-06 23:21:16 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1133911276.004494.169400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126499
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill-clinton,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.bondage.gay,alt.politics.libertarian.gay

Thats how the tech test session begins.....lots of cocks and balls!

729. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 00:00:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TdqdnVO7I8TKtQvenZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126500

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:E_glf.58$nm.5@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1133846919.601490.202100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>From: Bill Sohl on Dec 5, 6:48 am
>>>As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
>>>support that idea...but I think we need to approach
>>>that concept slowly by the following path:
>>>
>>>1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
>>>2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
>>> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
>>> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
>>>3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
>>> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
>>
>> Whatever. :-) First item is excellent. Second, okay.
>> Does there really need to be an "assessment" as in the
>> third? What "assessments" were done in the past?
>
> Assessment, review, whatever. I personally think the
> current 3 level license structure does not reflect a
> good starting path for new hams because Techs are
> (a) only allowed VHF, yet they have (b) power
> privileges for full 1500 watts. My personal view is
> to have a beginners license with a variety of HF
> and VHF access and modes but with a limited power output
> (say 200 watts or less).
>
> The current 3 licenses and privileges are the
> result of piecepart change over time and the result has
> some less than logical consequences regarding
> privileges and entrance level testing when compared
> to the Novice tests which we had for almost 50
> years. YMMV.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Well I would propose dropping the Tech altogether and upping the General
written to 50 question test once the code is dropped. The difference in
technical material is not great and it's not that hard to memorize the
difference in privileges.

Once the code is dropped, in the classes that I teach, I will combine the material and encourage all the students to go straight to General. There really won't be a need for an introductory license.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

730. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 00:35:09 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133915708.948060.18160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126501

From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>>>As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
>>>support that idea...but I think we need to approach
>>>that concept slowly by the following path:
>
>>>1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
>>>2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
>>> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
>>> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
>>>3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
>>> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
>
>> Whatever. :-) First item is excellent. Second, okay.
>> Does there really need to be an "assessment" as in the
>> third? What "assessments" were done in the past?
>
>Assessment, review, whatever. I personally think the
>current 3 level license structure does not reflect a
>good starting path for new hams because Techs are
>(a) only allowed VHF, yet they have (b) power
>privileges for full 1500 watts. My personal view is
>to have a beginners license with a variety of HF
>and VHF access and modes but with a limited power output
>(say 200 watts or less).

I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.

Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
much like the traditional union concept of work with
levels of apprentice-journeyman-master. Amateur radio
isn't a union nor a guild nor a craft. Differing
levels/classes of license only reinforce the already-
present class-distinction social divisions in U.S.
amateur radio. It is a HOBBY, a recreational pursuit
done for enjoyment of radio, not on achieving some
artifice of social standing. Plenty of other
organizations exist for social climbers looking for
status and title.

Operating a radio transmitter is, in reality, not a
complex task nor is "amateur radio operation" some
kind of mystical event, requiring perfect
incantations to have some magic occur. Unlicensed

(in radio) public safety people routinely do that. Unlicensed (in radio) aircraft crew routinely do that. Unlicensed (in radio) business people routinely do that. Dozens of other examples are available where unlicensed-in-radio individuals routinely operate radio transmitters without some long "training" period of months or years in order to be "proper" operators in radio. I see absolutely no reason for amateur radio people engaging in a hobby to do that sort of thing...except to salve the egos of the long-"tenured" "senior" amateurs.

>The current 3 licenses and privileges are the
>result of piecepart change over time and the result has
>some less than logical consequences regarding
>privileges and entrance level testing when compared
>to the Novice tests which we had for almost 50
>years. YMMV.

My odometer reads the same as yours on regulations' evolution of continuing piece-part changing. That is a consequence of radio politics, and NOT, in my view, of any "necessity" to have a layered system of classes for a hobby. EM-space doesn't recognize "classes" OR human politics; electrons, fields, and waves are all unaffected by human regulations or emotion or "needs" to stratify standing within some "fellowship."

The Novice class license is a failure in the long run. While it might have been a good idea at the beginning for some to "get their feet wet" (in radio waters), it started off badly with the emotional baggage of its class title, "Novice." As viewed from afar, it served only to initiate the completely ferklempt with "proper" radiotelegraphy procedure and with the "proper" jargon (which had evolved in the particular activity of amateur radio)...not to mention having the "proper attitude" of worship and respect of "elders" (who thought they "ran" things). That can work on typical teen-agers who have yet to experience more of life and the variety of humans who exist in the real world. It does not work well with adults.

Longevity of a regulation such as "novice" or "beginner" or "entrant" in a field such as radio and communications that has constantly been evolving over the last half-century is not a logical necessity to keep those regulations. Time has shown that the newcomers have shunned the Novice class for decades;

its class numbers are continuously decreasing.

Concentration on getting young newcomers into a hobby field seems driven more by some basic paternal drive to "guide and educate the kids." Perhaps its a by-product of parenthood or a surrogate for that? It is misplaced in a "community" whose active members are predominently adult. Children don't have the monetary base to build market sales which serve to benefit the adults. Children don't have the experience to run events or keep organizations (predominently adult) together. At best, the drive to "get youngsters interested" in a primarly-adult hobby seems to be little more than eyewash, using politically-correct psycho phrases.

On the other hand, targeting an entrance drive for amateur radio to teenagers will tend to steer them away from their contemporaries' activities...those activities having evolved to fit that peer group and not necessarily that of adults. It will serve to show those beginners that there is an unknown facet of the adult world ahead. It can also serve to alienate them from their own peer group by making them "different." That is a not-good thing among teen-agers who seek the stability of "their" group, a natural psychological need in that part of their life.

My own experience on "entering HF" were rather drastic in "apprenticeship" consisting only of a few days (at most). So were the 4 newcomers with me, none of us having been schooled on high-power HF transmitters. We were shown how to do it by more senior signalmen and we did it. Those that did it wrong were shown why and had to practice getting it right. No re-criminations leveled, no "chewings out," no ostracizing. We all learned and did our tasks (some of which were considerably more complicated than any found in amateur radio operating). So did those that came before us and those that came after us.

I can draw a parallel to the activities of infantry, armor, and artillery soldiers who had to learn how to operate radios necessary for military communications. They did it by the thousands upon thousands of soldiers, nearly all of them inexperienced in using any radio other than a broadcast receiver before their service. Those that say "they only push the button and talk" are doing them an extreme disservice since there is considerably more to do than that. Radio training for line outfits is abbreviated to, at most, a couple weeks with most of

that being branch-specific procedural matters. Now,
if they can all do that successfully in a short time,
it makes no logical sense to have class stratification
of being held in one class for a year or more.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

731. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 00:37:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133915866.770266.120910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126502

From: K0HB on Dec 6, 9:24 am

>"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote

>> Yet Burger King managers, newspaper sellers,
>> grocery clerks, etc, get killed every day doing
>> their jobs, often defending those places against
>> an armed foe.
>
>What sanctimonious drivel. I think I need a barf bag.

Pour the contents of the finished bag on Dudly's head. It
will make him smell better.

>I don't know WTF is "brass polishing", but suffice to say this. I'm
>a first-generation American. I don't have any ancestors who fought
>at Yorktown or Bunker Hill or Gettysburg or Tripoli or Alamo or
>San Juan Hill. But I consider every American patriot who ever wore
>the uniform of these United States, all the way back to the irregulars
>at Bunker Hill, to be my shipmate/comrade in arms. Likewise
>the sailor/soldiers/airmen/marines who serve today and in the
>future. If that association is "brass polishing", then so be it.

As another "first-generation American," I salute you proudly.

My parents came to the USA of their own volition and became
naturalized citizens of the USA. They both earned their
citizenship.

Dudly the [Marine] Imposter seeks to discredit my military
service by reference to 23 soldiers of my battalion who died
in the period of 1950 to 1963. I honor those men still,
even after standing many a Retreat ceremony at sundown in their
memory. I have all their names, service numbers, their home
towns. Hardy Barracks, about the only military location still
existant in Tokyo today was named after Corporal Elmer Hardy,
in the first group of 19 who perished on 1 July 1950. Camp
Tomlinson, the transmitter site NE of Tokyo, was named for
another signalman who perished that same day...that name
remained in use by the USAF when they had command of the
facilities from 1963 until 1978.

Now, I have to admit that I have an advantage in knowing the
"geneaology" of my military unit. I had one. Not only that,
I know when it was formed (1945), where it was when I was in
it, where it was and what it was named afterward, even to
what became of it, enduring today as the 78th Signal Battalion

at Camp Zama, Japan, and a part of USARPAC. The callsign of USARPAC Hq today is ADA, the same as the station that my battalion ran in the 1950s in Tokyo. Worse yet, I've been in contact with individuals who served in my battalion even including a civilian who worked for the Army at the transmitter site. One of those individuals is Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, who was there at the same time I was.

Dudly hasn't mentioned a single individual in any of his claimed "outfits", made available ANY photo or snapshot of himself taken during his alleged 18-year career, nor made available to anyone a single one of his claimed "many" DD-214s, has made MANY actual errors of official and un-official names and procedures used by the Marines, has verified NOTHING of his heroic-warrior military service, all in seven years of unremitting vile insults at anyone daring to challenge his opinion on anything.

>If you say so, Steve. On Memorial Day, make a generous donation to the "American
>Bridge-Painters-Who-Fell-Off-Their-Scaffold Orphans Fund"

By all means, Dudly, STAY AWAY from any REAL Memorial group on Memorial Day or Veterans Day...if you wish to preserve your wretched little life of lies and deception and dishonor of the United States Marine Corps.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

RA 16 408 336

732. Re: More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 00:40:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133916042.835822.131500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126503

From: K4YZ on Dec 6, 12:32 am show options

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 5 Dec 2005 17:45:14 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in

>> > Failure to comply will only result in all of us affirming that
>> > you are nothing but an Imposter, a scam artist, a con-man, a
>> > poseur, an imitator who is casting dishonor on the Corps.
>
> Sorry, Your Scumminess.
>
> I've provided handfuls of other verifiable references to my
>military service that you've dissed and pooh-poohed, so this is not
>happpening.

Dudly has FAILED TO COMPLY.

Dudly has NOTHING to show to prove his claims.

Dudly has had NOTHING for seven years in here.

Dudly is NOTHING but an imposter, a scan artist, a con-man,
a poseur, and imitator and hero-wannabe who casts great
dishonor on the United States Marine Corps.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

733. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 01:31:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133919108.508047.78350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126504

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1133823635.151582.141270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> > news:1133752538.824595.51740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> > news:1133647078.784489.326770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>> KØHB wrote:
> >> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >> > >> > But since about the mid 1980s, we've been told that
> >> > >> > the requirementsare "too high"....
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Who told you that? Not FCC. Not ARRL. Not me.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >It has been shown by the actions of the first two, and others.
> >>
> >> In other words, that is your opinion based
> >> on your view of certain actions of others but
> >> you have NO example where anyone has
> >> said the requirements are too high. So the
> >> reality is that we have NOT been told by
> >> anyone that the requirements are too high.
> >
> >Here are some more examples:
> >
> > - ARRL has proposed free (no test) upgrades for many hams.
> > These proposed free upgrades included Novices and Tech
> > Pluses getting Generals with no additional testing, and Advanceds
> > getting Extras with no additional testing.
> > While they don't come right out and say
> > the requirements are too high, proposing that hundreds of thousands
> > of hams get an upgrade without taking the required tests effectively
> > says the test requirements - the *written* test requirements - are
> > too high.
>
> bunk! Your logic is failed because those free upgrades were
> proposed as a one time only set of upgrades to get people
> to a newly aligned set of licenses and privileges without
> subjecting anyone to a lose of privileges.

I don't think it's "bunk" at all. Neither does FCC.

Here's why:

ARRL proposed that Technicians and Tech Pluses get a free upgrade to
General without taking any tests.

Any such action by FCC would need an effective date - a date when the
rules would change, and the free upgrade would take effect.

A new amateur who earned the Technician the day before the effective
date would get the free upgrade, just like all other Techs. The result
would be that Generals who hadn't taken the General test would
outnumber Generals who *had* taken the General test.

Worse, new hams who were licensed after the effective date would still
have
to pass the General exam.

IOW, if the effective date were February 1, a Tech first licensed on
January 31
would be a General on February 2, yet have only taken the Tech test.
But a new
ham licensed on Feb 2 would have to take the General test for the same
privileges. IOW, new hams would have to pass more and harder tests for
the
same privileges that others got for free.

Or to put it plainly:

If the General test isn't needed for those who got the free upgrade,
why is it
needed at all?

> > Free upgrades would effectively lower the requirements.
>
> Ditto my last comment.

FCC agrees with me, though.
>
> > NCI agreed with the ARRL proposal on the free upgrades, btw.
> > But FCC disagreed and denied all proposals for free upgrades.
> > FCC cited the comments of certain people in that denial - see
> > footnote 142 in the NPRM. (ahem)
>
> OK, no point there.
>
> > - NCVEC's "Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" paper is
> > full of the idea that the requirements are too high, particularly
> > for the entry-level license class. Their second proposal followed
> > the "21st Century" paper closely.
>
> Prior to 2000, was the Novice too high?

Not for the privileges granted.

> If the FCC went back or
> changed Tech to a Novice level test (retaining the

> General and Extra as is) would that bother you?

If it retained the privileges, yes.

> > >> >First off, there's the reduction in code testing. Also code
> > >> >waivers. Elimination of the sending test, the one-minute-
> > >> >solid copy requirement, etc. But let's put those aside and
> > >> >look at the writtens:
> > >> >
> > >> >1) there's the official publication of the written exams.
> > >>
> > >> Did the ARRL or any other ham organization petition
> > >> for the test questions to be published?
> > >
> > >Not that I know of. The move to the VEC system was made by
> > >FCC. Making the tests public was an unavoidable consequence
> > >of the VEC system. Besides, if the FCC couldn't keep them
> > > secret from Dick Bash back when FCC made up and controlled
> > > the test distribution, how could anyone expect they could do it
> > > when the VEs ran the testing?
> >
> > Publicizing the exact Q&A makes the requirements lower because
> > the prospective ham knows exactly what will be on the test, down
> > to the exact wording, and the exact correct answers. Big difference
> > from secret tests!
>
> Yawn.... BUT publishing the questions was never proposed
> by ARRL. That being so, who in the FCC do you
> attribute the change to?

Those who wanted to save money by getting FCC out of the exam-giving
process.
>
> (SNIP)
>
> >> I think not. I have been a VE at several sessions here
> >> in NJ and have never seen anyone allowed to take the
> >> exact same test a second time on that same session
> >> regardless of paying an additional fee. The reality also
> >> is that the VEs running the session have no desire to
> >> allow anyone to just stay all day/night until the applicant's
> >> money runs out.
> >
> > Yet they allow it. In the bad old days there was a mandatory
> > 30 day wait to retest. Which meant a lot of us went to the
> > test *really* prepared because coming back was not that
> > easy.
>
> So? If someone wants to risk failing that's their choice.
> It's a real stretch to consider that making requirements easier.

I disagree.
>
> (SNIP)
>
> >> As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
> >> support that idea...but I think we need to approach
> >> that concept slowly by the following path:
> >>
> >> 1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
> >
> > Will probably happen regardless of anything else.
> >
> >> 2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
> >> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
> >> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
> >
> > Someone would have to do this in a structured way, by downloading
> > the entire database at regular intervals (say once a month) and
> > analyzing it a la AH0A.
>
> ARRL is perfectly capable of that I'm sure.

But somebody has to pay for it. And you can bet that whatever
numbers ARRL puts out, some will say they are "massaged" and
accuse the ARRL of "fraud" and such.
>
> > It would not be possible to determine "never before" hams as
> > opposed to "retreads" without a lot of historic info.
>
> The number of "retreads" is propably a very small percentage
> of those that appear as new.
>
Agreed.

> > Upgrades could be derived by comparing the current license class
> > of each license with the license class from the previous analysis.
>
> OK
>
> >> 3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
> >> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
> >>
> > Sounds reasonable, except who is going to "bell the cat" - do all
> > the analysis work?
>
> ARRL can do it.

How do we get them to do it?

> > It's also important to understand the effect of impending changes.
> >
> > If the rules are fairly stable, newcomers and potential upgraders

> >have an incentive to pass the tests.
> >
> > But if there are possible changes coming that will reduce the
> > requirements, at least some will simply wait to see how
> > things turn out. Why study for a test that will be gone in a
> > few months - or one that you won't have to take because ARRL
> >got you a free upgrade?
> > Sure, some will "go for it" but others will hold back.
> >
> I could care less about those that might want to wait for
> changes they have no assurance are coming.

But those changes have an enormous impact on the numbers.
That's the point, whether we care about it or not.

73 de Jim, N2EY

734. Re: K1MAN Holiday Message: Blood is Thicker Than Water

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 01:35:57 UTC
From: E <NOSPAM@msn.com>
Message-ID: <60rlf.17$5A6.36901@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 126505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Stay in Maine you ignorant redneck! You probably think the Iraq war is
justified as well!
Curl up this Christmas season with your gun, bigot attitude, and religious
intolerance.

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133905658.691046.67330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN ESSAY - ES051202 -
>
> Blood is Thicker Than Water
>
>
> America is all of us. If you come from another country and/or
> another culture, you are welcome by me as we offer you citizenship and
> the protections of our precious Constitution. Those of us who were
> born here, however, are willing, by definition, to die in the
> protection of our precious birth land and our precious Constitution.
>
> Even though America is all of us, and it is, we will not allow you to
> do some quite important things such as being our President if you were
> not born here. There is a damn good reason for this. If push
> comes to shove, we are afraid you might "cut and run." I say "might."
> We simply don't want to take this chance, however slight it may be.
>
> In that sense, therefore, those who are not born in America are
> "second class" Americans.
>
> Same deal in Maine. If you were not born in Maine, you are not a
> Mainer. I "deal" with that. Mainers do cut me SOME slack, however,
> since I have been a citizen of Maine for 48 years. SOME slack, not
> very much. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> America is predominantly Christian, and we do, indeed, have a national
> Christmas tree. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
> In this case, we are remembering the holy birth of Jesus and the blood
> many of us believe he shed for all of us. That is just how it is,
> blood is thicker than water.
>
> English is the predominant language in America. Some day there well
> might be more Spanish speaking Americans born here than English
> speaking Americans born here. There might well be more "African
> Americans" born here that "whites" born here. Hey man, this is
> America; I just love being American!

>
> When the first human, an American, set foot on the moon, a Russian
> said to an American:
>
> "It must be great to be an American tonight!"
>
> No, "Ivan:"
>
> "It is great to be an American EVERY night!"
>
>
> That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> If America is threatened or if our Constitution is threatened, you had
> better watch out! I will STAND UP and fight, and if I need help, I
> will choose a "kid" born in America, educated in America, who played
> sports in America, and who was trained by the U.S Marines, U.S Army,
> U.S. Navy, U.S Air Force, the U.S. Military Academy, our Air Force
> Academy, Annapolis, etc., etc., over someone else who might just "cut
> and run" when push comes to shove, as happened in WWII and countless
> other situations that have cropped up over our brief 200 year history.
>
> If your native country got "raped" during WWII, YOU are the ones who
> that let it happen, and those kids mentioned above are the ones who
> came over to "bail out" your collective asses. The "goose step" did
> not frighten those kids very much. Americans don't know the total
> humiliation of being "raped." We have NO FEAR of someone coming in
> the middle of the night to take one of us away. Our Constitution
> specifically allows us to have guns for just such an occasion if such
> ever occurred, however unlikely. Many Americans have more "fire
> power" than the so called "professionals." That is just how it is,
> blood is thicker than water. Be careful! Don't count America out
> yet! That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> May God bless you and your family during this holy season.
>
> 73 and GL de K1MAN
>
> Glenn Baxter, P.E.
>

735. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 02:22:01 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7017833.58F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 126506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 6 Dec 2005 14:39:19 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> HEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHE ...

That is *soooo* gay!

736. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 02:39:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133923146.390892.311520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

you would know gay boy

737. stvei lies somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 03:05:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <a5kcp1lb4fj2pbumbqaetkhfjknmgcq29j@4ax.com>
Source record: 126508

On 28 Nov 2005 09:09:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan
>> > >
>> > > stevie lies in title
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> >
>> > Right on the money.
>>
>> nope absolute truth
>
> So we agree that this is just MORE Markie Mularkie!

nope you lie agina
>
> Thanks!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

738. stevie llies tilmore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 03:05:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <16kcp1tsistqg0b1r6g36emmavu96gcvs0@4ax.com>
Source record: 126511

On 28 Nov 2005 09:11:37 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> cut
>> > > > It was answered a long time ago, Markie.
>> > >
>> > > lier no naswer has ever been given by you or by Dave tilltoday where he
>> > > finaly admits it is not relavant
>> >
>> > "Liar." "answer" "finally" "relevent"
>> >
>> > Wait.
>> >
>> > You say it's not been answered yet here you are admitting that
>> > some answer HAS been given.
>>
>> not by you
>
> Sure it was!
>
> And >>>I<<< have told you that it wouldn't >>BE<< a topic except
>that YOU keep brining it up! These last five exchanges are ARCHIVED
>PROOF that YOU are the one who keeps that ball rolling, Markie!

how is that answer?

how does that explain your calling Todd gay?

it does not

nmeaning you have not answered the question
>
>> and no answer has been given in which sexuality is said to be conected
>> with radio
>>
>> just the admision it is not
>
> More Markie Mularkie!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

739. steve claim that he has the power to dtermine the consumtion of achol out side of his presense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 03:05:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <s8kcp1p152rfj8eoqn5p8dnci7agjvpq67@4ax.com>
Source record: 126509

On 5 Dec 2005 04:11:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?
>
> Sober up, Markie.

whn you goping to pay up welecher

>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

740. stevie bulshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 03:05:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.rg
Message-ID: <cakcp1hlfsov2vea97lps3njstsvsig055@4ax.com>
Source record: 126510

On 5 Dec 2005 04:21:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Dec 2005 04:02:17 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 1 Dec 2005 22:42:11 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
>> >> >> > rest of us
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>> >> >
>> >> > Twice a liar, at that.
>> >>
>> >> you seem unable to be civil or moral or truthfull or decent
>> >
>> > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....
>> >
>> > YOUR posts have "gummed up" the group for several days now. Over
>> >200 in all now. So the "civil" issue is yours. You are not causing ME
>> >any pain, discomfort or loss of service. However there ARE others here
>> >who may not feel that way.
>>
>> then why are you whining about it
>
> I'm not whining.

you are whing
>
> You stated that I am not "civil", "moral", "truthfull [sic]" or
>"decent".
and you are not any of those thing

flushing the res tof the shit
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

741. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 03:10:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <noslf.212$QQ1.207@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126512

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Dudly hasn't mentioned a single individual in any of his
> claimed "outfits", made available ANY photo or snapshot of
> himself taken during his alleged 18-year career, nor made
> available to anyone a single one of his claimed "many"
> DD-214s, has made MANY actual errors of official and un-
> official names and procedures used by the Marines, has
> verified NOTHING of his heroic-warrior military service,
> all in seven years of unremitting vile insults at anyone
> daring to challenge his opinion on anything.
>

Len,

I'm not going to drawn into you and Franks "Steve wasn't a Marine" game.

With the exception of you naming one or two comrades and Frank posting a URL of a scan of a DD214, I don't know of a single veteran here who has named "a single individual", made available any photo, or verified in any way their military service. Applying the same tests that you list above about Steve, means that Dan, Brian, I, Jeff, and any other veterans here that I've not named, are also imposters?

de Hans, K0HB

742. when will stevie addres his deeds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 05:00:39 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <l0rcp1pvlhujq5a9jjsnab4077j6qtros0@4ax.com>
Source record: 126513

On 3 Dec 2005 10:17:12 -0800, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>google also reveals that Stevie has accused (or in one case demanded
>the Todd engage in consership) 13 times
>
>if we accept that all he claims were valid (accepting that any were is
>a strach but I can pull it back further) then stvie ceonsor folks
>himself 38 and 1/3 times for every occasion he claim other consor him
>
>again qutie a record
>
>and quite a load of bullshit form the leading bullshit artist here on
>rrap

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

743. stevei needs to remmeber that he isn't the only one that can mistrubute posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 05:03:31 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <k1rcp1lvk2ofqjrtklnq5e7innvu4djm4m@4ax.com>
Source record: 126515

have fun stevie
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

744. Re: More Proof That K4YZ is a Pathological Liar...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 05:06:50 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <a6rcp1te7ipchfiofkvcfr538b4lee7ih8@4ax.com>
Source record: 126517

On 3 Dec 2005 07:57:36 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
>> > > > rest of us
>> > >
>> > > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>> >
>> > Twice a liar, at that.
>>
>> Nope, no lies. Your last agreement to be civil didn't last even 24
>> hours. Google provides.
>
> Three.

when you goignt o stop lying
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

745. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 05:07:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133932027.825327.311280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126514

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1133823635.151582.141270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
cut
> > bunk! Your logic is failed because those free upgrades were
> > proposed as a one time only set of upgrades to get people
> > to a newly aligned set of licenses and privileges without
> > subjecting anyone to a lose of privileges.
>
> I don't think it's "bunk" at all. Neither does FCC.

now you speak for the FCC
>
> Here's why:
>
> ARRL proposed that Technicians and Tech Pluses get a free upgrade to
> General without taking any tests.
>
> Any such action by FCC would need an effective date - a date when the
> rules would change, and the free upgrade would take effect.
>
> A new amateur who earned the Technician the day before the effective
> date would get the free upgrade, just like all other Techs. The result
> would be that Generals who hadn't taken the General test would
> outnumber Generals who *had* taken the General test.

so what

what I see you is you cintinueing your whining
cut

746. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 05:09:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133932177.560707.40140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126516

From: K0HB on Dec 6, 7:10 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> Dudly hasn't mentioned a single individual in any of his
>> claimed "outfits", made available ANY photo or snapshot of
>> himself taken during his alleged 18-year career, nor made
>> available to anyone a single one of his claimed "many"
>> DD-214s, has made MANY actual errors of official and un-
>> official names and procedures used by the Marines, has
>> verified NOTHING of his heroic-warrior military service,
>> all in seven years of unremitting vile insults at anyone
>> daring to challenge his opinion on anything.
>
>I'm not going to drawn into you and Franks "Steve wasn't a Marine" game.

You are ALREADY IN IT, like it or no.

It is NOT a "game." Such an impersonation of being military
is punishable and heaps disgrace on the service affected.

>With the exception of you naming one or two comrades and Frank posting a URL of
>a scan of a DD214, I don't know of a single veteran here who has named "a single
>individual", made available any photo, or verified in any way their military
>service.

1. There are not many veterans of the military in HERE.

2. There is a plethora of examples of many, many evidenciaries
available on the many, many websites of military veterans
all over the web.

3. "By their words ye shall know them" is a famous phrase and
that applies to ANYONE in here. We can all recognize when
some wannabe sounds suspicious if not being an outright
bullshitting liar.

4. Dudly is a class-A sumbitch with oak leaf clusters and has
been one for seven years in here. He has document NONE
visible to any of us HERE.

>Applying the same tests that you list above about Steve, means that
>Dan, Brian, I, Jeff, and any other veterans here that I've not named, are also
>imposters?

Sorry, super chief, I'm not falling for that circular "touring"
test. Logic that ain't, queer it is. A "colossus" of ill logic. *

Dudly says he is NOT going to comply with anything. His con-man feelings are hurt, the poor boy. Boo-hoo.

You want a 10 MB PDF all about the battalion and my station assignment in Japan while I was in the service? I've given it in here often enough. It is a good photo essay. Got pictures of me and buddies in there as well.

You want to see an article in the Pacific Stars & Stripes that was an interview of me by Rick Chernitzer about Hardy Barracks? I've given that in here as well. Some of the 600+ photos I took while over there were published in the Stars & Stripes.

I've digitized a number of documents I have about my military and civlian service. In trying to send out some of them, all I get is waaa-waaa static from the Extras who "refuse to look at it." One sissy bitched that one had "male nudity" in it! [it is impossible to tell gender from a "moon shot" at a distance...the gender was assumed, sir, just ASSumed] I can send any of them as attachments to private e-mail or I can ask someone else to put them on their larger website (an imposition on them which requires I return a favor and so is a fall-back position) Tsk, tsk, I've gotten a basket full of "complaints" from Dud about my work RESUME being a "curiculum vitae"...which it wasn't.

I've given FOUR names in this newsgroup who can vouch for what I've said. Three of those are Amateur Extra class amateur radio licensees, the fourth is a retired DoD civilian engineer.

Now it would be neat, tidy, and convenient if one could just "call the VA" and the VA will verify something on anyone's personnel record in the military? NOT SO. If one is not an authorized human resources worker (personnel office), authorized government agency, or family member of the individual, the information returned is ZERO. Nil. Nada. Nyet. The VA will contact NARA to get that but the requestor must furnish their SS number as well as the SS number of the query individual AND a service number if that existed for that individual. Dudly hopped up and down on his "reference" of "just call the VA!"

Now, what does that have to do with amateur radio? Plenty when it comes to be TRUTHFUL in here. Anyone LYING on the military service is bound to LIE about their civilian life as well. I've had to take Dud LYING about having a "witness" to my "applying for a job at the former NADC and being rejected," the only true part being that I was at NADC as a field engineer for RCA Corporation in flight testing a collision avoidance system. LYING about anything can be directly related to LYING about anything else.

Getting into finer detail, both Frank Gilliland and I are NOT licensed in the U.S. amateur radio service...yet we get all this static from the regulars in here who are Extras. Of course the

regulars aren't that many but one spends an inordinate amount of time on the 'net when he could be playing with his radios.

There's a lesson in that, perhaps. Mainly that unlicensed (as amateurs) should shut up and not say anything against these mighty extra super-pooper hams? Yes, most definitely that's the appearance. We "aren't involved" [with amateur radio] therefore we are to keep silent. Now, if that is the attitude of you supreme beings in charge of it all, howinhell do you think that looks to anyone who might be interested in getting a license? Negative PR, super chief. Negative, negative.

Let's all applaud the former darling of the PCTA in here, Val Germman...still a Tech, MAYBE working on his code (but unlikely after three years). Clap clap. [clap trap]
PCTA couldn't praise Val enough, PCTA couldn't berate the others that didn't like morse enough.

Connections. Not just a PBS TV series with James Burke. No need to invent artifical "connections" to "tests" as you tried to do.

Dudly is guilty until proven innocent. He got himself into that, he can get itself out of it...but it's too late. After seven years of crudibility, there's no way in hell he can recoup any credibility now. Except maybe gratuitous "praise" from Amateur Extras who will laud him to the skies...he IS a "brother" ham, isn't he? "Representative" of "what is good" in amateur radio?

With utmost regards and a hearty "good luck now,"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

* if you don't understand that, just park your Bletchley and think about that enigma.

747. Re: Dave admits fantascies of BDSM nonconsentual BDSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 05:10:37 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <b7rcp15k75mgd6a42o4pb0ph93j6nhf5c8@4ax.com>
Source record: 126518

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 15:52:15 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

when you going to deal with the truth

>On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 20:49:01 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>> Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote
>>>
>>>> "he that has the power to destroy a think controls a thing" therfore I
>>>> step forth and assert that I control this thing this newgruop
>>>>
>>>
>>> I doubt you can even control your own bladder.
>>
>>Hmmmmphhhhf! I'd love to lock the Colonel, Todd and Roger "I'm not me"
>>Wiseman into a room for a couple of weeks and let them attempt a Vulcan
>>Mind Meld.
>
>there you DFae is kinky as I am
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>
>k4yz@aol.com
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

748. Re: Frankie Flounderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 05:20:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <30rcp1hthjuuo7asbklkt3ntj9u87jotrg@4ax.com>
Source record: 126519

On 6 Dec 2005 01:55:31 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1133862931.229338.181300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 4 Dec 2005 22:30:11 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
>> <1133764211.384955.85790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> > Not a problem on finding those with similar names. I went looking
>> > for others with MY name and - surprisingly - found that a Major
>> > Leonard Anderson, USMC, was one of the pilots in the Blue Angels!
>> > How about that, a Marine (real) Major in a precision aerobatic
>> > team!
>>
>> Apparently there was a "Frank Gilliland" that was employed directly by
>> the DoD..... some sort of 'hush-hush' occupation.
>
> Well go ahead and tell us about it, Frankie. You're quite the
>"stolen honor" hero, so why no one more...?!?!

WTF are you blabbering about, Dud? You're a member on military.com,
right? Look it up yourself.

>> Speaking of similar names, I found the following list of residences
>> for "Steven J. Robeson":
>>
>> Ravenna, OH
>> Trumann, AR
>> Dunlap, TN
>> Pikeville, TN
>> Winchester, TN
>> Cross Junction, VA
>> Berryville, VA
>> Huntington, WV
>> Milton, WV
>> Atlanta, GA
>> Duluth, GA
>> Marietta, GA
>> Costa Mesa, CA
>> Irvine, CA
>> Santa Ana, CA
>>
>> Funny thing..... all these people with the same name as K4YZ have the
>> same approximate age as K4YZ. What a mind-boggling coincidence!!!
>

> Very "mind-boggling" indeed, since not even half of those are
>valid or past-valid addresses for me.

I don't recall stating (or even implying) that any of those residences were "past-valid". In fact, I didn't even suggest that -any- of them were valid. Quite the contrary: the implication was that many, if not most, of those residences are fraudulent. For example, you used a PO box from Dunlap (the same address you used for your currently lapsed Georgia license) to apply for an EMT license in Tennessee but were refused, but got your TN LPN license using your Winchester address. Now I'm no LEO but that looks pretty shady to me!

> But then only "half the story" seems to be Frankie's forte. So go
>ahead, Frankie! Tell us your "theory" on this "coincidence".
>
> We'll file it away with your "you didn't have enough time to have
>a hobby" rantings.

Yep, you go right ahead and file it with all my other statements that you obliquely paraphrased -- in the "failed defensive tactics" file.

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Still no DD-214? Having problems finding someone skilled enough to forge a copy for you?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

749. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 05:47:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2ascp1dign53egplng7j0l2jpl5d0qgb7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 126520

On Wed, 07 Dec 2005 03:10:11 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <noslf.212$QQ1.207@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>>
>> Dudly hasn't mentioned a single individual in any of his
>> claimed "outfits", made available ANY photo or snapshot of
>> himself taken during his alleged 18-year career, nor made
>> available to anyone a single one of his claimed "many"
>> DD-214s, has made MANY actual errors of official and un-
>> official names and procedures used by the Marines, has
>> verified NOTHING of his heroic-warrior military service,
>> all in seven years of unremitting vile insults at anyone
>> daring to challenge his opinion on anything.
>>
>
>Len,
>
>I'm not going to drawn into you and Franks "Steve wasn't a Marine" game.

Nobody asked you. You rubbed your own nose in it, Hans.

>With the exception of you naming one or two comrades and Frank posting a URL of
>a scan of a DD214, I don't know of a single veteran here who has named "a single
>individual", made available any photo, or verified in any way their military
>service.

Since my discharge I've met many other Marines and I've met many
fakers. Casual discussion of their MOS, or even of their service as a
Marine, is usually enough for me to tell if someone is either telling
the truth or a Dud. Steve is a Dud.

> Applying the same tests that you list above about Steve, means that
>Dan, Brian, I, Jeff, and any other veterans here that I've not named, are also
>imposters?

Do any of you claim to have been a Marine? If not, I couldn't care
less.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

750. Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 05:57:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133935050.136304.197760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126521

http://www.timesdispatch.com/servlet/Satellite?pagename=RTD/MGArticle/RTD_BasicArticle&c=MGArticle&cid=1128768481527

Todd N9OGL

751. gay hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 06:38:13 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1133937493.553277.162060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126522
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

oral vec's ??????????????????

752. Re: One experience with noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 06:42:21 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1133937741.627947.261920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore wrote:

<bitch snipped>

Hey Cecil;

Are you into mutual jacking???

753. Re: K1MAN Holiday Message: Blood is Thicker Than Water

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 06:46:19 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1133937979.878287.276510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

E wrote:

<bitch snipped>
HEY E,,,,,,, WANNA WATCH ME JACK OFF ON MY WEB CAM?

754. http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 06:53:49 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1133938429.122733.52720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126525
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

http://niggermania.com/

755. Re: why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 07:01:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pNvlf.358$n1.316@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126526

N0VFP wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:

>>> instaed you make an attack
>> You've never really seen me in attack mode.
> ^^
>
> LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL @ the Cameron <snip>. You couldn't attack
> if your life depended upon it.

About the only thing you can be sure of, Unwiseman, is that you beat
feet the only time in your life you've been around me.

> Maybe you can run to Markie's police
> chief to whine like a <snip> about what he posts like you did with
> Wiseman.

Run? Oh, I don't think so. I normally drive or telephone when filing a
complaint about you, Roger. I bring documentation. That's one of the
reasons that you have to hide your identity and pretend to be Roger
Wiseman's imaginary friend. That's what your pathetic existence comes
down to.

What's it like not to have enough friends to be your pall bearers?

Remember to take your lithium.

Dave K8MN

756. Re: Frankie Flounderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 13:41:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133962898.119794.184130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126527

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 6 Dec 2005 01:55:31 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1133862931.229338.181300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

> I don't recall stating (or even implying) that any of those residences
> were "past-valid". In fact, I didn't even suggest that -any- of them
> were valid. Quite the contrary: the implication was that many, if not
> most, of those residences are fraudulent. For example, you used a PO
> box from Dunlap (the same address you used for your currently lapsed
> Georgia license) to apply for an EMT license in Tennessee but were
> refused, but got your TN LPN license using your Winchester address.
> Now I'm no LEO but that looks pretty shady to me!

Applied for an EMT license but was refused?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

NICE TRY JAIL BIRD ! ! ! !

Solid documentation of your "liar status". Thanks!

Half of the addresses you cited were someone other than me. I
have never resided in VA or WVA.

As for the rest, none are "fraudulent", nor are they "shady"... ! !
!

(Well...the house in Trumann sat betwen some nice pine trees....)

> > But then only "half the story" seems to be Frankie's forte. So go
> >ahead, Frankie! Tell us your "theory" on this "coincidence".
> >
> > We'll file it away with your "you didn't have enough time to have
> >a hobby" rantings.
>
> Yep, you go right ahead and file it with all my other statements that
> you obliquely paraphrased -- in the "failed defensive tactics" file.

Nothing failied except YOUR dodging the TRUTH that you've lied
about your great "resources" (including ol'e buddy Ollie) and your
ability to "research" given facts.

> Still no DD-214? Having problems finding someone skilled enough to
> forge a copy for you?

No forging needed. They're right here.

None of them have anything less than "Honorable" on any of them.

Unlike you, Jail Bird!

Steve, K4YZ

757. Re: More Proof That KB9RQZ is a Pathological Liar...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 13:47:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133963253.774740.220770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126528

Mark Morgan wrote:
> On 3 Dec 2005 07:57:36 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> >> > > > rest of us
> >> > >
> >> > > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
> >> >
> >> > Twice a liar, at that.
> >>
> >> Nope, no lies. Your last agreement to be civil didn't last even 24
> >> hours. Google provides.
> >
> > Three.

Four.

> when you goignt o stop lying

To ask "when" is to imply persume that something started.

> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders

For example we have your week long attack on the entire newsgroup
and your blatantly slanderour "tag line" on the AMSAT address you use.

Steve, K4YZ

758. Re: stevei (Stevie) needs to remmeber (remember) that he isn't the only one that can mistrubute (Do WHAT?) posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 13:51:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133963518.785444.238500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126529

Mark Morgan wrote:
> have fun stevie

Do WHAT?

Steve, K4YZ

759. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 13:57:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133963830.071053.247280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126530

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> On 5 Dec 2005 04:11:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?
> >
> > Sober up, Markie.
>
> whn you goping to pay up welecher

Sober up Markie, THEN try to tell us what you're saying.

Not before then.

Steve, K4YZ

760. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 14:04:50 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1zoe5u@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1zoe5u@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 126535

N9OGL Wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/8q4mp
>
> Todd N9OGL

The article claims he is first. Will you be second?

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

761. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 14:09:37 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1zoe5v@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1zoe5v@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 126537

N9OGL Wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/8q4mp
>

Hilarious indeed. Are you as delighted as I am to see that?

The article says he's just the first. Will you be second?

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

762. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 14:10:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133964657.567528.85830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126531

N9OGL wrote:

> http://www.timesdispatch.com/servlet/Satellite?pagename=RTD/MGArticle/RTD_BasicArticle&c
=MGArticle&cid=1128768481527

Wonder how long Toiddie-boy's Anime porn BBS will keep cooking
now...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

763. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 14:15:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133964920.495325.126310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126532

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 07 Dec 2005 03:10:11 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote in <noslf.212$QQ1.207@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> >
> ><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> Dudly hasn't mentioned a single individual in any of his
> >> claimed "outfits", made available ANY photo or snapshot of
> >> himself taken during his alleged 18-year career, nor made
> >> available to anyone a single one of his claimed "many"
> >> DD-214s, has made MANY actual errors of official and un-
> >> official names and procedures used by the Marines, has
> >> verified NOTHING of his heroic-warrior military service,
> >> all in seven years of unremitting vile insults at anyone
> >> daring to challenge his opinion on anything.
>
>
> Nobody asked you. You rubbed your own nose in it, Hans.

Other than you and Lennie creating lies in order to substantiate
your stories, Frnakie, there's nothing to "rub" a nose in.

> >With the exception of you naming one or two comrades and Frank posting a URL of
> >a scan of a DD214, I don't know of a single veteran here who has named "a single
> >individual", made available any photo, or verified in any way their military
> >service.
>
> Since my discharge I've met many other Marines and I've met many
> fakers. Casual discussion of their MOS, or even of their service as a
> Marine, is usually enough for me to tell if someone is either telling
> the truth or a Dud.

Do you tell them that you couldn't keep your nose clean for ONE
enlistment, Frankie? Or do you just keep that quiet so you can feel
like you're "one of the boys"...?!?!

> Steve is a Dud.

Frankie is a liar.

> > Applying the same tests that you list above about Steve, means that
> >Dan, Brian, I, Jeff, and any other veterans here that I've not named, are also
> >imposters?
>

> Do any of you claim to have been a Marine? If not, I couldn't care
> less.

You didn't answer his question, Jail Bird.

Steve, K4YZ

764. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 14:16:54 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1zoe5w@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1zoe5w@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 126536

Frank Gilliland Wrote:
>
> Do any of you claim to have been a Marine? If not, I couldn't care
> less.
>

Hans and Frank and Lennie and Steve, please take your spat down to the Little Tun and quit bothering us.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

765. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 14:51:22 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <KFClf.664$Dd2.397@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126533

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1133919108.508047.78350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1133823635.151582.141270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >Here are some more examples:
>> > - ARRL has proposed free (no test) upgrades for many hams.
>> > These proposed free upgrades included Novices and Tech
>> > Pluses getting Generals with no additional testing, and Advanceds
>> > getting Extras with no additional testing.
>> > While they don't come right out and say
>> > the requirements are too high, proposing that hundreds of thousands
>> > of hams get an upgrade without taking the required tests effectively
>> > says the test requirements - the *written* test requirements - are
>> > too high.
>>
>> bunk! Your logic is failed because those free upgrades were
>> proposed as a one time only set of upgrades to get people
>> to a newly aligned set of licenses and privileges without
>> subjecting anyone to a lose of privileges.
>
> I don't think it's "bunk" at all. Neither does FCC.

The FCC never considered it a long term lowering of requirements
on any permananent basis. That is YOUR conclusion only.

>Here's why:
>ARRL proposed that Technicians and Tech Pluses get a free upgrade to
> General without taking any tests.
>
> Any such action by FCC would need an effective date - a date when the
> rules would change, and the free upgrade would take effect.
>
> A new amateur who earned the Technician the day before the effective
> date would get the free upgrade, just like all other Techs. The result
> would be that Generals who hadn't taken the General test would
> outnumber Generals who *had* taken the General test.
>
> Worse, new hams who were licensed after the effective date would still
> have to pass the General exam.

That is NOT the reason the FCC rejected the idea. The FCC
seems much more aligned with the idea of minimum changes

for now and a wait and see attitude. (IMHO)

> IOW, if the effective date were February 1, a Tech first licensed
> on January 31 would be a General on February 2, yet have
> only taken the Tech test. But a new
ham licensed on Feb 2 would have to take the General test for
> the same privileges. IOW, new hams would have to pass more
> and harder tests for the same privileges that others got for free.

Again, none of your argument presented here was a part of the FCC
commentary. You may believe it is so, but the FCC never stated
it as so.

Or to put it plainly:

> If the General test isn't needed for those who got the free
> upgrade, why is it needed at all?

Please point out wwhere the FCC said that.

> > Free upgrades would effectively lower the requirements.
>
> Ditto my last comment.

> FCC agrees with me, though.

No they didn't. The FCC never said anything even close to
what you are concluding.

>> > - NCVEC's "Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" paper is
>> > full of the idea that the requirements are too high, particularly
>> > for the entry-level license class. Their second proposal followed
>> > the "21st Century" paper closely.
>>
>> Prior to 2000, was the Novice too high?
>
> Not for the privileges granted.
>
>> If the FCC went back or
>> changed Tech to a Novice level test (retaining the
>> General and Extra as is) would that bother you?
>
> If it retained the privileges, yes.

And if it didn't retain the privileges, should the FCC
(a) lower privileges for all existing techs or (b) ??

(snip)

>> > Publicizing the exact Q&A makes the requirements lower because
>> > the prospective ham knows exactly what will be on the test, down
>> > to the exact wording, and the exact correct answers. Big difference
>> > from secret tests!
>>

>> Yawn.... BUT publishing the questions was never proposed
>> by ARRL. That being so, who in the FCC do you
>> attribute the change to?
>
> Those who wanted to save money by getting FCC out of the
> exam-giving process.

So the reality is that no one in the ham community pushed that.
I'll conclude then that anytime the FCC proposes a change
even if not originated in the ham community, if you view it
as a lowering of requirements then it is automatically bad
per your opinion.

>> (SNIP)
>>
>> >> I think not. I have been a VE at several sessions here
>> >> in NJ and have never seen anyone allowed to take the
>> >> exact same test a second time on that same session
>> >> regardless of paying an additional fee. The reality also
>> >> is that the VEs running the session have no desire to
>> >> allow anyone to just stay all day/night until the applicant's
>> >> money runs out.
>> >
>> > Yet they allow it. In the bad old days there was a mandatory
>> > 30 day wait to retest. Which meant a lot of us went to the
>> > test *really* prepared because coming back was not that
>> > easy.
>>
>> So? If someone wants to risk failing that's their choice.
>> It's a real stretch to consider that making requirements easier.
>
> I disagree.

WHY must there be a waiting period? If applicant X passes
a different test at the same VE session, the applicant has
still passed the test. If the applicant had taken the one he
nowed passed after failing a different one first then the
applicant passed...PERIOD. You seem to want a punitive
element attached to failing such that the applicant is
prohibited from retesting for 'N' period of time. There
is NO rhyme or reason to why you want that.

>> (SNIP)
>>
>> >> As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
>> >> support that idea...but I think we need to approach
>> >> that concept slowly by the following path:
>> >>
>> >> 1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
>> >
>> > Will probably happen regardless of anything else.

>> >
>> >> 2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
>> >> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
>> >> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
>> >
>> > Someone would have to do this in a structured way, by downloading
>> > the entire database at regular intervals (say once a month) and
>> > analyzing it a la AH0A.
>>
>> ARRL is perfectly capable of that I'm sure.
>
> But somebody has to pay for it.

ARRL has more than enough ability to fund such a study or
simply assign the task to one of the permanent ARRL staffers.

> And you can bet that whatever
> numbers ARRL puts out, some will say they are "massaged" and
> accuse the ARRL of "fraud" and such.

WHO cares? There is always someone that will take issue
with any study conclusion, analysis, ets. If you expect
a 100% agreed to set of review and analysis as the end
result, tyhen yu're expecting the impossible.

(Snip)

>> >> 3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
>> >> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
>> >>
>> > Sounds reasonable, except who is going to "bell the cat" -
>> > do the analysis work?
>>
>> ARRL can do it.
>
> How do we get them to do it?

Given the analysis I've seen before presented in QST on
various subjects, especially as to ham population and,
indirectly ARRL membership, I'll bet the ARRL is always
looking at ham and new ham numbers.

>> > It's also important to understand the effect of impending changes.
>> > If the rules are fairly stable, newcomers and potential upgraders
>> >have an incentive to pass the tests.
>> >
>> > But if there are possible changes coming that will reduce the
>> > requirements, at least some will simply wait to see how
>> > things turn out. Why study for a test that will be gone in a
>> > few months - or one that you won't have to take because ARRL
>> >got you a free upgrade?
>> > Sure, some will "go for it" but others will hold back.

>>
>> I could care less about those that might want to wait for
>> changes they have no assurance are coming.
>
> But those changes have an enormous impact on the numbers.
> That's the point, whether we care about it or not.

The percent of people that might ultimately wait for "possible"
(emphasis on possible as opposed to actual)
future changes is, I suspect small. Odds are that there aren't
many current techs waiting for future free upgrades nor
where there likly many that shelved their upgrade plans
when the ARRL first proposed free upgrades. (IMHO of
course).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

766. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 15:00:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7OClf.311$QQ1.302@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126534

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> However if you get into the habit of "apologizing" everytime
> someone doesn't like your "tone" or how it MIGHT sound,
> then you just spend your whole life apologizing.

Hey Steve,

Have you put together a holiday basket to take down to the "Home for Disabled
Burger King Night Managers" who were injured defending "against an armed foe"?

de Hans, K0HB

767. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 15:02:46 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1zoe5x@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1zoe5x@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 126538

LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
>
>
> You want a 10 MB PDF all about the battalion and my station
> assignment in Japan while I was in the service? I've given it
> in here often enough. It is a good photo essay. Got pictures
> of me and buddies in there as well.
>
> You want to see an article in the Pacific Stars & Stripes that was
> an interview of me by Rick Chernitzer about Hardy Barracks? I've
> given that in here as well. Some of the 600+ photos I took while
> over there were published in the Stars & Stripes.
>
> I've digitized a number of documents I have about my military and
> civlian service. In trying to send out some of them, all I get is
> waaa-waaa static from the Extras who "refuse to look at it." One
> sissy bitched that one had "male nudity" in it! [it is impossible
> to tell gender from a "moon shot" at a distance...the gender was
> assumed, sir, just ASSumed] I can send any of them as attachments
> to private e-mail or I can ask someone else to put them on their
> larger website (an imposition on them which requires I return a
> favor and so is a fall-back position) Tsk, tsk, I've gotten a
> basket full of "complaints" from Dud about my work RESUME being
> a "curiculum vitae"...which it wasn't.
>
> I've given FOUR names in this newsgroup who can vouch for what I've
> said. Three of those are Amateur Extra class amateur radio
> licensees, the fourth is a retired DoD civilian engineer.
>
>

Why don't you put all that crap in a big Hefty bag and bring it down to
Roseta's pool hall on San Fernando and impress some of the regulars with
your war stories.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Babouivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

768. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 15:12:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <TZCIf.517$nm.41@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126539

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:TdqdnVO7I8TKtQvenZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:E_glf.58$nm.5@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1133846919.601490.202100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>From: Bill Sohl on Dec 5, 6:48 am
>>>>As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
>>>>support that idea...but I think we need to approach
>>>>that concept slowly by the following path:
>>>>
>>>>1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
>>>>2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
>>>> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
>>>> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
>>>>3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
>>>> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
>>>
>>> Whatever. :-) First item is excellent. Second, okay.
>>> Does there really need to be an "assessment" as in the
>>> third? What "assessments" were done in the past?
>>
>> Assessment, review, whatever. I personally think the
>> current 3 level license structure does not reflect a
>> good starting path for new hams because Techs are
>> (a) only allowed VHF, yet they have (b) power
>> privileges for full 1500 watts. My personal view is
>> to have a beginners license with a variety of HF
>> and VHF access and modes but with a limited power output
>> (say 200 watts or less).
>>
>> The current 3 licenses and privileges are the
>> result of piecemeal change over time and the result has
>> some less than logical consequences regarding
>> privileges and entrance level testing when compared
>> to the Novice tests which we had for almost 50
>> years. YMMV. >> Bill K2UNK
>
> Well I would propose dropping the Tech altogether and upping the General
> written to 50 question test once the code is dropped. The difference in
> technical material is not great and it's not that hard to memorize the
> difference in privileges.

Sounds reasonable to me. My ultimate view/perspective...
we need a tiered license structure that makes sense.

> Once the code is dropped, in the classes that I teach, I will combine the
> material and encourage all the students to go straight to General. There
> really won't be a need for an introductory license.

But they'll still need to approach the testing on a two element
basis.

My question to you...
should there be a true introductory license to bring
new hams, especially youth, to ham radio along the lines
of the previous Novice. THE only reason (IMHO)
that Novice ceased to be the entry level exam
was because Novice didn't get folks the majority of
repeater operation which is now a mainstay of
ham radio.

Back in the 50s and 60s (until '68 anyway) we really only
had 3 licenses: Novice, Tech and General with the ONLY
difference between Tech and General being
13 wpm vs 5 wpm...and that was until 1987.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

769. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 15:30:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133969412.508124.250640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126540

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K0HB on Dec 6, 7:10 pm

> It is NOT a "game." Such an impersonation of being military
> is punishable and heaps disgrace on the service affected.

And you're more than welcome to file a "complaint" with the USMC
and see what results from it, Lennie...

In the mean time, we'll just go over some of those "..threat of
the Bear" and "...incomming artillery" stories of YOURS...

Steve, K4YZ

770. Re: Frankie Flounderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 16:31:58 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qg1ep1lrp74e5a43m4brts23a47jthl39c@4ax.com>
Source record: 126541

On 7 Dec 2005 05:41:38 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1133962898.119794.184130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 6 Dec 2005 01:55:31 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1133862931.229338.181300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> I don't recall stating (or even implying) that any of those residences
>> were "past-valid". In fact, I didn't even suggest that -any- of them
>> were valid. Quite the contrary: the implication was that many, if not
>> most, of those residences are fraudulent. For example, you used a PO
>> box from Dunlap (the same address you used for your currently lapsed
>> Georgia license) to apply for an EMT license in Tennessee but were
>> refused, but got your TN LPN license using your Winchester address.
>> Now I'm no LEO but that looks pretty shady to me!
>
> Applied for an EMT license but was refused?
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> NICE TRY JAIL BIRD ! ! ! !
>
> Solid documentation of your "liar status". Thanks!

Go here:

http://www2.tennessee.gov/health/licensure/index.htm

Type in "Robeson" for last name, then click "Submit":

================
ROBESON , STEVEN
P.O. BOX 2103
DUNLAP, TN 37327

Profession: Emergency Medical Personnel
Rank: Unapproved applicant
....
================

> Half of the addresses you cited were someone other than me. I
>have never resided in VA or WVA.

"WV"

That's only four out of fifteen, Dud. Less than a third of those
addresses (and those are just the ones I found in a -quick- search).
Your mathematical ineptitude is understandable, however, as it's
apparent that you spent most of your time in grade school learning
recess rhetoric instead of fractions.

> As for the rest, none are "fraudulent", nor are they "shady"... ! !
>!
> (Well...the house in Trumann sat betwen some nice pine trees....)

"between"

>> > But then only "half the story" seems to be Frankie's forte. So go
>> >ahead, Frankie! Tell us your "theory" on this "coincidence".
>> >
>> > We'll file it away with your "you didn't have enough time to have
>> >a hobby" rantings.
>>
>> Yep, you go right ahead and file it with all my other statements that
>> you obliquely paraphrased -- in the "failed defensive tactics" file.
>
> Nothing failied except YOUR dodging the TRUTH that you've lied
>about your great "resources" (including ol'e buddy Ollie) and your
>ability to "research" given facts.

"failed"

>> Still no DD-214? Having problems finding someone skilled enough to
>> forge a copy for you?
>
> No forging needed. They're right here.

Right where?

> None of them have anything less than "Honorable" on any of them.

"None of them..... any of them." Your grammar sucks, too.

> Unlike you, Jail Bird!

That's "shit bird". Or "brig bird" if you prefer. But I have never
heard the latter used in the USMC (or anywhere else for that matter).

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Still no scanner? Not even a digital camera? Don't you have any
friends who live outside the trailer park that can help you out?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

771. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 16:33:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133973230.707620.299420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126542

For a LOOOOOOOOOOONNNNNNNGGGGGGG time Stebie

Todd N9OGL
DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

Demented Otaku Image Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

Demented Otaku Lolicon Image Board
http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php

772. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 16:37:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133973464.972782.239710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126543

Doubt it, I think there is more to the story then what's being told,
beside that was a district court, if the Court of Appeals don't over
turn it, the Supreme Court will just like the last twol just like that
one.

Todd N9OGL
DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

Demented Otaku Image Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

Demented Otaku Lolicon Image Board
http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php

773. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 16:44:44 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1zoutv@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1zoutv@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 126548

K4YZ Wrote:
>
>
> Follow OSHA safety stats there are jobs far more dangerous >>and<<
> less
> "rewarding", both in pecuniary renumeratioon and job satisfaction,
> than
> serving in the Armed Forces.
>

Dump the >>and<< and substitute >>or<< and you might be correct.

An E3 Airman with 2 years service supporting a spouse and 2 children serving here at Davis-Montham AB earns a yearly income of $29,048.40 (that includes housing allowance). At those wages the servicemember would be eligible for food stamps and other public assistance in 39 states.

Based on an Airmans average work week of 61.7 hours (normal tasks plus "military duty" periods) that works out to $9.05/hr. Taco Bell is hiring persons of questionable citizenship off the Tucson streets for $10.75/hr with a raise to $11.35 after one month of "service".

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

774. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 16:46:47 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pc4ep1t8trht8qev9kshiuo90r65oeh9hr@4ax.com>
Source record: 126544

On 7 Dec 2005 06:15:20 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1133964920.495325.126310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>blah, blah, blah.
>
>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Hey Dud -- did I forget to mention that I'm licensed as an Extra?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

775. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 17:13:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133975610.056472.10930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126545

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 7 Dec 2005 06:15:20 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1133964920.495325.126310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

> Hey Dud -- did I forget to mention that I'm licensed as an Extra?

OK.

How does that change the fact that you were a twice disgraced,
lying, court-martialed, deceitful ex-Marine, Frankie?

Steve, K4YZ

776. Re: Frankie Flounderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 17:18:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133975931.068950.139570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126546

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 7 Dec 2005 05:41:38 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1133962898.119794.184130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 6 Dec 2005 01:55:31 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1133862931.229338.181300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> >> I don't recall stating (or even implying) that any of those residences
> >> were "past-valid". In fact, I didn't even suggest that -any- of them
> >> were valid. Quite the contrary: the implication was that many, if not
> >> most, of those residences are fraudulent. For example, you used a PO
> >> box from Dunlap (the same address you used for your currently lapsed
> >> Georgia license) to apply for an EMT license in Tennessee but were
> >> refused, but got your TN LPN license using your Winchester address.
> >> Now I'm no LEO but that looks pretty shady to me!
> >
> > Applied for an EMT license but was refused?
> >
> >BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> > NICE TRY JAIL BIRD ! ! ! !
> >
> > Solid documentation of your "liar status". Thanks!
>
>
> Go here:
>
> http://www2.tennessee.gov/health/licensure/index.htm
>
> Type in "Robeson" for last name, then click "Submit":
>
> ================
> ROBESON , STEVEN
> P.O. BOX 2103
> DUNLAP, TN 37327
>
> Profession: Emergency Medical Personnel
> Rank: Unapproved applicant
> ....
> ===============

Uh huh.

A server error that re-routed my LPN renewal to the wrong
category.

I've never held an EMT card in TN and never applied for one.

Now, your point?

> > Unlike you, Jail Bird!
>
> That's "#### bird". Or "brig bird" if you prefer. But I have never
> heard the latter used in the USMC (or anywhere else for that matter).

Nah. Jail Bird works for me.

Or Coward...Scumbag...Liar...Cheat...All of them apply to Frank
Gilliland, the disgraced ex-Marine of Spokane.

Steve, K4YZ

777. Re: K1MAN Holiday Message: Blood is Thicker Than Water

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 18:56:19 UTC
From: johnboy <johnboy4455@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <196a6$43973054$8b371884$10265@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 126547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yea and SHIT like you is thicker'n both of em!
<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133905658.691046.67330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN ESSAY - ES051202 -
>
> Blood is Thicker Than Water
>
>
> America is all of us. If you come from another country and/or
> another culture, you are welcome by me as we offer you citizenship and
> the protections of our precious Constitution. Those of us who were
> born here, however, are willing, by definition, to die in the
> protection of our precious birth land and our precious Constitution.
>
> Even though America is all of us, and it is, we will not allow you to
> do some quite important things such as being our President if you were
> not born here. There is a damn good reason for this. If push
> comes to shove, we are afraid you might "cut and run." I say "might."
> We simply don't want to take this chance, however slight it may be.
>
> In that sense, therefore, those who are not born in America are
> "second class" Americans.
>
> Same deal in Maine. If you were not born in Maine, you are not a
> Mainer. I "deal" with that. Mainers do cut me SOME slack, however,
> since I have been a citizen of Maine for 48 years. SOME slack, not
> very much. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> America is predominantly Christian, and we do, indeed, have a national
> Christmas tree. That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
> In this case, we are remembering the holy birth of Jesus and the blood
> many of us believe he shed for all of us. That is just how it is,
> blood is thicker than water.
>
> English is the predominant language in America. Some day there well
> might be more Spanish speaking Americans born here than English
> speaking Americans born here. There might well be more "African
> Americans" born here that "whites" born here. Hey man, this is
> America; I just love being American!
>
> When the first human, an American, set foot on the moon, a Russian
> said to an American:
>

> "It must be great to be an American tonight!"
>
> No, "Ivan:"
>
> "It is great to be an American EVERY night!"
>
>
> That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> If America is threatened or if our Constitution is threatened, you had
> better watch out! I will STAND UP and fight, and if I need help, I
> will choose a "kid" born in America, educated in America, who played
> sports in America, and who was trained by the U.S Marines, U.S Army,
> U.S. Navy, U.S Air Force, the U.S. Military Academy, our Air Force
> Academy, Annapolis, etc., etc., over someone else who might just "cut
> and run" when push comes to shove, as happened in WWII and countless
> other situations that have cropped up over our brief 200 year history.
>
> If your native country got "raped" during WWII, YOU are the ones who
> that let it happen, and those kids mentioned above are the ones who
> came over to "bail out" your collective asses. The "goose step" did
> not frighten those kids very much. Americans don't know the total
> humiliation of being "raped." We have NO FEAR of someone coming in
> the middle of the night to take one of us away. Our Constitution
> specifically allows us to have guns for just such an occasion if such
> ever occurred, however unlikely. Many Americans have more "fire
> power" than the so called "professionals." That is just how it is,
> blood is thicker than water. Be careful! Don't count America out
> yet! That is just how it is, blood is thicker than water.
>
> May God bless you and your family during this holy season.
>
> 73 and GL de K1MAN
>
> Glenn Baxter, P.E.
>

778. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 21:31:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133991077.452584.305780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126549

well it seems I'm safe...Cartoons are NOT consider child porn

http://www.animenewsnetwork.com/article.php?id=7943

Todd N9OGL
DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

Demented Otaku Image Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

Demented Otaku Lolicon Image Board
http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php

779. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 21:52:40 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1zpbhw@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1zpbhw@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 126622

N9OGL Wrote:
> Doubt it, I think there is more to the story then what's being told,
> beside that was a district court, if the Court of Appeals don't over
> turn it, the Supreme Court will just like the last twol just like that
> one.
>

When he is sentenced on March 10, he faces a maximum sentence of 1,160
years in prison and a fine of US$18.5 million.

--
Iitoi

780. Re: Frankie Flounderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 21:54:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <i3iep1tkcd4k44gtdhsdqok8c1jp4oi0hc@4ax.com>
Source record: 126600

```
On 7 Dec 2005 09:18:51 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1133975931.068950.139570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 7 Dec 2005 05:41:38 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1133962898.119794.184130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >> On 6 Dec 2005 01:55:31 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >> <1133862931.229338.181300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>> >
>> >> I don't recall stating (or even implying) that any of those residences
>> >> were "past-valid". In fact, I didn't even suggest that -any- of them
>> >> were valid. Quite the contrary: the implication was that many, if not
>> >> most, of those residences are fraudulent. For example, you used a PO
>> >> box from Dunlap (the same address you used for your currently lapsed
>> >> Georgia license) to apply for an EMT license in Tennessee but were
>> >> refused, but got your TN LPN license using your Winchester address.
>> >> Now I'm no LEO but that looks pretty shady to me!
>> >
>> > Applied for an EMT license but was refused?
>> >
>> >BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> >
>> > NICE TRY JAIL BIRD ! ! ! !
>> >
>> > Solid documentation of your "liar status". Thanks!
>>
>>
>> Go here:
>>
>> http://www2.tennessee.gov/health/licensure/index.htm
>>
>> Type in "Robeson" for last name, then click "Submit":
>>
>> ================
>> ROBESON , STEVEN
>> P.O. BOX 2103
>> DUNLAP, TN 37327
>>
```

>> Profession: Emergency Medical Personnel
>> Rank: Unapproved applicant
>> ....
>> ===============
>
> Uh huh.
>
> A server error that re-routed my LPN renewal to the wrong
>category.

There's a problem with that excuse, Dud, since your LPN license has
you listed for a different address (obviously you didn't bother to
check the link):

===============
ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
151 12TH AVENUE NW
WINCHESTER, TN 37398

Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
License Number: 49513
Status: Active
Multistate
Original Date: 11/01/1994
Expiration Date: 09/30/2007
===============

So are you now going to suggest that the computer accidently re-routed
your LPN renewal to the wrong category -AND- accidently substituted a
different address -AND- denied the non-existant application (an
application for a license in an occupational specialty that you
publically brag about) -AND- kept the rejected application status
beyond the 5 year record-keeping limitation of the database?

Please, PLEASE tell me that's what you think happened!!!!

> I've never held an EMT card in TN and never applied for one.

The -facts- tell a different story. The -fact- is that you don't hold
an EMT card in -any- state. You are just as much a Marine-imposter as
you are an EMT-imposter.

A behavioral pattern is apparent here, Dud. There are many parallels
to both 'careers': You fail to reach your goal so you settle for less,
then you lie and tell everyone that you -did- reach your goal. For
example, you couldn't be a Marine so you joined the NG, then lied and
told people that you were a Marine anyway. Another example: You can't
get your EMT license so you settle for being an LPN and troll the
roads with a scanner and emergency lights, then lie and tell people
that you are an EMT. Same type of delusional behavior.

And this same behavior is evident in your newsgroup postings, where
you continue your barrage of grade-school rhetoric; which, of course,
would be totally unnecessary if you -really had- "bloodied my nose".

Looks like the only goal you -have- accomplished was getting your
amateur license!

> Now, your point?

So did you use those bogus addresses for credit applications, too? I
think a bankruptcy search might turn up some interesting results.....

>> > Unlike you, Jail Bird!
>>
>> That's "#### bird". Or "brig bird" if you prefer. But I have never
>> heard the latter used in the USMC (or anywhere else for that matter).
>
> Nah. Jail Bird works for me.
>
> Or Coward...Scumbag...Liar...Cheat...All of them apply to Frank
>Gilliland, the disgraced ex-Marine of Spokane.

.........whatever.

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

I noticed that, as usual, you did a little snippage to my reply:

>>> Still no DD-214? Having problems finding someone skilled enough to
>>> forge a copy for you?
>>
>> No forging needed. They're right here.
>
>
>Right where?

Well?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

781. K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 22:03:58 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133993038.323503.4310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

DAVE SUMNER, K1ZZ, CEO OF ARRL, RESPONDS TO K1MAN's LETTER

December 2, 2005

Glenn A. Baxter
Long Point Lodge
Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918

Dear Mr. Baxter:

This is in response to your email of December 1, 2005 inquiring about W1AW operating practices.

W1AW is unique in that it is the only station that operates in accordance with the provisions of 97.113(d) of the FCC rules. The rules require that the station schedule operations on at least six MF and HF amateur bands using reasonable measures to maximize coverage, and that a schedule of operating times be published at least 30 days in advance.

As W1AW trustee it is my policy that W1AW adhere to the FCC rules. The station operates in accordance with the published schedule. The W1AW trustee and control operators also take seriously our obligation under 97.101(b) to cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of amateur service frequencies. For example, we transmit two brief warning messages prior to the commencement of a scheduled transmission as a courtesy to operators who may be engaged in communications on the scheduled frequencies (Ed. comment: This practice was FIRST used by K1MAN), and we deliberately keep our voice bulletin transmissions brief and limited in number.

Sincerely,

(signed)
David Sumner, K1ZZ
Trustee, W1AW

K1MAN editorial comment:

In other words, 97.113(d) of the FCC rules allows W1AW to pay a control operator for a 40 hour work week (pecuniary interest) for doing exactly what K1MAN does without any pay whatsoever, and doing it infinitely better, thus drawing a $21,000 "fine." W1AW "dumps" on existing QSO's every day and K1MAN only when some moron like K3VR

parks there on frequency in order to be "dumped" on, a criminal violation by K3VR of the Goldwater Law. Sumner was a law school drop out and Hollingsworth was sick on the day they taught law at law school. Sumner's letter is a "get out of jail free" card for K1MAN, and Dave will certainly be called as a witess in court along with Hollingsworth. Both men are in alleged criminal violation of the Goldwater Law as well by failing to discourage interference with K1MAN amateur information bulletins:

It is an interesting snub that K1ZZ does not use the call K1MAN in his arrogant middle manager mental midget letter, Hi. Eat your heart out Dave, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, has more class than ARRL, the "national association for amateur radio." By the way, exactly what is a "radio relay league" anyway?

73 and GL de K1MAN.

See www.K1MAN.com

Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
ARRL Chief Executive Officer
American Radio Relay League
225 Main Street
Newington, Conn. 06111

1 December 2005

Dave,

What is W1AW's current policy with regard to its bulletins, and can you refer me to the ARRL Board minute which established this policy? Specifically, does W1AW listen and/or QRZ each published scheduled frequency before transmitting? If the frequency is busy, does W1AW QSY, delay, or even terminate the scheduled bulletin?

The policy published in QST that I have found is to stick to the published schedule regardless of whether the frequency is busy or not, just as is the policy of K1MAN for information bulletins, which is also consistent with many years of W1AW operating practice as well.

You will remember that K1MAN was "fined" in 1990 with regard to this policy, and my appeal of these "fines" to the full Commission was "deep sized" and thus blocking my further appeals to the D.C. Court of Appeals and the United Stated Supreme Court. Also, these "fines" were never litigated in Federal District Court here in Maine, and my subsequent civil suit to resolve this matter in Federal District Court against the FCC was dismissed. My related civil suit in Federal District Court against ARRL was also dismissed. My many attempts to resolve this matter have thus been repeatedly thwarted. K1MAN was then "fined" again regarding this same policy in April of 2005, a full fifteen years after the original FCC action, thus creating a further legal nightmare for the Commission, of course.

I am a member of ARRL. Thank you for your attention to this request.

Best personal regards. 73.

(signed)

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
AARA Executive Director
IARN Manager

cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
Distribution

782. K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 22:04:12 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133993052.592507.218630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

DAVE SUMNER, K1ZZ, CEO OF ARRL, RESPONDS TO K1MAN's LETTER

December 2, 2005

Glenn A. Baxter
Long Point Lodge
Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918

Dear Mr. Baxter:

This is in response to your email of December 1, 2005 inquiring about W1AW operating practices.

W1AW is unique in that it is the only station that operates in accordance with the provisions of 97.113(d) of the FCC rules. The rules require that the station schedule operations on at least six MF and HF amateur bands using reasonable measures to maximize coverage, and that a schedule of operating times be published at least 30 days in advance.

As W1AW trustee it is my policy that W1AW adhere to the FCC rules. The station operates in accordance with the published schedule. The W1AW trustee and control operators also take seriously our obligation under 97.101(b) to cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of amateur service frequencies. For example, we transmit two brief warning messages prior to the commencement of a scheduled transmission as a courtesy to operators who may be engaged in communications on the scheduled frequencies (Ed. comment: This practice was FIRST used by K1MAN), and we deliberately keep our voice bulletin transmissions brief and limited in number.

Sincerely,

(signed)
David Sumner, K1ZZ
Trustee, W1AW

K1MAN editorial comment:

In other words, 97.113(d) of the FCC rules allows W1AW to pay a control operator for a 40 hour work week (pecuniary interest) for doing exactly what K1MAN does without any pay whatsoever, and doing it infinitely better, thus drawing a $21,000 "fine." W1AW "dumps" on existing QSO's every day and K1MAN only when some moron like K3VR

parks there on frequency in order to be "dumped" on, a criminal violation by K3VR of the Goldwater Law. Sumner was a law school drop out and Hollingsworth was sick on the day they taught law at law school. Sumner's letter is a "get out of jail free" card for K1MAN, and Dave will certainly be called as a witess in court along with Hollingsworth. Both men are in alleged criminal violation of the Goldwater Law as well by failing to discourage interference with K1MAN amateur information bulletins:

It is an interesting snub that K1ZZ does not use the call K1MAN in his arrogant middle manager mental midget letter, Hi. Eat your heart out Dave, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, has more class than ARRL, the "national association for amateur radio." By the way, exactly what is a "radio relay league" anyway?

73 and GL de K1MAN.

See www.K1MAN.com

Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
ARRL Chief Executive Officer
American Radio Relay League
225 Main Street
Newington, Conn. 06111

1 December 2005

Dave,

What is W1AW's current policy with regard to its bulletins, and can you refer me to the ARRL Board minute which established this policy? Specifically, does W1AW listen and/or QRZ each published scheduled frequency before transmitting? If the frequency is busy, does W1AW QSY, delay, or even terminate the scheduled bulletin?

The policy published in QST that I have found is to stick to the published schedule regardless of whether the frequency is busy or not, just as is the policy of K1MAN for information bulletins, which is also consistent with many years of W1AW operating practice as well.

You will remember that K1MAN was "fined" in 1990 with regard to this policy, and my appeal of these "fines" to the full Commission was "deep sixed" and thus blocking my further appeals to the D.C. Court of Appeals and the United Stated Supreme Court. Also, these "fines" were never litigated in Federal District Court here in Maine, and my subsequent civil suit to resolve this matter in Federal District Court against the FCC was dismissed. My related civil suit in Federal District Court against ARRL was also dismissed. My many attempts to resolve this matter have thus been repeatedly thwarted. K1MAN was then "fined" again regarding this same policy in April of 2005, a full fifteen years after the original FCC action, thus creating a further legal nightmare for the Commission, of course.

I am a member of ARRL. Thank you for your attention to this request.

Best personal regards. 73.

(signed)

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
AARA Executive Director
IARN Manager

cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
Distribution

783. K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 22:04:34 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1133993074.428001.220280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

DAVE SUMNER, K1ZZ, CEO OF ARRL, RESPONDS TO K1MAN's LETTER

December 2, 2005

Glenn A. Baxter
Long Point Lodge
Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918

Dear Mr. Baxter:

This is in response to your email of December 1, 2005 inquiring about W1AW operating practices.

W1AW is unique in that it is the only station that operates in accordance with the provisions of 97.113(d) of the FCC rules. The rules require that the station schedule operations on at least six MF and HF amateur bands using reasonable measures to maximize coverage, and that a schedule of operating times be published at least 30 days in advance.

As W1AW trustee it is my policy that W1AW adhere to the FCC rules. The station operates in accordance with the published schedule. The W1AW trustee and control operators also take seriously our obligation under 97.101(b) to cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of amateur service frequencies. For example, we transmit two brief warning messages prior to the commencement of a scheduled transmission as a courtesy to operators who may be engaged in communications on the scheduled frequencies (Ed. comment: This practice was FIRST used by K1MAN), and we deliberately keep our voice bulletin transmissions brief and limited in number.

Sincerely,

(signed)
David Sumner, K1ZZ
Trustee, W1AW

K1MAN editorial comment:

In other words, 97.113(d) of the FCC rules allows W1AW to pay a control operator for a 40 hour work week (pecuniary interest) for doing exactly what K1MAN does without any pay whatsoever, and doing it infinitely better, thus drawing a $21,000 "fine." W1AW "dumps" on existing QSO's every day and K1MAN only when some moron like K3VR

parks there on frequency in order to be "dumped" on, a criminal violation by K3VR of the Goldwater Law. Sumner was a law school drop out and Hollingsworth was sick on the day they taught law at law school. Sumner's letter is a "get out of jail free" card for K1MAN, and Dave will certainly be called as a witess in court along with Hollingsworth. Both men are in alleged criminal violation of the Goldwater Law as well by failing to discourage interference with K1MAN amateur information bulletins:

It is an interesting snub that K1ZZ does not use the call K1MAN in his arrogant middle manager mental midget letter, Hi. Eat your heart out Dave, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, has more class than ARRL, the "national association for amateur radio." By the way, exactly what is a "radio relay league" anyway?

73 and GL de K1MAN.

See www.K1MAN.com

Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
ARRL Chief Executive Officer
American Radio Relay League
225 Main Street
Newington, Conn. 06111

1 December 2005

Dave,

What is W1AW's current policy with regard to its bulletins, and can you refer me to the ARRL Board minute which established this policy? Specifically, does W1AW listen and/or QRZ each published scheduled frequency before transmitting? If the frequency is busy, does W1AW QSY, delay, or even terminate the scheduled bulletin?

The policy published in QST that I have found is to stick to the published schedule regardless of whether the frequency is busy or not, just as is the policy of K1MAN for information bulletins, which is also consistent with many years of W1AW operating practice as well.

You will remember that K1MAN was "fined" in 1990 with regard to this policy, and my appeal of these "fines" to the full Commission was "deep sixed" and thus blocking my further appeals to the D.C. Court of Appeals and the United Stated Supreme Court. Also, these "fines" were never litigated in Federal District Court here in Maine, and my subsequent civil suit to resolve this matter in Federal District Court against the FCC was dismissed. My related civil suit in Federal District Court against ARRL was also dismissed. My many attempts to resolve this matter have thus been repeatedly thwarted. K1MAN was then "fined" again regarding this same policy in April of 2005, a full fifteen years after the original FCC action, thus creating a further legal nightmare for the Commission, of course.

I am a member of ARRL. Thank you for your attention to this request.

Best personal regards. 73.

(signed)

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
AARA Executive Director
IARN Manager

cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
Distribution

784. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 22:04:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lkmep1t70n2mruogk4cs8tfv92q2g230ku@4ax.com>
Source record: 126601

On 7 Dec 2005 09:13:30 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1133975610.056472.10930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 7 Dec 2005 06:15:20 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1133964920.495325.126310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> Hey Dud -- did I forget to mention that I'm licensed as an Extra?
>
> OK.

So you're taking my word that I'm a ham? How unlike you, Dud.

> How does that change the fact that you were a twice disgraced,
>lying, court-martialed, deceitful ex-Marine, Frankie?

Despite the derogative adjectives, the fact remains that I -was- a
Marine and you weren't.

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

You never did explain why your first marriage failed. Did she bail
because of the debts you were ringing up on all those credit cards? Or
did she just get tired of competing with your mommy for attention?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

785. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 23:12:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133997166.799697.289040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126605

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Assessment, review, whatever. I personally think the
> current 3 level license structure does not reflect a
> good starting path for new hams because Techs are
> (a) only allowed VHF, yet they have (b) power
> privileges for full 1500 watts. My personal view is
> to have a beginners license with a variety of HF
> and VHF access and modes but with a limited power output
> (say 200 watts or less).
>
> The current 3 licenses and privileges are the
> result of piecepart change over time and the result has
> some less than logical consequences regarding
> privileges and entrance level testing when compared
> to the Novice tests which we had for almost 50
> years. YMMV.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Needs a top-down review, starting with "basis and purpose." Don't build in merit badge-like license classes to make certain segments of society feel good. Three license classes would probably be one more than needed.

786. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 23:17:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1vqdnXtcLqEj8ArenZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126606

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:TZClf.517$nm.41@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TdqdnVO7I8TKtQvenZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@comcast.com...

[snip]

>> Well I would propose dropping the Tech altogether and upping the General
>> written to 50 question test once the code is dropped. The difference in
>> technical material is not great and it's not that hard to memorize the
>> difference in privileges.
>
> Sounds reasonable to me. My ultimate view/perspective...
> we need a tiered license structure that makes sense.
>
>> Once the code is dropped, in the classes that I teach, I will combine the
>> material and encourage all the students to go straight to General. There
>> really won't be a need for an introductory license.
>
> But they'll still need to approach the testing on a two element
> basis.
>

Agreed. Just like when I got my Tech+ (called Tech with HF then) in 1992.
I had to take all the elements involved (i.e. code and two writtens) but I
went straight to the Tech+ and never held a Novice.

For now, people will still have to take two writtens to get to General after
the code is dropped but I can see the possibility of an eventual two license
system (General and Extra).

> My question to you...
> should there be a true introductory license to bring
> new hams, especially youth, to ham radio along the lines
> of the previous Novice. THE only reason (IMHO)
> that Novice ceased to be the entry level exam
> was because Novice didn't get folks the majority of
> repeater operation which is now a mainstay of
> ham radio.
>

No I don't think an introductory license is needed anymore. The material
for the General written is well within the grasp of young people to learn
and comprehend. It's really no more difficult than what they are supposed
to be learning in school. It is merely different.

Going straight to General will result in the candidate having a wide range of privileges available at both VHFand up and at HF and down. This will be more meaningful and (in my opinion) more successful than some scaled down introductory license.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

787. Re: More Proof That K4YZ is a Pathological Liar...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 23:25:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133997902.131955.202940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126607

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark Morgan wrote:
> > On 3 Dec 2005 07:57:36 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> > >
> > >> > > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > >> > > > rest of us
> > >> > >
> > >> > > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
> > >> >
> > >> > Twice a liar, at that.
> > >>
> > >> Nope, no lies. Your last agreement to be civil didn't last even 24
> > >> hours. Google provides.
> > >
> > > Three.
> >
> > Four.

"Shut the door; and don't let it hit you on the way out."

> > when you goignt o stop lying
>
> To ask "when" is to imply persume that something started.

Correct. So when are you going to answer the question?

> > everyone should be advised that The following person
> > has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> For example we have your week long attack on the entire newsgroup

Don't know who you're talking about, but I wasn't attacked.

> and your blatantly slanderour "tag line" on the AMSAT address you use.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Or your "raped an old friend" slander[our] on AOL?

788. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 23:25:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133997938.436510.205520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

FACT: W1AW DOES interfere with ongoing communications
FACT: WIAW DOES transmit their website address in their transmissions
FACT: W1AW DOES have pecuniary interest

Todd Daugherty N9OGL

789. Re: Frankie Flounderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-07 23:50:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133999426.702284.155330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126609

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 7, 8:31 am

>On 7 Dec 2005 05:41:38 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 6 Dec 2005 01:55:31 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>> Half of the addresses you cited were someone other than me. I
>>have never resided in VA or WVA.
>
>"WV"
>
>That's only four out of fifteen, Dud. Less than a third of those
>addresses (and those are just the ones I found in a -quick- search).
>Your mathematical ineptitude is understandable, however, as it's
>apparent that you spent most of your time in grade school learning
>recess rhetoric instead of fractions.

Frank, I found the same list via military.com. The thing about
that is it is all voluntary information provided by whoever got
on www.military.com and joined. Anyone could have done multiple
"joinings" with different "home towns." [there IS precedent for
that in amateur radio with one Michael Deignan and his FCC
mailing address for KH6HZ once being in Hawaii...apparently
living in a post office box (rather crowded and stuffy)...we
all went over that about five years or so ago in here]

www.search.com is linked to military.com and, by paying extra
money, can get (supposedly) "DoD Records." Whether or not those
are official government sources is not apparent, but the format
looks ever so much "real." :-) Dud isn't worth spending any
pennies on so I didn't bother with that.

<snip>

>>> Still no DD-214? Having problems finding someone skilled enough to
>>> forge a copy for you?
>
>> No forging needed. They're right here.
>
>Right where?

In his imagination. After all these years Dud isn't able to
provide anything visible to the public. Conclusion: He doesn't
have any; he is a fake.

<snip>

>Still no scanner? Not even a digital camera? Don't you have any
>friends who live outside the trailer park that can help you out?

The question should be "does he have any friends?" :-)

If Dud wants to be believed, all he has to do is make a document
visible to the public. He hasn't done that yet. Conclusion:
He LIES about military service.

If he LIES about military service, he can LIE about civilian
service...just as he is NOT qualified as an EMT in Tennessee.
It's just a small step from that to LYING about amateur radio
activity or anything else.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

790. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 00:17:15 UTC
From: Has <has@ham.net>
Message-ID: <itqdneKdOZcR5greRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 126610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

It's rather pathetic when someone can only their own self-worth by comparing himself to the perceived flaws in others.

-Has

791. Re: Frankie Flounderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 00:35:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8duep1tba54qepoqa01maqab7fh2nk1o05@4ax.com>
Source record: 126611

On 7 Dec 2005 15:50:26 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1133999426.702284.155330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 7, 8:31 am
>
>>On 7 Dec 2005 05:41:38 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>> On 6 Dec 2005 01:55:31 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>
>
>
>>> Half of the addresses you cited were someone other than me. I
>>>have never resided in VA or WVA.
>>
>>"WV"
>>
>>That's only four out of fifteen, Dud. Less than a third of those
>>addresses (and those are just the ones I found in a -quick- search).
>>Your mathematical ineptitude is understandable, however, as it's
>>apparent that you spent most of your time in grade school learning
>>recess rhetoric instead of fractions.
>
> Frank, I found the same list via military.com. The thing about
> that is it is all voluntary information provided by whoever got
> on www.military.com and joined. Anyone could have done multiple
> "joinings" with different "home towns." [there IS precedent for
> that in amateur radio with one Michael Deignan and his FCC
> mailing address for KH6HZ once being in Hawaii...apparently
> living in a post office box (rather crowded and stuffy)...we
> all went over that about five years or so ago in here]
>
> www.search.com is linked to military.com and, by paying extra
> money, can get (supposedly) "DoD Records." Whether or not those
> are official government sources is not apparent, but the format
> looks ever so much "real." :-) Dud isn't worth spending any
> pennies on so I didn't bother with that.
>
> <snip>

The extended search (beyond the DoD records) is through ussearch.com
and is a basic name search of public records from all over the
country. The list I provided only included hits that had the same name
and age as Dudly and had duplicate entries. The list isn't definitive,
but it does has a pretty narrow margin of error since 'Robeson' isn't
a very common name.

BTW, I doubt that Dudly is the the SJR's in VA and WV, or maybe one or two in CA. But I'm fairly confident that the rest are him because they all correspond to locations where he has resided -- including his home state of Ohio. And as frequently as he moves around, I'd be willing to bet that he has a couple more residences that weren't even listed, perhaps by using his middle name as his first (i.e, James Robeson, a common practice by crooks to create false identities). The search for the truth continues......

>>>> Still no DD-214? Having problems finding someone skilled enough to
>>>> forge a copy for you?
>>
>>> No forging needed. They're right here.
>>
>>Right where?
>
> In his imagination. After all these years Dud isn't able to
> provide anything visible to the public. Conclusion: He doesn't
> have any; he is a fake.
>
> <snip>
>
>>Still no scanner? Not even a digital camera? Don't you have any
>>friends who live outside the trailer park that can help you out?
>
> The question should be "does he have any friends?" :-)

Yep. Wanna see the list? Here ya go:

Dave Heil.

Whew!!!! Typing up that list almost wore out my keyboard!!!

> If Dud wants to be believed, all he has to do is make a document
> visible to the public. He hasn't done that yet. Conclusion:
> He LIES about military service.
>
> If he LIES about military service, he can LIE about civilian
> service...just as he is NOT qualified as an EMT in Tennessee.
> It's just a small step from that to LYING about amateur radio
> activity or anything else.

He'll just claim that some server re-routed his ARS information to the wrong database category.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

792. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 01:28:40 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134005320.012448.78560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126612

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> >>>As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
> >>>support that idea...but I think we need to approach
> >>>that concept slowly by the following path:

> >>>1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
> >>>2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
> >>> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
> >>> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
> >>>3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
> >>> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
> >
> >> Whatever. :-) First item is excellent.

I think it's awful, but that's not the point of this discussion. In
any event it's probably going to happen, good, bad or indifferent.

>>> Second, okay.
> >> Does there really need to be an "assessment" as in the
> >> third? What "assessments" were done in the past?

> >Assessment, review, whatever. I personally think the
> >current 3 level license structure does not reflect a
> >good starting path for new hams because Techs are
> >(a) only allowed VHF, yet they have (b) power
> >privileges for full 1500 watts.

Agreed, Bill. The Technician privs are, IMHO,
not optimum for an entry-level license.

> >My personal view is
> >to have a beginners license with a variety of HF
> >and VHF access and modes but with a limited power output
> >(say 200 watts or less).

Makes sense to me.
>
> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.

The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
steps. While some can and would do so, it's clearly not the
best way to do things.

> Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
> much like the traditional union concept of work with
> levels of apprentice-journeyman-master.

Not really. If a person can meet the requirements of the higher class licenses, they can go right to General or Extra. The apprentice-journeyman system doesn't allow that, except perhaps in extraordinary circumstances.

Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to Generals and Extras. While that number is small compared to those who start out as Technicians, it proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both upgrading steps.

> Amateur radio
> isn't a union nor a guild nor a craft.

Len, you're the only one who makes that comparison. Even if it's valid, it means nothing in terms of how many Amateur Radio license classes should exist.

> Differing
> levels/classes of license only reinforce the already-
> present class-distinction social divisions in U.S.
> amateur radio.

Not really. The license classes exist for two reasons:

1) To offer an easy way to get started in amateur radio

2) To offer an easier path to full privileges than would exist with a single license class that required the same knowledge

Anyone who can meet the requirements of the various license classes can earn them. There's no age requirement, no educational requirement other than ability to pass the test, no income requirement other than ability to pay the testing fees (which is sometimes waived by the VEs).

> It is a HOBBY,

And a lot more!

> a recreational pursuit
> done for enjoyment of radio, not on achieving some
> artifice of social standing.

It's also done for public service.

> Plenty of other
> organizations exist for social climbers looking for
> status and title.

In almost every human activity there are levels of
achievement and recognition for same. Radio is
no different in that respect than, say, golf, Scrabble,
model airplanes, or almost anything else.

> Operating a radio transmitter is, in reality, not a
> complex task

That depends on the transmitter. Some require a lot
of skill and knowledge, others do not. And there is
far more to amateur radio operation than "operating
a transmitter".

> nor is "amateur radio operation" some
> kind of mystical event, requiring perfect
> incantations to have some magic occur.

No magical incantations, although K0HB's idea of
sacrificing a goat in the antenna farm may have some merit ;-)

But many forms of amateur radio operation *do*
require unique, specialized skills and
knowledge that most nonamateurs have not developed.

Perhaps this skill and knowledge requirement is why
you have such a dislike of Morse Code, Len. Morse
Code operation in amateur radio usually involves
skilled operators.

> Unlicensed
> (in radio) public safety people routinely do that.
> Unlicensed (in radio) aircraft crew routinely do that.
> Unlicensed (in radio) business people routinely do
> that. Dozens of other examples are available where
> unlicensed-in-radio individuals routinely operate
> radio transmitters without some long "training"
> period of months or years in order to be "proper"
> operators in radio.

Except that's not the whole story.

The people you cite do not "operate radio transmitters"
in the same sense that radio amateurs do. They are, in
reality, radio *users*, not operators in the sense of
amateur radio operators. They are not required to have
much if any technical knowledge of their
radio equipment, nor does that equipment have any
technical adjustments. In fact the radios are usually
set up so that the only adjustments are on-off-volume,
channel select, and maybe squelch. In many cases the
latter two do not exist.

That they do not require radio operator licenses is proof of
that difference.

Nor do those radio users have much if any technical responsibility
for the radios they use. That responsibility is carried by
technical people who install, test and maintain the radios.
Of course the person who uses the radio may sometimes
also be the technical person, but that is not a requirement
of use.

On top of all that, the radio users cited above may not be
FCC licensed, but they are trained, tested and often certified in
proper radio procedures for the radios they use. For
example, licenses to pilot aircraft with radios require that
the licensee know and demonstrate proper aircraft radio
procedures. The pilot's license cannot be obtained without
such radio procedure knowledge.

Amateur radio is completely different. A radio amateur
is, by definition and regulation, both operationally and
technically responsible for his/her station. In the vast
majority of situations, the radio amateur sets up his/her station
and operates it without special formal training, testing or
certification other than the amateur radio license. So the
license tests must be more comprehensive than those for
services where the "operator" is really more of a user.

Typical amateur radio equipment - particularly HF/MF
equipment - has many technical adjustments and controls.
Skill and knowledge *are* required to operate such radios
to best advantage.

Amateurs are also authorized to design, build, repair, and
modify their equipment without any formal certification or
type-acceptance requirements other than the spurious-emission
rules in Part 97. Most other radio services do not have
anything like that sort of freedom.

Unlike almost all other radio services, amateur radio is
not formally channelized, particularly on HF/MF. And
unlike almost all other radio services, different modes
of transmission coexist on the same frequency bands.
For example, just between 7000 and 7300 kHz, one can
find amateurs using Morse Code, PSK31, RTTY, SSB,
AM, PACTOR, MFSK, SSTV, and a bunch of other modes,
without formal channelization and with only a few FCC
rules and voluntary bandplans to sort things out. Other
radio services are much more regimented, regulated, and
ordered so as to eliminate the need for user skill and
knowledge.

> I see absolutely no reason for
> amateur radio people engaging in a hobby to do that
> sort of thing...except to salve the egos of the long-
> "tenured" "senior" amateurs.

To do what sort of thing - have multiple license classes?
What's your alternative?

> >The current 3 licenses and privileges are the
> >result of piecepart change over time and the result has
> >some less than logical consequences regarding
> >privileges and entrance level testing when compared
> >to the Novice tests which we had for almost 50
> >years. YMMV.

Yet FCC says they think the 3 level system is the optimum
one. That's not just my opinion - it's clearly stated in the
NPRM.

> My odometer reads the same as yours on regulations'
> evolution of continuing piece-part changing. That is
> a consequence of radio politics, and NOT, in my view,
> of any "necessity" to have a layered system of
> classes for a hobby.

Would you have just one class of license?

> EM-space doesn't recognize
> "classes" OR human politics; electrons, fields, and
> waves are all unaffected by human regulations or
> emotion or "needs" to stratify standing within some
> "fellowship."

Would you prefer the chaos of unregulation? Or perhaps
much more regulation that would eliminate much of the
freedom and flexibility radio amateurs enjoy?

> The Novice class license is a failure in the long
> run.

For most of its history it was a great success in its original
purpose, which was to ease the path into amateur radio. It
was perhaps *the* best idea to come out of the restructuring of 1951.

If any license has been a failure at its original purpose, it is the
Technician. That license was created to encourage the development and
use of VHF/UHF after WW2, and not to be an entry-level license at all.
The original Technician license privileges were for 220 MHz and up. The
license was intended for technically-oriented folks who wanted to
tinker and build and experiment, and occasionally operate. Yet most
Technicians then and now are primarily communicators, not
builder/experimenters.

> While it might have been a good idea at the
> beginning for some to "get their feet wet" (in radio
> waters), it started off badly with the emotional
> baggage of its class title, "Novice."

Only to someone like you, who attach such emotional
baggage. "Novice" simply means "beginner" and is an

accurate name for a license class aimed at beginners.

Perhaps that emotional baggage is why you never
held a Novice license, Len. Perhaps you disliked being
known as a beginner.

> As viewed
> from afar, it served only to initiate the completely
> ferklempt with "proper" radiotelegraphy procedure
> and with the "proper" jargon (which had evolved in
> the particular activity of amateur radio)...not to
> mention having the "proper attitude" of worship and
> respect of "elders" (who thought they "ran" things).

That's just nonsense, Len.

After WW1 and before 1951, the only way to get started
in US amateur radio was to pass the Morse Code tests at
10 or 13 wpm (depending on whether the license was
earned before or after 1936) and to pass the Class B/C written
exam of about 50 questions that included essays, diagrams
and many other areas of knowledge. While people as young
as 9 years old managed to do that and earn the licenses, it
was a big investment of resources just to get started.

The Novice offered an easier entry point, with slower Morse
Code testing and a much simpler written exam - and much less
privileges. The idea was that it would be easier and better for
new hams to learn-by-doing.

But there was no requirement to start with a Novice. Anyone
who wanted to be a ham could start out with a Technician,
General or Conditional, same as the old Class B and C
licenses they replaced.

> That can work on typical teen-agers who have yet to
> experience more of life and the variety of humans
> who exist in the real world. It does not work well
> with adults.

Yet many adults started out as Novices.

> Longevity of a regulation such as "novice" or
> "beginner" or "entrant" in a field such as radio and
> communications that has constantly been evolving over
> the last half-century is not a logical necessity to
> keep those regulations.

Neither is it a reason to discard the concept. The details
may need changing but the concept is valid. It offers a way
for newcomers to get started in amateur radio without
having to make a large investment of resources.

> Time has shown that the
> newcomers have shunned the Novice class for decades;
> its class numbers are continuously decreasing.

No new Novices have been issued for 55 months - it's
no wonder they are decreasing.

One big reason the Novice lost favor as the entry point
for new hams was its lack of privileges on the most popular
VHF/UHF bands - 2 meters and 440, where most of the repeaters are.
Another reason was the reduction of the Technician written testing in
1987.
The difference in requirements of the two licenses was reduced while
the
difference in privileges was so great that many either skipped the
Novice
or spent only a short time before upgrading to Technician.

> Concentration on getting young newcomers into a hobby
> field seems driven more by some basic paternal drive to
> "guide and educate the kids."

If true, there's nothing wrong with that.

> Perhaps its a by-
> product of parenthood or a surrogate for that?

It's about the future of amateur radio, and keeping
the diversity. All good things.

> It is
> misplaced in a "community" whose active members are
> predominently adult.

No, it isn't misplaced at all. Including young people in
amateur radio is a *good* thing, not a problem.

Are saying we should *NOT* try to get more young
people into amateur radio? Why not?

> Children don't have the monetary
> base to build market sales which serve to benefit the
> adults.

You'd be suprised how much buying power the under-21
folks have!

And even if they don't have the "monetary base" - so what?
Everything isn't about money. When the children grow
older, they may have more money to spend on radio.

> Children don't have the experience to run
> events or keep organizations (predominently adult)
> together.

Very true - and amateur radio is one way for them
to see how events are run and organizations are
kept together. It can give them a view into the
world and help them learn. All good things.

> At best, the drive to "get youngsters
> interested" in a primarly-adult hobby seems to be
> little more than eyewash, using politically-correct
> psycho phrases.

Now you're just getting nasty, Len. What's your problem
with young people being hams, and with efforts to
recruit younger people?

The reason amateur radio is "primarily adult" is that young
people don't stay young for long. A radio amateur who is
licensed at the age of, say, 12 years and who continues as
a ham for the rest of his/her life will spend only a small
percent of their time as a "child ham".

One of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio Service
is education. That alone is a valid reason to recruit young people
to be radio amateurs, because the education they get from it
can be very substantial. Besides the purely technical side,
amateur radio can help teach geography, other languages,
time zones, government regulations, and much more.

> On the other hand, targeting an entrance drive for
> amateur radio to teenagers will tend to steer them
> away from their contemporaries' activities...those
> activities having evolved to fit that peer group and
> not necessarily that of adults.

Amateur Radio is for people of almost any age, not
just "adults". It is not an age-specific activity.

> It will serve to show
> those beginners that there is an unknown facet of the
> adult world ahead.

That's good! Amateur radio is one place where adults
and children can often interact as functional equals.

Young people do not need to be isolated from adults
and most adult activities. They need just the opposite -
inclusion and integration, so they learn to be part of
the community rather than alienated from it.

Of course there are a few activities that are not suitable
for young people, but amateur radio isn't one of them.

> It can also serve to alienate
> them from their own peer group by making them
> "different." That is a not-good thing among teen-
> agers who seek the stability of "their" group, a

> natural psychological need in that part of their life.

That's pure and utter nonsense.

Any activity has the capacity to make a young person "different". Those who are involved in Scouting are "different" from those who are not involved. Those who learn a musical instrument are "different" from those who don't. Those involved in sports are "different" from those who aren't. Etc.

One of the main purposes of childhood and adolescence is self-discovery. It is a good thing for young people to try various activities to see what they enjoy and are good at.

Most young people who play sports will never be able to play professionally. Most young people who learn a musical instrument will never be able to be professional musicians. Most young people who perform in school plays will not become actors. That doesn't mean the activities have no value, just that the vast majority will never go beyond the recreational level of those activities.

But amateur radio can be the path to a number of careers, like engineering.

> My own experience on "entering HF" were rather drastic
> in "apprenticeship" consisting only of a few days (at
> most). So were the 4 newcomers with me, none of us
> having been schooled on high-power HF transmitters.

But that's not the whole story, Len.

You all had at least a high school education, didn't you? All had passed various aptitude tests to become signalmen, didn't they?

You all went to microwave school, right? IIRC, that was at least six months of intensive training, and must have included a lot of radio theory and practice that wasn't specifically microwave technology - didn't it?

It wasn't like you and the others had no "radio-electronics" background at all, and had to start from scratch. While you may have not had specific "HF" training, was there no transfer from the training you did have?

> We were shown how to do it by more senior signalmen
> and we did it.

So you had experienced people to supervise, teach and guide everything you did, and make sure you did it right. You weren't on your own at all until the experienced people thought

you were ready - right?

What you did was all according to set procedures that had
been worked out carefully by trained and experienced people,
correct?

And you had all sorts of manuals, training materials, tools,
parts and test equipment to do the job - right?

> Those that did it wrong were shown
> why and had to practice getting it right. No re-
> criminations leveled, no "chewings out," no
> ostracizing.

All good stuff - but it all amounts to a considerable
training period, doesn't it? A lot more than a few days.

> We all learned and did our tasks

I'm sure you did - and there were incentives to do so!

> (some
> of which were considerably more complicated than any
> found in amateur radio operating).

Like what, Len? Compared to amateurs who have done
things like building and operating complete EME stations
on their own time, with only their own resources?

> So did those that
> came before us and those that came after us.

Right. As it should be.

Now I'll tell you about *my* experience on "entering HF".

I was one of those kids who was curious about all things
electrical, from a very early age. By the age of 10 I was
building simple receivers, and by the age of 12 I had
built a working HF receiver. Almost all that I knew about
radio came from various books because there were no radio
amateurs or electronics types in my family or immediate
neighborhood before I came along. None of this was covered in
school back then.

I still remember the look on my fifth grade teacher's face
when she caught me reading an electrical textbook in class.
She happened to open it to a page that showed diagrams of
three-phase transformer connections, which I explained.....

Priceless.

I soon realized that in certain areas I knew far more than
my teachers did, and that I couldn't expect much in those
areas from school.

Almost all the parts for my radios came from old TV and
BC receivers, or anything else electrical or electronic that
I could get my hands on.

Simple things like figuring out the inductance of a coil
required that I teach myself some basic algebra in order
to understand the formulas. Practical stuff like soldering
and metalwork I learned from the books and from trial-and-error.

And I learned Morse Code by listening to hams on the 80
meter band, and sending to myself with a home-made code
practice oscillator.

By age 13 I had earned the Novice license. My first transmitter
was home-made - simple but effective. I had almost no
test equipment and only a few tools - a lot of things were simply
guessed at and cut-and-tried until they worked right. For example,
I had to figure out how to match the transmitter output to my
random-wire antenna using a flashlight bulb as an RF indicator.

By age 14 I'd upgraded to Advanced, acquired a better transmitter,
receiver and antenna, and was filling up log books with QSOs.

All with *no* formal training in electricity, radio, electronics,
almost no money, and the usual obligations of schoolwork,
chores, family activities, etc. My folks' contribution to my
efforts was to allow me to do them if I got everything else
done first.

I wasn't any sort of prodigy or genius, just a motivated kid
interested in radio. There were and are many like me, some
even younger.

The point of this little personal history of mine is to illustrate
how different the environment and resources of most new
amateur radio operators are. While few start out the way I did,
most are essentially working on their own, without formal
training in radio, yet with the usual obligations of life. And their
amateur radio activities are all self-funded.

A completely different environment than what you described for
yourself.

> I can draw a parallel to the activities of infantry,
> armor, and artillery soldiers who had to learn how to
> operate radios necessary for military communications.
> They did it by the thousands upon thousands of soldiers,
> nearly all of them inexperienced in using any radio other
> than a broadcast receiver before their service. Those
> that say "they only push the button and talk" are doing
> them an extreme disservice since there is considerably
> more to do than that.

How much more? All the military radios I've seen that are/were
meant to be used by "line outfits" were made as simple to operate
as possible. That paradigm goes all the way back to the WW2
BC-611 "walkie talkie".

> Radio training for line outfits
> is abbreviated to, at most, a couple weeks with most of
> that being branch-specific procedural matters.

A couple *weeks* of intense formal training!

> Now,
> if they can all do that successfully in a short time,
> it makes no logical sense to have class stratification
> of being held in one class for a year or more.

The environments are completely different, Len. Most
radio amateurs are essentially self-taught, in their spare
time, using their own resources. What they could learn
in a week or two of intense formal training might take a
month to a year of part-time self-study.

More important, the only experience requirements for
amateur licenses in the USA disappeared 30+ years ago.
Only the Advanced and Extra ever had such a requirement,
and the Advanced's 1 year requirement disappeared in 1953,
while the Extra's 2 year requirement was cut to 1 year in the
early 1970s and then eliminated about 1975.

I think the entry-level license for a US ham license could be
a lot better than the current Technician. What K2UNK proposes
is a good starting point. But it's an uphill road with FCC because
the NPRM clearly states that FCC doesn't see it that way.

793. Re: Frankie Flounderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 02:03:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134007426.602426.121800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126613

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 7, 1:54 pm

>On 7 Dec 2005 09:18:51 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 7 Dec 2005 05:41:38 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> >> On 6 Dec 2005 01:55:31 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>>> Go here:
>
>>> http://www2.tennessee.gov/health/licensure/index.htm
>
>>> Type in "Robeson" for last name, then click "Submit":
>
>>> ================
>>> ROBESON , STEVEN
>>> P.O. BOX 2103
>>> DUNLAP, TN 37327
>
>>> Profession: Emergency Medical Personnel
>>> Rank: Unapproved applicant
>>> ....
>>> ===============
>
>> Uh huh.
>
>> A server error that re-routed my LPN renewal to the wrong
>>category.
>
>There's a problem with that excuse, Dud, since your LPN license has
>you listed for a different address (obviously you didn't bother to
>check the link):
>
>===============
>ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
>151 12TH AVENUE NW
>WINCHESTER, TN 37398
>
>Profession: Licensed Practical Nurse
>Rank: Lic Prac Nurse
>License Number: 49513
>Status: Active
>Multistate
>Original Date: 11/01/1994
>Expiration Date: 09/30/2007

>===============
>
>So are you now going to suggest that the computer accidently re-routed
>your LPN renewal to the wrong category -AND- accidently substituted a
>different address -AND- denied the non-existant application (an
>application for a license in an occupational specialty that you
>publically brag about) -AND- kept the rejected application status
>beyond the 5 year record-keeping limitation of the database?
>
>Please, PLEASE tell me that's what you think happened!!!!

Frank, it's very-artificial intelligence alive and well in TN. :-)

Either that or the TN "servers" are made in Bangladesh or
someplace not following RoHS (too much lead that poisons
the "intelligence" capacity]

>> I've never held an EMT card in TN and never applied for one.
>
>The -facts- tell a different story. The -fact- is that you don't hold
>an EMT card in -any- state. You are just as much a Marine-imposter as
>you are an EMT-imposter.

Tsk, how many times have we heard of his "saving lives in ER"
and the hard work he's done responding to accidents in the
ambulances? "Countless" times...

All that "ER" talk is time-parallel to the hit TV show "ER."

Call it not "AI" for intelligence but "Artificial Imagination."

>A behavioral pattern is apparent here, Dud. There are many parallels
>to both 'careers': You fail to reach your goal so you settle for less,
>then you lie and tell everyone that you -did- reach your goal. For
>example, you couldn't be a Marine so you joined the NG, then lied and
>told people that you were a Marine anyway. Another example: You can't
>get your EMT license so you settle for being an LPN and troll the
>roads with a scanner and emergency lights, then lie and tell people
>that you are an EMT. Same type of delusional behavior.
>
>And this same behavior is evident in your newsgroup postings, where
>you continue your barrage of grade-school rhetoric; which, of course,
>would be totally unnecessary if you -really had- "bloodied my nose".
>
>Looks like the only goal you -have- accomplished was getting your
>amateur license!

Given Dudly's propensity for unimaginative LYING, I'm beginning
to wonder if he really HAD achieved that...or he managed to talk
QRZ.COM into posting his bio data onto the real K4YZ's QRZ one?

Dudly represents "all that he can be in AMATEUR RADIO."

>> Now, your point?
>
>So did you use those bogus addresses for credit applications, too? I
>think a bankruptcy search might turn up some interesting results.....

Heh heh...this is beginning to take on aspects of a mystery
novel! :-)

>>>> Still no DD-214? Having problems finding someone skilled enough to
>>>> forge a copy for you?
>
>>> No forging needed. They're right here.
>
>>Right where?
>
>Well?

"Well" is too deep for that shallow person, Frank. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

794. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 02:08:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134007707.369277.321300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126614

From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed, Dec 7 2005 2:51 pm

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message

<snip>

>>> > Publicizing the exact Q&A makes the requirements lower because
>>> > the prospective ham knows exactly what will be on the test, down
>>> > to the exact wording, and the exact correct answers. Big difference
>>> > from secret tests!
>>>
>>> Yawn.... BUT publishing the questions was never proposed
>>> by ARRL. That being so, who in the FCC do you
>>> attribute the change to?
>>
>> Those who wanted to save money by getting FCC out of the
>> exam-giving process.
>
>So the reality is that no one in the ham community pushed that.
>I'll conclude then that anytime the FCC proposes a change
>even if not originated in the ham community, if you view it
>as a lowering of requirements then it is automatically bad
>per your opinion.

"That's about the size of it..."

<snip>

>>> > Someone would have to do this in a structured way, by downloading
>>> > the entire database at regular intervals (say once a month) and
>>> > analyzing it a la AH0A.
>>>
>>> ARRL is perfectly capable of that I'm sure.
>>
>> But somebody has to pay for it.

["it's all about money"? :-)]

>ARRL has more than enough ability to fund such a study or
>simply assign the task to one of the permanent ARRL staffers.

AH0A is hardly an objective analyzer...whatever he sees
is all about morse code... :-)

>> And you can bet that whatever
>> numbers ARRL puts out, some will say they are "massaged" and
>> accuse the ARRL of "fraud" and such.
>
>WHO cares? There is always someone that will take issue
>with any study conclusion, analysis, ets. If you expect
>a 100% agreed to set of review and analysis as the end
>result, tyhen yu're expecting the impossible.

The ARRL can do no wrong.

<snip>

>>> I could care less about those that might want to wait for
>>> changes they have no assurance are coming.
>>
>> But those changes have an enormous impact on the numbers.
>> That's the point, whether we care about it or not.
>
>The percent of people that might ultimately wait for "possible"
>(emphasis on possible as opposed to actual)
>future changes is, I suspect small. Odds are that there aren't
>many current techs waiting for future free upgrades nor
>where there likly many that shelved their upgrade plans
>when the ARRL first proposed free upgrades. (IMHO of
>course).

Morsemen are prescient, see all, know all. We cannot doubt
them. [they don't let us]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

795. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 02:12:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134007956.017874.143200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126615

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 7, 2:04 pm

>On 7 Dec 2005 09:13:30 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 7 Dec 2005 06:15:20 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>>> Hey Dud -- did I forget to mention that I'm licensed as an Extra?
>
>> OK.
>
>So you're taking my word that I'm a ham? How unlike you, Dud.

Heeheeheeheeheeheehee...Dud got trapped again!

The only licensed radio amateur with surname Gilliland in
Washington state is C. Frank Gilliland, N7VCF, Issaquah (in
King County). A Tech class, not Extra.

Few in the east know the northwest, but King County takes
in Seattle (in WEST Washington) and Issaquah is a suburb of
Seattle. Spokane, Washington, is in the EASTERN part, well
away from Puget Sound and Seattle.

It's almost too easy to entrap Dudly...! :-)

>> How does that change the fact that you were a twice disgraced,
>>lying, court-martialed, deceitful ex-Marine, Frankie?
>
>Despite the derogative adjectives, the fact remains that I -was- a
>Marine and you weren't.

...except in Dudly's imagination...where he is a bosom buddy
of Chesty. :-)

>You never did explain why your first marriage failed. Did she bail
>because of the debts you were ringing up on all those credit cards? Or
>did she just get tired of competing with your mommy for attention?

We will never know the REAL story from Dudly. :-)

Maybe "Iitoi," legend of the Tohono O'odham people, can get
the Great Spirit to explain it to us? :-)

Dudly is farther and farther into the Maze of that legend
and hasn't been able to get out yet.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

796. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 02:29:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134005443.204901.312380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126616

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> >>>As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
> >>>support that idea...but I think we need to approach
> >>>that concept slowly by the following path:

> >>>1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
> >>>2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
> >>> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
> >>> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
> >>>3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
> >>> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
> >
> >> Whatever. :-) First item is excellent.

I think it's awful, but that's not the point of this discussion. In
any event it's probably going to happen, good, bad or indifferent.

>>> Second, okay.
> >> Does there really need to be an "assessment" as in the
> >> third? What "assessments" were done in the past?

> >Assessment, review, whatever. I personally think the
> >current 3 level license structure does not reflect a
> >good starting path for new hams because Techs are
> >(a) only allowed VHF, yet they have (b) power
> >privileges for full 1500 watts.

Agreed, Bill. The Technician privs are, IMHO,
not optimum for an entry-level license.

> >My personal view is
> >to have a beginners license with a variety of HF
> >and VHF access and modes but with a limited power output
> >(say 200 watts or less).

Makes sense to me.
>
> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.

The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
steps. While some can and would do so, it's clearly not the
best way to do things.

> Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
> much like the traditional union concept of work with
> levels of apprentice-journeyman-master.

Not really. If a person can meet the requirements of the higher class licenses, they can go right to General or Extra. The apprentice-journeyman system doesn't allow that, except perhaps in extraordinary circumstances.

Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to Generals and Extras. While that number is small compared to those who start out as Technicians, it proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both upgrading steps.

> Amateur radio
> isn't a union nor a guild nor a craft.

Len, you're the only one who makes that comparison. Even if it's valid, it means nothing in terms of how many Amateur Radio license classes should exist.

> Differing
> levels/classes of license only reinforce the already-
> present class-distinction social divisions in U.S.
> amateur radio.

Not really. The license classes exist for two reasons:

1) To offer an easy way to get started in amateur radio

2) To offer an easier path to full privileges than would exist with a single license class that required the same knowledge

Anyone who can meet the requirements of the various license classes can earn them. There's no age requirement, no educational requirement other than ability to pass the test, no income requirement other than ability to pay the testing fees (which is sometimes waived by the VEs).

> It is a HOBBY,

And a lot more!

> a recreational pursuit
> done for enjoyment of radio, not on achieving some
> artifice of social standing.

It's also done for public service.

> Plenty of other
> organizations exist for social climbers looking for
> status and title.

In almost every human activity there are levels of
achievement and recognition for same. Radio is
no different in that respect than, say, golf, Scrabble,
model airplanes, or almost anything else.

> Operating a radio transmitter is, in reality, not a
> complex task

That depends on the transmitter. Some require a lot
of skill and knowledge, others do not. And there is
far more to amateur radio operation than "operating
a transmitter".

> nor is "amateur radio operation" some
> kind of mystical event, requiring perfect
> incantations to have some magic occur.

No magical incantations, although K0HB's idea of
sacrificing a goat in the antenna farm may have some merit ;-)

But many forms of amateur radio operation *do*
require unique, specialized skills and
knowledge that most nonamateurs have not developed.

Perhaps this skill and knowledge requirement is why
you have such a dislike of Morse Code, Len. Morse
Code operation in amateur radio usually involves
skilled operators.

> Unlicensed
> (in radio) public safety people routinely do that.
> Unlicensed (in radio) aircraft crew routinely do that.
> Unlicensed (in radio) business people routinely do
> that. Dozens of other examples are available where
> unlicensed-in-radio individuals routinely operate
> radio transmitters without some long "training"
> period of months or years in order to be "proper"
> operators in radio.

Except that's not the whole story.

The people you cite do not "operate radio transmitters"
in the same sense that radio amateurs do. They are, in
reality, radio *users*, not operators in the sense of
amateur radio operators. They are not required to have
much if any technical knowledge of their
radio equipment, nor does that equipment have any
technical adjustments. In fact the radios are usually
set up so that the only adjustments are on-off-volume,
channel select, and maybe squelch. In many cases the
latter two do not exist.

That they do not require radio operator licenses is proof of
that difference.

Nor do those radio users have much if any technical responsibility
for the radios they use. That responsibility is carried by
technical people who install, test and maintain the radios.
Of course the person who uses the radio may sometimes
also be the technical person, but that is not a requirement
of use.

On top of all that, the radio users cited above may not be
FCC licensed, but they are trained, tested and often certified in
proper radio procedures for the radios they use. For
example, licenses to pilot aircraft with radios require that
the licensee know and demonstrate proper aircraft radio
procedures. The pilot's license cannot be obtained without
such radio procedure knowledge.

Amateur radio is completely different. A radio amateur
is, by definition and regulation, both operationally and
technically responsible for his/her station. In the vast
majority of situations, the radio amateur sets up his/her station
and operates it without special formal training, testing or
certification other than the amateur radio license. So the
license tests must be more comprehensive than those for
services where the "operator" is really more of a user.

Typical amateur radio equipment - particularly HF/MF
equipment - has many technical adjustments and controls.
Skill and knowledge *are* required to operate such radios
to best advantage.

Amateurs are also authorized to design, build, repair, and
modify their equipment without any formal certification or
type-acceptance requirements other than the spurious-emission
rules in Part 97. Most other radio services do not have
anything like that sort of freedom.

Unlike almost all other radio services, amateur radio is
not formally channelized, particularly on HF/MF. And
unlike almost all other radio services, different modes
of transmission coexist on the same frequency bands.
For example, just between 7000 and 7300 kHz, one can
find amateurs using Morse Code, PSK31, RTTY, SSB,
AM, PACTOR, MFSK, SSTV, and a bunch of other modes,
without formal channelization and with only a few FCC
rules and voluntary bandplans to sort things out. Other
radio services are much more regimented, regulated, and
ordered so as to eliminate the need for user skill and
knowledge.

> I see absolutely no reason for
> amateur radio people engaging in a hobby to do that
> sort of thing...except to salve the egos of the long-
> "tenured" "senior" amateurs.

To do what sort of thing - have multiple license classes?
What's your alternative?

> >The current 3 licenses and privileges are the
> >result of piecepart change over time and the result has
> >some less than logical consequences regarding
> >privileges and entrance level testing when compared
> >to the Novice tests which we had for almost 50
> >years. YMMV.

Yet FCC says they think the 3 level system is the optimum
one. That's not just my opinion - it's clearly stated in the
NPRM.

> My odometer reads the same as yours on regulations'
> evolution of continuing piece-part changing. That is
> a consequence of radio politics, and NOT, in my view,
> of any "necessity" to have a layered system of
> classes for a hobby.

Would you have just one class of license?

> EM-space doesn't recognize
> "classes" OR human politics; electrons, fields, and
> waves are all unaffected by human regulations or
> emotion or "needs" to stratify standing within some
> "fellowship."

Would you prefer the chaos of unregulation? Or perhaps
much more regulation that would eliminate much of the
freedom and flexibility radio amateurs enjoy?

> The Novice class license is a failure in the long
> run.

For most of its history it was a great success in its original
purpose, which was to ease the path into amateur radio. It
was perhaps *the* best idea to come out of the restructuring of 1951.

If any license has been a failure at its original purpose, it is the
Technician. That license was created to encourage the development and
use of VHF/UHF after WW2, and not to be an entry-level license at all.
The original Technician license privileges were for 220 MHz and up. The
license was intended for technically-oriented folks who wanted to
tinker and build and experiment, and occasionally operate. Yet most
Technicians then and now are primarily communicators, not
builder/experimenters.

> While it might have been a good idea at the
> beginning for some to "get their feet wet" (in radio
> waters), it started off badly with the emotional
> baggage of its class title, "Novice."

Only to someone like you, who attach such emotional
baggage. "Novice" simply means "beginner" and is an

accurate name for a license class aimed at beginners.

Perhaps that emotional baggage is why you never
held a Novice license, Len. Perhaps you disliked being
known as a beginner.

> As viewed
> from afar, it served only to initiate the completely
> ferklempt with "proper" radiotelegraphy procedure
> and with the "proper" jargon (which had evolved in
> the particular activity of amateur radio)...not to
> mention having the "proper attitude" of worship and
> respect of "elders" (who thought they "ran" things).

That's just nonsense, Len.

After WW1 and before 1951, the only way to get started
in US amateur radio was to pass the Morse Code tests at
10 or 13 wpm (depending on whether the license was
earned before or after 1936) and to pass the Class B/C written
exam of about 50 questions that included essays, diagrams
and many other areas of knowledge. While people as young
as 9 years old managed to do that and earn the licenses, it
was a big investment of resources just to get started.

The Novice offered an easier entry point, with slower Morse
Code testing and a much simpler written exam - and much less
privileges. The idea was that it would be easier and better for
new hams to learn-by-doing.

But there was no requirement to start with a Novice. Anyone
who wanted to be a ham could start out with a Technician,
General or Conditional, same as the old Class B and C
licenses they replaced.

> That can work on typical teen-agers who have yet to
> experience more of life and the variety of humans
> who exist in the real world. It does not work well
> with adults.

Yet many adults started out as Novices.

> Longevity of a regulation such as "novice" or
> "beginner" or "entrant" in a field such as radio and
> communications that has constantly been evolving over
> the last half-century is not a logical necessity to
> keep those regulations.

Neither is it a reason to discard the concept. The details
may need changing but the concept is valid. It offers a way
for newcomers to get started in amateur radio without
having to make a large investment of resources.

> Time has shown that the
> newcomers have shunned the Novice class for decades;
> its class numbers are continuously decreasing.

No new Novices have been issued for 55 months - it's
no wonder they are decreasing.

One big reason the Novice lost favor as the entry point
for new hams was its lack of privileges on the most popular
VHF/UHF bands - 2 meters and 440, where most of the repeaters are.
Another reason was the reduction of the Technician written testing in
1987.
The difference in requirements of the two licenses was reduced while
the
difference in privileges was so great that many either skipped the
Novice
or spent only a short time before upgrading to Technician.

> Concentration on getting young newcomers into a hobby
> field seems driven more by some basic paternal drive to
> "guide and educate the kids."

If true, there's nothing wrong with that.

> Perhaps its a by-
> product of parenthood or a surrogate for that?

It's about the future of amateur radio, and keeping
the diversity. All good things.

> It is
> misplaced in a "community" whose active members are
> predominently adult.

No, it isn't misplaced at all. Including young people in
amateur radio is a *good* thing, not a problem.

Are saying we should *NOT* try to get more young
people into amateur radio? Why not?

> Children don't have the monetary
> base to build market sales which serve to benefit the
> adults.

You'd be suprised how much buying power the under-21
folks have!

And even if they don't have the "monetary base" - so what?
Everything isn't about money. When the children grow
older, they may have more money to spend on radio.

> Children don't have the experience to run
> events or keep organizations (predominently adult)
> together.

Very true - and amateur radio is one way for them
to see how events are run and organizations are
kept together. It can give them a view into the
world and help them learn. All good things.

> At best, the drive to "get youngsters
> interested" in a primarly-adult hobby seems to be
> little more than eyewash, using politically-correct
> psycho phrases.

Now you're just getting nasty, Len. What's your problem
with young people being hams, and with efforts to
recruit younger people?

The reason amateur radio is "primarily adult" is that young
people don't stay young for long. A radio amateur who is
licensed at the age of, say, 12 years and who continues as
a ham for the rest of his/her life will spend only a small
percent of their time as a "child ham".

One of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio Service
is education. That alone is a valid reason to recruit young people
to be radio amateurs, because the education they get from it
can be very substantial. Besides the purely technical side,
amateur radio can help teach geography, other languages,
time zones, government regulations, and much more.

> On the other hand, targeting an entrance drive for
> amateur radio to teenagers will tend to steer them
> away from their contemporaries' activities...those
> activities having evolved to fit that peer group and
> not necessarily that of adults.

Amateur Radio is for people of almost any age, not
just "adults". It is not an age-specific activity.

> It will serve to show
> those beginners that there is an unknown facet of the
> adult world ahead.

That's good! Amateur radio is one place where adults
and children can often interact as functional equals.

Young people do not need to be isolated from adults
and most adult activities. They need just the opposite -
inclusion and integration, so they learn to be part of
the community rather than alienated from it.

Of course there are a few activities that are not suitable
for young people, but amateur radio isn't one of them.

> It can also serve to alienate
> them from their own peer group by making them
> "different." That is a not-good thing among teen-
> agers who seek the stability of "their" group, a

> natural psychological need in that part of their life.

That's pure and utter nonsense.

Any activity has the capacity to make a young person "different". Those who are involved in Scouting are "different" from those who are not involved. Those who learn a musical instrument are "different" from those who don't. Those involved in sports are "different" from those who aren't. Etc.

One of the main purposes of childhood and adolescence is self-discovery. It is a good thing for young people to try various activities to see what they enjoy and are good at.

Most young people who play sports will never be able to play professionally. Most young people who learn a musical instrument will never be able to be professional musicians. Most young people who perform in school plays will not become actors. That doesn't mean the activities have no value, just that the vast majority will never go beyond the recreational level of those activities.

But amateur radio can be the path to a number of careers, like engineering.

> My own experience on "entering HF" were rather drastic
> in "apprenticeship" consisting only of a few days (at
> most). So were the 4 newcomers with me, none of us
> having been schooled on high-power HF transmitters.

But that's not the whole story, Len.

You all had at least a high school education, didn't you? All had passed various aptitude tests to become signalmen, didn't they?

You all went to microwave school, right? IIRC, that was at least six months of intensive training, and must have included a lot of radio theory and practice that wasn't specifically microwave technology - didn't it?

It wasn't like you and the others had no "radio-electronics" background at all, and had to start from scratch. While you may have not had specific "HF" training, was there no transfer from the training you did have?

> We were shown how to do it by more senior signalmen
> and we did it.

So you had experienced people to supervise, teach and guide everything you did, and make sure you did it right. You weren't on your own at all until the experienced people thought

you were ready - right?

What you did was all according to set procedures that had
been worked out carefully by trained and experienced people,
correct?

And you had all sorts of manuals, training materials, tools,
parts and test equipment to do the job - right?

> Those that did it wrong were shown
> why and had to practice getting it right. No re-
> criminations leveled, no "chewings out," no
> ostracizing.

All good stuff - but it all amounts to a considerable
training period, doesn't it? A lot more than a few days.

> We all learned and did our tasks

I'm sure you did - and there were incentives to do so!

> (some
> of which were considerably more complicated than any
> found in amateur radio operating).

Like what, Len? Compared to amateurs who have done
things like building and operating complete EME stations
on their own time, with only their own resources?

> So did those that
> came before us and those that came after us.

Right. As it should be.

Now I'll tell you about *my* experience on "entering HF".

I was one of those kids who was curious about all things
electrical, from a very early age. By the age of 10 I was
building simple receivers, and by the age of 12 I had
built a working HF receiver. Almost all that I knew about
radio came from various books because there were no radio
amateurs or electronics types in my family or immediate
neighborhood before I came along. None of this was covered in
school back then.

I still remember the look on my fifth grade teacher's face
when she caught me reading an electrical textbook in class.
She happened to open it to a page that showed diagrams of
three-phase transformer connections, which I explained.....

Priceless.

I soon realized that in certain areas I knew far more than
my teachers did, and that I couldn't expect much in those
areas from school.

Almost all the parts for my radios came from old TV and
BC receivers, or anything else electrical or electronic that
I could get my hands on.

Simple things like figuring out the inductance of a coil
required that I teach myself some basic algebra in order
to understand the formulas. Practical stuff like soldering
and metalwork I learned from the books and from trial-and-error.

And I learned Morse Code by listening to hams on the 80
meter band, and sending to myself with a home-made code
practice oscillator.

By age 13 I had earned the Novice license. My first transmitter
was home-made - simple but effective. I had almost no
test equipment and only a few tools - a lot of things were simply
guessed at and cut-and-tried until they worked right. For example,
I had to figure out how to match the transmitter output to my
random-wire antenna using a flashlight bulb as an RF indicator.

By age 14 I'd upgraded to Advanced, acquired a better transmitter,
receiver and antenna, and was filling up log books with QSOs.

All with *no* formal training in electricity, radio, electronics,
almost no money, and the usual obligations of schoolwork,
chores, family activities, etc. My folks' contribution to my
efforts was to allow me to do them if I got everything else
done first.

I wasn't any sort of prodigy or genius, just a motivated kid
interested in radio. There were and are many like me, some
even younger.

The point of this little personal history of mine is to illustrate
how different the environment and resources of most new
amateur radio operators are. While few start out the way I did,
most are essentially working on their own, without formal
training in radio, yet with the usual obligations of life. And their
amateur radio activities are all self-funded.

A completely different environment than what you described for
yourself.

> I can draw a parallel to the activities of infantry,
> armor, and artillery soldiers who had to learn how to
> operate radios necessary for military communications.
> They did it by the thousands upon thousands of soldiers,
> nearly all of them inexperienced in using any radio other
> than a broadcast receiver before their service. Those
> that say "they only push the button and talk" are doing
> them an extreme disservice since there is considerably
> more to do than that.

How much more? All the military radios I've seen that are/were
meant to be used by "line outfits" were made as simple to operate
as possible. That paradigm goes all the way back to the WW2
BC-611 "walkie talkie".

> Radio training for line outfits
> is abbreviated to, at most, a couple weeks with most of
> that being branch-specific procedural matters.

A couple *weeks* of intense formal training!

> Now,
> if they can all do that successfully in a short time,
> it makes no logical sense to have class stratification
> of being held in one class for a year or more.

The environments are completely different, Len. Most
radio amateurs are essentially self-taught, in their spare
time, using their own resources. What they could learn
in a week or two of intense formal training might take a
month to a year of part-time self-study.

More important, the only experience requirements for
amateur licenses in the USA disappeared 30+ years ago.
Only the Advanced and Extra ever had such a requirement,
and the Advanced's 1 year requirement disappeared in 1953,
while the Extra's 2 year requirement was cut to 1 year in the
early 1970s and then eliminated about 1975.

I think the entry-level license for a US ham license could be
a lot better than the current Technician. What K2UNK proposes
is a good starting point. But it's an uphill road with FCC because
the NPRM clearly states that FCC doesn't see it that way.

797. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 02:42:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134005164.575737.245550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126617

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> >>>As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
> >>>support that idea...but I think we need to approach
> >>>that concept slowly by the following path:

> >>>1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
> >>>2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
> >>> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
> >>> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
> >>>3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
> >>> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
> >
> >> Whatever. :-) First item is excellent.

I think it's awful, but that's not the point of this discussion. In
any event it's probably going to happen, good, bad or indifferent.

>>> Second, okay.
> >> Does there really need to be an "assessment" as in the
> >> third? What "assessments" were done in the past?

> >Assessment, review, whatever. I personally think the
> >current 3 level license structure does not reflect a
> >good starting path for new hams because Techs are
> >(a) only allowed VHF, yet they have (b) power
> >privileges for full 1500 watts.

Agreed, Bill. The Technician privs are, IMHO,
not optimum for an entry-level license.

> >My personal view is
> >to have a beginners license with a variety of HF
> >and VHF access and modes but with a limited power output
> >(say 200 watts or less).

Makes sense to me.
>
> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.

The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
steps. While some can and would do so, it's clearly not the
best way to do things.

> Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
> much like the traditional union concept of work with
> levels of apprentice-journeyman-master.

Not really. If a person can meet the requirements of the higher class licenses, they can go right to General or Extra. The apprentice-journeyman system doesn't allow that, except perhaps in extraordinary circumstances.

Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to Generals and Extras. While that number is small compared to those who start out as Technicians, it proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both upgrading steps.

> Amateur radio
> isn't a union nor a guild nor a craft.

Len, you're the only one who makes that comparison. Even if it's valid, it means nothing in terms of how many Amateur Radio license classes should exist.

> Differing
> levels/classes of license only reinforce the already-
> present class-distinction social divisions in U.S.
> amateur radio.

Not really. The license classes exist for two reasons:

1) To offer an easy way to get started in amateur radio

2) To offer an easier path to full privileges than would exist with a single license class that required the same knowledge

Anyone who can meet the requirements of the various license classes can earn them. There's no age requirement, no educational requirement other than ability to pass the test, no income requirement other than ability to pay the testing fees (which is sometimes waived by the VEs).

> It is a HOBBY,

And a lot more!

> a recreational pursuit
> done for enjoyment of radio, not on achieving some
> artifice of social standing.

It's also done for public service.

> Plenty of other
> organizations exist for social climbers looking for
> status and title.

In almost every human activity there are levels of
achievement and recognition for same. Radio is
no different in that respect than, say, golf, Scrabble,
model airplanes, or almost anything else.

> Operating a radio transmitter is, in reality, not a
> complex task

That depends on the transmitter. Some require a lot
of skill and knowledge, others do not. And there is
far more to amateur radio operation than "operating
a transmitter".

> nor is "amateur radio operation" some
> kind of mystical event, requiring perfect
> incantations to have some magic occur.

No magical incantations, although K0HB's idea of
sacrificing a goat in the antenna farm may have some merit ;-)

But many forms of amateur radio operation *do*
require unique, specialized skills and
knowledge that most nonamateurs have not developed.

Perhaps this skill and knowledge requirement is why
you have such a dislike of Morse Code, Len. Morse
Code operation in amateur radio usually involves
skilled operators.

> Unlicensed
> (in radio) public safety people routinely do that.
> Unlicensed (in radio) aircraft crew routinely do that.
> Unlicensed (in radio) business people routinely do
> that. Dozens of other examples are available where
> unlicensed-in-radio individuals routinely operate
> radio transmitters without some long "training"
> period of months or years in order to be "proper"
> operators in radio.

Except that's not the whole story.

The people you cite do not "operate radio transmitters"
in the same sense that radio amateurs do. They are, in
reality, radio *users*, not operators in the sense of
amateur radio operators. They are not required to have
much if any technical knowledge of their
radio equipment, nor does that equipment have any
technical adjustments. In fact the radios are usually
set up so that the only adjustments are on-off-volume,
channel select, and maybe squelch. In many cases the
latter two do not exist.

That they do not require radio operator licenses is proof of
that difference.

Nor do those radio users have much if any technical responsibility
for the radios they use. That responsibility is carried by
technical people who install, test and maintain the radios.
Of course the person who uses the radio may sometimes
also be the technical person, but that is not a requirement
of use.

On top of all that, the radio users cited above may not be
FCC licensed, but they are trained, tested and often certified in
proper radio procedures for the radios they use. For
example, licenses to pilot aircraft with radios require that
the licensee know and demonstrate proper aircraft radio
procedures. The pilot's license cannot be obtained without
such radio procedure knowledge.

Amateur radio is completely different. A radio amateur
is, by definition and regulation, both operationally and
technically responsible for his/her station. In the vast
majority of situations, the radio amateur sets up his/her station
and operates it without special formal training, testing or
certification other than the amateur radio license. So the
license tests must be more comprehensive than those for
services where the "operator" is really more of a user.

Typical amateur radio equipment - particularly HF/MF
equipment - has many technical adjustments and controls.
Skill and knowledge *are* required to operate such radios
to best advantage.

Amateurs are also authorized to design, build, repair, and
modify their equipment without any formal certification or
type-acceptance requirements other than the spurious-emission
rules in Part 97. Most other radio services do not have
anything like that sort of freedom.

Unlike almost all other radio services, amateur radio is
not formally channelized, particularly on HF/MF. And
unlike almost all other radio services, different modes
of transmission coexist on the same frequency bands.
For example, just between 7000 and 7300 kHz, one can
find amateurs using Morse Code, PSK31, RTTY, SSB,
AM, PACTOR, MFSK, SSTV, and a bunch of other modes,
without formal channelization and with only a few FCC
rules and voluntary bandplans to sort things out. Other
radio services are much more regimented, regulated, and
ordered so as to eliminate the need for user skill and
knowledge.

> I see absolutely no reason for
> amateur radio people engaging in a hobby to do that
> sort of thing...except to salve the egos of the long-
> "tenured" "senior" amateurs.

To do what sort of thing - have multiple license classes?
What's your alternative?

> >The current 3 licenses and privileges are the
> >result of piecepart change over time and the result has
> >some less than logical consequences regarding
> >privileges and entrance level testing when compared
> >to the Novice tests which we had for almost 50
> >years. YMMV.

Yet FCC says they think the 3 level system is the optimum
one. That's not just my opinion - it's clearly stated in the
NPRM.

> My odometer reads the same as yours on regulations'
> evolution of continuing piece-part changing. That is
> a consequence of radio politics, and NOT, in my view,
> of any "necessity" to have a layered system of
> classes for a hobby.

Would you have just one class of license?

> EM-space doesn't recognize
> "classes" OR human politics; electrons, fields, and
> waves are all unaffected by human regulations or
> emotion or "needs" to stratify standing within some
> "fellowship."

Would you prefer the chaos of unregulation? Or perhaps
much more regulation that would eliminate much of the
freedom and flexibility radio amateurs enjoy?

> The Novice class license is a failure in the long
> run.

For most of its history it was a great success in its original
purpose, which was to ease the path into amateur radio. It
was perhaps *the* best idea to come out of the restructuring of 1951.

If any license has been a failure at its original purpose, it is the
Technician. That license was created to encourage the development and
use of VHF/UHF after WW2, and not to be an entry-level license at all.
The original Technician license privileges were for 220 MHz and up. The
license was intended for technically-oriented folks who wanted to
tinker and build and experiment, and occasionally operate. Yet most
Technicians then and now are primarily communicators, not
builder/experimenters.

> While it might have been a good idea at the
> beginning for some to "get their feet wet" (in radio
> waters), it started off badly with the emotional
> baggage of its class title, "Novice."

Only to someone like you, who attach such emotional
baggage. "Novice" simply means "beginner" and is an

accurate name for a license class aimed at beginners.

Perhaps that emotional baggage is why you never
held a Novice license, Len. Perhaps you disliked being
known as a beginner.

> As viewed
> from afar, it served only to initiate the completely
> ferklempt with "proper" radiotelegraphy procedure
> and with the "proper" jargon (which had evolved in
> the particular activity of amateur radio)...not to
> mention having the "proper attitude" of worship and
> respect of "elders" (who thought they "ran" things).

That's just nonsense, Len.

After WW1 and before 1951, the only way to get started
in US amateur radio was to pass the Morse Code tests at
10 or 13 wpm (depending on whether the license was
earned before or after 1936) and to pass the Class B/C written
exam of about 50 questions that included essays, diagrams
and many other areas of knowledge. While people as young
as 9 years old managed to do that and earn the licenses, it
was a big investment of resources just to get started.

The Novice offered an easier entry point, with slower Morse
Code testing and a much simpler written exam - and much less
privileges. The idea was that it would be easier and better for
new hams to learn-by-doing.

But there was no requirement to start with a Novice. Anyone
who wanted to be a ham could start out with a Technician,
General or Conditional, same as the old Class B and C
licenses they replaced.

> That can work on typical teen-agers who have yet to
> experience more of life and the variety of humans
> who exist in the real world. It does not work well
> with adults.

Yet many adults started out as Novices.

> Longevity of a regulation such as "novice" or
> "beginner" or "entrant" in a field such as radio and
> communications that has constantly been evolving over
> the last half-century is not a logical necessity to
> keep those regulations.

Neither is it a reason to discard the concept. The details
may need changing but the concept is valid. It offers a way
for newcomers to get started in amateur radio without
having to make a large investment of resources.

> Time has shown that the
> newcomers have shunned the Novice class for decades;
> its class numbers are continuously decreasing.

No new Novices have been issued for 55 months - it's
no wonder they are decreasing.

One big reason the Novice lost favor as the entry point
for new hams was its lack of privileges on the most popular
VHF/UHF bands - 2 meters and 440, where most of the repeaters are.
Another reason was the reduction of the Technician written testing in
1987.
The difference in requirements of the two licenses was reduced while
the
difference in privileges was so great that many either skipped the
Novice
or spent only a short time before upgrading to Technician.

> Concentration on getting young newcomers into a hobby
> field seems driven more by some basic paternal drive to
> "guide and educate the kids."

If true, there's nothing wrong with that.

> Perhaps its a by-
> product of parenthood or a surrogate for that?

It's about the future of amateur radio, and keeping
the diversity. All good things.

> It is
> misplaced in a "community" whose active members are
> predominently adult.

No, it isn't misplaced at all. Including young people in
amateur radio is a *good* thing, not a problem.

Are saying we should *NOT* try to get more young
people into amateur radio? Why not?

> Children don't have the monetary
> base to build market sales which serve to benefit the
> adults.

You'd be suprised how much buying power the under-21
folks have!

And even if they don't have the "monetary base" - so what?
Everything isn't about money. When the children grow
older, they may have more money to spend on radio.

> Children don't have the experience to run
> events or keep organizations (predominently adult)
> together.

Very true - and amateur radio is one way for them
to see how events are run and organizations are
kept together. It can give them a view into the
world and help them learn. All good things.

> At best, the drive to "get youngsters
> interested" in a primarly-adult hobby seems to be
> little more than eyewash, using politically-correct
> psycho phrases.

Now you're just getting nasty, Len. What's your problem
with young people being hams, and with efforts to
recruit younger people?

The reason amateur radio is "primarily adult" is that young
people don't stay young for long. A radio amateur who is
licensed at the age of, say, 12 years and who continues as
a ham for the rest of his/her life will spend only a small
percent of their time as a "child ham".

One of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio Service
is education. That alone is a valid reason to recruit young people
to be radio amateurs, because the education they get from it
can be very substantial. Besides the purely technical side,
amateur radio can help teach geography, other languages,
time zones, government regulations, and much more.

> On the other hand, targeting an entrance drive for
> amateur radio to teenagers will tend to steer them
> away from their contemporaries' activities...those
> activities having evolved to fit that peer group and
> not necessarily that of adults.

Amateur Radio is for people of almost any age, not
just "adults". It is not an age-specific activity.

> It will serve to show
> those beginners that there is an unknown facet of the
> adult world ahead.

That's good! Amateur radio is one place where adults
and children can often interact as functional equals.

Young people do not need to be isolated from adults
and most adult activities. They need just the opposite -
inclusion and integration, so they learn to be part of
the community rather than alienated from it.

Of course there are a few activities that are not suitable
for young people, but amateur radio isn't one of them.

> It can also serve to alienate
> them from their own peer group by making them
> "different." That is a not-good thing among teen-
> agers who seek the stability of "their" group, a

> natural psychological need in that part of their life.

That's pure and utter nonsense.

Any activity has the capacity to make a young person "different". Those who are involved in Scouting are "different" from those who are not involved. Those who learn a musical instrument are "different" from those who don't. Those involved in sports are "different" from those who aren't. Etc.

One of the main purposes of childhood and adolescence is self-discovery. It is a good thing for young people to try various activities to see what they enjoy and are good at.

Most young people who play sports will never be able to play professionally. Most young people who learn a musical instrument will never be able to be professional musicians. Most young people who perform in school plays will not become actors. That doesn't mean the activities have no value, just that the vast majority will never go beyond the recreational level of those activities.

But amateur radio can be the path to a number of careers, like engineering.

> My own experience on "entering HF" were rather drastic
> in "apprenticeship" consisting only of a few days (at
> most). So were the 4 newcomers with me, none of us
> having been schooled on high-power HF transmitters.

But that's not the whole story, Len.

You all had at least a high school education, didn't you? All had passed various aptitude tests to become signalmen, didn't they?

You all went to microwave school, right? IIRC, that was at least six months of intensive training, and must have included a lot of radio theory and practice that wasn't specifically microwave technology - didn't it?

It wasn't like you and the others had no "radio-electronics" background at all, and had to start from scratch. While you may have not had specific "HF" training, was there no transfer from the training you did have?

> We were shown how to do it by more senior signalmen
> and we did it.

So you had experienced people to supervise, teach and guide everything you did, and make sure you did it right. You weren't on your own at all until the experienced people thought

you were ready - right?

What you did was all according to set procedures that had
been worked out carefully by trained and experienced people,
correct?

And you had all sorts of manuals, training materials, tools,
parts and test equipment to do the job - right?

> Those that did it wrong were shown
> why and had to practice getting it right. No re-
> criminations leveled, no "chewings out," no
> ostracizing.

All good stuff - but it all amounts to a considerable
training period, doesn't it? A lot more than a few days.

> We all learned and did our tasks

I'm sure you did - and there were incentives to do so!

> (some
> of which were considerably more complicated than any
> found in amateur radio operating).

Like what, Len? Compared to amateurs who have done
things like building and operating complete EME stations
on their own time, with only their own resources?

> So did those that
> came before us and those that came after us.

Right. As it should be.

Now I'll tell you about *my* experience on "entering HF".

I was one of those kids who was curious about all things
electrical, from a very early age. By the age of 10 I was
building simple receivers, and by the age of 12 I had
built a working HF receiver. Almost all that I knew about
radio came from various books because there were no radio
amateurs or electronics types in my family or immediate
neighborhood before I came along. None of this was covered in
school back then.

I still remember the look on my fifth grade teacher's face
when she caught me reading an electrical textbook in class.
She happened to open it to a page that showed diagrams of
three-phase transformer connections, which I explained.....

Priceless.

I soon realized that in certain areas I knew far more than
my teachers did, and that I couldn't expect much in those
areas from school.

Almost all the parts for my radios came from old TV and
BC receivers, or anything else electrical or electronic that
I could get my hands on.

Simple things like figuring out the inductance of a coil
required that I teach myself some basic algebra in order
to understand the formulas. Practical stuff like soldering
and metalwork I learned from the books and from trial-and-error.

And I learned Morse Code by listening to hams on the 80
meter band, and sending to myself with a home-made code
practice oscillator.

By age 13 I had earned the Novice license. My first transmitter
was home-made - simple but effective. I had almost no
test equipment and only a few tools - a lot of things were simply
guessed at and cut-and-tried until they worked right. For example,
I had to figure out how to match the transmitter output to my
random-wire antenna using a flashlight bulb as an RF indicator.

By age 14 I'd upgraded to Advanced, acquired a better transmitter,
receiver and antenna, and was filling up log books with QSOs.

All with *no* formal training in electricity, radio, electronics,
almost no money, and the usual obligations of schoolwork,
chores, family activities, etc. My folks' contribution to my
efforts was to allow me to do them if I got everything else
done first.

I wasn't any sort of prodigy or genius, just a motivated kid
interested in radio. There were and are many like me, some
even younger.

The point of this little personal history of mine is to illustrate
how different the environment and resources of most new
amateur radio operators are. While few start out the way I did,
most are essentially working on their own, without formal
training in radio, yet with the usual obligations of life. And their
amateur radio activities are all self-funded.

A completely different environment than what you described for
yourself.

> I can draw a parallel to the activities of infantry,
> armor, and artillery soldiers who had to learn how to
> operate radios necessary for military communications.
> They did it by the thousands upon thousands of soldiers,
> nearly all of them inexperienced in using any radio other
> than a broadcast receiver before their service. Those
> that say "they only push the button and talk" are doing
> them an extreme disservice since there is considerably
> more to do than that.

How much more? All the military radios I've seen that are/were
meant to be used by "line outfits" were made as simple to operate
as possible. That paradigm goes all the way back to the WW2
BC-611 "walkie talkie".

> Radio training for line outfits
> is abbreviated to, at most, a couple weeks with most of
> that being branch-specific procedural matters.

A couple *weeks* of intense formal training!

> Now,
> if they can all do that successfully in a short time,
> it makes no logical sense to have class stratification
> of being held in one class for a year or more.

The environments are completely different, Len. Most
radio amateurs are essentially self-taught, in their spare
time, using their own resources. What they could learn
in a week or two of intense formal training might take a
month to a year of part-time self-study.

More important, the only experience requirements for
amateur licenses in the USA disappeared 30+ years ago.
Only the Advanced and Extra ever had such a requirement,
and the Advanced's 1 year requirement disappeared in 1953,
while the Extra's 2 year requirement was cut to 1 year in the
early 1970s and then eliminated about 1975.

I think the entry-level license for a US ham license could be
a lot better than the current Technician. What K2UNK proposes
is a good starting point. But it's an uphill road with FCC because
the NPRM clearly states that FCC doesn't see it that way.

798. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 02:44:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134005255.751599.123480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126618

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> >>>As to a new beginners license, I (me alone) would
> >>>support that idea...but I think we need to approach
> >>>that concept slowly by the following path:

> >>>1. FCC drops code test as currently proposed
> >>>2. The ham community (ARRL, etc) monitors closely
> >>> the entrance/addition of new (i.e. never before) hams
> >>> and upgrades of existing hams for at least a couple of years.
> >>>3.After two years, we assess if any problem exists
> >>> regarding the ability to gain new hams.
> >
> >> Whatever. :-) First item is excellent.

I think it's awful, but that's not the point of this discussion. In
any event it's probably going to happen, good, bad or indifferent.

>>> Second, okay.
> >> Does there really need to be an "assessment" as in the
> >> third? What "assessments" were done in the past?

> >Assessment, review, whatever. I personally think the
> >current 3 level license structure does not reflect a
> >good starting path for new hams because Techs are
> >(a) only allowed VHF, yet they have (b) power
> >privileges for full 1500 watts.

Agreed, Bill. The Technician privs are, IMHO,
not optimum for an entry-level license.

> >My personal view is
> >to have a beginners license with a variety of HF
> >and VHF access and modes but with a limited power output
> >(say 200 watts or less).

Makes sense to me.
>
> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.

The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
steps. While some can and would do so, it's clearly not the
best way to do things.

> Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
> much like the traditional union concept of work with
> levels of apprentice-journeyman-master.

Not really. If a person can meet the requirements of the higher class licenses, they can go right to General or Extra. The apprentice-journeyman system doesn't allow that, except perhaps in extraordinary circumstances.

Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to Generals and Extras. While that number is small compared to those who start out as Technicians, it proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both upgrading steps.

> Amateur radio
> isn't a union nor a guild nor a craft.

Len, you're the only one who makes that comparison. Even if it's valid, it means nothing in terms of how many Amateur Radio license classes should exist.

> Differing
> levels/classes of license only reinforce the already-
> present class-distinction social divisions in U.S.
> amateur radio.

Not really. The license classes exist for two reasons:

1) To offer an easy way to get started in amateur radio

2) To offer an easier path to full privileges than would exist with a single license class that required the same knowledge

Anyone who can meet the requirements of the various license classes can earn them. There's no age requirement, no educational requirement other than ability to pass the test, no income requirement other than ability to pay the testing fees (which is sometimes waived by the VEs).

> It is a HOBBY,

And a lot more!

> a recreational pursuit
> done for enjoyment of radio, not on achieving some
> artifice of social standing.

It's also done for public service.

> Plenty of other
> organizations exist for social climbers looking for
> status and title.

In almost every human activity there are levels of
achievement and recognition for same. Radio is
no different in that respect than, say, golf, Scrabble,
model airplanes, or almost anything else.

> Operating a radio transmitter is, in reality, not a
> complex task

That depends on the transmitter. Some require a lot
of skill and knowledge, others do not. And there is
far more to amateur radio operation than "operating
a transmitter".

> nor is "amateur radio operation" some
> kind of mystical event, requiring perfect
> incantations to have some magic occur.

No magical incantations, although K0HB's idea of
sacrificing a goat in the antenna farm may have some merit ;-)

But many forms of amateur radio operation *do*
require unique, specialized skills and
knowledge that most nonamateurs have not developed.

Perhaps this skill and knowledge requirement is why
you have such a dislike of Morse Code, Len. Morse
Code operation in amateur radio usually involves
skilled operators.

> Unlicensed
> (in radio) public safety people routinely do that.
> Unlicensed (in radio) aircraft crew routinely do that.
> Unlicensed (in radio) business people routinely do
> that. Dozens of other examples are available where
> unlicensed-in-radio individuals routinely operate
> radio transmitters without some long "training"
> period of months or years in order to be "proper"
> operators in radio.

Except that's not the whole story.

The people you cite do not "operate radio transmitters"
in the same sense that radio amateurs do. They are, in
reality, radio *users*, not operators in the sense of
amateur radio operators. They are not required to have
much if any technical knowledge of their
radio equipment, nor does that equipment have any
technical adjustments. In fact the radios are usually
set up so that the only adjustments are on-off-volume,
channel select, and maybe squelch. In many cases the
latter two do not exist.

That they do not require radio operator licenses is proof of
that difference.

Nor do those radio users have much if any technical responsibility
for the radios they use. That responsibility is carried by
technical people who install, test and maintain the radios.
Of course the person who uses the radio may sometimes
also be the technical person, but that is not a requirement
of use.

On top of all that, the radio users cited above may not be
FCC licensed, but they are trained, tested and often certified in
proper radio procedures for the radios they use. For
example, licenses to pilot aircraft with radios require that
the licensee know and demonstrate proper aircraft radio
procedures. The pilot's license cannot be obtained without
such radio procedure knowledge.

Amateur radio is completely different. A radio amateur
is, by definition and regulation, both operationally and
technically responsible for his/her station. In the vast
majority of situations, the radio amateur sets up his/her station
and operates it without special formal training, testing or
certification other than the amateur radio license. So the
license tests must be more comprehensive than those for
services where the "operator" is really more of a user.

Typical amateur radio equipment - particularly HF/MF
equipment - has many technical adjustments and controls.
Skill and knowledge *are* required to operate such radios
to best advantage.

Amateurs are also authorized to design, build, repair, and
modify their equipment without any formal certification or
type-acceptance requirements other than the spurious-emission
rules in Part 97. Most other radio services do not have
anything like that sort of freedom.

Unlike almost all other radio services, amateur radio is
not formally channelized, particularly on HF/MF. And
unlike almost all other radio services, different modes
of transmission coexist on the same frequency bands.
For example, just between 7000 and 7300 kHz, one can
find amateurs using Morse Code, PSK31, RTTY, SSB,
AM, PACTOR, MFSK, SSTV, and a bunch of other modes,
without formal channelization and with only a few FCC
rules and voluntary bandplans to sort things out. Other
radio services are much more regimented, regulated, and
ordered so as to eliminate the need for user skill and
knowledge.

> I see absolutely no reason for
> amateur radio people engaging in a hobby to do that
> sort of thing...except to salve the egos of the long-
> "tenured" "senior" amateurs.

To do what sort of thing - have multiple license classes?
What's your alternative?

> >The current 3 licenses and privileges are the
> >result of piecepart change over time and the result has
> >some less than logical consequences regarding
> >privileges and entrance level testing when compared
> >to the Novice tests which we had for almost 50
> >years. YMMV.

Yet FCC says they think the 3 level system is the optimum
one. That's not just my opinion - it's clearly stated in the
NPRM.

> My odometer reads the same as yours on regulations'
> evolution of continuing piece-part changing. That is
> a consequence of radio politics, and NOT, in my view,
> of any "necessity" to have a layered system of
> classes for a hobby.

Would you have just one class of license?

> EM-space doesn't recognize
> "classes" OR human politics; electrons, fields, and
> waves are all unaffected by human regulations or
> emotion or "needs" to stratify standing within some
> "fellowship."

Would you prefer the chaos of unregulation? Or perhaps
much more regulation that would eliminate much of the
freedom and flexibility radio amateurs enjoy?

> The Novice class license is a failure in the long
> run.

For most of its history it was a great success in its original
purpose, which was to ease the path into amateur radio. It
was perhaps *the* best idea to come out of the restructuring of 1951.

If any license has been a failure at its original purpose, it is the
Technician. That license was created to encourage the development and
use of VHF/UHF after WW2, and not to be an entry-level license at all.
The original Technician license privileges were for 220 MHz and up. The
license was intended for technically-oriented folks who wanted to
tinker and build and experiment, and occasionally operate. Yet most
Technicians then and now are primarily communicators, not
builder/experimenters.

> While it might have been a good idea at the
> beginning for some to "get their feet wet" (in radio
> waters), it started off badly with the emotional
> baggage of its class title, "Novice."

Only to someone like you, who attach such emotional
baggage. "Novice" simply means "beginner" and is an

accurate name for a license class aimed at beginners.

Perhaps that emotional baggage is why you never
held a Novice license, Len. Perhaps you disliked being
known as a beginner.

> As viewed
> from afar, it served only to initiate the completely
> ferklempt with "proper" radiotelegraphy procedure
> and with the "proper" jargon (which had evolved in
> the particular activity of amateur radio)...not to
> mention having the "proper attitude" of worship and
> respect of "elders" (who thought they "ran" things).

That's just nonsense, Len.

After WW1 and before 1951, the only way to get started
in US amateur radio was to pass the Morse Code tests at
10 or 13 wpm (depending on whether the license was
earned before or after 1936) and to pass the Class B/C written
exam of about 50 questions that included essays, diagrams
and many other areas of knowledge. While people as young
as 9 years old managed to do that and earn the licenses, it
was a big investment of resources just to get started.

The Novice offered an easier entry point, with slower Morse
Code testing and a much simpler written exam - and much less
privileges. The idea was that it would be easier and better for
new hams to learn-by-doing.

But there was no requirement to start with a Novice. Anyone
who wanted to be a ham could start out with a Technician,
General or Conditional, same as the old Class B and C
licenses they replaced.

> That can work on typical teen-agers who have yet to
> experience more of life and the variety of humans
> who exist in the real world. It does not work well
> with adults.

Yet many adults started out as Novices.

> Longevity of a regulation such as "novice" or
> "beginner" or "entrant" in a field such as radio and
> communications that has constantly been evolving over
> the last half-century is not a logical necessity to
> keep those regulations.

Neither is it a reason to discard the concept. The details
may need changing but the concept is valid. It offers a way
for newcomers to get started in amateur radio without
having to make a large investment of resources.

> Time has shown that the
> newcomers have shunned the Novice class for decades;
> its class numbers are continuously decreasing.

No new Novices have been issued for 55 months - it's
no wonder they are decreasing.

One big reason the Novice lost favor as the entry point
for new hams was its lack of privileges on the most popular
VHF/UHF bands - 2 meters and 440, where most of the repeaters are.
Another reason was the reduction of the Technician written testing in
1987.
The difference in requirements of the two licenses was reduced while
the
difference in privileges was so great that many either skipped the
Novice
or spent only a short time before upgrading to Technician.

> Concentration on getting young newcomers into a hobby
> field seems driven more by some basic paternal drive to
> "guide and educate the kids."

If true, there's nothing wrong with that.

> Perhaps its a by-
> product of parenthood or a surrogate for that?

It's about the future of amateur radio, and keeping
the diversity. All good things.

> It is
> misplaced in a "community" whose active members are
> predominently adult.

No, it isn't misplaced at all. Including young people in
amateur radio is a *good* thing, not a problem.

Are saying we should *NOT* try to get more young
people into amateur radio? Why not?

> Children don't have the monetary
> base to build market sales which serve to benefit the
> adults.

You'd be suprised how much buying power the under-21
folks have!

And even if they don't have the "monetary base" - so what?
Everything isn't about money. When the children grow
older, they may have more money to spend on radio.

> Children don't have the experience to run
> events or keep organizations (predominently adult)
> together.

Very true - and amateur radio is one way for them
to see how events are run and organizations are
kept together. It can give them a view into the
world and help them learn. All good things.

> At best, the drive to "get youngsters
> interested" in a primarly-adult hobby seems to be
> little more than eyewash, using politically-correct
> psycho phrases.

Now you're just getting nasty, Len. What's your problem
with young people being hams, and with efforts to
recruit younger people?

The reason amateur radio is "primarily adult" is that young
people don't stay young for long. A radio amateur who is
licensed at the age of, say, 12 years and who continues as
a ham for the rest of his/her life will spend only a small
percent of their time as a "child ham".

One of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio Service
is education. That alone is a valid reason to recruit young people
to be radio amateurs, because the education they get from it
can be very substantial. Besides the purely technical side,
amateur radio can help teach geography, other languages,
time zones, government regulations, and much more.

> On the other hand, targeting an entrance drive for
> amateur radio to teenagers will tend to steer them
> away from their contemporaries' activities...those
> activities having evolved to fit that peer group and
> not necessarily that of adults.

Amateur Radio is for people of almost any age, not
just "adults". It is not an age-specific activity.

> It will serve to show
> those beginners that there is an unknown facet of the
> adult world ahead.

That's good! Amateur radio is one place where adults
and children can often interact as functional equals.

Young people do not need to be isolated from adults
and most adult activities. They need just the opposite -
inclusion and integration, so they learn to be part of
the community rather than alienated from it.

Of course there are a few activities that are not suitable
for young people, but amateur radio isn't one of them.

> It can also serve to alienate
> them from their own peer group by making them
> "different." That is a not-good thing among teen-
> agers who seek the stability of "their" group, a

> natural psychological need in that part of their life.

That's pure and utter nonsense.

Any activity has the capacity to make a young person "different". Those who are involved in Scouting are "different" from those who are not involved. Those who learn a musical instrument are "different" from those who don't. Those involved in sports are "different" from those who aren't. Etc.

One of the main purposes of childhood and adolescence is self-discovery. It is a good thing for young people to try various activities to see what they enjoy and are good at.

Most young people who play sports will never be able to play professionally. Most young people who learn a musical instrument will never be able to be professional musicians. Most young people who perform in school plays will not become actors. That doesn't mean the activities have no value, just that the vast majority will never go beyond the recreational level of those activities.

But amateur radio can be the path to a number of careers, like engineering.

> My own experience on "entering HF" were rather drastic
> in "apprenticeship" consisting only of a few days (at
> most). So were the 4 newcomers with me, none of us
> having been schooled on high-power HF transmitters.

But that's not the whole story, Len.

You all had at least a high school education, didn't you? All had passed various aptitude tests to become signalmen, didn't they?

You all went to microwave school, right? IIRC, that was at least six months of intensive training, and must have included a lot of radio theory and practice that wasn't specifically microwave technology - didn't it?

It wasn't like you and the others had no "radio-electronics" background at all, and had to start from scratch. While you may have not had specific "HF" training, was there no transfer from the training you did have?

> We were shown how to do it by more senior signalmen
> and we did it.

So you had experienced people to supervise, teach and guide everything you did, and make sure you did it right. You weren't on your own at all until the experienced people thought

you were ready - right?

What you did was all according to set procedures that had
been worked out carefully by trained and experienced people,
correct?

And you had all sorts of manuals, training materials, tools,
parts and test equipment to do the job - right?

> Those that did it wrong were shown
> why and had to practice getting it right. No re-
> criminations leveled, no "chewings out," no
> ostracizing.

All good stuff - but it all amounts to a considerable
training period, doesn't it? A lot more than a few days.

> We all learned and did our tasks

I'm sure you did - and there were incentives to do so!

> (some
> of which were considerably more complicated than any
> found in amateur radio operating).

Like what, Len? Compared to amateurs who have done
things like building and operating complete EME stations
on their own time, with only their own resources?

> So did those that
> came before us and those that came after us.

Right. As it should be.

Now I'll tell you about *my* experience on "entering HF".

I was one of those kids who was curious about all things
electrical, from a very early age. By the age of 10 I was
building simple receivers, and by the age of 12 I had
built a working HF receiver. Almost all that I knew about
radio came from various books because there were no radio
amateurs or electronics types in my family or immediate
neighborhood before I came along. None of this was covered in
school back then.

I still remember the look on my fifth grade teacher's face
when she caught me reading an electrical textbook in class.
She happened to open it to a page that showed diagrams of
three-phase transformer connections, which I explained.....

Priceless.

I soon realized that in certain areas I knew far more than
my teachers did, and that I couldn't expect much in those
areas from school.

Almost all the parts for my radios came from old TV and
BC receivers, or anything else electrical or electronic that
I could get my hands on.

Simple things like figuring out the inductance of a coil
required that I teach myself some basic algebra in order
to understand the formulas. Practical stuff like soldering
and metalwork I learned from the books and from trial-and-error.

And I learned Morse Code by listening to hams on the 80
meter band, and sending to myself with a home-made code
practice oscillator.

By age 13 I had earned the Novice license. My first transmitter
was home-made - simple but effective. I had almost no
test equipment and only a few tools - a lot of things were simply
guessed at and cut-and-tried until they worked right. For example,
I had to figure out how to match the transmitter output to my
random-wire antenna using a flashlight bulb as an RF indicator.

By age 14 I'd upgraded to Advanced, acquired a better transmitter,
receiver and antenna, and was filling up log books with QSOs.

All with *no* formal training in electricity, radio, electronics,
almost no money, and the usual obligations of schoolwork,
chores, family activities, etc. My folks' contribution to my
efforts was to allow me to do them if I got everything else
done first.

I wasn't any sort of prodigy or genius, just a motivated kid
interested in radio. There were and are many like me, some
even younger.

The point of this little personal history of mine is to illustrate
how different the environment and resources of most new
amateur radio operators are. While few start out the way I did,
most are essentially working on their own, without formal
training in radio, yet with the usual obligations of life. And their
amateur radio activities are all self-funded.

A completely different environment than what you described for
yourself.

> I can draw a parallel to the activities of infantry,
> armor, and artillery soldiers who had to learn how to
> operate radios necessary for military communications.
> They did it by the thousands upon thousands of soldiers,
> nearly all of them inexperienced in using any radio other
> than a broadcast receiver before their service. Those
> that say "they only push the button and talk" are doing
> them an extreme disservice since there is considerably
> more to do than that.

How much more? All the military radios I've seen that are/were
meant to be used by "line outfits" were made as simple to operate
as possible. That paradigm goes all the way back to the WW2
BC-611 "walkie talkie".

> Radio training for line outfits
> is abbreviated to, at most, a couple weeks with most of
> that being branch-specific procedural matters.

A couple *weeks* of intense formal training!

> Now,
> if they can all do that successfully in a short time,
> it makes no logical sense to have class stratification
> of being held in one class for a year or more.

The environments are completely different, Len. Most
radio amateurs are essentially self-taught, in their spare
time, using their own resources. What they could learn
in a week or two of intense formal training might take a
month to a year of part-time self-study.

More important, the only experience requirements for
amateur licenses in the USA disappeared 30+ years ago.
Only the Advanced and Extra ever had such a requirement,
and the Advanced's 1 year requirement disappeared in 1953,
while the Extra's 2 year requirement was cut to 1 year in the
early 1970s and then eliminated about 1975.

I think the entry-level license for a US ham license could be
a lot better than the current Technician. What K2UNK proposes
is a good starting point. But it's an uphill road with FCC because
the NPRM clearly states that FCC doesn't see it that way.

799. Re: Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 02:48:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134006600.083904.88330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126619

K4YZ wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > > >> The
> > > >> Army found that out during the Battle of the Bulge...where every
> > > >> soldier, regardless of MOS, were suddenly IN "battle." Ever
> > > >> since the U.S. Army has made it a point to continue basic battle
> > > >> training long after soldiers have finished basic training.
> > > >
> > > > And your point is?
> > > >
> > > > All sorts of people in all sorts of jobs face danger every day, Len.
> > > >
> > > > The electric wires don't put themselves up, and when a storm knocks
> > > > out the power, the crews don't get to wait for a sunny day to fix them.
> > >
> > > Jim,
> > >
> > > Lens point is that every serviceman and servicewoman in uniform serves with the
> > > understanding that their very life is pledged, at the very real risk of armed
> > > conflict, to serve their fellow man, commonly for material rewards less than
> > > that enjoyed by an Assistant Shift Manager at your local Burger King.
> > >
> > I realize that, Hans, and I honor that pledge and that service. I
> > apologize if anyone was offended. That was not my intent.
> >
> > But Len tries to make it sound like no one other than military service
> > ever faces any danger in their job.
> >
> > > In the past (and probably in the future) Len and I have found all sorts of
> > > reasons to disagree, but on this issue I come down four-square on his side.
> > > Comparing that pledge which Len, Brian, and several other here took, to the
> > > risks "suffered" by an electric company linemen or a construction worker is mean
> > > spirited and unbecoming.
> > >
> > I did not mean to sound that way. Again, if it sounded that way, I
> > apologize.
>
> Jim, if you felt an "apology" was warranted, then bravo for you.

No bravos needed, Steve.

The point I was making is that military service people aren't the only
ones

with potentially dangerous jobs, nor a commitment to do what is
necessary
at great risk to themselves. It was not meant in any way to denigrate,
deny
or minimize the commitment and sacrifices made by our military - past,
present
and future.

But what I was trying to say could have been written a *lot* better
than I wrote it.

> However if you get into the habit of "apologizing" everytime
> someone doesn't like your "tone" or how it MIGHT sound, then you just
> spend your whole life apologizing.

In my experience, Hans/K0HB does not offend easily, nor ask for
apologies
lightly. That he was offended by what I wrote proved to me that my post
wasn't
very well written, since my goal was *not* to offend him!

> Spending your life with your tail between your legs is no way to
> live.

I don't consider anything I've written here to be "my tail between my
legs". Just the
opposite.

73 de Jim, N2EY

800. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 03:05:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134007266.328339.225000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126620

From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed, Dec 7 2005 2:51 pm

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message

<snip>

>>> > Publicizing the exact Q&A makes the requirements lower because
>>> > the prospective ham knows exactly what will be on the test, down
>>> > to the exact wording, and the exact correct answers. Big difference
>>> > from secret tests!
>>>
>>> Yawn.... BUT publishing the questions was never proposed
>>> by ARRL. That being so, who in the FCC do you
>>> attribute the change to?
>>
>> Those who wanted to save money by getting FCC out of the
>> exam-giving process.
>
>So the reality is that no one in the ham community pushed that.
>I'll conclude then that anytime the FCC proposes a change
>even if not originated in the ham community, if you view it
>as a lowering of requirements then it is automatically bad
>per your opinion.

"That's about the size of it..."

<snip>

>>> > Someone would have to do this in a structured way, by downloading
>>> > the entire database at regular intervals (say once a month) and
>>> > analyzing it a la AH0A.
>>>
>>> ARRL is perfectly capable of that I'm sure.
>>
>> But somebody has to pay for it.

["it's all about money"? :-)]

>ARRL has more than enough ability to fund such a study or
>simply assign the task to one of the permanent ARRL staffers.

AH0A is hardly an objective analyzer...whatever he sees
is all about morse code... :-)

>> And you can bet that whatever
>> numbers ARRL puts out, some will say they are "massaged" and
>> accuse the ARRL of "fraud" and such.
>
>WHO cares? There is always someone that will take issue
>with any study conclusion, analysis, ets. If you expect
>a 100% agreed to set of review and analysis as the end
>result, tyhen yu're expecting the impossible.

The ARRL can do no wrong.

<snip>

>>> I could care less about those that might want to wait for
>>> changes they have no assurance are coming.
>>
>> But those changes have an enormous impact on the numbers.
>> That's the point, whether we care about it or not.
>
>The percent of people that might ultimately wait for "possible"
>(emphasis on possible as opposed to actual)
>future changes is, I suspect small. Odds are that there aren't
>many current techs waiting for future free upgrades nor
>where there likly many that shelved their upgrade plans
>when the ARRL first proposed free upgrades. (IMHO of
>course).

Morsemen are prescient, see all, know all. We cannot doubt
them. [they don't let us]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

801. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 03:06:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134007548.184110.170640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126621

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 7, 2:04 pm

>On 7 Dec 2005 09:13:30 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 7 Dec 2005 06:15:20 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>>> Hey Dud -- did I forget to mention that I'm licensed as an Extra?
>
>> OK.
>
>So you're taking my word that I'm a ham? How unlike you, Dud.

Heeheeheeheeheeheehee...Dud got trapped again!

The only licensed radio amateur with surname Gilliland in
Washington state is C. Frank Gilliland, N7VCF, Issaquah (in
King County). A Tech class, not Extra.

Few in the east know the northwest, but King County takes
in Seattle (in WEST Washington) and Issaquah is a suburb of
Seattle. Spokane, Washington, is in the EASTERN part, well
away from Puget Sound and Seattle.

It's almost too easy to entrap Dudly...! :-)

>> How does that change the fact that you were a twice disgraced,
>>lying, court-martialed, deceitful ex-Marine, Frankie?
>
>Despite the derogative adjectives, the fact remains that I -was- a
>Marine and you weren't.

...except in Dudly's imagination...where he is a bosom buddy
of Chesty. :-)

>You never did explain why your first marriage failed. Did she bail
>because of the debts you were ringing up on all those credit cards? Or
>did she just get tired of competing with your mommy for attention?

We will never know the REAL story from Dudly. :-)

Maybe "Iitoi," legend of the Tohono O'odham people, can get
the Great Spirit to explain it to us? :-)

Dudly is farther and farther into the Maze of that legend
and hasn't been able to get out yet.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

802. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 03:15:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <p99fp19u2alve6155bctv9jj4l9123b83j@4ax.com>
Source record: 126623

On 7 Dec 2005 17:28:40 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1134005320.012448.78560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>But many forms of amateur radio operation *do*
>require unique, specialized skills and
>knowledge that most nonamateurs have not developed.
>
>Perhaps this skill and knowledge requirement is why
>you have such a dislike of Morse Code, Len. Morse
>Code operation in amateur radio usually involves
>skilled operators.
<snip more to this effect>

I have to butt in here.....

Radio communication has two parts: 'Radio' and 'Communication'. From what I have seen over the years, hams seem to be more concerned with the 'radio' than the 'communication'. IOW, many hams have developed technical skills but too few have developed communication skills for use with the radio. The radio is just a tool -- a device that allows the operator to communicate. Unfortunately, the written test doesn't require an applicant to prove communication skills beyond the ability to memorize the answers to the pool questions.

Morse Code is no exception. Knowing Morse Code does not a skilled communicator make. All it means is that some knob-turner can tap out the alphabet; and all that's required to pass the Morse test is a rudimentary skill level. Or am I wrong? If a third-grader can read and write a few words using all the letters of the alphabet, does that make him/her a skilled communicator? Of course not..... except maybe on a third-grade level. And a skilled communicator doesn't necessarily need a technically complex tranceiver with all the bells and whistles. Even a cheap CB radio can (and frequently does) get the job done if it can be used as a means of communication (flame retardant suit on).

Personally, I think that Morse -should- be required for several reasons, not the least of which is because it's a language that's used and understood internationally. But if the amateur isn't required to have the communication skills needed to use Morse to its minimum potential, why even bother to make it a requirement? at a skill level that has little practical application? and especially when no other communication skills are required?

It really doesn't matter anyway. The ARRL wants to make ARS testing as easy as possible to increase its membership; i.e, revenue. And since

they control the ARS lobby, the point is moot -- color the code gone.
It's all good though, because maybe hams will then be able to focus a
little more on the neglected aspect of radio communication.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

803. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 03:38:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5j9fp1dbl6gu3ecau7h30bkmh8d1b874rn@4ax.com>
Source record: 126624

On 7 Dec 2005 18:12:36 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1134007956.017874.143200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 7, 2:04 pm
>
>>On 7 Dec 2005 09:13:30 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>> On 7 Dec 2005 06:15:20 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>
>
>>>> Hey Dud -- did I forget to mention that I'm licensed as an Extra?
>>
>>> OK.
>>
>>So you're taking my word that I'm a ham? How unlike you, Dud.
>
> Heeheeheeheeheeheehee...Dud got trapped again!
>
> The only licensed radio amateur with surname Gilliland in
> Washington state is C. Frank Gilliland, N7VCF, Issaquah (in
> King County). A Tech class, not Extra.
>
> Few in the east know the northwest, but King County takes
> in Seattle (in WEST Washington) and Issaquah is a suburb of
> Seattle. Spokane, Washington, is in the EASTERN part, well
> away from Puget Sound and Seattle.

WELL away from the warm and soggy side of the state. We be friggin
cold here lately -- dropped to -4 last night. It ain't Minnesota but
it's cold enough for me.

But we did make national news today:

http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2005/12/07/national/main1102623.shtml?CMP=ILC-SearchStories

> It's almost too easy to entrap Dudly...! :-)

Yeah.... how do you keep a Dudly in suspense?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

804. stevei attack and doesn't even tell the truth about that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 03:51:28 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <tqafp19g7qge1fldtib4u8k59o8sn9pu1p@4ax.com>
Source record: 126625

On 21 Nov 2005 22:33:00 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
cutTwo things come to mind:
>> >
>> > (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
>> > "Markie" and changed the subject line.
>>
>> and what did I have to do with this attack thread of yours
>
> What attack thread?

this one that you called more markie mularke
>
> I asked Brian a direct question.
>
> He accuses me of "misbehaving", calling others names, etc, when
>it's C L E A R L Y evident that he does this to people who actually go
>out of their way to treat HIM with some dignity and respect.

anther lie stevie
cut
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

805. stvei contiues lieathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 03:51:33 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <dtafp1dkh5ant5ie378ldnk3qm68uaqvt9@4ax.com>
Source record: 126626

On 28 Nov 2005 08:54:08 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>stole from an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > raped an old friend wrote:
>> > > Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.
>> >
>> > "relevent"
>> >
>> > > therefore Stevie is lying in claiming it does therfore Stevie has lied
>> >
>> > Uhhhhhhhhhh...How does DAVE'S opinion make anything >>>I<<< have
>> > said a "lie"...?!?!
>>
>> by being a lie
>
> You've not shown where anyone BUT you has uttered lies, Markie.

yes I have indeed I have you admiting to your lies such in the
molestation thread
>
>> it was lie before dave said and remians one now
cut
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

806. stevie bang temperance tamborine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 03:51:56 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <puafp1dt4hkv6vfsdonirva840ngd182o3@4ax.com>
Source record: 126627

On 7 Dec 2005 05:57:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>> On 5 Dec 2005 04:11:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?
>> >
>> > Sober up, Markie.
>>
>> whn you goping to pay up welecher
>
> Sober up Markie, THEN try to tell us what you're saying.

pay up on your bets

If I am drunk that is my right asshole
>
> Not before then.

stopp giving order
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

807. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 04:00:55 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <gfbfp19m61ffii9vuaahrav8a73nfukctn@4ax.com>
Source record: 126628

On 7 Dec 2005 07:30:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K0HB on Dec 6, 7:10 pm
>
>> It is NOT a "game." Such an impersonation of being military
>> is punishable and heaps disgrace on the service affected.
>
> And you're more than welcome to file a "complaint" with the USMC
>and see what results from it, Lennie...

gee when I say something you call me paranoid (not sure sure why)

not you are resoting to it
>
> In the mean time, we'll just go over some of those "..threat of
>the Bear" and "...incomming artillery" stories of YOURS...
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

808. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 04:01:18 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <hhbfp1da5gob1u72jvrl2mmcettjls4hgo@4ax.com>
Source record: 126629

On 7 Dec 2005 09:13:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 7 Dec 2005 06:15:20 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1133964920.495325.126310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> Hey Dud -- did I forget to mention that I'm licensed as an Extra?
>
> OK.
>
> How does that change the fact that you were a twice disgraced,
>lying, court-martialed, deceitful ex-Marine, Frankie?

anything but name calling stevie

we already know you views on the subject
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

809. stevie contiues to lie about kiddy porn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 04:01:24 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <6pbfp1p2nuvm5di6ms6vvl0te75p50phuc@4ax.com>
Source record: 126630

On 16 Nov 2005 06:56:50 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote (but didn't attribute the comments of others):
>>> Hosting a web site soliciting the posting pictures of child porn is OK
>> >so long as the participants are drawn, not photos? Did your parents
>> >teach you that? Please, Todd, get help.
>>
>> I would suggest you read ASHCROFT V. FREE SPEECH COALITION (00-795) 535
>> U.S. 234 (2002) 198 F.3d 1083, affirmed.
>> it can be found at
>> http://straylight.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-795.ZS.html
>>
>> in it the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
>> includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution unlike real
>> child porn that wasn't, the reason, because real childern are harmed by
>> the production of child porography, while virtual is created via
>> drawing, computer animation and no real childern are harmed in the
>> production of it. That's according to the US Supreme Court.
>
> ANY opportunity to find an excuse, right Todd?
>
> Yes...REAL children WILL be harmed by this as it permits people
>like you an opportunity to think that the idea of sex with underaged
>persons is "OK" at some level.

stveie just makes up more stuff
>
> There will always be this kind of activity...There always has
>been. However lending it some venier of legitimacy via "anime" is
>nothing more than another form of child pornography...So yes,
>Todd...You ARE a pedophile.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

810. Re: When will Dan, W4NTI return to the asylum?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 04:01:50 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <k4yz@amsat.rg>
Message-ID: <jqbfp1h8tkg3slh78rlhm6jvgaa687v84d@4ax.com>
Source record: 126631

On 16 Nov 2005 22:19:34 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>
>> yes he has. he stombed off in ahuff complaining that has not ebing
>> allowed to carry on a private conversation with another poster here on
>> RRAP
>
> Was this a sentence? Or two?

don't you know
>
> Did it mean something, or did Markie just have a surplus of
>keyboard strokes that he had to get rid of...?!?!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

811. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 04:10:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134015059.104266.10830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126632

>When he is sentenced on March 10, he faces a maximum sentence of 1,160
>years in prison and a fine of US$18.5 million.

yeah, well he animated porn and real child porn in his possession, were
talking about it on rec.art.anime.misc and on hongfire. I don't have
any real stuff only animation so I'm safe.

Todd N9OGL
DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

Demented Otaku Image Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

Demented Otaku Lolicon Image Board
http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php

812. stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 05:34:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134020040.219789.55740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126633
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jsut some more thread pruning
Steveo wrote:
> http://niggermania.com/

813. when well steve tell the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 05:38:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <2nhfp15v4ofg61hpac3ldp7u0bf2fcqssn@4ax.com>
Source record: 126634

when
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

814. when will stevei answer a simple question truthfully and end this abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 05:40:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <aphfp15pij77ejq1tkp4qi1465ur97r7ge@4ax.com>
Source record: 126635

liklely never never

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

815. Re: stevei (Stevie) needs to remmeber (remember) that he isn't the only one that can mistrubute (Do WHAT?) posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 05:41:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <gqhfp11ik2m8sdt9fcfdcn8l33jm5uhh3k@4ax.com>
Source record: 126636

On 7 Dec 2005 05:51:58 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan wrote:
>> have fun stevie
>
> Do WHAT?

remeber
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

816. Re: More Proof That K4YZ is a Pathological Liar...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 05:42:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <bshfp11mem7cmoigshnvu1ia5ijuhll3j3@4ax.com>
Source record: 126637

On 7 Dec 2005 05:47:33 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan wrote:
>> On 3 Dec 2005 07:57:36 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
>> >> > > > rest of us
>> >> > >
>> >> > > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>> >> >
>> >> > Twice a liar, at that.
>> >>
>> >> Nope, no lies. Your last agreement to be civil didn't last even 24
>> >> hours. Google provides.
>> >
>> > Three.
>
> Four.
>
>> when you goignt o stop lying
>
> To ask "when" is to imply persume that something started.

yes indeed
you started your reign of lies years ago at first by merely rpesenting
your opinion as fact (an example is your instance that one must suffer
to get a ham license
>
>> everyone should be advised that The following person
>> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> For example we have your week long attack on the entire newsgroup
>and your blatantly slanderour "tag line" on the AMSAT address you use.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

817. Re: Steve concerned about the number of Mark's postings.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 05:53:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <k0ifp1hmqfpu64av527msl7p9n275pskvk@4ax.com>
Source record: 126638

On 4 Dec 2005 19:07:22 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > On 2 Dec 2005 04:23:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> > >Troy Reynolds wrote:
cu
>
>Maybe once you apologize for being a jerk and promise to behave
>yourself... he will abate the very treatment that you dish out to
>others. Strange that you don't like it in-turn.
>
>But it's up to Mark to accept your apology.

stevei has to make one first
>
>Meanwhile, I'm waiting for a seperate apology...

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

818. stevei compounds his lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 05:55:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <t0ifp15nq78cdirqsvnkav3vferb93nt9r@4ax.com>
Source record: 126639

On 29 Nov 2005 11:24:25 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> > More Markie Mularkie.
>> >
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@hotmail.com
>> >
>> >konstans@hotmail.com
>> >
>> >konstans@yahoo.com
>>
stvei compounds his lie by contiuing to post an address that does not
exist
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

819. stevei can't even read the header right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 05:58:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <31ifp1ljmrauvr0sghio7su2k68fehcusa@4ax.com>
Source record: 126640

On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> More Markie Mularkie.
>
>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org
>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>
>kb9rqz@hotmail.com
>
>konstans@hotmail.com
>
>konstans@yahoo.com
>
stevei has posted a noneexistan email address
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

820. Re: stevie is afraid of me? not likely, but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 06:02:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <p1ifp154tcpqcgdtu67b8t0k9s4kard6oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 126641

On 29 Nov 2005 11:00:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an Old friend wrote:
>> > > > > why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?
>> > > cut
>> > > > AFRAID OF >>>YOU<<<...?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!?!?!?!
stevie frightened of soemone he says is off no account

stvei can't seem to seem to make up his mind wether or not I ahave
cridiblity

and I somehow force steve to post
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

821. Stevie thinks STD are spread over the internet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 06:26:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <l9ifp1l2211jpe9a1fvk2h92sejr02jm56@4ax.com>
Source record: 126642

rom stevie
>I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
>about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who
>thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?
Stevie claims he wants no contact with me (but why then he contiue to
send me threatening email?)

but claims to faer contracting an STD from me

First I don't have one but that is beside the point

two he has to have contact with me to get one if I did
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

822. Can someone find stevie a Viagra Link?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 06:32:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <t9ifp11333h0a8gq0vv69a6t9jendh1i10@4ax.com>
Source record: 126644

when will stevie admit sexuality has nothing to do with radio
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

823. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 06:36:50 UTC
From: "M." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <bwQlf.106$e4.55216@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 126643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In the immortal words of Bugs Bunny: "Wadda Maroon!!"

click-buzz

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133993038.323503.4310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> DAVE SUMNER, K1ZZ, CEO OF ARRL, RESPONDS TO K1MAN's LETTER
>
> December 2, 2005
>
> Glenn A. Baxter
> Long Point Lodge
> Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918
>
> Dear Mr. Baxter:
>
> This is in response to your email of December 1, 2005 inquiring about
> W1AW operating practices.
>
> W1AW is unique in that it is the only station that operates in
> accordance with the provisions of 97.113(d) of the FCC rules. The
> rules require that the station schedule operations on at least six MF
> and HF amateur bands using reasonable measures to maximize coverage,
> and that a schedule of operating times be published at least 30 days
> in advance.
>
> As W1AW trustee it is my policy that W1AW adhere to the FCC rules.
> The station operates in accordance with the published schedule. The
> W1AW trustee and control operators also take seriously our obligation
> under 97.101(b) to cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and
> in making the most effective use of amateur service frequencies. For
> example, we transmit two brief warning messages prior to the
> commencement of a scheduled transmission as a courtesy to operators
> who may be engaged in communications on the scheduled frequencies
> (Ed. comment: This practice was FIRST used by K1MAN), and we
> deliberately keep our voice bulletin transmissions brief and limited
> in number.
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
>
> (signed)

> David Sumner, K1ZZ
> Trustee, W1AW
>
>
> K1MAN editorial comment:
>
> In other words, 97.113(d) of the FCC rules allows W1AW to pay a
> control operator for a 40 hour work week (pecuniary interest) for
> doing exactly what K1MAN does without any pay whatsoever, and doing it
> infinitely better, thus drawing a $21,000 "fine." W1AW "dumps" on
> existing QSO's every day and K1MAN only when some moron like K3VR
> parks there on frequency in order to be "dumped" on, a criminal
> violation by K3VR of the Goldwater Law. Sumner was a law school drop
> out and Hollingsworth was sick on the day they taught law at law
> school. Sumner's letter is a "get out of jail free" card for K1MAN,
> and Dave will certainly be called as a witess in court along with
> Hollingsworth. Both men are in alleged criminal violation of the
> Goldwater Law as well by failing to discourage interference with K1MAN
> amateur information bulletins:
>
> It is an interesting snub that K1ZZ does not use the call K1MAN in his
> arrogant middle manager mental midget letter, Hi. Eat your heart
> out Dave, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, has more class
> than ARRL, the "national association for amateur radio." By the way,
> exactly what is a "radio relay league" anyway?
>
> 73 and GL de K1MAN.
>
> See www.K1MAN.com
>
>
> Dave Sumner, K1ZZ
> ARRL Chief Executive Officer
> American Radio Relay League
> 225 Main Street
> Newington, Conn. 06111
>
> 1 December 2005
>
>
> Dave,
>
> What is W1AW's current policy with regard to its bulletins, and can
> you refer me to the ARRL Board minute which established this policy?
> Specifically, does W1AW listen and/or QRZ each published scheduled
> frequency before transmitting? If the frequency is busy, does W1AW
> QSY, delay, or even terminate the scheduled bulletin?
>
> The policy published in QST that I have found is to stick to the
> published schedule regardless of whether the frequency is busy or not,

> just as is the policy of K1MAN for information bulletins, which is
> also consistent with many years of W1AW operating practice as well.
>
> You will remember that K1MAN was "fined" in 1990 with regard to this
> policy, and my appeal of these "fines" to the full Commission was
> "deep sixed" and thus blocking my further appeals to the D.C. Court of
> Appeals and the United Stated Supreme Court. Also, these "fines"
> were never litigated in Federal District Court here in Maine, and my
> subsequent civil suit to resolve this matter in Federal District Court
> against the FCC was dismissed. My related civil suit in Federal
> District Court against ARRL was also dismissed. My many attempts to
> resolve this matter have thus been repeatedly thwarted. K1MAN was
> then "fined" again regarding this same policy in April of 2005, a full
> fifteen years after the original FCC action, thus creating a
> further legal nightmare for the Commission, of course.
>
> I am a member of ARRL. Thank you for your attention to this request.
>
> Best personal regards. 73.
>
> (signed)
>
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> AARA Executive Director
> IARN Manager
>
>
> cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
> Distribution
>

824. why has Stei gotten a new email address

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 06:38:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <7aifp1p5agvbgjla3hpm5cau04cf6nmneh@4ax.com>
Source record: 126645

anytime any of the rest of us change email addresses stevie charges
that they have something to hide

so what does Stevie have to hide
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

825. Stevie is rotten egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 06:44:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <baifp15lg3q368slu8sc2f6tlc7l7qjqth@4ax.com>
Source record: 126646

amazing when an annymouse get something right
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/36f207bde9777e28/d55e70bcf199c00d#d55e70bcf199c00d

but he got it right Stvie is certainly foul

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

826. Dave admits fantascies of BDSM nonconsentual BDSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 06:59:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <1bifp1pea6q1kh2hn2tlohe0vq309fesou@4ax.com>
Source record: 126647

On Fri, 02 Dec 2005 20:49:01 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> Clown Prince of Spamalot wrote
>>
>>> "he that has the power to destroy a think controls a thing" therfore I
>>> step forth and assert that I control this thing this newgruop
>>>
>>
>> I doubt you can even control your own bladder.
>
>Hmmmmphhhhf! I'd love to lock the Colonel, Todd and Roger "I'm not me"
>Wiseman into a room for a couple of weeks and let them attempt a Vulcan
>Mind Meld.

there you DFae is kinky as I am
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

827. Re: why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:13:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134026015.540996.117720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126648

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> > On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 05:51:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> an Old friend wrote:
> >>> why does dave who claim to be christaion support and aid stevie in
> >>> engaging in despictable behavoir?
> >> Well, Mark, if ever there was to be proof that you are mentally coming
> >> unraveled, your lengthy series of spam posts should do the trick.
> >
> > answer the question why do you aid abet someone in violating the 10
> > comenedments
> >
> The ten comendedments, huh? You're awfully big on stating that someone
> is "aiding and abetting" but you seem to have no sense of taking
> responsibility for fallout from your own action or lack of action.

answer the question asked coward

why do you aid abet and support steve in bearing false witness against
his neighboor

what fall out?

stevei has claimed that no one can be endangered by mere publishing of
there address here in RRAP seems stevie disagrees when it is himself,
and you disagree when it is steve

>
> I answered one of your questions and you've subsequently stated on a
> number of occasions that I didn't answer it.

you did not addrss the ansisue

you ineffect answered a quetion like which direction does the subrise?
with the "answer" george

> Then you've called me a
> liar. You'll excuse me.....
no i will not excuse you

youthe closest you come to answering is to invoke morality

making your religion fair game coward

>... if I choose not to answer any more of your
> questions, espeically when the question is about a religion which you've

> clearly rejected.

meaning you can't answer them

I obey the word of your god beter than you do

>
> > instaed you make an attack
>
> You've never really seen me in attack mode.

bullshit another lie
>
> Dave K8MN

a coward

828. dave is afraid to discuss his faith or the lack of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:18:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134026289.024548.6180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126649

Dave refuses to explain why he does not follow the rules he deamnds I do

829. dave says other morality is faitr game but his own

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:21:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134026468.517293.223590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126550

```
when will Dave decide to play fair
```

830. Stve lies on Censorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:22:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <0cifp157jg1ebba9svrkh2i2e5iqj1tdqb@4ax.com>
Source record: 126551

On 30 Nov 2005 14:06:26 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> trying to kill another OT thread
>>
>> little doubt Stevie will lie and claim I am censoring this thread as he
>> tries to revive his handiwork
>
> It's no lie.
>
> It's not up to you (or anyone else) to decide what's "OT" or not
>and to "kill" the thread.
>
> Anything else is censorship.

I have the same right to coment as I like I have th right to try and
phrase those comment in whate evrlegal maner I choose I have the right
to try and bury a threads

you use these right yourself

>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

831. just exaclty how did I bring up sexality for you to respond to in this post?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:31:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <icifp1hf3ucb0nra5opnh9l9om0ug30vnn@4ax.com>
Source record: 126552

back in March of this year when I was around you posted
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/3dd4fa2a4d2688ca/18c5159b8577e7cd?rnum=11&q=aids&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2F3dd4fa2a4d2688ca%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Daids%26#doc_e09f3c7f5f9c7b10
now tell us how did I bring up sexuality in that thread I never posted
in it at all nor did I post in the ng at till several months later

when are you goign to drop the bad lie of claiming I cause you to
bring up sexuality?
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

832. and try explaining this stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:38:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <mcifp1hnje31lkn0pfcmulmj0mpn87ir1p@4ax.com>
Source record: 126553

here is post from
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_frm/thread/f25b4ffb19d0658/6c849b9fc7e8cac0?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=77#6c849b9fc7e8cac0

the operatant paragraph is at the end
> Oh Noooooooooooooooo Todd...I can't be any higher than tenth
>place. In no certain order there's you, Brian Burke N0IMD, Lennie
>nderson, "Fritz Wuehler", "Mel A. Nomah", Any one of the numerous
>manifestation of Lloyd and Roger (one pont each), K1MAN, and the
>Drafted Gay Pagan Chemical Corps Officer Formerly Known As Markie.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

how did i bring up mys exuality I was not even posting in the
Newsgruop

why don't you stop your lie in claiming I am the one who brings my
sexuality

you were doing it when I wasn't even here

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

833. when will stvei expalin this lie from his past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:45:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <65pfp1ldmlscr9uttjek1ulfd9i2lb5pso@4ax.com>
Source record: 126555

stevie claims I brought it on myself
so explain this post from keyboard in 1999
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/ffa025c98fb52e2c/9944b4e9f77a8430?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=5#9944b4e9f77a8430
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

834. Mark Morgan Forging E-Mail Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:53:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134028391.476642.209920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126554

Mark Morgan forged the following e mail address on a USENET post:
k4yz@aol.com wrote:

Now forging e-mail addresses, Markie?

Steve, K4YZ

835. when will stevie explain his lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:54:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <napfp1h34c1lmemaad5u0uqd9f0l2lr8t0@4ax.com>
Source record: 126562

stevie made this and claims I was the one who brought my
sexualoreintation
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/415ffd26aa859187/7c0ec0b7cd835135?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=2#7c0ec0b7cd835135
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

836. Re: why has Stei gotten a new email address <<< BEWARE MARK MORGAN FORGERIES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:58:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134028688.374420.321150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126556

Stealing e-mail addresses, Markie?

Steve, K4YZ

837. Re: Mark Morgan Forging E-Mail Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 07:58:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134028713.341052.272640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126557

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark Morgan forged the following e mail address on a USENET post:
> k4yz@aol.com wrote:
>
> Now forging e-mail addresses, Markie?

no more than yourself Stevie

sause for the goose is sauce for the gnader

you don't like it when the shoes is on the other foot
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

838. Re: why has Stei gotten a new email address

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:00:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134028800.672363.277440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126558

K4YZ wrote:
> Stealing e-mail addresses, Markie?

nope stevie just forgining attributes like you do

I told you 2 could play that game stevie

but you can stopp this at any time by being civil
>
> Steve, K4YZ

839. Re: More Proof That KB9RQZ is a Pathological Liar...Again <<< BEWARE MARK MORGAN FORGING E MAIL ADRESSES ON USENET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:00:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134028813.403971.278640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126559

And now we can add "thief" to your list of applicable adjectives,
Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

840. Re: More Proof That K4YZ is a Pathological Liar...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:01:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134028897.687002.239440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126560

K4YZ wrote:
> And now we can add "thief" to your list of applicable adjectives,
> Markie.

you just admitted that your own action are theft
>
> Steve, K4YZ

841. Re: Mark Morgan Forging E-Mail Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:01:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134028909.276831.11050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126561

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mark Morgan forged the following e mail address on a USENET post:
> > k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> >
> > Now forging e-mail addresses, Markie?
>
> no more than yourself Stevie
>
> sause for the goose is sauce for the gnader
>
> you don't like it when the shoes is on the other foot

In your case the show is full of bull, Markie.

You've exceeded yourself this time...Thief.

Steve, K4YZ

842. Re: Mark Morgan Forging E-Mail Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:03:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134029005.106026.292400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126563

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mark Morgan forged the following e mail address on a USENET post:
stevie miss atributes the posts while bitching about being treated as
treats others
> > > k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> > >
> > > Now forging e-mail addresses, Markie?
> >
> > no more than yourself Stevie
> >
> > sause for the goose is sauce for the gnader
> >
> > you don't like it when the shoes is on the other foot
>
> In your case the show is full of bull, Markie.

wrong again

just dealing out tit or tat
>
> You've exceeded yourself this time...Thief.

just refecting you to yourself thief
>
> Steve, K4YZ

843. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:04:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134029092.854288.55160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126564

Mark Morgan wrote:
> On 7 Dec 2005 05:57:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> >> On 5 Dec 2005 04:11:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?
> >> >
> >> > Sober up, Markie.
> >>
> >> whn you goping to pay up welecher
> >
> > Sober up Markie, THEN try to tell us what you're saying.
>
> pay up on your bets

No bet was made, Markie.

It takes two to make a bet.

> If I am drunk that is my right ###hole

Is that as opposed to your left ###hole?

> > Not before then.
>
> stopp giving order

You do it all the time...Now over 300 SPAM messages, many of which
DEMANDING that I do something.

Sober up, Punk.

Steve, K4YZ

844. Re: Mark Morgan Forging E-Mail Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:06:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134029203.442318.45980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126565

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Mark Morgan forged the following e mail address on a USENET post:
> stevie miss atributes the posts while bitching about being treated as
> treats others
> > > > k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Now forging e-mail addresses, Markie?
> > >
> > > no more than yourself Stevie
> > >
> > > sause for the goose is sauce for the gnader
> > >
> > > you don't like it when the shoes is on the other foot
> >
> > In your case the show is full of bull, Markie.
>
> wrong again

Nope.

> just dealing out tit or tat

Nope. You've opened entirely new ground here, PaganBoy. I have
NEVER forged ANY of your addresses to ANY post.

> > You've exceeded yourself this time...Thief.
>
> just refecting you to yourself thief

Nope.

Sober up, Punk.

Steve, K4YZ

845. stvei contiues his welching ways

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:07:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134029275.662368.65430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126566

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark Morgan wrote:
> > On 7 Dec 2005 05:57:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> > > >> On 5 Dec 2005 04:11:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> >> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Sober up, Markie.
> > > >>
> > > >> whn you goping to pay up welecher
> > > >
> > > > Sober up Markie, THEN try to tell us what you're saying.
> > >
> > > pay up on your bets
> >
> > No bet was made, Markie.

you offered I accepted you welched
>
> It takes two to make a bet.

you and me stevie pay up

>
> > If I am drunk that is my right asshole
>
> Is that as opposed to your left asshole?

nope
you don't know how to read but we knew that
>
> > > Not before then.
> >
> > stopp giving order
>
> You do it all the time...Now over 300 SPAM messages, many of which
> DEMANDING that I do something.

demanding you do something you claim to have done

>
> Sober up, Punk.

not drunk fool

and you are a coward

afrad to face facts
>
> Steve, K4YZ

846. Re: More Proof That KB9RQZ is a Pathological Liar..And a THIEF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:07:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134029279.745865.65780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126567

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > And now we can add "thief" to your list of applicable adjectives,
> > Markie.
>
> you just admitted that your own action are theft

Nope.

You're busted!

Steve, K4YZ

847. Re: Mark Morgan Forging E-Mail Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:10:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134029419.974752.58580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126568

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Mark Morgan forged the following e mail address on a USENET post:
> > stevie miss atributes the posts while bitching about being treated as
> > treats others
> > > > > > k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now forging e-mail addresses, Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > > no more than yourself Stevie
> > > > >
> > > > > sause for the goose is sauce for the gnader
> > > > >
> > > > > you don't like it when the shoes is on the other foot
> > > >
> > > > In your case the show is full of bull, Markie.
> > >
> > > wrong again
> >
> > Nope.
yep
>
> > just dealing out tit or tat
>
> Nope. You've opened entirely new ground here, PaganBoy. I have
> NEVER forged ANY of your addresses to ANY post.

yes you have

raped an old friend, nobodies old friend, and more besides

in addition you have forged the contents of my posts even today

>
> > > You've exceeded yourself this time...Thief.
> > >
> > > just refecting you to yourself thief
> >
> > Nope.

yep I am just holding up the mirro to your action

>
> Sober up, Punk.

not druck fool,

coward

theif
>
> Steve, K4YZ

848. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:11:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134029511.996587.197830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126569

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Stealing e-mail addresses, Markie?
>
> nope stevie just forgining attributes like you do

Attributes in the body of the messsage are one thing.

You'd better go back over the last several YEARS of LenniePosts wherein he's done exactly the very same thing, not only to me, but numerous others.

You have NEVER seen me forge an E-mail address as a USENET nick or original author address.

Never.

> I told you 2 could play that game stevie

Sorry, PaganBoy. You're the only one in THIS game.

> but you can stopp this at any time by being civil

You're getting far better than you deserve, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

849. stevei the bully can dish it out but not take it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:13:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134029583.197493.280420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126570

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > And now we can add "thief" to your list of applicable adjectives,
> > > Markie.
> >
> > you just admitted that your own action are theft
>
> Nope.

yes it is

when you claim that forging your posts is theif you admit that your
forging of mine if thief
>
> You're busted!

for what? someoine I warned you I would do if you contiued your
lieathon

>
> Steve, K4YZ

850. Re: Mark Morgan Forging E-Mail Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:15:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134029704.157748.11580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126571

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > Mark Morgan forged the following e mail address on a USENET post:
> > > stevie miss atributes the posts while bitching about being treated as
> > > treats others
> > > > > > k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now forging e-mail addresses, Markie?
> > > > > >
> > > > > no more than yourself Stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > sause for the goose is sauce for the gnader
> > > > > >
> > > > > you don't like it when the shoes is on the other foot
> > > > >
> > > > In your case the show is full of bull, Markie.
> > > >
> > > wrong again
> > >
> > Nope.
> yep

Nope. So full your eyes are brown.

> > > just dealing out tit or tat
> > >
> > Nope. You've opened entirely new ground here, PaganBoy. I have
> > NEVER forged ANY of your addresses to ANY post.
>
> yes you have

Nope.

> raped an old friend, nobodies old friend, and more besides
>
> in addition you have forged the contents of my posts even today

Nope. Not a ONE of those appeared in a nick line as the psoting
author.

> > > > You've exceeded yourself this time...Thief.
> > >
```

> > > just refecting you to yourself thief
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep I am just holding up the mirro to your action

No, you're looking for new ways to look silly in the eyes of
others...And doing a good job of it.

> > Sober up, Punk.
>
> not druck fool,

If you're not drunk, you're stupid and careless...So which is it?

> coward

Yes, you are.

> theif

Yes, you are.

Steve, K4YZ

851. stevie whines some more about being mistreated by being treated as he treats others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:15:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134029742.723540.212310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126572

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Stealing e-mail addresses, Markie?
> >
> > nope stevie just forgining attributes like you do
>
> Attributes in the body of the messsage are one thing.

bullshit it is the same thing
>
> You'd better go back over the last several YEARS of LenniePosts
> wherein he's done exactly the very same thing, not only to me, but
> numerous others.
>
> You have NEVER seen me forge an E-mail address as a USENET nick or
> original author address.

and you have done ithat often
>
> Never.
>
> > I told you 2 could play that game stevie
>
> Sorry, PaganBoy. You're the only one in THIS game.

obviously not pagan basher
>
> > but you can stopp this at any time by being civil
>
> You're getting far better than you deserve, Markie.

bulshit

You have never been even civil to me
>
> Steve, K4YZ

852. More Markie Morgan the Thief

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:19:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134029940.964441.71400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126573

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mark Morgan wrote:
> > > On 7 Dec 2005 05:57:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> > > >> On 5 Dec 2005 04:11:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> >> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Sober up, Markie.
> > > >> >
> > > >> whn you goping to pay up welecher
> > > >>
> > > > Sober up Markie, THEN try to tell us what you're saying.
> > > >
> > > pay up on your bets
> > >
> > No bet was made, Markie.
> >
> you offered I accepted you welched

Nope. Never happened.

> > It takes two to make a bet.
> >
> you and me stevie pay up

Nope. There's nothing for me to pay up for, Markie.

> > > If I am drunk that is my right ###hole
> > >
> > Is that as opposed to your left ###hole?
> >
> nope
>
> you don't know how to read but we knew that

Well...if it wasn't your left one or the right one, it must have
been the one under your nose...

> > > > Not before then.
> > >
> > > stopp giving order
> > >
> > You do it all the time...Now over 300 SPAM messages, many of which
> > DEMANDING that I do something.

>
> demanding you do something you claim to have done

But demanding nonetheless.

> > Sober up, Punk.
>
> not drunk fool

Sure you are.

> and you are a coward

Nope.

> afrad to face facts

Yes, you are.

Steve, K4YZ

853. stevei the bully can dish it out but not take it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:19:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134029967.272028.106280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126574

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
cut
> > > > > In your case the show is full of bull, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > wrong again
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > yep
>
> Nope. So full your eyes are brown.

not ata ll

absolute thruth on my part

you have altered and forged my postes and BB and others for years

>
> > > > just dealing out tit or tat
> > >
> > > Nope. You've opened entirely new ground here, PaganBoy. I have
> > > NEVER forged ANY of your addresses to ANY post.
> >
> > yes you have
>
> Nope.

yes you have

Raped an lf friedn is forgery on your part
>
> > raped an old friend, nobodies old friend, and more besides
> >
> > in addition you have forged the contents of my posts even today
>
> Nope. Not a ONE of those appeared in a nick line as the psoting
> author.

what is psoting author
>
> > > > > You've exceeded yourself this time...Thief.

> > > >
> > > > just refecting you to yourself thief
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yep I am just holding up the mirro to your action
>
> No, you're looking for new ways to look silly in the eyes of
> others...And doing a good job of it.

realy?

then why are you bothering to reply
>
> > > Sober up, Punk.
> >
> > not druck fool,
>
> If you're not drunk, you're stupid and careless...So which is it?

not of the above

a bit dyslexic and tried of you your theif and forgery

>
> > coward
>
> Yes, you are.

wrong again

not afraid of you or anything you can do

you are afriad of me of what i represent

>
> > theif
>
> Yes, you are.

your are the theif I am merely making an dramtic illustration

>
> Steve, K4YZ

854. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:21:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134030106.245094.31240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126575

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Stealing e-mail addresses, Markie?
> > >
> > > nope stevie just forgining attributes like you do
> > >
> > Attributes in the body of the messsage are one thing.
>
> bull### it is the same thing

No, it's not.

> > You'd better go back over the last several YEARS of LenniePosts
> > wherein he's done exactly the very same thing, not only to me, but
> > numerous others.
> >
> > You have NEVER seen me forge an E-mail address as a USENET nick or
> > original author address.
>
> and you have done ithat often

Blatant lie on your part, Markie.

> > Never.
> >
> > > I told you 2 could play that game stevie
> > >
> > Sorry, PaganBoy. You're the only one in THIS game.
>
> obviously not pagan basher

Are you not a Pagan?

Are you mot "male"...?!?! (sncikering at that one....)

> > > but you can stopp this at any time by being civil
> > >
> > You're getting far better than you deserve, Markie.
>
> bul###

There's supposed to be TWO "L"s in there, Markie...

> You have never been even civil to me

Wrong.

Steve, K4YZ

855. stevei contiues his welching ways

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:25:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134030351.875857.246990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126576

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mark Morgan wrote:
> > > > On 7 Dec 2005 05:57:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > >kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> > > > >> On 5 Dec 2005 04:11:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >> >> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Sober up, Markie.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> whn you goping to pay up welecher
> > > > >
> > > > > Sober up Markie, THEN try to tell us what you're saying.
> > > >
> > > > pay up on your bets
> > >
> > > No bet was made, Markie.
> >
> > you offered I accepted you welched
>
> Nope. Never happened.

liar

you posted the offer I accepted and then you welched
>
> > > It takes two to make a bet.
> >
> > you and me stevie pay up
>
> Nope. There's nothing for me to pay up for, Markie.

sure is youI have not been arrested I have no issues with houghton
county they don't even know you exist
>
> > > > If I am drunk that is my right ###hole
> > >
> > > Is that as opposed to your left ###hole?
> >
> > nope
> >
> > you don't know how to read but we knew that
>

> Well...if it wasn't your left one or the right one, it must have
> been the one under your nose...

wrong again stevie

can't get anything correct
>
> > > > > Not before then.
> > > > >
> > > > > stopp giving order
> > > >
> > > You do it all the time...Now over 300 SPAM messages, many of which
> > > DEMANDING that I do something.
> > >
> > demanding you do something you claim to have done
>
> But demanding nonetheless.

>
> > > Sober up, Punk.
> > >
> > not drunk fool
>
> Sure you are.

nope another stevie lie
>
> > and you are a coward
>
> Nope.

yes you are you are afraid to anser Frank or me or BB or len or even
Todd

you are afraid to deabte and discuss any issue concerning the ARS all
you discuss is your sexual obsessions
>
> > afrad to face facts
>
> Yes, you are.
i no facts

facts and reality are not even as close as DX to you
>
> Steve, K4YZ

856. stevie whines some more about being mistreated by being treated as he treats others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:28:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134030528.733653.138110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126577

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Stealing e-mail addresses, Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > nope stevie just forgining attributes like you do
> > > >
> > > Attributes in the body of the messsage are one thing.
> > >
> > bull#### it is the same thing
> >
> No, it's not.

sure is and you forged my words right above
forger and theif that is whatyou have admitted you are

>
> > > You'd better go back over the last several YEARS of LenniePosts
> > > wherein he's done exactly the very same thing, not only to me, but
> > > numerous others.
> > >
> > > You have NEVER seen me forge an E-mail address as a USENET nick or
> > > original author address.
> > >
> > and you have done ithat often
> >
> Blatant lie on your part, Markie.

not at all

you have posted threats to yourself to use against Todd and posted the
proof online yourself

>
> > > Never.
> > >
> > > > I told you 2 could play that game stevie
> > >
> > > Sorry, PaganBoy. You're the only one in THIS game.
> > >
> > obviously not pagan basher
> >

> Are you not a Pagan?

and you are bashing pagans in general

>
> Are you mot "male"...?!?! (sncikering at that one....)

at yourself I guess

but you do that all the time
>
> > > > but you can stopp this at any time by being civil
> > >
> > > You're getting far better than you deserve, Markie.
> >
> > bul#####
>
> There's supposed to be TWO "L"s in there, Markie...

more forgeing by stevie something he claims he never does
>
> > You have never been even civil to me
>
> Wrong.

right
>
> Steve, K4YZ

857. stevie can dish it out but not take it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:29:42 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <4nrfp191reichrtnrt7k28oih9v0clfrm0@4ax.com>
Source record: 126580

bully
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

858. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:30:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134030616.944920.16120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126578

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> cut

Too embarrassed to face it again, Markie? I understand.

> > > > > > In your case the show is full of bull, Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > wrong again
> > > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > yep
> > >
> > Nope. So full your eyes are brown.
> >
> not ata ll

Yes, "at all"....

> absolute thruth on my part

I am sure you think it's the "thruth"...But it's not.

> you have altered and forged my postes and BB and others for years

And again.,..NEVER have I chaged the E-MAIL ADDRESS IN THE USENET
NICK LINE. Not one.

> > > > > just dealing out tit or tat
> > > > >
> > > > Nope. You've opened entirely new ground here, PaganBoy. I have
> > > > NEVER forged ANY of your addresses to ANY post.
> > > >
> > > yes you have
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> yes you have

Nope.

> Raped an lf friedn is forgery on your part

Show me a post where that presents on the USENET nick line... ! !
! !

> > > raped an old friend, nobodies old friend, and more besides
> > >
> > > in addition you have forged the contents of my posts even today
> >
> > Nope. Not a ONE of those appeared in a nick line as the psoting
> > author.
>
> what is psoting author

You tell me.

It's one of YOUR ususal errors, Markie.

> > > > > > You've exceeded yourself this time...Thief.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > just refecting you to yourself thief
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > yep I am just holding up the mirro to your action
> > >
> > > No, you're looking for new ways to look silly in the eyes of
> > > others...And doing a good job of it.
> >
> > realy?

Really.

> then why are you bothering to reply

To let you know how hard I am laughing at you!

> > > > Sober up, Punk.
> > > >
> > > > not druck fool,
> > >
> > > If you're not drunk, you're stupid and careless...So which is it?
> >
> > not of the above

All of the above.

> a bit dyslexic and tried of you your theif and forgery

I discount your alleged "dyslexia". I think it's a coverup for
laziness.

> > > coward
> > >
> > > Yes, you are.
> >
> > wrong again

Nope.

> not afraid of you or anything you can do
>
> you are afriad of me of what i represent

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

Here's what you "represent":

You represent deceit.

You represent lies.

You represent child endangerment.

You represent ignorance.

You represent laziness.

You represent cowardice.

> > > theif
> >
> > Yes, you are.
>
> your are the theif I am merely making an dramtic illustration

No....You are a thief. All of those posts that YOU signed as
"k4yz@aol.com" in the USENET address line were with a stolen e-mail
address.

You're still challenged to show where I have EVER done that!

Steve, K4YZ

859. stevie can't stand the idea his ation uch as he comits daily being done in his own name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:32:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134030775.373493.272640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126579

coward

860. stevie afirad of his own actions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:42:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134031342.067280.186560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126581

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > cut
>
> Too embarrassed to face it again, Markie?
not at all

just spraing folsk the rep

> I understand.

that is anothe rlie

you claerly don't understand

it is a lie to say you do unsderstand me or anything I do

>
> > > > > > > In your case the show is full of bull, Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > wrong again
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > yep
> > >
> > Nope. So full your eyes are brown.
> >
> > not ata ll
>
> Yes, "at all"....

not at all

>
> > absolute thruth on my part
>
> I am sure you think it's the "thruth"...But it's not.

it is the truth I have just decided to make a dramtic domtration of
your style of action

>
> > you have altered and forged my postes and BB and others for years
>
> And again.,..NEVER have I chaged the E-MAIL ADDRESS IN THE USENET
> NICK LINE. Not one.

no you have forged them outright whcih is what I have claimed
neither of us can alter a post as made

>
> > > > > > just dealing out tit or tat
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. You've opened entirely new ground here, PaganBoy. I have
> > > > > > NEVER forged ANY of your addresses to ANY post.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes you have
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes you have
>
> Nope.

yes you have
>
> > Raped an lf friedn is forgery on your part
>
> Show me a post where that presents on the USENET nick line... ! !
> ! !

meanign what?

you aforge that all the time

I don't care how you dance around it

you want me to stop then stop altering mine

>
> > > > > raped an old friend, nobodies old friend, and more besides
> > > > >
> > > > > in addition you have forged the contents of my posts even today
> > > >
> > > Nope. Not a ONE of those appeared in a nick line as the psoting
> > > author.
> >
> > what is psoting author
>
> You tell me.
it is your word not mine

you tell me

>
> It's one of YOUR ususal errors, Markie.

nope
i rarely make that one

get your lies stright stevie

>
> > > > > > > You've exceeded yourself this time...Thief.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > just refecting you to yourself thief
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > yep I am just holding up the mirro to your action
> > >
> > > No, you're looking for new ways to look silly in the eyes of
> > > others...And doing a good job of it.
> >
> > realy?
>
> Really.

not realy

>
> > then why are you bothering to reply
>
> To let you know how hard I am laughing at you!

wrong again

you are repling becuase it bothers you

>
> > > > > Sober up, Punk.
> > > > >
> > > > > not druck fool,
> > > >
> > > > If you're not drunk, you're stupid and careless...So which is it?
> >
> > not of the above
>
> All of the above.

none of the above

>
> > a bit dyslexic and tried of you your theif and forgery
>
> I discount your alleged "dyslexia".

discount it all you like doesn't make you right any more than you were
right to say you knew more about a man's hearing than his DR.

> I think it's a coverup for
> laziness.

which is an admission that your were lying when you said drunk stupid
and carless

>
> > > > coward
> > >
> > > Yes, you are.
> >
> > wrong again
>
> Nope.

how do you figure

>
> > not afraid of you or anything you can do
> >
> > you are afriad of me of what i represent
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> Here's what you "represent":
>
> You represent deceit.

nope

>
> You represent lies.

nope

>
> You represent child endangerment.

wrong again

>
> You represent ignorance.

wroing again

>
> You represent laziness.

moe lies

>
> You represent cowardice.

bullshit

represent a man that is bisexual and isn't afraid of of that fact

that drives you nuts

as you proved which your first post on my return

>
> > > > theif
> > >
> > > Yes, you are.
> >
> > your are the theif I am merely making an dramtic illustration
>
> No....You are a thief. All of those posts that YOU signed as
> "k4yz@aol.com" in the USENET address line were with a stolen e-mail
> address.

nope aforger perhaps not a theif

you need to learn english

>
> You're still challenged to show where I have EVER done that!

raped an old freind nobdos old freidn

are both your forgeries

meet your challenge
>
> Steve, K4YZ

861. stevei would rather fight that stop HIS forgeies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:43:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134031398.618061.308870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126582

stevie asserts he alone has the right to forge posts

862. stevie lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:43:33 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <9gsfp1hpk1v58k4d1tk56a5ka8c06nlu9f@4ax.com>
Source record: 126584

On 8 Dec 2005 00:30:17 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> cut
>
> Too embarrassed to face it again, Markie? I understand.

you understand nothing
>
>> > > > > > In your case the show is full of bull, Markie.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > wrong again
>> > > > >
>> > > > Nope.
>> > > yep
>> >
>> > Nope. So full your eyes are brown.
>>
>> not ata ll
>
> Yes, "at all"....
>
>> absolute thruth on my part
>
> I am sure you think it's the "thruth"...But it's not.

but it is

just treating you as you treat others
>
>> you have altered and forged my postes and BB and others for years
>
> And again.,..NEVER have I chaged the E-MAIL ADDRESS IN THE USENET
>NICK LINE. Not one.

manytimes you have
>
>> > > > > just dealing out tit or tat
>> > > >

>> > > > Nope. You've opened entirely new ground here, PaganBoy. I have
>> > > > NEVER forged ANY of your addresses to ANY post.
>> > >
>> > > yes you have
>> >
>> > Nope.
>>
>> yes you have
>
> Nope.
>
>> Raped an lf friedn is forgery on your part
>
> Show me a post where that presents on the USENET nick line... ! !
>! !
>
>> > > raped an old friend, nobodies old friend, and more besides
>> > >
>> > > in addition you have forged the contents of my posts even today
>> >
>> > Nope. Not a ONE of those appeared in a nick line as the psoting
>> > author.
>>
>> what is psoting author
>
> You tell me.
>
> It's one of YOUR ususal errors, Markie.
>
>> > > > > > You've exceeded yourself this time...Thief.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > just refecting you to yourself thief
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Nope.
>> > > >
>> > > > yep I am just holding up the mirro to your action
>> > >
>> > > No, you're looking for new ways to look silly in the eyes of
>> > > others...And doing a good job of it.
>>
>> realy?
>
> Really.
>
>> then why are you bothering to reply
>
> To let you know how hard I am laughing at you!
>
>> > > > Sober up, Punk.
>> > > >

>> > > not druck fool,
>> >
>> > If you're not drunk, you're stupid and careless...So which is it?
>>
>> not of the above
>
> All of the above.
>
>> a bit dyslexic and tried of you your theif and forgery
>
> I discount your alleged "dyslexia". I think it's a coverup for
>laziness.
>
>> > > coward
>> >
>> > Yes, you are.
>>
>> wrong again
>
> Nope.
>
>> not afraid of you or anything you can do
>>
>> you are afriad of me of what i represent
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> Here's what you "represent":
>
> You represent deceit.
>
> You represent lies.
>
> You represent child endangerment.
>
> You represent ignorance.
>
> You represent laziness.
>
> You represent cowardice.
>
>> > > theif
>> >
>> > Yes, you are.
>>
>> your are the theif I am merely making an dramtic illustration
>

> No....You are a thief. All of those posts that YOU signed as
>"k4yz@aol.com" in the USENET address line were with a stolen e-mail
>address.
>
> You're still challenged to show where I have EVER done that!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

863. stevei should learn not to start shit that he can't when truned up and reutrned to him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:45:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134031513.232929.181300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126583

stevei can't take the heat stevie should stay out ofkitchen

864. BEWARE MARK MORGAN FORGING E MAIL ADRESSES ON USENET proof of steie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:50:58 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <vjsfp1ll6sn18f87kq6hrimdcobqjbs9rb@4ax.com>
Source record: 126590

On 8 Dec 2005 00:00:13 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> And now we can add "thief" to your list of applicable adjectives,
>Markie.

even your title shows that you are lying
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

865. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:51:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134031881.808087.13000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126585

an old friend wrote:
> stevie asserts he alone has the right to forge posts

Why do you insist on lying like this, Markie, when anyone with an
IQ over 6.2 can see you ARE lying...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

866. Stevei needs to learn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:51:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134031888.537611.275060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126586

stevie you need to learn something that you yourself posted

that your targets get to decided much of the subtext of what you do

you have claimed that if you feel you are threatened then you are threatened, but you need to finsihed that thought

You forge my posts I can forge yours. I will contiue to do as long is it amuses me or until your agre to stop playing games with other folks posts

BB has been asking why you forge my posts as you do you reufsed to answer so you got a response of MY choice

you are not in charge here (neither amI but I do decide how to repspond

if you want to be end a flame war YOU MUST give somethign to the otherside that they other side values, not what you think they should value

867. Re: stevie can't stand the idea his ation (ATION?) uch (UCH?) as he comits (COMMITS) daily being done in his own name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:53:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134031988.147224.93550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126587

an old friend wrote:
> coward

Illiterate liar. Thief. Druinkard.

Steve, K4YZ

868. Re: stevie can't stand the idea his actions reflected back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:56:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134032210.456610.105910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126588

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > coward
>
> Illiterate liar.
wrong

(I am am honest man when taliking about others)
> Thief.

wrong

foreger is the word and hardly that)
>Druinkard.
(i never touch the stuff)
nope threa stikes and you should be out
>
> Steve, K4YZ

869. Re: why has Stei gotten a new email address

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:57:56 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <6lsfp1tq570fr67djhu78locq1tbjoto2s@4ax.com>
Source record: 126593

On 7 Dec 2005 23:58:08 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>Stealing e-mail addresses, Markie?

no not stealing them
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

870. stevei lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 08:58:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134032284.365017.225310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126589

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > stevie asserts he alone has the right to forge posts
>
> Why do you insist on lying like this, Markie, when anyone with an
> IQ over 6.2 can see you ARE lying...?!?!

what lie stevie

you assert you may forge and alter my posts at will because you want to
but I may not forge yours

that is the truth and you should know it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

871. Re: stevei (STEVIE) should learn not to start #### (MINDLESS PROFANITY) that he can't when truned (TRUNED?!?!?)and reutrned (WHAT?) to him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:02:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134031798.383805.7630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126591

an old friend wrote:
> stevei can't take the heat stevie should stay out ofkitchen

Markie...We've seen your picture...YOU need to stay out of the
kitchen...And the dining room...And McDonalds...And the local
bakeries...And the "all you can eat" bars, etc etc etc

Steve, K4YZ

872. stevie claiming I havehacked his aol.com account

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:04:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134032675.250049.133740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126592

stevie saying I am stealing his email address (I am forgeing posts as
if they are from it for dramitic pruposes) but I am sending or
recieveing email k4yz@aol.com indeed I have in no way taken any liberty
that stevie does not do to my and len and franks and BB's post

Stop lying steve

stop forging stevie

stop being a little shit (pardon me a big shit)

873. stupid stevie tries diversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:08:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134032894.313780.146150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126594

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > stevei can't take the heat stevie should stay out ofkitchen
>
> Markie...We've seen your picture....
as we have seen yours

you are one fat ugly mother fucker
but the one you stole of ine is 7 years ago and 100 or so punds
heavier too

>.YOU need to stay out of the
> kitchen.

Why
>..And the dining room.
most certainly not

>..And McDonalds.
no more than anyone else

>..And the local
> bakeries.
why?
>..And the "all you can eat" bars, etc etc etc
why?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

874. why does stevie does everything possible to avoid being civil

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:11:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134033084.112569.251470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126595

why stevei it is a simple question?

why do you prefer flame fest to simply refraisning form forgery

why do you lie about other people all the time?

why can you dish it out but not take it

875. stevei still refuses to address why he tried to hide his posts under a new email addy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:12:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134033149.733881.291500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126596

coward

876. Re: just exaclty how did I bring up sexality for you to respond to in this post?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:16:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134033397.311976.290950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126597

k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> back in March of this year when I was around you posted
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/3dd4fa2a4d2688ca/18c5159b8577e7cd?rnum=11&q=aids&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2F3dd4fa2a4d2688ca%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Daids%26#doc_e09f3c7f5f9c7b10
> now tell us how did I bring up sexuality in that thread I never posted
> in it at all nor did I post in the ng at till several months later
>
> when are you goign to drop the bad lie of claiming I cause you to
> bring up sexuality?

again just how did i cause you post that message
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

877. steveie denies making the bet he welched on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:20:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134033619.257304.187400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126598

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/b4c122b774e75b54/76666d07430d3ecd?lnk=arm#76666d07430d3ecd

there is the offer of the bet

followed by my acceptance of it

and now stevie welches on on the bet

878. stevei alone is allowed to forge USENET posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:25:07 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <odtfp15fp6elnbpantop8hoir6bv150meo@4ax.com>
Source record: 126700

that is what stevie is saying
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

879. I guess stevie does not understand negitive campaigning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:25:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134033936.436438.12430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126599

It is much easier to go negitive than remain positive (that is why it happens so often on Usenet) but in your case you started negeitive back in 98 when you arrived here in RRAP some of the first words off your keybaord were calling lazy then you escalated

now we are at this sorry spot but your answer is to lie and throw more wood and oil on the fire rather than agree to stop forging posts stop lying about people personal life and stop being a barabrain

I guess this means ytou truly don't understand your actions (which would border on cominemtn right there but still needs a things or 2) or that you truly have nothing positive to say

880. where is the lie stevie has been forging so mark takes a turn at it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 09:36:45 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <ovtfp193qg693sf4oqhabcgoj0c13mggp8@4ax.com>
Source record: 126701

On 8 Dec 2005 00:51:21 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> stevie asserts he alone has the right to forge posts
>
> Why do you insist on lying like this, Markie, when anyone with an
>IQ over 6.2 can see you ARE lying...?!?!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

881. Re: just exaclty how did I bring up sexality for you to respond to in this post?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 10:03:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134033238.621530.228000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126702

k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> back in March of this year when I was around you posted
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/3dd4fa2a4d2688ca/18c5159b8577e7cd?rnum=11&q=aids&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2F3dd4fa2a4d2688ca%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Daids%26#doc_e09f3c7f5f9c7b10
> now tell us how did I bring up sexuality in that thread I never posted
> in it at all nor did I post in the ng at till several months later
>
> when are you goign to drop the bad lie of claiming I cause you to
> bring up sexuality?

again just how did i cause you post that message
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

882. Re: Has Hentai/ecchi anime and manga just been outlawed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 12:25:20 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <ce9gp113k6ssi32dpn0g3hn5jh2ptqsbj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 126703

"toddaug555@yahoo.com" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Oh well, Just wait until it hits the US Supreme Court, then it will
> struck down just like the CPPA was.
>
Did you show everyone your picture, kiddie
diddler?

http://tinypic.com/ic95rp.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

883. Re: Image Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 12:33:11 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <cs9gp113k6ssi32dpn0g3hn5jh2ptqsbpg@4ax.com>
Source record: 126704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.anime.misc

"toddaug555@yahoo.com" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Hello,
> I've got an Image Gallery up and running called Demented Otaku BBS
> Gallery. It's a site dedicated to Japanese Animation and Hentai, and
> were people can post images. Some come check it out at:
>
> http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php
>
You should post your picture there too, toad the
kiddie diddler.

http://tinypic.com/ici62p.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

884. I DID IT AGAIN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 12:36:24 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <l2agp1519vcvdr9nn38h8ontc5ba45j2kn@4ax.com>
Source record: 126705

I stayd up alnght psoting in a drunker stupor
agian cehck my positng times.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

885. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 16:34:06 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11pgo3q1shangf9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 126706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Has" <has@ham.net> wrote in message
news:itqdneKdOZcR5greRVn-rg@comcast.com...
> It's rather pathetic when someone can only their own self-worth by
> comparing himself to the perceived flaws in others.
>
> -Has
>
>

Has you ignorant crossposting fool. Why do you have to bring this shit back into this group. WE COULD GIVE A FLYING FUCK LESS ABOUT K1MAN SHIT!!!! Do you need some lessons (and this part goes to all the other turds that will blindly follow) on how to use newsgroups and headers? Do you ride the special bus to school?

886. Re: stevie can't stand the idea his ation (ATION?) uch (UCH?) as he comits (COMMITS) daily being done in his own name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 16:48:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <unogp1p7ai409vf85csnuu3qg7c1u6qmpd@4ax.com>
Source record: 126707

On 8 Dec 2005 00:53:08 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1134031988.147224.93550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> coward
>
> Illiterate liar. Thief. Druinkard.

"Drunkard"

You were saying something about an "illiterate liar"?

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Still waiting for that DD-214, Dud. What's the holdup?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

887. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:21:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134062496.983389.92300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126708

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 7, 7:38 pm

>On 7 Dec 2005 18:12:36 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in

>Yeah.... how do you keep a Dudly in suspense?

Take away his belt. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

888. Re: stevie can't stand the idea his ation (ATION?) uch (UCH?) as he comits (COMMITS) daily being done in his own name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:25:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134062703.218412.130010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126709

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 8, 8:48 am

>On 8 Dec 2005 00:53:08 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>Still waiting for that DD-214, Dud. What's the holdup?

Dudly was robbing a bunk...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

889. Re: stevie can't stand the idea his ation (ATION?) uch (UCH?) as he comits (COMMITS) daily being done in his own name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:37:54 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <sprgp1pdohc6fu7eb3tru4pc8u8uf3ahhb@4ax.com>
Source record: 126710

On Thu, 08 Dec 2005 08:48:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 8 Dec 2005 00:53:08 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1134031988.147224.93550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>> coward
>>
>> Illiterate liar. Thief. Druinkard.
>
>
>"Drunkard"
>
>You were saying something about an "illiterate liar"?

yea the splling cop fucks up again
>
>
>>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
>Still waiting for that DD-214, Dud. What's the holdup?

he has to forge one of those for himself as forges posts
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

890. hey todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:38:22 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <2rrgp11kfqd9i1g7sjbsr75o5gm1scg6bb@4ax.com>
Source record: 126712

wnat to ass fcuk me agian?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

891. more steveis passion for forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:39:55 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <nurgp19vbt49jg2oite9cs3teidse13hka@4ax.com>
Source record: 126711

On Thu, 08 Dec 2005 07:33:11 -0500, "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <> wrote:

>"toddaug555@yahoo.com" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>> Hello,
>> I've got an Image Gallery up and running called Demented Otaku BBS
>> Gallery. It's a site dedicated to Japanese Animation and Hentai, and
>> were people can post images. Some come check it out at:
>>
>> http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php
>>
>You should post your picture there too, toad the
>kiddie diddler.
>
>http://tinypic.com/ici62p.jpg
>
>
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

892. why does stevie prefer his own posts being forged to agreeing not forege thoses of others?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:40:58 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <c0sgp1tae2lljpblftn9l9og846olbnqd4@4ax.com>
Source record: 126713

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

893. why does steie advocate forgery hwen he does it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:41:32 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <o1sgp1t8llcblt2sef0qo8pmo1ijgg3aru@4ax.com>
Source record: 126714

why?
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

894. more of stevie doube standard on forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:43:07 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <s4sgp11a8geumi7gk3v1l3ia4dtmj64red@4ax.com>
Source record: 126715

On Thu, 08 Dec 2005 12:38:22 -0500, "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <> wrote:

>wnat to ass fcuk me agian?
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

895. steve forges and endorses forgery of other people posts and yet whines when he is treated in the same manner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:46:18 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <2asgp19hfmei77mubvn88mgg6thtddriqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 126716

bully and coward
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

896. more stevei forgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:53:56 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134064436.449988.96090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126717

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> I stayd up alnght psoting in a drunker stupor
> agian cehck my positng times.
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

897. still more stevie forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:55:08 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134064508.262690.53030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126718

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> "toddaug555@yahoo.com" <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
> > Oh well, Just wait until it hits the US Supreme Court, then it will
> > struck down just like the CPPA was.
> >
cuting the offsenive content
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

898. why does stevie get to forge posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:56:33 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134064593.524227.204550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126719

why does stevie forge and deny the right of others to treat the same
way?

indeed he is not even being treated as badly as he treats others

899. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:58:47 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134064727.902917.277820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> "Has" <has@ham.net> wrote in message
> news:itqdneKdOZcR5greRVn-rg@comcast.com...
> > It's rather pathetic when someone can only their own self-worth by
> > comparing himself to the perceived flaws in others.
> >
> > -Has
> >
> >
>
>
> Has you ignorant crossposting fool. Why do you have to bring this shit back
> into this group. WE COULD GIVE A FLYING FUCK LESS ABOUT K1MAN SHIT!!!! Do
> you need some lessons (and this part goes to all the other turds that will
> blindly follow) on how to use newsgroups and headers? Do you ride the
> special bus to school?

if you don't care don't read it

900. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 17:59:05 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <00tgp190il5egk8871hdnid12suaks8flr@4ax.com>
Source record: 126724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Not really Lloyd, I was assured today that the content of my websites
> is in fact legal.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
hay todd wnat too ass fcuk me agian?

http://tinypic.com/iclcn5.jpg

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

901. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:00:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134064809.158754.120040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Not really Lloyd, I was assured today that the content of my websites
is in fact legal.

Todd N9OGL

902. how does stevie expect to treated seriously when he forges posts with even the cover of dramtic illustration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:01:31 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134064891.778540.172170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126722

how?

903. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:02:38 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134064958.270806.129970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

N9OGL wrote:
> Not really Lloyd, I was assured today that the content of my websites
> is in fact legal.

ut loyrd (or is it realy stevie or wisman) can't be bothered with
facts they are above such things
>
> Todd N9OGL

904. Re: Not Qualified...For WHAT...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:03:32 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134065012.083004.179960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126725

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 7, 7:38 pm
>
> >On 7 Dec 2005 18:12:36 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>
>
> >Yeah.... how do you keep a Dudly in suspense?
>
> Take away his belt. :-)

a rope would do a better job but youd have to throw it away afterward
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

905. conitue crimal behavoir from the annymouses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:04:39 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <2dtgp19dao4sm5amb95195hn4c65cc10mc@4ax.com>
Source record: 126727

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

906. when stevie stevie decide to stop this flamewar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:05:15 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134065115.043833.186100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126726

It is in his power to stop it at anytime.

all he has to do is be merely rotten as oposoed to despictable

he must stop forging post ands say so and staop lying about other
people Indeed he can even conitue to lie about himself all he wants
I'll not mind

907. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:07:00 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134065220.167345.98340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

more foregery more criminal behavir all the fault of K4YZ
kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Not really Lloyd, I was assured today that the content of my websites
> > is in fact legal.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> hay todd wnat too ass fcuk me agian?
>

> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

908. why is everyone else responible for stevie action but stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:07:51 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134065271.681200.101340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126729

becuase they aren't except in stevie sick mind

909. karma is karma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:08:06 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <9itgp1dn8klos3eac4pq1g0iaja0so76r1@4ax.com>
Source record: 126731

or what comes around goes around

or if you sow th wind you shall reap the whirlwind

stevie seems to think these comon expressions don't aplly to him
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

910. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:10:01 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dn9sok$770$1@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 126730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

an Old friend wrote:
> DrDeath wrote:
>>Has you ignorant crossposting fool. Why do you have to bring this shit back
>>into this group. WE COULD GIVE A FLYING FUCK LESS ABOUT K1MAN SHIT!!!! Do
>>you need some lessons (and this part goes to all the other turds that will
>>blindly follow) on how to use newsgroups and headers? Do you ride the
>>special bus to school?
>
> if you don't care don't read it

And for darn sure don't take the bait and respond to it.

--
St. John
Yesterday I was a dog. Today I'm a dog. Tomorrow I'll probably still
be a dog. Sigh! There's so little hope for advancement.
-Snoopy

911. when did stevie decide to support prohibiton (and with it the likes of Al Capone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:11:13 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134065473.120989.81500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126732

stevei seem to think there is something imporper about ever being
drunk?

of coursehe sees drunkardness where itdoesn't exist like the paranoid
we all know he he is

912. can stevei come clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:16:05 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <s1ugp191uhjh4j8qfihantkgflgnvbvvjp@4ax.com>
Source record: 126734

if you washed aways all the dirt from stevie would anythign reamin to
be stevie
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

913. stevei stop your flouting of the 10 comenaments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:16:45 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134065805.067452.296920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126733

stop bearing false witness against thy neighboors

914. stevie the loser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:19:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134065943.921187.326510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126735

Stevie well knwon RRAP bully has been tripped up in his abusive these days by someone he chooseto under estimate

poor loser

a phrase that describes him in so many ways

915. please I need my flame space

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:21:21 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <2cugp19o7bvh0vocss12sjl6nucoa4hcjc@4ax.com>
Source record: 126737

a cry from stevie? no not yet just what is going on in that deeply
troubled mind
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

916. poor stevei unable to deal with the loss of RRAP for his flaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:21:51 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134066110.996017.119910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126736

why the town he lives be destroyed when stevie finaly runs out of
placesinside himsefl to store his hate since he can't realese it here

maybe I should stop

nah they must have a FD down there

917. stevei do you realy think I doing my worst

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:22:48 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <neugp15ltvlstqg3oak37dh2jo47ricvsa@4ax.com>
Source record: 126738

i have number of ideas that you will likely like even less
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

918. when i wok up thsi aftrnooon i was stil drunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 18:46:55 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <aqvgp15bhp1r3l5ipkb41rk5mq6e6sqksd@4ax.com>
Source record: 126739

so i jsut started poting agian.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

919. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 20:27:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134073665.518535.323740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

no you big fake, i like women, but your little bitch roger would

920. moregeries from stevie most likely

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 20:57:05 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <ig7hp1l8jgp8di1rgp1u76tadn411i530l@4ax.com>
Source record: 126741

On Thu, 08 Dec 2005 13:46:55 -0500, "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <> wrote:

>so i jsut started poting agian.
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

921. poor stevie can't face his need for man to man sex sex so he projects it on others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:05:37 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <308hp1tmj1dorkr0oafao59b1kbhvrmfbu@4ax.com>
Source record: 126745

enough said
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

922. contiues continues to enage in his foregeeries and deny doing it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:06:46 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1134076006.642315.279020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126742

why?

mostly becuase he is a shit head

923. poor sexualy obseesed stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:09:07 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <568hp1ln8g5j1t8o1d7mak3kaslrfr8s09@4ax.com>
Source record: 126747

has to follow todd like a sex strarved puppy why don't you go find a
man interested in a peice of shit like yourself
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

924. why does stevie contiue the flamefst rather being civil

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:09:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134076182.647377.277920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126743

simple question

925. remmeber stavie you can have peace anytime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:11:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134076302.424623.158520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126744

just stop poking your nose in other people personal lives and stop your forging and peace can be yours

926. stevei prefer the flame fest to teling truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:14:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134076449.428456.294050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126746

simple fact he must prefer it or he would simply end it

927. steveie the forger can dish it out but can't take it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:19:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134076779.240543.187060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126748

stevei think he can hide behind his anny mouses and continue his
bullshit and yet he whines about beiung reated the same way by others

928. stevei can't even do a decent job of covering his tracks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:21:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134076888.061428.8290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126749

stevie hides behind others even other avatars of himself

929. when will stevie get treatment for his sexual problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:22:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134076961.525543.133810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126650

I am sure it is avalble for it

930. stevie is obsessed with sex but in de-nile maybe he will drown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:23:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134077026.270924.5270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126651

we can only hope

931. stevei is afraid of KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:24:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134077075.518847.8410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126652

such he strikes just by being himself

932. Re: why does dos the Stevie written Bio of N8DOS read like a lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:26:39 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <c79hp1d81h94bg27mtldrdipk00diadhr3@4ax.com>
Source record: 126653

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 13:25:34 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
>
>claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
>he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
>Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?

indeed becuase stevie is lying about hius father as he has lied about
himself

>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

933. Re: Rotten Egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:27:55 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <t99hp1dd6imq90vfetrcq1t2rd0f73v4ur@4ax.com>
Source record: 126654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 29 Nov 2005 20:17:47 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 01:20:54 GMT, "Grï¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½"
>> <Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> wrote:
>>
>> >The next person on usenet to reply to any post from Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a
>> >rotten egg.
>>
>> such a Childish game
>>
>> let me see is it Stevie od Dave
>
> Nope.
>
more on stevie as a rotten egg

mazinf when an annymouse gets somethingright

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

934. when will stevie decide to count the cost of his actions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:29:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134077354.854272.25380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126655

never most likely

935. aaaahhhhhaaaaaaaaaaaaaa - n9oglloser.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:30:28 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <md9hp1dah2v4t1lre8krtdo3ihnr0ciqlo@4ax.com>
Source record: 126656

Im drunk adn poting agian

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

936. more stevie forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:35:13 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <5o9hp15t1hfro3kmenviu3mther57ekju0@4ax.com>
Source record: 126657

On Thu, 08 Dec 2005 16:30:28 -0500, "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <> wrote:

>Im drunk adn poting agian
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

937. stevie continues his sexaul obsession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 21:36:56 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <6r9hp1taqp39hd4l3ttb6sm9gqomt384mo@4ax.com>
Source record: 126658

On Thu, 08 Dec 2005 16:30:29 -0500, "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <> wrote:

>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

938. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 22:01:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134079305.560201.63040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126659

From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>Agreed, Bill. The Technician privs are, IMHO,
>not optimum for an entry-level license.

To whom are you commenting? My name isn't "bill."

>> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
>
>The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
>the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
>requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
>steps.

The ONLY alternative? :-)

It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)

>>While some can and would do so, it's clearly not the
>>best way to do things.

How often have we heard "ham radio isn't for everyone!"

:-)

>> Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
>> much like the traditional union concept of work with
>> levels of apprentice-journeyman-master.
>
>Not really.

Yes, REALLY. Amateur radio is NOT an occupation.

>If a person can meet the requirements of the
>higher class licenses, they can go right to General or
>Extra. The apprentice-journeyman system doesn't allow
>that, except perhaps in extraordinary circumstances.

Says who? The only Guild I have a card for doesn't
require those levels.

>Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to
>Generals and Extras. While that number is small
>compared to those who start out as Technicians, it
>proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both

>upgrading steps.

Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
classes? "Upgrading" can be done for oneself, to
keep abrest of technology advancements (see the
old "Amateurs Code" on that).

If there were only ONE license, there would be no
"upgrading" via licenses, would there?

But, such a one-class system would take away all the
"fun" that some have of needing to be "better than
others!" :-)

>> Amateur radio isn't a union nor a guild nor a craft.
>
>Len, you're the only one who makes that comparison.

No, I got that from a licensed radio amateur some
years ago. It IS parallel to the license classes
as well as the thinking of those needing rank-status-
privilege "upgrades" for longer-tenured amateurs.

>Even if it's valid, it means nothing in terms of how many
>Amateur Radio license classes should exist.

Well now, I just don't think ANY multiple classes
should exist. ONE license. How about that?

>Not really. The license classes exist for two reasons:
>
>1) To offer an easy way to get started in amateur radio

One can't go into an HRO, plunk down plastic, walk out
with a working two-way radio? :-)

What would be easier?

>2) To offer an easier path to full privileges than would
>exist with a single license class that required the same
>knowledge

Removing the artificiality of all that class distinction
with carrot-stick "privileges" would erase all of that.

Face it, Jimmie, all those classes GREW in order to
satisfy some POLITICAL reasons within the amateur
community. In the beginning there was only ONE license.

>Anyone who can meet the requirements of the
>various license classes can earn them.

"Earn them?" :-) "I load sixteen tons and what do I get?"

[ol' Ern singing away a once popular song :-)]

If there were NO classes, just ONE license, wouldn't the
applicants have "earned" those?

In the beginning there was only ONE license.

>> It is a HOBBY,
>
>And a lot more!

As far as the federal government is concerned, it is a NON-PAYING
radio activity that is expressly forbidden to broadcast or engage
in common-carrier communications. That boils down to a HOBBY.

>It's also done for public service.

Jimmie, grow up. You are NOT the ARRL trying to do a snow job on
the public, trying to get more membership.

Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.

Hobbies, ALL hobbies, can be made into a "service" for SOME of the
public.

Now, if you thought you were doing some "service" to the nation,
you are delusional. In Title 47 C.F.R., the word "service" is
used as a regulatory word meaning the type and kind of radio
activity being regulated by a Part, ALL Parts.

Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.

Individuals engaged in that HOBBY are licensed because the FCC,
the federal agency regulating all civil radio, think that
licensing is a tool of regulation.

>In almost every human activity there are levels of
>achievement and recognition for same.

"Recognition?" Tsk, now you are back to CLASS DISTINCTION
again!

Level of achievement with a no-class, one-license
system: Have a license or not have a license.

>> Operating a radio transmitter is, in reality, not a
>> complex task
>
>That depends on the transmitter. Some require a lot
>of skill and knowledge, others do not.

Crap. It isn't anywhere close to rocket science.

If very ordinary young men can operate multi-control
vacuum tube transmitters of high power output with
success and rapidity with only a few days of on-the-
job instruction, then your "lot of skill and
knowledge" is crap squared.

>And there is
>far more to amateur radio operation than "operating
>a transmitter".

Anyone, with or without a license can operate a
RECEIVER. Crap-cubed, Jimmie.

UNLICENSED people by the thousands every day in the
USA are OPERATING TRANSCEIVERS.

Crap to the fourth power, Jimmie.

>Perhaps this skill and knowledge requirement is why
>you have such a dislike of Morse Code, Len. Morse
>Code operation in amateur radio usually involves
>skilled operators.

Crap to the fifth power, Jimmie.

Don't try that "you ain't good enough to be in the same
universe as you morsemen."

"Morse code operation in amateur radio" does NOT
involve ALL "skilled operators." Is 5 WPM rate
something that is "skilled?" Geez, Jimmie, you've
written that "20 WPM CW [code] isn't high rate."
You elevated yourself to being better than most
with morse and you deride thousands of old extras
who passed a 20 WPM test. Tsk, tsk.

The license test element 1 doesn't involve full-day
shifts of relaying messages on some net, doesn't
involve emergency messaging from ships or people in
danger, doesn't involve anything but a very simple
test of cognition. VECs can delete sending tests at
their option.

If you've looked at the ARRL home page lately you
would have seen a little Quiz box. 45.6 percent of
those who took that Quiz said they NEVER used
radiotelegraphy!

>The people you cite do not "operate radio transmitters"
>in the same sense that radio amateurs do. They are, in
>reality, radio *users*, not operators in the sense of
>amateur radio operators.

The radios they USE are either owned by their employers
(businesses, public safety agences as examples) or
themselves (private boat or aircraft owners as an
example). Some of those radios DO require a licensed
person to oversee their operation and technical details,
but some do NOT. Depends on the particular radio service.

>They are not required to have
>much if any technical knowledge of their
>radio equipment, nor does that equipment have any
>technical adjustments.

An amateur radio license is ALSO a radio station license.
That is the difference. Amateurs ARE allowed to build
their own transmitters (within limits of regulations) but
all other radio services (some exceptions in Part 15
devices) require type-acceptance of RF emitters.

Being allowed to home-build does NOT impact USE, Jimmie.
Amateur USE is the same whether home-built or ready-
built. "Adjustment" to meet the technical requirements
of Part 97 is NOT USE.

> In fact the radios are usually
>set up so that the only adjustments are on-off-volume,
>channel select, and maybe squelch. In many cases the
>latter two do not exist.

You forgot the Push-To-Talk "adjustment." :-)

In case you are wondering about some boat or aircraft
owners, take a look at a popular seller of private
marine radios, SGC in Belleview, WA. Their SGC 2020
model is for both marine and amateur HF bands, the
chief difference being in frequency control ranges. The
front panel controls are the same and not as simple as
you describe. [there's plenty of other examples,
especially in small-boat radar] In general aviation
craft, the civil communications band transceiver IS
simple. It should be since a pilot has to give their
attention to FLYING, not playing ham. Add to that the
civil navigation band receiver with OBS for VOR, the
crossed needles for LOC and GS, the Marker Beacon
lights, is NOT "simple." Toss in the transponder and
its operation (not complex, but woe if you squawk the
wrong code these days!).

>That they do not require radio operator licenses is proof of
>that difference.

Crap to the sixth power, Jimmie. The REGULATIONS were
SIMPLIFIED to streamline them by removing old, antiquated
regulations that no longer benefitted anyone. The
governments (worldwide) did that.

This isn't 1920 and some ship's radio room with a single
"skilled" radio operator the only one "qualified" to
operate a spark transmitter and crystal set receiver.
Times have changed.

>On top of all that, the radio users cited above may not be
>FCC licensed, but they are trained, tested and often certified in
>proper radio procedures for the radios they use.

"Certified?" They get neat little certificates (suitable
for framing)? Wow!

Each and every radio service has their own set of jargon
and lingo, plus communications procedures. <shrug> So?
They generall use the same lingo and jargon when using
wired telephones. It is JOB-SPECIFIC.

>For
>example, licenses to pilot aircraft with radios require that
>the licensee know and demonstrate proper aircraft radio
>procedures. The pilot's license cannot be obtained without
>such radio procedure knowledge.

By the Federal AVIATION Administration, NOT the FCC.

The FAA makes the regulations for flying/piloting, Jimmie.

>Amateur radio is completely different.

Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY. Pilots don't go
chasing DX or engaging in contact contests or sending QSLs.
Ignore a ham transceiver and all you do is miss a contact
or two, maybe offend the person at the other end. Ignore
an airplane's attitude or instruments and it crashes and
the pilot is DEAD, perhaps with many more on the ground.

Completely different. I agree.

>A radio amateur
>is, by definition and regulation, both operationally and
>technically responsible for his/her station.

Tsk, the vast majority have NO means except a contact at
the other end of the radio circuit, NO way of insuring
that their RF emitters meet the prescribed technical
characteristics given in Part 97.

>In the vast
>majority of situations, the radio amateur sets up his/her station
>and operates it without special formal training, testing or
>certification other than the amateur radio license.

Yeah, they pay by plastic, perhaps follow the maker's
instructions and fumble around until things sound right.

>So the
>license tests must be more comprehensive than those for
>services where the "operator" is really more of a user.

Crap to the seventh order, Jimmie.

"Modern" amateur band transceivers, transmitters, receivers, etc.
are ready-to-play right out of the box. Those are aligned,
tested, calibrated, ready-to-go. Sort of like the SGC 2020
private marine version SSB transceiver. :-)

>Typical amateur radio equipment - particularly HF/MF
>equipment - has many technical adjustments and controls.
>Skill and knowledge *are* required to operate such radios
>to best advantage.

Oh, back to lower-order CRAP, Jimmie. After an hour's
instruction (maybe less) I was QSYing a BC-339 1 KW HF
transmitter. It had MORE "technical adjustments and
controls" than the average amateur transmitter of
comparable power. Wanna see what those looke like? Here:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/
My3Years.pdf

>Unlike almost all other radio services, amateur radio is
>not formally channelized, particularly on HF/MF.

Except the "60m band."

Except for all those VHF and UHF repeaters which have
been frequency-coordinated.

<snip of squealing to the chorus>

>Would you have just one class of license?

Yes. NO class, ONE license.

If you need gold stars or pretty certificates, get those at
Office Depot.

>Would you prefer the chaos of unregulation? Or perhaps
>much more regulation that would eliminate much of the
>freedom and flexibility radio amateurs enjoy?

Reducto ad absurdum "questions" don't win you anything.

>If any license has been a failure at its original purpose, it is the
>Technician. That license was created to encourage the development and
>use of VHF/UHF after WW2, and not to be an entry-level license at all.
>The original Technician license privileges were for 220 MHz and up. The
>license was intended for technically-oriented folks who wanted to
>tinker and build and experiment, and occasionally operate.

What do you mean "occasionally operate?" And just what is
YOUR experience at ham bands of 220 MHz and up? Especially
right after WW2.

>Yet most
>Technicians then and now are primarily communicators, not
>builder/experimenters.

Funny thing about your sneer, Jimmie, it almost makes you smile,
but not quite.

Right now the combined numbers of no-code-Technician and Technician
Plus classes make up a bit more that 48% of ALL U.S. amateur radio
licenses granted. Almost HALF, Jimmie.

Newcomers to amateur radio are entering through the no-code-test
Technician class level...because it has NO code test.

Sunnuvagun!

>Perhaps that emotional baggage is why you never
>held a Novice license, Len. Perhaps you disliked being
>known as a beginner.

In 1951 I would have accepted that "Novice" grading...as a teen-
ager. Maybe in early 1953 at age 20 when learning to operate
high-power HF transmitters. NOT by late 1954 as an E-5 and
supervisor of an operating team. Sure as hell NOT by early
1956 after being a supervisor of microwave radio relay
equipment vital to the linkage of all parts of a military
radio station.

You call me a "beginner" in radio now you will get laughed at
and become a target for rotten tomatoes.

Get the picture?

<"history" lesson omitted from one who wasn't there then>

>Neither is it a reason to discard the concept. The details
>may need changing but the concept is valid. It offers a way
>for newcomers to get started in amateur radio without
>having to make a large investment of resources.

More crap of no particular order.

You are stuck in an endless loop of repeating past regulatory
standards AS IF time and attitudes have not changed. For
example of blindness to actual fact:

>One big reason the Novice lost favor as the entry point
>for new hams was its lack of privileges on the most popular
>VHF/UHF bands - 2 meters and 440, where most of the repeaters are.

Just ordinary crap. The Novice class started before "repeaters"
were numerous in major urban areas.

After 1990, newcomers were shunning "Novice" and going for the
NO-CODE-TEST Technician class license. Sure, it was straight-
jacketed to VHF and above but it was fun for most in urban
areas and the equipment makers had equipment on the shelves
for them to buy.

<snip to Jimmie mumbling about kiddies>

>> It is
>> misplaced in a "community" whose active members are
>> predominently adult.
>
>No, it isn't misplaced at all. Including young people in
>amateur radio is a *good* thing, not a problem.

Goodie...have fun attending nursery school activities with
all the kiddies.

>Now you're just getting nasty, Len.

No, I've only touched on your apparent pedophilia.

>The reason amateur radio is "primarily adult" is that young
>people don't stay young for long.

Remarkable! You've made a DISCOVERY!

Ah, but you've talked only about their physicality. Mentally
some NEVER outgrow their childhood...keeping the kiddie thoughts
and pretending to be grown-ups long into their old age.

>One of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio Service
>is education.

In case you haven't noticed, the FCC was NEVER chartered as
an educational institution.

FCC say "SELF-education," Jimmie. About radio.

"Teach goegraphy?" What are public schools for? Recess?

"Other languages?" Morsemen say "morse code is an
international language" therefore only ONE is needed.

"Time zones?" WTF you tawkin bout?

"Government regulations?" BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAAH!!!!!!

Part 97 is one of the SMALLEST Parts in Title 47 C.F.R.

>Amateur Radio is for people of almost any age, not
>just "adults". It is not an age-specific activity.

I'm sure that pedophiles love it.

>Amateur radio is one place where adults
>and children can often interact as functional equals.

You've GOT to be kidding if you want to be "functional
equals" with a six-year-old Technician!!!!

>Young people do not need to be isolated from adults
>and most adult activities. They need just the opposite -
>inclusion and integration, so they learn to be part of
>the community rather than alienated from it.

Note: Got word from Psychology Today magazine that
your application as Editor-in-Chief has been revoked.
You really DO have to have a passing grade on Psych 101
to qualify.

>Of course there are a few activities that are not suitable
>for young people, but amateur radio isn't one of them.

>*Any* activity has the capacity to make a young
>person "different".

Now you are contradicting yourself.

>Those who are involved in Scouting
>are "different" from those who are not involved.

Here's a hint, Sherlock. BOY scouts and GIRL scouts
are/were purposely FOR children. They weren't intended
for grown-ups. If you need to wear a uniform and be in
the woods, try enlisting in the army...which you've
never done.

>Most young people who play sports will never be able to
>play professionally.

Are there professionals in radiosport?

>Most young people who learn a
>musical instrument will never be able to be professional
>musicians.

You can't play music over the amateur bands.

>Most young people who perform in school plays
>will not become actors.

Yet some TRY to be actors (or salespeople) toward others,
trying to impress others on their lofty abilities. :-)

>But amateur radio can be the path to a number of careers, like
>engineering.

The MAJORITY of my contemporaries in electronics got into it
WITHOUT first getting an amateur radio license.

>You all had at least a high school education, didn't you?

Wasn't required then. Even literacy wasn't a requirement!
There were special classes to teach English then but that
required an extension of the service time to compensate.

>All had passed various aptitude tests to become signalmen, didn't
>they?

No. The ONLY aptitude test given in regards to radio was
a morse code cognition test given to all recruits. Steering
of recruits in the military then was DEMAND-driven. One

goes where one is told to go.

>You all went to microwave school, right?

No. Some went to Field Radio School, some went to tele-
typewriter school, a few went to inside-plant telephone
school. We had a separate group for outside-plant telephone
people...the "pole cats" who put up the poles for wire
antennas and strung the wire.

>It wasn't like you and the others had no "radio-electronics"
>background at all, and had to start from scratch.

Tsk. Try NOT to TELL ME what I or any contemporaries were
doing, Jimmie. You don't know dink about it.

Some DID start with no previous experience other than turning
on a broadcast receiver. One was a chemist in his 3rd year
of college (not quite old enough to escape the draft and too
young to escape drafting by the Wehrmacht!). One was a farmer
from Iowa. Others were from different occupations having
nothing to do with radio or electronics.

>While you
>may have not had specific "HF" training, was there no
>transfer from the training you did have?

One did, in fact, transfer out...didn't like all that
electronics snit at Monmouth and asked to go into Infantry.

>So you had experienced people to supervise, teach and guide
>everything you did, and make sure you did it right.

That's normal in the military. :-)

They didn't hold any hands or coddle lower ranks if that's
what you mean...<guffaw!>

>You weren't on your own at all until the experienced people thought
>you were ready - right?

Not entirely true. If ANY situation arose that required
handling, it was handled as best as one could. That is ALSO
true in ANY aspect of military experience.

>What you did was all according to set procedures that had
>been worked out carefully by trained and experienced people,
>correct?

Not entirely true. With experience, learning, paying
attention, lower rankings become higher rankings and are
thus considered "trained and experienced." :-)

>And you had all sorts of manuals, training materials, tools,
>parts and test equipment to do the job - right?

Not entirely true. But, it is useless to try to explain it
to you since you have NO similar experience and NONE in that
time frame.

>> Those that did it wrong were shown
>> why and had to practice getting it right. No re-
>> criminations leveled, no "chewings out," no
>> ostracizing.
>
>All good stuff - but it all amounts to a considerable
>training period, doesn't it? A lot more than a few days.

What, to QSY a BC-339? A BC-340? An LD-T2? Simple task.
The PW-15 was a bit more difficult due to the large double-
shorting links for the final tank (15 KW conservative RF
output, looked like it was built for three times that).
Piece of cake to anyone with a normal memory.

Memorizing new jargon was more "difficult", memorizing
new procedures on the order-wire teletypewriter were more
"difficult," some with bad pitch would set up the Shift on
the RTTY exciters to 425 cycles instead of the 850 cycles
standard. Jimmie, I WAS THERE, YOU WERE NOT. I've
explained all of it before. I have a nice photo essay on
it that is a free download. Did you get it?

>> We all learned and did our tasks
>
>I'm sure you did - and there were incentives to do so!

What "incentives" did we have? Name them.

Do one's job well enough and one does NOT get demoted,
does NOT get Company Punishment ("Captain's Mast" in
the Navy), does NOT get shit details...although some
military tasks ARE shit details for all.

Promotion in rank an "incentive?" IF there is an
opening (not guaranteed) in the TO&E and one is
evaluated to be a responsible type, MAYBE a
promotion. Of course, such an "incentive" also
requires an additional responsibility and, with that,
a whole new set of "gradings" on performance.

>Like what, Len? Compared to amateurs who have done
>things like building and operating complete EME stations
>on their own time, with only their own resources?

Describe YOUR "EME" station, Jimmie.

Military life is NOT a hobby, Jimmie. You don't understand
that and it is useless to explain it to you.

>Now I'll tell you about *my* experience on "entering HF".

We've all heard that before in here...yawn.

It sounds JUST like some cute human-interest stories
published now and then in amateur radio publications.

<"priceless" ego-boo story snipped>

>A completely different environment than what you described for
>yourself.

Yes. Big difference. I never considered myself "superior"
to anyone except of lower rank (superiority was already
pre-defined). While all what I've described was going on,
WE (the soldiers) ALSO had to undergo periodic training
to keep up our warfighting skills. NONE of that was a
HOBBY, Jimmie.

>All the military radios I've seen that are/were
>meant to be used by "line outfits" were made as simple to operate
>as possible. That paradigm goes all the way back to the WW2
>BC-611 "walkie talkie".

"Handie-talkie," Jimmie. The "walkie-talkie" was the SCR-300
(R/T being BC-1000). Both designed by MOTOROLA.

Tell us YOUR experiences WITH "line" outfits. How good can
you do morse keying while rattling around IN a moving tank?

Why do you think that military radios SHOULD have lots of
complicated controls with lots of time available for operators
to play with knobs, dials, and switches?

Ever "wear" an AN/PRC-9? [or its cousins PRC-8, PRC-10?).
How about carrying an AN/PRC-25 or a PRC-77? How about an
AN/PRC-104 or the SINCGARS AN/PRC-119? Ever enter the
"hopset" on a 119? I have. As a civilian.

If you want knobs, dials, switches to play with, try the old
post-WW2 USMC HF transmitter T-195 designed by Collins Radio.
First Jeep-mounted Autotune critter, first one with an
automatic antenna tuner...and enormously INEFFICIENT in terms
of DC power drain on the Jeep versus its RF power output.

I can rattle off dozens more but you won't accept any of
those that haven't appeared in the Military Ring of the
boatanchors afficionados.

>The environments are completely different, Len.

NO KIDDING?!? Amateur radio is a HOBBY. Military is all
about WARFIGHTING, Jimmie.

>Most
>radio amateurs are essentially self-taught, in their spare
>time, using their own resources. What they could learn
>in a week or two of intense formal training might take a

>month to a year of part-time self-study.

WTF is this "intense" formal training? Is there a whips
and chains punisher in the classroom as "teachers' aide?"

YOU tell ME EXACTLY how much compensation I got for keeping
up with the state-of-the-art in electronics (and radio, if
you insist on making those two indestinguishable fields
"separate")...and how many "intense instruction classes"
I got during my civilian career? I can tell you exactly
to both: ZERO.

Anyone who tries to apply themselves in anything MUST do a
whole helluvalot of SELF-STUDY...for their work OR for their
hobby. SELF-STUDY on one's own free time...at nights, during
lunch, anywhere keeping their eyes open and being receptive
to new things. If that means taking the trouble to go to
seminars, take extension classes without credit on one's
own wallet payments, then one does it...if they really,
really want to know more...in a hobby OR in a career.

>More important, the only experience requirements for
>amateur licenses in the USA disappeared 30+ years ago.

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!! Someone somewhere FINALLY
figured out that amateur radio was a HOBBY way back then!

Gotta love the fantasy that the amateurs had way back,
being oh-so-important communications providers to the
nation! :-) That fantasy still persists. :-(

>... But it's an uphill road with FCC because
>the NPRM clearly states that FCC doesn't see it that way.

WHICH NPRM? FCC 05-143? You are getting mixed up on what
their discussion-on-other-Petitions have versus their
proposal to just end all morse code test requirements for
an amateur radio license.

Hey, if you wanna have a big boo-hoo on "the FCC doesn't
see YOUR way," then check the newspaper coupons at your
local market. There may be a special on Kleenex or other
tissues.

If you want some nice gold stars to paste on your Extra
license, go down to Office Depot and buy them. Then you
can explain to your friends and neighbors how so very good
you are ("see the gold stars?") and they will all gush
all over you. [Kleenex will also absorb extra gushing]

Stay dry.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

939. Re: stevie can't stand the idea his ation (ATION?) uch (UCH?) as he comits (COMMITS) daily being done in his own name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 23:06:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134083202.218277.58840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126660

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 8, 8:48 am
>
> >On 8 Dec 2005 00:53:08 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>
>
> >>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
> >
> >Still waiting for that DD-214, Dud. What's the holdup?
>
> Dudly was robbing a bunk...
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Did anyone notify the bunko squad?

940. Re: does anyone remeber the last time dave posted about ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 23:20:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134084004.040913.249120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126661

kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> I certainly don't

I do. I recall the time he told us about working French hams about
250khz above their authorization on 6M, but that was years ago. He's
pretty quiet about his present operating activities.

941. Re: does anyone remeber the last time dave posted about ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 23:22:34 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <41ghp1pqbgufs3ah223m7tgcchb65g152a@4ax.com>
Source record: 126662

On 8 Dec 2005 15:20:04 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>> I certainly don't
>
>I do. I recall the time he told us about working French hams about
>250khz above their authorization on 6M, but that was years ago. He's
>pretty quiet about his present operating activities.

how many years ago was that?

mark

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

942. Re: does anyone remeber the last time dave posted about ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 23:23:15 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <g2ghp1p340umo8r97ulfqnfptl8m1peepu@4ax.com>
Source record: 126663

On 8 Dec 2005 15:20:04 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>> I certainly don't
>
>I do. I recall the time he told us about working French hams about
>250khz above their authorization on 6M, but that was years ago. He's
>pretty quiet about his present operating activities.

so since then nothing even stevie has done better than that

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

943. Re: does anyone remeber the last time dave posted about ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 23:28:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134084482.205593.134530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126664

k4yz wrote:
> On 8 Dec 2005 15:20:04 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> >> I certainly don't
> >
> >I do. I recall the time he told us about working French hams about
> >250khz above their authorization on 6M, but that was years ago. He's
> >pretty quiet about his present operating activities.
>
> how many years ago was that?
>
> mark

I think he had a Tanzania call at the time.

944. Re: More Markie Mularkie...This One Might Cost Markie $100!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-08 23:59:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134086392.653842.248690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126665

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > stevie lies something
> > >
> > > He promised I would arrested today
> >
> > Hey Markie...
> >
> > Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
> > ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
> > today".....?!?!?!?!
>
> pay the money to amsat
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/da59268c3f4
87aee/d8e371554c72afa1?lnk=st&q=black&rnum=1#d8e371554c72afa1
>
> relavant paragraph
> Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW. If you
>
> stop now, there's no need to feel "threatened". If you don't aplogize
> for the death threats, then you DO have a reason to feel "threatened",
> but not from me...It will be from the next black-and-white that pulls
> up in your driveway.
>
>
>
> therefore you lose but you will welch on the bet

Mark, has Steve had you arrested yet?

945. Re: Steve concerned about the number of Mark's postings.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 00:03:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134086096.145388.45910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126666

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
> > > > more lies slander boring Stevie
> >
> > Definitely a lie. Steve has started more new threads (spam) than
> > anyone around, usually to lie about another, or to call them names.
>
> Not truthful, Brain.

Truthful.

Cecil may have the all time record number of postings, but you are the
original "new thread starter" and usually to call names or to put forth
another new lie.

> But then you've got that reputation, so what's new about
> THESE...?!?!

Only you claim that I have that reputation.

> > Now he cries like a little girl about getting a mega-dose of it back.
> > Poor little Steve.
>
> Who's crying?
>
> I am torn between the "ROTMFF" laughter over his exploits to being
> angered that he's conducting himself in such a way as to create havoc
> for everyone else.

You've never concerned yourself with anyone else when starting new
threads with lies and name calling, so why start now?

> I get the distinct impression that he think's he's somehow causing
> ME a problem...And again he'd be so "wrong" on so many levels!

Everyone else is just wrong, Wrong, WRONG!

> > > What's "boring" is watching you humiliate yourself, Markie.
> > >
> > > So...When you going to apologize to the other users and resume
> > > adult-like conduct?
> >
> > I dunno. It's kind of interesting seeing someone "out-###hole" you, Steve.
>

> Oh, Brain! You understimate your Feeble Five Bretheren! Markie
> has surpassed you, me, Lennie, Frankie, and a handful of others all
> rolled into one!

I didn't think it was possible for anyone to out-asshole you. Sounds
like you've admitted defeat.

> > And I don't think Mark's even close to being done.
>
> Probably not. It's really hard to get people like Markie to

That's "Mark."

> forget about themselves and "do the right thing". I am sure Markie has
> a whole lot more "###holing" to do before he realizes that the only one
> applauding him is himself.

You're only partially correct.

> > My best guess is that you've really pissed him off. Can't imagine why.
>
> You can't imagine why and I couldn't care less...What a combo.

Sarcasm.

> > You need to answer Mark's question about what a person's sexual
> > orientation has to do with amateur radio and why you change
> > attributions and accuse people of "raped an old friend," pedophilia,
> > etc.
>
> I A N S W E R E D his "question" Brain.

So? Answer it again. This time without lying.

> But since you are now telling others what they "need" to do, are WE
> ever going to get the questions about Somalia, unlicensed devices,
> ARES, etc etc etc answered?

Seven hostile actions?

> > Maybe once you apologize for being a jerk and promise to behave
> > yourself... he will abate the very treatment that you dish out to
> > others. Strange that you don't like it in-turn.
>
> I have nothing to apologize to Mark Morgan for, Brain...

You do. You have persecuted Mark.

> He was asked for an apology for the stalking, death threat, "I am
> a Colonel", stories for YEARS now and not a single one has been
> delivered.
>
> The "in-turn" is being delivered to him, not vice versa.

"And again you'd be so "wrong" on so many levels!"

> > But it's up to Mark to accept your apology.
>
> What apology?

That's right. Where is it?

> > Meanwhile, I'm waiting for a seperate apology...
>
> There you go with delusions of granduer, Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

As you wish.

946. Re: I'd Call 130 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard of 30 November 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 00:07:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134086831.437507.274450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126667

K4YZ wrote:
> Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
> distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
>
> Let's hope he get's his check soon and get's out of the house for
> a while!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You define internet stalking as 130 new threads?

Should I go back and count up your new threads?

Hi!

947. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 00:45:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134089118.900984.85310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126668

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > The neighborhood organization was against the ZONING change
> > > from "R" (pure residential) to "R1" (residences plus
> > > aparments). The original plan was for "senior citizen
> > > apartments" which we neighbors did not like.
> >
> > Why not? You're a senior citizen ;-)
>
> He's a citzen.
>
> There's not a whole lot "senior" about him.

No, Steve, Len is definitely a senior citizen. He's way past retirement
age.
>
> And "forcing" Lennie to live near other's his age would be like
> "forcing" him to get an Amateur Radio license...It might "force" him to
> realize that he is not the Alpha and Omega of his realm.

Nobody's "forcing" Len to do any of those things. He's free to move if
he doesn't like how the neighborhood has changed.

My point about the whole zoning thing is *not* that Len or his
neighbors
did anything "wrong". The point is that they resisted a change that
others
wanted, even though the people who wanted it told them it was
"progress"
and would be a good thing for the future.

Yet Len heaps abuse on those who resist a change in the Amateur Radio
Service rules, even though the people who want the change say
it is "progress" and will be a good thing for the future.

As for the claim that those of us with licenses aren't affected by
those
changes in any significant way, note that those who already owned
houses in Sun City aren't really affected by the zoning change of R to
R1
in any really significant way.

Of course Len and his neighbors could have bought the land from the
failed developer and thus protected themselves from future development.

Let's see...if the houses cost a half million, the land for each house might
be worth a hundred thousand. That's only 4.4 million for 44 houses. Say
5 million with all the costs. Divided among how many neighbors?

The rest is easy:

1) The neighbors pitch in and buy the land, to be held by a corporation
formed for the purpose.
2) Developers are invited to submit proposals for development.
3) When a developer comes up with a proposal that meets all the
neighbors'
requirements, that developer is allowed to proceed, subject to a tight
contract
that only turns over title to the land when all conditions are met.
4) Profit!

> > > Yes, a two-
> > > story house or apartment would block my VIEW that I enjoyed
> > > for over 30 years here.
> >
> > So you think your "right" to a VIEW is more important than
> > people having a place to live....
>
> So...We get them to raise a privacy wall around the new buildings
> and let the mural artists go to work...Then Lennie can have whatever
> "view", however myopic, he wants. Maybe one with no antennas and no
> faces over 40?

No mirrors?

948. One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 01:19:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134091184.175081.190120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126669

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
> >
> >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
> >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
> >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
> >steps.
>
> The ONLY alternative? :-)

If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.
>
> It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)

Then call it something else.
>
> >>While some can and would do so, it's clearly not the
> >>best way to do things.

> >> Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
> >> much like the traditional union concept of work with
> >> levels of apprentice-journeyman-master.
> >
> >Not really.
>
> Yes, REALLY.

No, not REALLY.

> Amateur radio is NOT an occupation.

Who said it was?
>
> >If a person can meet the requirements of the
> >higher class licenses, they can go right to General or
> >Extra. The apprentice-journeyman system doesn't allow
> >that, except perhaps in extraordinary circumstances.
>
> Says who? The only Guild I have a card for doesn't
> require those levels.

That's an extraordinary circumstance.

> >Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to
> >Generals and Extras. While that number is small
> >compared to those who start out as Technicians, it
> >proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both
> >upgrading steps.
>
> Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
> classes?

One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
You haven't.

> "Upgrading" can be done for oneself, to
> keep abrest of technology advancements (see the
> old "Amateurs Code" on that).

How about keeping abreast of correct spelling? ;-)
>
> If there were only ONE license, there would be no
> "upgrading" via licenses, would there?

Right.

And if there were only one license, regardless of
what it would be called, its test(s) would
have to contain everything that is now contained in
the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.
Otherwise the standards would be reduced.

So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
amateur radio license.

Is that what you want?

949. Re: I'd Call 130 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard of 30 November 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 02:29:23 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <4vqhp15thjgs0k2g9dflc006ug3me63o9i@4ax.com>
Source record: 126670

On 8 Dec 2005 16:07:11 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
>> distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
>>
>> Let's hope he get's his check soon and get's out of the house for
>> a while!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>You define internet stalking as 130 new threads?

like I have said before in making these posts stevie more or less
admitts to the charges made over the years against him
>
>Should I go back and count up your new threads?
>
>Hi!

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

950. Re: More Markie Mularkie...This One Might Cost Markie $100!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 02:30:43 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <h0rhp1tb7og68uee8mk3qr4u0c8tp2vf5g@4ax.com>
Source record: 126671

On 8 Dec 2005 15:59:52 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > stevie lies something
>> > >
>> > > He promised I would arrested today
>> >
>> > Hey Markie...
>> >
>> > Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
>> > ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
>> > today".....?!?!?!?!
>>
>> pay the money to amsat
>>
>> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/da59268c3f
487aee/d8e371554c72afa1?lnk=st&q=black&rnum=1#d8e371554c72afa1
>>
>> relavant paragraph
>> Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW. If you
>>
>> stop now, there's no need to feel "threatened". If you don't aplogize
>> for the death threats, then you DO have a reason to feel "threatened",
>> but not from me...It will be from the next black-and-white that pulls
>> up in your driveway.
>>
>>
>>
>> therefore you lose but you will welch on the bet
>
>Mark, has Steve had you arrested yet?

obviously not

indeed as I related I had dealing with the local cops and they don't
even know who stevie is (my neigboor s reitre minsters complained I
was making to much noise by using a snowblower we go through every
years

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

951. Re: Steve concerned about the number of Mark's postings.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 02:32:40 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <d5rhp19jf156e2ljrfc4nqhl25nd3r64pn@4ax.com>
Source record: 126672

On 8 Dec 2005 16:03:20 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>> > > > more lies slander boring Stevie
>> >
>> > Definitely a lie. Steve has started more new threads (spam) than
>> > anyone around, usually to lie about another, or to call them names.
>>
>> Not truthful, Brain.
>
>Truthful.
>
>Cecil may have the all time record number of postings, but you are the
>original "new thread starter" and usually to call names or to put forth
>another new lie.
>
>> But then you've got that reputation, so what's new about
>> THESE...?!?!
>
>Only you claim that I have that reputation.
>
>> > Now he cries like a little girl about getting a mega-dose of it back.
>> > Poor little Steve.
>>
>> Who's crying?
>>
>> I am torn between the "ROTMFF" laughter over his exploits to being
>> angered that he's conducting himself in such a way as to create havoc
>> for everyone else.
>
>You've never concerned yourself with anyone else when starting new
>threads with lies and name calling, so why start now?
>
>> I get the distinct impression that he think's he's somehow causing
>> ME a problem...And again he'd be so "wrong" on so many levels!
>
>Everyone else is just wrong, Wrong, WRONG!
>
>> > > What's "boring" is watching you humiliate yourself, Markie.

>> > >
>> > > So...When you going to apologize to the other users and resume
>> > > adult-like conduct?
>> >
>> > I dunno. It's kind of interesting seeing someone "out-###hole" you, Steve.
>>
>> Oh, Brain! You understimate your Feeble Five Bretheren! Markie
>> has surpassed you, me, Lennie, Frankie, and a handful of others all
>> rolled into one!
>
>I didn't think it was possible for anyone to out-asshole you. Sounds
>like you've admitted defeat.
>
>> > And I don't think Mark's even close to being done.
>>
>> Probably not. It's really hard to get people like Markie to
>
>That's "Mark."
>
>> forget about themselves and "do the right thing". I am sure Markie has
>> a whole lot more "###holing" to do before he realizes that the only one
>> applauding him is himself.
>
>You're only partially correct.
>
>> > My best guess is that you've really pissed him off. Can't imagine why.
>>
>> You can't imagine why and I couldn't care less...What a combo.
>
>Sarcasm.
>
>> > You need to answer Mark's question about what a person's sexual
>> > orientation has to do with amateur radio and why you change
>> > attributions and accuse people of "raped an old friend," pedophilia,
>> > etc.
>>
>> I A N S W E R E D his "question" Brain.
>
>So? Answer it again. This time without lying.
>
>> But since you are now telling others what they "need" to do, are WE
>> ever going to get the questions about Somalia, unlicensed devices,
>> ARES, etc etc etc answered?
>
>Seven hostile actions?
>
>> > Maybe once you apologize for being a jerk and promise to behave
>> > yourself... he will abate the very treatment that you dish out to
>> > others. Strange that you don't like it in-turn.
>>

>> I have nothing to apologize to Mark Morgan for, Brain...
>
>You do. You have persecuted Mark.
>
>> He was asked for an apology for the stalking, death threat, "I am
>> a Colonel", stories for YEARS now and not a single one has been
>> delivered.
>>
>> The "in-turn" is being delivered to him, not vice versa.
>
>"And again you'd be so "wrong" on so many levels!"
>
>> > But it's up to Mark to accept your apology.
>>
>> What apology?
>
>That's right. Where is it?
>
>> > Meanwhile, I'm waiting for a seperate apology...
>>
>> There you go with delusions of granduer, Brain.

misssed it delusions he goes on about
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>As you wish.

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

952. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 02:37:59 UTC
From: k4yz
Message-ID: <57rhp1hri2nb00me983pscudpjjf6r3vd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 126673

On 8 Dec 2005 17:19:44 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>> >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
>> >
>> >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
>> >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
>> >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
>> >steps.
>>
>> The ONLY alternative? :-)
>
>If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.

which is of course an opiton

yes that course of action has it price but cleally it benifits as well
>>
>> It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)
>
>Then call it something else.
>>
>> >>While some can and would do so, it's clearly not the
>> >>best way to do things.
>
>> >> Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
>> >> much like the traditional union concept of work with
>> >> levels of apprentice-journeyman-master.
>> >
>> >Not really.
>>
>> Yes, REALLY.
>
>No, not REALLY.

yes realy

as an extra yourself you are not in postion to realy know Len and in
this case myself esp are in the best postion to know

>
>> Amateur radio is NOT an occupation.
>
>Who said it was?
>>
>> >If a person can meet the requirements of the
>> >higher class licenses, they can go right to General or
>> >Extra. The apprentice-journeyman system doesn't allow
>> >that, except perhaps in extraordinary circumstances.
>>
>> Says who? The only Guild I have a card for doesn't
>> require those levels.
>
>That's an extraordinary circumstance.

why?
>
>> >Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to
>> >Generals and Extras. While that number is small
>> >compared to those who start out as Technicians, it
>> >proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both
>> >upgrading steps.
>>
>> Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
>> classes?
>
>One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
>You haven't.
>
>> "Upgrading" can be done for oneself, to
>> keep abrest of technology advancements (see the
>> old "Amateurs Code" on that).
>
>How about keeping abreast of correct spelling? ;-)

shove it if you are goignt o play spelling cop

>>
>> If there were only ONE license, there would be no
>> "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
>
>Right.
>
>And if there were only one license, regardless of
>what it would be called, its test(s) would
>have to contain everything that is now contained in
>the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.

no it would not

>Otherwise the standards would be reduced.

that isn't even true a lot of the material in the exists test drops
out iin a one class license system

and other matter become redundant as well, like obviously no need for
clas absed who can ve question and a lot fot he stuff in the test now
is pretty repitious
>
>So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
>have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
>for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
>amateur radio license.

nope he does not nor do I

I susgest a license test be created thatmeet the nees of the ARS under
the system regardless of how hard or easy it is

>
>Is that what you want?
nope more of your strwmen
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

953. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL <snip>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 02:44:02 UTC
From: Digital <NotWoger@anon>
Message-ID: <dnaqsltdl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134073665.518535.323740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
no you big fake, i like women, but your little bitch roger would
???

Roger likes women. At least he says so.
Except his are the kind you can touch, taste and feel.
Not cartoons like you prefer.

Problem is that all of Roger's 'women' are inflatable. Hey, Todd, maybe if
you ask him nicely he might agree to send you a loaner. He has several.

954. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL <snip>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 03:06:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134097564.168060.106300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I don't think so, no telling what you might get from one of his dolls.

955. Re: why doesn't seek help for his sexual issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 03:18:28 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <be4c4$4398f77b$4e0bd10$20409@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 126676

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133683217.834334.197970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> you can get help to deal with sxual issues
>
> I mean you have it so bad you can't even face it that bisxuals exist
>

Todd,

Romans 1
(New International Reader's Version)

24So God let them go. He allowed them to do what their sinful hearts wanted
to. He let them commit sexual sins. They polluted one another's bodies by
what they did.
25They chose a lie instead of God's truth. They worshiped and served
created things. They didn't worship the Creator. But he must be praised
forever. Amen.

26So God let them go. They were filled with shameful longings. Their
women committed sexual acts that were not natural. 27In the same way, the
men turned away from their natural love for women. They burned with sexual
longing for each other. Men did shameful things with other men. They
suffered in their bodies for all the twisted things they did.

28They didn't think it was important to know God. So God let them go. He
allowed them to have dirty minds. They did things they shouldn't do.

As we all know ... AIDS kills fags !

Ace - WH2T

956. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 03:22:15 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <1169d$4398f85e$4e0bd10$20554@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 126677

"Iitoi" <Iitoi.1zoe5u@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:Iitoi.1zoe5u@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> N9OGL Wrote:
>> http://tinyurl.com/8q4mp
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>
> The article claims he is first. Will you be second?
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV from Baboquivari Peak
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

Yes,
Todd is sure to get busted for his perverted activities.
Ace - WH2T

957. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 03:24:17 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <b74ec$4398f8d7$4e0bd10$20631@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 126678
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
news:1133938429.122733.52720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> http://niggermania.com/
>

Very Funny

958. Re: why doesn't seek help for his sexual issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 03:26:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134098810.023391.224570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126679

Dr.Ace wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1133683217.834334.197970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > you can get help to deal with sxual issues
> >
> > I mean you have it so bad you can't even face it that bisxuals exist
> >
>
> Todd,

first tood has not even posted in this thread
>
> Romans 1
> (New International Reader's Version)
>
> 24So God let them go. He allowed them to do what their sinful hearts wanted
> to. He let them commit sexual sins. They polluted one another's bodies by
> what they did.
> 25They chose a lie instead of God's truth. They worshiped and served
> created things. They didn't worship the Creator. But he must be praised
> forever. Amen.
>
> 26So God let them go. They were filled with shameful longings. Their
> women committed sexual acts that were not natural. 27In the same way, the
> men turned away from their natural love for women. They burned with sexual
> longing for each other. Men did shameful things with other men. They
> suffered in their bodies for all the twisted things they did.
>
> 28They didn't think it was important to know God. So God let them go. He
> allowed them to have dirty minds. They did things they shouldn't do.

and none of the above prohibits all mtm sex or wtw or mtw sex

>
>
>
> As we all know ... AIDS kills fags !

we all know this that will come as shock to the CDC which says
otherwsie
>
> Ace - WH2T

959. Re: Something funny to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 03:28:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134098913.695918.230240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126680

Dr.Ace wrote:
> "Iitoi" <Iitoi.1zoe5u@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
> news:Iitoi.1zoe5u@news.radiobanter.com...
> >
> > N9OGL Wrote:
> >> http://tinyurl.com/8q4mp
> >>
> >> Todd N9OGL
> >
> > The article claims he is first. Will you be second?
> >
> > The Man in the Maze
> > QRV from Baboquivari Peak
> >
> >
> > --
> > Iitoi
>
> Yes,
> Todd is sure to get busted for his perverted activities.
> Ace - WH2T

why is tood to be busted, even if he were a crimna;l many crimnals go
there whole lifes without ebing busted so why would Todd have to be
busted for an attivity that is at worst borderline legal the cops
prefer clear cases that they don't have to work on

960. on the subject of forgeris

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 03:31:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134099068.041155.148040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126681

I belive I have now made my point in the past few days stevie has admitted that he has forged posts forged nicks he just wants to quibble as to where he did his forging as if that made a difference

I have for the monet discontinued my forging of Steie after all my point is made

Un like stvei I can and do move on while stevie hasn't moved on about anything since he got here

961. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 03:32:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134099135.131260.197790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126682
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

thread pruning
Dr.Ace wrote:
> "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:1133938429.122733.52720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > http://niggermania.com/
> >
>
> Very Funny

very rasist

962. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL <snip>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 03:50:31 UTC
From: Digital <NotWoger@anon>
Message-ID: <dnaupa$ed4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134097564.168060.106300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
I don't think so, no telling what you might get from one of his dolls.

Clap? AIDS? Satisfaction?

Roger supposedly has little boy dolls too, but no way would he share one of
those with anybody, not even you, his best buddy.

963. Re: why does stevie call someone a pedophile to get them to use a spellchecker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 04:50:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134103847.605420.169440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126684

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > that all steve says he wants from me, he says from time to time
> >
> > but why call me a epedo to get me to do that?
> >
> > does n't steve know if what me to do something he has to give me
> > something I want in return
>
> Perhaps it's a technique learned or used in nursing.

nah only by stevie bluder

964. Re: looking out over the watseland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 04:53:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134104036.584840.290620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126685

an_old_friend wrote:
> not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
>
> I have chosen the later
>
> I apolgize to any intereference with the few threads that either
> ontopic of at least near topic, which of course Stevie never does he
> just wades in with his flamethrower and does even admit he doing it
>
> you know all this has been squeezed in between the loading checking of
> my various email accounts?

why does stevie prefera wasteland I guess he is trying to fullful the
bible prophecy "they shl make a desert of it and call it peace"

965. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 04:54:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134104062.501649.291390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126686

From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 8, 4:45 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>My point about the whole zoning thing is *not* that Len or his neighbors
>did anything "wrong". The point is that they resisted a change that others
>wanted, even though the people who wanted it told them it was "progress"
>and would be a good thing for the future.

Jimmie, you haven't gotten ONE THING right in this very NON-RADIO
subject! Here's the correct chronology:

1. The residential area where I live was zoned ENTIRELY "R"
standing for single-family residences prior to 1960 when
the first development was started. A 15-acre parcel had
been used for freeway base fill from the decomposed granite
common in these Verdugo Hills; that parcel was also zoned
"R."

2. At the time I purchased my house in 1963, the residential
area was half completed up to the next higher cross-street,
that being completed in 1962. The 15-acre parcel remained
vacant, undeveloped.

3. By 1973 the remainder of the residential area was fully
developed, all the way to the top, all the way along Glenoaks
Blvd save for one small open area to the still-undeveloped
15-acre parcel.

4. About 1988, a contractor-developer purchased the 15-acre
parcel and tried various schemes to rearrange this parcel
which had a maximum elevation difference of about 350 feet.
Well before that year the entire area was fully developed
and inhabited, the only easement being the Lutheran church now
named "All Saints" at the intersection of Lanark and Glenoaks.

5. By 1989 the only possible way this first developer could make
any profit at all was to build apartments. Zoning did not
permit apartments so the Zoning Commission was told the
developer he would have to sell the idea to the residents
surrounding that parcel. The first developer tried, using
community meetings at the church. The neighborhood
association opposed that in no uncertain terms.

6. In 1990 the matter was brought up for public discussion at
a Zoning Commission open meeting to change the Zoning from
"R" to "R1," the latter designation meaning residential but
multi-family (apartment) structures. The developer
presented his case. The neighborhood association presented
theirs, pointing out that ALL plots surrounding this parcel
were "R." The Zoning Board made some noises saying that
the planned "senior citizen apartments" would be "beneficial
to the community" (none of the Board members lived within
10 miles of this area and knew dink about it first-hand).

7. In the next ten years the first developer became a figure in
bribery (guess who of) and he managed to sell it to a second
development firm. NO "senior apartments" had been built but
the first developer had been forced to annually clear the
15 acre parcel of dry brush per fire code. The second
developer got a much better civil engineer and planned for a
walled community of 44 two-story homes (upscale) with full
drainage and streets and utilities underground. That plan
was shown to the neighborhood association in late 2000 but
got no admiration. The association could do nothing since
that did not interfere with the ORIGINAL "R" zoning.

8. Earth moving began in early 2001 and continued for 9 months
until the 44 plots could begin building. The amount of
earth moved was somewhere between 220 and 250 thousand
cubic yards. The average size of the plots was a quarter
acre...most are smaller, only the "corner" lots being
as large as a third acre. Sell price began at $500
thousand in 2001, highest being $800 thou. All were sold
before building was completed.

>Yet Len heaps abuse on those who resist a change in the Amateur Radio
>Service rules, even though the people who want the change say
>it is "progress" and will be a good thing for the future.

Poor baby, got "abuse" dumped on you?

Jimmie, you ignorant little flyweight arguer, note the above.
Were there ever anything but RESIDENCES involved? No. At the
time the first developer went for the zoning change, 400 acres
of residences were ALREADY surrounding that empty parcel. Except
for the church (off to one corner), COMPLETELY surrounding that
unused for over 28 years parcel. What "progress" would 44 homes,
all single-family units, have brought to an area ALREADY full of
single-family residences filling 400 acres?

Those much-vaunted "senior citizen apartments" were never built.
The payola to convince the Zoning Commission members only enriched
their pockets. The first developer went out of business.

>As for the claim that those of us with licenses aren't affected by those
>changes in any significant way, note that those who already owned

>houses in Sun City aren't really affected by the zoning change of R to
>R1 in any really significant way.

Dumbshit, I don't live in "Sun City, Arizona." Where I live is
NOT some "retirement community." Home owner ages range from 30s
(couple across the street from me) to 80s (uphill neighbor) to
50s (two houses below) to 40s (corner house two houses above
me).

>Of course Len and his neighbors could have bought the land from the
>failed developer and thus protected themselves from future development.

Bullshit, ignorant slut. YOU could have gotten the story CORRECT
instead of making up a poor verbal assassination attempt. You
could have at least gotten the suburb NAME correct. My byline
with full surface mail address has been in Ham Radio magazine
enough times...as well as in the FCC ECFS.

Can't you get ANYTHING right?!?

There are NO restrictions on antenna structures in my neighborhood
other than FAA regulations...it is a mile and a half from the
closest part of BUR (Bob Hope Airport in Burbank). Two blocks
uphill from me lives an amateur with an HF beam and some wire
antennas...plus at least three other houses with CB verticals.
Many more satellite broadcast antennas here than ham or CB even
though we have both analog and digital TV cable distribution.

Jimmie boy, you TALK a bit too big for not knowing a damn thing
about the subject. I must admit it would have been fun to see
you at an association meeting or Zoning Commission meeting talking
FOR changing residence zoning from "R" to "R1" "in the name of
PROGRESS!!!" I'll bet you would have run, cowering in fear of
the irate association members, unable to stand up to grown ups
who were living there FIRST!

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Try, oh please TRY to understand that this newsgroup is about
amateur radio policy, NOT residencial zoning laws.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

966. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 04:55:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134104144.866723.296370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126687

"residential."

967. why is the current or any past l;evel of achievement so scared to some memeber of the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 05:02:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <br3ip1d7ld3hfd65r2tgjrrlqn7a586osu@4ax.com>
Source record: 126688

copying from len and jim
> >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
> >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
> >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
> >steps.
>
> The ONLY alternative? :-)

If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.

just why is it an assumetion that the level of testing need never
change

I suppose Jim will say that ending the advanced class testing lowed in
some way the "standards of the ARS"

is this a liecnes procedure or an exercise in BDSM?
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

968. Re: why is the current<snip>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 05:50:55 UTC
From: Digital <NotWoger@anon>
Message-ID: <dnb5r2$d02$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126689

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

<Robeson's address snipped>

Cowardice on exhibit. Cowards posting names and addresses of their perceived enemies are nothing new, but the act is still a blatant display of cowardice.
Fact remains, malcontent, your posts are less than credible as judged by your poorly written and obviously stupid posts.
Others have referred to you as being ignorant. This is hardly so. You are not ignorant. You are stupid. Stupid. There is a marked difference between being ignorant and being stupid and you, sir, are stupid.

As in dumbass. Untrainable. Uneducable. Myopic. Slow. Dimwitted. As in "flase" reports????

Get the message???

969. polcy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 07:42:56 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <Jzamf.37164$4l5.4087@dukeread05>
Source record: 126690

I hate to say this, but 99% of the posts were OFF TOPIC for this group.
anyone actually have some policy issues related to ham radio to discuss?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

970. Re: polcy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 07:59:54 UTC
From: Digital <NotWoger@anon>
Message-ID: <dnbdcv$e41$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126691

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:Jzamf.37164$4l5.4087@dukeread05...
I hate to say this, but 99% of the posts were OFF TOPIC for this group.
anyone actually have some policy issues related to ham radio to discuss?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
.....

Wishful thinking, Eric.

Too many Sows Ears to make a silk purse out of this group.

It ain't gonna happen.

971. Re: More Markie Mularkie...This One Might Cost Markie $100!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 08:23:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134116601.066404.27890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126692

> >an Old friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > an old friend wrote
> >> > > He promised I would arrested today
> >> >
> >> > Hey Markie...
> >> >
> >> > Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
> >> > ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
> >> > today".....?!?!?!?!
> >>
> >> pay the money to amsat

For what?

You have yet to show where I said "I will have yoy arrested
today"...

Not once.

> >> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/da59268c
3f487aee/d8e371554c72afa1?lnk=st&q=black&rnum=1#d8e371554c72afa1
> >>
> >> relavant paragraph
> >> Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW. If you
> >>
> >> stop now, there's no need to feel "threatened". If you don't aplogize
> >> for the death threats, then you DO have a reason to feel "threatened",
> >> but not from me...It will be from the next black-and-white that pulls
> >> up in your driveway.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> therefore you lose but you will welch on the bet

What bet?

I bet you that you couldn't find a single post wherein I allegedly
said "I will have you arrested today".

You've not done it.

> >Mark, has Steve had you arrested yet?
>
> obviously not

Obviously.

972. Re: More Markie Mularkie...This One Might Cost Markie $100!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 08:26:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134116786.629992.182210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126693

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > stevie lies something
> >
> > He promised I would arrested today
>
> Hey Markie...
>
> Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
> ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
> today".....?!?!?!?!

Hey Markie...

It's now December 9th.

You've not produced the post wherein I allegedly said "I will have
you arrested today".

So what's up with this "pay the money to amsat" silliness?

Steve, K4YZ

973. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL <snip>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 08:38:49 UTC
From: Yassar <abdulr@anon>
Message-ID: <dnbfls$o0i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134097564.168060.106300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
I don't think so, no telling what you might get from one of his dolls.

But, but...but, heck, Todd. Roger LIKES you!

You two guys are champions when it comes to bringing up the rear.

Back Door dudes, both of you.

974. Re: looking out over the watseland or "More Markie Mularkie"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 09:10:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134119455.296531.56730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126695

an_old_friend wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
> > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
> > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
> > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
> >
> > I have chosen the later
> >
> > I apolgize to any intereference with the few threads that either
> > ontopic of at least near topic, which of course Stevie never does he
> > just wades in with his flamethrower and does even admit he doing it
> >
> > you know all this has been squeezed in between the loading checking of
> > my various email accounts?
>
> why does stevie prefera wasteland I guess he is trying to fullful the
> bible prophecy "they shl make a desert of it and call it peace"

Actually, Markie, YOU are the one making a "desert" out of it, with
lies, forged posts, slanderous tag lines and your usual fractured
English.

But hey, the rest of us are getting a laugh out of it, so you go
right on ahead.

You are your own worst enemy.

Steve, K4YZ

975. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 10:14:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134123282.626439.118440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126696

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 8, 4:45 pm
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >My point about the whole zoning thing is *not* that Len or his neighbors
> >did anything "wrong". The point is that they resisted a change that others
> >wanted, even though the people who wanted it told them it was "progress"
> >and would be a good thing for the future.
>
> Jimmie, you haven't gotten ONE THING right in this very NON-RADIO
> subject!

Actually, Len, I got the important stuff completely right!

>Here's the correct chronology:

How is it any different from what I wrote?
>
> 1. The residential area where I live was zoned ENTIRELY "R"
> standing for single-family residences prior to 1960 when
> the first development was started.

1960 was 45 years ago. Why do you live in the past? Must things
like zoning never ever change?

> A 15-acre parcel had
> been used for freeway base fill from the decomposed granite
> common in these Verdugo Hills; that parcel was also zoned
> "R."

But nobody ever built on it. Those 15 acres were vacant and open -
undeveloped - right? Still zoned "R" but nobody built or lived there.
>
> 2. At the time I purchased my house in 1963, the residential
> area was half completed up to the next higher cross-street,
> that being completed in 1962. The 15-acre parcel remained
> vacant, undeveloped.

1963 was 42 years ago. Do you think that the 15 acre parcel had to
remain undeveloped and unchanged forever?
>
> 3. By 1973 the remainder of the residential area was fully
> developed, all the way to the top, all the way along Glenoaks
> Blvd save for one small open area to the still-undeveloped
> 15-acre parcel.

1973 was 32 years ago. The 15 acre parcel itself was still undeveloped
- right?
Nobody had built anything on it and nobody lived there.
>
> 4. About 1988, a contractor-developer purchased the 15-acre
> parcel and tried various schemes to rearrange this parcel
> which had a maximum elevation difference of about 350 feet.

Ah! Somebody else owned it, and that developer bought it - for *money*.
It became the developer's property, not yours and not your neighbors.
Yet you sought to tightly control what the developer could do with
his
15 acres.

> Well before that year the entire area was fully developed
> and inhabited, the only easement being the Lutheran church now
> named "All Saints" at the intersection of Lanark and Glenoaks.

But the area was *not* "fully developed and inhabited" if there was a
15 acre parcel with no residences on it!
>
> 5. By 1989 the only possible way this first developer could make
> any profit at all was to build apartments.

1989 was 16 years ago, Len.

More important - how was it that the only possible way the developer
could make any money was to build apartments? Were housing prices
so low that single-family homes or duplexes could not be built and
sold?

Is there anything wrong with a developer making a profit by building
homes
for people to live in?

> Zoning did not
> permit apartments so the Zoning Commission was told the
> developer he would have to sell the idea to the residents
> surrounding that parcel.

"the Zoning Commission was told the developer"??? What the heck is that
supposed to mean?

Did you mean:

'Existing zoning did not permit apartments so the Zoning
Commission told the developer that he would have to sell
the idea of a zoning change to the residents surrounding
the 15 acre parcel.'

?

> The first developer tried, using
> community meetings at the church.

So he was upfront and honest about what he wanted to do
with the land that had lain undeveloped for so long.

> The neighborhood
> association opposed that in no uncertain terms.

Where did the neighborhood association come from? Was
it pre-existing or was it created for the purpose of opposing
that first developer?

And why was it even needed? Couldn't individual citizens
deal directly with their government?

What percentage of the residents were dues-paying members
of the neighborhood association? Was membership voluntary or
mandatory?
>
> 6. In 1990 the matter was brought up for public discussion at
> a Zoning Commission open meeting to change the Zoning from
> "R" to "R1," the latter designation meaning residential but
> multi-family (apartment) structures.

1990 was 15 years ago, Len.

> The developer
> presented his case. The neighborhood association presented
> theirs, pointing out that ALL plots surrounding this parcel
> were "R."

So you were trying to apply and retain the standards and practices of
1960 to the 15 acre parcel of 1990. You were resisting change, trying
to keep things as they were when you came to the community. Everyone
had to build their house the way yours was built (single family
residences)
and that must never change. By law.

> The Zoning Board made some noises saying that
> the planned "senior citizen apartments" would be "beneficial
> to the community"

Progress! Change! The future, not the past! Yet you wanted the
standards of 1960, not 1990. You wanted everyone to do it the
way you did it, not some other way. IOW, NIMBY.

> (none of the Board members lived within
> 10 miles of this area and knew dink about it first-hand).

So they were outsiders who were trying to impose change on
you, without having "paid their dues" by being part of the
community.

Kinda like people with no amateur radio license and little or no
Morse Code experience trying to impose their will on those of
us who *are* licensed and *do* use Morse Code.

None of the Zoning Commission folks lived in your area. None
of the FCC Commissioners is a radio amateur or an engineer.

An outside nonresident developer tried to push change on
those who lived there. An outside unlicensed retired-from-
regular-hours gadfly (you) keeps trying to push change on
amateur radio (not just Morse Code test elimination but
other things like age limits and one license class).

The neighborhood association tried to present what its
members wanted - just as the ARRL tries to present what
its members want....

Pretty clear what the connection is!
>
> 7. In the next ten years the first developer became a figure in
> bribery (guess who of) and he managed to sell it to a second
> development firm.

"became a figure in bribery"??? That's a serious charge of criminal
wrongdoing, Len. Do you have any evidence?

Was he indicted? Brought to trial? Found guilty?

> NO "senior apartments" had been built but
> the first developer had been forced to annually clear the
> 15 acre parcel of dry brush per fire code.

So you managed to hold back "progress" for a while.

> The second
> developer got a much better civil engineer and planned for a
> walled community of 44 two-story homes (upscale) with full
> drainage and streets and utilities underground.

So the new developer had to meet standards *you* didn't have to
meet (are your utilities all underground?) Not because they
were necessary to the functioning of the houses but because
the neighbors had to be placated.

> That plan
> was shown to the neighborhood association in late 2000 but
> got no admiration. The association could do nothing since
> that did not interfere with the ORIGINAL "R" zoning.

So the second developer, even though he could have built apartments
under the revised zoning, chose to build single-family homes instead.

So in a way the neighborhood association *won* and held back change!
The standards of 1960 were applied to 2000! But you're not *still* not
happy!
>
> 8. Earth moving began in early 2001 and continued for 9 months
> until the 44 plots could begin building. The amount of
> earth moved was somewhere between 220 and 250 thousand

> cubic yards.

Would all that dirt moving have been necessary if apartments had
been built?

> The average size of the plots was a quarter
> acre...most are smaller, only the "corner" lots being
> as large as a third acre.

You described them disdainfully as "itty-bitty", Len. As if there's
something wrong with using land efficiently.

> Sell price began at $500
> thousand in 2001, highest being $800 thou. All were sold
> before building was completed.

Yet you're *still* not happy!

Why didn't the neighborhood association just buy the land?

> >Yet Len heaps abuse on those who resist a change in the Amateur Radio
> >Service rules, even though the people who want the change say
> >it is "progress" and will be a good thing for the future.
>
> Poor baby, got "abuse" dumped on you?

Anyone who disagrees with you gets abuse dumped on them, Len.
It's what you do here.
>
>
[abusive nonsense deleted]

>note the above.

I did - put in plenty of notes.

> Were there ever anything but RESIDENCES involved? No.

Why is that important?

> At the
> time the first developer went for the zoning change, 400 acres
> of residences were ALREADY surrounding that empty parcel. Except
> for the church (off to one corner), COMPLETELY surrounding that
> unused for over 28 years parcel.

So what? Is there any magic requirement or law of nature that says
that parcel has to be like all the others around it? That its little
boxes
on the hillside have to be like all the other little boxes on that
hillside -
all made out of ticky-tacky and all looking just the same?

> What "progress" would 44 homes,
> all single-family units, have brought to an area ALREADY full of

> single-family residences filling 400 acres?

New people. New architecture. More tax revenue. More diversity.
Apartments would have brought even more, but you resisted them.

What "progress" will removing the Morse Code test bring to
the HF/MF amateur radio bands?

What "progress" will reducing the number of license classes
to just one bring to amateur radio?

What "progress" will rejecting young people bring to
amateur radio?

> Those much-vaunted "senior citizen apartments" were never built.

So, you won! Youshould be happy, but you sound miserable, because
the new houses block your view.

> The payola to convince the Zoning Commission members only enriched
> their pockets. The first developer went out of business.

Do you have any evidence of "payola"?
>
> >As for the claim that those of us with licenses aren't affected by those
> >changes in any significant way, note that those who already owned
> >houses in Sun City aren't really affected by the zoning change of R to
> >R1 in any really significant way.
>
[abuse deleted]

> I don't live in "Sun City, Arizona."

Nobody said you did.

> Where I live is
> NOT some "retirement community."

Aren't you "retired from regular hours", Len?

> Home owner ages range from 30s
> (couple across the street from me) to 80s (uphill neighbor) to
> 50s (two houses below) to 40s (corner house two houses above
> me).

But nobody younger than 30s, and most are 40s and up.

> >Of course Len and his neighbors could have bought the land from the
> >failed developer and thus protected themselves from future development.
>
[more abuse deleted]

> YOU could have gotten the story CORRECT
> instead of making up a poor verbal assassination attempt.

What *are(you talking about, Len?

Was it impossible for the neighbors to have bought that 15 acre parcel?

400 acres of surrounding properties - maybe 800 homes - couldn't buy
that 15 acre parcel? Why not?

> You
> could have at least gotten the suburb NAME correct. My byline
> with full surface mail address has been in Ham Radio magazine
> enough times...as well as in the FCC ECFS.
>
> Can't you get ANYTHING right?!?

So I made a little mistake about the name. Big deal. I got all the
important facts right!

> There are NO restrictions on antenna structures in my neighborhood
> other than FAA regulations...it is a mile and a half from the
> closest part of BUR (Bob Hope Airport in Burbank).

But *you* don't have any radio antennas above the roofline, do you?

> Two blocks
> uphill from me lives an amateur with an HF beam and some wire
> antennas...plus at least three other houses with CB verticals.
> Many more satellite broadcast antennas here than ham or CB even
> though we have both analog and digital TV cable distribution.

Who was talking about antennas?
>
> Jimmie boy, you TALK a bit too big for not knowing a damn thing
> about the subject.

I got all the important stuff right, Len.

> I must admit it would have been fun to see
> you at an association meeting or Zoning Commission meeting talking
> FOR changing residence zoning from "R" to "R1" "in the name of
> PROGRESS!!!" I'll bet you would have run, cowering in fear of
> the irate association members, unable to stand up to grown ups
> who were living there FIRST!

That's where you're wrong, Len.

This summer I did major renovation work to my residence. Needed a
variance
to do some of it, and permits to do all of it. Went before the local
Board and
got everything I wanted in the variance, and all the permits. Plus the
neighbors
are happy and supportive.

And I have my antennas.
>
> BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

> Try, oh please TRY to understand that this newsgroup is about
> amateur radio policy, NOT residencial zoning laws.

Then why did you bring it up?

Fact is, you thought those 15 acres would be undeveloped forever. You thought you had some rights to keeping them as they always were.

And you were wrong.

But what really ticks you off is the realization that *you* are just like
the developers you despise.

976. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 11:25:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134127536.930815.190010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126697

an_old_friend wrote:
> I belive I have now made my point in the past few days stevie has
> admitted that he has forged posts forged nicks he just wants to quibble
> as to where he did his forging as if that made a difference

I've never forged a post.

That I zinged you in the attributes is fair-play turn-about for
past transgression of yuor own.

I have NEVER falsified your e-mail address nor made up a nick that
misrepresented yours (or anyone else's) callsign in the USENET line.

It's not "quibbling"...it's fact.

> I have for the monet discontinued my forging of Steie after all my
> point is made

No...What was "made" was YOU misrepresenting the truth...Again...

> Un like stvei I can and do move on while stevie hasn't moved on about
> anything since he got here

">>>I<<< Haven't moved on...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA
!!!

977. Re: I'd Call 315 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard of 09 December 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 11:29:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134127787.984150.53420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126698

k4yz did not write:
> On 8 Dec 2005 16:07:11 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
> >> distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
> >>
> >> Let's hope he get's his check soon and get's out of the house for
> >> a while!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >You define internet stalking as 130 new threads?
>
> like I have said before in making these posts stevie more or less
> admitts to the charges made over the years against him

Markie, that you glean an "admission" from anything I've said in
the last few days is pretty much an admission of your own that you have
some problems with delusions.

Steve, K4YZ

978. Re: polcy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 12:26:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134131160.773484.232730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126699

Digital wrote:
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:Jzamf.37164$4l5.4087@dukeread05...
> I hate to say this, but 99% of the posts were OFF TOPIC for this group.
> anyone actually have some policy issues related to ham radio to discuss?
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.
> ....
>
> Wishful thinking, Eric.
>
> Too many Sows Ears to make a silk purse out of this group.
>
> It ain't gonna happen.

Such negativity. I'll gladly discuss amateur policy with either of
you. What would you like to discuss?

979. Re: stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 12:40:36 UTC
From: Clark <CP@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cLidnWze1OHV5gTenZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126750
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Very truthful

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1134099135.131260.197790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> thread pruning
> Dr.Ace wrote:
>> "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
>> news:1133938429.122733.52720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > http://niggermania.com/
>> >
>>
>> Very Funny
>
> very rasist
>

980. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 14:06:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134137215.819465.232730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126751

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 8, 4:45 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> >My point about the whole zoning thing is *not* that Len or his neighbors
> >did anything "wrong". The point is that they resisted a change that others
> >wanted, even though the people who wanted it told them it was "progress"
> >and would be a good thing for the future.
>
> Jimmie, you haven't gotten ONE THING right in this very NON-RADIO
> subject! Here's the correct chronology:
>
> 1. The residential area where I live was zoned ENTIRELY "R"
> standing for single-family residences prior to 1960 when
> the first development was started. A 15-acre parcel had
> been used for freeway base fill from the decomposed granite
> common in these Verdugo Hills; that parcel was also zoned
> "R."

So Lennie...In what rock were these zoning ordinances etched that
they could not be changed...?!?!

> 2. At the time I purchased my house in 1963, the residential
> area was half completed up to the next higher cross-street,
> that being completed in 1962. The 15-acre parcel remained
> vacant, undeveloped.

So Lennie...In what rock were these zoning ordinances etched that
they could not be changed...?!?!

> 3. By 1973 the remainder of the residential area was fully
> developed, all the way to the top, all the way along Glenoaks
> Blvd save for one small open area to the still-undeveloped
> 15-acre parcel.

So Lennie...In what rock were these zoning ordinances etched that
they could not be changed...?!?!

> 4. About 1988, a contractor-developer purchased the 15-acre
> parcel and tried various schemes to rearrange this parcel
> which had a maximum elevation difference of about 350 feet.
> Well before that year the entire area was fully developed

> and inhabited, the only easement being the Lutheran church now
> named "All Saints" at the intersection of Lanark and Glenoaks.

So Lennie...In what rock were these zoning ordinances etched that
they could not be changed...?!?!

> 5. By 1989 the only possible way this first developer could make
> any profit at all was to build apartments. Zoning did not
> permit apartments so the Zoning Commission was told the
> developer he would have to sell the idea to the residents
> surrounding that parcel. The first developer tried, using
> community meetings at the church. The neighborhood
> association opposed that in no uncertain terms.

So Lennie...In what rock were these zoning ordinances etched that
they could not be changed...?!?!

> 6. In 1990 the matter was brought up for public discussion at
> a Zoning Commission open meeting to change the Zoning from
> "R" to "R1," the latter designation meaning residential but
> multi-family (apartment) structures. The developer
> presented his case. The neighborhood association presented
> theirs, pointing out that ALL plots surrounding this parcel
> were "R." The Zoning Board made some noises saying that
> the planned "senior citizen apartments" would be "beneficial
> to the community" (none of the Board members lived within
> 10 miles of this area and knew dink about it first-hand).

So Lennie...In what rock were these zoning ordinances etched that
they could not be changed...?!?!

> 7. In the next ten years the first developer became a figure in
> bribery (guess who of) and he managed to sell it to a second
> development firm. NO "senior apartments" had been built but
> the first developer had been forced to annually clear the
> 15 acre parcel of dry brush per fire code. The second
> developer got a much better civil engineer and planned for a
> walled community of 44 two-story homes (upscale) with full
> drainage and streets and utilities underground. That plan
> was shown to the neighborhood association in late 2000 but
> got no admiration. The association could do nothing since
> that did not interfere with the ORIGINAL "R" zoning.

So Lennie...In what rock were these zoning ordinances etched that
they could not be changed...?!?!

> 8. Earth moving began in early 2001 and continued for 9 months
> until the 44 plots could begin building. The amount of
> earth moved was somewhere between 220 and 250 thousand
> cubic yards. The average size of the plots was a quarter
> acre...most are smaller, only the "corner" lots being
> as large as a third acre. Sell price began at $500
> thousand in 2001, highest being $800 thou. All were sold

> before building was completed.

So Lennie...In what rock were these zoning ordinances etched that
they could not be changed...?!?!

> >Yet Len heaps abuse on those who resist a change in the Amateur Radio
> >Service rules, even though the people who want the change say
> >it is "progress" and will be a good thing for the future.
>
> Poor baby, got "abuse" dumped on you?

I'd say that Jim was being rather polite in calling what you heap
on Amateurs as "abuse".

> Jimmie, you ignorant little flyweight arguer...(SNIP)

WHOA! There's the pot calling the kettle black!

> (UNSNIP)...note the above.
> Were there ever anything but RESIDENCES involved? No. At the
> time the first developer went for the zoning change, 400 acres
> of residences were ALREADY surrounding that empty parcel. Except
> for the church (off to one corner), COMPLETELY surrounding that
> unused for over 28 years parcel. What "progress" would 44 homes,
> all single-family units, have brought to an area ALREADY full of
> single-family residences filling 400 acres?

So Lennie...In what rock were these zoning ordinances etched that
they could not be changed...?!?!

> Those much-vaunted "senior citizen apartments" were never built.
> The payola to convince the Zoning Commission members only enriched
> their pockets. The first developer went out of business.
>
> >As for the claim that those of us with licenses aren't affected by those
> >changes in any significant way, note that those who already owned
> >houses in Sun City aren't really affected by the zoning change of R to
> >R1 in any really significant way.
>
> Dumb#####....(SNIP)

Professional engineer at work.

Fourteen years of college, eh?

Yeah. Right.

> I don't live in "Sun City, Arizona."

No kidding.

He didn't say you did.

> Where I live is
> NOT some "retirement community." Home owner ages range from 30s

> (couple across the street from me) to 80s (uphill neighbor) to
> 50s (two houses below) to 40s (corner house two houses above
> me).

Sounds to me like someone knows just a little bit too much about
other people's business.

> >Of course Len and his neighbors could have bought the land from the
> >failed developer and thus protected themselves from future development.
>
> Bull#####, ignorant ####.

More "professional engineer" at work.

Looks like Lennie's been tipping the Geritol just a bit too hard.

> YOU could have gotten the story CORRECT
> instead of making up a poor verbal assassination attempt. You
> could have at least gotten the suburb NAME correct. My byline
> with full surface mail address has been in Ham Radio magazine
> enough times...as well as in the FCC ECFS.

We know. ECFS Spam.

> Can't you get ANYTHING right?!?

Can't you?

> There are NO restrictions on antenna structures in my neighborhood
> other than FAA regulations...it is a mile and a half from the
> closest part of BUR (Bob Hope Airport in Burbank). Two blocks
> uphill from me lives an amateur with an HF beam and some wire
> antennas...plus at least three other houses with CB verticals.
> Many more satellite broadcast antennas here than ham or CB even
> though we have both analog and digital TV cable distribution.

Gee.

All those "non-professionals" enjoying the radio hobbies, and
Lame-ole-Lennie can't do anything but monitor the ATIS at LAX.

> Jimmie boy, you TALK a bit too big for not knowing a damn thing
> about the subject.

Seems to me he's hitting the right buttons pretty hard, Old Timer.

> I must admit it would have been fun to see
> you at an association meeting or Zoning Commission meeting talking
> FOR changing residence zoning from "R" to "R1" "in the name of
> PROGRESS!!!" I'll bet you would have run, cowering in fear of
> the irate association members, unable to stand up to grown ups
> who were living there FIRST!

Uh huh...

RIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIGHT.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

981. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 16:13:43 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <s8bjp1tieagefoa5k8fs6p0lhurftavcqk@4ax.com>
Source record: 126752
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 8 Dec 2005 22:24:17 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

>
>"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
>news:1133938429.122733.52720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> http://niggermania.com/
>>
>
>Very Funny
>
Nice hit counter!

--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

982. Re: stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 18:25:13 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnci44$6lh$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126753
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > very rasist

Yes those black people are aren't they. Ooh you mean the white people?? yea. shocking they should complain about TNB. The thing is, acting as they do, these black "niggers" (as the statements go and also how other black people class their lower brothers) alienate themselves and its no wonder that most "racist claims" are dismissed as TNB so the real genuine cases of racism tend to make us just think "here we go again" rather than take the claims seriously. Remember the cry wolf story.
Some of the stories on that site put me off pick and mix or help yourself foods. Not simply because of the blacks behaving like animals but anyone with any disease could "play" with the food your about to pick up.... urgh..... mind you, seeing some of the staff in McDonalds and similar eateries puts me off their cuisine somewhat too. My god, if you knew some of the things that happens to your food before you get it you'd never eat again....

Regards,
Graham
(Ps. Apologies if you were eating then)

983. A Frequently Asked Question (FAQ) (At least, by me, for the benefit of novices)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 18:43:37 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134153817.613209.156800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126754
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured

the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an

apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

984. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 18:52:46 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <7017833.8F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 126755
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 8 Dec 2005 22:24:17 -0500, Dr.Ace wrote:
>
> "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:1133938429.122733.52720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > http://niggermania.com/
> >
>
> Very Funny

that web sight is hosted on a earthlink server and is in violation of
their hate speech TOS. I have writen to abuse@abuse.earthlink.net to
complain about it and get the sight pulled. good pple must be
vigilant against hate just like k1man always says.

985. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 19:28:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134156523.788913.93090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126756

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Dec 8 2005 5:19 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>> >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
>> >
>> >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
>> >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
>> >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
>> >steps.
>>
>> The ONLY alternative? :-)
>
>If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.

"Lower?" By whose standards? Other that YOURS, of course...:-)

Does it matter? You will look down on others anyway...:-)

>>
>> It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)
>
>Then call it something else.

I'd call it an amateur radio license. :-)

>> >>While some can and would do so, it's clearly not the
>> >>best way to do things.
>
>> >> Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
>> >> much like the traditional union concept of work with
>> >> levels of apprentice-journeyman-master.
>> >
>> >Not really.
>>
>> Yes, REALLY.
>
>No, not REALLY.

Heh heh. Well, since YOUR way is always the "best" way,
please define for us what your superimportant, divine
concept of classes/grades/status/rank/privileges are.

>> Amateur radio is NOT an occupation.
>
>Who said it was?

Tsk, tsk, you want to FIRE all those that don't agree with
your "boss" concepts...

Consider yourself "trumped." :-)

>> >If a person can meet the requirements of the
>> >higher class licenses, they can go right to General or
>> >Extra. The apprentice-journeyman system doesn't allow
>> >that, except perhaps in extraordinary circumstances.
>>
>> Says who? The only Guild I have a card for doesn't
>> require those levels.
>
>That's an extraordinary circumstance.

NOT out here where I live, the international center for
television and motion picture industry...the former national
center for aerospace industry. Lots and lots of Guilds
and Unions here in the southwest USA.

>> >Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to
>> >Generals and Extras. While that number is small
>> >compared to those who start out as Technicians, it
>> >proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both
>> >upgrading steps.
>>
>> Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
>> classes?
>
>One doesn't.

Then why do you keep harping on that? Why does the ARRL?

>> "Upgrading" can be done for oneself, to
>> keep abrest of technology advancements (see the
>> old "Amateurs Code" on that).
>
>How about keeping abreast of correct spelling? ;-)

I don't work in the lingerie business so I use the
alternate form found in dictionaries.

But, you are trying to MISDIRECT again. Concentrate on
WHY MUST ONE "UPGRADE" TO A "HIGHER" CLASS LICENSE?

To get a "higher class" license so that you can continue
to look down on "lesser classes" with impunity?

To blindly follow the league's directives of "upgrading?"

>> If there were only ONE license, there would be no
>> "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
>
>Right.

Ah, PROGRESS! Congratulations, Jimmie, you CAN do some
logical thinking!

>And if there were only one license, regardless of
>what it would be called, its test(s) would
>have to contain everything that is now contained in
>the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.

Oh, oh...right away you slipped off the logical path.

IF AND ONLY IF there were just ONE license (no classes),
then the FCC would lay down DIFFERENT regulations for
the written test. Following that, the VEC QPC would have
to reorganize the single question pool to a new set of
questions and answers.

The reason - which should be obvious to you but isn't -
is that there would be NO differences between classes
so many of the questions of the old class (distinction)
system WOULD NOT APPLY.

>Otherwise the standards would be reduced.

No, no, no. You don't seem to understand. With only
ONE license, there would be NO DIFFERENCES IN CLASSES
because there would NOT BE any classes.

That's a whole new paradigm. You can't conceive of that
because you are completely enclosed in the conservative
mental box of conventional thinking.

>So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
>have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
>for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
>amateur radio license.

I'm not "proposing" anything in regards to radio. :-)

The concept of ONE LICENSE existed in the beginning of
United States amateur radio.

Internal amateur politics resulted in the piece-by-piece
changes that peaked in the Byzantine six-class system
existing before 2000 restructuring. You can try all
sorts of sugar-coated spin on that to deny the amateur
politics but that doesn't erase the obvious that it WAS
politics within the "amateur community."

United States amateur radio evolved to an oligarchy, a
"one-party" amateur politics thing wherein major influence

was contained in the ARRL and their ruling cadre of OTs who "knew what was 'best' for every amateur." The peak of that may have been reached in the late 1970s. There's been a very slow transition from that oligarchy (I hesitate to use dictatorship) due to CHANGES in freedom and ability to discuss NEW THINGS. A catalyst for that was the ubiquitous personal computer used for communications, first over BBSs and now the Internet...especially the Internet with every federal agency now having an Internet portal and responsive to the ordinary citizen.

"Tradition" can be used as a security blanket. Keeping the old ways of doing things intact is comforting, a known thing, certain. It can be a tremendous enhancement to the unfortunate tendency of many humans to proclaim themselves "better" than other humans through certain skills. That's just a basic survival instinct misapplied. There is no quantifiable limit on what humans can do if they cast off the misapplied tradition and try new concepts, ideas, give those a chance. Of course there's no guarantee that new things WILL work out better for all...but there's no guarantee that new things CANNOT be better. Those have to be tried out first but some open-minded reasoning can determine the probability of successs. Another word for that is PROGRESS. It is all around us in everyday life.

The ultra-conservative will balk at ANY change of their misapplied traditions. They are secure in their known concepts, take comfort in being believers; "it has always been that way and it will always be so" is a maxim of the ultra-conservative that fails repeatedly. Conservatism is basically a fear of the unknown, a maifestation of basic survival instincts. However, as abundantly proven in the progress of humankind, liberalism in some concepts can make for better survival, plus improvement for the entire group.

You've chosen to be an ultra-conservative believer in steadfastly holding to old concepts. You have met the old tests (originated by older men) and achieved a "position" and thus consider yourself a "superior" in one field of avocational activity. You wish to maintain all those old concepts because, if those were eliminated, you would not have the same "superior" status above others. That's a very selfish act, very anthropomorphic, when applied to an avocational group of over a half million spread all over the USA. That selfish minority view delays progress for the majority.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

986. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 20:13:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9Almf.1295$n1.275@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126757

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Kinda like people with no amateur radio license and little or no
> Morse Code experience trying to impose their will on those of
> us who *are* licensed and *do* use Morse Code.
>

I haven't seen anyone, licensed or not, propose a change in the regulations that would affect my use of Morse code.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

987. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 21:12:21 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512091611340.28154@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 126758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

I just wanted to see what the audio sounded like.

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005, an old friend wrote:

>
> Dana wrote:
>> Does K1MAN have an audio stream over the internet, just curious. If so,
>> how about e-mailing me the link, tnx.
>
> not that I know of Id be mildly curious myself
>
>

988. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 21:13:42 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512091613250.28154@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 126759
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I enjoyed the code at 1 time, but not anymore.

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005, The Magnum wrote:

>
> "Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
> news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120301370.9170@panix1.panix.com...
>> The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
>> Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.
>
> What is this absurd fascination with Morse Code? Wouldnt you rather just
> talk to people? I know all about the arguements about it getting through
> when all else fails but is it really life and death?? If i cant get through
> on the radio I use a Phone or the Internet. After all its just a hobby.
> Besides, doesnt all that dot dot dot.. dash dash dash give you a headache
> after a while??
> Dont get me wrong, im not having a go at Amateurs im just asking the
> question.. why is it so important?
>
> Regards,
> Graham
>
>
>

989. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 21:50:05 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <870173.2458F9@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 126760
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 09 Dec 2005 19:54:54 +0000, Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
> On 9 Dec 2005 18:52:46 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
> >that web sight is hosted on a earthlink server and is in violation of
> >their hate speech TOS. I have writen to abuse@abuse.earthlink.net to
> >complain about it and get the sight pulled. good pple must be
> >vigilant against hate just like k1man always says.
>
> I am very surprised to hear it. I thought such things were perfectly
> legal in the USA, under the terms of the First Amendment.
>
> In countries like the UK, where free speech is not a right, it would
> indeed be illegal.
>

this isnt a matter of crimenal law. it is a matter of the provider's
TOS which is a contract between the web sight and earthlink. I am
very surprised that you dont know about TOS and how a provider can
kick you off if you violate his TOS. sheeze.

990. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 21:51:41 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512091650530.28154@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 126761
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

You know what? I can't copy CW as fast as I used to either. I got into radio before some of you was born.

991. Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 21:56:09 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134165369.759643.158660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126762

Lisping cocksucking DaveyI wrote:
> "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1133903989.761324.298470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote in:
> >
> > Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me
> >
> > > with dave hatred of my why does have to make up <snip> rather than just
> > > using what i provide
> >
> > Using what you provide? That's what I've *been* doing, Mark. You've
> > provided plenty.
> >
> > Per your subject line, I'll be happy to tell Dr. Ruth about you.
> ^^
> ^^^^
>
> Heil's a regular Dr. Ruth listener, with his erectile dysfuction from
> what he wife said when they phoned in, he needs all the help he can get.
> ^^
> ^^^^
> Of all people who should absolutely NOT be calling others dysfunctional,
> Roger, you stand at the front of the line.

LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL @ the Cameron Cunt. He sees Roger (or as his cocksucking lips say, "woger" (Davey was trained by his dead daddy the priest) everywhere and behind every post. Too bad he was kicked out of a few organizations for his dysfunctional personality, maybe that's why he works out of band Frenchmen, they are the only ones who will have anything to do with him.

992. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 22:00:53 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134165653.113070.43760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lithium Boy wrote:
> Take your Lithium Woger.

Take that dick out of your mouth, Davies. Maybe then, you wouldn't see
the guy who beat your ass so badly behind every fucking post.

> Vertially challenged Boy.

"Vertially"

Oh the irony, literacy challenged boy. ROTFLMAO!

And "Lithium Boy" Davies, at 5'6" YOU are the one who is "vertically"
challenged. LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL

993. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 22:03:50 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134165830.044997.234420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lisping cocksucker II wrote:
> Anon wrote:
> > <ku4my@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:1133878094.531291.235760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'.....
> > > I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
> > >
> > > You poor, poor sods!
> > >
> > You should be able to assimilate yourself quite well with the likes of
> > "2Test" AB8MQ and some of his brother jammers and malcontents.
> > Does the below look familiar, Mr. Bailey????
>
> AB8MQ never got a fine, dumbass Davies. Unlike you!
>
>
> > We, at the LPWS have often been accused of being jammers, but this is far
> > from the truth. Admittedly, there is the playing of music on repeaters,
> but
> > these are usually idle until one of our members comes along with a jolly
> > tune (see the selection for download!).
> > An analogy of what occurs could be the following:- You stand on a river
> > bridge calmly throwing stones into the water, a few anglers a mile or so
> > away hear this and pack up and walk down to the area of the river beneath
> > the bridge and set up their rods. They then complain that you are
> disturbing
> > the fish!
>
> You jam the Huntsville and Athens, Alabama repeaters, fatty.
>
> ^^^

But no reason was given why Wiseman had to retest, stupid. Learn to read.

> Any Google search of AB8MQ will show that.

Sort of like those 4 letters you recieved from him (Riley), dumbass.

994. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 22:06:30 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134165990.330555.233870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126765
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote:
> On Fri, 09 Dec 2005 19:54:54 +0000, Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
> > On 9 Dec 2005 18:52:46 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> >
> > >that web sight is hosted on a earthlink server and is in violation of
> > >their hate speech TOS. I have writen to abuse@abuse.earthlink.net to
> > >complain about it and get the sight pulled. good pple must be
> > >vigilant against hate just like k1man always says.
> >
> > I am very surprised to hear it. I thought such things were perfectly
> > legal in the USA, under the terms of the First Amendment.
> >
> > In countries like the UK, where free speech is not a right, it would
> > indeed be illegal.
> >
>
> this isnt a matter of crimenal law.

"isnt" "crimenal" - Mongolloyd makes good fuck ups!

> it is a matter of the provider's
> TOS which is a contract between the web sight

"web sight" LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL @ the Athens Asshole.

>and earthlink. I am
> very surprised that you dont know about TOS and how a provider can
> kick you off if you violate his TOS. sheeze.

You will soon find out, tubby.

995. Re: K1MAN Says ARRL Response To His W1AW Information Demand Not Satisfactory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 22:26:29 UTC
From: The Farting Virgin Mary of Southpark <lehighcows40@amishserialkillers.com>
Message-ID: <85216$439a12ad$d1cc45f3$11442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 126766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
THE BOTTOM LINE: ---> K1MAN is a -FUCKING LOON- who needs
to be sequestered inside a padded cell in the State nuthouse and fed a diet
of
rice, applesauce, McDonalds chicken nuggets and Prozac. (..in that order)
```

996. US District Court Upheld Jack Gerritsen's Conviction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 22:41:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134168066.321758.28600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The U.S. District Court upheld Jack Gerritsen's Conviction today read
the article here:

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/12/09/4/?nc=1

Todd N9OGL

997. Re: polcy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 22:50:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134168609.388654.218430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126768

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Digital wrote:
> > "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> > news:Jzamf.37164$4l5.4087@dukeread05...
> > I hate to say this, but 99% of the posts were OFF TOPIC for this group.
> > anyone actually have some policy issues related to ham radio to discuss?
> >
> > --
> > DE N7ZZT
> > Eric Oyen
> > Phoenix, Arizona
> > e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> > the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> > has its limits.
> > ....
> >
> > Wishful thinking, Eric.
> >
> > Too many Sows Ears to make a silk purse out of this group.
> >
> > It ain't gonna happen.
>
> Such negativity. I'll gladly discuss amateur policy with either of
> you. What would you like to discuss?

Welp, I just checked back to see what you guys wanted to discuss, and I
guess you just wanted something to bitch about. You certainly found
the right place.

998. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:00:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134169247.243772.57480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126769

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > I belive I have now made my point in the past few days stevie has
> > admitted that he has forged posts forged nicks he just wants to quibble
> > as to where he did his forging as if that made a difference
>
> I've never forged a post.

A lie.

> That I zinged you in the attributes

Zinged? Just say "Forged."

> is fair-play turn-about for
> past transgression of yuor own.

Fair Play? There is no excuse for it.

> I have NEVER falsified your e-mail address nor made up a nick that
> misrepresented yours (or anyone else's) callsign in the USENET line.

You are such an hono[u]rable guy. Pffft.

> It's not "quibbling"...it's fact.

The fact is that you are making distinctions that don't exist, and
excuses for your bad behavio[u]r.

> > I have for the monet discontinued my forging of Steie after all my
> > point is made
>
> No...What was "made" was YOU misrepresenting the truth...Again...

And you lie.

> > Un like stvei I can and do move on while stevie hasn't moved on about
> > anything since he got here
>
> ">>>I<<< Haven't moved on...?!?!
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA
> !!!!

> Over 300 spam posts in five days, Markie....ALL OF THEM about your
> hang-ups about your "sexualty"... ! ! ! ! ! ! !

But according to you, only 160 new posts are required to show "internet stalking." Shall I count all of the unnecessary new threads that you've started, usually to call someone a new name or to claim lies and cowardice???

> Thanks, Markie! I can always count on you for a laugh!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

And we can always count on you for a lie.

999. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:19:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ecadnRp6SuDmjAfeRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 126770
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:s3ojp1h5eelfio1s99nl7vsoib82rtlir2@4ax.com...
> On 9 Dec 2005 18:52:46 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
>>that web sight is hosted on a earthlink server and is in violation of
>>their hate speech TOS. I have writen to abuse@abuse.earthlink.net to
>>complain about it and get the sight pulled. good pple must be
>>vigilant against hate just like k1man always says.
>
> I am very surprised to hear it. I thought such things were perfectly
> legal in the USA, under the terms of the First Amendment.
>
> In countries like the UK, where free speech is not a right, it would
> indeed be illegal.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

An ISP is a private entity and does not have to allow it even if it is
legal. When you sign up with an ISP, you are entering into a contract and
agreeing to its terms and conditions. If the ISP says no hate speech, then
they can legally pull the page or sight and even cancel the user's service
due to violating the contract.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1000. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:23:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YI-dnY-8euqdjwfenZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126771

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:9Almf.1295$n1.275@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>
>> Kinda like people with no amateur radio license and little or no
>> Morse Code experience trying to impose their will on those of
>> us who *are* licensed and *do* use Morse Code.
>>
>
> I haven't seen anyone, licensed or not, propose a change in the
> regulations that would affect my use of Morse code.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
>

I have seen people proposing going entirely to voluntary band plans for HF instead of regulated splits ala Europe and thus making all modes legal throughout the entire band. That could impact your use of Morse during the larger voice contests. With the number of hams in this country that could be a mess.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1001. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:35:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gxomf.1073$QQ1.468@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126772

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> I have seen people proposing going entirely to voluntary band plans for HF
> instead of regulated splits ala Europe and thus making all modes legal
> throughout the entire band.

I'm an enthusiastic user of CW, but I fully support such a plan. Let "market forces" and usage-centric gentlemens agreements determine band usage.

Morse users currently can use that mode on literally all the amateur-allocated frequencies with the exception of the five channels on 5Mhz. On a "larger" CW contest weekend they could (perfectly legally) use any frequency (that was not occupied) for CW contesting.

Why should CW be alone in such a generous allocation?

73, de Hans, K0HB

1002. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:41:28 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@play.com>
Message-ID: <11pk5hhs462kc11@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 126773
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well at least with this we all know who the NIGGER is.....

--

Charlie

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:g13kp1d3c3ieojgg128lthrhsp6psru1v1@4ax.com...
> On 9 Dec 2005 21:50:05 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
>>this isnt a matter of crimenal law. it is a matter of the provider's
>>TOS which is a contract between the web sight and earthlink. I am
>>very surprised that you dont know about TOS and how a provider can
>>kick you off if you violate his TOS. sheeze.
>
> I think the probability of this web site being pulled by the ISP is
> marginally lower than the probability of having snow in Miami, FL in
> July.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

1003. Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:41:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134171709.921427.238710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126774

K4YZ wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > > >> The
> > > >> Army found that out during the Battle of the Bulge...where every
> > > >> soldier, regardless of MOS, were suddenly IN "battle." Ever
> > > >> since the U.S. Army has made it a point to continue basic battle
> > > >> training long after soldiers have finished basic training.
> > > >
> > > > And your point is?
> > > >
> > > > All sorts of people in all sorts of jobs face danger every day, Len.
> > > >
> > > > The electric wires don't put themselves up, and when a storm knocks
> > > > out the power, the crews don't get to wait for a sunny day to fix them.

Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
ways?"

> > > Jim,
> > >
> > > Lens point is that every serviceman and servicewoman in uniform serves with the
> > > understanding that their very life is pledged, at the very real risk of armed
> > > conflict, to serve their fellow man, commonly for material rewards less than
> > > that enjoyed by an Assistant Shift Manager at your local Burger King.
> > >
> > I realize that, Hans, and I honor that pledge and that service. I
> > apologize if anyone was offended. That was not my intent.
> >
> > But Len tries to make it sound like no one other than military service
> > ever faces any danger in their job.

Jim, service men and women are instruments of National Policy. They
face danger defending the Constitution of the United States. And many
if not most wish that their civilian leaders would take the
Constitution a little more seriously.

So when the going gets rough, are they free to quit and walk away? A
lineman can. A policeman can. A fireman can.

When their spouses and children ask when are they going to come home,
and there is no answer?

What is wrong with you to suggest that it's even remotely the same?

> > > In the past (and probably in the future) Len and I have found all sorts of
> > > reasons to disagree, but on this issue I come down four-square on his side.
> > > Comparing that pledge which Len, Brian, and several other here took, to the
> > > risks "suffered" by an electric company linemen or a construction worker is mean
> > > spirited and unbecoming.
> >
> > I did not mean to sound that way. Again, if it sounded that way, I
> > apologize.
>
> Jim, if you felt an "apology" was warranted, then bravo for you.
>
> However if you get into the habit of "apologizing" everytime
> someone doesn't like your "tone" or how it MIGHT sound, then you just
> spend your whole life apologizing.
>
> Spending your life with your tail between your legs is no way to
> live.

Clancy inspired bravado is no way, either.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Now there's someone to take advice from!

Jim, I'm sorry that you don't "get it." You don't get it because you
"served in other ways." Like your constant harping on Len about
professional radio not being the moral equivalent of amateur radio,
your "serving in other ways" isn't even remotely equivalent to military
service, even if you wished it were so. As it stands, you wouldn't
know what to wish for even if that wish were granted.

1004. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:48:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134172105.596288.115090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126775

Steve if you weren't a fucking asshole, perhaps I would respond to you nicer, but frankly steve, you act like an asshole.
> Steve, is a ####er liar, i'm not gay, nor have ever been gay...(SNIP)
>Denial only makes the anguish deeper, Toiddie. Let go. Be
>yourself. Accept yourself as we have come to accept you and you will
>be a happier person.

Again, steve you have need to accept the fact that I'm not gay, and you get it through your fucking head you fucking piece of shit.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demeted Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php Demented Otaku Lolicon Image Board

1005. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:48:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134172125.408914.100050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126776

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > I belive I have now made my point in the past few days stevie has
> > > admitted that he has forged posts forged nicks he just wants to quibble
> > > as to where he did his forging as if that made a difference
> >
> > I've never forged a post.
>
> A lie.

Not.

> > That I zinged you in the attributes
>
> Zinged? Just say "Forged."

They were not forged.

Would you like for me to send you a copy of Websters to your CBA,
Brain?

> > is fair-play turn-about for
> > past transgression of yuor own.
>
> Fair Play? There is no excuse for it.

Tell that to Markie. He's the one making posts as "k4yz@aol.com",
Brain.

I've not made a single post under anyone else's screen name.

> > I have NEVER falsified your e-mail address nor made up a nick that
> > misrepresented yours (or anyone else's) callsign in the USENET line.
>
> You are such an hono[u]rable guy. Pffft.

Moreso than you or the other Feeble Five, Brain.

> > It's not "quibbling"...it's fact.
>
> The fact is that you are making distinctions that don't exist, and
> excuses for your bad behavio[u]r.

What bad behaviour?

And Brain...If you don't care to use the British spellilng of a
word, simply don't use it.

> > > I have for the monet discontinued my forging of Steie after all my
> > > point is made
> >
> > No...What was "made" was YOU misrepresenting the truth...Again...
>
> And you lie.

Nope.

> > > Un like stvei I can and do move on while stevie hasn't moved on about
> > > anything since he got here
> >
> > ">>>I<<< Haven't moved on...?!?!
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA
> > !!!!

1006. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:50:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134172251.092149.123770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126777

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >
> > Kinda like people with no amateur radio license and little or no
> > Morse Code experience trying to impose their will on those of
> > us who *are* licensed and *do* use Morse Code.
> >
>
> I haven't seen anyone, licensed or not, propose a change in the regulations that
> would affect my use of Morse code.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Hans, I think that is 100% correct. I think that there's a wee little
bit of spectrum at 220MHz where you can't use Morris Code. It's a
legal mode everywhere else.

1007. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:55:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134172521.772347.260400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126778

Dee Flint wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:9Almf.1295$n1.275@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> Kinda like people with no amateur radio license and little or no
> >> Morse Code experience trying to impose their will on those of
> >> us who *are* licensed and *do* use Morse Code.
> >>
> >
> > I haven't seen anyone, licensed or not, propose a change in the
> > regulations that would affect my use of Morse code.
> >
> > Beep beep
> > de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
> I have seen people proposing going entirely to voluntary band plans for HF
> instead of regulated splits ala Europe and thus making all modes legal
> throughout the entire band. That could impact your use of Morse during the
> larger voice contests.

Couldn't possibly. CW always gets through.

> With the number of hams in this country that could
> be a mess.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Thus one of the original reasons to test for Morse. It limits the
number of people holding a license.

1008. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:58:31 UTC
From: Yassar <abdulr@anon>
Message-ID: <dnd5ia$6dn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> Who said anything about a fine, Roger?

Who said I was Roger, dumbass? You see him behind every post, dumbass.

>We know Riley didn't fine you.

Last time I checked, I wasn't Roger, either. Looks like you fucked up
as usual.

> He merely warned you in writing about malicious interference and mandated
(not
> 'invited') that you retest.

But no reason was given why Wiseman had to retest, stupid. Learn to
read.

<
No reason? Did Riley's mention of malicious interference in the letters sent
you sound like "no reason"???
Still in denial, are you?

1009. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-09 23:59:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134172786.991457.299790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126780

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> >
> > I have seen people proposing going entirely to voluntary band plans for HF
> > instead of regulated splits ala Europe and thus making all modes legal
> > throughout the entire band.
>
> I'm an enthusiastic user of CW, but I fully support such a plan. Let "market
> forces" and usage-centric gentlemens agreements determine band usage.
>
> Morse users currently can use that mode on literally all the amateur-allocated
> frequencies with the exception of the five channels on 5Mhz.

And 220 FWTW.

On a "larger" CW
> contest weekend they could (perfectly legally) use any frequency (that was not
> occupied) for CW contesting.
>
> Why should CW be alone in such a generous allocation?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Now that's a finely crafted troll!

1010. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 00:08:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134173309.615971.27020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126781

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> ways?"

Brian,

You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
me.

Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?

All my postings to newsgroups are in Google. Please
provide a link to the posting(s) where I wrote what you
claim I wrote.

1011. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 01:33:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Qfqmf.37971$D13.1359@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126782
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> I am very surprised to hear it. I thought such things were perfectly
> legal in the USA, under the terms of the First Amendment.

It's not illegal - no law has been broken. It is simply against
Earthlink's published guidelines. Mass market ISP's simply cannot
afford the free market fallout from such politically incorrect
hostings.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1012. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 01:53:25 UTC
From: paul.vigil@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1134179605.643771.47130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126783

Paul Runninghorse Vigil
Senior Consultant 30 Years Experienced
In Creative Real Estate Buying or Selling
and Creative Real Estate Financing.
Homes, Land, Hotels, Commercial, Trust
Deeds and Real Estate Investigations.
FREE Telephone Consultation
Ph # 303-284-0636 Fax 303-284-0974
Was a Broker, Realtor and Owner
Operator of Real Estate Brokerages and
Mortgage Companies. * Refer-A-Friend
www.capitalvigilfundingdept.com
paul.vigil@comcast.net

1013. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 01:56:31 UTC
From: paul.vigil@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1134179791.079689.81230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126784

Paul Runninghorse Vigil
Senior Consultant 30 Years Experienced
In Creative Real Estate Buying or Selling
and Creative Real Estate Financing.
Homes, Land, Hotels, Commercial, Trust
Deeds and Real Estate Investigations.
FREE Telephone Consultation
Ph # 303-284-0636 Fax 303-284-0974
Was a Broker, Realtor and Owner
Operator of Real Estate Brokerages and
Mortgage Companies. * Refer-A-Friend
www.capitalvigilfundingdept.com
paul.vigil@comcast.net

1014. But no potato cannons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 02:23:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134181438.680496.239000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126785

http://scitoys.com/

1015. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 02:28:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134181722.299913.177940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126787

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> > ways?"
>
>
> Brian,
>
> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
> me.
>
> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?

So you haven't "served in other ways?"

> All my postings to newsgroups are in Google. Please
> provide a link to the posting(s) where I wrote what you
> claim I wrote.

At's OK. I'll take your word for it that not only haven't you served,
but that you haven't "served in other ways."

1016. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 02:29:12 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <87017833.2458F9@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 126788
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 9 Dec 2005 14:06:30 -0800, N0VFP imposter wrote:
>
> "web sight" LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL @ the Athens Asshole.
>

Saying LOL is *sooooo* gay!

> >and earthlink. I am
> > very surprised that you dont know about TOS and how a provider can
> > kick you off if you violate his TOS. sheeze.
>
> You will soon find out, tubby.

And you will find out you shouldnt impursonate N0VFP with a google
account, port-o-let boy. I have writen to them again and pointed out
how you violate their TOS with every post.

why is it that gay pple like you and Todd are so full of hate?

1017. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 02:31:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6afkp111qcbapros1jvs8tnuad8eqpkf7m@4ax.com>
Source record: 126786

On 9 Dec 2005 15:48:45 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1134172125.408914.100050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

<snip>

>> The fact is that you are making distinctions that don't exist, and
>> excuses for your bad behavio[u]r.
>
> What bad behaviour?
>
> And Brain...If you don't care to use the British spellilng of a
>word, simply don't use it.

"spelling"

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Shut up, Dud.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1018. Re: why can't dave even tell the ruth about me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 02:35:34 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <34i7017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 126789

On 9 Dec 2005 13:56:09 -0800, a gay N0VFP imposter wrote:
>
> LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL @ the Cameron Cunt. He sees Roger (or as his

Saying LOL is *sooooo* gay!

1019. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 02:42:28 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512092141450.13785@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 126790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

You don't hear anyone talking about building equipment anymore for sure.

On Thu, 1 Dec 2005, dontspam wrote:

> Sure you hear lots of signals on the bands. Appliance operators and
> cb'ers.
>
>
> On 1 Dec 2005 15:05:32 GMT, cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com (Chuck
> Sherwood) wrote:
>
>>> I would foget ham radio. It is on the way out.
>>> Get some woodworking tools.
>>
>> That is what they said 10 years ago..
>> I still hear signals on the bands so at least somebody
>> is xmitting...
>>
>> I don't particularly like woodworking.
>>
>> chuck
>
>

1020. Re: Average cost of radio repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 02:53:07 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512092152520.13785@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 126791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Do you call that Kornholing?

On Fri, 2 Dec 2005, moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy wrote:

> moparholic is totally ignorant about fixing CB's, but he LOVES the
> other part of the deal. Find him weeknights at the Girard, Ohio Petro
> toilet and shower area.
>
>

1021. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 02:54:43 UTC
From: 2Test Woger <2test@vertchanwoger.com>
Message-ID: <dndg0ghhu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0512092141450.13785@panix1.panix.com...
> You don't hear anyone talking about building equipment anymore for sure.
>

Duhhhhhhhhhh, you haven't seen an amateur radio exam in the
last 35 years that requires technical competence to pass.
And you can thank the FCC & ARRL for that and the present
state of ham radio.

FCC & ARRL - Partners in the Culture of Corruption

1022. Re: But no potato cannons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 03:02:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bbgkp15311jdu3mevpt6vpfnaf26dsreap@4ax.com>
Source record: 126793

On 9 Dec 2005 18:23:58 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1134181438.680496.239000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>http://scitoys.com/

If you like that site you might like these as well:

http://www.dangerouslaboratories.org/
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hangar/6160/
http://members.tripod.com/~mad_coiler/tesla.htm

.....and, of course.....

http://www.amasci.com/

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1023. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 03:08:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051209220855.102$gg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

re-entering ham radio

Who cares?

1024. Re: does anyone remeber the last time dave posted about ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 03:10:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134184248.577834.17790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126795

k4yz wrote:
> On 8 Dec 2005 15:20:04 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> >> I certainly don't
> >
> >I do. I recall the time he told us about working French hams about
> >250khz above their authorization on 6M, but that was years ago. He's
> >pretty quiet about his present operating activities.
>
> so since then nothing even stevie has done better than that

Steve worked French hams more than 250khz outside of their
authorizations? I wouldn't be suprised.

1025. Re: stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 03:11:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051209221127.773$NL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126796
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> (Ps. Apologies if you were eating then)
>
burp

1026. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 03:23:42 UTC
From: Who said I was Roger? <NotRoger@stalkingLloyd.com>
Message-ID: <dndhiv$o2t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126797
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:87017833.2458F9@tarrnews.net...
On 9 Dec 2005 14:06:30 -0800, N0VFP imposter wrote:
>
> "web sight" LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL @ the Athens Asshole.
>

Saying LOL is *sooooo* gay!

> >and earthlink. I am
> > very surprised that you dont know about TOS and how a provider can
> > kick you off if you violate his TOS. sheeze.
>
> You will soon find out, tubby.

And you will find out you shouldnt impursonate N0VFP with a google
account, port-o-let boy. I have writen to them again and pointed out
how you violate their TOS with every post.

why is it that gay pple like you and Todd are so full of hate?
//////////////////////////////

When one considers the source, Tom Shelly, one can almost understand the
mindset of a backwards thinking hillard.
Shelly and "reb biker" are the result of inbreeding and are yet further
proof that siblings should not marry.

1027. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 04:06:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051209230629.396$yo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126798
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> why is it that gay pple like you and Todd are so full of hate?
>
Cuz of niggermania.

1028. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 04:38:19 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1134189499.821784.194940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.society.conservatism,alt.activism

brown beauty????? i just crapped a load of brown beauties!!!!!!!!!!!
brown beauty wrote:
> test

1029. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 04:41:53 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1134189713.145097.289910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.activism

GREAT SITE!!!!!!!!!!!
Steveo wrote:
> http://niggermania.com/

1030. Re: on the subject of forgeris

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 04:45:52 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1134189952.477108.70610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.activism

an_old_friend loves nigger cock in his ass!!

1031. I Never Jammed Anybody

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 04:47:33 UTC
From: Who said I was Roger? <NotRoger@stalkingLloyd.com>
Message-ID: <dndmg7$d4v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126801
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

My name is Roger. I am an Amateur Radio Operator. I used to be a problematic type of person on the Ham bands and I was accused of jamming the transmissions of fellow Hams. I am innocent, innocent. I did nothing of the sort but one day I came home to find a letter from Riley Hollingsworth, an attorney representing the FCC. In the letter I was informed that I was under investigation for illegal operating practices according to the FCC rules and regulations. Riley told me to call him to discuss these accusations. I didn't.

Then along came other letters from Riley and I was told that I had to confirm my ability to remain a Ham by being tested again.

I did as directed and was able to keep my Amateur Radio license. Ever since those days I have been attacked by my fellow Hams. Some have even taken to calling me "2test".

I did nothing wrong. Never. Ever. I was chosen at random (my story) for a retest simply because I got my first license through a VEC. Besides, I was not Ordered to retest.it was an invitation from Mr. Hollingsworth to do so. I bet every one of you Hams know someone who had to retest for no reason other than they went through a VEC the first time.

There are lots of sources to see the letters that Riley sent me and I defy you to show me anywhere in those letters where I was accused of Malicious Interference. Prove it. It never happened because I didn't do it.

Yours truly.

Wodger the Dodger AB8MQ

PS: Run my call in Google and you will find 2,380 articles. Run my old callsign, KC8JBO in Google and you will find 295 references.

Here is a quote:

NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC has what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules infractions and not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC rules. The FCC has the authority, pursuant to ⍰97.519(d)(2) of the rules to readminister any examination element previously administered by a volunteer examiner. This Enforcement Log is representative of recent Warning Notices, Notices of Violation, calls for retesting, and other FCC communications to licensees involving possible serious rules violations. It is not a comprehensive listing of FCC Amateur enforcement actions.

GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth. Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.

1032. Re: http://niggermania.com/ n8 doug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 04:51:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051209235124.298$hS_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.activism

"moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote:
> GREAT SITE!!!!!!!!!!!
> Steveo wrote:
> > http://niggermania.com/
>
dogie loves niggermainia, and what's not to love when he's posting with my
red-neck proud- email addy attached to his posts instead of his own?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

1033. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 05:03:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051210000303.410$Bv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126804
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On Thu, 8 Dec 2005 22:24:17 -0500, Dr.Ace wrote:
> >
> > "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
> > news:1133938429.122733.52720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > http://niggermania.com/
> > >
> >
> > Very Funny
>
> that web sight is hosted on a earthlink server and is in violation of
> their hate speech TOS. I have writen to abuse@abuse.earthlink.net to
> complain about it and get the sight pulled. good pple must be
> vigilant against hate just like k1man always says.
>
Tell em Steveo says fuck them, or anyone that looks like them.
```

1034. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 05:19:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051210001937.485$Hm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126805
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>> An ISP is a private entity and does not have to allow it even if it is
> legal. When you sign up with an ISP, you are entering into a contract
> and agreeing to its terms and conditions. If the ISP says no hate
> speech, then they can legally pull the page or sight and even cancel the
> user's service due to violating the contract.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
Hello Dee.

As fate would have it, most times the isp couldn't care less about usenet.

Why can't we all do a bong as radio operators and report back? These
cross-posted imaginary lines between bands and privileges turn into flames
for the most part on the rec.radio circuit, reckon?

The no-code apartment dwellers' have drawn too much attention to themselves
already it seems, and yet they cry for an easier entry to phone.

The whole ball -o- wax is slowly dying but that's another topic for the new
year. At any rate, I've always respected your opinion so far, Dee.

1035. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 05:31:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051210003135.670$oB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126806
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
> On 9 Dec 2005 21:50:05 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
> >this isnt a matter of crimenal law. it is a matter of the provider's
> >TOS which is a contract between the web sight and earthlink. I am
> >very surprised that you dont know about TOS and how a provider can
> >kick you off if you violate his TOS. sheeze.
>
> I think the probability of this web site being pulled by the ISP is
> marginally lower than the probability of having snow in Miami, FL in
> July.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
...or getting hit by lightning twice.

1036. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 05:39:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051210003944.435$t1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126807
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:
> You know what? I can't copy CW
>
Not surprising, you can't quote the text you're responding to either, top X posting fuckwit.

1037. AB8MQ is in denial again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 06:17:36 UTC
From: Who said I was Roger? <NotRoger@stalkingLloyd.com>
Message-ID: <dndrp4$3g2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>
^^
> ^^^^
> Who said anything about a fine, Roger?

Who said I was Roger, dumbass? You see him behind every post, dumbass.

>We know Riley didn't fine you.

Last time I checked, I wasn't Roger, either. Looks like you fucked up
as usual.

> He merely warned you in writing about malicious interference and mandated
(not
> 'invited') that you retest.

But no reason was given why Wiseman had to retest, stupid. Learn to
read.
....
To show reason, "Not Roger", read below. (taken from a Hollingsworth letter
to you)

"citing evidence that the licensee had been "deliberately and maliciously
interfering with the radio operations of other licensed amateurs" on 20
meters. "This interference has occurred at...."

Color it what you will Woger, but a pig in the poke is still a pig.
Learn to read, Pig.

1038. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 06:21:21 UTC
From: Who said I was Roger? <NotRoger@stalkingLloyd.com>
Message-ID: <dnds05$4ea$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.activism

"moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote in message
news:1134189713.145097.289910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
GREAT SITE!!!!!!!!!!!
Steveo wrote:
> http://niggermania.com/

One would expect nothing less from this mentally challenged third grader.

1039. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 06:23:01 UTC
From: Who said I was Roger? <NotRoger@stalkingLloyd.com>
Message-ID: <dnds39$4t2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.society.conservatism,alt.activism

"moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote in message
news:1134189499.821784.194940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
brown beauty????? i just crapped a load of brown beauties!!!!!!!!!!!
brown beauty wrote:
> test

<test>

Third grade mentality issuing forth...

1040. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 08:00:25 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1134201625.495046.272310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126811
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
<bitch snipped>

sharkie wants to feel the walls of your ass.

1041. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 08:00:58 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1134201658.905696.177240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126812
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
<bitch snipped>

sharkie wants to feel the walls of your ass.

1042. Hot Gay Ham Sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 08:09:17 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1134202157.563620.255780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.society.conservatism

thats how the guys up here gets their tech. Lots of sucking,but after
you blow all of the important old queer hams you get your general!

1043. Re: on the subject of forgeris

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 08:11:47 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1134202307.193389.113640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.activism

moparholic wrote:
> an_old_friend loves nigger cock in his ass!!

Well, I'm no nigger but I can think of an_old_friend with a nice hot
love hole!

1044. Re: stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 08:15:22 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1134202521.988811.125180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126815
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_cocksucker wrote:

<bitch snip>

lets jack off together on atv.....................

1045. Re: stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 08:16:07 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1134202566.986391.278410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126816
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

http://niggermania.com/

1046. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 08:54:38 UTC
From: jjj <test@att.net>
Message-ID: <8q5lp1h8ceslkr0bpqbvvdj6fns467ne6s@4ax.com>
Source record: 126817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

They have dummied down the ham license so the venders like Icom can
sell more radios. After 30 years I feel ham radio is now a waste of
time.

On Fri, 9 Dec 2005 21:42:28 -0500, Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:

>You don't hear anyone talking about building equipment anymore for sure.
>
>On Thu, 1 Dec 2005, dontspam wrote:
>
>> Sure you hear lots of signals on the bands. Appliance operators and
>> cb'ers.
>>
>>
>> On 1 Dec 2005 15:05:32 GMT, cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com (Chuck
>> Sherwood) wrote:
>>
>>>> I would foget ham radio. It is on the way out.
>>>> Get some woodworking tools.
>>>
>>> That is what they said 10 years ago..
>>> I still hear signals on the bands so at least somebody
>>> is xmitting...
>>>
>>> I don't particularly like woodworking.
>>>
>>> chuck
>>
>>

1047. Re: on the subject of forgeris

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 09:19:56 UTC
From: Not Roger II <NotRoger@imitationdude.com>
Message-ID: <dne6ev$fk3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.activism

"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
news:1134202307.193389.113640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

moparholic wrote:
> an_old_friend loves nigger cock in his ass!!

Well, I'm no nigger but I can think of an_old_friend with a nice hot
love hole!
//
Your brother?

1048. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 11:41:11 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <jgflp19o32p6bq9mdav71u4rpe774ddvq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 126821
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

>> What is this absurd fascination with Morse Code? Wouldnt you rather just
>> talk to people? I know all about the arguements about it getting through
>> when all else fails but is it really life and death?? If i cant get through
>> on the radio I use a Phone or the Internet. After all its just a hobby.
>> Besides, doesnt all that dot dot dot.. dash dash dash give you a headache
>> after a while??
>> Dont get me wrong, im not having a go at Amateurs im just asking the
>> question.. why is it so important?

It has its own abiding fascination for some of us. And if you get
selfish idiots on SSB encroaching on the CW end of bands, you can blow
them away without difficulty and still carry on an uninterrupted QSO
with fellow CWers.
--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

1049. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 12:17:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134217028.967190.116360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126819

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 9 Dec 2005 15:48:45 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1134172125.408914.100050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

> Shut up, Dud.

Not in your wildest dreams, little boy...

Come back with your demands when you've made amends for your
behaviour in the Corps, jail bird.

Steve, K4YZ

1050. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 12:19:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134217184.526936.104460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126820

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve if you weren't a fucking asshole, perhaps I would respond to you
> nicer, but frankly steve, you act like an asshole.
> > Steve, is a ####er liar, i'm not gay, nor have ever been gay...(SNIP)
> >Denial only makes the anguish deeper, Toiddie. Let go. Be
> >yourself. Accept yourself as we have come to accept you and you will
> >be a happier person.
>
> Again, steve you have need to accept the fact that I'm not gay, and you
> get it through your ####ing head you ####ing piece of ####.

I DO accept that you're gay, Todd...If only YOU would, you'd be
happier with the role of the dice that life threw for you.

Steve, K4YZ

1051. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 13:06:12 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnejpp$5pi$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"2Test Woger" <2test@vertchanwoger.com> wrote in message
news:dndg0ghhu0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
> news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0512092141450.13785@panix1.panix.com...
> > You don't hear anyone talking about building equipment anymore for
sure.
> >
>
>
> Duhhhhhhhhhh, you haven't seen an amateur radio exam in the
> last 35 years that requires technical competence to pass.
> And you can thank the FCC & ARRL for that and the present
> state of ham radio.
>
> FCC & ARRL - Partners in the Culture of Corruption

Sorry but I completely disagree with you. There is absolutely nothing stopping anyone who wants to build and use their own kit or to talk about it or suchlike and to advance themselves in the field of radio communications. The interest in this part is just not there like it was in the past so if the licence conditions had not changed then Amateur would be dead in the water.
Making the exam technical to the extreme of sitting a practical exam and designing and building your own kit, and of course the other argument of Morse set at at least 25+ WPM wouldn't have encouraged more people into the Hobby, it would have killed it. You may disagree and be indignant of the new breed of Amateur and those who just use it to piss about would be using it anyhow, licence or not but you have to move on. The irresponsible users have been there for decades, not since the lowering of licence conditions.
Its like investing in a shoe shine business. Back in the 50's and 60's you would have had a thriving business... but times have changed.. shoes have changed... how many shoe shine businesses have survived? I don't know of any. They moved on, stayed in the same line of business and now sell shoes or "sneakers" rather than try to clean them. Things change and you have to move on.
Its easy to blame others for Amateurs "Decline" but there is still the top level licence for those with the interest to go all the way and there is nothing to stop experienced Amateurs to encourage and help their "underlings" develop as many do. Sitting back and moaning about how others have let it decline while doing nothing themselves to help the situation is pure laziness.
Another analogy is you don't have to pass an HGV licence and drive a huge

truck just to drive on the roads (god bless the truckers ;o)

Regards,
Graham

--
_._. _... ._. ._ _.. .. _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1052. Re: polcy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 13:11:27 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ItAmf.37259$4l5.14784@dukeread05>
Source record: 126823

Digital wrote:

> Wishful thinking, Eric.

> Too many Sows Ears to make a silk purse out of this group.

> It ain't gonna happen.

yeah. guess that may6 be the case, eh?

ah well. just as well, I shouldn't type when tired anyway (hence the
spelling error in the subject line <grin>).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1053. Re: Hot Gay Ham Sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 13:19:43 UTC
From: Heywood Jablowme <Hey@please.net>
Message-ID: <2005121008194364440%Hey@pleasenet>
Source record: 126824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.society.conservatism

On 2005-12-10 03:09:17 -0500, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> said:

> thats how the guys up here gets their tech. Lots of sucking,but after
> you blow all of the important old queer hams you get your general!

Couldn't pass the tests huh?

1054. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 13:36:30 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnelij$7pa$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126825
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Paul Burridge" <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk> wrote
in message news:jgflp19o32p6bq9mdav71u4rpe774ddvq0@4ax.com...
>
> >> What is this absurd fascination with Morse Code? Wouldnt you rather
just
> >> talk to people? I know all about the arguements about it getting
through
> >> when all else fails but is it really life and death?? If i cant get
through
> >> on the radio I use a Phone or the Internet. After all its just a hobby.
> >> Besides, doesnt all that dot dot dot.. dash dash dash give you a
headache
> >> after a while??
> >> Dont get me wrong, im not having a go at Amateurs im just asking the
> >> question.. why is it so important?
>
> It has its own abiding fascination for some of us. And if you get
> selfish idiots on SSB encroaching on the CW end of bands, you can blow
> them away without difficulty and still carry on an uninterrupted QSO
> with fellow CWers.
> --
>
> "What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

Then that's fine for you and your CW friends but is it really the top goal
all Amateurs set out to achieve... to go dah dah dah dit dit dit dah dah
dah? Don't you also enjoy talking to distance stations with your voice too?
I agree that the part of the spectrum allocated to Morse should be left for
Morse and those who wish to use Morse should have a slightly different level
of licence/callsign to acknowledge this but it seems its always but "always"
levelled at us with any discussion about seemingly low licensed
intermediates/M3's/general class that unless your an extra class/advanced
you are some sort of trailer park scum. Not for one minute am I saying you
say this but its the general impression most get from some "alleged" full
licence holders in these newsgroups.

Regards and Happy Christmas,
Graham

--
-.-. ---. .-. .- --. .. - - -

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1055. Re: stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 13:43:00 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dneluq$n58$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126826
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >[snip] ..... but anyone
> >with any disease could "play" with the food your about to pick up....
> >urgh..... mind you, seeing some of the staff in McDonalds and similar
> >eateries puts me off their cuisine somewhat too. My god, if you knew some
of
> >the things that happens to your food before you get it you'd never eat
> >again....
>
> Why else do you think there are so many diseases caught by patients in
> British hospitals? Perhaps the filthy habits of some of the
> "employees" have a lot to do with it.

Well, most of our hospitals are full of Asian doctors and Philippine nurses
so im afraid I have to agree with you Walt.

Merry Christmas,
Graham

--

_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1056. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 13:50:50 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnemdf$8dn$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126827
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:7017833.8F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 8 Dec 2005 22:24:17 -0500, Dr.Ace wrote:
> >
> > "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
> > news:1133938429.122733.52720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > http://niggermania.com/
> > >
> >
> > Very Funny
>
> that web sight is hosted on a earthlink server and is in violation of
> their hate speech TOS. I have writen to abuse@abuse.earthlink.net to
> complain about it and get the sight pulled. good pple must be
> vigilant against hate just like k1man always says.

Well, thank you Mary Whitehouse.
Lets hope you also complain about the ethnic sites disrespecting white people. The thing is with niggermania.com although some of the language used is of a racist nature, most of the stories ring true to many of us who have lived in black areas which always seem to turn into ghetto's wherever they are. Racist view or general observation? Ill let the readers decide.

Regards,
Graham

Ps. The site has nothing to do with me nor do I endorse it in any way.

--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1057. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 13:59:11 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnemt4ctf1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126828
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:870173.2458F9@tarrnews.net...
> On Fri, 09 Dec 2005 19:54:54 +0000, Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com>
wrote:
> > On 9 Dec 2005 18:52:46 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> >
> > >that web sight is hosted on a earthlink server and is in violation of
> > >their hate speech TOS. I have writen to abuse@abuse.earthlink.net to
> > >complain about it and get the sight pulled. good pple must be
> > >vigilant against hate just like k1man always says.
> >
> > I am very surprised to hear it. I thought such things were perfectly
> > legal in the USA, under the terms of the First Amendment.
> >
> > In countries like the UK, where free speech is not a right, it would
> > indeed be illegal.
> >
>
> this isnt a matter of crimenal law. it is a matter of the provider's
> TOS which is a contract between the web sight and earthlink. I am
> very surprised that you dont know about TOS and how a provider can
> kick you off if you violate his TOS. sheeze.

I knew of a spoof site that a girlfriend I once had made and the only
defamatory thing on it was a single link to the BNP party which is a
legitimate and legal party in the UK and the host deleted her website
immediately for this. No warning or anything it was simply removed. That was
a Yahoo Geocities site. I personally find that a disgusting attitude for
them to take as it took her many weeks of hard work to make her site and
they never even warned her first.
Free speech seems to only apply for the ethnic minorities.

Regards,
Graham

--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1058. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:26:47 UTC
From: 2Test Woger <2test@vertchanwoger.com>
Message-ID: <dneoi2$sv7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dnejpp$5pi$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
>
> Sorry but I completely disagree////drivel snipped/////

Classic denial syndrome.

1059. Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:31:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <y66dnWvN9IaRegfenZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126831
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:1q3lp11g12rg70qfj7qr3jpffcrhdrdkil@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 9 Dec 2005 18:19:18 -0500, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>An ISP is a private entity and does not have to allow it even if it is
>>legal. When you sign up with an ISP, you are entering into a contract and
>>agreeing to its terms and conditions. If the ISP says no hate speech,
>>then
>>they can legally pull the page or sight and even cancel the user's service
>>due to violating the contract.
>
> I think you may find it cannot, if by so doing it breaches the First
> Amendment.
>
> In the UK, a contract is not legally binding if it contains something
> that is illegal. (In the USA, YMMD.)
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> P.S. It's a "site", not a "sight".
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

Don't get caught up in the "spelling" wars. I noticed that my fingers had typed the wrong one just after I hit the send button.

Free speech has never meant that a person can say whatever they want, wherever they want, whenever they want. There are many laws defining what you can and cannot do. For example, I can stand on a public sidewalk (i.e. publicly owned properties) handing out flyers all I want to so long as I am not doing anything illegal. On the other hand, if I want to hand them out in the shopping center (i.e. privately owned, not paid for by tax dollars), I must have the permission of the shopping center owner/management. The subject of the flyers is irrelevant. Our tax dollars do not pay for the ISP and so as a private entity they can limit usage of their service.

A business can legally prevent its employees from handing out political material (campaign buttons, flyers, etc) on its premises. If they have such a policy (and this has been clearly published to its employees), they can fire an employee for doing so and it does not violate the employee's right to freedom of speech.

To really understand the First Amendment and what freedom of speech really means, one needs to study it in the context of history. It had been a common practice for governments and rulers to throw people in jail simply for criticizing the government or even simply disagreeing with its policies. The First Amendment was crafted to protect people from being jailed for such and to enable and even encourage open discussion and debate on issues. It was not intended to protect libel, slander, fraud, speech encouraging violence, etc. Nor was it intended to force people to listen to someone else's free speech. If someone comes on my lawn and starts making a speech, I can call the police and have him escorted off. Freedom of speech does not allow him to force me to listen or to use my property.

The extent to which freedom of speech applies is endlessly debated and the amendment endlessly interpreted. All that people can really agree on is that it was not intended to allow an "anything goes" type of approach. They cannot agree on what it does cover however.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1060. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:32:38 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dneors$p9i$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"2Test Woger" <2test@vertchanwoger.com> wrote in message
news:dneoi2$sv7$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:dnejpp$5pi$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> >
> > Sorry but I completely disagree////drivel snipped/////
>
>
> Classic denial syndrome.

Typical Arrogance Syndrome..... but at least when you snipped you cut
out your own whining drivel

--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1061. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:33:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051210093328.760$Ot@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126833
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On 10 Dec 2005 05:03:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Subject: Re: http://niggermania.com/
> > From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > Date: 10 Dec 2005 05:03:03 GMT
> > Organization: http://newsreader.com/
> > Path: nntp.giganews.com!feed4.newsreader.com!newsreader.com!newsh.newsreader.com!ellis
.newsreader.com!not-for-mail
> > Message-ID: <20051210000303.410$Bv@newsreader.com>
>
> https://newsreader.com/news/newaccount says this about you
>
Tell em Steveo says fuck them, or anyone that looks like them.

> and now you have been warned steve robenson.
>
Get off my leg, fatboi.

1062. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:33:35 UTC
From: 2Test Woger <2test@vertchanwoger.com>
Message-ID: <dneoupujt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dneors$p9i$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> "2Test Woger" <2test@vertchanwoger.com> wrote in message
> news:dneoi2$sv7$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:dnejpp$5pi$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> >
>> >
>> > Sorry but I completely disagree////drivel snipped/////
>>
>>
>> Classic denial syndrome.
>
>
> Typical Arrogance Syndrome......... but at least when you
////////FLUSHED//////////
```

1063. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:34:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051210093455.424$0d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126834
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
> On 10 Dec 2005 11:45:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
> > ... and we will
> >charge a $10 per message clean-up fee to your credit card.
>
> LOL! How can they enforce that payment if he hasn't authorized it
> with the credit card company?
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
Tell em Steveo says fuck them, or anyone that looks like them.

1064. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:36:21 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnep2r$q2n$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
"2Test Woger" <2test@vertchanwoger.com> wrote in message
news:dneoup$ujt$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:dneors$p9i$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> > "2Test Woger" <2test@vertchanwoger.com> wrote in message
> > news:dneoi2$sv7$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> >> news:dnejpp$5pi$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Sorry but I completely disagree////drivel snipped/////
> >>
> >>
> >> Classic denial syndrome.
> >
> >
> > Typical Arrogance Syndrome......... but at least when you
> ////////FLUSHED/////////

Flushed by a cretin.................. oh dear how upset am i.....
```

1065. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:43:35 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <r6qlp1l9asos3l9kov8nl76t3i3stpmh2s@4ax.com>
Source record: 126836
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 09:31:59 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
>news:1q3lp11g12rg70qfj7qr3jpffcrhdrdkil@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 9 Dec 2005 18:19:18 -0500, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>An ISP is a private entity and does not have to allow it even if it is
>>>legal. When you sign up with an ISP, you are entering into a contract and
>>>agreeing to its terms and conditions. If the ISP says no hate speech,
>>>then
>>>they can legally pull the page or sight and even cancel the user's service
>>>due to violating the contract.
>>
>> I think you may find it cannot, if by so doing it breaches the First
>> Amendment.
>>
>> In the UK, a contract is not legally binding if it contains something
>> that is illegal. (In the USA, YMMD.)
>>
>> 73 de G3NYY
>>
>> P.S. It's a "site", not a "sight".
>>
>> --
>> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com
>
>
>Don't get caught up in the "spelling" wars. I noticed that my fingers had
>typed the wrong one just after I hit the send button.
>
>Free speech has never meant that a person can say whatever they want,
>wherever they want, whenever they want. There are many laws defining what
>you can and cannot do. For example, I can stand on a public sidewalk (i.e.
>publicly owned properties) handing out flyers all I want to so long as I am
>not doing anything illegal. On the other hand, if I want to hand them out
>in the shopping center (i.e. privately owned, not paid for by tax dollars),
>I must have the permission of the shopping center owner/management. The
>subject of the flyers is irrelevant. Our tax dollars do not pay for the ISP
>and so as a private entity they can limit usage of their service.
>

>A business can legally prevent its employees from handing out political
>material (campaign buttons, flyers, etc) on its premises. If they have such
>a policy (and this has been clearly published to its employees), they can
>fire an employee for doing so and it does not violate the employee's right
>to freedom of speech.

Correct! The First Amendment does not cover *anything* a private
person or a private company does. It applies *only* to actions by the
government.

That obviously eliminates any restrictions an ISP might put into their
usage agreements from "breaching the First Amendment. I'm always
amazed at the number of people who don't understand the Bill of
Rights. Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
teacher talked about the Constitution.

>To really understand the First Amendment and what freedom of speech really
>means, one needs to study it in the context of history. It had been a
>common practice for governments and rulers to throw people in jail simply
>for criticizing the government or even simply disagreeing with its policies.
>The First Amendment was crafted to protect people from being jailed for such
>and to enable and even encourage open discussion and debate on issues. It
>was not intended to protect libel, slander, fraud, speech encouraging
>violence, etc. Nor was it intended to force people to listen to someone
>else's free speech. If someone comes on my lawn and starts making a speech,
>I can call the police and have him escorted off. Freedom of speech does not
>allow him to force me to listen or to use my property.
>
>The extent to which freedom of speech applies is endlessly debated and the
>amendment endlessly interpreted. All that people can really agree on is
>that it was not intended to allow an "anything goes" type of approach. They
>cannot agree on what it does cover however.
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1066. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:46:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051210094614.715$fl@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126837
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On 10 Dec 2005 05:03:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Subject: Re: http://niggermania.com/
> > From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > Date: 10 Dec 2005 05:03:03 GMT
> > Organization: http://newsreader.com/
> > Path: nntp.giganews.com!feed4.newsreader.com!newsreader.com!newsh.newsreader.com!ellis
.newsreader.com!not-for-mail
> > Message-ID: <20051210000303.410$Bv@newsreader.com>
>
> https://newsreader.com/news/newaccount says this about you
>
> 7. We reserve the right to disable your ability to create (post or
> mail) Usenet or e-mail messages with this service. We will do this if
> we believe your messages are considered to be abusive by the current
> standards of the Usenet and Internet community, or disruptive to the
> functioning of Usenet, the Internet, or this service. DO NOT post any
> form of SPAM or ADVERTISING - we will not allow this. If you post any
> articles which are seen by the usenet community as SPAM or unwanted
> ADVERTISING, we will disable your posting ability and and we will
> charge a $10 per message clean-up fee to your credit card.
>
> 8. We reserve the right to refuse service to anyone if we feel their
> use of the service is (or will be) disruptive to the functioning of
> Usenet, the Internet, or this service.
>
> and now you have been warned steve robenson.
>
Hey fucknut, does Chris Caputo approve of your altering your header to
read
http://www.TarrNews.net - FREE NNTP Access

instead of altopia? Doesn't altopia's service blow goats?

Eat a salad today, lardass.

1067. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:55:30 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <C%Bmf.39265$6e1.6293@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126838
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
>>P.S. It's a "site", not a "sight".
>
> Don't get caught up in the "spelling" wars.

I dunno, that web site looks like a sight to me. :-)
From Webster's: "sight - beyond all expectations or reason"
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1068. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 14:56:41 UTC
From: 2Test Woger <2test@vertchanwoger.com>
Message-ID: <dneqa9$4q6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dnep2r$q2n$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> "2Test Woger" <2test@vertchanwoger.com> wrote in message
> news:dneoup$ujt$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:dneors$p9i$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> >
>> > "2Test Woger" <2test@vertchanwoger.com> wrote in message
>> > news:dneoi2$sv7$0@pita.alt.net...
>> >>
>> >> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
>> >> news:dnejpp$5pi$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Sorry but I completely disagree////drivel snipped/////
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Classic denial syndrome.
>> >
>> >
>> > Typical Arrogance Syndrome..... but at least when you
>> ////////FLUSHED//////////
>
> Flushed by a cretin.................. oh dear how upset am i.....
>

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
```

1069. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:03:35 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <b7Cmf.39266$6e1.32322@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126840
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nevermore wrote:
> Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
> teacher talked about the Constitution.

Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1070. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:12:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jN2dnUzzCfMEbQfenZ2dnUVZ_sydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126841
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"nevermore" <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com> wrote in message
news:r6qlp1l9asos3l9kov8nl76t3i3stpmh2s@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 09:31:59 -0500, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
>>news:1q3lp11g12rg70qfj7qr3jpffcrhdrdkil@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 9 Dec 2005 18:19:18 -0500, "Dee Flint"
>>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>

[snip]

> That obviously eliminates any restrictions an ISP might put into their
> usage agreements from "breaching the First Amendment. I'm always
> amazed at the number of people who don't understand the Bill of
> Rights. Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
> teacher talked about the Constitution.
>

Walt's in the UK. I seriously doubt if they teach the US Constitution
there. They may do a brief outline just as we get a brief summary of
Britain's form of government but it's unlikely they would go into any depth
on it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1071. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:14:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051210101423.784$YP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass-lloyd-davies,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

lllloyd

1072. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:23:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051210102344.404$8M@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126843
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On 10 Dec 2005 14:46:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > Hey fucknut, does Chris Caputo approve of your altering your header to
> > read
> > http://www.TarrNews.net - FREE NNTP Access
>
> why dont you read his TOS and find out steve robenson?
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?q=akula <--- his? lol
>
k1man would
> never have to ask a dumb question like that because k1man is a great
> man.
>
Wipe the man gravy off your chin, lardass.

1073. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:26:12 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnes0a$eh3$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

> >> >> >
> >> >> > Sorry but I completely disagree////drivel snipped/////
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Classic denial syndrome.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Typical Arrogance Syndrome..... but at least when you
> >> ////////FLUSHED//////////
> >
> > Flushed by a cretin.................. oh dear how upset am i.....
> >
>
> BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

Oh right, you lied about flushing me.... then you act childish..

I make a perfectly valid point in reply to a posting of yours and you immediately come back with a childish reply as I don't agree with you. This just goes to show the level of person you are. You bleat on about the decline of Amateur radio blasting the authorities that be then, when someone doesn't massage your ego in their reply, you lose control and act like this.

No need to go on is there really.

Regards,
Graham

--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1074. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:26:38 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <cnslp1d8nqv7rdu6cvreq5u591n6mpd6t3@4ax.com>
Source record: 126845
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 15:03:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:

>nevermore wrote:
>> Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>> teacher talked about the Constitution.
>
>Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
>written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?

Anyone talks about US Constitutional free speech without knowing
that the First Amendment is *only* a guarantee against abridgement by
the government itself, is a moron.
--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1075. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:26:39 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <hrslp152jqlcnp1v50nnkaj3rdhlcip1gh@4ax.com>
Source record: 126847
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 10:12:25 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"nevermore" <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com> wrote in message
>news:r6qlp1l9asos3l9kov8nl76t3i3stpmh2s@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 09:31:59 -0500, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1q3lp11g12rg70qfj7qr3jpffcrhdrdkil@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 9 Dec 2005 18:19:18 -0500, "Dee Flint"
>>>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>
>
>[snip]
>
>> That obviously eliminates any restrictions an ISP might put into their
>> usage agreements from "breaching the First Amendment. I'm always
>> amazed at the number of people who don't understand the Bill of
>> Rights. Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>> teacher talked about the Constitution.
>>
>
>Walt's in the UK. I seriously doubt if they teach the US Constitution
>there. They may do a brief outline just as we get a brief summary of
>Britain's form of government but it's unlikely they would go into any depth
>on it.

Then the moron should try to keep his mouth shut about things that he
doesn't understand.

>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is

clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1076. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:28:59 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnes5i$ro9$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126846
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7Cmf.39266$6e1.32322@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> nevermore wrote:
> > Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
> > teacher talked about the Constitution.
>
> Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
> written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Its always good to broaden your horizons and have a basic understanding of history. Wish id have paid more attention now ;o)

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1077. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:37:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134229077.646027.7200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126848

And that steve ius why YOUR A FUCKING ASSHOLE THAT NOBODY LIKES I JUST ONE OF THESE FUCKING DAYS AOL TURNS YOUR LYING ASS OFF, BECAUSE I'M NOT FUCKING GAY YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE.

1078. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 15:48:43 UTC
From: Martin Holterman <martin.holterman@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <439af8dc$0$2336$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 126849
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nevermore wrote:
> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 15:03:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>
>>nevermore wrote:
>>
>>>Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>>>teacher talked about the Constitution.
>>
>>Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
>>written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?
>
>
>
> *Anyone* talks about US Constitutional free speech without knowing
> that the First Amendment is *only* a guarantee against abridgement by
> the government itself, is a moron.

Horizontal effect of constitutionally guaranteed rights is an element of many countries' legal systems. When I studied a bit of law in Ireland, I learned about constitutional torts. The idea is that, take free speach, if torts law does not protect my right to free speach when it should, than this is a shortcoming on the part of the state, i.e. the court and the legislature. To rectify this, the plaintiff, when suing another private person in tort, can base his case on his constitutional right. In tort, there are about a dozen or so precedents where this reasoning was upheld.
In my own country, the Netherlands, the constitutionally protected rights do not have horizontal effect directly, but they are used for the interpretation of open norms in civil law, such as the public order exception to free contracting and the due care requirement in tort law.

I hope you learned something,

Martin Holterman

1079. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 16:01:22 UTC
From: Ham <Hambone@hamnutsorg.org>
Message-ID: <dneu3c$l8u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dnes0a$eh3$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Sorry but I completely disagree////drivel snipped/////
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Classic denial syndrome.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Typical Arrogance Syndrome..... but at least when you
>> >> ////////FLUSHED//////////
>> >
>> > Flushed by a cretin.................. oh dear how upset am i.....
>> >
>>
>> BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>
> Oh right, you lied about flushing me//////flush//////

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

1080. Re: podcasts ready

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 16:06:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134230813.698408.16290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What do you mean Anime is Legal?? it's always been legal, ops, I forgot coming from a non-anime person you don't know what the hell your talking about.

Todd N9OGL
Otaku

1081. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 16:11:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134231070.368901.31020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126852

And that steve is why YOUR A FUCKING ASSHOLE THAT NOBODY LIKES YOU. I
JUST HOPE
ONE OF THESE FUCKING DAYS AOL TURNS YOUR LYING ASS OFF, BECAUSE I'M NOT

FUCKING GAY YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE.

1082. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 16:25:42 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <u30mp1tgnd99mvao9ain2g3e3b7ukeapk6@4ax.com>
Source record: 126853
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 16:48:43 +0100, Martin Holterman
<martin.holterman@wxs.nl> wrote:

>nevermore wrote:
>> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 15:03:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>nevermore wrote:
>>>
>>>>Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>>>>teacher talked about the Constitution.
>>>
>>>Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
>>>written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?
>>
>>
>>
>> *Anyone* talks about US Constitutional free speech without knowing
>> that the First Amendment is *only* a guarantee against abridgement by
>> the government itself, is a moron.
>
>Horizontal effect of constitutionally guaranteed rights is an element of
>many countries' legal systems. When I studied a bit of law in Ireland, I
>learned about constitutional torts. The idea is that, take free speach,
>if torts law does not protect my right to free speach when it should,
>than this is a shortcoming on the part of the state, i.e. the court and
>the legislature. To rectify this, the plaintiff, when suing another
>private person in tort, can base his case on his constitutional right.
>In tort, there are about a dozen or so precedents where this reasoning
>was upheld.
>In my own country, the Netherlands, the constitutionally protected
>rights do not have horizontal effect directly, but they are used for the
>interpretation of open norms in civil law, such as the public order
>exception to free contracting and the due care requirement in tort law.
>
>I hope you learned something,

I don't give a shit how it works in the Netherlands.. It sure doesn't
work that way in the USA.

>Martin Holterman

--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1083. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 16:35:52 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ItDmf.29483$7h7.8245@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126854
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nevermore wrote:
> I don't give a shit how it works in the Netherlands.. It sure doesn't
> work that way in the USA.

Lots of things don't work anymore in the USA. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1084. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 16:50:51 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <4q1mp1do77ktaou8clusqgfd3lj2ldmgcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 126855
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 16:35:52 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:

>nevermore wrote:
>> I don't give a shit how it works in the Netherlands.. It sure doesn't
>> work that way in the USA.
>
>Lots of things don't work anymore in the USA. :-)

Yeah, unfortunately we still have lots of welfare leeches, but
fortunately, OTOH, there's more than enough "stuff" working good in
the USA to make the losers of the world hate and fear us....
--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1085. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 16:54:22 UTC
From: Martin Holterman <martin.holterman@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <439b083e$0$2338$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 126856
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nevermore wrote:
> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 16:48:43 +0100, Martin Holterman
> <martin.holterman@wxs.nl> wrote:
>
>
>>nevermore wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 15:03:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>nevermore wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>>>>>teacher talked about the Constitution.
>>>>
>>>>Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
>>>>written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>*Anyone* talks about US Constitutional free speech without knowing
>>>that the First Amendment is *only* a guarantee against abridgement by
>>>the government itself, is a moron.
>>
>>Horizontal effect of constitutionally guaranteed rights is an element of
>>many countries' legal systems. When I studied a bit of law in Ireland, I
>>learned about constitutional torts. The idea is that, take free speach,
>>if torts law does not protect my right to free speach when it should,
>>than this is a shortcoming on the part of the state, i.e. the court and
>>the legislature. To rectify this, the plaintiff, when suing another
>>private person in tort, can base his case on his constitutional right.
>>In tort, there are about a dozen or so precedents where this reasoning
>>was upheld.
>>In my own country, the Netherlands, the constitutionally protected
>>rights do not have horizontal effect directly, but they are used for the
>>interpretation of open norms in civil law, such as the public order
>>exception to free contracting and the due care requirement in tort law.
>>
>>I hope you learned something,
>
>

> I don't give a shit how it works in the Netherlands.. It sure doesn't
> work that way in the USA.
>
>

I know, but I have to say that attitude is not very helpful. It's gotten
Americans in trouble before.

Martin Holterman

1086. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 17:26:11 UTC
From: Mexicana Aeronáutica y Spacia Administraci ón <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx>
Message-ID: <TcEmf.38314$D13.3104@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126857
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote...
> On Thu, 8 Dec 2005 22:24:17 -0500, Dr.Ace wrote:
>
>>"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
>>news:1133938429.122733.52720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>>http://niggermania.com/
>>>
>>
>>Very Funny
>
>
> that web sight is hosted on a earthlink server and is in violation of
> their hate speech TOS. I have writen to abuse@abuse.earthlink.net to
> complain about it and get the sight pulled. good pple must be
> vigilant against hate just like k1man always says.

Hmm, I like it so I think I'll download everything before it goes offline
and repost it on some server in Russia.

1087. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 17:32:10 UTC
From: Tom Donaly <dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <uiEmf.30128$dO2.9589@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 126858
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nevermore wrote:
> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 16:35:52 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>
>>nevermore wrote:
>>
>>>I don't give a shit how it works in the Netherlands.. It sure doesn't
>>>work that way in the USA.
>>
>>Lots of things don't work anymore in the USA. :-)
>
>
>
> Yeah, unfortunately we still have lots of welfare leeches, but
> fortunately, OTOH, there's more than enough "stuff" working good in
> the USA to make the losers of the world hate and fear us....
> --
>
> If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
> be in jail soon enough.
> --Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&
>
> Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
> <LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
> clearly where you report your capital gains.
>
> http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf
>

Some of those "losers" are doing pretty well for themselves.
China's economy should surpass that of the U.S. in the near
future, for example. As for hating us, why would anyone hate
a cash cow?
73,
Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

1088. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 18:25:11 UTC
From: Mexicana Aeronáutica y Spacia Administraci ón <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx>
Message-ID: <b4Fmf.38327$D13.1641@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126859
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote...
> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 17:26:11 GMT, Mexicana Aeroni¿½utica y Spacia
> Administraciï¿½n <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx> wrote:
>
>
>>Hmm, I like it so I think I'll download everything before it goes offline
>>and repost it on some server in Russia.
>
>
> I wouldn't be surprised if it was illegal in the UK to even LOOK at
> the site! It's against the law here to possess racially inflammatory
> material. If it's in the browser cache on your PC, you possess it ...
> right?

Except that I'm in the USA so I have nothing to worry about.

The UK is pathetic. Though I'm descended from Brits, I realize why my
country declared independence. America may have its problems, but I
expect that British culture will be extinct within a century, having
first been turned into an joyless Orwellian police state before finally
collapsing into anarchy.

"He did not know how long she had been looking at him, but perhaps for
as much as five minutes, and it was possible that his features had not
been perfectly under control. It was terribly dangerous to let your
thoughts wander when you were in any public place or within range of a
telescreen. The smallest thing could give you away. A nervous tic, an
unconscious look of anxiety, a habit of muttering to yourself - anything
that carried with it the suggestion of abnormality, of having something
to hide. In any case, to wear an improper expression on your face (to
look incredulous when a victory was announced, for example) was itself a
punishable offense. There was even a word for it in Newspeak:
'facecrime,' it was called."
-- 1984

1089. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 18:49:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134240594.892079.259900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126860

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
>> > ways?"
>
>> Brian,
>
>> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
>> me.
>
>> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
>
>So you haven't "served in other ways?"

September 24, 2004; January 13, February 10, May 25 2005. <shrug>

>> All my postings to newsgroups are in Google. Please
>> provide a link to the posting(s) where I wrote what you
>> claim I wrote.
>
>At's OK. I'll take your word for it that not only haven't you served,
>but that you haven't "served in other ways."

Jimmie "serves" ceasar salads at the O-club on Satiddy nights.
[hail ceasar...]

Jimmie loves to re-argue, re-argue, re-argue old, old postings'
content, perhaps hoping to THIS TIME being able to win one...:-)

Jimmie be the "silent majority" type of wannabe...or maybe a
Better-Than? He is "superior" and without fault in whatever he
does. Just ask him and he will confirm that.

Give Jimmie some slack(s). He might be organizing a charity
group in memory of fallen hippie linemen who tye-dyed on active
duty in the 60s?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1090. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 18:53:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134240827.509877.196940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126861

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted surplus USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with beepings of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the Wannabe Valley, full of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. Sadly the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. There is no rosin for what he does and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like demon pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

1091. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 18:57:01 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnf8bn$5nu$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126862
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Except that I'm in the USA so I have nothing to worry about.

Id say you had quite a lot to worry about. Maybe not in respect of the content of your website (if it is yours of course) unless some of these black people get ahold of you of course, but everything else, gun crime, immigration, corruption... its all there in much larger amounts than in the UK.

> The UK is pathetic. Though I'm descended from Brits, I realize why my
> country declared independence.

Your Country is becoming a cess-pit of multi culteral misfit lowlifes. Declairing independance from the UK can only benefit the UK so I wouldnt get too excited about that. The website shows that only too well.

America may have its problems, but I
> expect that British culture will be extinct within a century, having
> first been turned into an joyless Orwellian police state before finally
> collapsing into anarchy.

Anarchy you say? Like the NO saga and the ghettos in nearly every City in your Country you seem to forget about. The UK is a reasonably tolerant Country as far as Immigration and integration goes but the USA.... I honestly believe the UK is in a much stronger position in holding onto its identity than the US.
That said my sympaties go out to the genuine American people having to put up with this.

> ---
> "He did not know how long she had been looking at him, but perhaps for
> as much as five minutes, and it was possible that his features had not
> been perfectly under control. It was terribly dangerous to let your
> thoughts wander when you were in any public place or within range of a
> telescreen. The smallest thing could give you away. A nervous tic, an
> unconscious look of anxiety, a habit of muttering to yourself - anything
> that carried with it the suggestion of abnormality, of having something
> to hide. In any case, to wear an improper expression on your face (to
> look incredulous when a victory was announced, for example) was itself a
> punishable offense. There was even a word for it in Newspeak:
> 'facecrime,' it was called."
> -- 1984
> ---

A George Orwell fan.... that explains the previous barmy statement. So many people seem to be convinced they are being filmed and watched by some

organisation to bring them down or fit them up with some crime. As if anyone in reality gives two hoots about them or anything they do.... too full of their own importance which is realistically rated at 0 to such organisations if, infact, they actually exist. George Orwell.. hah...

Regards,
Graham

--

_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1092. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 19:34:46 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <q5Gmf.30173$dO2.16371@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 126863
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> I wouldn't be surprised if it was illegal in the UK to even LOOK at
> the site!
>
> 73 de Wlat

It's probably also illegal there to sign your posting with an alias. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1093. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 19:50:10 UTC
From: dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134244210.864062.262830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126864
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint N8UZEy,Nasty,Pussy wrote:

<who gives a shit>

Go spew your crap to your husband and STFU here, you greasy fat cunt!

1094. Re: stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 19:52:00 UTC
From: dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134244320.025456.246540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126865
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> thread pruning

Why don't you go prune the hairs on your momma's pussy!!!!

1095. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 19:54:51 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnfbo6$o9v$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126866
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:q5Gmf.30173$dO2.16371@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Walt Davidson wrote:
> > I wouldn't be surprised if it was illegal in the UK to even LOOK at
> > the site!
> >
> > 73 de Wlat
>
> It's probably also illegal there to sign your posting with an alias. :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

It depends whether you are attempting to gain by deception I would say on
that account. Pop stars always use alias's, like Harry Webb. Come to think
of it, Shirley Crabtree too as his known name was Big Daddy. So many people
in different walks of life go by different names these days.

Its definitely a crime to spell Walt as Wlat though ;o)

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1096. Re: gay hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 19:55:44 UTC
From: dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134244544.565449.91500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126867
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> oral vec's ??????????????????

hell yes!!!!!!!!!!

hey steveo, the way you love sucking cock you must be an extra!!

1097. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 19:55:54 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dnfbsa$o82$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 126869
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

USA citizens understand themselves very well.
The trouble is they don't understand anybody else.

1098. Re: Hot Gay Ham Sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 19:57:26 UTC
From: dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134244646.168531.97380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.society.conservatism

Heywood Jablowme wrote:
> On 2005-12-10 03:09:17 -0500, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> said:
>
> > thats how the guys up here gets their tech. Lots of sucking,but after
> > you blow all of the important old queer hams you get your general!
>
>
> Couldn't pass the tests huh?

WHAT!!!!!!!!!!!!!
the way the faggot gobbles goo he should be a fucking extra!

1099. Re: polcy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 20:28:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134246528.939520.229650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126870

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> Digital wrote:
>
> > Wishful thinking, Eric.
>
> > Too many Sows Ears to make a silk purse out of this group.
>
> > It ain't gonna happen.
>
> yeah. guess that may6 be the case, eh?
>
> ah well. just as well, I shouldn't type when tired anyway (hence the
> spelling error in the subject line <grin>).
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

Perhaps when you're rested you'll think of a policy issue to discuss.
Best of Luck.

1100. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 20:52:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6-OdnRRa9_be3QbenZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126871

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1134172521.772347.260400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:9Almf.1295$n1.275@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> Kinda like people with no amateur radio license and little or no
> >> Morse Code experience trying to impose their will on those of
> >> us who *are* licensed and *do* use Morse Code.
> >>
> >
> > I haven't seen anyone, licensed or not, propose a change in the
> > regulations that would affect my use of Morse code.
> >
> > Beep beep
> > de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
> I have seen people proposing going entirely to voluntary band plans for HF
> instead of regulated splits ala Europe and thus making all modes legal
> throughout the entire band. That could impact your use of Morse during
> the
> larger voice contests.

Couldn't possibly. CW always gets through.

> With the number of hams in this country that could
> be a mess.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Thus one of the original reasons to test for Morse. It limits the
number of people holding a license.

_____
End Quote

No that was never one of the original reasons to test for Morse.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1101. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 20:53:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134248024.210335.321780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126872

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > I belive I have now made my point in the past few days stevie has
> > > > admitted that he has forged posts forged nicks he just wants to quibble
> > > > as to where he did his forging as if that made a difference
> > >
> > > I've never forged a post.
> >
> > A lie.
>
> Not.

Another lie. You stack them up like cordwood before a New England
winter.

> > > That I zinged you in the attributes
> >
> > Zinged? Just say "Forged."
>
> They were not forged.

They were. You changed his attributions, you skunk.

> Would you like for me to send you a copy of Websters to your CBA,
> Brain?

Nothing of yours is welcome here.

> > > is fair-play turn-about for
> > > past transgression of yuor own.
> >
> > Fair Play? There is no excuse for it.
>
> Tell that to Markie. He's the one making posts as "k4yz@aol.com",
> Brain.

I'm telling it to you because you are the one who changed "an old
friend" to "raped an old friend."

You're dispicable.

> I've not made a single post under anyone else's screen name.

You invent new screen names and post anonymously, you skunk.

> > > I have NEVER falsified your e-mail address nor made up a nick that
> > > misrepresented yours (or anyone else's) callsign in the USENET line.
> >
> > You are such an hono[u]rable guy. Pffft.
>
> Moreso than you or the other Feeble Five, Brain.

I have nothing to do with your lack of hono[u]r. It is entirely in
your hands and you have no one to blame except yourself.

> > > It's not "quibbling"...it's fact.
> >
> > The fact is that you are making distinctions that don't exist, and
> > excuses for your bad behavio[u]r.
>
> What bad behaviour?

"raped an old friend"

> And Brain...If you don't care to use the British spellilng of a
> word, simply don't use it.

"spelling" Try not to post when you're drunk or high.

> > > > I have for the monet discontinued my forging of Steie after all my
> > > > point is made
> > >
> > > No...What was "made" was YOU misrepresenting the truth...Again...
> >
> > And you lie.
>
> Nope.

You are very reliable. You can always be counted on to lie.

> > > > Un like stvei I can and do move on while stevie hasn't moved on about
> > > > anything since he got here
> > >
> > > ">>>I<<< Haven't moved on...?!?!
> > >
> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA
> > > !!!!

> > > Over 300 spam posts in five days, Markie....ALL OF THEM about your
> > > hang-ups about your "sexualty"... ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > But according to you, only 160 new posts are required to show "internet
> > stalking." Shall I count all of the unnecessary new threads that
> > you've started, usually to call someone a new name or to claim lies and
> > cowardice???
>
> Oh?
>
> Where did I say that?

Look up your very own new thread with "160 messages" in the subject.

> And WHEN have I EVER spammed this NG like you brother has?

That someone else has out-assholed you is not the point. You set the
criterion at 160 new threads as internet stalking.

Now do you want me to attempt to count the new threads you've started
about Mark?

...the new threads that you've started about Tod?

...the new threads that you've started about Len?

...the new threads that you've started about Cutie Boy?

...the new threads that you've started about me?

I'm thinking that's five (5) counts of internet stalking, by your
definition.

>>>OR<<< you just might want to back off on the claim that Mark is "internet-stalking" you,
you disreputable internet skunk..

> > > Thanks, Markie! I can always count on you for a laugh!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > And we can always count on you for a lie.
>
> What lie, PuppetBoy?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The ""raped an old friend" is not a forgery" lie, you Skanky Nurse.

1102. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 20:54:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S9GdnZy1Sc1c3QbeRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 126873
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:f47mp1919tdo0t89d359vjdvv8af45b10r@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 10:26:39 -0500, nevermore
> <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Then the moron should try to keep his mouth shut about things that he
>>doesn't understand.
>>
>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Thank you for confirming and vindicating the opinion that most people
> in the civilized countries of the world hold about Americans these
> days.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

It would have been better to also trim my name out as the unsophisticated
user might think that I wrote this.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1103. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 21:07:30 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <msHmf.39337$6e1.4826@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126874
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg Edwards wrote:
> USA citizens understand themselves very well.

I don't understand Howard Dean, DNC chairman.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1104. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 21:14:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134249245.883851.236780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126875

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> >> > ways?"
> >
> >> Brian,
> >
> >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
> >> me.
> >
> >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
> >
> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
>
> September 24, 2004; January 13, February 10, May 25 2005. <shrug>

Len, thanks for serving up the specific dates of his attempts to claim
some kind of honors, but he's just not worth my time.

> >> All my postings to newsgroups are in Google. Please
> >> provide a link to the posting(s) where I wrote what you
> >> claim I wrote.
> >
> >At's OK. I'll take your word for it that not only haven't you served,
> >but that you haven't "served in other ways."
>
> Jimmie "serves" ceasar salads at the O-club on Satiddy nights.
> [hail ceasar...]

He reminds me of Madeliene Al(most)bright saying that America no longer
needs the "Marine Culture." Woefully uninformed. She's not worthy to
preside over a barrel-burning detail for our deployed troops.

> Jimmie loves to re-argue, re-argue, re-argue old, old postings'
> content, perhaps hoping to THIS TIME being able to win one...:-)

Hmmmm? OK, if he wants to reargue what he says he didn't say...

> Jimmie be the "silent majority" type of wannabe...or maybe a
> Better-Than? He is "superior" and without fault in whatever he
> does. Just ask him and he will confirm that.

It's funny. A few years ago, I was surrounded by people serving in the military, and it almost appeared that everyone did. Most of us had been to the desert, to Honduras, to Korea, etc, etc, etc.

Now that I'm out here with the "civils," its eye-opening and shocking.

> Give Jimmie some slack(s). He might be organizing a charity
> group in memory of fallen hippie linemen who tye-dyed on active
> duty in the 60s?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

If Jim want's to make some legitimate claim to how he served, then he can make it. If not then he can go to his grave regretting how he misspent his youth or how he "served in other ways" that are the equivalent of military service in his mind. Sad.

1105. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 21:16:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134249417.963707.41970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126876

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1134172521.772347.260400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:9Almf.1295$n1.275@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >
> > >>
> > >> Kinda like people with no amateur radio license and little or no
> > >> Morse Code experience trying to impose their will on those of
> > >> us who *are* licensed and *do* use Morse Code.
> > >>
> > >
> > > I haven't seen anyone, licensed or not, propose a change in the
> > > regulations that would affect my use of Morse code.
> > >
> > > Beep beep
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> >
> > I have seen people proposing going entirely to voluntary band plans for HF
> > instead of regulated splits ala Europe and thus making all modes legal
> > throughout the entire band. That could impact your use of Morse during
> > the
> > larger voice contests.
>
> Couldn't possibly. CW always gets through.
>
> > With the number of hams in this country that could
> > be a mess.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Thus one of the original reasons to test for Morse. It limits the
> number of people holding a license.
> --
> End Quote
>
>
>
> No that was never one of the original reasons to test for Morse.

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Yes, it was. See Google.

1106. Re: Hot Gay Ham Sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 21:20:00 UTC
From: KU4MY <ku4my@charter.net>
Message-ID: <1134249600.840518.134820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.society.conservatism

Hey Steveo!

I meant to thank you for the attention the other day, just haven't had the time to get back on though. I seem to be able to find a little bit more than you at 3:09 am.

You take care and have a blessed day.

Remember, Jesus loves you!.....

everyone else thinks your an asshole.

Regards,
Tom

1107. Re: why doesn't Dave heed the teachings of the saoints

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 21:22:15 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <8ac41$439b46ee$4e10552$11555@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 126878

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qaGkf.231$9R4.159@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Vengeance is mine sayeth the Lord I shall repay
>
> Are you quoting "the Lord" which you reject?
>
>
>> how do you justify that behoavoir as moral?
>
> The Lord's behavior in reserving vengeance unto himself?
> I don't see anything wrong with that.
>
> I've haven't sought any vengeance against you, Mark.
>
> Keep your polarity straight.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>
Dave,
Good luck on Mark keeping anything "straight".
LOL , Ace - WH2T

1108. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 21:53:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <K7Imf.1986$QQ1.135@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126879

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

>>
>> Why should CW be alone in such a generous allocation?
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB

> Now that's a finely crafted troll!

Not a troll at all, Brian. I think it's a fair question.

Morse is allowed on virtually >>all<< amateur frequencies except 219MHz and 5MHz allocations.

Being a CW operator, quite frankly I'm happy about the flexibility that gives me. But it's a mystery to me why all modes aren't treated in this generous manner, and why other CW operators seem so firmly opposed to the Canadian/European "market forces" model of frequency sharing.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1109. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 22:00:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134252055.288214.199720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126880

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm

> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> >> > ways?"
> >
> >> Brian,
> >
> >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
> >> me.
> >
> >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
> >
> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
> >
> September 24, 2004;

Nope. I did not write that I "served in other ways" on that date.

> January 13, February 10, May 25 2005.

Nope, nope, and nope again. I did not write that I
"served in other ways" on any of those dates either.

Len, if you had actually *read* what I wrote on those
days, you'd know that.

What I have written is a question for you and others.

Here it is again:

Is service in the military the only way a citizen can
serve our country? Or are there other ways?

1110. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 22:15:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134252917.195338.249040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126881

KØHB wrote:

> Being a CW operator, quite frankly I'm happy about the flexibility that gives
> me. But it's a mystery to me why all modes aren't treated in this generous
> manner, and why other CW operators seem so firmly opposed to the
> Canadian/European "market forces" model of frequency sharing.

Has to do with the compatibility of modes, Hans. Not all modes share
bandspace equally well. Not all operators follow the bandplans, either.

>From what I read, the folks in Region 1 are already beginning to
notice problems being caused by the "market forces" (loudest
signal wins) model of frequency "sharing". A lot of Region 1
hams aren't so happy with how it's working out in real life.

One reason for the separation of 'phone and Morse/digital is to
maximize the
utilization of the available bandwidth.

Say you have a band like 80/75 meters. 500 kHz of bandspace.

If we allow 2.5 kHz for each SSB QSO and 250 Hz for each CW/digital
QSO, (average)
it's clear that the band could theoretically support 200 simultaneous
SSB QSOs or
2000 simultaneous CW/digital QSOs. Allowing all modes everywhere
rewards
the modes that use the most bandwidth at the expense of those that use
the least.

Perhaps the biggest fear many have is the "one way" nature of a lot of
FCC rules changes. Suppose we do go to "all bands everywhere/voluntary
bandplans"
and the result is a messy nightmare of QRM. Will FCC reimpose the old
rules?
Very doubtful, given the history of the past 20-30 years.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1111. Re: http://niggermania.com/ n8 doug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 22:20:35 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134253234.908955.115320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.activism

wrong again, anus for mouth. I aint the one doing it. I do laff my ass
off at it though.

hmmmm...Valley Internet, ay?

1112. Re: http://niggermania.com/ n8 doug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 22:20:39 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134253239.290970.267770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.activism

wrong again, anus for mouth. I aint the one doing it. I do laff my ass
off at it though.

hmmmm...Valley Internet, ay?

1113. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 22:22:25 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134253345.651721.5060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126884
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

especially if they are men, because mopedopuke is a wrist flipper

1114. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 22:23:28 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134253408.196773.277250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126885
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

if aatopia's service blows goats it is because you taught it to

1115. Re: Hot Gay Ham Sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 22:27:15 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1134253635.887028.43740@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.society.conservatism

KU4MY wrote:
> Hey Steveo!
>
> I meant to thank you for the attention the other day, just haven't had
> the time to get back on though. I seem to be able to find a little bit
> more than you at 3:09 am.
>
I'd like to find more,too.

How 'bout letting me make love to that money maker you're sitting on??

1116. Re: Remembering where you were ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 22:35:17 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1134254117.648046.318570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126887
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.nocode

Andy Capp the fucktard wrote:
> Will anyone remember where they were when the heard of Richard Priors
> death? Its just doesn't seem to have legs like Kennedy or Lennon's death.

Of course is doesn't you fucking idiot!

He's just another dead nigger!

1117. Re: 3950 LSB tonight - drunken Lib Net jibber jabber BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 22:56:27 UTC
From: The Farting Virgin Mary of Southpark <lehighcows40@amishserialkillers.com>
Message-ID: <13d44$439b6b2c$d1cc4526$20478@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 126888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<loose_orifice@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134242497.846297.16930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> there will be a reunion on 3950 tonight be there for the roll call and
> then all the check ins and the jammers too
>

the original "Loose Oriface" died several years ago.
(I seem to recall a ham at the time in Clifton doing a
happy-grave-dance at the news also) Not much has changed
however. Still the same tired old shit we heard 5-10-15-20 Years
ago. Same fucking broken record. Oh well, adleast it keeps em
behing the mic at home instead of at the Legion Hall or the
VFW where they get tanked up on cheap beer and whiskey
hen get behind the wheel of a car for the drive home. Ole Officer
McGillicutty will be on patrol tonight fer sure lookin for these
weavin bums on the road. Old soldiers never die, they just
goto the Legion on Fri/Sat nights and get TANKED. hic...hic...!

ps: The Lica-a-Crotchie net usually starts around 3.955 at 10 PM
then slides down whe the BBC comes on with 100KW at 12 Midnite.

Pour me another drink bartender!

1118. Re: More "Raped an Old Friend" Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:03:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134255782.739593.167700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126889

K4YZ wrote:
>
> WHOA! There's the pot calling the kettle black!

Whoa! Steve writes "raped an old friend" and thinks it's OK.

1119. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:18:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1nJmf.1996$QQ1.207@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126890

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1134252917.195338.249040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
K&#65533;HB wrote:

>> Being a CW operator, quite frankly I'm happy about the flexibility that gives
>> me. But it's a mystery to me why all modes aren't treated in this generous
>> manner, and why other CW operators seem so firmly opposed to the
>> Canadian/European "market forces" model of frequency sharing.

> Has to do with the compatibility of modes, Hans. Not all mode
> share bandspace equally well.

That's a non-sequiter, Jim.

That's why there are bandplans. IARU has been in the bandplanning business
mostly everywhere except in the USA for about 75 years. As new modes gain favor
(market dynamics change) they reach agreement in their bandplans to accomodate
the proportions of users of the various modes.

> Not all operators follow the bandplans, either.

Really? Well then I guess Riley will just have to invoke the "good amateur
practice" rule..... oh, never mind, he's already doing that. Not good enough
for you..... OK, add a new sentence to &#65533;97.101(a) so it reads as follows:

(a) In all respects not specifically covered by FCC Rules each amateur
station must be operated in accordance with good engineering and
good amateur practice. Nonconformance with IARU bandplan
guidance is specifically considered a violation of this paragraph.

> From what I read, the folks in Region 1 are already beginning to
> notice problems being caused by the "market forces" (loudest
> signal wins) model of frequency "sharing". A lot of Region 1
> hams aren't so happy with how it's working out in real life.

"Already"? After 75 years?

How many is "a lot"? 50? 50,000?

> One reason for the separation of 'phone and Morse/digital is to maximize the
> utilization of the available bandwidth.

> Say you have a band like 80/75 meters. 500 kHz of bandspace.

> If we allow 2.5 kHz for each SSB QSO and 250 Hz for each
> CW/digital QSO, (average) it's clear that the band could theoretically

> support 200 simultaneous SSB QSOs or 2000 simultaneous CW/digital
> QSOs.

Be careful what you wish for. Using that logic, it follows that fair frequency management techniques would allow for an equal number of CW and Phone contacts since the number of regular users is about equal, and CW would lose some man-sized chunks of spectrum. The theoretical "even number" division of this 500kHz band would work out to 90 CW (250 Hz) and 90 SSB (2500 Hz) QSO's.

The CW allocation would be 3500-3522.5kHz, and SSB would have the remainder of the band. Sorry, but I can't live with that! Neither should we live with the current plan where CW has a theoretical 2000-QSO band, and SSB is limited to a theoretical limit of just 100 QSO's on that same band. If the number of CW users is roughly equal to the number of SSB users, why does CW now enjoy a 20-1 advantage in effective frequency space (measured in simultaneous QSO's)?

73, de Hans, K0HB

1120. more of stevie lying about people sexuality

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:34:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <1bpmp1dk0e27rfhpv90e9pa711jg86djul@4ax.com>
Source record: 126894

On 10 Dec 2005 04:19:44 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> Steve if you weren't a fucking asshole, perhaps I would respond to you
>> nicer, but frankly steve, you act like an asshole.
>> > Steve, is a ####er liar, i'm not gay, nor have ever been gay...(SNIP)
>> >Denial only makes the anguish deeper, Toiddie. Let go. Be
>> >yourself. Accept yourself as we have come to accept you and you will
>> >be a happier person.
>>
>> Again, steve you have need to accept the fact that I'm not gay, and you
>> get it through your #####ing head you #####ing piece of ####.
>
> I DO accept that you're gay, Todd...If only YOU would, you'd be
>happier with the role of the dice that life threw for you.
>

bullshit and makes your claims that you only bring sexulaity when I do
another lie
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1121. Re: More Markie Mularkie...This One Might Cost Markie $100!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:34:22 UTC
From: kb9rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <ocpmp19geuon81eg656d5umpu9g3akqsq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 126893

On 9 Dec 2005 00:23:21 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>> >an Old friend wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > an old friend wrote
>> >> > > He promised I would arrested today
>> >> >
>> >> > Hey Markie...
>> >> >
>> >> > Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
>> >> > ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
>> >> > today".....?!?!?!?!
>> >>
>> >> pay the money to amsat
>
> For what?
>
> You have yet to show where I said "I will have yoy arrested
>today"...

of course not there is no such thing as yoy

you said youd have me arrested again porved it pay up welcher
>
> Not once.
>
>> >> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/da59268
c3f487aee/d8e371554c72afa1?lnk=st&q=black&rnum=1#d8e371554c72afa1
>> >>
>> >> relavant paragraph
>> >> Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW. If you
>> >>
>> >> stop now, there's no need to feel "threatened". If you don't aplogize
>> >> for the death threats, then you DO have a reason to feel "threatened",
>> >> but not from me...It will be from the next black-and-white that pulls
>> >> up in your driveway.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> therefore you lose but you will welch on the bet
>
> What bet?
>
> I bet you that you couldn't find a single post wherein I allegedly

>said "I will have you arrested today".
>
> You've not done it.
>
>> >Mark, has Steve had you arrested yet?
>>
>> obviously not
>
> Obviously.

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1122. Re: More Markie Mularkie...This One Might Cost Markie $100!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:34:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <vdpmp151uelmudkh02mm7jviojs384h4on@4ax.com>
Source record: 126895

On 9 Dec 2005 00:26:26 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > stevie lies something
>> >
>> > He promised I would arrested today
>>
>> Hey Markie...
>>
>> Would you be willing to bet a $100 that you can't find ANY post
>> ANYwhere that says that I "...promised (you) would be arrested
>> today".....?!?!?!?!
>
> Hey Markie...
>
> It's now December 9th.
>
> You've not produced the post wherein I allegedly said "I will have
>you arrested today".

already done so

pay up welcger
>
> So what's up with this "pay the money to amsat" silliness?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1123. Re: looking out over the watseland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:38:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3lpmp11gmnncid27ps80kvntaa9jj6j4e9@4ax.com>
Source record: 126896

On 9 Dec 2005 01:10:55 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
>> > choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
>> > bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
>> > clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
>> >
>> > I have chosen the later
>> >
>> > I apolgize to any intereference with the few threads that either
>> > ontopic of at least near topic, which of course Stevie never does he
>> > just wades in with his flamethrower and does even admit he doing it
>> >
>> > you know all this has been squeezed in between the loading checking of
>> > my various email accounts?
>>
>> why does stevie prefera wasteland I guess he is trying to fullful the
>> bible prophecy "they shl make a desert of it and call it peace"
>
> Actually, Markie, YOU are the one making a "desert" out of it, with
>lies, forged posts, slanderous tag lines and your usual fractured
>English.

no lies at all from me the forged paots to were to amke a point and no
sladerous tag lines ever word it true
>
> But hey, the rest of us are getting a laugh out of it, so you go
>right on ahead.

keep your lies stright stev are the upset about or laughing
>
> You are your own worst enemy.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1124. A Legal Alternative for 11 Meter Ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:39:17 UTC
From: we7qrz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134257957.734648.262890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126891
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A Legal Alternative for 11 Meter Ops.
Mark Pearsal (MPEARSAL) on March 29, 2001
View comments about this article!
http://www.eham.net/articles/1841

I thought I'd include this since so many of you choose to make derogatory remarks about CBer's rather than stick to the topic. (Code vs. No-Code, Restructuring, etc...) I personally like the code but you guys use the issue to take cheap shots at 11m ops. You should realize that many 11m ops visit these forums seeking to learn about ham radio, NOT be insulted. 73.

Hello fellow 11 meter enthusiasts,

I have a new idea. So much has been made of the fact that we (11m ops) have no real representation in DC while the amateur community has the ARRL. The USCBOA was a nice idea but seems to lack the organizational skills to get airborne. I got this idea while reading a ham forum thread where many amateurs were complaining about the ARRL allowing "chicken banders" to infiltrate the ham bands and vowing to cancel their membership. Well, here it goes.

JOIN THE ARRL! That's right; make them live up to their name. The AMERICAN (NOT Amateur ONLY) Radio Relay League. In the mid 60's, the number of CBer's outgrew the number of licensed amateurs. The price is $39.00/yr. and you need NOT be a licensed amateur to become a FULL member. You DO, however, need to be licensed for voting privileges though. I know that $39.00 is steep, but I've spent more on a power mic and this money REALLY does makes my voice louder. The 35 multiple choice Technician exam takes approx. 5 minutes to complete and the practice exams can be found on www.qrz.com as well as other popular ham sites. I'm sure there would be a bit of resistance in the beginning but the ARRL is made up of hams who are basically...politicians. That's right folks, let the first official lose "a close one" at the hands of an 11 meter "swing vote," and see how our "concerns" take on a little more importance. Here's a bonus, when you hear an old stodger cry "I'm canceling my membership," rejoice...that's called a two-for-one sale. Your vote no longer opposes theirs, it REPLACES it.

The kicker is that if you plan to be as vocal as I am, you need to run legal. I'm NOT preaching. I freebanded for over 10 years and LOVED it. However I send letters to my representatives and the FCC, so my house must be in order. Riley Hollingsworth himself can come to my QTH for a surprise visit. I sent a letter to my reps re. RM-9807 and asked why the FCC admitted that the MAJORITY of CBer's were in favor of dropping

the 155 mi. limit rule, yet the ruling was swayed by "private interests," namely the ARRL and NAB. Not only did I receive a reply, but it included a reply from the FCC to an inquiry by my rep. Did it really make a difference?... maybe, maybe not, but I know at least three more souls know about this "voter's" displeasure. (An election year to boot!)

I sent a letter to Radio Shack (Tandy) in Fort Worth, TX re. their HTX-10 and its inability to be modified at their (RS's) request. I explained that there are some who may want the capability to "monitor" (;-P) the 11 meter band as well. I returned mine and told them that I would gladly pay the extra $50.00 to RF Ltd. for their Magnum 257(the modifiable version of the same rig) and will now purchase all my ham study material and 2m h/t elsewhere due to their policy. Again, did it make a difference...perhaps not, but at least they know that they've lost approx. $450.00 in sales plus restocking costs.

Remember, to be this vocal, you need to fly right. I miss freebanding and it gave me many many hours of pleasure over a ten year period, this is the best way I can give back to 11 meters. If you don't plan to quit freebanding, don't be too vocal and run a "common sense" set-up. Your ARRL vote still counts! I never ran amplifiers and worked the world with a Clear Channel Ranger AR-3500 (30 watt model) and a two element yagi. I also successfully encouraged many of fellow 11m ops to do the same. (Tech license, ARRL membership, & write reps.) It kills me when I hear hams flame us and there's little we can do because we don't want to attract the unwanted attention. Well, sometimes you have to defend yourself with the weapons the opposition gives you. The ARRL rates recently went up, you should hear the hams crying and threatening to cancel...go for it I say!

73 de Mark

1125. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:48:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134258532.913045.264420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126892

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> Well now, I just don't think ANY multiple classes
> should exist. ONE license. How about that?

It's not as good as if there are multiple license classes.

> >Not really. The license classes exist for two reasons:
> >
> >1) To offer an easy way to get started in amateur radio
>
> One can't go into an HRO, plunk down plastic, walk out
> with a working two-way radio? :-)

Can't be *used* (legally) for amateur radio without the appropriate
license.
Or don't you think amateur radio should have licenses?

> What would be easier?
>
> >2) To offer an easier path to full privileges than would
> >exist with a single license class that required the same
> >knowledge
>
> Removing the artificiality of all that class distinction
> with carrot-stick "privileges" would erase all of that.

Instead, new hams would just have to pass all the exams
at once just to get started. Unless you want to lower the
written test requirements even more.

> Face it, Jimmie, all those classes GREW in order to
> satisfy some POLITICAL reasons within the amateur
> community.

Such as? Back up your claim - if you can.

> In the beginning there was only ONE license.

The time of one-amateur-radio-license-class ended
more than 70 years ago, Len. Why do you
live in the past?
>
> >Anyone who can meet the requirements of the

> >various license classes can earn them.
>
> "Earn them?" :-)

Yes. Amateur radio licenses are earned by passing the
required tests. You haven't earned one, therefore you
are neither qualified nor authorized to operate an
amateur radio station.

> If there were NO classes, just ONE license, wouldn't the
> applicants have "earned" those?

Sure. But you haven't earned any amateur radio license, Len....
>
> In the beginning there was only ONE license.

The time of one-amateur-radio-license-class ended
more than 70 years ago, Len. Why do you
live in the past?

In fact, in the beginning there were no radio licenses at all.
That didn't work out so well.
>
> >> It is a HOBBY,
> >
> >And a lot more!
>
> As far as the federal government is concerned, it is a NON-PAYING
> radio activity that is expressly forbidden to broadcast or engage
> in common-carrier communications.

That's true.

> That boils down to a HOBBY.

Not *just* a hobby.
>
> >It's also done for public service.
>
> Jimmie, grow up. You are NOT the ARRL trying to do a snow job on
> the public, trying to get more membership.
>
> Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.
>
> Hobbies, ALL hobbies, can be made into a "service" for SOME of the
> public.

How did stamp collecting help with hurricane relief?

> Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.

But that's not all it is, Len. Grow up and accept that shouting the
same old tired lines doesn't convince anyone.
>

> Individuals engaged in that HOBBY are licensed because the FCC,
> the federal agency regulating all civil radio, think that
> licensing is a tool of regulation.

That's partly true. Licenses are also required because the USA has
entered into treaty agreements with other countries regarding radio
regulation - including amateur radio regulation.

Do you think amateur radio should not require licenses at all?

> >In almost every human activity there are levels of
> >achievement and recognition for same.
>
> "Recognition?" Tsk, now you are back to CLASS DISTINCTION
> again!

Is achievement a bad thing?
>
> Level of achievement with a no-class, one-license
> system: Have a license or not have a license.

You don't have an amateur radio license, Len. No achievement there!

> >> Operating a radio transmitter is, in reality, not a
> >> complex task
> >
> >That depends on the transmitter. Some require a lot
> >of skill and knowledge, others do not.
>
> Crap. It isn't anywhere close to rocket science.

Nobody says it is.

The complexity of the task of operating a radio
transmitter is directly related to the transmitter. Some are
designed to be very easy to operate, others are more
complex.

> If very ordinary young men can operate multi-control
> vacuum tube transmitters of high power output with
> success and rapidity with only a few days of on-the-
> job instruction, then your "lot of skill and
> knowledge" is crap squared.

No, it isn't.

You're taking the experience of a few people and a few transmitters
and demanding that it apply to everyone and all transmitters. That's
just nonsense.

Besides, you've already contradicted yourself. The "very ordinary young
men"
all had some form of technical training, and had been selected for the
task.

The transmitters they adjusted were already set up, operating, and the procedures to use them completely worked out. Those "very ordinary young men"
all had more-experienced supervision to teach them the tasks and make sure they
did it right.

And yet it took *days* of on-the-job instruction before they could be left to
do the job on their own! Even then, the more-experienced supervision was
always on-call if a problem arose.

> >And there is
> >far more to amateur radio operation than "operating
> >a transmitter".
>
> Anyone, with or without a license can operate a
> RECEIVER. Crap-cubed, Jimmie.

Len, you don't seem to be able to understand the concept of "amateur radio station", let alone "operating".
>
> UNLICENSED people by the thousands every day in the
> USA are OPERATING TRANSCEIVERS.

Not operating in the amateur radio sense. Using.

You mean cell phones? FRS/GMRS radios? CB sets? Sure,
they are - and those sets are specifically designed to require
little or no training, skill or technical knowledge to use. Their
functioning is almost totally automated, channelized, and
centrally organized.

> Crap to the fourth power, Jimmie.

> >Perhaps this skill and knowledge requirement is why
> >you have such a dislike of Morse Code, Len. Morse
> >Code operation in amateur radio usually involves
> >skilled operators.
>
> Crap to the fifth power, Jimmie.
>
> Don't try that "you ain't good enough to be in the same
> universe as you morsemen."

Show the posting where I wrote that, Len. I don't think you
can.

> "Morse code operation in amateur radio" does NOT
> involve ALL "skilled operators."

Yes, it does. Those operators have skills that you do not
have, and I think that bothers the heck out of you.

> Is 5 WPM rate
> something that is "skilled?"

Yes! It's a very basic level of skill - entry level - but
a skill nonetheless. The person who can do Morse Code
at 5 wpm has skills that others do not.

> Geez, Jimmie, you've
> written that "20 WPM CW [code] isn't high rate."

And that's true - 20 wpm Morse Code isn't really that
fast compared to what really good Morse Code
operators do.

> You elevated yourself to being better than most
> with morse

How?

It seems to really bother you that I'm better than you
at Morse Code.

> and you deride thousands of old extras
> who passed a 20 WPM test. Tsk, tsk.

Deride? How? I'm one of those "old extras". You're not.
>
> The license test element 1 doesn't involve full-day
> shifts of relaying messages on some net, doesn't
> involve emergency messaging from ships or people in
> danger, doesn't involve anything but a very simple
> test of cognition.

So? It's a test of Morse Code skill at a very basic level. Entry-
level, nothing more. It nevertheless requires that the operator
have the skills.

> VECs can delete sending tests at their option.

Not delete - waive.

> If you've looked at the ARRL home page lately you
> would have seen a little Quiz box. 45.6 percent of
> those who took that Quiz said they NEVER used
> radiotelegraphy!

Look again. And tell the whole story:

Percent of operating time spent using Morse Code:

76-100% 26.5 % (1149)
51-75% 7.2 % (313)
26-50% 6.3 % (274)

Less than 25% 15.8 % (684)
I do not operate CW at all 44.1 % (1911)
Total votes: 4331

So 44.1% don't use Morse Code at all, while:

55.9% *do* use it, at least some of the time,
40.1% use it for more than 25% of their operating,
33.7% use it for more than half of their operating

Etc.

Of course the poll itself notes that it is not scientific. Anyone can
vote in it, including those who do not or cannot operate an amateur
radio station at all. Plus it does not specify "HF" operating.

> >The people you cite do not "operate radio transmitters"
> >in the same sense that radio amateurs do. They are, in
> >reality, radio *users*, not operators in the sense of
> >amateur radio operators.
>
> The radios they USE are either owned by their employers
> (businesses, public safety agences as examples) or
> themselves (private boat or aircraft owners as an
> example). Some of those radios DO require a licensed
> person to oversee their operation and technical details,
> but some do NOT. Depends on the particular radio service.

In amateur radio, a licensed amateur radio operator is required.

> >They are not required to have
> >much if any technical knowledge of their
> >radio equipment, nor does that equipment have any
> >technical adjustments.
>
> An amateur radio license is ALSO a radio station license.
> That is the difference.

Finally! Something sensible from you!

> Amateurs ARE allowed to build
> their own transmitters (within limits of regulations) but
> all other radio services (some exceptions in Part 15
> devices) require type-acceptance of RF emitters.

That's what I've been telling you all along.
>
> Being allowed to home-build does NOT impact USE, Jimmie.

Yes, it does. Because those homebuilt stations are then legal for
amateur radio USE.

> Amateur USE is the same whether home-built or ready-
> built.

That's nonsense.

> "Adjustment" to meet the technical requirements
> of Part 97 is NOT USE.

It's operating, Len.
>
> > In fact the radios are usually
> >set up so that the only adjustments are on-off-volume,
> >channel select, and maybe squelch. In many cases the
> >latter two do not exist.
>
> You forgot the Push-To-Talk "adjustment." :-)
>
> In case you are wondering about some boat or aircraft
> owners, take a look at a popular seller of private
> marine radios, SGC in Belleview, WA. Their SGC 2020
> model is for both marine and amateur HF bands, the
> chief difference being in frequency control ranges. The
> front panel controls are the same and not as simple as
> you describe. [there's plenty of other examples,
> especially in small-boat radar]

Radar isn't for communications. And the SGC2020 is dirt simple
compared to most amateur radio HF transceivers - even the Southgate
series are much more complex to operate.

> In general aviation
> craft, the civil communications band transceiver IS
> simple. It should be since a pilot has to give their
> attention to FLYING, not playing ham. Add to that the
> civil navigation band receiver with OBS for VOR, the
> crossed needles for LOC and GS, the Marker Beacon
> lights, is NOT "simple."

Sure it is.

> Toss in the transponder and
> its operation (not complex, but woe if you squawk the
> wrong code these days!).

My point exactly.

> >That they do not require radio operator licenses is proof of
> >that difference.
>
> Crap to the sixth power, Jimmie. The REGULATIONS were
> SIMPLIFIED to streamline them by removing old, antiquated
> regulations that no longer benefitted anyone. The
> governments (worldwide) did that.

The regulations were changed so that radios which did not require
technical adjustment would be used, and so the need for radio
licenses could be included in the pilot's license. Simple.

> This isn't 1920 and some ship's radio room with a single
> "skilled" radio operator the only one "qualified" to
> operate a spark transmitter and crystal set receiver.
> Times have changed.

Ships still required radio operators into the 1990s, Len.
And even they weren't allowed to home-brew their equipment...
>
> >On top of all that, the radio users cited above may not be
> >FCC licensed, but they are trained, tested and often certified in
> >proper radio procedures for the radios they use.
>
> "Certified?" They get neat little certificates (suitable
> for framing)? Wow!

Yes - did you ever see an FAA pilot's license?
>
> Each and every radio service has their own set of jargon
> and lingo, plus communications procedures. <shrug> So?
> They generall use the same lingo and jargon when using
> wired telephones. It is JOB-SPECIFIC.
>
And the same is true for amateur radio. For example, in
amateur radio the station transmitting always gives their
own callsign last.

"K4YZ, this is N2EY" is correct.
"N2EY to K4YZ" is not.

> >For
> >example, licenses to pilot aircraft with radios require that
> >the licensee know and demonstrate proper aircraft radio
> >procedures. The pilot's license cannot be obtained without
> >such radio procedure knowledge.
>
> By the Federal AVIATION Administration, NOT the FCC.

The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs.

> The FAA makes the regulations for flying/piloting, Jimmie.
>
> >Amateur radio is completely different.
>
> Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.

But not *just* a hobby.

> Pilots don't go
> chasing DX or engaging in contact contests or sending QSLs.
> Ignore a ham transceiver and all you do is miss a contact
> or two, maybe offend the person at the other end. Ignore
> an airplane's attitude or instruments and it crashes and
> the pilot is DEAD, perhaps with many more on the ground.

Those instruments aren't radios, Len.

And so the requirements are different.

> Completely different. I agree.

Well, there you have it.

> >A radio amateur
> >is, by definition and regulation, both operationally and
> >technically responsible for his/her station.
>
> Tsk, the vast majority have NO means except a contact at
> the other end of the radio circuit, NO way of insuring
> that their RF emitters meet the prescribed technical
> characteristics given in Part 97.

Is that a problem?

> >In the vast
> >majority of situations, the radio amateur sets up his/her station
> >and operates it without special formal training, testing or
> >certification other than the amateur radio license.
>
> Yeah, they pay by plastic, perhaps follow the maker's
> instructions and fumble around until things sound right.

Is there something wrong with using a credit/debit card?

Or following manufacturer's instructions?

Besides - it's something *you* haven't done.

There are more than a few of us radio amateurs who design
and build our own amateur stations. You haven't done any
of that, Len, yet you pass judgement on us as if you are
somehow superior.

> >So the
> >license tests must be more comprehensive than those for
> >services where the "operator" is really more of a user.
>
> Crap to the seventh order, Jimmie.
>
> "Modern" amateur band transceivers, transmitters, receivers, etc.
> are ready-to-play right out of the box. Those are aligned,
> tested, calibrated, ready-to-go. Sort of like the SGC 2020
> private marine version SSB transceiver. :-)

The modern amateur radio transceivers I use didn't come that way.
>
> >Typical amateur radio equipment - particularly HF/MF
> >equipment - has many technical adjustments and controls.
> >Skill and knowledge *are* required to operate such radios
> >to best advantage.

>
> Oh, back to lower-order CRAP, Jimmie. After an hour's
> instruction (maybe less) I was QSYing a BC-339 1 KW HF
> transmitter.

Six months of microwave school, a transmitter that was all set up
and ready to go, an experienced instructor, and it still took you
an *hour* of instruction?

> It had MORE "technical adjustments and
> controls" than the average amateur transmitter of
> comparable power. Wanna see what those looke like? Here:
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/
> My3Years.pdf

So what? You didn't buy it, build it, or install it. You just
followed the instructions passed to you by the experienced
people in charge.

Some might say your behavior was closer to "monkey-see, monkey-do"...

> >Unlike almost all other radio services, amateur radio is
> >not formally channelized, particularly on HF/MF.
>
> Except the "60m band."
>
> Except for all those VHF and UHF repeaters which have
> been frequency-coordinated.

And that's about it. All of the other 9 MF/HF bands - all the
nonrepeater
operation on amateur VHF/UHF....
>
> <snip of squealing to the chorus>
>
> >Would you have just one class of license?
>
> Yes. NO class, ONE license.

One license is one class by definition.
>
> If you need gold stars or pretty certificates, get those at
> Office Depot.

You sound jealous, Len.

> >Would you prefer the chaos of unregulation? Or perhaps
> >much more regulation that would eliminate much of the
> >freedom and flexibility radio amateurs enjoy?
>
> Reducto ad absurdum "questions" don't win you anything.

Sounds like you *would* like that chaos.

Reductio ad absurdum is a valid way of determining the
validity of a logical process.

> >If any license has been a failure at its original purpose, it is the
> >Technician. That license was created to encourage the development and
> >use of VHF/UHF after WW2, and not to be an entry-level license at all.
> >The original Technician license privileges were for 220 MHz and up. The
> >license was intended for technically-oriented folks who wanted to
> >tinker and build and experiment, and occasionally operate.
>
> What do you mean "occasionally operate?"

It means that the intent of the original license was that the licensees
would operate to check out and develop new technologies and
methods, rather than ragchewing, DX chasing, contesting, etc.

> And just what is
> YOUR experience at ham bands of 220 MHz and up?

More than yours, Len!

> Especially right after WW2.

More than yours, Len!
>
> >Yet most
> >Technicians then and now are primarily communicators, not
> >builder/experimenters.
>
> Funny thing about your sneer, Jimmie, it almost makes you smile,
> but not quite.

Who is sneering? Not me. The Technician failed in its original purpose.
That's a fact.
>
> Right now the combined numbers of no-code-Technician and Technician
> Plus classes make up a bit more that 48% of ALL U.S. amateur radio
> licenses granted. Almost HALF, Jimmie.

48.1% - 318,462 out of 661,800 as of December 9.

But that percentage is *down* from what it was 5 years ago, right after
the
rules changes.

> Newcomers to amateur radio are entering through the no-code-test
> Technician class level

Most of them, anyway. Every month FCC issues a few dozen new licenses
to Generals and Extras "right out of the box".

And for more than 5-1/2 years, the only choice new hams have had for
their first license class is the Technician, General, or Extra.

>...because it has NO code test.

How do you know that is the reason, Len?

The Technician also has the easiest and simplest written test - just 35
multiple choice questions.

> >Perhaps that emotional baggage is why you never
> >held a Novice license, Len. Perhaps you disliked being
> >known as a beginner.
>
> In 1951 I would have accepted that "Novice" grading...as a teen-
> ager. Maybe in early 1953 at age 20 when learning to operate
> high-power HF transmitters. NOT by late 1954 as an E-5 and
> supervisor of an operating team.

> Sure as hell NOT by early
> 1956 after being a supervisor of microwave radio relay
> equipment vital to the linkage of all parts of a military
> radio station.

So you let a *name* - a single *word* - stop you from getting
an Amateur Radio license.

Gee, who's all hung up title and rank?

> You call me a "beginner" in radio now you will get laughed at
> and become a target for rotten tomatoes.

You're not even a beginner in amateur radio, Len. You haven't
even begun there....

> Get the picture?

I'd like to see you try to throw rotten tomatoes at me in real
life, Len. You're really brave in the cyber-world, a continent
away.

> <"history" lesson omitted from one who wasn't there then>

You clip out facts rather than deal with them.

> >Neither is it a reason to discard the concept. The details
> >may need changing but the concept is valid. It offers a way
> >for newcomers to get started in amateur radio without
> >having to make a large investment of resources.
>
> More crap of no particular order.
>
> You are stuck in an endless loop of repeating past regulatory
> standards AS IF time and attitudes have not changed.

You mean like somebody who thinks the zoning ideas of
1960 should still apply 30-40-45 years later?

> For
> example of blindness to actual fact:

> >One big reason the Novice lost favor as the entry point
> >for new hams was its lack of privileges on the most popular
> >VHF/UHF bands - 2 meters and 440, where most of the repeaters are.

> Just ordinary crap.

Why?

> The Novice class started before "repeaters"
> were numerous in major urban areas.

The Novice started in 1951. Amateur radio repeaters became common
in "major urban areas" in the 1970s.

> After 1990, newcomers were shunning "Novice" and going for the
> NO-CODE-TEST Technician class license.

That was long *after* amateur radio repeaters were common. Almost
two decades after!

> Sure, it was straight-
> jacketed to VHF and above but it was fun for most in urban
> areas and the equipment makers had equipment on the shelves
> for them to buy.

Yet you never got one!

There was plenty of equipment for Novices as well.

> >The reason amateur radio is "primarily adult" is that young
> >people don't stay young for long.
>
> Remarkable! You've made a DISCOVERY!
>
> Ah, but you've talked only about their physicality. Mentally
> some NEVER outgrow their childhood...keeping the kiddie thoughts
> and pretending to be grown-ups long into their old age.

Talking about yourself again, I see....

> >But amateur radio can be the path to a number of careers, like
> >engineering.
>
> The MAJORITY of my contemporaries in electronics got into it
> WITHOUT first getting an amateur radio license.

> >You all had at least a high school education, didn't you?
>
> Wasn't required then. Even literacy wasn't a requirement!
> There were special classes to teach English then but that
> required an extension of the service time to compensate.

But you all were high school graduates, right?
>
> >All had passed various aptitude tests to become signalmen, didn't
> >they?
>
> No. The ONLY aptitude test given in regards to radio was
> a morse code cognition test given to all recruits.

Ah - and you didn't make the grade on that one, eh?
Explains a lot.

> Steering
> of recruits in the military then was DEMAND-driven. One
> goes where one is told to go.
>
> >You all went to microwave school, right?
>
> No. Some went to Field Radio School, some went to tele-
> typewriter school, a few went to inside-plant telephone
> school.

So you all had various electrical/electronic training from
the US Army. None of you were 100% self-taught in the
area of radio/electricity/electronics.

> We had a separate group for outside-plant telephone
> people...the "pole cats" who put up the poles for wire
> antennas and strung the wire.

So you didn't have to do that sort of thing. Ever climbed
a wooden pole with hooks and belt, Len?

> >It wasn't like you and the others had no "radio-electronics"
> >background at all, and had to start from scratch.
>
> Tsk. Try NOT to TELL ME what I or any contemporaries were
> doing, Jimmie. You don't know dink about it.

IOW, I have stated exactly how it was. You and the others had
significant "radio-electronics" background before you got
to Japan, and did not have to start from scratch.

> Some DID start with no previous experience other than turning
> on a broadcast receiver. One was a chemist in his 3rd year
> of college (not quite old enough to escape the draft and too
> young to escape drafting by the Wehrmacht!). One was a farmer
> from Iowa. Others were from different occupations having
> nothing to do with radio or electronics.

But all had various training *in the army* before they ever got to
Japan. Some went to microwave school, some went to Field Radio
School, some went to tele-typewriter school, a few went to
inside-plant telephone school.

> >While you
> >may have not had specific "HF" training, was there no
> >transfer from the training you did have?

> One did, in fact, transfer out...didn't like all that
> electronics snit at Monmouth and asked to go into Infantry.

> >So you had experienced people to supervise, teach and guide
> >everything you did, and make sure you did it right.
>
> That's normal in the military. :-)

Exactly! Amateur radio is totally different.

> They didn't hold any hands or coddle lower ranks if that's
> what you mean...<guffaw!>

Not at all. I mean that you were not on your own.

> >You weren't on your own at all until the experienced people thought
> >you were ready - right?
>
> Not entirely true. If ANY situation arose that required
> handling, it was handled as best as one could. That is ALSO
> true in ANY aspect of military experience.

Yet there were always experienced people around if really
needed. You were part of a team, not all on your own.

> >What you did was all according to set procedures that had
> >been worked out carefully by trained and experienced people,
> >correct?
>
> Not entirely true. With experience, learning, paying
> attention, lower rankings become higher rankings and are
> thus considered "trained and experienced." :-)

But when you started, you didn't have to work anything out
on your own.

> >And you had all sorts of manuals, training materials, tools,
> >parts and test equipment to do the job - right?
>
> Not entirely true. But, it is useless to try to explain it
> to you since you have NO similar experience and NONE in that
> time frame.

IOW, you had everything you needed. That's a good thing!

> >> Those that did it wrong were shown
> >> why and had to practice getting it right. No re-
> >> criminations leveled, no "chewings out," no
> >> ostracizing.
> >
> >All good stuff - but it all amounts to a considerable

> >training period, doesn't it? A lot more than a few days.
>
> What, to QSY a BC-339? A BC-340? An LD-T2? Simple task.
> The PW-15 was a bit more difficult due to the large double-
> shorting links for the final tank (15 KW conservative RF
> output, looked like it was built for three times that).
> Piece of cake to anyone with a normal memory.

Or a notebook. And after being shown how to do it several
times.
>
> Memorizing new jargon was more "difficult", memorizing
> new procedures on the order-wire teletypewriter were more
> "difficult," some with bad pitch would set up the Shift on
> the RTTY exciters to 425 cycles instead of the 850 cycles
> standard.

Too bad..

> Jimmie, I WAS THERE, YOU WERE NOT.

And yet I have a very good understanding of what went on.

When it comes to operating an amateur radio station as
a teenager, *I* was there, Len, and *you* were not.

There are plenty of things you've done that I haven't, Len.
And plenty of things I've done that you haven't.

Get over it.

> >> We all learned and did our tasks
> >
> >I'm sure you did - and there were incentives to do so!
>
> What "incentives" did we have? Name them.

Promotion - more pay - more interesting work - better
duty....

Also the negative incentives - somebody who didn't
do the job right could wind up in the infantry...

> Do one's job well enough and one does NOT get demoted,
> does NOT get Company Punishment ("Captain's Mast" in
> the Navy), does NOT get shit details...although some
> military tasks ARE shit details for all.

Such as?
>
> Promotion in rank an "incentive?" IF there is an
> opening (not guaranteed) in the TO&E and one is
> evaluated to be a responsible type, MAYBE a
> promotion. Of course, such an "incentive" also

> requires an additional responsibility and, with that,
> a whole new set of "gradings" on performance.

Guess what? Civilians have a similar situation - except
civilians can usually quit at any time.
>
> >Like what, Len? Compared to amateurs who have done
> >things like building and operating complete EME stations
> >on their own time, with only their own resources?
>
> Describe YOUR "EME" station, Jimmie.

I don't have one, Len. Neither do you. But I know what it
would take to build and operate one as a radio amateur.

> Military life is NOT a hobby, Jimmie. You don't understand
> that and it is useless to explain it to you.

Whoever said it was a hobby? Not me.

My whole point is that amateur radio is a completely different
environment, and your military radio experiences don't
necessarily prove anything about amateur radio.

> >Now I'll tell you about *my* experience on "entering HF".
>
> We've all heard that before in here...yawn.

We've heard yours over and over and over, Len.
>
> It sounds JUST like some cute human-interest stories
> published now and then in amateur radio publications.

It's what happened. I think you're jealous, Len.
>
> >A completely different environment than what you described for
> >yourself.
>
> Yes. Big difference. I never considered myself "superior"
> to anyone except of lower rank (superiority was already
> pre-defined).

Now you consider yourself superior to almost everyone!
Certainly to anyone who disagrees with you.

> While all what I've described was going on,
> WE (the soldiers) ALSO had to undergo periodic training
> to keep up our warfighting skills. NONE of that was a
> HOBBY, Jimmie.

Who said it was, Len?

> >All the military radios I've seen that are/were
> >meant to be used by "line outfits" were made as simple to operate

> >as possible. That paradigm goes all the way back to the WW2
> >BC-611 "walkie talkie".
>
> "Handie-talkie," Jimmie. The "walkie-talkie" was the SCR-300
> (R/T being BC-1000). Both designed by MOTOROLA.

> Tell us YOUR experiences WITH "line" outfits.

I've worked in a line gang. Have you? I think not!

> How good can
> you do morse keying while rattling around IN a moving tank?

I dunno - never tried. Better than you can, I bet!

> Why do you think that military radios SHOULD have lots of
> complicated controls with lots of time available for operators
> to play with knobs, dials, and switches?

I don't! The point is they're intentionally simplified - that's good!

> Ever "wear" an AN/PRC-9? [or its cousins PRC-8, PRC-10?).
> How about carrying an AN/PRC-25 or a PRC-77? How about an
> AN/PRC-104 or the SINCGARS AN/PRC-119? Ever enter the
> "hopset" on a 119? I have. As a civilian.

So what? Do you think that somehow qualifies you to operate
an *amateur* radio station? It doesn't.

> If you want knobs, dials, switches to play with, try the old
> post-WW2 USMC HF transmitter T-195 designed by Collins Radio.
> First Jeep-mounted Autotune critter, first one with an
> automatic antenna tuner...and enormously INEFFICIENT in terms
> of DC power drain on the Jeep versus its RF power output.

Did the job, though. I prefer the T-368 myself....

> I can rattle off dozens more but you won't accept any of
> those that haven't appeared in the Military Ring of the
> boatanchors afficionados.

You can rattle off whatever you want, Len, but it doesn't
prove any point for you.

> >The environments are completely different, Len.
>
> NO KIDDING?!? Amateur radio is a HOBBY.

Not just a hobby, though.

> Military is all
> about WARFIGHTING, Jimmie.

Really? What about deterrence?

> >Most
> >radio amateurs are essentially self-taught, in their spare
> >time, using their own resources. What they could learn
> >in a week or two of intense formal training might take a
> >month to a year of part-time self-study.
>
> WTF is this "intense" formal training?

The microwave school you went to, Len. How many hours
a day/week? For how many months? All paid for by the
taxpayers, right?

> YOU tell ME EXACTLY how much compensation I got for keeping
> up with the state-of-the-art in electronics (and radio, if
> you insist on making those two indestinguishable fields
> "separate")...and how many "intense instruction classes"
> I got during my civilian career? I can tell you exactly
> to both: ZERO.

It's not my problem if you picked your employers poorly, Len.
Good employers see the value in training their people.

And the compensation you did get was continued employment.
That's the way it works!
>
> Anyone who tries to apply themselves in anything MUST do a
> whole helluvalot of SELF-STUDY...for their work OR for their
> hobby. SELF-STUDY on one's own free time...at nights, during
> lunch, anywhere keeping their eyes open and being receptive
> to new things. If that means taking the trouble to go to
> seminars, take extension classes without credit on one's
> own wallet payments, then one does it...if they really,
> really want to know more...in a hobby OR in a career.

Gosh, Len, you just figure that out? I was onto that 33 years ago.

I went to college mostly on my own money, Len. Earned an
academic scholarship and kept it for four years. Got an
educational loan and paid it all back on time with interest.
Paid for the rest out of earnings at various jobs I held all
through undergraduate school.

Try working 35-38 hours a week, taking 5 engineering
courses (one of them at the graduate level) per term,
and getting everywhere without a car (home, job and
work were all separated by several miles).

Then there was grad school, after I'd been out of college
for a decade. Full time plus work, school at night, etc.
At least I had a car....

--

Now about your one-class-of-license idea:

Suppose FCC actually went for that one. (They've turned down the idea and others like it more than once, but put that aside for a moment).

How would your one-license system work?
What would be the written test requirements?

Most of all, what would happen to the existing license classes? Would everyone just get full privileges?

1126. Re stvie makes false charge and admits to lying r does he

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:49:18 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <pbqmp1p057usci28hkdneop1ls3hiasa3l@4ax.com>
Source record: 126898

On 20 Oct 2005 02:31:02 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Jun 29 1998, 3:00 am
>> >rec.aviation.homebuilt
>> a post from Stevie
>> >> There are worse ways to die, you know..
>> >
>> >
>> >Living death...marriage
>> >Steve Robeson,LPN
>> >Amateur Call K4YZ
>> >Enjoying all facets of the Radio Communications Hobby Since 1962
>> >NCS TN SSB Net 3980Khz@2330 on Thursdays
>>
>> equating Marriage with living death what a hoot
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Me and every stand up comedian in the US, Markie. Better start
>"busting the chops" of David Letterman, Jay Leno, etc etc etc...
>
> Oh...And the Subject line?
>
> Well, it was about as truthful as anything YOU were saying.

since yo say I was lying then you admit to lying yourself with the
titl ": Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He
Was Asking For It"."
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1127. why can't staev tel the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:50:27 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <hcqmp11da5v00171l2ptbr3f4bahnl6c9e@4ax.com>
Source record: 126899

On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
>> > > starting out with
>> > > "And this is different from any other law...HOW?"
>> > >
>> > > he follows up wit
>> >
>> > "with"
>> >
>> > > >k4yz
>> > > >> nobodys old friend
>> > > >> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
>> > > >> are not laws
>> > > >
>> > > > Sure they are.
>> > >
>> > > how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie
>> >
>> > "maintain"
>> >
>> > It's not a lie.
>>
>> it is a lie
>
> Nope.
>
> And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
>it be true, Markie.

you are lying

Bandplans are not laws

>
>> > > why can't he just fees up
>>
>> cuting Stevie evasion
>
> "cutting", Markie...
>
cut

>> still holding onto that lie
>
> What lie?
>
>> of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
>> years
>
> I didn't lie and I didn't threaten your life.

you lied about bandplans = laws

you lie about your threats
>
> And repeating THAT over and over does not make it true, Markie.

no it does not, facts make it true
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1128. soory my hubby is am asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-10 23:51:35 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <vcqmp1h54v0svnjt7egds0f85ts0dhmrju@4ax.com>
Source record: 126900

but better he takes it out on her than at home
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1129. i pormise to try and riegn in my asshole hubby k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:00:42 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <60rmp15nj1k31qkdqvbbf3g30qij8cqf22@4ax.com>
Source record: 126903

but he is rough to reign in
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1130. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:24:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134260651.421483.213740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126897

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 10, 1:14 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
>> >> > ways?"
>
>> >> Brian,
>
>> >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
>> >> me.
>
>> >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
>
>> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
>
>> September 24, 2004; January 13, February 10, May 25 2005. <shrug>
>
>Len, thanks for serving up the specific dates of his attempts to claim
>some kind of honors, but he's just not worth my time.

Agreed. Jimmie MUST have quotes that are EXACT and WORD-FOR-
WORD. Amazing. He can't understand that other people read
the entirety of his boasting claims of doing more than others
and doing it oh-so-much-better.

At the very same time he tries to dump on everyone who
disagrees with HIM, be they veterans or not. "Patriotism?"
Hardly.

>> >> All my postings to newsgroups are in Google. Please
>> >> provide a link to the posting(s) where I wrote what you
>> >> claim I wrote.
>
>> >At's OK. I'll take your word for it that not only haven't you served,
>> >but that you haven't "served in other ways."
>
>> Jimmie "serves" ceasar salads at the O-club on Satiddy nights.
>> [hail ceasar...]
>
>He reminds me of Madeliene Al(most)bright saying that America no longer
>needs the "Marine Culture." Woefully uninformed. She's not worthy to
>preside over a barrel-burning detail for our deployed troops.

Neither is Jimmie.

Maddie WAS Secretary of State at one time, though. Wonder if
Jimmie could wear a nice dress and heels as Condi's replacement?

>> Jimmie loves to re-argue, re-argue, re-argue old, old postings'
>> content, perhaps hoping to THIS TIME being able to win one...:-)
>
>Hmmmm? OK, if he wants to reargue what he says he didn't say...

Brian, any quote attributed to him MUST be WORD-FOR-WORD or
"he never said any such thing."

He changes his boundary conditions to put himself in the "right"
anywhichwayhecan.

>> Jimmie be the "silent majority" type of wannabe...or maybe a
>> Better-Than? He is "superior" and without fault in whatever he
>> does. Just ask him and he will confirm that.
>
>It's funny. A few years ago, I was surrounded by people serving in the
>military, and it almost appeared that everyone did. Most of us had
>been to the desert, to Honduras, to Korea, etc, etc, etc.
>
>Now that I'm out here with the "civils," its eye-opening and shocking.

I understand. Been there, done that. Of course, I did it far
further back than most, but I never forgot it.

A great problem with these "civils" is that, not being anywhere
close to danger themselves, everything military is like a movie
or TV show. All the actors come alive after the show is over
and go on to play other parts in other shows later. Ain't so
in the military. Once dead, completely dead. No SAG or SEG
rules about resurrection for them. The military's environment
is not a comfortable living room or an air conditioned theater.

Jimmie said "I was 'safe' in Tokyo." The Russkies "couldn't
reach us with aircraft" or some dumb thing like that. Had he
seen some rather elaborate plans for shelters and op-orders on
what to do when the crap entered the cyclone, he would have
probably complained about excess spending or equivalent. In the
1950s the Russkies DID have various delivery systems for
special weapons. Jimmie doesn't want to believe that. Being
safe in his crib in the yew-knighted-states, nobody was going to
nuke HIM! :-) Ergo, nobody was going to nuke anyone. He went
out of his way trying to barf on those of us who were OUT THERE
one helluvalot closer to danger than HE ever was.

Be that as it may, most of the vets I've talked to who served
off-shore were both cognizant of danger close-at-hand but also
optimistic as to their survival. The vast majority of us
survived and came back to the "civils," uncivil though some of
them were.

My outfit did communications. We did it well, got two Presidential Unit Citations for it while I was there. We did communications of the most direct means possible then, didn't play at it as some amateur did with the "NTS" and their "official radiograms." At the same time we had to keep up with ordinary soldiering skills, summed up by the primary mission phrase "...to close with, and destroy, the enemy."

I like to think that the guys in my outfit could, if needs be, carry out their primary mission as soldiers. None of us wanted any "test" of whether or not we were. I thank God there wasn't any. Braggarts and hero-wannabes say the opposite, that they could/did "fight" anyone (with the jaw-bone of an ass...their own). See hero of seven hostile actions, Dudly the Imposter.

>> Give Jimmie some slack(s). He might be organizing a charity
>> group in memory of fallen hippie linemen who tye-dyed on active
>> duty in the 60s?
>
>If Jim want's to make some legitimate claim to how he served, then he
>can make it.

Hmphhh...the O-clubs still need waiters. Burger King still needs servers.

>If not then he can go to his grave regretting how he
>misspent his youth or how he "served in other ways" that are the
>equivalent of military service in his mind. Sad.

He knows no better. He will never allow himself to be with fault. Ergo, he is always right...others are always "wrong" if they disagree with him.

Lately, Jimmie has become an "expert" on regional real estate and urban zoning. He "knows exactly" all about every situation involving those two areas. He made some weird analogies to opinions I've expressed, analogies that have NO relationship whatsoever. That was all geared to make me look "bad," essential to make him "look good" and be without fault. It didn't work, was incorrect...but Jimmie thinks it did and Jimmie is the only one that counts. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1131. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:29:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134260978.166312.147140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126901
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

more thread killing
dee-flint-ham-ho@hotmail.com wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > oral vec's ??????????????????
>
>
> hell yes!!!!!!!!!!
>
> hey steveo, the way you love sucking cock you must be an extra!!

1132. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:35:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134261327.666459.83480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126902

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > I belive I have now made my point in the past few days stevie has
> > > > > admitted that he has forged posts forged nicks he just wants to quibble
> > > > > as to where he did his forging as if that made a difference
> > > >
> > > > I've never forged a post.
> > >
> > > A lie.
> >
> > Not.
>
> Another lie. You stack them up like cordwood before a New England
> winter.

and with far less excuse

>
> > > > That I zinged you in the attributes
> > >
> > > Zinged? Just say "Forged."
> >
> > They were not forged.
>
> They were. You changed his attributions, you skunk.

my atrituburtes len BB as well I think

>
> > Would you like for me to send you a copy of Websters to your CBA,
> > Brain?
>
> Nothing of yours is welcome here.
>
> > > > is fair-play turn-about for
> > > > past transgression of yuor own.
> > >
> > > Fair Play? There is no excuse for it.
> >
> > Tell that to Markie. He's the one making posts as "k4yz@aol.com",
> > Brain.
>
```

> I'm telling it to you because you are the one who changed "an old
> friend" to "raped an old friend."

he has an excuse when he does stuff no one else does right

stvei sick
>
> You're dispicable.
>
> > I've not made a single post under anyone else's screen name.
>
> You invent new screen names and post anonymously, you skunk.

BTW I have not made any posts under anyone else screen the interenet
does not have only AOL or prehaps a few other places
>
> > > > I have NEVER falsified your e-mail address nor made up a nick that
> > > > misrepresented yours (or anyone else's) callsign in the USENET line.
> > >
> > > You are such an hono[u]rable guy. Pffft.
> >
> > Moreso than you or the other Feeble Five, Brain.
>
> I have nothing to do with your lack of hono[u]r. It is entirely in
> your hands and you have no one to blame except yourself.
>
> > > > It's not "quibbling"...it's fact.
> > >
> > > The fact is that you are making distinctions that don't exist, and
> > > excuses for your bad behavio[u]r.
> >
> > What bad behaviour?
>
> "raped an old friend"
>
> > And Brain...If you don't care to use the British spellilng of a
> > word, simply don't use it.
>
> "spelling" Try not to post when you're drunk or high.

amusing how that is his preveldge alone

>
> > > > > I have for the monet discontinued my forging of Steie after all my
> > > > > point is made
> > > >
> > > > No...What was "made" was YOU misrepresenting the truth...Again...
> > >
> > > And you lie.
> >
> > Nope.
>

> You are very reliable. You can always be counted on to lie.
>
> > > > > Un like stvei I can and do move on while stevie hasn't moved on about
> > > > > anything since he got here
> > > > >
> > > > > ">>>I<<< Haven't moved on...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA
> > > > > !!!!

> ...the new threads that you've started about Cutie Boy?
>
> ...the new threads that you've started about me?
>
> I'm thinking that's five (5) counts of internet stalking, by your
> definition.

his diffination only apply to other
>
> >>>OR<<< you just might want to back off on the claim that Mark is "internet-stalking"
you, you disreputable internet skunk..
>
> > > > Thanks, Markie! I can always count on you for a laugh!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > And we can always count on you for a lie.
> >
> > What lie, PuppetBoy?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> The ""raped an old friend" is not a forgery" lie, you Skanky Nurse.

yea stvei does rack em up

1133. Re: A Legal Alternative for 11 Meter Ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:40:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134261637.082063.176430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126904
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

we7qrz@yahoo.com wrote:
> A Legal Alternative for 11 Meter Ops.
> Mark Pearsal (MPEARSAL) on March 29, 2001
> View comments about this article!
> http://www.eham.net/articles/1841
>
> I thought I'd include this since so many of you choose to make
> derogatory remarks about CBer's rather than stick to the topic. (Code
> vs. No-Code, Restructuring, etc...) I personally like the code but you
> guys use the issue to take cheap shots at 11m ops. You should realize
> that many 11m ops visit these forums seeking to learn about ham radio,
> NOT be insulted. 73.
>
> Hello fellow 11 meter enthusiasts,
>
cuting for brevity

interesting thought and much more on topic than most of the stufff we
see here

the problem ois that ARRL will take your money and still deny you your
place Ask Carl stevenson about that one

1134. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:41:06 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a7tmp156di0u34u38lk9em9c6k4vsfbnbp@4ax.com>
Source record: 126908
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 10 Dec 2005 15:15:29 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On 10 Dec 2005 14:46:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Hey fucknut, does Chris Caputo approve of your altering your header to
>> read
>> http://www.TarrNews.net - FREE NNTP Access
>
>why dont you read his TOS and find out steve robenson? k1man would
>never have to ask a dumb question like that because k1man is a great
>man.

Oh, you're the anti-free speech waster who complained to the ISP about
this 'politically-incorrect' site. Well it's still up and running
despite your mendacious attempts to gag it, which shows just how much
clout *you* carry in this medium! Waster! Hehe!

--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

1135. stevei si reminded that he can stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:43:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134261819.599095.326050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126905

```
it is just that simple apologize for your forgeries and I'll stop
```

1136. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:47:06 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <6gtmp1dqk4qglmcigdvc42tj3ojndi2rcv@4ax.com>
Source record: 126909
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 17:58:51 +0000, Walt Davidson
<g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 17:26:11 GMT, Mexicana Aeroni¿½utica y Spacia
>Administracii¿½n <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx> wrote:
>
>>Hmm, I like it so I think I'll download everything before it goes offline
>>and repost it on some server in Russia.
>
>I wouldn't be surprised if it was illegal in the UK to even LOOK at
>the site! It's against the law here to possess racially inflammatory
>material. If it's in the browser cache on your PC, you possess it ...
>right?

Aw, c'mon, Walt. We all know there are a lot of black people that
genuinely *don't* deserve to be denigrated in any way. But then again,
there are a lot that - simply by the way they act - do and this site
does a screamingly funny job of it - only to that particular sub-group
whom we've all encountered, mind, whether we choose to admit it or
not..
--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

1137. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:51:22 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <hqtmp19ukimj6mhu8qaga62c80tdgth4bo@4ax.com>
Source record: 126910
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 18:25:11 GMT, Mexicana Aeronï¿½utica y Spacia
Administraciï¿½n <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx> wrote:

>Except that I'm in the USA so I have nothing to worry about.

!!!!!!!!!!
>
>The UK is pathetic. Though I'm descended from Brits, I realize why my
>country declared independence. America may have its problems, but I
>expect that British culture will be extinct within a century, having
>first been turned into an joyless Orwellian police state before finally
>collapsing into anarchy.

We're just a few leagues ahead of you in the sewer pipe of political
censorship; that's all. In ten years' time, your first amendment won't
be worth Jack any more (it's continually being eroded even as we
speak).
--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

1138. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:52:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134262349.935981.310010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126906

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1134172521.772347.260400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:9Almf.1295$n1.275@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >
> > >>
> > >> Kinda like people with no amateur radio license and little or no
> > >> Morse Code experience trying to impose their will on those of
> > >> us who *are* licensed and *do* use Morse Code.
> > >>
> > >
> > > I haven't seen anyone, licensed or not, propose a change in the
> > > regulations that would affect my use of Morse code.
> > >
> > > Beep beep
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> >
> > I have seen people proposing going entirely to voluntary band plans for HF
> > instead of regulated splits ala Europe and thus making all modes legal
> > throughout the entire band. That could impact your use of Morse during
> > the
> > larger voice contests.
>
> Couldn't possibly. CW always gets through.
>
> > With the number of hams in this country that could
> > be a mess.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Thus one of the original reasons to test for Morse. It limits the
> number of people holding a license.
> --
> End Quote
>
>
>
> No that was never one of the original reasons to test for Morse.

become better infromed as to history
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1139. more of the code shit of the past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 00:53:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134262436.610142.151110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
The Farting Virgin Mary of Southpark wrote:
> <loose_orifice@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1134242497.846297.16930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > there will be a reunion on 3950 tonight be there for the roll call and
> > then all the check ins and the jammers too
> >
>
> the original "Loose Oriface" died several years ago.
>well we se thefine upstanding hams produced by code testing
```

1140. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 01:01:47 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3iump1dvaiabae3b9cfscugm5vghlvg6fv@4ax.com>
Source record: 126911
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 18:29:27 +0000, Walt Davidson
<g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 15:03:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>>nevermore wrote:
>>> Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>>> teacher talked about the Constitution.
>>
>>Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
>>written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?
>
>Exactly!

I don't think it's so much that; it's more that American history is
just too short to be worth teaching (certainly by European standards).
--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

1141. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 01:11:28 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <84vmp1tcj0d3fchgb5td08p9gpojvj3cjj@4ax.com>
Source record: 126913
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 13:36:30 -0000, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>Then that's fine for you and your CW friends but is it really the top goal
>all Amateurs set out to achieve... to go dah dah dah dit dit dit dah dah
>dah? Don't you also enjoy talking to distance stations with your voice too?

No. I know that sounds odd but it's true. Can't really explain it, I
guess. :-|
--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

1142. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 02:02:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NvSdnXDSzopVFQbenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 126912

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1134249417.963707.41970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1134172521.772347.260400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:9Almf.1295$n1.275@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >
> > >>
> > >> Kinda like people with no amateur radio license and little or no
> > >> Morse Code experience trying to impose their will on those of
> > >> us who *are* licensed and *do* use Morse Code.
> > >>
> > >
> > > I haven't seen anyone, licensed or not, propose a change in the
> > > regulations that would affect my use of Morse code.
> > >
> > > Beep beep
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> >
> > I have seen people proposing going entirely to voluntary band plans for
> > HF
> > instead of regulated splits ala Europe and thus making all modes legal
> > throughout the entire band. That could impact your use of Morse during
> > the
> > larger voice contests.
>
> Couldn't possibly. CW always gets through.
>
> > With the number of hams in this country that could
> > be a mess.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Thus one of the original reasons to test for Morse. It limits the
> number of people holding a license.
> _____

> End Quote
>
>
>
> No that was never one of the original reasons to test for Morse.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Yes, it was. See Google.

_____
End Quote

Google is not necessarily a reliable historical source, especially for
things that far in the past.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1143. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 02:26:07 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <v04mp1t9bk9alqi0n9rpcnr3peal6lqcbv@4ax.com>
Source record: 126918
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 17:54:22 +0100, Martin Holterman
<martin.holterman@wxs.nl> wrote:

>nevermore wrote:
>> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 16:48:43 +0100, Martin Holterman
>> <martin.holterman@wxs.nl> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>nevermore wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 15:03:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>nevermore wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>>>>>>teacher talked about the Constitution.
>>>>>
>>>>>Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
>>>>>written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>*Anyone* talks about US Constitutional free speech without knowing
>>>>that the First Amendment is *only* a guarantee against abridgement by
>>>>the government itself, is a moron.
>>>
>>>Horizontal effect of constitutionally guaranteed rights is an element of
>>>many countries' legal systems. When I studied a bit of law in Ireland, I
>>>learned about constitutional torts. The idea is that, take free speach,
>>>if torts law does not protect my right to free speach when it should,
>>>than this is a shortcoming on the part of the state, i.e. the court and
>>>the legislature. To rectify this, the plaintiff, when suing another
>>>private person in tort, can base his case on his constitutional right.
>>>In tort, there are about a dozen or so precedents where this reasoning
>>>was upheld.
>>>In my own country, the Netherlands, the constitutionally protected
>>>rights do not have horizontal effect directly, but they are used for the
>>>interpretation of open norms in civil law, such as the public order
>>>exception to free contracting and the due care requirement in tort law.
>>>

>>>I hope you learned something,
>>
>>
>> I don't give a shit how it works in the Netherlands.. It sure doesn't
>> work that way in the USA.
>>
>>
>
>I know, but I have to say that attitude is not very helpful. It's gotten
>Americans in trouble before.
>
>Martin Holterman

hey, thanks, but we can take care of ourselves... and given the
state of the rest of the world, it's a good thing that we can.
--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1144. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 02:26:08 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <u65mp1pspcliql508c8jqvuagtp8v20ahk@4ax.com>
Source record: 126915
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 17:32:10 GMT, "Tom Donaly"
<dtdonaly@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>nevermore wrote:
>> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 16:35:52 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>nevermore wrote:
>>>
>>>>I don't give a shit how it works in the Netherlands.. It sure doesn't
>>>>work that way in the USA.
>>>
>>>Lots of things don't work anymore in the USA. :-)
>>
>>
>>
>> Yeah, unfortunately we still have lots of welfare leeches, but
>> fortunately, OTOH, there's more than enough "stuff" working good in
>> the USA to make the losers of the world hate and fear us....
>> --
>>
>> If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
>> be in jail soon enough.
>> --Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
>> http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&
>>
>> Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
>> <LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
>> clearly where you report your capital gains.
>>
>> http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf
>>
>
>Some of those "losers" are doing pretty well for themselves.
>China's economy should surpass that of the U.S. in the near
>future, for example. As for hating us, why would anyone hate
>a cash cow?
>73,
>Tom Donaly, KA6RUH

It takes a substantial larger number of people for China to approach
the USA's economy... and, of course, most of the EU is still
suffering from head_up_ass syndrome.
--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1145. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 02:26:09 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <af8mp1dbbcfld18v9usc5b70knhs0cuenv@4ax.com>
Source record: 126914
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 18:29:27 +0000, Walt Davidson
<g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 11:50:51 -0500, nevermore
><stevencanyon@y***hoo.com> wrote:
>
>> OTOH, there's more than enough "stuff" working good in
>>the USA to make the losers of the world hate and fear us....
>
>Well, you got the "hate" part right.
>
>:-)
>
>73 de G3NYY

Good, good, if the leftist morons in America, Europe, and Russia, and
the terrorists in the Middle East didn't hate the USA, I'd wonder what
we were doing wrong.
--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1146. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 02:26:10 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <mp8mp1lmc3ek8iekeg9s8gtsulgk013jk6@4ax.com>
Source record: 126916
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 18:29:27 +0000, Walt Davidson
<g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 10:26:39 -0500, nevermore
><stevencanyon@y***hoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Then the moron should try to keep his mouth shut about things that he
>>doesn't understand.
>>
>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>Thank you for confirming and vindicating the opinion that most people
>in the civilized countries of the world hold about Americans these
>days.
>
>73 de G3NYY

Opinions of you morons and losers are of little interest to me, other
than the entertainment you provide.
--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1147. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 02:26:10 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <im8mp1lursrij4j3fvlb392o7ns1j0qh5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 126917
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 18:29:27 +0000, Walt Davidson
<g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 15:03:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>>nevermore wrote:
>>> Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>>> teacher talked about the Constitution.
>>
>>Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
>>written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?
>
>Exactly!
>
>73 de G3NYY

Well, then, like I suggested, don't open your mouth and talk about
things which you know nothing about.... Ignorance like yours is
obvious enough without you broadcasting it.
--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1148. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 02:36:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <r13np1de6rr5c42k4piojoe3mgnvclvfc3@4ax.com>
Source record: 126919

On 10 Dec 2005 04:17:09 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1134217028.967190.116360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 9 Dec 2005 15:48:45 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1134172125.408914.100050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> Shut up, Dud.
>
> Not in your wildest dreams, little boy...
>
> Come back with your demands when you've made amends for your
>behaviour in the Corps, jail bird.

I -have- made amends, Dud -- by losing rank and pay, and (ironically)
by doing time in the brig (not 'jail'). Even before I had my discharge
upgraded, my "general under honorable conditions" was prima facie that
I had fulfilled my duties and that I owe them nothing more. The only
person who has -any- problem with my service is you. But never having
served in the Corps yourself, your opinion means squat.

However, I will rephrase my comment:

Put up or shut up, Yellow Dog.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1149. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 03:00:28 UTC
From: Mexicana Aeronáutica y Spacia Administraci ón <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx>
Message-ID: <gDMmf.30258$dO2.24365@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 126920
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote...
> On 10 Dec 2005 05:03:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Subject: Re: http://niggermania.com/
>>From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>Date: 10 Dec 2005 05:03:03 GMT
>>Organization: http://newsreader.com/
>>Path: nntp.giganews.com!feed4.newsreader.com!newsreader.com!newsh.newsreader.com!ellis.n
ewsreader.com!not-for-mail
>>Message-ID: <20051210000303.410$Bv@newsreader.com>
>
>
> https://newsreader.com/news/newaccount says this about you
>
> 7. We reserve the right to disable your ability to create (post or
> mail) Usenet or e-mail messages with this service. We will do this if
> we believe your messages are considered to be abusive by the current
> standards of the Usenet and Internet community, or disruptive to the
> functioning of Usenet, the Internet, or this service. DO NOT post any
> form of SPAM or ADVERTISING - we will not allow this. If you post any
> articles which are seen by the usenet community as SPAM or unwanted
> ADVERTISING, we will disable your posting ability and and we will
> charge a $10 per message clean-up fee to your credit card.
>
> 8. We reserve the right to refuse service to anyone if we feel their
> use of the service is (or will be) disruptive to the functioning of
> Usenet, the Internet, or this service.
>
> and now you have been warned steve robenson.

I see a reference to current standards of Usenet and Internet community,
and since anything goes on the Internet, it niggermania.com is not in
violation of TOS. How exactly did this website "disrupt" this newsgroup?

1150. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 03:25:43 UTC
From: Mexicana Aeronáutica y Spacia Administraci ón <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx>
Message-ID: <X_Mmf.30268$dO2.16174@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 126921
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote...
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 03:00:28 GMT, Mexicana Aeroni¿½utica y Spacia Administraciï¿½n
<webmaestro@masa.gob.mx> wrote:
>
>>I see a reference to current standards of Usenet and Internet community,
>>and since anything goes on the Internet, it niggermania.com is not in
>>violation of TOS. How exactly did this website "disrupt" this newsgroup?
>
>
> you cant read real good. those things were about newsreader.com and
> not niggermania.com which is a hole different subject. moparholic was
> being told about his isp at newsreader.com and everyone but you
> figured that out.
>
> Now take your fake mexican headers as a example. I bet progidy
> wouldnt like them at all.

But I don't care what they don't like, ISPs are a dime a dozen and I can
easily find another one. Actually, I'm inclined to subscribe to Altopia,
they fiercely protect their customer's identities and really have no TOS
other than turning over customer records if subpoenaed by an American
court. Threatening to kill people is a crime and would qualify, but
offending people is perfectly legal under U.S. law.

1151. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 03:34:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134272055.909323.41110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126922

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1134249417.963707.41970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1134172521.772347.260400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
cut

> > > With the number of hams in this country that could
> > > be a mess.
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Thus one of the original reasons to test for Morse. It limits the
> > number of people holding a license.
> > ____
> > End Quote
> >
> >
> >
> > No that was never one of the original reasons to test for Morse.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Yes, it was. See Google.
>
> ____
> End Quote
>
>
> Google is not necessarily a reliable historical source, especially for
> things that far in the past.

Google may well have the answers here but they exist none the less and
can be found by anyone that is not so blind as not be willing to risk
there preconceived notions
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1152. Re: on the subject of forgeris (FORGERIES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 03:37:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134272255.861189.127640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126923

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 10 Dec 2005 04:17:09 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1134217028.967190.116360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 9 Dec 2005 15:48:45 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1134172125.408914.100050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> >> Shut up, Dud.
> >
> > Not in your wildest dreams, little boy...
> >
> > Come back with your demands when you've made amends for your
> >behaviour in the Corps, jail bird.
>
>
> I -have- made amends, Dud -- by losing rank and pay, and (ironically)
> by doing time in the brig (not 'jail'). Even before I had my discharge
> upgraded, my "general under honorable conditions" was prima facie that
> I had fulfilled my duties and that I owe them nothing more. The only
> person who has -any- problem with my service is you. But never having
> served in the Corps yourself, your opinion means squat.

Stevies problems is he beleives no one can amends for anything, that is
no doubt one of the reason Stevie can't admit to anything since he
would never forgive himself, and might have to (in his mind) comit
suicide if he was forced to accept the truth about himself in even the
slightest degree

He will not even adkonwledge he had any part in the break up of his
maraige
>
> However, I will rephrase my comment:
>
> Put up or shut up, Yellow Dog.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1153. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 04:16:46 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512102316280.7753@panix3.panix.com>
Source record: 126924
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Well, not that old now, LOL. 49.

On Fri, 9 Dec 2005, Walt Davidson wrote:

> On Fri, 9 Dec 2005 16:51:41 -0500, Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:
>
>> You know what? I can't copy CW as fast as I used to either. I got into
>> radio before some of you was born.
>
> Well, before most of the 6-year-old M3s, anyway.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com
>

1154. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 04:25:43 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512102325170.7753@panix3.panix.com>
Source record: 126925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
You hit the nail right on the head about that. Glad someone has it all
figured out.

On Sat, 10 Dec 2005, jjj wrote:

> They have dummied down the ham license so the venders like Icom can
> sell more radios. After 30 years I feel ham radio is now a waste of
> time.
>
>
> On Fri, 9 Dec 2005 21:42:28 -0500, Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:
>
>> You don't hear anyone talking about building equipment anymore for sure.
>>
>> On Thu, 1 Dec 2005, dontspam wrote:
>>
>>> Sure you hear lots of signals on the bands. Appliance operators and
>>> cb'ers.
>>>
>>>
>>> On 1 Dec 2005 15:05:32 GMT, cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com (Chuck
>>> Sherwood) wrote:
>>>
>>>>> I would foget ham radio. It is on the way out.
>>>>> Get some woodworking tools.
>>>>
>>>> That is what they said 10 years ago..
>>>> I still hear signals on the bands so at least somebody
>>>> is xmitting...
>>>>
>>>> I don't particularly like woodworking.
>>>>
>>>> chuck
>>>
>>>
>>
>
```

1155. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 04:41:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <c6Omf.1741$n1.1175@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126926
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Paul Burridge wrote:
> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 18:29:27 +0000, Walt Davidson
> <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 15:03:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net> wrote:
>>
>>> nevermore wrote:
>>>> Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>>>> teacher talked about the Constitution.
>>> Why would g3nyy's teacher have talked about The Constitution,
>>> written by a bunch of previous rebel Enemies of the Crown?
>> Exactly!
>
> I don't think it's so much that; it's more that American history is
> just too short to be worth teaching (certainly by European standards).

I think I see your point: America defeats Britain in the Revolutionary War. America defeats Britain in the War of 1812. America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWI. America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWII.

Post WWII finds the British Empire in eclipse. Six out for twenty-two overs, Toad-in-a-hole and Bob's your uncle. Is that about it?

Dave K8MN

1156. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 08:07:07 UTC
From: Mexicana Aeronáutica y Spacia Administraci ón <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx>
Message-ID: <L6Rmf.30311$dO2.15837@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 126927
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote...
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 01:47:06 +0100, Paul Burridge
> <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
>>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 17:58:51 +0000, Walt Davidson
>><g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I wouldn't be surprised if it was illegal in the UK to even LOOK at
>>>the site! It's against the law here to possess racially inflammatory
>>>material. If it's in the browser cache on your PC, you possess it ...
>>>right?
>>
>>Aw, c'mon, Walt. We all know there are a lot of black people that
>>genuinely *don't* deserve to be denigrated in any way. But then again,
>>there are a lot that - simply by the way they act - do and this site
>>does a screamingly funny job of it - only to that particular sub-group
>>whom we've all encountered, mind, whether we choose to admit it or
>>not..
>
>
> Don't misunderstand me, Paul. I'm not objecting to the web site ...
> I'm simply commenting upon the oppressive, anti-free-speech laws in
> our politically-correct country! Orwell has been proved right about
> the "Thought Police".

What I wonder is the legality of that 1894 book "Ten Little Niggers"
on the site. If "hate speech" is ever criminalized in the USA, does
that mean all historical literature must be destroyed to comply with
the law? Keep in mind that schools have banned books by Mark Twain
because he uses the word nigger, but in a historical perspective his
use is not racist because that simply was the word used at the time.

Take "negro," for instance. We still have "United Negro College Fund"
and I think they recently opened a hall of fame for the "Negro League"
of baseball that operated in the first half of the 20th century before
sports were integrated. The word had no derogatory connotation then,
but today it is considered a racial slur as vile as "nigger." Even
the "Afro-American" they insisted replace negro is now taboo and we
are told we must call them "African-Americans." In a few years that
term will be forbidden, it will be considered racist to even mention
their race and that they are simply "Americans."

So when hate speech is outlawed, will all books written prior to the new era of enlightenment be burned? You mention Orwell, so I imagine history will be "rectified" to purge it of all things unorthodox.

Here's a relevant quote. The working title for the movie "Brazil" was "1984 1/2" so I suppose the Manifesto could be "1984 3/4":

"When someone interprets as derogatory almost anything that is said about him (or about groups with whom he identifies) we conclude that he has inferiority feelings or low self-esteem. This tendency is pronounced among minority rights advocates, whether or not they belong to the minority groups whose rights they defend. They are hypersensitive about the words used to designate minorities. The terms 'negro,' 'oriental,' 'handicapped' or 'chick' for an African, an Asian, a disabled person or a woman originally had no derogatory connotation. 'Broad' and 'chick' were merely the feminine equivalents of 'guy,' 'dude' or 'fellow.' The negative connotations have been attached to these terms by the activists themselves."
-- The Unabomber Manifesto; Paragraph 11

1157. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 08:18:20 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <ghRmf.901$q4.449@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 126928
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:hlmnp1d9if3hbd92pg76j94m0qv2gg1kgh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 04:41:44 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> America pulls
>>Britain's fat from the fire in WWI. America pulls Britain's fat from
>>the fire in WWII.
>
> Pity nobody pulled your fat from the fire in Vietnam, OM.
>
> And Britain is pretty much the only country foolish enough to try and
> pull your fat from the fire in Iraq right now ...
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> P.S. Thanks for your help in the Falklands. We sorted that one out
> quite nicely for ourselves, OM.
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

And thanks for all the money you lot sent to the IRA.....

1158. Re: Remembering where you were ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 08:34:46 UTC
From: 777 <777@abc.com>
Message-ID: <i4pnp1lgsgbt0cs1ver44k9guuutf5krkv@4ax.com>
Source record: 126929
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.nocode

On 10 Dec 2005 14:35:17 -0800, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:

>
>Andy Capp the fucktard wrote:
>> Will anyone remember where they were when the heard of Richard Priors
>> death? Its just doesn't seem to have legs like Kennedy or Lennon's death.
>
>Of course is doesn't you fucking idiot!
>
>He's just another dead nigger!

Who? Your mother???
****
ABIT AN8 NFORCE4 SLI 939 ATX Motherboard
AMD ATHLON64 X2 3800+
1GB PC3200 DDR RAM
WD 36GB SATA RAPTOR 10,000 RPM HDD
MAXTOR 200GB SATA 7200 RPM HDD
XMEDIA GEFORCE 6200 256MB VIDEO

Is this the best system ever? If not call
1-800-NO MORE UPGRADES
****

1159. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 08:47:24 UTC
From: Not Roger II <NotRoger@imitationdude.com>
Message-ID: <dngott$1hj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126930
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:81mnp15vlj99jaf5dv7lohfdr15m7l8dq3@4ax.com...
On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 01:47:06 +0100, Paul Burridge
<pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk> wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 17:58:51 +0000, Walt Davidson
><g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
>
>>I wouldn't be surprised if it was illegal in the UK to even LOOK at
>>the site! It's against the law here to possess racially inflammatory
>>material. If it's in the browser cache on your PC, you possess it ...
>>right?
>
>Aw, c'mon, Walt. We all know there are a lot of black people that
>genuinely *don't* deserve to be denigrated in any way. But then again,
>there are a lot that - simply by the way they act - do and this site
>does a screamingly funny job of it - only to that particular sub-group
>whom we've all encountered, mind, whether we choose to admit it or
>not..

Don't misunderstand me, Paul. I'm not objecting to the web site ...
I'm simply commenting upon the oppressive, anti-free-speech laws in
our politically-correct country! Orwell has been proved right about
the "Thought Police".

73 de Wlat

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

....

What is "offensive"? Describe it. According to the hand-wringing Liberals,
"offensive" is anything that they, in their elitist mindset, disagree with
and deem to be politically incorrect. Enter the Thought Police.
And, for instance, when one or more of us stands up to the PC crowd we are
labeled as Right Wingers or, in their weak PC minds, as RightWing Religious
Nuts.
Oddly enough these Liberals are all about censorship.
I love it when some limp-wristed whiner comes along and mewls, "I find that
offensive." So what? Big fuc*ing deal! Who is he/she to determine what the
rest of us can or cannot say or even feel?
To date I have found nary a word in our Constitution that has anything to do
with protecting crybabies from being offended.
And as far as the web site in question goes, one need only not go there. Or

is that concept too simple for the Thought Police to grasp ?

--
Its not that Liberals are stupid. Its just that they know so much that isn't
so.

1160. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 09:03:17 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dngq0l$e9e$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 126931
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Even
> the "Afro-American" they insisted replace negro is now taboo and we
> are told we must call them "African-Americans." In a few years that
> term will be forbidden, it will be considered racist to even mention
> their race and that they are simply "Americans."
==========

Americans have hijacked the name "Americans".

Cubans, Brazilians, Argentinians, Chilians, Mexicans, Canadians are
Americans.

Americans, as they call themselves, are just "USA Citizens".

And its time they realised just where they fit into the scheme of
things.

1161. Re: stevei si reminded that he can stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 09:12:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134292327.865908.65400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126932

He needs to apologize to everyone!

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/intelligentsia/index.php

1162. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 09:35:27 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <p7snp1po2qkok320m0f9fmonnjkrpsot7h@4ax.com>
Source record: 126933
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:

>Pity nobody pulled your fat from the fire in Vietnam, OM.

Don't forget that ten years previously, we had defeated
single-handedly a communist jungle insurgency.

However, there are some countries to which you cannot tender advice,
and so when their turn came, it was all 'search and destroy',
choppers, and defoliants. I still remember the news film of the final
days, with helicopters being shoved over the sides of the ships,
rotors still turning.

Having learned nothing from this, they are proceeding to demonstrate
they are learning nothing from the current, erm, liberation, blessing,
I forget the PC word for it.

How long ago it seems, since "Mission Accomplished" was declared.

from
Aero Spike

Dr B F Jones: "...No-one on my staff had any visibility of large quantities of intelligence
which proved conclusively there were weapons of mass destruction..."

1163. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 10:32:07 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <gkvnp1hb1p7henaa8vmflc0jffn8go09je@4ax.com>
Source record: 126937
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 11 Dec 2005 02:55:51 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>it is *so* gay to type "hehe" and you are gloating to soon. it takes
>time for a complaint to a large isp to be read and checked and that
>much time hasnt gone by yet. k1man would know all of that without me
>having to tell him, but k1man is a great man who knows the difference
>between political incorectness and hate speech.

I still don't see how simply *satirizing* a limited segment of the
black population can engender "hatred" as such. I think you're just
projecting your own insecure, immature and baleful outlook onto the
world at large. Everyone else has to suffer just because you (a
self-appointed arbiter of good taste) have a screw loose.
Netcops! Who needs 'em?
--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

1164. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 10:33:31 UTC
From: Not Roger II <NotRoger@imitationdude.com>
Message-ID: <dngv4r$s71$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126934
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:dngq0l$e9e$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
> Even
> the "Afro-American" they insisted replace negro is now taboo and we
> are told we must call them "African-Americans." In a few years that
> term will be forbidden, it will be considered racist to even mention
> their race and that they are simply "Americans."
==========

Americans have hijacked the name "Americans".

Cubans, Brazilians, Argentinians, Chilians, Mexicans, Canadians are
Americans.

Americans, as they call themselves, are just "USA Citizens".

And its time they realised just where they fit into the scheme of
things.

We do. We are a world power and need not apologize to the Third World.

We are, for the most part, a moral and decent nation and the majority of our
citizens are a decent and moral group.

Your objection is what? That we call ourselves Americans?

This is yet more Political Correctness in the guise of being gramatically
correct.

Go cry somewhere else.

1165. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 10:38:10 UTC
From: Not Roger II <NotRoger@imitationdude.com>
Message-ID: <dngvdvtde0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126935
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:p7snp1po2qkok320m0f9fmonnjkrpsot7h@4ax.com...

Walt Davidson wrote:

>Pity nobody pulled your fat from the fire in Vietnam, OM.

Don't forget that ten years previously, we had defeated
single-handedly a communist jungle insurgency.

However, there are some countries to which you cannot tender advice,
and so when their turn came, it was all 'search and destroy',
choppers, and defoliants. I still remember the news film of the final
days, with helicopters being shoved over the sides of the ships,
rotors still turning.

Having learned nothing from this, they are proceeding to demonstrate
they are learning nothing from the current, erm, liberation, blessing,
I forget the PC word for it.

How long ago it seems, since "Mission Accomplished" was declared.

from
Aero Spike

Dr B F Jones: "...No-one on my staff had any visibility of large quantities
of intelligence which proved conclusively there were weapons of mass
destruction..."
....

..and of course there are oodles of comments on the record made by Democrats
that concur that Saddam was a real and viable threat.
Who is D. F. Jones, anyway? Do some research and read the comments made by
Kerry, the Clintons, Fat Ted and many, many others.

Your weak argument has been proven false time after time after time....

1166. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 10:52:20 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <au0op11per1e5jdq7t34cvp6vhnuitalh0@4ax.com>
Source record: 126938
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 08:39:34 +0000, Walt Davidson
<g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

>Over the past 30 years, there has been an orchestrated "political
>correctness" campaign by leftists in the UK, conducted mainly through
>the media ... especially television broadcasting. It has been very
>sinister and very successful, with indoctrination of children from a
>very early age through left-orientated children's television series
>under the guise of entertainment. Mixed marriages, promiscuity,
>homosexuality, single-parent families and the "multi-racial society"
>have all been portrayed as the norm. One major broadcasting
>corporation, in particular, has been infiltrated by leftists who now
>have full control of the organisation and its broadcasting and
>recruitment policies. They operate a "positive discrimination" policy
>when filling vacancies, in favour of non-white and/or homosexual
>people. The whole situation is self-perpetuating, as there are now
>two generations of people (up to the age of about 35) who have been
>brought up to believe that this is the norm.

Well you're obviously talking about the BBC and I thoroughly agree
with your trenchant observations. However, it appears the attempted
brainwashing has failed, as recent surveys have shown that today's
children are actually *less* tolerant of other races than they were 20
years ago. Perhaps it's a backlash against the overtly obvious
'dysfunctionality is normal' propaganda spewed out by the Corporation
(at public expense, of course).
--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

1167. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 11:28:31 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <z3Umf.26756$7p5.13682@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 126936
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:

> I think I see your point: America defeats Britain in the Revolutionary
> War.

Hmmm. There is no doubt that had Britain taken this war seriously it would have won it.

> America defeats Britain in the War of 1812.

Ho Ho! I suppose you'll be telling us next the White House was torched by aliens?

> America pulls
> Britain's fat from the fire in WWI.

America came into the war when it was all but won and 3 years after it started. Its troops played only a minor but crucial role. America saw the potential for profit and a chance to increase its sphere of influence for little effort, Britain on the other hand went to war in defence of its allies.

> America pulls Britain's fat from
> the fire in WWII.

Once again America enters the war late and only for profit. Britain entered the war yet again in defence of its Allies. America was the only country to end the war richer than when it started.

> Post WWII finds the British Empire in eclipse.

Indeed. Two world wars cost Britain an Empire.

> Six out for twenty-two
> overs, Toad-in-a-hole and Bob's your uncle. Is that about it?

No its about the dollar pure and simple. Why don't you go and research how many wars of aggression America has waged? Name me one time America has gone to war in defence of its allies please.

And as someone who lives in Northern Ireland I'd like to thank all you Americans for financing decades of terror in my country, in particular I'd like to thank the NYPD and the NYFD who openly financed and supported the IRA. Boy didn't they just love it when it became their turn to suffer at the hands of murderers?

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

1168. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 12:16:05 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk>
Message-ID: <hu5op1hispglk5gbhh03cv2eun5njbc13r@4ax.com>
Source record: 126943
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 12:50:53 +0000, Walter Raleigh
<walterraleigh69nospam@hotmail.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 12:01:01 +0000, Walt Davidson
><g3nyy@despammed.com> blurted forth into cyberspace:
>
>>There will always, eventually, be a popular backlash against any form
>>of extremism. The days of excessive political correctness, positive
>>discrimination, Ministries of Stupidity, etc are definitely numbered
>>now. Bodies like the Commission for Racial Equality will ultimately
>>be the authors of their own downfall. These things, like fashion, go
>>in cycles and history has a habit of repeating itself.
>
>An optimistic viewpoint. I really hope that you're correct Walt. This
>PC (Pro-chav?) world is getting more ridiculous by the day...

I believe Walt's right. History has shown it to be the case. The only
sad thing is why we humans can't seem to maintain a healthy balance; a
middle-of-the-road approach in all matters without this constant
vacillating from one extreme to the other. We're like recovering
alcoholics; we have to hit rock-bottom before we can hope to recover,
it seems. :-|

--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd" - William Blake

1169. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 12:30:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134304228.246638.245170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126939

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1134252917.195338.249040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> KØHB wrote:
>
> >> Being a CW operator, quite frankly I'm happy about the flexibility that gives
> >> me. But it's a mystery to me why all modes aren't treated in this generous
> >> manner, and why other CW operators seem so firmly opposed to the
> >> Canadian/European "market forces" model of frequency sharing.
>
> > Has to do with the compatibility of modes, Hans. Not all mode
> > share bandspace equally well.
>
> That's a non-sequiter, Jim.

I don't think so.
>
> That's why there are bandplans. IARU has been in the bandplanning business
> mostly everywhere except in the USA for about 75 years. As new modes gain favor
> (market dynamics change) they reach agreement in their bandplans to accomodate
> the proportions of users of the various modes.

Supposedly, anyway. One problem with IARU bandplans is that the
ham bands in other countries aren't all the same as they are here.
>
> > Not all operators follow the bandplans, either.
>
> Really? Well then I guess Riley will just have to invoke the "good amateur
> practice" rule..... oh, never mind, he's already doing that. Not good enough
> for you..... OK, add a new sentence to §97.101(a) so it reads as follows:
>
> (a) In all respects not specifically covered by FCC Rules each amateur
> station must be operated in accordance with good engineering and
> good amateur practice. Nonconformance with IARU bandplan
> guidance is specifically considered a violation of this paragraph.
>
What that does is to turn what are now voluntary bandplans into the
rule of law.
>
> > From what I read, the folks in Region 1 are already beginning to
> > notice problems being caused by the "market forces" (loudest
> > signal wins) model of frequency "sharing". A lot of Region 1
> > hams aren't so happy with how it's working out in real life.
>
> "Already"? After 75 years?

The Region 1 folks haven't always had the free-for-all rules they
mostly have now. Neither has Canada.

> ---
>
> > One reason for the separation of 'phone and Morse/digital is to maximize the
> > utilization of the available bandwidth.
>
> > Say you have a band like 80/75 meters. 500 kHz of bandspace.
>
> > If we allow 2.5 kHz for each SSB QSO and 250 Hz for each
> > CW/digital QSO, (average) it's clear that the band could theoretically
> > support 200 simultaneous SSB QSOs or 2000 simultaneous CW/digital
> > QSOs.
>
> Be careful what you wish for. Using that logic, it follows that fair frequency
> management techniques would allow for an equal number of CW and Phone contacts
> since the number of regular users is about equal, and CW would lose some
> man-sized chunks of spectrum.

If you look at it that way, maybe.

OTOH, that approach rewards those who use the most spectrum, rather
than those who
use the spectrum the most efficiently.

> The theoretical "even number" division of this
> 500kHz band would work out to 90 CW (250 Hz) and 90 SSB (2500 Hz) QSO's.

Which means only 180 QSOs in the entire 500 kHz. And where do the
digital folks
go?

> The CW allocation would be 3500-3522.5kHz, and SSB would have the remainder of
> the band. Sorry, but I can't live with that!

Why not? You're the one pushing "market forces", Hans. What will you do
when the
bandplan says that's what CW gets?

> Neither should we live with the
> current plan where CW has a theoretical 2000-QSO band, and SSB is limited to a
> theoretical limit of just 100 QSO's on that same band.

Agreed! But simply tossing out the regulations isn't the answer.

> If the number of CW
> users is roughly equal to the number of SSB users, why does CW now enjoy a 20-1
> advantage in effective frequency space (measured in simultaneous QSO's)?

Old rules, old ideas. I see no mention of digital.

The future is probably going to be very different. For one thing, we
will soon have many
hams on HF who don't know any Morse Code at all. We also have, already,

a growing number using an increasing variety of "digital" modes which cannot be decoded without
special equipment (usually a computer) and where the operator looks at a visual display rather than listening to the band. There are also "semi-automatic" and "robot" digital
stations with no operator at all.

How does a Morse Code station, or an SSB station, tell a digital station that the digital
station is causing interference - or even identify the callsign of the digital station? That's
just one problem.

The widening variety of modes and operating methods means we need more rules, not less (unfortunately). I suggest that the bands be carved up into subbands-by-mode - CW only, narrow digital, wide/auto digital, analog voice. The ARRL "subbands by bandwidth" proposal tries to address the problem but it's got too many flaws.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1170. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 13:06:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051211080613.845$3K@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126940
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 03:00:28 GMT, Mexicana Aeron&#65533;utica y Spacia
> Administraci&#65533;n <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx> wrote:
> >
> > I see a reference to current standards of Usenet and Internet
> > community, and since anything goes on the Internet, it niggermania.com
> > is not in violation of TOS. How exactly did this website "disrupt"
> > this newsgroup?
>
> you cant read real good. those things were about newsreader.com and
> not niggermania.com which is a hole different subject. moparholic was
> being told about his isp at newsreader.com and everyone but you
> figured that out.
>
Hey fucknut, newsreader.com is not my isp. Pull your head out of your
lardass.

1171. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 13:09:35 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnh8c3$j9l$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 126941
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:5v4op1t9672ed489hk38dsebnqok6hij4t@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 11:52:20 +0100, Paul Burridge
> <pb@shove.your.spam.up.your.arse.atlanticstar.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >However, it appears the attempted
> >brainwashing has failed, as recent surveys have shown that today's
> >children are actually *less* tolerant of other races than they were 20
> >years ago.
>
> Fortunately, young people always rebel against the beliefs and actions
> of their elders.

I would say its more the perception that these minority groups seem to get more help in many cases to their indiginous white counterparts and they have this "racial discrimination" cry at them whenever whites repremand or disagree or voice their own opinion. This rarely used to happen 20 years ago and we all just got on with it. Nowadays though youngsters and many adults are fed up with being branded Racist by liberal do gooders and are sick and tired of being put second place abouve these immigrants/non-indiginous groups. You can only take so much before you (white people) feel you are the second class citizen and have to bow to their beliefs and religions otherwise your branded racist or bigoted.
Then theres the black gangs and the intimidation felt by many which was also hardly heard of 20 years ago. Also, as said by Enoch Powell many years ago, forcing different cultures to live with one another will eventually lead to rivers of blood frowing in the streets. Of course he was branded a screaming Racist but much of what he warned of is there.

> >Perhaps it's a backlash against the overtly obvious
> >'dysfunctionality is normal' propaganda spewed out by the Corporation
> >(at public expense, of course).
>
> There will always, eventually, be a popular backlash against any form
> of extremism. The days of excessive political correctness, positive
> discrimination, Ministries of Stupidity, etc are definitely numbered
> now.

Lets hope so...

Bodies like the Commission for Racial Equality will ultimately
> be the authors of their own downfall. These things, like fashion, go
> in cycles and history has a habit of repeating itself.

As many black and asian people "Use" racism for their own goals, eventually the "cry wolf" scenario will be understood and maybe those who scream they have been racially abused will be found out that they were targeted by something that they did or said rather than the colour of their skin. They will *always* call the race card though. Its a shame it appears to be a one way street though, try complaining that youve been racially abused by a black or asian and nothing seems to happen. Other way round you get arrested and thrown in a cell whether its proved or not.

Its also a well known fact that many of these racism cries like the one to ban Christmas and to call it winterval in Birmingham was laughed at by blacks and asians who had no problems with it at all but it was liberal busy bodies imposing what they thought would constitute bad feeling and upset Jews/muslims etc. Similarly with the St George Flag, these same liberal do gooders accuse it of being a racist flag and try to remove it wherever it is never mind its the Flag of England and banning it only fuells the hatred towards other races even though its not the blacks/asians who are bothered by these things, its the doo gooder busy bodies who look for racism everywhere and impose their beliefs on everyone causing it rather than subduing it.

England is still a mainly white Christian Country.... why dont these idiots remember this and stop pandering to the Libral perceived wishes of the immigrants and those from different races who were born here. They try to make us feel that "English and England" are dirty words.

> 73 de Wlat
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

There will always be an England.... oh and a Wales ;o)

Regards,
Graham

--

_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1172. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 13:13:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051211081305.366$el@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126942
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mexicana_Aeron&#65533;utica_y_Spacia_Administraci &#65533;n <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx>
wrote:
> Lloyd wrote...
> > On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 03:00:28 GMT, Mexicana Aeron&#65533;utica y Spacia
> > Administraci&#65533;n <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx> wrote:
> >
> >>I see a reference to current standards of Usenet and Internet
> >>community, and since anything goes on the Internet, it niggermania.com
> >>is not in violation of TOS. How exactly did this website "disrupt"
> >>this newsgroup?
> >
> >
> > you cant read real good. those things were about newsreader.com and
> > not niggermania.com which is a hole different subject. moparholic was
> > being told about his isp at newsreader.com and everyone but you
> > figured that out.
> >
> > Now take your fake mexican headers as a example. I bet progidy
> > wouldnt like them at all.
>
> But I don't care what they don't like, ISPs are a dime a dozen and I can
> easily find another one. Actually, I'm inclined to subscribe to Altopia,
> they fiercely protect their customer's identities and really have no TOS
> other than turning over customer records if subpoenaed by an American
> court. Threatening to kill people is a crime and would qualify, but
> offending people is perfectly legal under U.S. law.
>
Don't waste your money on altopia, Caputo has about three days retention on
his servers. Quite possibly the worst nsp on the market.

1173. What Really Happened in 1936

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 13:16:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134307009.716841.77210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126944

Morse Code test speeds for an amateur license were
increased from 10 wpm to 13 wpm, circa 1936, at the
request of the ARRL president in a letter to the FCC.
See Page 82 of "Fifty Years of ARRL" -- that's no
deep dark secret.

But it's not the whole story.

The request was for an increase from 10 wpm to
12-1/2 wpm. The FCC "rounded up" to 13 wpm
on their own.

The same letter requested more comprehensive
WRITTEN exams,
updated to the current technology then used by hams.
This was also granted by the FCC. There were only
three classes of license at the time, and all required
the same code speed.

The code speed increase is often remembered. The
written test improvement is rarely if ever
remembered.

This whole situation is frequently "spin doctored"
by those who say the code test speed was
raised to limit the number of amateurs.

For example, it was claimed by WA6VSE (now WK3C)
back in 1999 that:

"The ARRL wanted faster code tests PURELY as a
means of slowing growth in the amateur ranks, contending
that (with about 30,000 hams at the time) "the bands are
approaching saturation" ... clearly a ridiculous claim."

The saturation thing was a very realistic claim. And there
were 46,000 US hams, not 30,000.

Here's "the rest of the story":

The ham bands of the time consisted of 160, 80, 40, 20, 10,
5, 2-1/2 and 1-1/4 meters. Nothing else.

The bands below (shorter in wavelength) than 20 meters
were sparsely populated by hams, due to the difficulty of
getting simple 1930s equipment to work at all on
such frequencies, and the limited results that could be expected.

160 was popular with 'phone men, but a decent antenna
for that band was/is enormous and BCI could be a real devil.

10 was not as popular because of its varying propagation and
equipment difficulties.

So most hams were on 80, 40, and 20, using simple, Depression
era stations. A "pair of tens and a three tube blooper" (push pull
self-controlled oscillator transmitter and regenerative receiver)
was typical, and many hams did not even have that. Home-made
stations were common - a station that had no home-brew was
very rare.

Yes, crystal controlled, multistage transmitters and single signal
superhet receivers were in use, but only by a few wealthy hams.
There were even some amateurs using SSB voice, but the cost and
complexity of such a station kept their numbers to a handful. Those
hams who did use voice were almost all using double-sideband-
with-carrier AM, and took up 6 to 10 kc of the band each.

In practice, ham QSOs of the day rarely had both stations on the same
frequency. Many of the simple transmitters of the 1930s were not
designed for rapid QSY, and straying outside the band was too easy.
Crystal controlled operation was even less flexible.

So most QSOs used up two frequencies - what would be called "split"
operation today. This meant that the QRM was twice as bad as if
everyone had "zero beat" QSOs.

In order to make contacts under such conditions, it was necessary to
tune through the band pretty quickly, to hear any replies to a CQ.
This meant receivers with fast tuning rates and not too much
selectivity.

The result was that each QSO required much more room than today.

The total available spectrum on 80, 40, and 20 added up to only
1200 kilocycles. (We're talking about the '30s, so I use the historic
term).
Put 4600 hams (10% of the total licensees) on the air at once and each
would less than 250 cycles. The bands WERE approaching saturation.

Saturation was not the only problem. There were about 19,000 USA
licensed hams in 1929. Their number had grown slowly but steadily
from the post WW1 reactivation ten years earlier.

In 1929 there came new regs that dramatically cut the width of the
bands (40 was once 7-8 Mc., 20 was 14-16 Mc.) and required much
cleaner signals from ham stations. Yet over the next few years, in the
depths of the Great Depression, the number of US ham licenses almost
tripled, to 46,000. In addition, many newcomers left the hobby quickly

- at one point in the early '30s, turnover approached 40% per year.
That
meant most hams were newcomers, often technically and operationally

lacking in skills and knowledge. One less-than-knowledgeable ham with a faulty transmitter could make a real mess on the band. Worse, a ham who strayed out of the band could mess up nonamateur radio services in a big way.

The very existence of amateur radio in the 1930s wasn't very secure. Even after hams were allowed back on the air after WW1, there were several efforts to kill off or severely restrict amateur radio all through
the 1920s. Amateur radio did not achieve international treaty status until 1927, and the price for that status was the extreme loss of bandspace on 40 and 20 meters listed above. The 1927 treaty also required clean signals, Morse Code testing and written testing of all radio amateurs.

Those in the 1930s who knew the history understood that if amateurs strayed
out of their bands too much, the same forces that had tried to kill off amateur radio in the '20s might well succeed in the 1930s.

The idea was to slow the rapid turnover *and* insure that new hams were more
operationally skilled and technically knowledgeable, by requiring a little more code speed and a lot more technical knowledge.

Today we have far more bandwidth, far more sophisticated equipment, and far more options in choice of band and mode.

Before criticizing the actions of almost 70 years ago, one should first

get a clear picture of the conditions of the time. Critics of the ARRL

action are invited to build an operating amateur station, using only parts
and techniques available in the early '30s - and do it on a Depression-era budget, as well. Then try to use said station on the ham

bands, and see what conclusions are drawn.

The point of all this is that ham radio was VERY different in the '30s, and solutions that seem simple and obvious today were not practical for most hams back then. What is really amazing is that so many hams succeeded, using such simple equipment.

A big part of the story was the use of CW, and the operating skills of the
hams of the day. But in some circles it is electropolitically incorrect
to talk about operating skills in a positive manner. Or to suggest that
perhaps the Ancient Ones knew something about what they were doing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1174. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 13:24:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134307447.148087.32970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126945

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 10, 1:14 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
> >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

> >> >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> >> >> > ways?"
> >
> >> >> Brian,
> >
> >> >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
> >> >> me.
> >
> >> >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
> >
> >> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
> >
> >> September 24, 2004; January 13, February 10, May 25 2005. <shrug>
> >
> >Len, thanks for serving up the specific dates of his attempts to claim
> >some kind of honors, but he's just not worth my time.
>
> Agreed. Jimmie MUST have quotes that are EXACT and WORD-FOR-
> WORD. Amazing.

Len and Brian,

If you are going to give direct quotes of what someone allegedly wrote,
you
should use the exact words they wrote. That's what "direct quote"
means.

> He can't understand that other people read
> the entirety of his boasting claims of doing more than others
> and doing it oh-so-much-better.

The fact is that you were both shown to be wrong and now you're
trying to tapdance away from the fact that you misquoted me.

> Lately, Jimmie has become an "expert" on regional real estate
> and urban zoning.

I make no claim to being an expert at anything, Len.

But I do understand what happened in your neighborhood.

> He "knows exactly" all about every situation
> involving those two areas.

You and some of your neighbors tried to control what was built
on a piece of land you didn't own. You wanted the standards
of the 1960s preserved forever. You failed.

> He made some weird analogies to
> opinions I've expressed, analogies that have NO relationship
> whatsoever.

Yes, they do. You just don't like how good the analogies
really are.

1175. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 14:28:35 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <6s9op1h1v0irlchdndov8g9rd452vauvsm@4ax.com>
Source record: 126946
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 07:50:12 +0000, Walt Davidson
<g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 21:26:09 -0500, nevermore
><stevencanyon@y***hoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Good, good, if the leftist morons in America, Europe, and Russia, and
>>the terrorists in the Middle East didn't hate the USA, I'd wonder what
>>we were doing wrong.
>
>Yes, yes ... everyone's out of step except George Dubya's Yankee
>Doodle Dandies.
>
>I suppose we should be thankful for "friendly fire"!
>
>73 de Walt

Gosh, Walt, you seem to imagine that most of the people in the USA
gave a rat's ass what you leftist loonies across the Atlantic think of
us.... Most of us don't even care what the leftists loonies here
think of us were it not for their entertainment value. Indeed, the
leftist element in the USA is totally impotent and that is documented
by the fact that an overwhelming percentage of the Democratic party is
distancing themselves from loons like Howard white-flag Dean
--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1176. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 14:28:35 UTC
From: nevermore <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com>
Message-ID: <hpaop1172ltm79i5n0os0t6503tdmht6sq@4ax.com>
Source record: 126947
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 07:51:22 +0000, Walt Davidson
<g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 21:26:07 -0500, nevermore
><stevencanyon@y***hoo.com> wrote:
>
>>hey, thanks, but we can take care of ourselves... and given the
>>state of the rest of the world, it's a good thing that we can.
>
>"Pride comes before a fall."
>
>73 de Wlat

<LOL> I love it when you leftist morons make predictions like
that....
--

If Nevermore tries paying cap gains with a 1040, he'll
be in jail soon enough.
--Zepp Jamieson, Dec 3, 2005
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.atheism/msg/30fdaff423e2029b?hl=en&

Jamieson, obviously never had any cap gains to report, because
<LOL> line 13 on the 1040 form, under income, as shown below, is
clearly where you report your capital gains.

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f1040.pdf

1177. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 14:49:01 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <x%Wmf.30331$7h7.29083@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126948
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Paul Burridge wrote:
> The only
> sad thing is why we humans can't seem to maintain a healthy balance; a
> middle-of-the-road approach in all matters without this constant
> vacillating from one extreme to the other.

If the pendulum didn't swing, the clock wouldn't work.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1178. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 14:59:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L9Xmf.1817$n1.62@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126949
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 04:41:44 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> America pulls
>> Britain's fat from the fire in WWI. America pulls Britain's fat from
>> the fire in WWII.
>
> Pity nobody pulled your fat from the fire in Vietnam, OM.

Did you find anything untruthful in my statements above? There was certainly no Britain assisting us in Vietnam. Nobody defeated the United States militarily in Vietnam.

> And Britain is pretty much the only country foolish enough to try and
> pull your fat from the fire in Iraq right now ...

The British government is quite rightly involved in that which is in its own self-interest. Wasn't Britain involved in marking up maps to create the present day Iraq? It would have been nice if someone in charge had known what tribal groups lived where, don't you think?

> 73 de G3NYY
>
> P.S. Thanks for your help in the Falklands. We sorted that one out
> quite nicely for ourselves, OM.

You actually *did* receive help in several forms during the "fighting" in the Falklands.

If Great Britain could not wrest control of those islands from Argentina, it should have held a going out of business sale.

Dave K8MN

1179. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 15:06:10 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <753dc$439c4064$97d56a33$14444@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 126950
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tell you what, don't comment on George Bush and we will leave your
bisexual,cheating ,scumbag prince alone.

In fact we won't mention the whole low IQ royals that live on the dole...

>"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:785op1hamdv09vnnf86vii55k6s8a81upl@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 05:33:31 -0500, "Not Roger II"
> <NotRoger@imitationdude.com> wrote:
>
> >We are, for the most part, a moral and decent nation and the majority of
our
> >citizens are a decent and moral group.
>
> Agreed. Except, of course, for the minority who suceeded in voting
> the George Dubya regime into power at the last "election".
>
> 73 de Wlat
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

1180. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 15:18:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SqXmf.2218$QQ1.1014@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126951
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

pointyhead wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> I think I see your point: America defeats Britain in the
>> Revolutionary War.
>
> Hmmm. There is no doubt that had Britain taken this war seriously it
> would have won it.

Sure, sure. Your historical revisionism is a needed face-saving measure.

>> America defeats Britain in the War of 1812.
>
> Ho Ho! I suppose you'll be telling us next the White House was torched
> by aliens?

Coventry was fire-bombed. Did you lose WWII? London endured waves of
buzz bomb and V2 attacks. Did you lose WWII?

The United States defeated Britain for the second time in the War of
1812. I'm sure that you can come up with a scenario in which Britain
could have won the war if only it had taken it seriously.

>> America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWI.
>
> America came into the war when it was all but won and 3 years after it
> started. Its troops played only a minor but crucial role. America saw
> the potential for profit and a chance to increase its sphere of
> influence for little effort, Britain on the other hand went to war in
> defence of its allies.

All but won? Little had changed in the three years of WWI. It was
largely back and forth, back and forth in the same muddy fields.

>> America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWII.
>
> Once again America enters the war late and only for profit.

There's more historical revisionism. That Marshall Plan was a big money
making scheme, was it?

> Britain
> entered the war yet again in defence of its Allies. America was the only
> country to end the war richer than when it started.

...and I see that it chafes you. How rich you'd have been under German domination!

>> Post WWII finds the British Empire in eclipse.
>
> Indeed. Two world wars cost Britain an Empire.

I thought I'd pointed that out.

>> Six out for twenty-two overs, Toad-in-a-hole and Bob's your uncle. Is
>> that about it?
>
> No its about the dollar pure and simple. Why don't you go and research
> how many wars of aggression America has waged? Name me one time America
> has gone to war in defence of its allies please.

I've already done so.

> And as someone who lives in Northern Ireland I'd like to thank all you
> Americans for financing decades of terror in my country, in particular
> I'd like to thank the NYPD and the NYFD who openly financed and
> supported the IRA.

I'll bet that if you bothered to research it, you'd find only a tiny percentage of Americans who act as professional Irishmen donating to that cause. I personally don't know anyone who provided even a dime.

> Boy didn't they just love it when it became their
> turn to suffer at the hands of murderers?

C'mon. You've got a bunch of grown men who wear bowler hats and their Sunday best, marching in celebration of battles fought eons ago. Get over it. You were fighting each other. That isn't what took place here in 2001.

Dave K8MN

1181. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 15:19:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qsXmf.2219$QQ1.2212@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126952
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 11:28:31 GMT, pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> America came into the war when it was all but won and 3 years after it
>> started. Its troops played only a minor but crucial role.
>
> Had it not been for the fact that the Americans were caught with their
> pants down at Pearl Harbor, they would never have entered the war at
> all.
>
> This has always been an American characteristic. More recently, it
> was only after they were caught with their pants down in New York that
> they decided to vent their indignation by launching an attack on the
> first convenient Middle Eastern country that came to mind.

Does the phrase "Peace In Our Time" ring any bells?

Dave K8MN

1182. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 15:32:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051211103213.451$vn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126953
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On 11 Dec 2005 13:06:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > Hey fucknut, newsreader.com is not my isp. Pull your head out of your
> > lardass.
>
> pick at nits, dimwit.
>
You make a lousy net-nanny, lardass.
>
> newsreader.com is your usp and your headers prove
> it. your usenet service provider is what was being talked on. better
> now?
>
It has been my NSP for about nine years or so, when are you going to have
my account nuked, fucktard netkkkop?

1183. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 16:06:58 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1134317376_84411@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 126954

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:ItDmf.29483$7h7.8245@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> nevermore wrote:
> > I don't give a shit how it works in the Netherlands.. It sure doesn't
> > work that way in the USA.
>
> Lots of things don't work anymore in the USA. :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>

Boy howdy! Including some of the people....LOL

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1184. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 16:14:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yfYmf.2228$QQ1.2220@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126955

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>>OK, add a new sentence to &#65533;97.101(a) so it reads as follows:
>>
>> (a) In all respects not specifically covered by FCC Rules each amateur
>> station must be operated in accordance with good engineering and
>> good amateur practice. Nonconformance with IARU bandplan
>> guidance is specifically considered a violation of this paragraph.
>>

> What that does is to turn what are now voluntary bandplans
> into the rule of law.

At the same time ridding us of the convolutions, mindless restrictions, and
inflexibilites of &#65533;97.305.

The bandplans would be under OUR (the users) control, not some dis-interested
bureacrat. Changes could happen dynamically as we needed them, not sit on a
backburner until some bureacrat retired and the new guy sorted through his
"pending" tray.

> OTOH, that approach rewards those who use the most spectrum,
> rather than those who use the spectrum the most efficiently.

Measured how? If you measure only in terms of raw bandwidth consumption, then
CW or PSK probably wins. If you measure in terms of payload throughput-per-Hz
then then something like Q15x25 is dramatically more efficient.

Which begs the point anyhow, because in a service which is chartered with a
mission of experimentation and "contribute to the advancement of the radio art",
bandwidth efficiency is only a single measure of value.

> Which means only 180 QSOs in the entire 500 kHz. And where do the
> digital folks go?

I was using a simplified model to illustrate a point.

> But simply tossing out the regulations isn't the answer.

I'm not proposing "tossing out the regulations". I'm suggesting changing the
regulations to make them more dynamic and responsive to real-user needs.
"User-agreed bandplan with teeth" replaces the unweildy/inflexible dinosaur of
&#65533;97.305.

> The widening variety of modes and operating methods means
> we need more rules, not less.....

Spoken like a good bureaucrat! Are you a disciple of Bob Wexelbaum?

73, de Hans, K0HB

1185. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 16:42:34 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_FYmf.15811$E14.6922@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 126956
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:

>> Hmmm. There is no doubt that had Britain taken this war seriously it
>> would have won it.
>
>
> Sure, sure. Your historical revisionism is a needed face-saving measure.

Ah yes of course, you'll be telling us next that America wasn't defeated
in Vietnam...oh wait. Whats next? Afghanistan attacked America? Weapons
of mass destruction in Iraq? No torture in Guantanamo?

>>> America defeats Britain in the War of 1812.

>> Ho Ho! I suppose you'll be telling us next the White House was torched
>> by aliens?

> Coventry was fire-bombed. Did you lose WWII? London endured waves of
> buzz bomb and V2 attacks. Did you lose WWII?

The White House was torched after America declared war on Britain which
was already involved in a war against another dictator. Did you win the
war? No. America was suicide bombed. Have you won the "War against
terror"? NO!

> The United States defeated Britain for the second time in the War of
> 1812.

Of course I can see now where you're coming from. America in yet another
war of aggression attacks Great Britain when it thinks its attention is
elsewhere and its forces weakened. Even though playing from home Crown
Forces successfully repulse the invasion of Canada and go on to burn the
nations capital. Having learned from this lesson America decides it is
safer to have the UK as an ally rather and an enemy and in future limits
its aggression to wars that are already mostly won or the invasion of
little 3rd world countries.

I'm sure that you can come up with a scenario in which Britain
> could have won the war if only it had taken it seriously.

Yes I can see how an American would equate the buring of his capital and
seat of power along with several repulsed invasions of Canada as a victory.
Only a typical yank could equate the ending of the war as a victory.

>>> America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWI.
>>
>>

>> America came into the war when it was all but won and 3 years after it
>> started. Its troops played only a minor but crucial role. America saw
>> the potential for profit and a chance to increase its sphere of
>> influence for little effort, Britain on the other hand went to war in
>> defence of its allies.
>
>
> All but won? Little had changed in the three years of WWI. It was
> largely back and forth, back and forth in the same muddy fields.

When the war ended the best army in the field was unquestionably the
British and it was largely the British who made the sweeping gains of
the last days. The Americans did bugger all even when the war was in
danger of being lost early in 1918.

>>> America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWII.
>>
>>
>> Once again America enters the war late and only for profit.
>
>
> There's more historical revisionism. That Marshall Plan was a big money
> making scheme, was it?

Lease lend certainly was.

>> Britain entered the war yet again in defence of its Allies. America
>> was the only country to end the war richer than when it started.
>
>
> ...and I see that it chafes you. How rich you'd have been under German
> domination!

What German domination? Unaided by America the UK thwarted for all time
Hitlers plans to invade.

>>> Post WWII finds the British Empire in eclipse.
>>
>>
>> Indeed. Two world wars cost Britain an Empire.
>
>
> I thought I'd pointed that out.

No you didn't. I pointed out that it took two world wars of selfless
British action to end the Empire.

>>> Six out for twenty-two overs, Toad-in-a-hole and Bob's your uncle.
>>> Is that about it?
>>
>>
>> No its about the dollar pure and simple. Why don't you go and research
>> how many wars of aggression America has waged? Name me one time

>> America has gone to war in defence of its allies please.
>
>
> I've already done so.

No you haven't because if you had there'd by no way you'd label the
American War of 1812 a victory.

>> And as someone who lives in Northern Ireland I'd like to thank all you
>> Americans for financing decades of terror in my country, in particular
>> I'd like to thank the NYPD and the NYFD who openly financed and
>> supported the IRA.
>
>
> I'll bet that if you bothered to research it, you'd find only a tiny
> percentage of Americans who act as professional Irishmen donating to
> that cause.

What are you saying? America is a bastard nation? That because of the
size of the Irish American vote successive Presidents did nothing to
stop it?

> I personally don't know anyone who provided even a dime.

What you personally know or don't know does not change the facts. I'd be
willing to bet you don't know anyone who fought in the aggressive war of
1812 yet here you're clearly distorting the facts!

>> Boy didn't they just love it when it became their turn to suffer at
>> the hands of murderers?
>
>
> C'mon. You've got a bunch of grown men who wear bowler hats and their
> Sunday best, marching in celebration of battles fought eons ago. Get
> over it. You were fighting each other. That isn't what took place here
> in 2001.

Isn't this thread about battles that were fought eons ago in which you
have tried to distort history?! What we had here was a bunch of
murdering bastards who would not accept the democratic will of the
majority open expressed in free and fair elections who were funded and
armed by loony Americans and loony dictators. You should also remember
that the freedoms you and I enjoy would not exist but for the victories
celebrated by these marches.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

1186. Re: What Really Happened in 1936

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 17:06:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <b0Zmf.2865$Tg2.42@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126957

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> 160 was popular with 'phone men, but a decent antenna
> for that band was/is enormous and BCI could be a real devil.
>

Phone women too. My Mom's xmtr was hardwired for160 (no plug-ins).

>
> Put 4600 hams (10% of the total licensees) on the air at once and each
> would less than 250 cycles. The bands WERE approaching saturation.
>

Only theoretically. Geographic sharing isn't a post-war invention.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1187. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 17:31:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nJGdnfuYHNwM_wHeRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 126958

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:yfYmf.2228$QQ1.2220@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>>OK, add a new sentence to §97.101(a) so it reads as follows:
>>>
>>> (a) In all respects not specifically covered by FCC Rules each amateur
>>> station must be operated in accordance with good engineering and
>>> good amateur practice. Nonconformance with IARU bandplan
>>> guidance is specifically considered a violation of this
>>> paragraph.
>>>
>
>> What that does is to turn what are now voluntary bandplans
>> into the rule of law.
>
> At the same time ridding us of the convolutions, mindless restrictions,
> and inflexibilites of §97.305.
>
> The bandplans would be under OUR (the users) control, not some
> dis-interested bureacrat. Changes could happen dynamically as we needed
> them, not sit on a backburner until some bureacrat retired and the new guy
> sorted through his "pending" tray.
>

But who will have the authority to set OUR bandplan?? Which group of users
will be chartered with that task? What if I don't belong to that group? Or
what if I find it objectionable?

That's what will be the real problem. As so many have pointed out, there is
no organization to which the majority of hams belongs, at least in this
country. While the ARRL is the largest, the majority of the ham population
does NOT belong to it and will likely scream bloody murder if they were to
get to establish the band plan.

You mention the IARU but that won't work right now either. We have some
additional frequencies that they do not. Tasking them with planning is not
appropriate for frequencies used only by a single country or very small
group of countries. Then of course there is the sovereignty issue. Some
countries, including the US, probably will not want to give them that much
power.

Finally, having the IARU (or any other body) designate a mandatory band plan
goes against the principle of "free market" for dynamic allocation of the

frequencies. A group would have to meet and reallocate as needed. Also the flexibility that groups such as contestors currently have would be diminished. Let's just deal with the US allocations on say 40m here. Right now, the contestors can and do use 7.150 to 7.300 for major contests, ignoring the "band plan" allotment for SSTV. For the short time span of the major contest, that extra space is significant. But with a mandatory band plan, they couldn't do that. Unless the committee met before the contest and OK'd it or had so many exceptions to the plan that it would become a nightmare. The situation gets worse for the cw/digital section.

If you think a planning group could keep up, just look at the band plans currently published on the ARRL web site. They are out of step with the current actual digital operating frequencies in some cases.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1188. Re: stevei si reminded that he can stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 17:45:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134323101.694349.39750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126959

an_old_friend wrote:
> it is just that simple apologize for your forgeries and I'll stop

Steve can commit no sin of his own.

Steve is the Son of Hiram, sent to RRAP to absolve the rest of us of
our sins, even "Original Sin."

Original Sin: entrance into the Amateur Service without having passed
a Morse Code exam, i.e., No-Code Tech.

1189. Re: What Really Happened in 1936

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 18:03:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134324215.528225.268080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126960

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > 160 was popular with 'phone men, but a decent antenna
> > for that band was/is enormous and BCI could be a real devil.

> Phone women too. My Mom's xmtr was hardwired for160 (no plug-ins).

MY BAD!!!

Should read "'phone hams"!

Sidebar point: In those days, amateur HF 'phone operation was limited
to
160, 75, 20 and 10 meters. Not only were the subbands allocated to
'phone
narrower than today, but use of 75 and 20 meter 'phone required a Class
A
license. 160 and 10 meters became very popular 'phone bands because
all license classes could operate 'phone there.

> > Put 4600 hams (10% of the total licensees) on the air at once and each
> > would less than 250 cycles. The bands WERE approaching saturation.

> Only theoretically. Geographic sharing isn't a post-war invention.

True enough.

However the point is still valid - the number of QSOs that the 1930s
HF amateur bands and equipment could handle was much less than today.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1190. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 18:06:07 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <jUZmf.35796$Zv5.13409@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 126962
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:jN2dnUzzCfMEbQfenZ2dnUVZ_sydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "nevermore" <stevencanyon@y***hoo.com> wrote in message
> news:r6qlp1l9asos3l9kov8nl76t3i3stpmh2s@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 10 Dec 2005 09:31:59 -0500, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1q3lp11g12rg70qfj7qr3jpffcrhdrdkil@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 9 Dec 2005 18:19:18 -0500, "Dee Flint"
>>>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>
>
> [snip]
>
>> That obviously eliminates any restrictions an ISP might put into their
>> usage agreements from "breaching the First Amendment. I'm always
>> amazed at the number of people who don't understand the Bill of
>> Rights. Walt must have been asleep in the back of the room when his
>> teacher talked about the Constitution.
>>
>
> Walt's in the UK. I seriously doubt if they teach the US Constitution
> there. They may do a brief outline just as we get a brief summary of
> Britain's form of government but it's unlikely they would go into any
> depth on it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Granted the fact in their schools, they may just brush over it in
normal curriculums, that still doesn't eliminate him from learning it on his
own or in college.

Landshark

--
Kindness is the language which the deaf
can hear and the blind can see.

1191. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 18:06:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134324373.648831.182190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126961

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 10, 1:14 pm
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
> > >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > >> >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> > >> >> > ways?"
> > >
> > >> >> Brian,
> > >
> > >> >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
> > >> >> me.
> > >
> > >> >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
> > >
> > >> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"

Jim? Hello?

> > >> September 24, 2004; January 13, February 10, May 25 2005. <shrug>
> > >
> > >Len, thanks for serving up the specific dates of his attempts to claim
> > >some kind of honors, but he's just not worth my time.
> >
> > Agreed. Jimmie MUST have quotes that are EXACT and WORD-FOR-
> > WORD. Amazing.

I'm reminded of having been called a "liar" because I said that Michael
Deignan had 12 amateur radio licenses. I was wrong. Michael Deignan's
wife had one of them, at same address (at that time). Mike only had
11. I believe Riley then whittled it down to 3. But I'm a "liar."
Hi! Hi, hi!!! Gotta love these guys.

> Len and Brian,
>
> If you are going to give direct quotes of what someone allegedly wrote,
> you
> should use the exact words they wrote. That's what "direct quote"
> means.

Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote.

> > He can't understand that other people read
> > the entirety of his boasting claims of doing more than others
> > and doing it oh-so-much-better.
>
> The fact is that you were both shown to be wrong and now you're
> trying to tapdance away from the fact that you misguoted me.

Tapdance? Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote. Really.

Or even better, you could explain how one "serves in other ways."

Real estate chatter snipped.

1192. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 18:20:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134325246.339121.174330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126963

From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 10, 3:48 pm, [the MAN who knows all about
military life!]

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>> Well now, I just don't think ANY multiple classes
>> should exist. ONE license. How about that?
>
>It's not as good as if there are multiple license classes.

"Not as good" for whom? :-)

For those who MUST be "better than others" at something?

>> >Not really. The license classes exist for two reasons:
>
>> >1) To offer an easy way to get started in amateur radio
>
>> One can't go into an HRO, plunk down plastic, walk out
>> with a working two-way radio? :-)
>
>Can't be *used* (legally) for amateur radio without the appropriate
>license.

Now, now, "legality" was not part of the boundary conditions!

"Legality" does NOT enter the picture if you are talking
about LEGAL LICENSES. If one has a legal license then
they ARE legal. Try to stay focussed.

>> >2) To offer an easier path to full privileges than would
>> >exist with a single license class that required the same
>> >knowledge
>
>> Removing the artificiality of all that class distinction
>> with carrot-stick "privileges" would erase all of that.
>
>Instead, new hams would just have to pass all the exams
>at once just to get started. Unless you want to lower the
>*written* test requirements even more.

What are you talking about? With ONE license (NO "classes")
there would be only ONE written exam, wouldn't there?

>> Face it, Jimmie, all those classes GREW in order to
>> satisfy some POLITICAL reasons within the amateur
>> community.
>
>Such as? Back up your claim - if you can.

Tsk, your little political heart have a malfunction?
[need a "valve" replacement?]

The "back-up" is the NON-ARRL history of amateur radio
regulations, indeed ALL the radio regulations since
1912. POLITICS, little Jimmie. It's been pervasive
in the very being of the league since 1914. A "one-
party" system more or less in between the World Wars
and on to the immediate post-WW2 era. By the 1970s
other groups were being heard from and the league's
virtual oligarchy was beginning to dwindle. Just the
beginning of their influence, but it IS dwindling to
the REAL law-makers.

>> In the beginning there was only ONE license.
>
>The time of one-amateur-radio-license-class ended
>more than 70 years ago, Len.

U.S. amateur radio licensing began in 1912 92 years
ago. [historical fact]

The FCC has been in existance for 71 years. [law of
the land as of the Communications Act of 1934]

>Yes. Amateur radio licenses are earned by passing the
>required tests.

Strange, the FCC says it GRANTS them.

How much did you earn on your test? Was it fixed-fee
or at an hourly rate? Did you get cash or was it by
check? Did you have to file any W-2s on that earning?

>Sure. But you haven't earned any amateur radio license, Len....

I haven't gotten any money for it, true. :-)

Neither do I (or did) live in Louisiana like Broose. :-)

>> As far as the federal government is concerned, it is a NON-PAYING
>> radio activity that is expressly forbidden to broadcast or engage
>> in common-carrier communications.
>
>That's true.

Whoa...if you agree to what I said, how can you say you
"earned" your license?

>How did stamp collecting help with hurricane relief?

Amateur radio provided shelter, food, clothing for hurricane
victims? Geez, here I thought all they were doing was
relaying health and welfare messages...some of the time.

How many hurricane victims are you providing food and
shelter for, Jimmie?

>> Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.
>
>But that's not all it is, Len. Grow up and accept that shouting the
>same old tired lines doesn't convince anyone.

Hello? See the word "basically" in my quoted sentence?

Come on, give us the old trite cliche phrases used by the
league for years...

>> Individuals engaged in that HOBBY are licensed because the FCC,
>> the federal agency regulating all civil radio, think that
>> licensing is a tool of regulation.
>
>That's partly true.

Entirely true. FCC is NOT an academic organization, "grading"
amateurs on their radio skills.

>Licenses are also required because the USA has
>entered into treaty agreements with other countries regarding radio
>regulation - including amateur radio regulation.

Tsk, tsk, what is that but REGULATION? :-)

>> >In almost every human activity there are levels of
>> >achievement and recognition for same.
>
>> "Recognition?" Tsk, now you are back to CLASS DISTINCTION
>> again!
>
>Is achievement a bad thing?

Tsk, "achievement" can be shown many, many ways. You could
have little merit badges, for example. Those would look good
on your amateur radio service uniforms.

Both Office Depot and Office Max stores offer packets of
gold stars, have both paperware and software products for
certificates (suitable for framing).

>The complexity of the task of operating a radio
>transmitter is directly related to the transmitter. Some are
>designed to be very easy to operate, others are more
>complex.

Whoooo...took a lot of brainpower to generate THAT phrase
didn't it? :-)

>You're taking the experience of a few people and a few transmitters
>and demanding that it apply to everyone and all transmitters. That's
>just nonsense.

Tsk, I thought it was an example. An example that I lived
through. An example that you did NOT live through.

Ah, THAT's the difference! You didn't do it, were unacquainted
with it, ergo it "did not apply!" :-)

How many 15 KW HF transmitters have you personally QSYed, Jimmie?

How many 10 KW HF transmitters? 5 KW? 1 KW?

>Besides, you've already contradicted yourself. The "very ordinary young men"
>all had some form of technical training, and had been selected for the task.

"Selected for the task:" Personnel requirements were for N number
of warm bodies within X number of MOS ranges. :-)

Tsk. Jimmie, you just don't understand how the military works.

If you were a "warm body" in the area and came even close to the
requirements of filling a TO&E (Table of Organization and Equipment)
then you "got selected."

>The transmitters they adjusted were already set up, operating, and the
>procedures to use them completely worked out. Those "very ordinary young men"
>all had more-experienced supervision to teach them the tasks and make
>sure they did it right.

Did you expect that everyone had to build everything themselves?!?

Do you expect sailors to all get sheet steel and torches and
build the ship they are going to serve on?

Do you expect airmen to all get aluminum and engines and build
the aircraft they are going to serve on?

Do you expect choo-choo drivers to build their locomotives
themselves? :-)

>And yet it took *days* of on-the-job instruction before they could be left to
>do the job on their own!

Yes, ONE TO THREE DAYS, the latter for the slow-learners and goof-
offs. :-)

>Even then, the more-experienced supervision was
>always on-call if a problem arose.

That's usually the situation with EVERY military or civilian
organization. :-)

After some experience, the formerly-inexperienced BECAME the
"experienced supervision" people.

>Len, you don't seem to be able to understand the concept of "amateur
>radio station", let alone "operating".

Jimmie, YOU don't understand that every other radio service
does NOT define either "station" or "operating" by amateur
radio "rules." :-)

Not even MARS! :-)

>> UNLICENSED people by the thousands every day in the
>> USA are OPERATING TRANSCEIVERS.
>
>Not operating in the amateur radio sense.

Oh, you want PLMRS mobiles to send QSLs on "contacts?"

Do you want "radiosport contests" among aviation radio or
maritime radio services?

Do you think policemen carrying neat little two-way radios
subscribe to QST? :-)

>> "Morse code operation in amateur radio" does NOT
>> involve ALL "skilled operators."
>
>Yes, it does. Those operators have skills that you do not
>have, and I think that bothers the heck out of you.

No bother at all to me, Jimmie. I just disregarded any
NEED to learn morse code since I was never, ever
required to use it in the military or in the much longer
civilian life career I still have.

>It seems to really bother you that I'm better than you
>at Morse Code.

Har! No. Whatever skills you have at morsemanship
are overwhelmed by your posturing arrogance of
superiority at that singular skill. :-)

>So? It's a test of Morse Code skill at a very basic level. Entry-
>level, nothing more. It nevertheless requires that the operator
>have the skills.

That's the current law, Jimmie. It's just a political thing.
Since no higher deity commanded that morse code testing be
done for amateur radio licenses, ordinary humans must have
done it. Whatever humans have done, humans can UNDO.

>> VECs can delete sending tests at their option.
>
>Not delete - waive.

Correction noted and accepted.

I see you've waived bye-bye there...would have made it much
easier on the readers. :-)

>> The radios they USE are either owned by their employers
>> (businesses, public safety agences as examples) or
>> themselves (private boat or aircraft owners as an
>> example). Some of those radios DO require a licensed
>> person to oversee their operation and technical details,
>> but some do NOT. Depends on the particular radio service.
>
>In amateur radio, a licensed amateur radio operator is required.

You have a macro for that sentence? :-)

Yes, Jimmie, I'm well aware of Title 47 C.F.R.'s Part 97.

>That's what I've been telling you all along.

Well, there you go again with the posturing arrogance...

Do you also tell your grandmother how to suck eggs? :-)

>> Amateur USE is the same whether home-built or ready-built.
>
>That's nonsense.

Oh? :-) In what way is it "Different?" Where it say dat
in Part 97?

>> "Adjustment" to meet the technical requirements
>> of Part 97 is NOT USE.
>
>It's operating, Len.

Tsk, tsk, ADJUSTMENT can be done by anyone in a non-radiating
test. Takes NO "license" to perform a test-alignment-calibration
such as done by factory folks on ham equipment.

>Radar isn't for communications. And the SGC2020 is dirt simple
>compared to most amateur radio HF transceivers - even the Southgate
>series are much more complex to operate.

Oh, dear, here it comes with posturing arrogance again...

The SGC 2020 full manual is available on the SGC website.
I don't see any "Southgate" company in any search result.
Maybe you can provide a link to a "Southgate" radio so that
all can compare the two?

>> In general aviation
>> craft, the civil communications band transceiver IS
>> simple. It should be since a pilot has to give their
>> attention to FLYING, not playing ham. Add to that the
>> civil navigation band receiver with OBS for VOR, the
>> crossed needles for LOC and GS, the Marker Beacon
>> lights, is NOT "simple."

>
>Sure it is.

You have actually DONE all of that in a cockpit while aloft?

How about in a cockpit on the ground? Or in a lab/workshop
on the ground?

Tell all what "OBS" means...or "LOC" or "GS" means. Tell us
all how to acknowledge tower communications by voice, receive
and read back flight plans, communicate with radar-guided air
traffic control.

Tell us what "squawk" means in pilot parlance.

I've done all that...even after I gave up student flying.

>The regulations were changed so that radios which did not require
>technical adjustment would be used, and so the need for radio
>licenses could be included in the pilot's license.

What?!? NO need for morsemanship to be a pilot?!? :-)

Good heavens, shouldn't you be writing to the head of the
FAA?

>> >On top of all that, the radio users cited above may not be
>> >FCC licensed, but they are trained, tested and often certified in
>> >proper radio procedures for the radios they use.
>
>> "Certified?" They get neat little certificates (suitable
>> for framing)? Wow!
>
>Yes - did you ever see an FAA pilot's license?

No, couldn't afford to continue. I did pass the written
test and have the confirmation document digitized. Need
to see it? :-)

No "moonies" in that. However, I once considered buying a
Mooney single-engine, was wisely talked out of it to invest
in a residence (the present southern house).

>> >For
>> >example, licenses to pilot aircraft with radios require that
>> >the licensee know and demonstrate proper aircraft radio
>> >procedures. The pilot's license cannot be obtained without
>> >such radio procedure knowledge.
>
>> By the Federal AVIATION Administration, NOT the FCC.
>
>The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs.

It doesn't?!? Oh, my, you ARE INCORRECT!!! :-)

>>> Hey, Brian, note that Jimmie wrote, in exact words, <<<
>>> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs." <<<

That's a keeper. Mount it on a plaque, hang it on the wall,
have a little spotlight on it!

>> Pilots don't go
>> chasing DX or engaging in contact contests or sending QSLs.
>> Ignore a ham transceiver and all you do is miss a contact
>> or two, maybe offend the person at the other end. Ignore
>> an airplane's attitude or instruments and it crashes and
>> the pilot is DEAD, perhaps with many more on the ground.
>
>Those instruments aren't radios, Len.

Amateur radios don't go crashing through fences and killing
kids in vehicles.

[Southwest Airlines is improving their service. They didn't
kill anyone going through the fence a Bob Hope Airport, but
they did at Chicago's Midway]

>> Yeah, they pay by plastic, perhaps follow the maker's
>> instructions and fumble around until things sound right.
>
>Is there something wrong with using a credit/debit card?
>
>Or following manufacturer's instructions?
>
>Besides - it's something *you* haven't done.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...something I HAVE done, sweetums. Years ago
a bunch of us got together to give a friend his retirement
and birthday gift, an HF transceiver. I had the "plastic"
higher level and paid for it, another with a station wagon
transported the boxes, yet another provided the Bird
Wattmeter and dummy load and we all went through the
instruction manual to make sure it worked. NON-radiating
test, Jimmie. Perfectly legal.

>There are more than a few of us radio amateurs who design
>and build our own amateur stations. You haven't done any
>of that, Len, yet you pass judgement on us as if you are
>somehow superior.

Oh, my, I couldn't possibly be more superior than your
own posturing arrogance...and being a "manufacturer" of
transceivers! [still haven't gotten beyond the uncased
prototype?]

>> "Modern" amateur band transceivers, transmitters, receivers, etc.
>> are ready-to-play right out of the box. Those are aligned,
>> tested, calibrated, ready-to-go. Sort of like the SGC 2020
>> private marine version SSB transceiver. :-)

>
>The modern amateur radio transceivers I use didn't come that way.

Yes, yes, Jimmie, whatever YOU use applies to all other
700+ thousand U.S. amateur radio licensees. :-)

None of the others USE anything but what you've USED?

>Six months of microwave school, a transmitter that was all set up
>and ready to go, an experienced instructor, and it still took you
>an *hour* of instruction?

Yes. :-)

Not having the SUPERIOR morsemanship skills nor the extensive
amateur radio exprience (that automatically makes it possible
to operate all transmitters everywhere of any make), we were
all relegated to mere mortal human learning processes. :-)

By the way, part of that Signal Schooling was radar fundamentals.
That was because of the close similarity of radar electronics
to the electronics used in radio relay equipments coming after
WW2. Absolutely NONE of it prepared us for operating ANY of the
HF transmitters (36 of them at first) at station ADA in 1953.
NONE of it prepared anyone for teletypewriter operation, for
operation of the VHF and UHF radio relay equipment, for operation
of the "carrier" bays. NONE of it involved learning of the
General Electric commercial microwave radio relay equipment that
ADA would use for primary communication link of transmitters to
the rest of the station...we got a two-week "course" by two GE
tech-reps to "prepare" us for that in late 1954.

>Some might say your behavior was closer to "monkey-see, monkey-do"...

Careful, Jimmie, you are going ape-shit in your nastiness.

Been eating bananas again?

Oh, I get it, you did the OOK, OOK thing!

Did you finally find the "gorilla of your dreams?" :-)

>Reductio ad absurdum is a valid way of determining the
>validity of a logical process.

Tsk, you've reduced yourself to ridiculous there...

>It means that the intent of the original license was that the licensees
>would operate to check out and develop new technologies and
>methods, rather than ragchewing, DX chasing, contesting, etc.

...and you've done that, right? :-)

Describe for us your EME station. Describe for us your fine
developmental work in new solid-state amateur radio designs

(other than building an Elecraft kit).

>> And just what is
>> YOUR experience at ham bands of 220 MHz and up?
>
>More than yours, Len!

I've only listened to the predecessor of the Condor Net in
Newbury Park, CA, demonstrated by one of the ham-licensed
employees there. At Teledyne Electronics, my employer
during the late 70s. It was the first state-long network
to use all tone switching for routing without using any
microprocessor control.

>> Especially right after WW2.
>
>More than yours, Len!

Tsk, you didn't exist until some time AFTER WW2, Jimmie.

>Who is sneering? Not me. The Technician failed in its original purpose.
>That's a fact.

That's only an OPINION, Jimmie. Tsk, better learn some acting
skills, redirect that sneer. You can do it with practice.

>> Right now the combined numbers of no-code-Technician and Technician
>> Plus classes make up a bit more that 48% of ALL U.S. amateur radio
>> licenses granted. Almost HALF, Jimmie.
>
>48.1% - 318,462 out of 661,800 as of December 9.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. That doesn't agree with www.hamdata.com figures.

Oh, yes, you are quoting NON-grace-period figures derived
from elsewhere as "official." Heavens, I have to keep
taking THAT into account, don't I? :-)

>But that percentage is *down* from what it was 5 years ago, right after
>the rules changes.

Well now, www.hamdata.com figures also show the totals of
EXPIRATIONS versus NEW (never before licensed) licensees.
Expirations still exceed the NEW licensees and have for the
last year.

>And for more than 5-1/2 years, the only choice new hams have had for
>their first license class is the Technician, General, or Extra.

Duuhhhhh...stating the obvious again, aren't you?

Oh, my, you DO have to try NOT to talk down to everyone. It
help you lose your posturing arrogance of superiority...

>>...because it has NO code test.
>
>How do you know that is the reason, Len?

I asked around. :-)

If, on a sampling basis, ten out of ten answer "it was the lack
of a code test," then I'd assume it was because of that.

Of course, as a dyed-in-the-woolies morseman, you are not
expected to accept that. TS.

>So you let a *name* - a single *word* - stop you from getting
>an Amateur Radio license.

A long time ago another called me a "sunnuvabitch." I put
him down with a bleeding nose and lip.

Certain words DO have an effect on people, Jimmie.

A word of advice: Avoid street fighting...you ain't good at it.

>You're not even a beginner in amateur radio, Len. You haven't
>even begun there....

Oh, my, that old thing again. Jimmie, TRY to learn to
write "licensed" before "amateur radio," then you will
be correct in your beloved nastygram.

I was an amateur radio hobbyist beginning in 1947, including
modifying some WW2 surplus ARC-5 receivers and transmitters
for AC power operation as well as BC radios. I didn't
bother with getting a LICENSE then, Jimmie. I didn't bother
with getting ANY federal radio operator license until 1956
and that one was a Commercial one. First class, one sitting.

>I'd like to see you try to throw rotten tomatoes at me in real
>life, Len. You're really brave in the cyber-world, a continent
>away.

Tsk, tsk, Jimmie, getting worried? :-)

Fear not. You aren't worth getting involved in with force of
any kind. However, I am what I am in-person or on-computer.
You don't like that? TS.

>You mean like somebody who thinks the zoning ideas of
>1960 should still apply 30-40-45 years later?

In most cases, absolutely YES. :-)

Does local residence zoning affect radio of any kind? I
think not.

Residences are for LIVING in, Jimmie. It is HOME.

<on entering military service>

>> No. The ONLY aptitude test given in regards to radio was
>> a morse code cognition test given to all recruits.
>
>Ah - and you didn't make the grade on that one, eh?
>Explains a lot.

I'm glad I didn't make a good aptitude there. Would have wound
up in Field Radio and had to go through the remainder out in
the boonies somewhere. :-)

>So you all had various electrical/electronic training from
>the US Army. None of you were 100% self-taught in the
>area of radio/electricity/electronics.

You have some kind of point to make but all you are doing
is carving a sharp stake our of balsa wood. It isn't to
the point. :-)

>> We had a separate group for outside-plant telephone
>> people...the "pole cats" who put up the poles for wire
>> antennas and strung the wire.
>
>So you didn't have to do that sort of thing. Ever climbed
>a wooden pole with hooks and belt, Len?

Those "hooks" were called "spikes" or "boot spikes." The
belt wasn't supposed to be used until reaching wherever
height one was supposed to be working at.

Yes, I did do that a couple times. Wasn't my job but
thought it fun to do once or twice. :-)

>> Tsk. Try NOT to TELL ME what I or any contemporaries were
>> doing, Jimmie. You don't know dink about it.
>
>IOW, I have stated exactly how it was.

HAAARRR!!!! You still don't know dink about it. You weren't there.

>You and the others had
>significant "radio-electronics" background before you got
>to Japan, and did not have to start from scratch.

We had adequate sanitary facilities. No scratching.

The supply clerk had flea powder to issue if needed. :-)

>But all had various training *in the army* before they ever got to
>Japan. Some went to microwave school, some went to Field Radio
>School, some went to tele-typewriter school, a few went to
>inside-plant telephone school.

Inside-plant WHAT, Jimmie? There were variations in that. :-)

>Exactly! Amateur radio is totally different.

I should certainly hope so! The military is all about war-
fighting and defense of the country. Amateur radio is
basically a HOBBY. There IS a difference! :-)

>> They didn't hold any hands or coddle lower ranks if that's
>> what you mean...<guffaw!>
>
>Not at all. I mean that you were not on your own.

Not quite. :-) In soldier training which we did on a
constant basis off-signal-duty, we would often be very
much alone. Usually as recon observers. Sometimes we
would be the walkie-talkie carriers on patrol exercises.
(AN/PRC-9s for us at the time, -8 or -10 for others)

In the presence of lethal AC primary power it was customary
to have at least two on duty at a particular place for
safety reasons.

>Yet there were always experienced people around if really
>needed. You were part of a team, not all on your own.

Jimmie boy, the military is all about TEAMWORK.

>But when you started, you didn't have to work anything out
>on your own.

Right...my high school neglected to teach me how to KILL
the enemy.

>IOW, you had everything you needed. That's a good thing!

Usually. Spare parts were scarce only a very few times.

We had "three hots and a cot." :-)

We had deprivations but you won't understand them. :-)

>> What, to QSY a BC-339? A BC-340? An LD-T2? Simple task.
>> The PW-15 was a bit more difficult due to the large double-
>> shorting links for the final tank (15 KW conservative RF
>> output, looked like it was built for three times that).
>> Piece of cake to anyone with a normal memory.
>
>Or a notebook. And after being shown how to do it several
>times.

"Notebook?!?" Geez, fella, where did you think all this
took place, some ivy-league school?!?

As a matter of fact, notebooks and diaries were discouraged
at the time and generally confiscated if found. True. There
were applicable ARs and SRs on the subject forbidding such
things on one's person or in possessions. That was to foil
enemy M.I. efforts in case of capture or being overrun.

>> Jimmie, I WAS THERE, YOU WERE NOT.
>
>And yet I have a very good understanding of what went on.

Sigh...no you don't Jimmie. Tsk, it's useless to explain
military service to you...you think it is nice and sanitary
and like the movies and TV...

>When it comes to operating an amateur radio station as
>a teenager, *I* was there, Len, and *you* were not.

Yes, yes, you were the teen-age hero of radio. Did you
engage in "seven hostile actions" too? :-)

>> What "incentives" did we have? Name them.
>
>Promotion - more pay - more interesting work - better
>duty....

"Better duty?" Same basic job at same place, more
responsibility, more attention to running tasks rather
than doing them. We had "permanent passes" off-post
at all ranks.

"Interesting work?" I thought it was "interesting" from
day one. :-)

"More pay?" Yes, in a way. As a Sergeant E-5, with
overseas bonus, my monthly pay got as high as $156!!! :-)

[big Ben Stein "wowwwww" there... :-)]

>Also the negative incentives - somebody who didn't
>do the job right could wind up in the infantry...

I don't know of any case where that happened. I'm sure
you do because you "know exactly how it was." :-)

Do the job poorly and your duty switched without being
reassigned. Do the job really badly and you could wind
up in a court-martial.

Er, we didn't practice "decimation" a la the old Roman
Legions. :-)

>Guess what? Civilians have a similar situation - except
>civilians can usually quit at any time.

Guess what, sweetums, I've been a civilian since 1956.

Try as I might, I can't see any civilian occupation where
anyone "closes with, and destroys, the enemy." Not even
the police departments get that drastic. Destructive
environmental testing and building demolition isn't about
"destroying the enemy."

>> Describe YOUR "EME" station, Jimmie.
>
>I don't have one, Len. Neither do you. But I know what it
>would take to build and operate one as a radio amateur.

Wow! Really something! I've got a couple documents on
building a JPL Deep Space Network earth station. Explains
a lot of it in those. Mars and the Jovians are a bit farther
out than the Earth's moon.

A "Goldstone" antenna isn't allowed in my residential zoned
neighborhood and I don't have a few million bucks to spend
on one. Maybe I'm supposed to wait for a big Lotto winning?

>My whole point is that amateur radio is a completely different
>environment, and your military radio experiences don't
>necessarily prove anything about amateur radio.

Jimmie, "your whole point" is spent in a fruitless exercise
to get me to cease and desist posting in here...because my
opinions are contrary to yours on your radio hobby.

HF radio is HF radio. It doesn't matter what label you attach
to it...military, civilian, commercial, amateur...the physics
of it are the SAME regardless. Regulatory statements about
USE are (and have almost always been) POLITICAL insofar as
allocations of use and "classes" of operator licenses...in any
civilian radio service in the USA.

>Now you consider yourself superior to almost everyone!

No. I don't consider myself "superior" to anyone. If you
get that perception, TS, I'm outspoken and don't use
gratuitous phrases of praises in newsgroups.

>Certainly to anyone who disagrees with you.

Poor baby...afraid of losing your assumed ranking as one of
the pontifical arrogant old-line parrots of league phrasing?
[Dave Heil tops you in that category]

>> >All the military radios I've seen that are/were
>> >meant to be used by "line outfits" were made as simple to operate
>> >as possible. That paradigm goes all the way back to the WW2
>> >BC-611 "walkie talkie".
>
>> "Handie-talkie," Jimmie. The "walkie-talkie" was the SCR-300
>> (R/T being BC-1000). Both designed by MOTOROLA.
>> Tell us YOUR experiences WITH "line" outfits.
>
>I've worked in a line gang. Have you? I think not!

I could care less if you have worked in a chain gang.

Be civil and acknowledge that YOU MADE A MISTAKE.

It's like the same mistake you made earlier saying that
"the FCC doesn't license radio amateurs." :-)

>> >Most
>> >radio amateurs are essentially self-taught, in their spare
>> >time, using their own resources. What they could learn
>> >in a week or two of intense formal training might take a
>> >month to a year of part-time self-study.
>
>> WTF is this "intense" formal training?
>
>The microwave school you went to, Len. How many hours
>a day/week? For how many months? All paid for by the
>taxpayers, right?

The taxpayers would be out the SAME amount of money if I
hadn't gone to this "intense" school of 8 hours a day,
5 days a week...:-)

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Intense?" Hardly. I've worked far longer hours for the
SAME pay as a civilian.

No, we didn't sleep intense. We had wooden barracks buildings
left over from WW2, then quonset hut barracks also left over
from WW2. :-) Fort Monmouth's "permanent" billets were still
in construction in 1952.

>It's not my problem if you picked your employers poorly, Len.
>Good employers see the value in training their people.

I picked "wrong employers?!?" HAAARRRR!

Hughes Aircraft Company, Thompson Ramo-Wooldridge, Teledyne
Electronics, RCA Corporation, Rockwell International. My
major employers. Little bitty shack-type employers, yah? :-)

>And the compensation you did get was continued employment.
>That's the way it works!

No shit? Wow! Revelations! BWAAAAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

Jimmie, a salary is what one gets as "compensation for work
performed." A paycheck is, in reality, a legal document
attesting to that.

Do you get "compensation" for your amateur radio "service?"

>Try working 35-38 hours a week, taking 5 engineering
>courses (one of them at the graduate level) per term,
>and getting everywhere without a car (home, job and
>work were all separated by several miles).

Oh! Travail and suffering you must have gone through!

You got me beat. I worked 40 to 48 hours a week but never took more than 3 courses at night per semester. [there's such a thing as trying to keep a social life at least puttering along on standby for one day off a week instead of ossifying to some kind of reclusive social dummy...:-)]

Well, I did have a CAR! Wow, how fortunate of me, a veritable "luxury" in the Los Angeles area where things tend to be separated by MANY miles. :-)

Wanna see a picture of my 1953 rebuilt Austin-Healey two-door sports car? Had that for much of my 15 calendar years of college-level schooling. I have it digitized, can send it e-mail. :-)

>Then there was grad school, after I'd been out of college
>for a decade. Full time plus work, school at night, etc.
>At least I had a car....

Ooooo, ooooo! Spare me the soap opera stuff. Your buddy (Dudly the Imposter) will call you some kind of remedial English or immigrant "night school" person! :-)

>--
>
>Now about your one-class-of-license idea:

BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

Tsk, tsk, you've been busy, busy, busy trying to tear me down and NOW you want a "discussion?!?" BWAAAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!

Jimmie boy, since you "know all about military life," I'll just comment in typical words OF the military in their finest tradition -

"Go shove it up your ass, Jimmie Noserve!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1193. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 18:35:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134326117.514750.265920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126964

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
>
> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> > >> > ways?"
> > >
> > >> Brian,
> > >
> > >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
> > >> me.
> > >
> > >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
> > >
> > >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
> >
> > September 24, 2004;
>
> Nope. I did not write that I "served in other ways" on that date.

"Nope."

> > January 13, February 10, May 25 2005.
>
> Nope, nope, and nope again. I did not write that I
> "served in other ways" on any of those dates either.

"Nope, nope, and nope again."

You're starting to sound like Steve. Everyone else gets everything
wrong.

> Len, if you had actually *read* what I wrote on those
> days, you'd know that.
>
> What I have written is a question for you and others.

Can you answer your own question?

> Here it is again:
>
> Is service in the military the only way a citizen can
> serve our country? Or are there other ways?

A rhetorical question? You wanted an answer?

Or were you implying that you "served in other ways?"

Can you answer your own question, or are you going to leave it up to us
Veterans of low-intelligence who got duped by "The Man" to act as
cannon fodder in exchange for a chance at an education?

1194. Re: What Really Happened in 1936

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 18:50:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134327005.371010.13170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126965

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Morse Code test speeds for an amateur license were
> increased from 10 wpm to 13 wpm, circa 1936, at the
> request of the ARRL president in a letter to the FCC.
> See Page 82 of "Fifty Years of ARRL" -- that's no
> deep dark secret.

> But it's not the whole story.
>
> The request was for an increase from 10 wpm to
> 12-1/2 wpm. The FCC "rounded up" to 13 wpm
> on their own.

Those dirty, rotten, G-Men.

> The same letter requested more comprehensive
> WRITTEN exams,
> updated to the current technology then used by hams.
> This was also granted by the FCC. There were only
> three classes of license at the time, and all required
> the same code speed.

The FCC of the 30's appeared to be pushovers. Wonder who's kid was
appointed Chief those days?

> The code speed increase is often remembered. The
> written test improvement is rarely if ever
> remembered.
>
> This whole situation is frequently "spin doctored"
> by those who say the code test speed was
> raised to limit the number of amateurs.

And you, of course, have the one truth, the only truth, so help you
Hiram.

1195. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 18:59:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PG_mf.1861$n1.713@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126966

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> That's what will be the real problem. As so many have pointed out, there is
> no organization to which the majority of hams belongs, at least in this
> country. While the ARRL is the largest, the majority of the ham population
> does NOT belong to it and will likely scream bloody murder if they were to get
> to establish the band plan.
>

That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual pays memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU because each country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their jurisdiction independent of whether they are members of the national "club" like ARRL, RAC, RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.

>
> You mention the IARU but that won't work right now either. We have some
> additional frequencies that they do not. Tasking them with planning is not
> appropriate for frequencies used only by a single country or very small group
> of countries. Then of course there is the sovereignty issue. Some countries,
> including the US, probably will not want to give them that much power.
>

Huh?

IARU is not a government agency. It is >>us<<, the hams of the world, totally independent of national governments and independent of international organizations like ITU or CEPT. Who better than the >>hams<< to decide how >>ham<< frequencies should be used? Are we so conditioned to "big government" dependency that (within our allocations) we need disinvolved government bureaucrats to make decisions that much more logically belong to the actual affected users?

I agree with you that some frequencies are better planned at a more local level when those plans have no global implications. IARU is already regionally localized into Region I, II, and III, and that localization makes perfect sense for shared MF/HF bands. Further localization for "national only" bands, and for V/UHF allocations is a natural extension of the idea. An example of that model is the state/regional-localized V/UHF NFCC bandplanning which already operates independently of the FCC and ARRL in the US.

> Finally, having the IARU (or any other body) designate a mandatory band plan
> goes against the principle of "free market" for dynamic allocation of the
> frequencies.

To the extent that the band plan would not be dynamic on a minute-to-minute schedule, you are correct. But it certainly be more dynamic and responsive that the current generation-to-generation schedule of ?97.305.

> A group would have to meet and reallocate as needed.

In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75 years later in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the next hour I can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the internet where they can hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their agreements, and publish the bandplan on a global basis before halftime of Monday Night Football.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1196. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 19:03:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134327788.347366.56930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126967

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
> > >
> > >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
> > >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
> > >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
> > >steps.
> >
> > The ONLY alternative? :-)
>
> If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.

Jim just got through posting that in 1936 the code speed was *increased
and the written exams made *more comprehensive for the three license
classes at the time. Later, >all priveleges< were granted to the
General class license. Then they were taken away.

Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
"lowering the requirements."

Jim sees what Jim wants to see.

> > It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)
>
> Then call it something else.

"Amateur"

> > >>While some can and would do so, it's clearly not the
> > >>best way to do things.
>
> > >> Firstly, having grades or levels of license is too
> > >> much like the traditional union concept of work with
> > >> levels of apprentice-journeyman-master.
> > >
> > >Not really.
> >
> > Yes, REALLY.
>
> No, not REALLY.

>
> > Amateur radio is NOT an occupation.
>
> Who said it was?
> >
> > >If a person can meet the requirements of the
> > >higher class licenses, they can go right to General or
> > >Extra. The apprentice-journeyman system doesn't allow
> > >that, except perhaps in extraordinary circumstances.
> >
> > Says who? The only Guild I have a card for doesn't
> > require those levels.
>
> That's an extraordinary circumstance.
>
> > >Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to
> > >Generals and Extras. While that number is small
> > >compared to those who start out as Technicians, it
> > >proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both
> > >upgrading steps.
> >
> > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
> > classes?
>
> One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
> You haven't.

One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher. Then the FCC
implemented the Incentive Licensing System which you loved, took away
priveleges, and the rest is history. Now you say that going back to
all priveleges for the General exam is lowering requirements.

Sorry you feel that way.

> > "Upgrading" can be done for oneself, to
> > keep abrest of technology advancements (see the
> > old "Amateurs Code" on that).
>
> How about keeping abreast of correct spelling? ;-)

Thanks, Steve. ;^)

> > If there were only ONE license, there would be no
> > "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
>
> Right.
>
> And if there were only one license, regardless of
> what it would be called, its test(s) would
> have to contain everything that is now contained in
> the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.

> Otherwise the standards would be reduced.

No, it wouldn't. Strawman.

The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.

> So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
> have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
> for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
> amateur radio license.
>
> Is that what you want?

You're the one who loved the Incentive Licensing System which took
priveleges away from fully qualified amateurs. You're the one who
loves unnecessary licensing requirements.

1197. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 19:03:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134327800.215487.154240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126968

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 10:06 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 10, 1:14 pm
>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
>> > >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> > >> >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
>> > >> >> > ways?"
>
>> > >> >> Brian,
>
>> > >> >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
>> > >> >> me.
>
>> > >> >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
>
>> > >> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
>
>Jim? Hello?

I hear nothing from him on "serving."

Could be he fired up his personal "wayback machine" and went back
to 1936 to review the ARRL political situation on morris goad
testing rates. I think that was before "Farnsworth" rates were
introduced.

In 1936 I was 3 to 4 years old. Jimmie didn't exist anywhere
close to zygote stage yet, wouldn't be born for 19 or so years.

>> > >> September 24, 2004; January 13, February 10, May 25 2005. <shrug>
>
>> > >Len, thanks for serving up the specific dates of his attempts to claim
>> > >some kind of honors, but he's just not worth my time.
>
>> > Agreed. Jimmie MUST have quotes that are EXACT and WORD-FOR-
>> > WORD. Amazing.
>
>I'm reminded of having been called a "liar" because I said that Michael
>Deignan had 12 amateur radio licenses. I was wrong. Michael Deignan's
>wife had one of them, at same address (at that time). Mike only had
>11. I believe Riley then whittled it down to 3. But I'm a "liar."

>Hi! Hi, hi!!! Gotta love these guys.

Heh heh, that "love" is "tough." :-)

Yeah, I remember Mikey D's little missives in here, his
adventures with the (?) "radio commandos." Mikey ain't got
them dozen club calls no more. How about that?

Brian, here's a KEEPER for an EXACT QUOTE. On December 10, 2005,
Jimmie write the following:

"The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

Exact, word for word. :-)

>> Len and Brian,
>
>> If you are going to give direct quotes of what someone allegedly wrote, you
>> should use the exact words they wrote. That's what "direct quote" means.
>
>Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote.

Well, I think I obliged Jimmie with the destined-to-become-classic
faux pas of his. Here it is again (from 10 Dec 05):

"The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

>> > He can't understand that other people read
>> > the entirety of his boasting claims of doing more than others
>> > and doing it oh-so-much-better.
>
>> The fact is that you were both shown to be wrong and now you're
>> trying to tapdance away from the fact that you misguoted me.
>
>Tapdance? Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote. Really.

Can we have some accompanyment to the time-steps? :-)

Guess I'll have to drive over to one of the "dancer's"
cobbler shops in town and get some tap shoes. One guy
claims to have done it on court shoes (a lot more
comfortable than the leather-top jobbies). Good trick
if they last...

Here's a DIRECT QUOTE again (from Jimmie on 10 Dec 05):

"The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

>Or even better, you could explain how one "serves in other ways."

Always from the right of the patron? The lady first?

Gosh, we may have to get Jimmie an "Etty-Kit" so he can serve
properly and correctly!

>Real estate chatter snipped.

Pity that. Jimmie KNOWS ALL ABOUT the "basis and purpose" of local zoning ordinances. We can say nothing about zoning until Jimmie gets us all "zoned" out of this world and into another realm of existance. Twilight Zone?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1198. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 19:37:27 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Xd%mf.28323$BZ5.103@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 126969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.politics.liberalism

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:701733.8F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On 11 Dec 2005 15:32:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>> > On 11 Dec 2005 13:06:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Hey fucknut, newsreader.com is not my isp. Pull your head out of your
>> > > lardass.
>> >
>> > pick at nits, dimwit.
>> >
>> You make a lousy net-nanny, lardass.
>> >
>> > newsreader.com is your usp and your headers prove
>> > it. your usenet service provider is what was being talked on. better
>> > now?
>> >
>> It has been my NSP for about nine years or so, when are you going to have
>> my account nuked, fucktard netkkkop?
>
> so far you arent doing anything illegal or dispeakable like N9OGLs
> sight or the niggermainia sight is doing so there isnt any reason to.
> but I am not the only one. you crossposted to the cb and uk groups
> and everybody knows that they are full of netcops so you are not out
> of the weeds yet. I have trimed the groups back for you so maybe
> they wont notice you. you are welcome.

Very astute on your part.............. Except this whole thread started
when N8WWM posting with Moparholic@Hotmxxl.com aka Steveo
in Rec.Radio.CB screen nic, you have been duped, keep up the great
work though LMAO!!!!

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

1199. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 19:48:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051211144828.600$Q2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.politics.liberalism

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On 11 Dec 2005 15:32:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> > > On 11 Dec 2005 13:06:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Hey fucknut, newsreader.com is not my isp. Pull your head out of
> > > > your lardass.
> > > >
> > > pick at nits, dimwit.
> > >
> > You make a lousy net-nanny, lardass.
> > >
> > > newsreader.com is your usp and your headers prove
> > > it. your usenet service provider is what was being talked on. better
> > > now?
> > >
> > It has been my NSP for about nine years or so, when are you going to
> > have my account nuked, fucktard netkkkop?
>
> so far you arent doing anything illegal or dispeakable
>
Then why were you posting newsreader.coms aup, lardass?
>
> like N9OGLs
> sight or the niggermainia sight is doing so there isnt any reason to.
> but I am not the only one. you crossposted to the cb and uk groups
> and everybody knows that they are full of netcops so you are not out
> of the weeds yet. I have trimed the groups back for you so maybe
> they wont notice you. you are welcome.
>
Eat shit, fatboi.

(FU's set)

http://tinypic.com/ifsd1k.jpg

1200. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 19:53:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134330830.333911.235530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126971

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 11:03 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>> > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
>
>> > >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
>> > >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
>> > >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
>> > >steps.
>
>> > The ONLY alternative? :-)
>
>> If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.
>
>Jim just got through posting that in 1936 the code speed was *increased
>and the written exams made *more comprehensive for the three license
>classes at the time. Later, >all priveleges< were granted to the
>General class license. Then they were taken away.
>
>Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
>"lowering the requirements."
>
>Jim sees what Jim wants to see.

Jimmie need help of opthalmologist...he have astigmatism.

>> > It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)
>
>> Then call it something else.
>
>"Amateur"

Ummm...yes, that's what I answered. Too obvious to be "seen,"
I guess...

>> > >Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to
>> > >Generals and Extras. While that number is small
>> > >compared to those who start out as Technicians, it
>> > >proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both
>> > >upgrading steps.

>
>> > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
>> > classes?
>
>> One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
>> You haven't.
>
>One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
>all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher. Then the FCC
>implemented the Incentive Licensing System which you loved, took away
>priveleges, and the rest is history. Now you say that going back to
>all priveleges for the General exam is lowering requirements.
>
>Sorry you feel that way.

Confusion reigns there. Must be the weather...

>> > If there were only ONE license, there would be no
>> > "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
>
>> Right.
>
>> And if there were only one license, regardless of
>> what it would be called, its test(s) would
>> have to contain everything that is now contained in
>> the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.
>> Otherwise the standards would be reduced.
>
>No, it wouldn't. Strawman.
>
>The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.

Not applicable to Jimmie-discussions. He get Extra license,
be "superior." He typify "superior" class, elite. Nobility?

Blue blood is thicker than water.

>> So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
>> have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
>> for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
>> amateur radio license.
>
>> Is that what you want?
>
>You're the one who loved the Incentive Licensing System which took
>priveleges away from fully qualified amateurs. You're the one who
>loves unnecessary licensing requirements.

Brian, that wasn't the point. Jimmie try more misdirection
by trying to start yet-another controversy over "what I want."
That can be expanded with his imaginary helium to "reach the
threshold of [newsgroup] space."

He tried the same bullshit with my remark on "extra out of the box" five years ago in here...that I "WANTED" one...and the same thing on my Reply to Comments of Mikey D. on WT DOCKET 98-143 six years ago with "my WANTING an age limit on licensing."

Tsk, Jimmie complains that I "don't *read* what he wrote" and then takes my postings so far out of context that we might as well all be in outer space and/or the Twilight Zone.

Okay, in that spirit of misdirection in here, let me pass on an EXACT QUOTE of Jimmie's made on 10 December 2005:

"The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

Offhand, I'd say that Jimmie "wants" amateurs to be UN-LICENSED! :-)

Let's see if he can "tapdance" a few time-steps on that one?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1201. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 19:55:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051211145541.892$ss@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.politics.liberalism

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:701733.8F8789@tarrnews.net...
> > On 11 Dec 2005 15:32:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> >> > On 11 Dec 2005 13:06:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > Hey fucknut, newsreader.com is not my isp. Pull your head out of
> >> > > your lardass.
> >> >
> >> > pick at nits, dimwit.
> >> >
> >> You make a lousy net-nanny, lardass.
> >> >
> >> > newsreader.com is your usp and your headers prove
> >> > it. your usenet service provider is what was being talked on.
> >> > better now?
> >> >
> >> It has been my NSP for about nine years or so, when are you going to
> >> have my account nuked, fucktard netkkkop?
> >
> > so far you arent doing anything illegal or dispeakable like N9OGLs
> > sight or the niggermainia sight is doing so there isnt any reason to.
> > but I am not the only one. you crossposted to the cb and uk groups
> > and everybody knows that they are full of netcops so you are not out
> > of the weeds yet. I have trimed the groups back for you so maybe
> > they wont notice you. you are welcome.
>
> Very astute on your part.............. Except this whole thread
> started when N8WWM posting with Moparholic@Hotmxxl.com aka Steveo
> in Rec.Radio.CB screen nic, you have been duped, keep up the great
> work though LMAO!!!!
>
> Landshark
>
Hey Shark, don't confuse the lardass netKKKop with facts. :)

http://tinypic.com/ifsd1k.jpg

1202. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 20:10:36 UTC
From: NY8TP <Lloyd@pizzanowgood.org>
Message-ID: <dni12h$e8c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

HiYa Hans,

Are you wearing your uniform to the Legion Christmas party
this year?

Lloyd

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:PG_mf.1861$n1.713@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>
>> That's what will be the real problem. As so many have pointed out, there
>> is no organization to which the majority of hams belongs, at least in
>> this country. While the ARRL is the largest, the majority of the ham
>> population does NOT belong to it and will likely scream bloody murder if
>> they were to get to establish the band plan.
>>
>
> That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual
> pays memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU
> because each country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their
> jurisdiction independent of whether they are members of the national
> "club" like ARRL, RAC, RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.
>
>>
>> You mention the IARU but that won't work right now either. We have some
>> additional frequencies that they do not. Tasking them with planning is
>> not appropriate for frequencies used only by a single country or very
>> small group of countries. Then of course there is the sovereignty issue.
>> Some countries, including the US, probably will not want to give them
>> that much power.
>>
>
> Huh?
>
> IARU is not a government agency. It is >>us<<, the hams of the world,
> totally independent of national governments and independent of
> international organizations like ITU or CEPT. Who better than the
> >>hams<< to decide how
> >>ham<< frequencies should be used? Are we so conditioned to "big
> >>government"
> dependency that (within our allocations) we need disinvolved government

> bureaucrats to make decisions that much more logically belong to the
> actual affected users?
>
> I agree with you that some frequencies are better planned at a more local
> level when those plans have no global implications. IARU is already
> regionally localized into Region I, II, and III, and that localization
> makes perfect sense for shared MF/HF bands. Further localization for
> "national only" bands, and for V/UHF allocations is a natural extension of
> the idea. An example of that model is the state/regional-localized V/UHF
> NFCC bandplanning which already operates independently of the FCC and ARRL
> in the US.
>
>> Finally, having the IARU (or any other body) designate a mandatory band
>> plan goes against the principle of "free market" for dynamic allocation
>> of the frequencies.
>
> To the extent that the band plan would not be dynamic on a
> minute-to-minute schedule, you are correct. But it certainly be more
> dynamic and responsive that the current generation-to-generation schedule
> of 97.305.
>
>> A group would have to meet and reallocate as needed.
>
> In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75 years
> later in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the
> next hour I can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the
> internet where they can hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their
> agreements, and publish the bandplan on a global basis before halftime of
> Monday Night Football.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

1203. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 20:25:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134332726.564344.20030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126974

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
> > >For
> > >example, licenses to pilot aircraft with radios require that
> > >the licensee know and demonstrate proper aircraft radio
> > >procedures. The pilot's license cannot be obtained without
> > >such radio procedure knowledge.
> >
> > By the Federal AVIATION Administration, NOT the FCC.
>
> The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs.

Major typo alert!

Should read:

The FAA doesn't license radio amateurs.

1204. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 21:19:46 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <nbcblue@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134335986.193967.125270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Who said I was Roger, dumbass? You see him behind every post,
dumbass.'

You will find 2test behind the curtains.

1205. Re: This Place is Directly Linked to Google Groups?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 21:32:46 UTC
From: Kahllib Akhallabar <anon@mosohomaq.com>
Message-ID: <dni5sh$da1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chris Suslowicz" <chris+news@suslowicz.org> wrote in message
news:BFC24823966894804@192.168.1.23...
>
> If you want a picture of the future of Usenet, imagine a foot stuck in a
> human mouth -- for ever. And remember that it is for ever. The mouth
> will always be there to receive the foot. The kook, the enemy of Usenet,
> will always be there, so that he can be defeated and humiliated over
> again.
>

Who does this remind you of?

1206. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 21:44:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134337471.087579.293170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126977

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >
> > That's what will be the real problem. As so many have pointed out, there is
> > no organization to which the majority of hams belongs, at least in this
> > country. While the ARRL is the largest, the majority of the ham population
> > does NOT belong to it and will likely scream bloody murder if they were to get
> > to establish the band plan.
> >
>
> That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual pays
> memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU because each
> country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their jurisdiction
> independent of whether they are members of the national "club" like ARRL, RAC,
> RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.

But there's a big problem there....see below
> >
> > You mention the IARU but that won't work right now either. We have some
> > additional frequencies that they do not. Tasking them with planning is not
> > appropriate for frequencies used only by a single country or very small group
> > of countries. Then of course there is the sovereignty issue. Some countries,
> > including the US, probably will not want to give them that much power.
> >
>
> Huh?
>
> IARU is not a government agency. It is >>us<<, the hams of the world, totally
> independent of national governments and independent of international
> organizations like ITU or CEPT. Who better than the >>hams<< to decide how
> >>ham<< frequencies should be used? Are we so conditioned to "big government"
> dependency that (within our allocations) we need disinvolved government
> bureaucrats to make decisions that much more logically belong to the actual
> affected users?

But do the actual users get a real voice? Do I get to vote on the
bandplan for
bands I use? Do I even get to elect the representative who does?

Or will the bandplans be decided upon by folks whom are even less
beholden to
"the users" than the FCC?

> I agree with you that some frequencies are better planned at a more local level
> when those plans have no global implications. IARU is already regionally

> localized into Region I, II, and III, and that localization makes perfect sense
> for shared MF/HF bands. Further localization for "national only" bands, and for
> V/UHF allocations is a natural extension of the idea. An example of that model
> is the state/regional-localized V/UHF NFCC bandplanning which already operates
> independently of the FCC and ARRL in the US.
>
> > Finally, having the IARU (or any other body) designate a mandatory band plan
> > goes against the principle of "free market" for dynamic allocation of the
> > frequencies.
>
> To the extent that the band plan would not be dynamic on a minute-to-minute
> schedule, you are correct. But it certainly be more dynamic and responsive that
> the current generation-to-generation schedule of §97.305.
>
> > A group would have to meet and reallocate as needed.
>
> In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75 years later
> in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the next hour I
> can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the internet where they can
> hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their agreements, and publish the
> bandplan on a global basis before halftime of Monday Night Football.
>
And here's the problem:

Suppose for a moment the IARU scheme is done by regionfor HF - after
all,
that's how the allocations work. So IARU reps from all the Region 2
countries
decide how the Region 2 HF bandplan works.

Does each member country get one vote? If so, that puts the USA, with
its
661,000+ amateurs, at the same voting level as a country with a few
dozen
amateurs. A coalition of small countries with a handful of amateurs
could
dictate the bandplan for whole region.

If not, the USA's enormous amateur population makes us the
de-facto 800 pound gorilla in the region.

In either case, the IARU member society for the USA is...the ARRL.
Do you think everyone will be glad the ARRL is the USA's representative
for determining bandplans?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1207. Re: Remembering where you were ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 22:32:23 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <XN1nf.45309$Ox.15157@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 126978
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.nocode

"777" <777@abc.com> wrote in message
news:i4pnp1lgsgbt0cs1ver44k9guuutf5krkv@4ax.com...
> On 10 Dec 2005 14:35:17 -0800, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Andy Capp the fucktard wrote:
>>> Will anyone remember where they were when the heard of Richard Priors
>>> death? Its just doesn't seem to have legs like Kennedy or Lennon's
>>> death.
>>
>>Of course is doesn't you fucking idiot!
>>
>>He's just another dead nigger!
>
> Who? Your mother???
> **
> ABIT AN8 NFORCE4 SLI 939 ATX Motherboard
> AMD ATHLON64 X2 3800+
> 1GB PC3200 DDR RAM
> WD 36GB SATA RAPTOR 10,000 RPM HDD
> MAXTOR 200GB SATA 7200 RPM HDD
> XMEDIA GEFORCE 6200 256MB VIDEO
>
> Is this the best system ever? If not call
> 1-800-NO MORE UPGRADES
> **

When are you going to get a real computer?

1208. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 22:33:17 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11ppa9crq5r8r25@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 126979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.politics.liberalism

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:701733.8F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On 11 Dec 2005 15:32:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>> > On 11 Dec 2005 13:06:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Hey fucknut, newsreader.com is not my isp. Pull your head out of your
>> > > lardass.
>> >
>> > pick at nits, dimwit.
>> >
>> You make a lousy net-nanny, lardass.
>> >
>> > newsreader.com is your usp and your headers prove
>> > it. your usenet service provider is what was being talked on. better
>> > now?
>> >
>> It has been my NSP for about nine years or so, when are you going to have
>> my account nuked, fucktard netkkkop?
>
> so far you arent doing anything illegal or dispeakable like N9OGLs
> sight or the niggermainia sight is doing so there isnt any reason to.
> but I am not the only one. you crossposted to the cb and uk groups
> and everybody knows that they are full of netcops so you are not out
> of the weeds yet. I have trimed the groups back for you so maybe
> they wont notice you. you are welcome.

Gee Steveo, sounds like your in deep doo doo.....NOT. ROFLMAO I had my ISP
banned by an Undernet server. 10 years later I still have the same ISP.
As far as cross-posting http://niggermania.com I would like to have Lloyd
prove where it's illegal in the US. Google Nazi sometime and see how many
hate groups use the internet.

1209. Re: Remembering where you were ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-11 22:43:12 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11ppas227anau21@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 126980
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.nocode

"777" <777@abc.com> wrote in message
news:i4pnp1lgsgbt0cs1ver44k9guuutf5krkv@4ax.com...
> On 10 Dec 2005 14:35:17 -0800, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Andy Capp the fucktard wrote:
>>> Will anyone remember where they were when the heard of Richard Priors
>>> death? Its just doesn't seem to have legs like Kennedy or Lennon's
>>> death.
>>
>>Of course is doesn't you fucking idiot!
>>
>>He's just another dead nigger!
>
> Who? Your mother???
> **
> ABIT AN8 NFORCE4 SLI 939 ATX Motherboard
> AMD ATHLON64 X2 3800+
> 1GB PC3200 DDR RAM
> WD 36GB SATA RAPTOR 10,000 RPM HDD
> MAXTOR 200GB SATA 7200 RPM HDD
> XMEDIA GEFORCE 6200 256MB VIDEO
>
> Is this the best system ever? If not call
> 1-800-NO MORE UPGRADES
> **

AMD SUCKS!!!!!!!

1210. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 00:05:45 UTC
From: paul.vigil@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1134345945.293871.69020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126981

Paul Runninghorse Vigil
Senior Consultant 30 Years Experienced
Creative Real Estate Buying or Selling,
Creative Financing Notes & Cash Flows.
Homes, Land, Hotels, Commercial, Trust
Deeds and Real Estate Investigations.
FREE Telephone Consultation
Ph # 303-284-0636 Fax 303-284-0974
Was a Broker, Realtor and Owner
Operator of Real Estate Brokerages and
Mortgage Companies. * Refer-A-Friend
www.capitalvigilfundingdept.com
paul.vigil@comcast.net

1211. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 00:11:26 UTC
From: paul.vigil@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1134346286.139395.86160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126982

Paul Runninghorse Vigil
Senior Consultant 30 Years Experienced
FREE TELEPHONE CONSULTATION (Do you Want to Know how to Buy real estate with Little or NO MONEY DOWN) or know how to Design a Creative Owner Carry Financing Mortgage Notes? Or if you are selling your real estate or your business, (Residential or Commercial) How to design Cash Flows? I can show you how. Hi I Am Paul Runninghorse Vigil Senior Consultant 30 Years Experienced, in Creative Real Estate Buying or Selling and in Creative Real Estate Financing Notes, Trust Deeds and Real Estate Investigations.
Ph # 303-284-0636 Fax 303-284-0974
Was a Broker, Realtor and Owner
Operator of Real Estate Brokerages and
Mortgage Companies. * Refer-A-Friend
www.capitalvigilfundingdept.com
paul.vigil@comcast.net

1212. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 00:14:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051211191458.848$ZU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 126983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.politics.liberalism

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:701733.8F8789@tarrnews.net...
> > On 11 Dec 2005 15:32:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> >> > On 11 Dec 2005 13:06:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > Hey fucknut, newsreader.com is not my isp. Pull your head out of
> >> > > your lardass.
> >> >
> >> > pick at nits, dimwit.
> >> >
> >> You make a lousy net-nanny, lardass.
> >> >
> >> > newsreader.com is your usp and your headers prove
> >> > it. your usenet service provider is what was being talked on.
> >> > better now?
> >> >
> >> It has been my NSP for about nine years or so, when are you going to
> >> have my account nuked, fucktard netkkkop?
> >
> > so far you arent doing anything illegal or dispeakable like N9OGLs
> > sight or the niggermainia sight is doing so there isnt any reason to.
> > but I am not the only one. you crossposted to the cb and uk groups
> > and everybody knows that they are full of netcops so you are not out
> > of the weeds yet. I have trimed the groups back for you so maybe
> > they wont notice you. you are welcome.
>
> Gee Steveo, sounds like your in deep doo doo.....NOT. ROFLMAO I had my
> ISP banned by an Undernet server. 10 years later I still have the same
> ISP. As far as cross-posting http://niggermania.com I would like to have
> Lloyd prove where it's illegal in the US. Google Nazi sometime and see
> how many hate groups use the internet.
>
Yep and specifically Usenet, there are 27 newsgroups than contain the word
nigger in their title. Lloyd has his netkkkop work cut out for him.

1213. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 01:05:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <n14nf.2976$Tg2.2477@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 126984

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> And here's the problem:

You haven't described a problem, Jim. You've simply listed some questions about how such a plan would be reasonably implemented in the "real world".

> Suppose for a moment the IARU scheme is done by region for
> HF - after all, that's how the allocations work. So IARU reps
> from all the Region 2 countries decide how the Region 2 HF
> bandplan works.

Sounds like the basis of a plan to me. Probably ought to flesh it out with some inter-region liaison mechanism, since RF has a habit of crossing the arbitrary lines that we humans draw on maps.

> Does each member country get one vote?

No. IARU doesn't operate on a "one country, one vote" basis (except to elect the regional officers).

They accomplish their "work" in the framework of a purpose-appointed set of working committees, similar to the various working committee's that other international standards bodies use. These committees tend to be smallish, on the order of a dozen or less individuals and as such do not directly mirror the demographic makeup of the parent organization. This serves to isolate the committee from the "politics" and focused on the "best working solution", not the "best political solution". The committee product is set of recommendations to be adopted (or modified or rejected) by the parent organization (in this case the IARU regional officers). Rejection or modification is rare, as the oppointing body needs to justify over-riding their own appointed body of experts.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1214. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 02:01:59 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <p0mpp1hcu5arkc2ud26gs8j6499gjbmc7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 126985

On 11 Dec 2005 11:03:08 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1134327788.347366.56930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
<snip>
>> > It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)
>>
>> Then call it something else.
>
>"Amateur"

Actually, I really like this idea of a single-class license. I might
even get one if it should ever be implemented, with or without code.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1215. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 03:15:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134357309.552605.66420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126986

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 11 Dec 2005 11:03:08 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1134327788.347366.56930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> <snip>
> >> > It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)
> >>
> >> Then call it something else.
> >
> >"Amateur"
>
> Actually, I really like this idea of a single-class license. I might
> even get one if it should ever be implemented, with or without code.

One license class is all that's needed. Perhaps after some elapsed
time, people will quit saying that they are Extra's or Advanced, or or
or..., and focus on being a good ham.

1216. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 03:33:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134358432.535104.290680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126987

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 11:03 am
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> >> > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
> >
> >> > >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
> >> > >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
> >> > >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
> >> > >steps.
> >
> >> > The ONLY alternative? :-)
> >
> >> If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.
> >
> >Jim just got through posting that in 1936 the code speed was *increased
> >and the written exams made *more comprehensive for the three license
> >classes at the time. Later, >all priveleges< were granted to the
> >General class license. Then they were taken away.
> >
> >Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
> >"lowering the requirements."
> >
> >Jim sees what Jim wants to see.
>
> Jimmie need help of opthalmologist...he have astigmatism.

He have a stigmup tis bottom.

> >> > It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)
> >
> >> Then call it something else.
> >
> >"Amateur"
>
> Ummm...yes, that's what I answered. Too obvious to be "seen,"
> I guess...

Not enough "prestige."

> >> > >Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to
> >> > >Generals and Extras. While that number is small
> >> > >compared to those who start out as Technicians, it
> >> > >proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both
> >> > >upgrading steps.
> >
> >> > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
> >> > classes?
> >
> >> One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
> >> You haven't.
> >
> >One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
> >all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher. Then the FCC
> >implemented the Incentive Licensing System which you loved, took away
> >priveleges, and the rest is history. Now you say that going back to
> >all priveleges for the General exam is lowering requirements.
> >
> >Sorry you feel that way.
>
> Confusion reigns there. Must be the weather...

When it reigns, it poors.

> >> > If there were only ONE license, there would be no
> >> > "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
> >
> >> Right.
> >
> >> And if there were only one license, regardless of
> >> what it would be called, its test(s) would
> >> have to contain everything that is now contained in
> >> the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.
> >> Otherwise the standards would be reduced.
> >
> >No, it wouldn't. Strawman.
> >
> >The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.
>
> Not applicable to Jimmie-discussions. He get Extra license,
> be "superior." He typify "superior" class, elite. Nobility?
>
> Blue blood is thicker than water.

Just thick. Need thinner. Coronary imminent.

> >> So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
> >> have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
> >> for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
> >> amateur radio license.
> >
> >> Is that what you want?

> >
> >You're the one who loved the Incentive Licensing System which took
> >priveleges away from fully qualified amateurs. You're the one who
> >loves unnecessary licensing requirements.
>
> Brian, that wasn't the point.

Good. I'm glad I was able to bring Jim back around to the discussion
of policy.

Jimmie try more misdirection
> by trying to start yet-another controversy over "what I want."
> That can be expanded with his imaginary helium to "reach the
> threshold of [newsgroup] space."

Wonder how Coslo's BBS is coming along?

> He tried the same bullshit with my remark on "extra out of the
> box" five years ago in here...that I "WANTED" one...and the same
> thing on my Reply to Comments of Mikey D. on WT DOCKET 98-143
> six years ago with "my WANTING an age limit on licensing."
>
> Tsk, Jimmie complains that I "don't *read* what he wrote" and
> then takes my postings so far out of context that we might as
> well all be in outer space and/or the Twilight Zone.
>
> Okay, in that spirit of misdirection in here, let me pass on
> an EXACT QUOTE of Jimmie's made on 10 December 2005:
>
> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

He presumes that the VEC does? Like so many Morsemen confuse "ARRL"
with "FCC?"

> Offhand, I'd say that Jimmie "wants" amateurs to be UN-
> LICENSED! :-)
>
> Let's see if he can "tapdance" a few time-steps on that one?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jim has his back in a corner. He's losing major ground on his lifetime
achievement of being an Extra, and the worst is probably just around
the corner.

1217. Re: More "Raped an Old Friend" Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 03:45:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134359135.896996.265430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126988

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > WHOA! There's the pot calling the kettle black!
>
> Whoa! Steve writes "raped an old friend" and thinks it's OK.

Hello? Steve?

Defend it or apologize for it!

1218. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 04:08:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134360516.350709.34530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126989

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> > >
> > > That's what will be the real problem. As so many have pointed out, there is
> > > no organization to which the majority of hams belongs, at least in this
> > > country. While the ARRL is the largest, the majority of the ham population
> > > does NOT belong to it and will likely scream bloody murder if they were to get
> > > to establish the band plan.
> > >
> > That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual pays
> > memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU because each
> > country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their jurisdiction
> > independent of whether they are members of the national "club" like ARRL, RAC,
> > RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.
>
> But there's a big problem there....see below

You always know better than everyone else. See below.

> > > You mention the IARU but that won't work right now either. We have some
> > > additional frequencies that they do not. Tasking them with planning is not
> > > appropriate for frequencies used only by a single country or very small group
> > > of countries. Then of course there is the sovereignty issue. Some countries,
> > > including the US, probably will not want to give them that much power.
> > >
> > Huh?
> >
> > IARU is not a government agency. It is >>us<<, the hams of the world, totally
> > independent of national governments and independent of international
> > organizations like ITU or CEPT. Who better than the >>hams<< to decide how
> > >>ham<< frequencies should be used? Are we so conditioned to "big government"
> > dependency that (within our allocations) we need disinvolved government
> > bureaucrats to make decisions that much more logically belong to the actual
> > affected users?
>
> But do the actual users get a real voice? Do I get to vote on the
> bandplan for
> bands I use? Do I even get to elect the representative who does?

Didn't Carl attend the last ITU meeting? Didn't the ARRL attend?
Didn't the FCC attend?

How much representation do you need, Jim?

> Or will the bandplans be decided upon by folks whom are even less
> beholden to
> "the users" than the FCC?

Benevolent King Jim will rule intelligently and fairly.

> > I agree with you that some frequencies are better planned at a more local level
> > when those plans have no global implications. IARU is already regionally
> > localized into Region I, II, and III, and that localization makes perfect sense
> > for shared MF/HF bands. Further localization for "national only" bands, and for
> > V/UHF allocations is a natural extension of the idea. An example of that model
> > is the state/regional-localized V/UHF NFCC bandplanning which already operates
> > independently of the FCC and ARRL in the US.
> >
> > > Finally, having the IARU (or any other body) designate a mandatory band plan
> > > goes against the principle of "free market" for dynamic allocation of the
> > > frequencies.
> >
> > To the extent that the band plan would not be dynamic on a minute-to-minute
> > schedule, you are correct. But it certainly be more dynamic and responsive that
> > the current generation-to-generation schedule of §97.305.
> >
> > > A group would have to meet and reallocate as needed.
> >
> > In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75 years later
> > in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the next hour I
> > can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the internet where they can
> > hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their agreements, and publish the
> > bandplan on a global basis before halftime of Monday Night Football.
> >
> And here's the problem:

Let me sit down and pour a stiff drink.

> Suppose for a moment the IARU scheme is done by regionfor HF - after
> all,
> that's how the allocations work. So IARU reps from all the Region 2
> countries
> decide how the Region 2 HF bandplan works.
>
> Does each member country get one vote? If so, that puts the USA, with
> its
> 661,000+ amateurs, at the same voting level as a country with a few
> dozen
> amateurs. A coalition of small countries with a handful of amateurs
> could
> dictate the bandplan for whole region.

Oh, my. I hope that the US hams have treated "thier" neighbor hams
kindly.

> If not, the USA's enormous amateur population makes us the
> de-facto 800 pound gorilla in the region.

Can't we all just get along?

> In either case, the IARU member society for the USA is...the ARRL.

Which is why it's so important to keep movers and shakers like Carl out
of the organization's management.

> Do you think everyone will be glad the ARRL is the USA's representative
> for determining bandplans?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

It would be better if the IARU declared a plan rather than go with the
ARRL's recent bandplan scheme.

1219. Re: A Legal Alternative for 11 Meter Ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 04:21:57 UTC
From: we7qrz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134361317.346999.129360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126990
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> we7qrz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > A Legal Alternative for 11 Meter Ops.
> > Mark Pearsal (MPEARSAL) on March 29, 2001
> > View comments about this article!
> > http://www.eham.net/articles/1841
> >
> > I thought I'd include this since so many of you choose to make
> > derogatory remarks about CBer's rather than stick to the topic. (Code
> > vs. No-Code, Restructuring, etc...) I personally like the code but you
> > guys use the issue to take cheap shots at 11m ops. You should realize
> > that many 11m ops visit these forums seeking to learn about ham radio,
> > NOT be insulted. 73.
> >
> > Hello fellow 11 meter enthusiasts,
> >
> cuting for brevity
>
> interesting thought and much more on topic than most of the stufff we
> see here
>
> the problem ois that ARRL will take your money and still deny you your
> place Ask Carl stevenson about that one

Hello an_old_friend:

I knew from reading your posts that we thought the same. Are you into phone? Post your phone number and I pick up the tab for the call. We can talk about how much smarter we are than stupid CB'ers. Then maybe we could jack off together on the phone and giggle about ham radio! I just knew we were the same!

1220. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 10:26:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134383182.278662.47790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126991

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > >
> > > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
> > > >
> > > >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
> > > >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
> > > >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
> > > >steps.
> > >
> > > The ONLY alternative? :-)
> >
> > If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.
>
> Jim just got through posting that in 1936 the code speed was *increased
> and the written exams made *more comprehensive for the three license
> classes at the time. Later, >all priveleges< were granted to the
> General class license.

>From before 1936, until 1951, full amateur privileges in the USA
required a Class A license. (15 years including the WW2 shutdown)

>From 1951 until 1953 full amateur privileges in the USA required an
Advanced or an Amateur Extra license. (2 years)

>From 1953 until 1968 full amateur privileges in the USA required a
Conditional, General, Advanced or Amateur Extra license. (15 years)

(the requirements for full privileges were lowered in early 1953)

>From 1968 until the present time, full amateur privileges in the USA
have required an Amateur Extra license. (37 years)

> Then they were taken away.

37 years ago. I lost privileges. You and Len did not.
>
> Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
> "lowering the requirements."

Yes, it would be.

> > > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
> > > classes?
> >
> > One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
> > You haven't.
>
> One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
> all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher.

And FCC was convinced that wasn't a good thing. FCC is still convinced
of the need for at least 3 license classes.

You might want to read the current NPRM. Pay particular attention to
footnote 142...

> Then the FCC
> implemented the Incentive Licensing System which you loved, took away
> priveleges, and the rest is history. Now you say that going back to
> all priveleges for the General exam is lowering requirements.

And it would be. The standards were reduced in the Great Giveaway of
1953.
You want a repeat of that.

Some years back, QCWA proposed to FCC that all hams who had held
a General, Conditional or Advanced before the changes took place in
1968
should get an automatic upgrade to Extra because they lost privileges
then.
FCC said no way.
>
> Sorry you feel that way.

Why?

Is the 50 question Extra written exam too difficult?
>
> > > If there were only ONE license, there would be no
> > > "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
> >
> > Right.
> >
> > And if there were only one license, regardless of
> > what it would be called, its test(s) would
> > have to contain everything that is now contained in
> > the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.
> > Otherwise the standards would be reduced.
>
> No, it wouldn't. Strawman.

If you're willing to reduce the standards, the testing
could be reduced. It's clear that's no problem for
you.
>

> The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.

That ended 37 years ago. Why do you live in the past?

Would you like to go back to the General test of 1968? Testing
at FCC offices only unless you lived more then 175 miles from
an exam point, no CSCEs, no published question pools, 30
day wait to retest. Oh yes, and 13 wpm code, sending and
receiving.

> > So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
> > have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
> > for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
> > amateur radio license.
> >
> > Is that what you want?
>
> You're the one who loved the Incentive Licensing System which took
> priveleges away from fully qualified amateurs.

Who said I "loved" it?

> You're the one who
> loves unnecessary licensing requirements.

none of the license requirements I support are
"unnecessary".

You're the one who supports lowering the standards
again and again.

--

So let's see what you're proposing:

- Full amateur privileges for the testing of a General license,
without any code test.

- All existing Generals, Advanceds, and Extras get full
privileges. Some Technicians and Technician Pluses
who passed the Tech written when it was same as
General get full privileges too.

Two questions:

What happens to existing Novices and Technicians who
haven't passed the General written?

FCC has repeatedly refused free (no-test) upgrades.
FCC has said that the optimum system for the
future is a 3 level system, but that they'll keep the
closed-out classes until they disappear by attrition.
How will you convince them to do otherwise?

1221. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 10:55:22 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <IrDt0A.1s2@bath.ac.uk>
Source record: 126992
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:

> This has always been an American characteristic. More recently, it
> was only after they were caught with their pants down in New York that
> they decided to vent their indignation by launching an attack on the
> first convenient Middle Eastern country that came to mind.
>

Dubya must have had help. It's a certainty he couldn't have
named a middle eastern country unaided. Maybe Bonzo is still
working as a presidential advisor? BTW it is not true that
Dubya is Bonzo's half-brother, he just walks that way for
other reasons.

vy 73

Andy, M1EBV

1222. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 11:13:13 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <lrDtu1.29u@bath.ac.uk>
Source record: 126993
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:

> Walt Davidson wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 04:41:44 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWI. America pulls
>>> Britain's fat from the fire in WWII.
>>
>>
>> Pity nobody pulled your fat from the fire in Vietnam, OM.
>
>
> Did you find anything untruthful in my statements above? There was
> certainly no Britain assisting us in Vietnam. Nobody defeated the
> United States militarily in Vietnam.

Huh? They filmed all that US embassy stuff in Nevada did they?
All the body bags were full of marijuana, no one was killed?
In ten years time when the last Americans leave the smoking
ruins of Iraq to the gentle care of the Mullahs, that won't
be a defeat either.

It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Americans really are.

> If Great Britain could not wrest control of those islands from
> Argentina, it should have held a going out of business sale.
>

If America "could not wrest control of" Vietnam from a bunch
of guys in black pyjamas "it should have held a going out of
business sale" ?

Look forward to the post Iraq closing down sale.

2144 Americans have died to make Haliburton richer.
It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Americans really are.

vy 73

Andy, M1EBV

1223. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 11:29:21 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <IrDuKx.2s6@bath.ac.uk>
Source record: 126996
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> C'mon. You've got a bunch of grown men who wear bowler hats and their
> Sunday best, marching in celebration of battles fought eons ago. Get

Of course no one in America would think of celebrating an
old battle on July 4th.

> over it. You were fighting each other. That isn't what took place here
> in 2001.
>

Oklahoma, 1995. C'mon..

It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Americans are.

vy 73

Andy, M1EBV

1224. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 11:32:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134387171.329708.195190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 126994

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > And here's the problem:
>
> You haven't described a problem, Jim. You've simply listed some questions about
> how such a plan would be reasonably implemented in the "real world".

That's certainly an arguable point, but I won't argue it!
>
> > Suppose for a moment the IARU scheme is done by region for
> > HF - after all, that's how the allocations work. So IARU reps
> > from all the Region 2 countries decide how the Region 2 HF
> > bandplan works.
>
> Sounds like the basis of a plan to me. Probably ought to flesh it out with some
> inter-region liaison mechanism, since RF has a habit of crossing the arbitrary
> lines that we humans draw on maps.

Agreed!

> > Does each member country get one vote?
>
> No. IARU doesn't operate on a "one country, one vote" basis (except to elect
> the regional officers).
>
> They accomplish their "work" in the framework of a purpose-appointed set of
> working committees, similar to the various working committee's that other
> international standards bodies use. These committees tend to be smallish, on
> the order of a dozen or less individuals and as such do not directly mirror the
> demographic makeup of the parent organization. This serves to isolate the
> committee from the "politics" and focused on the "best working solution", not
> the "best political solution". The committee product is set of recommendations
> to be adopted (or modified or rejected) by the parent organization (in this case
> the IARU regional officers). Rejection or modification is rare, as the
> oppointing body needs to justify over-riding their own appointed body of
> experts.
>
But in the "real world", that would boil down to a bunch of appointed
experts
going into the proverbial smoky back room and coming up with a bandplan
that would have the force of law in the USA.

Other member countries might or might not make the bandplan into law.

End result is the USA's subband regulation would be determined by a committee
made up of folks who are mostly not citizens of the USA, and even less beholden to
American hams than the FCC or ARRL.

ARRL is criticized for making *proposals* on behalf of all US hams. Imagine the
reaction to IARU making the *rules* for all US hams...

73 de JIm, N2EY

1225. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 11:35:38 UTC
From: "MattD.." <mattd145@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dnjnaa$18j5$2@mattsnetwork.co.uk>
Source record: 126995
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

After replacing Andy Cowley with a small shell script on Monday 12 Dec 2005
11:13, the following appeared on stdout:

> It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Americans really are.

Take a look at their president. You'll be gobsmacked for days. ;-)
--
Seasonal radio wishes #14
Adverts for cheap amplifiers to be labelled "This is a transverter, which
allows you to transmit everywhere at once leading to revocation of your
licence and will most likely attenuate your power output on the wanted
band."

1226. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 11:41:34 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <IrDv5A.35G@bath.ac.uk>
Source record: 126997
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark wrote:

>
> Granted the fact in their schools, they may just brush over it in
> normal curriculums, that still doesn't eliminate him from learning it on his
> own or in college.
>
> Landshark
>

Why would any sane or reasonable person want to learn the constitution
of a nation that invades other countries on dishonest pretexts,
establishes concentration camps and tortures prisoners of war?
A country where presidential elections are rigged, where oil companies
control domestic and foreign policy. A country that tries to overthrow
democratically elected leaders in other countries for it's own profit.
A country where a racist administration dithers while black people die
in floods caused by deliberate diversion of budgets.

Andy

1227. Owned

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 12:57:02 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <andy.cowley.spews.hate@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 126998
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 11:13:13 GMT, Andy Cowley wrote:

> It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Americans really are.

And we own Tony Blair, just as we previously owned Margaret Thatcher.
When we say "jump," Tony asks "How high?"

It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Brits really are.

1228. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 13:07:41 UTC
From: Kahllib Akhallabar <anon@mosohomaq.com>
Message-ID: <dnjsle$3bk$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 126999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1134387171.329708.195190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
K&#65533;HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > And here's the problem:
>
> You haven't described a problem, Jim. You've simply listed some questions
> about
> how such a plan would be reasonably implemented in the "real world".

That's certainly an arguable point, but I won't argue it!
>
> > Suppose for a moment the IARU scheme is done by region for
> > HF - after all, that's how the allocations work. So IARU reps
> > from all the Region 2 countries decide how the Region 2 HF
> > bandplan works.
>
> Sounds like the basis of a plan to me. Probably ought to flesh it out
> with some
> inter-region liaison mechanism, since RF has a habit of crossing the
> arbitrary
> lines that we humans draw on maps.

Agreed!

> > Does each member country get one vote?
>
> No. IARU doesn't operate on a "one country, one vote" basis (except to
> elect
> the regional officers).
>
> They accomplish their "work" in the framework of a purpose-appointed set
> of
> working committees, similar to the various working committee's that other
> international standards bodies use. These committees tend to be smallish,
> on
> the order of a dozen or less individuals and as such do not directly
> mirror the
> demographic makeup of the parent organization. This serves to isolate the
> committee from the "politics" and focused on the "best working solution",
> not
> the "best political solution". The committee product is set of
> recommendations

> to be adopted (or modified or rejected) by the parent organization (in
> this case
> the IARU regional officers). Rejection or modification is rare, as the
> oppointing body needs to justify over-riding their own appointed body of
> experts.
>
But in the "real world", that would boil down to a bunch of appointed
experts
going into the proverbial smoky back room and coming up with a bandplan
that would have the force of law in the USA.

Other member countries might or might not make the bandplan into law.

End result is the USA's subband regulation would be determined by a
committee
made up of folks who are mostly not citizens of the USA, and even less
beholden to
American hams than the FCC or ARRL.

ARRL is criticized for making *proposals* on behalf of all US hams.
Imagine the
reaction to IARU making the *rules* for all US hams...

73 de JIm, N2EY

Are you and Hans members of the same Legion chapter?
And are you wearing your uniform to the Legion Christmas
party?

Shalom

1229. Re: Owned

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 13:23:02 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <IrDzuE.60K@bath.ac.uk>
Source record: 127000
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote:

> On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 11:13:13 GMT, Andy Cowley wrote:
>
>
>>It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Americans really are.
>
>
> And we own Tony Blair, just as we previously owned Margaret Thatcher.
> When we say "jump," Tony asks "How high?"
>
ITYWF they are owned by Haliburton, Standard Oil and Exxon etc.
You lot get the body bags.

Here are some simple questions for you:

How much profit per body bag are American oil companies making
from Iraq?

What is the level of profit at which it is no longer worthwhile
to occupy Iraq?

Will U.S. taxpayers and parents go on financing the carnage
long enough for the oil companies to get all the gravy?

vy 73

Andy, M1EBV

1230. Re: More cross posted Lloyd Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 13:32:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051212083249.891$rg_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 127001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Get lost Lloyd.

http://tinypic.com/igix6o.jpg

1231. alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 13:35:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051212083530.519$Pb_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 127002
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.politics.liberalism

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 19:37:27 GMT, Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
> >
> > Very astute on your part..... Except this whole thread
> > started when N8WWM posting with Moparholic@Hotmxxl.com aka Steveo
> > in Rec.Radio.CB screen nic, you have been duped, keep up the great
> > work though LMAO!!!!
>
> so youre telling everyone that you have to post wherever others set
> there news group headers? you must be a real nimrod not to have any
> control over where you post.
>
> but maybe you are that way because you just posted to the new set of
> groups I trimed back to. LMFAO!!!!
>
Have people post to your group, lardass.

alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

http://tinypic.com/igix6o.jpg

1232. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 14:47:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <F3gnf.2194$n1.655@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127003
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Andy Cowley wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> Walt Davidson wrote:
>>
>>> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 04:41:44 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWI. America pulls
>>>> Britain's fat from the fire in WWII.
>>>
>>>
>>> Pity nobody pulled your fat from the fire in Vietnam, OM.
>>
>>
>> Did you find anything untruthful in my statements above? There was
>> certainly no Britain assisting us in Vietnam. Nobody defeated the
>> United States militarily in Vietnam.
>
> Huh? They filmed all that US embassy stuff in Nevada did they?

Not any more than the Dunkirk footage was filmed at Weymouth.

> All the body bags were full of marijuana, no one was killed?

Have you ever heard of a war where no one was killed? Have you ever
participated in a war?

> In ten years time when the last Americans leave the smoking
> ruins of Iraq to the gentle care of the Mullahs, that won't
> be a defeat either.

Your stuff reads as if you've smoked the marijuana in the body bags.

> It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Americans really are.

Yep, the place is a shambles and our citizens are real idiots. It isn't
at all like your little Garden of Eden.

>> If Great Britain could not wrest control of those islands from
>> Argentina, it should have held a going out of business sale.
>>
>
> If America "could not wrest control of" Vietnam from a bunch
> of guys in black pyjamas "it should have held a going out of
> business sale" ?

You must not know much about the history of that war or you could not come to the conclusion that America was defeated militarily.

> Look forward to the post Iraq closing down sale.

Is that something you'd look forward to?

> 2144 Americans have died to make Haliburton richer.

Is that what you believe?

> It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Americans really are.

I accept that as your view, but perhaps your world likely extends only a few square miles.

> vy 73

and vy 73 to you too, Andrew.

> Andy, M1EBV

None of your comments address the lack of need for a history of the United States, do they?

Dave K8MN

1233. Re: alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 14:52:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051212095237.090$ZE_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 127004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On 12 Dec 2005 13:35:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> > > On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 19:37:27 GMT, Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Very astute on your part.............. Except this whole thread
> > > > started when N8WWM posting with Moparholic@Hotmxxl.com aka Steveo
> > > > in Rec.Radio.CB screen nic, you have been duped, keep up the great
> > > > work though LMAO!!!!
> > > >
> > > so youre telling everyone that you have to post wherever others set
> > > there news group headers? you must be a real nimrod not to have any
> > > control over where you post.
> > >
> > > but maybe you are that way because you just posted to the new set of
> > > groups I trimed back to. LMFAO!!!!
> > >
> > Have people post to your group, lardass.
> >
> > alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies
>
> no such news group acording to newzbot.com so you lose.
>
Oh that's right, you're using Chris Caputo's two-bit server. It propagates
just fine on a -real- NSP like newsreader.com , you know the one you posted
the aup of. The more your newsgroup is used, the more it will propagate so
there's still hope for you.
>
> > http://tinypic.com/igix6o.jpg
>
> Oh sorry, but it said/SLAP
>
LOL, the truth hurts so you had it removed already.

Try this new and improved one instead. If this one hurts as much as the
other one, have it removed too lardass.

http://www.keepmyfile.com/image/cda078272992#

1234. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 14:54:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wagnf.2746$QQ1.764@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127005
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Andy Cowley wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>
>> C'mon. You've got a bunch of grown men who wear bowler hats and their
>> Sunday best, marching in celebration of battles fought eons ago. Get
>
> Of course no one in America would think of celebrating an
> old battle on July 4th.

1) We don't come to your neighborhood to do our celebrating.

2) We aren't celebrating a battle or a war; we're celebrating our
independence.

>> Get over it. You were fighting each other. That isn't what took place
>> here in 2001.

> Oklahoma, 1995. C'mon..

You equate the actions of several individuals to what has taken place in
Northern Ireland? I'm afraid I'll have to dismiss that as rather silly.

> It never ceases to amaze me how dumb Americans are.

I can see how you'd think that way. Really, I can. Thankfully, you
aren't in the majority where you live.

> vy 73

...and vy 73 to you too, Andy.

> Andy, M1EBV

1235. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 15:11:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051212101114.603$xq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 127006
Cross-posted to: alt.asshole.lloyd-davies,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
test of another lloyd davies newsgroup.
```

1236. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 15:30:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CIgnf.2752$QQ1.765@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127007
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

pointyhead wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>> Hmmm. There is no doubt that had Britain taken this war seriously it
>>> would have won it.
>>
>>
>> Sure, sure. Your historical revisionism is a needed face-saving measure.
>
> Ah yes of course, you'll be telling us next that America wasn't defeated
> in Vietnam...oh wait.

You're quite right. America was not militarily defeated in Vietnam.

> Whats next? Afghanistan attacked America? Weapons
> of mass destruction in Iraq? No torture in Guantanamo?

No, I'll likely bring up the recent widespread use of central heating in
the U.K.

>>>> America defeats Britain in the War of 1812.
>
>>> Ho Ho! I suppose you'll be telling us next the White House was
>>> torched by aliens?
>
>> Coventry was fire-bombed. Did you lose WWII? London endured waves of
>> buzz bomb and V2 attacks. Did you lose WWII?
>
> The White House was torched after America declared war on Britain which
> was already involved in a war against another dictator. Did you win the
> war? No.

Yes.

> America was suicide bombed. Have you won the "War against
> terror"? NO!

I don't recall hearing that it is over.

>> The United States defeated Britain for the second time in the War of
>> 1812.
>
> Of course I can see now where you're coming from. America in yet another
> war of aggression attacks Great Britain when it thinks its attention is
> elsewhere and its forces weakened.

In another war of aggression? Hmmmmphhhhhft! You're living up to the
name under which you post.

Britain was stopping and seizing American ships and kidnapping their crews.

> Even though playing from home Crown
> Forces successfully repulse the invasion of Canada and go on to burn the
> nations capital. Having learned from this lesson America decides it is
> safer to have the UK as an ally rather and an enemy and in future limits
> its aggression to wars that are already mostly won or the invasion of
> little 3rd world countries.

Perhaps you've heard of the Battle of New Orleans. Thousands of
redcoats were running for their lives, tossing down their weapons as
they ran.

> I'm sure that you can come up with a scenario in which Britain
>> could have won the war if only it had taken it seriously.
>
> Yes I can see how an American would equate the buring of his capital and
> seat of power along with several repulsed invasions of Canada as a victory.
> Only a typical yank could equate the ending of the war as a victory.

Our capital was burred?

Most wars are over when they end.

At the end of the war, were British forces on U.S. land? Did British
ships attempt to seize American ships and their crews?

>>>> America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWI.
>>>
>>>
>>> America came into the war when it was all but won and 3 years after
>>> it started. Its troops played only a minor but crucial role. America
>>> saw the potential for profit and a chance to increase its sphere of
>>> influence for little effort, Britain on the other hand went to war in
>>> defence of its allies.
>>
>>
>> All but won? Little had changed in the three years of WWI. It was
>> largely back and forth, back and forth in the same muddy fields.
>
> When the war ended the best army in the field was unquestionably the
> British and it was largely the British who made the sweeping gains of
> the last days. The Americans did bugger all even when the war was in
> danger of being lost early in 1918.

Did it turn out well for you? Could you have won the war without
American participation?

>>>> America pulls Britain's fat from the fire in WWII.
>>>
>>>

>>> Once again America enters the war late and only for profit.
>>
>>
>> There's more historical revisionism. That Marshall Plan was a big
>> money making scheme, was it?
>
> Lease lend certainly was.

Were you under the impression that America should have simply given
Britain military equipment? I'm sure that you're eternally grateful for
the support. How old are you, Pointy?

>>> Britain entered the war yet again in defence of its Allies. America
>>> was the only country to end the war richer than when it started.
>>
>>
>> ...and I see that it chafes you. How rich you'd have been under
>> German domination!
>
> What German domination? Unaided by America the UK thwarted for all time
> Hitlers plans to invade.

Then you needed no lend-lease from America and you needed no American
troops. You could have done just dandy all by yourselves. Hitler and
his successors would have just smiled and waved at you from the beaches
of France for all eternity.

>>>> Post WWII finds the British Empire in eclipse.
>>>
>>>
>>> Indeed. Two world wars cost Britain an Empire.
>>
>>
>> I thought I'd pointed that out.
>
> No you didn't. I pointed out that it took two world wars of selfless
> British action to end the Empire.

Right. All selfless action. There was no empire and colonies to
protect. There was just altruistic Britain doing its part to protect
its little brown, black and yellow subjects (and their riches).

>>>> Six out for twenty-two overs, Toad-in-a-hole and Bob's your uncle.
>>>> Is that about it?
>>>
>>>
>>> No its about the dollar pure and simple. Why don't you go and
>>> research how many wars of aggression America has waged? Name me one
>>> time America has gone to war in defence of its allies please.
>>
>>
>> I've already done so.

>
> No you haven't because if you had there'd by no way you'd label the
> American War of 1812 a victory.

It's quite simple. America stopped Britain from seizing its ships and
crews. America tossed British forces out of the United States. How
much clearer need it be?

>>> And as someone who lives in Northern Ireland I'd like to thank all
>>> you Americans for financing decades of terror in my country, in
>>> particular I'd like to thank the NYPD and the NYFD who openly
>>> financed and supported the IRA.
>>
>>
>> I'll bet that if you bothered to research it, you'd find only a tiny
>> percentage of Americans who act as professional Irishmen donating to
>> that cause.
>
> What are you saying? America is a bastard nation?

That's Brit-think. We Americans trace our ancestry to many different
lands, yet we're all Americans. I had great-grandparents who were Irish
and German, Irish and English, English and English and French and
English. I've had neighbors who were descended from Poles, Russians,
Spaniards, Italians, Serbs, Ukrainians and Czechs. Who are you to refer
to those people as a bastard nation?

> That because of the
> size of the Irish American vote successive Presidents did nothing to
> stop it?

Do you believe that America has a large Irish-American population which
votes as a bloc? It that were true and they had any power, we'd likely
have had nothing but Kennedys as presidents.

>> I personally don't know anyone who provided even a dime.
>
> What you personally know or don't know does not change the facts.

We'll likely not know. You haven't provided any facts.

> I'd be
> willing to bet you don't know anyone who fought in the aggressive war of
> 1812 yet here you're clearly distorting the facts!

At the war's end, were British ships stopping and seizing American ships
and crews? Were there any British forces on the U.S. mainland?

>>> Boy didn't they just love it when it became their turn to suffer at
>>> the hands of murderers?
>>
>>
>> C'mon. You've got a bunch of grown men who wear bowler hats and their

>> Sunday best, marching in celebration of battles fought eons ago. Get
>> over it. You were fighting each other. That isn't what took place
>> here in 2001.
>
> Isn't this thread about battles that were fought eons ago in which you
> have tried to distort history?!

Not to my knowledge. Did you have any comments about Paul's remarks
concerning the lack of necessity for writing of American history?

> What we had here was a bunch of
> murdering bastards who would not accept the democratic will of the
> majority open expressed in free and fair elections who were funded and
> armed by loony Americans and loony dictators.

You had a bunch of loonies on both sides, fighting each other over whose
brand of Christianity was right and proper and over who could belong to
the exclusive club where all political power resides. You have
Africans, long-accustomed to being lectured about the evils of
tribalism, laughing at you.

> You should also remember
> that the freedoms you and I enjoy would not exist but for the victories
> celebrated by these marches.

We're not equals, Pointy. I have many more freedoms than you and am not
under the yoke of a socialist state, at least not yet. We don't
celebrate battles. We celebrate our independence and freedom. One
battle which we commemorate, Pearl Harbor Day (not a holiday), was a
defeat for the United States. Nobody here dresses up, wears banners,
carries placards and marches into the neighborhood of the defeated and
rubs their noses in it. We don't have a perfect system but it is the
most free, egalitarian, bountiful country on the planet.

Dave K8MN

1237. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 15:52:06 UTC
From: Marky <bigfathairybiker@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <439d9c8d$0$26119$4d4eb98e@read.news.uk.uu.net>
Source record: 127008
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dave Heil" wrote something.

I'll be happy when some terrorist blows up the whitehouse!

1238. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 15:53:06 UTC
From: cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <dnk6d2$2iu@netnews.net.lucent.com>
Source record: 127009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <20051209220855.102$gg@newsreader.com>,
Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>re-entering ham radio
>
>Who cares?

If you don't care, they why do you read this group or this thread?

1239. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 16:02:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051212110237.014$Bc@newsreader.com>
Source record: 127010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

cas@w-sherwood.ih.lucent.com (Chuck Sherwood) wrote:
> In article <20051209220855.102$gg@newsreader.com>,
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >re-entering ham radio
> >
> >Who cares?
>
> If you don't care, they why do you read this group or this thread?
>
I don't care because it was cross-posted to rec.radio.cb by lardass lloyd
davies, k?

http://www.keepmyfile.com/image/cda078272992# <---lloyd

(follow-up set this time)

hth

1240. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 16:29:55 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <IrE8Hv.C58@bath.ac.uk>
Source record: 127011
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:

> Andy Cowley wrote:
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>> If Great Britain could not wrest control of those islands from
>>> Argentina, it should have held a going out of business sale.
>>>
>>
>> If America "could not wrest control of" Vietnam from a bunch
>> of guys in black pyjamas "it should have held a going out of
>> business sale" ?
>
>
> You must not know much about the history of that war or you could not
> come to the conclusion that America was defeated militarily.
>

Could you point out where I or you restricted this to 'militarily'?
I don't think the NVA won because they had prettier cheerleaders,
did they?

America left Vietnam under fire. They have not yet returned. What
kind of defeat was it?

Andy

1241. Why Are

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 16:42:14 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <Phil@hedrinhamradionet.org>
Message-ID: <dnk97m$1bq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Why is the Democrat party always anti-war, except
when the sitting president is a Democrat?

1242. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 16:46:04 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <IrE98s.Cq9@bath.ac.uk>
Source record: 127014
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:

> Andy Cowley wrote:
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> C'mon. You've got a bunch of grown men who wear bowler hats and their
>>> Sunday best, marching in celebration of battles fought eons ago. Get
>>
>>
>> Of course no one in America would think of celebrating an
>> old battle on July 4th.
>
>
> 1) We don't come to your neighborhood to do our celebrating.
>
ER, um. Yes, you do. You also come to our neighbourhood with cargoes
of people for onward shipment to torture camps in eastern Europe.

> 2) We aren't celebrating a battle or a war; we're celebrating our
> independence.
>

Have you heard of the War of Independence, aka the Revolutionary War?
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Revolutionary_War

cf

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Williamite_war_in_Ireland

> I can see how you'd think that way. Really, I can. Thankfully, you
> aren't in the majority where you live.
>

You really should visit Europe and research the facts. Your dumb
confidence in our support is misplaced.

Andy

1243. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 16:56:23 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XYhnf.16163$E14.5369@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 127013
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:

[snip for brevity]
I've made numerous snips throughout this...

>> Ah yes of course, you'll be telling us next that America wasn't
>> defeated in Vietnam...oh wait.
>
>
> You're quite right. America was not militarily defeated in Vietnam.

Let me use your American logic - at the end of the war which America ran
away from after being soundly trounced by little dudes in pointy hats
and sandals, were there any American troops in Vietnam. I didn't think
so either.

>> Whats next? Afghanistan attacked America? Weapons of mass destruction
>> in Iraq? No torture in Guantanamo?
>
>
> No, I'll likely bring up the recent widespread use of central heating in
> the U.K.

Well it would make a welcome change from the wholesale bull spread by
you and your fellow country men.

>>> Coventry was fire-bombed. Did you lose WWII? London endured waves
>>> of buzz bomb and V2 attacks. Did you lose WWII?
>>
>>
>> The White House was torched after America declared war on Britain
>> which was already involved in a war against another dictator. Did you
>> win the war? No.
>
>
> Yes.

No you did not. Great Britain was not militarily defeated and still had
a presence in North and for that matter South America.

>> America was suicide bombed. Have you won the "War against terror"? NO!
>
>
> I don't recall hearing that it is over.

Oh I wouldn't call the wholesale massacre of virtually unarmed peasantry
and civilians a war at all. You think you'd have learned by now not to
get into a guerilla war but no..

>> Of course I can see now where you're coming from. America in yet
>> another war of aggression attacks Great Britain when it thinks its
>> attention is elsewhere and its forces weakened.
>
>
> In another war of aggression? Hmmmmphhhhhft! You're living up to the
> name under which you post.

Please, go and read real American history, you know, the sort without
stars and stripes around the pages and discover how many wars of
aggression America has waged.

> Britain was stopping and seizing American ships and kidnapping their crews.

I'm sorry, America was supplying an enemy combatant for profit. (Does
anything ever change?) But I suppose you think we should have let that
continue?

> Perhaps you've heard of the Battle of New Orleans. Thousands of
> redcoats were running for their lives, tossing down their weapons as
> they ran.

Yes I have. Perhaps you've heard of the Battle of Washington? No? Me
neither. Why is that? Wasn't so much a battle as a humiliation was it? I
suppose the troops there who were not militarily defeated were involved
in a giant pincer movement to the south in and around the New Orleans
area which the fast moving President Munro was in a hurry to personally
supervise?

>> Yes I can see how an American would equate the buring of his capital
>> and seat of power along with several repulsed invasions of Canada as a
>> victory.
>> Only a typical yank could equate the ending of the war as a victory.
>
>
> Our capital was burred?

Oh dear! You've typo flamed me! You've just lost any credibility you
might have had.

> Most wars are over when they end.
>
> At the end of the war, were British forces on U.S. land? Did British
> ships attempt to seize American ships and their crews?

At the end of the war in which Great Britain was not militarily defeated
and which was ended by treaty Great Britain retained a presence in North
and South America unlike you lot in Vietnam.

>> When the war ended the best army in the field was unquestionably the
>> British and it was largely the British who made the sweeping gains of

>> the last days. The Americans did bugger all even when the war was in
>> danger of being lost early in 1918.
>
>
> Did it turn out well for you? Could you have won the war without
> American participation?

Yes. But it would have taken longer, The biggest contribution American
forces made was psycological... ie the Germans knew they now had no
chance in a war of attrition.

>> Lease lend certainly was.
>
>
> Were you under the impression that America should have simply given
> Britain military equipment? I'm sure that you're eternally grateful for
> the support. How old are you, Pointy?

Old enough to know that rationing here did not end until the 50's when
we'd cleared our debt caused by saving Americas and the worlds ass when
we fought alone.

>> What German domination? Unaided by America the UK thwarted for all
>> time Hitlers plans to invade.
>
>
> Then you needed no lend-lease from America and you needed no American
> troops. You could have done just dandy all by yourselves. Hitler and
> his successors would have just smiled and waved at you from the beaches
> of France for all eternity.

Hello? I don't remember America selling us any Spitfires during the
Battle of Britain, do you? Now, given that by the time you lot decided
it was time to come on board and really make some money we'd already won
the Battle of Britain, turned the tide in Africa and Russia was turning
back the Nazi hordes, Britain with the Commonwealth and Russia would
have won the war without America. It might have taken longer but it
would have been won. And lets get something else straight, Russia did
way more than America to secure allied victory in the second world war.

>>> I thought I'd pointed that out.
>>
>>
>> No you didn't. I pointed out that it took two world wars of selfless
>> British action to end the Empire.
>
>
> Right. All selfless action. There was no empire and colonies to
> protect. There was just altruistic Britain doing its part to protect
> its little brown, black and yellow subjects (and their riches).

Well last time I checked neither Belgium or Poland were part of the
Empire neither was its citizenry brown, yellow or black. But you knew
that didn't you?

>> No you haven't because if you had there'd by no way you'd label the
>> American War of 1812 a victory.
>
>
> It's quite simple. America stopped Britain from seizing its ships and
> crews. America tossed British forces out of the United States. How
> much clearer need it be?

No it's not simple. Britain imposed an embargo on France, France did
likewise on Britain, America could just as readily have declared war on
France but didn't. Why? Because America saw the chance for territorial gain.

>>> I'll bet that if you bothered to research it, you'd find only a tiny
>>> percentage of Americans who act as professional Irishmen donating to
>>> that cause.
>>
>>
>> What are you saying? America is a bastard nation?
>
>
> That's Brit-think.

Not it's not. I'm not a Brit, in fact I first heard the term used by a Scot.

> We Americans trace our ancestry to many different
> lands,

So does my dog.

> I had great-grandparents who were Irish
> and German, Irish and English, English and English and French and
> English. I've had neighbors who were descended from Poles, Russians,
> Spaniards, Italians, Serbs, Ukrainians and Czechs. Who are you to refer
> to those people as a bastard nation?

You're right. Mongrel is a better term.

>> That because of the size of the Irish American vote successive
>> Presidents did nothing to stop it?
>
>
> Do you believe that America has a large Irish-American population which
> votes as a bloc? It that were true and they had any power, we'd likely
> have had nothing but Kennedys as presidents.

Oh come now! You know as well as I do that what pass for politicans over
there are always afraid of upsetting big blocs of voters be they Irish,
Jewish, Italian or whatever.

>>> I personally don't know anyone who provided even a dime.
>>

>>
>> What you personally know or don't know does not change the facts.
>
>
> We'll likely not know. You haven't provided any facts.

I don't need to provide them, they are well documented. Only a fool or
an American would deny it. Which are you? At a guess I'd say both.

>> I'd be willing to bet you don't know anyone who fought in the
>> aggressive war of 1812 yet here you're clearly distorting the facts!
>
>
> At the war's end, were British ships stopping and seizing American ships
> and crews? Were there any British forces on the U.S. mainland?

Was GB militarily defeated? No. Was the war ended by treaty? Yes. Did
Britain retain a presence in North America? Yes.

>> Isn't this thread about battles that were fought eons ago in which you
>> have tried to distort history?!
>
>
> Not to my knowledge.

What, like all these battles were recent?

> Did you have any comments about Paul's remarks
> concerning the lack of necessity for writing of American history?

I'm sorry, I haven't seen that to which you refer.

>> What we had here was a bunch of murdering bastards who would not
>> accept the democratic will of the majority open expressed in free and
>> fair elections who were funded and armed by loony Americans and loony
>> dictators.
>
>
> You had a bunch of loonies on both sides, fighting each other over whose
> brand of Christianity was right and proper and over who could belong to
> the exclusive club where all political power resides.

Not true. But I'd except such a simplistic view from an American.

> Africans, long-accustomed to being lectured about the evils of
> tribalism, laughing at you.

Can you imagine how outraged we were when we saw black Americans being
left to drown in New Orleans simply because they were black? Can you
imagine how hard we laughed we you lot elected a simpleton - though it
has to be said, not for the first time - as President?

>> You should also remember that the freedoms you and I enjoy would not
>> exist but for the victories celebrated by these marches.

>
>
> We're not equals, Pointy.

No we're not. Not by any stretch of the imagination. I live in a caring compassionate, real democracy - not one that money buys. I have freedoms you can only dream about especially in the wake of things like "The Patriot Act", "Homeland Security Bill" (I think I've got my titles right but you'll understand if I haven't - it's hard to keep up with all the suppression)

> We don't have a perfect system but it is the
> most free, egalitarian, bountiful country on the planet.

We have a saying over here Dave, "Your arse is out the window" and yours most certainly is. Take the blinkers off and have a look at the real world.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

1244. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 17:44:48 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dnkcugope1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 127015
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> If, and when, a truthful account of American history is written, I'm
> sure Andy will read it. In the meantime, I suppose you will keep on
> thinking the Hollywood version is correct.
==========

The last truthful Hollywood historian was Charlie Chaplin.

World History will be written by the nation which posesses the
greatest collection of Weapons of Mass Destruction and persists in
using them.

1245. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 19:20:00 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <439dccd3.22632213@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127016
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>England is still a mainly white Christian Country..

What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.

1246. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 19:22:18 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <439ecd7f.22804080@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127017
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 10:06:10 -0500, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Tell you what, don't comment on George Bush and we will leave your
>bisexual,cheating ,scumbag prince alone.

Whoooooaaa there! Cheating yes, scumbag not sure, but bisexual(???).
Where on earth did you read that?

1247. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 19:44:16 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnkjsb$o7m$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 127018
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:439dccd3.22632213@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >England is still a mainly white Christian Country..
>
> What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
> population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.

Ok, re-phrase time, England is still mainly a White non-practicing Christian
Country :o)

1248. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 20:17:32 UTC
From: "M. J. Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <xIZXuLHcrdnDFw4I@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 127019
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In message <IrE8Hv.C58@bath.ac.uk>, Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
writes
>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> Andy Cowley wrote:
>>
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>> If Great Britain could not wrest control of those islands from
>>>>Argentina, it should have held a going out of business sale.
>>>>
>>>
>>> If America "could not wrest control of" Vietnam from a bunch
>>> of guys in black pyjamas "it should have held a going out of
>>> business sale" ?
>> You must not know much about the history of that war or you could
>>not come to the conclusion that America was defeated militarily.

The army which retires first from the battlefield is generally
considered to have lost.

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

1249. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 20:19:10 UTC
From: "M. J. Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <6ohVm5H+sdnDFwaB@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 127020
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In message <439dccd3.22632213@news.blueyonder.co.uk>, Peter
<microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> writes
>On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
><magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>England is still a mainly white Christian Country..
>
>What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
>population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.

More people go to church than go to football matches.

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

1250. Re: Why Are

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 20:43:45 UTC
From: "leanstotheleft@democrat.com" <leanstotheleft@democrat.com>
Message-ID: <1134420225.299197.283500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Democrats protested the Viet Nam war under LBJ, which caused him to
have a "heavy heart." Somalia did was supposedly done with UN forces,
but the US did all the heavy lifting, and the Democrats protested that
war too. Clinton did get a free pass from the Democrats on Bosnia.

Rabbi Phil wrote:
> Why is the Democrat party always anti-war, except
> when the sitting president is a Democrat?

1251. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 20:45:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134420349.307691.241010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127022

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun, Dec 11 2005 7:33 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 11:03 am
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> >> > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>> >> > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
>> >
>> >> > >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
>> >> > >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
>> >> > >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
>> >> > >steps.
>> >
>> >> > The ONLY alternative? :-)
>> >
>> >> If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.
>> >
>> >Jim just got through posting that in 1936 the code speed was *increased
>> >and the written exams made *more comprehensive for the three license
>> >classes at the time. Later, >all priveleges< were granted to the
>> >General class license. Then they were taken away.
>> >
>> >Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
>> >"lowering the requirements."
>> >
>> >Jim sees what Jim wants to see.
>>
>> Jimmie need help of opthalmologist...he have astigmatism.
>
>He have a stigmup tis bottom.

Well, he has 20-20 hindsight but seems to be of extreme
tunnel-vision
for the future (only He "knows" what will come to pass).

>> >> > It isn't logical to have ONE license labeled "Extra." :-)
>> >
>> >> Then call it something else.
>> >
>> >"Amateur"

>>
>> Ummm...yes, that's what I answered. Too obvious to be "seen,"
>> I guess...
>
>Not enough "prestige."

...and Rank, Status, Privilege. All POLITICALLY stomped into the
regulations by those who thought they were "better" than others
in a HOBBY radio activity over the last half century.

I wish I had saved a longish post made by another about the time
I started accessing this newsgroup. Someone had written the
"new classes" of ham licenses, perhaps fifty-plus, delineating
how so many were so "superior" to those of "lesser rank."
Such as the supermen of amateur radio "able to leap tall pileups
in a single QSO." Hilarious!

>> >> > >Every month, a few dozen new licenses are issued to
>> >> > >Generals and Extras. While that number is small
>> >> > >compared to those who start out as Technicians, it
>> >> > >proves that at least some new hams bypass one or both
>> >> > >upgrading steps.
>> >
>> >> > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
>> >> > classes?
>> >
>> >> One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
>> >> You haven't.
>> >
>> >One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
>> >all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher. Then the FCC
>> >implemented the Incentive Licensing System which you loved, took away
>> >priveleges, and the rest is history. Now you say that going back to
>> >all priveleges for the General exam is lowering requirements.
>> >
>> >Sorry you feel that way.
>>
>> Confusion reigns there. Must be the weather...
>
>When it reigns, it poors.

TRUE! While these super-extra morsemen are busy hurting their
shoulders by patting themselves on the back over their superiorness,
the number of Expirations each month is just slightly more than the
number of Newcomers (never before licensed). Not many, but it is
consistent and has been so for the last 2 1/2 years. It's not a
"statistical anomaly" anymore. Amateur radio is getting "poorer"
as a result.

Advertising revenue - the fuel that feeds the periodical fires -
has been dropping for over a decade. Two major independent
publishers had to drop out of the business. The league wants more

money...to "keep the faith" (in the Church of St. Hiram?). Way
too many hams are busy with a "Let's Pretend" fantasy (almost
palpable) about their glorious service to the nation (as radio
hobbyists) and wearing virtual uniforms (unseen by ordinary
mortals) of glory and honor in their morsemanship a vital asset
in the War Against Terrorism! [I kid you not, some comments were
made in 05-235 saying that very thing] The "richness" is in
the tales of fantasy they generate, NOT a commodity that generates
any sort of revenue.

>> >> > If there were only ONE license, there would be no
>> >> > "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
>> >
>> >> Right.
>> >
>> >> And if there were only one license, regardless of
>> >> what it would be called, its test(s) would
>> >> have to contain everything that is now contained in
>> >> the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.
>> >> Otherwise the standards would be reduced.
>> >
>> >No, it wouldn't. Strawman.
>> >
>> >The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.
>>
>> Not applicable to Jimmie-discussions. He get Extra license,
>> be "superior." He typify "superior" class, elite. Nobility?
>>
>> Blue blood is thicker than water.
>
>Just thick. Need thinner. Coronary imminent.

Similar to their self-coronation as Kings of Radio.

"Bloody clots" as the Brits might remark. :-)

>> >> So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
>> >> have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
>> >> for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
>> >> amateur radio license.
>> >
>> >> Is that what you want?
>> >
>> >You're the one who loved the Incentive Licensing System which took
>> >priveleges away from fully qualified amateurs. You're the one who
>> >loves unnecessary licensing requirements.
>>
>> Brian, that wasn't the point.
>
>Good. I'm glad I was able to bring Jim back around to the discussion
>of policy.

Don't bank on that lasting.

>> Jimmie try more misdirection
>> by trying to start yet-another controversy over "what I want."
>> That can be expanded with his imaginary helium to "reach the
>> threshold of [newsgroup] space."
>
>Wonder how Coslo's BBS is coming along?

I wonder too. Anyone else know?

>> He tried the same bullshit with my remark on "extra out of the
>> box" five years ago in here...that I "WANTED" one...and the same
>> thing on my Reply to Comments of Mikey D. on WT DOCKET 98-143
>> six years ago with "my WANTING an age limit on licensing."
>>
>> Tsk, Jimmie complains that I "don't *read* what he wrote" and
>> then takes my postings so far out of context that we might as
>> well all be in outer space and/or the Twilight Zone.
>>
>> Okay, in that spirit of misdirection in here, let me pass on
>> an EXACT QUOTE of Jimmie's made on 10 December 2005:
>>
>> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>
>He presumes that the VEC does? Like so many Morsemen confuse "ARRL"
>with "FCC?"

Jimmie just said "major typo alert!"

He acknowledged a MAJOR mistake in posting as a "typographical
error" but that is apparently okay for him to do. It's not okay
for any of us to do it...if we do it, we get reminders of it for
the next five years, negative critique, accusations of "not
following up on 'promises,'" the whole magilla. :-)

>> Offhand, I'd say that Jimmie "wants" amateurs to be UN-
>> LICENSED! :-)
>>
>> Let's see if he can "tapdance" a few time-steps on that one?
>>
>Jim has his back in a corner. He's losing major ground on his lifetime
>achievement of being an Extra, and the worst is probably just around
>the corner.

Not to worry. He will rationalize the "worst" somehow, probably
based on "what the FCC did 37 years ago" or his getting a license
at age 14 or getting a college degree without having a car or
being a "manufacturer of amateur radio equipment" in the 1990s
using recycled vacuum tube technology. Whatever he's done is
guaranteed to be "better" than what anyone else has done, whether
avocationally or occupationally. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1252. Re: alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 20:50:16 UTC
From: Saggy Tits <saggytits@jedclampett.com>
Message-ID: <1134420616.706a9c8d2ec52af2594104943f79b56d@roc.usenetexchange.com>
Source record: 127023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> > On 12 Dec 2005 13:35:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> > > > On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 19:37:27 GMT, Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Very astute on your part.............. Except this whole
> > > > > thread started when N8WWM posting with Moparholic@Hotmxxl.com aka
> > > > > Steveo in Rec.Radio.CB screen nic, you have been duped, keep up
> > > > > the great work though LMAO!!!!
> > > >
> > > > so youre telling everyone that you have to post wherever others set
> > > > there news group headers? you must be a real nimrod not to have
> > > > any control over where you post.
> > > >
> > > > but maybe you are that way because you just posted to the new set
> > > > of groups I trimed back to. LMFAO!!!!
> > > >
> > > Have people post to your group, lardass.
> > >
> > > alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies
> >
> > no such news group acording to newzbot.com so you lose.
> >
> Oh that's right, you're using Chris Caputo's two-bit server. It
> propagates just fine on a -real- NSP like newsreader.com , you know the
> one you posted the aup of. The more your newsgroup is used, the more it
> will propagate so there's still hope for you.
> >
> > > http://tinypic.com/igix6o.jpg
> >
> > Oh sorry, but it said/SLAP
> >
> LOL, the truth hurts so you had it removed already.
>
> Try this new and improved one instead. If this one hurts as much as the
> other one, have it removed too lardass.
>
> http://www.keepmyfile.com/image/cda078272992#
>
Muahahahaha!
My service carries alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies in fact I'm posting

to it right now!

1253. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 21:30:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134423021.927528.141100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127024

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 8:08 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> K□B wrote:
>> > "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote

>> > > That's what will be the real problem. As so many have pointed out, there is
>> > > no organization to which the majority of hams belongs, at least in this
>> > > country. While the ARRL is the largest, the majority of the ham population
>> > > does NOT belong to it and will likely scream bloody murder if they were to get
>> > > to establish the band plan.
>
>> > That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual pays
>> > memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU because each
>> > country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their jurisdiction
>> > independent of whether they are members of the national "club" like ARRL, RAC,
>> > RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.
>
>> But there's a big problem there....see below
>
>You always know better than everyone else. See below.

Tsk, we've seen it many, many times... :-(

>> > IARU is not a government agency. It is >>us<<, the hams of the world, totally
>> > independent of national governments and independent of international
>> > organizations like ITU or CEPT. Who better than the >>hams<< to decide how
>> > >>ham<< frequencies should be used? Are we so conditioned to "big government"
>> > dependency that (within our allocations) we need disinvolved government
>> > bureaucrats to make decisions that much more logically belong to the actual
>> > affected users?
>
>> But do the actual users get a real voice? Do I get to vote on the bandplan for
>> bands I use? Do I even get to elect the representative who does?
>
>Didn't Carl attend the last ITU meeting? Didn't the ARRL attend?
>Didn't the FCC attend?
>
>How much representation do you need, Jim?

Himself in the Chairman's chair?

>> Or will the bandplans be decided upon by folks whom are even less beholden to
>> "the users" than the FCC?
>
>Benevolent King Jim will rule intelligently and fairly.

>> > To the extent that the band plan would not be dynamic on a minute-to-minute
>> > schedule, you are correct. But it certainly be more dynamic and responsive that
>> > the current generation-to-generation schedule of ?97.305.
>
>> > > A group would have to meet and reallocate as needed.
>
>> > In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75 years later
>> > in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the next hour I
>> > can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the internet where they can
>> > hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their agreements, and publish the
>> > bandplan on a global basis before halftime of Monday Night Football.
>
>> And here's the problem:
>
>Let me sit down and pour a stiff drink.

...and I slip a note to Hans suggesting he change the "hour" to "24
hours" to accommodate the international time zones of the IARU...

>> Suppose for a moment the IARU scheme is done by regionfor HF - after all,
>> that's how the allocations work. So IARU reps from all the Region 2 countries
>> decide how the Region 2 HF bandplan works.
>
>> Does each member country get one vote? If so, that puts the USA, with its
>> 661,000+ amateurs, at the same voting level as a country with a few dozen
>> amateurs. A coalition of small countries with a handful of amateurs could
>> dictate the bandplan for whole region.
>
>Oh, my. I hope that the US hams have treated "thier" neighbor hams
>kindly.

If King Jim has so decreed, they have. Meanwhile there's a large
crowd who've just dropped the drawbridge and are storming the
castle. King Jim may have to abdicate to a simple white "throne."

>> If not, the USA's enormous amateur population makes us the
>> de-facto 800 pound gorilla in the region.
>
>Can't we all just get along?

King Kong made it to the top of the Empire State building, then
had a big fall. You might say Kong "went ape" when he couldn't
get what he wanted.

Helluva big disposal problem for NYC. The same for the fantasies
of all those morsemen who want their private little playground
at the expense of others. Well, those guys are biodegradeable
also...

>> In either case, the IARU member society for the USA is...the ARRL.
>
>Which is why it's so important to keep movers and shakers like Carl out
>of the organization's management.

God rest ye, league gentlemen, let nothing you dismay...

>> Do you think everyone will be glad the ARRL is the USA's representative
>> for determining bandplans?
>
>It would be better if the IARU declared a plan rather than go with the
>ARRL's recent bandplan scheme.

ARRL still doesn't "represent" any more than one in five U.S.
amateur radio licensees. They barely made one in four a while
back. They've been nothing but a MINORITY representative
organization all along. ARRL has NEVER "represented" those who
might want to join amateur radio through licensing...they just
dictate to everyone what newcomers must think and do.

If the ARRL *truly* wanted to "represent" all U.S. radio amateurs,
they should quit going around with their familiar arrogant
posturing of "we know what is best for the rest of you."

FCC of the future: "Here are your allocated bands, use all
allocated modes anywhere. No bandplans. Enjoy your options.
Try not to mess up comms of others and follow the technical
requirements."

That's really all that's needed for a HOBBY. Those who need
control, control, and compex fastidious little sub-band planning
should go to Dr. Phil or join a federal comms agency.

Since I've been told the FAA grants amateur radio licenses, not
the FCC, I may have to start writing the FAA. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1254. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 21:58:13 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <Phil@hedrinhamradionet.org>
Message-ID: <dnkroc$o63$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1134423021.927528.141100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 8:08 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> K?B wrote:
>> > "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote

>> > > That's what will be the real problem. As so many have pointed out,
>> > > there is
>> > > no organization to which the majority of hams belongs, at least in
>> > > this
>> > > country. While the ARRL is the largest, the majority of the ham
>> > > population
>> > > does NOT belong to it and will likely scream bloody murder if they
>> > > were to get
>> > > to establish the band plan.
>
>> > That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual
>> > pays
>> > memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU because
>> > each
>> > country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their
>> > jurisdiction
>> > independent of whether they are members of the national "club" like
>> > ARRL, RAC,
>> > RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.
>
>> But there's a big problem there....see below
>
>You always know better than everyone else. See below.

Tsk, we've seen it many, many times... :-(

>> > IARU is not a government agency. It is >>us<<, the hams of the world,
>> > totally
>> > independent of national governments and independent of international
>> > organizations like ITU or CEPT. Who better than the >>hams<< to decide
>> > how
>> > >>ham<< frequencies should be used? Are we so conditioned to "big
>> > government"
>> > dependency that (within our allocations) we need disinvolved government
>> > bureaucrats to make decisions that much more logically belong to the
>> > actual

>> > affected users?
>
>> But do the actual users get a real voice? Do I get to vote on the
>> bandplan for
>> bands I use? Do I even get to elect the representative who does?
>
>Didn't Carl attend the last ITU meeting? Didn't the ARRL attend?
>Didn't the FCC attend?
>
>How much representation do you need, Jim?

Himself in the Chairman's chair?

>> Or will the bandplans be decided upon by folks whom are even less
>> beholden to
>> "the users" than the FCC?
>
>Benevolent King Jim will rule intelligently and fairly.

>> > To the extent that the band plan would not be dynamic on a
>> > minute-to-minute
>> > schedule, you are correct. But it certainly be more dynamic and
>> > responsive that
>> > the current generation-to-generation schedule of ?97.305.
>
>> > > A group would have to meet and reallocate as needed.
>
>> > In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75
>> > years later
>> > in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the next
>> > hour I
>> > can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the internet where
>> > they can
>> > hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their agreements, and
>> > publish the
>> > bandplan on a global basis before halftime of Monday Night Football.
>
>> And here's the problem:
>
>Let me sit down and pour a stiff drink.

...and I slip a note to Hans suggesting he change the "hour" to "24
hours" to accommodate the international time zones of the IARU...

>> Suppose for a moment the IARU scheme is done by regionfor HF - after all,
>> that's how the allocations work. So IARU reps from all the Region 2
>> countries
>> decide how the Region 2 HF bandplan works.
>
>> Does each member country get one vote? If so, that puts the USA, with its
>> 661,000+ amateurs, at the same voting level as a country with a few dozen
>> amateurs. A coalition of small countries with a handful of amateurs could

>> dictate the bandplan for whole region.
>
>Oh, my. I hope that the US hams have treated "thier" neighbor hams
>kindly.

If King Jim has so decreed, they have. Meanwhile there's a large
crowd who've just dropped the drawbridge and are storming the
castle. King Jim may have to abdicate to a simple white "throne."

>> If not, the USA's enormous amateur population makes us the
>> de-facto 800 pound gorilla in the region.
>
>Can't we all just get along?

King Kong made it to the top of the Empire State building, then
had a big fall. You might say Kong "went ape" when he couldn't
get what he wanted.

Helluva big disposal problem for NYC. The same for the fantasies
of all those morsemen who want their private little playground
at the expense of others. Well, those guys are biodegradeable
also...

>> In either case, the IARU member society for the USA is...the ARRL.
>
>Which is why it's so important to keep movers and shakers like Carl out
>of the organization's management.

God rest ye, league gentlemen, let nothing you dismay...

>> Do you think everyone will be glad the ARRL is the USA's representative
>> for determining bandplans?
>
>It would be better if the IARU declared a plan rather than go with the
>ARRL's recent bandplan scheme.

ARRL still doesn't "represent" any more than one in five U.S.
amateur radio licensees. They barely made one in four a while
back. They've been nothing but a MINORITY representative
organization all along. ARRL has NEVER "represented" those who
might want to join amateur radio through licensing...they just
dictate to everyone what newcomers must think and do.

If the ARRL *truly* wanted to "represent" all U.S. radio amateurs,
they should quit going around with their familiar arrogant
posturing of "we know what is best for the rest of you."

FCC of the future: "Here are your allocated bands, use all
allocated modes anywhere. No bandplans. Enjoy your options.
Try not to mess up comms of others and follow the technical
requirements."

That's really all that's needed for a HOBBY. Those who need
control, control, and compex fastidious little sub-band planning

should go to Dr. Phil or join a federal comms agency.

Since I've been told the FAA grants amateur radio licenses, not the FCC, I may have to start writing the FAA. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Dr Phil does not handle ham radio cases. You must have him confused with Dr Hambone. I am busy right now helping Hans decide if he should wear his uniform to the Legion Christmas party.

Rabbi Phil
Ruling San Hedrin Council

1255. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 23:17:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134429421.278446.238130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127026

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 10:06 am
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 10, 1:14 pm
> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
> >> > >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >> > >> >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> >> > >> >> > ways?"
> >
> >> > >> >> Brian,
> >
> >> > >> >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
> >> > >> >> me.
> >
> >> > >> >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
> >
> >> > >> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
> >
> >Jim? Hello?
>
> I hear nothing from him on "serving."

I'm finally getting the picture. Jim asks the question if it is
possible to "serve in other ways?"

Not that HE served in other ways, mind you, but "other people may have
served in other ways."

Jim remains a no server in any category.

> Could be he fired up his personal "wayback machine" and went back
> to 1936 to review the ARRL political situation on morris goad
> testing rates. I think that was before "Farnsworth" rates were
> introduced.

W6TTB introduced his method in the 50's (that is, 1950's).

> In 1936 I was 3 to 4 years old. Jimmie didn't exist anywhere
> close to zygote stage yet, wouldn't be born for 19 or so years.

Jim lost priveleges.

> >> > >> September 24, 2004; January 13, February 10, May 25 2005. <shrug>
> >
> >> > >Len, thanks for serving up the specific dates of his attempts to claim
> >> > >some kind of honors, but he's just not worth my time.
> >
> >> > Agreed. Jimmie MUST have quotes that are EXACT and WORD-FOR-
> >> > WORD. Amazing.
> >
> >I'm reminded of having been called a "liar" because I said that Michael
> >Deignan had 12 amateur radio licenses. I was wrong. Michael Deignan's
> >wife had one of them, at same address (at that time). Mike only had
> >11. I believe Riley then whittled it down to 3. But I'm a "liar."
> >Hi! Hi, hi!!! Gotta love these guys.
>
> Heh heh, that "love" is "tough." :-)

Being 11/12th right makes one a "LIAR!"

> Yeah, I remember Mikey D's little missives in here, his
> adventures with the (?) "radio commandos." Mikey ain't got
> them dozen club calls no more. How about that?

Riley allowed the Radio Commando's to live on.

> Brian, here's a KEEPER for an EXACT QUOTE. On December 10, 2005,
> Jimmie write the following:
>
> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>
> Exact, word for word. :-)

Good Grief!!! I wonder if being right 0/12 merits the label "liar?"

> >> Len and Brian,
> >
> >> If you are going to give direct quotes of what someone allegedly wrote, you
> >> should use the exact words they wrote. That's what "direct quote" means.
> >
> >Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote.
>
> Well, I think I obliged Jimmie with the destined-to-become-classic
> faux pas of his. Here it is again (from 10 Dec 05):
>
> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

He might be right. Do you think the FCC outsourced licensing to India?

> >> > He can't understand that other people read
> >> > the entirety of his boasting claims of doing more than others
> >> > and doing it oh-so-much-better.
> >
> >> The fact is that you were both shown to be wrong and now you're
> >> trying to tapdance away from the fact that you misquoted me.
> >

> >Tapdance? Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote. Really.
>
> Can we have some accompanyment to the time-steps? :-)

Rogers&Astair

> Guess I'll have to drive over to one of the "dancer's"
> cobbler shops in town and get some tap shoes. One guy
> claims to have done it on court shoes (a lot more
> comfortable than the leather-top jobbies). Good trick
> if they last...
>
> Here's a DIRECT QUOTE again (from Jimmie on 10 Dec 05):
>
> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

Good Grief!

> >Or even better, you could explain how one "serves in other ways."
>
> Always from the right of the patron? The lady first?

Perhaps he could get a hand towel with his callsign embroidered on it
to carry across his forearm.

> Gosh, we may have to get Jimmie an "Etty-Kit" so he can serve
> properly and correctly!

He's already been to charm school.

> >Real estate chatter snipped.
>
> Pity that. Jimmie KNOWS ALL ABOUT the "basis and purpose" of
> local zoning ordinances. We can say nothing about zoning
> until Jimmie gets us all "zoned" out of this world and into
> another realm of existance. Twilight Zone?
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

There is no subject that Jim can't pretend to speak intelligently on.

1256. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 23:34:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134430443.569235.305010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127027

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 8:08 pm
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> K□B wrote:
> >> > "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote
>
> >> > > That's what will be the real problem. As so many have pointed out, there is
> >> > > no organization to which the majority of hams belongs, at least in this
> >> > > country. While the ARRL is the largest, the majority of the ham population
> >> > > does NOT belong to it and will likely scream bloody murder if they were to get
> >> > > to establish the band plan.
> >
> >> > That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual pays
> >> > memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU because each
> >> > country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their jurisdiction
> >> > independent of whether they are members of the national "club" like ARRL, RAC,
> >> > RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.
> >
> >> But there's a big problem there....see below
> >
> >>You always know better than everyone else. See below.
>
> Tsk, we've seen it many, many times... :-(

So much so that it's predictable. ;^)

> >> > IARU is not a government agency. It is >>us<<, the hams of the world, totally
> >> > independent of national governments and independent of international
> >> > organizations like ITU or CEPT. Who better than the >>hams<< to decide how
> >> > >>ham<< frequencies should be used? Are we so conditioned to "big government"
> >> > dependency that (within our allocations) we need disinvolved government
> >> > bureaucrats to make decisions that much more logically belong to the actual
> >> > affected users?
> >
> >> But do the actual users get a real voice? Do I get to vote on the bandplan for
> >> bands I use? Do I even get to elect the representative who does?
> >
> >Didn't Carl attend the last ITU meeting? Didn't the ARRL attend?
> >Didn't the FCC attend?
> >
> >How much representation do you need, Jim?
>
> Himself in the Chairman's chair?

Maybe they should send FISTS to the meeting.

> >> Or will the bandplans be decided upon by folks whom are even less beholden to
> >> "the users" than the FCC?
> >
> >Benevolent King Jim will rule intelligently and fairly.
>
>
> >> > To the extent that the band plan would not be dynamic on a minute-to-minute
> >> > schedule, you are correct. But it certainly be more dynamic and responsive that
> >> > the current generation-to-generation schedule of ?97.305.
> >
> >> > > A group would have to meet and reallocate as needed.
> >
> >> > In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75 years later
> >> > in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the next hour I
> >> > can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the internet where they can
> >> > hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their agreements, and publish the
> >> > bandplan on a global basis before halftime of Monday Night Football.
> >
> >> And here's the problem:
> >
> >Let me sit down and pour a stiff drink.
>
> ...and I slip a note to Hans suggesting he change the "hour" to "24
> hours" to accommodate the international time zones of the IARU...

There are three ITU regions, so the clock divided by three leaves each
region with an 8 hour window of opportunity, though it may take Hans
only one hour to setup the conf. call.

> >> Suppose for a moment the IARU scheme is done by regionfor HF - after all,
> >> that's how the allocations work. So IARU reps from all the Region 2 countries
> >> decide how the Region 2 HF bandplan works.
> >
> >> Does each member country get one vote? If so, that puts the USA, with its
> >> 661,000+ amateurs, at the same voting level as a country with a few dozen
> >> amateurs. A coalition of small countries with a handful of amateurs could
> >> dictate the bandplan for whole region.
> >
> >Oh, my. I hope that the US hams have treated "thier" neighbor hams
> >kindly.
>
> If King Jim has so decreed, they have. Meanwhile there's a large
> crowd who've just dropped the drawbridge and are storming the
> castle. King Jim may have to abdicate to a simple white "throne."

Certainly he can "serve" in his own Kingdom?

> >> If not, the USA's enormous amateur population makes us the
> >> de-facto 800 pound gorilla in the region.
> >
> >Can't we all just get along?

>
> King Kong made it to the top of the Empire State building, then
> had a big fall. You might say Kong "went ape" when he couldn't
> get what he wanted.

I thought he wanted the pretty girl?

> Helluva big disposal problem for NYC. The same for the fantasies
> of all those morsemen who want their private little playground
> at the expense of others. Well, those guys are biodegradeable
> also...

No sharing of spectrum?

> >> In either case, the IARU member society for the USA is...the ARRL.
> >
> >Which is why it's so important to keep movers and shakers like Carl out
> >of the organization's management.
>
> God rest ye, league gentlemen, let nothing you dismay...

I suspect that Carl will "serve in other ways."

;^))

> >> Do you think everyone will be glad the ARRL is the USA's representative
> >> for determining bandplans?
> >
> >It would be better if the IARU declared a plan rather than go with the
> >ARRL's recent bandplan scheme.
>
> ARRL still doesn't "represent" any more than one in five U.S.
> amateur radio licensees. They barely made one in four a while
> back. They've been nothing but a MINORITY representative
> organization all along. ARRL has NEVER "represented" those who
> might want to join amateur radio through licensing...they just
> dictate to everyone what newcomers must think and do.

They're so proud of Dee.

> If the ARRL *truly* wanted to "represent" all U.S. radio amateurs,
> they should quit going around with their familiar arrogant
> posturing of "we know what is best for the rest of you."
>
> FCC of the future: "Here are your allocated bands, use all
> allocated modes anywhere. No bandplans. Enjoy your options.
> Try not to mess up comms of others and follow the technical
> requirements."
>
> That's really all that's needed for a HOBBY. Those who need
> control, control, and compex fastidious little sub-band planning
> should go to Dr. Phil or join a federal comms agency.
>

> Since I've been told the FAA grants amateur radio licenses, not
> the FCC, I may have to start writing the FAA. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Suprised they don't farm it out to the FFA.

1257. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 23:48:15 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C-OdnfWKJtCjkQPenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127028
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Peter wrote:
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
>>England is still a mainly white Christian Country..
>
>
> What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
> population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.

Peter, I'll remember you in prayers and at my masses.

1258. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-12 23:54:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134431640.015413.272640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127029

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 8:08 pm
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> K□B wrote:
> >> > "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote
>
> >> > > That's what will be the real problem. As so many have pointed out, there is
> >> > > no organization to which the majority of hams belongs, at least in this
> >> > > country. While the ARRL is the largest, the majority of the ham population
> >> > > does NOT belong to it and will likely scream bloody murder if they were to get
> >> > > to establish the band plan.
> >
> >> > That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual pays
> >> > memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU because each
> >> > country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their jurisdiction
> >> > independent of whether they are members of the national "club" like ARRL, RAC,
> >> > RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.
> >
> >> But there's a big problem there....see below
> >
> >You always know better than everyone else. See below.
>
> Tsk, we've seen it many, many times... :-(
>
> >> > IARU is not a government agency. It is >>us<<, the hams of the world, totally
> >> > independent of national governments and independent of international
> >> > organizations like ITU or CEPT. Who better than the >>hams<< to decide how
> >> > >>ham<< frequencies should be used? Are we so conditioned to "big government"
> >> > dependency that (within our allocations) we need disinvolved government
> >> > bureaucrats to make decisions that much more logically belong to the actual
> >> > affected users?
> >
> >> But do the actual users get a real voice? Do I get to vote on the bandplan for
> >> bands I use? Do I even get to elect the representative who does?
> >
> >Didn't Carl attend the last ITU meeting? Didn't the ARRL attend?
> >Didn't the FCC attend?
> >
> >How much representation do you need, Jim?

ITU and IARU aren't the same thing.

> >> Or will the bandplans be decided upon by folks whom are even less beholden to
> >> "the users" than the FCC?

Len did not count the comments of noncitizens to the FCC on 05-235 ....

> >> > To the extent that the band plan would not be dynamic on a minute-to-minute
> >> > schedule, you are correct. But it certainly be more dynamic and responsive that
> >> > the current generation-to-generation schedule of ?97.305.
> >
> >> > > A group would have to meet and reallocate as needed.
> >
> >> > In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75 years later
> >> > in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the next hour I
> >> > can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the internet where they can
> >> > hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their agreements, and publish the
> >> > bandplan on a global basis before halftime of Monday Night Football.
> >
> >> And here's the problem:
> >
> >Let me sit down and pour a stiff drink.
>
> ...and I slip a note to Hans suggesting he change the "hour" to "24
> hours" to accommodate the international time zones of the IARU...
>
>
> >> Suppose for a moment the IARU scheme is done by regionfor HF - after all,
> >> that's how the allocations work. So IARU reps from all the Region 2 countries
> >> decide how the Region 2 HF bandplan works.
> >
> >> Does each member country get one vote? If so, that puts the USA, with its
> >> 661,000+ amateurs, at the same voting level as a country with a few dozen
> >> amateurs. A coalition of small countries with a handful of amateurs could
> >> dictate the bandplan for whole region.
> >
> >Oh, my. I hope that the US hams have treated "thier" neighbor hams
> >kindly.
>
> >> If not, the USA's enormous amateur population makes us the
> >> de-facto 800 pound gorilla in the region.
> >
> >Can't we all just get along?

I can. Can't you?

> >> In either case, the IARU member society for the USA is...the ARRL.
> >
> >Which is why it's so important to keep movers and shakers like Carl out
> >of the organization's management.
>
> God rest ye, league gentlemen, let nothing you dismay...

Guess who supported Carl's nomination? Tried to get the board,
executive

committee and everyone else to change their minds?

Hint: Someone who's an ARRL member and lives in the same division as
Carl.....

> >> Do you think everyone will be glad the ARRL is the USA's representative
> >> for determining bandplans?
> >
> >It would be better if the IARU declared a plan rather than go with the
> >ARRL's recent bandplan scheme.
>
> ARRL still doesn't "represent" any more than one in five U.S.
> amateur radio licensees.

That's more than NCI represents - by an order of magnitude or more.

> They barely made one in four a while
> back. They've been nothing but a MINORITY representative
> organization all along. ARRL has NEVER "represented" those who
> might want to join amateur radio through licensing...they just
> dictate to everyone what newcomers must think and do.

> If the ARRL *truly* wanted to "represent" all U.S. radio amateurs,
> they should quit going around with their familiar arrogant
> posturing of "we know what is best for the rest of you."

Gee, Len, you have the same arrogant attitude, even though you've
never even been a radio amateur!
>
> FCC of the future: "Here are your allocated bands, use all
> allocated modes anywhere. No bandplans. Enjoy your options.
> Try not to mess up comms of others and follow the technical
> requirements."

> That's really all that's needed for a HOBBY. Those who need
> control, control, and compex fastidious little sub-band planning
> should go to Dr. Phil or join a federal comms agency.

I see.

Gee, Len, you've told us over and over and over that you were only
interested
in getting rid of the Morse Code test. Now it seems there's a lot more
to you're agenda - only one class of license, less written testing, no
subbands-by-mode, no subbands-by-bandwidth, no bandplans.

Also no hams under the age of 14 years.

And you say the ARRL is "arrogant"!!!!
>
> Since I've been told the FAA grants amateur radio licenses, not
> the FCC, I may have to start writing the FAA. :-)
>
Well, Len, that was a typo I made. I wrote FCC when I meant to write

FAA.
My bad - just mistake.

Know why it sticks out so much? Because it's so unusual!

Now, about typos....

Was it a typo when you told K8MN to 'shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel' ?

Was it a typo when you wrote, almost 6 years ago, that you were going for Extra right out of the box?

Was it a typo when you lectured a US Coast Guard radio operator on his military service
as a radio operator in the classic "sphincters post"?

Was it a typo when you wrote that all amateurs with
expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses
could legally operate their amateur radio stations?

Was it a typo when you accused the ARRL and some VEs of 'very mild fraud' because of the
licensing of some young children? (You never presented any evidence of fraud other than
the ages of the children)

Was it a typo when you twice accused a developer/contractor in your area of 'payola' to
the zoning commission - and the commission accepting it?

Or are you just following your profile?

1259. ARBITRARY and REDUNDANT, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 00:44:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134434648.547170.229040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127030

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > > >
> > > > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
> > > > >
> > > > >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
> > > > >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
> > > > >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
> > > > >steps.
> > > >
> > > > The ONLY alternative? :-)
> > >
> > > If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.
> >
> > Jim just got through posting that in 1936 the code speed was *increased
> > and the written exams made *more comprehensive for the three license
> > classes at the time. Later, >all priveleges< were granted to the
> > General class license.
>
> >From before 1936, until 1951, full amateur privileges in the USA
> required a Class A license. (15 years including the WW2 shutdown)
>
> >From 1951 until 1953 full amateur privileges in the USA required an
> Advanced or an Amateur Extra license. (2 years)

Did the General license convey the modes and power privs that the
Advanced and Extra licenses conveyed?

> >From 1953 until 1968 full amateur privileges in the USA required a
> Conditional, General, Advanced or Amateur Extra license. (15 years)
>
> (the requirements for full privileges were lowered in early 1953)
>
> >From 1968 until the present time, full amateur privileges in the USA
> have required an Amateur Extra license. (37 years)

Did the General and Advanced licenses convey the modes and power privs
that the Extra license conveyed?

> > Then they were taken away.
>
> 37 years ago. I lost privileges. You and Len did not.

The entire USA amateur service lost in a big way, but you find a way to
personalize it.

> > Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
> > "lowering the requirements."
>
> Yes, it would be.

Does the Advanced and Extra licenses convey the modes and power privs
that the General license conveyed?

> > > > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
> > > > classes?
> > >
> > > One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
> > > You haven't.
> > >
> > One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
> > all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher.
>
> And FCC was convinced that wasn't a good thing. FCC is still convinced
> of the need for at least 3 license classes.

Yeh, yeh, yeh. Using the same logic, if the FCC were conviced that a
Morse Code exam were still a good idea, they would have a specification
for Morse Code in the regulations.

Just because no one at the FCC is paying attention doesn't mean that
the present rules are worthwhile.

> You might want to read the current NPRM. Pay particular attention to
> footnote 142...

Asleep at the wheel.

> > Then the FCC
> > implemented the Incentive Licensing System which you loved, took away
> > priveleges, and the rest is history. Now you say that going back to
> > all priveleges for the General exam is lowering requirements.
>
> And it would be. The standards were reduced in the Great Giveaway of
> 1953.
> You want a repeat of that.

Presently, every mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to
General, Advanced, and Extra licensee. That means that the Advanced
and Extra exams are arbitrary and redundant.

You need to have REAL distinctions is Testing Material VS Priveleges
between the license classes.

Those distinctions do not presently exist.

The knowledge and skill required to operate 1,500 watts of CW at 14.024
is EXACTLY the same knowledge and skill required to operate 1,500 watts
at 14.026

> Some years back, QCWA proposed to FCC that all hams who had held
> a General, Conditional or Advanced before the changes took place in
> 1968
> should get an automatic upgrade to Extra because they lost privileges
> then.
> FCC said no way.

Some years back, the ARRL wanted to keep 13 and 20wpm code exams.

FCC said no way.

> > Sorry you feel that way.
>
> Why?
>
> Is the 50 question Extra written exam too difficult?

With you, it's all about making entry difficult.

> > > > If there were only ONE license, there would be no
> > > > "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
> > >
> > > Right.
> > >
> > > And if there were only one license, regardless of
> > > what it would be called, its test(s) would
> > > have to contain everything that is now contained in
> > > the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.
> > > Otherwise the standards would be reduced.
> >
> > No, it wouldn't. Strawman.
>
> If you're willing to reduce the standards, the testing
> could be reduced. It's clear that's no problem for
> you.

You think I want a 49 question exam? Hi!

BTW, many of the exams are 49 or 48 or 47 questions because of the bad
questions presently in the QP.

> > The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.
>
> That ended 37 years ago. Why do you live in the past?

You're funny, Jim.

> Would you like to go back to the General test of 1968? Testing
> at FCC offices only unless you lived more then 175 miles from

> an exam point, no CSCEs, no published question pools, 30
> day wait to retest. Oh yes, and 13 wpm code, sending and
> receiving.

It's always an ultimatum strawman with you, isn't it?

Sorry, but no one proclaimed you King Jim of Amateur Radiodom.

Use the present VE system, 50 question exam (or 49 questions if you
must), no code test.

Thanks for playing.

> > > So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
> > > have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
> > > for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
> > > amateur radio license.
> > >
> > > Is that what you want?
> >
> > You're the one who loved the Incentive Licensing System which took
> > priveleges away from fully qualified amateurs.
>
> Who said I "loved" it?

You have defended Incentive Licensing against every challenger.

> > You're the one who
> > loves unnecessary licensing requirements.
>
> none of the license requirements I support are
> "unnecessary".

General exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.

Advance exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.

Extra exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.

The Advanced and Extra exams and the Advanced and Extra license classes
are ARBITRARY and REDUNDANT.

> You're the one who supports lowering the standards
> again and again.

That is merely your jaundiced opinion.

> --
>
> So let's see what you're proposing:
>
> - Full amateur privileges for the testing of a General license,
> without any code test.
>
> - All existing Generals, Advanceds, and Extras get full

> privileges. Some Technicians and Technician Pluses
> who passed the Tech written when it was same as
> General get full privileges too.
>
> Two questions:
>
> What happens to existing Novices and Technicians who
> haven't passed the General written?

What happens to them now???

> FCC has repeatedly refused free (no-test) upgrades.

So?

> FCC has said that the optimum system for the
> future is a 3 level system, but that they'll keep the
> closed-out classes until they disappear by attrition.

So?

> How will you convince them to do otherwise?

I don't intend to convincee the FCC to give free upgrades. I don't intend to convince the FCC to accept one class of license. I don't intend to convince the FCC to do anything other than keep closed-out classes until they disappear by attrition.

I -expect- good government.

I -expect- the FCC to eliminate arbitrary and redundant licensing requirements and license classes.

The FCC looks foolish for not having dealt with these issues already.

1260. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 01:27:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1spnf.2360$n1.1518@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127031

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Didn't Carl attend the last ITU meeting? Didn't the ARRL attend?
> Didn't the FCC attend?

Who cares? We aren't talking about ITU. We're talking about IARU. They're
unrelated entities.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1261. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 01:46:36 UTC
From: Fred W4JLE <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <c9358$439e27ff$97d56a33$29184@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 127032
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It has been published in a number of supermarket tabloids.

I mean look at him, if he wouldn't suck a dick he at least would hold in his mouth until the swelling went down.

The whole bloody Royal lot are dumber that coal buckets. One of the reasons I have to smile when you folks across the pond attack our presidents intelligence..

"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message news:439ecd7f.22804080@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 10:06:10 -0500, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Tell you what, don't comment on George Bush and we will leave your
> >bisexual,cheating ,scumbag prince alone.
>
> Whoooooaaa there! Cheating yes, scumbag not sure, but bisexual(???).
> Where on earth did you read that?
>

1262. Aussie Youth Pride Photos (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 01:48:35 UTC
From: Fred Fatass <wheeeeeeee@wheee.us>
Message-ID: <3e9a3$439e3683$d1cc4e89$11564@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 127033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Here: http://www.aryanunion.org/AussieAction.htm

MUCH MORE here: www.halturnershow.com

1263. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 01:59:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FVpnf.2367$n1.1552@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127034

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> But in the "real world", that would boil down to a bunch
> of appointed experts going into the proverbial smoky back
> room and coming up with a bandplan that would have the
> force of law in the USA.

"Smoky back room"? Aren't you the evenhanded/non-biased wordsmith now?!?!?
Classic case of "don't confuse me with new ideas that I didn't think of". NIH
in spades.

Guys like you are why Amateur Radio is stuck in the 1940's.

1264. Re: Aussie Youth Pride Photos (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 02:23:05 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <k3csp1tjhs8hcb16a4hpa9sefo5dd676n4@4ax.com>
Source record: 127035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 20:48:35 -0500, "Fred Fatass"
<wheeeeeeee@wheee.us> wrote:

>Here: http://www.aryanunion.org/AussieAction.htm
>
>MUCH MORE here: www.halturnershow.com
>
They don't look like Aborigines to me.

1265. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 02:35:26 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <439e3382$0$3756$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 127036
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Amos Keag wrote:
> Peter wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
>> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> England is still a mainly white Christian Country..
>>
>>
>>
>> What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
>> population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.
>
>
> Peter, I'll remember you in prayers and at my masses.
>

Superstition is as superstition does.

tom
K0TAR

1266. Clearing Up Any Questions Markie Or The Feeble Five May Have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 02:38:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134441489.364219.135780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127037

an_old_friend wrote:

>From the butchered subject line of : "stevei si reminded that he can stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins"

> it is just that simple apologize for your forgeries and I'll stop

Markie, let there be nooooooooooooooooooooooo mistake or misunderstanding.

I have absolutely nothing to apologize to you, Brain, or the rest of the Feeble Five for...

Each of you have been PROVEN to be liars and miscreants in your own rights, and are as "guilty" of multiple USENET transgressions as you accuse anyone else of.

Any questions?

Steve, K4YZ

1267. Brain Babbling Bovine-Bootimus Bull

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 02:46:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134441969.440663.223050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127038

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > it is just that simple apologize for your forgeries and I'll stop
>
> Steve can commit no sin of his own.

Sure he can.

> Steve is the Son of Hiram, sent to RRAP to absolve the rest of us of
> our sins, even "Original Sin."

No...son of Douglas Bruce...

> Original Sin: entrance into the Amateur Service without having passed
> a Morse Code exam, i.e., No-Code Tech.

Whelp, Brain...Once again you've overstepped your mouth again.

On occassions too numerous to count I've supported NCT's within
the framework of what the NCT was envisioned as being...the codeless
entrance to Amateur Radio

Any suggestion that I somehow "look down" on or otherwise assume
some stature over any other class of Amateur Radio licensee is a
blatant lie of the person uttering it.

But considering Brain, why would we expect anything less?

Steve, K4YZ

1268. Re: Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 03:18:20 UTC
From: Bob Oso <bo123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11psfbfrmcj8287@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Newsgroups all have "built-in" spell checkers.... As do other internet
forums.

;-)

Everyone that makes it their habit to point out spelling mistakes..

Yup, they most definately do......

Bob

"John Deere" <NotRoger2@anon.up> wrote in message
news:dmvc9v$b2v$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Here you go, Markie. This may help the rest of us get through your
laborious
> third grade level posts.
>
> ieSpell - A Spell Checker for Internet Explorer
> Introduction
> ieSpell is a free Internet Explorer browser extension that spell
> checks text input boxes on a webpage. It should come in particularly handy
> for users who do a lot of web-based text entry (e.g. web mails, forums,
> blogs, diaries). Even if your web application already includes spell
> checking functionality, you might still want to install this utility
because
> it is definitely much faster than a server-side solution. Plus you get to
> store and use your personal word list across all your applications,
instead
> of maintaining separate ones on each application.
>
>
> http://www.iespell.com/
>
>

1269. Re: Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 03:37:29 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns972AE62868162nope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 127040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Bob Oso said

> Newsgroups all have "built-in" spell checkers.... As do other
> internet forums.
>
> ;-)
>
> Everyone that makes it their habit to point out spelling
> mistakes..
>
> Yup, they most definately do......

Not to disappoint.....

"definately" is spelled "definitely"

;-)

I've maid it my goal two spell cheque awl male prier too sending it.

1270. Re: More "Raped an Old Friend" Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 04:41:37 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <g7ksp1ltqvems89pjnoi03cit29r4q7imk@4ax.com>
Source record: 127041

On 11 Dec 2005 19:45:35 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> > WHOA! There's the pot calling the kettle black!
>>
>> Whoa! Steve writes "raped an old friend" and thinks it's OK.
>
>Hello? Steve?
>
>Defend it or apologize for it!
don't hold your breth waiting for either to happen
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1271. Re: stevei si reminded that he can stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 04:43:14 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <c9ksp190rltmdfp2htq5v8rptcglfagqa4@4ax.com>
Source record: 127042

On 11 Dec 2005 01:12:07 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>He needs to apologize to everyone!
>
>Todd N9OGL
>http://12.156.123.39/intelligentsia/index.php
yes he does but I'll give him a break for awhi;le in the unlikely
event he apologizes to anyone
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1272. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 06:04:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134453885.950657.197350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127043

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 12, 3:34 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 8:08 pm
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> K0HB wrote:
>> >> > "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote

>> >> > That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual pays
>> >> > memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU because each
>> >> > country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their jurisdiction
>> >> > independent of whether they are members of the national "club" like ARRL, RAC,
>> >> > RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.
>
>> >> But there's a big problem there....see below
>
>> >You always know better than everyone else. See below.
>
>> Tsk, we've seen it many, many times... :-(
>
>So much so that it's predictable. ;^)

Heh heh heh.

>> >> But do the actual users get a real voice? Do I get to vote on the bandplan for
>> >> bands I use? Do I even get to elect the representative who does?
>
>> >Didn't Carl attend the last ITU meeting? Didn't the ARRL attend?
>> >Didn't the FCC attend?
>
>> >How much representation do you need, Jim?
>
>> Himself in the Chairman's chair?
>
>Maybe they should send FISTS to the meeting.

...the man with the Golden Glove...

>> >> Or will the bandplans be decided upon by folks whom are even less beholden to
>> >> "the users" than the FCC?
>
>> >Benevolent King Jim will rule intelligently and fairly.

[as long as he gets his way...]

>> >> > In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75 years later
>> >> > in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the next hour I

>> >> > can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the internet where they can
>> >> > hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their agreements, and publish the
>> >> > bandplan on a global basis before halftime of Monday Night Football.
>
>> >> And here's the problem:
>
>> >Let me sit down and pour a stiff drink.

I think I'll join you in that since Hans had to jump in and CORRECT
a "relationship" of ITU and IARU where the ITU was brought up by
yourself only in a passing remark and not dwelled on... :-(

>> ...and I slip a note to Hans suggesting he change the "hour" to "24
>> hours" to accommodate the international time zones of the IARU...
>
>There are three ITU regions, so the clock divided by three leaves each
>region with an 8 hour window of opportunity, though it may take Hans
>only one hour to setup the conf. call.

I don't know that "conference calls" are needed either. With
tossing
e-mail back and forth, it wouldn't take longer than a week and
nobody
has to physically travel to an in-person meeting...nor pay any per
diem.

If tried in an 8-hour period that leaves out 16 hours for two-thirds
of the globe. Nobody gonna get "friendly" if they have to be up
between 11 PM through 7 AM the next day LOCALLY.

>> >> Does each member country get one vote? If so, that puts the USA, with its
>> >> 661,000+ amateurs, at the same voting level as a country with a few dozen
>> >> amateurs. A coalition of small countries with a handful of amateurs could
>> >> dictate the bandplan for whole region.
>
>> >Oh, my. I hope that the US hams have treated "thier" neighbor hams
>> >kindly.
>
>> If King Jim has so decreed, they have. Meanwhile there's a large
>> crowd who've just dropped the drawbridge and are storming the
>> castle. King Jim may have to abdicate to a simple white "throne."
>
>Certainly he can "serve" in his own Kingdom?

Yes, but his "kingdom" will have shrunk fantastically in population.

>> >> If not, the USA's enormous amateur population makes us the
>> >> de-facto 800 pound gorilla in the region.
>
>> >Can't we all just get along?
>
>> King Kong made it to the top of the Empire State building, then

>> had a big fall. You might say Kong "went ape" when he couldn't
>> get what he wanted.
>
>I thought he wanted the pretty girl?

So does King Jim. Maybe if he shaves all over...?

>> Helluva big disposal problem for NYC. The same for the fantasies
>> of all those morsemen who want their private little playground
>> at the expense of others. Well, those guys are biodegradeable
>> also...
>
>No sharing of spectrum?

Not for the elite morsemen extras.

>> >> In either case, the IARU member society for the USA is...the ARRL.
>
>> >Which is why it's so important to keep movers and shakers like Carl out
>> >of the organization's management.
>
>> God rest ye, league gentlemen, let nothing you dismay...
>
>I suspect that Carl will "serve in other ways."
>
>;^))

He already is and has...with the pros.

Oooops, I said a nasty in this group of morsemen...!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1273. Re: Free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 07:27:12 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dnlt4g$m21$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 127044
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
>
> The army which retires first from the battlefield is generally
> considered to have lost.
==================================

True! But in those days the Americans were frightened of World
opinion. They could have dropped a hydrogen bomb on Hanoi - but
they didn't.

These days it depends only on what is in American interests. Sod the
rest of the world.
==========================================
```

1274. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 10:16:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134469013.077735.91120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127045

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > But in the "real world", that would boil down to a bunch
> > of appointed experts going into the proverbial smoky back
> > room and coming up with a bandplan that would have the
> > force of law in the USA.
>
> "Smoky back room"?

Yes, Hans, "smoky back room".

Guess I should have included the "DEVIL'S ADVOCATE = ON" indicator
for that one, because you missed the point. My bad.

Look at how the ARRL is perceived by some hams, just for producing
proposals. Like the "regulation by bandwidth" thing. The ARRL Board
is frequently accused of "smoky back room" behavior - and tney're
elected!
Do you think an unelected IARU committee would fare any better?

If an IARU committee were given the power to make
bandplans that became the defacto regulations, can't you hear the
shouting?

> Aren't you the evenhanded/non-biased wordsmith now?!?!?
> Classic case of "don't confuse me with new ideas that I didn't think of". NIH
> in spades.
>
> Guys like you are why Amateur Radio is stuck in the 1940's.

I simply disagree with the idea that "market forces" should set
bandplans.
We've seen what happens when some things are not adequately
regulated.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1275. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 11:12:13 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <IrFoGD.9qD@bath.ac.uk>
Source record: 127046
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Magnum wrote:

> "Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:439dccd3.22632213@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
>
>>On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
>><magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>England is still a mainly white Christian Country..
>>
>>What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
>>population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.
>
>
> Ok, re-phrase time, England is still mainly a White non-practicing Christian
> Country :o)
>
>
Don't forget we are non-practising cannibals, too.

In fact the list of what we are not practitioners
of is fairly extensive.

HTH

Andy, M1EBV

1276. More Markie Lies And Forgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 11:25:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134473108.194371.8410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127047

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:

It didn't need to go any farther than that.

One peice of proof after another that Mark C. Morgan is a
pathological liar...And all of it provided BY Mark C. Morgan!

Steve, K4YZ

1277. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 11:27:43 UTC
From: "G1LVN (for it is he)" <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dnmb7fqkc1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 127048
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Andy Cowley" <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:IrFoGD.9qD@bath.ac.uk...
> Don't forget we are non-practising cannibals, too.
>
> In fact the list of what we are not practitioners
> of is fairly extensive.
>
> HTH

No practising Judo Christian, shirley? ;-)

--
--
73 de G1LVN (M0WWS)
NEW QTH Xmas Lights:
http://www.g1lvn.org.uk

1278. Re: soory (SORRY) my hubby is am (AN) ###hole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 11:29:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134473375.599078.72560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127049

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> but better he takes it out on her than at home

Take what out on her?

> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> k4yz@aol.com

Still lying, Markie.

It's going to be your downfall, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

1279. Re: i pormise to try and riegn in my ###hole hubby k4yz <<< WARNING: ANOTHER MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ FORGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 11:33:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134473638.579692.244810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127050

w5amy@arrl.net...

Another forgery by KB9RQZ

Steve, K4YZ

1280. Re: why can't staev tel the truth WHY CAN'T MARK MORGAN, WITH AN ALLEGED IQ OF 248, USE A SPELLCHECKER?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 11:43:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134474204.960388.120190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127051

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:

The use of W5AMY in this thread is a forgery by KB9RQZ, a known
liar and cheat.

> On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:

> > > And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
> > >it be true, Markie.
> >
> > you are lying
> >
> > Bandplans are not laws

And the FCC has stated that if you violate the bandplans, you may
be prosecuted for violation of Part 97.

> >> of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
> >> years
> > >
> > > I didn't lie and I didn't threaten your life.
> >
> > you lied about bandplans = laws
> >
> > you lie about your threats

Nope to neither.

I've not threatened you nor have I lied about bandplans being
enforced by law.

The FCC says we are required to implement both good engineering
practice in the establishment and operation of our stations, and we are
to implement "good amateur practice" in the establishment and operation
of our stations.

The FCC has said that compliance with bandplans establishes good
amateur practice.

Violation of that caveat will get you "violated".

Steve, K4YZ

1281. Re: Re stvie makes false charge and admits to lying r does he <<< WARNING: ANOTHER MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ FORGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 12:02:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134474297.474298.298380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127052

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:

Yet another KB9RQZ forgery.

Steve, K4YZ

1282. Bandplans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 12:13:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <veidndGvhN9CJwPeRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 127053

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1134474204.960388.120190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>
> The use of W5AMY in this thread is a forgery by KB9RQZ, a known
> liar and cheat.
>
>> On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
>
>> > And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
>> >it be true, Markie.
>>
>> you are lying
>>
>> Bandplans are not laws
>
> And the FCC has stated that if you violate the bandplans, you may
> be prosecuted for violation of Part 97.
>
>> >> of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
>> >> years
>> >
>> > I didn't lie and I didn't threaten your life.
>>
>> you lied about bandplans = laws
>>
>> you lie about your threats
>
> Nope to neither.
>
> I've not threatened you nor have I lied about bandplans being
> enforced by law.
>
> The FCC says we are required to implement both good engineering
> practice in the establishment and operation of our stations, and we are
> to implement "good amateur practice" in the establishment and operation
> of our stations.
>
> The FCC has said that compliance with bandplans establishes good
> amateur practice.

>
> Violation of that caveat will get you "violated".
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

That's only partially true. One can "violate" the band plans and not necessarily be considered a candidate for enforcement action. It generally needs to be in combination with other factors. Afterall look at every contest. In general, there are many operations in "violation" of the bandplan. In voice contests, the operators do not stay out of the SSTV segment. In CW contests, they do not stay out of the RTTY segment. RTTY contestors spread out just like everyone else and do not stay in the RTTY segment.

If a person makes sure the frequency is not in use and is legal by regulation for that form of operation, they will not be penalized for not following the band plan.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1283. Re: Bandplans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 12:26:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134476770.894138.243020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127054

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1134474204.960388.120190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> >
> > The use of W5AMY in this thread is a forgery by KB9RQZ, a known
> > liar and cheat.
> >
> >> On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
> >
> >> > And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
> >> >it be true, Markie.
> >>
> >> you are lying
> >>
> >> Bandplans are not laws
> >
> > And the FCC has stated that if you violate the bandplans, you may
> > be prosecuted for violation of Part 97.
> >
> >> >> of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
> >> >> years
> >> >
> >> > I didn't lie and I didn't threaten your life.
> >>
> >> you lied about bandplans = laws
> >>
> >> you lie about your threats
> >
> > Nope to neither.
> >
> > I've not threatened you nor have I lied about bandplans being
> > enforced by law.
> >
> > The FCC says we are required to implement both good engineering
> > practice in the establishment and operation of our stations, and we are
> > to implement "good amateur practice" in the establishment and operation
> > of our stations.
> >
> > The FCC has said that compliance with bandplans establishes good

> > amateur practice.
> >
> > Violation of that caveat will get you "violated".
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> That's only partially true. One can "violate" the band plans and not
> necessarily be considered a candidate for enforcement action. It generally
> needs to be in combination with other factors. Afterall look at every
> contest. In general, there are many operations in "violation" of the
> bandplan. In voice contests, the operators do not stay out of the SSTV
> segment. In CW contests, they do not stay out of the RTTY segment. RTTY
> contestors spread out just like everyone else and do not stay in the RTTY
> segment.
>
> If a person makes sure the frequency is not in use and is legal by
> regulation for that form of operation, they will not be penalized for not
> following the band plan.

Absolutely correct, Dee...

It's just like speeding...Don't do it in a school zone or right in
front of a cop, and it's not likely to draw the ire of the cops...But
make a point of being an idiot about it, and you're going to get your
chops busted for it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1284. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 14:04:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134482663.534843.306450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

ku4my@charter.net wrote:

> Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'.....
> I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
>
> You poor, poor sods!

Yes...the rowdy and the reckless.

But beware the "Feeble Five."

Leonard H. "Lennie" Anderson. Allegedly an electronics "engineer" and "radio professional". Not a licensed Amateur, but has spent almost a decade telling Amateurs how they SHOULD be conducting their business. Has literally spammed the FCC ECFS with multiple "reply comments" that are nothing more than verbose personal attacks on anyone who Lennie remotely deems as having made a good presentation of facts on issues he holds a contrary opinion. (Search ECFS for "Leonard H. Anderson" and see what I mean). He spent one tour in post WW2 Japan as an Army radio mechanic and now knows all there is to know about "HF operating"...Don't believe me? Just ask him! He's also an "expert" on WW2 civil defense, child rearing, emergency communications, aerial navigation, medical terminology and practice, and now real estate zoning. Hint: He's too young to have served in CD, has no children, is not involved in REACT, let alone any "emcomm", is not a pilot, does not now nor ever held a medical or nursing license, and does not hold a relators license. Lennie's list of what he "isn't" is lengthy, considered his many profound pontifications on those "isn'ts".

Frank Gilliland. Frankie's not an Amateur either, but he was a "amateur" Marine. Seems his only purpose in THIS forum is to try and undermine anything I have to say or do by claiming that since he spent one tour in the USMC himself, he "knows" all about other Marines...However Frankie didn't make it through his one and only tour unscathed...Seems Frankie was a discipline problem the whole time he was in and was court martialed not once, but twice. Two court martials in one four-year enlistment. For one who was in the Corps and knows how many other "non-judicial" and other "local" punishments there are for minor infractions, I am impressed as to just how big a PITA Frankie must have been that they used court martials rather than those alternatives. he continues his PITA conduct into his USENET life. This is his one and only opportunity to "take a swing" at a Staff NCO and not get a THIRD court martial in his SRB. Frankie claims to be a close friend of LtCol Oliver North and to have "resources you don't even begin to understand" when it comes to the Armed Forces, yet can't

seem to follow simple references to public information. Frankie's the RRAP version of the drunk at the end of the bar at the VFW or American Legion post.

Brian "The Brain" P. Burke, N0IMD. Brian is Lennie's puppet boy. Lennie says "dance" and Brain is all too happy to let him pull the strings and follow his lead. Has publically expressed "admiration" and "support" for Lennie despite Lennie's countless documented lies and intentionally deceitful anti-Amateur Radio rhetoric. Brain also claims to have operated from Somalia during operation "Restore Hope" in the 90's, however can't seem to come up with a single callsign or QSL card from anyone he "worked". In any case, he may have worked them, however not legally. He had no authorization to do so. Brain is also known for such trivial radio discussion "faux pas" as "unlicensed devices play the major role in emergency comms" and "ARES won't be able to respond to a major disaster", among other silliness. he claims to have been in the USAF MARS program, yet can't seem to figure out how to take my old callsign to verify my past participation...Of course anytime any of the Feeble Five are provided some readily researchable reference to prove what they've been told, they then demand that others "scan" a copy of documents to "prove" it.

Todd "Toiddie" Daugherty, N9OGL. Todd is a combination of a "Saturday Night Live" version of Howard Stern on the Amateur airways, with just a bit of PeeWee Herman thrown in for flavor. He purports to operate an "information bulletin" station yet refers to all of his broadcasting as "shows", and clearly uses these to broadcast his personal opinion and rhetoric, not "information". Almost 40, he's living at home and sopping up all the resources he can from mumsy and pops while they are still able to do it. Todd's biggest rants center around (1) his inability to obtain an LPFM license for his non-existant "company", (2) his illegal use of the Amateur service to take the place of the LPFM license he didn't get, and now (3) his BBS sites for the promulgation of kiddy-porn anime. I wish him well since there's a recent news release of a guy sentenced by a FEDERAL court for promulgating cartoon-style kiddy-porn. Of course like all kiddy-porners, he claims it's his "Constitutional" right to promulgate kiddy-porn.

Lastly there's Mark C."i ma not a lier" Morgan, KB9RQZ. Mark is a very troubled, disturbed fellow with numerous anger management and perversion issues that are unresolved. He's an admitted former mental health patient, and frankly he needs to be a CURRENT patient. His posts are legend for his butchering of the English language and his stubborn refusal to use a spellchecker. He does, however, claim an IQ of over 248. Mark also brags on his use of intentional deceit and lies as "natural and normal" and insists that lying and deceit are traits to be taught to children. His grandest use of this was his insistence that he was a drafted officer (a Colonel, no less...) in the US Army Chemical Corps, and that despite his avowed sexual perversions was not allowed to "retire" or "resign" from his unit. The story unravels

before it really gets going because Markie would have only been 7 or 8 years old as the last Viet Nam era conscriptees were being mustered OUT (not in). All of this in order to try and gain some "points" in this USENET forum since he knows I am prior service enlisted USMC. He "figured" I'd be "impressed" by a functionally illiterate draftee officer (uhhhh...yeah...right...). Since then we've been subjected to threads of alleged stalking, death threats, "elder abuse", etc. Markie just completed a seven day stretch wherein he loaded the NG with just under 400 such threads or reply threads. He is now forging e-mail addresses in order to manufacture extra 'accounts' with which to get around Google's posting limits and spam the NG even more. He's presently manufacturing posts in this forum with my callsign and that of my wife, Amy, W5AMY.

I am sure their responses to/about me will be predictable.

73 and welcome to USENET Amateur Radio Hell!

Steve, K4YZ

1285. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 16:18:38 UTC
From: Philip de Cadenet <Philstheboss@thebbc.fslife.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1ZDjLTGeRvnDFwZR@philstheboss.freeserve.co.uk>
Source record: 127056
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>Ok, re-phrase time, England is still mainly a White non-practicing Christian
>Country :o)

Unlike France:o)

--
Philip de Cadenet G4ZOW
Transmitters 'R' Us
http://www.transmittersrus.com

1286. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 16:59:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <G5Dnf.3678$Tg2.441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127057

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1134469013.077735.91120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > But in the "real world", that would boil down to a bunch
> > of appointed experts going into the proverbial smoky back
> > room and coming up with a bandplan that would have the
> > force of law in the USA.
>
> "Smoky back room"?

Yes, Hans, "smoky back room".

Guess I should have included the "DEVIL'S ADVOCATE = ON" indicator
for that one, because you missed the point. My bad.

> Look at how the ARRL is perceived by some hams, just for
> producing *proposals*. ..... Do you think an unelected
> IARU committee would fare any better?
>
> If an IARU committee were given the power to make
> bandplans that became the defacto regulations, can't
> you hear the shouting?

Sure there'd be shouting! Hell, there are people still shouting here on rrap
about how the old "Class A" guys were hurt by the "Great Giveaway" back over a
half century ago. Let em shout!

> We've seen what happens when some things are
> not adequately regulated.

But more than that, we've seen what happens when some things are over-regulated.
No competition generally brings stagnation, resistance to progressive
initiatives, and eventual marginalization. Like what's happening to ARRL and
Amateur Radio.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1287. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 17:05:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134493549.087953.180330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127058

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
> >
> > > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> > > >> > ways?"
> > > >
> > > >> Brian,
> > > >
> > > >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
> > > >> me.
> > > >
> > > >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
> > > >
> > > >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
> > >
> > > September 24, 2004;
> >
> > Nope. I did not write that I "served in other ways" on that date.
>
> "Nope."
>
> > > January 13, February 10, May 25 2005.
> >
> > Nope, nope, and nope again. I did not write that I
> > "served in other ways" on any of those dates either.
>
> "Nope, nope, and nope again."
>
> You're starting to sound like Steve. Everyone else gets everything
> wrong.

If you're wrong, Brain, you're wrong.

Why do you and the Feeble Five have a hard time with that simple
concept?

> > Len, if you had actually *read* what I wrote on those
> > days, you'd know that.
> >
> > What I have written is a question for you and others.

>
> Can you answer your own question?

Can you answer mine about why you've used diminutives against Jim
when he's never used them on you?

> > Here it is again:
> >
> > Is service in the military the only way a citizen can
> > serve our country? Or are there other ways?
>
> A rhetorical question? You wanted an answer?
>
> Or were you implying that you "served in other ways?"
>
> Can you answer your own question, or are you going to leave it up to us
> Veterans of low-intelligence who got duped by "The Man" to act as
> cannon fodder in exchange for a chance at an education?

Again with the self-victimizing, Brain.

And a very, VERY poor suggestion that Jim said anything of the
kind.

Steve, K4YZ

1288. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 17:25:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134494702.302243.252550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127059

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Jimmie just said "major typo alert!"
>
> He acknowledged a MAJOR mistake in posting as a "typographical
> error" but that is apparently okay for him to do. It's not okay
> for any of us to do it...if we do it, we get reminders of it for
> the next five years, negative critique, accusations of "not
> following up on 'promises,'" the whole magilla.

The fact of the matter is, Lennie, that more often than not, you
either refuse to admit your errors, or even worse, defend them with
lengthy, windy pontifications intended to obfuscate them.

Jim's character doesn't seem to permit him to act that way.

I see you're still using diminutives that aren't directed at you.
Of course your sock puppet does nothing to suggest otherwise to you,
yet presumes to chastise others for not engaging in such conduct.

What's that term you're always using...."double standard"...?!?!

Seems you NCTA "guys" have more than your fair share! (as if
there was any doubt.....)

Steve, K4YZ

1289. Re: more of the code #### of the past <<< KB9RQZ Drunk Again And Retasking Subject Lines With Profanities "Just Because"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 17:29:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134494962.550186.23800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> The Farting Virgin Mary of Southpark wrote:
> > <loose_orifice@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1134242497.846297.16930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > there will be a reunion on 3950 tonight be there for the roll call and
> > > then all the check ins and the jammers too
> > >
> >
> > the original "Loose Oriface" died several years ago.
> >well we se thefine upstanding hams produced by code testing

Markie, I was trying to figure out why you went to the trouble of
retasking the subject line with profanities for a subject that wasn't
even in the body of the original message.

Drunk again?

Steve, K4YZ

1290. More KB9RQZ Censorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 17:31:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134495091.798157.184990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127061
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> more thread killing

As usual. The Michigan Megla-Maniac at work.

Steve, K4YZ

1291. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 17:44:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134495892.629890.205260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127062

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 12, 3:17 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 10:06 am
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 10, 1:14 pm
>> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
>> >> > >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> >> > >> >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
>
>> >> > >> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
>
>> >Jim? Hello?
>
>> I hear nothing from him on "serving."
>
>I'm finally getting the picture. Jim asks the question if it is
>possible to "serve in other ways?"
>
>Not that HE served in other ways, mind you, but "other people may have
>served in other ways."

Ah! The fog begins to lift over the land of ambiguity! Land ho!

>Jim remains a no server in any category.

Oh, I dunno, connect to that server and lots of spam comes
across...

>> Could be he fired up his personal "wayback machine" and went back
>> to 1936 to review the ARRL political situation on morris goad
>> testing rates. I think that was before "Farnsworth" rates were
>> introduced.
>
>W6TTB introduced his method in the 50's (that is, 1950's).

?

>> In 1936 I was 3 to 4 years old. Jimmie didn't exist anywhere
>> close to zygote stage yet, wouldn't be born for 19 or so years.
>
>Jim lost priveleges.

Hmmm...can he "lose" something he doesn't have in 1936?

>> >I'm reminded of having been called a "liar" because I said that Michael
>> >Deignan had 12 amateur radio licenses. I was wrong. Michael Deignan's
>> >wife had one of them, at same address (at that time). Mike only had
>> >11. I believe Riley then whittled it down to 3. But I'm a "liar."
>> >Hi! Hi, hi!!! Gotta love these guys.
>
>> Heh heh, that "love" is "tough." :-)
>
>Being 11/12th right makes one a "LIAR!"

Good 'ol "new math!" :-)

>> Yeah, I remember Mikey D's little missives in here, his
>> adventures with the (?) "radio commandos." Mikey ain't got
>> them dozen club calls no more. How about that?
>
>Riley allowed the Radio Commando's to live on.

Their "enlistment rate" was extremely low.

Mebbe they disbanded and now hang out at the local VFW?

>> Brian, here's a KEEPER for an EXACT QUOTE. On December 10, 2005,
>> Jimmie write the following:
>
>> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>
>> Exact, word for word. :-)
>
>Good Grief!!! I wonder if being right 0/12 merits the label "liar?"

No. In Jimmie's case he is ALWAYS right, no matter if he makes
a typographical error or not, therefore can NEVER LIE.

Anyone else who makes a typo is AUTOMATICALLY a LIAR all the time,
"constantly making mistakes and errors!"

>> >> Len and Brian,
>
>> >> If you are going to give direct quotes of what someone allegedly wrote, you
>> >> should use the exact words they wrote. That's what "direct quote" means.
>
>> >Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote.
>
>> Well, I think I obliged Jimmie with the destined-to-become-classic
>> faux pas of his. Here it is again (from 10 Dec 05):
>
>> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>
>He might be right. Do you think the FCC outsourced licensing to India?

Wow! I never thought of that! You may be on to something!

>> >> > He can't understand that other people read
>> >> > the entirety of his boasting claims of doing more than others
>> >> > and doing it oh-so-much-better.
>
>> >> The fact is that you were both shown to be wrong and now you're
>> >> trying to tapdance away from the fact that you misguoted me.
>
>> >Tapdance? Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote. Really.
>
>> Can we have some accompanyment to the time-steps? :-)
>
>Rogers&Astair

Gone..."silent taps."

Gregory Hines, greatest of the tap men..."silent taps."

Jim Fixx, multiple author of books on running...gone.

>> Guess I'll have to drive over to one of the "dancer's"
>> cobbler shops in town and get some tap shoes. One guy
>> claims to have done it on court shoes (a lot more
>> comfortable than the leather-top jobbies). Good trick
>> if they last...
>
>> Here's a DIRECT QUOTE again (from Jimmie on 10 Dec 05):
>
>> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>
>Good Grief!

Jimmie wrote that, he did...

>> >Or even better, you could explain how one "serves in other ways."
>
>> Always from the right of the patron? The lady first?
>
>Perhaps he could get a hand towel with his callsign embroidered on it
>to carry across his forearm.

It's better than the callsign tattooed across the knuckles
of each hand...

>> Gosh, we may have to get Jimmie an "Etty-Kit" so he can serve
>> properly and correctly!
>
>He's already been to charm school.

He must have flunked out...of that correspondence school...

>> >Real estate chatter snipped.
>
>> Pity that. Jimmie KNOWS ALL ABOUT the "basis and purpose" of
>> local zoning ordinances. We can say nothing about zoning

>> until Jimmie gets us all "zoned" out of this world and into
>> another realm of existance. Twilight Zone?
>
>There is no subject that Jim can't pretend to speak intelligently on.

True. Sadly true by demonstration.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1292. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 18:20:47 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <u14up110ojesg4uf0b903r3jtv9is10ekc@4ax.com>
Source record: 127063

On 13 Dec 2005 06:04:23 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> whined in
<1134482663.534843.306450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>ku4my@charter.net wrote:
>
>> Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'........
>> I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
>>
>> You poor, poor sods!
>
> Yes...the rowdy and the reckless.
>
> But beware the "Feeble Five."

<snip>

> I am sure their responses to/about me will be predictable.

If the prediction was uncontrollable laughter then you were right.

> 73 and welcome to USENET Amateur Radio Hell!
>
>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

You're a ham and you don't even know what "73" means? Maybe Len was
right -- maybe you're not just a Marine imposter and an EMT imposter,
but a ham imposter, too!!!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1293. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 18:39:23 UTC
From: Bill <bgates@msn.com>
Message-ID: <dnn4grfcu1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 127064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in news:dlsjvh$14q$1
@xuxa.iecc.com:

> This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
>
> Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out
> publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the
> founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out
> publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.
>
> In fact, Leo just sent a letter to the FCC about Baxter. You can find
> the letter and a discussion of Baxter's renewal here:
>
> "Leo Meyerson is an acknowledged pioneer in the field of radio. Leo is
> the founder of World Radio Laboratories and Galaxy Electronics.
> Throughout the years Leo has been very active in public service. A life
> member of the Quarter Century Wireless Association, Leo served as a
> National Director from 1977 to 1987. In 1991 he was named the QCWA
> Amateur Member of the year and in 1994 he was inducted into the QCWA
> Hall of Fame. Leo is also a life member of the American Radio Relay
> League, Society of Wireless Pioneers, and International Mission Radio
> Association among other associations. In 1980 Leo was elected a fellow
> of the Radio Club of America, one of the most prestigious radio clubs
> in America.
>
> Leo Meyerson is the second radio icon to denounce Glenn Baxter,
> ex-K1MAN. The other, famous broadcaster Walter Cronkite, has publicly
> stated that he has experience with Baxter's lack of ethics. Cronkite
> also called on the FCC to deny renewal of Baxter's amateur radio
> licenses."
>
> http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/
>
>
> 73, K3VR
>
>

Simple question, whats this have to do with scanners??

--
Bill

1294. Your Radio Leader Speaks: K1MAN Essay - Three Classes of Americans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 19:26:11 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134501971.163205.170880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

K1MAN ESSAY - ES051212B -

Three Classes of Americans

1. Everybody else.

2. Yuppies

3. Wannabe Yuppies

All of life is governed by Jack Carlton's "Pecking Order Theory." Go where you will, there will be natural pressure to fall into some very definite "pecking order." If you bring along your own "pecking order," and follow THAT instead, there will, of course, be friction. This is where the "wisdom" of "When in Rome, do as the Romans do" comes from.

In the movie "The Great Escape," the German Colonel running the elite German prison camp asks the American flier if all Americans are so insolent? The reply was: "Just about 99%" So, America itself is a giant macro "pecking order." All the other micro "pecking orders" lie within.

The trick is to find a "pecking order" (or orders) that best suit you. Not so easy in a world where there are so many models to choose from. The Ten Commandments would be a good start!

A huge problem in the United States today is too little quality time being spent by parents with their children. America's great "experiment" exacerbates this problem greatly. Here, almost anything goes, and natural "market forces" sort all of it out and with many bruises and bumps along the way. America is not for the faint of heart.

A related problem is the lack of role models for kids. America will get it right, however. This will take some time as we continue to struggle with all the strong market forces that have a free play in our rough and tumble country, land of the free and home of the brave.

73 and GL de K1MAN

1295. is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 21:42:52 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dnnf8s$kk2$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127089

```
everywhere is new. come see.
```

1296. Re: ARBITRARY and REDUNDANT, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 23:09:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134515395.322624.86160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127066

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
> > > > > >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
> > > > > >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
> > > > > >steps.
> > > > >
> > > > > The ONLY alternative? :-)
> > > > >
> > > > If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.
> > > >
> > > Jim just got through posting that in 1936 the code speed was *increased
> > > and the written exams made *more comprehensive for the three license
> > > classes at the time. Later, >all priveleges< were granted to the
> > > General class license.
> > >
> > >From before 1936, until 1951, full amateur privileges in the USA
> > required a Class A license. (15 years including the WW2 shutdown)
> > >
> > >From 1951 until 1953 full amateur privileges in the USA required an
> > Advanced or an Amateur Extra license. (2 years)
> >
> Did the General license convey the modes and power privs that the
> Advanced and Extra licenses conveyed?

If "Yes," then "Arbitrary and Redundant."

> > >From 1953 until 1968 full amateur privileges in the USA required a
> > Conditional, General, Advanced or Amateur Extra license. (15 years)
> > >
> > (the requirements for full privileges were lowered in early 1953)
> > >
> > >From 1968 until the present time, full amateur privileges in the USA

> > have required an Amateur Extra license. (37 years)
>
> Did the General and Advanced licenses convey the modes and power privs
> that the Extra license conveyed?

If "Yes," then "Arbitrary and Redundant."

> > > Then they were taken away.
> >
> > 37 years ago. I lost privileges. You and Len did not.
>
> The entire USA amateur service lost in a big way, but you find a way to
> personalize it.
>
> > > Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
> > > "lowering the requirements."
> >
> > Yes, it would be.
>
> Does the Advanced and Extra licenses convey the modes and power privs
> that the General license conveyed?

If "Yes," then "Arbitrary and Redundant."

> > > > > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
> > > > > classes?
> > > >
> > > > One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
> > > > You haven't.
> > >
> > > One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
> > > all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher.
> >
> > And FCC was convinced that wasn't a good thing. FCC is still convinced
> > of the need for at least 3 license classes.
>
> Yeh, yeh, yeh. Using the same logic, if the FCC were conviced that a
> Morse Code exam were still a good idea, they would have a specification
> for Morse Code in the regulations.
>
> Just because no one at the FCC is paying attention doesn't mean that
> the present rules are worthwhile.
>
> > You might want to read the current NPRM. Pay particular attention to
> > footnote 142...
>
> Asleep at the wheel.
>
> > > Then the FCC
> > > implemented the Incentive Licensing System which you loved, took away
> > > priveleges, and the rest is history. Now you say that going back to

> > > all priveleges for the General exam is lowering requirements.
> >
> > And it would be. The standards were reduced in the Great Giveaway of
> > 1953.
> > You want a repeat of that.
>
> Presently, every mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to
> General, Advanced, and Extra licensee. That means that the Advanced
> and Extra exams are arbitrary and redundant.
>
> You need to have REAL distinctions is Testing Material VS Priveleges
> between the license classes.
>
> Those distinctions do not presently exist.
>
> The knowledge and skill required to operate 1,500 watts of CW at 14.024
> is EXACTLY the same knowledge and skill required to operate 1,500 watts
> at 14.026

If "Yes," then "Arbitrary and Redundant."

> > Some years back, QCWA proposed to FCC that all hams who had held
> > a General, Conditional or Advanced before the changes took place in
> > 1968
> > should get an automatic upgrade to Extra because they lost privileges
> > then.
> > FCC said no way.
>
> Some years back, the ARRL wanted to keep 13 and 20wpm code exams.
>
> FCC said no way.
>
> > > Sorry you feel that way.
> >
> > Why?
> >
> > Is the 50 question Extra written exam too difficult?
>
> With you, it's all about making entry difficult.
>
> > > > > > If there were only ONE license, there would be no
> > > > > > "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
> > > > >
> > > > > Right.
> > > > >
> > > > > And if there were only one license, regardless of
> > > > > what it would be called, its test(s) would
> > > > > have to contain everything that is now contained in
> > > > > the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.
> > > > > Otherwise the standards would be reduced.
> > > >

> > > No, it wouldn't. Strawman.
> >
> > If you're willing to reduce the standards, the testing
> > could be reduced. It's clear that's no problem for
> > you.
>
> You think I want a 49 question exam? Hi!
>
> BTW, many of the exams are 49 or 48 or 47 questions because of the bad
> questions presently in the QP.
>
> > > The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.
> >
> > That ended 37 years ago. Why do you live in the past?
>
> You're funny, Jim.
>
> > Would you like to go back to the General test of 1968? Testing
> > at FCC offices only unless you lived more then 175 miles from
> > an exam point, no CSCEs, no published question pools, 30
> > day wait to retest. Oh yes, and 13 wpm code, sending and
> > receiving.
>
> It's always an ultimatum strawman with you, isn't it?
>
> Sorry, but no one proclaimed you King Jim of Amateur Radiodom.
>
> Use the present VE system, 50 question exam (or 49 questions if you
> must), no code test.
>
> Thanks for playing.
>
> > > > So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
> > > > have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
> > > > for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
> > > > amateur radio license.
> > > >
> > > > Is that what you want?
> > >
> > > You're the one who loved the Incentive Licensing System which took
> > > priveleges away from fully qualified amateurs.
> >
> > Who said I "loved" it?
>
> You have defended Incentive Licensing against every challenger.
>
> > > You're the one who
> > > loves unnecessary licensing requirements.
> >
> > none of the license requirements I support are

> > "unnecessary".
>
> General exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.
>
> Advance exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.
>
> Extra exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.
>
> The Advanced and Extra exams and the Advanced and Extra license classes
> are ARBITRARY and REDUNDANT.
>
> > You're the one who supports lowering the standards
> > again and again.
>
> That is merely your jaundiced opinion.
>
> > --
> >
> > So let's see what you're proposing:
> >
> > - Full amateur privileges for the testing of a General license,
> > without any code test.
> >
> > - All existing Generals, Advanceds, and Extras get full
> > privileges. Some Technicians and Technician Pluses
> > who passed the Tech written when it was same as
> > General get full privileges too.
> >
> > Two questions:
> >
> > What happens to existing Novices and Technicians who
> > haven't passed the General written?
>
> What happens to them now???
>
> > FCC has repeatedly refused free (no-test) upgrades.
>
> So?
>
> > FCC has said that the optimum system for the
> > future is a 3 level system, but that they'll keep the
> > closed-out classes until they disappear by attrition.
>
> So?
>
> > How will you convince them to do otherwise?
>
> I don't intend to convince the FCC to give free upgrades. I don't
> intend to convince the FCC to accept one class of license. I don't
> intend to convince the FCC to do anything other than keep closed-out

> classes until they disappear by attrition.
>
> I -expect- good government.
>
> I -expect- the FCC to eliminate arbitrary and redundant licensing
> requirements and license classes.
>
> The FCC looks foolish for not having dealt with these issues already.

If "Yes," then "Arbitrary and Redundant."

1297. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 23:20:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134516022.362164.113790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Todd "Toiddie" Daugherty, N9OGL. Todd is a combination of a
>"Saturday Night Live" version of Howard Stern on the Amateur airways,
>with just a bit of PeeWee Herman thrown in for flavor. He purports to
>operate an "information bulletin" station yet refers to all of his
>broadcasting as "shows", and clearly uses these to broadcast his
>personal opinion and rhetoric, not "information".

Nice, coming from someone who has NEVER heard my bulletin. If you think
(which I really doubt you do) it's illegal then bitch to the FCC, if
you aren't going to complain then shut the HELL up.

> Almost 40, he's living at home and sopping up all the resources he can from mumsy and
>pops while they are still able to do it.

Yeah right steve, lying again

> Todd's biggest rants center around (1) his inability to obtain an LPFM license for his
non-existant
>"company",

Steve, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM, get your fact right before
posting. As for my "company" who said it's non-exisant? you a little
nobody. RIGHT!

>(2) his illegal use of the Amateur service to take the place of the LPFM license he didn't
get,

Dam right, and there's NOTHING yo can do about it Bwahahaha

> and now (3) his BBS sites for the promulgation of kiddy-porn anime. I wish him well since
there's >a recent news release of a guy sentenced by a FEDERAL court for promulgating
cartoon-style >kiddy-porn.

The truth steve is the guy was found guilty of possession REAL child
porn, animation porn is in fact legal (Below is the Federal Law)

SSEC. 502. IMPROVEMENTS TO PROHIBITION ON VIRTUAL CHILD
PORNOGRAPHY.
(a) Section 2256(8) of title 18, United States Code, is amended-
(1) so that subparagraph (B) reads as follows:
''(B) such visual depiction is a digital image, computer
image, or computer-generated image that is, or is indistinguishable
from, that of a minor engaging in sexually
explicit conduct; or'';
(2) by striking ''; or'' at the end of subparagraph (C) and
inserting a period; and

(3) by striking subparagraph (D).
(b) Section 2256(2) of title 18, United States Code, is amended
to read as follows:
''(2)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), 'sexually
explicit conduct' means actual or simulated-''(i) sexual
intercourse, including genital-genital, oralgenital,
anal-genital, or oral-anal, whether between persons
of the same or opposite sex;
''(ii) bestiality;
''(iii) masturbation;
''(iv) sadistic or masochistic abuse; or
''(v) lascivious exhibition of the genitals or pubic area
of any person;
''(B) For purposes of subsection 8(B) of this section, 'sexually
explicit conduct' means-
[next page]
''(i) graphic sexual intercourse, including genital-genital,
oral-genital, anal-genital, or oral-anal, whether
between persons of the same or opposite sex, or lascivious
simulated sexual intercourse where the genitals, breast,
or pubic area of any person is exhibited;
''(ii) graphic or lascivious simulated;
''(I) bestiality;
''(II) masturbation; or
''(III) sadistic or masochistic abuse; or
''(iii) graphic or simulated lascivious exhibition of the
genitals or pubic area of any person;''.
(c) Section 2256 is amended by inserting at the end the following
new paragraphs:
''(10) 'graphic', when used with respect to a depiction of
sexually explicit conduct, means that a viewer can observe
any part of the genitals or pubic area of any depicted person
or animal during any part of the time that the sexually explicit
conduct is being depicted; and
''(11) the term 'indistinguishable' used with respect to a
depiction, means virtually indistinguishable, in that the depiction
is such that an ordinary person viewing the depiction
would conclude that the depiction is of an actual minor engaged
in sexually explicit conduct. This definition does not apply
to depictions that are drawings, cartoons, sculptures, or
paintings depicting minors or adults.''.
(d) Section 2252A(c) of title 18, United States Code, is amended
to read as follows:
''(c) It shall be an affirmative defense to a charge of violating
paragraph (1), (2), (3)(A), (4), or (5) of subsection (a) that-
''(1)(A) the alleged child pornography was produced using
an actual person or persons engaging in sexually explicit conduct;
and
''(B) each such person was an adult at the time the material
was produced; or''(2) the alleged child pornography was not

produced using any actual minor or minors.
No affirmative defense under subsection (c)(2) shall be available
in any prosecution that involves child pornography as described
in section 2256(8)(C). A defendant may not assert an affirmative
defense to a charge of violating paragraph (1), (2), (3)(A), (4), or
(5) of subsection (a) unless, within the time provided for filing
pretrial motions or at such time prior to trial as the judge may
direct, but in no event later than 10 days before the commencement
of the trial, the defendant provides the court and the United States
with notice of the intent to assert such defense and the substance
of any expert or other specialized testimony or evidence upon which
the defendant intends to rely. If the defendant fails to comply
[NEW PAGE VerDate 11-MAY-2000 21:52 May 02, 2003 Jkt 019139 PO 00021
Frm 00031 Fmt 6580 Sfmt 6581 E:\PUBLAW\PUBL021.108 APPS24 PsN: PUBL021
117 STAT. 680 PUBLIC LAW 108-21-APR. 30, 2003]
with this subsection, the court shall, absent a finding of
extraordinary
circumstances that prevented timely compliance, prohibit
the defendant from asserting such defense to a charge of violating
paragraph (1), (2), (3)(A), (4), or (5) of subsection (a) or presenting
any evidence for which the defendant has failed to provide proper
and timely notice.''.

>Of course like all kiddy-porners, he claims it's his "Constitutional" right to promulgate kiddy-porn.

Animation Porn, even lolicon is LEGAL and in 2001 the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (which includes animation lolicon) WAS protected by the First Amendment. Beside what are going to do about it??? NOTHING, grow up steve you little worm

Todd N9OGL

1298. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 23:25:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134516326.125039.50980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127068

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Jimmie just said "major typo alert!"
> >
> > He acknowledged a MAJOR mistake in posting as a "typographical
> > error" but that is apparently okay for him to do. It's not okay
> > for any of us to do it...if we do it, we get reminders of it for
> > the next five years, negative critique, accusations of "not
> > following up on 'promises,'" the whole magilla.
>
> The fact of the matter is, Lennie, that more often than not, you
> either refuse to admit your errors, or even worse, defend them with
> lengthy, windy pontifications intended to obfuscate them.

But I thought the discussion was Jim's error?

> Jim's character doesn't seem to permit him to act that way.

What character is Jim playing today?

> I see you're still using diminutives that aren't directed at you.

Len is kidnapping diminuitives? Call the FBI!

> Of course your sock puppet does nothing to suggest otherwise to you,
> yet presumes to chastise others for not engaging in such conduct.

Why would a person be chastized for NOT engaging in such conduct?

You presume to have such great command of the "King's Engwish."

That's Quitefine. Hi, hi! ;^)

> What's that term you're always using...."double standard"...?!?!

No, that is what you are always using. It is what I am always
claiming. Do you see now?

> Seems you NCTA "guys" have more than your fair share! (as if
> there was any doubt.....)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Raped an Old Friend" is OK in the Emergency Room?

1299. Re: Your Radio Leader Speaks: K1MAN Essay - Three Classes of Americans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-13 23:53:04 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11punmttb964e2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134501971.163205.170880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN ESSAY - ES051212B -
>
> Three Classes of Americans
>
>
> 1. Everybody else.
>
> 2. Yuppies
>
> 3. Wannabe Yuppies
>
>
> All of life is governed by Jack Carlton's "Pecking Order Theory." Go
> where you will, there will be natural pressure to fall into some very
> definite "pecking order." If you bring along your own "pecking
> order," and follow THAT instead, there will, of course, be friction.
> This is where the "wisdom" of "When in Rome, do as the Romans do"
> comes from.
>
> In the movie "The Great Escape," the German Colonel running the elite
> German prison camp asks the American flier if all Americans are so
> insolent? The reply was: "Just about 99%" So, America itself is a
> giant macro "pecking order." All the other micro "pecking orders"
> lie within.
>
> The trick is to find a "pecking order" (or orders) that best suit you.
> Not so easy in a world where there are so many models to choose from.
> The Ten Commandments would be a good start!
>
> A huge problem in the United States today is too little quality time
> being spent by parents with their children. America's great
> "experiment" exacerbates this problem greatly. Here, almost anything
> goes, and natural "market forces" sort all of it out and with many
> bruises and bumps along the way. America is not for the faint of
> heart.
>
> A related problem is the lack of role models for kids. America will
> get it right, however. This will take some time as we continue to
> struggle with all the strong market forces that have a free play in
> our rough and tumble country, land of the free and home of the brave.
>

> 73 and GL de K1MAN
>

I reread that post a couple of times and it still makes no sense. Are you on drugs?

1300. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 00:32:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134520356.454150.269700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127070

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 12, 3:17 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 10:06 am
> >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 10, 1:14 pm
> >> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
> >> >> > >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> > >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >
> >> >> > >> >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
> > >
> >> >> > >> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
> > >
> >> >Jim? Hello?
> > >
> >> I hear nothing from him on "serving."
> > >
> >I'm finally getting the picture. Jim asks the question if it is
> >possible to "serve in other ways?"
> > >
> >Not that HE served in other ways, mind you, but "other people may have
> >served in other ways."
> >
> Ah! The fog begins to lift over the land of ambiguity! Land ho!

I guess you've got to read between every line with these guys.

How William Jefferson has changed the nature of "truth." Sigh.

> >Jim remains a no server in any category.
> >
> Oh, I dunno, connect to that server and lots of spam comes
> across...

Pretends to talk a pretty good talk til you read between the lines.

> >> Could be he fired up his personal "wayback machine" and went back
> >> to 1936 to review the ARRL political situation on morris goad
> >> testing rates. I think that was before "Farnsworth" rates were
> >> introduced.
> > >

> >W6TTB introduced his method in the 50's (that is, 1950's).
>
> ?

Farnsworth. Introduced his method in the 1950's, 110 years or so after
Morse, about the same time that the military and civilian world was
abandoning Morse. The ARRL begins implementing Farnsworth w/o notice
in the late 80's, makes a public notice about 10 years later.

> >> In 1936 I was 3 to 4 years old. Jimmie didn't exist anywhere
> >> close to zygote stage yet, wouldn't be born for 19 or so years.
> >
> >Jim lost priveleges.
>
> Hmmm...can he "lose" something he doesn't have in 1936?

Well, he probably would have if he had been alive at the time.

> >> >I'm reminded of having been called a "liar" because I said that Michael
> >> >Deignan had 12 amateur radio licenses. I was wrong. Michael Deignan's
> >> >wife had one of them, at same address (at that time). Mike only had
> >> >11. I believe Riley then whittled it down to 3. But I'm a "liar."
> >> >Hi! Hi, hi!!! Gotta love these guys.
> >
> >> Heh heh, that "love" is "tough." :-)
> >
> >Being 11/12th right makes one a "LIAR!"
>
> Good 'ol "new math!" :-)

New math nor Jim's math would have gotten us to the Moon.

> >> Yeah, I remember Mikey D's little missives in here, his
> >> adventures with the (?) "radio commandos." Mikey ain't got
> >> them dozen club calls no more. How about that?
> >
> >Riley allowed the Radio Commando's to live on.
>
> Their "enlistment rate" was extremely low.

"A Commando Unit of One"

> Mebbe they disbanded and now hang out at the local VFW?

In "thier" wildest dreams. Well..., maybe as a guest.

But at the first hint how they "served in other ways..."

> >> Brian, here's a KEEPER for an EXACT QUOTE. On December 10, 2005,
> >> Jimmie write the following:
> >
> >> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
> >
> >> Exact, word for word. :-)

> >
> >Good Grief!!! I wonder if being right 0/12 merits the label "liar?"
>
> No. In Jimmie's case he is ALWAYS right, no matter if he makes
> a typographical error or not, therefore can NEVER LIE.

I just hope he has a license to drive all that pure snow around

> Anyone else who makes a typo is AUTOMATICALLY a LIAR all the time,
> "constantly making mistakes and errors!"

Tha's Steve's job.

> >> >> Len and Brian,
> >
> >> >> If you are going to give direct quotes of what someone allegedly wrote, you
> >> >> should use the exact words they wrote. That's what "direct quote" means.
> >
> >> >Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote.
> >
> >> Well, I think I obliged Jimmie with the destined-to-become-classic
> >> faux pas of his. Here it is again (from 10 Dec 05):
> >
> >> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
> >
> >He might be right. Do you think the FCC outsourced licensing to India?
>
> Wow! I never thought of that! You may be on to something!

Recall the '98 NPRM? Do you think that was the work of an EFL or ESL?

> >> >> > He can't understand that other people read
> >> >> > the entirety of his boasting claims of doing more than others
> >> >> > and doing it oh-so-much-better.
> >
> >> >> The fact is that you were both shown to be wrong and now you're
> >> >> trying to tapdance away from the fact that you misquoted me.
> >
> >> >Tapdance? Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote. Really.
> >
> >> Can we have some accompanyment to the time-steps? :-)
> >
> >Rogers&Astair
>
> Gone..."silent taps."
>
> Gregory Hines, greatest of the tap men..."silent taps."
>
> Jim Fixx, multiple author of books on running...gone.

I guess we'll have to settle for a metronome.

> >> Guess I'll have to drive over to one of the "dancer's"
> >> cobbler shops in town and get some tap shoes. One guy
> >> claims to have done it on court shoes (a lot more
> >> comfortable than the leather-top jobbies). Good trick
> >> if they last...
> >
> >> Here's a DIRECT QUOTE again (from Jimmie on 10 Dec 05):
> >
> >> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
> >
> >Good Grief!
>
> Jimmie wrote that, he did...

Perhaps he thinks the FCC hires civilians and puts them to work. Then
after sufficient time has passed, the FCC says the applicant has
"earned" "thier" license.

> >> >Or even better, you could explain how one "serves in other ways."
> >
> >> Always from the right of the patron? The lady first?
> >
> >Perhaps he could get a hand towel with his callsign embroidered on it
> >to carry across his forearm.
>
> It's better than the callsign tattooed across the knuckles
> of each hand...

Jim has tatoos?

> >> Gosh, we may have to get Jimmie an "Etty-Kit" so he can serve
> >> properly and correctly!
> >
> >He's already been to charm school.
>
> He must have flunked out...of that correspondence school...

Hopefully the VA recovered that GI Bill money.

> >> >Real estate chatter snipped.
> >
> >> Pity that. Jimmie KNOWS ALL ABOUT the "basis and purpose" of
> >> local zoning ordinances. We can say nothing about zoning
> >> until Jimmie gets us all "zoned" out of this world and into
> >> another realm of existance. Twilight Zone?
> >
> >There is no subject that Jim can't pretend to speak intelligently on.
>
> True. Sadly true by demonstration.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

"A Morse Code Exam would be a Barrier to Morse Code Use."

1301. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 00:48:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134521313.751338.225190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127071

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
> > >
> > > > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
> > > > >> > ways?"
> > > > > >
> > > > >> Brian,
> > > > > >
> > > > >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
> > > > >> me.
> > > > > >
> > > > >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
> > > > > >
> > > > >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
> > > > >
> > > > September 24, 2004;
> > > >
> > > Nope. I did not write that I "served in other ways" on that date.
> > >
> > "Nope."
> >
> > > > January 13, February 10, May 25 2005.
> > >
> > > Nope, nope, and nope again. I did not write that I
> > > "served in other ways" on any of those dates either.
> >
> > "Nope, nope, and nope again."
> >
> > You're starting to sound like Steve. Everyone else gets everything
> > wrong.
>
> If you're wrong, Brain, you're wrong.

Brian

> Why do you and the Feeble Five have a hard time with that simple
> concept?

Me and the "Feeble Five?"

> > > Len, if you had actually *read* what I wrote on those
> > > days, you'd know that.
> > >
> > > What I have written is a question for you and others.
> >
> > Can you answer your own question?
>
> Can you answer mine about why you've used diminutives against Jim
> when he's never used them on you?

I've never sent a diminuitive to do a man's job. I think there are
laws against it.

> > > Here it is again:
> > >
> > > Is service in the military the only way a citizen can
> > > serve our country? Or are there other ways?
> >
> > A rhetorical question? You wanted an answer?
> >
> > Or were you implying that you "served in other ways?"
> >
> > Can you answer your own question, or are you going to leave it up to us
> > Veterans of low-intelligence who got duped by "The Man" to act as
> > cannon fodder in exchange for a chance at an education?
>
> Again with the self-victimizing, Brain.

Not at all. One can only imagine what Jim thinks of Veterans after
some of his more recent postings. An one can only imagine why he
thought himself too precious to serve... Since he has no answers, only
questions.

> And a very, VERY poor suggestion that Jim said anything of the
> kind.

Yeh, well, I've heard it from other no-servers. Jim has no answers,
only questions.

1302. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 00:49:11 UTC
From: "R. Bubba McGillicutty" <Bubba@HubbaHubbaTire.com>
Message-ID: <439F6BDA.8090100@HubbaHubbaTire.com>
Source record: 127072
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> http://niggermania.com/
>
Hey you gotta admit that there is some FUNNY shit on that site.

Hey they aren't even racisist. They just hate niggers.

1303. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 00:49:26 UTC
From: "R. Bubba McGillicutty" <Bubba@HubbaHubbaTire.com>
Message-ID: <439F6BE9.7070705@HubbaHubbaTire.com>
Source record: 127073
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> http://niggermania.com/
>
Hey you gotta admit that there is some FUNNY shit on that site.

Hey they aren't even racisist. They just hate niggers.

1304. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 00:49:54 UTC
From: "R. Bubba McGillicutty" <Bubba@microshaft.com>
Message-ID: <439F6C05.20801@microshaft.com>
Source record: 127074
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> http://niggermania.com/
>
Hey you gotta admit that there is some FUNNY shit on that site.

Hey they aren't even racisist. They just hate niggers.

1305. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 01:11:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134522669.527007.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127075

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> > > > > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.

Considering that Len hasn't even started, that's hardly a surprise...

> > > > > >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
> > > > > >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
> > > > > >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
> > > > > >steps.

> > > > > The ONLY alternative? :-)

> > > > If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.

> > > Jim just got through posting that in 1936 the code speed was *increased
> > > and the written exams made *more comprehensive for the three license
> > > classes at the time. Later, >all priveleges< were granted to the
> > > General class license.

That's the history.

> > >From before 1936, until 1951, full amateur privileges in the USA
> > required a Class A license. (15 years including the WW2 shutdown)

> > >From 1951 until 1953 full amateur privileges in the USA required an
> > Advanced or an Amateur Extra license. (2 years)

> Did the General license convey the modes and power privs that the
> Advanced and Extra licenses conveyed?

Look it up.

> > >From 1953 until 1968 full amateur privileges in the USA required a
> > Conditional, General, Advanced or Amateur Extra license. (15 years)

> > (the requirements for full privileges were lowered in early 1953)

> > >From 1968 until the present time, full amateur privileges in the USA
> > have required an Amateur Extra license. (37 years)

> Did the General and Advanced licenses convey the modes and power privs
> that the Extra license conveyed?

I think you know the answer.

> > > Then they were taken away.

> > 37 years ago. I lost privileges. You and Len did not.

> The entire USA amateur service lost in a big way,

How? Extras did not lose any privileges back then. Others could
get back the "lost" privileges by taking a test or two.

Nobody lost any bands, power or modes except Novices, who
lost 2 meter 'phone.

> but you find a way to personalize it.

The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.

They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.

> > > Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
> > > "lowering the requirements."

> > Yes, it would be.

> Does the Advanced and Extra licenses convey the modes and power privs
> that the General license conveyed?

What do you think?

> > > > > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
> > > > > classes?

> > > > One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
> > > > You haven't.

> > > One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
> > > all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher.

Which is one reason the rules were changed in 1968 and 1969.

> > And FCC was convinced that wasn't a good thing. FCC is still convinced
> > of the need for at least 3 license classes.

> Yeh, yeh, yeh. Using the same logic, if the FCC were conviced that a
> Morse Code exam were still a good idea, they would have a specification
> for Morse Code in the regulations.

Nope.

FCC specifically mentions the need for a 3 level license system in the
NPRM.

> Just because no one at the FCC is paying attention doesn't mean that
> the present rules are worthwhile.

"No one at the FCC is paying attention"?

Just because they disagree with you?

> > You might want to read the current NPRM. Pay particular attention to
> > footnote 142...
>
> Asleep at the wheel.

FCC's not asleep.

> > > Then the FCC
> > > implemented the Incentive Licensing System which you loved, took away
> > > priveleges, and the rest is history. Now you say that going back to
> > > all priveleges for the General exam is lowering requirements.

> > And it would be. The standards were reduced in the Great Giveaway of
> > 1953. You want a repeat of that.

> Presently, every mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to
> General, Advanced, and Extra licensee. That means that the Advanced
> and Extra exams are arbitrary and redundant.

In your opinion, yes, but not in my opinion. And not in FCC's opinion.

But let's explore your statement there a bit.

"Presently, every mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to
General, Advanced, and Extra licensee."

That's true, as far as it goes. But it's also true that, presently,
every
mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to Technician,
Technician Plus, General, Advanced, and Extra licensees.

So by *your* logic (not mine), the General, Advanced
and Extra exams are arbitrary and redundant.

> You need to have REAL distinctions is Testing Material VS Priveleges
> between the license classes.

There are real distinctions in the tested material. The distinctions
in privileges are less clear.

> Those distinctions do not presently exist.

Sure they do. The trouble you perceive is that they're not directly
related to the
additional privileges granted.

> The knowledge and skill required to operate 1,500 watts of CW at 14.024
> is EXACTLY the same knowledge and skill required to operate 1,500 watts
> at 14.026

How do you know? Have you ever done it? I think not!

The knowledge and skill required to operate 1500 watts of CW on 14.024
includes Morse Code skill, too.

But let's suppose your claim about 14.026 is true.

Then wouldn't it also be true that the knowledge and skill required to
operate
1,500 watts of CW on 144.026 MHz is EXACTLY the same knowledge and
skill
required to operate 1,500 watts on 14.026 MHz?

And wouldn't it also be true that the knowledge and skill required to
operate
1,500 watts of CW on 14.026 MHz is EXACTLY the same knowledge and skill
required to operate 1,500 watts on 13.976 MHz?

Why can't Technicians operate on 14.026? Why can't hams operate on
13.976?

And while we're on the subject....

Why are hams only allowed 1500 watts output? Why not 3000, or
5000, or 10,000?

Up until about 20 years ago, all US hams were allowed up to
1000 W input on AM voice. With plate modulation and a
final amplifier efficiency of 75%, that could mean 750 watts
of carrier output, and 3000 watts peak output at full modulation.
The 1500 watt rule cut that in half. Why?

> > Some years back, QCWA proposed to FCC that all hams who had held
> > a General, Conditional or Advanced before the changes took place in
> > 1968
> > should get an automatic upgrade to Extra because they lost privileges
> > then.
> > FCC said no way.
>
> Some years back, the ARRL wanted to keep 13 and 20wpm code exams.
>
> FCC said no way.

Actually that's not true.

The 1998 ARRL proposal would have eliminated the 13 and 20 wpm code
tests
and replaced them with a 12 wpm code test. IIRC, General code test
would have
gone to 5 wpm in their proposal.

> > > Sorry you feel that way.

> > Why?

> > Is the 50 question Extra written exam too difficult?

> With you, it's all about making entry difficult.

Not at all. It's about reasonable and attainable standards.

Is the 50 question Extra written exam too difficult to be
considered "reasonable and attainable"? After all,
that exam is all that separates a General and an Extra
anymore (since April 2000).

> > > > > If there were only ONE license, there would be no
> > > > > "upgrading" via licenses, would there?

> > > > Right.

> > > > And if there were only one license, regardless of
> > > > what it would be called, its test(s) would
> > > > have to contain everything that is now contained in
> > > > the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.
> > > > Otherwise the standards would be reduced.

> > > No, it wouldn't. Strawman.

> > If you're willing to reduce the standards, the testing
> > could be reduced. It's clear that's no problem for
> > you.

> You think I want a 49 question exam? Hi!

I think you want the licensing standards lowered even more than
they have been already.

> BTW, many of the exams are 49 or 48 or 47 questions because of the bad
> questions presently in the QP.

That should be fixed.

> > > The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.

> > That ended 37 years ago. Why do you live in the past?

> You're funny, Jim.

> > Would you like to go back to the General test of 1968? Testing
> > at FCC offices only unless you lived more then 175 miles from
> > an exam point, no CSCEs, no published question pools, 30
> > day wait to retest. Oh yes, and 13 wpm code, sending and
> > receiving.

> It's always an ultimatum strawman with you, isn't it?

I'm simply pointing out where your line of reasoning leads.

You want to go back to the past for one little piece but not
the rest.

> Sorry, but no one proclaimed you King Jim of Amateur Radiodom.

I've never claimed to be an expert, king, or anything other than
what I am.

Apparently my knowledge and skills intimidate you, so that you
have to attack me personally rather than argue facts and opinions.

No one proclaimed you king either.

Is someone who expresses an opinion here somehow claiming a
royal role?

> Use the present VE system, 50 question exam (or 49 questions if you
> must), no code test.

> Thanks for playing.

IOW, you want to lower the standards from three written tests totalling

120 questions, and one code test, to just one 50 question written test.
For all US Amateur Radio privileges.

> > > > So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
> > > > have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
> > > > for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
> > > > amateur radio license.

> > > > Is that what you want?

> > > You're the one who loved the Incentive Licensing System which took
> > > priveleges away from fully qualified amateurs.

> > Who said I "loved" it?

> You have defended Incentive Licensing against every challenger.

I've defended the concepts. Is that not allowed?

> > > You're the one who
> > > loves unnecessary licensing requirements.
> >
> > none of the license requirements I support are
> > "unnecessary".
>
> General exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.
>
> Advance exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.
>
> Extra exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.

Technician exam earns all legal modes and all legal power, too.
See where your line of reasoning leads?

> The Advanced and Extra exams and the Advanced and Extra license classes
> are ARBITRARY and REDUNDANT.

To you - but not to FCC.

> > You're the one who supports lowering the standards
> > again and again.

> That is merely your jaundiced opinion.

"Jaundiced"? Or accurate?

The testing standards have been lowered again and again in the past
25-30 years.
But that's not enough for you - you want them to be lower still. I
disagree, that's all.
But it's not me you have to convince - it's FCC.
> > --
> >
> > So let's see what you're proposing:
> >
> > - Full amateur privileges for the testing of a General license,
> > without any code test.

Actually not - what you want is full amateur privileges for
less written testing than is currently required for a General
license, without any code test.

> > - All existing Generals, Advanceds, and Extras get full
> > privileges. Some Technicians and Technician Pluses
> > who passed the Tech written when it was same as
> > General get full privileges too.
> >
> > Two questions:
> >
> > What happens to existing Novices and Technicians who
> > haven't passed the General written?
>
> What happens to them now???

I'm asking what your one-class-of-license plan would do
for them. It's your plan, not mine.
>
> > FCC has repeatedly refused free (no-test) upgrades.
>
> So?

So you have to convince FCC to reverse that policy if you
want your plan put into effect.

> > FCC has said that the optimum system for the
> > future is a 3 level system, but that they'll keep the
> > closed-out classes until they disappear by attrition.
>
> So?

So you have to convince FCC to reverse that policy if you
want your plan put into effect.

> > How will you convince them to do otherwise?

> I don't intend to convincee the FCC to give free upgrades. I don't
> intend to convince the FCC to accept one class of license. I don't
> intend to convince the FCC to do anything other than keep closed-out
> classes until they disappear by attrition.

Then please don't expect FCC to give free upgrades. Don't expect FCC
to implement less than 3 classes of licenses. And don't expect
FCC to lower the testing standards any more than is already proposed.
>
> I -expect- good government.

Aren't you getting it? Your party has controlled the White House
for 17 of the past 25 years. The same party that gave us a B-movie
actor for 8 years and now a failed oilman for another 8.

IIRC, the White House nominates the FCC Commissioners....

> I -expect- the FCC to eliminate arbitrary and redundant licensing
> requirements and license classes.

IOW, you expect the FCC to agree with you on everything without
you having to convince them.

> The FCC looks foolish for not having dealt with these issues already.

To whom? Perhaps you should tell the FCC they look foolish...

1306. Morgan, use a spellchecker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 03:04:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kZLnf.2613$n1.1719@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127076

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Violation of that caveat will get you "violated".
>

Not often, even when it is cited, the violation has to be deliberate, persistent, and outrageous.

Listen to the CW bands on any CW contest weekend, or the Phone bands on any Phone contest weekend, and you'll find the bandplans exuberantly ignored by hundreds of stations.

Look at the bandplan for 160M with a window 20kHz wide at 1830-1850 reserved for intercontinental QSO's only. Just for shits and grins I just tuned that segment and found 6 NA-to-NA contacts ongoing. Do ya think they'll get tagged by Riley?

73, de Hans, K0HB

1307. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 03:09:06 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <439f8b18.136876998@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127077
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 20:46:36 -0500, "Fred W4JLE" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>
>The whole bloody Royal lot are dumber that coal buckets. One of the reasons
>I have to smile when you folks across the pond attack our presidents
>intelligence..
>

The important difference is, Fred, that our Royals do not rule the
country... ie they don't make decisions to go to war, put up taxes,
increase the price of fuel, etc. Your president does and over here
most Brits would not agree with his attitude to the Iraq war,
especially as it appears to have a religious justification in his
mind.

I've visited the USA several times over the past few years since the
Iraq business started and I've heard much criticism of your
Republican government. Bush does not have the whole nation behind him
as he likes to think.

Peter, G3PHO

1308. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 03:11:08 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <43a08cd1.137318052@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127078
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 20:19:10 +0000, "M. J. Powell"
<mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk> wrote:

>In message <439dccd3.22632213@news.blueyonder.co.uk>, Peter
><microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> writes
>>On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
>><magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>>>England is still a mainly white Christian Country..
>>
>>What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
>>population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.
>
>More people go to church than go to football matches.

SO ??? I'll bet more people go shopping on Sunday than go to church.

...and I'm not sure you're correct in your assumption

1309. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 03:13:34 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <43a18d37.137420350@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127079
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 18:48:15 -0500, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Peter wrote:
>> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
>> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>England is still a mainly white Christian Country..
>>
>>
>> What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
>> population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.
>
>Peter, I'll remember you in prayers and at my masses.
OH... so you assume I am an atheist.? How wrong can you be! However
I like to think for myself rather than be brainwashed by some guy in
fancy robes.... especially the brand that do Mass :-)

1310. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 03:32:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134531139.796960.64510@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127080

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> > > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> > > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> > > > > > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
>
> Considering that Len hasn't even started, that's hardly a surprise...
>
> > > > > > >The alternative would be to eliminate all license classes except
> > > > > > >the Amateur Extra, and require all new hams to meet all the
> > > > > > >requirements of the Amateur Extra without any intermediate
> > > > > > >steps.
>
> > > > > > The ONLY alternative? :-)
>
> > > > > If you don't want to lower the written test requirements, yes.
>
> > > > Jim just got through posting that in 1936 the code speed was *increased
> > > > and the written exams made *more comprehensive for the three license
> > > > classes at the time. Later, >all priveleges< were granted to the
> > > > General class license.
>
> That's the history.

Sad, but true.

> > > >From before 1936, until 1951, full amateur privileges in the USA
> > > required a Class A license. (15 years including the WW2 shutdown)
>
> > > >From 1951 until 1953 full amateur privileges in the USA required an
> > > Advanced or an Amateur Extra license. (2 years)
>
> > Did the General license convey the modes and power privs that the
> > Advanced and Extra licenses conveyed?
>
> Look it up.

Hi!

> > > >From 1953 until 1968 full amateur privileges in the USA required a
> > > Conditional, General, Advanced or Amateur Extra license. (15 years)
>
> > > (the requirements for full privileges were lowered in early 1953)
>
> > > >From 1968 until the present time, full amateur privileges in the USA
> > > have required an Amateur Extra license. (37 years)
>
> > Did the General and Advanced licenses convey the modes and power privs
> > that the Extra license conveyed?
>
> I think you know the answer.

I think you are right.

> > > > Then they were taken away.
>
> > > 37 years ago. I lost privileges. You and Len did not.
>
> > The entire USA amateur service lost in a big way,
>
> How? Extras did not lose any privileges back then. Others could
> get back the "lost" privileges by taking a test or two.
>
> Nobody lost any bands, power or modes except Novices, who
> lost 2 meter 'phone.

Was it necessary to punish amateurs?

> > but you find a way to personalize it.
>
> The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.

They affected everyone after you as well.

> They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.

You're simply wrong on that one, Quitefine.

> > > > Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
> > > > "lowering the requirements."
>
> > > Yes, it would be.
>
> > Does the Advanced and Extra licenses convey the modes and power privs
> > that the General license conveyed?
>
> What do you think?

I think you know what I think.

> > > > > > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
> > > > > > classes?
>

> > > > > One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
> > > > > You haven't.
>
> > > > One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
> > > > all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher.
>
> Which is one reason the rules were changed in 1968 and 1969.

Which is another reason that those license classes were arbitrary and
redundant at the time. They remain so today.

> > > And FCC was convinced that wasn't a good thing. FCC is still convinced
> > > of the need for at least 3 license classes.
>
> > Yeh, yeh, yeh. Using the same logic, if the FCC were conviced that a
> > Morse Code exam were still a good idea, they would have a specification
> > for Morse Code in the regulations.
>
> Nope.

Yep.

> FCC specifically mentions the need for a 3 level license system in the
> NPRM.

The FCC specifically excludes any definition or specification for Morse
Code.

> > Just because no one at the FCC is paying attention doesn't mean that
> > the present rules are worthwhile.
>
> "No one at the FCC is paying attention"?
>
> Just because they disagree with you?

It was just a guess. Why else would they allow such arbitrary and
redundant rules, exams, and license classes to exist?

> > > You might want to read the current NPRM. Pay particular attention to
> > > footnote 142...
> >
> > Asleep at the wheel.
>
> FCC's not asleep.

Coma?

> > > > Then the FCC
> > > > implemented the Incentive Licensing System which you loved, took away
> > > > priveleges, and the rest is history. Now you say that going back to
> > > > all priveleges for the General exam is lowering requirements.
>
> > > And it would be. The standards were reduced in the Great Giveaway of

> > > 1953. You want a repeat of that.
>
> > Presently, every mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to
> > General, Advanced, and Extra licensee. That means that the Advanced
> > and Extra exams are arbitrary and redundant.
>
> In your opinion, yes, but not in my opinion. And not in FCC's opinion.

You could be correct. And if you're correct then it is the General and
Advanced licensees that are getting a nearly free ride. They must be
stripped of privs.

> But let's explore your statement there a bit.
>
> "Presently, every mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to
> General, Advanced, and Extra licensee."
>
> That's true, as far as it goes. But it's also true that, presently,
> every
> mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to Technician,
> Technician Plus, General, Advanced, and Extra licensees.

I understand the reason for the split in privs between the Tech/Tech+
and the G/A/E licensees. The reason for that barrier no longer exists,
but the exam and licensing schema has not kept pace. Time to perform a
top-down review, starting with basis and purpose.

> So by *your* logic (not mine), the General, Advanced
> and Extra exams are arbitrary and redundant.

The Technician exam is weak on HF issues. What do you think?

> > You need to have REAL distinctions is Testing Material VS Priveleges
> > between the license classes.
>
> There are real distinctions in the tested material. The distinctions
> in privileges are less clear.

As I said.

> > Those distinctions do not presently exist.
>
> Sure they do. The trouble you perceive is that they're not directly
> related to the
> additional privileges granted.

Time to reconcile.

> > The knowledge and skill required to operate 1,500 watts of CW at 14.024
> > is EXACTLY the same knowledge and skill required to operate 1,500 watts
> > at 14.026
>
> How do you know? Have you ever done it? I think not!

>
> The knowledge and skill required to operate 1500 watts of CW on 14.024
> includes Morse Code skill, too.
>
> But let's suppose your claim about 14.026 is true.

Let's not for the moment. You now need to explain how it is different.
Provide detail.

> Then wouldn't it also be true that the knowledge and skill required to
> operate
> 1,500 watts of CW on 144.026 MHz is EXACTLY the same knowledge and
> skill
> required to operate 1,500 watts on 14.026 MHz?

There are differences in the behavior of RF at VHF frequencies. An
environmental assessment will begin to expose that.

> And wouldn't it also be true that the knowledge and skill required to
> operate
> 1,500 watts of CW on 14.026 MHz is EXACTLY the same knowledge and skill
> required to operate 1,500 watts on 13.976 MHz?

Different service, but you're getting the point. Bravo!

I don't know if you really want to be a freebander, though.

> Why can't Technicians operate on 14.026? Why can't hams operate on
> 13.976?

And there you go with the ultimatums and strawmen.

> And while we're on the subject....
>
> Why are hams only allowed 1500 watts output? Why not 3000, or
> 5000, or 10,000?

Go to Italy. They may have waivers.

> Up until about 20 years ago, all US hams were allowed up to
> 1000 W input on AM voice. With plate modulation and a
> final amplifier efficiency of 75%, that could mean 750 watts
> of carrier output, and 3000 watts peak output at full modulation.
> The 1500 watt rule cut that in half. Why?

Physics?

> > > Some years back, QCWA proposed to FCC that all hams who had held
> > > a General, Conditional or Advanced before the changes took place in
> > > 1968
> > > should get an automatic upgrade to Extra because they lost privileges
> > > then.
> > > FCC said no way.
> >

> > Some years back, the ARRL wanted to keep 13 and 20wpm code exams.
> >
> > FCC said no way.
>
> Actually that's not true.

> The 1998 ARRL proposal would have eliminated the 13 and 20 wpm code
> tests
> and replaced them with a 12 wpm code test. IIRC, General code test
> would have
> gone to 5 wpm in their proposal.

And moments prior to that proposal, the ARRL had NO proposal. But they
saw Carl and the NCI walking up the steps to the FCC office...

> > > > Sorry you feel that way.
>
> > > Why?
>
> > > Is the 50 question Extra written exam too difficult?
>
> > With you, it's all about making entry difficult.
>
> Not at all. It's about reasonable and attainable standards.

Then why do you bring up difficulty?

> Is the 50 question Extra written exam too difficult to be
> considered "reasonable and attainable"? After all,
> that exam is all that separates a General and an Extra
> anymore (since April 2000).

Again you bring up difficulty. Why?

Arbitrary is not reasonable.

Redundant is not reasonable.

Superfluous is not reasonable.

What is necessary?

> > > > > > If there were only ONE license, there would be no
> > > > > > "upgrading" via licenses, would there?
>
> > > > > Right.
>
> > > > > And if there were only one license, regardless of
> > > > > what it would be called, its test(s) would
> > > > > have to contain everything that is now contained in
> > > > > the three written tests for the Amateur Extra.
> > > > > Otherwise the standards would be reduced.
>
> > > > No, it wouldn't. Strawman.

>
> > > If you're willing to reduce the standards, the testing
> > > could be reduced. It's clear that's no problem for
> > > you.
>
> > You think I want a 49 question exam? Hi!
>
> I think you want the licensing standards lowered even more than
> they have been already.

I want the necessary amount of regulation required, without arbitrary,
redundant, or superfluous license exams, license classes, and
privileges.

> > BTW, many of the exams are 49 or 48 or 47 questions because of the bad
> > questions presently in the QP.
>
> That should be fixed.

We are self-regulating, after all.

> > > > The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.
>
> > > That ended 37 years ago. Why do you live in the past?
>
> > You're funny, Jim.
>
> > > Would you like to go back to the General test of 1968? Testing
> > > at FCC offices only unless you lived more then 175 miles from
> > > an exam point, no CSCEs, no published question pools, 30
> > > day wait to retest. Oh yes, and 13 wpm code, sending and
> > > receiving.
>
> > It's always an ultimatum strawman with you, isn't it?
>
> I'm simply pointing out where your line of reasoning leads.

You saw where it leads. It leads to the elimination of arbitrary,
redundant, and superfluous licensing exams, classes, and privileges.

> You want to go back to the past for one little piece but not
> the rest.

No. I've already shown that the VE system could implement the exam.
No one would have to travel 175 miles to their nearest FCC office.
I've already shown that the FCC plan to leave presently licensed
Novices and Advanced amateur to the actuarial tables is OK with me.

Why do you say otherwise?

> > Sorry, but no one proclaimed you King Jim of Amateur Radiodom.
>
> I've never claimed to be an expert, king, or anything other than

> what I am.

You like arbitrary, redundant and superfluous exams, classes, and
privileges?

> Apparently my knowledge and skills intimidate you, so that you
> have to attack me personally rather than argue facts and opinions.

Apparently they do not. The mode chosen to provide the example of
arbitrary privs was done for your ease of understanding, not mine. And
you quickly grasped the concept and took it to its logical extension,
which would mean a freefall of your prestige and stature in the amateur
community. That scared you.

> No one proclaimed you king either.

Remember, I am not the one seeking power and prestige through amateur
radio. I've been a proponent of the one license (classless) service
for a long, long time.

> Is someone who expresses an opinion here somehow claiming a
> royal role?

I'm glad that you easily grasp the concept that these are, after all,
only our opinions. Not "Statements of Fact," nor "Assertions of Fact."
Expressing an opinion does not make one a liar.

However, you need to realize that I advocate a review of ALL government
that presently exists, not just amateur radio. The review should start
with, "What is the purpose of government?" Refer to the U.S.
Constitution and the Bill of Rights often.

> > Use the present VE system, 50 question exam (or 49 questions if you
> > must), no code test.
>
> > Thanks for playing.
>
> IOW, you want to lower the standards from three written tests totalling
>
> 120 questions, and one code test, to just one 50 question written test.
> For all US Amateur Radio privileges.

The 50 question (or 49) exam was your proposal.

What is necessary?

Are 200 questions necessary?

> > > > > So what you propose is that all new amateurs would
> > > > > have to pass the equivalent of all the written tests
> > > > > for the Amateur Extra all at once, just to get an
> > > > > amateur radio license.
>
> > > > > Is that what you want?

>
> > > > You're the one who loved the Incentive Licensing System which took
> > > > priveleges away from fully qualified amateurs.
>
> > > Who said I "loved" it?
>
> > You have defended Incentive Licensing against every challenger.
>
> I've defended the concepts. Is that not allowed?

Love is allowed. John Lennon said, "All we need is love."

> > > > You're the one who
> > > > loves unnecessary licensing requirements.
> > >
> > > none of the license requirements I support are
> > > "unnecessary".
> >
> > General exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.
> >
> > Advance exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.
> >
> > Extra exam earns all legal modes and all legal power.
>
> Technician exam earns all legal modes and all legal power, too.

Helluva ENTRY level license, huh?

> See where your line of reasoning leads?

Yes, I do. And the Technician class is an artifact left over from an
ITU rule that was kluged together when the Novice Class went bust.

> > The Advanced and Extra exams and the Advanced and Extra license classes
> > are ARBITRARY and REDUNDANT.
>
> To you - but not to FCC.

You now speak for the FCC?

> > > You're the one who supports lowering the standards
> > > again and again.
>
> > That is merely your jaundiced opinion.
>
> "Jaundiced"? Or accurate?

Necessary rules. Necessary exam(s). Necessary license classes all
tied to privileges granted.

> The testing standards have been lowered again and again in the past
> 25-30 years.
> But that's not enough for you - you want them to be lower still. I

> disagree, that's all.
> But it's not me you have to convince - it's FCC.
> > > --
> > >
> > > So let's see what you're proposing:
> > >
> > > - Full amateur privileges for the testing of a General license,
> > > without any code test.
>
> Actually not - what you want is full amateur privileges for
> *less* written testing than is currently required for a General
> license, without any code test.

You could probably drop the rhetoric about code tests.

> > > - All existing Generals, Advanceds, and Extras get full
> > > privileges. Some Technicians and Technician Pluses
> > > who passed the Tech written when it was same as
> > > General get full privileges too.
> > >
> > > Two questions:
> > >
> > > What happens to existing Novices and Technicians who
> > > haven't passed the General written?
> >
> > What happens to them now???
>
> I'm asking what your one-class-of-license plan would do
> for them. It's your plan, not mine.

Why? The FCC is presently dealing with it fairly.

> > > FCC has repeatedly refused free (no-test) upgrades.
> >
> > So?
>
> So you have to convince FCC to reverse that policy if you
> want your plan put into effect.

Why? Why must I do what you say???

You are acting very king-like.

> > > FCC has said that the optimum system for the
> > > future is a 3 level system, but that they'll keep the
> > > closed-out classes until they disappear by attrition.
> >
> > So?
>
> So you have to convince FCC to reverse that policy if you
> want your plan put into effect.

The plan can be put into effect without harassing people who don't want
to do anything with their existing licenses.

You want the FCC to intern these folks? I understand that Ft Chaffee
is underutilized.

> > > How will you convince them to do otherwise?
>
> > I don't intend to convincee the FCC to give free upgrades. I don't
> > intend to convince the FCC to accept one class of license. I don't
> > intend to convince the FCC to do anything other than keep closed-out
> > classes until they disappear by attrition.
>
> Then please don't expect FCC to give free upgrades.

Did't state that, didn't suggest that. Why do you keep putting your
scarecrow out there?

Don't expect FCC
> to implement less than 3 classes of licenses. And don't expect
> FCC to lower the testing standards any more than is already proposed.

What is proposed is the elimination of the code exam. You're welcome
to confine your discussions on rrap to that.

> > I -expect- good government.
>
> Aren't you getting it? Your party has controlled the White House
> for 17 of the past 25 years. The same party that gave us a B-movie
> actor for 8 years and now a failed oilman for another 8.
>
> IIRC, the White House nominates the FCC Commissioners....

Angry white male? Is that you?

> > I -expect- the FCC to eliminate arbitrary and redundant licensing
> > requirements and license classes.
>
> IOW, you expect the FCC to agree with you on everything without
> you having to convince them.

You expect the FCC to agree with me even if I should put forth a
convincing proposal?

Hi! You angry white males are all the same.

> > The FCC looks foolish for not having dealt with these issues already.
>
> To whom? Perhaps you should tell the FCC they look foolish...

You thought the '98 NPRM was clearly written?

You thought the '03 RO dealt with reorganization sufficiently?

You think the present Code question is able to be dealt with in a
vacuum?

You think the present inconsistencies in the amateur regulations, exams, licenses, and privileges is healthy for the ARS?

Yeh, the FCC looks stupid to me.

1311. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 04:29:31 UTC
From: Mexicana Aeronáutica y Spacia Administraci ón <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx>
Message-ID: <LcNnf.41292$6e1.10364@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 127081
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Peter wrote...
> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
>>England is still a mainly white Christian Country..
>
>
> What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
> population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.

I think he may be using the tradition of defining a person's religion by what his parents' religion was. In other words, xians claim all babies born to xian parents, even though the parents may not even have been particularly religious and were simply born to parents who also were claimed by the xian church. Same with moozlums, any baby unfortunate to be born into that cult is claimed as a member for life.

BTW, I was born to xian parents who also claimed me for their cult and the defining moment in my life was when they coerced me into joining their church at age 13. I haven't been to church since, and share Marilyn Manson's hope that I'll be remembered as the person who brought an end to xianity.

Hail Satan!

1312. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 04:34:34 UTC
From: Mexicana Aeronáutica y Spacia Administraci ón <webmaestro@masa.gob.mx>
Message-ID: <uhNnf.41295$6e1.13076@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 127082
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Amos Keag wrote...
> Peter wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 11 Dec 2005 13:09:35 -0000, "The Magnum"
>> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> England is still a mainly white Christian Country..
>>
>>
>>
>> What ever gave you that idea??? A very small percentage of the
>> population go to church in the UK. The rest have more sense.
>
>
> Peter, I'll remember you in prayers and at my masses.

"Even though the god in all of these religions is basically the
same, each regards the way chosen by the others as reprehensible,
and to top it all, religionists actually PRAY for one another!
They have scorn for their brothers of the right-hand path because
their religions carry different labels, and somehow this animosity
must be released. What better way than through 'prayer'! What a
simperingly polite way of saying: 'I hate your guts,' is the
thinly disguised device known as praying for your enemy! Praying
for one's own enemy is nothing more than bargain-basement anger,
and of a decidedly shoddy and inferior quality!"
-- Anton LaVey; "The Satanic Bible"

1313. Re: Your Radio Leader Speaks: K1MAN Essay - Three Classes of Americans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 06:11:00 UTC
From: soap on a rope <mrfartharder@looseorifaces.com>
Message-ID: <da6e8$439fc582$d1cc7c4f$3342@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 127083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134501971.163205.170880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN ESSAY - ES051212B -

(much BS deleted)

....couldn't Y'all just imagine K1MAN and KV4FZ in a steam room
bathouse someplace in San Francisco? Yeah! I can picture Herbie
sittin on a towel in a 120ï¿½ F steamy
turkish bath as Glen takes a running leap landing atop his shoulders
facie-frontwards sayin: "I luv you Herbie Baby!!" xoxoxo

1314. Re: A Legal Alternative for 11 Meter Ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 06:15:18 UTC
From: JRK <byrdhaus@netins.net>
Message-ID: <dnod9afb1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 127084
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Just when you thought it was going to be an intelligent thread

1315. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 10:06:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134554771.977067.180940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127085

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 13 Dec 2005 06:04:23 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> <1134482663.534843.306450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

> > 73 and welcome to USENET Amateur Radio Hell!
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> You're a ham and you don't even know what "73" means?

I'd ask you to explain how you got the idea that I don't know what
"73" means from that, but what's the use?

Steve, K4YZ

1316. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 10:14:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134555246.410632.145350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:

> >Todd "Toiddie" Daugherty, N9OGL. Todd is a combination of a
> >"Saturday Night Live" version of Howard Stern on the Amateur airways,
> >with just a bit of PeeWee Herman thrown in for flavor. He purports to
> >operate an "information bulletin" station yet refers to all of his
> >broadcasting as "shows", and clearly uses these to broadcast his
> >personal opinion and rhetoric, not "information".
>
> Nice, coming from someone who has NEVER heard my bulletin. If you think
> (which I really doubt you do) it's illegal then bitch to the FCC, if
> you aren't going to complain then shut the HELL up.

Hey Toiddie...If you can bring YOUR mindless trash in here under
the color of protection of the Constitution, then guess what...?!?!?

YOU don't have ANY right to suggest someone else not exercise
their opinions regardless of what YOU think of them.

> > Almost 40, he's living at home and sopping up all the resources he can from mumsy and
> >pops while they are still able to do it.
>
> Yeah right steve, lying again

Nope.

> > Todd's biggest rants center around (1) his inability to obtain an LPFM license for his
non-existant
> >"company",
>
> Steve, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM, get your fact right before
> posting. As for my "company" who said it's non-exisant? you a little
> nobody. RIGHT!

LPTV...I stand corrected...However the rest remains correct.

Your "company" does not have a charter in the State of Illinois.
Nor is there a business license I can find.

Ergo...It doesn't exist...save perhaps in your imagination.

> >(2) his illegal use of the Amateur service to take the place of the LPFM license he
didn't get,
>
> Dam right, and there's NOTHING yo can do about it Bwahahaha

Sure there is.

> > and now (3) his BBS sites for the promulgation of kiddy-porn anime. I wish him well since there's a recent news release of a guy sentenced by a FEDERAL court for promulgating cartoon-style >kiddy-porn.
>
> The truth steve is the guy was found guilty of possession REAL child
> porn, animation porn is in fact legal (Below is the Federal Law)
>
> SSEC. 502. IMPROVEMENTS TO PROHIBITION ON VIRTUAL CHILD
> PORNOGRAPHY....SNIP

Sooner or later you'll get jammed up, Toiddie, and you'll wish
you'd spent your precious "freedom of speech" on something meaningful.

> >Of course like all kiddy-porners, he claims it's his "Constitutional" right to promulgate kiddy-porn.
>
> Animation Porn, even lolicon is LEGAL and in 2001 the US Supreme Court
> ruled that "virtual child porn" (which includes animation lolicon) WAS
> protected by the First Amendment. Beside what are going to do about
> it??? NOTHING, grow up steve you little worm

I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.

And from what I've seen, YOU are the worm, four eyes.

Steve, K4YZ

1317. Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 11:08:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134558491.278263.168720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127087

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> >more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
>
> stevi lie

Not from what we can see of the content and context of your posts,
Markie.

> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
>
> stevi lie

Not from what we can see of the content and context of your posts,
Markie.

> >> > > starting out with
> >> > > "And this is different from any other law...HOW?"
> >> > >
> >> > > he follows up wit
> >> >
> >> > "with"
> >> >
> >> > > >k4yz
> >> > > >> nobodys old friend
> >> > > >> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
> >> > > >> are not laws
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Sure they are.
> >> > > >
> >> > > how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie
> >> >
> >> > "maintain"
> >> >
> >> > It's not a lie.
> >>
> >> it is a lie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> stevi lie

Not from what we can see of the content and context of your posts,
Markie.

> > And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
> >it be true, Markie.
>
> stevi lie

Not from what we can see of the content and context of your posts,
Markie.

> >> > > why can't he just fees up
> >>
> >> cuting Stevie evasion
> >
> > "cutting", Markie...
> >
> > two "t's"
> >
> > Like the REST of the English speaking world.
> >
> >> > Sheeesh.
> >> >
> >> > > but then after about 90 he has not admited even to himself that he
> >> > > lacks any evidence that I should be commited dispite claiming to sent
> >> > > such to the state of michigan
> >> >
> >> > "committed" "Michigan"
> >> >
> >> > Dip and doddle
> >> >
> >> > dance and swerve
> >> >
> >> > Markie will get
> >> >
> >> > What he deserves
> >> >
> >> > Not today,
> >> >
> >> > nor even the morrow
> >> >
> >> > But it WILL happen,
> >> >
> >> > To his great sorrow.
>
> stevi lie

Nope.

> >> still holding onto that lie
> >
> > What lie?
>

> stevi lie

A question is not a "lie", Markie.

> >> of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
> >> years
> >
> > I didn't lie and I didn't threaten your life.
>
> stevi lie

Not from what we can see of the content and context of your posts,
Markie.

> stevi lie

Not from what we can see of the content and context of your posts,
Markie.

> > And repeating THAT over and over does not make it true, Markie.
>
> stevi lie

Nope.

> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> 7 lies one post

You're not only functionally illiterate, your math skills are
non-existant.

Steve, K4YZ

1318. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 11:14:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134558881.486166.190170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127088

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > If you're wrong, Brain, you're wrong.
>
> Brian

Yeah. Right.

> > Why do you and the Feeble Five have a hard time with that simple
> > concept?
>
> Me and the "Feeble Five?"

Do you now have an English comprehension deficit, Brain?

> > > > Len, if you had actually *read* what I wrote on those
> > > > days, you'd know that.
> > > >
> > > > What I have written is a question for you and others.
> > >
> > > Can you answer your own question?
> >
> > Can you answer mine about why you've used diminutives against Jim
> > when he's never used them on you?
>
> I've never sent a diminuitive to do a man's job. I think there are
> laws against it.

I see.

No answer.

> > > > Here it is again:
> > > >
> > > > Is service in the military the only way a citizen can
> > > > serve our country? Or are there other ways?
> > >
> > > A rhetorical question? You wanted an answer?
> > >
> > > Or were you implying that you "served in other ways?"
> > >
> > > Can you answer your own question, or are you going to leave it up to us
> > > Veterans of low-intelligence who got duped by "The Man" to act as
> > > cannon fodder in exchange for a chance at an education?
> >
> > Again with the self-victimizing, Brain.

>
> Not at all. One can only imagine what Jim thinks of Veterans after
> some of his more recent postings. An one can only imagine why he
> thought himself too precious to serve... Since he has no answers, only
> questions.

Sure "at all".

You and Lennie go to great lengths to dismiss any argument about
the retention of Morse Code testing based upon the "I Had To Do It So
You Should Too", premise, yet here you are using that SAME premise
applied to citizenship.

So...What's up with that?

> > And a very, VERY poor suggestion that Jim said anything of the
> > kind.
>
> Yeh, well, I've heard it from other no-servers. Jim has no answers,
> only questions.

Speaking of "no answers"....

Still waiting on a few from you....posed over the last few
YEARS...

Steve, K4YZ

1319. Re: stevei si reminded that he can stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 13:54:24 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134568464.088861.10280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127090

N9OGL wrote:
> He needs to apologize to everyone!

Fuck off, you little cocksucking queer.

1320. Re: stevei si reminded that he can stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 13:55:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134568528.606062.326100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127091

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On 11 Dec 2005 01:12:07 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >He needs to apologize to everyone!
> >
> >Todd N9OGL
> >http://12.156.123.39/intelligentsia/index.php
> yes he does but I'll give him a break for awhi;le in the unlikely
> event he apologizes to anyone
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

Hey Markie, how's your aids?

1321. Re: More KB9RQZ Censorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 13:57:22 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134568642.863185.255840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127092
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You mean, Markie the Michigan Moron at work.

1322. Re: soory (SORRY) my hubby is am (AN) ###hole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 13:59:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134568793.930756.76620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127093

Delusional queer pervert Markie must think he's your wife.

1323. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 14:39:54 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ofadnew7Prglsz3enZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127094
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Peter wrote:
>
>
> OH... so you assume I am an atheist.? How wrong can you be! However
> I like to think for myself rather than be brainwashed by some guy in
> fancy robes.... especially the brand that do Mass :-)

When I wear my robes at Mass I'll still pray for you. There's nothing
you can do about it.

1324. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 14:56:33 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <H8KdnUD5gYY9rz3eRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 127095
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Peter wrote:

SNIPPED
> I've visited the USA several times over the past few years since the
> Iraq business started and I've heard much criticism of your
> Republican government. Bush does not have the whole nation behind him
> as he likes to think.
>
> Peter, G3PHO

Peter, you have to understand a subtlety of the USA government. Even though some members of the Congress criticize the President publically for the IRAQ war, the Congress actually controls the war. They pay for it!! The Congressional criticism is simply to posture for votes in the next election.
- - - - -
Reference: US Constitution Article 1, Section 7 Clause 1:

"All Bills for raising Revenue shall originate in the House of Representatives; but the Senate may propose or concur with Amendments as on other Bills."
- - - - -

If the Congress was really interested in bringing the USA troops home all they have to do is stop the funding.

The most powerful person in Washington DC is NOT the President!! It is the Speaker of the House of Representatives. Why? Because ALL SPENDING [$$$$$$] authorizzation MUST initiate in the House of Representatives. The Speaker of the House assigns committee membership. The committees establish and implement policy by how they authorize spending. The full Congress votes on and approves how the money will be spent!

So, IRAQ is NOT Bush's war! It is a USA war. It is bought and paid for by the representatives of the people. And the people are NOT unanimous but are a majority. That disagreement is the basic strength of the USA form of government. I can criticize my government as a political right.

1325. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 17:27:49 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bkk0q1de0903rk3fdhhcq7pnjca78otss5@4ax.com>
Source record: 127096

On 14 Dec 2005 02:06:12 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1134554771.977067.180940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 13 Dec 2005 06:04:23 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> whined in
>> <1134482663.534843.306450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> > 73 and welcome to USENET Amateur Radio Hell!
>> >
>> >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
>>
>> You're a ham and you don't even know what "73" means?
>
> I'd ask you to explain how you got the idea that I don't know what
>"73" means from that, but what's the use?

Sorry, Dud..... you know I'd never let you off the hook that easy.
Maybe one of your lap dogs can clue you in.

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Still afraid to post your DD-214?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1326. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 17:35:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lnl0q15f1njuhglt0gmpskm2d04vqgjju3@4ax.com>
Source record: 127097

On 14 Dec 2005 03:14:41 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1134558881.486166.190170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > If you're wrong, Brain, you're wrong.
>>
>> Brian
>
> Yeah. Right.
>
>> > Why do you and the Feeble Five have a hard time with that simple
>> > concept?
>>
>> Me and the "Feeble Five?"
>
> Do you now have an English comprehension deficit, Brain?

Coming from the only person to ever use the phrase "English
comprehension deficit", I'd say the question is irrelevant.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1327. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 17:56:57 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnpmaoemh1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 127098
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ofadnew7Prglsz3enZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Peter wrote:
> >
> >
> > OH... so you assume I am an atheist.? How wrong can you be! However
> > I like to think for myself rather than be brainwashed by some guy in
> > fancy robes.... especially the brand that do Mass :-)
>
> When I wear my robes at Mass I'll still pray for you. There's nothing
> you can do about it.

Pray for me to win the lottery please :O)

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1328. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 18:00:31 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnpmhe$s3n$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 127099
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Same with moozlums, any baby unfortunate to be born
> into that cult is claimed as a member for life.

Unless they are captured doing something naughty whan abroad then they swear
they are Englishmen and demand Tony Blair help them :o)

> BTW, I was born to xian parents who also claimed me for their
> cult and the defining moment in my life was when they coerced
> me into joining their church at age 13. I haven't been to
> church since, and share Marilyn Manson's hope that I'll be
> remembered as the person who brought an end to xianity.
>
> Hail Satan!

I doubt you'll be remembered for that :oP

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1329. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 19:08:58 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-1412051308580001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 127100

In article <dnnf8s$kk2$1@domitilla.aioe.org>, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:

> everywhere is new. come see.

You forgot the address for people to go to it, so they can see.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

1330. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 20:01:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134590512.651727.42330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Hey Toiddie...If you can bring YOUR mindless trash in here under
>the color of protection of the Constitution, then guess what...?!?!?
>YOU don't have ANY right to suggest someone else not exercise
>their opinions regardless of what YOU think of them.

That's the problem steve with the first amendment huh? BTW I do have
the right to assert and protect myself from assholes like you,
regardless if you like it or not.

> Yeah right steve, lying again
>Nope.

Where's your proof, Sure I live at home, but that don't mean I don't
have money, I own my own business.

> Steve, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM, get your fact right before
> posting. As for my "company" who said it's non-exisant? you a little
> nobody. RIGHT!

>LPTV...I stand corrected...However the rest remains correct.
>Your "company" does not have a charter in the State of Illinois.
>Nor is there a business license I can find.

But you forget one thing, as I've stated many times before, there's a
lot more to that LPTV deal it then you know. Secondly my "company" as
you claim isn't a business, it's a club, it always has been, since
1983. It started in 1983 as DDC-TV, we produced programs for the local
public acess channel, and for school. Most of the people that were in
our group back then was also part of the local theater group called
"the Spotlight Players" In 1985 We started Langley Park Studio's for
the sole purpose to get people interested in theater and the preforming
arts. In 1987 the Spotlight Player's disbanned so we were all that was
left when it can to theater and the arts. In the 1990's we changed it
to Langley Park Telecommuniation, because we not only wanted to promote
theater and the preforming arts but telecommunication as well. Langley
Park has done a hell of lot more work then what you think. We've had
people who has gone through our group only to later go on into other
aspects of the industry. We had one guy name Joey who was with our
group and now has his own rock band (Last time I talked to him they had
cut an album). Another one named Matt, went to the University of
Florida and got his degree in theater. We've also taught a number of
people radio and electronics only to later move on into the military
and Universities to continue their studies in that field. So steve, I
don't really give a shit what you think, cause frankly you don't know
what the hell your talking about.

> Dam right, and there's NOTHING yo can do about it Bwahahaha
> Sure there is.

RIGHT.....you've been saying that for a long time now...

>Sooner or later you'll get jammed up, Toiddie, and you'll wish
>you'd spent your precious "freedom of speech" on something meaningful.

Keep dreaming steve.

> Animation Porn, even lolicon is LEGAL and in 2001 the US Supreme Court
> ruled that "virtual child porn" (which includes animation lolicon) WAS
> protected by the First Amendment. Beside what are going to do about
> it??? NOTHING, grow up steve you little worm

> I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.

What tell your mommy??

Putz

Todd N9OGL

1331. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 20:24:00 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dnpv0ssoh1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127102

N9OGL wrote:
> In article <dnnf8s$kk2$1@domitilla.aioe.org>, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>everywhere is new. come see.
>
>
> You forgot the address for people to go to it, so they can see.

Is here in japlan. not in you land of gay cowboys.

1332. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 20:29:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134592140.869432.124930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127103

perhaps you should try rec.art.anime or rec.art.anime.misc

N9OGL
Demented Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

Demented Otaku Lolicon Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php

1333. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 21:29:41 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dnq2s2$2qn$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127104

N9OGL wrote:
> perhaps you should try rec.art.anime or rec.art.anime.misc
>

I not want arrested for that.

1334. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 22:14:50 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-1412051614500001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 127105

In article <dnq2s2$2qn$1@domitilla.aioe.org>, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
> > perhaps you should try rec.art.anime or rec.art.anime.misc
> >
>
> I not want arrested for that.

you lost me, Whatever

1335. moparholic at hotmail dot com loves men in truckstop toilets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 22:30:55 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134599454.929089.225360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127106
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote, while stroking his wand and praying to watch gay porno
flix:
> Hey fucknut, newsreader.com is not my isp. Pull your head out of your
> lardass.

Why, so you can lick his face and his piles?

1336. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 22:32:58 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134599578.663161.146550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127107
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

gay mparholic's ISP is Valley Internet

1337. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 22:46:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134600387.705485.279930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127108

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 12, 3:17 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 10:06 am
>> >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 10, 1:14 pm
>> >> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
>> >> >> > >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> > >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> >> >> > >> >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
>> >
>> >> >Jim? Hello?
>> >
>> >> I hear nothing from him on "serving."
>> >
>> >I'm finally getting the picture. Jim asks the question if it is
>> >possible to "serve in other ways?"
>> >
>> >Not that HE served in other ways, mind you, but "other people may have
>> >served in other ways."
>>
>> Ah! The fog begins to lift over the land of ambiguity! Land ho!
>
>I guess you've got to read between every line with these guys.

Hmmm...in that case I'm getting a disturbing picture of Jimmie
Noserve = Dudly the Imposter.

NOT a good picture for the ARS.

>How William Jefferson has changed the nature of "truth." Sigh.

Tsk, this isn't a question of Presidential Politics.

In that case, what of George Walker ever going beyond the
Air Guard service? :-(

Sorry, but the last REAL SERVING IN THE MILITARY President
was James Earle Carter, USN, and George Herbert Walker Bush,
USNR, and Gerald Rudolph Ford, USNR. [living that is]

None of them had any direct contact with amateur radio.

>> >Jim remains a no server in any category.
>>
>> Oh, I dunno, connect to that server and lots of spam comes
>> across...
>
>Pretends to talk a pretty good talk til you read between the lines.

...and then he gets all snotty when ya don't agree wid him.

>> >> Could be he fired up his personal "wayback machine" and went back
>> >> to 1936 to review the ARRL political situation on morris goad
>> >> testing rates. I think that was before "Farnsworth" rates were
>> >> introduced.
>> >
>> >W6TTB introduced his method in the 50's (that is, 1950's).
>>
>> ?
>
>Farnsworth. Introduced his method in the 1950's, 110 years or so after
>Morse, about the same time that the military and civilian world was
>abandoning Morse. The ARRL begins implementing Farnsworth w/o notice
>in the late 80's, makes a public notice about 10 years later.

ARRL can never do anything wrong.

>> >> In 1936 I was 3 to 4 years old. Jimmie didn't exist anywhere
>> >> close to zygote stage yet, wouldn't be born for 19 or so years.
>> >
>> >Jim lost priveleges.
>>
>> Hmmm...can he "lose" something he doesn't have in 1936?
>
>Well, he probably would have if he had been alive at the time.

Irrelevant in Jimmie's case. He "lived" there and then, and
is One with the Great Spirit or Radio or something.

Maybe someone loaded up his Kester Tri-Core solder with peyote?

>> >> >I'm reminded of having been called a "liar" because I said that Michael
>> >> >Deignan had 12 amateur radio licenses. I was wrong. Michael Deignan's
>> >> >wife had one of them, at same address (at that time). Mike only had
>> >> >11. I believe Riley then whittled it down to 3. But I'm a "liar."
>> >> >Hi! Hi, hi!!! Gotta love these guys.
>> >
>> >> Heh heh, that "love" is "tough." :-)
>> >
>> >Being 11/12th right makes one a "LIAR!"
>>
>> Good 'ol "new math!" :-)
>
>[neither] New math nor Jim's math would have gotten us to the Moon.

A mere quarter million miles? :-)

I'm waiting for Jimmie to "reach the threshold of space" let
alone working a station ON the moon or even doing moonbounce
comms. :-)

Had we done Jimmie's "advancing the state of the art" in space,
we would still be at White Sands, launching the very last of
the German V-2s to reach up 250 miles above the Earth surface.

>> >> Yeah, I remember Mikey D's little missives in here, his
>> >> adventures with the (?) "radio commandos." Mikey ain't got
>> >> them dozen club calls no more. How about that?
>> >
>> >Riley allowed the Radio Commando's to live on.
>>
>> Their "enlistment rate" was extremely low.
>
>"A Commando Unit of One"

Mikey D. was a non-server of one as well...

>> Mebbe they disbanded and now hang out at the local VFW?
>
>In "thier" wildest dreams. Well..., maybe as a guest.

Maybe if the fraternity renamed itself "Veterans of FANTASY
Wars?"

Dudly the Imposter could run for Grand Poobah.

>But at the first hint how they "served in other ways..."

Yes, I know...they CLAM UP. Silence. Sounds "fishy."

>> >> Brian, here's a KEEPER for an EXACT QUOTE. On December 10, 2005,
>> >> Jimmie write the following:
>> >
>> >> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>> >
>> >> Exact, word for word. :-)
>> >
>> >Good Grief!!! I wonder if being right 0/12 merits the label "liar?"
>>
>> No. In Jimmie's case he is ALWAYS right, no matter if he makes
>> a typographical error or not, therefore can NEVER LIE.
>
>I just hope he has a license to drive all that pure snow around

Brand new license issued by the FAA.

>> Anyone else who makes a typo is AUTOMATICALLY a LIAR all the time,
>> "constantly making mistakes and errors!"
>
>Tha's Steve's job.

Dudly and Noserve are competitors?

>> >> >> Len and Brian,
>> >
>> >> >> If you are going to give direct quotes of what someone allegedly wrote, you
>> >> >> should use the exact words they wrote. That's what "direct quote" means.
>> >
>> >> >Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote.
>> >
>> >> Well, I think I obliged Jimmie with the destined-to-become-classic
>> >> faux pas of his. Here it is again (from 10 Dec 05):
>> >
>> >> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>> >
>> >He might be right. Do you think the FCC outsourced licensing to India?
>>
>> Wow! I never thought of that! You may be on to something!
>
>Recall the '98 NPRM? Do you think that was the work of an EFL or ESL?

Speaking seriously, I don't see that either 98-143 nor the resulting
FCC 99-412 Memorandum Report and Order were all that bad as
regulatory
items. The U.S. amateur rules had reached a Gordian Knot of
complexity
of Byzantine license classes and morris goad testing on the eve of
the
new millennium and it was time to unravel the Knot.

Too many old-timers in the amateur radio hobby had become victims of
their own delusions of grandeur...wanting to be "professional
amateurs"
with all the rank-status-title-privilege that had been politically
lobbied for by guess-who in the regulations.

>> >> >> > He can't understand that other people read
>> >> >> > the entirety of his boasting claims of doing more than others
>> >> >> > and doing it oh-so-much-better.
>> >
>> >> >> The fact is that you were both shown to be wrong and now you're
>> >> >> trying to tapdance away from the fact that you misquoted me.
>> >
>> >> >Tapdance? Jim, please feel free to provide a direct quote. Really.
>> >
>> >> Can we have some accompanyment to the time-steps? :-)
>> >
>> >Rogers&Astair
>>
>> Gone..."silent taps."
>>
>> Gregory Hines, greatest of the tap men..."silent taps."
>>
>> Jim Fixx, multiple author of books on running...gone.
>

>I guess we'll have to settle for a metronome.

The Great Radio Spirit visits Jimmie regularly to "wind up"
his newsgroup metronome. I think that happens whenever his
QST arrives in the mail.

To paraphrase: "Little ideas made of ticky-tocky."

>> >> Here's a DIRECT QUOTE again (from Jimmie on 10 Dec 05):
>> >
>> >> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>> >
>> >Good Grief!
>>
>> Jimmie wrote that, he did...
>
>Perhaps he thinks the FCC hires civilians and puts them to work. Then
>after sufficient time has passed, the FCC says the applicant has
>"earned" "thier" license.

Jimmie needs the spirit of the late John Houseman of the "Paper
Trail" TV show..."we did it the old-fashioned way, we EARNED it!"

Geez, all that foo-fah-rah over "The TEST!" The law establishes
a licensing system and folks are required to pass a license test
(sort of like hunting and fishing licenses) in order to be legal
about their activity. No, passing it becomes A RITE OF PASSAGE
or the equivalent of a PhD Thesis or some kind of SUPERNATURAL
EXPERIENCE!

Too many hams have established a lot of bullshit ju-ju to the
test and the resulting rank-status-title-privilege.

>> >> >Or even better, you could explain how one "serves in other ways."
>> >
>> >> Always from the right of the patron? The lady first?
>> >
>> >Perhaps he could get a hand towel with his callsign embroidered on it
>> >to carry across his forearm.
>>
>> It's better than the callsign tattooed across the knuckles
>> of each hand...
>
>Jim has tatoos?

I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
film released in the 1950s. That character had L-O-V-E on one
hand, H-A-T-E on the other...liked to off folks that didn't
believe in him.

>> >> Gosh, we may have to get Jimmie an "Etty-Kit" so he can serve
>> >> properly and correctly!

>> >
>> >He's already been to charm school.
>>
>> He must have flunked out...of that correspondence school...
>
>Hopefully the VA recovered that GI Bill money.

"VA?" "GI Bill?" None of those applied to Jimmie Noserve.

Since Dudly can't prove he served anyplace, I doubt that Dud could
could have gotten any GI bill other than from a military store for
buying all those old medals.

>> >> >Real estate chatter snipped.
>> >
>> >> Pity that. Jimmie KNOWS ALL ABOUT the "basis and purpose" of
>> >> local zoning ordinances. We can say nothing about zoning
>> >> until Jimmie gets us all "zoned" out of this world and into
>> >> another realm of existance. Twilight Zone?
>> >
>> >There is no subject that Jim can't pretend to speak intelligently on.
>>
>> True. Sadly true by demonstration.
>
>"A Morse Code Exam would be a Barrier to Morse Code Use."

"The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

Verbatim quotes from Jimmie...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1338. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 22:49:09 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134600549.748689.207010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127109

From: K4YZ <MajorDud@aol.com> on Dec 14, 3:14 am

>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:

> I see.
>
> No answer.

WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?

> Speaking of "no answers"....
>
> Still waiting on a few from you....posed over the last few YEARS...

WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1339. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 22:51:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134600683.488546.297390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127110

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 13, 7:32 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> > > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> > > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>> > > > > > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
>
>> Considering that Len hasn't even started, that's hardly a surprise...

Duhhhh...like I've never, ever operated a radio transmitter?!? :-)

>> > > 37 years ago. I lost privileges. You and Len did not.
>
>> > The entire USA amateur service lost in a big way,
>
>> How? Extras did not lose any privileges back then. Others could
>> get back the "lost" privileges by taking a test or two.
>
>> Nobody lost any bands, power or modes except Novices, who
>> lost 2 meter 'phone.
>
>Was it necessary to punish amateurs?

Jimmie has a persecution complex?

>> > but you find a way to personalize it.
>
>> The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.
>
>They affected everyone after you as well.

Nobody counts but Jimmie.

>> They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.
>
>You're simply wrong on that one, Quitefine.

Lots of us radio pros without amateur licenses just didn't bother
to get an amateur license...not necessarily as a result of

"changes of 1968 or 1969." What the heck, I'd already started
15 and 14 years before in HF comms where the operating
environment was a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER on all concerned than any
amateur activity.

>> > > > Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
>> > > > "lowering the requirements."
>
>> > > Yes, it would be.
>
>> > Does the Advanced and Extra licenses convey the modes and power privs
>> > that the General license conveyed?
>
>> What do you think?
>
>I think you know what I think.

Jimmie thinks he KNOWS what everyone thinks? :-)

>> > > > > > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
>> > > > > > classes?
>
>> > > > > One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
>> > > > > You haven't.
>
>> > > > One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
>> > > > all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher.
>
>> Which is one reason the rules were changed in 1968 and 1969.
>
>Which is another reason that those license classes were arbitrary and
>redundant at the time. They remain so today.

Not "arbitrary and redundant" for Jimmie. He made it. He loves it.

Problem is, Jimmie doesn't think that others can think differently
so he doesn't think about the thousands of newcomers who MIGHT want
to get into amateur radio.

>> > > And FCC was convinced that wasn't a good thing. FCC is still convinced
>> > > of the need for at least 3 license classes.
>
>> > Yeh, yeh, yeh. Using the same logic, if the FCC were conviced that a
>> > Morse Code exam were still a good idea, they would have a specification
>> > for Morse Code in the regulations.
>
>> Nope.
>
>Yep.

Yes.

>> FCC specifically mentions the need for a 3 level license system in the
>> NPRM.
>
>The FCC specifically excludes any definition or specification for Morse
>Code.

NPRM 05-143 is SOLELY regarding the elimination of the code test
from the Commission's regulations for licensing in U.S. amateur
radio.

NPRM 05-143 DOES NOT CONCERN ITSELF with ANY NEW PROPOSALS for
license classes, rank, status, title, privilege, prestige, or
honor and glory in the amateur service.

>> > Just because no one at the FCC is paying attention doesn't mean that
>> > the present rules are worthwhile.
>
>> "No one at the FCC is paying attention"?
>
>> Just because they disagree with you?
>
>It was just a guess. Why else would they allow such arbitrary and
>redundant rules, exams, and license classes to exist?

POLITICS. The present system of U.S. amateur radio regulations, at
least up to the year 2000, was lobbied for by the ARRL. The
Reading Room at the FCC is full of documents attesting to that.

But, Jimmie is a PARTY MAN. The league can do no wrong.

>> > > You might want to read the current NPRM. Pay particular attention to
>> > > footnote 142...
>
>> > Asleep at the wheel.
>
>> FCC's not asleep.
>
>Coma?

No to all the above. FCC just doesn't think that amateur radio
deserves their maximum-mission attention in their Congress-law-
mandated task of regulating ALL United States civil radio.

When the Commission does get around to regulating amateur radio,
it does so in Memorandum Reports and Orders which are extremely
detailed and explicit (and sometimes lengthy) to their task of
regulating all U.S. civil radio.

A problem with folks like Jimmie is that they are way too focussed
on their own agendas and their own personal desires to look at it
from the perspective of an agency governing for ALL the people,
not some smaller special-interest groups favoring morse code.

Jimmie sees only what he WANTS to see. Such as "footnotes" which
he once thought were "wrong-format" things in other arguments.

>> That's true, as far as it goes. But it's also true that, presently, every
>> mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to Technician,
>> Technician Plus, General, Advanced, and Extra licensees.
>
>I understand the reason for the split in privs between the Tech/Tech+
>and the G/A/E licensees. The reason for that barrier no longer exists,
>but the exam and licensing schema has not kept pace. Time to perform a
>top-down review, starting with basis and purpose.

The time may not be ripe just yet, Brian. Let's wait until the
FCC decides what to do about NPRM 05-143 and issue a Memorandum
Report and Order on it.

There's been two whole years of 18 Petitions commented on at length
since the end of WRC-03 and now NPRM 05-143 which can settle the
morse code testing for a license issue.

>> So by *your* logic (not mine), the General, Advanced
>> and Extra exams are arbitrary and redundant.
>
>The Technician exam is weak on HF issues. What do you think?

The VEC QPC is responsible for generating written exam
questions and answers. VEC QPC is NOT an FCC department.

>> And wouldn't it also be true that the knowledge and skill required to operate
>> 1,500 watts of CW on 14.026 MHz is EXACTLY the same knowledge and skill
>> required to operate 1,500 watts on 13.976 MHz?
>
>Different service, but you're getting the point. Bravo!

Jimmie is just doing his "message-points wordplay" thing. It is
(or should be) absolutely NO difference in OPERATING any radio
transmitter physically. The only difference is in the human
regulations in regard to technical requirements.

>> Why can't Technicians operate on 14.026? Why can't hams operate on
>> 13.976?
>
>And there you go with the ultimatums and strawmen.

Jimmie with newsgroup wordplay again. About this point, Hans will
jump in saying you are "simply mistaken" and babbling about how
the "IARU and ITU" are different or other semi-sweet non-sequitur.

>> And while we're on the subject....
>
>> Why are hams only allowed 1500 watts output? Why not 3000, or
>> 5000, or 10,000?
>
>Go to Italy. They may have waivers.

The next World Radiocommunication Conference is in 2007. I don't
know if the location is fixed yet (WRC-03 changed location from
it's originally planned place). There's an FCC 8th Meeting on
WRC-07 changed to 25 Jan 2006...see the Federal Register of
today on details and contact person.

If Jimmie wants to really go high-power, it's his electric bill.
And his real estate broker's bill and re-locating his station.

>> Actually that's not true.
>> The 1998 ARRL proposal would have eliminated the 13 and 20 wpm code tests
>> and replaced them with a 12 wpm code test. IIRC, General code test would have
>> gone to 5 wpm in their proposal.
>
>And moments prior to that proposal, the ARRL had NO proposal. But they
>saw Carl and the NCI walking up the steps to the FCC office...

Actually, it was Carl Stevenson and Bill Sohl making an ex-partite
(?) presentation before the FCC. :-)

Regardless, "the 1998 ARRL proposal" is OLD HISTORY. It doesn't
apply to anything NOW. The current NPRM is 05-143 and concerning
the elimination of the code test for license testing. The ONLY
ARRL "proposal" is their Petition RM-10867 which was "granted in
part" as mentioned in NPRM 05-143.

But...Jimmie is a Believer in the league and thinks the league can
do no wrong.

>> I think you want the licensing standards lowered even more than
>> they have been already.
>
>I want the necessary amount of regulation required, without arbitrary,
>redundant, or superfluous license exams, license classes, and
>privileges.

Sounds reasonable to me for what is essentially a HOBBY activity.

>> > BTW, many of the exams are 49 or 48 or 47 questions because of the bad
>> > questions presently in the QP.
>
>> That should be fixed.
>
>We are self-regulating, after all.

Absolutely...by law in fact. The generation of ALL license test
questions and answers is performed by the VEC. Says so in
Part 97.

>> > > > The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.
>
>> > > That ended 37 years ago. Why do you live in the past?
>
>> > You're funny, Jim.

Jimmie lives in the past. Period. He has been bringing up
1998 "proposals" when he should be bringing up 2004 Petitions
on the current NPRM 05-143.

By WRC-07 Jimmie might STILL be babbling about "the ARRL
1998 proposal!" :-)

>> I'm simply pointing out where your line of reasoning leads.
>
>You saw where it leads. It leads to the elimination of arbitrary,
>redundant, and superfluous licensing exams, classes, and privileges.

Jimmie doesn't WANT to see where anything leads. <shrug>

>> Apparently my knowledge and skills intimidate you, so that you
>> have to attack me personally rather than argue facts and opinions.
>
>Apparently they do not. The mode chosen to provide the example of
>arbitrary privs was done for your ease of understanding, not mine. And
>you quickly grasped the concept and took it to its logical extension,
>which would mean a freefall of your prestige and stature in the amateur
>community. That scared you.

Holy Judas H. Cottonpicker, but lil Jimmie done made hisself
more pompously arrogant (and egotistical) than anyone else!

The elimination of the code test for any U.S. amateur radio
license WILL REDUCE BRAGGING RIGHTS OF MORSEMANSHIP BY THE
PCTA MORSEMEN. Logical extension.

NO PRIVILEGES ARE REMOVED by the adoption of NPRM 05-143 as an
R&O intact.

ALL that is left is the bragging rights to those who ONCE
passed a high-rate code test for their license.

>> No one proclaimed you king either.
>
>Remember, I am not the one seeking power and prestige through amateur
>radio. I've been a proponent of the one license (classless) service
>for a long, long time.

Ah, but Jimmie NEEDS the nobility of title and status and
prestige.

>> Is someone who expresses an opinion here somehow claiming a
>> royal role?

Only those who still believe in a feudalistic system of
rank-status-title-privilege in what is essentially a HOBBY.

If I want better peerage, I go to my opthalmologist for an
eyeglass exam...so that I can "peer" at things better. :-)

>I'm glad that you easily grasp the concept that these are, after all,
>only our opinions. Not "Statements of Fact," nor "Assertions of Fact."

>Expressing an opinion does not make one a liar.

...unless you are in a "discussion" with Dudly the Imposter.

>However, you need to realize that I advocate a review of ALL government
>that presently exists, not just amateur radio. The review should start
>with, "What is the purpose of government?" Refer to the U.S.
>Constitution and the Bill of Rights often.

I'm with you on that, Brian.

Unfortunately, some in here wish to abrogate those Rights in
favor of what They want... :-(

>You could probably drop the rhetoric about code tests.

WHAT?!? Jimmie drop rhetoric about code testing?!? NO WAY! :-)

>> > > - All existing Generals, Advanceds, and Extras get full
>> > > privileges. Some Technicians and Technician Pluses
>> > > who passed the Tech written when it was same as
>> > > General get full privileges too.
>
>> > > Two questions:
>
>> > > What happens to existing Novices and Technicians who
>> > > haven't passed the General written?
>
>
>> > What happens to them now???
>
>> I'm asking what your one-class-of-license plan would do
>> for them. It's your plan, not mine.
>
>Why? The FCC is presently dealing with it fairly.

Jimmie trying to paint you in a corner there. His brush is dry.

>> > > FCC has repeatedly refused free (no-test) upgrades.
>
>> > So?
>
>> So you have to convince FCC to reverse that policy if you
>> want your plan put into effect.
>
>Why? Why must I do what you say???
>
>You are acting very king-like.

I know...some extras get like that...

>> Then please don't expect FCC to give free upgrades.
>
>Did't state that, didn't suggest that. Why do you keep putting your

>scarecrow out there?

His scarecrow must be there. His corn is green.

>>Don't expect FCC
>> to implement less than 3 classes of licenses. And don't expect
>> FCC to lower the testing standards any more than is already proposed.
>
>What is proposed is the elimination of the code exam. You're welcome
>to confine your discussions on rrap to that.

Jimmie was trying to read the secret writing between the lines.

>> IOW, you expect the FCC to agree with you on everything without
>> you having to convince them.
>
>You expect the FCC to agree with me even if I should put forth a
>convincing proposal?
>
>Hi! You angry white males are all the same.

:-)

Sigh...Jimmie is finally seeing the dawning of a new age and he
is vainly trying to shut everyone out of (his) sight. Now, if
everyone could just accept Jimmie as the God-granted Ruler of
Ham Opinion, he wouldn't get so upset. Alas, others aren't so
inclined. The hissy fits continue...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1340. Re: Clearing Up Any Questions Markie Or The Feeble Five May Have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 23:04:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134601459.339350.20170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127111

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Any questions?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Uh, yeh. Isn't Mark one of your feeble five?

1341. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 23:12:09 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HYidnW6gx82fOj3eRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 127112

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1134522669.527007.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>

[snip]

>
>> You need to have REAL distinctions is Testing Material VS Priveleges
>> between the license classes.
>
> There are real distinctions in the tested material. The distinctions
> in privileges are less clear.
>
>> Those distinctions do not presently exist.
>
> Sure they do. The trouble you perceive is that they're not directly
> related to the
> additional privileges granted.
>

Why does the test material need to be directly related to the privileges granted? It is quite common in life that they are not directly related but is instead, something that is very desireable. In ham radio, that would be spectrum and power. The goal of the FCC is, based on their comments in various NPRMs and the goals and purpose stated in Part 97, is that hams continue to increase their knowledge and engage in self training. So they tie increase technical knowlegde to increase spectrum and power privileges.

[snip]

>> I -expect- the FCC to eliminate arbitrary and redundant licensing
>> requirements and license classes.

To achieve that, the FCC would need to totally redefine the basis and purpose of amateur radio. One of the elements is self training and technical knowlegde. You encourage that by using increased privileges (spectrum and power) to get people to study and take additional tests.

> IOW, you expect the FCC to agree with you on everything without
> you having to convince them.
>
>> The FCC looks foolish for not having dealt with these issues already.
>
> To whom? Perhaps you should tell the FCC they look foolish...

They do not look foolish when you view the tests and privileges in terms of the basis and purpose of amateur radio.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1342. Re: Aussie Youth Pride Photos (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 23:13:21 UTC
From: jonz <someone@somewhere.com.au>
Message-ID: <43a0a75a$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 127113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:k3csp1tjhs8hcb16a4hpa9sefo5dd676n4@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 20:48:35 -0500, "Fred Fatass"
> <wheeeeeeee@wheee.us> wrote:
>
> >Here: http://www.aryanunion.org/AussieAction.htm
> >
> >MUCH MORE here: www.halturnershow.com
> >
> They don't look like Aborigines to me.
>

wow...10/10 for your powers of observation.....j

1343. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 23:38:56 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cY2dnQIlc9uTMD3eRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 127114
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Magnum wrote:
> "Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:ofadnew7Prglsz3enZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>>Peter wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> OH... so you assume I am an atheist.? How wrong can you be! However
>>>I like to think for myself rather than be brainwashed by some guy in
>>>fancy robes.... especially the brand that do Mass :-)
>>
>>When I wear my robes at Mass I'll still pray for you. There's nothing
>>you can do about it.
>
>
> Pray for me to win the lottery please :O)
>
> Regards,
> Graham

You have to watch the movie "Bruce Almighty". I did that once! 14,000
winners of $17 each or something like that :-0

1344. Re: Aussie Youth Pride Photos (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 23:53:36 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <i2c1q1t6pj9so2o6rrsrccvvv1dlpkp3b4@4ax.com>
Source record: 127115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 10:13:21 +1100, "jonz" <someone@somewhere.com.au>
wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:k3csp1tjhs8hcb16a4hpa9sefo5dd676n4@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 12 Dec 2005 20:48:35 -0500, "Fred Fatass"
>> <wheeeeeeee@wheee.us> wrote:
>>
>> >Here: http://www.aryanunion.org/AussieAction.htm
>> >
>> >MUCH MORE here: www.halturnershow.com
>> >
>> They don't look like Aborigines to me.
>>
>
> wow...10/10 for your powers of observation........j
>
>
I just think it's a hoot that fucking Italians and Englishmen are
yelling at Arabs in Australia for not being from there.

1345. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-14 23:55:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134604529.035602.244950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127116

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun, Dec 11 2005 7:33 pm
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 11:03 am
> >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >
> > TRUE! While these super-extra morsemen are busy hurting their
> > shoulders by patting themselves on the back over their superiorness,

You've posted many, many words about *your* "superiorness" here,
telling us all about your Exploits In Professional Radio-Electronics.

If anybody's hurting from self-patting it's you...;-)

> the number of Expirations each month is just slightly more than the
> number of Newcomers (never before licensed). Not many, but it is
> consistent and has been so for the last 2 1/2 years. It's not a
> "statistical anomaly" anymore. Amateur radio is getting "poorer"
> as a result.

Is it? How do you know, Len? And why does it matter to you?

You're not a radio amateur, and it's very unlikely you'll ever become
one.

You don't supply the amateur radio community with any product or
service. You're only obvious involvement with amateur radio in the
past decade or two has been to spam the FCC and usenet with your
verbiage.

Let's check the numbers....

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total Novice/General/Advanced/Extra - 340,538

Total all classes - 674,792

As of December 13, 2005:

Novice - 26,742 [decrease of 22,587]
Technician - 274,091 [increase of 68,697]
Technician Plus - 44,221 [decrease of 84,639]
General - 134,886 [increase of 22,209]
Advanced - 74,191 [decrease of 25,591]
Extra - 107,302 [increase of 28,552]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,312 [decrease of 15,942]

Total Novice/General/Advanced/Extra - 343,121 [increase of 2583]

Total all classes - 661,433 [decrease of 13,359]

These totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.
They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

How about that - the combined Technician/Technician Plus
number dropped by almost 16,000 while the other classes
increased by over 2500 - even though the Novice and
Advanced are not available for new issue anymore.

> Advertising revenue - the fuel that feeds the periodical fires -
> has been dropping for over a decade.

Whose advertising revenue?

> Two major independent
> publishers had to drop out of the business.
> The league wants more
> money...to "keep the faith" (in the Church of St. Hiram?).

Did you send ARRL any money? I did. Not just membership
dues either.

> Way
> too many hams are busy with a "Let's Pretend" fantasy (almost
> palpable) about their glorious service to the nation (as radio
> hobbyists) and wearing virtual uniforms (unseen by ordinary
> mortals) of glory and honor in their morsemanship a vital asset
> in the War Against Terrorism! [I kid you not, some comments were
> made in 05-235 saying that very thing]

Your comments were a laff riot too, Len!

> The "richness" is in
> the tales of fantasy they generate, NOT a commodity that generates
> any sort of revenue.

You've characterized amateur radio as "a HOBBY". So what does
it matter to you if "a HOBBY" "grows poorer"? Or even disappears?

>
> >> He tried the same bullshit with my remark on "extra out of the
> >> box" five years ago in here...that I "WANTED" one...and the same
> >> thing on my Reply to Comments of Mikey D. on WT DOCKET 98-143
> >> six years ago with "my WANTING an age limit on licensing."

You clearly wrote that you were "going for Extra". Was that a typo?

Did you mean you *weren't* going to get an amateur license?

In your comments to FCC in 1999 you wrote that an age limit of 14
should exist for any class of amateur radio license. Was that a typo
too?

If you didn't want either thing, why did you write what you did?
Were those things typos? If so, what did you mean to write? Where
are the corrections?

Shall we look at what you actually wrote and you can explain what
you meant that we didn't understand?

btw, speaking of the age of licensees - did you see that ex-KG6IRO
is being fined $42,000 by FCC? He's 69 years old. Guess what class
of amateur radio license he held before FCC revoked it.... (Hint: it
wasn't the Amateur Extra)

> >> Tsk, Jimmie complains that I "don't *read* what he wrote"

You don't *understand* much of it, Len.

> > >and
> >> then takes my postings so far out of context that we might as
> >> well all be in outer space and/or the Twilight Zone.

Supply the context, then. You've had *years* to do so...

> >> Okay, in that spirit of misdirection in here, let me pass on
> >> an EXACT QUOTE of Jimmie's made on 10 December 2005:

> >> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

That was a typo, Len. A mistake. I wrote "FCC" when I meant to write
"FAA".

> >He presumes that the VEC does? Like so many Morsemen confuse "ARRL"
> >with "FCC?"

> Jimmie just said "major typo alert!"

> He acknowledged a MAJOR mistake in posting as a "typographical
> error" but that is apparently okay for him to do.

"MAJOR" mistake? How so?

> It's not okay
> for any of us to do it...if we do it, we get reminders of it for
> the next five years, negative critique, accusations of "not
> following up on 'promises,'" the whole magilla. :-)

Well, Len, that was a typo I made.

I wrote "FCC" when I meant to write "FAA". My bad - just a mistake.

Know why it sticks out so much? Because it's so unusual!

Now, about typos....

Was it a typo when you told K8MN to 'shut the hell up, you little USMC
feldwebel' ?

Was it a typo when you wrote, almost 6 years ago, that you were going
for Extra right out of the box?

Was it a typo when you lectured a US Coast Guard radio operator on his
military service as a radio operator in the classic "sphincters post"?

Was it a typo when you wrote that all amateurs with
expired-but-in-the-grace-period
licenses could legally operate their amateur radio stations?

Was it a typo when you accused the ARRL and some VEs of 'very mild
fraud' because of the licensing of some young children? (You never
presented any evidence of fraud other than the ages of the children)

Was it a typo when you twice accused a developer/contractor in your
area of 'payola' to the zoning commission - and the commission
accepting it?

Were all those things typos, Len? I don't see any corrections to them.

I corrected my FAA typo.

> >He's losing major ground on his lifetime
> >achievement of being an Extra, and the worst is probably just around
> >the corner.

Good heavens, I've much bigger achievements than the Amateur Extra
license. It's just the one you two like to pick on - because you don't
have such a license.....

> Not to worry. He will rationalize the "worst" somehow, probably
> based on "what the FCC did 37 years ago" or his getting a license
> at age 14 or getting a college degree without having a car or
> being a "manufacturer of amateur radio equipment" in the 1990s
> using recycled vacuum tube technology.

Actually I was licensed at age 13, Len ;-). And I did get the degree
in the way described.

Was it wrong of me to take advantage of that
educational opportunity?

Am I not supposed to write about it?

> Whatever he's done is
> guaranteed to be "better" than what anyone else has done, whether
> avocationally or occupationally.

Why no, Len, I don't claim that everything I've done is "better".
That's
your game!

I've done some things you haven't. And you've done some things I
haven't.

I'm better at some things than you are. And you're probably
better at some things than I am.

See how simple that is?

What's so predictable about your response is that you'll jump all over
a typo rather than discuss the actual arguments, facts and opinions
presented.

1346. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 01:12:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9q3of.2875$n1.732@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127117

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> One of the elements is self training and technical knowlegde. You encourage
> that by using increased privileges (spectrum and power) to get people to study
> and take
> additional tests.

If it were working, it would be evident on the air. But I'll encourage you to try a little practical experiment to see if you can detect the results in the real world.

You'll need the following materials for the experiment:

1. A reasonable sensitive receiver, hooked to a working antenna.
2. A blindfold.
3. A set of earphones.
4. No extreme hearing impairments.
5. A comfortable chair.

Seat your self at the receiver, and tune it to the TOP of a popular band with good propagation to the USA, probably 40 or 75 meters. Don the earphones and plug them in. Set the receiver RF gain full open and the AF gain at a comfortable level.

Now place your blindfold over your eyes.

Slowly tune the receiver down the band. If incentive licensing is working, when you cross over the General/Advanced boundary and again when you cross the Advanced/Extra boundary, you should detect a noticeable increase in the "training and technical knowlege" of the operators because of better/cleaner signals, more sophisticated technical discussions, and other evidence of better training and technical knowlege. If your ear does NOT detect this sort of evidence as you tune across those boundaries, then you can conclude (as I have) that incentive licensing is an abject failure.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1347. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 01:15:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3t3of.2876$n1.1837@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127118

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?
>

We see none from you, Brian, or me either. Maybe we're all imposters?

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

1348. Re: Aussie Youth Pride Photos (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:12:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1134612737.720183.122500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

DaviD,

So 'you' only have good things to say about the peace loving
Arab Youth {Gangs} who took it upon themselves to shot
into a School just because the Australian Children were sing
Christmas Carols. And Christian Churches are being Burned.
http://www.abc.net.au/news/newsitems/200512/s1531169.htm
http://www.allheadlinenews.com/articles/7001478101
http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory?id=1403995

Is Paris Still Burning ? ? ?

God {Allah} Bless Australia and the Australians - Amen ~ RHF
.
.
. .
.

1349. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:13:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134612831.728178.110080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127120

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1134522669.527007.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > >
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> >> You need to have REAL distinctions is Testing Material VS Priveleges
> >> between the license classes.
> >
> > There are real distinctions in the tested material. The distinctions
> > in privileges are less clear.
> >
> >> Those distinctions do not presently exist.
> >
> > Sure they do. The trouble you perceive is that they're not directly
> > related to the
> > additional privileges granted.
> >
>
> Why does the test material need to be directly related to the privileges
> granted? It is quite common in life that they are not directly related but
> is instead, something that is very desireable.

Sure. Make amateur radio a divine comedy, and study Dante.

In ham radio, that would be
> spectrum and power. The goal of the FCC is, based on their comments in
> various NPRMs and the goals and purpose stated in Part 97, is that hams
> continue to increase their knowledge and engage in self training. So they
> tie increase technical knowlegde to increase spectrum and power privileges.

Is that why the FCC gives ALL power priveleges to their ENTRY LEVEL
LICENSEES?

> [snip]
>
> >> I -expect- the FCC to eliminate arbitrary and redundant licensing
> >> requirements and license classes.
>
> To achieve that, the FCC would need to totally redefine the basis and
> purpose of amateur radio. One of the elements is self training and
> technical knowlegde. You encourage that by using increased privileges
> (spectrum and power) to get people to study and take additional tests.

Is that why the FCC gives ALL power priveleges to their ENTRY LEVEL
LICENSEES?

I just want the FCC to start making sense.

> > IOW, you expect the FCC to agree with you on everything without
> > you having to convince them.
> >
> >> The FCC looks foolish for not having dealt with these issues already.
> >
> > To whom? Perhaps you should tell the FCC they look foolish...
>
> They do not look foolish when you view the tests and privileges in terms of
> the basis and purpose of amateur radio.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Maybe you're right. They look ridiculous.

1350. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:15:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ml4of.2895$n1.2497@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127121

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm

>> Jim has tatoos?
>
> I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
> James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
> film released in the 1950s.

Robert Mitchum. 1954. Night of the Hunter from the novel by Davis Grubb.
The author was from up the road in Moundsville. The story is set in
this area.

> That character had L-O-V-E on one
> hand, H-A-T-E on the other...liked to off folks that didn't
> believe in him.

Believing in him had nothing to do with it. He killed prostitutes and
dancers because he thought they were evil and he killed widows for their
money. The guy wasn't even a real preacher.

Don't you get anything right?

Dave K8MN

1351. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:18:08 UTC
From: Lloyd-Todd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <87wa017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127122

On Wed, 14 Dec 2005 16:14:50 -0600, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> In article <dnq2s2$2qn$1@domitilla.aioe.org>, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > perhaps you should try rec.art.anime or rec.art.anime.misc
> > >
> >
> > I not want arrested for that.
>
> you lost me, Whatever

he no have you whatever so couldnt lose it. why you stop using
goggle? they kick you off again?

1352. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:18:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134613124.249112.106070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127123

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> > One of the elements is self training and technical knowlegde. You encourage
> > that by using increased privileges (spectrum and power) to get people to study
> > and take
> > additional tests.
>
> If it were working, it would be evident on the air. But I'll encourage you to
> try a little practical experiment to see if you can detect the results in the
> real world.
>
> You'll need the following materials for the experiment:
>
> 1. A reasonable sensitive receiver, hooked to a working antenna.
> 2. A blindfold.
> 3. A set of earphones.
> 4. No extreme hearing impairments.
> 5. A comfortable chair.
>
> Seat your self at the receiver, and tune it to the TOP of a popular band with
> good propagation to the USA, probably 40 or 75 meters. Don the earphones and
> plug them in. Set the receiver RF gain full open and the AF gain at a
> comfortable level.
>
> Now place your blindfold over your eyes.
>
> Slowly tune the receiver down the band. If incentive licensing is working, when
> you cross over the General/Advanced boundary and again when you cross the
> Advanced/Extra boundary, you should detect a noticeable increase in the
> "training and technical knowlege" of the operators because of better/cleaner
> signals, more sophisticated technical discussions, and other evidence of better
> training and technical knowlege. If your ear does NOT detect this sort of
> evidence as you tune across those boundaries, then you can conclude (as I have)
> that incentive licensing is an abject failure.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Some people are loving you. Some people are cursing you. ;^)

1353. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:22:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134613358.548971.144370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127124

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm
>
>> Jim has tatoos?
> >
> > I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
> > James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
> > film released in the 1950s.
>
> Robert Mitchum. 1954. Night of the Hunter from the novel by Davis Grubb.
> The author was from up the road in Moundsville. The story is set in
> this area.
>
> > That character had L-O-V-E on one
> > hand, H-A-T-E on the other...liked to off folks that didn't
> > believe in him.
>
> Believing in him had nothing to do with it. He killed prostitutes and
> dancers because he thought they were evil and he killed widows for their
> money. The guy wasn't even a real preacher.
>
> Don't you get anything right?
>
> Dave K8MN

Did they ever catch him, or is he still running around the hills of
Moundsville?

Was he a ham preacher?

1354. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:42:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134614544.703047.234860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127125

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> >
> > WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?
> >
>
> We see none from you, Brian, or me either. Maybe we're all imposters?

Even more preposterious, we were all in seven hostile actions together and met at the USO Show wherewe were entertained by Quitefine and Darkguard "performing in other ways." Then we all retired over two years early.

No wonder we haven't posted our 214's. Hi!

1355. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:44:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YL4of.224$mj1.69@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127126

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 13, 7:32 pm
>
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>>>>>>>>>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>>>>>>>>>> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
>>> Considering that Len hasn't even started, that's hardly a surprise...
>
> Duhhhh...like I've never, ever operated a radio transmitter?!? :-)
>
>
>
>
>>>>> 37 years ago. I lost privileges. You and Len did not.
>>>> The entire USA amateur service lost in a big way,
>>> How? Extras did not lose any privileges back then. Others could
>>> get back the "lost" privileges by taking a test or two.
>>> Nobody lost any bands, power or modes except Novices, who
>>> lost 2 meter 'phone.
>> Was it necessary to punish amateurs?
>
> Jimmie has a persecution complex?
>
>
>>>> but you find a way to personalize it.
>>> The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.
>> They affected everyone after you as well.
>
> Nobody counts but Jimmie.
>
>
>>> They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.
>> You're simply wrong on that one, Quitefine.
>
> Lots of us radio pros without amateur licenses just didn't bother

> to get an amateur license...not necessarily as a result of
> "changes of 1968 or 1969." What the heck, I'd already started
> 15 and 14 years before in HF comms where the operating
> environment was a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER on all concerned than any
> amateur activity.

Great. The commencement of Incentive Licensing in amateur radio did not
have any effect on you or Brian. Neither of you were participants in
amateur radio in 1968-69.

> Jimmie with newsgroup wordplay again. About this point, Hans will
> jump in saying you are "simply mistaken" and babbling about how
> the "IARU and ITU" are different or other semi-sweet non-sequitur.

Hans told your red-hatted monkey he was mistaken because he was
mistaken. There was no babbling about it.

>>> And while we're on the subject....
>>> Why are hams only allowed 1500 watts output? Why not 3000, or
>>> 5000, or 10,000?
>> Go to Italy. They may have waivers.
>
> The next World Radiocommunication Conference is in 2007. I don't
> know if the location is fixed yet (WRC-03 changed location from
> it's originally planned place). There's an FCC 8th Meeting on
> WRC-07 changed to 25 Jan 2006...see the Federal Register of
> today on details and contact person.

The WRC's don't set a country's power limit. The Brits operate at 400w
PEP output. The Finns used to have a limit of 600W PEP. They now may
use 1000W PEP.

> If Jimmie wants to really go high-power, it's his electric bill.
> And his real estate broker's bill and re-locating his station.

Real estate broker? Relocating his station? What are you going on
about? I ran high power from urban and suburban locations for years.
So do many others.

>>> I think you want the licensing standards lowered even more than
>>> they have been already.

>> I want the necessary amount of regulation required, without arbitrary,
>> redundant, or superfluous license exams, license classes, and
>> privileges.

> Sounds reasonable to me for what is essentially a HOBBY activity.

...in which you are not involved.

>>>>>> The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.

>>>>> That ended 37 years ago. Why do you live in the past?

>>>> You're funny, Jim.
>
> Jimmie lives in the past. Period. He has been bringing up
> 1998 "proposals" when he should be bringing up 2004 Petitions
> on the current NPRM 05-143.
>
> By WRC-07 Jimmie might STILL be babbling about "the ARRL
> 1998 proposal!" :-)

Aren't you the old fellow who constantly brays about his military radio experience of fifty years ago?

> Holy Judas H. Cottonpicker, but lil Jimmie done made hisself
> more pompously arrogant (and egotistical) than anyone else!

You keep selling yourself short, lil Lennie.

> The elimination of the code test for any U.S. amateur radio
> license WILL REDUCE BRAGGING RIGHTS OF MORSEMANSHIP BY THE
> PCTA MORSEMEN. Logical extension.

It might be a logical extension if anyone proficient in the use of morse suddenly forgot how to use the mode.

> NO PRIVILEGES ARE REMOVED by the adoption of NPRM 05-143 as an
> R&O intact.
>
> ALL that is left is the bragging rights to those who ONCE
> passed a high-rate code test for their license.

You seem to have trouble with anyone who has done anything which you cannot do. The fact remains that no matter how the FCC regs change regarding the morse testing issue, those of us who passed such a test have still passed it. Those of us proficient in the use of morse will retain a skill which you've not mastered. Those of us who are good operators will still be good operators. You're stuck with being Leonard H. Anderson.

>>> No one proclaimed you king either.
>> Remember, I am not the one seeking power and prestige through amateur
>> radio. I've been a proponent of the one license (classless) service
>> for a long, long time.
>
> Ah, but Jimmie NEEDS the nobility of title and status and
> prestige.

That, Lennie, has never been demonstrated by you. You write all sorts of things which have no basis in fact.

>
>>> Is someone who expresses an opinion here somehow claiming a
>>> royal role?
>
> Only those who still believe in a feudalistic system of

> rank-status-title-privilege in what is essentially a HOBBY.

In every endeavor, there are those who are better than others. If and when you ever enter amateur radio, you'll be a neophyte, a beginner.

> If I want better peerage, I go to my opthalmologist for an
> eyeglass exam...so that I can "peer" at things better. :-)

I think you're well overdue for that exam. :-) :-)

> Sigh...Jimmie is finally seeing the dawning of a new age and he
> is vainly trying to shut everyone out of (his) sight. Now, if
> everyone could just accept Jimmie as the God-granted Ruler of
> Ham Opinion, he wouldn't get so upset. Alas, others aren't so
> inclined. The hissy fits continue...

...or we could just grant you your due, Leonid. You are an amateur radio non-entity attempting to set licensing qualifications for something in which you are not a participant. You are to amateur radio as a grand piano to a NASCAR race.

Dave K8MN

1356. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:45:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RM4of.225$mj1.183@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127127

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ <MajorDud@aol.com> on Dec 14, 3:14 am
>
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>
>> I see.
>>
>> No answer.
>
> WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?
>
>
>
>
>> Speaking of "no answers"....
>>
>> Still waiting on a few from you....posed over the last few YEARS...
>
> WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?

I'll make you a deal, Leona. I'll post mine when you post your "Extra
right out of the box".

Dave K8MN

1357. Re: Aussie Youth Pride Photos (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:48:45 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <3cm1q15sv460c8jpmcjtitjthjcud7bmrf@4ax.com>
Source record: 127128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 14 Dec 2005 18:12:17 -0800, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>DaviD,
>
>So 'you' only have good things to say about the peace loving
>Arab Youth {Gangs} who took it upon themselves to shot
>into a School just because the Australian Children were sing
>Christmas Carols. And Christian Churches are being Burned.
>http://www.abc.net.au/news/newsitems/200512/s1531169.htm
>http://www.allheadlinenews.com/articles/7001478101
>http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory?id=1403995
>
>Is Paris Still Burning ? ? ?
>
>God {Allah} Bless Australia and the Australians - Amen ~ RHF
> .
> .
I didn't say that.

1358. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:48:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134614927.025261.258970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127129

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Dec 2005 03:14:41 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1134558881.486166.190170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> > If you're wrong, Brain, you're wrong.
> >>
> >> Brian
> >
> > Yeah. Right.
> >
> >> > Why do you and the Feeble Five have a hard time with that simple
> >> > concept?
> >>
> >> Me and the "Feeble Five?"
> >
> > Do you now have an English comprehension deficit, Brain?
>
>
> Coming from the only person to ever use the phrase "English
> comprehension deficit", I'd say the question is irrelevant.

He certainly made it irrelevant, but that's our "raped an old friend"
Steve.

But my point was that previously I was one of the "feeble five" and now
he's listing me seperate from the feeble five, and I'm having
seperation anxiety. I dunno. Maybe he's traded me to another team.

1359. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 02:53:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134615238.569981.277810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127130

You lost me, SPEAK ENGLISH! and no, I'm on googles, always have been.

TODD N9OGL

Demented Otaku Lolicon Board
http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php

Demented Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

1360. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:13:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0km1q19ikfrlij6r23n0bulsqd1nn9n0v4@4ax.com>
Source record: 127131

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 01:15:43 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <3t3of.2876$n1.1837@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>>
>> WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?
>>
>
>We see none from you, Brian, or me either. Maybe we're all imposters?
>
>Sunuvagun!
>
>de Hans, K0HB

I haven't been in the group for very long but I haven't seen any
reason to question your claim of military service. Dudly, OTOH, has
provided -many- reasons to doubt his USMC 'career', and now also his
EMT 'career'.

But you -have- provided a reason to question -your- interest in this
discussion: Why, after declaring that you are not going to get drawn
into this "game", do you continue to poke your beak into it? Did you
also lie about your military service? Or are you jealous of all the
attention Dudly is getting? Or trying to draw some fire away from a
fellow nacho-cheese addict? Or just trying to shake your seasonal
depression by starting a newsgroup skirmish?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1361. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:15:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134616527.161795.34460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127132

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 12, 3:34 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 8:08 pm
> >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> K0HB wrote:
> >> >> > "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote
>
>
> >> >> > That's what makes the IARU an attractive vehicle. While no individual pays
> >> >> > memberships dues in IARU, all hams are defacto members of IARU because each
> >> >> > country has a representative who represents ALL hams in their jurisdiction
> >> >> > independent of whether they are members of the national "club" like ARRL, RAC,
> >> >> > RSGB, DARC, JARL, or whatever.
> >
> >> >> But there's a big problem there....see below
> >
> >> >You always know better than everyone else. See below.
> >
> >> Tsk, we've seen it many, many times... :-(
> >
> >So much so that it's predictable. ;^)
>
> Heh heh heh.

(profile)

> >> >> But do the actual users get a real voice? Do I get to vote on the bandplan for
> >> >> bands I use? Do I even get to elect the representative who does?
> >
> >> >Didn't Carl attend the last ITU meeting? Didn't the ARRL attend?
> >> >Didn't the FCC attend?
> >
> >> >How much representation do you need, Jim?
> >
> >> Himself in the Chairman's chair?
> >
> >Maybe they should send FISTS to the meeting.
>
> ...the man with the Golden Glove...

Flying Fickle Finger of Fate.

> >> >> Or will the bandplans be decided upon by folks whom are even less beholden to
> >> >> "the users" than the FCC?
> >
> >> >Benevolent King Jim will rule intelligently and fairly.
>
> [as long as he gets his way...]

He says he's not a King, but with one sweep of his hand, Amateur Radio
would be stagnant for the next 38 years.

> >> >> > In the 1930's that certainly would have been an impediment. But 75 years later
> >> >> > in 2005, give me a list of 100 IARU representatives and within the next hour I
> >> >> > can establish a secure and private "meeting room" on the internet where they can
> >> >> > hold their allocation meetings, hammer out their agreements, and publish the
> >> >> > bandplan on a global basis before halftime of Monday Night Football.
> >
> >> >> And here's the problem:
> >
> >> >Let me sit down and pour a stiff drink.
>
> I think I'll join you in that since Hans had to jump in and CORRECT
> a "relationship" of ITU and IARU where the ITU was brought up by
> yourself only in a passing remark and not dwelled on... :-(

Some just need more representation than others.

> >> ...and I slip a note to Hans suggesting he change the "hour" to "24
> >> hours" to accommodate the international time zones of the IARU...
> >
> >There are three ITU regions, so the clock divided by three leaves each
> >region with an 8 hour window of opportunity, though it may take Hans
> >only one hour to setup the conf. call.
>
> I don't know that "conference calls" are needed either. With
> tossing
> e-mail back and forth, it wouldn't take longer than a week and
> nobody
> has to physically travel to an in-person meeting...nor pay any per
> diem.

Perfect. Hammer out the details on Coslo's BBS.

> If tried in an 8-hour period that leaves out 16 hours for two-thirds
> of the globe. Nobody gonna get "friendly" if they have to be up
> between 11 PM through 7 AM the next day LOCALLY.

Hans better get the coffee going in the Geedunk.

> >> >> Does each member country get one vote? If so, that puts the USA, with its
> >> >> 661,000+ amateurs, at the same voting level as a country with a few dozen
> >> >> amateurs. A coalition of small countries with a handful of amateurs could
> >> >> dictate the bandplan for whole region.
> >
> >> >Oh, my. I hope that the US hams have treated "thier" neighbor hams

> >> >kindly.
> >
> >> If King Jim has so decreed, they have. Meanwhile there's a large
> >> crowd who've just dropped the drawbridge and are storming the
> >> castle. King Jim may have to abdicate to a simple white "throne."
> >
> >Certainly he can "serve" in his own Kingdom?
>
> Yes, but his "kingdom" will have shrunk fantastically in population.

A desireable outcome in his kingdom?

> >> >> If not, the USA's enormous amateur population makes us the
> >> >> de-facto 800 pound gorilla in the region.
> >
> >> >Can't we all just get along?
> >
> >> King Kong made it to the top of the Empire State building, then
> >> had a big fall. You might say Kong "went ape" when he couldn't
> >> get what he wanted.
> >
> >I thought he wanted the pretty girl?
>
> So does King Jim. Maybe if he shaves all over...?

Remember, we're talking King Kong, so nowhere have we used a
diminuitive.

> >> Helluva big disposal problem for NYC. The same for the fantasies
> >> of all those morsemen who want their private little playground
> >> at the expense of others. Well, those guys are biodegradeable
> >> also...
> >
> >No sharing of spectrum?
>
> Not for the elite morsemen extras.

Friendly bunch.

> >> >> In either case, the IARU member society for the USA is...the ARRL.
> >
> >> >Which is why it's so important to keep movers and shakers like Carl out
> >> >of the organization's management.
> >
> >> God rest ye, league gentlemen, let nothing you dismay...
> >
> >I suspect that Carl will "serve in other ways."
> >
> >;^))
>
> He already is and has...with the pros.

Yup. But I think his days of serving the amateur community are far
from over.

> Oooops, I said a nasty in this group of morsemen...!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1362. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:18:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134616682.001056.13350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127133

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
> > Didn't Carl attend the last ITU meeting? Didn't the ARRL attend?
> > Didn't the FCC attend?
>
> Who cares? We aren't talking about ITU. We're talking about IARU. They're
> unrelated entities.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Do you really believe that?

1363. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:22:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Pj5of.4259$Tg2.2113@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127135

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote

>
> But you -have- provided a reason to question -your- interest in this
> discussion: Why, after declaring that you are not going to get drawn
> into this "game", do you continue to poke your beak into it?
>

Because it's so much fun to watch you and Len twitch and snarl when I jerk that chain.

Next question?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1364. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:25:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <hdo1q1ptnfdjh7pfrbp3ta3gvsf9logu1k@4ax.com>
Source record: 127134

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 02:44:08 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <YL4of.224$mj1.69@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
> You are to amateur radio
>as a grand piano to a NASCAR race.
>
>Dave K8MN

You might need to rent a few extra brain cells to understand this, but
I think you just paid Len a compliment.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1365. Love and curses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:29:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7q5of.4262$Tg2.243@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127137

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Some people are loving you. Some people are cursing you.

Naw. K0CKB says she loves me. Everyone else pretty much treats me with bemused tolerance. I guess maybe KB9RQZ curses me, but it's hard to tell from his farkled up language skills.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1366. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:30:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kko1q1lblmaltvb3ini4m6ud37cahsnt70@4ax.com>
Source record: 127136

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 03:22:23 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <Pj5of.4259$Tg2.2113@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>>
>> But you -have- provided a reason to question -your- interest in this
>> discussion: Why, after declaring that you are not going to get drawn
>> into this "game", do you continue to poke your beak into it?
>>
>
>Because it's so much fun to watch you and Len twitch and snarl when I jerk that
>chain.

You do know there are some very effecive treatments for SADD these
days, don't you?

>Next question?

I'll wait until Spring.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1367. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:32:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8t5of.4264$Tg2.2738@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127138

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Do you really believe that?

Gee, do ya think that the ITU is a member of the IARU?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1368. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:37:05 UTC
From: Lloyd's Pizza Pub <Admiral@hansbuddyhero.com>
Message-ID: <dnqobu$v50$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127139

Hey Hans,
have you decided if you are going to wear your uniform
to the Legion Christmas party yet?

1369. Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 03:59:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <US5of.4270$Tg2.4000@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

As some of you know, I supported my bad habits for many years as a Navy Radioman, and quite frankly considered myself something of a hotshot operator. To set that stage..... I spent my early Navy years aboard destroyers in the 2nd and 6th fleets. The amount of traffic you handled was related to the seniority of your skipper, because senior skippers not only commanded their own ships, but also often had additional duties as task group commanders, etc. Our skipper at the time was CDR Grant "Flash" Gordon, and he was one of these 'senior' skippers. We made a couple of cruises to the Mediterranean and Capt Gordon was also CTU-60.2.5 which required our ship to guard the Sixth Fleet Task Group Commanders Net (nicknamed "Sixes Alfa"). Sixes Alfa was a high speed net, typical traffic speeds were 40WPM, and routine procedural speed in excess of 50WPM. At the time, I was one of only 23 radiomen in the Sixth Fleet who were fully qualified Net Control operators for that net. I go into all of this not to brag, but only to give you an idea of how big my 21-year-old ego was. If you were qualified to NCS "Sixes Alfa" (you got a fancy diploma style wallet certificate signed by the Fleet Commander) then you were pretty hot stuff.

Unlike today, in those days the Red Sea and Persian Gulf area was a quiet backwater without much military attention. The US Navy presence was something called "Middle East Force" and COMMIDEASFOR was a Rear Admiral whose flagship was a distinctly unwar-like AVP (seaplane tender) anchored at the Brit base on Bahrain. (K0DQ, Admiral Scott Redd (Retired), now an active contester and a high honcho in Dept of Homeland Security, once held that post) The rest of his fleet consisted of a couple of destroyers loaned to him from the Sixth Fleet in the Mediterranean for tours of a couple months at a time to strut around and show the flag. Often we used that opportunity to also conduct joint training exercises with ships from allied navies in the SEATO and CENTO treaty organizations.

Our ship, USS Henley, DD762 drew that temporary assignment while I was aboard. To get from the Med over to the Persian Gulf requires transiting the Suez Canal, which was under Egyptian (they called themselves the UAR in those days) control. Ships transiting the canal were arranged in convoys, on a given day consisting perhaps of 10 or 15 ships, one convoy northbound and one southbound. These convoys met and passed each other about midway in the canal at a 'wide spot in the road' called "Great Bitter Lake". Each convoy carried an Egyptian civilian pilot who knew the waters and acted as our 'guide' in navigating the canal. If there was a warship in the convoy, they would carry the pilot and lead the group. These pilots were required to provide the canal "Traffic Control" with periodic position reports so that canal authorities could track our progress and coordinate the 'passing' of the two convoys at the wide spot. The circuit which handled this traffic was a CW circuit, and the ashore operator was an Egyptian civilian. This was not a busy circuit, so typically it was on 'speaker watch' while you attended to other more busy circuits, and only actually 'manned' the

circuit when you had to send or receive a report.

Now picture me, hotshot NCS from "Sixes Alfa", keeping an ear on this pilots coordination circuit while handling traffic on another circuit. Across comes the call:

"NHXW DE SUQ K" ---

I put my regular military circuit on hold with a 'ZUJ' and impatiently called the 'lowly Egyptian civilian' at about 40WPM with a speed key

"SUQ DE NHXW QRQ K" ..... (QRQ my friends, is the inverse of QRS)

Bad move..... really bad and embarrassing move!

'Lowly civilian' at SUQ came back to me at a blistering speed I'm sure was 60WPM (or faster) of beautiful musical code (there were no electronic keyers in those days) of which I could copy no better than 50%.

I humbly sent a break signal, then a crisp and polite "QRS PSE", and the "Kind Sir" at SUQ slowed down to a stately 30WPM. To this day I have never sent another QRQ to ANYONE! (That guy may be still out there waiting for the impertinent sailor to challenge him again!)

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

1370. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 04:04:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0X5of.4271$Tg2.843@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127141

"Lloyd's Pizza Pub" <Admiral@hansbuddyhero.com> wrote in message
news:dnqobu$v50$0@pita.alt.net...
> Hey Hans,
> have you decided if you are going to wear your uniform
> to the Legion Christmas party yet?

Only if your Mama does lap dances like she did at last years party.

1371. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 04:08:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134619702.765724.219320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127142

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 14, 6:18 pm

>K□B wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote

>> > One of the elements is self training and technical knowlegde. You encourage
>> > that by using increased privileges (spectrum and power) to get people to study
>> > and take additional tests.

...not to mention a snazzy callsign (obtained through Vanity
licensing) and "elite-class" playground territory. Status-title-
privileges all obtained by political lobbying to achieve the
class distinctions.

>> If it were working, it would be evident on the air. But I'll encourage you to
>> try a little practical experiment to see if you can detect the results in the
>> real world.
>
>> You'll need the following materials for the experiment:
>
>> 1. A reasonable sensitive receiver, hooked to a working antenna.

Some don't think the Orion is "reasonable." :-)

>> 2. A blindfold.

Why that? Can't one just close eyes?

>> 3. A set of earphones.

Using a speaker is somehow "influencing" the test?

>> 4. No extreme hearing impairments.

How about just a little bit?

>> 5. A comfortable chair.

Okay...none of us can stand it?

>> Slowly tune the receiver down the band. If incentive licensing is working, when
>> you cross over the General/Advanced boundary and again when you cross the
>> Advanced/Extra boundary, you should detect a noticeable increase in the
>> "training and technical knowlege" of the operators because of better/cleaner
>> signals, more sophisticated technical discussions, and other evidence of better
>> training and technical knowlege.

Very difficult to see the exact frequency boundaries
with that blindfold on...

>> If your ear does NOT detect this sort of
>> evidence as you tune across those boundaries, then you can conclude (as I have)
>> that incentive licensing is an abject failure.

Would the opinion thus derived be opposite if beginning
to tune from the LOWER end of "the bands?"

>Some people are loving you. Some people are cursing you. ;^)

Some have L-O-V-E on one hand, H-A-T-E on the other... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1372. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 04:15:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134620119.538171.199100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127143

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 14, 6:22 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm

>> >> Jim has tatoos?
>
>> > I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
>> > James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
>> > film released in the 1950s.
>
>> Robert Mitchum. 1954. Night of the Hunter from the novel by Davis Grubb.
>> The author was from up the road in Moundsville. The story is set in
>> this area.

Hmmmm...that explains a lot about Davie Heil's character...:-)

>> > That character had L-O-V-E on one
>> > hand, H-A-T-E on the other...liked to off folks that didn't
>> > believe in him.
>
>> Believing in him had nothing to do with it. He killed prostitutes and
>> dancers because he thought they were evil and he killed widows for their
>> money. The guy wasn't even a real preacher.

I am imagining Davie Heil with C-O-D-E on one hand, T-E-S-T on
the other. :-)

Running around killing the NCTA because he thinks they were evil.

Sounds VERY familiar! :-)

>Did they ever catch him, or is he still running around the hills of
>Moundsville?
>
>Was he a ham preacher?

He is apparently of the undead, this time inhabiting the corpus
of a corpulent K8 ham?

"Corp diem?"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1373. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 04:45:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134621939.860077.47250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127144

From: K0HB on Dec 14, 5:15 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote

>> WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?
>
>We see none from you, Brian, or me either. Maybe we're all imposters?

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

http://www.estripes.com [go to Archives and 10 Nov 02]

Harold Hallikainen's website underwent a reorganization change
in late October and my 10 MB PDF was there before that. The
Pacific Stars and Stripes interview took place in 2002, most
of it via e-mail with reporter Rick Chernitzer.

The first one is 20 pages and has lots of photos, a few of
which are me as I was back then. Lots more of equipment
that I OPERATED. There's three MORE papers on Harold's
website, all generated and uploaded by myself.

If you wish to get anal-retentive on this, I can forward my
digitized DD-214 and my digitized Honorable Discharge paper
as further proof. I really don't see WHY but then some
"managers" get up-tight about lots of little matters.

WHAT HAVE WE SEEN FROM DUDLY THE IMPOSTER (ada K4YZ)?

NOT *ONE* THING

NO DIGITIZED DOCUMENTS OF ANY KIND FROM THE USMC.

NOT EVEN A SNAPSHOT OF HIM SOME TIME IN 18 YEARS OF "service"
with the USMC.

>Sunuvagun!

What the FORK do you NEED for proof? A Power-Point
Presentation?

Courier-hand-delivered, notarized documents?

As far as I'm concerned, the FBI, the CIA, the DIA, the IRS,
Nuclear Regulatory Agency, the Social Security Administration,
DCAS, Franchise Tax Board of California, and several large
corporations have already accepted my presenting - hand-
carried - copies of my military service records which they
have independently checked to federal archives.

Stick that "imposter" charge up your I/O port super chief.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex-RA16408336

1374. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 04:50:24 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3bt1q1ddand19uvmnncqouurk0l1l2fpuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 127145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 03:59:48 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>As some of you know, I supported my bad habits for many years as a Navy
>Radioman, and quite frankly considered myself something of a hotshot operator.

Didn't you post this a couple of weeks ago?

Doesn't matter. It was a good read then, and it's a good read now. I
know (and you probably do, too) several guys that could probably have
copied him--NN1N, AG9A, W4AN (SK), K1AR...

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

1375. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 05:07:13 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns972CD6D712543doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 127146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:US5of.4270$Tg2.4000@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> I humbly sent a break signal, then a crisp and polite "QRS PSE", and
> the "Kind Sir" at SUQ slowed down to a stately 30WPM. To this day I
> have never sent another QRQ to ANYONE! (That guy may be still out
> there waiting for the impertinent sailor to challenge him again!)

Heh heh. During 18 years on the circuits at VCS, Halifax, I can relate to this. During part of that we were often required to copy long fishing reports from Russian trawlers operating under license within our 200 mile zone. These messages could run to 5000 words and more. You did not want to copy them slowly and the Russian ops (probably KGB-trained) were good. But when they were sending weather OBS (you know--the standard 5-figure group synoptic reports), they would just let fly. The messages we'd do at about 50wpm, but I think they were trying to see just how fast I could copy an OBS. Never did find one I couldn't get the first time. A year and a half up north on very fast point-to-point circuits sending upper air reports gave me that skill. But it's a lost art. Everything is satellite and RTTY nowadays.

But on the other end of the scale, I came across a vessel on 500khz one day with a callsign from a certain Caribbean country (not Cuba) that shall remain unnamed. His code was bad but I managed to get him off onto 480 and listening to me on 484. I was using an AEA Morsematic that would not slow below 5wpm and this joker kept sending QRS until I bottomed out. Now I simply cannot send morse with a handkey at less than about 10 and I keep winding up to 20 all the time even trying to do that. But I could keep the Morsematic going at 5. But not satisfied, he still insisted QRS. At that point I gave up. I mean 20 is minimum commercial speed and this joker was telling me he couldn't read me at 5 with perfect code!

So I said, "OM, if I QRS any more I am stopped." At that point the QSO was transferred to 2Mhz SSB. His English was better than his CW and his French actually understandable!

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667
VA7CZ

1376. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 05:18:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <n07of.4299$Tg2.714@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127147

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Stick that "imposter" charge up your I/O port super chief.
>

Testy, testy, testy! Go sip some nice port to calm your frazzled nerves.

Wasn't "charging" you with any UCMJ violation, just observing that you have posted just as much of your DD214 here as I have or Brian has or Steve has. In other words, exactly none.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1377. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 07:03:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134630217.517857.216060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> As some of you know, I supported my bad habits...(SNIP)

Hans, why not send this in to CQ or Worldradio...?!?! I think it would be a great piece!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1378. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 07:07:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134630451.058385.246340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127149

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 14 Dec 2005 02:06:12 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1134554771.977067.180940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 13 Dec 2005 06:04:23 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >> <1134482663.534843.306450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> >> > 73 and welcome to USENET Amateur Radio Hell!
> >> >
> >> >Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> You're a ham and you don't even know what "73" means?
> >
> > I'd ask you to explain how you got the idea that I don't know what
> >"73" means from that, but what's the use?
>
> Sorry, Dud..... you know I'd never let you off the hook that easy.
> Maybe one of your lap dogs can clue you in.

Seems you're the lap dog, brig boy.

But you still haven't explained yourself, not that there's
anything to explain.

I used "73" correctly.

Steve, K4YZ

1379. Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 07:31:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134631900.353045.61760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127200

N9OGL wrote:
> >Hey Toiddie...If you can bring YOUR mindless trash in here under
> >the color of protection of the Constitution, then guess what...?!?!?
> >YOU don't have ANY right to suggest someone else not exercise
> >their opinions regardless of what YOU think of them.
>
> That's the problem steve with the first amendment huh? BTW I do have
> the right to assert and protect myself from ###holes like you,
> regardless if you like it or not.

"protect (your)self"...?!?!

What? You're not going to read what I write about you any
more...?!?!

> > Yeah right steve, lying again
> >Nope.
>
> Where's your proof, Sure I live at home, but that don't mean I don't
> have money, I own my own business.

Sure...Just like a pimp "owns" a business.

And WHAT "business"...?!?! The corner shoe-shine boy in the
barber shop?

> > Steve, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM, get your fact right before
> > posting. As for my "company" who said it's non-exisant? you a little
> > nobody. RIGHT!
>
> >LPTV...I stand corrected...However the rest remains correct.
> >Your "company" does not have a charter in the State of Illinois.
> >Nor is there a business license I can find.
>
> But you forget one thing, as I've stated many times before, there's a
> lot more to that LPTV deal it then you know. Secondly my "company" as
> you claim isn't a business, it's a club, it always has been, since
> 1983.

No, it's not, unless you were lying in April.

Allow me to remind you:

QUOTE

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> - Find messages by this author

(Remember when you still had wideband at home, Toiddie...?!?!

Date: 8 Apr 2005 15:37:06 -0700
Local: Fri, Apr 8 2005 4:37 pm
Subject: Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's
> > some material gleaned from the archives:

HUGE SNIP

> So it would appear that Todd and company (most likely just Todd...I
> don't believe there's any "company" involved) screwed the pooch AFTER
> getting an "OK" from the feds FOR their operation!

Not true ###HOLE, first there is a "comapny" mostly made up of amateur radio operators. Second the Application was dismissed after congress passed the Radio Broadcast Perservation Act of 2001. Before you go spewing for LIES I would really suggest you get all the facts. You don't know all the facts, you weren't there....so my opinion (EXPLITIVE DELETED)

UNQUOTE

So...Toiddie...Are you lying now or were you lying in April?

Maybe a bit more to jog your mind, Toiddie?

QUOTE:

ABOUT LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATIONS

LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION IS A NON-PROFIT ORGINIZATION WHICH PROMOTES THEATER, TELECOMMUNICATIONS, AND THE PREFORMING ARTS
LANGLEY PARK WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1999-2000 BY TODD DAUGHERTY N9OGL AND JIM BEUSINGER N9FU ALONG WITH OTHER HAM OPERATORS.

So today (just below...) you state this was started in 1983, yet in April you said it was 1999.

That's a 16 year error.

"I'VE ALWAYS WANTED TO ENTERTAIN PEOPLE" SAID TODD DAUGHERTY CEO/PRESIDENT OF LANGLEY PARK.

Well, then, Toddy m'boy, You've succeeded!

And I re-address your use of "CEO/PRESIDENT"

"LANGLEY PARK WILL CREATE A PLACE WHERE IDEAS CAN ROAM FREE AND PEOPLE CAN SHOW OFF THEIR TALENTS"

> It started in 1983 as DDC-TV...(SNIP)

Dumb, Dumber and Clueless-TransVestites...?!?!

So...Here we've caught you screwing up SEVERAL stories...

Which one is true?

> > Dam right, and there's NOTHING yo can do about it Bwahahaha
> > Sure there is.
>
> RIGHT.....you've been saying that for a long time now...

Uh huh. And it's still true.

> >Sooner or later you'll get jammed up, Toiddie, and you'll wish
> >you'd spent your precious "freedom of speech" on something meaningful.
>
> Keep dreaming steve.

Nope.

> > Animation Porn, even lolicon is LEGAL and in 2001 the US Supreme Court
> > ruled that "virtual child porn" (which includes animation lolicon) WAS
> > protected by the First Amendment. Beside what are going to do about
> > it??? NOTHING, grow up steve you little worm
>
> > I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.
>
> What tell your mommy??

Nope.

> Putz

Yes, you are! Good step forward!

Steve, K4YZ

1380. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 07:41:31 UTC
From: w4sef@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <43a11dff.6356890@newsgroups.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 127201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

GREAT story!! Thank you!

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 03:59:48 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>As some of you know, I supported my bad habits for many years as a Navy
>Radioman, and quite frankly considered myself something of a hotshot operator.
>To set that stage..... I spent my early Navy years aboard destroyers in the 2nd
>and 6th fleets. The amount of traffic you handled was related to the seniority
>of your skipper, because senior skippers not only commanded their own ships, but
>also often had additional duties as task group commanders, etc. Our skipper at
>the time was CDR Grant "Flash" Gordon, and he was one of these 'senior'
>skippers. We made a couple of cruises to the Mediterranean and Capt Gordon was
>also CTU-60.2.5 which required our ship to guard the Sixth Fleet Task Group
>Commanders Net (nicknamed "Sixes Alfa"). Sixes Alfa was a high speed net,
>typical traffic speeds were 40WPM, and routine procedural speed in excess of
>50WPM. At the time, I was one of only 23 radiomen in the Sixth Fleet who were
>fully qualified Net Control operators for that net. I go into all of this not to
>brag, but only to give you an idea of how big my 21-year-old ego was. If you
>were qualified to NCS "Sixes Alfa" (you got a fancy diploma style wallet
>certificate signed by the Fleet Commander) then you were pretty hot stuff.
>
>Unlike today, in those days the Red Sea and Persian Gulf area was a quiet
>backwater without much military attention. The US Navy presence was something
>called "Middle East Force" and COMMIDEASFOR was a Rear Admiral whose flagship
>was a distinctly unwar-like AVP (seaplane tender) anchored at the Brit base on
>Bahrain. (K0DQ, Admiral Scott Redd (Retired), now an active contester and a high
>honcho in Dept of Homeland Security, once held that post) The rest of his fleet
>consisted of a couple of destroyers loaned to him from the Sixth Fleet in the
>Mediterranean for tours of a couple months at a time to strut around and show
>the flag. Often we used that opportunity to also conduct joint training
>exercises with ships from allied navies in the SEATO and CENTO treaty
>organizations.
>
>Our ship, USS Henley, DD762 drew that temporary assignment while I was aboard.
>To get from the Med over to the Persian Gulf requires transiting the Suez Canal,
>which was under Egyptian (they called themselves the UAR in those days) control.
>Ships transiting the canal were arranged in convoys, on a given day consisting
>perhaps of 10 or 15 ships, one convoy northbound and one southbound. These
>convoys met and passed each other about midway in the canal at a 'wide spot in
>the road' called "Great Bitter Lake". Each convoy carried an Egyptian civilian
>pilot who knew the waters and acted as our 'guide' in navigating the canal. If
>there was a warship in the convoy, they would carry the pilot and lead the

>group. These pilots were required to provide the canal "Traffic Control" with
>periodic position reports so that canal authorities could track our progress and
>coordinate the 'passing' of the two convoys at the wide spot. The circuit which
>handled this traffic was a CW circuit, and the ashore operator was an Egyptian
>civilian. This was not a busy circuit, so typically it was on 'speaker watch'
>while you attended to other more busy circuits, and only actually 'manned' the
>circuit when you had to send or receive a report.
>
>Now picture me, hotshot NCS from "Sixes Alfa", keeping an ear on this pilots
>coordination circuit while handling traffic on another circuit. Across comes the
>call:
>
>"NHXW DE SUQ K" ---
>
>I put my regular military circuit on hold with a 'ZUJ' and impatiently called
>the 'lowly Egyptian civilian' at about 40WPM with a speed key
>
>"SUQ DE NHXW QRQ K" ..... (QRQ my friends, is the inverse of QRS)
>
>Bad move..... really bad and embarrassing move!
>
>'Lowly civilian' at SUQ came back to me at a blistering speed I'm sure was 60WPM
>(or faster) of beautiful musical code (there were no electronic keyers in those
>days) of which I could copy no better than 50%.
>
>I humbly sent a break signal, then a crisp and polite "QRS PSE", and the "Kind
>Sir" at SUQ slowed down to a stately 30WPM. To this day I have never sent
>another QRQ to ANYONE! (That guy may be still out there waiting for the
>impertinent sailor to challenge him again!)
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>--
>Homepage:
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>Member:
> ARRL http://www.arrl.org
> SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
> VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
> A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
> TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
> MWA http://www.w0aa.org
> TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
> FISTS http://www.fists.org
> LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
> NCI http://www.nocode.org
>
>
>

1381. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 07:43:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134632619.473233.34560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127202

Steve your a yutz and a looser who abuse people

> > I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.

You still haven't enlighten us on what you've done, which is probably
nothing, but write abusive post on here.

YUTZ
Todd N9OGL

1382. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 09:33:31 UTC
From: Lloyd-Todd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <8lti7017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127203

On 14 Dec 2005 18:53:58 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> You lost me, SPEAK ENGLISH! and no, I'm on googles, always have been.
>

before you was on usenetserver with header like

Message-ID: <toddaug555-1412051308580001@12.156.123.39>
User-Agent: NewsWatcher-X 2.2.3b2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
Organization: UseNetServer.com

and so I no you lieing again like steve say you
do a lot. steve is great man. steve no lie.

1383. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 09:39:55 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dnrdl6$9i2$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127204

is all lie ipostir. lose it.

Lloyd-Todd wrote:
> On 14 Dec 2005 18:53:58 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>You lost me, SPEAK ENGLISH! and no, I'm on googles, always have been.
>>
>
>
> before you was on usenetserver with header like
>
> Message-ID: <toddaug555-1412051308580001@12.156.123.39>
> User-Agent: NewsWatcher-X 2.2.3b2
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
> Organization: UseNetServer.com
>
> and so I no you lieing again like steve say you
> do a lot. steve is great man. steve no lie.

1384. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 09:41:23 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dnrdnu$9i2$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127205

steve is great man. steve no lie.

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve your a yutz and a looser who abuse people
>
>
>>>I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.
>
>
> You still haven't enlighten us on what you've done, which is probably
> nothing, but write abusive post on here.
>
>
> YUTZ
> Todd N9OGL
>

1385. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 10:43:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134643395.651863.40950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127206

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> > One of the elements is self training and technical knowlegde. You encourage
> > that by using increased privileges (spectrum and power) to get people to study
> > and take additional tests.
>
> If it were working, it would be evident on the air.

How would it be evident, Hans?

Can you tell a "state of the art" rig apart from a good old one that's
10, 20, 30 years
old just by its signal quality?

Can you tell my homebrew rig's signals apart from those from, say, an
IC-7800 just
by listening to them?

> But I'll encourage you to
> try a little practical experiment to see if you can detect the results in the
> real world.
>
> You'll need the following materials for the experiment:
>
> 1. A reasonable sensitive receiver, hooked to a working antenna.
> 2. A blindfold.
> 3. A set of earphones.
> 4. No extreme hearing impairments.
> 5. A comfortable chair.
>
> Seat your self at the receiver, and tune it to the TOP of a popular band with
> good propagation to the USA, probably 40 or 75 meters. Don the earphones and
> plug them in. Set the receiver RF gain full open and the AF gain at a
> comfortable level.

> Now place your blindfold over your eyes.
>
> Slowly tune the receiver down the band. If incentive licensing is working, when
> you cross over the General/Advanced boundary and again when you cross the
> Advanced/Extra boundary, you should detect a noticeable increase in the
> "training and technical knowlege" of the operators because of better/cleaner
> signals, more sophisticated technical discussions, and other evidence of better
> training and technical knowlege.

Or maybe not. Your experiment has some real problems:

First, it assumes that hams with the various license classes stay only
in their respective subbands, in that you won't find Extras in the
Advanced and General parts, or Advanceds
in the General parts, etc. But that's not how it works.

Second, a lot of the discussions heard aren't about technical subjects.
So the sample size is gonna be kinda small.

Third, most "modern" rigs and many "older" rigs have such good signal
quality that
you can't really tell much about the operator other than s/he knows
enough not
to yell into the mike or turn the gain up too far.

> If your ear does NOT detect this sort of
> evidence as you tune across those boundaries, then you can conclude (as I have)
> that incentive licensing is an abject failure.

The problem is convincing FCC. See footnote 142 in the NPRM.

73 de Jim, N2EY

btw, loved that QRQ story.

IIRC, back in the 1980s I read a somewhat-similar story in "Air &
Space"
but of course the op requesting a QRQ was in an airplane. The ground
station
was in the Mediterranean - Egypt, I think. Somewhat earlier time -
early 1950s.

Both great stories.

1386. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 11:33:35 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iOmdnZ9JYcASyTzeRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 127207

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1134612831.728178.110080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1134522669.527007.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> >> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> >> > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> >> >

[snip]

>
> Is that why the FCC gives ALL power priveleges to their ENTRY LEVEL
> LICENSEES?
>

Entry level licensees do NOT have all power privileges. Technicians with
code are an entry level license. On HF frequencies, they are limited to 200
watts output.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1387. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 12:11:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XJOdnTvJYs81wDzenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127208

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:9q3of.2875$n1.732@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> One of the elements is self training and technical knowlegde. You
>> encourage that by using increased privileges (spectrum and power) to get
>> people to study and take
>> additional tests.
>
> If it were working, it would be evident on the air. But I'll encourage
> you to try a little practical experiment to see if you can detect the
> results in the real world.
>
> You'll need the following materials for the experiment:
>
> 1. A reasonable sensitive receiver, hooked to a working antenna.
> 2. A blindfold.
> 3. A set of earphones.
> 4. No extreme hearing impairments.
> 5. A comfortable chair.
>
> Seat your self at the receiver, and tune it to the TOP of a popular band
> with good propagation to the USA, probably 40 or 75 meters. Don the
> earphones and plug them in. Set the receiver RF gain full open and the AF
> gain at a comfortable level.
>
> Now place your blindfold over your eyes.
>
> Slowly tune the receiver down the band. If incentive licensing is
> working, when you cross over the General/Advanced boundary and again when
> you cross the Advanced/Extra boundary, you should detect a noticeable
> increase in the "training and technical knowlege" of the operators because
> of better/cleaner signals, more sophisticated technical discussions, and
> other evidence of better training and technical knowlege. If your ear
> does NOT detect this sort of evidence as you tune across those boundaries,
> then you can conclude (as I have) that incentive licensing is an abject
> failure.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

As Jim has already so ably answered, you cannot tell that sort of thing at all. There is no way to tell whether that signal is better/cleaner since

propagation variables can impact signal quality too. There is no way to tell if a better signal is due to better knowledge or that the particular ham chooses to have his equipment maintained by a third party. I would expect less sophisticated discussions in the Advanced/Extra portions simply because the Generals may be more apt to be seeking knowledge where the Extras may be inclined to relax.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1388. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 12:14:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134648895.242453.50160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127209

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 13, 7:32 pm
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >> > > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> > > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> >> > > > > > >> I'm not convinced that a "starting path" is necessary.
> >
> >> Considering that Len hasn't even started, that's hardly a surprise...
>
> Duhhhh...like I've never, ever operated a radio transmitter?!? :-)

The starting path under discussion was the path to an amateur radio
license. You haven't taken the first step on that path.
>
> >> > > 37 years ago. I lost privileges. You and Len did not.
> >
> >> > The entire USA amateur service lost in a big way,
> >
> >> How? Extras did not lose any privileges back then. Others could
> >> get back the "lost" privileges by taking a test or two.
> >
> >> Nobody lost any bands, power or modes except Novices, who
> >> lost 2 meter 'phone.
> >
> >Was it necessary to punish amateurs?
>
Who was "punished"?
>
> >> > but you find a way to personalize it.
> >
> >> The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.
> >
> >They affected everyone after you as well.
>
> >> They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.

> >
> >You're simply wrong on that one, Quitefine.
>
> Lots of us radio pros without amateur licenses just didn't bother
> to get an amateur license...not necessarily as a result of
> "changes of 1968 or 1969."

That's fine, Len. Nobody says you have to get ana amateur radio
license. But it does seem a bit odd that you're expending so much
time and energy on the requirements for a license you aren't
going to get...

> What the heck, I'd already started
> 15 and 14 years before in HF comms where the operating
> environment was a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER on all concerned than any
> amateur activity.

How was it "a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER", Len? I saw your "My 3 Years" thing.

And why all the comparisons? You seem to feel a need to prove that you
had it "TOUGHER" than anybody else.....

> >> > > > Now, one license class with the equivalent of the General Class exam is
> >> > > > "lowering the requirements."
>
> >> > > Yes, it would be.
> >
> >> > Does the Advanced and Extra licenses convey the modes and power privs
> >> > that the General license conveyed?
> >
> >> What do you think?
> >
> >I think you know what I think.

> >> > > > > > Why does one have to "upgrade" through license
> >> > > > > > classes?
> >
> >> > > > > One doesn't. Anyone can "go for the Extra right out of the box".
> >> > > > > You haven't.
> >
> >> > > > One doesn't have to upgrade at all. At one time the General conveyed
> >> > > > all amateur priveleges, and few amateurs tested higher.
> >
> >> Which is one reason the rules were changed in 1968 and 1969.
> >
> >Which is another reason that those license classes were arbitrary and
> >redundant at the time. They remain so today.
>
> Not "arbitrary and redundant" for Jimmie. He made it. He loves it.
>
> Problem is, Jimmie doesn't think that others can think differently
> so he doesn't think about the thousands of newcomers who MIGHT want

> to get into amateur radio.

Len, I don't have any problem thinking others can think differently.
That
doesn't mean I must agree with them.

Lowering the requirements has *not* brought sustained growth to the
Amateur Radio Service. There's a short-term surge of growth and then
the numbers start to decline again. Not just here in the USA, either.

The Morse Code test will probably be eliminated by FCC in the near
future. And we may see some short term growth. But I doubt the
growth will be sustained. You and others are already setting the stage
for more reductions in requirements - just as predicted.
>
> >> > > And FCC was convinced that wasn't a good thing. FCC is still convinced
> >> > > of the need for at least 3 license classes.
> >
> >> > Yeh, yeh, yeh. Using the same logic, if the FCC were conviced that a
> >> > Morse Code exam were still a good idea, they would have a specification
> >> > for Morse Code in the regulations.
> >
> >> Nope.
> >
> >Yep.
>
> Yes.

There's no specification for a lot of things in Part 97, yet there's no
problem.
>
> >> FCC specifically mentions the need for a 3 level license system in the
> >> NPRM.
> >
> >The FCC specifically excludes any definition or specification for Morse
> >Code.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is SOLELY regarding the elimination of the code test
> from the Commission's regulations for licensing in U.S. amateur
> radio.

Not entirely true.

That NPRM is also an R&O which denies a whole bunch of proposals
made earlier. Many ideas such as free upgrades and new license
classes were denied by FCC.

> NPRM 05-143 DOES NOT CONCERN ITSELF with ANY NEW PROPOSALS

It denies many existing ones - particularly some that would create new
license
classes or eliminate old ones.

> for
> license classes, rank, status, title, privilege, prestige, or
> honor and glory in the amateur service.

In any event, there's no evidence FCC will change the *written*
requirements,
number of license classes, the license class names or the associated
privileges,
any time soon.

> >> > Just because no one at the FCC is paying attention doesn't mean that
> >> > the present rules are worthwhile.
> >
> >> "No one at the FCC is paying attention"?
> >
> >> Just because they disagree with you?
> >
> >It was just a guess. Why else would they allow such arbitrary and
> >redundant rules, exams, and license classes to exist?
>
> POLITICS. The present system of U.S. amateur radio regulations, at
> least up to the year 2000, was lobbied for by the ARRL. The
> Reading Room at the FCC is full of documents attesting to that.

It's also full of documents from non-ARRL sources "lobbying" for
the same things.
>
> But, Jimmie is a PARTY MAN. The league can do no wrong.

You are mistaken, Len. For example, I'm opposed to the "regulation by
bandwidth" proposal as written. I also opposed - twice - the ARRL's
proposals for free (no test) upgrades in license class.

Guess whose comments were cited by FCC in denying those free
upgrades?
>
> >> > > You might want to read the current NPRM. Pay particular attention to
> >> > > footnote 142...

Did you read Footnote 142, Len?
> >
> >> > Asleep at the wheel.
> >
> >> FCC's not asleep.
> >
> >Coma?
>
> No to all the above. FCC just doesn't think that amateur radio
> deserves their maximum-mission attention in their Congress-law-
> mandated task of regulating ALL United States civil radio.

How do you know, Len? You're not FCC.

> When the Commission does get around to regulating amateur radio,
> it does so in Memorandum Reports and Orders which are extremely
> detailed and explicit (and sometimes lengthy) to their task of
> regulating all U.S. civil radio.

Then why the NPRM?

> A problem with folks like Jimmie is that they are way too focussed
> on their own agendas and their own personal desires to look at it
> from the perspective of an agency governing for ALL the people,
> not some smaller special-interest groups favoring morse code.

That's not *my* problem, Len...

> Jimmie sees only what he WANTS to see. Such as "footnotes" which
> he once thought were "wrong-format" things in other arguments.

Did you read footnote 142, Len?

> >> That's true, as far as it goes. But it's also true that, presently, every
> >> mode and every power limit privilege is permitted to Technician,
> >> Technician Plus, General, Advanced, and Extra licensees.
> >
> >I understand the reason for the split in privs between the Tech/Tech+
> >and the G/A/E licensees. The reason for that barrier no longer exists,
> >but the exam and licensing schema has not kept pace. Time to perform a
> >top-down review, starting with basis and purpose.
>
> The time may not be ripe just yet, Brian. Let's wait until the
> FCC decides what to do about NPRM 05-143 and issue a Memorandum
> Report and Order on it.

I think it's very likely that Element 1 will simply be eliminated.
There may be
some Petitions for Reconsideration, but those will be denied. End of
that
story.

> There's been two whole years of 18 Petitions commented on at length
> since the end of WRC-03 and now NPRM 05-143 which can settle the
> morse code testing for a license issue.

Probably. But you won't be satisfied with that, despite your frequent
claims of only wanting to eliminate the Morse Code test.

> >> So by *your* logic (not mine), the General, Advanced
> >> and Extra exams are arbitrary and redundant.
> >
> >The Technician exam is weak on HF issues. What do you think?
>
> The VEC QPC is responsible for generating written exam
> questions and answers. VEC QPC is NOT an FCC department.

> >> And wouldn't it also be true that the knowledge and skill required to operate
> >> 1,500 watts of CW on 14.026 MHz is EXACTLY the same knowledge and skill

> >> required to operate 1,500 watts on 13.976 MHz?
> >
> >Different service, but you're getting the point. Bravo!
>
> Jimmie is just doing his "message-points wordplay" thing. It is
> (or should be) absolutely NO difference in OPERATING any radio
> transmitter physically. The only difference is in the human
> regulations in regard to technical requirements.

Which means what? That hams should be allowed to operate on 13.976 MHz
if
nobody else is using it?

> >> Why can't Technicians operate on 14.026? Why can't hams operate on
> >> 13.976?
> >
> >And there you go with the ultimatums and strawmen.
>
> Jimmie with newsgroup wordplay again. About this point, Hans will
> jump in saying you are "simply mistaken" and babbling about how
> the "IARU and ITU" are different or other semi-sweet non-sequitur.

Can't answer the questions, eh?

> >> And while we're on the subject....
> >
> >> Why are hams only allowed 1500 watts output? Why not 3000, or
> >> 5000, or 10,000?
> >
> >Go to Italy. They may have waivers.
>
> The next World Radiocommunication Conference is in 2007. I don't
> know if the location is fixed yet (WRC-03 changed location from
> it's originally planned place). There's an FCC 8th Meeting on
> WRC-07 changed to 25 Jan 2006...see the Federal Register of
> today on details and contact person.
>
> If Jimmie wants to really go high-power, it's his electric bill.
> And his real estate broker's bill and re-locating his station.

?? Why??

> >> Actually that's not true.
> >> The 1998 ARRL proposal would have eliminated the 13 and 20 wpm code tests
> >> and replaced them with a 12 wpm code test. IIRC, General code test would have
> >> gone to 5 wpm in their proposal.
> >
> >And moments prior to that proposal, the ARRL had NO proposal. But they
> >saw Carl and the NCI walking up the steps to the FCC office...
>
> Actually, it was Carl Stevenson and Bill Sohl making an ex-partite
> (?) presentation before the FCC. :-)

Was that before or after the ARRL proposal? IIRC, it was after.

> Regardless, "the 1998 ARRL proposal" is OLD HISTORY. It doesn't
> apply to anything NOW.

Brian brought it up.

You constantly bring up much older history ("My 3 Years") that doesn't
apply to anything NOW....

> The current NPRM is 05-143 and concerning
> the elimination of the code test for license testing. The ONLY
> ARRL "proposal" is their Petition RM-10867 which was "granted in
> part" as mentioned in NPRM 05-143.
>
> But...Jimmie is a Believer in the league and thinks the league can
> do no wrong.

You're simply mistaken about that, Len.

For example, I think the ARRL made a big mistake not letting WK3C run
for
Director of the Atlantic Division. That's *my* division, btw...
>
> >> I think you want the licensing standards lowered even more than
> >> they have been already.
> >
> >I want the necessary amount of regulation required, without arbitrary,
> >redundant, or superfluous license exams, license classes, and
> >privileges.
>
> Sounds reasonable to me for what is essentially a HOBBY activity.

> >> > BTW, many of the exams are 49 or 48 or 47 questions because of the bad
> >> > questions presently in the QP.
> >
> >> That should be fixed.
> >
> >We are self-regulating, after all.
>
> Absolutely...by law in fact. The generation of ALL license test
> questions and answers is performed by the VEC. Says so in
> Part 97.

They are all approved by FCC, too.
>
> >> > > > The General License used to convey ALL AMATEUR PRIVELEGES.
> >
> >> > > That ended 37 years ago. Why do you live in the past?
> >
> >> > You're funny, Jim.
>
> Jimmie lives in the past. Period. He has been bringing up
> 1998 "proposals" when he should be bringing up 2004 Petitions

> on the current NPRM 05-143.

Who are you to tell me what I should do, Len? You're not in charge.

> By WRC-07 Jimmie might STILL be babbling about "the ARRL
> 1998 proposal!" :-)

> >> I'm simply pointing out where your line of reasoning leads.
> >
> >You saw where it leads. It leads to the elimination of arbitrary,
> >redundant, and superfluous licensing exams, classes, and privileges.
>
> Jimmie doesn't WANT to see where anything leads. <shrug>

> >> Apparently my knowledge and skills intimidate you, so that you
> >> have to attack me personally rather than argue facts and opinions.
> >
> >Apparently they do not. The mode chosen to provide the example of
> >arbitrary privs was done for your ease of understanding, not mine. And
> >you quickly grasped the concept and took it to its logical extension,
> >which would mean a freefall of your prestige and stature in the amateur
> >community. That scared you.
>
> Holy Judas H. Cottonpicker, but lil Jimmie done made hisself
> more pompously arrogant (and egotistical) than anyone else!
>
> The elimination of the code test for any U.S. amateur radio
> license WILL REDUCE BRAGGING RIGHTS OF MORSEMANSHIP BY THE
> PCTA MORSEMEN. Logical extension.

Just the opposite, Len.
>
> NO PRIVILEGES ARE REMOVED by the adoption of NPRM 05-143 as an
> R&O intact.

The change of zoning near your house did not remove any privileges from
you, did it, Len? It didn't make your taxes go up or require you to
change
your house in any way, right?

Yet you opposed it and kept trying to keep the 1960s zoning rules
unchanged
forever.

> ALL that is left is the bragging rights to those who ONCE
> passed a high-rate code test for their license.

Will my Morse Code skills disappear? I don't think so!

> >> No one proclaimed you king either.
> >
> >Remember, I am not the one seeking power and prestige through amateur
> >radio. I've been a proponent of the one license (classless) service

> >for a long, long time.
>
> Ah, but Jimmie NEEDS the nobility of title and status and
> prestige.

> >> Is someone who expresses an opinion here somehow claiming a
> >> royal role?
>
> Only those who still believe in a feudalistic system of
> rank-status-title-privilege in what is essentially a HOBBY.

That would be you, Len....

> If I want better peerage, I go to my opthalmologist for an
> eyeglass exam...so that I can "peer" at things better. :-)
>
>
> >I'm glad that you easily grasp the concept that these are, after all,
> >only our opinions. Not "Statements of Fact," nor "Assertions of Fact."
> >Expressing an opinion does not make one a liar.
>
> ...unless you are in a "discussion" with Dudly the Imposter.

But I'm not that person.

> >However, you need to realize that I advocate a review of ALL government
> >that presently exists, not just amateur radio. The review should start
> >with, "What is the purpose of government?" Refer to the U.S.
> >Constitution and the Bill of Rights often.
>
> I'm with you on that, Brian.

Be careful what you ask for...

> Unfortunately, some in here wish to abrogate those Rights in
> favor of what They want... :-(

You mean like someone who writes "shut the hell up, you little USMC
feldwebel" in a public forum?

Or someone who tells a US Navy veteran to shove something up his I/O
port?
>
> >You could probably drop the rhetoric about code tests.
>
> WHAT?!? Jimmie drop rhetoric about code testing?!? NO WAY! :-)
>
>
> >> > > - All existing Generals, Advanceds, and Extras get full
> >> > > privileges. Some Technicians and Technician Pluses
> >> > > who passed the Tech written when it was same as
> >> > > General get full privileges too.
> >

> >> > > Two questions:
> >
> >> > > What happens to existing Novices and Technicians who
> >> > > haven't passed the General written?
> >
> >
> >> > What happens to them now???
> >
> >> I'm asking what your one-class-of-license plan would do
> >> for them. It's your plan, not mine.
> >
> >Why? The FCC is presently dealing with it fairly.
>
> Jimmie trying to paint you in a corner there. His brush is dry.
>
>
> >> > > FCC has repeatedly refused free (no-test) upgrades.
> >
> >> > So?
> >
> >> So you have to convince FCC to reverse that policy if you
> >> want your plan put into effect.
> >
> >Why? Why must I do what you say???
> >
> >You are acting very king-like.
>
> I know...some extras get like that...

> >> Then please don't expect FCC to give free upgrades.
> >
> >Did't state that, didn't suggest that. Why do you keep putting your
> >scarecrow out there?
>
> His scarecrow must be there. His corn is green.
>
>
> >>Don't expect FCC
> >> to implement less than 3 classes of licenses. And don't expect
> >> FCC to lower the testing standards any more than is already proposed.
> >
> >What is proposed is the elimination of the code exam. You're welcome
> >to confine your discussions on rrap to that.
>
> Jimmie was trying to read the secret writing between the lines.
>
>
> >> IOW, you expect the FCC to agree with you on everything without
> >> you having to convince them.
> >

> >You expect the FCC to agree with me even if I should put forth a
> >convincing proposal?
> >
> >Hi! You angry white males are all the same.
>
> :-)

Len's the angriest white male I know.
>
> Sigh...Jimmie is finally seeing the dawning of a new age and he
> is vainly trying to shut everyone out of (his) sight.
> Now, if
> everyone could just accept Jimmie as the God-granted Ruler of
> Ham Opinion, he wouldn't get so upset. Alas, others aren't so
> inclined.

Boy is that a crock of bullshit!

>The hissy fits continue...
>
>From you, Len. You're the King of that! ;-)

1389. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:08:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134655687.499579.161950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127210

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve your a yutz and a looser who abuse people
>
> > > I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.
>
> You still haven't enlighten us on what you've done, which is probably
> nothing, but write abusive post on here.
>
>
> YUTZ
> Todd N9OGL

Says the faggot who lives in his parents' basement and makes up
websites to whine like a cunt on.......

1390. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:11:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134655894.236560.268110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yassar wrote:
> > Who said anything about a fine, Roger?
>
> Who said I was Roger, dumbass? You see him behind every post, dumbass.
>
> >We know Riley didn't fine you.
>
> Last time I checked, I wasn't Roger, either. Looks like you fucked up
> as usual.
>
> > He merely warned you in writing about malicious interference and mandated
> (not
> > 'invited') that you retest.
>
> But no reason was given why Wiseman had to retest, stupid. Learn to
> read.
>
> <
> No reason? Did Riley's mention of malicious interference in the letters sent
> you sound like "no reason"???

Yet the retest letter that has been posted ad nauseum gives no reason
like "interference" for the retest.

> Still in denial, are you?

You're still a fucking imbecile, aren't you?

1391. AB8MQ's "detractors" show thay have their heads up their asses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:16:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134656167.588779.192230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Who said I was Roger? wrote:
> >
> >
> ^^^

1392. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:17:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134656260.767621.224820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Convicted child Molester
Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> "Who said I was Roger, dumbass? You see him behind every post,
> dumbass.'
>
> You will find 2test behind the curtains.

And you'll never find you unless you find the shit stains on the floor
because you hide behind doors when other hams come visiting.

1393. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:19:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134656393.536480.190180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You seem to be quite familiar with the laws against child pornography,
Toad. Did the FBI agents read them to you the last time they caught you
with some on your hard drive, faggot?

1394. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:24:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134656673.942721.250910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127215

Todd wrote:
> is all lie ipostir. lose it.

Learn to post in English, FAGGOT. No wonder you are stuck in that
shithole of Indiana.

> Lloyd-Todd wrote:
> > On 14 Dec 2005 18:53:58 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>You lost me, SPEAK ENGLISH! and no, I'm on googles, always have been.
> >>
> >
> >
> > before you was on usenetserver with header like
> >
> > Message-ID: <toddaug555-1412051308580001@12.156.123.39>
> > User-Agent: NewsWatcher-X 2.2.3b2
> > X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
> > Organization: UseNetServer.com
> >
> > and so I no you lieing again like steve say you
> > do a lot. steve is great man. steve no lie.

1395. Where's the beef?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:31:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <27fof.1415$mj1.1171@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127216

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> First, it assumes that hams with the various license classes
> stay only in their respective subbands, in that you won't find
> Extras in the Advanced and General parts, or Advanceds
> in the General parts, etc. But that's not how it works.

You've got it all bass-ackwards, Jim. My "experiment" presumes that you won't find Advanced in the Extra portion of the band, and you won't find Generals in either the Advanced or Extra segments. Thus, if incentive licensing is working, there ought to be a noticeable difference in operator/technical skills evident between the opposite ends of the band segments.

As you so ably point out, that difference doesn't seem to exist. I rest my case. No benefits of incentive licensing are observed in the real world.

<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> I would expect less sophisticated discussions in the
> Advanced/Extra portions simply because the Generals
> may be more apt to be seeking knowledge where the
> Extras may be inclined to relax.

Dee, you should submit this as the funniest-rrap-paragraph-of-the-month.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1396. Re: N8WWM COWERING KEYBOARD SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:34:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051215093420.036$yd_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 127217
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> gay mparholic's ISP is Valley Internet
>
Nope, Adelphia just like always.

N8WWM's a tough guy in front of a monitor.

N8WWM's a hunch backed cowering sissy in front of me.

http://n8wwm.4t.com

1397. LLoyd Davies whines: I Never Jammed Anybody, just I got on HF with a No Code Technichian license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:35:56 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134657356.849547.252060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who said I was Roger? wrote:
> My name

Your name is the king of knob jobs in Alabama, 32 year old VIRGIN Lloyd Austin Davies.

Behold he shall ride upon a hard dick and his name shall be Lloyd Austin Davies.

And it came to pass that the king of knob jobs in Alabama, (as Lloyd is known) was gone for a long time, and some of the people celebrated and some speculated that he had died, some speculated that he was ill, some speculated that he was in Maryland at Ray Chason's apartment, and some even thought that the king of knob jobs in Alabama had been made a sex slave by Ray Chason and his butt buddies. It was then the people started to rejoice.

And when the niggers and crackheads heard the murmuring and rumours of the people they assured them that the king of knob jobs in Alabama was just busy sucking on one of his nigger neighbors' big black dicks and would address the people as soon as he could swallow that big load of nigger jizz. The neighbors in his hud apartment complex also knew that the project was the sucking of nigger dicks and telling lies and more lies, but they did not tell the people this for they did not desire to have a vice squad nightmare in the city of Deliverance Extras. Alabama liked to hear about the fat retarded one who proclaimed he was the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

And the niggers and crackheads reassured the people that everything was all right, and told them to prepare pyres and to cook meat, and to ice down beer and nehi soda, and to go out and buy potato chips and other unhealthy snacks from the traders who had immigrated to the kingdom from Vietnam and the land of Gaza. And so the went down to these little stores owned and bought these things. And they went home and warmed up their TV's, for the sabbath approached and the sabbath would bring more action from the mediocre football team at U of Alabama, and the sabbath would bring plenty of exciting nob gobbling action and goo gobbling from the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

The king of knob jobs in Alabama in reality is a ghastly pale porker who stands only 5'6" and weighs an unhealthy 290 Lbs. His skin is as white as copying paper due to his night job of delivering pizzas to the ghetto neighborhood where he dwells in a filthy, roach infested HUD hovel. He doesn't venture outside during the daylight hours, instead

preferring to play with Star Trek and Dalek dolls, post lies and filth on the internet and jack off to thoughts of his unrequited love interest Roger Wiseman and dream of finally actually getting some pussy. Not stick pussy, which his homosexual lover Steve Holsten feeds him several times a year when Lloyd goes for his visits, usually around Thanksgiving.

Lately, the king of knob jobs in Alabama has been consumed with posting pictures of someone he says is Roger Wiseman. In fact, the obviously botched purple rendering of somebody else has been cut and pasted, photoshopped and distributed all over the internet by the king of knob jobs in Alabama and his homosexual fantasy lover Ray Chason who is the king of knob jobs in Maryland. Chason who is also a porker has set up a blog of Roger's ex-wife "Regina" telling even more lies. Roger wasn't ever married to anyone named Regina. Of course Chason and Lloyd refuse to let truth get in the way of their lies, like their butt buddy friends who post lies, lies and more lies about anybody who reveals the truth about this band of homosexual predators.

> Wodger

Lloyd Austin Davies will suck your cock! (232)-233-3000

> Here is a quote:

Notice Lardass fucks up and doesn't post the actual retest letter, but a press release about it fromn the ARRL enforcement letters website that gives the ARRL's take on what happened.

>
> NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC has
> what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules infractions and
> not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC rules.

Exactly. Lardass fucks up and makes the case that Roger has not violated FCC rules.

> The FCC has the
> authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the rules to readminister any
> examination element previously administered by a volunteer examiner.

Yet Lardass fails to read that the FCC letter had this same phrase above in the retest letter and no reason (like interference) was given in the retest letter.

>From Hap Holly's website when it published FCC letters (it was also posted on Google)

July 11, 2000

CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-administer any examination element previously administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE's). The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or under the supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE designated by the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

Please be prepared to verify your current address and to present a photo identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your license will be cancelled if you do not appear for re-examination. You will be granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements you pass upon re-examination.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio

Enforcement Bureau

From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com (LRod)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: 14.313 Jamming.K9BSD, N9PGE, and KK9G.
Message-ID: <3f95f43d.279559451@newsgroups.bellsouth.net>
References: <bn4ic3kne0@pita.alt.net>
<9eb78849147f073de63ea72948abd26f@mail.futureworlds.it>
<bn4mmpsnq0@pita.alt.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 41
X-Trace:
npbhgpngjbkmjfegdbdpiflmbcekedmfhojhikkbagflhcboaklfjkplkonophhikjjlgnhjkiogimbnbgackcbpan

nchokbekkjflfncmkmngeibpdbhojbajjenkiigmijeekfoggfnklbkpodebjebifnnkim
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 22 Oct 2003 00:27:01 EDT
Date: Wed, 22 Oct 2003 03:14:02 GMT

On Tue, 21 Oct 2003 20:32:06 -0500, "Rick Phillips" <NoSpam@NoSpam>
wrote:

>If you are going to tell it, tell it straight. THAT person only recieved ONE
>warning letter and was made to retest, yet the letter did not give
>interference as being the reason for the retest.
>This newsgroup has already hashed and rehashed THAT person's retest, ad
>nauseaum.

>One letter?
>No "interference"?

Read everything closely. There were three letters sent.

The letters to both Wiseman and Tunder were a preemptive "warning"
that did not allege any activities. That hardly qualifies as a warning
in the context of someone having actually done something.

The letter with the warning in it ALLEGED interference, and warned of
consequences.

The retest letter made no reference to interference; in fact gave no
reason for requiring the retest. Such a request is part of the
Volunteer Examining program. It could have been made for any number of
reasons that may have had nothing to do with any of the addressee's
actions. An investigation into the VE group that gave the test, would
be a good example.

Only one letter was a warning referring to interference.

So, yes; if you are going to tell it, tell it straight.

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

1398. Re: moparholic at hotmail dot com loves men in truckstop toilets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:36:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051215093617.895$Rc@newsreader.com>
Source record: 127219
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N8WWM@arrl.net posting as "moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote, while stroking his wand and praying to watch gay porno
> flix:
> > Hey fucknut, newsreader.com is not my isp. Pull your head out of your
> > lardass.
>
> Why, so you can lick his face and his piles?
>
N8WWM's a tough guy in front of a monitor.

N8WWM's a hunch backed cowering sissy in front of me.

http://n8wwm.4t.com

1399. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:43:18 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aifof.4871$3Z.1722@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127220

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:XJOdnTvJYs81wDzenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:9q3of.2875$n1.732@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>
>>> One of the elements is self training and technical knowlegde. You
>>> encourage that by using increased privileges (spectrum and power) to get
>>> people to study and take
>>> additional tests.
>>
>> If it were working, it would be evident on the air. But I'll encourage
>> you to try a little practical experiment to see if you can detect the
>> results in the real world.
>>
>> You'll need the following materials for the experiment:
>>
>> 1. A reasonable sensitive receiver, hooked to a working antenna.
>> 2. A blindfold.
>> 3. A set of earphones.
>> 4. No extreme hearing impairments.
>> 5. A comfortable chair.
>>
>> Seat your self at the receiver, and tune it to the TOP of a popular band
>> with good propagation to the USA, probably 40 or 75 meters. Don the
>> earphones and plug them in. Set the receiver RF gain full open and the
>> AF gain at a comfortable level.
>>
>> Now place your blindfold over your eyes.
>>
>> Slowly tune the receiver down the band. If incentive licensing is
>> working, when you cross over the General/Advanced boundary and again when
>> you cross the Advanced/Extra boundary, you should detect a noticeable
>> increase in the "training and technical knowlege" of the operators
>> because of better/cleaner signals, more sophisticated technical
>> discussions, and other evidence of better training and technical
>> knowlege. If your ear does NOT detect this sort of evidence as you tune
>> across those boundaries, then you can conclude (as I have) that incentive
>> licensing is an abject failure.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB

>
> As Jim has already so ably answered, you cannot tell that sort of thing at
> all. There is no way to tell whether that signal is better/cleaner since
> propagation variables can impact signal quality too. There is no way to
> tell if a better signal is due to better knowledge or that the particular
> ham chooses to have his equipment maintained by a third party. I would
> expect less sophisticated discussions in the Advanced/Extra portions
> simply because the Generals may be more apt to be seeking knowledge where
> the Extras may be inclined to relax.

I would expect better OPERATING skills, a higher quality of language behavior and perhaps more technical discussions...but forget even the technical discussions....the behavior and operating skill differences are just not there.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1400. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 14:58:34 UTC
From: James Farkas <james.farkas@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <uwfof.2898$0z.1052@trndny02>
Source record: 127221

[No readable article body recovered]

1401. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 15:10:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xHfof.1421$mj1.915@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127222

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K0HB on Dec 14, 5:15 pm
>
>
>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>
>>> WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?
>> We see none from you, Brian, or me either. Maybe we're all imposters?
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>
> http://www.estripes.com [go to Archives and 10 Nov 02]
>
> Harold Hallikainen's website underwent a reorganization change
> in late October and my 10 MB PDF was there before that. The
> Pacific Stars and Stripes interview took place in 2002, most
> of it via e-mail with reporter Rick Chernitzer.
>
> The first one is 20 pages and has lots of photos, a few of
> which are me as I was back then. Lots more of equipment
> that I OPERATED. There's three MORE papers on Harold's
> website, all generated and uploaded by myself.
>
> If you wish to get anal-retentive on this, I can forward my
> digitized DD-214 and my digitized Honorable Discharge paper
> as further proof. I really don't see WHY but then some
> "managers" get up-tight about lots of little matters.
>
> WHAT HAVE WE SEEN FROM DUDLY THE IMPOSTER (ada K4YZ)?
>
> NOT *ONE* THING
>
> NO DIGITIZED DOCUMENTS OF ANY KIND FROM THE USMC.
>
> NOT EVEN A SNAPSHOT OF HIM SOME TIME IN 18 YEARS OF "service"
> with the USMC.
>
>> Sunuvagun!
>
> What the FORK do you NEED for proof? A Power-Point
> Presentation?
>
> Courier-hand-delivered, notarized documents?

>
> As far as I'm concerned, the FBI, the CIA, the DIA, the IRS,
> Nuclear Regulatory Agency, the Social Security Administration,
> DCAS, Franchise Tax Board of California, and several large
> corporations have already accepted my presenting - hand-
> carried - copies of my military service records which they
> have independently checked to federal archives.
>
> Stick that "imposter" charge up your I/O port super chief.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> ex-RA16408336

Your rant has been read. It all begs the question: So what?

Dave K8MN

1402. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 15:21:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RRfof.3042$n1.708@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127223

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

>>
>> As Jim has already so ably answered, you cannot tell that sort of thing at
>> all. There is no way to tell whether that signal is better/cleaner since
>> propagation variables can impact signal quality too. There is no way to tell
>> if a better signal is due to better knowledge or that the particular ham
>> chooses to have his equipment maintained by a third party. I would expect
>> less sophisticated discussions in the Advanced/Extra portions simply because
>> the Generals may be more apt to be seeking knowledge where the Extras may be
>> inclined to relax.
>
> I would expect better OPERATING skills, a higher quality of language
> behavior and perhaps more technical discussions...but forget even the
> technical discussions....the behavior and operating skill differences
> are just not there.
>

They're relaxing, Bill.

1403. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 15:45:12 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dns326$i0$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127224

whinyslit_2test@yahoo.com wrote:
> You seem to be quite familiar with the laws against child pornography,
> Toad. Did the FBI agents read them to you the last time they caught you
> with some on your hard drive, faggot?

why you out of jail? you find bondsman for dwi I bet. buba assfuck you
lots when you away? ya sure you betcha.

1404. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 16:05:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134662719.740061.321780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127225

yeah, I run two newsgroup systems

1405. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 16:26:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <manager@distrmgrppizza.net>
Message-ID: <dns5e8$6g8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127226

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xHfof.1421$mj1.915@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K0HB on Dec 14, 5:15 pm
>>
>>
>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>>
>>
>>>> WE see NO DD-214 image from Dudly. Where is it?
>>> We see none from you, Brian, or me either. Maybe we're all imposters?
>>
>> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>>
>> http://www.estripes.com [go to Archives and 10 Nov 02]
>>
>> Harold Hallikainen's website underwent a reorganization change
>> in late October and my 10 MB PDF was there before that. The
>> Pacific Stars and Stripes interview took place in 2002, most
>> of it via e-mail with reporter Rick Chernitzer.
>>
>> The first one is 20 pages and has lots of photos, a few of
>> which are me as I was back then. Lots more of equipment
>> that I OPERATED. There's three MORE papers on Harold's
>> website, all generated and uploaded by myself.
>>
>> If you wish to get anal-retentive on this, I can forward my
>> digitized DD-214 and my digitized Honorable Discharge paper
>> as further proof. I really don't see WHY but then some
>> "managers" get up-tight about lots of little matters.
>>
>> WHAT HAVE WE SEEN FROM DUDLY THE IMPOSTER (ada K4YZ)?
>>
>> NOT *ONE* THING
>>
>> NO DIGITIZED DOCUMENTS OF ANY KIND FROM THE USMC.
>>
>> NOT EVEN A SNAPSHOT OF HIM SOME TIME IN 18 YEARS OF "service"
>> with the USMC.
>>
>>> Sunuvagun!
>>
>> What the FORK do you NEED for proof? A Power-Point
>> Presentation?

>>
>> Courier-hand-delivered, notarized documents?
>>
>> As far as I'm concerned, the FBI, the CIA, the DIA, the IRS,
>> Nuclear Regulatory Agency, the Social Security Administration,
>> DCAS, Franchise Tax Board of California, and several large
>> corporations have already accepted my presenting - hand-
>> carried - copies of my military service records which they
>> have independently checked to federal archives.
>>
>> Stick that "imposter" charge up your I/O port super chief.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> ex-RA16408336
>
> Your rant has been read. It all begs the question: So what?
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Actually, that sums up virtually all the posts here. None should be taken seriously. They are just the frustrations of old white hams, except for Roger, who is mentally wacko.

Lloyd

1406. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 16:28:55 UTC
From: Lloyd <manager@distrmgrppizza.net>
Message-ID: <dns5j5$7be$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127227

Hey Hans,
do they play Anchors Aweigh, when you enter the
bar at the Legion Hall?

Lloyd

1407. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 16:33:13 UTC
From: Lloyd <manager@distrmgrppizza.net>
Message-ID: <dns5r8$8mh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sighhhhhhhh, old frustrated white men, who never tire of blabbing about how great they once were..... borrrrrrrrrrrrrrring.

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:xm6of.2939$n1.2734@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>>At the time, I was one of only 23 radiomen in the Sixth Fleet who were
>> fully qualified Net Control operators for that net. I go into all of this
>> not to
>> brag, but only to give you an idea of how big my 21-year-old ego was. If
>> you
>> were qualified to NCS "Sixes Alfa" (you got a fancy diploma style wallet
>> certificate signed by the Fleet Commander) then you were pretty hot
>> stuff.
>
>
>
>

1408. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 17:55:39 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnsaka$9dc$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 127229
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:cY2dnQllc9uTMD3eRVn-gA@comcast.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
> > "Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:ofadnew7Prglsz3enZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >
> >>Peter wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>> OH... so you assume I am an atheist.? How wrong can you be! However
> >>>I like to think for myself rather than be brainwashed by some guy in
> >>>fancy robes.... especially the brand that do Mass :-)
> >>
> >>When I wear my robes at Mass I'll still pray for you. There's nothing
> >>you can do about it.
> >
> >
> > Pray for me to win the lottery please :O)
> >
> > Regards,
> > Graham
>
> You have to watch the movie "Bruce Almighty". I did that once! 14,000
> winners of $17 each or something like that :-0

Yes I saw that film. Quite funny in places although i dont really like Jim
Carey. BUT..... as he's only praying for me to win the lottery I might be
ok ;o)

Regards,
Graham

--
-.-. -... .-. .- -.. .. - - -

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1409. is out of jail today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 18:36:31 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dnsd3d$b3s$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127230

they say he out on work relese program after to many dui in w va. do they let you out thursday and fridays roger becaues that is when you post alot?

1410. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:05:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134673534.737025.235420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127231

ok steve you lying sack of shit

Todd N9OGL

1411. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:08:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134673680.564133.246020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You seem to be quite familiar with the laws against child pornography,
Toad. Did the FBI agents read them to you the last time they caught you

with some on your hard drive, faggot?

I know a lot of laws moron, BTW as stated before I don't mess with real
child porn, I'm into ANIME and Hentai

Todd N9OGL

1412. Re: is hentai

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:15:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134674149.476760.56730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127233

>On 14 Dec 2005 18:53:58 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> You lost me, SPEAK ENGLISH! and no, I'm on googles, always have been.
>before you was on usenetserver with header like
>Message-ID: <toddaug555-1412051308580001@12.156.123.39>
>User-Agent: NewsWatcher-X 2.2.3b2
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
>Organization: UseNetServer.com
>and so I no you lieing again like steve say you
>do a lot. steve is great man. steve no lie.

I'm using my service provider and googles, but the majority of time I'm
using googles. The reason I started using my service provider is
because googles isn't showing all the post.

Todd N9OGL

1413. Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:22:53 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <bfg3q1tp7musnd80dtreoba15esd74731d@4ax.com>
Source record: 127236

On 14 Dec 2005 03:08:11 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> >more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> stevi lie
>
> Not from what we can see of the content and context of your posts,
>Markie.
>
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
>>
>> stevi lie
>
> Not from what we can see of the content and context of your posts,
>Markie.

not bothering with the rst of stevie lies
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1414. stvei trun any thread into attack thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:22:58 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <sgg3q1hkb0pq2nev7vt9m5r2osdi9ih9fc@4ax.com>
Source record: 127237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 13 Dec 2005 06:04:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>ku4my@charter.net wrote:
>
>> Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'........
>> I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
>>
>> You poor, poor sods!
>
> Yes...the rowdy and the reckless.
>
> But beware the "Feeble Five."
>
true to form
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1415. Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:23:03 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <big3q11ohs13vhvq8anm1ocvfdfkestvks@4ax.com>
Source record: 127238

On 13 Dec 2005 09:25:02 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Jimmie just said "major typo alert!"
>>
>> He acknowledged a MAJOR mistake in posting as a "typographical
>> error" but that is apparently okay for him to do. It's not okay
>> for any of us to do it...if we do it, we get reminders of it for
>> the next five years, negative critique, accusations of "not
>> following up on 'promises,'" the whole magilla.
>
> The fact of the matter is, Lennie, that more often than not, you
>either refuse to admit your errors, or even worse, defend them with
>lengthy, windy pontifications intended to obfuscate them.
>
> Jim's character doesn't seem to permit him to act that way.
>
> I see you're still using diminutives that aren't directed at you.
>Of course your sock puppet does nothing to suggest otherwise to you,
>yet presumes to chastise others for not engaging in such conduct.
>
> What's that term you're always using...."double standard"...?!?!

why are you obsessed with dimutives stevie clearly you are dumb enough
to think being called stevie is worse than being called a pedophile
(you have said this more or less for months
>
> Seems you NCTA "guys" have more than your fair share! (as if
>there was any doubt.....)
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1416. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:24:57 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <qlg3q1h697857ggtcpji2dqp54npmsq39s@4ax.com>
Source record: 127239

On 13 Dec 2005 09:05:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
>> >
>> > > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >> > Jim, is this what you meant when you said that you "served in other
>> > > >> > ways?"
>> > > >
>> > > >> Brian,
>> > > >
>> > > >> You have written that phrase as a direct quote, and attributed it to
>> > > >> me.
>> > > >
>> > > >> Where have I written that I 'served in other ways'?
>> > > >
>> > > >So you haven't "served in other ways?"
>> > >
>> > > September 24, 2004;
>> >
>> > Nope. I did not write that I "served in other ways" on that date.
>>
>> "Nope."
>>
>> > > January 13, February 10, May 25 2005.
>> >
>> > Nope, nope, and nope again. I did not write that I
>> > "served in other ways" on any of those dates either.
>>
>> "Nope, nope, and nope again."
>>
>> You're starting to sound like Steve. Everyone else gets everything
>> wrong.
>
> If you're wrong, Brain, you're wrong.

true aenough but brain isn't always wrong none is always wrong not
even you
>
> Why do you and the Feeble Five have a hard time with that simple

>concept?

we don't you do
>
>> > Len, if you had actually *read* what I wrote on those
>> > days, you'd know that.
>> >
>> > What I have written is a question for you and others.
>>
>> Can you answer your own question?
>
> Can you answer mine about why you've used diminutives against Jim
>when he's never used them on you?

becuase he felt they made a proper reply

that reasonis good enough for to comit FORGERY over
>
>> > Here it is again:
>> >
>> > Is service in the military the only way a citizen can
>> > serve our country? Or are there other ways?
>>
>> A rhetorical question? You wanted an answer?
>>
>> Or were you implying that you "served in other ways?"
>>
>> Can you answer your own question, or are you going to leave it up to us
>> Veterans of low-intelligence who got duped by "The Man" to act as
>> cannon fodder in exchange for a chance at an education?
>
> Again with the self-victimizing, Brain.
>
> And a very, VERY poor suggestion that Jim said anything of the
>kind.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1417. Re: Keeping Track Of stveie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:36:24 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <vbh3q1hvvuam82ojd0be4vq37l0dlmq5m0@4ax.com>
Source record: 127241

On 14 Dec 2005 23:31:40 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> >Hey Toiddie...If you can bring YOUR mindless trash in here under
>> >the color of protection of the Constitution, then guess what...?!?!?
>> >YOU don't have ANY right to suggest someone else not exercise
>> >their opinions regardless of what YOU think of them.
>>
>> That's the problem steve with the first amendment huh? BTW I do have
>> the right to assert and protect myself from ###holes like you,
>> regardless if you like it or not.
>
> "protect (your)self"...?!?!
>
> What? You're not going to read what I write about you any
>more...?!?!

more bullshit from stve
>
>> > Yeah right steve, lying again
>> >Nope.
>>
>> Where's your proof, Sure I live at home, but that don't mean I don't
>> have money, I own my own business.
>
> Sure...Just like a pimp "owns" a business.

more slander by stvie
>
> And WHAT "business"...?!?! The corner shoe-shine boy in the
>barber shop?

more slander
>
>> > Steve, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM, get your fact right before
>> > posting. As for my "company" who said it's non-exisant? you a little
>> > nobody. RIGHT!
>>
>> >LPTV...I stand corrected...However the rest remains correct.
>> >Your "company" does not have a charter in the State of Illinois.
>> >Nor is there a business license I can find.
>>
>> But you forget one thing, as I've stated many times before, there's a
>> lot more to that LPTV deal it then you know. Secondly my "company" as

>> you claim isn't a business, it's a club, it always has been, since
>> 1983.
>
> No, it's not, unless you were lying in April.
>
> Allow me to remind you:
>
>cuting stevie repateing his past post
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1418. stvei enging in censorhsip again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:37:30 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <2fh3q114glmkknuk6gg2qi2sonor0vrl32@4ax.com>
Source record: 127242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 13 Dec 2005 09:29:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> The Farting Virgin Mary of Southpark wrote:
>> > <loose_orifice@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1134242497.846297.16930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > > there will be a reunion on 3950 tonight be there for the roll call and
>> > > then all the check ins and the jammers too
>> > >
>> >
>> > the original "Loose Oriface" died several years ago.
>> >well we se thefine upstanding hams produced by code testing
>
> Markie, I was trying to figure out why you went to the trouble of
>retasking the subject line with profanities for a subject that wasn't
>even in the body of the original message.
>

why do I need to justify myslef to you
> Drunk again?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1419. sorry bout my asshole hubby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:38:38 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <sgh3q11lpr31lev1mdrm8u7qupdpq8avm8@4ax.com>
Source record: 127243

On 18 Oct 2005 12:30:44 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> (UNSNIP)...result the dianosis of Dyslexia and the Dylesoid aphasia
>
> "diagnosis"
>
> Mark, if you're going to seek sympathy for yourself with various
>maladies, pick maladies that really exist.
>
> There is no such thing as "Dylesoid aphasia". Not even close to a
>real "diagnosis".

ayou know this How Nursie? you are drop out of a nursing school
but then Nurses do often think you know better than doctors
>
>> and
>> the beginging of the specail ed dept efforts to try and cram enough
>> Morse Code into my head to pass the dammed test, they were not
>> sucfessull, at this point in my life I was still fool enough to be very
>> honest I should have allowed it when I was offered a faked morse code
>> passing grade
>
> Too many typos to mention.
>
> I rate this one just below the "I am a drafted Colonel" story...
>
>> but this is only a partial list Stevie One I don't bother remeber any
>> one right now. Two becuase I am pretty sure a number of such charges
>> were made, filed and forgotten with out a word to me
>
> They are never "forgotten".

sure are, they become iretrivable the length f time varies and is
getting longer as technology imporves. but Data is "forggoten" all the
time, indeed it has to be. if it never disapeared then the laws of
Physics would be thereby broken

they are certainly no threat to me

are you really dumb enough to think you can frighten me with the
"Permentant File" crap
>

>> you accused me of paranoia wrt Social Services. that was and remains a
>> load of #####.
>
> Nope.

it is a load of shit

to be paranoid of Social services Id have to fear them

I know and you should as well that real child abuse goes on all the
time under their very noses.

I know that SS will laugh at such a claim as you describe, talked
about it with a friend of mine who works there.

Indeed enough these bullshit complaints can alas hide real reports by
cuasing them to be discounted as just aother crack pot, as well by
wasting their time investgation the "charges" of crackpots like
yourself.

>
> But if it let's you sleep better, go right on ahead thinking it
>is, Mark.
>
>> I would ahve to fear them for it to be paranoia. I have
>> nothing but contempt for them. any one that follows the news and hear
>> how in capple these agencies are at there mission (by seeing the
>> reports fo stuff they have allowed to go on for year) should also hold
>> them in distain, IMO
>
> "disdain", among others.
>
> I can tell you this, Mark...THEY hold someone in "disdain"
>themselves...A legal system that allows certain folks to continue to
>rack up "charges" yet not face the legal system until something REALLY
>bad happens.

almost got that one right

they hold something not someone in distain

>
>> Since I laid down this gualtlet only you and ole whinnyslit (who may
>> well be you as well, I don't know or much care) dare to continue such a
>> line
>
> WTF is a "gualtlet"...?!?!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1420. so very sorry bout my asshole huuby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:40:02 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <fhh3q1p9kntq8sbeskk87uie3meqvtdtc1@4ax.com>
Source record: 127246

On 19 Oct 2005 05:23:02 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2005 05:43:24 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an Old friend wrote:
>> >> N0VFP wrote:
>> >> > an Old friend wrote:
>> >> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
pruing again

>> >> let me know when you try it so I can gloat over the nothing that
>> >> happened
>> >
>> >Nobody said they were going to try it, stupid. That's what "Could be"
>> >means.
>>
>> agreed nobody is going to try it becuase it is Bullshit
>
>No it isn't, child abuser.

yes it is bullshit

No action of mine is remotely a violation of FCC rules

therefore any claim to the contary is pure BS
>
>> It would be fun to watch someone try out that line of bullshit though
>
>It would be more fun watching a video of you blowing what little brains
>you have out.

proving again that you are sick SoB

but as I said before

the FCC is pretty screwed up in many ways but at least they know they
can't take part in hate filled gay bashing

therefore any claim that someone could get the FCC to any action
against me for being Bisexual is pure bullshit

cut to close
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1421. Re: Aussie Youth Pride Photos (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:43:40 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <dkt873-2o1.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 127235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>So 'you' only have good things to say about the peace loving
>>Arab Youth {Gangs} who took it upon themselves to shot
>>into a School just because the Australian Children were sing
>>Christmas Carols. And Christian Churches are being Burned.
>>http://www.abc.net.au/news/newsitems/200512/s1531169.htm
>>http://www.allheadlinenews.com/articles/7001478101
>>http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory?id=1403995
>
> I didn't say that.

Well, you did imply that the reason the "Italians and Englishmen" were
"yelling at Arabs in Australia" was "for not being from there" and not
for anything more serious, like church burning and firing into children's
choirs.

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

1422. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:49:16 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-1512051349160001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 127234

In article <1134631900.353045.61760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
> > >Hey Toiddie...If you can bring YOUR mindless trash in here under
> > >the color of protection of the Constitution, then guess what...?!?!?
> > >YOU don't have ANY right to suggest someone else not exercise
> > >their opinions regardless of what YOU think of them.
> >
> > That's the problem steve with the first amendment huh? BTW I do have
> > the right to assert and protect myself from ###holes like you,
> > regardless if you like it or not.
>
> "protect (your)self"...?!?!
>
> What? You're not going to read what I write about you any
> more...?!?!
>
> > > Yeah right steve, lying again
> > >Nope.
> >
> > Where's your proof, Sure I live at home, but that don't mean I don't
> > have money, I own my own business.
>
> Sure...Just like a pimp "owns" a business.
>
> And WHAT "business"...?!?! The corner shoe-shine boy in the
> barber shop?

NO STEVE I'M IN THE FOOD SERVICE BUSINESS, I OWN A LITTLE PLACE ON THE
SQUARE HERE IN TAYLORVILLE.

>
> > > Steve, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM, get your fact right before
> > > posting. As for my "company" who said it's non-exisant? you a little
> > > nobody. RIGHT!
> >
> > >LPTV...I stand corrected...However the rest remains correct.
> > >Your "company" does not have a charter in the State of Illinois.
> > >Nor is there a business license I can find.
> >
> > But you forget one thing, as I've stated many times before, there's a
> > lot more to that LPTV deal it then you know. Secondly my "company" as
> > you claim isn't a business, it's a club, it always has been, since
> > 1983.

>
> No, it's not, unless you were lying in April.
>
> Allow me to remind you:
>
> QUOTE
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> - Find messages by this author
>
>
> (Remember when you still had wideband at home, Toiddie...?!?!
>
> Date: 8 Apr 2005 15:37:06 -0700
> Local: Fri, Apr 8 2005 4:37 pm
> Subject: Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's
> > > some material gleaned from the archives:
>
> HUGE SNIP
>
> > So it would appear that Todd and company (most likely just Todd...I
> > don't believe there's any "company" involved) screwed the pooch AFTER
> > getting an "OK" from the feds FOR their operation!
>
> Not true ###HOLE, first there is a "comapny" mostly made up of amateur
> radio operators. Second the Application was dismissed after congress
> passed the Radio Broadcast Perservation Act of 2001. Before you go
> spewing for LIES I would really suggest you get all the facts. You
> don't know all the facts, you weren't there....so my opinion (EXPLITIVE
> DELETED)
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> So...Toiddie...Are you lying now or were you lying in April?
>
> Maybe a bit more to jog your mind, Toiddie?
>
> QUOTE:
>
> ABOUT LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATIONS
>
> LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION IS A NON-PROFIT ORGINIZATION WHICH
> PROMOTES THEATER, TELECOMMUNICATIONS, AND THE PREFORMING ARTS
> LANGLEY PARK WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1999-2000 BY TODD DAUGHERTY N9OGL AND

> JIM BEUSINGER N9FU ALONG WITH OTHER HAM OPERATORS.

THE PROBLEM WITH THAT STEBIE IS IT HAS NOT BEEN EDITED FOR A LONG TIME, AS
A MATTER OF FACT WHEN I LOG IN TO GEOCITIES THEY SAY THE PAGE DOESN'T
EXIST. I THINK YOU ALSO MISS THE POINT THAT UNDER THE LAW BOTH THE STATE
OF ILLINOIS AND FEDERAL LAW A ORGINIZATION, CLUB OR WHATEVER DOESN'T HAVE
TO PAY TAXES IF THEY MAKE NO MONEY WHAT'S SO EVER.

>
> So today (just below...) you state this was started in 1983, yet
> in April you said it was 1999.
>
> That's a 16 year error.

NO YOU FUCK DICKHEAD, IN THE 90'S THE GROUP WAS REORGINIZED CREATING A NEW
"REINCARNATION" OF THE GROUP.
>
> "I'VE ALWAYS WANTED TO ENTERTAIN PEOPLE" SAID TODD DAUGHERTY
> CEO/PRESIDENT OF LANGLEY PARK.
>
> Well, then, Toddy m'boy, You've succeeded!
>
> And I re-address your use of "CEO/PRESIDENT"
>

IT'S A TITLE BIG FUCKING DEAL!

> "LANGLEY PARK WILL CREATE A PLACE WHERE IDEAS CAN ROAM FREE AND PEOPLE
> CAN SHOW OFF THEIR TALENTS"
>
> > It started in 1983 as DDC-TV...(SNIP)
>
> Dumb, Dumber and Clueless-TransVestites...?!?!
>
> So...Here we've caught you screwing up SEVERAL stories...
>
> Which one is true?
>
> > > Dam right, and there's NOTHING yo can do about it Bwahahaha
> > > Sure there is.
> >
> > RIGHT.....you've been saying that for a long time now...
>
> Uh huh. And it's still true.

TRUE THAT YOU AREN'T GOING TO DO NOTHING ABOUT IT BUT ACT LIKE AN ASSHOLE
ON HERE.

>
> > >Sooner or later you'll get jammed up, Toiddie, and you'll wish
> > >you'd spent your precious "freedom of speech" on something meaningful.
> >

> > Keep dreaming steve.
>
> Nope.
>

STEVE ANIMATION CHILD PORN IS LEGAL, THE PERSON WHO WROTE THAT ARTICLE WROTE IT INCORRECTLY. PAINTING, CARTOONS, SCULPTURE, AND DRAWING ARE EXEMPTED FROM THE LAW. THE PERSON WHO WROTE THAT ARTICLE SAID THE OPPOSITE OF WHAT THE LAW STATES. WHICH SHOW YOU NOT TO BELEIVE EVERYTHING YOU READ ON THE INTERNET. THE MAN THAT WAS ARRESTED HAD ANIMATION PORN, BUT HE ALSO HAD A HUGH COLLECTION OF REAL CHILD PORN WHICH WAS A VIOLATION OF HIS PROBATION. THE MAN HAD BE ARRESTED BEFORE FOR
HAVING REAL CHILD PORN AN SPENT TIME IN PRISON, HE WAS RELEASE AND WAS PUT ON PROBATION AND HE DOWNLOAD THIS MATERIAL AT WORK AND WAS CAUGHT AND REALLY WAS FOUND GULITY OF VIOLATING HIS PROBATION. ME, I DON'T MESS WITH REAL CHILD PORN, NEVER HAVE, I'M INTO JAPANESE ANIMATION AND HENTAI.

> > > Animation Porn, even lolicon is LEGAL and in 2001 the US Supreme Court
> > > ruled that "virtual child porn" (which includes animation lolicon) WAS
> > > protected by the First Amendment. Beside what are going to do about
> > > it??? NOTHING, grow up steve you little worm
> >
> > > I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.
> >
> > What tell your mommy??
>
> Nope.
>

YOU AIN'T DOING SHIT ABOUT IT STEVE, EXCEPT GET ON HERE AND CRY LIKE A LITTLE BITCH. YOU CAN'T DO NOTHING ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT'S LEGAL, VIRTUAL PORN WAS DEEMED PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT BY THE US SUPREME COURT IN 2001. THE PURPOSE OF CHILD PORN LAWS IS TO PROTECT CHILD IN MAKING OF IT, SINCE VIRTUAL PORN IS FROM SOMEONE'S IMAGINATION THERE IS NO VICTIM, THUS NO CRIME (ACCORDING TO THE US SUPREME COURT) EVEN IN FEBER VS NEW YORK IN THE 70'S THE SUPREME COURT RULED THAT SOME CHILD PORNOGRAPHY WAS LEGAL, IT'S THE PROCESS OF MAKING IT THAT MAKES IT ILLEGAL.

TODD N9OGL

> > Putz
>
> Yes, you are! Good step forward!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1423. still os so very sorry about the asshole I married

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:51:54 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <nrh3q1tpj343otl9cm76d3fha2kahlc8cv@4ax.com>
Source record: 127155

```
On 25 Oct 2005 12:26:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>slept_with_old_friends_husband wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > On 20 Oct 2005 02:24:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> > > >
>>
>> undoing the levels so everyoone will see it again
>> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
>> Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
>> Cecil,
>>
>> >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code
>> test,
>> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
>> >
>> > You and I.
>> > > > >> >
>> > > > >> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
>> > > > >
>> > > > > "really"
>> > > > >
>> > > > > You have too much time on your hands, Markie.
>> > > >
>> > > > sometimes I certainly do
>> > >
>> > No "sometimes" to it, Markie.
>>
>> yes it is sometimes
>
> No "sometimes" to it, Markie.

more of your lying Stevie
>
>> > > > Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?
>> > > >
>> > > not likely it is just that I don't confrom to wishes of bigot
>> >
>> > "conform"
>> >
>> > Who's the bigot, other than you, Markie?
>>
```

>> I am no bigot
>
> Yes, you are. You're as much a "bigot" as you are gay, pagan,
>functionally illiterate and deceitful.

no I am not BTW i am Not gay I am pagan not Illeterate and I am at
time deceitful

so I don't know what to make of your latest hurling of shit

>
>> you are the bigot
>
> Nope.

yes you are

you prove when type out statements that are both untrue and show you
are bigoted

>
>> > > which is your problem with me
>> >
>> > No...My "problem" with you is that you're a liar and a deviate.
>> > Those facts are not in dispute since you've admitted to them yourself.
>> > And unfortunately you think those are admirable traits.
>>
>> not a devaiate accrding the AMA
>
> "deviate" "according"
>
> Yes, you are.

not accroding the AMA

>
>> and you are a liar just like the rest of us
>
> Markie, the "rest" of us are not the same kind of deceitful,
>hateful and lying scum that you are.

no hateful nor scum

same kind not realy either

I am far more honest than you, which is a very low standard indeed

>
> I don't know where you get off suggesting the rest of the world is
>like you...It's not. If it was, what passes for civilization would
>have imploded centuries ago.

by simply speaking the truth unlike your lying hyperbole
>

>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1424. soory soosry so very sorry about the asshole I married

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:55:13 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <msh3q1t0rn0ehh6quakm1gtdf9coiaopmg@4ax.com>
Source record: 127157

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 19:36:46 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
cut

>
>> And in that we have even MORE evidence that your claims of
>>military service are BOGUS!
>
>
>Obviously you have never fired an APERS round out of an M-203. The
>directions are really simple:
>
>1. Point.
>2. Shoot.
>3. Rub your bruised shoulder while watching the people in front of you
>bleed profusely.
>
>It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
>in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
>have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
>BOGUS!"
>
>
><snip>
>> Even if a person shows up and knowks on your door then refuses to
>>leave you have no legal right to use deadly force...Check Michigan law,
>>Markie...I did.

answering Stevie missed in all the shit he was shoving

one you can't get to my front door

and you missed the various points of the law

for example the law provides that if someone that has threatened you
in the past shows up

and in your case I am certain you would do something I founf
threatening and the result would well you can fill it in
>
>
>"knocks"

>
>
><snip>
>> But you keep repeating that over and over again...No doubt in hope
>>that I may play the flip side of the coin with you one day...
>
>
>Mixed metaphors.
>
>
><snip>
>> No, I said they ahve the FORCE of law.
>
>
>"have"
>
>
><snip>
>> The only part that confuses me is why you won't admit to your
>>same-sex cohabitational arrangement, Markie?
>
>
>Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
>graduated from MCRD.
>
>
>>> but the fact I am currently invled with and married to a female does
>>> seem to drive you into a rage why is that?
>>
>> "involved"
>>
>> I'm in no "rage", Markie...I find your "protestations" to be quite
>>laughable and am, in acutallity, having quite a laugh over it!
>
>
>"actuality"
>
>
>Hypocrite.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1425. soory my asshole huby is such a liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 19:59:03 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <dth3q1h4lapihbra3verpc9g4vtd0q30p8@4ax.com>
Source record: 127159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>> >too.
>>
>> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>
> Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?

another lie Stevie

when are you going to stop
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1426. so ery sorry my hubby is such an asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:02:50 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <fdi3q1dk1p70ug3n303l11vnudtud4s6jl@4ax.com>
Source record: 127165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 09:26:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 03:58:45 -0700, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1130324325.770940.251250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 17:33:29 -0700,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >> <1130286809.272900.127880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>> >
>> >> > The concept of "One Shot One Kill" is still the principle
>> >> >technique taught to all Marine recruits.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> More horse#### from Gunny Dudly.
>> >
>> > No...More lies from Frank Gilliland. But then you've made a
>> >career of lying, haven't you...?!?!
>>
>> More projection from Gunny Dudly.
>
> Nope.
>
> YOUR words have cemented THIS into the archives, Frankie!
>
> You lied. You stole from the government which is to say you stole
>from me. You swore an oath that you had no intention of keeping.

now you are really crossing into new territory

you have no way of making that statement
>
> No one, save for you, is projecting anything.
>
>> >> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)
>> >
>> > ...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
>> >enemy.
>>
>> If that's what is required to accomplish the mission.
>
> If it's not, why are the only targets used to train Marine
>riflemen (and all recruits) head shots and "center mast", or chest
>shots?
>

> PLEASE, Frankie...Show me SOME reference to targets and USMC
>training resources that say "...just shoot for the arms or legs".

making up claims for frank again
>
> Just one...?!?!
>
>> > And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
>> >"one shot one kill" to recruits.
>>
>> Except that such a policy ISN'T taught to Marine recruits. It's taught
>> in sniper school, but not in boot camp.
>
> Sure it is.
>
>> > Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
>> >have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...
>>
>> Maybe if you had -attended- boot camp then you would have had a -real-
>> military career instead of a fabrication in your mind made up with
>> bits and pieces from bad novels and war movies.
>
> Frank, you're a documented liar already.

where?

Oh I am sorry oposing you is proof that you are lying I forgot

>
> Why continue the charade?
>
> YOU have NO BUSINESS critiquing ANYone's military service, let
>alone mine.

he isn't sure there is anything to critique

off hand I think he is mistaken on that count I think you had one. one
of keeping your head down covering your ass (big job there I guess)
and avoiding anything that might lead a big decision

>
>> >> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
>> >> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
>> >> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
>> >> BOGUS!"
>> >
>> > It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
>> >yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some
>> >argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.
>>
>> I'm pointing out your typos to further demonstrate the fact that you
>> are a hypocrite. Feel free to point out -my- typos to your heart's

>> content -- it doesn't bother me at all because, unlike you, I don't
>> profess to be the newsgroup spelling cop.
>
> Hey! We have ONE thing in common! I don't "profess" to be the
>newsgroup spelling cop either!

you are right

you are just not honest enough to fess up to what you do

after all nothing is your fault
>
>> >> All that stuff you would have learned if you had graduated beyond the
>> >> yellow footsteps. But you didn't.
>> >
>> > Why are you lying, Frank?
>>
>> I won't answer a question the requires me to accept a premise that is
>> not true.
>
> The fact is that I DID "graduate beyond the yellow footsteps".
>
> I've provided more than a few references that any reasonably
>"connected" Marine, ex-Marine, retired Marine or just plain old Joe or
>JoAnn Citizen could corroborate as true.

indeed I think you serve as to the quality of that service or wether
you were chaeting Us Sam out of your paycheck is a another matter
>
> You? You "profess" to have been a Marine, but even by your own
>admission were a ####bird of one, unable to keep your act together for
>just ONE enlistment...let alone four.

indeed here you explain a lot about yourself

accusastion is the same as guilt which has become your SOP here in
RRAP and likely in real life
>
>> >> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
>> >> graduated from MCRD.
>> >
>> > Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
>> >said before.
>>
>> Oh, that's right.... and ending with your "medical retirement" in '92.
>> Sure thing, Dudly.
>
> And yet another example of The Coward of Silliland trying to blow
>off facts.
>
> The base library at MCRD keeps a copy of every platoon's
>"yearbook". Theya re available in other forums.

>
> Look it up or acknowledge that you're the punk I claim you to be.

again you want other to your work

is this what you did in the service always tell other to do your work?

>
> You freely drop names like LtCol Oliver North as if you were old
>drinking buddies, yet can't seem to find simple information from
>archives you also claim to have access to...
>
> What's up with that?
>
>> > Frank, let's face it...
>> >
>> > You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
>> >now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.
>>
>> Awww, you hurt my feelings, Dudly.... <sniff>
>
> What feelings?
>
> Feelings are characteristic of human beings.

ah yes noone you dislike is even human

borong Stevie and showing you off in the bad light we RRAP'ers have
come to expect for you
>
>> > Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.
>> >
>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> I'd rather be a punk under a rock than a fantasy-prone hypocrite.
>> Maybe someday you will set aside your delusions long enough to
>> understand what 'integrity' means..... but I doubt it.
>
> Frankie, you're a liar, a coward, a cheat, and in my NOT so humble
>opinion, a thief. Being lectured by a punk like you about "integrity"
>is obscene.

you got something right you are not humble but then NO ONE has accused
you of that here, and likely nowhere else either

>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1427. my boyfreind alawys gives me a hand wen I ned one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:08:45 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <78j3q113k6ssi32dpn0g3hn5jh2ptqsbee@4ax.com>
Source record: 127240

http://www.raunch.tv/content/gay_fisting/000116kl03.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1428. so very sorry about the insane asshole I married

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:11:27 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <2ii3q15kct34nbksdkjui4l9t199aalf0j@4ax.com>
Source record: 127166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 19:55:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> cut
>
> Well...that makes it hard to figure out the attributes...But it
>DOES make it easy for Markie to claim "I didn't say that..."

nope it cuts down on the shit being posted

>
>> > > > YOUR words have cemented THIS into the archives, Frankie!
>> > > >
>> > > > You lied. You stole from the government which is to say you stole
>> > > >from me. You swore an oath that you had no intention of keeping.
>> > >
>> > > now you are really crossing into new territory
>> >
>> > Nope.
>>
>> guess you are right you been in the lying range so long
>
> I've been lying on the couch today, but that's all the "lying"
>I've done today.

no you just racked up another lie

>
>> > > you have no way of making that statement
>> >
>> > Sure I do.
>> >
>> > That lying SOB swore an oath to support and defend the
>> > Constitution, wherein he ALSO swore to obey those appointed senior to
>> > him.
>>
>> One no reason but your word to say is lying SoB but his first duty is
>> the constitution entitirely possible to feel a conflict in which case
>> he is bound to disobey (rather like some solders at Abu Graib should
>> have done but that is another topic)
>
> One, No, it's not "another topic".

abu graib is another topic than frank and so
>
> The soldiers at Abu Gharib shold have REPORTED THE INFRACTIONS via
>the chain of command.

no they should have refused to prefrom them and then informed the
chain of comand

the "orders" were unlawfull and they should have had the gut to refuse
them
>
>> even were he tried and found
>> guilty (it being found that the orders were not illegal) thais does not
>> prove he was lying or in any way violated his oath or stole his
>> rightfull pay
>
> Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!
>
> Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!

your source?

oh that is right you don't need sources

people are never aquitted of any charges and even if they are they
were still guilty riight Stevie

>
>> you have presented nothing that allows you to state
>
> Almost right, Markie!
>
> >>I<< didn't "present" it...FRANKIE did! He's the one who made
>the "#####bird PFC" comment which, on "cross examination" led to the
>discovery that he's twice convicted for violations of the Uniform Code
>of Military Justice.

not according to the body of his posts

>
> Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
>trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
>proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
>the incident at the lowest echelon.

Something I would only advise a soldier to try if they are guilty of
something but likely he reufsed Art 15 as I did many times

>
> Courts maritals are reserved for the real idiots or the specially
>grevious charges.

not always but then you were so busy ducking you would not know

>
> Since it's apparent that Frankie didn't spend any time in
>Leavenworth, we can safely put him in the "real idiot" category.

no we can't

>
> His conduct in this forum corroborates that.

by no bwoing to you that is proof of his good sense

>
>> > He freely brags about having disobeyed orders and subsequently was
>> > court martialed...Not once, but TWICE, for his conduct.
>>
>> so what?
>>
>> BTW your facts don't seem to be true
>
> Then if they were not true, they'd not be facts.

well they are n't facts then

>
>> he has admited to making a mistake (haven't seen him says it was
>> violating orders) and taking a lumps and once to being tried and found
>> not guilty
>
> He WAS found guilty.

not according to him
not after apeal was it but that does not count with you

>
>> > > > No one, save for you, is projecting anything.
>> > > >
>> > > >> >> One of the reasons the 5.56mm round was chosen...(SNIP)
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > ...was the same reason other rounds are chosen...To kill the
>> > > >> >enemy.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> If that's what is required to accomplish the mission.
>> > > >>
>> > > > If it's not, why are the only targets used to train Marine
>> > > >riflemen (and all recruits) head shots and "center mast", or chest
>> > > >shots?
>> > > >>
>> > > > PLEASE, Frankie...Show me SOME reference to targets and USMC
>> > > >training resources that say "...just shoot for the arms or legs".
>> > >
>> > > making up claims for frank again
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> >

>> > Frank suggests that it's NOT a Marine's job to kill his enemy.
>>
>> Can't speak for the USMC but the Army teaches it people that it is
>> better to wound the enemy in most cases ties at least 4 people that way
>
> You can't speak for the Army, either, Markie.

not on an officail cap of course but I will never forget basic
training
>
>> I suspect the USMC theaching much the same thing
>
> "teaching"
>
> Naw...We were basically told that the phrase dusbiously attributed
>to General George S Patton, that it was a soldiers duty not to die for
>hiscountry, but to make the other SOB die for his.

gee I thought the marines were smarter than Patton

>
> USMC attitudes on this are that if you let those four guys carry
>that fifth guy off the battlefield, there will be five guys to worry
>about later. Better to kill them all now than to ahve to face them a
>second time.
>
> So, better you quit trying to figure out who you're "speaking for",
>Markie.

shove it stevie

>
>> > Follow the thread back and read for yourself, Markie.
>> >
>> > > > Just one...?!?!
>> > > >
>> > > >> > And STILL does not change the Marine Corps' practice of teaching
>> > > >> >"one shot one kill" to recruits.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Except that such a policy ISN'T taught to Marine recruits. It's taught
>> > > >> in sniper school, but not in boot camp.
>> > > >
>> > > > Sure it is.
>> > > >
>> > > >> > Perhaps if you'd paid a bit more attention in Boot you wouldn't
>> > > >> >have had such a hard time later on in your "career"...
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Maybe if you had -attended- boot camp then you would have had a -real-
>> > > >> military career instead of a fabrication in your mind made up with
>> > > >> bits and pieces from bad novels and war movies.
>> > > >
>> > > > Frank, you're a documented liar already.

>> > >
>> > > where?
>> >
>> > Where he swore to uphold his oath,
>>
>> no such documentaion
>
> Sure there is!
>
> He provided a partial copy of his DD214 here. In order to have
>gotten a DD214, he had to have been sworn in in the first place.

not the issue
but you don't understand that

>
>> > and his frequent assertions
>> > that I did not serve in the United States Marine Corps, among others.
>>
>> he has expressed his opinion you did not serve holding that opinion
>> even if it may be contary to fact is not a lie
>
> Sure it's a lie.

nope it is an opinion Frank holds and stets that he doesn't believe
you sered

that is no lie
cuting the rant

>> if it were you would been guilty of lying when you say I have not
>> served in the Army, in those cases you are simply mistaken
>
> I am not "mistaken".

yes you are
>
>> > > Oh I am sorry oposing you is proof that you are lying I forgot
>> >
>> > Nope...You asked, I told you.
>>
>> another lie
>>
>> no proof was offered
>
> Sure it was.

where?

>
> Frankie's own words.
>
> Or are you now calling him a liar too?

no I am saying you are distorting his words

IOW you are lying about what he said

>
>> > And Frankie's only reason to be in this forum were to try and
>> > "undermine" my USMC service claims on your behalf.
>>
>> I doubt that I think Frank was acting on his own
>
> I KNOW he's not acting on his own, Markie! But thanks for agreeing
>with me!

another lie Stevie I said exactly the oppositie but then you realy
can't read we knew that

>
>> I believe you served just that you served very badly indeed
>
> Uh huh...That's why I don't have a single disciplinary action in
>my SRB and four stars on my GCM.

no that isn't why has nothing to do with it

>
>> > > > Why continue the charade?
>> > > >
>> > > > YOU have NO BUSINESS critiquing ANYone's military service, let
>> > > >alone mine.
>> > >
>> > > he isn't sure there is anything to critique
>> >
>> > He's an idiot.
>>
>> of course he is he dares to oppose you,when are you going to publish
>> that dictionary of words as you use them
>
> It's already published.

where?

>
> It's called "Websters".

nope it isn't

>
> You should get one.
>
>> >You two have a lot in common.
>>
>> I doubt we have much in common
>
> Sure you do!

>
>> One we both oppose you here
>>
>> two we both have lived our lives
>>
>> I supect that is about it
>
> And you're both liars and cheats.

nope I am not a cheat we both lie as does everyone else of course

>
>> > > off hand I think he is mistaken on that count I think you had one. one
>> > > of keeping your head down covering your ass (big job there I guess)
>> > > and avoiding anything that might lead a big decision
>> >
>> > And therein YOU'D be wrong again.
>>
>> maybe I would but I don't think so
>
> No...you don't THINK, Markie...

yes I do

another lie from stevie

>
>> > But then it's a habit for you.
>> >
>> > > >> >> It's incomprehensible to think that you never fired one after 18 years
>> > > >> >> in the Corp (and, of course, seven hostile actions). "And in that we
>> > > >> >> have even MORE evidence that your claims of military service are
>> > > >> >> BOGUS!"
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > It's "CORPS", non-hacker, since you're pointing out typos
>> > > >> >yourself...And ESPECIALLY since you're doing so to try and make some
>> > > >> >argument that I didn't serve in that CORPS.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> I'm pointing out your typos to further demonstrate the fact that you
>> > > >> are a hypocrite. Feel free to point out -my- typos to your heart's
>> > > >> content -- it doesn't bother me at all because, unlike you, I don't
>> > > >> profess to be the newsgroup spelling cop.
>> > > >>
>> > > > Hey! We have ONE thing in common! I don't "profess" to be the
>> > > >newsgroup spelling cop either!
>> > >
>> > > you are right
>> > >
>> > > you are just not honest enough to fess up to what you do
>> > >
>> > > after all nothing is your fault
>> >

>> > I do my best to make sure I have my bets covered, Markie. That's
>> > just a prudent thing to do.
>>
>> no you don't do that either
>
> Sure I do.

you cheat on your bets

>
>> > Frankie claimed I "profess" to be the "newsgroup spelling cop".
>> > That was a lie. I've never done it.
>>
>> I agree he was in error
>>
>> You are the cp just not hoest enough to admit it
>
> The "cp"...?!?!
>
> "hoest"...?!?!

more evasion

>
>> cut
>
> Censorship.

another lie

>
>> > > > You? You "profess" to have been a Marine, but even by your own
>> > > >admission were a #####bird of one, unable to keep your act together for
>> > > >just ONE enlistment...let alone four.
>> > >
>> > > indeed here you explain a lot about yourself
>> >
>> > Nope. I have a Marine Corps Good Conduct Medal with four bronze
>> > stars on it (Not THE "Bonze Star"...the small attachments that signify
>> > a multiple issue of the same award)
>>
>> so what?
>>
>> means you kept you know out anything for years I am not impressed
>
> It means I did my job. And I did it well.

no it does not it means you stayed out of trouble

>
>> > > accusastion is the same as guilt which has become your SOP here in
>> > > RRAP and likely in real life
>> >

>> > The "guilt" is Frankie's and is from HIS admissions, Markie.
>>
>> no it isn't it comes from your distortion of his words
>
> What's to distort?

plenty when you compare your account of his words to his words

>
> He called HIMSELF a "#####bird PFC" and admitted to his two courts
>martials.
>
> There was nothing there for me to distort if I wanted to!

he said a lot more than that you just left it out

>
>> and tthat is hardly guilt
>
> "that"
>
>> > > >> >> Probably for the same reason that you can't admit that you never
>> > > >> >> graduated from MCRD.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > Platoon 2100, 03 Sept 74 to 20 Nov 74, Frankie...Just like I've
>> > > >> >said before.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Oh, that's right.... and ending with your "medical retirement" in '92.
>> > > >> Sure thing, Dudly.
>> > > >
>> > > > And yet another example of The Coward of Silliland trying to blow
>> > > >off facts.
>> > > >
>> > > > The base library at MCRD keeps a copy of every platoon's
>> > > >"yearbook". Theya re available in other forums.
>> > > >
>> > > > Look it up or acknowledge that you're the punk I claim you to be.
>> > >
>> > > again you want others to your work
>> >
>> > Try that in English.
>>
>> calling you lazy again ahven't you seen that statement for enough to
>> reconize it
>
> "haven't" "recognize"
>
> Haven't YOU had your nose rubbed in your own laziness and childish
>spelling errors to fix them?

obviously not

and you are dumb enough to ask

>
> Again...I was asked for specifics...I gave them.

you gave no proof at all

>
>> > > is this what you did in the service always tell other to do your work?
>> >
>> > What "work"...???
>>
>> any work
>
> WHAT are you talking about, Markie?

you are not bright enough to know?

>
>> > He claims I didn't do something. I provided verifiable references.
>>
>> you asked him to do your homework
>
> No, I didn't.

sure did
you told him to go find the proof

you lie so freely

>
> Frankie claims I didn't seerve in the Marines. I've given him
>units, dates of boot camp including units and locations, and even my
>promotion boards dates and precedent numbers.

and told him to go check it out

to do your work in other words

>
> He claims to have "contacts".
>
> Obviously he doesn't.
>
> That substantiates my claim of LIAR.

nope
>
>> > > > You freely drop names like LtCol Oliver North as if you were old
>> > > >drinking buddies, yet can't seem to find simple information from
>> > > >archives you also claim to have access to...
>> > > >
>> > > > What's up with that?
>> > > >
>> > > >> > Frank, let's face it...

>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > You're just a punk. You were a punk in the service, you're a punk
>> > > >> >now. And with your present attitude, I am sure you'll die a punk.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Awww, you hurt my feelings, Dudly.... <sniff>
>> > > >
>> > > > What feelings?
>> > > >
>> > > > Feelings are characteristic of human beings.
>> > >
>> > > ah yes noone you dislike is even human
>> >
>> > You and Frankie are high on that list, however you have a track
>> > record that substantiates my claims.
>>
>> indeed as close to admision as we ever see from Stevie the weasel
>
> "admission"
>
> There's TWO weasels here, Markie...You and Frankie of Silliland.

neither

you refuse to turthfully answer any question put to you

you demand that everyone do your work for you

>
>> > > borong Stevie and showing you off in the bad light we RRAP'ers have
>> > > come to expect for you
>> >
>> > "Boring"
>> >
>> > No...Only you, Brian, and Lennie.
>>
>> which is about half of the regulars Stevie more than a thrid prehaps
>> less than half
>
> "third" "perhaps"
>
> Nope. You, Lennie and Brain. Then myself,

> Cmdr Corey,
doesn't count he is not a person

> Dee
counts
>, Mike
>Coslo,
outa here accrding to him
> "Ace WH2T",
you even put him in qutes as less than real

> Alun N3KIP,
not a reg

>Dan W4NTI

dan is outa here
>, Kim W5TIT,
not a reg

> Dave K8MN,
he counts
> Jim
>AA2AQ
not a reg
>, John K KC2HMZ,
not a reg
> Bert WA2SI.
not a reg
> If we go into the Wiseman crowd, we
>pull in about another five or six "regulars".
they don't count no names
3 outa 6 is half the regualrs plus Frank who you treat as a regular

BUZZZ you are wrong again
>
> Unless, of course, you have yet another "definition" for the term
>"regulars".
>
>> >Frankie's not even a regular
>> > here...Just a lurker with a competency and confidence deficit.
>>
>> but you treat him like one
>
> You're darn right I treat him like he's a lurker with a competency
>and confidence deficit!

so he counts

>
>> > > >> > Back under your rock, non-hacker. It's where you belong.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >Steve, K4YZ
>> > > >>
>> > > >> I'd rather be a punk under a rock than a fantasy-prone hypocrite.
>> > > >> Maybe someday you will set aside your delusions long enough to
>> > > >> understand what 'integrity' means..... but I doubt it.
>> > > >
>> > > > Frankie, you're a liar, a coward, a cheat, and in my NOT so humble
>> > > >opinion, a thief. Being lectured by a punk like you about "integrity"
>> > > >is obscene.
>> > >
>> > > you got something right you are not humble but then NO ONE has accused

>> > > you of that here, and likely nowhere else either
>> >
>> > I didn't say anything about MY "humbleness", Markie...
>>
>> another lie "in my NOT so humble" that is part of the statement above
>> on your humbleness or rather the lack of it
>
> And AGAIN you've screwed up the English, Markie...

not at all

you did post about your humbleness

you lied again

>
>> > But there you go showing off that English comprehension problem of
>> > yours again....
>>
>> no you show you don't evn bother to understand your own posts
>
> Sheeesh.
>
> I'll give you ONE thing, Markie...
>
> Just when I think it's NOT possible for you to make a bigger idiot
>out of yourself, you go and prove me wrong.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1429. my boyfreind make chcolate fr me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:13:04 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <pgj3q1tv7k81uidrdvrs5d7gvirokvld9c@4ax.com>
Source record: 127245

http://www.scatmen.com/graphics/scatmen03_1x1.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1430. i am soo sorry my hubby defile s the dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:16:18 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <toi3q1l7tpfhtk49uqqc8kv174n6jp95gv@4ax.com>
Source record: 127169

On 26 Oct 2005 21:02:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > > > W0EX will be available for reassignment in February 2006.
>> > > >
>> > > > Cool. Why let a spiffy 1x2 call like that go to waste?
>> > > >
>> > > > Hmmm? Now there would be a reason to upgrade.
>> > > >
>> > > > QUICK!
>> > > >
>> > > > What do Brain and Dick's old call have in common...?!?!?!
>> > > >
>> > > > Scroll down!
>> > > >
>> > > and then you having hoisted the poor dead fellow up use him for target
>> > > practice
>> >
>> > No...I just pointed out that his callsign was available in a couple
>> > months...
>>
>> lair you made a joke at the expense of a corpse
>> it is still in the quoted matrail
>
> "Liar" "material"
>
> No...there was no "joke" made at DICK'S expense...

yes there was

cuting all after my reply to stveie first lie of the post

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1431. stevei surprting bashing Deeflint when he does it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:16:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134677798.428578.277210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127244
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > more thread killing
>
> As usual. The Michigan Megla-Maniac at work.

learn the words you are trying to use
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1432. Re: Re stvie makes false charge and admits to lying r does he

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:19:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134677959.418593.212310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127247

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>
> Yet another KB9RQZ forgery.

and you still avoid the ssue and try to kil the thread lymaking it a
lie to say you don't do it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1433. i renmeber my first bj

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:20:52 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <tvj3q11ve5uaiis69ok1n1tat1479ul8ke@4ax.com>
Source record: 127151

i can still taste it

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1434. so sorry about my asshole hubby attackign a real marine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:21:44 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <fsi3q11fua0tkvf987bgrtm27o1kjpld0q@4ax.com>
Source record: 127171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 21:58:59 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2005 19:55:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> cut
>> >
>> > Well...that makes it hard to figure out the attributes...But it
>> >DOES make it easy for Markie to claim "I didn't say that..."
>>
>> nope it cuts down on the #### being posted
>
> Two things...Stop posting and there won't be any #### here.

another Stevie lie
your stuff will be here and so the bullshit will continue

ctuing all after the first stevie lie
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1435. Re: soory my hubby is am (asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:22:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134678151.265866.289790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127248

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> > but better he takes it out on her than at home
>
> Take what out on her?

your abusive behavoir

I suppose it is better that you come here and delier virtual abuse to
abusing your wife
>
> > everyone should be advised that The following person
> > has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in
general forges post and name
> >
> > he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> > he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
> >
> > STEVEN J ROBESON
> > 151 12TH AVE NW
> > WINCHESTER TN 37398
> > 931-967-6282
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com
>
> Still lying, Markie.

nothing but truth in the post
>
> It's going to be your downfall, Markie.

how?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1436. Re: i pormise to try and riegn in my asshole hubby k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:23:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134678190.051652.188550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127249

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net...
>
> Another forgery by KB9RQZ

which scares you so badly
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1437. Re: A Legal Alternative for 11 Meter Ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:24:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134678270.607740.230860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127150
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.nocode,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JRK wrote:
> Just when you thought it was going to be an intelligent thread

of course it can be allowed likely just another of Stevie or dave
annymouses certianly however the gay themed hijack is K4YZ fault

1438. i can't stand seeing my asshole hubby attack a real man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:27:10 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3ti3q1tdenu35avkaskmqulrv7cmr527om@4ax.com>
Source record: 127173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 27 Oct 2005 04:20:16 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Steveo wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> > > cut
>> >
>> Get a room.
>
> I was going to but your sister's got the crabs again and your mom
>can't control her gag reflex like she used to.

lalking to yourself Stevie?

and boasting of your intent to cheat on your wife
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1439. Re: Free spell check program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:27:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134678466.301127.242380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

John Deere wrote:
> Here you go, Markie. This may help the rest of us get through your laborious
> third grade level posts.
>
but why do I want to help you?

seriously if you have to work for it then you have to think about what
I post more than if i make it easy for you

given that not making it easy for you is easier for me why should I
make it easy for you or even more to the point why should I make it
easier for people like steve and dave

1440. proof stvei like the flame war

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:28:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134678517.811857.319840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127153

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>
> It didn't need to go any farther than that.
>
> One peice of proof after another that Mark C. Morgan is a
> pathological liar...And all of it provided BY Mark C. Morgan!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1441. Re: Clearing Up Any Questions Markie Or The Feeble Five May Have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:30:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134678650.719379.237850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127154

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >From the butchered subject line of : "stevei si reminded that he can
> stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of
> conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins"
>
> > it is just that simple apologize for your forgeries and I'll stop
>
> Markie, let there be nooooooooooooooooooooooo mistake or
> misunderstanding.
>
> I have absolutely nothing to apologize to you, Brain, or the rest
> of the Feeble Five for...

more stevie lies
>
> Each of you have been PROVEN to be liars and miscreants in your
> own rights, and are as "guilty" of multiple USENET transgressions as
> you accuse anyone else of.

proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
eveidence"
>
> Any questions?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1442. i wiosh i understoof why my asshole hubby does this shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:32:37 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <rti3q1pt20qe9058u8aeiob09aj5quktsh@4ax.com>
Source record: 127174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 07:49:16 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>Got lots of work today so I'll only make a few comments:
>
>On Wed, 26 Oct 2005 23:17:50 -0400, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
><26g0m1pajo17gur6gdpnm12bskc4g8kl2k@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>abu graib is another topic than frank and so
>>>
>>> The soldiers at Abu Gharib shold have REPORTED THE INFRACTIONS via
>>>the chain of command.
>>
>>no they should have refused to prefrom them and then informed the
>>chain of comand
>>
>>the "orders" were unlawfull and they should have had the gut to refuse
>>them
>
>
>Quite right. The oath requires you to perform any -LEGAL- order given
>to you by your superiors. This was the issue during the Iran-Contra
>scam when Ollie claimed he was just following orders and didn't care
>if his actions were legal or not (although I'm sure he knew they were
>illegal). That's blind obedience and it's wrong.

and dangerous as well
>
>
>>>> even were he tried and found
>>>> guilty (it being found that the orders were not illegal) thais does not
>>>> prove he was lying or in any way violated his oath or stole his
>>>> rightfull pay
>>>
>>> Markie...He WAS tried and found guilty!
>>>
>>> Twice! Indicted, tried and CONVICTED!
>>
>>your source?
>
>
>Me, but Dudly didn't have all the facts. I pled guilty at the first
>court-martial and so was never tried.

>
>
><snip>
>>> Mind you that the Marines would much rather send a "junior
>>>trooper" to Office Hours, whichn in the Marine Corps are Article 15
>>>proceedings. In other words, letting the commander of the unit handle
>>>the incident at the lowest echelon.
>>
>>Something I would only advise a soldier to try if they are guilty of
>>something but likely he reufsed Art 15 as I did many times
>
>
>And that's exactly what I did for the second infraction. I forced a
>summary court-martial so the facts regarding the case would be a
>matter of record.
>
>
><snip>
>>> He WAS found guilty.
>>
>>not according to him
>> not after apeal was it but that does not count with you
>
>
>For once Dudly is right. There were no appeals and both convictions
>stand. The vindication of my second infraction was the result of a
>seperate entry made as the result of a review that I requested after
>my discharge. The violation leading to the conviction was found to
>have "mitigating circumstances that should have been considered [by
>the presiding officer] but were not," and that I "was denied evidence,
>and the opportunity to gather and present certain evidence" relevant
>to my defense. The conviction was not overturned (since it was not an
>appeal) but my conduct marks were adjusted accordingly, and my
>discharge upgraded to Honorable.

well thanks for clearing it up Id say it was an appeal of sorts but
then try not to quible when I can
>
>
><snip>
>>> USMC attitudes on this are that if you let those four guys carry
>>>that fifth guy off the battlefield, there will be five guys to worry
>>>about later. Better to kill them all now than to ahve to face them a
>>>second time.
>
>
>The USMC attitude on this is simple: "Accomplish the Mission".
>
>
><snip>

>>>> he has expressed his opinion you did not serve holding that opinion
>>>> even if it may be contary to fact is not a lie
>>>
>>> Sure it's a lie.
>>
>>nope it is an opinion Frank holds and stets that he doesn't believe
>>you sered
>>
>>that is no lie
>
>
>Oh, I'm sure he served alright. And I'm sure he served all of 18 years
>as he claimed. But I'm also sure that his time was spent almost
>entirely in garrison, that nothing on his record is outstanding, that
>he probably got a few Page 11 entries, and that his discharge was not
>an honorable retirement but a general discharge, probably for medical
>reasons (suggested by his current career in the medical field, which,
>according to statistics gathered by psychologists, happens to be the
>preferred occupational field for hypochondriacs).
he claims that his discharge is medical

he also denies that it was for a physical cuase which leaves .....

> In other words, he
>served 18 years as a sick-bay commando. Certainly nothing to be proud
>of, nothing to brag about, and nothing he will ever publically admit.
>Hence his silence about his "military career". And the epitath that
>will be imprinted on his tombstone will be, "I Told You I Was Sick",
>just like the one I saw on a PBS special last night.

so your thought run pretty close to mine if not in the same mold

interesting as I suspect we are rather different but we share the
noton that at least when lie under our tombstones an eitaff can read
"well at least we lived out lives"
>
>
>Just let Dudly keep running his mouth -- the more he talks the more he
>proves that he's a socially isolated misfit with serious psychological
>issues. He's like a cat in a box: shake the box and he thrashes around
>for a while. Let him calm down then shake the box again. Heck, that's
>better entertainment than anything on TV!!!

Forgiveme if I wish I could call you liar on that one
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----

>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1443. more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:34:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134678874.387619.282010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127156

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> i can still taste it
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1444. I like anal sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:34:57 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <upk3q1h843j5fcruvt7ruhirf7f1i339up@4ax.com>
Source record: 127160

butt it makes my rump hurt aftewad

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1445. again stevie can end this anytime HE chooses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:37:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134679024.938249.275470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127158

stevei object to forgeries of himself and his wife simple he apologizes for his own and stops doing it

stevei doesn't like being called a gay bassher then he needs to stopp gay bashing and so so on

but this will not happen becuase stevie like these titlese and like the flame war

1446. more steve shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:41:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134679273.156045.32160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127161

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> butt it makes my rump hurt aftewad
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1447. more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:41:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134679292.833612.291100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127162

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> http://www.scatmen.com/graphics/scatmen03_1x1.jpg
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1448. more stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:41:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134679304.359434.278910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127163

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:

> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1449. stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:42:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134679372.865368.283060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Fred Fatass wrote:
more hate something insprired by k4yz

1450. I get shit faced before I post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:51:27 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <cpl3q1l27fj7hrphmn7bo2jl4hlkiph7ok@4ax.com>
Source record: 127167

http://www.scatmen.com/graphics/scatmen03_1x1.jpg

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1451. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:56:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134680161.526741.8670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127168

From: K0HB on Dec 14, 9:18 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote

>> Stick that "imposter" charge up your I/O port super chief.
>
>Testy, testy, testy! Go sip some nice port to calm your frazzled nerves.

No, I'll not put into your port, superchief.

It's "so much fun to see you twitch and snarl" when I poke the
100 W American Beauty iron into your gluteus maximus. :-)

>Wasn't "charging" you with any UCMJ violation, just observing that you have
>posted just as much of your DD214 here as I have or Brian has or Steve has. In
>other words, exactly none.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. As the self-appointed Chef of Navel Operations your
oven was set on too-high and you burned all the tool house cookies.

I haven't "posted a thing in here" for the simple reason this
newsgroup does NOT accept binaries. [look it up] Neither can
you "charge me with a UCMJ violation" since that UCMJ hasn't
applied to me since 1960.

However, I have, and Frank Gilliland has, posted considerable
material ELSEWHERE (free downloading) which is prima facie
evidence that WE indeed did serve...at the times we gave and
doing the things we have said we did. Here is mine again:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/RadioBroadcasting/uploads/My3Years.pdf

Frank has already posted links to his uploads. The Pacific
Stars and Stripes military newspaper has its own website.

No stories about "SUQ"-ing anything or high-speed morse nor of
saving the fleet in the Persian Gulf nor of being "hotshits"
with a code key. Just quiet massive military communications,
over three dozen high-power HF transmitters, doing the Army
Signal Corps' job of "getting the message through" 24/7. A
part of the military that wasn't glamorous, didn't wear flashy
or cute uniforms, and bore the brunt of national defense as it
always has since 1776...with the casualty rates the highest of
any branch, from battlefields of Pennsylvania to the Persian
Gulf area of Dubya's rule.

Has Dudly the Imposter (aka "K4YZ") EVER posted ANYTHING
ANYHWERE about his glorious heroic 18 years of USMC "service"

in "seven hostile actions?" NO. A resounding NO!

Yet, you want to blabber on with nit-picky forms procedure on "not having a DD-214 anywhere." Tsk, just how much do you demand of all others? Besides "hotshot morse op" stories that is? Sorry, I don't have any such stories...never used any morse code at anytime in the last 52 years...haven't been required to.

But...it is "perfectly okay" to let Dudly the Imposter go on and on. Never mind that he shames the entire U.S. military establishment. Never mind that he doesn't speak well for the "highest class" of United States amateur radio. He's an extra and he's okay...

"Twitchings away, me boys, twitchings away..." [Monty Python?]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1452. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 20:59:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134680367.823202.96810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127170

From: "K0HB" on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 3:22 am

>"Frank Gilliland" <w[illegible]nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote

>> But you -have- provided a reason to question -your- interest in this
>> discussion: Why, after declaring that you are not going to get drawn
>> into this "game", do you continue to poke your beak into it?
>
>Because it's so much fun to watch you and Len twitch and snarl when I jerk that
>chain.

...and it give you a chance to relate your "hotshot CW op" stories
all about how good you were with morsemanship as radio hero of some
fleet or other IN the Persian Gulf area.

Hey, why don't you take down your "bragging rights" certificate as
one of the senior morse hot shots and digitize it, make it available
to all of us to see and admire and gush over?

Meanwhile, some USMC IMPOSTER runs around in here, boasting and
hollering and insulting others, yet hasn't supplied ONE proof
of anything. That one is an amateur extra. One of your brethren.

It's like a bad Monty Python skit..."he's an extra and he's okay."

>Next question?

Was there one? I thought this was a morseblog where the only
acceptible "discussions" were the glory days of morsemanship.

Put your blindfold back on and tune those bands, hunting for
the "relaxing" distinction between General-Advanced-Superextras.
I'm sure you can read the frequency display with your eyes shut.
You read some of these posts with your eyes shut...

:-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1453. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 21:04:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134680675.860657.126210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127172

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 4:14 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 13, 7:32 pm
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> >> > > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> >> > > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>The starting path under discussion was the path to an amateur radio
>license. You haven't taken the first step on that path.

"The journey of a thousand miles begins with a single
step"...some ancient Chinese proverb, I suppose.

Later on you will contradict yourself, but that is par for
your course.

I obtained a COMMERCIAL radio operator license 49 years ago.
First Class, one test, no repeats necessary.

WHY was it "required" that I obtain an amateur license?

>> >> > > 37 years ago. I lost privileges. You and Len did not.
>> >
>> >> > The entire USA amateur service lost in a big way,
>> >
>> >> How? Extras did not lose any privileges back then. Others could
>> >> get back the "lost" privileges by taking a test or two.
>> >
>> >> Nobody lost any bands, power or modes except Novices, who
>> >> lost 2 meter 'phone.
>> >
>> >Was it necessary to punish amateurs?
>>
>Who was "punished"?

You tell us. You are the one into the dominatrix role.

>> >> > but you find a way to personalize it.
>> >

>> >> The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.
>> >
>> >They affected everyone after you as well.
>>
>> >> They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.
>> >
>> >You're simply wrong on that one, Quitefine.
>>
>> Lots of us radio pros without amateur licenses just didn't bother
>> to get an amateur license...not necessarily as a result of
>> "changes of 1968 or 1969."
>
>That's fine, Len. Nobody says you have to get ana amateur radio
>license.

"Ana amateur radio license?"

Ah, but YOU already said I had some kind of moral imperative
to get an amateur radio license. Hypocrite.

>But it does seem a bit odd that you're expending so much
>time and energy on the requirements for a license you aren't
>going to get...

"Not going to get?" Who said that...besides YOU?

I'm just wanting the morse code test for an amateur radio
license eliminated.

Why are YOU "spending so much time and energy" trying to
throw shit on all of those desiring that test element 1
deletion?

What are you afraid of? Loss of your personal status,
title, and privileges?

>> What the heck, I'd already started
>> 15 and 14 years before in HF comms where the operating
>> environment was a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER on all concerned than any
>> amateur activity.
>
>How was it "a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER", Len? I saw your "My 3 Years" thing.

The amateur radio service does not require its licensees to
wage war and kill the enemy.

The military "field days" were not little outings in a park
once a year.

Amateur radio doesn't operate in an environment of high
explosive ordinance going off nearby.

>And why all the comparisons? You seem to feel a need to prove that you
>had it "TOUGHER" than anybody else.....

To use a quaint and traditional military phrase, "Fuckin-A!"

Yes, sweetums, I - and every other military person - had it
TOUGHER than you civilians safe at home.

>> Problem is, Jimmie doesn't think that others can think differently
>> so he doesn't think about the thousands of newcomers who MIGHT want
>> to get into amateur radio.
>
>Len, I don't have any problem thinking others can think differently.
>That doesn't mean I must agree with them.

Then why does your lofty highness insist all MUST agree
with YOUR opinions?

>There's no specification for a lot of things in Part 97, yet there's no
>problem.

Yes there is. License test regulations REQUIRE a code test for
any class having below-30-MHz operation privileges...BUT...the
FCC does not mandate all amateur USING morse code modes over
and above any other mode. All are optional.

>> NPRM 05-143 is SOLELY regarding the elimination of the code test
>> from the Commission's regulations for licensing in U.S. amateur
>> radio.
>
>Not entirely true.

ENTIRELY TRUE.

>That NPRM is also an R&O which denies a whole bunch of proposals
>made earlier. Many ideas such as free upgrades and new license
>classes were denied by FCC.

Are you totally without comprehension...or just partly?

NPRM = Notice of PROPOSED Rule Making.

An NPRM is simply a statement of what the FCC proposes to do.

A Memorandum Report and Order ("R&O") is the later ORDER
which establishes changes in regulations.

Since you are devoid of comprehension of the flow of
documents from the FCC, let me put down the order of things
as they happened:

1. WRC-03 action resulted in a revision of S25, the Radio
Regulations defining amateur radio. End of July 2003.

2. A total of 18 Petitions were sent to, and displayed to
the public by the FCC regarding revision to amateur
radio regulation changes as a result of WRC-03 actions.
Petitions shown to the public in two major groups
2003 to 2004 along with all Comments.

3. As a result of review of the Petitions and commentary
by the public on those Petitions, the FCC issued a
Notice of PROPOSED Rule Making...along with the reasons
the Commission had in deciding on what to put in that
PROPOSED Rule Makng. Released 15 July 2005. The end
of all Comments was 14 November 2005.

4. As of the latest Federal Register issue of Thursday,
December 15, 2005, there has been *NO* Memorandum
Report and Order released by the FCC concerning NPRM
05-143.

>> NPRM 05-143 DOES NOT CONCERN ITSELF with ANY NEW PROPOSALS
>
>It denies many existing ones - particularly some that would create new
>license classes or eliminate old ones.

The Commission explained, in detail, WHY it reached its own
conclusions on what to put in the Notice of PROPOSED Rule
Making. Those conclusions were as a result of the 18
Petitions which had been presented to the public with the
public invited to comment on all 18 in the 2003-2004 time
period.

NPRM 05-143 does not, nor has any such Notice of PROPOSED
Rule Making ever done, establish any sort of ORDER to
change regulations. When such an ORDER is released, it
may be the same, may be different from the NPRM. That is
up to the FCC to decide. The FCC has the legal right to
make the final decisions on a Memorandum Report and ORDER.

>In any event, there's no evidence FCC will change the *written* requirements,
>number of license classes, the license class names or the associated
>privileges, any time soon.

Irrelevant. NPRM 05-143 concerns itself solely with the
deletion of test element 1.

>> >> > Just because no one at the FCC is paying attention doesn't mean that
>> >> > the present rules are worthwhile.
>> >
>> >> "No one at the FCC is paying attention"?
>> >
>> >> Just because they disagree with you?
>> >
>> >It was just a guess. Why else would they allow such arbitrary and
>> >redundant rules, exams, and license classes to exist?
>>
>> POLITICS. The present system of U.S. amateur radio regulations, at
>> least up to the year 2000, was lobbied for by the ARRL. The
>> Reading Room at the FCC is full of documents attesting to that.
>
>It's also full of documents from non-ARRL sources "lobbying" for

>the same things.

Tsk, bad spin on your part. The ARRL has remained an equivalent
of "City Hall" politics in the past...since the creation of
the FCC in 1934 and up until the beginning of the 1990s. It
has been clearly evident to disinterested parties in all that
time when the league got what the league wanted.

>Did you read Footnote 142, Len?

Tsk, tsk, tsk, you don't like footnotes, Jimmie. You've said
so in the past.

>> No to all the above. FCC just doesn't think that amateur radio
>> deserves their maximum-mission attention in their Congress-law-
>> mandated task of regulating ALL United States civil radio.
>
>How do you know, Len? You're not FCC.

It is very easy to see by any disinterested observer, Jimmie.

Go to the FCC website, go to the Wireless Telecommunications
Bureau page, then through that go to the Amateur Radio page.
What is the latest date on important documents there? 2002.

There's not been ANY important issues put forth on that page
in three years. Not the S25 revisions at WRC-03, not the 18
Petitions, not the release of NPRM 05-143.

Now check around the OTHER radio services' issues, documents
and so forth and count them up anywhichwayyouwant. Far, far
MORE matters discussed by both the Commission and the Public
on those OTHER matters than amateur radio issues.

>> When the Commission does get around to regulating amateur radio,
>> it does so in Memorandum Reports and Orders which are extremely
>> detailed and explicit (and sometimes lengthy) to their task of
>> regulating all U.S. civil radio.
>
>Then why the NPRM?

The Notice of PROPOSED Rule Making was in response to the public's
call for PETITIONS to change the amateur radio regulations after
the S25 Radio Regulations revisions at WRC-03.

NO Notice of Proposed Rule Making is ever a Memorandum Report
and Order. NPRMs do not change regulations. R&Os do.

Do you have to be led by the hand through this non-maze of
procedure or are you just being obstinate?

>> >I understand the reason for the split in privs between the Tech/Tech+
>> >and the G/A/E licensees. The reason for that barrier no longer exists,
>> >but the exam and licensing schema has not kept pace. Time to perform a

>> >top-down review, starting with basis and purpose.
>>
>> The time may not be ripe just yet, Brian. Let's wait until the
>> FCC decides what to do about NPRM 05-143 and issue a Memorandum
>> Report and Order on it.
>
>I think it's very likely that Element 1 will simply be eliminated.

Is your name Brian Burke? Are you having an identity crisis?

>> There's been two whole years of 18 Petitions commented on at length
>> since the end of WRC-03 and now NPRM 05-143 which can settle the
>> morse code testing for a license issue.
>
>Probably. But you won't be satisfied with that, despite your frequent
>claims of only wanting to eliminate the Morse Code test.

Jimmie Noserve, GIVE UP trying to tell me "what I will do."

You don't have the authority nor the qualifications to be ME
nor judgemental on "what I will do."

>> >> Why can't Technicians operate on 14.026? Why can't hams operate on
>> >> 13.976?
>> >
>> >And there you go with the ultimatums and strawmen.
>>
>> Jimmie with newsgroup wordplay again. About this point, Hans will
>> jump in saying you are "simply mistaken" and babbling about how
>> the "IARU and ITU" are different or other semi-sweet non-sequitur.
>
>Can't answer the questions, eh?

Jimmie, you present NO valid questions. Ergo, no valid answers
required.

>> Regardless, "the 1998 ARRL proposal" is OLD HISTORY. It doesn't
>> apply to anything NOW.
>
>Brian brought it up.

No, you've continually barfed up League-speak in here as a
devoted postulant at the Church of St. Hiram.

>You constantly bring up much older history ("My 3 Years") that doesn't
>apply to anything NOW....

Tsk, tsk, tsk, that's an entirely different "discussion"
concerning overt LYING of military service by Dudly the
Imposter (aka "K4YZ").

I brought up a VALID example some years ago on why the
majority of military communications worldwide was NOT done
by morse code mode since 1948...for the reason being that I

was assigned at a major Army communications station serving
a theater command Hq and stayed there for three years.

YOU have NEVER done anything approaching that. In fact, YOU
have NEVER served in any military service of the USA.

Naturally you would be upset about anyone else doing something
big and important in HF communications. TS.

>For example, I think the ARRL made a big mistake not letting WK3C run for
>Director of the Atlantic Division. That's *my* division, btw...

Is your Division mobilized and ready to ship out to fight
the War on Terror? Bon voyage.

>> Jimmie lives in the past. Period. He has been bringing up
>> 1998 "proposals" when he should be bringing up 2004 Petitions
>> on the current NPRM 05-143.
>
>Who are you to tell me what I should do, Len? You're not in charge.

Poor baby, can't get things straight on Commission procedure?

Jimmie, 98-143 was settled, made devoid by Memorandum Report
and Order 99-412 released in late December 1999. 98-143 is
OLD HISTORY. Defunct. Available only for archival study and
not applicable to NPRM 05-143.

>The change of zoning near your house did not remove any privileges from
>you, did it, Len? It didn't make your taxes go up or require you to change
>your house in any way, right?

Irrelevant to RADIO REGULATIONS. Local zoning laws have NOTHING
to do with federal radio regulations. Give it up.

>> >I'm glad that you easily grasp the concept that these are, after all,
>> >only our opinions. Not "Statements of Fact," nor "Assertions of Fact."
>> >Expressing an opinion does not make one a liar.
>>
>> ...unless you are in a "discussion" with Dudly the Imposter.
>
>But I'm not that person.

Tsk, your behavior in here has always been similar to his.

>Or someone who tells a US Navy veteran to shove something up his I/O
>port?

One military veteran can tell another military veteran lots
of things. Brakob, Burke, and myself are all military
veterans. YOU have NEVER been an military veteran.

Here's a quaint old military phrase given in the tradition
and sincerity of the military service: "Go fuck yourself!"

That will take care of Saturday night for you...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1454. stebies booger picture is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 21:31:41 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dnsnbqnia1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127175

at http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/flightsuit2.jpg can see
boogers on stebies mustash just like wiseman said.

hahahahahahahaha! boogers! boogers! boogers!

1455. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 22:00:40 UTC
From: Falky foo <falkyfoo@bonksbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <cIlof.42450$6e1.32382@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 127176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

that's very humorous.. only, what's QRQ and QRS?

1456. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 22:11:51 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1134684711.111044.72360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> Convicted child Molester
> Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> > "Who said I was Roger, dumbass? You see him behind every post,
> > dumbass.'
> >
> > You will find 2test behind the curtains.
>
> And you'll never find you unless you find the shit stains on the floor
> because you hide behind doors when other hams come visiting.

LOL at the Glendale retard. You better get back to cleaning out those
port-a-potties 2test.

1457. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 22:30:54 UTC
From: paul.vigil@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1134685854.166261.48610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127178

Paul Runninghorse Vigil
Senior Consultant 30 Years Experienced
FREE TELEPHONE CONSULTATION (Do you Want to Know how to Buy real estate with Little or NO MONEY DOWN) or know how to Design a Creative Owner Carry Financing Mortgage Notes? Or if you are selling your real estate or your business, (Residential or Commercial) How to design Cash Flows? I can show you how. Hi I Am Paul Runninghorse Vigil Senior Consultant 30 Years Experienced, in Creative Real Estate Buying or Selling and in Creative Real Estate Financing Notes, Trust Deeds and Real Estate Investigations.
Ph # 303-284-0636 Fax 303-284-0974
Was a Broker, Realtor and Owner
Operator of Real Estate Brokerages and
Mortgage Companies. * Refer-A-Friend
www.capitalvigilfundingdept.com
paul.vigil@comcast.net

1458. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 22:41:11 UTC
From: C W Jones <cwj@cwjyouknow.com>
Message-ID: <dnsrdlieq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

My coworker is a 32-year retired Navy Warrant. He tells
me Hans was the type of navy chief they used to call a "spoiler."
Meaning this type of chief would enter the CPO mess, and
all the other cheifs would stand up and leave.

C.W. Jones

1459. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 22:42:03 UTC
From: paul.vigil@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1134686523.416879.84750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127180

Paul Runninghorse Vigil
Senior Consultant 30 Years Experienced
FREE TELEPHONE CONSULTATION (Do you Want to Know how to Buy real estate with Little or NO MONEY DOWN) or know how to Design a Creative Owner Carry Financing Mortgage Notes? Or if you are selling your real estate or your business, (Residential or Commercial) How to design Cash Flows? I can show you how. Hi I Am Paul Runninghorse Vigil Senior Consultant 30 Years Experienced, in Creative Real Estate Buying or Selling and in Creative Real Estate Financing Notes, Trust Deeds and Real Estate Investigations.
Ph # 303-284-0636 Fax 303-284-0974
Was a Broker, Realtor and Owner
Operator of Real Estate Brokerages and
Mortgage Companies. * Refer-A-Friend
www.capitalvigilfundingdept.com
paul.vigil@comcast.net

1460. Re: Aussie Youth Pride Photos (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 22:55:42 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <20t3q11qeh8lknud4agjo2c38trdopaqj0@4ax.com>
Source record: 127181

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 13:43:40 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

>David wrote:
>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>>So 'you' only have good things to say about the peace loving
>>>Arab Youth {Gangs} who took it upon themselves to shot
>>>into a School just because the Australian Children were sing
>>>Christmas Carols. And Christian Churches are being Burned.
>>>http://www.abc.net.au/news/newsitems/200512/s1531169.htm
>>>http://www.allheadlinenews.com/articles/7001478101
>>>http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory?id=1403995
>>
>> I didn't say that.
>
>Well, you did imply that the reason the "Italians and Englishmen" were
>"yelling at Arabs in Australia" was "for not being from there" and not
>for anything more serious, like church burning and firing into children's
>choirs.
I don't follow the day to day chaos. Just happened to catch a few
seconds between movie channels or maybe Current TV or something.

I'd be careful about believing fantastic anecdotes until the smoke
clears.

1461. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 22:58:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CMGdnbmm9lzUaDzeRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 127182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:US5of.4270$Tg2.4000@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> As some of you know, I supported my bad habits for many years as a Navy
> Radioman, and quite frankly considered myself something of a hotshot
> operator. To set that stage..... I spent my early Navy years aboard
> destroyers in the 2nd and 6th fleets. The amount of traffic you handled
> was related to the seniority of your skipper, because senior skippers not
> only commanded their own ships, but also often had additional duties as
> task group commanders, etc. Our skipper at the time was CDR Grant "Flash"
> Gordon, and he was one of these 'senior' skippers. We made a couple of
> cruises to the Mediterranean and Capt Gordon was also CTU-60.2.5 which
> required our ship to guard the Sixth Fleet Task Group Commanders Net
> (nicknamed "Sixes Alfa"). Sixes Alfa was a high speed net, typical traffic
> speeds were 40WPM, and routine procedural speed in excess of 50WPM. At the
> time, I was one of only 23 radiomen in the Sixth Fleet who were fully
> qualified Net Control operators for that net. I go into all of this not to
> brag, but only to give you an idea of how big my 21-year-old ego was. If
> you were qualified to NCS "Sixes Alfa" (you got a fancy diploma style
> wallet certificate signed by the Fleet Commander) then you were pretty hot
> stuff.
>
> Unlike today, in those days the Red Sea and Persian Gulf area was a quiet
> backwater without much military attention. The US Navy presence was
> something called "Middle East Force" and COMMIDEASFOR was a Rear Admiral
> whose flagship was a distinctly unwar-like AVP (seaplane tender) anchored
> at the Brit base on Bahrain. (K0DQ, Admiral Scott Redd (Retired), now an
> active contester and a high honcho in Dept of Homeland Security, once held
> that post) The rest of his fleet consisted of a couple of destroyers
> loaned to him from the Sixth Fleet in the Mediterranean for tours of a
> couple months at a time to strut around and show the flag. Often we used
> that opportunity to also conduct joint training exercises with ships from
> allied navies in the SEATO and CENTO treaty organizations.
>
> Our ship, USS Henley, DD762 drew that temporary assignment while I was
> aboard. To get from the Med over to the Persian Gulf requires transiting
> the Suez Canal, which was under Egyptian (they called themselves the UAR
> in those days) control. Ships transiting the canal were arranged in
> convoys, on a given day consisting perhaps of 10 or 15 ships, one convoy
> northbound and one southbound. These convoys met and passed each other
> about midway in the canal at a 'wide spot in the road' called "Great
> Bitter Lake". Each convoy carried an Egyptian civilian pilot who knew the

> waters and acted as our 'guide' in navigating the canal. If there was a
> warship in the convoy, they would carry the pilot and lead the group.
> These pilots were required to provide the canal "Traffic Control" with
> periodic position reports so that canal authorities could track our
> progress and coordinate the 'passing' of the two convoys at the wide spot.
> The circuit which handled this traffic was a CW circuit, and the ashore
> operator was an Egyptian civilian. This was not a busy circuit, so
> typically it was on 'speaker watch' while you attended to other more busy
> circuits, and only actually 'manned' the circuit when you had to send or
> receive a report.
>
> Now picture me, hotshot NCS from "Sixes Alfa", keeping an ear on this
> pilots coordination circuit while handling traffic on another circuit.
> Across comes the call:
>
> "NHXW DE SUQ K" ---
>
> I put my regular military circuit on hold with a 'ZUJ' and impatiently
> called the 'lowly Egyptian civilian' at about 40WPM with a speed key
>
> "SUQ DE NHXW QRQ K" ..... (QRQ my friends, is the inverse of QRS)
>
> Bad move..... really bad and embarrassing move!
>
> 'Lowly civilian' at SUQ came back to me at a blistering speed I'm sure was
> 60WPM (or faster) of beautiful musical code (there were no electronic
> keyers in those days) of which I could copy no better than 50%.
>
> I humbly sent a break signal, then a crisp and polite "QRS PSE", and the
> "Kind Sir" at SUQ slowed down to a stately 30WPM. To this day I have never
> sent another QRQ to ANYONE! (That guy may be still out there waiting for
> the impertinent sailor to challenge him again!)
>

Cute story. I guess everyone needs at least one humbling experience in
their "hotshot youth".

Anyway, if I send either QRS or QRQ, I always add my desired speed to it to
try to avoid problems like this !!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1462. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 23:04:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134687888.273171.148730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127184

http://www.missingkids.com/missingkids/servlet/PageServlet?LanguageCountry=en_US&PageId=1504

1463. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 23:06:38 UTC
From: Lloyd II <NotRoger@anon>
Message-ID: <dnssou$o5p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:1134684711.111044.72360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> Convicted child Molester
> Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> > "Who said I was Roger, dumbass? You see him behind every post,
> > dumbass.'
> >
> > You will find 2test behind the curtains.
>
> And you'll never find you unless you find the shit stains on the floor
> because you hide behind doors when other hams come visiting.

LOL at the Glendale retard. You better get back to cleaning out those
port-a-potties 2test.
>

2test, "I'm not Roger", gets to pluck the large chunks from the
port-a-potties whereupon he uses them to make Port-a-Potty Pies.
He has Thursdays off, too.

1464. Re: stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 23:11:23 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11q3u0mftqeao86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1134679372.865368.283060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Fred Fatass wrote:
> more hate something insprired by k4yz
>

We would have never known had you not crossposted it. Looks to me YOU are
the one instilling hate.

1465. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 23:21:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x8CdnUM6ocVKZzzenZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127186

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aifof.4871$3Z.1722@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:XJOdnTvJYs81wDzenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:9q3of.2875$n1.732@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>>
>>>> One of the elements is self training and technical knowlegde. You
>>>> encourage that by using increased privileges (spectrum and power) to
>>>> get people to study and take
>>>> additional tests.
>>>
>>> If it were working, it would be evident on the air. But I'll encourage
>>> you to try a little practical experiment to see if you can detect the
>>> results in the real world.
>>>
>>> You'll need the following materials for the experiment:
>>>
>>> 1. A reasonable sensitive receiver, hooked to a working antenna.
>>> 2. A blindfold.
>>> 3. A set of earphones.
>>> 4. No extreme hearing impairments.
>>> 5. A comfortable chair.
>>>
>>> Seat your self at the receiver, and tune it to the TOP of a popular band
>>> with good propagation to the USA, probably 40 or 75 meters. Don the
>>> earphones and plug them in. Set the receiver RF gain full open and the
>>> AF gain at a comfortable level.
>>>
>>> Now place your blindfold over your eyes.
>>>
>>> Slowly tune the receiver down the band. If incentive licensing is
>>> working, when you cross over the General/Advanced boundary and again
>>> when you cross the Advanced/Extra boundary, you should detect a
>>> noticeable increase in the "training and technical knowlege" of the
>>> operators because of better/cleaner signals, more sophisticated
>>> technical discussions, and other evidence of better training and
>>> technical knowlege. If your ear does NOT detect this sort of evidence
>>> as you tune across those boundaries, then you can conclude (as I have)

>>> that incentive licensing is an abject failure.
>>>
>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> As Jim has already so ably answered, you cannot tell that sort of thing
>> at all. There is no way to tell whether that signal is better/cleaner
>> since propagation variables can impact signal quality too. There is no
>> way to tell if a better signal is due to better knowledge or that the
>> particular ham chooses to have his equipment maintained by a third party.
>> I would expect less sophisticated discussions in the Advanced/Extra
>> portions simply because the Generals may be more apt to be seeking
>> knowledge where the Extras may be inclined to relax.
>
> I would expect better OPERATING skills, a higher quality of language
> behavior and perhaps more technical discussions...but forget even the
> technical discussions....the behavior and operating skill differences
> are just not there.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

Why would you expect a higher quality of language behavior? All amateurs are required to know and adhere to the same rules regardless of license. Language behavior is covered on the Technician test.

People with a talent for code will tend to be better than the typical operator regardless of license. Some people, like myself, may choke during a CW ragchew regardless of our CW skill or license level. People who regularly DX will be able to finesse their way into getting the DX station at low power and people who do not regularly DX will have a much rougher time, again regardless of class.

What everyone overlooks is that the test is merely the basic required book knowledge expected for each level. Experience is not tested for. The person who goes straight to Extra will have no more experience and no more operating skills than anyone else. However, he/she starts with more book knowledge as a platform to build on. But anyone can choose to gain the same knowledge. They do not have to wait until they are studying for a new license.

Plus every amateur is free to pursue improving their skills. The license is a starting point not a stopping point.

Actually the place that I see the difference in operating skills is on the VHF bands in the VHF contests. When I review my contacts in those contests, the large majority of them are Extra class operators. They seem to be the ones to have the skill necessary to put together and operate a station suitable to make long distance VHF contacts and the skill to do so.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1466. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 23:34:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e_6dnYoP7_JqYDzeRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 127187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Falky foo" <falkyfoo@bonksbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:cIlof.42450$6e1.32382@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> that's very humorous.. only, what's QRQ and QRS?
>
>

QRS = slow down
QRQ = speed up

example:

PSE QRS 15 = please slow down to 15wpm
PSE QRS 30 = please speed up to 30wpm

If you don't attach a number, you are leaving it up to their judgement what speed to change to. This often works but as you can see from Hans' story, it can get you in over your head.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1467. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-15 23:45:12 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11q4003qm1ndb7f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127188
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:cY2dnQllc9uTMD3eRVn-gA@comcast.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
>> "Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:ofadnew7Prglsz3enZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>>>Peter wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> OH... so you assume I am an atheist.? How wrong can you be! However
>>>>I like to think for myself rather than be brainwashed by some guy in
>>>>fancy robes.... especially the brand that do Mass :-)
>>>
>>>When I wear my robes at Mass I'll still pray for you. There's nothing
>>>you can do about it.
>>
>>
>> Pray for me to win the lottery please :O)
>>
>> Regards,
>> Graham
>
> You have to watch the movie "Bruce Almighty". I did that once! 14,000
> winners of $17 each or something like that :-0
>

I watched that movie 4 times, I didn't win any money.

1468. Re: Where's the beef?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 00:10:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134691808.863381.67900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127189

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > First, it assumes that hams with the various license classes
> > stay only in their respective subbands, in that you won't find
> > Extras in the Advanced and General parts, or Advanceds
> > in the General parts, etc. But that's not how it works.
>
> You've got it all bass-ackwards, Jim.

No, just the opposite, Hans.

> My "experiment" presumes that you won't
> find Advanced in the Extra portion of the band, and you won't find Generals in
> either the Advanced or Extra segments.

And you usually won't!

But you *will* find Extras in the Advanced and General parts of the
band, and
Advanceds in the General part of the band.

>Thus, if incentive licensing is working,
> there ought to be a noticeable difference in operator/technical skills evident
> between the opposite ends of the band segments.

That difference, if it exists, would be diluted by the Extras in the
Advanced and
General sections, and the Advanceds in the General section. Muddies the
waters, as it were.

There's also the effect of the bandplans. The lower parts of the
subbands
are often where the DX and DXers hang out, so you hear more pileups and
less discussion. Many of the "watering holes" for various interests and
modes are intentionally placed in the General sections: AM is near
3885,
PSK31 is around 3579, QRP is around 3540, etc.

> As you so ably point out, that difference doesn't seem to exist.

It's interesting that you suggest the experiment on 'phone, not CW ;-)

> I rest my
> case. No benefits of incentive licensing are observed in the real world.

There are other experiments to try. For example, look in the amateur
radio publications - particularly the most technical-

and operating-skill-emphasis ones like QEX and NCJ - and see what
license classes the authors of the best articles hold.

> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> > I would expect less sophisticated discussions in the
> > Advanced/Extra portions simply because the Generals
> > may be more apt to be seeking knowledge where the
> > Extras may be inclined to relax.
>
> Dee, you should submit this as the funniest-rrap-paragraph-of-the-month.
>
A good one!

But lemme tellya what I recall from the late 1960s....

I remember the howling and cussing over the coming
of the then-new regs. I was surprised that so many
hams that were older and more experienced than I
were so upset about having to take more exams.

You'd have thought that the Advanced and Extra
writtens were EE courses, and that the 20 wpm Morse
Code exams were world-class. Or something.

But after a bit of listening and questioning, the situation
became clear. Most of those complaining had been
licensed after the Great Giveaway of Christmas 1952,
and had little or no knowledge of how things were before
Generals and Conditionals got all privileges.

Many of those who complained the loudest had started
out as Novices, studied and practiced like mad during their
Novice year, and then upgraded to General or Conditional.
Once they'd gotten to the General/Conditional level, they
basically sat back and considered themselves "fully
qualified", and relaxed.

What really ticked them off was that FCC was saying there
was more to learn!

It didn't help their addytood when young squirts like me
started showing up with Advanceds and Extras....back when
Extras made up less than 2% of US hams....

What was even funnier was when some of the worst
complainers and moaners started
working towards the Advanced and Extra and found they
could pass those exams. They discovered that 20 wasn't
all that fast if you actually *used* Morse Code on the air
for a while, and that knowing the technical stuff in the books
well enough to pass the exams didn't take an EE degree.

Soon there were Advanceds and Extras all over the place
and it was no big deal.

Now the circle is complete...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1469. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 00:32:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134693168.204212.182700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127190

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1134612831.728178.110080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1134522669.527007.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >> >> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> >> > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> >> >
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> > >
> > > Is that why the FCC gives ALL power priveleges to their ENTRY LEVEL
> > > LICENSEES?
> > >
> >
> > Entry level licensees do NOT have all power privileges. Technicians with
> > code are an entry level license. On HF frequencies, they are limited to 200
> > watts output.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

And 200 watts on VHF/UHF???

1470. Re: Where's the beef?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 00:38:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134693505.439967.203280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127191

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > First, it assumes that hams with the various license classes
> > stay only in their respective subbands, in that you won't find
> > Extras in the Advanced and General parts, or Advanceds
> > in the General parts, etc. But that's not how it works.
>
> You've got it all bass-ackwards, Jim. My "experiment" presumes that you won't
> find Advanced in the Extra portion of the band, and you won't find Generals in
> either the Advanced or Extra segments. Thus, if incentive licensing is working,
> there ought to be a noticeable difference in operator/technical skills evident
> between the opposite ends of the band segments.
>
> As you so ably point out, that difference doesn't seem to exist. I rest my
> case. No benefits of incentive licensing are observed in the real world.
>
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> > I would expect less sophisticated discussions in the
> > Advanced/Extra portions simply because the Generals
> > may be more apt to be seeking knowledge where the
> > Extras may be inclined to relax.
>
> Dee, you should submit this as the funniest-rrap-paragraph-of-the-month.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

At one time I noted that most of the violations were awarded to the
higher class licensees. I don't know if that was an artifact of the
FCC picking on them because they should know better, or if it had to do
with the kind of attitudes of so many of the Extra's display on RRAP
carrying over the the bands.

1471. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 00:42:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134693752.738167.258680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127192

Dee Flint wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:9q3of.2875$n1.732@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >
> >> One of the elements is self training and technical knowlegde. You
> >> encourage that by using increased privileges (spectrum and power) to get
> >> people to study and take
> >> additional tests.
> >
> > If it were working, it would be evident on the air. But I'll encourage
> > you to try a little practical experiment to see if you can detect the
> > results in the real world.
> >
> > You'll need the following materials for the experiment:
> >
> > 1. A reasonable sensitive receiver, hooked to a working antenna.
> > 2. A blindfold.
> > 3. A set of earphones.
> > 4. No extreme hearing impairments.
> > 5. A comfortable chair.
> >
> > Seat your self at the receiver, and tune it to the TOP of a popular band
> > with good propagation to the USA, probably 40 or 75 meters. Don the
> > earphones and plug them in. Set the receiver RF gain full open and the AF
> > gain at a comfortable level.
> >
> > Now place your blindfold over your eyes.
> >
> > Slowly tune the receiver down the band. If incentive licensing is
> > working, when you cross over the General/Advanced boundary and again when
> > you cross the Advanced/Extra boundary, you should detect a noticeable
> > increase in the "training and technical knowlege" of the operators because
> > of better/cleaner signals, more sophisticated technical discussions, and
> > other evidence of better training and technical knowlege. If your ear
> > does NOT detect this sort of evidence as you tune across those boundaries,
> > then you can conclude (as I have) that incentive licensing is an abject
> > failure.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> As Jim has already so ably answered, you cannot tell that sort of thing at
> all. There is no way to tell whether that signal is better/cleaner since

> propagation variables can impact signal quality too. There is no way to
> tell if a better signal is due to better knowledge or that the particular
> ham chooses to have his equipment maintained by a third party.

So the FCC shouldn't bother listening to the bands either since they
can't tell if a transmitter is having a problem or not. (wink!)

I would
> expect less sophisticated discussions in the Advanced/Extra portions simply
> because the Generals may be more apt to be seeking knowledge where the
> Extras may be inclined to relax.

There is nothing relaxing about retelling goiter and gall bladder
stories.

1472. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 01:10:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134695414.853136.20310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127193

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 4:14 am
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 13, 7:32 pm
> >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >> >> > > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> >> > > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> >The starting path under discussion was the path to an amateur radio
> >license. You haven't taken the first step on that path.

> "The journey of a thousand miles begins with a single
> step"...some ancient Chinese proverb, I suppose.

> Later on you will contradict yourself, but that is par for
> your course.

> I obtained a COMMERCIAL radio operator license 49 years ago.
> First Class, one test, no repeats necessary.

Of course, Len. You've told us that ancient-history story many times.

But as I stated before: The path under discussion is the path to
an *amateur radio* license. You have not taken the first step on
the path to an *amateur radio* license, Len.

That's a fact.

And I don't think you ever will.

> WHY was it "required" that I obtain an amateur license?

WHO ever said it was required, Len? Not me. It's not
required at all.

However, it seems very strange that you're so worked up
over requirements for a license you don't seem to have any
intention of ever getting (except for that Extra out of the box"
outburst) and haven't taken the first step to get.

Remember those meetings before your local zoning commission
about the proposed change from R to R1?

Suppose an outsider who neither lived in the community,
owned property there, nor planned to move there, showed up
at the meetings.

Suppose this nonresident uninvolved outsider spoke at great
length about how the zoning should be changed to R1.

Wouldn't you want to know why that person was so interested,
wouldn't you?

> >> >> > but you find a way to personalize it.
> >> >
> >> >> The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.
> >> >
> >> >They affected everyone after you as well.
> >>
> >> >> They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.
> >> >
> >> >You're simply wrong on that one, Quitefine.
> >>
> >> Lots of us radio pros without amateur licenses just didn't bother
> >> to get an amateur license...not necessarily as a result of
> >> "changes of 1968 or 1969."
> >
> >That's fine, Len. Nobody says you have to get ana amateur radio
> >license.
>
> "Ana amateur radio license?"

Typo alert! Gee, you found *another* one of my typos, Len!

Thanks!

It should read:

"Nobody says you have to get an amateur radio license."

> Ah, but YOU already said I had some kind of moral imperative
> to get an amateur radio license.

Where did I say that, Len? Show us, if you can. Otherwise you're
just making stuff up.

> Hypocrite.

You sure are, sometimes!

> >But it does seem a bit odd that you're expending so much
> >time and energy on the requirements for a license you aren't
> >going to get...
>
> "Not going to get?" Who said that...besides YOU?

Len, if you were going to get an amateur radio license,
you would have done so years ago.

But you didn't get one when the medical waivers were created in 1990.

You didn't get one when the Tech lost its code test in
1991.

Nor did you get one when the code and written testing
for all license classes was drastically reduced in 2000.

It's pretty clear that you're not going to get an amateur radio
license. So your interest must be in something else.

> I'm just wanting the morse code test for an amateur radio
> license eliminated.

That and a lot more. Such as age limits and more written-test
reductions. Plus you'd like to do away with ARRL, subbands,
bandplans, and a whole lot more.

> Why are YOU "spending so much time and energy" trying to
> throw shit on all of those desiring that test element 1
> deletion?

I'm not throwing anything on anyone, Len.

I'm just shedding a little light on the subject.

Seems to tick you off no end to
have to face opposition to your ideas, though.

> What are you afraid of? Loss of your personal status,
> title, and privileges?

I'm not "afraid of" any of that, Len. Besides, if the standards
are lowered, my "status" may actually rise, not fall.

> >> What the heck, I'd already started
> >> 15 and 14 years before in HF comms where the operating
> >> environment was a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER on all concerned than any
> >> amateur activity.
> >
> >How was it "a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER", Len? I saw your "My 3 Years" thing.
>
> The amateur radio service does not require its licensees to
> wage war and kill the enemy.

That's true. But you were talking about the radio operating
environment,
weren't you? Those ADA facilities looked pretty civilized to me.

> The military "field days" were not little outings in a park
> once a year.

Did they have "field day" at ADA?

> Amateur radio doesn't operate in an environment of high
> explosive ordinance going off nearby.

How much of that went on at ADA, Len? I didn't see any pictures of
that sort of thing.

> >And why all the comparisons? You seem to feel a need to prove that you
> >had it "TOUGHER" than anybody else.....
>
> To use a quaint and traditional military phrase, "Fuckin-A!"

Well, you've just confirmed what I thought, Len. It's all about you.

> Yes, sweetums, I - and every other military person - had it
> TOUGHER than you civilians safe at home.

Maybe you did. Certainly a lot of military personnel did. No
denying that.

But you seem to deny that *any* civilians face *any* danger.

Police - firefighters - emergency medical personnel - they're
all civilians, yet they face danger all the time. Look how many
NYC police and firefighters lost their lives in the line of duty
on September 11, 2001 - how safe were they, Len?

One image from that terrible day that I will always recall is
the streams of people heading out of and away from the burning
towers - while the police, firefighters and EMTs were
running *towards* them....

> >> Problem is, Jimmie doesn't think that others can think differently
> >> so he doesn't think about the thousands of newcomers who MIGHT want
> >> to get into amateur radio.
> >
> >Len, I don't have any problem thinking others can think differently.
> >That doesn't mean I must agree with them.
>
> Then why does your lofty highness insist all MUST agree
> with YOUR opinions?

Where do I insist on that, Len? Show us, if you can.

You're the one who cannot
tolerate difference of opinion, and hurl insults at those who
disagree or prove you wrong.

> >There's no specification for a lot of things in Part 97, yet there's no
> >problem.
>
> Yes there is. License test regulations REQUIRE a code test for
> any class having below-30-MHz operation privileges...BUT...the
> FCC does not mandate all amateur USING morse code modes over
> and above any other mode. All are optional.

So what? You've banged that drum many times, Len, but you don't
explain why it should matter.

There's no requirement that amateurs use any particular mode, or
technology, or frequency band, or power level, or antenna - yet the
tests cover a wide range of subjects.

Some say "why should I learn Morse Code if I don't intend to
use it?" And that's a fair question.

The answer is "why should I learn *anything* I don't intend to
use?"

For example, if someone wants to operate 75 meter AM with
hollow state equipment, why do they have to learn all that
VHF/UHF stuff, and solid-state stuff, digital stuff, beam
antennas, etc.?

> >> >> > Just because no one at the FCC is paying attention doesn't mean that
> >> >> > the present rules are worthwhile.

> >> >> "No one at the FCC is paying attention"?
>
> >> >> Just because they disagree with you?
> >> >
> >> >It was just a guess. Why else would they allow such arbitrary and
> >> >redundant rules, exams, and license classes to exist?
> >>
> >> POLITICS. The present system of U.S. amateur radio regulations, at
> >> least up to the year 2000, was lobbied for by the ARRL. The
> >> Reading Room at the FCC is full of documents attesting to that.
> >
> >It's also full of documents from non-ARRL sources "lobbying" for
> >the same things.
>
> Tsk, bad spin on your part.

What spin? It's the truth, Len. Anybody can
propose things to FCC, and many have.

For example:

The ARRL filed the first "incentive licensing" proposal in 1963.
Over the next year or so there were at least *10* more
proposals, all from non-ARRL sources, that all got RM numbers.

That was long before the internet, ECFS, etc.

So much for your anti-League spin...

> The ARRL has remained an equivalent
> of "City Hall" politics in the past...since the creation of
> the FCC in 1934 and up until the beginning of the 1990s. It
> has been clearly evident to disinterested parties in all that
> time when the league got what the league wanted.

What's the problem if the ARRL sometimes succeeds in their
proposals? Some ARRL proposals are pretty good.

Did you know that way back in 1951 the ARRL *opposed* the
creation of the Amateur Extra license?

So much for your anti-ARRL spin...

> >Did you read Footnote 142, Len?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, you don't like footnotes, Jimmie. You've said
> so in the past.

It's not about me, Len. Did you read Footnote 142? Or are
you too proud and angry to even look at it?

> >> No to all the above. FCC just doesn't think that amateur radio
> >> deserves their maximum-mission attention in their Congress-law-
> >> mandated task of regulating ALL United States civil radio.
> >
> >How do you know, Len? You're not FCC.
>
> It is very easy to see by any disinterested observer, Jimmie.
>
> Go to the FCC website, go to the Wireless Telecommunications
> Bureau page, then through that go to the Amateur Radio page.
> What is the latest date on important documents there? 2002.
>
> There's not been ANY important issues put forth on that page
> in three years. Not the S25 revisions at WRC-03, not the 18
> Petitions, not the release of NPRM 05-143.
>
> Now check around the OTHER radio services' issues, documents
> and so forth and count them up anywhichwayyouwant. Far, far
> MORE matters discussed by both the Commission and the Public
> on those OTHER matters than amateur radio issues.

So what?

> >> >I understand the reason for the split in privs between the Tech/Tech+
> >> >and the G/A/E licensees. The reason for that barrier no longer exists,
> >> >but the exam and licensing schema has not kept pace. Time to perform a
> >> >top-down review, starting with basis and purpose.
> >>
> >> The time may not be ripe just yet, Brian. Let's wait until the
> >> FCC decides what to do about NPRM 05-143 and issue a Memorandum
> >> Report and Order on it.
> >
> >I think it's very likely that Element 1 will simply be eliminated.
>
> Is your name Brian Burke?

No. I'm not him at all.

> Are you having an identity crisis?

No. Are you confused? You sure seem to be, Len. Newsgroup postings are
not private conversations.

Here, I'll repeat it for you:

I think it's very likely that Element 1 will simply be eliminated.

I've thought that for a long time.

> >> There's been two whole years of 18 Petitions commented on at length
> >> since the end of WRC-03 and now NPRM 05-143 which can settle the
> >> morse code testing for a license issue.
> >
> >Probably. But you won't be satisfied with that, despite your frequent
> >claims of only wanting to eliminate the Morse Code test.
>
> GIVE UP trying to tell me "what I will do."

No. You're not the boss.

> You don't have the authority nor the qualifications to be ME
> nor judgemental on "what I will do."

I sure as heck don't want to be you, Len!

But I have all the qualifications needed to judge what you will do.

No judgement is really needed, though. You've already started on
other areas besides getting rid of the Morse Code test. Age
requirements,
elimination of license classes, more reductions in the written tests,
elimination of subbands and bandplans.

Anyone who reads your voluminous postings knows what you're up to, Len.

> >> Regardless, "the 1998 ARRL proposal" is OLD HISTORY. It doesn't
> >> apply to anything NOW.
>
> >Brian brought it up.
>
> No, you've continually barfed up League-speak in here as a
> devoted postulant at the Church of St. Hiram.

No, Brian brought it up.

> >You constantly bring up much older history ("My 3 Years") that doesn't
> >apply to anything NOW....
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, that's an entirely different "discussion"
> concerning overt LYING of military service by Dudly the
> Imposter (aka "K4YZ").

No, you've mentioned it many times in many contexts. As if it somehow
mattered.

And what's all this "imposter" nonsense anyway? You claim to know who
did and did not serve in the US military, so it should be easy to find
out
who is and is not a veteran of the United States Marine Corps - right?

Something about databases?

You keep demanding DD-214s. If K4YZ sent you his DD-214 electronically,
would
you admit that you were wrong and that he is, indeed, a veteran?

Or would you carry on as you usually do?

I think the latter.

> I brought up a VALID example some years ago on why the
> majority of military communications worldwide was NOT done
> by morse code mode since 1948

Why is that valid to "amateur" radio??

>...for the reason being that I
> was assigned at a major Army communications station serving
> a theater command Hq and stayed there for three years.

One station. One country's army. Yet you try to make it sound
like the whole world.

Besides, it's a ncient history.

Most of all, you contradict yourself. Amateur radio isn't the military.
So
what the military did all those years ago doesn't have much relevance
to amateur radio testing today.

> YOU have NEVER done anything approaching that.

How do you know, Len? You don't know what I have done. There's a lot I
have done in my life that I don't write about here.

And what does it matter?

Suppose someone who *had* been in the military, and
had done all sorts of radio communication for the military
and government service, were to
show up here and say that the Morse Code test should be retained for
an Amateur Radio license.

Would you admit you were wrong and defer to that person's opinion?

Or would you abuse them the way you abuse everyone who disagrees with
you?

I think the latter.

In fact I *know* it would be the latter - because it's already
happened.

So it doesn't matter what my experience or service or education is.
All that matters to you is that I disagree with you - and you behave
like a three-year-old.

> >For example, I think the ARRL made a big mistake not letting WK3C run for
> >Director of the Atlantic Division. That's *my* division, btw...
>
> Is your Division mobilized and ready to ship out to fight
> the War on Terror? Bon voyage.

I think you're getting a bit wacky, Len.

> >The change of zoning near your house did not remove any privileges from
> >you, did it, Len? It didn't make your taxes go up or require you to change
> >your house in any way, right?
>
> Irrelevant to RADIO REGULATIONS. Local zoning laws have NOTHING
> to do with federal radio regulations. Give it up.

It's a valid analogy. That's why you want me to give it up!

Explain to us why it's OK for you to try to keep the neighborhood where

you live unchanged and tied to the standards of 1960, but it's not OK
for radio amateurs to try to keep the Morse Code test?

Explain to us why you demand that others accept 'progress' and
'change',
and 'keep up with the times', but yet *you* insist that your
neighborhood
must not change, and the owners of an adjacent property must conform
to *your* idea of what they can do with their land.

Know what's really ironic? The end result was that they built houses
that
are now worth *more* than yours!

> >Or someone who tells a US Navy veteran to shove something up his I/O
> >port?
>
> One military veteran can tell another military veteran lots
> of things.

Sure they can. Doesn't mean they should. I can say all kinds of nasty
things like that to you - but I don't. Neither does Hans.

Do you think anyone is impressed by your outbursts like that?

Do you think it makes anyone respect you, or agree with you, or think
you're a better person?

> Brakob, Burke, and myself are all military
> veterans.

So are many others - K8MN, W4NTI, K4YZ, K2UNK, to name just a few.

> Here's a quaint old military phrase given in the tradition
> and sincerity of the military service: "Go fuck yourself!"

Ah yes, a classic Anderson hissy-fit.

Len, do you think anyone is impressed by your outbursts like that one?

Do you think it makes anyone respect you, or agree with you, or think
you're a better person?

It doesn't.

What it does is to make you look like an out-of-control three-year-old
who's badly in need of a time-out.

1473. Re: Where's the beef?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 01:18:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134695919.542983.36590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127194

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> At one time I noted that most of the violations were awarded to the
> higher class licensees.

But was that really true?

Did you provide any statistics, or did it just seem that way to you?

>I don't know if that was an artifact of the
> FCC picking on them because they should know better, or if it had to do
> with the kind of attitudes of so many of the Extra's display on RRAP
> carrying over the the bands.

Fun fact: The $42,000 fines for ex-KG6IRO have been upheld. When you
read what the guy did, it's pretty awful.

Guess what class of license he held. And how old he is.

1474. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:12:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134699127.038203.114260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127195

From: Dee Flint on Dec 15, 3:21 pm

>"Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> "K□B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote

>> I would expect better OPERATING skills, a higher quality of language
>> behavior and perhaps more technical discussions...but forget even the
>> technical discussions....the behavior and operating skill differences
>> are just not there.
>
>Why would you expect a higher quality of language behavior?

Why would you NOT? Isn't the extra the "highest class?" :-)

>All amateurs
>are required to know and adhere to the same rules regardless of license.

Ah, but DO they? :-)

That's not evident in here. :-)

>Language behavior is covered on the Technician test.

Which "everyone" took, right? :-)

>People with a talent for code will tend to be better than the typical
>operator regardless of license.

Of COURSE they are! Ask any morseperson...they will ALL say
the same thing!

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>What everyone overlooks is that the test is merely the basic required book
>knowledge expected for each level.

"Book knowledge?!?"

The FCC has NEVER been chartered as an academic institution.

>Experience is not tested for. The
>person who goes straight to Extra will have no more experience and no more
>operating skills than anyone else.

WHAT?!? NO EXPERIENCE TESTED?!? How can that be?!?

Tsk, anyone passing the Extra "right out of the box" will have
ALL the privileges, ALL the status, ALL the title as any other
Extra, experience or no.

>However, he/she starts with more book knowledge as a platform to build on.

"Book knowledge" again. Is amateur radio the ONLY place to
acquire "radio knowledge?"

>But anyone can choose to gain the same knowledge. They do not have to
>wait until they are studying for a new license.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. YOu are contradicting OTHER extras in here who
have insisted that one MUST get an amateur radio license
BEFORE getting any commercial license!!!

>Plus every amateur is free to pursue improving their skills. The license is
>a starting point not a stopping point.

Gosh...I thought it was a GRANT from the Commission to transmit
RF energy on the ham frequencies. Sort of like a hunting or
fishing license allows one to hunt or fish in designated areas.

Aren't "radiosport" contests all about hunting for contact
areas and fishing fishing for radio contacts? :-)

>Actually the place that I see the difference in operating skills is on the
>VHF bands in the VHF contests. When I review my contacts in those contests,
>the large majority of them are Extra class operators. They seem to be the
>ones to have the skill necessary to put together and operate a station
>suitable to make long distance VHF contacts and the skill to do so.

Wow! Someone should have TOLD the U.S. Army Signal Corps folks
at Evans Signal Laboratory in 1946 when they were the first to
bounce a radio signal off the moon!

Yeah, they should have told the Signal Corps "how to do it" in
Korea in the 1950s when they set out all that VHF radio relay
equipment in the hills and valleys there.

Where WAS the ARRL when all that was going on? They didn't tell
the Signal Corps much of anything...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1475. Re: Astro-net from the 60s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:15:15 UTC
From: Tom <noname@noprovider.nodomain>
Message-ID: <Tqpof.3700$kd1.2059@trnddc02>
Source record: 127196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Sorry, out of town for a few weeks.

The Astronet guys observed that the flashes were conicident
both in time and location on the moon when observed from various
locations up and down the west coast. Conclusion was that the flashes
were meteor impacts on the lunar surface. I think this was later
confirmed independently.

One of the guys had an interesting 80m setup. Separate Tx and Rx,
and 1kw amplifier. He had an separate Rx antenna, an IF output
jack on the Rx, and an electronic TR switch on the Rx.

He would connect an oscilloscope to the IF output of the Rx, and
hit a few dits on the keyer. You could see the transmit waveform
as full-screen signal, then nothing as the Rx recovered back into operation
after a few tens of microseconds. Then you could see the backscatter
pop up on the receiver scope. The keying rate had to be fast enough
and completely synchronous to see it on the non-storage scopes of the
day. But he was able to measure the time to receive the echos back
from the ionosphere and pretty much could predict whether he should
bother operating the net that night (with reasonable accuracy). The echos
were somewhat smeared out, so the return signal was undergoing a somewhat
distributed reflection process.

I don't recall if the backscatter was normally TX->Ionosphere->Rx or if
it was Tx->Ionosphere->Ground->Ionsphere->Rx
My recollection is that he had seen both cases.

-- Tom

"Mike Andrews" <mikea@mikea.ath.cx> wrote in message
news:dmd0m2$3m4$1@puck.litech.org...
> And that conclusion was?
>
> Gonna just leave us haning like that?
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Tom <noname@noprovider.nodomain> wrote:
>> You bet. They started out when some of the guys noticed brief
>> point-source
>> bright flashes
>> on the lunar surface. Used 80m to time whether the flashes were
>> synchronized
>> across
>> large observation distances. If they were not, then the flashes were
>> likely

>> meteors in
>> the earth's atmosphere; if they were synchronized, then the probablility
>> that they were
>> associated with an event on the lunar surface was pretty high.
>
>> The guys had scopes setup in the backyard and 100-foot
>> headphone/microphone
>> cables
>> going back to the radio so they could observe and talk on the radio
>> simultaneously.
>
>> They did reach a conclusion about the flashes....
>
>> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
>> news:dm3gnghrl1@news.hawaii.edu...
>>> "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>> To be honest, I never felt comfortable on 75 meters, nor did I feel
>>>> that I fit in for the most part. There were groups, however, in which
>>>> I enjoyed participating,
>>>
>>> Anyone remember the Astro-Net on 3885 kc from the 1960s? It was composed
>>> of group of amateur astronomers who nightly trained their telescopes on
>>> a
>>> particular celestial object and would then have round-table comments
>>> about that object. It was fascinating to eavesdrop on that net.
>>>
>>> 73, Jeff KH6O
>>> --
>>> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
>>> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System
>
> --
> Mike Andrews, W5EGO
> mikea@mikea.ath.cx
> Tired old sysadmin

1476. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:16:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134699373.341037.243820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127197

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 15, 4:42 pm

>There is nothing relaxing about retelling goiter and gall bladder
>stories.

Who knows, it might be to an Internist MD. :-)

Besides, don't "goiter and gall bladder stories" involve OPERATING?

Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1477. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:25:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lc64q19r67c1ruh39dg5n24apms476fh0h@4ax.com>
Source record: 127198

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <Nioof.4570$Tg2.2522@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

<snip anal fixations>
>> I haven't "posted a thing in here" for the simple reason this
>> newsgroup does NOT accept binaries.
>
>Sure it does. See attached DD214 indicating over 21 years of active service,
>rank of E9, and Honorable service. Set. Point. Match.
>
>Beep beep
>de Hans, K0HB

Works for me. Looks like it was typed with a standard IBM electric
daisy-wheel and has a very slight paper misalignment. The scan looks
like a third-generation copy -- I'm guessing that the original was
xeroxed (or scanned and printed), the info scratched out (probably
with a pencil, considering the smooth shading on the first three of
your SSN), and then scanned for the pic. Am I close?

It's kinda funny tho, the resolution is so high that your "E-9" kinda
looks like "K-9"..... 8-)

Anyway, this is not about you -- it's about Major Dud. Now if you and
I can post -our- DD-214's, why can't Dudly? I also see that you made
E-9 in about 19-1/2 years. I also see that the right side of the form
clearly denotes your time in service, as does mine, as does every
DD-214 since Socrates marched barefoot through the snow. So why do you
think Dudly didn't know about that? And if Dudly's claim -is- true
(which I highly doubt), why do you think he couldn't get promoted
beyond GySgt (E-7) in nearly the same amount of time it took -you- to
reach E-9?

Major Dud might be a ham, and you might share some similar beliefs
and/or opinions, but are those valid reasons to defend his fraudulent
military service?

BTW, many Usenet services and ISP's will block the posting of a binary
to a non-binary groups, so Len may have a valid reason for believing
what he said. However, if he wants he can email me a binary, I'll
upload it to my server and post the link. I'll even offer to do the
same for you just so you can quit posting biniaries. Ok?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1478. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:34:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MIpof.1659$mj1.1375@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127199

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote

> BTW, many Usenet services and ISP's will block the posting of a binary
> to a non-binary groups, so Len may have a valid reason for believing
> what he said.

Naw. He can see this, just like he saw (and commented on) the other binary I sent in the "SUQ QRQ" posting.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1479. still more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:37:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134700675.276373.25210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127250

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:

>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1480. Re: stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:39:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134700783.848962.244270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

DrDeath wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1134679372.865368.283060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Fred Fatass wrote:
> > more hate something insprired by k4yz
> >
>
> We would have never known had you not crossposted it. Looks to me YOU are
> the one instilling hate.

you are just lying some more i never add gruops to post (sometimes with
my newreader i have to prune afew

nor am I instiling hate of any sort just reporting on it

1481. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:45:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vTpof.1672$mj1.982@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127252

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote

> I also see that you made E-9 in about 19-1/2 years.

Actually 18 years, 6 months, 29 days (but who is counting).

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1482. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:54:34 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <51b4q1d4acvouipie8b9atl1mfecuq7iem@4ax.com>
Source record: 127253

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 02:34:20 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <MIpof.1659$mj1.1375@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>> BTW, many Usenet services and ISP's will block the posting of a binary
>> to a non-binary groups, so Len may have a valid reason for believing
>> what he said.
>
>Naw. He can see this, just like he saw (and commented on) the other binary I
>sent in the "SUQ QRQ" posting.

Newsgroup binary filters work both ways.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1483. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 02:58:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d3b4q1ldk6rimfc6qekl1ls52imeu581r9@4ax.com>
Source record: 127254

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 02:45:47 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <vTpof.1672$mj1.982@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>> I also see that you made E-9 in about 19-1/2 years.
>
>Actually 18 years, 6 months, 29 days (but who is counting).

My bad. However, that's actually -less- time than Major Dud claims to
have served.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1484. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 04:50:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134708650.684605.42890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127255

From: "K0HB" on Fri, Dec 16 2005 2:34 am

>"Frank Gilliland" <w¿nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote
>
>> BTW, many Usenet services and ISP's will block the posting of a binary
>> to a non-binary groups, so Len may have a valid reason for believing
>> what he said.
>
>Naw. He can see this, just like he saw (and commented on) the other binary I
>sent in the "SUQ QRQ" posting.

Plain and simply INCORRECT superchief.

I've never seen any binaries attached to messages in this
newsgroup.

You can send it to me as an attachment to private e-mail if
you wish.

However, caution on the contents. If it contains "male nudity"
I will forward it to Jimmie who appreciates that sort of thing.

BTW, don't try any farkling about "binaries" being the 10-bit
code used in USASCII. "Binary files" in Usenetspeak refer
to IMAGE files or executable files.

The most bestest wonderfulest good luck on this now...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1485. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 04:57:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134709024.494450.27850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127256

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 5:10 pm

>What it does is to make you look like an out-of-control three-year-old
>who's badly in need of a time-out.

Jimmie boy, go play with your radio toys and quit antagonizing
the grown-ups here. YOU do not do a good impersonation of an
adult.

Do your folks have a sitter for you on Saturday night?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1486. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 05:17:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <x5sof.4670$Tg2.395@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127258

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> I've never seen any binaries attached to messages in this
> newsgroup.

I can see them just fine. I can post them just fine (a .jpg file in this case).
Frank apparently can them just fine, because he commented about details which he
could not have known without actually seeing the binary file.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1487. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 05:17:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <z5sof.4671$Tg2.2872@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127257

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> I've never seen any binaries attached to messages in this
> newsgroup.

I can see them just fine. I can post them just fine (a .jpg file in this case). Frank apparently can them just fine, because he commented about details which he could not have known without actually seeing the binary file.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1488. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 05:21:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134710515.730081.247260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127259

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve your a yutz and a looser who abuse people
>
> > > I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.
>
> You still haven't enlighten us on what you've done, which is probably
> nothing, but write abusive post on here.

that is about he all he realy can do

the only other thing he also does on here which is post incitefull
amtaerail to stir up some nutcase like mr Slit to threaten to do
stevies dirtywork
>
>
> YUTZ
> Todd N9OGL

1489. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 05:24:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134710651.620188.267860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127260

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> > I've never seen any binaries attached to messages in this
> > newsgroup.
>
> I can see them just fine. I can post them just fine (a .jpg file in this case).
> Frank apparently can them just fine, because he commented about details which he
> could not have known without actually seeing the binary file.

it depnds on what means you use to read the usenet Here on google I can
see your binar over on free agent i can

meanin you take the prise for the most posting by byte of anyone on
RRAP

>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

1490. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 05:26:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134710783.887117.282480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127261

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 15, 4:42 pm
>
>
> >There is nothing relaxing about retelling goiter and gall bladder
> >stories.
>
> Who knows, it might be to an Internist MD. :-)
>
> Besides, don't "goiter and gall bladder stories" involve OPERATING?

that depnds in the past it cetainly did but my own gall stones were
dealtwith by some kind of ultra sonics and then flushed out no break in
the skin at all

operating on gall stone geting kida like CW it is less and less of an
operational matter
>
> Hi hi.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1491. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 05:32:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134711152.505236.296170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127262

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 15, 6:25 pm

>On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net>

<snip>

>>> I haven't "posted a thing in here" for the simple reason this
>>> newsgroup does NOT accept binaries.
>
>>Sure it does. See attached DD214 indicating over 21 years of active service,
>>rank of E9, and Honorable service. Set. Point. Match.

WHAT "attached DD214"?

BTW, Hansl, it is "Game. Set. Match."

That's also the title of a trilogy written by Len Deighton.

>Anyway, this is not about you -- it's about Major Dud. Now if you and
>I can post -our- DD-214's, why can't Dudly? I also see that you made
>E-9 in about 19-1/2 years. I also see that the right side of the form
>clearly denotes your time in service, as does mine, as does every
>DD-214 since Socrates marched barefoot through the snow. So why do you
>think Dudly didn't know about that? And if Dudly's claim -is- true
>(which I highly doubt), why do you think he couldn't get promoted
>beyond GySgt (E-7) in nearly the same amount of time it took -you- to
>reach E-9?
>
>Major Dud might be a ham, and you might share some similar beliefs
>and/or opinions, but are those valid reasons to defend his fraudulent
>military service?

Absolutely. U.S. Amateur Extras have to hang together.

Especially if they are morsemen...and hate NCTAs.

It must be an unwritten law or something wherein extras will
only slap the wrists of other extras who get out of hand.

For all the flag-waving and patriotic bunting installation
done by Hans, he has been most gentle on that fraudulent
Dudly the Imposter, the hero of "seven hostile actions."
All he has done lately is argue about the names of COMSEC
systems with Dudly.

Doesn't Hans realize that Dud is NOT showing a good face of
U.S. amateur radio to the public?

>BTW, many Usenet services and ISP's will block the posting of a binary
>to a non-binary groups, so Len may have a valid reason for believing
>what he said. However, if he wants he can email me a binary, I'll
>upload it to my server and post the link. I'll even offer to do the
>same for you just so you can quit posting biniaries. Ok?

Thanks for the offer, Frank. If needs be I will take you up
on that. But I don't see the need of that.

If Hans wants to make like he is CNO berating his swabbies
about DD-214s, that still doesn't change the fact that I've
not only served Honorably but have several papers up on the
subject of radio communications on another website plus an
interview that was published in the Pacific Stars and Stripes.

Major Dud hasn't published ONE thing about his active USMC
life, no forms, no documents, not even a snapshot. All he
has published now is the famous Booger shot of him in a
poopy suit at QRZ, in the "uniform" of the CIVIL Air Patrol.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1492. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 05:33:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134711203.825618.314360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127263

So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
capacity

Todd N9OGL

1493. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 05:37:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134711479.718238.302110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127264

N9OGL wrote:
> So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
> capacity

it is his lack of moral fiber that is the cause he could do something
if he realy wanted to but he doesn't realy care enough to do so

Stevie is bascialy lazy he wants the posters to suit there posts to his
reading level and abilty and lacks the ability to tolaoarate anyone
being different from him

makes a guy wonder why he went into the ARS at all I thought the one of
the points was to have contact with different sorts of people.

perhaps that will become after the R&O goes through and I too will have
an extra class license
>
> Todd N9OGL

1494. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 05:49:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134712192.453468.38410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127265

Make's you kind of wonder how he got his extra class license huh?

N9OGL

1495. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 07:18:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lTtof.4703$Tg2.2956@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127266

an_old_friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>> So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
>> capacity
>
> it is his lack of moral fiber that is the cause he could do something
> if he realy wanted to but he doesn't realy care enough to do so

Mark, you haven't any moral anything.

> Stevie is bascialy lazy he wants the posters to suit there posts to his

"Steve is basically lazy. He wants posters to suit their posts to his"

> reading level and abilty and lacks the ability to tolaoarate anyone

"reading level and ability. He lacks the ability to tolerate anyone"

> being different from him

"being different from him".

Actually, Mark, you are the fellow who is lazy. You make no effort to see that your posts are grammatically correct or spelled correctly. Those things combine to make you appear less intelligent than you might be. Your posts are difficult for all to read.

> makes a guy wonder why he went into the ARS at all I thought the one of
> the points was to have contact with different sorts of people.

No one is required to make contact with you or to even pretend to desire contact with you. Take our local sociopath for example. The only people in this area who make contact with Roger Wiseman aren't aware of who or what he is. The guy is a pariah.

> perhaps that will become after the R&O goes through and I too will have
> an extra class license

...if you pass the exam. I'm sure that you'll suddenly become popular beyond your wildest dreams.

Dave K8MN

1496. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 07:24:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3Ztof.4706$Tg2.1298@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127267

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 15, 6:25 pm
>
>> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net>
>
> <snip>
>
>>>> I haven't "posted a thing in here" for the simple reason this
>>>> newsgroup does NOT accept binaries.
>>> Sure it does. See attached DD214 indicating over 21 years of active service,
>>> rank of E9, and Honorable service. Set. Point. Match.
>
> WHAT "attached DD214"?
>
> BTW, Hansl, it is "Game. Set. Match."
>
> That's also the title of a trilogy written by Len Deighton.
>
>
>> Anyway, this is not about you -- it's about Major Dud. Now if you and
>> I can post -our- DD-214's, why can't Dudly? I also see that you made
>> E-9 in about 19-1/2 years. I also see that the right side of the form
>> clearly denotes your time in service, as does mine, as does every
>> DD-214 since Socrates marched barefoot through the snow. So why do you
>> think Dudly didn't know about that? And if Dudly's claim -is- true
>> (which I highly doubt), why do you think he couldn't get promoted
>> beyond GySgt (E-7) in nearly the same amount of time it took -you- to
>> reach E-9?
>>
>> Major Dud might be a ham, and you might share some similar beliefs
>> and/or opinions, but are those valid reasons to defend his fraudulent
>> military service?
>
> Absolutely. U.S. Amateur Extras have to hang together.
>
> Especially if they are morsemen...and hate NCTAs.
>
> It must be an unwritten law or something wherein extras will
> only slap the wrists of other extras who get out of hand.
>
> For all the flag-waving and patriotic bunting installation
> done by Hans, he has been most gentle on that fraudulent
> Dudly the Imposter, the hero of "seven hostile actions."
> All he has done lately is argue about the names of COMSEC

> systems with Dudly.

What "fraudulent Dudly the Imposter", Len? What factoids have you coughed up about Steve's military service? All you've done is wail and gnash. You've done a lot of flailing but have offered up precisely zip.

> Doesn't Hans realize that Dud is NOT showing a good face of
> U.S. amateur radio to the public?

Heck, Len, *you* aren't showing a good face of the public to amateur radio.

> If Hans wants to make like he is CNO berating his swabbies
> about DD-214s, that still doesn't change the fact that I've
> not only served Honorably but have several papers up on the
> subject of radio communications on another website plus an
> interview that was published in the Pacific Stars and Stripes.

You seem to have brought those thing up a time or twenty.

> Major Dud hasn't published ONE thing about his active USMC
> life, no forms, no documents, not even a snapshot. All he
> has published now is the famous Booger shot of him in a
> poopy suit at QRZ, in the "uniform" of the CIVIL Air Patrol.

So?

Dave K8MN

1497. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 11:49:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134733765.588504.277730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127268

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 12, 3:17 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 11, 10:06 am
> > >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 10, 1:14 pm
> > >> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 9, 6:28 pm
> > >> >> > >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >> > >> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

> > >I'm finally getting the picture. Jim asks the question if it is
> > >possible to "serve in other ways?"

You're finally beginning to catch on!

It's just a plain, simple question.

> > >Not that HE served in other ways, mind you, but "other people may have
> > >served in other ways."

No. The question is whether someone can serve our country
in ways other than military service.

> > >W6TTB introduced his method in the 50's (that is, 1950's).

> Farnsworth. Introduced his method in the 1950's, 110 years or so after
> Morse, about the same time that the military and civilian world was
> abandoning Morse.

Except he didn't invent it and never claimed to. You can find
descriptions
of his method at least as far back as Thomas Edison, who describes it
in his writing about how to learn the code (Edison was a telegrapher).

Farnsworth just popularized it and his name stuck. He was blind, btw.

> The ARRL begins implementing Farnsworth w/o notice
> in the late 80's, makes a public notice about 10 years later.

No, that's not how it happened at all.

W1AW code practice was using the Farnsworth method at least back to
1965, and probably even earlier. The 5, 7-1/2 and 10 wpm code practice
runs then and now were/are sent with Farnsworth spacing. >

> > It's better than the callsign tattooed across the knuckles
> > of each hand...
>
> Jim has tatoos?

Nope. Not a one.

> "A Morse Code Exam would be a Barrier to Morse Code Use."

Who wrote that? I sure didn't.

1498. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 12:36:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <v3d5q1tbovo6ehono8rfa2at998andge7j@4ax.com>
Source record: 127269

On 15 Dec 2005 21:32:32 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1134711152.505236.296170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 15, 6:25 pm
>
>>On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net>
>
> <snip>
>
>>>> I haven't "posted a thing in here" for the simple reason this
>>>> newsgroup does NOT accept binaries.
>>
>>>Sure it does. See attached DD214 indicating over 21 years of active service,
>>>rank of E9, and Honorable service. Set. Point. Match.
>
> WHAT "attached DD214"?

www.icehouse.net/wirenut/dd-214.jpg

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1499. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 12:43:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ffd5q1plhadiigbsh3um0vjgj2ilcn01g9@4ax.com>
Source record: 127270

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 05:17:19 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <z5sof.4671$Tg2.2872@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> I've never seen any binaries attached to messages in this
>> newsgroup.
>
>I can see them just fine. I can post them just fine (a .jpg file in this case).
>Frank apparently can them just fine, because he commented about details which he
>could not have known without actually seeing the binary file.

Your posts that included binaries never showed up on Google. That's
just one example of a filtered Usenet service like I was talking
about. Irrelevant now, since I have reposted your .jpg as a link.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1500. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 13:26:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <99ydnWYSsaABXT_eRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 127271

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:lTtof.4703$Tg2.2956@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>>> So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
>>> capacity
>>
>> it is his lack of moral fiber that is the cause he could do something
>> if he realy wanted to but he doesn't realy care enough to do so
>
> Mark, you haven't any moral anything.
>
>> Stevie is bascialy lazy he wants the posters to suit there posts to his
>
> "Steve is basically lazy. He wants posters to suit their posts to his"
>
>> reading level and abilty and lacks the ability to tolaoarate anyone
>
> "reading level and ability. He lacks the ability to tolerate anyone"
>
>> being different from him
>
> "being different from him".
>
> Actually, Mark, you are the fellow who is lazy. You make no effort to see
> that your posts are grammatically correct or spelled correctly.
> Those things combine to make you appear less intelligent than you might
> be. Your posts are difficult for all to read.
>

Dave, you missed his post where he plainly stated that this news group and the people in it aren't worth the time and effort to make his posts readable. He also stated that it is the responsibility of the reader to make sense of material not the responsibility of the author to make it understandable. That's despite the fact that every communications course teaches exactly the opposite.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1501. Re: stebies booger picture is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 15:09:11 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <801833.58F789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127272

On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 15:31:41 -0600, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
> at http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/flightsuit2.jpg can see
> boogers on stebies mustash just like wiseman said.
>
> hahahahahahahaha! boogers! boogers! boogers!

I see that Todd's fixations are becoming bizarre. That's what happens
when you hang out with Woger.

1502. Ham Radio Discount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 16:04:47 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloyddadistrikmgr.com>
Message-ID: <dnurc8dfe0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127274

All hams are entitled to a 20% discount at our
pizza shops. If the clerk does not immediately recognize
your discount, ask her to key in code H20.

73,

Lloyd
District Manager
Lloyd Bros. Pizza

1503. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 16:30:21 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dnuq09$61c$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 127273
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >> Pray for me to win the lottery please :O)
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> Graham
> >
> > You have to watch the movie "Bruce Almighty". I did that once! 14,000
> > winners of $17 each or something like that :-0
> >
>
> I watched that movie 4 times, I didn't win any money.

That's because Amos (eyy up Mr wilks ;o) wasn't praying for you...

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1504. Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 17:02:58 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134752578.139108.150450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127275
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill, let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and like M3/CBers)

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who are interested in the science of radio wave propagation and who are also interested in the way that their radios function. It has a long-standing tradition of providing a source of engineers who are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life fascination with all things technical and gives an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters, the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams are qualified to design, build and then operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this with gusto, and also repair and modify their own equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with the latter that communication with like-minded technically

motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of

a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

Happy Solstice, and let's keep the religious crap out of Crapmas!

1505. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 17:18:38 UTC
From: Allan McDonald <amsc23803@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <OFCof.56$Og1.43@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127276
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Pierian Spring you talk to much.

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1134752578.139108.150450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
> and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> like M3/CBers)
>
> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
>
> Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> fascination with all things technical and gives
> an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
> This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>
> Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> are qualified to design, build and then
> operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

>
> The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> development grows with the years
> and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> be learnt and applied.
>
> The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> competitions and fox-hunts.
>
> -----OOOOO----
>
> However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> desirable thing to have that there are large
> numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> of the kind! Usually such people are a
> variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> and their licences prevent the use of
> self-designed-and-built equipment.
>
> These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
> No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
> from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
> difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
> perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
> perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
> no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
> GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham

> could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
> such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
> telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
> technical pursuit.
>
> A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
> a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
> sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
> tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
> Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
> a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
> issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
> M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
> Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
> apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
> licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
> the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
> bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
> intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
>
> 6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
> enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
> for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
> the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
> of the imagination!
>
> Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
> or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
> degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!
>
>
> Happy Solstice, and let's keep the religious crap out of Crapmas!
>

1506. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 17:27:37 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134754057.676456.48500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127277
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

You seem to be intellectually challenged on the point that
you make below (CBer, are you?) - the essence of a
discussion group is,.....wait for it.....discussion!

Discussion implies talking.

ITYM, "too" and not, "to". (CBer, are you?)

Allan McDonald wrote:
> Pierian Spring you talk to much.
>
> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1134752578.139108.150450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> > misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> > they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> > let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> > from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> > mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
> > and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> > like M3/CBers)
> >
> > What is Ham Radio?
> >
> > Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> > are interested in the science of radio wave
> > propagation and who are also interested in the
> > way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> > tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> > are born naturals.
> >
> > Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> > fascination with all things technical and gives
> > an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> > knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
> >
> > This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> > with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> > gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
> >
> > Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> > they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> > else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,

> > the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> > users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> > are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> > are qualified to design, build and then
> > operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> > with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> > equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> > to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
> >
> > The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> > relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> > his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> > of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> > generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> > the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> > motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> > development grows with the years
> > and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> > of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> > be learnt and applied.
> >
> > The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> > with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> > the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> > competitions and fox-hunts.
> >
> > -----OOOOO----
> >
> > However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> > desirable thing to have that there are large
> > numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> > as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> > of the kind! Usually such people are a
> > variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> > radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> > repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> > and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> > their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> > they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> > they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> > and their licences prevent the use of
> > self-designed-and-built equipment.
> >
> > These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> > with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> > in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
> >
> > No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!
> >
> > -----ooooo-----

> >
> > One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
> > from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
> > difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
> > perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
> > perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
> > no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
> > GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
> > could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
> > such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
> > telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
> > technical pursuit.
> >
> > A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
> > a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
> > sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
> > tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
> > Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
> > a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
> > issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
> > M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.
> >
> > -----ooooo-----
> >
> > One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
> > Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
> > apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
> > licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
> > the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
> > bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
> > intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
> >
> > 6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
> > enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
> > for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
> > the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
> > of the imagination!
> >
> > Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
> > or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
> > degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!
> >
> >
> > Happy Solstice, and let's keep the religious crap out of Crapmas!
> >

1507. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 17:46:42 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1134755202.370913.241940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127278
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Pierian Spring wrote:
> A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
> and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> like M3/CBers)
>

The Pierian Spring, from Greek mythology, was a source of inspiration.

I see nothing inspiring in the bigoted drivel you just posted.

73
Mike
G4KFK

1508. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 17:52:14 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <i9Dof.47028$uR.3174@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 127279
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

<mike@g4kfk.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1134755202.370913.241940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>> A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
>> misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
>> they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
>> let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
>> from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
>> mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
>> and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
>> like M3/CBers)
>>
>
> The Pierian Spring, from Greek mythology, was a source of inspiration.
>
> I see nothing inspiring in the bigoted drivel you just posted.
>
> 73
> Mike
> G4KFK
>
Have you ever been inspired by a M3 ? ......inspired to leave the hobby
perhaps.....

1509. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 18:12:52 UTC
From: Roooooooodney <bigdongfooyong@fartognet.net>
Message-ID: <66f6f$43a311ae$d1cc7a0b$8179@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 127280
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1134752578.139108.150450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> Happy Solstice, and let's keep the religious crap out of Crapmas!

You should check into the Liberty Net at 10:00 PM
saturday night (3.955 (+/- 10 kc) A real collection
of crakns, grumpy old men, kooks and weirdoes.
You would fit right in like lime jell-o into a piehole....

1510. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 18:17:28 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <NIadnbfdw5IhmT7eRVn-qQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 127281
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Roooooooodney wrote:
> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1134752578.139108.150450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>> Happy Solstice, and let's keep the religious crap out of Crapmas!
>
> You should check into the Liberty Net at 10:00 PM
> saturday night (3.955 (+/- 10 kc) A real collection
> of crakns, grumpy old men, kooks and weirdoes.
> You would fit right in like lime jell-o into a piehole....

Pierian Spring, aka Gareth Evans G4SDW, was once a member of a church bellringing group. One wonders what happened in the belltower that turned him so....
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

1511. RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 18:20:30 UTC
From: Roooooooodney <bigdongfooyong@fartognet.net>
Message-ID: <1f1ff$43a31377$d1cc7a0b$8255@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 127282

RRAP has turned into the new 75 Meters of old.
Nothing but name-calling by a bunch of grumpy
old men, racist buffoons, cheap bastards and
dirty old perverts. It's now just like 75 Meters was
before Hollingsworth came on the scene and
cleaned everything up. -----> RRAP STINKS !!

.....and I love ever fucking minute of it!
I almost wish I could fill a bathtub with RRAP
and jump in naked into it and do un-natural
sex acts with sheep therin....!! :) ;)

(whoops! sorry I forgot you're supposed to
have sex with sheep out in the barn while you
have knee-high rubber boots on. You put the
sheep's rear legs inside one of each boot and
then go to town..... Ho-Ho-Ho-Ho-Ho !

(wogie told me this technique...and it works!! try it!!)

1512. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 18:35:24 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dnv1d5gvt1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127283

Roooooooodney wrote:
> RRAP has turned into the new 75 Meters of old.
> Nothing but name-calling by a bunch of grumpy
> old men, racist buffoons, cheap bastards and
> dirty old perverts. It's now just like 75 Meters was
> before Hollingsworth came on the scene and
> cleaned everything up. -----> RRAP STINKS !!

it is just like lenanderson wanted it to be and now it is like that so
get over it.

1513. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 18:40:31 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dnv1mqhfd1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127284

Lloyd II wrote:

> LOL at the Glendale retard. You better get back to cleaning out those
> port-a-potties 2test.
>
>
> 2test, "I'm not Roger", gets to pluck the large chunks from the
> port-a-potties whereupon he uses them to make Port-a-Potty Pies.
> He has Thursdays off, too.

he wont read it becaues he is realy in jail. he has to serve some of his time in jail and the rest under house arrest. suposably he has to many dui tickets but no one is for sure.

1514. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 18:50:39 UTC
From: LT <reply@server.com>
Message-ID: <11q633og55bit90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127285
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

You need to drink deeper. Shallow draughts have intoxicated your mind
and you have not yet tasted the Pierian Spring. (apologies to A. Pope)

1515. Re: stebies booger picture is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 19:03:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134759819.551304.49540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127286

well that isn't me....it's a fake
Todd N9OGL

1516. Re: stebies booger picture is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 19:03:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134759824.554017.191170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127287

well that isn't me....it's a fake
Todd N9OGL

1517. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 19:32:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134761538.979852.183900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127288

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 16, 4:36 am

>On 15 Dec 2005 21:32:32 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 15, 6:25 pm
>>>On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net>

>>>>> I haven't "posted a thing in here" for the simple reason this
>>>>> newsgroup does NOT accept binaries.
>
>>>>Sure it does. See attached DD214 indicating over 21 years of active service,
>>>>rank of E9, and Honorable service. Set. Point. Match.

[the expression is "Game. Set. Match." Hans...from tennis]

>> WHAT "attached DD214"?
>
>www.icehouse.net/wirenut/dd-214.jpg

Okay, got it. Thanks Frank.

I've believed Hans' service in the USN. I've believed yours.
I've believed Brian Burke's service. I've believed Bill Sohl's.
I've lived mine and have documents and hundreds of pictures to
prove it. My DD-214 copy is recorded with the County Recorder
of Winnebago, Illinois, something I did in 1956 as a resident
there at the time. <shrug>

Unfortunately for all those "who can see their attachments just
fine," several ISPs just don't carry attachments on all groups.
That's just the way it is.

We'll just have to wait it out while all the pompous misdirection
dies down on AMATEUR RADIO POLICY matters in this morseblog. :-)

In getting back to the nitty-gritty of who lies and who tells
the truth, all us readers have yet to see ANYTHING of Dudly
the Imposter's documents, pictures, whatever from his heroic
descriptions of being in "seven hostile actions." In case
anyone is wondering, Dudly the Imposter (aka "K4YZ") is an
amateur extra in the amateur radio service of the USA. We
readers haven't seen a thing of Dudly actually operating his
amateur radio station. All we get is the famous Booger Shot
of him in a CIVIL Air Patrol "uniform" on QRZ.COM.

Now, I'm rather convinced that Dudly wouldn't lie about his
CIVIL Air Patrol "rank" but then flying a single engine
aircraft doesn't have a helluvalot to do with AMATEUR RADIO.

Neither CAP nor his claimed USMC helicopter maintenance crew "military experience" have anything to do with AMATEUR RADIO.

Jimmie Miccolis (who never served in any military) says that Army RADIO on HF doesn't have anything to do with AMATEUR RADIO on HF...despite the fact that all the physics pertaining to RADIO generation and propagation are exactly the same... despite the fact that high-power vacuum tube HF transmitters of a half century ago generated and operated exactly the same as vacuum tube HF transmitters do today. [that's just the way it is]

Davie Heil (who supposedly served in the USAF and did get into the Department of State of the USA..."he's from the government and is here to help") is of the opinion that one MUST get an amateur radio license before even thinking of posting in this morseblog, let alone getting a (horrors) Commercial radio license.

Lots of "conflicts" going on in here. Meanwhile, the FCC has about 3,803 filings in WT Docket 05-235 to look through and decide what will be the next major step in changed United States amateur radio regulations that will affect NEWCOMERS to amateur radio far more than the already-licensed.

The already-licensed-in-amateur-radio-in-highest-morse-class apparently don't give a <thing> about the future of newcomers as long as they don't upset their personal needs of rank, status, title, and privilege. <shrug>

May the already-licensed-in-amateur-radio-in-highest-morse-class enjoy the lump of coal in their Christmas stockings. They can wear that with pride as they hobble down to the lodge to sip their rum and tell tales of mighty morsemanship derring-do of the old days.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1518. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 19:34:03 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134761643.625666.203100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127289
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

If you publish Childish Broadcasts (CB) such as you
do below, then you will be perceived as a CBer.

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for which it is
important to maintain standards. There is no
bigotry in seeking to maintain educational
standards.

We do ourselves no favours if we associate
with the non-technical turnip-brains.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> > misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> > they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> > let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> > from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> > mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
> > and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> > like M3/CBers)
> >
>
> The Pierian Spring, from Greek mythology, was a source of inspiration.
>
> I see nothing inspiring in the bigoted drivel you just posted.
>
> 73
> Mike
> G4KFK

1519. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 19:37:08 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134761828.028673.59640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127290
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

If you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then continue to publish Childish Broadcasts (CB) as you
do below.

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit with gentlemanly
traditions, traditions which seem to be lost on you.

Roooooooodney wrote:
> You should check into the Liberty Net at 10:00 PM
> saturday night (3.955 (+/- 10 kc) A real collection
> of crakns, grumpy old men, kooks and weirdoes.
> You would fit right in like lime jell-o into a piehole....

1520. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 19:39:20 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134761960.161518.316510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127291
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Your knowledge of the Pierian Spring is shallow if your only
association is through Pope's reference.

If, however, it is merely the case that you wish to be
perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer) then
continue as you do below.

LT wrote:
> You need to drink deeper. Shallow draughts have intoxicated your mind
> and you have not yet tasted the Pierian Spring. (apologies to A. Pope)

1521. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 19:44:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1k56q1ttudq30shrb6i7sse6ro5q2v8keb@4ax.com>
Source record: 127292

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 05:17:19 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <z5sof.4671$Tg2.2872@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> I've never seen any binaries attached to messages in this
>> newsgroup.
>
>I can see them just fine. I can post them just fine (a .jpg file in this case).
>Frank apparently can them just fine, because he commented about details which he
>could not have known without actually seeing the binary file.

Hey Hans, I deleted the file like you asked in your email. But like I
said in my reply (that was blocked by your anti-spamware), what you
posted is now public information and is included in more than a few
Usenet archives, regardless of whether it's on my site or not.

IOW, if you don't want something made public then don't post it in a
public forum..... even Dudly understands -that- much.....!!!

Oh, and in the future, when you email someone, it would be a basic and
decent courtesy to put them in your address list so they don't have to
deal with some automated crap from your anti-spamware.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1522. FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 19:48:27 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134762507.128497.253990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127293

The Federal Communication Commissions (FCC) needs to changed the
amateur radio rules to allow a more flexable in regards to allow
amateurs the
ability to experiment, and remove rules that are outdated.

Rules that need to be changed

---

§97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

(a) No amateur station shall transmit:

(5) Communications, on a regular basis, which could reasonably be
furnished alternatively through other radio services.

---

§97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

(a) No amateur station shall transmit:

messages in codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning thereof,
except as therwise provided herein;

Todd N9OGL

1523. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 19:55:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7f66q1pa5mrkodqvj8jkiobeebpk7k3amj@4ax.com>
Source record: 127294

On 16 Dec 2005 11:32:19 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1134761538.979852.183900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 16, 4:36 am
<snip>
>>www.icehouse.net/wirenut/dd-214.jpg
<now deleted, as per Han's request>

> Okay, got it. Thanks Frank.
<snip>
> In getting back to the nitty-gritty of who lies and who tells
> the truth, all us readers have yet to see ANYTHING of Dudly
> the Imposter's documents, pictures, whatever from his heroic
> descriptions of being in "seven hostile actions."

I looked for a shibboleth in his seven+ years of posts, but there are
none. I handed him a few and they bounced right off his thick skull. I
even set a couple traps and he got caught in both. Now he can't even
come up with the definition of "73".

Major Dud is a well-rounded (pun intended) bullshit artist..... er,
make that a -starving- bullshit artist.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1524. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:24:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134764645.031068.72810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127295

From: Dave Heil on Dec 15, 11:24 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 15, 6:25 pm
>>> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net>

>What "fraudulent Dudly the Imposter", Len?

The hero of "seven hostile actions" also known as "K4YZ."

>What factoids have you
>coughed up about Steve's military service?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. One can't prove a NEGATIVE, sweetums. :-)

Since Dudly can't offer any proof whatsoever of his "military
service," I say he's a damn LIAR about that.

If Dudly can prove his military service with some evidence,
fine. However, he's NOT done that in seven years.

>You've done a lot of flailing but have offered up precisely zip.

"Attached" is a ZIP file showing Dudly's military service.

It's an easy download. File size is 0 bytes.

>Heck, Len, *you* aren't showing a good face of the public to amateur radio.

Hello? I'm not *IN* amateur radio. You are the one gnashing
and crying and cursing about that... :-)

Oh, yes, YOU are the one who told me I should have gotten an
amateur radio license BEFORE I got my commercial radio license!

YOU are the one saying I don't have any business being in here
without a ham license!

Tsk. :-)

>You seem to have brought those thing up a time or twenty.

For those of you who have great difficulty in understanding
that RADIO exists outside of amateurdom, it needs to be
repeated as often as required for understanding.

That's just the way it is...

>> Major Dud hasn't published ONE thing about his active USMC
>> life, no forms, no documents, not even a snapshot. All he

>> has published now is the famous Booger shot of him in a
>> poopy suit at QRZ, in the "uniform" of the CIVIL Air Patrol.
>
>So?

So...what has the CAP to do with United States amateur radio?

Are hams supposed to have moustaches with boogers in them?

So...what have you said about your morsebuddy's famous claim:

"The FCC doesn't license amateur radio." ?

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1525. Re: stebies booger picture is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:30:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134765053.264586.99140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127296

Lloyd wrote:
> On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 15:31:41 -0600, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
> > at http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/flightsuit2.jpg can see
> > boogers on stebies mustash just like wiseman said.
> >
> > hahahahahahahaha! boogers! boogers! boogers!
>
> I see that Todd's fixations are becoming bizarre. That's what happens

I see that you still never answered why the Athens, AL police knocked
on your door, fatty.
Lloyd Davies is Chief Harper's (of the Athens, AL police) newest pet
project.

> when you hang out with Woger.

I see your cocksucking lisp hasn't improved, but that's what you get
when you hang out in those public restrooms (like your mommy) sucking
those nigger dicks, Davies.

1526. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:32:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134765154.185696.105290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127297

Todd wrote:
> whinyslit_2test@yahoo.com wrote:
> > You seem to be quite familiar with the laws against child pornography,
> > Toad. Did the FBI agents read them to you the last time they caught you
> > with some on your hard drive, faggot?
>
> why you out of jail? you find bondsman for dwi I bet. buba assfuck you
> lots when you away? ya sure you betcha.

LOL @ trhe Atthens cockgobbler. I nor does Roger have a DWI, dumbass.
You fucked up again, fatty.

1527. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:33:22 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134765202.325582.75590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> You seem to be quite familiar with the laws against child pornography,
> Toad. Did the FBI agents read them to you the last time they caught you
>
> with some on your hard drive, faggot?
>
> I know a lot of laws moron, BTW as stated before I don't mess with real
> child porn, I'm into ANIME and Hentai

You've posted ads for kiddie porn all over the internet, Toad.

1528. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:35:49 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134765349.203395.84950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Convicted child molester
commode buzz corey wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
^^

LOL @ the Cameron cunt. Keep guessing, retard.

> > Convicted child Molester
> > Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > "Who said I was Roger, dumbass? You see him behind every post,
> > > dumbass.'
> > >
> > > You will find 2test behind the curtains.
> >
> > And you'll never find you unless you find the shit stains on the floor
> > because you hide behind doors when other hams come visiting.
>
> LOL at the <wrong guess, naturally)

LOL @ the Hiding Cockgobbler Buzzy, say, does your wife's yeasty cunt
provide all the yeast for her holiday baking needs?

1529. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:37:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134765447.142041.198270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd II wrote:
>
> My unrequited love 2test, "I'm not Roger", I gets to pluck the large chunks from the
> port-a-potties which supplements the pizzas I steal from Papa John's.

We know you do, Lloydie, we know you do.

1530. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:40:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134765633.731389.238670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127301

Todd wrote:
> he wont <bullshit by retarded mental and genetic reject Davies>
^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Mongolloyd Davies outs himself again. Davies always fucks up his contractions (like he has fucked up his whole life) and leaves out the apostrophe.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Lardass fucks up again. A check with the WV DMV shows his license is valid untiol 2010 and has no such charges. You lose as usual, fucknuts.

1531. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:43:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134765780.549082.259850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127302

Lardass Roooooooodney wrote:
> RRAP has turned into the new 75 Meters of old.
> Nothing but name-calling by a bunch of grumpy
> old men, racist buffoons, cheap bastards and
> dirty old perverts. It's now just like 75 Meters was
> before Hollingsworth came on the scene and
> cleaned everything up. -----> RRAP STINKS !!
>
> .....and I love ever fucking minute of it!
> I almost wish I could fill a bathtub with RRAP
> and jump in naked into it and do un-natural
> sex acts with sheep therin....!! :) ;)

Poor Lardass, he can't even get the farm animals he used to molest at
his cousin's farm in Horton, Kansas to give him a charity fuck.

> (whoops! sorry I forgot you're supposed to
> have sex with sheep out in the barn while you
> have knee-high rubber boots on. You put the
> sheep's rear legs inside one of each boot and
> then go to town..... Ho-Ho-Ho-Ho-Ho !
>
> (wogie told me this technique...and it works!! try it!!)
^^

And Lardass Lloyd Austin Davies is STILL a VIRGIN, even the sheep
rejected him. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

1532. K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:45:35 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134765934.923748.256790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A -

W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Dateline - Belgrade Lakes, Maine - 16 December 2005

W1AW/4 came up on 14.275 MHz. yesterday, 15 December 2005, calling CQ for their special event station from the ARRL Christmas toy drive national distribution center in Memphis, Tennessee. K1MAN answered, and Chief Operator Darryl was called to the W1AW mic. The two stations had a short QSO with Darryl explaining the toy drive project in detail. W1AW is the flagship station of ARRL, the American Radio Relay League (www.ARRL.org), and K1MAN is the flagship station of AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association (www.K1MAN.com). K1MAN wished W1AW well and then QSYed to 14.272 MHz. to kick of K1MAN's new live call-in talk show with co-hosts Glenn at K1MAN and Karol at VE7KFM on the "left coast" of Canada (Hi). K1MAN is on the United States "right coast" (Hi). The call in number is 1-800-262-9543.

The kick off "show" was a huge success as the ARRL toy drive 3 KHz up was heavily promoted by both Glenn and Karol. During this "show" on 14.272 MHz., ND8V, enabled by K4ZDH, QRMed W1AW off of 14.275 MHz., an alleged criminal act under the Goldwater Law, Sections 333 and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act. ND8V is under the assumption that the Goldwater Law has been repealed (it has not) since ARRL dropped their listing this law in their FCC Rule Book in the early 1990s, another alleged criminal act itself, under the Goldwater Law, since de-listing of Sections 333 and 501 does give that false impression, doesn't it?. K4ZDH is also in alleged criminal violation of the Goldwater Law, of course, since he has repeatedly failed to discourage interference by hams to the K1MAN Amateur Information Bulletin Service heard on 14.275 MHz. since 1987 and just resumed yesterday after going off the air for IARN (International Amateur Radio Network) emergency traffic reasons on 4 July 2005.

Their is a rampant rumor going around in amateur radio circles that ND8V has requested to share his federal jail cell at Leavenworth with K4ZDH, known to his friends at the Commission at "Rot Riley" Hollingsworth the "K1MAN Killer." According to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, Executive Director of AARA, there are no provisions in United States law for "vanity jail cells." Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, CEO of ARRL could not be reached for comment on this question and did not return any phone calls almost certainly made from K1TP, W5YI, and other ham

radio reporters as this story broke on Thursday.

Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, said in an interview that:

"I certainly support waiving the rules in this case by allowing ND8V and K4ZDH to share jail cells after trial on purely humanitarian grounds. This, after all, is the holiday season, and radio amateurs should all pull together on this one and throw up, errr, throw their support for ND8V's behind, errr, throw their support BEHIND ND8V and K4ZDH." Ed. comment: Lay off the wine Glenn!

Chris Imlay, ARRL counsel, has advised, we believe, K1ZZ to invoke the Fifth Amendment since ARRL might also be criminally prosecuted in this case. Former Assistant U.S. Attorney Gail Fisk Malone is rumored to be considering a return to the Justice Department to personally handle this very high profile case. Gail, no doubt, has her eye on Hillery Clinton and the White House. Malone has neither confirmed nor denied that she is a presidential candidate nor that her running mate will be Wayne Green, W2NSD, who ran for Vice President at least once before. Wayne indicated to this reporter recently that he wants to reform education in the U.S., and, as Vice President, he would most certainly have his best chance to do this, in this reporter's opinion.

Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, has stated, again, that he IS NOT a candidate for President and that there WILL NOT be K1MAN Amateur Information Bulletins coming from the White House if he is:

"I am getting too old for politics, and my responsibilities at AARA and K1MAN are far to great at this time to even consider the White House. With Hollingsworth in jail, there would be no point. One FCC Commissioner will be as good as any other once we have put Rot Riley away," Mr. Baxter said.

Later in the day, W1AW and K1MAN re-established contact on 14.275 MHz. and were driven off the frequency by ND8V. Mr. Baxter stated:

"ND8V also drove me off of 14.275 MHz. while I was working G7BGY and 4Z4UN on December 14, 2005. There seems to be a pattern here. K3VR even E-mailed me yesterday that he has sent CD recordings of K1MAN to all FCC Commissioners. For the full text of little Brian's juvenile E-Mail see www.K1MAN.com, also attached below."

Today, in Washington, D.C., several FCC Commissioners were spotted walking around listening to Brian's MP3 recordings of K1MAN on their I-PODs, according to sources of questionable reliability, since all of the FCC is out on Christmas vacation and in Maine skiing with their kids now home from college.

"It is great that W1AW and K1MAN are working together now," Mr. Baxter said. "Tune in to the K1MAN live call-in talk show on 14.275 MHz.

plus or minus QRM, of course! Give us a call, even if it is only for a signal report or QSL request. Call 1-800-262-9543. The first show begins daily at about 11 A.M. EST, and the second program begins at about 2 P.M. EST. Join the fun!" 73 and GL de K1MAN

1533. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 20:51:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134766301.327266.5150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Says the twat Lloyd who can't even do 5 wpm morse code....
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

1534. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales _ Soon Toadie will get his little dick in the wringer for tax evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 21:01:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134766199.627091.323210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127305

N9OGL wrote:
> In article <1134631900.353045.61760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >Hey Toiddie...If you can bring YOUR mindless trash in here under
> > > >the color of protection of the Constitution, then guess what...?!?!?
> > > >YOU don't have ANY right to suggest someone else not exercise
> > > >their opinions regardless of what YOU think of them.
> > > >
> > > That's the problem steve with the first amendment huh? BTW I do have
> > > the right to assert and protect myself from ###holes like you,
> > > regardless if you like it or not.
> > >
> > "protect (your)self"...?!?!
> > >
> > What? You're not going to read what I write about you any
> > more...?!?!
> > >
> > > > Yeah right steve, lying again
> > > >Nope.
> > > >
> > > Where's your proof, Sure I live at home, but that don't mean I don't
> > > have money, I own my own business.
> > >
> > Sure...Just like a pimp "owns" a business.
> > >
> > And WHAT "business"...?!?! The corner shoe-shine boy in the
> > barber shop?
>
>
> NO STEVE I'M IN THE FOOD SERVICE BUSINESS, I OWN A LITTLE PLACE ON THE
> SQUARE HERE IN TAYLORVILLE.

For now, Toadie, for now... BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

>
> >
> > > > Steve, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM, get your fact right before
> > > > posting. As for my "company" who said it's non-exisant? you a little
> > > > nobody. RIGHT!
> > > >
> > > >LPTV...I stand corrected...However the rest remains correct.

> > > >Your "company" does not have a charter in the State of Illinois.
> > > >Nor is there a business license I can find.
> > >
> > > But you forget one thing, as I've stated many times before, there's a
> > > lot more to that LPTV deal it then you know. Secondly my "company" as
> > > you claim isn't a business, it's a club, it always has been, since
> > > 1983.
> >
> > No, it's not, unless you were lying in April.
> >
> > Allow me to remind you:
> >
> > QUOTE
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> - Find messages by this author
> >
> >
> > (Remember when you still had wideband at home, Toiddie...?!?!
> >
> > Date: 8 Apr 2005 15:37:06 -0700
> > Local: Fri, Apr 8 2005 4:37 pm
> > Subject: Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One
> > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > | Report Abuse
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's
> > > > some material gleaned from the archives:
> >
> > HUGE SNIP
> >
> > > > So it would appear that Todd and company (most likely just Todd...I
> > > > don't believe there's any "company" involved) screwed the pooch AFTER
> > > > getting an "OK" from the feds FOR their operation!
> >
> > Not true ###HOLE, first there is a "comapny" mostly made up of amateur
> > radio operators. Second the Application was dismissed after congress
> > passed the Radio Broadcast Perservation Act of 2001. Before you go
> > spewing for LIES I would really suggest you get all the facts. You
> > don't know all the facts, you weren't there....so my opinion (EXPLITIVE
> > DELETED)
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > So...Toiddie...Are you lying now or were you lying in April?
> >
> > Maybe a bit more to jog your mind, Toiddie?
> >

> > QUOTE:
> >
> > ABOUT LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATIONS
> >
> > LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION IS A NON-PROFIT ORGINIZATION WHICH
> > PROMOTES THEATER, TELECOMMUNICATIONS, AND THE PREFORMING ARTS
> > LANGLEY PARK WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1999-2000 BY TODD DAUGHERTY N9OGL AND
> > JIM BEUSINGER N9FU ALONG WITH OTHER HAM OPERATORS.
>
>
> THE PROBLEM WITH THAT STEBIE IS IT HAS NOT BEEN EDITED FOR A LONG TIME, AS
> A MATTER OF FACT WHEN I LOG IN TO GEOCITIES THEY SAY THE PAGE DOESN'T
> EXIST. I THINK YOU ALSO MISS THE POINT THAT UNDER THE LAW BOTH THE STATE
> OF ILLINOIS AND FEDERAL LAW A ORGINIZATION, CLUB OR WHATEVER DOESN'T HAVE
> TO PAY TAXES IF THEY MAKE NO MONEY WHAT'S SO EVER.

Quit lying, faggot.

>
>
> >
> > So today (just below...) you state this was started in 1983, yet
> > in April you said it was 1999.
> >
> > That's a 16 year error.
>
> NO YOU FUCK DICKHEAD, IN THE 90'S THE GROUP WAS REORGINIZED CREATING A NEW
> "REINCARNATION" OF THE GROUP.

Toad's a theatre fag... BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> > "I'VE ALWAYS WANTED TO ENTERTAIN PEOPLE" SAID TODD DAUGHERTY
> > CEO/PRESIDENT OF LANGLEY PARK.

The only entertaining you do is on your knees at the public restrooms,
Toadie.

> > Well, then, Toddy m'boy, You've succeeded!
> >
> > And I re-address your use of "CEO/PRESIDENT"
> >
>
> IT'S A TITLE BIG FUCKING DEAL!

And it means nothing.

>
> > "LANGLEY PARK WILL CREATE A PLACE WHERE IDEAS CAN ROAM FREE AND PEOPLE
> > CAN SHOW OFF THEIR TALENTS"
> >
> > > It started in 1983 as DDC-TV...(SNIP)
> >
> > Dumb, Dumber and Clueless-TransVestites...?!?!

> >
> > So...Here we've caught you screwing up SEVERAL stories...
> >
> > Which one is true?
> >
> > > > Dam right, and there's NOTHING yo can do about it Bwahahaha
> > > > Sure there is.
> > >
> > > RIGHT.....you've been saying that for a long time now...
> >
> > Uh huh. And it's still true.
>
> TRUE THAT YOU AREN'T GOING TO DO NOTHING ABOUT IT BUT ACT LIKE AN ASSHOLE
> ON HERE.

Toadie gets his pink panties in a wad........

> >
> > > >Sooner or later you'll get jammed up, Toiddie, and you'll wish
> > > >you'd spent your precious "freedom of speech" on something meaningful.
> > >
> > > Keep dreaming steve.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
>
> STEVE ANIMATION CHILD PORN IS LEGAL, THE PERSON WHO WROTE THAT ARTICLE
> WROTE IT INCORRECTLY. PAINTING, CARTOONS, SCULPTURE, AND DRAWING ARE
> EXEMPTED FROM THE LAW. THE PERSON WHO WROTE THAT ARTICLE SAID THE OPPOSITE
> OF WHAT THE LAW STATES. WHICH SHOW YOU NOT TO BELEIVE EVERYTHING YOU READ
> ON THE INTERNET. THE MAN THAT WAS ARRESTED HAD ANIMATION PORN, BUT HE ALSO
> HAD A HUGH COLLECTION OF REAL CHILD PORN WHICH WAS A VIOLATION OF HIS
> PROBATION. THE MAN HAD BE ARRESTED BEFORE FOR
> HAVING REAL CHILD PORN AN SPENT TIME IN PRISON, HE WAS RELEASE AND WAS PUT
> ON PROBATION AND HE DOWNLOAD THIS MATERIAL AT WORK AND WAS CAUGHT AND
> REALLY WAS FOUND GULITY OF VIOLATING HIS PROBATION. ME, I DON'T MESS WITH
> REAL CHILD PORN, NEVER HAVE, I'M INTO JAPANESE ANIMATION AND HENTAI.

Toadie has another fag fit.

>
> > > > Animation Porn, even lolicon is LEGAL and in 2001 the US Supreme Court
> > > > ruled that "virtual child porn" (which includes animation lolicon) WAS
> > > > protected by the First Amendment. Beside what are going to do about
> > > > it??? NOTHING, grow up steve you little worm
> > >
> > > > I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.
> > >
> > > What tell your mommy??
> >
> > Nope.

> >
>
> YOU AIN'T DOING SHIT ABOUT IT STEVE, EXCEPT GET ON HERE AND CRY LIKE A
> LITTLE BITCH. YOU CAN'T DO NOTHING ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT'S LEGAL, VIRTUAL
> PORN WAS DEEMED PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT BY THE US SUPREME COURT
> IN 2001. THE PURPOSE OF CHILD PORN LAWS IS TO PROTECT CHILD IN MAKING OF
> IT, SINCE VIRTUAL PORN IS FROM SOMEONE'S IMAGINATION THERE IS NO VICTIM,
> THUS NO CRIME (ACCORDING TO THE US SUPREME COURT) EVEN IN FEBER VS NEW
> YORK IN THE 70'S THE SUPREME COURT RULED THAT SOME CHILD PORNOGRAPHY WAS
> LEGAL, IT'S THE PROCESS OF MAKING IT THAT MAKES IT ILLEGAL.

Little Toad, you'd better have all your books in order at your little "food service business", you know what happened to Al Capone after the state and Feds found out he hadn't been paying the right amount of taxes. But you'd like being a black Bubba's little bitch up in Joilet, wouldn't you?

1535. Re: stebies booger picture is funny - n9oglloser.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 21:05:38 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <5ua6q1d502jn4i98sk303a1unofa77jog5@4ax.com>
Source record: 127306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> well that isn't me....it's a fake
> Todd N9OGL
>
This is you toad.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1536. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales _ Soon Toadie will get his little dick in the wringer for tax evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 21:11:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134767500.897306.223510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127307

Little Toad, you'd better have all your books in order at your little "food service business", you know what happened to Al Capone after the state and Feds found out he hadn't been paying the right amount of taxes. But you'd like being a black Bubba's little bitch up in Joilet, wouldn't you?

Hey Dickhead, my food service DOES PAY it's taxes, that's why I've got an accountant. Keep trying steve, you'll get there.

1537. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 21:15:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134767743.922648.52510@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It's not kiddy porn, it's Hentai and Anime, BIG DIFFERENCE! then again
what the hell would you know

Todd N9OGL

1538. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 21:20:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134768014.330885.55770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I'm still waiting to be on his show :(

Todd N9OGL

1539. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 22:01:24 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127310

One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
get their license in the first place, Toad.

1540. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 22:50:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134773422.672281.61130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127311

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of December 15, 2005:

Novice - 26,727 (4.0%) [decrease of 22,602]
Technician - 274,332 (41.5%) [increase of 68,938]
Technician Plus - 44,127 (6.7%) [decrease of 84,733]
General - 134,908 (20.4%) [increase of 22,231]
Advanced - 74,191 (11.2%) [decrease of 25,591]
Extra - 107,345 (16.2%) [increase of 28,595]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,459 (48.1%) [decrease of 15,795]

Total General/Advanced/Extra - 316,444 (47.8%) [increase of 25,235]

Total all classes - 661,630 (decrease of 13,162)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.
They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,

since the Technician class includes a significant number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1541. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 23:11:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eQHof.1984$mj1.1950@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127312

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Doesn't Hans realize that Dud is NOT showing a
> good face of U.S. amateur radio to the public?
>

I'm not assigned Shore Patrol duty, so couldn't care less about conduct-unbecoming-an-amateur. Not in my job description. Maybe Len has an old MP brassard could patrol for good faces here?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1542. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 23:14:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%SHof.1986$mj1.718@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127313

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> [the expression is "Game. Set. Match." Hans...from tennis]
>

This is rec.radio.tennis.policy? Don't think so. But when it becomes that,
then I'll worry about tennis expressions.

Set. Match. FIRE!

(Don't yell "fire" in a crowded newsgripe!)

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1543. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 23:15:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VTHof.1987$mj1.32@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127314

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> ...and it give you a chance to relate your "hotshot CW
> op" stories all about how good you were with morsemanship
> as radio hero of some fleet or other IN the Persian Gulf area.

Gee, and here I thought your struggle was all about the morse TEST, but turns
out you don't like to hear about morse operating either, huh!

> Hey, why don't you take down your "bragging rights"
> certificate as one of the senior morse hot shots and digitize
> it, make it available to all of us to see and admire and gush over?

Already did that, but since you seem to be binary-impaired here, check your
email inbox.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1544. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 23:16:17 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-1612051716170001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 127315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> get their license in the first place, Toad.

Then what's your fucking excuse???
Todd N9OGL

1545. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 23:30:48 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1134775847.936994.30070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > get their license in the first place, Toad.
>
> Then what's your fucking excuse???
> Todd N9OGL

Good shot!!

1546. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 23:32:30 UTC
From: Micky Taker <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <i8Iof.10238$iz3.2375@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127317
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Pierian Spring wrote:
> A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
> and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> like M3/CBers)
>
> What is Ham Radio?

On Aug 9 1996, at 7:00 am

Gareth Alun Evans - G4ASW
Published this message to the Public Domain

G.A.Evans, Director, Out And About Systems Ltd
Software Consultancy for Telecommunications, Real Time and ATE
13 Hardens Close, Chippenham, Wiltshire, SN15 3AA
(01249) 651897

Yes morse is obsolete, but also useful.
The former is no basis for banning it.
The latter is no basis for using it as a passport to the HF Bands.

Why do we need a further exam for incentive licensing? Do we not have enough already?....
(1) CB licence as an appetite whetter
(2) Novice licence
(3) RAE.

(Perversely, the Novice RAE is a higher technical challenge than the RAE!)

Restore the RAE to its technical status, and we have a sufficient and complete incentive licensing scheme, without anything to do with the Morse (Sorry, Vail) Code.

Arguments relating to international requirements are redundant - laws change.

Amateur Radio is dying, and this is due IMHO in no small part to the consumerism CBish activities of today, QSLing as an end in itself, WAB, contest operating other than as a means of evaluating one's technical equipment, squeakies on repeaters, walts on URA, and inane discussions

about the turnips growing in one's garden.

72's de Gareth G4SDW GQRP 3339

Regards

Micky

1547. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 23:36:08 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1134776168.830689.48820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127318

"BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Lardass fucks up again. A check with the WV DMV shows his license is valid untiol 2010 and has no such charges. You lose as usual, fucknuts."

"untiol"? Another of 2test wogie's made up words. Must be those fumes from all those port-a-potties.

1548. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 23:41:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134776475.663848.249770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127319

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Sorry, but the last REAL SERVING IN THE MILITARY President
> was James Earle Carter, USN,

His middle name is spelled "Earl". Not "Earle".

Can't you get anyhting right? ;-)

Spelling isn't rocket science, Len :-)

> and George Herbert Walker Bush,
> USNR, and Gerald Rudolph Ford, USNR. [living that is]

Our current President served as an F-102 pilot in the Texas Air
National Guard.

See:
http://www.whitehouse.gov/president/gwbbio.html

Does that service not count for you, Len?

President Ronald Reagan (yes, he's dead) also served:

http://www.wpafb.af.mil/museum/history/wwii/rr.htm

> None of them had any direct contact with amateur radio.

How do you know for sure, Len?

1549. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-16 23:53:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vgk6q15a1b9u2stp67uok560r2cj0sbd5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 127320

On 16 Dec 2005 14:50:22 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1134773422.672281.61130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>Total all classes - 661,630 (decrease of 13,162)

Oh no!!! Better get them ham recruiters out to those high-schools and
colleges and uni-varsities..... gotta sign them up young ya know.....
can't have them becoming professionals until after they pass through
the amateur ranks!!!!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1550. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 00:23:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9rl6q19k8sunrlalgb3t9rn1njhgg7487a@4ax.com>
Source record: 127321

On 16 Dec 2005 15:41:15 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1134776475.663848.249770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>Our current President served as an F-102 pilot in the Texas Air
>National Guard.

Must have been hell for him..... waiting a whole day to find out if
his daddy had enough pull to get him out of Nam, applying for waivers
to go play politics during the times he was supposed to be training,
and didn't even fly during his final months because he couldn't keep
an appointment for a physical. An honest-to-god war hero he is.

>President Ronald Reagan (yes, he's dead) also served:
>
>http://www.wpafb.af.mil/museum/history/wwii/rr.htm

I liked this actor much better:

http://www.wpafb.af.mil/museum/history/wwii/js.htm

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1551. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 00:42:00 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.205zib@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.205zib@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 127323

LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
>
>
> My DD-214 copy is recorded with the County Recorder
> of Winnebago, Illinois, something I did in 1956 as a resident
> there at the time. shrug
>
>

You should obtain a copy, frame it properly, and present it to
management down at Roseta's pool hall, to be displayed when you do your
monthly recital of "The Legend of ADA".

The Man in the Maze
QRU at Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

1552. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 02:06:59 UTC
From: Lloyd II <NotRoger@anon>
Message-ID: <dnvrn0$n12$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:1134775847.936994.30070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

N9OGL wrote:
> In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > get their license in the first place, Toad.
>
> Then what's your fucking excuse???
> Todd N9OGL

Good shot!!
>
Good for you, Toad. I wanna hear Roger's answer to that one.

1553. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 02:21:04 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.205zic@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.205zic@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 127324

N9OGL Wrote:
> The Federal Communication Commissions (FCC) needs to changed the
> amateur radio rules to allow a more flexable in regards to allow
> amateurs the
> ability to experiment, and remove rules that are outdated.
>
> Rules that need to be changed
>
> _____
>
>
> ?97.113 Prohibited transmissions.
>
> (a) No amateur station shall transmit:
>
> (5) Communications, on a regular basis, which could reasonably be
> furnished alternatively through other radio services.
>
> _____
>
>
> ?97.113 Prohibited transmissions.
>
> (a) No amateur station shall transmit:
>
> messages in codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning thereof,
> except as therwise provided herein;
>
> _____
>
>
> Todd N9OGL

Why do those rules diminish your ability to "experiment"?

Do you want to send pornographic cartoons to other sicko's like yourself, enciphering them so you won't get caught?

--
Iitoi

1554. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 02:30:29 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <lpt6q11rpfn917o0gnt4qf9qcifhrdco95@4ax.com>
Source record: 127326

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 07:18:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>>> So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
>>> capacity
>>
>> it is his lack of moral fiber that is the cause he could do something
>> if he realy wanted to but he doesn't realy care enough to do so
>
>Mark, you haven't any moral anything.

I certa9inoly do alotmore than yourself for example I don't defy those
thing I see as the comand of God
>
>> Stevie is bascialy lazy he wants the posters to suit there posts to his
>
>"Steve is basically lazy. He wants posters to suit their posts to his"
>
>> reading level and abilty and lacks the ability to tolaoarate anyone
>
>"reading level and ability. He lacks the ability to tolerate anyone"
>
>> being different from him
>
>"being different from him".
>
>Actually, Mark, you are the fellow who is lazy.

Iam also lazy
> You make no effort to
>see that your posts are grammatically correct or spelled correctly.

again you lie in over stating things
>Those things combine to make you appear less intelligent than you might
>be. Your posts are difficult for all to read.

as indeed they should be difficult to read

o f they are diffcult then you have to think and to read them
therefore you might learn something that does not suit you

>
>> makes a guy wonder why he went into the ARS at all I thought the one of
>> the points was to have contact with different sorts of people.

>
>No one is required to make contact with you or to even pretend to desire
>contact with you. .

your point?

you dave and stevei too wish to avoid any contact with any point of
view other than your won

why what do you get out of ham radio

why do you and stevi alwys avoid trhe question and just deliever some
kind of attack

> Take our local sociopath for example. The only
>people in this area who make contact with Roger Wiseman aren't aware of
>who or what he is. The guy is a pariah.

so what?

your point?

>
>> perhaps that will become after the R&O goes through and I too will have
>> an extra class license
>
>...if you pass the exam. I'm sure that you'll suddenly become popular
>beyond your wildest dreams.

but the exa is so simple you guys keep syaing esp Jim

>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1555. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 02:31:18 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <72u6q1tkmulb9bi53duebnf7j1bcg8e9g2@4ax.com>
Source record: 127327

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 08:26:15 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:lTtof.4703$Tg2.2956@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>>> So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
>>>> capacity
>>>
>>> it is his lack of moral fiber that is the cause he could do something
>>> if he realy wanted to but he doesn't realy care enough to do so
>>
>> Mark, you haven't any moral anything.
>>
>>> Stevie is bascialy lazy he wants the posters to suit there posts to his
>>
>> "Steve is basically lazy. He wants posters to suit their posts to his"
>>
>>> reading level and abilty and lacks the ability to tolaoarate anyone
>>
>> "reading level and ability. He lacks the ability to tolerate anyone"
>>
>>> being different from him
>>
>> "being different from him".
>>
>> Actually, Mark, you are the fellow who is lazy. You make no effort to see
>> that your posts are grammatically correct or spelled correctly.
>> Those things combine to make you appear less intelligent than you might
>> be. Your posts are difficult for all to read.
>>
>
>Dave, you missed his post where he plainly stated that this news group and
>the people in it aren't worth the time and effort to make his posts
>readable. He also stated that it is the responsibility of the reader to
>make sense of material not the responsibility of the author to make it
>understandable. That's despite the fact that every communications course
>teaches exactly the opposite.

but not english teachers

but then you are an elitst that thinks others must comform to their
wishes

>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1556. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 02:33:32 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <k6u6q1trp35f25o7s2tmtcfjmognn9midh@4ax.com>
Source record: 127328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 17:16:17 -0600, toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL)
wrote:

>In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
>> get their license in the first place, Toad.
>
>Then what's your fucking excuse???

he doesn't have a license perhaps?

Mr Slit posts do suggest he is likely unable to pass the test
>Todd N9OGL

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1557. some coment from other about stvie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 02:39:32 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <kfu6q19c2ku1ilrtpunprht69r08n12aro@4ax.com>
Source record: 127329

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 11:43:29 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Be kind to Robeson. His behavior is his way of dealing with his own
>problems. He believes in projecting his troubles upon strangers, quite
>the quirky way of dealing and providing himself with some sort of mental
>therapy. He's a control freak and member of the Civil Air Patrol who
>acts like he does for reasons known only to himself. He is a man who
>dislikes compromise, his ego is easily bruised, and one only needs
>browse his warblings over the years for such an illustration of how this
>blemish of a Radio Amateur. Robeson is never wrong, don't you know that
>by now?
>
>
>ï¿½ï¿½Jerry Oxendine told me Robeson was an officer in the CAP and
>Robeson has confirmed this himself. This by itself wouldn't be an issue,
>but the fact he feels it important enough to put it out there in public
>and couple it with such behavior unbecoming of one who holds such a
>position makes his hypocrisy fair game.
>ï¿½ï¿½It's plain to see why this country is hated more each day around
>the world. With leaders like we have and officers like Oxendine and
>Robeson in charge of anyone or anything, who are out there setting
>examples like they do and spoiling the good image of such civil/military
>endeavors and entities, it's no wonder this great country is having its
>image tarnished. Robeson is the worst type of American. He wraps himself
>in the flag and attacks those with whom he disagrees as opposed to
>attacking the actual subject matter/topic. Another "semi-qualified
>licensed" hammie who has much to learn regarding communications. He was
>taught Fields Communications and basic introductories regarding such,
>but as exampled, is illiterate when it comes to Discipline in
>Communications, knows nothing of Approaches of Communications,
>Relations, Negotiations and a host of other academia related to his
>chosen radio hobby that he never bothered to learn.
>He and Oxendine are much alike. Zero tolerance for any views but their
>own myopic concerns.

some comement about stevie from the CB gruops

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1558. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 03:21:14 UTC
From: Highland Ham <abcgm0csz.kn6whxyz@dsl.pipex.com>
Message-ID: <0r-dnfqRh8ioGT7eRVny2Q@pipex.net>
Source record: 127325
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

>>Gareth Alun Evans - G4ASW

>>Published this message to the Public Domain
>
>
> G4ASW
> M YORKE
> 8 ST JOHN PLACE
> PORT WASHINGTON
> NEW YORK 11050
> USA
==========
I think , Gareth Evans' callsign is : G4DSW

Just ignore his outpouring

Frank KN6WH / GM0CSZ

1559. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 03:47:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134791229.256010.220730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127330

Iitoi wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
> >
> >
> > My DD-214 copy is recorded with the County Recorder
> > of Winnebago, Illinois, something I did in 1956 as a resident
> > there at the time. shrug
> >
> >
>
> You should obtain a copy, frame it properly, and present it to
> management down at Roseta's pool hall, to be displayed when you do your
> monthly recital of "The Legend of ADA".
>
why?
> The Man in the Maze
> QRU at Baboquivari Peak
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

1560. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:12:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134792744.811689.160600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127331

From: "K0HB" on Fri, Dec 16 2005 3:14 pm

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>> [the expression is "Game. Set. Match." Hans...from tennis]
>
>This is rec.radio.tennis.policy? Don't think so.

This is morse.code.uber.alles.policy?

This is old.sea.horses.beeping.policy?

This is rime.of.ancient.mariner.policy? [not "rhyme" Jimmie]

Don't think so.

>Set. Match. FIRE!
>
>(Don't yell "fire" in a crowded newsgripe!)

Tsk, you got it WRONG again! It is -

Don't yell THEATER in a crowded firehouse.

<applause>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1561. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:14:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134792844.314885.314940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127332

From: "K0HB" on Fri, Dec 16 2005 3:15 pm

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> ...and it give you a chance to relate your "hotshot CW
>> op" stories all about how good you were with morsemanship
>> as radio hero of some fleet or other IN the Persian Gulf area.
>
>Gee, and here I thought your struggle was all about the morse TEST, but turns
>out you don't like to hear about morse operating either, huh!

Surprise, surprise, Gomer! :-)

Does the morse code TEST involve old sea dog legends?

I don't think so.

1964 was 41 years ago, Hans. By then I'd already had my
Honorable Discharge for 4 years and had been working on Ku
Band monopulse radar sets and test sets for same. For the
first of the Grumman Intruders (A-6?) in the USN. DoD
contract. All solid state except for the klystron RF
generator in the hand-held RF unit.

>> Hey, why don't you take down your "bragging rights"
>> certificate as one of the senior morse hot shots and digitize
>> it, make it available to all of us to see and admire and gush over?
>
>Already did that, but since you seem to be binary-impaired here, check your
>email inbox.

Yes, UNSOLICITED private mail received.

It's a good thing there was no "male nudity" involved...Jimmie
would have been very upset...Dudly might have tried to put up
another private home page, this time maybe entitled "Don't Trust
Hans Brakob, Master Grief!" :-)

BTW, I am NOT "binary-impaired." Google put the locks on binary
attachments, not me or my computer(s). Had AOL still provided
newsgroup forwarding, binary attachments would still have been
locked out. That's just the way it is...

Maybe you have an old SP brassard you can put on and police
Google, read them the Articles of the UCMJ?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1562. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:16:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134793018.864654.73990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127333

From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 16, 3:41 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Sorry, but the last REAL SERVING IN THE MILITARY President
>> was James Earle Carter, USN,
>
>His middle name is spelled "Earl". Not "Earle".
>
>Can't you get anyhting right? ;-)

"Anyhting?"

Go to: http://www.history.navy.mil/faqs/faq60-14.htm

You WILL find that it is EARLE with an ending E, just like
his father spelled it.

Also in Wikipedia, a couple of Almanacs, etc.

>Spelling isn't rocket science, Len :-)

That's true, Jimmie.

I've worked WITH rocket scientists (and engineers) at
Rocketdyne Division of (then) Rockwell International
(now a Division of Boeing who purchased them a few
years ago).

Have you worked WITH rocket scientists, Jimmie?

Have you ever been a part of an SSME (Space Shuttle Main
Engine) test firing to test LOX flow instrumentation?
I have. Up on "Coca Site" of the Santa Susannah Field
Test Laboratories in the mountains between Los Angeles
and Ventura Counties.

Have you worked IN the space business, Jimmie?

Have you helped "reach the threshold of space" with a
ham balloon?

>> and George Herbert Walker Bush,
>> USNR, and Gerald Rudolph Ford, USNR. [living that is]
>
>Our current President served as an F-102 pilot in the Texas Air
>National Guard.

I thought I wrote that? Do you know what an F-102 is,
Jimmie?

Do you know the difference between it and an F-106, Jimmie?

I've had my hands inside both of them at Hughes Aircraft
Company field in Culver City, CA. Took part in testing of
the MA-1 fire control system for the F-106, initially using
the F-102 as a test bed. That was in 1958-1960. MA-1 was
the first - and probably last - vacuum tube based digital
computer airborne fire-control system. Interesting stuff
to know what that MA-1 could do...

>See: http://www.whitehouse.gov/president/gwbbio.html
>
>Does that service not count for you, Len?

Not particularly. Dubya was never activated from the Air
Guard during a war time, Jimmie.

>> None of them had any direct contact with amateur radio.
>
>How do you know for sure, Len?

If they did, QST would have had an orgasmic issue
special! :-)

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1563. more stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:20:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134793216.025503.183280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Says the twat Lloyd who can't even do 5 wpm morse code....
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

more stevie shit

1564. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Eric Williams M3EJO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:37:12 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134794232.231717.65710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127335
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Micky Taker" is, in reality,

Eric Williams, M3EJO,
19, Raglans,
Exeter,
EX2 8XN.

He is one of those disgraceful adults warned against by the OP; a grown man with seeming years of experience who was either too lazy or too stupid, or both, to be able to tackle and pass exams that were being taken in their stride by otherwise-unqualified 14-year-olds and went instead for the yellow-bellied coward's way out by going for exams set for 6-year-olds.

Eric did not seem to understand that the OP was a public stance for the maintenance of academic standards and that by responding with his Childish Broadcast (CB) in the way that he did he illustrated graphically the sort of turnip-brained dross that the OP warned against.

M3EJO is one of the licences issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence and by taking out such a licence, Eric proves himself to be an incurable CBer who will _NEVER_ make it into the ranks of _REAL_ Radio Hams.

Eric seems to have missed the point that the OP was arguing in favour of the very acadaemic standards that Eric himself so dismally failed to reach - the issue is not one of the relevance, or otherwise, of the Morse Code, but the showing of sufficient dedication of putting in the necessary effort to get what you want. Going for an M3/CB Fools' Licence and then posting personal attacks in the way that Eric did is a sure sign of lack of such dedication.

Eric - you are a stupid boy and should grow up. It may well be that you wear long trousers but it is certain that your mind is still in nappies.

Micky Taker wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> > misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> > they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> > let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> > from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> > mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people

> > and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> > like M3/CBers)
> >

1565. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re "MICKY TAKER" - Eric Williams M3EJO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:46:52 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134794812.052930.78760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127336
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Title's typo corrected.

Pierian Spring wrote:
> "Micky Taker" is, in reality,
>
> Eric Williams, M3EJO,
> 19, Raglans,
> Exeter,
> EX2 8XN.
>
> He is one of those disgraceful adults warned against by the OP; a
> grown man with seeming years of experience who was either too
> lazy or too stupid, or both, to be able to tackle and pass exams
> that were being taken in their stride by otherwise-unqualified
> 14-year-olds and went instead for the yellow-bellied coward's
> way out by going for exams set for 6-year-olds.
>
> Eric did not seem to understand that the OP was a public stance
> for the maintenance of academic standards and that by responding
> with his Childish Broadcast (CB) in the way that he did he illustrated
> graphically the sort of turnip-brained dross that the OP warned
> against.
>
> M3EJO is one of the licences issued under the gangrenous
> degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence and by taking out
> such a licence, Eric proves himself to be an incurable CBer who
> will _NEVER_ make it into the ranks of _REAL_ Radio Hams.
>
> Eric seems to have missed the point that the OP was arguing
> in favour of the very acadaemic standards that Eric himself so
> dismally failed to reach - the issue is not one of the relevance, or
> otherwise, of the Morse Code, but the showing of sufficient dedication
> of putting in the necessary effort to get what you want. Going
> for an M3/CB Fools' Licence and then posting personal attacks in
> the way that Eric did is a sure sign of lack of such dedication.
>
> Eric - you are a stupid boy and should grow up. It may well
> be that you wear long trousers but it is certain that your
> mind is still in nappies.
>
> Micky Taker wrote:
> > Pierian Spring wrote:
> > > A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being

> > > misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> > > they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> > > let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> > > from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> > > mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
> > > and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> > > like M3/CBers)
> > >

1566. more stvei forgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:47:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134794857.235944.74070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > well that isn't me....it's a fake
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> This is you toad.
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1567. poor mr slit or is it staveie hard to tell the difference betwen them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:49:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134794970.465589.78080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127338

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
> > On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 15:31:41 -0600, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
> > > at http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/flightsuit2.jpg can see
> > > boogers on stebies mustash just like wiseman said.
> > >
> > > hahahahahahahaha! boogers! boogers! boogers!
> >
> > I see that Todd's fixations are becoming bizarre. That's what happens
>
> I see that you still never answered why the Athens, AL police knocked
> on your door, fatty.
> Lloyd Davies is Chief Harper's (of the Athens, AL police) newest pet
> project.
>
>
> > when you hang out with Woger.
>
> I see your cocksucking lisp hasn't improved, but that's what you get
> when you hang out in those public restrooms (like your mommy) sucking
> those nigger dicks, Davies.

1568. why is Jim allowed an unsupervised count and not len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:51:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134795100.928827.263490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127339

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> the total number of active licenses that
> class contains:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
simple question care to explain or not

1569. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Frank Dinger GM0CSZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:53:21 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134795200.987962.255630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127341
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

The Childish Broadcast (CB) quoted below would suggest that
the 2 letters, number, 2 letters format used by Britland CB
licences is also in use by Yankland in the KN6 series.....

Frank Dinger's Childish Broadcast (CB) serves to illustrate
well the Trojan Horse of the CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham
warned against by the OP.

Just ignore his outpouring - he is as irrelevant to _REAL_ Ham Radio
as is the RSCB.

Highland Ham wrote:
>
> Just ignore his outpouring
>
> Frank KN6WH / GM0CSZ

1570. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:53:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134795203.667615.92900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127340

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> "BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Lardass fucks up again. A check with the WV DMV shows
> his license is valid untiol 2010 and has no such charges. You lose as
> usual, fucknuts."
>
> "untiol"? Another of 2test wogie's made up words. Must be those fumes
> from all those port-a-potties.

such wisdom for a cartoon caharacter a cancelled one

1571. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 04:56:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134795402.448117.164830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127342

N9OGL wrote:
> Make's you kind of wonder how he got his extra class license huh?

his ability to pass that test is proof of why we don't need an extra
class of license at all
>
> N9OGL

1572. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 05:01:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134792596.612551.163750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127343

From: "K0HB" on Fri, Dec 16 2005 11:11 pm

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>> Doesn't Hans realize that Dud is NOT showing a
>> good face of U.S. amateur radio to the public?
>
>I'm not assigned Shore Patrol duty, so couldn't care less about
>conduct-unbecoming-an-amateur. Not in my job description.

Hans doesn't believe in U.S. amateur radio being "self-
regulating?" Ach, zo!

>Maybe Len has an old
>MP brassard could patrol for good faces here?

Nope. I only worked WITH the CID at Camp Drake, not with
the MP detachment there.

As you were...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1573. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 05:11:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <R5Nof.2058$mj1.862@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127344

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Hans doesn't believe in U.S. amateur radio being "self-
> regulating?" Ach, zo!
>

This is amateur radio? I thought it was rec.amateur.tennis.policy! Silly me!

Ach, ptuey!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1574. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 05:17:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1134796624.377390.211220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127345

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> >
> > Hans doesn't believe in U.S. amateur radio being "self-
> > regulating?" Ach, zo!
> >
>
> This is amateur radio? I thought it was rec.amateur.tennis.policy! Silly me!

yep silly you nice of you to admit it
>
> Ach, ptuey!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

1575. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 05:17:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134796670.346243.320550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127346

>Do you want to send pornographic cartoons to other sicko's like
>yourself, enciphering them so you won't get caught?

Why you want some?? you sure like to visit my BBS alot.

§97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

(a) No amateur station shall transmit:
(5) Communications, on a regular basis, which could reasonably be
furnished alternatively through other radio services.

This rule here is outdated because technology has come so far that
anything an amatuer station can do can be done through other service.

§97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

(a) No amateur station shall transmit:

messages in codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning thereof,
except as therwise provided herein;

Although obscuring the mean isn't real a good idea in this day and age,
code and cipher can have some value including allowing multiply persons
on the same frequency at the same time, allowing for what band space we
have become a little larger through encryption. Example like short
spacing repeaters, and allowing multi-repeaters on the same frequency
in the same town, just to name one

Todd N9OGL

1576. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 05:21:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134796892.385371.3490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127347

>his ability to pass that test is proof of why we don't need an extra
>class of license at all

Yeah, after doing research, it seems to me that ALL extra class
operator act that way, it hard to change their ways and adopt new
idea's. The best way of handling it is to remove that class of license.

Todd N9OGL

1577. Article 125?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 05:38:54 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1134797934.788887.201080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127348

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> Maybe you have an old SP brassard you can put on and police
> Google, read them the Articles of the UCMJ?
>

Should I cite the Chemical Corps Colonel for violations of Article 125?

Maybe Article 112a for his recreational association with the "Chemical Corps"?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1578. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 05:42:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134798169.619672.3560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127349

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 16 Dec 2005 14:50:22 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> <1134773422.672281.61130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Total all classes - 661,630 (decrease of 13,162)
>
>
> Oh no!!! Better get them ham recruiters out to those high-schools and
> colleges and uni-varsities..... gotta sign them up young ya know.....
> can't have them becoming professionals until after they pass through
> the amateur ranks!!!!

At first the law professors at the universities tried to stop campus recruiting, but the Supreme Court told them that they took federal dollars, so must allow fed recruiting.

Then the law professors decided to use freedom of speech to anti-recruit on campuses, "Hell No, We Won't Go!".

1579. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 05:44:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rBNof.5063$Tg2.3596@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127351

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Dec 15, 11:24 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 15, 6:25 pm
>>>> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net>
>
>
>> What "fraudulent Dudly the Imposter", Len?
>
> The hero of "seven hostile actions" also known as "K4YZ."

Steve's written something about being a hero? I never saw that. Can
you provide a link to the archive?

>> What factoids have you
>> coughed up about Steve's military service?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. One can't prove a NEGATIVE, sweetums. :-)

That's right. You can't prove the things you've stated as fact.

> Since Dudly can't offer any proof whatsoever of his "military
> service," I say he's a damn LIAR about that.

You say quite a number of things which have no basis in fact.

> If Dudly can prove his military service with some evidence,
> fine. However, he's NOT done that in seven years.

So?

>
>> You've done a lot of flailing but have offered up precisely zip.
>
> "Attached" is a ZIP file showing Dudly's military service.
>
> It's an easy download. File size is 0 bytes.

What you have is a file of the information in your possession.

>
>> Heck, Len, *you* aren't showing a good face of the public to amateur radio.
>
> Hello? I'm not *IN* amateur radio. You are the one gnashing
> and crying and cursing about that... :-)

I know you aren't in amateur radio and I've done absolutely no crying or cursing over it. I'm rather pleased about it. You *are* a member of the public though.

> Oh, yes, YOU are the one who told me I should have gotten an
> amateur radio license BEFORE I got my commercial radio license!

As I pointed out earlier, you say a number of things which have no basis in fact.

> YOU are the one saying I don't have any business being in here
> without a ham license!
>
> Tsk. :-)

Your statement is incorrect.

>> You seem to have brought those thing up a time or twenty.
>
> For those of you who have great difficulty in understanding
> that RADIO exists outside of amateurdom, it needs to be
> repeated as often as required for understanding.

Your understanding?

> That's just the way it is...
>
>
>
>>> Major Dud hasn't published ONE thing about his active USMC
>>> life, no forms, no documents, not even a snapshot. All he
>>> has published now is the famous Booger shot of him in a
>>> poopy suit at QRZ, in the "uniform" of the CIVIL Air Patrol.
>> So?
>
> So...what has the CAP to do with United States amateur radio?

You seem to the one making an issue of it. Someone posts a photo of himself on his web site and it becomes an issue for you?

> Are hams supposed to have moustaches with boogers in them?

Did someone write about that sort of thing--other than you?

> So...what have you said about your morsebuddy's famous claim:
>
> "The FCC doesn't license amateur radio." ?
>
> :-)

Do you mean the one where he stated that he made an error? Do you ever acknowledge your errors, Len?

Dave K8MN

1580. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 05:46:21 UTC
From: Lloyd II <NotRoger@anon>
Message-ID: <do08ib$c4b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127350

Yeah, after doing research, it seems to me that ALL extra class
operator act that way, it hard to change their ways and adopt new
idea's. The best way of handling it is to remove that class of license.

Todd N9OGL

Smile, Todd. Roger loves you just the way you are.

1581. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 06:07:49 UTC
From: Lloyd II <NotRoger@anon>
Message-ID: <do09qj$h6r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127352

> "The FCC doesn't license amateur radio." ?
>
> :-)

Do you mean the one where he stated that he made an error? Do you ever acknowledge your errors, Len?

Dave K8MN

Dave: To respond to Lennie is not much different than addressing a Micky Mouse actor with a lung full of Helium. All you get is a high-pitched squeal and a great amount of unintelligible babble.

Lennie is a Troll. Dan said it best. Ignore him.

1582. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 06:58:02 UTC
From: Gus <Gus_who@k1man.org>
Message-ID: <43A3B6F8.9D2A3D21@k1man.org>
Source record: 127353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN to sign Sirius contract

Yeah, right !

1583. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 07:15:02 UTC
From: jimp@specsol.spam.sux.com
Message-ID: <n8qc73-e9p.ln1@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 127354

N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >Do you want to send pornographic cartoons to other sicko's like
> >yourself, enciphering them so you won't get caught?

> Why you want some?? you sure like to visit my BBS alot.

> ?97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

> (a) No amateur station shall transmit:
> (5) Communications, on a regular basis, which could reasonably be
> furnished alternatively through other radio services.

> This rule here is outdated because technology has come so far that
> anything an amatuer station can do can be done through other service.

What sort of legitimate communications would amatuers be doing "on a
regular basis"?

> ?97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

> (a) No amateur station shall transmit:

> messages in codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning thereof,
> except as therwise provided herein;

> Although obscuring the mean isn't real a good idea in this day and age,
> code and cipher can have some value including allowing multiply persons
> on the same frequency at the same time, allowing for what band space we
> have become a little larger through encryption. Example like short
> spacing repeaters, and allowing multi-repeaters on the same frequency
> in the same town, just to name one

> Todd N9OGL

Encryption doesn't by it's nature enable frequency multiplexing;
that's something else entirely.

Spread spectrum allows multiple people on the same frequency at the
same (by human senses) time, and is legal.

--
Jim Pennino

Remove .spam.sux to reply.

1584. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 07:16:49 UTC
From: Old Stinkybreath <glasofscotch@drunkenhamson75.com>
Message-ID: <e4d21$43a3c96a$d1cc7970$20966@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 127355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134765934.923748.256790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A -
> W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

The previous bulletin by k1man is concrete proof
that Baxter is now gone completely out-of-his-tree
and needs to be locked up in a padded room
along with the kook on 20M from Evansville IN.

They would make great cellmates at the State Mental Institution !
One flew over the cukoo's nest and shi+ on their head.....

1585. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 09:49:26 UTC
From: Lloyd II <NotRoger@anon>
Message-ID: <do0mq5$7gb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127356
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:rbn7q1lt9a3lpoa6c1tif90uh1d1ud11pc@4ax.com...
On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 19:21:14 -0800, Highland Ham
<abcgm0csz.kn6whxyz@dsl.pipex.com> wrote:

>>>Gareth Alun Evans - G4ASW
>
>>>Published this message to the Public Domain
>>
>>
>> G4ASW
>> M YORKE
>> 8 ST JOHN PLACE
>> PORT WASHINGTON
>> NEW YORK 11050
>> USA
>==================
>I think , Gareth Evans' callsign is : G4DSW

I don't think so!

:-)))

73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

....and just the heck is this worth?????

1586. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 09:53:46 UTC
From: Micky Taker <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <KeRof.10348$iz3.3909@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127357
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 23:32:30 GMT, Micky Taker
> <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

>
>>Published this message to the Public Domain

> G4ASW

My humble apologies to G4ASW. Don't know where that came from, but I'm going to play the dyslexia card here. Either that or I was pi**ed.

Regards,

Micky

1587. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Eric Williams M3EJO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 10:46:02 UTC
From: Eric 2E0ECW <gin@tonic.uk>
Message-ID: <K%Rof.10381$iz3.5252@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127358
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Pierian Spring wrote:

> Going for an M3/CB Fools' Licence and then posting personal attacks in
> the way that Eric did is a sure sign of lack of such dedication.

Please quote said personal attack.

> Eric - you are a stupid boy and should grow up. It may well
> be that you wear long trousers but it is certain that your
> mind is still in nappies.
>
One up to you then, looks like!

Regards

Eric
2E0ECW

"Perversely, the Novice RAE is a higher technical challenge than the
RAE!" - (C) Gareth Alun Evans 1996

1588. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 10:55:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134816931.052669.91260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127359

>What sort of legitimate communications would amateurs be doing "on a
>regular basis"?

Really, any radio communication an amateur radio operator does can be done just as well through other communication services. The one main problem with amateur's is that they would rather debate the code/nocode issue instead of making advancements the art of radio. In the 80's if you wanted to talk over the world there was three way of doing it, regular mail, the telephone, and ham radio (the first two you pretty well had the know the person or a member of a pen-pal club). ham radio was the main means to communicating all over the world. Then suddenly, out of the blue technology oversteps amateur radio and now all a person has to do is get on the internet and chat. the only true thing amateur radio is good for now is emergency communications and that's about it. Amateur's have not done anything to advance the radio art. 97.113(a)(5) was writing to protect the other radio service who apparently felt threaten when that rule was written (which was a long time ago) by amateur radio operators. (Amateur's at one time competed with the commercial radio service and military) what I believe the FCC should do is ever two to three years go over the amateur radio rules and ask themselves do this rules need to be updated to keep up with technology? Some of these rules have been in place since the 1930's and haven't been changed one bit.

>Encryption doesn't by it's nature enable frequency multiplexing;
>that's something else entirely.

Somewhat true

>Spread spectrum allows multiple people on the same frequency at the
>same (by human senses) time, and is legal

The problem with Spread Spectrum is that although it is legal in the amateur radio bands it is still tightly regulated, for example Spread Spectrum is only allowed on 70 cm or higher, and is limited by power and limited on system (ie there is I believe three forms of spread spectrum and amateurs many only operate two of them because third one violates the FCC rules as written) and the FCC is under the belief that ALL RADIO SIGNAL cross the state line and travel into foreign countries. As I stated although it is Legal, it very limited due to rules and regulations as written.

Todd N9OGL

1589. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 10:59:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134817153.686367.166220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I was invited to be on his radio show on the ham bands but it never
happen :(

Todd N9OGL
FREE SPECCH ADVOCATE

1590. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Eric Williams M3EJO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 11:13:51 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134818031.528586.249380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127361
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

But the Novice RAE is no more, and the comment applied to the RAE
as it existed at the time of the Novice RAE.

The comments are not revalidated for the 3-level farce fiasco that
exists today.

Eric 2E0ECW wrote:
> "Perversely, the Novice RAE is a higher technical challenge than the
> RAE!" - (C) Gareth Alun Evans 1996

1591. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 12:12:13 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43a4007e.569807@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 127362
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Micky Taker <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

> Gareth ____ _____ - G4ASW

i'm sure g4asw will have something to say !

1592. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 12:13:28 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43a400bc.631862@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 127363
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Micky Taker <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

> My humble apologies to G4ASW. Don't know where that came from, but I'm
> going to play the dyslexia card here. Either that or I was pi**ed.

doh!

mickey taker takes a nose dive.
which is hard to do when flying so low in the first place !

1593. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 12:27:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134822449.239290.110230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127364

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 16, 3:41 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Sorry, but the last REAL SERVING IN THE MILITARY President
> >> was James Earle Carter, USN,

> >His middle name is spelled "Earl". Not "Earle".

> >Can't you get anyhting right? ;-)
>
> "Anyhting?"

Yes, Leonarde, "anyhting". A typo. You seem to go ape
over them, so I toss in one or two just to keep you happy,

> Go to: http://www.history.navy.mil/faqs/faq60-14.htm
>
> You WILL find that it is EARLE with an ending E, just like
> his father spelled it.

Other sources say differently.

> >Spelling isn't rocket science, Len :-)
>
> That's true,

So why are you so bad at it? ;-)

> I've worked WITH rocket scientists (and engineers) at
> Rocketdyne Division of (then) Rockwell International
> (now a Division of Boeing who purchased them a few
> years ago).

As you flitted from job to job....

Does having worked for "rocket scientists" somehow make
your opinions about amateur radio policy better or superior
to those of anyone else, Len?

You seem to think it does.

> Have you ever been a part of an SSME (Space Shuttle Main
> Engine) test firing to test LOX flow instrumentation?
> I have. Up on "Coca Site" of the Santa Susannah Field
> Test Laboratories in the mountains between Los Angeles
> and Ventura Counties.

Does that somehow make
your opinions about amateur radio policy better or superior
to those of anyone else, Len?

You seem to think it does.

> >> and George Herbert Walker Bush,
> >> USNR, and Gerald Rudolph Ford, USNR. [living that is]

> >Our current President served as an F-102 pilot in the Texas Air
> >National Guard.
>
> I thought I wrote that?

No, you didn't. I wrote it.

> Do you know what an F-102 is....?

Yes, I do, Len. Do you know what an FT-102 is, Len?

> Do you know the difference between it and an F-106, Jimmie?

Yes, I do, Len. Do you the difference between an FT-102 and an FT-101?

> I've had my hands inside both of them at Hughes Aircraft
> Company field in Culver City, CA.

I've had my hands inside all kinds of things, Len.

> Took part in testing of
> the MA-1 fire control system for the F-106, initially using
> the F-102 as a test bed. That was in 1958-1960. MA-1 was
> the first - and probably last - vacuum tube based digital
> computer airborne fire-control system. Interesting stuff
> to know what that MA-1 could do...
>
Does that somehow make
your opinions about amateur radio policy better or superior
to those of anyone else, Len?

You seem to think it does.

Besides - how do we know any of these "rocket scientist" claims
of yours are true? You're big on demanding "proof" of
all sorts of stuff, and rejecting people's claims. Why
should we accept your claims? Pictures and verbiage on
someone's website aren't "proof".

> >See: http://www.whitehouse.gov/president/gwbbio.html
> >
> >Does that service not count for you, Len?
>
> Not particularly.

Is that a yes or a no?

> Dubya was never activated from the Air
> Guard during a war time,

So what? Does someone's military service only
count if they are "activated during a war time"?

Let's see....Dan, W4NTI, was certainly "activated
during a war time". He was the target of your
"few ounces of pressure" posts.

Dave, K8MN was certainly "activated during a war
time". He was the target of your classic "feldwebel post".

I don't know if you consider Hans, K0HB, to have been
"activated during a war time", but you sure don't show
him much respect even though he has more military
service than you. And he's NCTA!

Perhaps what really bothers you about K0HB is that
he has better stories, and tells them better, than you do.

And while Hans is against the Morse Code test, and has been
for a long time, he's *for* Morse Code *use*. That seems to
bother you no end. Which proves that you're not just against
the *test*, but against Morse Code *use*. And a whole bunch
of other things.

> >> None of them had any direct contact with amateur radio.

> >How do you know for sure, Len?
>
> If they did, QST would have had an orgasmic issue
> special! :-)

Nope. They didn't have one about K7UGA.

Wrong again, Len!

1594. Re: why is Jim allowed an unsupervised count and not len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 12:36:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134823006.228781.204840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127365

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> > amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> > on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> > the total number of active licenses that
> > class contains:
> >
> > As of May 14, 2000:
> simple question care to explain or not

Mark,

Who says "len" is not allowed to count the number of
current, unexpired amateur radio licenses held by
individuals?

Who says he can't post it here?

Not me.

Anyone who wants to can post the number of amateur
radio licenses. www.hamdata.com is one source,
but they include licenses that have expired but are
in the grace period.

www.AH0A.org is another source of license numbers,
including those of other countries.

I don't use either of those sources for the numbers I
post here.

1595. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 12:41:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134823319.367554.160330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127366

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 16 Dec 2005 14:50:22 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > <1134773422.672281.61130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > <snip>
> > >Total all classes - 661,630 (decrease of 13,162)
> >
> >
> > Oh no!!! Better get them ham recruiters out to those high-schools and
> > colleges and uni-varsities..... gotta sign them up young ya know.....
> > can't have them becoming professionals until after they pass through
> > the amateur ranks!!!!
>
> At first the law professors at the universities tried to stop campus
> recruiting, but the Supreme Court told them that they took federal
> dollars, so must allow fed recruiting.

Which law professors at which universities?

And for which war?

> Then the law professors decided to use freedom of speech to
> anti-recruit on campuses, "Hell No, We Won't Go!".

Was that not allowed? Must all citizens support all war efforts
supported by The President, regardless of their consciences,
and regardless of the facts?

1596. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 13:50:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134827454.803430.147730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127367

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Dec 15, 11:24 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 15, 6:25 pm
> >>> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net>

> >What "fraudulent Dudly the Imposter", Len?
>
> The hero of "seven hostile actions" also known as "K4YZ."

If he's the hero, he's not fraudulent....
>
> >What factoids have you
> >coughed up about Steve's military service?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. One can't prove a NEGATIVE, sweetums. :-)
>
> Since Dudly can't offer any proof whatsoever of his "military
> service," I say he's a damn LIAR about that.

Can't offer proof? Or won't offer proof?

Or maybe just hasn't done it yet?

Why should anyone have to prove their military service to *you*,
Len?

> If Dudly can prove his military service with some evidence,
> fine. However, he's NOT done that in seven years.

Why should anyone prove anyhting to *you*, Len?

> >You've done a lot of flailing but have offered up precisely zip.
>
> "Attached" is a ZIP file showing Dudly's military service.
>
> It's an easy download. File size is 0 bytes.

> >Heck, Len, *you* aren't showing a good face of the public to amateur radio.
>
> Hello? I'm not *IN* amateur radio.

That's a very good thing, Len!

> Oh, yes, YOU are the one who told me I should have gotten an
> amateur radio license BEFORE I got my commercial radio license!

Where? Show us some *proof*, Len. Otherwise someone might
call you a liar. Not me, of course - I'd just say you were mistaken.

> YOU are the one saying I don't have any business being in here
> without a ham license!

Where? Show us some *proof*, Len. Otherwise someone might
call you a liar. Not me, of course - I'd just say you were mistaken.

> >You seem to have brought those thing up a time or twenty.
>
> For those of you who have great difficulty in understanding
> that RADIO exists outside of amateurdom, it needs to be
> repeated as often as required for understanding.

We all understand that RADIO exists outside of "amateurdom", Len.

You don't seem to understand a lot of things, though.

> "The FCC doesn't license amateur radio." ?

Who wrote that, Len?

Or are you misquoting - again?

--

btw, that Jack Gerritsen fellow - ex-KG6IRO - will probably have to pay
the
$42,000 fines. He's from your neck of the woods - Bell, CA is only
about
ten miles from Sun Valley, according to Mapquest.

1597. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 13:58:24 UTC
From: Micky Taker <micky.taker@the.rsgb>
Message-ID: <4QUof.10459$iz3.8136@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127368
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

zYYPK, AKA - PAUL KEARNEY EI7GM, wrote:
> Micky Taker <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
>>Gareth ____ _____ - G4ASW
>
>
> i'm sure g4asw will have something to say !
>

Yes, I posted that through beer-tinted spectacles and realised the
mistake in the cold light of day.

Cheers,

Micky

1598. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 14:22:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134829371.263513.198970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On 13 Dec 2005 06:04:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >ku4my@charter.net wrote:
> > >
> > >> Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'.....
> > >> I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
> > >>
> > >> You poor, poor sods!
> > >
> > > Yes...the rowdy and the reckless.
> > >
> > > But beware the "Feeble Five."
> > >
> > true to form

As is this, Markie...(not ot mention the FORGED e-mail alias
above...You're really rackin' em up, PaganBoy!)

> everyone should be advised that The following person...(SNIP)

Still broadcasting a phone number that doesn't belong to me.

One MarkieLie after another.

Mark Morgan is a pathological liar.

Steve, K4YZ

1599. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 14:27:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134829662.192690.139050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> You seem to be quite familiar with the laws against child pornography,
> Toad. Did the FBI agents read them to you the last time they caught you
>
> with some on your hard drive, faggot?
>
> I know a lot of laws moron...(SNIP)

Sure you do.

You just don't understand them. You glean a few words that seem
to support your personal opinion then bally-hoo the rest.

> BTW as stated before I don't mess with real
> child porn, I'm into ANIME and Hentai

You SHOULD be "into" therapy.

Preferably a locked-down, in-patient program. Maybe then Mumsy
and Pops can get the your stuff sold, the house on the market and the
locks changed.

Steve, K4YZ

1600. I get shit faced before I post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 14:29:06 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hasmat.org " <>
Message-ID: <5488q113k6ssi32dpn0g3hn5jh2ptqsblr@4ax.com>
Source record: 127371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

http://www.scatmen.com/graphics/scatmen03_1x1.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1601. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 14:51:19 UTC
From: tox <tox@toxtox.co.com>
Message-ID: <HBVof.33586$a15.11590@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 127372
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Micky Taker" <micky.taker@the.rsgb> wrote in message
news:4QUof.10459$iz3.8136@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
>>
>
> Yes, I posted that through beer-tinted spectacles and realised the mistake
> in the cold light of day.
>
No worse than DSP, heat bands, polarised resistors, fettling, slope
detection, big k and
of course, lol...unclear emissions!

tox

1602. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 14:57:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134831421.898616.241700@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127373

nobodys_old_friend wrote:

"Re: poor mr slit or is it staveie hard to tell the difference
betwen them"

Well, Markie, one thing's for sure...It will NEVER be hard to tell
YOUR posts from anyone elses.

Steve, K4YZ

1603. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 15:01:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134831598.883167.244520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > well that isn't me....it's a fake
> > > Todd N9OGL
> > >
> > This is you toad.

Markie, was there supposed to be some "text" in there, or are you just labelling anything you care to these days IRT me?

Makes sense...Middle of the month...Welfare checks aren't due for two more weeks...Weather's setting in...Not much to do in Chassell, is there?

Steve, K4YZ

1604. Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 15:03:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134831789.994528.24370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Says the twat Lloyd who can't even do 5 wpm morse code....
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
> more stevie ####

More Markie Morgan proliferating profanity at every opportunity.

Steve, K4YZ

1605. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 15:25:51 UTC
From: Epsilon <amsc23803@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <36Wof.3412$Og1.1741@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127376
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Here we go again.......... Blah blah blah blah blah.

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1134761643.625666.203100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> If you publish Childish Broadcasts (CB) such as you
> do below, then you will be perceived as a CBer.
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for which it is
> important to maintain standards. There is no
> bigotry in seeking to maintain educational
> standards.
>
> We do ourselves no favours if we associate
> with the non-technical turnip-brains.
>
> Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
>
> mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> > Pierian Spring wrote:
> > > A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> > > misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> > > they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> > > let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> > > from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> > > mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
> > > and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> > > like M3/CBers)
> > >
> >
> > The Pierian Spring, from Greek mythology, was a source of inspiration.
> >
> > I see nothing inspiring in the bigoted drivel you just posted.
> >
> > 73
> > Mike
> > G4KFK
>

1606. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 15:25:51 UTC
From: Epsilon <amsc23803@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <36Wof.3413$Og1.854@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127377
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Do you cut and paste your messages. Is that how lazy you are.

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1134761828.028673.59640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> If you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
> then continue to publish Childish Broadcasts (CB) as you
> do below.
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit with gentlemanly
> traditions, traditions which seem to be lost on you.
>
> Roooooooodney wrote:
> > You should check into the Liberty Net at 10:00 PM
> > saturday night (3.955 (+/- 10 kc) A real collection
> > of crakns, grumpy old men, kooks and weirdoes.
> > You would fit right in like lime jell-o into a piehole....
>

1607. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 15:37:11 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134833831.090663.193180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127378
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

No, no, no! You've got it wrong!

That should read "Dah dah dah dah dah", which means "Zero".

So, I suppose you're right in one respect - the useful
contribution that you make to this NG and to _REAL_
Ham Radio is......ZERO!

Epsilon wrote:
> Here we go again.......... Blah blah blah blah blah.
>

1608. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 15:38:22 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134833902.747825.197290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127379
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

If you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then continue to publish Childish Broadcasts (CB) such as you
do below.

Stupid boy.

Epsilon wrote:
> Do you cut and paste your messages. Is that how lazy you are.

1609. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 15:39:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QiWof.2149$mj1.597@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127380

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Was that not allowed? Must all citizens support all war efforts
> supported by The President, regardless of their consciences,
> and regardless of the facts?
>

They were allowed to serve "in other ways" such as Navy Corpsman.

1610. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 15:53:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134834784.541047.235690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> > > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > well that isn't me....it's a fake
> > > > Todd N9OGL
> > > >
> > > This is you toad.
>
> Markie, was there supposed to be some "text" in there, or are you
> just labelling anything you care to these days IRT me?
>
> Makes sense...Middle of the month...Welfare checks aren't due for
> two more weeks...Weather's setting in...Not much to do in Chassell, is
> there?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Idle hands and mind came up with "raped an old friend."

But still no explanation or apology for having done it.

1611. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 16:02:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134835352.271699.269520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> As some of you know, I supported my bad habits for many years as a Navy
> Radioman, and quite frankly considered myself something of a hotshot operator.
> To set that stage..... I spent my early Navy years aboard destroyers in the 2nd
> and 6th fleets. The amount of traffic you handled was related to the seniority
> of your skipper, because senior skippers not only commanded their own ships, but
> also often had additional duties as task group commanders, etc. Our skipper at
> the time was CDR Grant "Flash" Gordon, and he was one of these 'senior'
> skippers. We made a couple of cruises to the Mediterranean and Capt Gordon was
> also CTU-60.2.5 which required our ship to guard the Sixth Fleet Task Group
> Commanders Net (nicknamed "Sixes Alfa"). Sixes Alfa was a high speed net,
> typical traffic speeds were 40WPM, and routine procedural speed in excess of
> 50WPM. At the time, I was one of only 23 radiomen in the Sixth Fleet who were
> fully qualified Net Control operators for that net. I go into all of this not to
> brag, but only to give you an idea of how big my 21-year-old ego was. If you
> were qualified to NCS "Sixes Alfa" (you got a fancy diploma style wallet
> certificate signed by the Fleet Commander) then you were pretty hot stuff.

Is this going to be long? Let me scroll down. Oy!

> Unlike today, in those days the Red Sea and Persian Gulf area was a quiet
> backwater without much military attention. The US Navy presence was something
> called "Middle East Force" and COMMIDEASFOR was a Rear Admiral whose flagship
> was a distinctly unwar-like AVP (seaplane tender) anchored at the Brit base on
> Bahrain. (K0DQ, Admiral Scott Redd (Retired), now an active contester and a high
> honcho in Dept of Homeland Security, once held that post) The rest of his fleet
> consisted of a couple of destroyers loaned to him from the Sixth Fleet in the
> Mediterranean for tours of a couple months at a time to strut around and show
> the flag. Often we used that opportunity to also conduct joint training
> exercises with ships from allied navies in the SEATO and CENTO treaty
> organizations.

> Our ship, USS Henley, DD762 drew that temporary assignment while I was aboard.
> To get from the Med over to the Persian Gulf requires transiting the Suez Canal,
> which was under Egyptian (they called themselves the UAR in those days) control.
> Ships transiting the canal were arranged in convoys, on a given day consisting
> perhaps of 10 or 15 ships, one convoy northbound and one southbound. These
> convoys met and passed each other about midway in the canal at a 'wide spot in
> the road' called "Great Bitter Lake". Each convoy carried an Egyptian civilian
> pilot who knew the waters and acted as our 'guide' in navigating the canal. If
> there was a warship in the convoy, they would carry the pilot and lead the
> group. These pilots were required to provide the canal "Traffic Control" with
> periodic position reports so that canal authorities could track our progress and
> coordinate the 'passing' of the two convoys at the wide spot. The circuit which

> handled this traffic was a CW circuit, and the ashore operator was an Egyptian
> civilian. This was not a busy circuit, so typically it was on 'speaker watch'
> while you attended to other more busy circuits, and only actually 'manned' the
> circuit when you had to send or receive a report.
>
> Now picture me, hotshot NCS from "Sixes Alfa", keeping an ear on this pilots
> coordination circuit while handling traffic on another circuit. Across comes the
> call:
>
> "NHXW DE SUQ K" ---
>
> I put my regular military circuit on hold with a 'ZUJ' and impatiently called
> the 'lowly Egyptian civilian' at about 40WPM with a speed key
>
> "SUQ DE NHXW QRQ K" .... (QRQ my friends, is the inverse of QRS)
>
> Bad move...... really bad and embarrassing move!
>
> 'Lowly civilian' at SUQ came back to me at a blistering speed I'm sure was 60WPM
> (or faster) of beautiful musical code (there were no electronic keyers in those
> days) of which I could copy no better than 50%.
>
> I humbly sent a break signal, then a crisp and polite "QRS PSE", and the "Kind
> Sir" at SUQ slowed down to a stately 30WPM. To this day I have never sent
> another QRQ to ANYONE! (That guy may be still out there waiting for the
> impertinent sailor to challenge him again!)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Reminds me of the few times I tried CW. I think my straight key was
inverse wired.

> Homepage:
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> Member:
> ARRL http://www.arrl.org
> SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
> VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
> A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
> TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
> MWA http://www.w0aa.org
> TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
> FISTS http://www.fists.org
> LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
> NCI http://www.nocode.org

1612. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 16:04:31 UTC
From: 2Test Roger <2Test@twotestqrmboywog.com>
Message-ID: <do1ctr$6tv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127383

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1134765633.731389.238670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Lardass fucks up again. A check with the WV DMV shows
> his license is valid untiol 2010 and has no such charges. You lose as
^^^^^

ROTFLMAO! What is "untiol" Woger?

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

1613. RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 16:18:59 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <8701789833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127384

On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 12:35:24 -0600, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
>
> it is just like lenanderson wanted it to be and now it is like that so
> get over it.
>

after bill orielly is finished saving christmas when it was never
under atack he will start in on usenet and the internet because
orielly hates the internet. he will expose every news server which
carries rrap until the act on hear is cleaned up or the news server
drops the group. then you will be sorry todd as you sit in jail for
disturbing the piece and distributing child porn cartoons and other
charges to. orielly looks out for the kids and he will get all you
internet bastards in 2006 to save the kids.

1614. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 16:24:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134836675.014561.37100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127385

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On 16 Dec 2005 14:50:22 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > > <1134773422.672281.61130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > >
> > > <snip>
> > > >Total all classes - 661,630 (decrease of 13,162)
> > >
> > > Oh no!!! Better get them ham recruiters out to those high-schools and
> > > colleges and uni-varsities..... gotta sign them up young ya know.....
> > > can't have them becoming professionals until after they pass through
> > > the amateur ranks!!!!
> >
> > At first the law professors at the universities tried to stop campus
> > recruiting, but the Supreme Court told them that they took federal
> > dollars, so must allow fed recruiting.
>
> Which law professors at which universities?

The ones being interviewed on NPR, whining and crying and wringing
"thier" hands.

> And for which war?

The recruitment of hams on High School and College campuses.
Everyone's upset about it.

> > Then the law professors decided to use freedom of speech to
> > anti-recruit on campuses, "Hell No, We Won't Go!".
>
> Was that not allowed? Must all citizens support all war efforts
> supported by The President, regardless of their consciences,
> and regardless of the facts?

Fortunately for you, those that served protect the freedoms of those
that seved in other ways, or say they served in other ways, or didn't
serve at all.

1615. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 16:34:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134837263.353101.58480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127386

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >
> > Was that not allowed? Must all citizens support all war efforts
> > supported by The President, regardless of their consciences,
> > and regardless of the facts?
> >
>
> They were allowed to serve "in other ways" such as Navy Corpsman.

Jim was a US Navy Corpsman?

Doubt it.

What does anyone know about Jim? I'm going to guess that within
moments of turning 18, he was attending college in Canada.

1616. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 16:43:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9fXof.2173$mj1.1234@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127387

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> I don't know if you consider Hans, K0HB, to have been
> "activated during a war time", but you sure don't show
> him much respect even though he has more military
> service than you. And he's NCTA!
>

During my service (1958 to 1988) the US did not declare war on any other nation.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1617. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 17:03:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134836211.869879.290050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127388

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >
> > Was that not allowed? Must all citizens support all war efforts
> > supported by The President, regardless of their consciences,
> > and regardless of the facts?
> >
>
> They were allowed to serve "in other ways" such as Navy Corpsman.

Jim was a Navy Corpsman?

1618. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 17:12:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134839570.316017.153770@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127389

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
>
> What does anyone know about Jim?

Depends on who the "anyone" is. Some people know
a lot, others know less.

> I'm going to guess that within
> moments of turning 18, he was attending college in Canada.

Your guess is way off. I've never attended college outside the
USA.

1619. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 17:13:58 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qHXof.3814$n1.2107@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127390

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Jim was a Navy Corpsman?

I don't know. Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1620. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 17:24:46 UTC
From: 2Test Roger <2Test@twotestqrmboywog.com>
Message-ID: <do1hjl$q98$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127391

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message:
>
> Hans SYCOPHANT & HANS WORSHIPPER<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote:
>
>>
>> I don't know if you consider Hans, K0HB, to have been
>> "activated during a war time", but you sure don't show
>> him much respect even though he has more military
>> service than you. And he's NCTA!
>>
>
> During my service (1958 to 1988) the US did not declare war on any other
> nation.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Then how do you account for the five Medals of Honor you have?
Are you wearing all five to the Legion Christmas party?

Lloyd

1621. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 17:27:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134840433.105635.204930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127392

KØHB wrote:
> Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
> a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
>
Some objectors did amazing things, too:

http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html

http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm

73 de Jim, N2EY

1622. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 18:27:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134844037.688259.239660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127393

From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 17, 4:27 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 16, 3:41 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> Sorry, but the last REAL SERVING IN THE MILITARY President
>> >> was James Earle Carter, USN,
>
>> >His middle name is spelled "Earl". Not "Earle".
>> >Can't you get anyhting right? ;-)

You screwed up typing the word "anything" and now try to get
out of it by saying it was "deliberate." Bullshit.

>> Go to: http://www.history.navy.mil/faqs/faq60-14.htm
>
>> You WILL find that it is EARLE with an ending E, just like
>> his father spelled it.
>
>Other sources say differently.

"Other sources?"

The United States Navy, from whose Naval Academy James Earle
Carter graduated, spelled it "Earle."

The United States Navy, in which Lieutenant James Earle
Carter served, spelled it "Earle."

James Earle Carter, when he chooses to use his full legal
name, spells it "Earle."

But, Jimmie Miccolis, self-proclaimed Master of All Things,
is "other sources" who claim to "know better." <shrug>

>> >Spelling isn't rocket science, Len :-)

>> I've worked WITH rocket scientists (and engineers) at
>> Rocketdyne Division of (then) Rockwell International
>> (now a Division of Boeing who purchased them a few
>> years ago).
>
>As you flitted from job to job....

Advancing in salary and responsibility each time...

>Does having worked for "rocket scientists" somehow make
>your opinions about amateur radio policy better or superior
>to those of anyone else, Len?
>
>You seem to think it does.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, "other sources," don't try to bulldoze a
mountain out of your little ant-hill faux pas.

When you've had some experience in REAL wordsmithing as
an article-seller and editing, you come on back.

Nowhere in Title 47 C.F.R. is it stated that an AMATEUR
radio license grant makes YOU "superior" to anyone in
anything, regardless of license class.

So far in here, all you've done is to posture and post
(seemingly endlessly) about how "right" you are in
everything and how everyone who disagrees with you is
so terribly "wrong."

>Does that somehow make
>your opinions about amateur radio policy better or superior
>to those of anyone else, Len?

If I want to find out the correct spelling of the legal
name of a President of the United States, I can find it.
I don't need "other sources."

So far your little toy bulldozer hasn't made much of a
dent in that faux pas "spelling" ant-hill of yours.

Here's a DOS Tip, "official sources:" Don't try to
correct the USN, the Naval Academy, the Naval Bureau
of Personnel, the official United States Navy History
site, the state of Georgia, or the United States
Government on the spelling of a former President's name.

>I've had my hands inside all kinds of things, Len.

Then isn't it time you washed your hands of this little
spelling faux pas of yours?

>Besides - how do we know any of these "rocket scientist" claims
>of yours are true? You're big on demanding "proof" of
>all sorts of stuff, and rejecting people's claims. Why
>should we accept your claims? Pictures and verbiage on
>someone's website aren't "proof".

Contact the Personnel Department of Rocketdyne Division
of Boeing Aircraft in Canoga Park, CA.

Do you need their mailing address? If so, contact your
"other sources."

I can name ALL of my employers from part-time during
high school onward, and have. Have you done the same?
No? Why not?

Perhaps those "other sources" have your employment
details? I'm sure they must, since you carefully AVOID
stating anything yourself.

>Perhaps what really bothers you about K0HB is that
>he has better stories, and tells them better, than you do.

I have no stories of "CW operating," Jimmie.

Never used morse code mode in over a half century,
haven't been required to in either military or
civilian occupations.

As to "telling them better," show your chops as a
PAID-by-others editor and wordsmith FIRST. You are
so highly biased in favor of morse mode that you are
incapable of objectively critiquing any "story" for any
mass media publication other than some "CW organization."

Hans sent me a copy of his "Speed Key" credential from
the United States Navy. Do you have one of those?
Or do your "other sources" have it?

You were never in the USN or USNR. You were never in
the Persian Gulf region. You were never in the service
of the military of the United States.

We (other than "other sources") don't know if you
ever did any radio communications in professional/commercial
radio other than AMATEUR. You are carefully ambiguous and
non-specific about that. Just like Dudly the Imposter.

[on living Presidents of the United States]

>> >> None of them had any direct contact with amateur radio.
>
>> >How do you know for sure, Len?
>
>> If they did, QST would have had an orgasmic issue
>> special! :-)
>
>Nope. They didn't have one about K7UGA.

Senator Barry Goldwater was never elected President of the
United States. He LOST.

>Wrong again, Len!

No, I'm right...Barry Goldwater didn't get elected
President. I'm really not concerned about how WRONG
your "other sources" are, Jimmie.

Now put that little toy bulldozer away. No matter how much you shovel it, that little spelling ant-hill faux pas is NOT going to get larger.

Go play with your AMATEUR radios. That will keep you in the house and busy this Saturday night.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1623. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 18:43:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134845002.369580.152920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127394

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 17, 8:24 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> > > On 16 Dec 2005 14:50:22 -0800, N...@AOL.COM wrote in

>> > > <snip>
>> > > >Total all classes - 661,630 (decrease of 13,162)
>
>> > > Oh no!!! Better get them ham recruiters out to those high-schools and
>> > > colleges and uni-varsities..... gotta sign them up young ya know.....
>> > > can't have them becoming professionals until after they pass through
>> > > the amateur ranks!!!!
>
>> > At first the law professors at the universities tried to stop campus
>> > recruiting, but the Supreme Court told them that they took federal
>> > dollars, so must allow fed recruiting.
>
>> Which law professors at which universities?
>
>The ones being interviewed on NPR, whining and crying and wringing
>"thier" hands.

Brian and Frank;

This is getting to be a fun thread. Anal-retentive morsemen
NOT understanding, let alone recognizing, SATIRE!

>> And for which war?
>
>The recruitment of hams on High School and College campuses.
>Everyone's upset about it.

:-)

I'm surprised Jimmie hasn't pulled out the old league
wartime factoids about hams serving in WW2...not
understanding what this sub-thread is about...

>> > Then the law professors decided to use freedom of speech to
>> > anti-recruit on campuses, "Hell No, We Won't Go!".
>
>> Was that not allowed? Must all citizens support all war efforts
>> supported by The President, regardless of their consciences,
>> and regardless of the facts?
>

>Fortunately for you, those that served protect the freedoms of those
>that seved in other ways, or say they served in other ways, or didn't
>serve at all.

Jimmie is busy, busy "serving" on keeping the code test
forever and ever, perhaps using "CW" as a "weapon against
terrorists" in our "war on terror!"

Yawn...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1624. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 19:10:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134846657.704959.249910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127395

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 17, 8:24 am
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> > > On 16 Dec 2005 14:50:22 -0800, N...@AOL.COM wrote in
> >
> >> > > <snip>
> >> > > >Total all classes - 661,630 (decrease of 13,162)
> >
> >> > > Oh no!!! Better get them ham recruiters out to those high-schools and
> >> > > colleges and uni-varsities..... gotta sign them up young ya know.....
> >> > > can't have them becoming professionals until after they pass through
> >> > > the amateur ranks!!!!
> >
> >> > At first the law professors at the universities tried to stop campus
> >> > recruiting, but the Supreme Court told them that they took federal
> >> > dollars, so must allow fed recruiting.
> >
> >> Which law professors at which universities?
> >
> >The ones being interviewed on NPR, whining and crying and wringing
> >"thier" hands.
>
> Brian and Frank;
>
> This is getting to be a fun thread.

This thread is presently the shining light of RRAP.

> Anal-retentive morsemen
> NOT understanding, let alone recognizing, SATIRE!

Gotta get those recruiter's out of the High Schrools and College Campi!
Hi, hi!

> >> And for which war?
> >
> >The recruitment of hams on High School and College campuses.
> >Everyone's upset about it.
>
> :-)
>
> I'm surprised Jimmie hasn't pulled out the old league

> wartime factoids about hams serving in WW2...not
> understanding what this sub-thread is about...

He's pulled out the wrenchy fong, hit the moving parts with 3in1 oil,
and is polishing it with a soft cloth.

> >> > Then the law professors decided to use freedom of speech to
> >> > anti-recruit on campuses, "Hell No, We Won't Go!".
> >
> >> Was that not allowed? Must all citizens support all war efforts
> >> supported by The President, regardless of their consciences,
> >> and regardless of the facts?
> >
> >Fortunately for you, those that served protect the freedoms of those
> >that seved in other ways, or say they served in other ways, or didn't
> >serve at all.
>
> Jimmie is busy, busy "serving" on keeping the code test
> forever and ever, perhaps using "CW" as a "weapon against
> terrorists" in our "war on terror!"
>
> Yawn...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I see him in a different role. In a Safety Yellow colored vest with
N2EY stencilled on the right side of his chest, a peace sign on the
left, and "Emergency Services" on the back, bullhorn in hand, I
envision Jim on College Campi, shouting down the ham recruiters,
decrying the unjustness of recruiting practices that target young
people, people barely old enough to serve in other ways, having to
forego a lucrative careers in engjneering and technology, and instead
serve "thier" country in a worthless endeavor. It's all soooo wrong.
Prolly smokin a doobie afterwards with John Foggerty playing "Fortunate
Son" in the background.

The NPR reporters gather around to interview this oh-so-wise
anti-recruiting patriot.

Pffffft.

1625. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 19:14:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134846860.027960.186870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127396

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> > What does anyone know about Jim?
>
> Depends on who the "anyone" is. Some people know
> a lot, others know less.
>
> > I'm going to guess that within
> > moments of turning 18, he was attending college in Canada.
>
> Your guess is way off. I've never attended college outside the
> USA.

Ever been to Canada? Ever lived abroad?

1626. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 19:36:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134848186.277341.15100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127397

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
> > a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
> >
> Some objectors did amazing things, too:
>
> http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html
>
> http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Welp, Jim, I thought you were covertly trying to get us to find your "service in other ways." So I went to the Medal of Honor website and searched "Miccolis."

---
powered by

Search WWW Search www.medalofhonor.com

Your search - Miccolis - did not match any documents.

Suggestions:
Make sure all words are spelled correctly.
Try different keywords.
Try more general keywords.
Try fewer keywords.

This kind of reminds me of the time that Dave was desperately trying to get me to see that he was an A-1 Operator, except, unlike Dave actually being listed in the A-1Operator list, you are not mentioned on the Medal of Honor list.

1627. Re: why is Jim allowed an unsupervised count and not len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 19:46:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134848794.570567.295660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127398

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> > > amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> > > on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> > > the total number of active licenses that
> > > class contains:
> > >
> > > As of May 14, 2000:
> > simple question care to explain or not
>
> Mark,
>
> Who says "len" is not allowed to count the number of
> current, unexpired amateur radio licenses held by
> individuals?

Jim, I put on my thnking cap, reread Marks new thread subject, and his question in the body of his post, and nowhere do I see words to the effect, "Who says "len" is not allowed to count the number of current, unexpired amateur radio licenses held by individuals?"

Why do you change the context of Mark's question?

> Who says he can't post it here?

That question was not asked either. Marks speaks on "unsupervised counting."

Why do you change the context of Mark's question?

> Not me.

Yes you. You protest "unsupervised counting."

1628. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 20:14:27 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134850466.995920.154550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127399
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Sorry - somehow lost the cross-posted groups!

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Apart from the gratuitous abuse in the last line quoted below,
> you have forgottne very quickly your remark, "About sums you
> up - old views, old world, just old..... "
>
> You are so habituated by insulting and childish outbursts
> that you assume it to be normal adult behaviour - it isn't.
>
> As to your farce of an apology - as I remember it - it
> was disingenuous - blaming me for your own social
> maladjustments and was followed almost
> immediately by further rudeness.
>
> You don't realise that you're doing it, for which reason
> you are a bad example to youngsters and therefore
> should not be employed in _ANY_ capacity in _ANY
> school.
>
>
>
> Leigh Preece wrote:
> >
> > What insulting remark? I haven't made any recent remarks and, for past
> > remarks, you surely remember my public apology?
> >
> > He just hates it when he can't return the volley when challenged!

1629. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 21:13:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134853994.341515.286620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You still have told us all what you plan to do about it.......lying
again steve??

N9OGL

1630. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 21:35:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134855348.857385.207610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127401

Hey lloyd are you adressing me or that fake??

Todd N9OGL

1631. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 21:58:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134856719.471174.231170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127404

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 17, 11:10 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 17, 8:24 am
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >> > > On 16 Dec 2005 14:50:22 -0800, N...@AOL.COM wrote in

>> >> > > <snip>
>> >> > > >Total all classes - 661,630 (decrease of 13,162)
>
>> >> > > Oh no!!! Better get them ham recruiters out to those high-schools and
>> >> > > colleges and uni-varsities..... gotta sign them up young ya know.....
>> >> > > can't have them becoming professionals until after they pass through
>> >> > > the amateur ranks!!!!
>
>> >> > At first the law professors at the universities tried to stop campus
>> >> > recruiting, but the Supreme Court told them that they took federal
>> >> > dollars, so must allow fed recruiting.
>
>> >> Which law professors at which universities?

Franklin Unilateral College at Kennsington University.

You can imagine what was lettered on their sweatshirts... :-)

>I see him in a different role. In a Safety Yellow colored vest with
>N2EY stencilled on the right side of his chest, a peace sign on the
>left, and "Emergency Services" on the back, bullhorn in hand, I
>envision Jim on College Campi, shouting down the ham recruiters,
>decrying the unjustness of recruiting practices that target young
>people, people barely old enough to serve in other ways, having to
>forego a lucrative careers in engjneering and technology, and instead
>serve "thier" country in a worthless endeavor. It's all soooo wrong.
>Prolly smokin a doobie afterwards with John Foggerty playing "Fortunate
>Son" in the background.
>
>The NPR reporters gather around to interview this oh-so-wise
>anti-recruiting patriot.
>
>Pffffft.

Boggles the mind, donut? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1632. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 22:00:33 UTC
From: Lloyd II <NotRoger@anon>
Message-ID: <do21ktvnm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127402

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

<<YAWN>>

1633. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 22:00:52 UTC
From: Lloyd II <NotRoger@anon>
Message-ID: <do21lg$vq0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127403

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

<<YAWN>>

1634. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 22:05:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134857155.891480.319840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127405

> then you will be sorry todd as you sit in jail for disturbing the piece and distributing child porn >cartoons and other charges to.

Well first off cartoons aren't illegal, if they were then ALL anime and hentai would pretty much be banned here in the United States, which it not in fact it growing. CNN Money stated in an article, this month that the anime industry is a $165 million dollar industry and is growing very fast. The law in question is a rehash of the Child Pornography Prevention Act of 1996 (CPPA) which was sruck down by the US Supreme Court as being unconstitutional because it made non-child porn illegal, child porn laws are not there to block what the person is saying, in fact in Feber vs New York the US Supreme court ruled that child porn itself was protected by the first amendment, it's the process on how it's made that makes it illegal. When the CPPA was struck down it not only ban real child porn and how it was made but "virtual porn" as well, was which is created out of someone imagination and no childern were harmed in the making of it. Thus CPPA was struck down as violating the first amendment. Real child porn is banned or made illegal because of the process of making it (i.e. the abuse of real childern) lolicon or japanese hentai, is created out of someone imagination an no childern are harmed in the making of it. If this new law ever made it to the court it too would probably be struck down as well. The Man who was found guilty by the courts for the cartoons also had real child pronography in fact this was his THIRD time for being busted. Although the anime part can be tossed out the man pled guilty without even fight it. but the man still had real child porn and that's what they really got him on. If a person was arrested for just cartoons it would be thrown out by either the US Court of Appeal or the Supreme Court, because the Supreme Court had already ruled that cartoons, painting and sculptures were protected by the first amendment. I don't mess real child porn or regular porn for that matter, I'm into anime and Hentai and have been since the 70's. What other charges?? and what o'rielly are you talking about??

Todd N9OGL

1635. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 23:01:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HN0pf.3969$n1.3391@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127406

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>> Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
>>> a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
>>>
>> Some objectors did amazing things, too:
>>
>> http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html
>>
>> http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Welp, Jim, I thought you were covertly trying to get us to find your
> "service in other ways." So I went to the Medal of Honor website and
> searched "Miccolis."
>
> --
> powered by
>
> Search WWW Search www.medalofhonor.com
>
> Your search - Miccolis - did not match any documents.
>
> Suggestions:
> Make sure all words are spelled correctly.
> Try different keywords.
> Try more general keywords.
> Try fewer keywords.
> --
>
> This kind of reminds me of the time that Dave was desperately trying to
> get me to see that he was an A-1 Operator, except, unlike Dave actually
> being listed in the A-1Operator list, you are not mentioned on the
> Medal of Honor list.

I seem to recall that incident. It followed one of your posts where you
named all of the regulars who were *not* A-1 Ops. You looked pretty
silly that time too.

Dave K8MN

1636. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 23:05:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gR0pf.3970$n1.418@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127407

N9OGL wrote:
> You still have told us all what you plan to do about it.......lying
> again steve??

Didn't you get bounced from an ISP the last time you wrote something
like the above?

Dave K8MN

1637. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 23:08:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aU0pf.3972$n1.2750@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127408

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 17, 11:10 am

>>>>> Which law professors at which universities?
>
> Franklin Unilateral College at Kennsington University.
>
> You can imagine what was lettered on their sweatshirts... :-)

Didn't Mark Morgan attend classes there? I can see the sweatshirt now:
"FCUKU, lier"

Dave K8MN

1638. Re: stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 23:17:42 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11q974nr0oto5de@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1134700783.848962.244270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> DrDeath wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1134679372.865368.283060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Fred Fatass wrote:
>> > more hate something insprired by k4yz
>> >
>>
>> We would have never known had you not crossposted it. Looks to me YOU are
>> the one instilling hate.
>
> you are just lying some more i never add gruops to post (sometimes with
> my newreader i have to prune afew
>
> nor am I instiling hate of any sort just reporting on it
>

So prune some more. You accused someone else of crossposting this shit, but
you followed in his footsteps.
```

1639. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-17 23:27:09 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11q97mfbt474cda@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127410
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dnuq09$61c$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
>> >> Pray for me to win the lottery please :O)
>> >>
>> >> Regards,
>> >> Graham
>> >
>> > You have to watch the movie "Bruce Almighty". I did that once! 14,000
>> > winners of $17 each or something like that :-0
>> >
>>
>> I watched that movie 4 times, I didn't win any money.
>
> That's because Amos (eyy up Mr wilks ;o) wasn't praying for you...
>
> Regards,
> Graham
> --
> _·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _
>
> Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...
>
> 73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)
>
>

Well that's not very Christian like of him.

1640. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 00:23:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <4ua9q1tbmccfc88f410u1rd65dobamp7c0@4ax.com>
Source record: 127411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 17 Dec 2005 06:58:02 GMT, Gus <Gus_who@k1man.org> wrote:

>K1MAN to sign Sirius contract

Well, they gave up Faux news - they had to substitute something for
the dead air.

Oh, wait a minute - dead air would give us more information than
K1MAN.

1641. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 00:34:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134866093.480472.80250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127412

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> KØHB wrote:
> >>> Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
> >>> a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
> >>>
> >> Some objectors did amazing things, too:
> >>
> >> http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html
> >>
> >> http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm
> >>
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Welp, Jim, I thought you were covertly trying to get us to find your
> > "service in other ways." So I went to the Medal of Honor website and
> > searched "Miccolis."
> >
> > ----------
> > powered by
> >
> > Search WWW Search www.medalofhonor.com
> >
> > Your search - Miccolis - did not match any documents.
> >
> > Suggestions:
> > Make sure all words are spelled correctly.
> > Try different keywords.
> > Try more general keywords.
> > Try fewer keywords.
> > ----------
> >
> > This kind of reminds me of the time that Dave was desperately trying to
> > get me to see that he was an A-1 Operator, except, unlike Dave actually
> > being listed in the A-1Operator list, you are not mentioned on the
> > Medal of Honor list.
>
> I seem to recall that incident. It followed one of your posts where you
> named all of the regulars who were *not* A-1 Ops. You looked pretty
> silly that time too.
>
> Dave K8MN

"Not" A-1 Ops. That's right. It was an easy mistake to make. I mean who would have guessed that you were an A-1 Op?

Anyway, "Miccolis" wasn't on the Medal of Honor list.

1642. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 01:27:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WV2pf.3436$mj1.1489@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127413

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>> Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
>>>>> a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
>>>>>
>>>> Some objectors did amazing things, too:
>>>>
>>>> http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html
>>>>
>>>> http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>> Welp, Jim, I thought you were covertly trying to get us to find your
>>> "service in other ways." So I went to the Medal of Honor website and
>>> searched "Miccolis."
>>>
>>> --
>>> powered by
>>>
>>> Search WWW Search www.medalofhonor.com
>>>
>>> Your search - Miccolis - did not match any documents.
>>>
>>> Suggestions:
>>> Make sure all words are spelled correctly.
>>> Try different keywords.
>>> Try more general keywords.
>>> Try fewer keywords.
>>> --
>>>
>>> This kind of reminds me of the time that Dave was desperately trying to
>>> get me to see that he was an A-1 Operator, except, unlike Dave actually
>>> being listed in the A-1Operator list, you are not mentioned on the
>>> Medal of Honor list.
>> I seem to recall that incident. It followed one of your posts where you
>> named all of the regulars who were *not* A-1 Ops. You looked pretty
>> silly that time too.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>

> "Not" A-1 Ops. That's right. It was an easy mistake to make.

You presented a list of r.r.a.p. posters who were A-1 Ops and proceeded to state with authority those r.r.a.p. posters who were *not* A-1 Ops. Apparently, it was another case where you didn't know what you were talking about.

> I mean who would have guessed that you were an A-1 Op?

Those who'd spent any time listening to me operate and who were capable of telling the difference?

Dave K8MN

1643. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 01:48:56 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do2f5v$1gu$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127414

Lloyd wrote:
> On 17 Dec 2005 14:05:55 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>sculptures were protected by the first amendment. I don't mess real
>>child porn or regular porn for that matter, I'm into anime and Hentai
>>and have been since the 70's. What other charges?? and what o'rielly
>>are you talking about??
>
>
> I talking about orielly of fox news and his orielly factor program.
> he goes after poeple who hurt the kids including bullies and poeple
> who think dirty about kids and have dirty pictures about kids becaues
> they are one step away from realy doing it and raping some 14 year old
> some day. he knows about poeple like you and why you think the stuff
> you do about little kids. it is sick the way you think just like
> steve says. orielly says the internet hurts to many poeple becaues of
> lies and kiddy porn on it and orielly says he will put a stop to it
> soon. orielly protecting the kids by that. I wrote email to orielly
> last week and tell him about rrap and you. you evil ways are toast
> and mark my word on it.

I laugh in you face. ahahahahahaha!!!

1644. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 01:57:58 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do2fmt$2at$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127415

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> You still have told us all what you plan to do about it.......lying
>> again steve??
>
>
> Didn't you get bounced from an ISP the last time you wrote something
> like the above?
>
> Dave K8MN

I laugh in you face. ahahahahahahah!!!

1645. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 02:10:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hasmat.org
Message-ID: <24h9q115at040j3htud22m82a704aj7q12@4ax.com>
Source record: 127416

Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
> I laugh in you face. ahahahahahaha!!!
>
I laugh at your face. ahahahahahaha!!!

http://tinypic.com/ipqsnk.jpg

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1646. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 02:12:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hasmat.org
Message-ID: <19h9q11db19hi7flja0rm3krkq6o13d0hp@4ax.com>
Source record: 127417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >> You still have told us all what you plan to do about it.......lying
> >> again steve??
> >
> >
> > Didn't you get bounced from an ISP the last time you wrote something
> > like the above?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I laugh in you face. ahahahahahahah!!!
>
I laugh at your face, ahahahahahahah!!!

http://tinypic.com/ipqsnk.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1647. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 02:33:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134873190.001291.188440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127418

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>> You still have told us all what you plan to do about it.......lying
>> again steve??

> Didn't you get bounced from an ISP the last time you wrote something
> like the above?

> Dave K8MN

>I laugh in you face. ahahahahahahah!!!

WHATEVER STEVE!!

TODD N9OGL

1648. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 02:34:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134873284.659737.159700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127419

>I laugh in you face. ahahahahahaha!!!
YOUR A FUCKING DICK HEAD YOU FUCKING FAKE!!!

1649. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 02:38:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134873514.024433.21370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127420

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>> KØHB wrote:
> >>>>> Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
> >>>>> a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
> >>>>>
> >>>> Some objectors did amazing things, too:
> >>>>
> >>>> http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html
> >>>>
> >>>> http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>> Welp, Jim, I thought you were covertly trying to get us to find your
> >>> "service in other ways." So I went to the Medal of Honor website and
> >>> searched "Miccolis."
> >>>
> >>> --
> >>> powered by
> >>>
> >>> Search WWW Search www.medalofhonor.com
> >>>
> >>> Your search - Miccolis - did not match any documents.
> >>>
> >>> Suggestions:
> >>> Make sure all words are spelled correctly.
> >>> Try different keywords.
> >>> Try more general keywords.
> >>> Try fewer keywords.
> >>> --
> >>>
> >>> This kind of reminds me of the time that Dave was desperately trying to
> >>> get me to see that he was an A-1 Operator, except, unlike Dave actually
> >>> being listed in the A-1Operator list, you are not mentioned on the
> >>> Medal of Honor list.
> >> I seem to recall that incident. It followed one of your posts where you
> >> named all of the regulars who were *not* A-1 Ops. You looked pretty
> >> silly that time too.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN

> >
> > "Not" A-1 Ops. That's right. It was an easy mistake to make.
>
> You presented a list of r.r.a.p. posters who were A-1 Ops and proceeded
> to state with authority those r.r.a.p. posters who were *not* A-1 Ops.
> Apparently, it was another case where you didn't know what you were
> talking about.

Still holding a grudge, is see.

> > I mean who would have guessed that you were an A-1 Op?
>
> Those who'd spent any time listening to me operate and who were capable
> of telling the difference?

Sure. They're free to guess. They'd stand a 50-50 chance of being
right.

1650. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 02:38:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134873531.514513.22140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Todd <t...@nospam.moc> wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> I laugh in you face. ahahahahahahah!!!

>I laugh at your face, ahahahahahahah!!!
>http://tinypic.com/ipqsnk.jpg

>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

YOUR A FUCKING DICKHEAD WHO SUCK HIS DADDY'S COCK!!!

1651. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 02:44:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134873886.240447.136310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127422

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Do you really believe that?
>
> Gee, do ya think that the ITU is a member of the IARU?
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Do you?

1652. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 02:56:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_d4pf.5836$Tg2.990@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127423

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

> Do you?

Do you have a short memory? If so, scroll up a few messages for a refresher.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1653. Re: Where's the beef?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 03:06:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134875161.975597.81560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127424

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > At one time I noted that most of the violations were awarded to the
> > higher class licensees.
>
> But was that really true?
>
> Did you provide any statistics, or did it just seem that way to you?

It's really true that I noted that. If you have unsupervised numbers
to contradict me, you 're welcome to post them. This is America, after
all.

> >I don't know if that was an artifact of the
> > FCC picking on them because they should know better, or if it had to do
> > with the kind of attitudes of so many of the Extra's display on RRAP
> > carrying over the the bands.
>
> Fun fact: The $42,000 fines for ex-KG6IRO have been upheld. When you
> read what the guy did, it's pretty awful.

KG6? From Guam?

> Guess what class of license he held. And how old he is.

Ex-KG6IRO held no license (kind of the reason you had to say "ex").

That was part of the problem.

How old he is? Is there an age limit on hams?

1654. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 03:12:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134875579.501247.8150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127425

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 17, 4:27 am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 16, 3:41 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> >> Sorry, but the last REAL SERVING IN THE MILITARY President
> >> >> was James Earle Carter, USN,
> >
> >> >His middle name is spelled "Earl". Not "Earle".
> >> >Can't you get anyhting right? ;-)
>
> You screwed up typing the word "anything" and now try to get
> out of it by saying it was "deliberate."

Gee, Len, Can't you recognize *satire* and *parody*?

Can't you get *anything* right? ;-) ;-) ;-)

> Bullshit.

Now there's something you're familiar with!

> >> Go to: http://www.history.navy.mil/faqs/faq60-14.htm
> >
> >> You WILL find that it is EARLE with an ending E, just like
> >> his father spelled it.
> >
> >Other sources say differently.
>
> "Other sources?"

Yes. Other sources say "Earl".

> The United States Navy, from whose Naval Academy James Earle
> Carter graduated, spelled it "Earle."

How do you know? Did you go there?

> The United States Navy, in which Lieutenant James Earle
> Carter served, spelled it "Earle."

How do you know? Did you see his DD-214?
>
> James Earle Carter, when he chooses to use his full legal
> name, spells it "Earle."

Really? Did you ever meat the man?

> But, Jimmie Miccolis, self-proclaimed Master of All Things,
> is "other sources" who claim to "know better." <shrug>

No, Len, that's not true.

I don't use the name "Jimmie".

Second, I've never proclaimed myself to the Master of All Things.

Third, the other sources don't claim to know better.

> >> >Spelling isn't rocket science, Len :-)
>
> >> I've worked WITH rocket scientists (and engineers) at
> >> Rocketdyne Division of (then) Rockwell International
> >> (now a Division of Boeing who purchased them a few
> >> years ago).
> >
> >As you flitted from job to job....
>
> Advancing in salary and responsibility each time...

Inflation will result in rising salary. Responsibility is subjective.

Fact is, you don't seem to have stayed with any employer or
job very long.

> >Does having worked for "rocket scientists" somehow make
> >your opinions about amateur radio policy better or superior
> >to those of anyone else, Len?
> >
> >You seem to think it does.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, "other sources," don't try to bulldoze a
> mountain out of your little ant-hill faux pas.
>
Just answer the question.

> When you've had some experience in REAL wordsmithing as
> an article-seller and editing, you come on back.

I've had experience as both a writer and an editor, Len. Been
paid to do both.

> Nowhere in Title 47 C.F.R. is it stated that an AMATEUR
> radio license grant makes YOU "superior" to anyone in
> anything, regardless of license class.

I'm superior to you in some things, Len. That's just a plain,
simple fact. Live with it.

> So far in here, all you've done is to posture and post
> (seemingly endlessly) about how "right" you are in
> everything and how everyone who disagrees with you is
> so terribly "wrong."

Len, you're projecting your behavior onto others - again.

> >Does that somehow make
> >your opinions about amateur radio policy better or superior
> >to those of anyone else, Len?
>
> If I want to find out the correct spelling of the legal
> name of a President of the United States, I can find it.
> I don't need "other sources."

O rilly?

> So far your little toy bulldozer hasn't made much of a
> dent in that faux pas "spelling" ant-hill of yours.

Spelling isn't rocket science, Len.

> Here's a DOS Tip, "official sources:" Don't try to
> correct the USN, the Naval Academy, the Naval Bureau
> of Personnel, the official United States Navy History
> site, the state of Georgia, or the United States
> Government on the spelling of a former President's name.

I'm not trying to correct them, Len. I'm simply correcting
your mistakes....

> >I've had my hands inside all kinds of things, Len.
>
> Then isn't it time you washed your hands of this little
> spelling faux pas of yours?

You sure do get upset about nothing.

> >Besides - how do we know any of these "rocket scientist" claims
> >of yours are true? You're big on demanding "proof" of
> >all sorts of stuff, and rejecting people's claims. Why
> >should we accept your claims? Pictures and verbiage on
> >someone's website aren't "proof".
>
> Contact the Personnel Department of Rocketdyne Division
> of Boeing Aircraft in Canoga Park, CA.

> Do you need their mailing address? If so, contact your
> "other sources."

That's just one employer, Len.

> I can name ALL of my employers from part-time during
> high school onward, and have.

So can I. In fact I can name them back to part-time during *grade*
school.

So what?

> Have you done the same?
> No? Why not?

Why should I? What difference would it make to
amateur radio policy?

Anyone who has read your posts here long enough
knows how you react to other people's employment
information. There's *no* job someone could hold
or have held that would earn your civil behavior, let
alone respect, if that person disagrees with you.

> Perhaps those "other sources" have your employment
> details? I'm sure they must, since you carefully AVOID
> stating anything yourself.

Is there any reason I should?

> >Perhaps what really bothers you about K0HB is that
> >he has better stories, and tells them better, than you do.
>
> I have no stories of "CW operating," Jimmie.

Hans does. They're good stories, too.

> Never used morse code mode in over a half century,
> haven't been required to in either military or
> civilian occupations.

So what? There's lots of things I haven't done either, but
I don't make a point of bragging about them.
>
> As to "telling them better," show your chops as a
> PAID-by-others editor and wordsmith FIRST.

I've been paid to do both writing and editing, Len.

But such employment is not needed for me to express
an opinion of others' writing.

Hans tells better stories than you. And he tells them better.

They're concise, not wordy, yet all necessary details are
included. They are interesting from a human standpoint
as well as a radio standpoint, because they include a
theme that is universal (the supposed hotshot Young
Squirt getting his comeuppance for being a bit too brash).

Hans is able to express the pride, arrogance and embarrassment
in such a way as to be humorous rather than annoying. He's able
to laugh at himself in a way that makes the reader both laugh and
sympathize.

A good story well told.

> You are
> so highly biased in favor of morse mode that you are
> incapable of objectively critiquing any "story" for any
> mass media publication other than some "CW organization."

O rilly?

Seems to me, Len, that you are so highly biased against
Morse Code in any form that you are incapable of objectively
critiquing any story involving Morse Code, Amateur Radio, or
many other things.

> Hans sent me a copy of his "Speed Key" credential from
> the United States Navy.

Pretty neat isn't it?

> Do you have one of those?

I don't think I have a copy of Hans' Speed Key certification, no.
Perhaps he'll send me one if I ask nicely.

I do have Morse Code proficiency certificates. And an Amateur
Extra FCC license.

Is it wrong for me to have those things and be proud of them?

> Or do your "other sources" have it?

??
>
> You were never in the USN or USNR.

How do you know for sure, Len?

If you can somehow know for sure who has and has not
served in the US military services, then you know whether
or not K4YZ served in the US Marine Corps. Yet you demand
to see his DD-214.

I think you're just trolling.

> You were never in
> the Persian Gulf region.

How do you know for sure, Len?

> You were never in the service
> of the military of the United States.

How do you know for sure, Len?

And what does it matter? We've seen how you treat other veterans
if they disagree with you in any way.

> We (other than "other sources") don't know if you
> ever did any radio communications in professional/commercial

> radio other than AMATEUR.

What difference would it make?

> You are carefully ambiguous and
> non-specific about that. Just like Dudly the Imposter.
>
> [on living Presidents of the United States]
>
> >> >> None of them had any direct contact with amateur radio.
> >
> >> >How do you know for sure, Len?
> >
> >> If they did, QST would have had an orgasmic issue
> >> special! :-)
> >
> >Nope. They didn't have one about K7UGA.
>
> Senator Barry Goldwater was never elected President of the
> United States. He LOST.

That's true. But he was a candidate, yet there was never any
sort of special issue in QST. In fact, his candidacy and campaign
were hardly mentioned in that mag.

> Go play with your AMATEUR radios.

That I can do. You can't - not legally, anyway.

You're definitely Not Qualified
to operate an Amateur Radio Station.

1655. Re: Where's the beef?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 03:20:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134876027.056129.314330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127426

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > At one time I noted that most of the violations were awarded to the
> > > higher class licensees.
> >
> > But was that really true?
> >
> > Did you provide any statistics, or did it just seem that way to you?
>
> It's really true that I noted that. If you have unsupervised numbers
> to contradict me, you 're welcome to post them. This is America, after
> all.

IOW, you have no statistics to back up your claim.

> > >I don't know if that was an artifact of the
> > > FCC picking on them because they should know better, or if it had to do
> > > with the kind of attitudes of so many of the Extra's display on RRAP
> > > carrying over the the bands.
> >
> > Fun fact: The $42,000 fines for ex-KG6IRO have been upheld. When you
> > read what the guy did, it's pretty awful.
>
> KG6? From Guam?

>From Bell, California. Just a few miles from yer buddy Len's house
in Sun Valley. You know, the neighborhood zoned R1....
>
> > Guess what class of license he held. And how old he is.
>
> Ex-KG6IRO held no license (kind of the reason you had to say "ex").

He briefly held a Technician license back about 2000. Then FCC
figured out who he was and revoked the license.

> That was part of the problem.

The big part was things like deliberate interference to MARS
and other communications.
>
> How old he is?

He's 69 years old.

> Is there an age limit on hams?

Thankfully, there isn't.

1656. Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 03:43:47 UTC
From: Berry Cuda <NotRoger@anon>
Message-ID: <do2lofnrr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dear Santa,

This Christmas I would like a brain and some double A batteries to run it. Is Rudolph real? How is the North Pole? I would also like a bell from your sleigh and some crayons too. I color a lot and I was good all year and did not touch my sister one time. Can you put a rope through the hole in the bell and tie the rope to my neck so I can wear it every day and be a ding-a-ling?

Your friend
Todd

1657. Great Liberty Net 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 07:12:12 UTC
From: Egg Noggins <andibarfly@drunkenstumblebums.com>
Message-ID: <52674$43a519d8$d1cc413e$5338@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 127428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The Great Liberty Net is on the air RIGHT NOW
as of Sat/Sun-eve/morning on 3.950 LSB.

GREAT LISTENING!

Far better than Coast to Coast AM with "ole snore-you-to-sleep"

1658. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 08:48:32 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-1812050248320001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 127429

In article <99987017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
wrote:

> On 17 Dec 2005 14:05:55 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > sculptures were protected by the first amendment. I don't mess real
> > child porn or regular porn for that matter, I'm into anime and Hentai
> > and have been since the 70's. What other charges?? and what o'rielly
> > are you talking about??
>
> I talking about orielly of fox news and his orielly factor program.
> he goes after poeple who hurt the kids including bullies and poeple
> who think dirty about kids and have dirty pictures about kids becaues
> they are one step away from realy doing it and raping some 14 year old
> some day. he knows about poeple like you and why you think the stuff
> you do about little kids. it is sick the way you think just like
> steve says. orielly says the internet hurts to many poeple becaues of
> lies and kiddy porn on it and orielly says he will put a stop to it
> soon. orielly protecting the kids by that. I wrote email to orielly
> last week and tell him about rrap and you. you evil ways are toast
> and mark my word on it.

Cool, keep writing him, maybe I can get on his show hehehe

Todd N9OGL

1659. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 08:50:00 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-1812050250000001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 127430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <do2lofnrr0@pita.alt.net>, "Berry Cuda" <NotRoger@anon> wrote:

> Dear Santa,
>
> This Christmas I would like a brain and some double A batteries to run it.
> Is Rudolph real? How is the North Pole? I would also like a bell from your
> sleigh and some crayons too. I color a lot and I was good all year and did
> not touch my sister one time. Can you put a rope through the hole in the
> bell and tie the rope to my neck so I can wear it every day and be a
> ding-a-ling?
>
> Your friend
> Todd

Hmmm, sounds kind of like Dan

Todd N9OGL

1660. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 09:38:43 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134898723.007259.103040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127431
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Oops, I seem to have lost the other groups again.

Sorted!

Pierian Spring responded with justifiable indignation to LEIGH PREECE:
> Oh, I almost forgot your criminal breaches of
> the Telecommunications Act, the Forgery Act,
> and the Malicious Communications Act wherein
> you forged grossly offensive postings to the Internet
> that purported to have come from me.
>
> No, I don't think that you should work in schools.
>
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > You yourself published the remarks quoted below by repeating
> > them in the post which I quoted below. If you do not believe
> > them to be true, why did you repeat them?
> >
> > My opinion of you which has been caused by your own
> > one-sided campaign of vituperative bile, was brought
> > about by your obsession with me, your habituation with
> > the publishing of infantile and grossly offensive
> > comments, your cowardly use of pseudonyms behind
> > from which to further vent your spleen, your admission of guilt
> > by an apology (after which you continued to be abusive and
> > trying to justify your abuse thereby calling into question your
> > sincerity), and your attempts to justify your infantile outbursts,
> > is that you are of an immature, anti-social and maladjusted
> > personality that is a disgustingly poor example to give to
> > children and which means that you are a danger to children
> > and should not be employed in _ANY_ capacity in _ANY_ school.
> >
> > Your childish outbursts and my indignant responses to them have
> > occurred
> > entirely within the public forum of this NG. If there are any issues
> > arising therefrom, then this NG is the place to raise them, entirely
> > open and above board. I see no reason to run away and hide in
> > a cowardly fashion in an email discourse. This very-public situation
> > has come about entirely by your own action, and it is a sign of your
> > continuing infantility that you wish to suppress public criticism of
> > yourself and your public childishness.
> >
> > Try growing up.

> >

1661. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 09:50:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134899427.936860.299060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127433

No dave it was because according to Stebie I was sending him
threatening letters, which were in fact fake. The "fight" going on here
is the fact that they think the material on my BBS is illegal when in
fact it's not.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg135/index.php Demented Otaku Lolicon Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/hamradio/index.php The Big Board of Ham Radio
http://12.156.123.39/intelligentsia/index.php The World of the
Intelligentsia
http://12.156.123.39/amateur/index.php Dimension Unbound BBS

1662. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 09:51:40 UTC
From: Not Roger II <NotRoger@annon>
Message-ID: <do3ba8khp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:toddaug555-1812050250000001@12.156.123.39...
In article <do2lofnrr0@pita.alt.net>, "Berry Cuda" <NotRoger@anon> wrote:

> Dear Santa,
>
> This Christmas I would like a brain and some double A batteries to run it.
> Is Rudolph real? How is the North Pole? I would also like a bell from your
> sleigh and some crayons too. I color a lot and I was good all year and did
> not touch my sister one time. Can you put a rope through the hole in the
> bell and tie the rope to my neck so I can wear it every day and be a
> ding-a-ling?
>
> Your friend
> Todd

Hmmm, sounds kind of like Dan

Todd N9OGL

//
Dan left this group two months ago. You should do the same.

1663. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 09:52:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134899552.105122.277810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127434

FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Path:
g2news1.google.com!news4.google.com!news2.volia.net!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.
org!not-for-mail
From: Todd <t...@nospam.moc>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine
Date: Sat, 17 Dec 2005 19:57:58 -0600
Organization: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <do2fmt$2at$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
References: <1133741678.515694.64560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1133800193.504617.302450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1133878094.531291.235760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1134482663.534843.306450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1134516022.362164.113790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1134656393.536480.190180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1134673680.564133.246020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1134829662.192690.139050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1134853994.341515.286620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<gR0pf.3970$n1.418@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: g/1+7Xba+bYm+kxHzc/XZw.user.domitilla.aioe.org
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
In-Reply-To:
X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4)
Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> You still have told us all what you plan to do about it.......lying
>> again steve??
>
>
> Didn't you get bounced from an ISP the last time you wrote something
> like the above?
>
> Dave K8MN

I laugh in you face. ahahahahahahah!!!

1664. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 09:54:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134899670.764980.59130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127435

FAKE!!!!!

Path:
g2news1.google.com!news4.google.com!border1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.giganews.com!newsfe
ed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!tiscali!newsfeed1.ip.tiscali.net!nntp.eutelia.it!news.new
sland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
From: Todd <t...@nospam.moc>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine
Date: Fri, 16 Dec 2005 12:40:31 -0600
Organization: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <dnv1mqhfd1@domitilla.aioe.org>
References: <1133741678.515694.64560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1133800193.504617.302450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1133878094.531291.235760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<dn4a4p$j94$0@pita.alt.net>
<1133903407.898854.38330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<dn54g1$el7$0@pita.alt.net>
<1134165830.044997.234420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1134335986.193967.125270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1134656260.767621.224820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1134684711.111044.72360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<dnssou$o5p$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: g/1+7Xba+bYm+kxHzc/XZw.user.domitilla.aioe.org
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
In-Reply-To:
X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4)
Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1

Lloyd II wrote:

> LOL at the Glendale retard. You better get back to cleaning out those
> port-a-potties 2test.
>
>
> 2test, "I'm not Roger", gets to pluck the large chunks from the
> port-a-potties whereupon he uses them to make Port-a-Potty Pies.
> He has Thursdays off, too.

he wont read it becaues he is realy in jail. he has to serve some of his time in jail and the rest under house arrest. suposably he has to many dui tickets but no one is for sure.

1665. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 10:16:44 UTC
From: Lloyd II <marie@annon>
Message-ID: <do3cpbqim0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127436

>
> 2test, "I'm not Roger", gets to pluck the large chunks from the
> port-a-potties whereupon he uses them to make Port-a-Potty Pies.
> He has Thursdays off, too.

he wont read it becaues he is realy in jail. he has to serve some of
his time in jail and the rest under house arrest. suposably he has to
many dui tickets but no one is for sure..

//

That would explain his absence. It must be lonely for Roger...laying on that
steel bed in hopes of being released soon.
Roger's plight is almost as sad as living at home with Mommy and Daddy.

1666. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 11:06:15 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do3fqtum1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127437

Lloyd wrote:
> On 17 Dec 2005 14:05:55 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>sculptures were protected by the first amendment. I don't mess real
>>child porn or regular porn for that matter, I'm into anime and Hentai
>>and have been since the 70's. What other charges?? and what o'rielly
>>are you talking about??
>
>
> I talking about orielly of fox news and his orielly factor program.
> he goes after poeple who hurt the kids including bullies and poeple
> who think dirty about kids and have dirty pictures about kids becaues
> they are one step away from realy doing it and raping some 14 year old
> some day. he knows about poeple like you and why you think the stuff
> you do about little kids. it is sick the way you think just like
> steve says. orielly says the internet hurts to many poeple becaues of
> lies and kiddy porn on it and orielly says he will put a stop to it
> soon. orielly protecting the kids by that. I wrote email to orielly
> last week and tell him about rrap and you. you evil ways are toast
> and mark my word on it.

Please be nice Mr lloyd because I am just a hobbyest who collects fun drawings of things like little naked tied up girls with cum all over their faces. it is just a bunch of drawings YOU GODDAMNED BITCH!!!! Get over it. It is legal.

1667. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 11:07:38 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do3fteum2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127438

I laugh in you face. ahahahahahahah!!!

N9OGL wrote:
> FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

1668. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 11:08:07 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do3fuaum3@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127439

I laugh in you face. ahahahahahahah!!!

N9OGL wrote:
> FAKE!!!!!

1669. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 11:14:09 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do3g9l$19a$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127440

N9OGL wrote:
>>I laugh in you face. ahahahahahaha!!!
>
> YOUR A FUCKING DICK HEAD YOU FUCKING FAKE!!!
>

I no have drawings of little girls with cum on faces like you.

I laugh in you face. ahahahahahaha!!!

1670. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 11:24:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134905060.082494.287060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127441

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
> > > a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
> > >
> > Some objectors did amazing things, too:
> >
> > http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html
> >
> > http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm
> >
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Welp, Jim, I thought you were covertly trying to get us to find your
> "service in other ways"

Why would anyone think that?

It's not about me.

1671. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 11:30:32 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43a54827.84463036@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 127442
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"tox" <tox@toxtox.co.com> wrote:

> No worse than DSP, heat bands, polarised resistors, fettling, slope
> detection, big k and
> of course, lol...unclear emissions!

if i didnt know any better i'd swear you have bits of twine attached to
your wrists and the othr ends of the twine lead to somewhere in kent.

1672. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 11:51:12 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 127443
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11q97mfbt474cda@corp.supernews.com...
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:dnuq09$61c$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> >> >> Pray for me to win the lottery please :O)
> >> >>
> >> >> Regards,
> >> >> Graham
> >> >
> >> > You have to watch the movie "Bruce Almighty". I did that once!
14,000
> >> > winners of $17 each or something like that :-0
> >> >
> >>
> >> I watched that movie 4 times, I didn't win any money.
> >
> > That's because Amos (eyy up Mr wilks ;o) wasn't praying for you...
> >

> Well that's not very Christian like of him.

Im sure he would pray for you if you asked him to, but please don't ask him
to pray for you until next week as I want to win it this week ;o)

Regards,
Graham
--
_._. _... ._. ._ _.. .. _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

1673. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 13:03:21 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134911000.965501.306290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127444
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

By "kent", do you mean "trousers"?
(along the lines of Oxford Bags)

zYYPK wrote:
> "tox" <tox@toxtox.co.com> wrote:
>
> > No worse than DSP, heat bands, polarised resistors, fettling, slope
> > detection, big k and
> > of course, lol...unclear emissions!
>
>
> if i didnt know any better i'd swear you have bits of twine attached to
> your wrists and the othr ends of the twine lead to somewhere in kent.

1674. Toiddie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 14:08:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134914913.435199.306170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127445

N9OGL wrote:
> No dave it was because according to Stebie I was sending him
> threatening letters, which were in fact fake.

You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of profanity and irresponsible behaviour.

And judging from what I see now, looks like it's time to get your dial-up fired too!

Steve, K4YZ

1675. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 14:10:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134915051.711458.227570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127446

an_old_friend wrote:
> kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:

Why do you keep misattributing things others wrote to me, Markie?

Paranoid?

Steve, K4YZ

1676. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 14:21:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1134915698.319828.82760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 07:49:16 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:

> >For once Dudly is right.

Sorry, Frankie...

I've been "right" all along.

> >There were no appeals and both convictions
> >stand. The vindication of my second infraction was the result of a
> >seperate entry made as the result of a review that I requested after
> >my discharge. The violation leading to the conviction was found to
> >have "mitigating circumstances that should have been considered [by
> >the presiding officer] but were not," and that I "was denied evidence,
> >and the opportunity to gather and present certain evidence" relevant
> >to my defense. The conviction was not overturned (since it was not an
> >appeal) but my conduct marks were adjusted accordingly, and my
> >discharge upgraded to Honorable.

Gee...I never had to worry about getting ANYthing "upgraded" to
"Honorable"...It was that way all along.

> well thanks for clearing it up Id say it was an appeal of sorts but
> then try not to quible when I can

There was a blatant lie.

> >Oh, I'm sure he served alright. And I'm sure he served all of 18 years
> >as he claimed. But I'm also sure that his time was spent almost
> >entirely in garrison, that nothing on his record is outstanding, that
> >he probably got a few Page 11 entries, and that his discharge was not
> >an honorable retirement but a general discharge, probably for medical
> >reasons

Still tilting at windmills, I see. Tsk tsk tsk. Poor Frankie.

> >(suggested by his current career in the medical field, which,
> >according to statistics gathered by psychologists, happens to be the
> >preferred occupational field for hypochondriacs).
> he claims that his discharge is medical

Let's see you pony up those "statistics" from those psychologists,
Frankie.

Published papers or reports?

I say you're just lying...Again...

> > In other words, he
> >served 18 years as a sick-bay commando. Certainly nothing to be proud
> >of, nothing to brag about, and nothing he will ever publically admit.
> >Hence his silence about his "military career". And the epitath that
> >will be imprinted on his tombstone will be, "I Told You I Was Sick",
> >just like the one I saw on a PBS special last night.
>
> so your thought run pretty close to mine if not in the same mold

Of course you're in "the same mold", Markie.

Both of you are lying. That's what Feeble Fivers do best.

Of course Frankie isn't functionally illiterate or careless with
his written word like you are, but then a lie is a lie no matter how
well presented it may appear.

> interesting as I suspect we are rather different but we share the
> noton that at least when lie under our tombstones an eitaff can read
> "well at least we lived out lives"

Uhhhhhh...that shou;d read ..."Lived Our Lies".

> >Just let Dudly keep running his mouth -- the more he talks the more he
> >proves that he's a socially isolated misfit with serious psychological
> >issues.

Coming from a twice disgraced ex-Marine, I find that laughable on
oh-so-many levels!

> >He's like a cat in a box: shake the box and he thrashes around
> >for a while. Let him calm down then shake the box again. Heck, that's
> >better entertainment than anything on TV!!!
>
> Forgiveme if I wish I could call you liar on that one

Oh...WAIT a minute, Markie!

I thought YOU were one of the guys who said that a "different
opinion" did NOT make one a "liar"...

Guess we have MORE proof that NOTHING you say is to be taken for
granted or face value!

Steve, K4YZ

1677. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 14:37:12 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134916632.333413.24000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127448
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

I suggest that you learn something about computers - the
qsl web site was working well in the 5 minutes immediately before
I wrote my original post (the one that got lost).

And, on checking now, it is still working; I wouldn't follow
if the roles were reversed, but you
may like to try the following....

http://www.qsl.net/m5gwh/about%20me.htm

So, what happened? Did the infantile and aggressive persona
that you present to this NG result in you getting sacked as
someone being unsuitable to have contact with chidren?

Leigh Preece wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > (Previous post seems to have got lost, I though I'd replied,
> > perhaps I mistakenly emailed the CBer instead?)
> >
> > I do not claim that you work in a school. I only state that
> > the infantile and maladjusted persona that you present
> > renders you unsuitable to work in _ANY_ school in
> > _ANY_ capacity.
> >
> > The only claim to be working in a school, Maryhill High
> > School in Kidsgrove, comes in an entry in QSL.NET for
> > someone with the same name as you, and with the
> > CBer's callsign, M5GWH.
>
> You will notice that the website no longer works and what is there has
> not been updated since February 2004 - so you are basing your knowledge
> on old and incorrect information.

1678. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 14:46:56 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134917216.802214.114720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127449
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

I mean, in this report you seem to be the bee's knees,.....

http://www.holdthefrontpage.co.uk/news/2003/12dec/031222med.shtml

But now you're suggesting that you're persona non grata?

What happened?

Or is this merely your attempt at FUD/Disinformation, and you
were given an official warning the last time that you brought
Maryhill High School into disrepute?

Pierian Spring wrote:
> I suggest that you learn something about computers - the
> qsl web site was working well in the 5 minutes immediately before
> I wrote my original post (the one that got lost).
>
> And, on checking now, it is still working; I wouldn't follow
> if the roles were reversed, but you
> may like to try the following....
>
> http://www.qsl.net/m5gwh/about%20me.htm
>
> So, what happened? Did the infantile and aggressive persona
> that you present to this NG result in you getting sacked as
> someone being unsuitable to have contact with chidren?
>
> Leigh Preece wrote:
> > Pierian Spring wrote:
> > > (Previous post seems to have got lost, I though I'd replied,
> > > perhaps I mistakenly emailed the CBer instead?)
> > >
> > > I do not claim that you work in a school. I only state that
> > > the infantile and maladjusted persona that you present
> > > renders you unsuitable to work in _ANY_ school in
> > > _ANY_ capacity.
> > >
> > > The only claim to be working in a school, Maryhill High
> > > School in Kidsgrove, comes in an entry in QSL.NET for
> > > someone with the same name as you, and with the
> > > CBer's callsign, M5GWH.
> >
> > You will notice that the website no longer works and what is there has

> > not been updated since February 2004 - so you are basing your knowledge
> > on old and incorrect information.

1679. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 15:24:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4bfpf.4151$n1.1791@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127500

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm
>>>> Jim has tatoos?
>>> I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
>>> James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
>>> film released in the 1950s.
>> Robert Mitchum. 1954. Night of the Hunter from the novel by Davis Grubb.
>> The author was from up the road in Moundsville. The story is set in
>> this area.
>>
>>> That character had L-O-V-E on one
>>> hand, H-A-T-E on the other...liked to off folks that didn't
>>> believe in him.
>> Believing in him had nothing to do with it. He killed prostitutes and
>> dancers because he thought they were evil and he killed widows for their
>> money. The guy wasn't even a real preacher.
>>
>> Don't you get anything right?

> Did they ever catch him, or is he still running around the hills of
> Moundsville?

I can see how you'd become confused. It was a movie, Brian. You can
rest easy now.

> Was he a ham preacher?

No, he was a ham salad sandwich.

You may now continue your red-hatted monkey routine. Perhaps the old
organ grinder will crank up another tune so you can dance for us.

Dave K8MN

1680. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 15:40:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134920407.493906.238780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127501

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm
> >>>> Jim has tatoos?
> >>> I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
> >>> James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
> >>> film released in the 1950s.
> >> Robert Mitchum. 1954. Night of the Hunter from the novel by Davis Grubb.
> >> The author was from up the road in Moundsville. The story is set in
> >> this area.
> >>
> >>> That character had L-O-V-E on one
> >>> hand, H-A-T-E on the other...liked to off folks that didn't
> >>> believe in him.
> >> Believing in him had nothing to do with it. He killed prostitutes and
> >> dancers because he thought they were evil and he killed widows for their
> >> money. The guy wasn't even a real preacher.
> >>
> >> Don't you get anything right?
>
> > Did they ever catch him, or is he still running around the hills of
> > Moundsville?
>
> I can see how you'd become confused. It was a movie, Brian. You can
> rest easy now.
>
> > Was he a ham preacher?
>
> No, he was a ham salad sandwich.
>
> You may now continue your red-hatted monkey routine. Perhaps the old
> organ grinder will crank up another tune so you can dance for us.
>
> Dave K8MN

Thanks, Dave. You can always be counted on to call names and ridicule
people (profile).

1681. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 15:42:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Hrfpf.4157$n1.2749@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127502

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 15 Dec 2005 02:44:08 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <YL4of.224$mj1.69@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> <snip>
>> You are to amateur radio
>> as a grand piano to a NASCAR race.

> You might need to rent a few extra brain cells to understand this...

Where do you rent yours?

> ...but I think you just paid Len a compliment.

You think wrong. Len is as unnecessary and irrelevant to amateur radio
as the piano would be to the NASCAR race.

He is the golf club at a baseball game.
He is the knife at a gunfight.
He is the bastard child at the family reunion.

Does that clear it up for you?

Dave K8MN

1682. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 15:51:49 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.20986f@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.20986f@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 127536

N9OGL Wrote:
>
> http://12.156.123.39/intelligentsia/index.php The World of the
> Intelligentsia
>

Todd has been promoting this "Intelligentsia" forum for several days, so out of curiousity we looked to see what he could possibly be presenting as "intelligent" on a forum, and what population of "Intelligentsia" would flock to such a board.

Imagine how un-suprised we were to find that there were NO messages (none, zero, nada, ZIPPO) posted by the "Intelligentsia". Even more un-surprising is that fact that the flock of "Intelligentsia" who are registered at that forum (besides Toddd) numbers (you guessed it) exactly NONE, even though it's been hyped in Todds sig line for quite some time now.

The Man in the Maze
QRL at Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

1683. Re: Where's the beef?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:00:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134921615.658535.95260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127503

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > At one time I noted that most of the violations were awarded to the
> > > > higher class licensees.
> > >
> > > But was that really true?
> > >
> > > Did you provide any statistics, or did it just seem that way to you?
> >
> > It's really true that I noted that. If you have unsupervised numbers
> > to contradict me, you 're welcome to post them. This is America, after
> > all.
>
> IOW, you have no statistics to back up your claim.

IOW, your role here is to merely cast aspersions without having any
unsupervised numbers whatsoever.

> > > >I don't know if that was an artifact of the
> > > > FCC picking on them because they should know better, or if it had to do
> > > > with the kind of attitudes of so many of the Extra's display on RRAP
> > > > carrying over the the bands.
> > >
> > > Fun fact: The $42,000 fines for ex-KG6IRO have been upheld. When you
> > > read what the guy did, it's pretty awful.
> >
> > KG6? From Guam?
>
> >From Bell, California. Just a few miles from yer buddy Len's house
> in Sun Valley. You know, the neighborhood zoned R1....

Never been there. Is it a nice R1?

> > > Guess what class of license he held. And how old he is.
> >
> > Ex-KG6IRO held no license (kind of the reason you had to say "ex").
>
> He briefly held a Technician license back about 2000. Then FCC
> figured out who he was and revoked the license.

Good. I am for getting rid of the bad elements regardless of license
class. Back in '98 concerning the restructuring, I told the FCC that
what bothered be most about restructuring was a lack of enforcement and

what bothered me most about maintaining the status quo was a lack of
enforcement.

> > That was part of the problem.
>
> The big part was things like deliberate interference to MARS
> and other communications.

Not really. I hate to break it to you Jim, but MARS communications do
not fall under AMATEUR RADIO, nor the FCC's jurisdiction. This guy
could have ended up in a secret prison in Rumania. ;^)

> > How old he is?
>
> He's 69 years old.

Prime age for bowel problems. Makes 'em cranky.

> > Is there an age limit on hams?
>
> Thankfully, there isn't.

As long as "thier" lucid.

1684. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:07:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134922024.898383.294420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127504

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
> > > > a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
> > > >
> > > Some objectors did amazing things, too:
> > >
> > > http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html
> > >
> > > http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Welp, Jim, I thought you were covertly trying to get us to find your
> > "service in other ways"
>
> Why would anyone think that?
>
> It's not about me.

Were you an objector? Did you serve in other ways?

1685. Re: More Markie Mularkie, was: i wiosh i understoof why my asshole hubby does this shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:17:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134922668.840070.155630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>
> > Forgiveme if I wish I could call you liar on that one
>
> Oh...WAIT a minute, Markie!
>
> I thought YOU were one of the guys who said that a "different
> opinion" did NOT make one a "liar"...

And you are one of the guys who says it does.

> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, why do you edit headings and forge "raped an old friend?" Why?

bb

1686. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:18:37 UTC
From: Leigh Preece <news@leighs.org>
Message-ID: <xZfpf.733$NI4.304@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 127506
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Pierian Spring wrote:
> I mean, in this report you seem to be the bee's knees,.....
>
> http://www.holdthefrontpage.co.uk/news/2003/12dec/031222med.shtml
>
> But now you're suggesting that you're persona non grata?
>
> What happened?
>
> Or is this merely your attempt at FUD/Disinformation, and you
> were given an official warning the last time that you brought
> Maryhill High School into disrepute?

Old news.

1687. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:20:22 UTC
From: Leigh Preece <news@leighs.org>
Message-ID: <a%fpf.734$Nl4.208@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 127507
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Pierian Spring wrote:

> I suggest that you learn something about computers - the
> qsl web site was working well in the 5 minutes immediately before
> I wrote my original post (the one that got lost).

It's a not a site - but a page.

> And, on checking now, it is still working; I wouldn't follow
> if the roles were reversed, but you
> may like to try the following....
>
> http://www.qsl.net/m5gwh/about%20me.htm

Ermm - that's a webpage from a defunct site - an orphan page with no
parent - so literally just existing and not referenced.

1688. I get shit faced before I post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:21:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <s23bq1tg324pal8linch8l7q1n6qpvt84h@4ax.com>
Source record: 127508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

http://www.scatmen.com/graphics/scatmen03_1x1.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1689. brian burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:22:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <a53bq15f5ngmtgkradbtfrmhq5ednslm1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 127509

gave me herpes

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1690. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:38:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6ggpf.5980$Tg2.3744@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127510

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Some have L-O-V-E on one hand, H-A-T-E on the other... :-)

Your crowd has "H-A-T-E" on both hands? :-) :-) :-)

Dave K8MN

1691. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:51:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7sgpf.4183$n1.2333@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127511

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 14, 6:22 pm
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm
>
>>>>> Jim has tatoos?
>>>> I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
>>>> James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
>>>> film released in the 1950s.

>>> Robert Mitchum. 1954. Night of the Hunter from the novel by Davis Grubb.
>>> The author was from up the road in Moundsville. The story is set in
>>> this area.
>
> Hmmmm...that explains a lot about Davie Heil's character...:-)

How so? Neither Robert Mitchum nor the character he played came from
this area. I wasn't in the movie.

>
>>>> That character had L-O-V-E on one
>>>> hand, H-A-T-E on the other...liked to off folks that didn't
>>>> believe in him.

>>> Believing in him had nothing to do with it. He killed prostitutes and
>>> dancers because he thought they were evil and he killed widows for their
>>> money. The guy wasn't even a real preacher.
>
> I am imagining Davie Heil with C-O-D-E on one hand, T-E-S-T on
> the other. :-)

Do yours read "NOCW" and "TEST"?

> Running around killing the NCTA because he thinks they were evil.
>
> Sounds VERY familiar! :-)

It might to you, but then again, you got the original story wrong too.
The book's author, Davis Grubb had a hard time with reality. In one
interview, he said that he could remember that whenever an execution
took place at the prison in Moundsville, the lights all over town would
dim. That would have been something since, when Grubb was living in
Moundsville, executions were by hanging. Electrocution wasn't begun
until the 1950's.

>
>> Did they ever catch him, or is he still running around the hills of
>> Moundsville?
>>
>> Was he a ham preacher?
>
> He is apparently of the undead, this time inhabiting the corpus
> of a corpulent K8 ham?

You've really not watched the movie in some time. Another of Grubb's books was turned into a movie called "Fool's Parade" with James Stewart, George Kennedy and Kurt Russell. It was shot on location in Moundsville and Marshall County in 1970. You'd have been a natural "Fool's Parade" extra.

> "Corp diem?"

"Corpus"

Don't you get anything right?

Dave K8MN

1692. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 16:55:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cwgpf.4186$n1.566@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127512

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1134612831.728178.110080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1134522669.527007.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>>>>>>>>>>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> [snip]
>
>> Is that why the FCC gives ALL power priveleges to their ENTRY LEVEL
>> LICENSEES?
>>
>
> Entry level licensees do NOT have all power privileges. Technicians with
> code are an entry level license. On HF frequencies, they are limited to 200
> watts output.

Now you've gone and spoiled a perfectly good rant, Dee.

Dave K8MN

1693. Re: i wiosh i understoof why my asshole hubby does this shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 17:11:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IKgpf.4193$n1.4172@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127513

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 07:49:16 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>> For once Dudly is right. There were no appeals and both convictions
>> stand. The vindication of my second infraction was the result of a
>> seperate entry made as the result of a review that I requested after
>> my discharge. The violation leading to the conviction was found to
>> have "mitigating circumstances that should have been considered [by
>> the presiding officer] but were not," and that I "was denied evidence,
>> and the opportunity to gather and present certain evidence" relevant
>> to my defense. The conviction was not overturned (since it was not an
>> appeal) but my conduct marks were adjusted accordingly, and my
>> discharge upgraded to Honorable.
>
> well thanks for clearing it up Id say it was an appeal of sorts but
> then try not to quible when I can

You'd say it was an appeal, except that it wasn't and you "quible"
whenever you can.

> so your thought run pretty close to mine if not in the same mold
>
> interesting as I suspect we are rather different but we share the
> noton that at least when lie under our tombstones an eitaff can read
> "well at least we lived out lives"

You'd like on top of, beside or under your tombstone. Love your
"eitaff". That's about as mediocre as it gets. Maybe you could use
"Well, at least he wasn't Richard Simmons".

Dave K8MN

1694. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 17:19:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ASgpf.4194$n1.3860@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127514

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K0HB on Dec 14, 9:18 pm
>
>
>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote

> No stories about "SUQ"-ing anything or high-speed morse nor of
> saving the fleet in the Persian Gulf nor of being "hotshits"
> with a code key. Just quiet massive military communications,
> over three dozen high-power HF transmitters, doing the Army
> Signal Corps' job of "getting the message through" 24/7. A
> part of the military that wasn't glamorous, didn't wear flashy
> or cute uniforms, and bore the brunt of national defense as it
> always has since 1776...with the casualty rates the highest of
> any branch, from battlefields of Pennsylvania to the Persian
> Gulf area of Dubya's rule.

The Signal Corps has the highest casualty rate, or did you mean the U.S.
Army? Is that something to brag about?

> Has Dudly the Imposter (aka "K4YZ") EVER posted ANYTHING
> ANYHWERE about his glorious heroic 18 years of USMC "service"
> in "seven hostile actions?" NO. A resounding NO!

A resounding "Not to your knowledge"!
> Tsk, just how much do you
> demand of all others? Besides "hotshot morse op" stories
> that is? Sorry, I don't have any such stories...never used
> any morse code at anytime in the last 52 years...haven't been
> required to.

Exactly. Are you the kind of guy who only does what he is required to
do? :-)

> But...it is "perfectly okay" to let Dudly the Imposter go on
> and on. Never mind that he shames the entire U.S. military
> establishment.

He does? Could you offer us any proof of your claim?

> Never mind that he doesn't speak well for the
> "highest class" of United States amateur radio.

What is any of that to you? You aren't involved in U.S. or any other
amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

1695. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 17:24:30 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1134926670.660726.238160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127515
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

No, you are quite wrong on two counts.....
1. The qsl web site is definitely a site and not a page.

2. "http://www.qsl.net/m5gwh/about%20me.htm" is not
an orphan at all, because if you try for "http://www.qsl.net/m5gwh",
you get access to a fairly extensive directory.

I suggest that you learn something about computers.

Leigh Preece wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > I suggest that you learn something about computers - the
> > qsl web site was working well in the 5 minutes immediately before
> > I wrote my original post (the one that got lost).
>
> It's a not a site - but a page.
>
> > And, on checking now, it is still working; I wouldn't follow
> > if the roles were reversed, but you
> > may like to try the following....
> > http://www.qsl.net/m5gwh/about%20me.htm
>
> Ermm - that's a webpage from a defunct site - an orphan page with no
> parent - so literally just existing and not referenced.

1696. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 17:25:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YXgpf.4195$n1.1177@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127516

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K0HB" on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 3:22 am

>> Because it's so much fun to watch you and Len twitch and snarl when I jerk that
>> chain.
>
> ...and it give you a chance to relate your "hotshot CW op" stories
> all about how good you were with morsemanship as radio hero of some
> fleet or other IN the Persian Gulf area.

If that's what it was, why would it bother you? That isn't, however,
what the story was about. It was about someone else being a "hotshot CW
op".

> Hey, why don't you take down your "bragging rights" certificate as
> one of the senior morse hot shots and digitize it, make it available
> to all of us to see and admire and gush over?

I believe he did that. You couldn't see it.

> Meanwhile, some USMC IMPOSTER runs around in here, boasting and
> hollering and insulting others, yet hasn't supplied ONE proof
> of anything. That one is an amateur extra. One of your brethren.

Really? Who is he?

> Was there one? I thought this was a morseblog where the only
> acceptible "discussions" were the glory days of morsemanship.

...and you're sore because you don't have any tales to share? "Acceptable"

Dave K8MN

1697. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 17:29:24 UTC
From: Terry <tsanford@nf.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <R%gpf.806$1Y4.19181@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 127517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Lloyd" <manager@distrmgrppizza.net> wrote in message
news:dns5r8$8mh$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Sighhhhhhhh, old frustrated white men, who never tire of
> blabbing about how great they once were.....
> borrrrrrrrrrrrrrrring.
>
No, not boring at all.
And no need for anyone to belittle the skill of 'brass pounders'!
A most interesting read for anyone with an appreciation of high quality
'basic' communications.
I am a lifelong telecommunications employee who can but read the occasional
CW character (slowly)!
Thank you.

1698. Re: Toiddie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 17:52:35 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do47kq$r0o$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127518

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>No dave it was because according to Stebie I was sending him
>>threatening letters, which were in fact fake.
>
>
> You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
> you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
> profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
>
> And judging from what I see now, looks like it's time to get your
> dial-up fired too!

you insane jealous of my looks and want to screw me over but I not
afraid. I laugh in you face. ahahahahahahah !!!

1699. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 18:08:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bAhpf.4202$n1.3427@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127519

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 4:14 am
>
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 13, 7:32 pm
>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>>>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>>>>>>>>>>>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>> The starting path under discussion was the path to an amateur radio
>> license. You haven't taken the first step on that path.
>
> "The journey of a thousand miles begins with a single
> step"...some ancient Chinese proverb, I suppose.

Lao Tzu.

I found some Chinese proverbs which seem quite fitting to your role here:

"A crane is too obvious when it stands among a flock of chickens and
looks very awkward. It is also true with a camel amidst a flock of sheep
and a flea when it stands on top of a hairless head. They all carry a
pejoritary tone: the thing that outstands others is something awkward if
not necessarily bad."

You are the crane, the camel or the flea.

"There is an argument between a bird who stopped to drank at a well and
a frog therein. They were arguing about how the sky looked like.
Regarding where they were, they each had a different view. The frog's
vision was of course very limited. Therefore, this proverb refers to
somebody who has a very narrow-minded and insulated view of what they
see or what they think."

You are the frog.

> I obtained a COMMERCIAL radio operator license 49 years ago.
> First Class, one test, no repeats necessary.

Yeah? So?

> WHY was it "required" that I obtain an amateur license?

Who ever told you that it was?

>>>> Was it necessary to punish amateurs?

>> Who was "punished"?

> You tell us. You are the one into the dominatrix role.

No, *you* need to tell us. You wrote of amateur radio ops being
punished over incentive licensing. Back up your claim.
>
>>>>>> but you find a way to personalize it.

>>>>> The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.
>>>> They affected everyone after you as well.

>>>>> They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.

>>>> You're simply wrong on that one, Quitefine.

>>> Lots of us radio pros without amateur licenses just didn't bother
>>> to get an amateur license...not necessarily as a result of
>>> "changes of 1968 or 1969."

>> That's fine, Len. Nobody says you have to get ana amateur radio
>> license.
>
> "Ana amateur radio license?"
>
> Ah, but YOU already said I had some kind of moral imperative
> to get an amateur radio license. Hypocrite.

No one has told you anything of the kind. That's another of your
factual errors.

>> But it does seem a bit odd that you're expending so much
>> time and energy on the requirements for a license you aren't
>> going to get...
>
> "Not going to get?" Who said that...besides YOU?

Why, *you* said it.
>
> I'm just wanting the morse code test for an amateur radio
> license eliminated.

That's at least the third version you've told here. Previously, you've
waffled between the other two--that you were going to get the "Extra
right out of the box or that you weren't going to obtain an amateur
radio license.

> Why are YOU "spending so much time and energy" trying to
> throw shit on all of those desiring that test element 1
> deletion?

Doing what?

> What are you afraid of? Loss of your personal status,
> title, and privileges?

What are you afraid of, Len? That radio amateurs won't show you the
respect which you feel is your due? That you won't get into amateur
radio before you're past your expiration date?

>
>>> What the heck, I'd already started
>>> 15 and 14 years before in HF comms where the operating
>>> environment was a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER on all concerned than any
>>> amateur activity.

>> How was it "a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER", Len? I saw your "My 3 Years" thing.
>
> The amateur radio service does not require its licensees to
> wage war and kill the enemy.

Did you wage war or kill an enemy?

> The military "field days" were not little outings in a park
> once a year.

Did you ever participate in a military "field day"?

> Amateur radio doesn't operate in an environment of high
> explosive ordinance going off nearby.

Did you operate in an environment of high explosive ordinance going off
nearby?

>> And why all the comparisons? You seem to feel a need to prove that you
>> had it "TOUGHER" than anybody else.....
>
> To use a quaint and traditional military phrase, "Fuckin-A!"

Then I suppose you're disappointed that you're efforts toward proving it
have fallen a little short.

> Yes, sweetums, I - and every other military person - had it
> TOUGHER than you civilians safe at home.

Really? How tough was the rear area life in Japan, Len? I don't recall
my military service as having been very TOUGH.

>>> Problem is, Jimmie doesn't think that others can think differently
>>> so he doesn't think about the thousands of newcomers who MIGHT want
>>> to get into amateur radio.

>> Len, I don't have any problem thinking others can think differently.
>> That doesn't mean I must agree with them.
>
> Then why does your lofty highness insist all MUST agree
> with YOUR opinions?

What's with your schtick here, Leonard? Your posts seem to indicate
that you believe that all MUST agree with YOUR opinions.

>> There's no specification for a lot of things in Part 97, yet there's no
>> problem.
>
> Yes there is. License test regulations REQUIRE a code test for
> any class having below-30-MHz operation privileges...BUT...the
> FCC does not mandate all amateur USING morse code modes over
> and above any other mode. All are optional.

Well now! Yessir, that presents a real dilemma, doesn't it. You should
be able to suck it up. After all, your military service was way TOUGHER
than this easy civilian stuff.

>>> There's been two whole years of 18 Petitions commented on at length
>>> since the end of WRC-03 and now NPRM 05-143 which can settle the
>>> morse code testing for a license issue.

>> Probably. But you won't be satisfied with that, despite your frequent
>> claims of only wanting to eliminate the Morse Code test.
>
> Jimmie Noserve, GIVE UP trying to tell me "what I will do."
>
> You don't have the authority nor the qualifications to be ME
> nor judgemental on "what I will do."

We can only go by what you've written, Len.

>>>>> Why can't Technicians operate on 14.026? Why can't hams operate on
>>>>> 13.976?

>>>> And there you go with the ultimatums and strawmen.

>>> Jimmie with newsgroup wordplay again. About this point, Hans will
>>> jump in saying you are "simply mistaken" and babbling about how
>>> the "IARU and ITU" are different or other semi-sweet non-sequitur.

>> Can't answer the questions, eh?
>
> Jimmie, you present NO valid questions. Ergo, no valid answers
> required.

The questions were valid enough. You just didn't answer them.

>> You constantly bring up much older history ("My 3 Years") that doesn't
>> apply to anything NOW....
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, that's an entirely different "discussion"

> concerning overt LYING of military service by Dudly the
> Imposter (aka "K4YZ").

Your tales precede your manufacturer of the term "Dudly the Imposter" by
quite some time.

> I brought up a VALID example some years ago on why the
> majority of military communications worldwide was NOT done
> by morse code mode since 1948...for the reason being that I
> was assigned at a major Army communications station serving
> a theater command Hq and stayed there for three years.
>
> YOU have NEVER done anything approaching that. In fact, YOU
> have NEVER served in any military service of the USA.
>
> Naturally you would be upset about anyone else doing something
> big and important in HF communications. TS.

That's funny. Jim knows what I've done in professional communications
and I've seen no indication that he has ever become upset over it. Then
again, I've never made it seem that what I did professionally carried
any weight in amateur radio.

>> For example, I think the ARRL made a big mistake not letting WK3C run for
>> Director of the Atlantic Division. That's *my* division, btw...
>
> Is your Division mobilized and ready to ship out to fight
> the War on Terror? Bon voyage.

Maybe they can just show up on r.r.a.p, read your posts and begin waging
the War on Error.

>
>> The change of zoning near your house did not remove any privileges from
>> you, did it, Len? It didn't make your taxes go up or require you to change
>> your house in any way, right?
>
> Irrelevant to RADIO REGULATIONS. Local zoning laws have NOTHING
> to do with federal radio regulations. Give it up.

Did you miss seeing the parallel to your actions in regard to amateur
radio? It was quite evident.

>> Or someone who tells a US Navy veteran to shove something up his I/O
>> port?
>
> One military veteran can tell another military veteran lots
> of things. Brakob, Burke, and myself are all military
> veterans. YOU have NEVER been an military veteran.

I'm a military veteran. You've told me lots of things. I take offense
to some of them.

> Here's a quaint old military phrase given in the tradition
> and sincerity of the military service: "Go fuck yourself!"
>
> That will take care of Saturday night for you...

You certainly write like a fellow who has lost an argument.

Dave K8MN

1700. Re: Hi Just Saying Hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 18:24:58 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <th9bq1ts3sc3a5fomeg0924nq3hl0jgjlh@4ax.com>
Source record: 127520
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Harry wrote:

>
>"Pierian Spring of very dull water" wrote:
>
>> Ham Radio is not a hobby, it is a technical pursuit.

ITHM "it is technically a pursuit of the uneatable by the
unspeakable".

It has one proponent on ukra.

An even cursory study of the gospel by which it is promulgated will
reveal it is built on sand rather than rock; 'operating' was once
decried, but is now elevated to the highest level. Or has it changed
yet again? Who knows? Who cares?

>Why the personal attack?

You have entered the pastime by the easiest means possible.

Some, who did the same in their time, cover their embarrassment by
attacking those who came later and did the same thing. They are
evident by their bluster, self-importance, and technical pomposity.

Take no notice of them.

from
Aero Spike

1701. Re: Hi Just Saying Hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 18:36:26 UTC
From: Harry <newsgroup@invalid.com>
Message-ID: <do49vgtad1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127521
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:th9bq1ts3sc3a5fomeg0924nq3hl0jgjlh@4ax.com...
>
> Harry wrote:
>
>>
>>"Pierian Spring of very dull water" wrote:
>>
>>> Ham Radio is not a hobby, it is a technical pursuit.
>
> ITHM "it is technically a pursuit of the uneatable by the
> unspeakable".
>
> It has one proponent on ukra.
>
> An even cursory study of the gospel by which it is promulgated will
> reveal it is built on sand rather than rock; 'operating' was once
> decried, but is now elevated to the highest level. Or has it changed
> yet again? Who knows? Who cares?
>
>>Why the personal attack?
>
> You have entered the pastime by the easiest means possible.
>
> Some, who did the same in their time, cover their embarrassment by
> attacking those who came later and did the same thing. They are
> evident by their bluster, self-importance, and technical pomposity.
>
> Take no notice of them.

Thank you Spike, it sounds like good advice.

Harry

1702. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 18:46:33 UTC
From: Leigh Preece <news@leighs.org>
Message-ID: <d8ipf.48018$uR.24854@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 127522
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Pierian Spring wrote:

> No, you are quite wrong on two counts.....
> 1. The qsl web site is definitely a site and not a page.

I insist that it is simply a page - maybe you should learn the rudiments of website assembly as there is no identifiable index or homepage but a collection of orpan pages or what would have been 'parent/child' pages.

> 2. "http://www.qsl.net/m5gwh/about%20me.htm" is not
> an orphan at all, because if you try for "http://www.qsl.net/m5gwh",
> you get access to a fairly extensive directory.

Yes - correct - but again this is a directory listing and not a website as, I state above, a website requires a definate 'index' or 'home' page.

> I suggest that you learn something about computers.

I suggest you learn something about website construction and the heirarchy system of website structure.

1703. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 18:54:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134932056.064151.230890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127523

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 4:14 am
> >
> >
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 13, 7:32 pm
> >>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >>>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >
> >> The starting path under discussion was the path to an amateur radio
> >> license. You haven't taken the first step on that path.
> >
> > "The journey of a thousand miles begins with a single
> > step"...some ancient Chinese proverb, I suppose.
>
> Lao Tzu.

Any relation to Zack Lao?

> I found some Chinese proverbs which seem quite fitting to your role here:
>
> "A crane is too obvious when it stands among a flock of chickens and
> looks very awkward. It is also true with a camel amidst a flock of sheep
> and a flea when it stands on top of a hairless head. They all carry a
> pejoritary tone: the thing that outstands others is something awkward if
> not necessarily bad."
>
> You are the crane, the camel or the flea.

You are the chicken, the sheep or the hairless head?

> "There is an argument between a bird who stopped to drank at a well and
> a frog therein. They were arguing about how the sky looked like.
> Regarding where they were, they each had a different view. The frog's
> vision was of course very limited. Therefore, this proverb refers to
> somebody who has a very narrow-minded and insulated view of what they

> see or what they think."
>
> You are the frog.

The frog gives the bird.

> > I obtained a COMMERCIAL radio operator license 49 years ago.
> > First Class, one test, no repeats necessary.
>
> Yeah? So?

One exam to run a 100,000 watt transmitter? What would Jim say?

> > WHY was it "required" that I obtain an amateur license?
>
> Who ever told you that it was?

It isn't, but the way you and Jim needle Len about getting one...

> >>>> Was it necessary to punish amateurs?
>
> >> Who was "punished"?
>
> > You tell us. You are the one into the dominatrix role.
>
> No, *you* need to tell us. You wrote of amateur radio ops being
> punished over incentive licensing. Back up your claim.

I asked about amateurs being punished. Jim said he lost privileges.
He was no longer in the privileged class.

> >>>>>> but you find a way to personalize it.
>
> >>>>> The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.
> >>>> They affected everyone after you as well.
>
> >>>>> They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.
>
> >>>> You're simply wrong on that one, Quitefine.
>
> >>> Lots of us radio pros without amateur licenses just didn't bother
> >>> to get an amateur license...not necessarily as a result of
> >>> "changes of 1968 or 1969."
>
> >> That's fine, Len. Nobody says you have to get ana amateur radio
> >> license.
> >
> > "Ana amateur radio license?"
> >
> > Ah, but YOU already said I had some kind of moral imperative
> > to get an amateur radio license. Hypocrite.
>
> No one has told you anything of the kind. That's another of your

> factual errors.

Then we will hear no more from you and Jim about Len not having one,
right?

> >> But it does seem a bit odd that you're expending so much
> >> time and energy on the requirements for a license you aren't
> >> going to get...
> >
> > "Not going to get?" Who said that...besides YOU?
>
> Why, *you* said it.

Why did he say it?

> > I'm just wanting the morse code test for an amateur radio
> > license eliminated.
>
> That's at least the third version you've told here. Previously, you've
> waffled between the other two--that you were going to get the "Extra
> right out of the box or that you weren't going to obtain an amateur
> radio license.

Can't a person want more than one thing? Is Dave putting limits on
what people can want?

> > Why are YOU "spending so much time and energy" trying to
> > throw shit on all of those desiring that test element 1
> > deletion?
>
> Doing what?

That voodoo that you do.

> > What are you afraid of? Loss of your personal status,
> > title, and privileges?
>
> What are you afraid of, Len? That radio amateurs won't show you the
> respect which you feel is your due?

That has certainly been the case on rrap.

That you won't get into amateur
> radio before you're past your expiration date?

Len has an expiration date? What is it?

> >>> What the heck, I'd already started
> >>> 15 and 14 years before in HF comms where the operating
> >>> environment was a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER on all concerned than any
> >>> amateur activity.
>
> >> How was it "a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER", Len? I saw your "My 3 Years" thing.
> >

> > The amateur radio service does not require its licensees to
> > wage war and kill the enemy.
>
> Did you wage war or kill an enemy?

He put himself in the pool of combattants. After that he followed
orders.

> > The military "field days" were not little outings in a park
> > once a year.
>
> Did you ever participate in a military "field day"?

He put himself in the pool of combattants. After that he followed
orders.

> > Amateur radio doesn't operate in an environment of high
> > explosive ordinance going off nearby.
>
> Did you operate in an environment of high explosive ordinance going off
> nearby?

He put himself in the pool of combattants. After that he followed
orders.

What did Jim do? Did he excuse himelf? Was he unfit to serve?

> >> And why all the comparisons? You seem to feel a need to prove that you
> >> had it "TOUGHER" than anybody else.....
> >
> > To use a quaint and traditional military phrase, "Fuckin-A!"
>
> Then I suppose you're disappointed that you're efforts toward proving it
> have fallen a little short.

One hundred seventy five miles uphill both ways to the FCC examiners
office. In the snow.

> > Yes, sweetums, I - and every other military person - had it
> > TOUGHER than you civilians safe at home.
>
> Really? How tough was the rear area life in Japan, Len? I don't recall
> my military service as having been very TOUGH.

Must have been why you got out so quickly.

> >>> Problem is, Jimmie doesn't think that others can think differently
> >>> so he doesn't think about the thousands of newcomers who MIGHT want
> >>> to get into amateur radio.
>
> >> Len, I don't have any problem thinking others can think differently.
> >> That doesn't mean I must agree with them.
> >
> > Then why does your lofty highness insist all MUST agree

> > with YOUR opinions?
>
> What's with your schtick here, Leonard? Your posts seem to indicate
> that you believe that all MUST agree with YOUR opinions.

It would be nice that once someone rejects an opinion that they say
why.

Saying that Len doesn't hold an amateur license is not a good reason
to reject Len's opinions wrt the ARS.

> >> There's no specification for a lot of things in Part 97, yet there's no
> >> problem.
> >
> > Yes there is. License test regulations REQUIRE a code test for
> > any class having below-30-MHz operation privileges...BUT...the
> > FCC does not mandate all amateur USING morse code modes over
> > and above any other mode. All are optional.
>
> Well now! Yessir, that presents a real dilemma, doesn't it. You should
> be able to suck it up. After all, your military service was way TOUGHER
> than this easy civilian stuff.

The regulations don't even define Morse Code let alone Farnsworth Code,
but the FCC can deny a license based upon an exam it can't define.

> >>> There's been two whole years of 18 Petitions commented on at length
> >>> since the end of WRC-03 and now NPRM 05-143 which can settle the
> >>> morse code testing for a license issue.
>
> >> Probably. But you won't be satisfied with that, despite your frequent
> >> claims of only wanting to eliminate the Morse Code test.
> >
> > Jimmie Noserve, GIVE UP trying to tell me "what I will do."
> >
> > You don't have the authority nor the qualifications to be ME
> > nor judgemental on "what I will do."
>
> We can only go by what you've written, Len.

You've written that you contacted out of band Frenchmen on 6m.

> >>>>> Why can't Technicians operate on 14.026? Why can't hams operate on
> >>>>> 13.976?
>
> >>>> And there you go with the ultimatums and strawmen.
>
> >>> Jimmie with newsgroup wordplay again. About this point, Hans will
> >>> jump in saying you are "simply mistaken" and babbling about how
> >>> the "IARU and ITU" are different or other semi-sweet non-sequitur.
>
> >> Can't answer the questions, eh?

> >
> > Jimmie, you present NO valid questions. Ergo, no valid answers
> > required.
>
> The questions were valid enough. You just didn't answer them.

Why don't you answer them, Dave?

> >> You constantly bring up much older history ("My 3 Years") that doesn't
> >> apply to anything NOW....
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk, that's an entirely different "discussion"
> > concerning overt LYING of military service by Dudly the
> > Imposter (aka "K4YZ").
>
> Your tales precede your manufacturer of the term "Dudly the Imposter" by
> quite some time.

"manufacture"

> > I brought up a VALID example some years ago on why the
> > majority of military communications worldwide was NOT done
> > by morse code mode since 1948...for the reason being that I
> > was assigned at a major Army communications station serving
> > a theater command Hq and stayed there for three years.
> >
> > YOU have NEVER done anything approaching that. In fact, YOU
> > have NEVER served in any military service of the USA.
> >
> > Naturally you would be upset about anyone else doing something
> > big and important in HF communications. TS.
>
> That's funny. Jim knows what I've done in professional communications
> and I've seen no indication that he has ever become upset over it. Then
> again, I've never made it seem that what I did professionally carried
> any weight in amateur radio.

Your "career" was your DXpedition meal ticket.

> >> For example, I think the ARRL made a big mistake not letting WK3C run for
> >> Director of the Atlantic Division. That's *my* division, btw...
> >
> > Is your Division mobilized and ready to ship out to fight
> > the War on Terror? Bon voyage.
>
> Maybe they can just show up on r.r.a.p, read your posts and begin waging
> the War on Error.

Steve's gonna hate a bunch of usurpers showing up here. No way he's
gonna let them edge him out. And so the war escalates.

> >> The change of zoning near your house did not remove any privileges from
> >> you, did it, Len? It didn't make your taxes go up or require you to change

> >> your house in any way, right?
> >
> > Irrelevant to RADIO REGULATIONS. Local zoning laws have NOTHING
> > to do with federal radio regulations. Give it up.
>
> Did you miss seeing the parallel to your actions in regard to amateur
> radio? It was quite evident.

Amateur radio regulations are a subset of "RADIO REGULATIONS."

> >> Or someone who tells a US Navy veteran to shove something up his I/O
> >> port?
> >
> > One military veteran can tell another military veteran lots
> > of things. Brakob, Burke, and myself are all military
> > veterans. YOU have NEVER been an military veteran.
>
> I'm a military veteran. You've told me lots of things. I take offense
> to some of them.

Ditto.

> > Here's a quaint old military phrase given in the tradition
> > and sincerity of the military service: "Go fuck yourself!"
> >
> > That will take care of Saturday night for you...
>
> You certainly write like a fellow who has lost an argument.
>
> Dave K8MN

Maybe if he refreshes the screen...

1704. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 19:00:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qkbbq1hkgjg6n7jq3sjqcivc1pbm4m50og@4ax.com>
Source record: 127524

On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 17:19:28 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <ASgpf.4194$n1.3860@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
<snip>
>> part of the military that wasn't glamorous, didn't wear flashy
>> or cute uniforms, and bore the brunt of national defense as it
>> always has since 1776...with the casualty rates the highest of
>> any branch, from battlefields of Pennsylvania to the Persian
>> Gulf area of Dubya's rule.
>
>The Signal Corps has the highest casualty rate, or did you mean the U.S.
>Army?

The Signal Corps. The first target of any combat unit is the guy (or
tank, amtrack, jeep, etc.) with the antennas. The second target is the
guy -next- to the guy with the antenna because he is usually an
officer.

> Is that something to brag about?

Yep. It takes some big, hairy balls to walk onto the battlefield
carrying a piece of equipment that will be the first thing the enemy
shoots at, especially when the radio is bulky and/or heavy enough to
limit your mobility. The casualty rate for radiomen in combat is even
higher than EOD.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1705. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 19:01:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134929396.312187.107690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127525

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 10, 3:48 pm,
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> >> Face it, Jimmie, all those classes GREW in order to
> >> satisfy some POLITICAL reasons within the amateur
> >> community.

> >Such as? Back up your claim - if you can.
>
> Tsk, your little political heart have a malfunction?
> [need a "valve" replacement?]

What were the POLITICAL reasons, Len?
>
> The "back-up" is the NON-ARRL history of amateur radio
> regulations, indeed ALL the radio regulations since
> 1912.

How is a non-ARRL history of amateur radio regulations any
different from an ARRL history of amateur radio regulations, Len?

Can you cite specific things that are different in the two histories?

> POLITICS, little Jimmie. It's been pervasive
> in the very being of the league since 1914.

Even if true, is that a bad thing?

And how do you know? You weren't there in 1914, Len.

> A "one-
> party" system more or less in between the World Wars
> and on to the immediate post-WW2 era.

Nonsense, Len. The ARRL doesn't elect government officials.
Nor does it make regulations. One of its roles is as an advocacy
group for amateur radio, just like the NRA is an advocacy group
for those who believe in citizens' rights to firearms, and the AARP
is an advocacy group for senior citizens (even though the "R"
originally
meant "retired", one doesn't have to retire to belong to AARP).

> By the 1970s
> other groups were being heard from and the league's
> virtual oligarchy was beginning to dwindle.

What other groups? And why the 1970s?

There were "other groups" back in the 1940s, Len. I don't think
you can name two of the largest.

> Just the
> beginning of their influence, but it IS dwindling to
> the REAL law-makers.

You're not one of them, Len.
>
> >> In the beginning there was only ONE license.

In the beginning there were no licenses at all.

> >The time of one-amateur-radio-license-class ended
> >more than 70 years ago, Len.
>
> U.S. amateur radio licensing began in 1912 92 years
> ago. [historical fact]

93 years, actually. ;-) Can't you get anyhting right? ;-)
>
> The FCC has been in existance for 71 years. [law of
> the land as of the Communications Act of 1934]

"existence", Len.

> >Yes. Amateur radio licenses are earned by passing the
> >required tests.
>
> Strange, the FCC says it GRANTS them.

Only after they are EARNED.

> >> As far as the federal government is concerned, it is a NON-PAYING
> >> radio activity that is expressly forbidden to broadcast or engage
> >> in common-carrier communications.
> >
> >That's true.
>
> Whoa...if you agree to what I said, how can you say you
> "earned" your license?

One earns things other than money, Len. Look it up.

> >How did stamp collecting help with hurricane relief?
>
> Amateur radio provided shelter, food, clothing for hurricane
> victims?

It helped to provide those things.

> Geez, here I thought all they were doing was
> relaying health and welfare messages...some of the time.

Well, you're wrong.

> >> Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.

> >But that's not all it is, Len. Grow up and accept that shouting the
> >same old tired lines doesn't convince anyone.
>
> Hello? See the word "basically" in my quoted sentence?

Yes. So what?

> >> Individuals engaged in that HOBBY are licensed because the FCC,
> >> the federal agency regulating all civil radio, think that
> >> licensing is a tool of regulation.
> >
> >That's partly true.
>
> Entirely true. FCC is NOT an academic organization, "grading"
> amateurs on their radio skills.

Actually, it *does* grade them. That's why there are different levels
of amateur radio license.

> >You're taking the experience of a few people and a few transmitters
> >and demanding that it apply to everyone and all transmitters. That's
> >just nonsense.
>
> Tsk, I thought it was an example. An example that I lived
> through. An example that you did NOT live through.

And what does that example prove, Len? What does your one
example prove about *amateur* radio in 2005?

> >Besides, you've already contradicted yourself. The "very ordinary young men"
> >all had some form of technical training, and had been selected for the task.
>
> "Selected for the task:" Personnel requirements were for N number
> of warm bodies within X number of MOS ranges. :-)

So they were selected for the task and trained for it.

> Tsk. Jimmie, you just don't understand how the military works.

I understand well enough, Len. You go on at length here about
things you're not involved in - why can't others do the same?

> If you were a "warm body" in the area and came even close to the
> requirements of filling a TO&E (Table of Organization and Equipment)
> then you "got selected."

See? There you go!

> >The transmitters they adjusted were already set up, operating, and the
> >procedures to use them completely worked out. Those "very ordinary young men"
> >all had more-experienced supervision to teach them the tasks and make
> >sure they did it right.

> Did you expect that everyone had to build everything themselves?!?

Not at all. But radio amateurs sometimes do. You wouldn't know about
that
since you've never done it.
>
> Do you expect sailors to all get sheet steel and torches and
> build the ship they are going to serve on?

Not at all. But radio amateurs sometimes build their equipment from
the most basic parts - including sheet metal work. You wouldn't know
about that
since you've never done it.

> Do you expect airmen to all get aluminum and engines and build
> the aircraft they are going to serve on?

Of course not.

> Do you expect choo-choo drivers to build their locomotives
> themselves? :-)

"Choo-choo drivers"? The "drivers" on a steam locomotive are
the wheels that are powered, Len. Do try to keep up.

> >And yet it took *days* of on-the-job instruction before they could be left to
> >do the job on their own!
>
> Yes, ONE TO THREE DAYS, the latter for the slow-learners and goof-
> offs. :-)

1 to 3 *days* of instruction....

> >Even then, the more-experienced supervision was
> >always on-call if a problem arose.

> That's usually the situation with EVERY military or civilian
> organization. :-)

But not in amateur radio.

> After some experience, the formerly-inexperienced BECAME the
> "experienced supervision" people.

Sure. So what?

> >Len, you don't seem to be able to understand the concept of "amateur
> >radio station", let alone "operating".
>
> Jimmie, YOU don't understand that every other radio service
> does NOT define either "station" or "operating" by amateur

> radio "rules." :-)

Which means your example isn't valid, Len.

> >> UNLICENSED people by the thousands every day in the
> >> USA are OPERATING TRANSCEIVERS.
> >
> >Not operating in the amateur radio sense.
>
> Oh, you want PLMRS mobiles to send QSLs on "contacts?"

Not at all. Do you?

> Do you want "radiosport contests" among aviation radio or
> maritime radio services?

Why should I?

> Do you think policemen carrying neat little two-way radios
> subscribe to QST? :-)

Some of them do.

> >> "Morse code operation in amateur radio" does NOT
> >> involve ALL "skilled operators."
> >
> >Yes, it does. Those operators have skills that you do not
> >have, and I think that bothers the heck out of you.
>
> No bother at all to me, Jimmie.

Then why are you so upset over K0HB's stories?

> I just disregarded any
> NEED to learn morse code since I was never, ever
> required to use it in the military or in the much longer
> civilian life career I still have.

In other words, since there was no money in it for you...
>
> >It seems to really bother you that I'm better than you
> >at Morse Code.
>
> Har! No.

Yes. It sure seems that way.

> >So? It's a test of Morse Code skill at a very basic level. Entry-
> >level, nothing more. It nevertheless requires that the operator
> >have the skills.
>
> That's the current law, Jimmie. It's just a political thing.

It's a good thing.

> Since no higher deity commanded that morse code testing be
> done for amateur radio licenses, ordinary humans must have
> done it. Whatever humans have done, humans can UNDO.

Not necessarily. Humans seem to have trouble undoing certain
types of messes, such as pollution.

> >> The radios they USE are either owned by their employers
> >> (businesses, public safety agences as examples) or
> >> themselves (private boat or aircraft owners as an
> >> example). Some of those radios DO require a licensed
> >> person to oversee their operation and technical details,
> >> but some do NOT. Depends on the particular radio service.
> >
> >In amateur radio, a licensed amateur radio operator is required.
>
> You have a macro for that sentence? :-)
>
> Yes, Jimmie, I'm well aware of Title 47 C.F.R.'s Part 97.

You sure don't seem to be, Len. Like when you told us that
all amateurs with expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses
could still operate their amateur radio stations legally....
>
> >That's what I've been telling you all along.
>
> Well, there you go again with the posturing arrogance...

Is it posturing arrogance to tell you the truth?

> Tsk, tsk, ADJUSTMENT can be done by anyone in a non-radiating
> test. Takes NO "license" to perform a test-alignment-calibration
> such as done by factory folks on ham equipment.

But that's not "operating", Len.
>
> >Radar isn't for communications. And the SGC2020 is dirt simple
> >compared to most amateur radio HF transceivers - even the Southgate
> >series are much more complex to operate.
>
> Oh, dear, here it comes with posturing arrogance again...

>From you? Certainly not from me.

> >> >On top of all that, the radio users cited above may not be
> >> >FCC licensed, but they are trained, tested and often certified in
> >> >proper radio procedures for the radios they use.
> >
> >> "Certified?" They get neat little certificates (suitable
> >> for framing)? Wow!
> >
> >Yes - did you ever see an FAA pilot's license?
>
> No, couldn't afford to continue.

Poor baby!

> I did pass the written
> test and have the confirmation document digitized. Need
> to see it? :-)

Why would I? You're the one hung up on certifications....

> >> Yeah, they pay by plastic, perhaps follow the maker's
> >> instructions and fumble around until things sound right.
> >
> >Is there something wrong with using a credit/debit card?
> >
> >Or following manufacturer's instructions?
> >
> >Besides - it's something *you* haven't done.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...something I HAVE done, sweetums. Years ago
> a bunch of us got together to give a friend his retirement
> and birthday gift, an HF transceiver.

That's nice.

> I had the "plastic"
> higher level and paid for it, another with a station wagon
> transported the boxes, yet another provided the Bird
> Wattmeter and dummy load and we all went through the
> instruction manual to make sure it worked. NON-radiating
> test, Jimmie. Perfectly legal.

Of course - because you are not qualified to do it on-the-air.

> >There are more than a few of us radio amateurs who design
> >and build our own amateur stations. You haven't done any
> >of that, Len, yet you pass judgement on us as if you are
> >somehow superior.

> >> "Modern" amateur band transceivers, transmitters, receivers, etc.
> >> are ready-to-play right out of the box. Those are aligned,
> >> tested, calibrated, ready-to-go. Sort of like the SGC 2020
> >> private marine version SSB transceiver. :-)
> >
> >The modern amateur radio transceivers I use didn't come that way.
>
> Yes, yes, Jimmie, whatever YOU use applies to all other
> 700+ thousand U.S. amateur radio licensees. :-)

> None of the others USE anything but what you've USED?

Not the point, Len. You said that "MODERN" equipment is a certain
way, yet that's not true for all amateur radio equipment.

> >Six months of microwave school, a transmitter that was all set up
> >and ready to go, an experienced instructor, and it still took you
> >an *hour* of instruction?

>
> Yes. :-)
>
> By the way, part of that Signal Schooling was radar fundamentals.
> That was because of the close similarity of radar electronics
> to the electronics used in radio relay equipments coming after
> WW2. Absolutely NONE of it prepared us for operating ANY of the
> HF transmitters (36 of them at first) at station ADA in 1953.

That's bull. No power supply theory? No electron tube theory?

> NONE of it prepared anyone for teletypewriter operation, for
> operation of the VHF and UHF radio relay equipment, for operation
> of the "carrier" bays. NONE of it involved learning of the
> General Electric commercial microwave radio relay equipment that
> ADA would use for primary communication link of transmitters to
> the rest of the station...we got a two-week "course" by two GE
> tech-reps to "prepare" us for that in late 1954.

No basic electricty or electronics?

> >> And just what is
> >> YOUR experience at ham bands of 220 MHz and up?
> > >
> >More than yours, Len!
>
> I've only listened to the predecessor of the Condor Net in
> Newbury Park, CA, demonstrated by one of the ham-licensed
> employees there. At Teledyne Electronics, my employer
> during the late 70s. It was the first state-long network
> to use all tone switching for routing without using any
> microprocessor control.
>

Gosh, you *listened*! I've done a lot more than that!

> >Who is sneering? Not me. The Technician failed in its original purpose.
> >That's a fact.
>
> That's only an OPINION, Jimmie. Tsk, better learn some acting
> skills, redirect that sneer. You can do it with practice.

> >> Right now the combined numbers of no-code-Technician and Technician
> >> Plus classes make up a bit more that 48% of ALL U.S. amateur radio
> >> licenses granted. Almost HALF, Jimmie.
> > >
> >48.1% - 318,462 out of 661,800 as of December 9.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. That doesn't agree with www.hamdata.com figures.

I didn't use those figures.

> Oh, yes, you are quoting NON-grace-period figures derived
> from elsewhere as "official." Heavens, I have to keep
> taking THAT into account, don't I? :-)

You should.
>
> >But that percentage is *down* from what it was 5 years ago, right after
> >the rules changes.
>
> Well now, www.hamdata.com figures also show the totals of
> EXPIRATIONS versus NEW (never before licensed) licensees.
> Expirations still exceed the NEW licensees and have for the
> last year.

>
> >And for more than 5-1/2 years, the only choice new hams have had for
> >their first license class is the Technician, General, or Extra.
>
> Duuhhhhh...stating the obvious again, aren't you?
>
> Oh, my, you DO have to try NOT to talk down to everyone. It
> help you lose your posturing arrogance of superiority...
>
>
> >So you let a *name* - a single *word* - stop you from getting
> >an Amateur Radio license.
>
> A long time ago another called me a "sunnuvabitch." I put
> him down with a bleeding nose and lip.

Is that a threat, Len? You're not even a novice at amateur radio.

> Certain words DO have an effect on people, Jimmie.

> A word of advice: Avoid street fighting...you ain't good at it.

Are you?

> >You mean like somebody who thinks the zoning ideas of
> >1960 should still apply 30-40-45 years later?
>
> In most cases, absolutely YES. :-)
>
> Does local residence zoning affect radio of any kind? I
> think not.
>
> Residences are for LIVING in, Jimmie. It is HOME.

SO why shouldn't it change?

> <on entering military service>
>
> >> No. The ONLY aptitude test given in regards to radio was
> >> a morse code cognition test given to all recruits.

> >
> >Ah - and you didn't make the grade on that one, eh?
> >Explains a lot.
>
> I'm glad I didn't make a good aptitude there. Would have wound
> up in Field Radio and had to go through the remainder out in
> the boonies somewhere. :-)

Now it's clear. You weren't top of the form in Morse Code, so the
code must be a bad thing....
tsk, tsk.

1706. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 19:05:49 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydnocw.org>
Message-ID: <do4bt1tpj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Terry" <tsanford@nf.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:R%gpf.806$1Y4.19181@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> "Lloyd" <manager@distrmgrppizza.net> wrote in message
> news:dns5r8$8mh$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> Sighhhhhhhh, old frustrated white men, who never tire of
>> blabbing about how great they once were.....
>> borrrrrrrrrrrrrrring.
>>
> No, not boring at all.
> And no need for anyone to belittle the skill of 'brass pounders'!
> A most interesting read for anyone with an appreciation of high quality
> 'basic' communications.
> I am a lifelong telecommunications employee who can but read the
> occasional CW character (slowly)!
> Thank you.

More Psycho-Babble from delusional old white men.
Borrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrring.....
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

1707. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 19:06:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134932811.931987.276420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127527

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 8:07 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > K0HB wrote:

>> > > > Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
>> > > > a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.

Hans was unable to unbend enough to see your original comment
to Frank's jab at "ham recruiting."

>> > > Some objectors did amazing things, too:
>
>> > > http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html
>
>> > > http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm
>
>> > Welp, Jim, I thought you were covertly trying to get us to find your
>> > "service in other ways"
>
>> Why would anyone think that?
>
>> It's not about me.
>
>Were you an objector? Did you serve in other ways?

Jimmie Noserve OBJECTS to anyone even thinking about deleting
the morse code test for an amateur license.

Jimmie SERVES the Code Cause by leading the charge of the
ultralight brigade, galloping his hearse into bottle, bug
paddles swinging left and right.

"Into the Valley of Dearth rode the four wondered..."

bee bop
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1708. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 19:14:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134933259.517549.85550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127528

From: Dave Heil on Dec 18, 8:51 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 14, 6:22 pm
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm

>>>>>> Jim has tatoos?
>
>>>>> I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
>>>>> James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
>>>>> film released in the 1950s.
>
>>>> Robert Mitchum. 1954. Night of the Hunter from the novel by Davis Grubb.
>>>> The author was from up the road in Moundsville. The story is set in
>>>> this area.
>
>> Hmmmm...that explains a lot about Davie Heil's character...:-)
>
>How so? Neither Robert Mitchum nor the character he played came from
>this area. I wasn't in the movie.

Tsk, the way you ACT in here wouldn't get you to the
"beginners" entry line to either SAG or SEG. :-)

You couldn't even pass for an A-1 Sauce dish at the caterer's
table on a set, let alone as an "A-1 Op" in the movies. :-)

>It might to you, but then again, you got the original story wrong too.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, if you want to do rec.movies.critique.negative
go to the appropriate newsgroup.

>The book's author, Davis Grubb had a hard time with reality.

PCTAs have a hard time with reality also... :-)

>In one
>interview, he said that he could remember that whenever an execution
>took place at the prison in Moundsville, the lights all over town would
>dim.

No doubt the electricity was wired in by an "A-1 Operator."

> That would have been something since, when Grubb was living in
>Moundsville, executions were by hanging. Electrocution wasn't begun
>until the 1950's.

Difficulty in carrying out Ohm's Law? :-)

Slow going through the CIRCUIT Court of Appeals? :-)

>>> Did they ever catch him, or is he still running around the hills of
>>> Moundsville?
>
>>> Was he a ham preacher?
>
>> He is apparently of the undead, this time inhabiting the corpus
>> of a corpulent K8 ham?
>
>You've really not watched the movie in some time.

Tsk, I just asked a question.

True, I don't make it a habit to watch creepy black-and-white
movies about deranged characters.

It is much easier to access RRAP and watch all the creepy
black-and-white PCTAs pontificate, postulate, and pustulate
all over everyone else. PCTAs are as deranged as could be.

>Another of Grubb's
>books was turned into a movie called "Fool's Parade" with James Stewart,
>George Kennedy and Kurt Russell.

No doubt you have a well-thumbed Leonard Maltin movie guidebook
from which to draw your wealth of old motion picture factoids.

Somehow that doesn't qualify you as an "A-1 Op" in a cinema.

>It was shot on location in Moundsville and Marshall County in 1970.

Did that factoid make it into Variety or Hollywood Reporter? :-)

Was it in QST?

>You'd have been a natural "Fool's Parade" extra.

No. I don't have a SEG membership. Wanna see my AFTRA card?

>> "Corp diem?"
>
>"Corpus"

Tsk, tsk, a blank-and-white literalist. Colorless.

I was making a Play on Words between Latin and English. Since
you only claim expertise on Hunnish, you couldn't understand it.

You didn't understand the Latin oxymoron "primus inter pares"
so it is useless to get you to unbend your dictatorial
Prussian persistence in puling orders.

>Don't you get anything right?

I'm not an unbending blank-and-white ultra-conservative RIGHTIST. Reality requires recognizing shades of gray and being liberal towards others. You fail there.

Corporations have paid me real money to "get things right" and I have, consistently. Since you see things only by your dictatorial blank-and-white Prussian puerility, there is no point in trying to discuss any matter with you.

I'm sure you would give both Ebert and Roeper a "thumbs down" when it comes to movie reviews. However, THAT doesn't make you an "A-1 Op." Doesn't even make you good for A-1 Sauce.

...and a "Bone apetit" to Kathy Reichs and Tempe Brennan.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1709. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 19:50:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a4jpf.3664$mj1.2139@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127529

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dee Flint on Dec 15, 3:21 pm
>
>
>> "Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>> "K□B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote

>> All amateurs
>> are required to know and adhere to the same rules regardless of license.
>
> Ah, but DO they? :-)

When you get that "Extra right out of the box", perhaps you can become
an ARRL member and go about becoming an Official Observer.

> That's not evident in here. :-)

That's because r.r.a.p. isn't amateur radio.

> Tsk, anyone passing the Extra "right out of the box" will have
> ALL the privileges, ALL the status, ALL the title as any other
> Extra, experience or no.

It likely seems that way to you. You're working from the only data
available to you.

>> But anyone can choose to gain the same knowledge. They do not have to
>> wait until they are studying for a new license.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. YOu are contradicting OTHER extras in here who
> have insisted that one MUST get an amateur radio license
> BEFORE getting any commercial license!!!

You keep trotting this out but the only person to have written such a
thing is...*you*.

>> Plus every amateur is free to pursue improving their skills. The license is
>> a starting point not a stopping point.
>
> Gosh...I thought it was a GRANT from the Commission to transmit
> RF energy on the ham frequencies. Sort of like a hunting or
> fishing license allows one to hunt or fish in designated areas.

Aren't hunters and fishermen free to pursue the improvement of their
skills, Len?

> Aren't "radiosport" contests all about hunting for contact
> areas and fishing fishing for radio contacts? :-)

Yes, they're sort of like that. :-)

>> Actually the place that I see the difference in operating skills is on the
>> VHF bands in the VHF contests. When I review my contacts in those contests,
>> the large majority of them are Extra class operators. They seem to be the
>> ones to have the skill necessary to put together and operate a station
>> suitable to make long distance VHF contacts and the skill to do so.
>
> Wow! Someone should have TOLD the U.S. Army Signal Corps folks
> at Evans Signal Laboratory in 1946 when they were the first to
> bounce a radio signal off the moon!

How much power was used by the Army? How large was the antenna? Hams
are now doing moonbounce wherein one of the stations is using a modest
50 MHz yagi and 100w or so.

> Yeah, they should have told the Signal Corps "how to do it" in
> Korea in the 1950s when they set out all that VHF radio relay
> equipment in the hills and valleys there.
>
> Where WAS the ARRL when all that was going on? They didn't tell
> the Signal Corps much of anything...

Where Worked All States? During WWII, the Signal Corps used the ARRL
Handbook, Leonard. I'll bet that chafes you to no end.

Dave K8MN

1710. Re: Toiddie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 19:55:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134935730.890611.162900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127530

what do you see steve?? the fact remains steve YOUR NOT THE MONORATOR
OF THIS GROUP!! NOR OR YOU A INTERNET COP!!!!

1711. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 19:55:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <89jpf.3665$mj1.3284@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127531

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote in <Nioof.4570$Tg2.2522@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
> Anyway, this is not about you -- it's about Major Dud.

At least you'd like it to be.

> Now if you and
> I can post -our- DD-214's, why can't Dudly?

Why can't he or why does he choose not to? Is he under some sort of
mandate to do so, base upon the baying of Len, Brian and you?

> Major Dud might be a ham, and you might share some similar beliefs
> and/or opinions, but are those valid reasons to defend his fraudulent
> military service?

Would that be the fraudulent military service about which you, Len
Anderson and Brian Burke have been wildly speculating?

Dave K8MN

1712. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 19:58:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zbjpf.3666$mj1.529@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127532

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 5:10 pm
>
>
>
>> What it does is to make you look like an out-of-control three-year-old
>> who's badly in need of a time-out.
>
> Jimmie boy, go play with your radio toys and quit antagonizing
> the grown-ups here.

That's nice of you to look after the adults here, Leonard. Do they ever
complain about your behavior?

> YOU do not do a good impersonation of an
> adult.

You have decades on him, Len. Your own impersonation could use some work.

Dave K8MN

1713. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 20:17:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Vtjpf.3673$mj1.1213@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127533

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 16, 4:36 am
>
>> On 15 Dec 2005 21:32:32 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>> From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 15, 6:25 pm
>>>> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net>

> I've believed Hans' service in the USN. I've believed yours.
> I've believed Brian Burke's service. I've believed Bill Sohl's.
> I've lived mine and have documents and hundreds of pictures to
> prove it.

Yeah, but do you *believe it*?

> My DD-214 copy is recorded with the County Recorder
> of Winnebago, Illinois, something I did in 1956 as a resident
> there at the time. <shrug>

Who does that and why?

> Unfortunately for all those "who can see their attachments just
> fine," several ISPs just don't carry attachments on all groups.
> That's just the way it is.

> We'll just have to wait it out while all the pompous misdirection
> dies down on AMATEUR RADIO POLICY matters in this morseblog. :-)

If we wait for a few days after one of your posting jags, I'm sure all
the pompous misdirection will die down.

> In getting back to the nitty-gritty of who lies and who tells
> the truth, all us readers have yet to see ANYTHING of Dudly
> the Imposter's documents, pictures, whatever from his heroic
> descriptions of being in "seven hostile actions."

Well, I'll be darned. Is it eating at you?

> Jimmie Miccolis (who never served in any military) says that
> Army RADIO on HF doesn't have anything to do with AMATEUR
> RADIO on HF...despite the fact that all the physics pertaining
> to RADIO generation and propagation are exactly the same...

Physics aside, Army RADIO on HF has nothing to do with AMATEUR RADIO on
HF or elsewhere.

> despite the fact that high-power vacuum tube HF transmitters
> of a half century ago generated and operated exactly the same
> as vacuum tube HF transmitters do today. [that's just the

> way it is]

You don't have authorization to operate an amateur radio station.
That's just the way it is.

> Davie Heil (who supposedly served in the USAF and did get into
> the Department of State of the USA..."he's from the government
> and is here to help") is of the opinion that one MUST get an
> amateur radio license before even thinking of posting in this
> morseblog, let alone getting a (horrors) Commercial radio
> license.

There are two of your factual errors. I've never said that 1) you must
have an amateur radio license to post here or that 2) anyone would need
to obtain an amateur radio license before obtaining a commercial
license. Since you've been corrected on those points more than once,
your statements actually go beyond factual error and become deliberate
falsehoods.

> May the already-licensed-in-amateur-radio-in-highest-morse-
> class enjoy the lump of coal in their Christmas stockings.
> They can wear that with pride as they hobble down to the
> lodge to sip their rum and tell tales of mighty morsemanship
> derring-do of the old days.

Thus speaks the Grinch. Well, Leonid, the lodge hall is sure to be warm
and the talk needn't be just of the old days. It could be of last
night's activity in the Stew Perry contest. Do you think your absence
in that event or at the lodge hall will be noticed?

Dave K8MN

1714. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 20:40:09 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qbi9ao07pnuf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127534
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:11q97mfbt474cda@corp.supernews.com...
>> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:dnuq09$61c$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> >
>> >> >> Pray for me to win the lottery please :O)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Regards,
>> >> >> Graham
>> >> >
>> >> > You have to watch the movie "Bruce Almighty". I did that once!
> 14,000
>> >> > winners of $17 each or something like that :-0
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> I watched that movie 4 times, I didn't win any money.
>> >
>> > That's because Amos (eyy up Mr wilks ;o) wasn't praying for you...
>> >
>
>> Well that's not very Christian like of him.
>
> Im sure he would pray for you if you asked him to, but please don't ask
> him
> to pray for you until next week as I want to win it this week ;o)
>
> Regards,
> Graham
> --
> _·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _
>
> Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...
>
> 73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)
>
>

LOL, you got it.

1715. Re: Toiddie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 21:00:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134939604.503501.125090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127535

>You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
>you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
>profanity and irresponsible behaviour.

oh, and what about your behaviour?? calling me gay, when I'm not.
Claiming my father has AIDS when he doesn't. Claiming I'm violation FCC
rules when I'm not. Claiming my sites are illegal when they are not.
You and other misfits on this newsgroup are just as bad so get over it,
INTERNET COP

1716. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 21:36:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134941815.975523.154250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127537

the search engines hasn't picked it up yet, they just recently picked up the other ones so give it time.

Todd N9OGL

1717. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 21:51:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1Skpf.3741$mj1.474@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127538

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 15, 6:25 pm
>
>> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 00:58:21 GMT, "K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net>

> Doesn't Hans realize that Dud is NOT showing a good face of
> U.S. amateur radio to the public?

You are NOT showing a good face of the public to amateur radio.

> If Hans wants to make like he is CNO berating his swabbies
> about DD-214s, that still doesn't change the fact that I've
> not only served Honorably but have several papers up on the
> subject of radio communications on another website plus an
> interview that was published in the Pacific Stars and Stripes.

So what, Len? You act like a guy who is the only person to have done
anything, anywhere.

> Major Dud hasn't published ONE thing about his active USMC
> life, no forms, no documents, not even a snapshot. All he
> has published now is the famous Booger shot of him in a
> poopy suit at QRZ, in the "uniform" of the CIVIL Air Patrol.

So what? Do you think there's something nefarious afoot?

Dave K8MN

1718. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 21:55:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_Ukpf.3742$mj1.459@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127539

N9OGL wrote:
> The Federal Communication Commissions (FCC) needs to changed the
> amateur radio rules to allow a more flexable in regards to allow
> amateurs the
> ability to experiment, and remove rules that are outdated.
>
> Rules that need to be changed
>
> ----------
>
>
> ï¿½97.113 Prohibited transmissions.
>
> (a) No amateur station shall transmit:
>
> (5) Communications, on a regular basis, which could reasonably be
> furnished alternatively through other radio services.
>
> ----------

There is no indication from you as to why this rule is "outdated". Why would anyone want to change this regulation?

>
> ï¿½97.113 Prohibited transmissions.
>
> (a) No amateur station shall transmit:
>
> messages in codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning thereof,
> except as therwise provided herein;
>
> ----------

There is no indication from you as to why this rule is "outdated". Why would anyone want to change this regulation?

Dave K8MN

1719. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 22:00:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134943216.249087.44370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127540

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 10:54 am

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 4:14 am
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 13, 7:32 pm
>> >>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> >>>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

[getting to be a long thread...:-)]

>> >> The starting path under discussion was the path to an amateur radio
>> >> license. You haven't taken the first step on that path.
>
>> > "The journey of a thousand miles begins with a single
>> > step"...some ancient Chinese proverb, I suppose.
>
>> Lao Tzu.
>
>Any relation to Zack Lao?

...about as much as "Ed Hair." :-)

>> I found some Chinese proverbs which seem quite fitting to your role here:
>
>> "A crane is too obvious when it stands among a flock of chickens and
>> looks very awkward. It is also true with a camel amidst a flock of sheep
>> and a flea when it stands on top of a hairless head. They all carry a
>> pejoritary tone: the thing that outstands others is something awkward if
>> not necessarily bad."
>
>> You are the crane, the camel or the flea.
>
>You are the chicken, the sheep or the hairless head?

Depends on which Chinese restaurant he went to and what
fortune cookie he opened.

>> "There is an argument between a bird who stopped to drank at a well and
>> a frog therein. They were arguing about how the sky looked like.
>> Regarding where they were, they each had a different view. The frog's
>> vision was of course very limited. Therefore, this proverb refers to
>> somebody who has a very narrow-minded and insulated view of what they
>> see or what they think."
>
>> You are the frog.
>
>The frog gives the bird.

I hope Davie enjoys eating the bird.

>> > I obtained a COMMERCIAL radio operator license 49 years ago.
>> > First Class, one test, no repeats necessary.
>
>> Yeah? So?
>
>One exam to run a 100,000 watt transmitter? What would Jim say?

Jimmie will no doubt say something making little sense...:-)

>> > WHY was it "required" that I obtain an amateur license?
>
>> Who ever told you that it was?
>
>It isn't, but the way you and Jim needle Len about getting one...

Not good, Brian. They will DEMAND we all "produce the proof
that they ever wrote something remotely like that"...even
though they did.

>> >>>> Was it necessary to punish amateurs?
>
>> >> Who was "punished"?
>
>> > You tell us. You are the one into the dominatrix role.
>
>> No, *you* need to tell us. You wrote of amateur radio ops being
>> punished over incentive licensing. Back up your claim.
>
>I asked about amateurs being punished. Jim said he lost privileges.
>He was no longer in the privileged class.

Davie gets very confused when confronted, becomes hostile and
accuses everyone of perfidy. :-)

>> >>> Lots of us radio pros without amateur licenses just didn't bother
>> >>> to get an amateur license...not necessarily as a result of
>> >>> "changes of 1968 or 1969."
>
>> >> That's fine, Len. Nobody says you have to get ana amateur radio
>> >> license.
>

>> > "Ana amateur radio license?"
>
>> > Ah, but YOU already said I had some kind of moral imperative
>> > to get an amateur radio license. Hypocrite.
>
>> No one has told you anything of the kind. That's another of your
>> factual errors.
>
>Then we will hear no more from you and Jim about Len not having one,
>right?

Ho, ho, ho! Do NOT bet on that! :-)

>> >> But it does seem a bit odd that you're expending so much
>> >> time and energy on the requirements for a license you aren't
>> >> going to get...
>
>> > "Not going to get?" Who said that...besides YOU?
>
>> Why, *you* said it.
>
>Why did he say it?

Davie needs to go into Google search and find the EXACT quote
in the EXACT CONTEXT to "prove" his accusations. :-)

>> > I'm just wanting the morse code test for an amateur radio
>> > license eliminated.
>
>> That's at least the third version you've told here. Previously, you've
>> waffled between the other two--that you were going to get the "Extra
>> right out of the box or that you weren't going to obtain an amateur
>> radio license.
>
>Can't a person want more than one thing? Is Dave putting limits on
>what people can want?

Davie seems to be DICTATING everything about everyone else.
Sort of an amateur Pat Robertson or Oral Roberts?

I keep telling him his jackboots are on too tight and his
monocle is in the wrong eye, but Davie never listens...he
just keeps giving Kommands in his best Prussian manner.

>> > Why are YOU "spending so much time and energy" trying to
>> > throw shit on all of those desiring that test element 1
>> > deletion?
>
>> Doing what?
>
>That voodoo that you do.

Davie loves throwing shit on people who disagree with him. :-)

>> > What are you afraid of? Loss of your personal status,
>> > title, and privileges?
>
>> What are you afraid of, Len? That radio amateurs won't show you the
>> respect which you feel is your due?
>
>That has certainly been the case on rrap.

? Heh heh heh...if I was "afraid" of anything, I would have
ceased accessing this morseblog long ago. :-)

>>That you won't get into amateur
>> radio before you're past your expiration date?
>
>Len has an expiration date? What is it?

It isn't imprinted on my hide in purple ink of the FDA...such as
hams are marked. :-)

>> >>> What the heck, I'd already started
>> >>> 15 and 14 years before in HF comms where the operating
>> >>> environment was a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER on all concerned than any
>> >>> amateur activity.
>
>> >> How was it "a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER", Len? I saw your "My 3 Years" thing.
>
>> > The amateur radio service does not require its licensees to
>> > wage war and kill the enemy.
>
>> Did you wage war or kill an enemy?
>
>He put himself in the pool of combattants. After that he followed
>orders.

That's just the way it was...

>> > The military "field days" were not little outings in a park
>> > once a year.
>
>> Did you ever participate in a military "field day"?
>
>He put himself in the pool of combattants. After that he followed
>orders.

That's just the way it was...

>> > Amateur radio doesn't operate in an environment of high
>> > explosive ordinance going off nearby.
>
>> Did you operate in an environment of high explosive ordinance going off
>> nearby?
>
>He put himself in the pool of combattants. After that he followed
>orders.

Actually I did, but that's just the way it was...

>What did Jim do? Did he excuse himelf? Was he unfit to serve?

Jimmie got Mother Superior to send a note to the DoD to
excuse him?

>> >> And why all the comparisons? You seem to feel a need to prove that you
>> >> had it "TOUGHER" than anybody else.....
>
>> > To use a quaint and traditional military phrase, "Fuckin-A!"
>
>> Then I suppose you're disappointed that you're efforts toward proving it
>> have fallen a little short.
>
>One hundred seventy five miles uphill both ways to the FCC examiners
>office. In the snow.

Jimmie is one of those Monty Python sissies who think that
manly outbursts are "just horrid!"

>> > Yes, sweetums, I - and every other military person - had it
>> > TOUGHER than you civilians safe at home.
>
>> Really? How tough was the rear area life in Japan, Len? I don't recall
>> my military service as having been very TOUGH.
>
>Must have been why you got out so quickly.

Davie FOUGHT THE ENEMY IN SE ASIA with his trusty USAF MARS rig?

>> What's with your schtick here, Leonard? Your posts seem to indicate
>> that you believe that all MUST agree with YOUR opinions.
>
>It would be nice that once someone rejects an opinion that they say
>why.
>
>Saying that Len doesn't hold an amateur license is not a good reason
>to reject Len's opinions wrt the ARS.

Brian, give Davie some slack. That's about all he can come up
with...personal insults and depredations.

>> > Yes there is. License test regulations REQUIRE a code test for
>> > any class having below-30-MHz operation privileges...BUT...the
>> > FCC does not mandate all amateur USING morse code modes over
>> > and above any other mode. All are optional.
>
>> Well now! Yessir, that presents a real dilemma, doesn't it. You should
>> be able to suck it up. After all, your military service was way TOUGHER
>> than this easy civilian stuff.
>
>The regulations don't even define Morse Code let alone Farnsworth Code,
>but the FCC can deny a license based upon an exam it can't define.

True enough, Brian, but notice how Davie handles my statement.

I repeated the long-term fact of the FCC *NOT* mandating code
use over and above any other mode, yet retaining the license
test for morse code even though all allocated modes are optional.

That in itself would be sufficient cause to either eliminate the
morse code test or make all amateur licensees use morse code
over and above any other mode.

Davie just said "suck it up."

Rather than discuss law and regulations, he just goes for the
personal denigrations schtick. Standard Heil procedure.

>> >> Can't answer the questions, eh?
>
>> > Jimmie, you present NO valid questions. Ergo, no valid answers
>> > required.
>
>> The questions were valid enough. You just didn't answer them.
>
>Why don't you answer them, Dave?

He can't.

>> Your tales precede your manufacturer of the term "Dudly the Imposter" by
>> quite some time.
>
>"manufacture"

"manure"

"Dudley" was the pseudonym of the character described by writer
Ernest K. Gann in his autobiographical book "Fate is the Hunter."
The parallels to the one using "K4YZ" are so remarkably similar
that I just changed "Dudley" to "Dudly." Imposters are imposters.

That's just the way it is...

>> That's funny. Jim knows what I've done in professional communications
>> and I've seen no indication that he has ever become upset over it. Then
>> again, I've never made it seem that what I did professionally carried
>> any weight in amateur radio.
>
>Your "career" was your DXpedition meal ticket.

Especially as the ONLY amateur radio licensee in the big nation
of Guinea-Bisseau. :-)

>> >> For example, I think the ARRL made a big mistake not letting WK3C run for
>> >> Director of the Atlantic Division. That's *my* division, btw...
>
>> > Is your Division mobilized and ready to ship out to fight
>> > the War on Terror? Bon voyage.

>
>> Maybe they can just show up on r.r.a.p, read your posts and begin waging
>> the War on Error.

Yes, especially against the one who, on December 10, wrote:

"FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

>> > Irrelevant to RADIO REGULATIONS. Local zoning laws have NOTHING
>> > to do with federal radio regulations. Give it up.
>
>> Did you miss seeing the parallel to your actions in regard to amateur
>> radio? It was quite evident.
>
>Amateur radio regulations are a subset of "RADIO REGULATIONS."

NOT about local city zoning ordinances.

>> >> Or someone who tells a US Navy veteran to shove something up his I/O
>> >> port?
>
>> > One military veteran can tell another military veteran lots
>> > of things. Brakob, Burke, and myself are all military
>> > veterans. YOU have NEVER been an military veteran.
>
>> I'm a military veteran. You've told me lots of things. I take offense
>> to some of them.
>
>Ditto.

Brian, the difference between you and Heil is that Heil is OFFENSIVE
to just about everyone, regardless of his "veteranism." :-)

Maybe that is just Delayed Stress Syndrome as a result of all that
"in-country" fighting with MARS rigs? Any lessons of "diplomacy"
learned in all that Department of State service seem to have
evaporated. Or being away from the USA in all that "foreign
service" did something else? Difficult to ascertain.

Happy Christmas

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1720. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 22:04:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1134943465.044896.40300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127541

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 18, 11:00 am

>On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 17:19:28 GMT, Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>> part of the military that wasn't glamorous, didn't wear flashy
>>> or cute uniforms, and bore the brunt of national defense as it
>>> always has since 1776...with the casualty rates the highest of
>>> any branch, from battlefields of Pennsylvania to the Persian
>>> Gulf area of Dubya's rule.
>
>>The Signal Corps has the highest casualty rate, or did you mean the U.S.
>>Army?
>
>The Signal Corps. The first target of any combat unit is the guy (or
>tank, amtrack, jeep, etc.) with the antennas. The second target is the
>guy -next- to the guy with the antenna because he is usually an
>officer.

Frank, I originally wrote ARMY, relative to Navy, Air Force, etc.

Davie, in an effort to be as dick-tatorial as possible, edited
the quote to set up a following rebuke of the Signal Corps.

Department of Defense casualty figures are the reference as to
which branch gives the most. Anyone can look those up.

In the Army the "front-line" (we called them just "line")
radio users were just ordinary infantry, artillery, or armor
troops who've had quickie courses in using their radios.

Those aren't Signal Corpsmen per se.

>> Is that something to brag about?
>
>Yep. It takes some big, hairy balls to walk onto the battlefield
>carrying a piece of equipment that will be the first thing the enemy
>shoots at, especially when the radio is bulky and/or heavy enough to
>limit your mobility. The casualty rate for radiomen in combat is even
>higher than EOD.

That used to be true but the paradigm has flipped over today.
The common manpack radio is the SINCGARS for land forces, both
Army and Marines. The SIP or SINCGARS Improvement Program has
resulted in a manpack radio that is half the bulk and half the
weight of the original (beginning 1989) SINCGARS sets. Some
250 thousand total R/Ts were manufactured and operational as of

the end of 2004, making that the most-produced military radio of all time...roughly double that of the PRC-25/-77 of the Vietnam era. I don't have any current figures on the SIP production-fielding, but the older SINCGARS cases-chassis (PRC-119) have been turning up on E-Bay, so there is a new beginning "surplus" area for "green" (military) collectors.

When I was in, we "rear echelon" troops would exercise in infantry training using PRC-6s and PRC-9s (manpack). Those VHF whips aren't that noticeable and the (about) 20 pound manpack radio was half the weight and bulk of the old WW2 SCR-300 Walkie-Talkie. Being of average height and build, I never found it limited my mobility much then.

During the Korean War active phase, the highest casualty rate got specialized to the pole linemen...extremely vulnerable targets at work with absolutely no cover but the pole. The Army got wise unusually quickly and set about getting lay-on-the-ground multi-channel cable such as the "Spiral-4" stuff used in newer terminal/radio-relay equipments. That was used more than aerial line pairs in Vietnam. I doubt that big, hairy ball USAF MARS operators in SE Asia ever noticed that.

The SE Asian topography and dictated limited movement of troops led to concentrations of communications on whatever hilltops could be secured. That led to concentration of enemy fire on those relatively concentrated units with resulting heavy casualties. The Army was stuck with most of those tasks although the Marines did some of those radio hilltops. USAF MARS operators weren't doing those things, despite their claims of "being in-country" as much as combat troops.

By 1990-1991 the "command track" concept of concentration of radios in certain vehicles was already lessening. Newer radios were more multi-purpose, multi-band, more agile and there were fewer tell-tale antennas to spot. Desert Storm isn't a good model for comparison since EVERYONE on land was ON THE MOVE in perhaps the quickest panzerfaust operation of any military at any time. It was largely armor-against-armor in an overwhelming over-run condition. The USAF and Navy Air had cut the Iraqi communications centers already during Desert Shield, leaving their ground forces with limited command track capability and little coordination. It was a rout for our side, taking only five days of ground war.

By the time of Dubya's War, things were turned around again. Humvees are the local "command tracks" all over and the targets of hidden bombs and mines. Those are indiscrimate as to whether they have visible radio equipment or not. Different game, different rules, different playing field.

While land forces have radios with excellent resistance to interception and jamming, we are up against Iraqis (and Afghanis) who aren't "radio knowledgeable" to any useful degree and don't know enough to look for "command tracks" or antenna concentrations. EVERYONE who wears a uniform in those areas needs big, hairy balls to venture about.

Happy Christmas

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1721. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 22:16:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Oclpf.4262$n1.2967@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127542

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 07:18:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>>> So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
>>>> capacity
>>> it is his lack of moral fiber that is the cause he could do something
>>> if he realy wanted to but he doesn't realy care enough to do so
>> Mark, you haven't any moral anything.
>
> I certa9inoly do alotmore than yourself for example I don't defy those
> thing I see as the comand of God

You "do a lot more" in what way, Mark? It can't be about the
commandments of God--you don't believe in God.

I may be wrong, but I don't think there is a "9" in "certainly".

>>> Stevie is bascialy lazy he wants the posters to suit there posts to his
>> "Steve is basically lazy. He wants posters to suit their posts to his"
>>
>>> reading level and abilty and lacks the ability to tolaoarate anyone
>> "reading level and ability. He lacks the ability to tolerate anyone"
>>
>>> being different from him
>> "being different from him".
>>
>> Actually, Mark, you are the fellow who is lazy.
>
> Iam also lazy

Yes, I wrote that you are.

>> You make no effort to
>> see that your posts are grammatically correct or spelled correctly.
>
> again you lie in over stating things

There was no overstatement. If anything, there was understatement.
Your typical reaction is to call someone a liar, even if it is obvious
that he has written the truth.

>> Those things combine to make you appear less intelligent than you might
>> be. Your posts are difficult for all to read.

>
> as indeed they should be difficult to read

I believe you have things backward, Mark.

> o f they are diffcult then you have to think and to read them
> therefore you might learn something that does not suit you

That's incorrect. When the spelling and grammar are all hosed up, the reader spends his time trying to find the hidden meaning. He might be wondering how anyone could allow such stuff to escape his computer before it has been gone over for spelling and content. If you had any intention of imparting wise words, it is wasted by burying it in gobbledy-gook.

>>> makes a guy wonder why he went into the ARS at all I thought the one of
>>> the points was to have contact with different sorts of people.

>> No one is required to make contact with you or to even pretend to desire
>> contact with you. .
>
> your point?

...was written above.

> you dave and stevei too wish to avoid any contact with any point of
> view other than your won

I won? Delightful. Mark, I come into contact with a large number of people who don't happen to share my views on any number of topics. I like many of those people. I don't like some of them. None of them are heathen, dyslexic bisexuals who see themselves as victims and who refuse to better themselves. It is my right to not associate with those whom I abhor.

> why what do you get out of ham radio

I meet interesting and likeable people. I renew my friendship with those I've met many times on the air and with those I've met and known in other places I've lived. I enjoy the competitive aspects. I enjoy working with antennas. I like participating in NTS activities and ARES activities. I enjoy collecting and restoring vintage gear. I get to learn new things from some folks and I'm able to impart knowledge to others. Is it your view that I must accept you or indulge your peculiar views?

> why do you and stevi alwys avoid trhe question and just deliever some
> kind of attack

Is there only one question? Have I avoided a particular question of yours or is it that you didn't like the answer I provided?

>> Take our local sociopath for example. The only
>> people in this area who make contact with Roger Wiseman aren't aware of

>> who or what he is. The guy is a pariah.
>
> so what?

> your point?

Read your own musings above. I didn't enter amateur radio to hang out with
or to be chums with guys like Roger Wiseman.

>>> perhaps that will become after the R&O goes through and I too will have
>>> an extra class license

>> ...if you pass the exam. I'm sure that you'll suddenly become popular
>> beyond your wildest dreams.

> but the exa is so simple you guys keep syaing esp Jim

ESP Jim? Does he have the power? If you think you'll take an "exa",
you might have some problems with it.

Dave K8MN

1722. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 22:19:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <do4na3$d6s$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 127543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

Bill wrote:
> "policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in news:dlsjvh$14q$1
> @xuxa.iecc.com:
>
> > This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
cut/
> >
> >
> > 73, K3VR
> >
> >
>
> Simple question, whats this have to do with scanners??

count yourself lucky it at least concers radio
>
> --
> Bill

1723. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 22:23:53 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do4nhidsh1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127544

Iitoi wrote:
> N9OGL Wrote:
>
>>http://12.156.123.39/intelligentsia/index.php The World of the
>>Intelligentsia
>>
>
>
> Todd has been promoting this "Intelligentsia" forum for several days,
> so out of curiousity we looked to see what he could possibly be
> presenting as "intelligent" on a forum, and what population of
> "Intelligentsia" would flock to such a board.
>
> Imagine how un-suprised we were to find that there were NO messages
> (none, zero, nada, ZIPPO) posted by the "Intelligentsia". Even more
> un-surprising is that fact that the flock of "Intelligentsia" who are
> registered at that forum (besides Toddd) numbers (you guessed it)
> exactly NONE, even though it's been hyped in Todds sig line for quite
> some time now.

isnt yet listed but will be and then you be sorry for saying me that.
It must suck to be you.

1724. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 22:25:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kllpf.4309$n1.3361@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127545

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 08:26:15 -0500, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:lTtof.4703$Tg2.2956@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>>>> So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
>>>>> capacity
>>>> it is his lack of moral fiber that is the cause he could do something
>>>> if he realy wanted to but he doesn't realy care enough to do so
>>> Mark, you haven't any moral anything.
>>>
>>>> Stevie is bascialy lazy he wants the posters to suit there posts to his
>>> "Steve is basically lazy. He wants posters to suit their posts to his"
>>>
>>>> reading level and abilty and lacks the ability to tolaoarate anyone
>>> "reading level and ability. He lacks the ability to tolerate anyone"
>>>
>>>> being different from him
>>> "being different from him".
>>>
>>> Actually, Mark, you are the fellow who is lazy. You make no effort to see
>>> that your posts are grammatically correct or spelled correctly.
>>> Those things combine to make you appear less intelligent than you might
>>> be. Your posts are difficult for all to read.
>>>
>> Dave, you missed his post where he plainly stated that this news group and
>> the people in it aren't worth the time and effort to make his posts
>> readable. He also stated that it is the responsibility of the reader to
>> make sense of material not the responsibility of the author to make it
>> understandable. That's despite the fact that every communications course
>> teaches exactly the opposite.
>
> but not english teachers

Yes, Mark, English teachers insist on the same.

> but then you are an elitst that thinks others must comform to their
> wishes

All who believe that writers need to present their thoughts in a concise
and clear manner, properly spelled and punctuated, are not elitists,
Mark. They are people who understand this reading and writing stuff.

Dave K8MN

1725. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 22:26:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134944773.161655.120280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127546

no one gives a fuck what you think you fucking fake

Path:
g2news1.google.com!news4.google.com!border1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.giganews.com!newsfe
ed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe
.org!not-for-mail
From: Todd <t...@nospam.moc>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine
Date: Sun, 18 Dec 2005 16:23:53 -0600
Organization: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <do4nhidsh1@domitilla.aioe.org>
References:
<1133741678.515694.64560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com><1133800193.504617.302450@g49g2000cw
a.googlegroups.com><1133878094.531291.235760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com><1134482663.5348
43.306450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com><1134516022.362164.113790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.
com><1134656393.536480.190180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com><1134673680.564133.246020@f14g2
000cwb.googlegroups.com><1134829662.192690.139050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com><1134853994
.341515.286620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com><gR0pf.3970$n1.418@newsread2.news.pas.earthlin
k.net><do2fmt$2at$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
<1134899427.936860.299060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<Iitoi.20986f@news.radiobanter.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: g/1+7Xba+bYm+kxHzc/XZw.user.domitilla.aioe.org
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
In-Reply-To:
X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4)
Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1

Iitoi wrote:
> N9OGL Wrote:
>
>>http://12.156.123.39/intelligentsia/index.php The World of the
>>Intelligentsia
>>
>
>
> Todd has been promoting this "Intelligentsia" forum for several days,
> so out of curiousity we looked to see what he could possibly be
> presenting as "intelligent" on a forum, and what population of
> "Intelligentsia" would flock to such a board.

>
> Imagine how un-suprised we were to find that there were NO messages
> (none, zero, nada, ZIPPO) posted by the "Intelligentsia". Even more
> un-surprising is that fact that the flock of "Intelligentsia" who are
> registered at that forum (besides Toddd) numbers (you guessed it)
> exactly NONE, even though it's been hyped in Todds sig line for quite
> some time now.

isnt yet listed but will be and then you be sorry for saying me that.
It must suck to be you.

1726. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 22:47:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134946021.910547.204060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127547

>There is no indication from you as to why this rule is "outdated". Why
>would anyone want to change this regulation?
>Dave K8MN

Because although code and cipher can be used to obscure the meaning,
they can also be used for practical purposes which are not yet
investigated. The rule was created back in the 30's for the simply
reason, which holds today, the goverment view is that although amatuer
help with emergency communication amateur's can't be trusted. Amatuer's
since the very beginning were seen as a threat to national sercuity.
The rule was created to keep "spys" and other foreign govenmental
agencies from using ham radio as a tool to sent classified information
from here back to their native land. I mean what other purpose who this
rule be in place but because of that fact. Now what changes would I
make to this rule?? well I would leave the rule as is however I would
have the rule in manner point to 97.309 (b). 97.309(b) is the rule that
states amateur can use unspecified codes provided they are not used to
obscure the meaning. The problem I'm having is amateur operators who
only look at 97.113(a)(4) and don't look at 97.309(b) and are under the
impression that coding is not allowed.

Todd N9OGL

1727. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 23:11:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134947471.908549.285010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127548

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dee Flint on Dec 15, 3:21 pm
> >> "Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote in message

> >> Actually the place that I see the difference in operating skills is on the
> >> VHF bands in the VHF contests. When I review my contacts in those contests,
> >> the large majority of them are Extra class operators. They seem to be the
> >> ones to have the skill necessary to put together and operate a station
> >> suitable to make long distance VHF contacts and the skill to do so.
> > >
> > > Wow! Someone should have TOLD the U.S. Army Signal Corps folks
> > > at Evans Signal Laboratory in 1946 when they were the first to
> > > bounce a radio signal off the moon!
> >
> > How much power was used by the Army?

The transmitter used was a modified SCR-271 radar unit. It produced
3000 W on 111.5 Mc. (that's what the Signal Corps called them
back then). Pair of 6C21 triodes in the output - they look similar to
1000Ts.

3000 W output with those tubes at that frequency means about 5000 W
input.
The amateur power limit back then was 1000 W input.

> How large was the antenna?

64 dipoles in front of a plane reflector. At least 24 dB gain over
isotropic.

There's a lot more info at:

http://www.campevans.com/diana.html

btw, it was a moon RADAR experiment, not a communications system.

The mode used was OOK CW. The echoes were heard as beeps. Had there
been
a second station, communication could have been done by Morse Code.

But no Morse Code was used because no communication was done. There was
no second station to communicate with.

Those Diana folks had a some hams involved, though - all code tested at
at
least 13 wpm:

Lt. Col John H. DeWitt, officer-in-charge, W4ERI, ex-W4FU

E.K. Stodola, head of the lab's Research Section, W3IVF

F. Elacker, Mechanical Engineer, ex-W2DMD

H.P.Kaufmann, W2OQU was also involved at a high level.

Those are just the hams I know of that were involved. There were probably more.

Note that a good number of the top people were radio amateurs.

They used power levels 9 dB above those permitted to amateurs at the time, and
an antenna that was quite beyond "backyard construction". They had lots of
resources.

Lt. Col. DeWitt, W4ERI, was the driving force behind the whole idea, which he
first began working on in 1940.

> Hams
> are now doing moonbounce wherein one of the stations is using a modest
> 50 MHz yagi and 100w or so.

A few years back, a couple of hams (both code-tested, at least one
an Extra) did microwave EME with less than
100 W and dishes less than 10 feet in diameter - at both ends. Using
their own resources.

> > Yeah, they should have told the Signal Corps "how to do it" in
> > Korea in the 1950s when they set out all that VHF radio relay
> > equipment in the hills and valleys there.
> >
> > Where WAS the ARRL when all that was going on? They didn't tell
> > the Signal Corps much of anything...
>
> Where Worked All States? During WWII, the Signal Corps used the ARRL
> Handbook, Leonard. I'll bet that chafes you to no end.

The ARRL actually produced a special "Defense Edition" Handbook
for training purposes.

There's also the story of "The Ghost of Guam".

73 de Jim, N2EY

1728. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 23:16:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <R4mpf.6075$Tg2.5226@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127549

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 17, 4:27 am
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 16, 3:41 pm
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>
>>>> Spelling isn't rocket science, Len :-)
>
>>> I've worked WITH rocket scientists (and engineers) at
>>> Rocketdyne Division of (then) Rockwell International
>>> (now a Division of Boeing who purchased them a few
>>> years ago).
>> As you flitted from job to job....
>
> Advancing in salary and responsibility each time...

...so you say. That's really wonderful for you, Len. I'm sure that none of the rest of us have actually had jobs where we've advanced in salary and responsibility.

> When you've had some experience in REAL wordsmithing as
> an article-seller and editing, you come on back.

You seem to believe yourself the only person here who ever was paid for writing or editing. We know from your frequent factual errors that research isn't your thing. Thankfully, most magazines have good proofreaders so your misspellings were likely kept to a minimum.

> Nowhere in Title 47 C.F.R. is it stated that an AMATEUR
> radio license grant makes YOU "superior" to anyone in
> anything, regardless of license class.

It needn't say it there, Len. I have an amateur radio license; you have no amateur radio license. In amateur radio, even if my ticket came in yesterday's mail, I'm superior to you. Live with it.

> So far in here, all you've done is to posture and post
> (seemingly endlessly) about how "right" you are in
> everything and how everyone who disagrees with you is
> so terribly "wrong."

You needn't be terribly wrong, Len. You're usually just plain wrong.

>
>> Does that somehow make

>> your opinions about amateur radio policy better or superior
>> to those of anyone else, Len?
>
> If I want to find out the correct spelling of the legal
> name of a President of the United States, I can find it.
> I don't need "other sources."

Perhaps you've found your niche! You can become superior in the correct spelling of U.S. President names.

>> Besides - how do we know any of these "rocket scientist" claims
>> of yours are true? You're big on demanding "proof" of
>> all sorts of stuff, and rejecting people's claims. Why
>> should we accept your claims? Pictures and verbiage on
>> someone's website aren't "proof".
>
> Contact the Personnel Department of Rocketdyne Division
> of Boeing Aircraft in Canoga Park, CA.

> Do you need their mailing address? If so, contact your
> "other sources."

They aren't likely to provide any details of your employment, Len. You haven't POSTED PROOF and I'm beginning to wonder if you aren't some sort of Rocketdyne Imposter. How do we know that you're the SAME Len Anderson who actually worked for Rocketdyne? How do we know that you aren't a FAKE--a POSEUR????? :-) :-) :-)

> I can name ALL of my employers from part-time during
> high school onward, and have. Have you done the same?
> No? Why not?

I can name all of the employers I've ever had--ever. I have done so in the past but I'm not inclined to share the list with you.

>> Perhaps what really bothers you about K0HB is that
>> he has better stories, and tells them better, than you do.
>
> I have no stories of "CW operating," Jimmie.

As they say in Espanol--Punto! You'll likely not be able to top any such stories then, Leonard.

> Never used morse code mode in over a half century,
> haven't been required to in either military or
> civilian occupations.

Precisely!

> As to "telling them better," show your chops as a
> PAID-by-others editor and wordsmith FIRST.

If I were Jim, I'd hold out until you substantiate your Rocketdyne claims. :-) :-)

> You are
> so highly biased in favor of morse mode that you are
> incapable of objectively critiquing any "story" for any
> mass media publication other than some "CW organization."

It could easily be said that you are so biased against morse testing and morse use (as evidenced by your whining about K0HB's tale of SUQ) that you are incapable of objectivity.

> Hans sent me a copy of his "Speed Key" credential from
> the United States Navy. Do you have one of those?
> Or do your "other sources" have it?

Do you have one, Len?

> You were never in the USN or USNR.

Me neither. Were you in the Navy or Naval Reserve too?

> You were never in
> the Persian Gulf region.

Were you, Len? I've never been there.

> You were never in the service
> of the military of the United States.

You might be close to nailing him down now.

> We (other than "other sources") don't know if you
> ever did any radio communications in professional/commercial
> radio other than AMATEUR. You are carefully ambiguous and
> non-specific about that. Just like Dudly the Imposter.

I've been as specific as I could about certain aspects. Look where that got me with you.

> Go play with your AMATEUR radios. That will keep you
> in the house and busy this Saturday night.

I took your post to heart, Len. I use my radio equipment to make a number of contacts in the Stew Perry event, but I found time to post here as well. What did you do?

Dave K8MN

1729. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 23:27:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hfmpf.3755$mj1.1469@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127450

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm
>>>>>> Jim has tatoos?
>>>>> I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
>>>>> James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
>>>>> film released in the 1950s.
>>>> Robert Mitchum. 1954. Night of the Hunter from the novel by Davis Grubb.
>>>> The author was from up the road in Moundsville. The story is set in
>>>> this area.
>>>>
>>>>> That character had L-O-V-E on one
>>>>> hand, H-A-T-E on the other...liked to off folks that didn't
>>>>> believe in him.
>>>> Believing in him had nothing to do with it. He killed prostitutes and
>>>> dancers because he thought they were evil and he killed widows for their
>>>> money. The guy wasn't even a real preacher.
>>>>
>>>> Don't you get anything right?
>>> Did they ever catch him, or is he still running around the hills of
>>> Moundsville?
>> I can see how you'd become confused. It was a movie, Brian. You can
>> rest easy now.
>>
>>> Was he a ham preacher?
>> No, he was a ham salad sandwich.
>>
>> You may now continue your red-hatted monkey routine. Perhaps the old
>> organ grinder will crank up another tune so you can dance for us.

> Thanks, Dave. You can always be counted on to call names and ridicule
> people (profile).

If you'd like to play games, asking about whether a fictional character
from a movie has been captured or whether he was a "ham preacher", I can
play it your way. If you play the little, red-hatted monkey, you'll be
treated as a little, red-hatted monkey.

Dave K8MN

1730. Re: More Real Estate Follies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 23:28:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134948516.934501.22960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127451

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Do you?
>
> Do you have a short memory? If so, scroll up a few messages for a refresher.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

What exactly are you going on about?

1731. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 23:35:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134948909.251892.256040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127452

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> >
> > Hans doesn't believe in U.S. amateur radio being "self-
> > regulating?" Ach, zo!
> >
>
> This is amateur radio? I thought it was rec.amateur.tennis.policy! Silly me!
>
> Ach, ptuey!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

I hope this isn't an indication of how hams act on the air.

Do you think Steve would transmit "raped an old friend" in CW?

1732. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 23:35:12 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <idsbq1tjupqp8chbce75dh5phqpmfvs1hd@4ax.com>
Source record: 127453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 14:05:49 -0500, "Lloyd" <lloyd@lloydnocw.org>
wrote:

>More Psycho-Babble from delusional old white men.
>Borrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrring.......
>ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

And yet, here you are, three days later, still hanging on every word.

Those who can, do. Those who can't, whine about those who can.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

1733. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Gareth Alun Evans G4ASW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 23:39:35 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43a5f316.15230401@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 127454
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote:

> By "kent", do you mean "trousers"?
> (along the lines of Oxford Bags)

probably...

i Obviously dont mean in the way of MORSE KEYS

1734. Re: Pepper And Salt - Re Eric Williams M3EJO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 23:43:12 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43a5f406.15471029@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 127455
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Eric 2E0ECW <gin@tonic.uk> wrote:

> Please quote said personal attack.

tut tut tut... dont you know he cant do that here...???

anyway... hows the morse code going or are you just wasting time ?

1735. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-18 23:57:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134950260.958919.320130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127456

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: Dee Flint on Dec 15, 3:21 pm
> > >> "Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>
> > >> Actually the place that I see the difference in operating skills is on the
> > >> VHF bands in the VHF contests. When I review my contacts in those contests,
> > >> the large majority of them are Extra class operators. They seem to be the
> > >> ones to have the skill necessary to put together and operate a station
> > >> suitable to make long distance VHF contacts and the skill to do so.
> > >
> > > Wow! Someone should have TOLD the U.S. Army Signal Corps folks
> > > at Evans Signal Laboratory in 1946 when they were the first to
> > > bounce a radio signal off the moon!
> >
> > How much power was used by the Army?
>
> The transmitter used was a modified SCR-271 radar unit. It produced
> 3000 W on 111.5 Mc. (that's what the Signal Corps called them
> back then). Pair of 6C21 triodes in the output - they look similar to
> 1000Ts.
>
> 3000 W output with those tubes at that frequency means about 5000 W
> input.
> The amateur power limit back then was 1000 W input.

Was RADAR a legal mode? What was the PRF?

> > How large was the antenna?
>
> 64 dipoles in front of a plane reflector. At least 24 dB gain over
> isotropic.
>
> There's a lot more info at:
>
> http://www.campevans.com/diana.html
>
> btw, it was a moon RADAR experiment, not a communications system.
>
> The mode used was OOK CW. The echoes were heard as beeps. Had there
> been
> a second station, communication could have been done by Morse Code.
>
> But no Morse Code was used because no communication was done. There was

> no second station to communicate with.
>
> Those Diana folks had a some hams involved, though - all code tested at
> at
> least 13 wpm:

Conditionals or FCC tested?

> Lt. Col John H. DeWitt, officer-in-charge, W4ERI, ex-W4FU

FU suffix, huh? I'm suprised the fCC let that one through.

> E.K. Stodola, head of the lab's Research Section, W3IVF
>
> F. Elacker, Mechanical Engineer, ex-W2DMD
>
> H.P.Kaufmann, W2OQU was also involved at a high level.
>
> Those are just the hams I know of that were involved. There were
> probably more.

There always are.

> Note that a good number of the top people were radio amateurs.
>
> They used power levels 9 dB above those permitted to amateurs at the
> time, and
> an antenna that was quite beyond "backyard construction". They had lots
> of
> resources.

A fantastic use of post-war resources.

> Lt. Col. DeWitt, W4ERI, was the driving force behind the whole idea,
> which he
> first began working on in 1940.

What idea? To bounce a signal off of the moon for no communications
purpose?

Isn't that like bouncing a basketball off of a backboard with no
intention of making a basket?

> > Hams
> > are now doing moonbounce wherein one of the stations is using a modest
> > 50 MHz yagi and 100w or so.
>
> A few years back, a couple of hams (both code-tested, at least one
> an Extra) did microwave EME with less than
> 100 W and dishes less than 10 feet in diameter - at both ends. Using
> their own resources.

Go Hams!

> > > Yeah, they should have told the Signal Corps "how to do it" in
> > > Korea in the 1950s when they set out all that VHF radio relay
> > > equipment in the hills and valleys there.
> > >
> > > Where WAS the ARRL when all that was going on? They didn't tell
> > > the Signal Corps much of anything...
> >
> > Where Worked All States? During WWII, the Signal Corps used the ARRL
> > Handbook, Leonard. I'll bet that chafes you to no end.
>
> The ARRL actually produced a special "Defense Edition" Handbook
> for training purposes.
>
> There's also the story of "The Ghost of Guam".
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

A KG6, no doubt. BTW, I saw KG6DX listed in the CQWW.

1736. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 00:03:21 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127457

On 18 Dec 2005 14:26:13 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> no one gives a fuck what you think you fucking fake

I care what the real todd says. so buzz off.

1737. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 00:32:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <spmdnf4kt71_YjjeRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 127458

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1134947471.908549.285010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

[snip]

>
> There's also the story of "The Ghost of Guam".
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Where can I read that story? Or perhaps you could summarize here?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1738. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 00:49:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134953342.737038.295400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127459

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1134612831.728178.110080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > >> news:1134522669.527007.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> > >> >> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> > >> >> > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > >> >>
> > >
> > [snip]
> > >
> > >
> > > Is that why the FCC gives ALL power priveleges to their ENTRY LEVEL
> > > LICENSEES?
> > >
> > >
> > Entry level licensees do NOT have all power privileges. Technicians with
> > code are an entry level license. On HF frequencies, they are limited to 200
> > watts output.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> And 200 watts on VHF/UHF???

Hello, Dee?

1739. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 00:49:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1134953380.604852.318330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127460

He isn't me...and if you think that then your just as stupid as I
though.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS

1740. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 01:02:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TEnpf.3797$mj1.2648@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127461

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> There's also the story of "The Ghost of Guam".
>

The "Ghost of Guam" was US Navy Radioman 1st Class George Tweed. He wasn't a ham. Was reputed to be laid up drunk in a house of horizontal refreshment when the Navy evacuated the island just ahead of the WW-II JA invasion so he missed his ride. Had to hide out in the jungle for a few years until the USN came back. In the book/movie "No Man is an Island" he comes off as a hero, but was in fact not popular with the locals, several of whom (including a native RC Priest) lost their lives for not revealing his whereabouts. After the war he skedaddled without so much as a thank-you.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1741. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 01:07:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JJnpf.6092$Tg2.10@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127462

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Dec 15 2005 4:14 am
>>>
>>>
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 13, 7:32 pm
>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>>>>>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>> The starting path under discussion was the path to an amateur radio
>>>> license. You haven't taken the first step on that path.
>>> "The journey of a thousand miles begins with a single
>>> step"...some ancient Chinese proverb, I suppose.
>> Lao Tzu.
>
> Any relation to Zack Lao?
>
>> I found some Chinese proverbs which seem quite fitting to your role here:
>>
>> "A crane is too obvious when it stands among a flock of chickens and
>> looks very awkward. It is also true with a camel amidst a flock of sheep
>> and a flea when it stands on top of a hairless head. They all carry a
>> pejoritary tone: the thing that outstands others is something awkward if
>> not necessarily bad."
>>
>> You are the crane, the camel or the flea.
>
> You are the chicken, the sheep or the hairless head?

Thought you'd never ask! Amateur radio is the chicken, the sheep or the
hairless head.

>> "There is an argument between a bird who stopped to drank at a well and
>> a frog therein. They were arguing about how the sky looked like.
>> Regarding where they were, they each had a different view. The frog's
>> vision was of course very limited. Therefore, this proverb refers to

>> somebody who has a very narrow-minded and insulated view of what they
>> see or what they think."
>>
>> You are the frog.
>
> The frog gives the bird.

...his view of the sky and the bird just grins 'cuz he knows that the
sky is much larger.

>>> I obtained a COMMERCIAL radio operator license 49 years ago.
>>> First Class, one test, no repeats necessary.
>> Yeah? So?
>
> One exam to run a 100,000 watt transmitter? What would Jim say?

Limited privileges.

>>> WHY was it "required" that I obtain an amateur license?
>> Who ever told you that it was?
>
> It isn't, but the way you and Jim needle Len about getting one...

...is unrelated to the fact.

>>>>>> Was it necessary to punish amateurs?

>>>> Who was "punished"?

>>> You tell us. You are the one into the dominatrix role.

>> No, *you* need to tell us. You wrote of amateur radio ops being
>> punished over incentive licensing. Back up your claim.
>
> I asked about amateurs being punished. Jim said he lost privileges.
> He was no longer in the privileged class.

It effected me directly. I was not punished in any way.

>>>>>>>> but you find a way to personalize it.
>>>>>>> The rules changes of 1968 and 1969 affected me at the time.
>>>>>> They affected everyone after you as well.
>>>>>>> They did not affect you and they did not affect Len.
>>>>>> You're simply wrong on that one, Quitefine.
>>>>> Lots of us radio pros without amateur licenses just didn't bother
>>>>> to get an amateur license...not necessarily as a result of
>>>>> "changes of 1968 or 1969."
>>>> That's fine, Len. Nobody says you have to get ana amateur radio
>>>> license.
>>> "Ana amateur radio license?"
>>>
>>> Ah, but YOU already said I had some kind of moral imperative
>>> to get an amateur radio license. Hypocrite.

>> No one has told you anything of the kind. That's another of your
>> factual errors.
>
> Then we will hear no more from you and Jim about Len not having one,
> right?

I wouldn't bank on it.

>>>> But it does seem a bit odd that you're expending so much
>>>> time and energy on the requirements for a license you aren't
>>>> going to get...

>>> "Not going to get?" Who said that...besides YOU?

>> Why, *you* said it.

> Why did he say it?

Why not ask him?

>>> I'm just wanting the morse code test for an amateur radio
>>> license eliminated.

>> That's at least the third version you've told here. Previously, you've
>> waffled between the other two--that you were going to get the "Extra
>> right out of the box or that you weren't going to obtain an amateur
>> radio license.
>
> Can't a person want more than one thing? Is Dave putting limits on
> what people can want?

He could have gotten away with it until recently. It is difficult to
talk out of three sides of your mouth, so that's going to slow him up.

>>> Why are YOU "spending so much time and energy" trying to
>>> throw shit on all of those desiring that test element 1
>>> deletion?
>> Doing what?
>
> That voodoo that you do.

Len certainly never gets more than he has delivered and he receives far
less that he has earned.

>>> What are you afraid of? Loss of your personal status,
>>> title, and privileges?
>> What are you afraid of, Len? That radio amateurs won't show you the
>> respect which you feel is your due?
>
> That has certainly been the case on rrap.

That Len feels that he is owed some respect for his past military radio
days or his professional radio work? Life is tough all over.

>
> That you won't get into amateur

>> radio before you're past your expiration date?
>
> Len has an expiration date? What is it?

We may or may not ever know. If his postings stop suddenly, that may be
a clue.

>>>>> What the heck, I'd already started
>>>>> 15 and 14 years before in HF comms where the operating
>>>>> environment was a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER on all concerned than any
>>>>> amateur activity.

>>>> How was it "a HELLUVA LOT TOUGHER", Len? I saw your "My 3 Years" thing.
>>> The amateur radio service does not require its licensees to
>>> wage war and kill the enemy.

>> Did you wage war or kill an enemy?
>
> He put himself in the pool of combattants. After that he followed
> orders.

"Combatants" He put himself in the pool? Do you write for the DNC?
I did didn't ask anything about whether he put himself in a pool.

>>> The military "field days" were not little outings in a park
>>> once a year.

>> Did you ever participate in a military "field day"?
>
> He put himself in the pool of combattants. After that he followed
> orders.

"Combatants"

I didn't ask anything about putting himself in a pool. Actually he put
himself into the U.S. Army, some members of which were combatants.

>>> Amateur radio doesn't operate in an environment of high
>>> explosive ordinance going off nearby.

>> Did you operate in an environment of high explosive ordinance going off
>> nearby?
>
> He put himself in the pool of combattants. After that he followed
> orders.

"Combatants"

I don't believe that Len was ever a combatant. He was a soldier.

> What did Jim do? Did he excuse himelf? Was he unfit to serve?

Why are you asking me?

>>>> And why all the comparisons? You seem to feel a need to prove that you
>>>> had it "TOUGHER" than anybody else.....

>>> To use a quaint and traditional military phrase, "Fuckin-A!"

>> Then I suppose you're disappointed that you're efforts toward proving it
>> have fallen a little short.

> One hundred seventy five miles uphill both ways to the FCC examiners
> office. In the snow.

All civilians went to the FCC examiners to be tested? Really?

>>> Yes, sweetums, I - and every other military person - had it
>>> TOUGHER than you civilians safe at home.

>> Really? How tough was the rear area life in Japan, Len? I don't recall
>> my military service as having been very TOUGH.
>
> Must have been why you got out so quickly.

I gave four years of my life. Is that enough to suit you? Is it okay
that I moved on to other things that I wanted to do?

>>>>> Problem is, Jimmie doesn't think that others can think differently
>>>>> so he doesn't think about the thousands of newcomers who MIGHT want
>>>>> to get into amateur radio.

>>>> Len, I don't have any problem thinking others can think differently.
>>>> That doesn't mean I must agree with them.

>>> Then why does your lofty highness insist all MUST agree
>>> with YOUR opinions?

>> What's with your schtick here, Leonard? Your posts seem to indicate
>> that you believe that all MUST agree with YOUR opinions.

> It would be nice that once someone rejects an opinion that they say
> why.

Those have been provided often. Haven't you been reading along?

> Saying that Len doesn't hold an amateur license is not a good reason
> to reject Len's opinions wrt the ARS.

Len has no background or experience to make him a credible source of
what is good or bad for amateur radio. If I'm looking for information
on sailing, I don't seek it from a guy who has some friends who own
sailboats.

>>>> There's no specification for a lot of things in Part 97, yet there's no
>>>> problem.

>>> Yes there is. License test regulations REQUIRE a code test for
>>> any class having below-30-MHz operation privileges...BUT...the
>>> FCC does not mandate all amateur USING morse code modes over
>>> and above any other mode. All are optional.

>> Well now! Yessir, that presents a real dilemma, doesn't it. You should
>> be able to suck it up. After all, your military service was way TOUGHER
>> than this easy civilian stuff.
>
> The regulations don't even define Morse Code let alone Farnsworth Code,
> but the FCC can deny a license based upon an exam it can't define.

See, Brian, civilian life can be tough indeed. The Army told Len what
it wanted, how it wanted it done and how long it expected him to work at
it each day. It told him where and when to show up for meals and showed
him where his bed was. There was nobody shooting at him. I suppose he
could have suffered a hangnail or he could have spilled hot coffee in
his lap. Yet this grizzled veteran has told us all about his irrelevant
(to amateur radio) stories of his "big time" radio work in the military
on countless occasions. Sorry, I liked K0HB's story of SUQ a lot
better. It entertains and it doesn't rankle.

>>>>> There's been two whole years of 18 Petitions commented on at length
>>>>> since the end of WRC-03 and now NPRM 05-143 which can settle the
>>>>> morse code testing for a license issue.

>>>> Probably. But you won't be satisfied with that, despite your frequent
>>>> claims of only wanting to eliminate the Morse Code test.

>>> Jimmie Noserve, GIVE UP trying to tell me "what I will do."
>>>
>>> You don't have the authority nor the qualifications to be ME
>>> nor judgemental on "what I will do."

>> We can only go by what you've written, Len.

> You've written that you contacted out of band Frenchmen on 6m.

I surely did write that. I've never written that I was out of band
working French or any other stations anywhere, any time.

>>>>>>> Why can't Technicians operate on 14.026? Why can't hams operate on
>>>>>>> 13.976?

>>>>>> And there you go with the ultimatums and strawmen.

>>>>> Jimmie with newsgroup wordplay again. About this point, Hans will
>>>>> jump in saying you are "simply mistaken" and babbling about how
>>>>> the "IARU and ITU" are different or other semi-sweet non-sequitur.

>>>> Can't answer the questions, eh?

>>> Jimmie, you present NO valid questions. Ergo, no valid answers
>>> required.

>> The questions were valid enough. You just didn't answer them.
>
> Why don't you answer them, Dave?

I'll wait for Len's answers.

>>>> You constantly bring up much older history ("My 3 Years") that doesn't
>>>> apply to anything NOW....

>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, that's an entirely different "discussion"
>>> concerning overt LYING of military service by Dudly the
>>> Imposter (aka "K4YZ").

>> Your tales precede your manufacturer of the term "Dudly the Imposter" by
>> quite some time.
>
> "manufacture"

Thank you.

>>> I brought up a VALID example some years ago on why the
>>> majority of military communications worldwide was NOT done
>>> by morse code mode since 1948...for the reason being that I
>>> was assigned at a major Army communications station serving
>>> a theater command Hq and stayed there for three years.
>>>
>>> YOU have NEVER done anything approaching that. In fact, YOU
>>> have NEVER served in any military service of the USA.
>>>
>>> Naturally you would be upset about anyone else doing something
>>> big and important in HF communications. TS.

>> That's funny. Jim knows what I've done in professional communications
>> and I've seen no indication that he has ever become upset over it. Then
>> again, I've never made it seem that what I did professionally carried
>> any weight in amateur radio.
>
> Your "career" was your DXpedition meal ticket.

Why, so it was. I still found it necessary to do the assigned work. Do
you have a problem with how I used my free time?

>>>> For example, I think the ARRL made a big mistake not letting WK3C run for
>>>> Director of the Atlantic Division. That's *my* division, btw...

>>> Is your Division mobilized and ready to ship out to fight
>>> the War on Terror? Bon voyage.

>> Maybe they can just show up on r.r.a.p, read your posts and begin waging
>> the War on Error.
>
> Steve's gonna hate a bunch of usurpers showing up here. No way he's
> gonna let them edge him out. And so the war escalates.

Do you mean that the error team will just busy itself with intended and
unintended mistakes?

>>>> The change of zoning near your house did not remove any privileges from
>>>> you, did it, Len? It didn't make your taxes go up or require you to change
>>>> your house in any way, right?

>>> Irrelevant to RADIO REGULATIONS. Local zoning laws have NOTHING
>>> to do with federal radio regulations. Give it up.

>> Did you miss seeing the parallel to your actions in regard to amateur
>> radio? It was quite evident.
>
> Amateur radio regulations are a subset of "RADIO REGULATIONS."

Wow! That point nearly hit you in the noggin as it zoomed right over you.

>>>> Or someone who tells a US Navy veteran to shove something up his I/O
>>>> port?

>>> One military veteran can tell another military veteran lots
>>> of things. Brakob, Burke, and myself are all military
>>> veterans. YOU have NEVER been an military veteran.

>> I'm a military veteran. You've told me lots of things. I take offense
>> to some of them.

> Ditto.

Len's been pulling the same thing with you?

>>> Here's a quaint old military phrase given in the tradition
>>> and sincerity of the military service: "Go fuck yourself!"
>>>
>>> That will take care of Saturday night for you...

>> You certainly write like a fellow who has lost an argument.

> Maybe if he refreshes the screen...

...or if he regains his composure.

Dave K8MN

1742. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 01:08:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1134954504.392212.30840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127463

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 8:07 am
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > K0HB wrote:
>
> >> > > > Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
> >> > > > a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
>
> Hans was unable to unbend enough to see your original comment
> to Frank's jab at "ham recruiting."

Nor Jim. Jim starts spazzing whenever I mention NPR. I had to laugh,
NPR was running a fund-raiser not too long ago and they were crying
about Air America stealing away donations that were rightfully
"thiers." NPR were here first. Hi!

> >> > > Some objectors did amazing things, too:
> >
> >> > > http://historynet.com/vn/bltombennett/index1.html
> >
> >> > > http://www.medalofhonor.com/DesmondDoss.htm
> >
> >> > Welp, Jim, I thought you were covertly trying to get us to find your
> >> > "service in other ways"
> >
> >> Why would anyone think that?
> >
> >> It's not about me.
> >
> >Were you an objector? Did you serve in other ways?
>
> Jimmie Noserve OBJECTS to anyone even thinking about deleting
> the morse code test for an amateur license.
>
> Jimmie SERVES the Code Cause by leading the charge of the
> ultralight brigade, galloping his hearse into bottle, bug
> paddles swinging left and right.
>
> "Into the Valley of Dearth rode the four wondered..."
>
>
> bee bop

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

It's understandable that Jim doesn't want to discuss the circumstances of why he didn't serve. But he sure is a interested in military history. Knows all about it.

1743. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 01:12:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1134954762.884865.45710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127464

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1134947471.908549.285010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > There's also the story of "The Ghost of Guam".
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Where can I read that story? Or perhaps you could summarize here?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

W/O George Tweed, USN, RM1c. Also KB6GJX. He was left behind on Guam and
eluded capture by the Japanese for 31 months, until the island was retaken by
American forces.

He was aided and kept from capture by the efforts of the Chamoru (Guamanians),
who hid him, kept him supplied, and would not give him up despite large rewards
offered by the Japanese occupiers. The Japanese tortured and executed many
Chamoru inhabitants, including a Roman Catholic priest, on the suspicion that they
had information on Tweed. But the they never gave Tweed up.

One version of the story may be read and seen in the book and film "No Man Is An Island".

I have read that Tweed is not fondly remembered on Guam. Postwar accounts
tended to portray him as a lone heroic figure, and to downplay or even ignore
the terrible price paid by those who helped him.

The radio connection to all this is that while Tweed was hiding from the Japanese,
he built and operated several receivers, and was able to give the Chamoru
accurate war news. He even wrote a small newspaper to circulate the

news.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1744. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 01:20:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aVnpf.6096$Tg2.5872@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127465

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 17:19:28 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <ASgpf.4194$n1.3860@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> <snip>
>>> part of the military that wasn't glamorous, didn't wear flashy
>>> or cute uniforms, and bore the brunt of national defense as it
>>> always has since 1776...with the casualty rates the highest of
>>> any branch, from battlefields of Pennsylvania to the Persian
>>> Gulf area of Dubya's rule.

>> The Signal Corps has the highest casualty rate, or did you mean the U.S.
>> Army?

If that's correct, then what about Len's statement that "it bore the
brunt of national defense as it always has since 1776"? The Signal
Corps did all that? I'm sure my dad would have made some comment to
that effect if he'd seen it at Utah Beach. He never said anything about
the Naval units rushing signalmen to the beach.

> The Signal Corps. The first target of any combat unit is the guy (or
> tank, amtrack, jeep, etc.) with the antennas. The second target is the
> guy -next- to the guy with the antenna because he is usually an
> officer.

What would be the odds of getting hit by a stray round if you were in a
different country than where the action was going on?

>> Is that something to brag about?
>
>
> Yep. It takes some big, hairy balls to walk onto the battlefield
> carrying a piece of equipment that will be the first thing the enemy
> shoots at, especially when the radio is bulky and/or heavy enough to
> limit your mobility. The casualty rate for radiomen in combat is even
> higher than EOD.

Not if the fighting is in Korea and you happen to be in a big building
in Japan, Frank.

Dave K8MN

1745. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 01:46:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ghopf.3813$mj1.506@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127466

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Dec 18, 8:51 am
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 14, 6:22 pm
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm
>
>
>>>>>>> Jim has tatoos?
>>>>>> I was imagining his performances in here to be the equivalent of
>>>>>> James Mitchum's creepy "preacher" in an old, scary black-and-white
>>>>>> film released in the 1950s.

>>>>> Robert Mitchum. 1954. Night of the Hunter from the novel by Davis Grubb.
>>>>> The author was from up the road in Moundsville. The story is set in
>>>>> this area.

>>> Hmmmm...that explains a lot about Davie Heil's character...:-)

>> How so? Neither Robert Mitchum nor the character he played came from
>> this area. I wasn't in the movie.
>
> Tsk, the way you ACT in here wouldn't get you to the
> "beginners" entry line to either SAG or SEG. :-)

So? When have I aspired to appear in movies? What are you going on
about, Leonard?

> You couldn't even pass for an A-1 Sauce dish at the caterer's
> table on a set, let alone as an "A-1 Op" in the movies. :-)

Dish? I've only seen the sauce in bottles. Have there been significant
A-1 Op movie parts in the past?

>> It might to you, but then again, you got the original story wrong too.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, if you want to do rec.movies.critique.negative
> go to the appropriate newsgroup.

How about this instead: If you bring something up, make sure it is
something you know about rather than foisting off your factual errors as
fact.

>> The book's author, Davis Grubb had a hard time with reality.
>

> PCTAs have a hard time with reality also... :-)

I'm certain that it appears that way to you.

>> In one
>> interview, he said that he could remember that whenever an execution
>> took place at the prison in Moundsville, the lights all over town would
>> dim.
>
> No doubt the electricity was wired in by an "A-1 Operator."

Operators wire for electricity? That doesn't make sense. The point was
that they weren't using electricity.

>> That would have been something since, when Grubb was living in
>> Moundsville, executions were by hanging. Electrocution wasn't begun
>> until the 1950's.
>
> Difficulty in carrying out Ohm's Law? :-)

No, trouble adjusting their shorts. :-) :-)

> Slow going through the CIRCUIT Court of Appeals? :-)

Not really, if the judge said a guy was hung, he really was hung. :-) :-)

>>>> Did they ever catch him, or is he still running around the hills of
>>>> Moundsville?

>>>> Was he a ham preacher?

>>> He is apparently of the undead, this time inhabiting the corpus
>>> of a corpulent K8 ham?

>> You've really not watched the movie in some time.
>
> Tsk, I just asked a question.

No, actually you didn't.

> True, I don't make it a habit to watch creepy black-and-white
> movies about deranged characters.

One misses a lot of good movies that way.

> It is much easier to access RRAP and watch all the creepy
> black-and-white PCTAs pontificate, postulate, and pustulate
> all over everyone else. PCTAs are as deranged as could be.

Judging from your paragraph above, you're watching your very own movie.

>> Another of Grubb's
>> books was turned into a movie called "Fool's Parade" with James Stewart,
>> George Kennedy and Kurt Russell.
>
> No doubt you have a well-thumbed Leonard Maltin movie guidebook

> from which to draw your wealth of old motion picture factoids.

No, I was actually here on leave while in the Air Force. I saw portions of the film shot and took some great photos of the cast, in and out of character.

I have the film and also have "Night of the Hunter" along with those books and several more by Grubb.

> Somehow that doesn't qualify you as an "A-1 Op" in a cinema.

I don't operate a cinema.

>> It was shot on location in Moundsville and Marshall County in 1970.
>
> Did that factoid make it into Variety or Hollywood Reporter? :-)

I'm sure that it did.

> Was it in QST?

Why should it have been.

>> You'd have been a natural "Fool's Parade" extra.
>
> No. I don't have a SEG membership. Wanna see my AFTRA card?

Not particularly.

>>> "Corp diem?"

>> "Corpus"

> Tsk, tsk, a blank-and-white literalist. Colorless.
>
> I was making a Play on Words between Latin and English. Since
> you only claim expertise on Hunnish, you couldn't understand it.

I understood your attempt. You used the wrong word. The word I provided would have fit perfectly with your use of "corpulent". The term you used didn't fit at all. If it was worth doing, it was worth doing right.

> You didn't understand the Latin oxymoron "primus inter pares"...

I didn't? When did you use it? I studied Latin for only two years and would likely find that phrase entirely too difficult.

(You aren't my equal though)

> ...so it is useless to get you to unbend your dictatorial
> Prussian persistence in puling orders.

What's a puling order?

>
>> Don't you get anything right?
>
> I'm not an unbending blank-and-white ultra-conservative
> RIGHTIST.

No, you aren't a rightist and you aren't the rightest. In fact, you're pretty unbending about the regs in amateur radio, in which you are not even a participant.

> Reality requires recognizing shades of gray and
> being liberal towards others. You fail there.

Your current views as regards amateur radio exhibit no shades of gray whatever. Go figure!

> Corporations have paid me real money to "get things right" and
> I have, consistently.

At least that's your story and you're sticking to it. You're the only guy who has ever been 1) paid real money by a corporation 2) to get things right. Okie-doke, Len.

> Since you see things only by your
> dictatorial blank-and-white Prussian puerility, there is no
> point in trying to discuss any matter with you.

...and yet you persist.

> I'm sure you would give both Ebert and Roeper a "thumbs down"
> when it comes to movie reviews.

I don't go to much by what movie reviewers write. If I like it, that's what counts to me. Reviewers may praise a film to the stars, but if I see it and think it was a waste of my money, it's a clinker.

> However, THAT doesn't make
> you an "A-1 Op."

No, being a good amateur radio op can make you an A-1 Op.

> Doesn't even make you good for A-1 Sauce.

In a dish?

Dave K8MN

1746. Re: Don't EVER tell SUQ to QRQ, not EVER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 02:52:26 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <poorphil@idiotphiljerk.org>
Message-ID: <do5780$eb9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"LRod" <duckecho@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:idsbq1tjupqp8chbce75dh5phqpmfvs1hd@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 14:05:49 -0500, "Lloyd" <lloyd@lloydnocw.org>
> wrote:
>
>>More Psycho-Babble from delusional old white men.
>>Borrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrring.......
>>ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
>
> And yet, here you are, three days later, still hanging on every word.
>
> Those who can, do. Those who can't, whine about those who can.
>
>
> --
> LRod
>

LRob spews, and we sleep.
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

1747. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 02:54:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gn7cq19e38pivmb1du4i16coad4p0uvuht@4ax.com>
Source record: 127467

On 18 Dec 2005 14:04:25 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1134943465.044896.40300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> In the Army the "front-line" (we called them just "line")
> radio users were just ordinary infantry, artillery, or armor
> troops who've had quickie courses in using their radios.
>
> Those aren't Signal Corpsmen per se.

My bad. I thought they came from the same stock -- I guess that shows
how old I'm not :-0

>>> Is that something to brag about?
>>
>>Yep. It takes some big, hairy balls to walk onto the battlefield
>>carrying a piece of equipment that will be the first thing the enemy
>>shoots at, especially when the radio is bulky and/or heavy enough to
>>limit your mobility. The casualty rate for radiomen in combat is even
>>higher than EOD.
>
> That used to be true but the paradigm has flipped over today.

Very true. Real sci-fi stuff they have these days. Won't be long and
every grunt will be equipped with a helmet-mounted sat-comm complete
with bio-telemetry and "black box" A/V recorders.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1748. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 02:57:43 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <poorphil@idiotphiljerk.org>
Message-ID: <do57hufok0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127469

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:TEnpf.3797$mj1.2648@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>
>> There's also the story of "The Ghost of Guam".
>>
>
> The "Ghost of Guam" was US Navy Radioman 1st Class George Tweed. He
> wasn't a ham. Was reputed to be laid up drunk in a house of horizontal
> refreshment when the Navy evacuated the island just ahead of the WW-II JA
> invasion so he missed his ride. Had to hide out in the jungle for a few
> years until the USN came back. In the book/movie "No Man is an Island" he
> comes off as a hero, but was in fact not popular with the locals, several
> of whom (including a native RC Priest) lost their lives for not revealing
> his whereabouts. After the war he skedaddled without so much as a
> thank-you.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

He was at the Legion Christmas party and personally thanked you
and everyone else.

1749. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 03:04:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <p88cq15fbv7l74s0khnsoa7r3usk788oat@4ax.com>
Source record: 127470

On Mon, 19 Dec 2005 01:20:06 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <aVnpf.6096$Tg2.5872@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
<snip>
>> Yep. It takes some big, hairy balls to walk onto the battlefield
>> carrying a piece of equipment that will be the first thing the enemy
>> shoots at, especially when the radio is bulky and/or heavy enough to
>> limit your mobility. The casualty rate for radiomen in combat is even
>> higher than EOD.
>
>Not if the fighting is in Korea and you happen to be in a big building
>in Japan, Frank.

I wouldn't know, Dave. I got my leg perforated in Beirut and I was
only packing a Simpson 260. Not much experience, I grant you. But
since that happened several months after most of 1/8's comm platoon
got wiped out by the barracks bombing, I really didn't have a chance
to get -their- opinion on the subject.

I take it that -you- have a different opinion?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1750. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 03:16:15 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1134962175.292849.323830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127471

an_old_friend wrote:
> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > "BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Lardass fucks up again. A check with the WV DMV shows
> > his license is valid untiol 2010 and has no such charges. You lose as
> > usual, fucknuts."
> >
> > "untiol"? Another of 2test wogie's made up words. Must be those fumes
> > from all those port-a-potties.
>
> such wisdom for a cartoon caharacter a cancelled one

LOL at an_old_idiot retard who is too stupid to know there never was
such a cartoon 'caharacter' (learn to spell moron). Maybe you can get
a job helping 2test clean out those port-a-potties. Sounds like a job
you might be able to handle given enough training.

1751. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 03:18:46 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1134962326.264595.54970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127472

"LOL @ trhe Atthens cockgobbler"

'trhe'...another of 2test wogie's made up words.

1752. rrap is dangerous

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 04:52:15 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.20a5ie@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.20a5ie@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 127475

To expand on a theme suggested by Scott Adams (or maybe it was Moms Mabley) I've decided that rrap is dangerous because it gives morons a vehicle express their opinion, and thus to inform other morons about what their fellow morons are thinking.

This only serves to as a sort of moron-snowball, where the feedback validates, and reinforces moronic opinions, such as "it's OK to have a stash of pornographic cartoons of 8-year-old girls being sodomized by demonic anime(als)". And the one thing more dangerous than a moron with an opinion is lots of morons with the same opinion.

The Man in the Maze
QRL at Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

1753. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 05:59:39 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.irq5nfc.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 127474

On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 21:55:06 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>There is no indication from you as to why this rule is "outdated". Why
>would anyone want to change this regulation?

Both of these restrictions are in conformance with the provisions of
the International Radio Regulations to which the US is a signatory.

That's what sets the Amateur Radio Service apart fron other
services. Without them, there would be no amateur service...the
commercial radio folks would make sure of that.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1754. Re: K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A - K1MAN HAM RADIO TALK SHOW IS BACK ON THE AIR WITH VE7KFM AS CO HOST - W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 06:26:46 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Gospf.203225$tD4.190990@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 127473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Very good point Mr. Klein. Mr. Baxter's information can be aptly described
as dead (or hot) air that is full of static!

Wayne-
(KC8UIO)

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:4ua9q1tbmccfc88f410u1rd65dobamp7c0@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 17 Dec 2005 06:58:02 GMT, Gus <Gus_who@k1man.org> wrote:
>
>>K1MAN to sign Sirius contract
>
> Well, they gave up Faux news - they had to substitute something for
> the dead air.
>
> Oh, wait a minute - dead air would give us more information than
> K1MAN.

1755. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:07:11 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <7017833.28F789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127476

On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 05:06:15 -0600, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
> > I talking about orielly of fox news and his orielly factor program.
> > he goes after poeple who hurt the kids including bullies and poeple
> > who think dirty about kids and have dirty pictures about kids becaues
> > they are one step away from realy doing it and raping some 14 year old
> > some day. he knows about poeple like you and why you think the stuff
> > you do about little kids. it is sick the way you think just like
> > steve says. orielly says the internet hurts to many poeple becaues of
> > lies and kiddy porn on it and orielly says he will put a stop to it
> > soon. orielly protecting the kids by that. I wrote email to orielly
> > last week and tell him about rrap and you. you evil ways are toast
> > and mark my word on it.
>
> Please be nice Mr lloyd because I am just a hobbyest who collects fun
> drawings of things like little naked tied up girls with cum all over
> their faces. it is just a bunch of drawings YOU GODDAMNED BITCH!!!! Get
> over it. It is legal.

Is not moral and orielly will work to fix it. you have signs of
adiction that child molestors have and it probably come over you soon.
next you will hang around grade schools and things like that. your
sick and need to be off streets and internet before you hurt some one.

1756. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:10:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135001431.630923.324260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan left this group two months ago. You should do the same.

I have just as much right to be here as you.

Todd N9OGL

1757. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:20:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135002022.193687.65080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127478

Talking to that fake Todd again?? That person isn't me, I don't use an
Italian newsgroup server. The only one I know that uses that is Arf
Arf.

Path:
g2news1.google.com!news2.google.com!proxad.net!212.101.4.254.MISMATCH!solnet.ch!solnet.ch!
newsfeed.freenet.de!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
From: Todd <t...@nospam.moc>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix
it
Date: Sun, 18 Dec 2005 05:06:15 -0600
Organization: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <do3fqtum1@domitilla.aioe.org>
References: <1f1ff$43a31377$d1cc7a0b$8255@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
<dnv1d5gvt1@domitilla.aioe.org> <8701789833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
<1134857155.891480.319840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<99987017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: g/1+7Xba+bYm+kxHzc/XZw.user.domitilla.aioe.org
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
In-Reply-To:
X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4)
Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1

Lloyd wrote:
> On 17 Dec 2005 14:05:55 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>sculptures were protected by the first amendment. I don't mess real
>>child porn or regular porn for that matter, I'm into anime and Hentai
>>and have been since the 70's. What other charges?? and what o'rielly
>>are you talking about??
>
>
> I talking about orielly of fox news and his orielly factor program.
> he goes after poeple who hurt the kids including bullies and poeple
> who think dirty about kids and have dirty pictures about kids becaues
> they are one step away from realy doing it and raping some 14 year old
> some day. he knows about poeple like you and why you think the stuff
> you do about little kids. it is sick the way you think just like
> steve says. orielly says the internet hurts to many poeple becaues of

> lies and kiddy porn on it and orielly says he will put a stop to it
> soon. orielly protecting the kids by that. I wrote email to orielly
> last week and tell him about rrap and you. you evil ways are toast
> and mark my word on it.

Please be nice Mr lloyd because I am just a hobbyest who collects fun drawings of things like little naked tied up girls with cum all over their faces. it is just a bunch of drawings YOU GODDAMNED BITCH!!!! Get
over it. It is legal.

Todd N9OGL (THE REAL ONE!!!)
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
(NOW HAVE 11 MEMBERS AND GROWING!!)

1758. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:41:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135003277.470182.100230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > get their license in the first place, Toad.
>
> Then <sniveling cunt Toad's excuse>

Thanks for proving my point, Toad.

1759. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:41:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135003314.321343.110930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > > get their license in the first place, Toad.
> >
> > Then what's your fucking excuse???
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Good shot!!

Only a moron like you would think so, Lardass.

1760. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:44:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135003476.131677.111550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd II wrote:
> "cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:1134775847.936994.30070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > > get their license in the first place, Toad.
> >
> > Then what's your fucking excuse???
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Good shot!!
> >
> Good for you, Toad. I wanna hear Roger's answer to that one.

Hey Lardass, how does one "hear" a newsgroup post, shit for brains?
It's no wonder you are just a pizza delivery BOY. You lack the
goddamned intellect to do anything else but menial employment, you
mentally ill retard. You see Roger behind every fucking post. He has
beaten you so badly, king of knob jobs in Alabama Davies.

1761. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:45:49 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135003549.478477.286940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127482

You are a fucking imbecile, Toad.

1762. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:46:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135003599.308709.84780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, you don't, dumbass.

1763. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:49:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135003778.890681.95350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127484

commode buttfucker of little boyz corey wrote:
> "LOL @ the Athens cockgobbler"
>
> 'trhe'...another of 2test wogie's made up words.
^^

"2test" ..."wogie" .....Oh, the irony, coming from the pissdrinker
Buzzy's "made up words" limited vocabulary.

1764. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:51:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135003914.288129.153280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127485

Lloyd II wrote:
> >
> > 2test, "I'm not Roger", gets to pluck the large chunks from the
> > port-a-potties whereupon he uses them to make Port-a-Potty Pies.
> > He has Thursdays off, too.
>
> he wont read it becaues he is realy in jail. he has to serve some of
> his time in jail and the rest under house arrest. suposably he has to
> many dui tickets but no one is for sure..
>
> //
>
> That would explain his absence. It must be lonely for Roger...laying on that
> steel bed in hopes of being released soon.
> Roger's plight is almost as sad as living at home with Mommy and Daddy.

BWHAHAHAHAHA..Lardass fucks up again. Roger hasn't been absent
according to your other sockpuppets, tubby. He hasn't been in jail,
either. His WV DMV record shows no dui's dumbass. It's valid until 2010.

1765. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:57:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135004249.083578.3690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127486

Lloyd II wrote:
> Yeah, after doing research, it seems to me that ALL extra class
> operator act that way, it hard to change their ways and adopt new
> idea's. The best way of handling it is to remove that class of license.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> Smile, Todd. Roger loves you just the way you are.

Looks more like *you* are attracted to Toad because he is a fellow aids
carrying faggot, "Lloyd II."

1766. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 14:58:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135004313.830011.202370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127487

N9OGL wrote:
> Talking to that fake Todd again?? That person isn't me, I don't use an
> Italian newsgroup server. The only one I know that uses that is Arf
> Arf.
>
> Path:
> g2news1.google.com!news2.google.com!proxad.net!212.101.4.254.MISMATCH!solnet.ch!solnet.c
h!newsfeed.freenet.de!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
> From: Todd <t...@nospam.moc>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix
> it
> Date: Sun, 18 Dec 2005 05:06:15 -0600
> Organization: The Voice of Amateur Radio
> Lines: 26
> Message-ID: <do3fqtum1@domitilla.aioe.org>
> References: <1f1ff$43a31377$d1cc7a0b$8255@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
> <dnv1d5gvt1@domitilla.aioe.org> <8701789833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
> <1134857155.891480.319840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> <99987017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: g/1+7Xba+bYm+kxHzc/XZw.user.domitilla.aioe.org
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
> In-Reply-To:
> X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
> User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4)
> Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>
> Lloyd wrote:
> > On 17 Dec 2005 14:05:55 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>sculptures were protected by the first amendment. I don't mess real
> >>child porn or regular porn for that matter, I'm into anime and Hentai
> >>and have been since the 70's. What other charges?? and what o'rielly
> >>are you talking about??
> >
> >
> > I talking about orielly of fox news and his orielly factor program.
> > he goes after poeple who hurt the kids including bullies and poeple
> > who think dirty about kids and have dirty pictures about kids becaues
> > they are one step away from realy doing it and raping some 14 year old
> > some day. he knows about poeple like you and why you think the stuff

> > you do about little kids. it is sick the way you think just like
> > steve says. orielly says the internet hurts to many poeple becaues of
> > lies and kiddy porn on it and orielly says he will put a stop to it
> > soon. orielly protecting the kids by that. I wrote email to orielly
> > last week and tell him about rrap and you. you evil ways are toast
> > and mark my word on it.
>
> Please be nice Mr lloyd because I am just a hobbyest who collects fun
> drawings of things like little naked tied up girls with cum all over
> their faces. it is just a bunch of drawings YOU GODDAMNED BITCH!!!!
> Get
> over it. It is legal.
>
> Todd N9OGL (THE REAL ONE!!!)
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
> (NOW HAVE 11 MEMBERS AND GROWING!!)

STFU, cockgobbling FAGGOT Toad.

1767. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 15:01:42 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135003196.064265.265140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127488

N9OGL wrote:
> Talking to that fake Todd again?? That person isn't me, I don't use an
> Italian newsgroup server. The only one I know that uses that is Arf
> Arf.
>
> Path:
> g2news1.google.com!news2.google.com!proxad.net!212.101.4.254.MISMATCH!solnet.ch!solnet.c
h!newsfeed.freenet.de!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
> From: Todd <t...@nospam.moc>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix
> it
> Date: Sun, 18 Dec 2005 05:06:15 -0600
> Organization: The Voice of Amateur Radio
> Lines: 26
> Message-ID: <do3fqtum1@domitilla.aioe.org>
> References: <1f1ff$43a31377$d1cc7a0b$8255@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
> <dnv1d5gvt1@domitilla.aioe.org> <8701789833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
> <1134857155.891480.319840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> <99987017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: g/1+7Xba+bYm+kxHzc/XZw.user.domitilla.aioe.org
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
> In-Reply-To:
> X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
> User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4)
> Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>
> Lloyd wrote:
> > On 17 Dec 2005 14:05:55 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>sculptures were protected by the first amendment. I don't mess real
> >>child porn or regular porn for that matter, I'm into anime and Hentai
> >>and have been since the 70's. What other charges?? and what o'rielly
> >>are you talking about??
> >
> >
> > I talking about orielly of fox news and his orielly factor program.
> > he goes after poeple who hurt the kids including bullies and poeple
> > who think dirty about kids and have dirty pictures about kids becaues
> > they are one step away from realy doing it and raping some 14 year old
> > some day. he knows about poeple like you and why you think the stuff

> > you do about little kids. it is sick the way you think just like
> > steve says. orielly says the internet hurts to many poeple becaues of
> > lies and kiddy porn on it and orielly says he will put a stop to it
> > soon. orielly protecting the kids by that. I wrote email to orielly
> > last week and tell him about rrap and you. you evil ways are toast
> > and mark my word on it.
>
> Please be nice Mr lloyd because I am just a hobbyest who collects fun
> drawings of things like little naked tied up girls with cum all over
> their faces. it is just a bunch of drawings YOU GODDAMNED BITCH!!!!
> Get
> over it. It is legal.
>
> Todd N9OGL (THE REAL ONE!!!)
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
> (NOW HAVE 11 MEMBERS AND GROWING!!)

STFU, cocksucking faggot Toad. You and Davies and Marky Moron Morgan
are the ones using the two dozen different names in here.

1768. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 15:06:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135004800.659161.305980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127489

no i'm not dick head, so go fuck yourself
I use N9OGL in here and toddaug555@yahoo.com in rec.art.anime.misc
Fucking dickhead

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

1769. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 15:09:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135004946.104075.241330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!

1770. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 15:11:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135005094.245664.174410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127491

go fuck yourself

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

1771. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 17:16:06 UTC
From: Dr Who <dr_whos_your_daddy@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <7017833.F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 19 Dec 2005 06:44:36 -0800, whinyslit_wogie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Lloyd II wrote:
> > "cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > news:1134775847.936994.30070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > > > get their license in the first place, Toad.
> > >
> > > Then what's your fucking excuse???
> > > Todd N9OGL
> >
> > Good shot!!
> >
> > Good for you, Toad. I wanna hear Roger's answer to that one.
>
> Hey Lardass, how does one "hear" a newsgroup post, shit for brains?

You really have to stretch for a comeback, don't you, Woger? But you
still haven't answered Todd's question about your own excuse for
having a license, and you really should do that. Point out to Todd
that you were tested twice, while he was only tested once, and that
your license is therefore twice as good as his.

1772. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 18:38:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135017526.600122.30820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127493

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 18, 6:54 pm

>On 18 Dec 2005 14:04:25 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in

<snip>

>> In the Army the "front-line" (we called them just "line")
>> radio users were just ordinary infantry, artillery, or armor
>> troops who've had quickie courses in using their radios.
>
>> Those aren't Signal Corpsmen per se.
>
>My bad. I thought they came from the same stock -- I guess that shows
>how old I'm not :-0

No problem. "All parts are interchangeable" in the land forces,
something that's been mentioned for decades...before I was in
and will be long after today. Soldiers are soldiers first,
specialists second.

USUALLY, but not always, the infantry radio ops are infantrymen
with some short training in their manpack radios. Signalmen
are found from Battalion level and up to Brigades, and do the
mass-communication stuff for Brigade through Division command.

Field radio equipment has been designed for wired-remote
control (many hundreds of feet away, as needed) of transmitters
for over a half century. Major reason being RDF *might* be
able to pinpoint an emitter and drop some nasty stuff on it.
The personnel at the control point won't necessarily be hit
so those are still survivable. If the comms equipment is
destroyed and no replacements are available, the signalmen
revert to their basic duty: Soldiering the infantry way.

>> That used to be true but the paradigm has flipped over today.
>
>Very true. Real sci-fi stuff they have these days. Won't be long and
>every grunt will be equipped with a helmet-mounted sat-comm complete
>with bio-telemetry and "black box" A/V recorders.

Not quite. That stuff is PR material that's been out for
years. The Army tried out the "squad radio" concept in
Vietnam during the early 1970s. Didn't work out well and
that was generally abandoned for wholesale use on the line.
I don't know WHY it didn't work out since I've never been
involved directly with it, just the manpack-to-high-power-
vehicular-amp families of "regular" (in ham ideas) radios

and some other interesting DoD stuff. :-)

Back in 1990 the land forces had the AN/PSC-3 radio with voice and data capability on the military aviation band, three different antennas from whip to wire mesh parabolic reflector. The data part had a "chiclet" keyboard and a small LCD-like screen and messages could be typed in, stored, sent at 1200 BPS on UHF, either to an airborne radio relay or through military comm sats. Can't verify if the data part could be encrypted, but today's PSC-7 can do that. The PSC-3 was used in unfriendly territory during Desert Shield and none were compromised. Some old-timers in here thought the military was still using something like WW2 OSS HF sets with morse code during the first Gulf War! :-)

The present-day survival radios (HT size) can cooperate with the DME of TACAN to yield distance information and their voice is both digitized and encryptable. Same size as 20-year-old survival radio-beacons but have more electronic features and better battery packs.

The AN/PRC-104 HF manpack transceiver (operational 1986, will be replaced soon by an updated unit) by Hughes Ground Systems has an automatic antenna tuner integral to the manpack R/T. One can physically shorten the whip by removing sections to cut down visibility and the antenna tuner will compensate for the shorter sections. Won't be quite as efficient as the full whip but it is less visible on the ground. The lil 20 W PEP transmitter will shove as much RF into the whip as it can without damaging itself.

While I haven't been with the Army units testing anything in the last half-dozen years, I can see that the "command track" concept (actually a command vehicle, a Humvee now more than a Bradley tracked vehicle) is still strong. That lends itself to the "many antennas" visibility for un-friendlies who have some smarts on sorting out targets. With two NVIS whips (bent-over long ones) and a couple VHF, UHF antennas on a Humvee, those stand out pretty well from the ordinary gunner-style Humvee. There are "mini-huts" for making up a Humvee into a radio command vehicle holding lots of radios inside...similar to the full-size hut on a deuce and a half flatbed.

Armor units have the flashy toys now with a couple dynamic (on the move) automatic positioning location and reporting systems still undergoing more field testing. [why, I don't know, they were first out in the field a decade ago] Artillery can confirm its position super-accurately with military-mode GPS in the little HT-size "plugger" or AN/PSN-11 receiver. The same plugger can connect to any

SINCGARS radio to update its calendar clock for good networking in FHSS mode; GPS provides a super-accurate time base. Plugger was in use during Desert Storm.

I haven't followed the progress of the SIDs (Seismic Intrusion Device) that first saw service in later years of the SE Asia Live-Fire exercise. My RCA division in Van Nuys did the casing and geophone amplifier-filter-processor, me doing the final whip design desired to be a simple wire rather than the original OD tape style. Buryable unit intended for Vietnam but that war ended early without full deployment. It could distinguish between two-footed and four-footed creatures and report back (by coded radio signal) detection of the two-footed variety. In the three decades since there must have been improvement in that area. <shrug>

There's more stuff coming along with the first signs of in SIGNAL magazine published by AFCEA along with Defense Industry Newsletter.

The latest is an RF psycho weapon using ultra-wideband microwave stuff to scare-shock-disturb unfriendlies at a distance. First operational test contract was awarded a couple months ago. While it uses radio, it might not be handled by signalmen at all, probably not by artillery types either. Psy-war units? :-)

The first NODs (Night Observation Devices) were operational during the latter half of the 1960s and used in Vietnam. Too many were stolen/captured with the USSR making their own versions. Now those "Buck Rogers" devices can be bought at sports stores as a regular consumer electronics product. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1773. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 18:41:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135017660.799439.39520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127494

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 5:08 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 8:07 am
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > > K0HB wrote:

>> >> > > > Medical branches were one way for objectors to serve; another was
>> >> > > > a religious chaplain or chaplain assistant.
>
>> Hans was unable to unbend enough to see your original comment
>> to Frank's jab at "ham recruiting."
>
>Nor Jim. Jim starts spazzing whenever I mention NPR.

I know! :-)

>I had to laugh,
>NPR was running a fund-raiser not too long ago and they were crying
>about Air America stealing away donations that were rightfully
>"thiers." NPR were here first. Hi!

Territorial Imperative of the Liberal Do-gooders.

They are "all about money." :-)

>It's understandable that Jim doesn't want to discuss the circumstances
>of why he didn't serve. But he sure is a interested in military
>history. Knows all about it.

Jimmie seems to "know all about anything" he babbles about.

He's read some and can search the Internet (like anyone else)
and then is a wannabe guru on the anything. :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1774. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 18:43:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135017811.494537.80130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127495

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 3:57 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > From: Dee Flint on Dec 15, 3:21 pm
>> > >> "Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote in message

>> > >> Actually the place that I see the difference in operating skills is on the
>> > >> VHF bands in the VHF contests. When I review my contacts in those contests,
>> > >> the large majority of them are Extra class operators. They seem to be the
>> > >> ones to have the skill necessary to put together and operate a station
>> > >> suitable to make long distance VHF contacts and the skill to do so.
>
>> > > Wow! Someone should have TOLD the U.S. Army Signal Corps folks
>> > > at Evans Signal Laboratory in 1946 when they were the first to
>> > > bounce a radio signal off the moon!
>
>> > How much power was used by the Army?
>
>> The transmitter used was a modified SCR-271 radar unit. It produced
>> 3000 W on 111.5 Mc. (that's what the Signal Corps called them
>> back then). Pair of 6C21 triodes in the output - they look similar to
>> 1000Ts.
>
>> 3000 W output with those tubes at that frequency means about 5000 W
>> input.
>> The amateur power limit back then was 1000 W input.
>
>Was RADAR a legal mode? What was the PRF?

RADAR is an acronym for RADIO Direction And Ranging.

Radar was perfectly legal for the DoD to use. The FCC has
no governance on the government radio energy use.

"PRF?" With an echo return delay of 2 1/2 seconds, isn't
much good for lively back-and-forth anything. 0.3 Hz
PRF could be used. :-)

The USN put it to use in communications first, simplex only
with, I think, RTTY. I'll have to find the excellent USN
paper "From The Sea To The Stars" history somewhere on an
archive CD here. Has the history of the USN involvement
in space and communications thereto, from an official USN
website.

>> There's a lot more info at:
>
>> http://www.campevans.com/diana.html

Jimmie is nostalgic over experiments done 59 years ago.

He wasn't there but he was there.

>> btw, it was a moon RADAR experiment, not a communications system.

Tsk, Jimmie thinks "communications systems" arrive full-blown,
fully-proven? :-)

Project Diana was an EXPERIMENT to test whether or not the
moon could be used as a radio wave reflector. It was and
what followed were more experiments by many to determine
what the frequency ranges were, the reflection characteristics.

There wasn't any need to "radar" the moon. The moon's orbit
has been accurately known for years, if not centuries. We
can all make certain of where it is. No radar needed for that.

>> The mode used was OOK CW. The echoes were heard as beeps.

Really? :-)

Civilian at Fort Monmouth Signal Labs told us it was
first observed on an oscilloscope, one of the long-
persistence phosphor types used in some radars then.
Of course that was told to us in 1952 AT Fort Monmouth
by one of the experimenters. Since he didn't give a
ham call sign Jimmie would suspect him of lying. :-)

1952 was only 6 years after Project Diana. The
experiment was fresh in his mind and, having been
there as part of it, could recall much.

>> Those Diana folks had a some hams involved, though - all code tested at
>> at least 13 wpm:
>
>Conditionals or FCC tested?

Uh huh, like Coles, Evans, and Squier laboratories was
busy, busy on ham radio research in 1946? :-)

In reality, the 1945-1950 time was one of transition from
a world war effort to peacetime and lots of the movers
and shakers in technology-intense war efforts were out to
carve new niches for themselves and their groups. Good PR
was the word of the day. A "moon bounce" thing was hot PR
at the time, attracted attention from the budget-keepers
in Congress and the Pentagon.

>> Those are just the hams I know of that were involved. There were
>> probably more.
>

>There always are.

...and Jimmie "knows" them. :-)

>> They used power levels 9 dB above those permitted to amateurs at the
>> time, and
>> an antenna that was quite beyond "backyard construction". They had lots
>> of resources.
>
>A fantastic use of post-war resources.

That "111 Megacycle" radar was already surplus before WW2 ended.
Not a problem. :-)

Anyone riding a bus to Red Bank from Fort Monmouth could look
out and see lots and lots of "junk" at two of the labs along
the highway in 1952, just 6 years after the Diana success. All
sorts of "bedspring" antenna structures were sitting in the
vehicle parking areas.

>> Lt. Col. DeWitt, W4ERI, was the driving force behind the whole idea,
>> which he first began working on in 1940.
>
>What idea? To bounce a signal off of the moon for no communications
>purpose?

In 1940 that ancient Project Diana radar set (the
original, not the kludge version used IN Diana) was
still undergoing operational testing. Even then it was
a late-comer using rather conventional vacuum tubes in the
usual ring-oscillator circuit...the style of transmitter
used by the Brits for radar along the channel.

The Brits would come up with the magnetron to make microwave
radars the future practical success. We would incorporate
those in all the later radars at S, C, and X bands during
WW2. The "star" of Army radar was the semi-trailer size
SCR-584 gun-laying radar set which was definitely well above
VHF in frequency range. Those were far from "surplus" in
1946. Monmouth had a couple for radar school practice in
1952. :-)

>Isn't that like bouncing a basketball off of a backboard with no
>intention of making a basket?

Jimmie "Knows" what was intended, deep in his heart. He "felt"
it 59 years ago.

The concept of reflection of radio waves was well known in
basic radio physics in the 1940s. What was lacking was
some definite information on the characteristics of
radio wave reflection. Nobody had any CONFIRMED idea of
the reflectivity of the lunar surface in the 1940s.

>> > ... During WWII, the Signal Corps used the ARRL
>> > Handbook, Leonard. I'll bet that chafes you to no end.

I really don't think so, having known a lot of USA, USN,
and Air Corps vets who trained during WW2. Some of them
said they used a USN basic book. Nobody mentioned
any "ARRL books." <shrug>

A 2-hour lab class one afternoon had us examine a bunch
of "basic hardware" of radio. One item was a two-tube
MOPA style HF transmitter. That MIGHT have been made
from a ham design although it didn't tune into ham bands
that existed then (instructor told us so, monitored on
an old Hammarlund receiver). We didn't take notes. In
fact, written notes were discouraged. Not for "secrecy,"
but for the need to have it in the head, much more useful
in the field which didn't allow for notebooks or stacks
of magazines for reference which could get rather wet.

In 1952 at the Signal School in Fort Monmouth we (at
least in radar basic classes) used training films on
basic principles and Army FMs, TMs for paper study,
some mock-up training aids that included a "block of
frozen RF" (acrylic plastic 3-D waveguide fields and
waves, roughly the size of 1 GHz guide). I'm not
acquainted with what was used at the Field Radio
and Telephone schools at Camp Gordon (now a Fort) used.
Monmouth in 1952 was basically for radar training with
advanced schools for the VHF, UHF, and microwave radio
relay sets...and photography, then a part of the Signal
organizational envelope (photography is now under the
media graphics specialty groups, not part of SigC).

As I remember the old ARRL handbook from the late 1940s,
I can't recall a heckuva lot of multivibrators or radar
or microwave information, nor of servo motors (but there
was a mention of Selsyns, surplus for beam indication).
Perhaps the ARRL had to exorcise all that "wartime
literature" because of "secrecy?" :-)

[unsigned message, under wraps due to Title 18 U.S.C.]

1775. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 18:50:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eiDpf.6247$Tg2.4101@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127496

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 5:08 pm

>> It's understandable that Jim doesn't want to discuss the circumstances
>> of why he didn't serve. But he sure is a interested in military
>> history. Knows all about it.
>
> Jimmie seems to "know all about anything" he babbles about.
>
> He's read some and can search the Internet (like anyone else)
> and then is a wannabe guru on the anything. :-(

Since all who have access to a computer and the internet can search (not
like *everyone else*), what then accounts for your frequent and numerous
factual inaccuracies?

Dave K8MN

1776. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 20:20:51 UTC
From: "John L. Sielke" <w2agnNOSPAM@w2agn.net>
Message-ID: <43A71623.4030200@w2agn.net>
Source record: 127497
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Pierian Spring wrote:

<snip>

and the baying hounds replied:

<snip> <snip> <etc>

You know, I agree in principle that Ham Radio is being so dumbed down that it no longer can be considered a technical hobby. (But then all of education has been dumbed down. It is more important to assure a student's "self-esteem" remains intact, than that he learn the subject).

What amazes me is that every time this "faq" is sent to the newsgroups, the same idiots respond to it, and get into a flame war. When it dies down, it only requires the repeat of the same message to get the same idiots stirred up again.

Sort of a Pavlovian response. I guess for some, it might be fun to watch dumb animals drool. Maybe it's a UK thing......

1777. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 20:42:04 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <776eq1dlo9p41kl70v9j7pq6np5qq4jllc@4ax.com>
Source record: 127498
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

John L. Sielke wrote:

>Pierian Spring of Very Dull Water wrote:
>
><snip>
>
>and the baying hounds replied:
>
><snip> <snip> <etc>
><another snip>
>
>What amazes me is that every time this "faq" is sent to the newsgroups, the same
>idiots respond to it, and get into a flame war. When it dies down, it only
>requires the repeat of the same message to get the same idiots stirred up again.
>
>Sort of a Pavlovian response. I guess for some, it might be fun to watch dumb
>animals drool. Maybe it's a UK thing......

The thing you need to bear in mind is that of the current 55 messages
in that thread, no less than 23 - not far short of half of the total -
were in fact posted by the OP. Some of these involve him posting - or
barking - replies to himself. I wasn't aware that Pavlov had trained
his dogs to drool at their own barking. But the chap concerned claims
a qualification in psychology, so perhaps he could name this
phenomenon for us.

from
Aero Spike

1778. One of the Chosen speaks (the same old repetitive drivel)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 20:53:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S4Fpf.311$R84.306@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127499
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote

>A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
> and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> like M3/CBers)

The Wise Ones live in the depths of the D, E, and F-Layers, and in the servers at Yahoogroups.com. They are the descendants of the Gods. I personally subscribe to the theory they are the children of Thor Heyerdahl, the Viking god of Thunder and Balsawood Raft Dxpeditions. But that's another story.

The Wise Ones criticize everything that happens on the air and on this list, but they can't police every pileup or every Yahoogroup by themselves, so every morning, just before daylight over Katmandu, the Wise Ones select the "Mostest Intellegentest Ham Ever" in their layer and convey upon him the title INFLICTOR OF HIGHER MORAL HARANGUES. This title and its duties last until the following dawn on Bouvet, if someone is there to observe the sunrise. Otherwise your duties end when Riley Hollingsworth gets tired of your crap and sends his lawyers with a gag order.

If you are ever chosen you will know because a representative of the Wise Ones will anoint your temples with oil from a forty year old bottle of Dr. Guano, collected on Ocean Island by VR1L in 1963. For that day you will be known as The Chosen. Your duties will be to seek out those that don't do things the way you do them (in other words, the right way.) When you find them, it will be your duty to correct them.

Think about all the ills of ham radio that you can police .....too wide, too weak, too slow, too fast, what's your call dammit, wrong VFO, rotten fist, QRQ, QRS, poor pileup control, wrong band, wrong QSL route, you timed out the repeater, wrong lingo, not enough green stamps, you're in the DX window, no-coders not allowed, coders suck, ... these and many other sins are all your to root out and expose!!!

When you find these wayward ones, you will lumber onto their frequency, stand up in your operating chair and shout, I AM A BETTER HAM THAN YOU, SHUT UP AND LISTEN. You will now have the wayward one's undivided attention. He will think about this very briefly, then yield to your superior intellect and ham skill. He will be very receptive to your thoughts and point of view.

Lecture him and proceed to the next wrong doer.

Now for the sad part of this tale. There are pretenders and charlatans out there who try to usurp the rightful powers of The Chosen. To you villainous few, I say beware. The Wise Ones also created BAWA (Baddest Ass Whats Around). No matter how bad you are, BAWA has the ability to be badder. You will know when you start your lecture and Bawa yells back, "You're a jerk, but I'm a bigger one. LET'S ESCALATE".

And you will have no choice.

But soon BAWA will tire of you and sink your little balsa boat. As you slip under the surface you will yell, "BUT I WAS RIGHT, DAMMIT" and you will once again prove the ancient mariners axiom -- AN OPEN MOUTH TAKES ON WATER.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

By the way, what disease DID cured hams actually have before they were cured?

1779. Re: One of the Chosen speaks (the same old repetitive drivel)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 20:59:33 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135025973.570506.283140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127550
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Hans,
You've missed the point which is that we are in a privileged
position as the result of our educational achievements. Allowing the
standard represented by those achievements to fall such
that turnip-brained CBers are perceived as our fellows is
the route to Company-Built (CB) rigs only, and our hard-earned
right to build our own gear will be lost.

It's not a question of snobbery, but one of preserving the
world of _REAL_ Ham Radio.

KØHB wrote:
> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote
>
> >A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> > misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> > they are nothing of the kind! As it is the season of goodwill,
> > let us show our goodwill by saving these poor deluded fools
> > from the mischievous ne-er-do-wells who will attempt to
> > mislead them. (Radio Hams are technically-motivated people
> > and not merely turnip-brained button pushers like CBers and
> > like M3/CBers)
>
> The Wise Ones live in the depths of the D, E, and F-Layers, and in the servers
> at Yahoogroups.com. They are the descendants of the Gods. I personally subscribe
> to the theory they are the children of Thor Heyerdahl, the Viking god of Thunder
> and Balsawood Raft Dxpeditions. But that's another story.

1780. Re: One of the Chosen speaks (the same old repetitive drivel)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 21:05:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xgFpf.3977$mj1.3470@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127551
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote

> Hans,
> You've missed the point which is ......

Sorry, Anonymous Old Sod, but since you made no point, there was no point to
miss.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Hamming for almost a half-century, and it just keeps getting better.

1781. Re: One of the Chosen speaks (the same old repetitive drivel)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 21:18:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ksFpf.314$R84.38@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127552
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote

>
> [incomprehensible rantings snipped]
>

Well done, Walt. You once again prove the ancient mariners axiom -- AN OPEN
MOUTH TAKES ON WATER.

1782. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 21:28:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0f8eq15r7hfln5jiivj0g9s0tm3fuhoj7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 127553

On 19 Dec 2005 10:38:46 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1135017526.600122.30820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 18, 6:54 pm
<snip>
>>Very true. Real sci-fi stuff they have these days. Won't be long and
>>every grunt will be equipped with a helmet-mounted sat-comm complete
>>with bio-telemetry and "black box" A/V recorders.
>
> Not quite. That stuff is PR material that's been out for
> years. The Army tried out the "squad radio" concept in
> Vietnam during the early 1970s. Didn't work out well and
> that was generally abandoned for wholesale use on the line.
> I don't know WHY it didn't work out since I've never been
> involved directly with it, just the manpack-to-high-power-
> vehicular-amp families of "regular" (in ham ideas) radios
> and some other interesting DoD stuff. :-)

One version of the "squad radio" was the PRC-68, a cool little VHF-lo
rig. The problem was the radio wasn't built very well (mic screen kept
falling off, battery boxes dented easily, antennas broke, etc), and
the batteries were expensive, didn't last very long, and weren't
compatible with any commercial equivalent.

<snip>
> The AN/PRC-104 HF manpack transceiver (operational 1986,
> will be replaced soon by an updated unit) by Hughes Ground
> Systems has an automatic antenna tuner integral to the
> manpack R/T. One can physically shorten the whip by
> removing sections to cut down visibility and the antenna
> tuner will compensate for the shorter sections. Won't be
> quite as efficient as the full whip but it is less visible
> on the ground. The lil 20 W PEP transmitter will shove as
> much RF into the whip as it can without damaging itself.

We had the PRC-104 in the early '80s; the RT was used as the exciter
for the MRC-109/110 (400/1000W) jeep radios. Mechanical push-button
tuning from 2-30 MHz. I still want one.

<snip>
> The latest is an RF psycho weapon using ultra-wideband
> microwave stuff to scare-shock-disturb unfriendlies at a
> distance. First operational test contract was awarded
> a couple months ago. While it uses radio, it might not
> be handled by signalmen at all, probably not by artillery
> types either. Psy-war units? :-)

I heard about that a couple years ago. Not a psych weapon -- it causes
significant "discomfort" in the eyes and skin at a distance. It is/was
intended for domestic purposes (i.e, riot control -- make sure to wear
your aluminum-foil hat to the upcoming anti-war rallies).

> The first NODs (Night Observation Devices) were
> operational during the latter half of the 1960s and
> used in Vietnam. Too many were stolen/captured with
> the USSR making their own versions. Now those "Buck
> Rogers" devices can be bought at sports stores as
> a regular consumer electronics product. <shrug>

Yeah, I have a Soviet unit that takes 2 AA batteries. Hmmmm.....

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1783. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 21:36:08 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <701783.458F879@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 19 Dec 2005 07:09:06 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!

I was assistint monerator last year when steve was monerator. he appointed me. I think steve is still monerator or maybe dave hiel is or maybe they trade it back and fourth. you should becareful if steve is still monerator because he dont like you and he might ban you.

1784. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 21:53:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y6KdnXV4nbuUsTrenZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127555

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1134953342.737038.295400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1134612831.728178.110080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > >
>> > > Dee Flint wrote:
>> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > >> news:1134522669.527007.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > >> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > >> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> >> > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> > >> >> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> >> > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> > >> >> > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> > >> >> >
>> > >>
>> > > [snip]
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Is that why the FCC gives ALL power priveleges to their ENTRY LEVEL
>> > > LICENSEES?
>> > >
>> >
>> > Entry level licensees do NOT have all power privileges. Technicians
>> > with
>> > code are an entry level license. On HF frequencies, they are limited
>> > to 200
>> > watts output.
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>> And 200 watts on VHF/UHF???
>
> Hello, Dee?
>

I plainly stated that on HF they are limited to 200 watts. I did not say
nor imply that VHF/UHF was the same. Since anyone can read my paragraph and

compare it to your remark about VHF/UHF for themselves and see the difference, there was no need for me to comment further.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1785. To all my rrap friends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 23:39:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LwHpf.6314$Tg2.2180@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127556

Dearest Friends,

It becomes my painful duty to write you a letter of apology instead of sending you the Christmas remembrance that I had intended for you.

Knowing so well the appetites, likes and dislikes of my close friends, I made up a list of twelve of my best friends and went down and bought twelve quarts of eighteen-year-old Glenmorangie single malt whiskey. Nothing but the very finest for my friends. I took this home and put it in my closet and intended to put it up in nice Christmas packages and send it out to you late this week just before Christmas.

K0CKB got to fumbling around in the closet and found the liquor and didn't understand just what I intended to do with it. So she came up and told me to empty the contents of every bottle down the sink, or else. So I proceeded with the unpleasant task.

I withdrew the cork from the first bottle and poured the contents down the sink, with the exception of one glass which I drank. I extracted the cork of the second bottle and likewise poured the contents down the sink, with the exception of the glass which I drank. I pulled the sink from the next bottle from the cork of the next and drank one sink out of it and threw the rest down the glass. I pulled the sink out of the next glass and poured the cork from the bottle. Then I corked the sink with the glass, bottled the drink and drank the pour.

When I had everything emptied, I steadied the house with one hand and counted the bottles, corks, glasses, andsinks with the other, which were twenty-nine. And, as the houses came by, I counted them again and finally had all the houses and bottles and corks and glasses and sinks counted, except one house which I drank.

So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating Christmas present than this letter. I sincerely hope that from some other source you get all the joys and happiness that come from Christmas remembrances.

"May the Good Lord take care of you, but not too soon."

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"1944 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"

><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

1786. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-19 23:43:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135035790.433976.158620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127557

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 3:57 pm

> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > From: Dee Flint on Dec 15, 3:21 pm
> >> > >> "Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote in message

> >> > How much power was used by the Army?
> > >
> >> The transmitter used was a modified SCR-271 radar unit. It produced
> >> 3000 W on 111.5 Mc. (that's what the Signal Corps called them
> >> back then). Pair of 6C21 triodes in the output - they look similar to
> >> 1000Ts.
> > >
> >> 3000 W output with those tubes at that frequency means about 5000 W
> >> input.
> >> The amateur power limit back then was 1000 W input.

> The USN put it to use in communications first, simplex only
> with, I think, RTTY. I'll have to find the excellent USN
> paper "From The Sea To The Stars" history somewhere on an
> archive CD here. Has the history of the USN involvement
> in space and communications thereto, from an official USN
> website.

All anyone has to do is google that title and the website's URL
will come right up.

Project Diana demonstrated EME radar echoes in 1946.

Amateurs demonstrated radar echoes in 1953.

The Navy first demonstrated EME *communications* in January
1960 (RTTY, Washington DC to Hawaii).

Amateurs demonstrated 2-way EME communications in July 1960.
(Morse Code, 1296 MHz, California to New England)

> >> There's a lot more info at:

> >> http://www.campevans.com/diana.html
> >
> is nostalgic over experiments done 59 years ago.
> >
> He wasn't there but he was there.

I'm not "nostalgic", Len. Just passing on some information.

Am I not supposed to post urls here?
>
> >> btw, it was a moon RADAR experiment, not a communications system.
>
> thinks "communications systems" arrive full-blown,
> fully-proven? :-)

It took the US Govt. 14 years to go from the EME radar experiments
of 1946 to a working EME communication system of 1960.

> Project Diana was an EXPERIMENT to test whether or not the
> moon could be used as a radio wave reflector.

Was it?

Or was it an experiment to prove that VHF radio waves could penetrate
the
atmosphere from the earth? (It was already known that radio waves could
do so in the opposite direction, from radio astronomy experiments
before
WW2).

Or was it a gee-whiz sort of stunt to be the first to do something and
try to impress people?

Or maybe some of all of the above?

> It was and
> what followed were more experiments by many to determine
> what the frequency ranges were, the reflection characteristics.

Was there any doubt?

> There wasn't any need to "radar" the moon. The moon's orbit
> has been accurately known for years, if not centuries. We
> can all make certain of where it is. No radar needed for that.

Yet it was indeed a radar experiment.

> >> Those Diana folks had a some hams involved, though - all code tested at
> >> at least 13 wpm:
> >
> >Conditionals or FCC tested?

Doesn't say. Probably FCC tested.
>
> Uh huh, like Coles, Evans, and Squier laboratories was
> busy, busy on ham radio research in 1946? :-)

The amateurs named were all long-time-licensed hams.

> In reality, the 1945-1950 time was one of transition from
> a world war effort to peacetime and lots of the movers
> and shakers in technology-intense war efforts were out to

> carve new niches for themselves and their groups.

Ah - so it was partly a form of radiosport for bragging rights, eh?

> Good PR
> was the word of the day. A "moon bounce" thing was hot PR
> at the time, attracted attention from the budget-keepers
> in Congress and the Pentagon.

IOW, a gee-whiz sort of stunt to be the first to do something and try
to impress people.

> >> They used power levels 9 dB above those permitted to amateurs at the
> >> time, and
> >> an antenna that was quite beyond "backyard construction". They had lots
> >> of resources.
> >
> >A fantastic use of post-war resources.
>
> That "111 Megacycle" radar was already surplus before WW2 ended.
> Not a problem. :-)

Typical ham radio swords-into-plowshares ingenuity. Why build a new
system
if an old one can be converted?

> Anyone riding a bus to Red Bank from Fort Monmouth could look
> out and see lots and lots of "junk" at two of the labs along
> the highway in 1952, just 6 years after the Diana success. All
> sorts of "bedspring" antenna structures were sitting in the
> vehicle parking areas.

Looking isn't doing, Len.

When it comes to amateur radio, you're a looker, not a doer.

> >> Lt. Col. DeWitt, W4ERI, was the driving force behind the whole idea,
> >> which he first began working on in 1940.

> >What idea? To bounce a signal off of the moon for no communications
> >purpose?

Yes.

> In 1940 that ancient Project Diana radar set (the
> original, not the kludge version used IN Diana) was
> still undergoing operational testing. Even then it was
> a late-comer using rather conventional vacuum tubes in the
> usual ring-oscillator circuit...the style of transmitter
> used by the Brits for radar along the channel.

The British used it effectively. They had relatively crude equipment
but skilled operators and an effective communications network.

Without their radar capabilities the Battle of Britain may have turned
out
differently.

> The Brits would come up with the magnetron to make microwave
> radars the future practical success.

The reentrant cavity magnetron, actually.

> We would incorporate
> those in all the later radars at S, C, and X bands during
> WW2. The "star" of Army radar was the semi-trailer size
> SCR-584 gun-laying radar set which was definitely well above
> VHF in frequency range.

The "star"?

How about the airborne radars? Air-defense radars (some so well
developed they were
built into submarine periscopes)? Radio altimeters?

> Those were far from "surplus" in
> 1946. Monmouth had a couple for radar school practice in
> 1952. :-)

None of which had the power or antennas to do EME anyway.

> The concept of reflection of radio waves was well known in
> basic radio physics in the 1940s. What was lacking was
> some definite information on the characteristics of
> radio wave reflection. Nobody had any CONFIRMED idea of
> the reflectivity of the lunar surface in the 1940s.

So they built a radar set to do the job.

> >> > ... During WWII, the Signal Corps used the ARRL
> >> > Handbook, Leonard. I'll bet that chafes you to no end.
> >
> I really don't think so, having known a lot of USA, USN,
> and Air Corps vets who trained during WW2. Some of them
> said they used a USN basic book. Nobody mentioned
> any "ARRL books." <shrug>

Yet the books were used. A special "Defense Edition" ARRL handbook
was printed and used by various military branches.

> A 2-hour lab class one afternoon had us examine a bunch
> of "basic hardware" of radio. One item was a two-tube
> MOPA style HF transmitter. That MIGHT have been made
> from a ham design although it didn't tune into ham bands
> that existed then (instructor told us so, monitored on
> an old Hammarlund receiver). We didn't take notes. In
> fact, written notes were discouraged. Not for "secrecy,"
> but for the need to have it in the head, much more useful
> in the field which didn't allow for notebooks or stacks

> of magazines for reference which could get rather wet.

In my opinion and experience, that's a poor method of training.

The act of creating a notebook means the student must mentally process the information and write it in his/her own words. In other words the student must take an active, rather than passive, role in the process. Of course the notebooks cannot be depended on during testing, but that is not their purpose.

Note that in other training systems, such as qualifying in WW2 submarines, the creation of a notebook with all required information is an essential part.

1787. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 01:14:37 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <40098$43a75ad6$4e0db91$16123@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 127558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:701783.458F879@tarrnews.net...
> On 19 Dec 2005 07:09:06 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
>
> I was assistint monerator last year when steve was monerator. he
> appointed me. I think steve is still monerator or maybe dave hiel is
> or maybe they trade it back and fourth. you should becareful if steve
> is still monerator because he dont like you and he might ban you.

What the hell is a "monerator" ???
Ace - WH2T

1788. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 01:37:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KfJpf.6337$Tg2.4217@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote

>
> What the hell is a "monerator" ???
> Ace - WH2T
>

It's a moderator with a missing dit.

Like Mark is a Mary with a missing dah (or more).

Beep Beep
de Hans, K0HB

1789. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 02:00:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135044016.204496.138150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>was assistint monerator last year when steve was monerator. he
>appointed me. I think steve is still monerator or maybe dave hiel is
>or maybe they trade it back and fourth. you should becareful if steve
>is still monerator because he dont like you and he might ban you.

my question is who gave him that authority??

Todd N9OGL

1790. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:06:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135047959.801377.46730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127561

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >
> > There's also the story of "The Ghost of Guam".
> >
>
> The "Ghost of Guam" was US Navy Radioman 1st Class George Tweed. He wasn't a
> ham. Was reputed to be laid up drunk in a house of horizontal refreshment when
> the Navy evacuated the island just ahead of the WW-II JA invasion so he missed
> his ride. Had to hide out in the jungle for a few years until the USN came
> back. In the book/movie "No Man is an Island" he comes off as a hero, but was
> in fact not popular with the locals, several of whom (including a native RC
> Priest) lost their lives for not revealing his whereabouts. After the war he
> skedaddled without so much as a thank-you.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

But Jim knows him and knows him as a ham. Jim is, after all, the final
authority on military and ham history.

1791. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:16:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135048577.600280.292560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127562

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1134953342.737038.295400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:1134612831.728178.110080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > >
> >> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> > >> news:1134522669.527007.49070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > >> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > >> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >> >> > > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >> > >> >> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >> >> > > > > >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> > >> >> > > > > >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> > >> >> > >
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> > [snip]
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> >
> >> > > Is that why the FCC gives ALL power priveleges to their ENTRY LEVEL
> >> > > LICENSEES?
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> > Entry level licensees do NOT have all power privileges. Technicians
> >> > with
> >> > code are an entry level license. On HF frequencies, they are limited
> >> > to 200
> >> > watts output.
> >> >
> >> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>
> >> And 200 watts on VHF/UHF???
> >
> > Hello, Dee?
> >
>
> I plainly stated that on HF they are limited to 200 watts. I did not say

> nor imply that VHF/UHF was the same. Since anyone can read my paragraph and
> compare it to your remark about VHF/UHF for themselves and see the
> difference, there was no need for me to comment further.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Sure there is, Dee. HF is a modification to the Entry Level License.

The entry level license is 1,500 watts.

Looks like Jim ran out on this one, too. Thanks for playing.

1792. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:19:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135048784.814886.96350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127563

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 5:08 pm
>
> >> It's understandable that Jim doesn't want to discuss the circumstances
> >> of why he didn't serve. But he sure is a interested in military
> >> history. Knows all about it.
> >
> > Jimmie seems to "know all about anything" he babbles about.
> >
> > He's read some and can search the Internet (like anyone else)
> > and then is a wannabe guru on the anything. :-(
>
> Since all who have access to a computer and the internet can search (not
> like *everyone else*), what then accounts for your frequent and numerous
> factual inaccuracies?
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, I especially like the part where you worked out of band Frenchmen
on 6 meters.

1793. Re: To all my rrap friends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:25:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135049139.117334.115940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127564

KØHB wrote:
> Dearest Friends,
>
> It becomes my painful duty to write you a letter of apology instead of sending
> you the Christmas remembrance that I had intended for you.
>
> Knowing so well the appetites, likes and dislikes of my close friends, I made up
> a list of twelve of my best friends and went down and bought twelve quarts of
> eighteen-year-old Glenmorangie single malt whiskey. Nothing but the very finest
> for my friends. I took this home and put it in my closet and intended to put it
> up in nice Christmas packages and send it out to you late this week just before
> Christmas.
>
> K0CKB got to fumbling around in the closet and found the liquor and didn't
> understand just what I intended to do with it. So she came up and told me to
> empty the contents of every bottle down the sink, or else. So I proceeded with
> the unpleasant task.
>
> I withdrew the cork from the first bottle and poured the contents down the sink,
> with the exception of one glass which I drank. I extracted the cork of the
> second bottle and likewise poured the contents down the sink, with the exception
> of the glass which I drank. I pulled the sink from the next bottle from the cork
> of the next and drank one sink out of it and threw the rest down the glass. I
> pulled the sink out of the next glass and poured the cork from the bottle. Then
> I corked the sink with the glass, bottled the drink and drank the pour.
>
> When I had everything emptied, I steadied the house with one hand and counted
> the bottles, corks, glasses, andsinks with the other, which were twenty-nine.
> And, as the houses came by, I counted them again and finally had all the houses
> and bottles and corks and glasses and sinks counted, except one house which I
> drank.
>
> So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
> Christmas present than this letter. I sincerely hope that from some other source
> you get all the joys and happiness that come from Christmas remembrances.
>
> "May the Good Lord take care of you, but not too soon."
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
> "1944 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"
>
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

Hans, you're a repeat offender. I hope MN isn't one of those "three strikes and you're out" states cause you've uncorked those bottles for at least three years straight.

Merry Christmas. bb

1794. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:31:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135049503.463123.314040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127565

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 19 Dec 2005 10:38:46 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in

> <snip>
> > The latest is an RF psycho weapon using ultra-wideband
> > microwave stuff to scare-shock-disturb unfriendlies at a
> > distance. First operational test contract was awarded
> > a couple months ago. While it uses radio, it might not
> > be handled by signalmen at all, probably not by artillery
> > types either. Psy-war units? :-)
>
>
> I heard about that a couple years ago. Not a psych weapon -- it causes
> significant "discomfort" in the eyes and skin at a distance. It is/was
> intended for domestic purposes (i.e, riot control -- make sure to wear
> your aluminum-foil hat to the upcoming anti-war rallies).
>
>
All the NPR types that riot at the economic summits might be
interested. And Bono.

1795. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:33:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135049617.323333.143940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127566

N9OGL wrote:
> no i'm not #### head

Too true. The head of a ##### has a useful purpsoe.

You don't.

> so go ##### yourself

More of that expensive Illinois college education going to waste.

> I use N9OGL in here and toddaug555@yahoo.com in rec.art.anime.misc
> ####ing ####head

You're a ####ing ####head regardless of WHICH forum you're in
Toiddie.

Steve, K4YZ

1796. Re: Toiddie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:41:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135050092.318564.24300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127567

N9OGL wrote:
> >You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
> >you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
> >profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
>
> oh, and what about your behaviour?? calling me gay, when I'm not.

Sure you are. That you say you're not with the same mouth that
proves that you are is ironic.

> Claiming my father has AIDS when he doesn't.

He doesn't? You're not an "Arrogant Idiotic Dependent Son"...?!?!

Looks like AIDS to me!

> Claiming I'm violation FCC rules when I'm not.

Sure you are. Thousands of posts "promoting" your "shows" by your
own hand is proof enough.

> Claiming my sites are illegal when they are not.

You promote child pornogrophy. Most likely due to the fact that
no English speaking woman over 9 with any self respect would want
anything to do with you.

> You and other misfits on this newsgroup are just as bad so get over it,
> INTERNET COP

I'm not wearing any badge, BasementBoy.

Steve, K4YZ

1797. KV4FZ Annual Christmas Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:42:46 UTC
From: Lloyd <regmgr@regmgrpizzlloyd.com>
Message-ID: <do7uig$g7k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Does anyone have frequency & time details yet, as to
when Herb will broadcast his annual Christmas message?

73,

Lloyd

1798. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:45:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135050324.302297.185790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Steve, why do you edit headings and forge "raped an old friend?" Why?

Brain, why do you lie and deceive and use diminutive names in
reference to persons who DON'T do it to you? WHY?

That question, byt the way, has been pending for six weeks now.
Any chance you'll stop the end-run dodge and answer it?

Steve, K4YZ

1799. stveie whines somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:48:44 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <tbveq1h3ps7lrmgh19uatdol65emdhm3f6@4ax.com>
Source record: 127582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 17 Dec 2005 06:22:51 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>> On 13 Dec 2005 06:04:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >ku4my@charter.net wrote:
>> >
>> >> Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'........
>> >> I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
>> >>
>> >> You poor, poor sods!
>> >
>> > Yes...the rowdy and the reckless.
>> >
>> > But beware the "Feeble Five."
>> >
>> true to form
>
> As is this, Markie...(not ot mention the FORGED e-mail alias
>above...You're really rackin' em up, PaganBoy!)

just trying to catch up with you stevie boy
>
>> everyone should be advised that The following person...(SNIP)
>
> Still broadcasting a phone number that doesn't belong to me.

then why do you care?

more of stevie protesting too much
>
> One MarkieLie after another.
>
> Mark Morgan is a pathological liar.

stevie does not not know the meaning of the phrase
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1800. more of stvei double standard on forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:48:49 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <deveq1lq6e5su8eqr752bd3dpimf2c63in@4ax.com>
Source record: 127583

On 17 Dec 2005 06:57:02 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys_old_friend wrote:

more of stvei syaing he can forge but other can't
>
> "Re: poor mr slit or is it staveie hard to tell the difference
>betwen them"
>
> Well, Markie, one thing's for sure...It will NEVER be hard to tell
>YOUR posts from anyone elses.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1801. stveie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:48:55 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <4gveq1hcsfd4aidr861d51o7cnmgj5c5n1@4ax.com>
Source record: 127584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 17 Dec 2005 07:01:17 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
>> > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > > well that isn't me....it's a fake
>> > > Todd N9OGL
>> > >
>> > This is you toad.
>
> Markie, was there supposed to be some "text" in there, or are you
>just labelling anything you care to these days IRT me?
>
nope
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1802. stevie the rapist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:49:00 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <rgveq1dkvl1q1dqrjnpjs4idb15o2tp4fo@4ax.com>
Source record: 127585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 17 Dec 2005 07:53:04 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
>> > > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > > > well that isn't me....it's a fake
>> > > > Todd N9OGL
>> > > >
>> > > This is you toad.
>>
>> Markie, was there supposed to be some "text" in there, or are you
>> just labelling anything you care to these days IRT me?
>>
>> Makes sense...Middle of the month...Welfare checks aren't due for
>> two more weeks...Weather's setting in...Not much to do in Chassell, is
>> there?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Idle hands and mind came up with "raped an old friend."

indeed but no standards apply to stevie
>
>But still no explanation or apology for having done it.

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1803. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:53:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135050829.849823.65680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> ARE YOU THE MONORATOR???

"monorator"...?!?!

> ANSWER NO, SO #### OFF!!!

More of that expensive Illinois college education going to waste.
Or maybe the money was spent on anime comic books instead...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1804. more stveie forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:58:07 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <k70fq1dpfj7d3rgfqmqesr850h0765oosj@4ax.com>
Source record: 127587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 17 Dec 2005 07:03:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
more forgery
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > Says the twat Lloyd who can't even do 5 wpm morse code....
>> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>>
>> more stevie ####

still more forgery
>
> More Markie Morgan proliferating profanity at every opportunity.

more lies I mis many occasions
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1805. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 03:58:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135051126.911736.318720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127571

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve your a yutz and a looser who abuse people

(comma after "Steve") "you're" "putz" "loser" "abuses"
(period at end of sentence)

And you're a functionally illiterate basement dweller sapping
Mumsy's and Pop's resources.

> > > I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.
>
> You still haven't enlighten us on what you've done, which is probably
> nothing, but write abusive post on here.

You're incapable of being "enlightened", Toiddie. You don't have
the education or comprehension or capacity.

Steve, K4YZ

1806. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:00:10 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <e90fq1h4ifggqguc0f5cd00flhhuduq05t@4ax.com>
Source record: 127588

On 16 Dec 2005 21:21:32 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>his ability to pass that test is proof of why we don't need an extra
>>class of license at all
>
>Yeah, after doing research, it seems to me that ALL extra class
>operator act that way, it hard to change their ways and adopt new
>idea's. The best way of handling it is to remove that class of license.

not all but it is the sqeaky wheel thing

better stament is all extra op that insist on drawing attention to
their class
>
>Todd N9OGL

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1807. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:00:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135051256.752131.120890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127572

N9OGL wrote:
> ok steve you lying sack of #####

I see you still have a problem with providing attributes to the
comments you're replying to, despite your alleged participation in
collegiate-level computer courses.

Steve, K4YZ

1808. more davey lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:02:36 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <va0fq196ps96ab3ubsd2cuomm5qtj9i1vq@4ax.com>
Source record: 127590

On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 22:25:20 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 08:26:15 -0500, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>> news:lTtof.4703$Tg2.2956@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>>>>> So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
>>>>>> capacity
>>>>> it is his lack of moral fiber that is the cause he could do something
>>>>> if he realy wanted to but he doesn't realy care enough to do so
>>>> Mark, you haven't any moral anything.
>>>>
>>>>> Stevie is bascialy lazy he wants the posters to suit there posts to his
>>>> "Steve is basically lazy. He wants posters to suit their posts to his"
>>>>
>>>>> reading level and abilty and lacks the ability to tolaoarate anyone
>>>> "reading level and ability. He lacks the ability to tolerate anyone"
>>>>
>>>>> being different from him
>>>> "being different from him".
>>>>
>>>> Actually, Mark, you are the fellow who is lazy. You make no effort to see
>>>> that your posts are grammatically correct or spelled correctly.
>>>> Those things combine to make you appear less intelligent than you might
>>>> be. Your posts are difficult for all to read.
>>>>
>>> Dave, you missed his post where he plainly stated that this news group and
>>> the people in it aren't worth the time and effort to make his posts
>>> readable. He also stated that it is the responsibility of the reader to
>>> make sense of material not the responsibility of the author to make it
>>> understandable. That's despite the fact that every communications course
>>> teaches exactly the opposite.
>>
>> but not english teachers
>
>Yes, Mark, English teachers insist on the same.

nope

guessyou never studied poetry

if poetry is clear it is generaly meaningless

I choose a poetic mode for reasons I have stated
>
>> but then you are an elitst that thinks others must comform to their
>> wishes
>
>All who believe that writers need to present their thoughts in a concise
>and clear manner, properly spelled and punctuated, are not elitists,

never said that was the case

more lies from dave
>Mark. They are people who understand this reading and writing stuff.
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1809. more lies from dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:05:27 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <se0fq1de43udg2knb3bndt9matuftuvq2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 127592

On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 22:16:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>> On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 07:18:41 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>>>> So true....I think that's all he can do due to his lack of brain
>>>>> capacity
>>>> it is his lack of moral fiber that is the cause he could do something
>>>> if he realy wanted to but he doesn't realy care enough to do so
>>> Mark, you haven't any moral anything.
>>
>> I certa9inoly do alotmore than yourself for example I don't defy those
>> thing I see as the comand of God
>
>You "do a lot more" in what way, Mark? It can't be about the
>commandments of God--you don't believe in God.

more lies Dave

i do just don't believe you are following the real god

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1810. more of the stvie slanderathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:08:18 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <mg0fq1ttjf7m87qeoaj1cpt96fojo4th1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 127594

On 19 Dec 2005 20:19:25 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> In article <1134631900.353045.61760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
>> <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> > And WHAT "business"...?!?! The corner shoe-shine boy in the
>> > barber shop?
>>
>> NO STEVE I'M IN THE FOOD SERVICE BUSINESS, I OWN A LITTLE PLACE ON THE
>> SQUARE HERE IN TAYLORVILLE.
>
> Ahhhh! A hot dog stand! Or you're the busboy at a Denny's or
>Waffle House.
>
more slander form stevie

a man who wil do anything to have his way
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1811. dave lies somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:11:37 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <pi0fq19o28t7d7e5rcagtk7i87kvhtoomt@4ax.com>
Source record: 127600

On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 17:11:04 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>> On Thu, 27 Oct 2005 07:49:16 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>> For once Dudly is right. There were no appeals and both convictions
>>> stand. The vindication of my second infraction was the result of a
>>> seperate entry made as the result of a review that I requested after
>>> my discharge. The violation leading to the conviction was found to
>>> have "mitigating circumstances that should have been considered [by
>>> the presiding officer] but were not," and that I "was denied evidence,
>>> and the opportunity to gather and present certain evidence" relevant
>>> to my defense. The conviction was not overturned (since it was not an
>>> appeal) but my conduct marks were adjusted accordingly, and my
>>> discharge upgraded to Honorable.
>>
>> well thanks for clearing it up Id say it was an appeal of sorts but
>> then try not to quible when I can
>
>You'd say it was an appeal, except that it wasn't and you "quible"
>whenever you can.
>
an apeal of sort indeed it was if you chop stateents out of context
the you are lying from the word go
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1812. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:19:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135052365.212230.184210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127573

N9OGL wrote:
> In article <1134631900.353045.61760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> > And WHAT "business"...?!?! The corner shoe-shine boy in the
> > barber shop?
>
> NO STEVE I'M IN THE FOOD SERVICE BUSINESS, I OWN A LITTLE PLACE ON THE
> SQUARE HERE IN TAYLORVILLE.

Ahhhh! A hot dog stand! Or you're the busboy at a Denny's or
Waffle House.

But I am wondering...Who eats anything YOU have put YOUR hands
on...?!?! Do they KNOW where those hands have been...?!?!

> > > > Steve, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM, get your fact right before
> > > > posting. As for my "company" who said it's non-exisant? you a little
> > > > nobody. RIGHT!
> > >
> > > >LPTV...I stand corrected...However the rest remains correct.
> > > >Your "company" does not have a charter in the State of Illinois.
> > > >Nor is there a business license I can find.
> > >
> > > But you forget one thing, as I've stated many times before, there's a
> > > lot more to that LPTV deal it then you know. Secondly my "company" as
> > > you claim isn't a business, it's a club, it always has been, since
> > > 1983.
> >
> > No, it's not, unless you were lying in April.
> >
> > Allow me to remind you:
> >
> > QUOTE
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> - Find messages by this author
> >
> >
> > (Remember when you still had wideband at home, Toiddie...?!?!
> >
> > Date: 8 Apr 2005 15:37:06 -0700
> > Local: Fri, Apr 8 2005 4:37 pm
> > Subject: Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One
> > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original

> > | Report Abuse
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's
> > > > some material gleaned from the archives:
> > >
> > HUGE SNIP
> > >
> > > So it would appear that Todd and company (most likely just Todd...I
> > > don't believe there's any "company" involved) screwed the pooch AFTER
> > > getting an "OK" from the feds FOR their operation!
> > >
> > Not true ###HOLE, first there is a "comapny" mostly made up of amateur
> > radio operators. Second the Application was dismissed after congress
> > passed the Radio Broadcast Perservation Act of 2001. Before you go
> > spewing for LIES I would really suggest you get all the facts. You
> > don't know all the facts, you weren't there....so my opinion (EXPLITIVE
> > DELETED)
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > So...Toiddie...Are you lying now or were you lying in April?
> >
> > Maybe a bit more to jog your mind, Toiddie?
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > ABOUT LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATIONS
> >
> > LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION IS A NON-PROFIT ORGINIZATION WHICH
> > PROMOTES THEATER, TELECOMMUNICATIONS, AND THE PREFORMING ARTS
> > LANGLEY PARK WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1999-2000 BY TODD DAUGHERTY N9OGL AND
> > JIM BEUSINGER N9FU ALONG WITH OTHER HAM OPERATORS.
>
> THE PROBLEM WITH THAT STEBIE IS IT HAS NOT BEEN EDITED FOR A LONG TIME, AS
> A MATTER OF FACT WHEN I LOG IN TO GEOCITIES THEY SAY THE PAGE DOESN'T
> EXIST. I THINK YOU ALSO MISS THE POINT THAT UNDER THE LAW BOTH THE STATE
> OF ILLINOIS AND FEDERAL LAW A ORGINIZATION, CLUB OR WHATEVER DOESN'T HAVE
> TO PAY TAXES IF THEY MAKE NO MONEY WHAT'S SO EVER.

The fact of the matter is that your "company" doesn't exist save
for the vast deepness of your imagination.

> > So today (just below...) you state this was started in 1983, yet
> > in April you said it was 1999.
> >
> > That's a 16 year error.
>
> NO YOU #### ****HEAD, IN THE 90'S THE GROUP WAS REORGINIZED CREATING A NEW
> "REINCARNATION" OF THE GROUP.

But that's not what you said before.

So I reiterate my question...Were you lying then, or are you lying
NOW...?!?!

> > "I'VE ALWAYS WANTED TO ENTERTAIN PEOPLE" SAID TODD DAUGHERTY
> > CEO/PRESIDENT OF LANGLEY PARK.
> >
> > Well, then, Toddy m'boy, You've succeeded!
> >
> > And I re-address your use of "CEO/PRESIDENT"
>
> IT'S A TITLE BIG ####ING DEAL!

It's just one more bit of proof that you've been lying and got
CAUGHT!

That's why the subject line of this thread is "Keeping Track of
Toiddie's Tales".

> > "LANGLEY PARK WILL CREATE A PLACE WHERE IDEAS CAN ROAM FREE AND PEOPLE
> > CAN SHOW OFF THEIR TALENTS"
> >
> > > It started in 1983 as DDC-TV...(SNIP)
> >
> > Dumb, Dumber and Clueless-TransVestites...?!?!
> >
> > So...Here we've caught you screwing up SEVERAL stories...
> >
> > Which one is true?
> >
> > > > Dam right, and there's NOTHING yo can do about it Bwahahaha
> > > > Sure there is.
> > >
> > > RIGHT.....you've been saying that for a long time now...
> >
> > Uh huh. And it's still true.
>
> TRUE THAT YOU AREN'T GOING TO DO NOTHING ABOUT IT BUT ACT LIKE AN ###HOLE
> ON HERE.

Why would I act like you? We already have one-too-many of YOU
here as it is!

> > > >Sooner or later you'll get jammed up, Toiddie, and you'll wish
> > > >you'd spent your precious "freedom of speech" on something meaningful.
> > >
> > > Keep dreaming steve.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> STEVE ANIMATION CHILD PORN IS LEGAL, THE PERSON WHO WROTE THAT ARTICLE
> WROTE IT INCORRECTLY.

So...You acknowledge that you DO support "child pornography"....

So you ARE the degenerate that all here have claimed you to be...

> PAINTING, CARTOONS, SCULPTURE, AND DRAWING ARE EXEMPTED FROM THE LAW.

But the FACTS are that Todd Daugherty, N9OGL, DOES support child
pornography.

> THE PERSON WHO WROTE THAT ARTICLE SAID THE OPPOSITE
> OF WHAT THE LAW STATES. WHICH SHOW YOU NOT TO BELEIVE EVERYTHING YOU READ
> ON THE INTERNET. THE MAN THAT WAS ARRESTED HAD ANIMATION PORN, BUT HE ALSO
> HAD A HUGH COLLECTION OF REAL CHILD PORN WHICH WAS A VIOLATION OF HIS
> PROBATION. THE MAN HAD BE ARRESTED BEFORE FOR
> HAVING REAL CHILD PORN AN SPENT TIME IN PRISON, HE WAS RELEASE AND WAS PUT
> ON PROBATION AND HE DOWNLOAD THIS MATERIAL AT WORK AND WAS CAUGHT AND
> REALLY WAS FOUND GULITY OF VIOLATING HIS PROBATION. ME, I DON'T MESS WITH
> REAL CHILD PORN, NEVER HAVE, I'M INTO JAPANESE ANIMATION AND HENTAI.

You're into child pornography.

Even the suggestion of acceptance of children engaging in adult
sexual activity is sick. That there are people like you who find a way
to "openly" hide your activites is even moreso.

The "problem" for people like you is that you "get away" with
stuff like this for now, but it only emboldens you to other acts.
Sooner or later, you'll find yourself behind bars wishing you'd
exerecised your "Freedom of Speech" on something more meaningful that
the concept of children having sex.

> > > > Animation Porn, even lolicon is LEGAL and in 2001 the US Supreme Court
> > > > ruled that "virtual child porn" (which includes animation lolicon) WAS
> > > > protected by the First Amendment. Beside what are going to do about
> > > > it??? NOTHING, grow up steve you little worm
> > >
> > > > I'm already "doing something" about it, Todd.
> > >
> > > What tell your mommy??
> >
> > Nope.
>
> YOU AIN'T DOING #### ABOUT IT STEVE, EXCEPT GET ON HERE AND CRY LIKE A
> LITTLE BITCH.

Sure I am...Toiddie. I've already proven that I can effect
change. Why do you continue to suggest otherwise?

No, I don't suggest that ANYthing is going to happen over
night....but it will...

> YOU CAN'T DO NOTHING ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT'S LEGAL, VIRTUAL
> PORN WAS DEEMED PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT BY THE US SUPREME COURT
> IN 2001. THE PURPOSE OF CHILD PORN LAWS IS TO PROTECT CHILD IN MAKING OF
> IT, SINCE VIRTUAL PORN IS FROM SOMEONE'S IMAGINATION THERE IS NO VICTIM,

> THUS NO CRIME (ACCORDING TO THE US SUPREME COURT) EVEN IN FEBER VS NEW
> YORK IN THE 70'S THE SUPREME COURT RULED THAT SOME CHILD PORNOGRAPHY WAS
> LEGAL, IT'S THE PROCESS OF MAKING IT THAT MAKES IT ILLEGAL.

Like I said, Toiddie...the problem for people like you is that
letting you "take an inch" means you'll eventually try to take a
mile...And in that effort you'll lose your freedom. But then the rest
of us will be just a little bit safer from people like you!

Steve, K4YZ

1813. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:24:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135052672.386368.48130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127574

w5amy@arrl.net didn't write, but Mark Morgan foreged an e-mail address
of...:
> On 14 Dec 2005 23:31:40 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >N9OGL wrote:
> >> >Hey Toiddie...If you can bring YOUR mindless trash in here under
> >> >the color of protection of the Constitution, then guess what...?!?!?
> >> >YOU don't have ANY right to suggest someone else not exercise
> >> >their opinions regardless of what YOU think of them.
> >>
> >> That's the problem steve with the first amendment huh? BTW I do have
> >> the right to assert and protect myself from ###holes like you,
> >> regardless if you like it or not.
> >
> > "protect (your)self"...?!?!
> >
> > What? You're not going to read what I write about you any
> >more...?!?!
>
> more bull#### from stve

What's a "stve"...?!?!

> >> > Yeah right steve, lying again
> >> >Nope.
> >>
> >> Where's your proof, Sure I live at home, but that don't mean I don't
> >> have money, I own my own business.
> >
> > Sure...Just like a pimp "owns" a business.
>
> more slander by stvie

Nope.

> > And WHAT "business"...?!?! The corner shoe-shine boy in the
> >barber shop?
>
> more slander

Notice the use of a question mark, Markie. I know that such marks
are foreign to you, but that made those words a "question"...An
interrogative.

> >> > Steve, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM, get your fact right before
> >> > posting. As for my "company" who said it's non-exisant? you a little
> >> > nobody. RIGHT!
> >>
> >> >LPTV...I stand corrected...However the rest remains correct.
> >> >Your "company" does not have a charter in the State of Illinois.
> >> >Nor is there a business license I can find.
> >>
> >> But you forget one thing, as I've stated many times before, there's a
> >> lot more to that LPTV deal it then you know. Secondly my "company" as
> >> you claim isn't a business, it's a club, it always has been, since
> >> 1983.
> >
> > No, it's not, unless you were lying in April.
> >
> > Allow me to remind you:
> >
> >cuting stevie repateing his past post

The "repateing" was YOURS, Markie.

Now...did you have a point or statement to make? Or just killing
time wating for the check to arrive on the first?

Steve, K4YZ

1814. Re: I get #### faced before I post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:27:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135052843.403851.7870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

an_old_friend wrote:
> http://www.scatmen.com/graphics/scatmen03_1x1.jpg

So...Markie...You just liked the photo so much you quoted it
without comment?

Or more like some of that "solid waste" that you claimed you
didn't like acutally gets your propeller spinning...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1815. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:29:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135052975.623793.27860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127576

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 18 2005 11:01 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 10, 3:48 pm,
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
>> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>How is a non-ARRL history of amateur radio regulations any
>different from an ARRL history of amateur radio regulations, Len?

Non-ARRL histories of ALL RADIO regulations don't have the
obvious political spin that ARRL uses to attempt increasing
its membership.

That's just the way it is...

>Can you cite specific things that are different in the two histories?

Oh, YES, I can. But that takes time to write about 100,000
words or more. Write up a contract on that book and send
me an offer. I'll ask a minimum of $75,000 advance on the
compensation for my time and effort just to start.

>Nonsense, Len. The ARRL doesn't elect government officials.

It postures as if it does...stating bluntly that it is
the "representative of amateur radio!" :-)

>Nor does it make regulations.

It certainly tries to! Tries to for a MINORITY of licensed
radio amateurs in the USA.

>There were "other groups" back in the 1940s, Len.

You didn't exist in the 1940s, Jimmie.

>> >Yes. Amateur radio licenses are earned by passing the
>> >required tests.
>>
>> Strange, the FCC says it GRANTS them.
>
>Only after they are EARNED.

What do you "earn," Ern? :-)

>> Amateur radio provided shelter, food, clothing for hurricane
>> victims?
>
>It helped to provide those things.

How? Be specific. Be detailed. Show your work.

Since you've already "earned" your license, you don't need
any more compensation.

Show us all the "health and welfare" message content.

>> Geez, here I thought all they were doing was
>> relaying health and welfare messages...some of the time.
>
>Well, you're wrong.

How was I "wrong," Jimmie?

How? Be specific. Be detailed. Show your work.

Since you've already "earned" your license, you don't need
any more compensation.

Show us all the "health and welfare" message content.

>> >> Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.
>
>> >But that's not all it is, Len. Grow up and accept that shouting the
>> >same old tired lines doesn't convince anyone.
>>
>> Hello? See the word "basically" in my quoted sentence?
>
>Yes. So what?

Amateur radio is BASICALLY a hobby, Jimmie.

I will admit that some folks see it as a "way of life",
especially when they don't have much other life. <shrug>

It's just a HOBBY, Jimmie.

>> Entirely true. FCC is NOT an academic organization, "grading"
>> amateurs on their radio skills.
>
>Actually, it *does* grade them. That's why there are different levels
>of amateur radio license.

There are different CLASSES of amateur radio licenses.

Can't you get anything right?

The FCC is NOT an academic organization or agency.

Those CLASSES of license were arrived at through regulatory
politics.

>> Tsk. Jimmie, you just don't understand how the military works.
>
>I understand well enough, Len.

You aren't ANYWHERE close, Jimmie.

Everyone in the military puts their LIFE on the line, 24/7, as
long as they are in.

NOWHERE in amateur radio is any licensee required to do THAT.

When you put YOUR life on the line, then you might understand,
Jimmie, but not before.

>> Did you expect that everyone had to build everything themselves?!?
>
>Not at all. But radio amateurs sometimes do. You wouldn't know about
>that since you've never done it.

Plain and simple WRONG, Jimmie.

>> Do you expect sailors to all get sheet steel and torches and
>> build the ship they are going to serve on?
>
>Not at all. But radio amateurs sometimes build their equipment from
>the most basic parts - including sheet metal work. You wouldn't know
>about that since you've never done it.

INCORRECT. I've built equipment "from scratch." INCLUDING
the "sheet metal work." :-)

From the initial notes and sketches on paper to more detailed
plans on vellum to getting the parts, doing the breadboards,
finalizing the physical layouts, laying out the circuit boards,
masking and etching the PCBs, "bending the tin" (an expression
in aerospace for sheet-metal work), using the metal brake, using
drill presses, mills, lathes, tapping the screw holes, wiring up
the components, assembling everything, then testing and recording
the operation of the finished product. That was just for HOBBY
equipment, Jimmie. :-)

At WORK I've done all that plus a lot more...and been responsible
for the completion of the final design to established milestones,
setting up and doing the environmental testing, going out in the
field for the corporation to assist the customer, being responsible
for million-dollar project completion plus all the interdisciplinary
design review meetings and reports before managers as well as giving
pitches for contracts up for bid.

>> Do you expect airmen to all get aluminum and engines and build
>> the aircraft they are going to serve on?
>
>Of course not.

Why not? I've helped do that...and I'm NOT an "airman." :-)

>> Do you expect choo-choo drivers to build their locomotives
>> themselves? :-)
>
>"Choo-choo drivers"?

What you call "locomotive engineers." Did they go to "engineering
school" for their degrees? :-)

>> Jimmie, YOU don't understand that every other radio service
>> does NOT define either "station" or "operating" by amateur
>> radio "rules." :-)
>
>Which means your example isn't valid, Len.

Plainly and simply WRONG, Jimmie. YOU aren't valid in this
alleged "discussion." :-)

>> Do you think policemen carrying neat little two-way radios
>> subscribe to QST? :-)
>
>Some of them do.

Prove it. Supply their names.

>> I just disregarded any
>> NEED to learn morse code since I was never, ever
>> required to use it in the military or in the much longer
>> civilian life career I still have.
>
>In other words, since there was no money in it for you...

No NEED, Jimmie. Can't you get anything straight?

>> Since no higher deity commanded that morse code testing be
>> done for amateur radio licenses, ordinary humans must have
>> done it. Whatever humans have done, humans can UNDO.
>
>Not necessarily. Humans seem to have trouble undoing certain
>types of messes, such as pollution.

Yes, the morse code test is still in USA amateur regulations,
POLLUTING the environment for newcomer hobbyists.

>> Yes, Jimmie, I'm well aware of Title 47 C.F.R.'s Part 97.
>
>You sure don't seem to be, Len.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie. Who do you think wrote the following
on December 10th?

"The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

>Of course - because you are not qualified to do it on-the-air.

Not AUTHORIZED, Jimmie. Do try to keep up...

>> I've only listened to the predecessor of the Condor Net in
>> Newbury Park, CA, demonstrated by one of the ham-licensed
>> employees there. At Teledyne Electronics, my employer
>> during the late 70s. It was the first state-long network
>> to use all tone switching for routing without using any
>> microprocessor control.
>
>Gosh, you *listened*! I've done a lot more than that!

When were you on the Condor Network? Give us the year you
operated on it. Describe it.

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. That doesn't agree with www.hamdata.com figures.
>
>I didn't use those figures.

Not good enough for you? Hamdata gets theirs right from the
FCC database. Where does Speroni get his? Crystal ball? :-)

>Is that a threat, Len? You're not even a novice at amateur radio.

Why are you afraid of perceived "threats?" Guilty conscience?

>> Residences are for LIVING in, Jimmie. It is HOME.
>
>SO why shouldn't it change?

This newsgroup/morseblog isn't about zoning ordinances or real
estate, Jimmie. Nor is it about trailer living...wherein
trailers can be towed someplace else for "change." :-)

Which trailer park are you living in now, Jimmie? Isn't it
time for a "change?"

I've lived at this same residental address for 42 years,
Jimmie. Some of my neighbors have lived in their for
longer. Why should we "change?" Especially for some
easterner who doesn't know the territory, doesn't know
the neighborhood, doesn't know any neighbors, doesn't do
anything but try to make trouble for those who have
opinions on amateur radio testing other than his? :-)

>Now it's clear. You weren't top of the form in Morse Code, so the
>code must be a bad thing....

Plainly and simply inaccurate and plainly and simply just your
personal insult mode trying vainly to masquerade as "civil"
discussion. The mask is quite transparent. We can all see
through it. Take it off, it will be more comfortable for you.

Jimmie, TRY to understand the 53 years ago morse code was NOT
the ultimate skill in radio operation on communications
circuits. Yes, radiotelegraphy was used by many, but it was
NOT necessary in relaying tens or hundreds of thousands of
messages a month worldwide. Teleprinting was necessary then

and it was used for the majority of military, government, and commercial messaging around the globe. That's just the way it was...

Today the "need" for radiotelegraphy skill has atrophied down to some amateur radio hobbyists who cling to the myth that it is "necessary" for obtaining an amateur radio license. Even then that myth is not universal nor does it represent any "consensus" among those that have obtained the "highest" CLASS amateur radio license. That's just the way it is...

I've just never had to USE any radiotelegraphy skills nor wired telegraphy skills for ANY REASON...and I was DOING long-distance HF communications before your existance.

If you wish to build up your atrocious EGO and say everyone is (or even should be) "jealous" of your telegraphy skill, then you are a deluded person who is troubled and needs some mental therapy to better get along with others...and that's the way THAT is...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1816. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:32:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135053160.644897.26980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127577

w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Markie Morgan:

> some comement about stevie from the CB gruops

Hanging out in the CB groups lately, Markie?

Hoping you can begin lying to a whole new crop of people and not
be as easily outted?

Steve, K4YZ

1817. Re: Reasonable and unique, was Re: One Class of Amateur Radio License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:33:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135053209.554785.114150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127578

From: Dave Heil on Dec 18, 5:46 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Dec 18, 8:51 am
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 14, 6:22 pm
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 13 2005 4:32 pm

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, if you want to do rec.movies.critique.negative
>> go to the appropriate newsgroup.
>
>How about this instead: If you bring something up, make sure it is
>something you know about rather than foisting off your factual errors as
>fact.

Oh, oh, the Dominatrix is extending her whip, demanding Order
and Obediance! :-)

Here's some "facts" written by others in here:

"FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

"MARS >>>IS<<< ham radio."

>>> The book's author, Davis Grubb had a hard time with reality.
>
>> PCTAs have a hard time with reality also... :-)
>
>I'm certain that it appears that way to you.

It appears that way to many, many readers of this morseblog.

This newsgroup is NOT a movieblog or a literaryblog.

>>> In one
>>> interview, he said that he could remember that whenever an execution
>>> took place at the prison in Moundsville, the lights all over town would
>>> dim.
>
>> No doubt the electricity was wired in by an "A-1 Operator."
>
>Operators wire for electricity? That doesn't make sense. The point was
>that they weren't using electricity.

UNBEND, Davie. :-) You are too wound up and literal. :-)

>> Tsk, I just asked a question.
>
>No, actually you didn't.

A question mark punctuation sign doesn't denote a question? :-)

>No, I was actually here on leave while in the Air Force. I saw portions
>of the film shot and took some great photos of the cast, in and out of
>character.
>
>I have the film and also have "Night of the Hunter" along with those
>books and several more by Grubb.

"Night of the Hunter" was released in 1955. That means that
actual filming was done in 1954. You were IN the USAF in
1954? That doesn't fit with what you've said before in here.

>>> It was shot on location in Moundsville and Marshall County in 1970.

Is that the movie you are referring to? You aren't being clear
in your remarks on film production.

Of course, this morseblog isn't ABOUT motion picture production,
is it? :-)

>> ...so it is useless to get you to unbend your dictatorial
>> Prussian persistence in puling orders.
>
>What's a puling order?

Pule: vi "To whimper or whine, as a sick or fretful child does."
also "puled, puling"

Don't you get anything right? :-)

>Your current views as regards amateur radio exhibit no shades of gray
>whatever.

That may be because so many amateur radio "practitioners" are
into unreal draconian regulations that allow few options.

> Go figure!

I have. That's why I oppose the regulation of requiring
a singular mode test for radio amateurs when the regulating
agency makes that mode as optional to use as any other
allocated mode. :-)

>> Corporations have paid me real money to "get things right" and
>> I have, consistently.
>
>At least that's your story and you're sticking to it.

It's not a "story" and it is factual. You are welcome to
research my background, all references in work done, even
challenge the IRS, Franchise Tax Board of California, FBI,

CIA, DIA, DCAS, DoE, IEEE, RCA, EOS, MRI, all my employers.
:-)

>You're the only
>guy who has ever been 1) paid real money by a corporation 2) to get
>things right.

INCORRECT. I'm not the "ONLY" one. :-)

>I don't go to much by what movie reviewers write.

This newsgroup is a morseblog, not a movieblog.

Don't you get anything right? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1818. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:35:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135053319.242860.126890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127579

From: Dave Heil on Dec 19, 10:50 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 5:08 pm

>>> It's understandable that Jim doesn't want to discuss the circumstances
>>> of why he didn't serve. But he sure is a interested in military
>>> history. Knows all about it.
>
>> Jimmie seems to "know all about anything" he babbles about.
>
>> He's read some and can search the Internet (like anyone else)
>> and then is a wannabe guru on the anything. :-(
>
>Since all who have access to a computer and the internet can search (not
>like *everyone else*), what then accounts for your frequent and numerous
>factual inaccuracies?

My "frequent and numerous factual inaccuracies?!?" :-)

Davie boy, you will have to do much better than that little
INACCURATE statement of yours. :-)

Let's look at the PCTA "side" and check out some really big MISTAKES
written by The Chosen Ones:

Who wrote, on 10 December in here:

"The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

Or, a year to two years ago, frequently:

"MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."

Davie, you just settle down and don't spazz so much on certain
folks. You only make yourself look pompous, arrogant and you
WILL wind up the target of lots of uncomplimentary-to-you
comments...as have already happened in here. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1819. hans suffers delusion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:39:44 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <862fq11cg3cd05ong0p8mgdogte3s371mu@4ax.com>
Source record: 127609

On 16 Dec 2005 21:38:54 -0800, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>
>> Maybe you have an old SP brassard you can put on and police
>> Google, read them the Articles of the UCMJ?
>>
>
>Should I cite the Chemical Corps Colonel for violations of Article 125?

more of your fantasy
>

you were never in my chain of comand

thank god
>
>Maybe Article 112a for his recreational association with the "Chemical
>Corps"?
>
>Beep beep
>de Hans, K0HB

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1820. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:41:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135053695.469489.71340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127580

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 19, 1:28 pm

>On 19 Dec 2005 10:38:46 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 18, 6:54 pm

>> The AN/PRC-104 HF manpack transceiver (operational 1986,
>> will be replaced soon by an updated unit) by Hughes Ground
>> Systems has an automatic antenna tuner integral to the
>> manpack R/T. One can physically shorten the whip by
>> removing sections to cut down visibility and the antenna
>> tuner will compensate for the shorter sections. Won't be
>> quite as efficient as the full whip but it is less visible
>> on the ground. The lil 20 W PEP transmitter will shove as
>> much RF into the whip as it can without damaging itself.
>
>We had the PRC-104 in the early '80s; the RT was used as the exciter
>for the MRC-109/110 (400/1000W) jeep radios. Mechanical push-button
>tuning from 2-30 MHz. I still want one.

I'm not familiar with the MRC-109 or MRC-110, but a reference
on C4I has that compatible with the AN/VRC-49 which is FM in the
30 to 76 MHz region.

The AN/PRC-104 basic R/T was used in the AN/GRC-213, a vehicle
mount configuration with audio amplifier and DC vehicle power
supply conditioning, 20 W PEP SSB output. The AN/GRC-193 is
also for vehicle mounting, uses the basic R/T, but includes
a 100/400 Watt linear amplifier for transmitter and a higher-
power antenna coupler/tuner.

The basic R/T has digit push-wheel frequency selection (like
digit thumbwheels but with a single button mover). The
AN/VRC-11 through AN/VRC-49 had, variously, 10 push-button
or rotary switch selection of frequencies in the 30 to 76 MHz
region, FM, and were compatible with the AN/PRC-25 and -77
VHF FM sets. The lower number VRCs I've seen all have
chromed push buttons, something left over from WW2. :-)

>> The latest is an RF psycho weapon using ultra-wideband
>> microwave stuff to scare-shock-disturb unfriendlies at a
>> distance. First operational test contract was awarded
>> a couple months ago. While it uses radio, it might not
>> be handled by signalmen at all, probably not by artillery
>> types either. Psy-war units? :-)
>
>I heard about that a couple years ago. Not a psych weapon -- it causes

>significant "discomfort" in the eyes and skin at a distance. It is/was
>intended for domestic purposes (i.e, riot control -- make sure to wear
>your aluminum-foil hat to the upcoming anti-war rallies).

I'll have to get out my aluminized Nomex full-body suit! :-)

The "riot control" version was an R&D model. DoD has
upped the ante with a fieldable system contract awarded
for testing on whoever wherever they want to try it.

>> The first NODs (Night Observation Devices) were
>> operational during the latter half of the 1960s and
>> used in Vietnam. Too many were stolen/captured with
>> the USSR making their own versions. Now those "Buck
>> Rogers" devices can be bought at sports stores as
>> a regular consumer electronics product. <shrug>
>
>Yeah, I have a Soviet unit that takes 2 AA batteries. Hmmmm.....

In 1967 the U.S. military had three field models of
NODs. Electro-Optical Systems division of Xerox in
Pasadena produced one of those models. Three were
stolen/turned-up-mission that year, feds in there asking
questions after. The production manager "resigned."
I have no idea where those missing NODs wound up but I
read reports in 1970 where the USSR military now had
them. First ones were "blotchy" in imaging and sensitivity
didn't have any automatic gain control but they could
enable anyone to "own the night." They have improved
considerably since 1967.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1821. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:43:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135053811.138015.153960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127581

fuck you steve, you fucking internet cop!!

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
(now with 11 members!!!)

1822. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:44:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135053893.803811.83150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127586

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted surplus USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with beepings of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the Wannabe Valley, full of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. Sadly the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. There is no rosin for what he does and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like demon pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

1823. Re: why is Jim allowed an unsupervised count and not len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:45:10 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b82fq15mo7ar6jql9v1g4huviiplpd5edd@4ax.com>
Source record: 127610

On 17 Dec 2005 11:46:34 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > These are the numbers of current, unexpired
>> > > amateur radio licenses held by individuals
>> > > on the stated dates, and the percentage of
>> > > the total number of active licenses that
>> > > class contains:
>> > >
>> > > As of May 14, 2000:
>> > simple question care to explain or not
>>
>> Mark,
>>
>> Who says "len" is not allowed to count the number of
>> current, unexpired amateur radio licenses held by
>> individuals?
>
>Jim, I put on my thnking cap, reread Marks new thread subject, and his
>question in the body of his post, and nowhere do I see words to the
>effect, "Who says "len" is not allowed to count the number of current,
>unexpired amateur radio licenses held by individuals?"
>
>Why do you change the context of Mark's question?

becuase he has not valid answer but you knew that of course
>
>> Who says he can't post it here?
>
>That question was not asked either. Marks speaks on "unsupervised
>counting."
>
>Why do you change the context of Mark's question?
>
>> Not me.
>
>Yes you. You protest "unsupervised counting."

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1824. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:50:36 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <q92fq1paet7smkdjidc05bai970l2lcqio@4ax.com>
Source record: 127614

On 17 Dec 2005 16:18:59 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 12:35:24 -0600, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
>>
>> it is just like lenanderson wanted it to be and now it is like that so
>> get over it.
>>
>
>after bill orielly is finished saving christmas when it was never
>under atack he will start in on usenet and the internet because
>orielly hates the internet. he will expose every news server which
>carries rrap until the act on hear is cleaned up or the news server
>drops the group. then you will be sorry todd as you sit in jail for
>disturbing the piece and distributing child porn cartoons and other
>charges to. orielly looks out for the kids and he will get all you
>internet bastards in 2006 to save the kids.

god get it right

Christmass is under attack, thank god that is finaly the case here in
america

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1825. more stevie projection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 04:57:44 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <olveq1hakb8ivt6ntssmgqpm167k8i44c4@4ax.com>
Source record: 127617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 19 Dec 2005 19:45:24 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> Steve, why do you edit headings and forge "raped an old friend?" Why?
>
> Brain, why do you lie and deceive and use diminutive names in
>reference to persons who DON'T do it to you? WHY?

he doesn't lie

and there is no coapsion betwen Stevie and rape
>
> That question, byt the way, has been pending for six weeks now.
>Any chance you'll stop the end-run dodge and answer it?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1826. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:00:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135054816.648864.122310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127589

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote yet another diatribe belittling others:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 18 2005 11:01 am

> >Can you cite specific things that are different in the two histories?
>
> Oh, YES, I can. But that takes time to write about 100,000
> words or more. Write up a contract on that book and send
> me an offer. I'll ask a minimum of $75,000 advance on the
> compensation for my time and effort just to start.

Oh? 100K words is hard for you?

According to what you've dumped in RRAP, I'd say you've wasted
millions of dollars in contract options, Lennie.

> >There were "other groups" back in the 1940s, Len.
>
> You didn't exist in the 1940s, Jimmie.

You barely did, Lennie...Go ahead...Re-tell the tale of your
assessment of Civil Defense communications in WW2...You were...EIGHT?
(Gee...what a coincidence! That's the age Markie was when he was
"drafted"... ! ! !)

> >> >> Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.
> >
> >> >But that's not all it is, Len. Grow up and accept that shouting the
> >> >same old tired lines doesn't convince anyone.
> >>
> >> Hello? See the word "basically" in my quoted sentence?
> >
> >Yes. So what?
>
> Amateur radio is BASICALLY a hobby, Jimmie.

No, it's not "bascially" a hobby, Lennie!

> It's just a HOBBY, Jimmie.

Again the opinion of one who has no practical experience from
which to MAKE an INFORMED opinion.

> >> Tsk. Jimmie, you just don't understand how the military works.
> >
> >I understand well enough, Len.
>
> You aren't ANYWHERE close, Jimmie.

>
> Everyone in the military puts their LIFE on the line, 24/7, as
> long as they are in.

No, they don't.

> >Not at all. But radio amateurs sometimes build their equipment from
> >the most basic parts - including sheet metal work. You wouldn't know
> >about that since you've never done it.
>
> INCORRECT. I've built equipment "from scratch." INCLUDING
> the "sheet metal work."

Oh?

Where? When? So far, your only "station" assets that you've owned
up to are an old Icom and a scanner to listen to LAX ATIS on.

Seems that despite all the alleged background in electronics and
computer technology, you can't seem to make one picture of your
"station" appear on any one of the >>FREE<< AOL home pages that your
AOL account allows.

> >> Jimmie, YOU don't understand that every other radio service
> >> does NOT define either "station" or "operating" by amateur
> >> radio "rules."
> >
> >Which means your example isn't valid, Len.
>
> Plainly and simply WRONG, Jimmie. YOU aren't valid in this
> alleged "discussion."

Just the other way around, Lennie.

YOU aren't valid in this forum. Thoise OTHER radio services are
NOT the focus of this forum.

AMATEUR RADIO is.

> >> Do you think policemen carrying neat little two-way radios
> >> subscribe to QST?
> >
> >Some of them do.
>
> Prove it. Supply their names.

Hal, K2HAL, for one. Carries a Motorola HT on the "job".

> >> I just disregarded any
> >> NEED to learn morse code since I was never, ever
> >> required to use it in the military or in the much longer
> >> civilian life career I still have.
> >
> >In other words, since there was no money in it for you...

>
> No NEED, Jimmie. Can't you get anything straight?

Uh huh...No NEED for you to do something that didn't net you an
income...

We get it "straight" just fine.

> >> I've only listened to the predecessor of the Condor Net in
> >> Newbury Park, CA, demonstrated by one of the ham-licensed
> >> employees there. At Teledyne Electronics, my employer
> >> during the late 70s. It was the first state-long network
> >> to use all tone switching for routing without using any
> >> microprocessor control.
> > >
> > >Gosh, you *listened*! I've done a lot more than that!
> >
> > When were you on the Condor Network? Give us the year you
> > operated on it. Describe it.

Hmmmmm....On which tour to SoCal...?!?! On which band?

Also operated the ZIA net for several years when in-and-out of the
Southwest.

And Lennie...."operating" on the Condor net only required TWO
things (neither of which you have...) A valid Amateur Radio license
and an appropriate transceiver.

Heck, Lennie...We MIGHT even be able to teach YOU how to "operate"
there!

> >> Residences are for LIVING in, Jimmie. It is HOME.
> > >
> > >SO why shouldn't it change?
> >
> > This newsgroup/morseblog isn't about zoning ordinances or real
> > estate, Jimmie. Nor is it about trailer living...wherein
> > trailers can be towed someplace else for "change."

Ahhhhhh...but YOU have gone on-and-on about zoning ordinances and
real estate for DAYS now, Lennie...Why the change of heart?

> I've lived at this same residental address for 42 years,
> Jimmie. Some of my neighbors have lived in their for
> longer. Why should we "change?" Especially for some
> easterner who doesn't know the territory, doesn't know
> the neighborhood, doesn't know any neighbors, doesn't do
> anything but try to make trouble for those who have
> opinions on amateur radio testing other than his?

And despite those 42 years, it really sucks that anyone who might
buy a property and pay the required taxes TODAY would have EXACTLY the
same voice in making CHANGES to those zoning laws...Huh Lennie...?!?!

And Lennie...Do I see YOU arguing against CHANGE just because
you've been doing the same thing for 42 years...?!?!?

Are you the SAME Leonard H. Anderson in RRAP that ALWAYS tries to
dismiss as luddites any Amatuer that even remotely suggests an affinity
for previously established rules and regulations...?!?!

Naaaaaaaaaaahhhhh....YOU wouldn't be THAT two-faced, now WOULD
you...?!?!

> >Now it's clear. You weren't top of the form in Morse Code, so the
> >code must be a bad thing....
>
> Plainly and simply inaccurate and plainly and simply just your
> personal insult mode trying vainly to masquerade as "civil"
> discussion. The mask is quite transparent. We can all see
> through it. Take it off, it will be more comfortable for you.

Coming from the putz who has been calling Jim by a whole plethora
of diminutives for years....

> Jimmie, TRY to understand the 53 years ago morse code was NOT
> the ultimate skill in radio operation on communications
> circuits.

That grammar is slipping, Lennie.

Remember...Typos = Angry.

You made the rule.

You're not getting ANGRY, are you...?!?!

> I've just never had to USE any radiotelegraphy skills nor
> wired telegraphy skills for ANY REASON...and I was DOING
> long-distance HF communications before your existance.

No...You were a rear-area radio mechanic before Jim's exstance.
You may have caused some RF to be radiated in the process of repairing
or "tuning up" the transmitters, but you were never an HF radio
operator (save for your CB license...)

> If you wish to build up your atrocious EGO and say everyone
> is (or even should be) "jealous" of your telegraphy skill,
> then you are a deluded person who is troubled and needs some
> mental therapy to better get along with others...and that's
> the way THAT is...

Ahhhh YES, it IS the same Leonard H. Anderson! Same suggestions
of mental defect coming from a guy who has DEMONSTRATED mental
defect...

Steve, K4YZ

1827. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:02:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135054956.472215.148630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127591

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...

And once again the rear-area radio mechanic war hero invokes the
Tomb of Unknowns for his own gratification.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1828. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:04:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135055070.972154.13170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127593

N9OGL wrote:
> #### you steve, you ####ing internet cop!!

More of that expensive Illinois college education gone to waste.

> Todd N9OGL
> http:// ########################## Demented Otaku BBS
> (now with 11 members!!!)

Good. Makes it easier to find all the perverts when they are in
one place.

Steve, K4YZ

1829. stevie forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:08:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135055289.127390.149710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127595

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > ##### you steve, you #####ing internet cop!!
>
> More of that expensive Illinois college education gone to waste.

more forgery by stevie
>
> > Todd N9OGL
> > http:// ########################## Demented Otaku BBS
> > (now with 11 members!!!)
>
> Good. Makes it easier to find all the perverts when they are in
> one place.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1830. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:08:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135055322.538351.198030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127596

w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ:
> On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 22:16:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:

> >> I certa9inoly do alotmore than yourself for example I don't defy those
> >> thing I see as the comand of God
> >
> >You "do a lot more" in what way, Mark? It can't be about the
> >commandments of God--you don't believe in God.
>
> more lies Dave

Ironic that you'd claim Dave to be a liar in a post that was
published behind a callsign that's not yours, Markie.

> i do just don't believe you are following the real god

And YOU know who the REAL "god" is...?!?!

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

Steve, K4YZ

1831. more of stevie's effort at control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:09:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135055349.589073.235100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > ARE YOU THE MONORATOR???
>
> "monorator"...?!?!

grow up
>
> > ANSWER NO, SO #### OFF!!!
>
> More of that expensive Illinois college education going to waste.
> Or maybe the money was spent on anime comic books instead...?!?!

grow up
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1832. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:10:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135055415.149949.203420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127598

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote yet another diatribe belittling others:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 18 2005 11:01 am
>
> > >Can you cite specific things that are different in the two histories?
> >
> > Oh, YES, I can. But that takes time to write about 100,000
> > words or more. Write up a contract on that book and send
> > me an offer. I'll ask a minimum of $75,000 advance on the
> > compensation for my time and effort just to start.
>
> Oh? 100K words is hard for you?
yea but i guess it isn't for you asshole

1833. stvei avoind the issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:10:46 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <cb2fq195pnqbufkto9vuekqvnqbfs55h60@4ax.com>
Source record: 127624

On 19 Dec 2005 19:33:37 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> no i'm not #### head
>
> Too true. The head of a #### has a useful purpsoe.

forgeing an dlie at once
>
> You don't.
>
>> so go #### yourself
>
> More of that expensive Illinois college education going to waste.
>
>> I use N9OGL in here and toddaug555@yahoo.com in rec.art.anime.misc
>> ####ing ####head
>
> You're a ####ing ####head regardless of WHICH forum you're in
>Toiddie.

nope more lies or more accuratly more of stveie the coward
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1834. get mental help stevie soon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:11:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135055475.207244.10970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127599

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
>
> Why do you keep misattributing things others wrote to me, Markie?

becuase I am not

it is your fault one or the other
>
> Paranoid?

meaning you are paranoid afterall since
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1835. Re: One of the Chosen speaks (the same old repetitive drivel)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:14:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135055669.302255.252690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127601
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Mon, 19 Dec 2005 21:18:08 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> [incomprehensible rantings snipped]
> >>
> >
> >Well done, Walt. You once again prove the ancient mariners axiom -- AN OPEN
> >MOUTH TAKES ON WATER.
>
> I'm sorry, Hans. I'm afraid nothing you say makes any sense to us
> Limeys.
> :-)

well han doesn't read uch better on this side of the pond either many
days
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

1836. Re: I get #### faced before I post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:15:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135055734.406344.24620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

more forgery
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

1837. Re: Keeping Track Of Toiddie's Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:17:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135055849.374705.263300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127603

>But I am wondering...Who eats anything YOU have put YOUR hands
>on...?!?! Do they KNOW where those hands have been...?!?!

Not true steve, I've must be doing something right, I've got a state license and a certificate from the National Restaurant Association (NRA)

> So...You acknowledge that you DO support "child pornography"....
>So you ARE the degenerate that all here have claimed you to be...

No I Support Japanese Animation and Hentai, There a big difference between that and child porn, Japanese anime and hentai is legal and child porn is illegal.

>But the FACTS are that Todd Daugherty, N9OGL, DOES support child
>pornography.

again, I support Anime and Hentai. BIG difference.

>Sure I am...Toiddie. I've already proven that I can effect
>change. Why do you continue to suggest otherwise?

Yeah, steve, right, the fact remains my site is LEGAL and there really nothing you can do!

>Like I said, Toiddie...the problem for people like you is that
>letting you "take an inch" means you'll eventually try to take a
>mile...And in that effort you'll lose your freedom. But then the rest
>of us will be just a little bit safer from people like you!

No steve the problem is NAZI's like you who don't like what a persons say, and instead of ignoring it you feel compelled to "do something about it" thus supressing the persons rights.

Todd N9OGL

1838. stvei claims he has right to be answered nobody else does

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:18:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135055919.320577.35330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Steve, why do you edit headings and forge "raped an old friend?" Why?
>
> Brain, why do you lie and deceive and use diminutive names in
> reference to persons who DON'T do it to you? WHY?

why do expect an answer after all you have been dogding question for
years
>
> That question, byt the way, has been pending for six weeks now.

byt?

> Any chance you'll stop the end-run dodge and answer it?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1839. Re: RRAP has gone to hell in a handbasket but orielly will fix it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:23:10 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <585fq1lk0i6tc842os8qg41nmimoj8rjns@4ax.com>
Source record: 127628

On 17 Dec 2005 16:18:59 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On Fri, 16 Dec 2005 12:35:24 -0600, Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
>>
>> it is just like lenanderson wanted it to be and now it is like that so
>> get over it.
>>
>
>after bill orielly is finished saving christmas when it was never
>under atack he will start in on usenet and the internet because
>orielly hates the internet. he will expose every news server which
>carries rrap until the act on hear is cleaned up or the news server
>drops the group. then you will be sorry todd as you sit in jail for
>disturbing the piece and distributing child porn cartoons and other
>charges to. orielly looks out for the kids and he will get all you
>internet bastards in 2006 to save the kids.

god get it right

Christmass is under attack, thank god that is finaly the case here in
america

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1840. stvei avoind the issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:29:55 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <m85fq19lbp4g8hb0t1e6pr0lbqc1gbgu8t@4ax.com>
Source record: 127630

On 19 Dec 2005 19:33:37 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> no i'm not #### head
>
> Too true. The head of a #### has a useful purpsoe.

forgeing an dlie at once
>
> You don't.
>
>> so go #### yourself
>
> More of that expensive Illinois college education going to waste.
>
>> I use N9OGL in here and toddaug555@yahoo.com in rec.art.anime.misc
>> ####ing ####head
>
> You're a ####ing ####head regardless of WHICH forum you're in
>Toiddie.

nope more lies or more accuratly more of stveie the coward
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1841. why stevei contiue the flamewar when he could stop it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:37:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135057052.746703.12570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127605

why

1842. stevei lies even is is subjectRe: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:39:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135057152.083751.257210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127606

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...
>
> And once again the rear-area radio mechanic war hero invokes the
> Tomb of Unknowns for his own gratification.
>
> Putz.

nothing to do with me in the whole post
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1843. ahns the achol abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:40:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135057215.982486.111360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127607

KØHB wrote:
> Dearest Friends,
>
> It becomes my painful duty to write you a letter of apology instead of sending
> you the Christmas remembrance that I had intended for you.
>
> Knowing so well the appetites, likes and dislikes of my close friends, I made up
> a list of twelve of my best friends and went down and bought twelve quarts of
> eighteen-year-old Glenmorangie single malt whiskey. Nothing but the very finest
> for my friends. I took this home and put it in my closet and intended to put it
> up in nice Christmas packages and send it out to you late this week just before
> Christmas.
>
> K0CKB got to fumbling around in the closet and found the liquor and didn't
> understand just what I intended to do with it. So she came up and told me to
> empty the contents of every bottle down the sink, or else. So I proceeded with
> the unpleasant task.
>
> I withdrew the cork from the first bottle and poured the contents down the sink,
> with the exception of one glass which I drank. I extracted the cork of the
> second bottle and likewise poured the contents down the sink, with the exception
> of the glass which I drank. I pulled the sink from the next bottle from the cork
> of the next and drank one sink out of it and threw the rest down the glass. I
> pulled the sink out of the next glass and poured the cork from the bottle. Then
> I corked the sink with the glass, bottled the drink and drank the pour.
>
> When I had everything emptied, I steadied the house with one hand and counted
> the bottles, corks, glasses, andsinks with the other, which were twenty-nine.
> And, as the houses came by, I counted them again and finally had all the houses
> and bottles and corks and glasses and sinks counted, except one house which I
> drank.
>
> So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
> Christmas present than this letter. I sincerely hope that from some other source
> you get all the joys and happiness that come from Christmas remembrances.
>
> "May the Good Lord take care of you, but not too soon."
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
> "1944 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"
>
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

abusing all that poor inocent achol

1844. hans the achol abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:40:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135057226.817385.110480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127608

KØHB wrote:
> Dearest Friends,
>
> It becomes my painful duty to write you a letter of apology instead of sending
> you the Christmas remembrance that I had intended for you.
>
> Knowing so well the appetites, likes and dislikes of my close friends, I made up
> a list of twelve of my best friends and went down and bought twelve quarts of
> eighteen-year-old Glenmorangie single malt whiskey. Nothing but the very finest
> for my friends. I took this home and put it in my closet and intended to put it
> up in nice Christmas packages and send it out to you late this week just before
> Christmas.
>
> K0CKB got to fumbling around in the closet and found the liquor and didn't
> understand just what I intended to do with it. So she came up and told me to
> empty the contents of every bottle down the sink, or else. So I proceeded with
> the unpleasant task.
>
> I withdrew the cork from the first bottle and poured the contents down the sink,
> with the exception of one glass which I drank. I extracted the cork of the
> second bottle and likewise poured the contents down the sink, with the exception
> of the glass which I drank. I pulled the sink from the next bottle from the cork
> of the next and drank one sink out of it and threw the rest down the glass. I
> pulled the sink out of the next glass and poured the cork from the bottle. Then
> I corked the sink with the glass, bottled the drink and drank the pour.
>
> When I had everything emptied, I steadied the house with one hand and counted
> the bottles, corks, glasses, andsinks with the other, which were twenty-nine.
> And, as the houses came by, I counted them again and finally had all the houses
> and bottles and corks and glasses and sinks counted, except one house which I
> drank.
>
> So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
> Christmas present than this letter. I sincerely hope that from some other source
> you get all the joys and happiness that come from Christmas remembrances.
>
> "May the Good Lord take care of you, but not too soon."
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
> "1944 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"
>
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

abusing all that poor inocent achol

1845. Re: hans suffers delusion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:47:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hWMpf.4101$mj1.1369@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127611

<w5amy@arrl.net> wrote

>
> you were never in my chain of comand
>

You were never in any chain of command.

1846. why can'tstevie be as usefull as broken clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:48:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135057697.688147.289240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127612

after all they are right twice a day

1847. Re: hans suffers delusion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:50:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135057853.085673.324430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127613

KØHB wrote:
> <w5amy@arrl.net> wrote
>
> >
> > you were never in my chain of comand
> >
>
> You were never in any chain of command.

wrong again

does Hans think like stevei he determine something with the right name
or ss

1848. stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:55:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135058103.412016.160660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127615

an_old_friend wrote:
> gave me herpes
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

stevie geberated shit that is this is

1849. Re: rrap is dangerous

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:55:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135058147.560028.32680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127616

Iitoi wrote:
> To expand on a theme suggested by Scott Adams (or maybe it was Moms
> Mabley) I've decided that rrap is dangerous because it gives morons a
> vehicle express their opinion, and thus to inform other morons about
> what their fellow morons are thinking.
>
> This only serves to as a sort of moron-snowball, where the feedback
> validates, and reinforces moronic opinions, such as "it's OK to have a
> stash of pornographic cartoons of 8-year-old girls being sodomized by
> demonic anime(als)". And the one thing more dangerous than a moron
> with an opinion is lots of morons with the same opinion.
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRL at Baboquivari Peak

where is the danger stevie
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

1850. why does Dave defile the "prince of peace"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 05:59:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135058391.660293.177790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127618

why do you try to use the word of god as a weapon of RRAP warfare and
yet claim he alone is entitled to invoke the devine?

1851. why does stevie care

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:01:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135058514.405499.40470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127619

stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
service why does he care?

1852. when will steive learn the meaning of the words he itries to use on here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:03:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135058592.929819.213640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127620

likely never

1853. why do some many folks get so off on mr baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:07:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135058832.086267.203260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> K1MAN BULLETIN - 051216A -
>
> W1AW AND K1MAN JOIN FORCES
>
> Dateline - Belgrade Lakes, Maine - 16 December 2005
>
eom

1854. more stevie paranoia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:09:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135058941.139917.119890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127622

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ:

indeed and you can stop it any time
> > On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 22:16:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
>
> > >> I certa9inoly do alotmore than yourself for example I don't defy those
> > >> thing I see as the comand of God
> > >
> > >You "do a lot more" in what way, Mark? It can't be about the
> > >commandments of God--you don't believe in God.
> >
> > more lies Dave
>
> Ironic that you'd claim Dave to be a liar in a post that was
> published behind a callsign that's not yours, Markie.

indeed
>
> > i do just don't believe you are following the real god
>
> And YOU know who the REAL "god" is...?!?!

indeed I do

but that is topic for different NG
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1855. more slander fro Mr slander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:11:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135059084.153799.45290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127623

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net didn't write, but Mark Morgan foreged an e-mail address
> of...:
cut

> > >> > Yeah right steve, lying again
> > >> >Nope.
> > >>
> > >> Where's your proof, Sure I live at home, but that don't mean I don't
> > >> have money, I own my own business.
> > >
> > > Sure...Just like a pimp "owns" a business.
> >
> > more slander by stvie
>
> Nope.
yep
>
> > > And WHAT "business"...?!?! The corner shoe-shine boy in the
> > >barber shop?
> >
> > more slander
>
> Notice the use of a question mark, Markie. I know that such marks
> are foreign to you, but that made those words a "question"...An
> interrogative.

so what

have you stopped beating your wife? a question and is as slanderous

you need to learn the words you try to use stvei
cut

> > >cuting stevie repateing his past post
>
> The "repateing" was YOURS, Markie.

nope more lies
>
> Now...did you have a point or statement to make? Or just killing
> time wating for the check to arrive on the first?

made guess you were not reading typical
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1856. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:17:33 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135059453.490267.96790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyshit_lloydie AKA 2test wogie@yahoo.com wrote:
> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > > > get their license in the first place, Toad.
> > >
> > > Then what's your fucking excuse???
> > > Todd N9OGL
> >
> > Good shot!!
>
> Only a moron like you would think so, Lardass.

LOL at the Glendale retard!! Better get back to cleaning out those
port-a-potties 2test, Christmas is coming soon and Santa will leave
something in your stocking if you do a good job.

1857. why is the cartoon charater obsesed with poart potties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:19:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135059567.628062.103470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie AKA 2test wogie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > > > > get their license in the first place, Toad.
> > > >
> > > > Then what's your fucking excuse???
> > > > Todd N9OGL
> > >
> > > Good shot!!
> >
> > Only a moron like you would think so, Lardass.
>
> LOL at the Glendale retard!! Better get back to cleaning out those
> port-a-potties 2test, Christmas is coming soon and Santa will leave
> something in your stocking if you do a good job.

prehaps he is just the contents therin
```

1858. delsuional behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:24:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135059853.351230.89280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127627

thinking RRAP would ever come up to Bill Oreialys radar screen

1859. stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:31:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135060280.562026.114430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127629
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

DrDeath wrote:
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
more thread killing

1860. more steive shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:32:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135060358.030391.150920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127631

Todd wrote:
> they say he out on work relese program after to many dui in w va. do
> they let you out thursday and fridays roger becaues that is when you
> post alot?

1861. stevei supports the likes of Al capone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:34:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135060494.053330.165500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127632

after all steve wants to prevetn drinking and RRAPing clearly history
show the way to gangsters like Capone

1862. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:37:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135060654.231717.307250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Who said I was Roger? wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > ^^^

>
> Learn to read an actual letter from the FCC versus one from the ARRL
> news section's take on the subject, dumbass!

1863. Re: I'd Call 315 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:39:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135060791.041551.142780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127634

K4YZ wrote:
> k4yz did not write:

stevei foreghimself
> > On 8 Dec 2005 16:07:11 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
> > >> distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
> > >>
> > >> Let's hope he get's his check soon and get's out of the house for
> > >> a while!
> > >>
> > >> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >You define internet stalking as 130 new threads?
> >
> > like I have said before in making these posts stevie more or less
> > admitts to the charges made over the years against him
>
> Markie, that you glean an "admission" from anything I've said in
> the last few days is pretty much an admission of your own that you have
> some problems with delusions.

nope stevie it is proof thatyou can dish it out but you can't take it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1864. Re: stevie sireminded that he can have something at least resembling peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:41:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135060877.513652.187040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127635

an_old_friend wrote:
> to get he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> rest of us

frinldyl reminder

1865. Re: One of the Chosen speaks (the same old repetitive drivel)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 06:44:00 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135061039.978642.191780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127636
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

If you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer),
then carry right on with your Childish Broadcasting (CB)
such as you do below.....

KØHB wrote:
>
> Sorry, Anonymous Old Sod, but since you made no point, there was no point to
> miss.

1866. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 07:11:15 UTC
From: "the ding-a-ling of 3.9-- mHz" <wingading@thatthang.com>
Message-ID: <7e934$43a7bcb4$d1cc7b6c$7625@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 127637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Berry Cuda" <NotRoger@anon> wrote in message
news:do2lofnrr0@pita.alt.net...
> Dear Santa,
>
> This Christmas I would like a brain and some double A batteries to run
it.
> Is Rudolph real? How is the North Pole? I would also like a bell from
your
> sleigh and some crayons too. I color a lot and I was good all year and
did
> not touch my sister one time. Can you put a rope through the hole in the
> bell and tie the rope to my neck so I can wear it every day and be a
> ding-a-ling?
>
> Your friend
> Todd

I want a 4x D-Cell vibrator with the matching green
neon jelly 21" dilldo attachment so I can widen out
my bunghole for --------- and his friends on New Year
when we "pork-in" the New Year.

".....yeah, ya like that don't'cha bitch?!"
- Saddam in SouthPark

1867. yet more stvei forgeries either by action or inspration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 07:21:48 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <8k2fq19hf57235oo6udnpqua5ah12bfi2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 127640

On Sun, 18 Dec 2005 11:22:41 -0500, an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org >
wrote:

>gave me herpes
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1868. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 07:24:37 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135063477.649411.146110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127638
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

You insist that www.qsl.net is "simply a page"?

Stupid boy.

Leigh Preece wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>
> > No, you are quite wrong on two counts.....
> > 1. The qsl web site is definitely a site and not a page.
>
> I insist that it is simply a page - maybe you should learn the rudiments
> of website assembly as there is no identifiable index or homepage but a
> collection of orpan pages or what would have been 'parent/child' pages.
>

1869. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 08:20:56 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135066856.353788.240120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127639
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Oops! -For some reason I keep losing the cross-posts,
sorted now!

Pierian Spring wrote:
> The following point is deserving of a further reply, again
> defeating your dastardly attempt to run away from a
> right of reply by redirecting to alt.dev.null.
>
> Anybody who pretends to be a lexicographer really ought
> to be able to disambiguate a personal attack from a
> well-justified political comment that criticises undesirable
> social trends.
>
> It is "meet and right so to" criticise.
>
> One such undesirable social trend germane to this NG is the
> gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence
> scheme; a scheme that is filling the Ham Bands with the
> metaphorically-mixed Trojan Horse of the Mongolian Hordes
> of turnip-brained CBers.
>
> Another, since you raised the topic and I am merely being
> courteous to you in responding to it, is the vested
> interests of those who ride the gravy train,
> those idle parasites who live off the rent money from the
> sweated labours of humanity - the farmer in Kernow has
> little enough money without having to support the masturbation
> that is the Britland monarchy.
>
> There is nothing in the scientific or medical world that supports
> the notion of royalty - those who would parasitise in this way
> are no different physiologically and intellectually from the
> rest of us. (Well, perhaps not intellectually after centuries
> of in-breeding)
>
> That little-known poet (what a polymath!) Gareth Alun Evans
> expressed this succintly in his poem, "In Short, Politics!".....
>
> "Monarchy is heinous
> 'Cos the queen has an anus.
>
> She sits and squits

> Brown bits of shits.
>
> She eases and squeezes
> Brown pieces of faeces."
>
> (Look out for the publication of his forthcoming
> anthology - a polymath, indeed!)
>
>
> Richard Kaulfuss wrote:
> > On 18 Dec 2005 09:31:10 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
> > <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote:
> >
> > >I have _NEVER_ originated personal attacks on anyone.
> > >
> > Perhaps not against individuals, but you have certainly originated
> > attacks against groups of individuals such as the armed forces, the
> > police, the royal family, M3s/CBers, etc.

1870. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 08:42:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fgdfq1pmelis1lj2drdgb56e7b04cfr4me@4ax.com>
Source record: 127641

On 19 Dec 2005 20:41:35 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1135053695.469489.71340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 19, 1:28 pm
>
>>On 19 Dec 2005 10:38:46 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 18, 6:54 pm
>
>
>>> The AN/PRC-104 HF manpack transceiver (operational 1986,
>>> will be replaced soon by an updated unit) by Hughes Ground
>>> Systems has an automatic antenna tuner integral to the
>>> manpack R/T. One can physically shorten the whip by
>>> removing sections to cut down visibility and the antenna
>>> tuner will compensate for the shorter sections. Won't be
>>> quite as efficient as the full whip but it is less visible
>>> on the ground. The lil 20 W PEP transmitter will shove as
>>> much RF into the whip as it can without damaging itself.
>>
>>We had the PRC-104 in the early '80s; the RT was used as the exciter
>>for the MRC-109/110 (400/1000W) jeep radios. Mechanical push-button
>>tuning from 2-30 MHz. I still want one.
>
> I'm not familiar with the MRC-109 or MRC-110, but a reference
> on C4I has that compatible with the AN/VRC-49 which is FM in the
> 30 to 76 MHz region.
>
> The AN/PRC-104 basic R/T was used in the AN/GRC-213, a vehicle
> mount configuration with audio amplifier and DC vehicle power
> supply conditioning, 20 W PEP SSB output. The AN/GRC-193 is
> also for vehicle mounting, uses the basic R/T, but includes
> a 100/400 Watt linear amplifier for transmitter and a higher-
> power antenna coupler/tuner.

Well hell, I guess I -am- getting old. I found references to the 400
watt MRC-138 but not the 1000 watt version. I could have sworn they
were called the MRC-109/110.......

> The basic R/T has digit push-wheel frequency selection (like
> digit thumbwheels but with a single button mover). The
> AN/VRC-11 through AN/VRC-49 had, variously, 10 push-button
> or rotary switch selection of frequencies in the 30 to 76 MHz
> region, FM, and were compatible with the AN/PRC-25 and -77
> VHF FM sets. The lower number VRCs I've seen all have
> chromed push buttons, something left over from WW2. :-)

Got a couple of tuners like that in the parts pile.

>>> The latest is an RF psycho weapon using ultra-wideband
>>> microwave stuff to scare-shock-disturb unfriendlies at a
>>> distance. First operational test contract was awarded
>>> a couple months ago. While it uses radio, it might not
>>> be handled by signalmen at all, probably not by artillery
>>> types either. Psy-war units? :-)
>>
>>I heard about that a couple years ago. Not a psych weapon -- it causes
>>significant "discomfort" in the eyes and skin at a distance. It is/was
>>intended for domestic purposes (i.e, riot control -- make sure to wear
>>your aluminum-foil hat to the upcoming anti-war rallies).
>
> I'll have to get out my aluminized Nomex full-body suit! :-)
>
> The "riot control" version was an R&D model. DoD has
> upped the ante with a fieldable system contract awarded
> for testing on whoever wherever they want to try it.

The FEMA bunkers come to mind.

>>> The first NODs (Night Observation Devices) were
>>> operational during the latter half of the 1960s and
>>> used in Vietnam. Too many were stolen/captured with
>>> the USSR making their own versions. Now those "Buck
>>> Rogers" devices can be bought at sports stores as
>>> a regular consumer electronics product. <shrug>
>>
>>Yeah, I have a Soviet unit that takes 2 AA batteries. Hmmmm.....
>
> In 1967 the U.S. military had three field models of
> NODs. Electro-Optical Systems division of Xerox in
> Pasadena produced one of those models. Three were
> stolen/turned-up-mission that year, feds in there asking
> questions after. The production manager "resigned."
> I have no idea where those missing NODs wound up but I
> read reports in 1970 where the USSR military now had
> them. First ones were "blotchy" in imaging and sensitivity
> didn't have any automatic gain control but they could
> enable anyone to "own the night." They have improved
> considerably since 1967.

Sounds about right. On this one the lens is pretty good quality but
the electronics are nothing more than a noisy inverter and a finger
trigger. Suprisingly sensitive tho, especially to short IR.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1871. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 09:56:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135072605.630052.247510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127642

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 18 2005 11:01 am
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 10, 3:48 pm,
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: Bill Sohl on Dec 6, 6:11 am
> >> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> >How is a non-ARRL history of amateur radio regulations any
> >different from an ARRL history of amateur radio regulations, Len?
>
> Non-ARRL histories of ALL RADIO regulations don't have the
> obvious political spin that ARRL uses to attempt increasing
> its membership.

What "obvious political spin"? Give us some examples of
where the ARRL history is "spun" or inaccurate. For example -
were there others besides amateurs who achieved low-power
2-way transatlantic shortwave radio communication before
November 1923?

> That's just the way it is...

That's just *your* spin, Len.
>
> >Can you cite specific things that are different in the two histories?
>
> Oh, YES, I can.

So go ahead.

> But that takes time to write about 100,000
> words or more.

A day or so for you ;-)

> >Nonsense, Len. The ARRL doesn't elect government officials.
>
> It postures as if it does...stating bluntly that it is
> the "representative of amateur radio!" :-)

Not the same thing at all. And who else represents amateur radio in the
USA on anyhting like as many issues?

> >Nor does it make regulations.
>
> It certainly tries to! Tries to for a MINORITY of licensed
> radio amateurs in the USA.

FCC makes the regulations.

ARRL tries to get FCC to change or keep certain regulations. So
do others like NCI. Yet NCI has a membership of perhaps one percent
of licensed US amateurs.
>
> >There were "other groups" back in the 1940s, Len.
>
> You didn't exist in the 1940s, Jimmie.

So what? The fact is there were other groups back then trying to
change the regulations.

Do you know the name of those groups and what they tried to change?

> It's just a HOBBY, Jimmie.

Not *just*, Len. Whatever it is, you're not part of it.

> Everyone in the military puts their LIFE on the line, 24/7, as
> long as they are in.

> >> Did you expect that everyone had to build everything themselves?!?
> >
> >Not at all. But radio amateurs sometimes do. You wouldn't know about
> >that since you've never done it.
>
> Plain and simple WRONG, Jimmie.
>
You've built complete amateur radio stations yourself? I fon't think
so.

> >> Do you expect sailors to all get sheet steel and torches and
> >> build the ship they are going to serve on?
> >
> >Not at all. But radio amateurs sometimes build their equipment from
> >the most basic parts - including sheet metal work. You wouldn't know
> >about that since you've never done it.
>
> INCORRECT. I've built equipment "from scratch." INCLUDING
> the "sheet metal work." :-)

Not amateur radio equipment for your own use in your own station.

That's the point.
>
> From the initial notes and sketches on paper to more detailed
> plans on vellum to getting the parts, doing the breadboards,
> finalizing the physical layouts, laying out the circuit boards,
> masking and etching the PCBs, "bending the tin" (an expression

> in aerospace for sheet-metal work), using the metal brake, using
> drill presses, mills, lathes, tapping the screw holes, wiring up
> the components, assembling everything, then testing and recording
> the operation of the finished product. That was just for HOBBY
> equipment, Jimmie. :-)

But not for amateur radio equipment. And not using just your own
resources.

> At WORK I've done all that plus a lot more...and been responsible
> for the completion of the final design to established milestones,
> setting up and doing the environmental testing, going out in the
> field for the corporation to assist the customer, being responsible
> for million-dollar project completion plus all the interdisciplinary
> design review meetings and reports before managers as well as giving
> pitches for contracts up for bid.

All by yourself? Or with the "help" of an engineering team?

> >> Do you expect airmen to all get aluminum and engines and build
> >> the aircraft they are going to serve on?
> >
> >Of course not.
>
> Why not? I've helped do that...and I'm NOT an "airman." :-)
>
> >> Do you expect choo-choo drivers to build their locomotives
> >> themselves? :-)
> >
> >"Choo-choo drivers"?
>
> What you call "locomotive engineers."

That's what everyone who knows what they're talking about calls them,
Len.

> Did they go to "engineering
> school" for their degrees? :-)

Actually, they do go to school and are licensed for the job.

> >> I just disregarded any
> >> NEED to learn morse code since I was never, ever
> >> required to use it in the military or in the much longer
> >> civilian life career I still have.
> >
> >In other words, since there was no money in it for you...
>
> No NEED, Jimmie. Can't you get anything straight?

No need in your job. Job is for money. I got it right.

> >> Since no higher deity commanded that morse code testing be
> >> done for amateur radio licenses, ordinary humans must have
> >> done it. Whatever humans have done, humans can UNDO.
> >
> >Not necessarily. Humans seem to have trouble undoing certain
> >types of messes, such as pollution.
>
> Yes, the morse code test is still in USA amateur regulations,
> POLLUTING the environment for newcomer hobbyists.
>
There's your antimorse spin!

> >Of course - because you are not qualified to do it on-the-air.
>
> Not AUTHORIZED, Jimmie. Do try to keep up...

Neither qualified nor authorized, Len. Do try to be accurate.

> >> I've only listened to the predecessor of the Condor Net in
> >> Newbury Park, CA, demonstrated by one of the ham-licensed
> >> employees there. At Teledyne Electronics, my employer
> >> during the late 70s. It was the first state-long network
> >> to use all tone switching for routing without using any
> >> microprocessor control.
> >
> >Gosh, you *listened*! I've done a lot more than that!
>
> When were you on the Condor Network? Give us the year you
> operated on it. Describe it.

Wasn't talking about the Condor Network. Was talking about the amateur
bands above 220 MHz. I've operated there - you've only listened.

> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. That doesn't agree with www.hamdata.com figures.
> >
> >I didn't use those figures.
>
> Not good enough for you? Hamdata gets theirs right from the
> FCC database. Where does Speroni get his? Crystal ball? :-)

AH0A gets his numbers direct from the FCC database. But I don't
use AH0A's numbers.

hamdata.com's numbers include expired-but-in-the-grace-period
licenses. The numbers I use do not.
>
> >Is that a threat, Len? You're not even a novice at amateur radio.
>
> Why are you afraid of perceived "threats?"

So you admit it was a threat...
>
> >> Residences are for LIVING in, Jimmie. It is HOME.
> >

> >SO why shouldn't it change?
>
> This newsgroup/morseblog isn't about zoning ordinances or real
> estate, Jimmie.

Not is it about the military, or aerospace, or your jobs, Len. But you
go on
and on about those subjects.

> Nor is it about trailer living...wherein
> trailers can be towed someplace else for "change." :-)

> I've lived at this same residental address for 42 years,

Does that mean you think you have the right to dictate what others can
do with their land?

> Some of my neighbors have lived in their for
> longer. Why should we "change?"

I've been a radio amateur for 38 years, Len. Some other hams here
have been radio amateurs even longer.

Why should *we* change?

> Especially for some
> easterner who doesn't know the territory, doesn't know
> the neighborhood, doesn't know any neighbors, doesn't do
> anything but try to make trouble for those who have
> opinions on amateur radio testing other than his? :-)

I'm not saying you *should* change, Len. I'm simply pointing out
that you're a nonamateur tells others that *they* have to change
but doesn't accept change himself. Just like the outsider
developer who came into your neighborhood and tried to change the
zoning, you try to change the amateur radio rules.

At least the developer was willing to become part of the neighborhood
by purchasing the land. You folks drove him bankrupt. But you aren't
even a part of amateur radio, yet you want the rules changed.

You resisted change to zoning, but you ridicule and call names
of anyone who tries to resist change to amateur radio regulation.

See your double standard? Others have to adapt, not you.

btw - you accused at least one developer of "payola" to the zoning
commission, and also that the zoning commission accepted the
"payola". Pretty serious charges. Care to back them up with facts?

> >Now it's clear. You weren't top of the form in Morse Code, so the
> >code must be a bad thing....
>
> Plainly and simply inaccurate and plainly and simply just your
> personal insult mode trying vainly to masquerade as "civil"

> discussion.

What's inaccurate about it?

And where's the insult?

> The mask is quite transparent. We can all see
> through it. Take it off, it will be more comfortable for you.

Were you top of the form in Morse Code? I think not.
>
> TRY to understand the 53 years ago morse code was NOT
> the ultimate skill in radio operation on communications
> circuits.

Depends on which "communications circuits" you mean. You
always seem to spin away from "communications circuits"
like those used by the US Navy...

> Yes, radiotelegraphy was used by many,

Indeed!

< but it was
> NOT necessary in relaying tens or hundreds of thousands of
> messages a month worldwide.

As if!

> Teleprinting was necessary then
> and it was used for the majority of military, government,
> and commercial messaging around the globe. That's just the
> way it was...

So what? Does that mean *amateurs* shouldn't use Morse Code?

> Today the "need" for radiotelegraphy skill has atrophied down
> to some amateur radio hobbyists who cling to the myth that it
> is "necessary" for obtaining an amateur radio license.

Spin, spin, spin....

> Even
> then that myth is not universal nor does it represent any
> "consensus" among those that have obtained the "highest" CLASS
> amateur radio license. That's just the way it is...

But you have no such license, yet you keep trying to force others to
change. Just like that developer....

> I've just never had to USE any radiotelegraphy skills nor
> wired telegraphy skills for ANY REASON...and I was DOING
> long-distance HF communications before your existance.

"Existence".

You also haven't been a radio amateur at all.

1872. Re: One of the Chosen speaks (the same old repetitive drivel)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:06:39 UTC
From: Chaney <chaney@i12.com>
Message-ID: <3BRpf.1321$vl2.807@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127643
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Are there no Yank NG's you could be haunting!!!...we're British...we take
our ghosts with a pinch of salt...

--

Chaney

Chaney's World
www.chaney.i12.com

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xgFpf.3977$mj1.3470@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote
>
>> Hans,
>> You've missed the point which is .....
>
> Sorry, Anonymous Old Sod, but since you made no point, there was no point
> to miss.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Hamming for almost a half-century, and it just keeps getting better.
>
>
>
>

1873. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:27:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135078075.802320.24160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127644

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote yet another diatribe belittling others:
> > > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 18 2005 11:01 am
> > >
> > > >Can you cite specific things that are different in the two histories?
> > >
> > > Oh, YES, I can. But that takes time to write about 100,000
> > > words or more. Write up a contract on that book and send
> > > me an offer. I'll ask a minimum of $75,000 advance on the
> > > compensation for my time and effort just to start.
> > >
> > Oh? 100K words is hard for you?
>
> yea but i guess it isn't for you ###hole

Now now, Markie...You know we're all laughing at you anyway...So
why compound your issues by talking to yourself in public like that?

Steve, K4YZ

1874. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:31:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135078262.804227.248270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127645

an_old_friend wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > gave me herpes

> stevie geberated #### that is this is

"geberated"...?!?! I'm not even going to guess what that was
supposed to be.

But it looks like Markie's talking to himslef again.

Steve, K4YZ

1875. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:33:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135078430.866298.203220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127646

an old friend wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > to get he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > rest of us
>
> frinldyl reminder

What's a "frinldyl", Markie? Some ficticious alien species from
"Star Trek"...?!?!

And Markie...Neither you nor any others of the "Feeble Five" are
in any position to "dictate terms"...

Steve, K4YZ

1876. Re: I'd Call 315 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:41:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135078899.693451.73740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127647

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > k4yz did not write:
>
> stevei foreghimself
> > > On 8 Dec 2005 16:07:11 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
> > > >> distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
> > > >>
> > > >> Let's hope he get's his check soon and get's out of the house for
> > > >> a while!
> > > >>
> > > >> Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > >You define internet stalking as 130 new threads?
> > >
> > > like I have said before in making these posts stevie more or less
> > > admitts to the charges made over the years against him
> >
> > Markie, that you glean an "admission" from anything I've said in
> > the last few days is pretty much an admission of your own that you have
> > some problems with delusions.
>
> nope stevie it is proof thatyou can dish it out but you can't take it

Take what, Markie?

Your recent break with reality and self control by spamming the NG
with over 400 trash posts?

Naaaaah...If YOU have the time and the inclination to sit around
creating screen names with which to harass not only me, but RRAP users
worldwide, then be my guest, Markie...

It only substantiates my claims about you anyway, so thanks for
the help! (Not that I needed it, but you DO help keep the ball
rolling!)

Steve, K4YZ

1877. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:45:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135079112.232042.259780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Markie Morgan, KB9RQZ:
> On 17 Dec 2005 06:22:51 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Markie Morgan, KB9RQZ:
> >> On 13 Dec 2005 06:04:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >ku4my@charter.net wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'.....
> >> >> I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
> >> >>
> >> >> You poor, poor sods!
> >> >
> >> > Yes...the rowdy and the reckless.
> >> >
> >> > But beware the "Feeble Five."
> >> >
> >> true to form
> >
> > As is this, Markie...(not ot mention the FORGED e-mail alias
> >above...You're really rackin' em up, PaganBoy!)
>
> just trying to catch up with you stevie boy

I've not forged any e-mails, Markie.

That's all you, PaganBoy!

> >> everyone should be advised that The following person...(SNIP)
> >
> > Still broadcasting a phone number that doesn't belong to me.
>
> then why do you care?
>
> more of stevie protesting too much

I care about innocent people being brutalized by a person who
enjoys brutalizing people for no other reason than creating pain.

> > One MarkieLie after another.
> >
> > Mark Morgan is a pathological liar.
>
> stevie does not not know the meaning of the phrase

Sure I do. That's why I applied it to you.

You ARE a pathological liar.

Steve, K4YZ

1878. hey todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:45:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <9krfq1dijdicsic0crf9t701h2vuk6onbc@4ax.com>
Source record: 127651

check otu tis animaton its grate!

http://www.asianrentboy.net/gay-porn/anime7/016/images/001.jpg

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1879. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:46:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135079191.090716.91440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127649

an old friend wrote:
> after all steve wants to prevetn drinking and RRAPing clearly history
> show the way to gangsters like Capone

I am sure that this was supposed to mean something,
but...WHAT...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1880. i get shit faced bfreo i poast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:47:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <cprfq1tode2amip4ojgns47vgr5e1j9il6@4ax.com>
Source record: 127653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

http://www.scatmen.com/graphics/scatmen03_1x1.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1881. Re: when will steive learn the meaning of the words he itries to use on here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:49:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135079374.429298.310710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127650

an old friend wrote:
> likely never

From Markie's subject line: Re: when will steive learn the
meaning of the words he itries to use on here

When will MARKIE actually LEARN how to SPELL the words he TRIES to
use "on here"...?!?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!

Markie, I absolutely LOVE the way you step in your OWN traps EVERY
SINGLE TIME!

IDIOT!

Steve, K4YZ

1882. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:51:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135079489.427991.33120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127652

an old friend wrote:
> stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
> service why does he care?

Because you're a bully, Markie, and I detest bullies.

Steve, K4YZ

1883. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:52:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135079569.499645.113420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127654

an old friend wrote:
> likely never

From Markie's subject line: Re: when will steive learn the
meaning of the words he itries to use on here

When will MARKIE actually LEARN how to SPELL the words he TRIES to

use "on here"...?!?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHA

1884. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:54:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <q3sfq1dc9jabli01evdn1nj0hluhlroper@4ax.com>
Source record: 127657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"the ding-a-ling of 3.9-- mHz"
<wingading@thatthang.com> wrote:
> "Berry Cuda" <NotRoger@anon> wrote in message
> news:do2lofnrr0@pita.alt.net...
> > Dear Santa,
> >
> > This Christmas I would like a brain and some double A batteries to run
> it.
> > Is Rudolph real? How is the North Pole? I would also like a bell from
> your
> > sleigh and some crayons too. I color a lot and I was good all year and
> did
> > not touch my sister one time. Can you put a rope through the hole in
> > the bell and tie the rope to my neck so I can wear it every day and be
> > a ding-a-ling?
> >
> > Your friend
> > Todd
>
> I want a 4x D-Cell vibrator with the matching green
> neon jelly 21" dilldo attachment so I can widen out
> my bunghole for --------- and his friends on New Year
> when we "pork-in" the New Year.
>
> ".....yeah, ya like that don't'cha bitch?!"
> - Saddam in SouthPark
>
>
Im a toilet slave Im lerning too love solid
waiste.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1885. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:55:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135079733.559228.9320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127655

an old friend wrote:
> thinking RRAP would ever come up to Bill Oreialys radar screen

> Re: delsuional behavoir

"Delusional behaviour" would be expecting Markie to spell
"delusional" correctly.

Steve, K4YZ

1886. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:57:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135079830.057009.128100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127656

an_old_friend wrote:

> abusing all that poor inocent achol

Not nearly as bad as the abuse you heap upon the English language,
Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

1887. Re: One of the Chosen speaks (the same old repetitive drivel)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:57:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <fasfq1p1rqr16a0bigfs8t4rgskiclpeqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 127659
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

plese put your big snmelly ass in my face.

Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
> On 19 Dec 2005 21:14:29 -0800, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Walt Davidson wrote:
>
> >> I'm sorry, Hans. I'm afraid nothing you say makes any sense to us
> >> Limeys.
> >> :-)
> >
> >well hans doesn't read much better on this side of the pond either many
> >days
>
> LOL!
>
> BTW, I don't much care for the jingoistic tone of his Web site.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language:
> "jingoism - NOUN: Extreme nationalism characterized especially by a
> belligerent foreign policy; chauvinistic patriotism."

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1888. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 11:58:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135079937.604256.291980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127658
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> DrDeath wrote:
> > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > news:do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> more thread killing

You keep trying to censor others yet they keep right on ignoring
you.

I wonder why that is...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1889. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 12:04:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135080262.587387.325960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127660

an_old_friend wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > To expand on a theme suggested by Scott Adams (or maybe it was Moms
> > Mabley) I've decided that rrap is dangerous because it gives morons a
> > vehicle express their opinion, and thus to inform other morons about
> > what their fellow morons are thinking.
> >
> > This only serves to as a sort of moron-snowball, where the feedback
> > validates, and reinforces moronic opinions, such as "it's OK to have a
> > stash of pornographic cartoons of 8-year-old girls being sodomized by
> > demonic anime(als)". And the one thing more dangerous than a moron
> > with an opinion is lots of morons with the same opinion.
> >
> > The Man in the Maze
> > QRL at Baboquivari Peak
>
> where is the danger stevie

Maybe, Markie...just maybe...if you exercised just a weeeeeeeeeeee
bit of initiative, you could follow the headers and see that this
fellow and I are not one in the same.

But then THAT would require that you acknowledge that your
paranoia is unjustly founded, and might be a severe shock to your
already unstable mindset. But try it anyway, PaganBoy. You need the
wake-up call.

Steve, K4YZ

1890. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 12:06:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135080397.302791.161850@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127661

an_old_friend wrote:
> why (Re: why stevei contiue the flamewar when he could stop it)

Why, indeed. All those posts are YOURS, Markie...Not mine.

Seek help before it's forced upon you, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

1891. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 12:09:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135080574.785718.55520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127662

w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Markie Morgan, KB9RQZ:
> On 19 Dec 2005 19:33:37 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >N9OGL wrote:
> >> no i'm not #### head
> >
> > Too true. The head of a #### has a useful purpsoe.
>
> forgeing an dlie at once

What? Huh?

E N G L I S H, Markie! We use E N G L I S H in this forum!

> > You don't.
> >
> >> so go #### yourself
> >
> > More of that expensive Illinois college education going to waste.
> >
> >> I use N9OGL in here and toddaug555@yahoo.com in rec.art.anime.misc
> >> ####ing ####head
> >
> > You're a ####ing ####head regardless of WHICH forum you're in
> >Toiddie.
>
> nope more lies or more accuratly more of stveie the coward

You're lost again, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

1892. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 12:13:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135080815.804742.114760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127663

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> > >
> > Why do you keep misattributing things others wrote to me, Markie?
> >
> becuase I am not

Sure you are.

Any 3rd grader can follow the attributes and know you're an idiot.

> it is your fault one or the other

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

> > Paranoid?
> >
> meaning you are paranoid afterall since

I am sure that was suppose to mean something...But WHAT..?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1893. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 12:16:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135080982.898565.196470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On 19 Dec 2005 19:45:24 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> Steve, why do you edit headings and forge "raped an old friend?" Why?
> >
> > Brain, why do you lie and deceive and use diminutive names in
> >reference to persons who DON'T do it to you? WHY?
>
> he doesn't lie

Sure he does.

> and there is no coapsion betwen Stevie and rape

There's no WHAT...?!?!

Mark Morgan is a functionally illiterate idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

1894. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 12:18:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135081130.002655.204480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> > > > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > well that isn't me....it's a fake
> > > > > Todd N9OGL
> > > > >
> > > > This is you toad.
> > >
> > Markie, was there supposed to be some "text" in there, or are you
> > just labelling anything you care to these days IRT me?
> >
> > Makes sense...Middle of the month...Welfare checks aren't due for
> > two more weeks...Weather's setting in...Not much to do in Chassell, is
> > there?
>
> Idle hands and mind came up with "raped an old friend."
>
> But still no explanation or apology for having done it.

An explanation WAS made, and no apology is due.

Now...about your use of diminutives towards those who don't use
them IRT you, Brain...?!?! Still no answer?

Steve, K4YZ

1895. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 14:35:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135089355.616381.206820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127666

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > w5amy@arrl.net didn't write, but Mark Morgan foreged an e-mail address
> > of...:
> cut

Don't like having your deceit exposed, eh, Markie...?!?!

> > > >> > Yeah right steve, lying again
> > > >> >Nope.
> > > >>
> > > >> Where's your proof, Sure I live at home, but that don't mean I don't
> > > >> have money, I own my own business.
> > > >
> > > > Sure...Just like a pimp "owns" a business.
> > >
> > > more slander by stvie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

Nope.

> > > > And WHAT "business"...?!?! The corner shoe-shine boy in the
> > > >barber shop?
> > >
> > > more slander
> >
> > Notice the use of a question mark, Markie. I know that such marks
> > are foreign to you, but that made those words a "question"...An
> > interrogative.
>
> so what

"So" it expsoes your English language deficits....Not that they
were that hard to see.

> have you stopped beating your wife? a question and is as slanderous

Nope. It's still a question.

And by the way...

Have you stopped beating YOURS?

> you need to learn the words you try to use stvei

YOU need to learn how to SPELL the words you try to use, Markie!

> > > >cuting stevie repateing his past post
> >
> > The "repateing" was YOURS, Markie.
>
> nope more lies

Yes, Markie...The "repateing" was yours. And no, it was not a
lie.

> > Now...did you have a point or statement to make? Or just killing
> > time wating for the check to arrive on the first?
>
> made guess you were not reading typical

Was THAT a sentence? In what language?

Steve, K4YZ

1896. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 14:38:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135089503.352289.50550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127667

an old friend wrote:
> Todd wrote:
> > they say he out on work relese program after to many dui in w va. do
> > they let you out thursday and fridays roger becaues that is when you
> > post alot?

Still just re-quoting others posts without comment, Markie...?!?!

Bored?

Try using the "down time" between welfare checks to improve your
English comprehension and typing skills, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

1897. Re: One of the Chosen speaks (the same old repetitive drivel)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 14:43:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3MUpf.4192$mj1.2131@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127668
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote

>
> BTW, I don't much care for the jingoistic tone of his Web site.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>

Perhaps this Web site is better suited to your political view:

http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

With kindest regards of the season,

Jingo Bells, Jingo Bells, Jingo all the waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay,

de Hans, K0HB
--
1943 Poster Boy for the 'Precocious Little Bastard' Campaign
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

1898. How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 14:44:30 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <iNUpf.8277$nm.3491@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127669

Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
CSCE for the General written.

My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
How many current Techs ever operate at high power
levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?

I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
personal insight or anecdotal information.

Cheers and more to follow over time.

Bill K2UNK

1899. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 14:53:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0WUpf.4195$mj1.3749@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> Mark Morgan is a functionally illiterate idiot.
>

Please apologize to all the idiots you have just insulted.

Merry New Year,

de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

1900. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:02:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <A2Vpf.4200$mj1.820@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127671

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
>> stevie geberated #### that is this is
>
> "geberated"...?!?! I'm not even going to guess what that was
> supposed to be.
>

I think it's "generated" with two extra dits on the "n". Mark needs to adjust
his Vibroplex weights, or not try to send code when he's stoned.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

1901. Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:12:02 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135091521.890234.15800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127672
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

If you are you admitting to being a toilet mouth, then
there is hope for you, even yet.

Richard Kaulfuss wrote:
> On 19 Dec 2005 23:22:32 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
> <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >You se
>
> <FLUUUUSH!>

1902. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:14:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5rSdnb2h6bNkgjXeRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 127673

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:iNUpf.8277$nm.3491@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
> probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
> the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
> General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
> already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
> be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
> ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
> CSCE for the General written.
>
> My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
> haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
> How many current Techs ever operate at high power
> levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?
>
> I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
> curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
> personal insight or anecdotal information.
>
> Cheers and more to follow over time.
>
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

Well as far as I know, very few in our club run anything over the power that
is available in the radio itself regardless of license. I've not heard any
of the Technicians speak of running high power.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1903. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:19:52 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <5iVpf.41244$ih5.34300@dukeread11>
Source record: 127674

see my response below.

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
> probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
> the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
> General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
> already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
> be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
> ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
> CSCE for the General written.
>
> My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
> haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
> How many current Techs ever operate at high power
> levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?
>
> I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
> curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
> personal insight or anecdotal information.
>
> Cheers and more to follow over time.
>
> Bill K2UNK

Bill,
good question. I think the answer lies in Economics. Equipment that can run at high power levels (800-1500 watts) is generally fairly expensive (even the used stuff isn't cheap) and for the most part, most HF rigs are priced high enough to prevent those "living on the edge" from ever really getting into HF operations (except as maybe qrp stations).

It would be interesting to see how well everyone does if all we had were QRP rigs (<50 watts) to work with.... That would be the real challenge, working the entire world on any band on under 50 watts.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

1904. Re: stvei claims he has right to be answered nobody else does

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:27:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tpVpf.4206$mj1.476@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:

> why do expect an answer after all you have been dogding question for
> years

Dog ding? You're quite a regular poet, Mark.

Dave K8MN

1905. Re: stevei lies even is is subjectRe: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:30:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_rVpf.4207$mj1.986@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127676

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...
>> And once again the rear-area radio mechanic war hero invokes the
>> Tomb of Unknowns for his own gratification.
>>
>> Putz.
>
> nothing to do with me in the whole post

That's correct, there was nothing to do with you. Perhaps you read the word "Putz" and assumed that Steve was writing about you.

Dave K8MN

1906. Re: message sent to cuhulin@webtv.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:30:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <1n8gq1p5tr9gf1r5ch9ljlad9cm92f11fs@4ax.com>
Source record: 127681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Torvald" <TorJohnson@cableone.net> wrote:
> dk wrote:
> > Your off topic comments about all the radios you have, politics, movies
> > you watch, ladies that live next to you, etc, are really detractiing
> > from the usefuleness of this list. Please, please, take your comments
> > elsewhere - I'm sure that there is some group that would be more
> > appropriate for these types of comments - Maybe try some yahoo group or
> > someting, I don't know, but it doesn't belong here on
> > rec.radio.shortwave.
> >
> > I would welcome other comments on this issue!
>
> You leave our Cuhulin alone or I'll drive down from Brimson to Superior
> and kick your ass personally!
>
will you lets ne lick your ass?
>
> That would make for a good christmas present!
>
I love solid wastee to now butt ts news too me
>
> Ya sure you betcha'
>
> Torvald Johnson
> Brimson, Minnesota
>
ever cum to michagan?

Mark Morgan

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1907. Re: stvei claims he has right to be answered nobody else does

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:32:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135092721.004115.125210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > why do expect an answer after all you have been dogding question for
> > years
>
> Dog ding? You're quite a regular poet, Mark.

Indeed. One can almost envision Shakespeare clapping his
hands......over his ears and eyes.

1908. Re: ahns the achol abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:32:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iuVpf.4209$mj1.676@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127678

an_old_friend wrote:

> abusing all that poor inocent achol

That's an interesting subject line, Mark--very poetic.

Dave K8MN

1909. Re: message sent to cuhulin@webtv.net - n9oglloser.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:32:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <ku8gq15c4hfuk0u5l34t1r5bdi79mmj0ma@4ax.com>
Source record: 127684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On 19 Dec 2005 19:46:25 -0800, Les Locklear <TorJohnson@cableone.net>
> wrote:
> >
> > dk wrote:
> > > Your off topic comments about all the radios you have, politics,
> > > movies you watch, ladies that live next to you, etc, are really
> > > detractiing from the usefuleness of this list. Please, please, take
> > > your comments elsewhere - I'm sure that there is some group that
> > > would be more appropriate for these types of comments - Maybe try
> > > some yahoo group or someting, I don't know, but it doesn't belong
> > > here on rec.radio.shortwave.
> > >
> > > I would welcome other comments on this issue!
> >
> > You leave our Cuhulin alone or I'll drive down from Brimson to Superior
> > and kick your ass personally!
> >
> > That would make for a good christmas present!
> >
> > Ya sure you betcha'
> >
> > Torvald Johnson
> > Brimson, Minnesota
>
> Hi there, Les! Still posting from a Long Beach, MS IP address I see.
>
Are you bi to i like solids wastee now.

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

1910. Re: why can'tstevie be as usefull as broken clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:33:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BvVpf.4211$mj1.2426@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127679

an_old_friend wrote:
> after all they are right twice a day

That'd seem to make them a couple of times better than your average.

Dave K8MN

1911. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:34:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135092885.559239.97810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!

What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
your monosyllable utterings?

1912. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:36:12 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135092972.488924.267800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What college? Barber college is all the college Toad could pass.

1913. Re: stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:36:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UxVpf.4216$mj1.2091@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127683

an_old_friend wrote:

> stevie geberated shit that is this is

Waxing poetic again, Colonel?

Dave K8MN

1914. Re: why does Dave defile the "prince of peace"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:38:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KzVpf.4219$mj1.1980@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127685

an old friend wrote:
> why do you try to use the word of god as a weapon of RRAP warfare...

I have not done so.

> and yet claim he alone is entitled to invoke the devine?

I've made no such claim.

Why are you worried about a deity in which you have no belief?

Dave K8MN

1915. Re: why is the cartoon charater obsesed with poart potties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:38:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135093108.574452.113370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys eats shit and drinks piss on
a regular basis.

1916. Re: why does stevie care

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:39:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oAVpf.4220$mj1.3368@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127687

an old friend wrote:
> stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
> service why does he care?

A much better question would be: Why don't you care?

Dave K8MN

1917. Re: when will steive learn the meaning of the words he itries to use on here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:40:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kBVpf.4222$mj1.94@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127688

an old friend wrote:
> likely never

You make this too easy. When will you learn the spelling of the words
you try to use here?

Dave K8MN

1918. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:40:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uBVpf.518$R84.75@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127689

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote

> That would be the real challenge, working
> the entire world on any band on under 50 watts.

Not challenging at all. 50W is only about two S-units (13db) below a full
kilowatt.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

1919. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:41:11 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135093271.875923.285540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Dr Whore wrote:
> On 19 Dec 2005 06:44:36 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > Lloyd II wrote:
> > > "cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1134775847.936994.30070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > > > > get their license in the first place, Toad.
> > > >
> > > > Then what's your fucking excuse???
> > > > Todd N9OGL
> > >
> > > Good shot!!
> > > >
> > > Good for you, Toad. I wanna hear Roger's answer to that one.
> >
> > Hey Lardass, how does one "hear" a newsgroup post, shit for brains?
>
> You really have to stretch for a comeback <sniveling cunt Davies' whines flushed>

Oh the irony, Lardass. You can't even upgrade past the training wheel
no code tech license.
Tell us how you get "stuck" after a few letters of cw, imbecile.
```

1920. Re: stevei supports the likes of Al capone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:42:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zDVpf.4224$mj1.2612@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127691

an old friend wrote:
> after all steve wants to prevetn drinking and RRAPing clearly history
> show the way to gangsters like Capone

Very, very poetic, Colonel.

Dave K8MN

1921. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:45:05 UTC
From: "the ding-a-ling of 3.9-- mHz" <wingading@thatthang.com>
Message-ID: <bad49$43a83506$d1cc4199$13546@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 127692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:40098$43a75ad6$4e0db91$16123@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:701783.458F879@tarrnews.net...
> > On 19 Dec 2005 07:09:06 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
> >
> > I was assistint monerator last year when steve was monerator. he
> > appointed me. I think steve is still monerator or maybe dave hiel is
> > or maybe they trade it back and fourth. you should becareful if steve
> > is still monerator because he dont like you and he might ban you.
>
> What the hell is a "monerator" ???
> Ace - WH2T

....someone you pick up at the West Memphis Greyhound Bus Station
around 1:00 AM on Saturday who's dressed in black fishnets, has on
a green platnium wig, is 6'4" tall and pees standing up.

(any more questions?)

1922. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:46:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135093563.725934.283580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

commode butt Cornholer of little boyz corey wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie @yahoo.com wrote:
> > cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > In article <1134767399.118171.70680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > One that should be changed is the one that allows dumbasses like you to
> > > > > get their license in the first place, Toad.
> > > >
> > > > Then what's your fucking excuse???
> > > > Todd N9OGL
> > >
> > > Good shot!!
> >
> > Only a moron like you would think so, Lardass.
>
> LOL <IKYABWAI>

LOL @ the Athens child molester (who hides behind a cheezy special
effects defunct (like buzzy) sci fi show) who sees Wiseman behind every
post. I see your obsession with free meals from those port-a-potties
from which you frequent to offer your blowjob services, but you'll
have to quit bathing in the tanks of the port-a-potties and just eat
and drink the material from them you love so much.

1923. Re: FCC rules need to be changed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:46:44 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135093604.649020.286440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127694

You kiss your mommy's cunt with that mouth, Toadie?

1924. Re: why is the cartoon charater obsesed with poart potties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:47:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135093658.394602.290650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You definatrely are, aids-ridden child abuser Marky Morgan.

1925. Todd is as stupid as Lardass Lloyd Davies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:50:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135093807.985561.301830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127696

Todd wrote:
> they say he out on work relese program after to many dui in w va. do
> they let you out thursday and fridays roger becaues that is when you
> post alot?

Toad gets it wrong again. Roger has no such records nor do they have
"work-release" dumbass.

1926. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 15:56:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XQVpf.4229$mj1.3511@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127697

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
> probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
> the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
> General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
> already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
> be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
> ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
> CSCE for the General written.
>
> My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
> haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
> How many current Techs ever operate at high power
> levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?
>
> I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
> curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
> personal insight or anecdotal information.
>
> Cheers and more to follow over time.

Judging from the number of Technician licensees running high power on both 6m and 2m SSB, I'd say the number is nowhere close to zero. It is a small fraction considering the number of Techs who never operate anything but 2m FM through repeaters.

Dave K8MN

1927. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 16:35:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TpqdnYFjrqOerjXe4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 127698

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:XQVpf.4229$mj1.3511@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
>> probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
>> the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
>> General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
>> already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
>> be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
>> ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
>> CSCE for the General written.
>>
>> My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
>> haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
>> How many current Techs ever operate at high power
>> levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?
>>
>> I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
>> curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
>> personal insight or anecdotal information.
>>
>> Cheers and more to follow over time.
>
> Judging from the number of Technician licensees running high power on both
> 6m and 2m SSB, I'd say the number is nowhere close to zero. It is a small
> fraction considering the number of Techs who never operate anything but 2m
> FM through repeaters.
>
> Dave K8MN

I don't come across Techs here running high power SSB and I am in a major metropolitan area (one of the Detroit suburbs). I seldom come across them operating VHF/UHF SSB at all. However, I'm glad if you have significant numbers in your area.

Just for grins and giggles, I took my log from the last VHF/UHF contest and checked all the call signs (I only worked about 50 stations). Most of them were Extras with a smattering of Advanced and Generals. There was ONE Technician and since he was local and rather weak, I doubt if he was running high power.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1928. Re: stebie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:04:41 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <h23fq1lft08st7s75m14bhnks09c7tc2mv@4ax.com>
Source record: 127704

On 19 Dec 2005 19:41:32 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> >You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
>> >you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
>> >profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
>>
>> oh, and what about your behaviour?? calling me gay, when I'm not.
>
> Sure you are. That you say you're not with the same mouth that
>proves that you are is ironic.

what proff
>
>> Claiming my father has AIDS when he doesn't.
>
> He doesn't? You're not an "Arrogant Idiotic Dependent Son"...?!?!
>
not what you said then

and not true eve it that is what you mean
> Looks like AIDS to me!

more lies
>
>> Claiming I'm violation FCC rules when I'm not.
>
> Sure you are. Thousands of posts "promoting" your "shows" by your
>own hand is proof enough.
>
>> Claiming my sites are illegal when they are not.
>
> You promote child pornogrophy. Most likely due to the fact that
>no English speaking woman over 9 with any self respect would want
>anything to do with you.

nope they don't as a matter of law

But we know you do not respect law

and you lie about the womaen of ilinois
>
>> You and other misfits on this newsgroup are just as bad so get over it,
>> INTERNET COP
>

> I'm not wearing any badge, BasementBoy.

so?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1929. Re: More Markie Mularkie, was: i wiosh i understoof why my asshole hubby does this shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:04:47 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <6a3fq1tj1nc1v21pfg9afcos0cnr2f5hgk@4ax.com>
Source record: 127705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 18 Dec 2005 08:17:48 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>>
>> > Forgiveme if I wish I could call you liar on that one
>>
>> Oh...WAIT a minute, Markie!
>>
>> I thought YOU were one of the guys who said that a "different
>> opinion" did NOT make one a "liar"...
>
>And you are one of the guys who says it does.

you can't hold stevie to his own words
>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, why do you edit headings and forge "raped an old friend?" Why?

becuase enjoys forgery he siad so
>
>bb

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1930. stil wating for stevie to answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:05:04 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <5u4fq1popgk1g7u3vp7t3104qpl95sn0hq@4ax.com>
Source record: 127706

On 15 Dec 2005 12:30:50 -0800, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> >From the butchered subject line of : "stevei si reminded that he can
>> stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of
>> conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins"
>>
>> > it is just that simple apologize for your forgeries and I'll stop
>>
>> Markie, let there be nooooooooooooooooooooooo mistake or
>> misunderstanding.
>>
>> I have absolutely nothing to apologize to you, Brain, or the rest
>> of the Feeble Five for...
>
>more stevie lies
>>
>> Each of you have been PROVEN to be liars and miscreants in your
>> own rights, and are as "guilty" of multiple USENET transgressions as
>> you accuse anyone else of.
>
>proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
>eveidence"
>>
>> Any questions?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1931. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:05:23 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <hv4fq1tcpqb51vap5fk2bb84n9vpeb9jic@4ax.com>
Source record: 127707

On 12 Dec 2005 18:46:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > it is just that simple apologize for your forgeries and I'll stop
>>
>> Steve can commit no sin of his own.
>
> Sure he can.

but he will never admit to them
>
>> Steve is the Son of Hiram, sent to RRAP to absolve the rest of us of
>> our sins, even "Original Sin."
>
> No...son of Douglas Bruce...
>
>> Original Sin: entrance into the Amateur Service without having passed
>> a Morse Code exam, i.e., No-Code Tech.
>
> Whelp, Brain...Once again you've overstepped your mouth again.

how?
>
> On occassions too numerous to count I've supported NCT's within
>the framework of what the NCT was envisioned as being...the codeless
>entrance to Amateur Radio

liar you have never supported the NCT's calling someone lazy is not
suport
>
> Any suggestion that I somehow "look down" on or otherwise assume
>some stature over any other class of Amateur Radio licensee is a
>blatant lie of the person uttering it.

not it is simple truthas you have prooven many times
>
> But considering Brain, why would we expect anything less?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1932. Re: Dear Santa give Woger a dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:11:56 UTC
From: Dr Who <whos_your_daddy@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <1135092885.559239@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
>
> What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> your monosyllable utterings?

The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!

1933. stvei sevdes somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:18:00 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <ruegq1524ab71vji5bnt5tp36eujn63117@4ax.com>
Source record: 127709

On 20 Dec 2005 03:46:31 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> after all steve wants to prevetn drinking and RRAPing clearly history
>> show the way to gangsters like Capone
>
> I am sure that this was supposed to mean something,
>but...WHAT...?!?!

try reading but that skill is beyond you
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1934. porjecting again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:20:03 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <nvegq1dicieddnn97sh80amnhrs91ib9a0@4ax.com>
Source record: 127710

On 20 Dec 2005 03:51:29 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
>> service why does he care?
>
> Because you're a bully, Markie, and I detest bullies.

defending oneself is bulling

no your are full of Bullshit

you are the bully

you are just geting back
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1935. stvei ebades his words some more he must be fraightened of them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:22:29 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <91fgq1h9tgg49vbntkbtfoigilsjprd4s4@4ax.com>
Source record: 127711

On 20 Dec 2005 03:52:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> likely never
>
> From Markie's subject line: Re: when will steive learn the
>meaning of the words he itries to use on here
>
> When will MARKIE actually LEARN how to SPELL the words he TRIES to

no time soon

the subject
>
>use "on here"...?!?!?!
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHA

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1936. stevei get ones right newsflash, then attack the disabled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:25:21 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <q2fgq1t9ccu5vaunj29qlcu148h02bnrb2@4ax.com>
Source record: 127712

On 20 Dec 2005 03:55:33 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> thinking RRAP would ever come up to Bill Oreialys radar screen
>
>> Re: delsuional behavoir
>
> "Delusional behaviour" would be expecting Markie to spell
>"delusional" correctly.

you got that right
and then true to form you attack the disabled
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1937. Re: Todd is as stupid as Lardass Lloyd Davies - n9oglloser.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:27:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <qkfgq1tgn33e6769i5jbf47i8vk51eaodh@4ax.com>
Source record: 127702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
> whinyslit_roger@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Todd wrote:
> >
> >>they say he out on work relese program after to many dui in w va. do
> >>they let you out thursday and fridays roger becaues that is when you
> >>post alot?
> >
> >
> > Toad gets it wrong again. Roger has no such records nor do they have
> > "work-release" dumbass.
>
> how would you know? you dont know what my freind who work for court know
> about roger. he see court records on roger and tell me some of what he
> allowed to tell. roger in big trouble is what it is about alcohol
> abuse. he an adict.
>
I lkie mens for sex now I like solid waste two.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1938. Re: Todd is as stupid as Lardass Lloyd Davies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:28:15 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do9ev1vfk1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127700

whinyslit_roger@yahoo.com wrote:
> Todd wrote:
>
>>they say he out on work relese program after to many dui in w va. do
>>they let you out thursday and fridays roger becaues that is when you
>>post alot?
>
>
> Toad gets it wrong again. Roger has no such records nor do they have
> "work-release" dumbass.

how would you know? you dont know what my freind who work for court know
about roger. he see court records on roger and tell me some of what he
allowed to tell. roger in big trouble is what it is about alcohol
abuse. he an adict.

1939. Re: Dear Santa give Woger a dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:30:01 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <do9f29vfk2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127701

Dr Who wrote:
> On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
>>
>>What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
>>your monosyllable utterings?
>
>
> The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!

ahahahahahahahahah. woger is such a DUMB BITCH!!!!

1940. Nien Ich werde auf detach schreiben

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:35:44 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <98fgq1h5s90fu5kmdn9mlrd5mgc15qo3oq@4ax.com>
Source record: 127717

On 20 Dec 2005 04:09:34 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Markie Morgan, KB9RQZ:
>> On 19 Dec 2005 19:33:37 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >N9OGL wrote:
>> >> no i'm not #### head
>> >
>> > Too true. The head of a #### has a useful purpsoe.
>>
>> forgeing an dlie at once
>
> What? Huh?
>
> E N G L I S H, Markie! We use E N G L I S H in this forum!
no such requirement
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1941. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:38:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GkXpf.4270$mj1.3016@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127703

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:XQVpf.4229$mj1.3511@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
>>> probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
>>> the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
>>> General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
>>> already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
>>> be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
>>> ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
>>> CSCE for the General written.
>>>
>>> My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
>>> haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
>>> How many current Techs ever operate at high power
>>> levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?
>>>
>>> I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
>>> curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
>>> personal insight or anecdotal information.
>>>
>>> Cheers and more to follow over time.
>> Judging from the number of Technician licensees running high power on both
>> 6m and 2m SSB, I'd say the number is nowhere close to zero. It is a small
>> fraction considering the number of Techs who never operate anything but 2m
>> FM through repeaters.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> I don't come across Techs here running high power SSB and I am in a major
> metropolitan area (one of the Detroit suburbs). I seldom come across them
> operating VHF/UHF SSB at all. However, I'm glad if you have significant
> numbers in your area.
>
> Just for grins and giggles, I took my log from the last VHF/UHF contest and
> checked all the call signs (I only worked about 50 stations). Most of them
> were Extras with a smattering of Advanced and Generals. There was ONE
> Technician and since he was local and rather weak, I doubt if he was running
> high power.

I didn't run across significant number of local Techs running high power
in the early 1980's and prior when I operated from Cincinnati either.
There were eight or ten though and they were all active in working

SSB/CW on the VHF/UHF bands. The fellows I work from here currently are scattered across the Midwest and along the Eastern Seaboard. You're right though, that most of the fellows running higher power are those with General, Advanced and Extra tickets.

High power isn't necessarily difficult to achieve at the typical VHF/UHF frequencies. An SB-200 or SB-220 is easily modified for a KW or so on 6m. The surplus FAA amps sold by Fair Radio are easily modified to deliver 300-350w or better on 2m and they are quite inexpensive. There are some solid state bricks capable of doing 300w or so output on 2m but they require a lot of power supply, adding significantly to the cost.

While things get a little tougher at 432 MHz, there are some used K2RIW-type amps available used and such designs are pretty easily constructed by anyone with a little skill.

I acquired used amps from WB8ZTV which will deliver 700 or so watts on 144 and 432 MHz for $500. I currently run about 300w output from a single 4CX250B on 6m but have a Heath HL-2200 (pair of 3-500Z bottles) ready for modification to 6m. That one will do a KW out easily with 100w of drive.

Dave K8MN
"Life's too short for QRP"

1942. stevei lies trying to cover his forgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:40:02 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <6bfgq1dfal0kokmumjll4kd4j6cmvfmork@4ax.com>
Source record: 127723

On 20 Dec 2005 04:13:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
>> >
>> > Why do you keep misattributing things others wrote to me, Markie?
>>
>> becuase I am not
>
> Sure you are.

no I am not
>
> Any 3rd grader can follow the attributes and know you're an idiot.

stevei you have forgered post and are certainly forgeing more than we
notice
>
>> it is your fault one or the other
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....
>
>> > Paranoid?
>>
>> meaning you are paranoid afterall since
>
> I am sure that was suppose to mean something...But WHAT..?!?!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1943. stevie leis about BB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:44:20 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <rcfgq15h78if1482v623rigvhnblmf4hrf@4ax.com>
Source record: 127729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 20 Dec 2005 04:16:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>> On 19 Dec 2005 19:45:24 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> Steve, why do you edit headings and forge "raped an old friend?" Why?
>> >
>> > Brain, why do you lie and deceive and use diminutive names in
>> >reference to persons who DON'T do it to you? WHY?
>>
>> he doesn't lie
>
> Sure he does.

never seen an example never seen any prove from you
>
>> and there is no coapsion betwen Stevie and rape
>
> There's no WHAT...?!?!

learn to read
>
> Mark Morgan is a functionally illiterate idiot.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1944. more stvei attacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:49:11 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <tefgq1h5t9bkof4s0h0cljfj53h3eu6dlm@4ax.com>
Source record: 127733

On 20 Dec 2005 06:38:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> Todd wrote:
>> > they say he out on work relese program after to many dui in w va. do
>> > they let you out thursday and fridays roger becaues that is when you
>> > post alot?
>
> Still just re-quoting others posts without comment, Markie...?!?!
>
if i choose
> Bored?

you know I don't recall if I was bored at that point or just engaing
in some more flodding
>
> Try using the "down time" between welfare checks to improve your
>English comprehension and typing skills, Markie.

show your sugestion
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1945. stevei alters another post more forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:54:02 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <rhfgq19t4vn1mit3s0sgdrflqlj67et9fi@4ax.com>
Source record: 127738

On 20 Dec 2005 03:27:55 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote yet another diatribe belittling others:
>> > > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 18 2005 11:01 am
>> >
>> > > >Can you cite specific things that are different in the two histories?
>> > >
>> > > Oh, YES, I can. But that takes time to write about 100,000
>> > > words or more. Write up a contract on that book and send
>> > > me an offer. I'll ask a minimum of $75,000 advance on the
>> > > compensation for my time and effort just to start.
>> >
>> > Oh? 100K words is hard for you?
>>
>> yea but i guess it isn't for you ###hole
>
> Now now, Markie...You know we're all laughing at you anyway...So
>why compound your issues by talking to yourself in public like that?

more forgeing

more of your effort to say what words can be used

you are just a neo NAZI, which is of course your right, but you can't
even be honest enough to fess up about it
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1946. Re: stevei lies even is is subjectRe: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 17:59:28 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <umfgq15v6ohenqjm3k0aclh50l49s8oru9@4ax.com>
Source record: 127743

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:30:02 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...
>>> And once again the rear-area radio mechanic war hero invokes the
>>> Tomb of Unknowns for his own gratification.
>>>
>>> Putz.
>>
>> nothing to do with me in the whole post
>
>That's correct, there was nothing to do with you. Perhaps you read the
>word "Putz" and assumed that Steve was writing about you.

nothing except the title dave "More Markie Mularkie" meaning when
stevie posted it he was lying which is obviusly ok with you
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1947. is dave realy that dumb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:04:54 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <uofgq1957d2cgku5cqvjs1afhkep4a42g0@4ax.com>
Source record: 127748

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:39:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
>> service why does he care?
>
>A much better question would be: Why don't you care?

becuase I don't think it is true
I belive it is his number and therefore perhaps he is getting some of
the unwanted attention he tries to inflict on others\

so is strcit answer to your question , becuase I don't believe it is
relfection of facts
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1948. General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:08:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qMXpf.573$R84.382@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog, but support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.

Bought EQF-logger, but after a few months of use I still haven't gotten used to the kluge-operator-interface, so I've written that one off.

Here's my list of MUST HAVE features:

An intuitive, non-rodent-centric operator interface, similar to the simplicity of Hyperlog or CT (Mouse OK for non-routine functions, but logging sequences must be touch-typist-hands-on-the-keyboard-no-need-to-watch-the-screen.)

Radio control integration

LoTW integration

Import from ADIF

Good support from the author

Any suggestions?

de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

1949. Re: when will steive learn the meaning of the words he itries to use on here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:10:57 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <srfgq1hmbjq07i1f6sqd217dac2n6rbt70@4ax.com>
Source record: 127750

On 20 Dec 2005 03:49:34 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> likely never
>
> From Markie's subject line: Re: when will steive learn the
>meaning of the words he itries to use on here
answer the question asshole
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1950. a tech answers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:31:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135103515.394394.98080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127713

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
> probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
> the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
> General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
> already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
> be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
> ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
> CSCE for the General written.
>
> My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
> haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
> How many current Techs ever operate at high power
> levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?

I run 300w (nominal) on 6m ssb myself the only occsion I have ever
operated at higherpower was a FD HF staion (someelse's)
>
> I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
> curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
> personal insight or anecdotal information.
>
> Cheers and more to follow over time.

why you curious?
>
> Bill K2UNK

1951. Re: why does Dave defile the "prince of peace"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:33:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135103605.424109.103230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127714

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > why do you try to use the word of god as a weapon of RRAP warfare...
>
> I have not done so.

yes you have
>
> > and yet claim he alone is entitled to invoke the devine?
>
> I've made no such claim.

yes you have

you have siad many time I can't say thank god or somesuch
>
> Why are you worried about a deity in which you have no belief?

why did you just lie?

>
> Dave K8MN

1952. Re: why can'tstevie be as usefull as broken clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:34:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135103655.237489.141930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127715

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > after all they are right twice a day
cuting all suff not relating to the title

look dave said nothing On topic again

1953. stil mor stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:35:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135103744.891397.269650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> > > > > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > well that isn't me....it's a fake
> > > > > > Todd N9OGL
> > > > > >
> > > > > This is you toad.
> > > >
> > > Markie, was there supposed to be some "text" in there, or are you
> > > just labelling anything you care to these days IRT me?
> > >
> > > Makes sense...Middle of the month...Welfare checks aren't due for
> > > two more weeks...Weather's setting in...Not much to do in Chassell, is
> > > there?
> >
> > Idle hands and mind came up with "raped an old friend."
> >
> > But still no explanation or apology for having done it.
>
> An explanation WAS made, and no apology is due.
lies some more so flsuh
```

1954. more heaping stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:36:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135103787.772731.288250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127718

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> > >
> > > Why do you keep misattributing things others wrote to me, Markie?
> >
> > becuase I am not
>
> Sure you are.
stvei shit all over the NG somemore

1955. even yet more stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:37:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135103826.083618.290510@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127719

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Markie Morgan, KB9RQZ:
stevei contiues the BS

1956. larer heaps ofs stveie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:38:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135103883.245067.320560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127720

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > why (Re: why stevei contiue the flamewar when he could stop it)
>
> Why, indeed. All those posts are YOURS, Markie...Not mine.

and you reufese to take the action in your power to stop it why?
>
> Seek help before it's forced upon you, Markie.

shove your threats
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1957. steveiu the censor shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:39:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135103945.889386.281050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127721

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Iitoi wrote:
cut
> But then THAT would require that you acknowledge that your
> paranoia is unjustly founded, and might be a severe shock to your
> already unstable mindset. But try it anyway, PaganBoy. You need the
> wake-up call.

trying to censor people again
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1958. yet more sticking heaps of stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:40:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135104001.854189.126730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> cut
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

1959. Re: I'd Call 315 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:41:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135104067.770164.304030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127724

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > k4yz did not write:
> > >
> > stevei foreghimself
> > > > On 8 Dec 2005 16:07:11 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut
> > > Markie, that you glean an "admission" from anything I've said in
> > > the last few days is pretty much an admission of your own that you have
> > > some problems with delusions.
> > >
> > nope stevie it is proof thatyou can dish it out but you can't take it
> >
> Take what, Markie?

you don't know
>
> Your recent break with reality and self control by spamming the NG
> with over 400 trash posts?

what brak you talking about
>
> Naaaaah...If YOU have the time and the inclination to sit around
> creating screen names with which to harass not only me, but RRAP users
> worldwide, then be my guest, Markie...

then stop whining about it
>
> It only substantiates my claims about you anyway, so thanks for
> the help! (Not that I needed it, but you DO help keep the ball
> rolling!)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1960. Re: Clearing Up Any Questions Markie Or The Feeble Five May Have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:41:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135104092.545955.9420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127725

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > >From the butchered subject line of : "stevei si reminded that he can
> > stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of
> > conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins"
> >
> > > it is just that simple apologize for your forgeries and I'll stop
> >
> > Markie, let there be nooooooooooooooooooooooo mistake or
> > misunderstanding.
> >
> > I have absolutely nothing to apologize to you, Brain, or the rest
> > of the Feeble Five for...
>
> more stevie lies
> >
> > Each of you have been PROVEN to be liars and miscreants in your
> > own rights, and are as "guilty" of multiple USENET transgressions as
> > you accuse anyone else of.
>
> proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
> eveidence"

No...Absolutley CLEAR evidence that you and your "colleagues" are
the liars and miscreants I have alleged.

Steve, K4YZ

1961. ever higher heap of sticking stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:42:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135104124.584590.307460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127726

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > to get he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > > rest of us
> >
> > frinldyl reminder
>
> What's a "frinldyl", Markie? Some ficticious alien species from
> "Star Trek"...?!?!
>
> And Markie...Neither you nor any others of the "Feeble Five" are
> in any position to "dictate terms"..

yes I am in position indeed only I can do so in this case.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1962. truth in title more heaping stinking stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:42:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135104177.742259.171590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127727

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote yet another diatribe belittling others:
> > > > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 18 2005 11:01 am
> > >
> > > > >Can you cite specific things that are different in the two histories?
> > > >
> > > > Oh, YES, I can. But that takes time to write about 100,000
> > > > words or more. Write up a contract on that book and send
> > > > me an offer. I'll ask a minimum of $75,000 advance on the
> > > > compensation for my time and effort just to start.
> > >
> > > Oh? 100K words is hard for you?
> >
> > yea but i guess it isn't for you ###hole
>
> Now now, Markie...You know we're all laughing at you anyway...So
> why compound your issues by talking to yourself in public like that?

more forgery more cnesohip more lie more shit
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1963. more heaping stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:43:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135104221.580690.175130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127728
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > DrDeath wrote:
> > > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > > news:do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > more thread killing
>
> You keep trying to censor others yet they keep right on ignoring
> you.
>
> I wonder why that is...?!?!

becuase you are a racist in part
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1964. stvei shit some more there are places for that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:46:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135104363.648451.322790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127730

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
cut
> > proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
> > eveidence"
>
> No...Absolutley CLEAR evidence that you and your "colleagues" are
> the liars and miscreants I have alleged.

where?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1965. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:47:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135104427.270844.31240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127731
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > DrDeath wrote:
> > > > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > > > news:do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > more thread killing
> >
> > You keep trying to censor others yet they keep right on ignoring
> > you.
> >
> > I wonder why that is...?!?!
>
> becuase you are a racist in part

You being ignored as a censor has nothing to do with ANYone being
a racist, Markie...

But it does have EVERYthing to do with you being a fool.

Steve, K4YZ

1966. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:49:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135104581.441626.177280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127732

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> cut
> > > proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
> > > eveidence"
> >
> > No...Absolutley CLEAR evidence that you and your "colleagues" are
> > the liars and miscreants I have alleged.
>
> where?

We can start withn YOUR "forged" use of e-mail aliases that don't belong to you, and follow it up with your "tag" line that includes false allegeations of abuse, etc, and phone numbers that don't belong to anyone in this forum.

That's just for CURRENT events.

Then we can go to your retasking of subject lines with profanities.

Steve, K4YZ

1967. yet more stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:50:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135104638.521121.181110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127734
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > DrDeath wrote:
> > > > > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > > > > news:do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > > more thread killing
> > > >
> > > You keep trying to censor others yet they keep right on ignoring
> > > you.
> > > >
> > > I wonder why that is...?!?!
> > >
> > becuase you are a racist in part
> >
> You being ignored as a censor has nothing to do with ANYone being
> a racist, Markie...

yes it does since what I am trying to kill off is a thread of rasist
material

that rasist posted it and a racist like yourself suports keeping it
alive has everything to do with it
>
> But it does have EVERYthing to do with you being a fool.

wrong agina
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1968. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:52:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135104754.303660.171590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127735

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > to get he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
> > > > rest of us
> > >
> > > frinldyl reminder
> >
> > What's a "frinldyl", Markie? Some ficticious alien species from
> > "Star Trek"...?!?!
> >
> > And Markie...Neither you nor any others of the "Feeble Five" are
> > in any position to "dictate terms"..
>
> yes I am in position indeed only I can do so in this case.

The ONLY "position" you are in, Markie, is to rein in your
misconduct, profanities, and spamming of the NG with your silliness.

I don't see that happening. As for terms...You're only kidding
yourself, PaganBoy.

Steve, K4YZ

1969. more stinkingheps of stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:53:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135104834.912028.26620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127736

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > cut
> > > > proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
> > > > eveidence"
> > >
> > > No...Absolutley CLEAR evidence that you and your "colleagues" are
> > > the liars and miscreants I have alleged.
> >
> > where?
>
> We can start withn YOUR "forged" use of e-mail aliases that don't
> belong to you, and follow it up with your "tag" line that includes
> false allegeations of abuse, etc, and phone numbers that don't belong
> to anyone in this forum.

no false alegation about you

you do falsely accuse people of stuff

and the number listed belongs to YOU according the Phone Company
>
> That's just for CURRENT events.
>
> Then we can go to your retasking of subject lines with
> profanities.

whci is not ilegal or imoral

it is simply being honest about the subject
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1970. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:54:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135104876.950374.195170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127737
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > DrDeath wrote:
> > > > > > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > > > more thread killing
> > > >
> > > > You keep trying to censor others yet they keep right on ignoring
> > > > you.
> > > >
> > > > I wonder why that is...?!?!
> > >
> > > becuase you are a racist in part
> >
> > You being ignored as a censor has nothing to do with ANYone being
> > a racist, Markie...
>
> yes it does since what I am trying to kill off is a thread of rasist
> material
>
> that rasist posted it and a racist like yourself suports keeping it
> alive has everything to do with it
> >
> > But it does have EVERYthing to do with you being a fool.
>
> wrong agina

"wrong agina".....

BBBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

YES, MARKIE, YOU >>>ARE<<< A FOOL!

Thanks for proving it...AGAIN!

Steve, K4YZ

1971. more stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:58:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135105081.797772.40940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127739

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
cut
> > yes I am in position indeed only I can do so in this case.
>
> The ONLY "position" you are in, Markie, is to rein in your
> misconduct, profanities, and spamming of the NG with your silliness.

no miscond (after all you said you did nothing wrong in pisting that i
was kb9eqz and that only kb9eqz could complain so I may by your words
useyourwifes cal till SHE objects in her own voice) no spaming and I'll
use profaniy when I choose
>
> I don't see that happening. As for terms...You're only kidding
> yourself, PaganBoy.

nah you are kidding yourself
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1972. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:58:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135105083.698095.24670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127740

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > > proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
> > > > > > eveidence"
> > > > >
> > > > > No...Absolutley CLEAR evidence that you and your "colleagues" are
> > > > > the liars and miscreants I have alleged.
> > >
> > > where?
> >
> > We can start withn YOUR "forged" use of e-mail aliases that don't
> > belong to you, and follow it up with your "tag" line that includes
> > false allegeations of abuse, etc, and phone numbers that don't belong
> > to anyone in this forum.
>
> no false alegation about you

Sure it is.

> you do falsely accuse people of stuff

Not so far.

> and the number listed belongs to YOU according the Phone Company

Nope. It doesn't. It hasn't "belonged" to me for a year.

BTW, Markie...Do you know the name of the local "Phone
Company"...?!?!

> > That's just for CURRENT events.
> >
> > Then we can go to your retasking of subject lines with
> > profanities.
>
> whci is not ilegal or imoral
>
> it is simply being honest about the subject

No...it's being profane...Which is to say childish and
irresponsible.

But then we ARE talking about YOU!

Steve, K4YZ

1973. stvei contiues to spread and aid rasist shit in being spread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 18:59:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135105162.280236.230030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127741
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > DrDeath wrote:
> > > > > > > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > > > > > more thread killing
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You keep trying to censor others yet they keep right on ignoring
> > > > > > you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I wonder why that is...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > becuase you are a racist in part
> > > >
> > > > You being ignored as a censor has nothing to do with ANYone being
> > > > a racist, Markie...
> > >
> > > yes it does since what I am trying to kill off is a thread of rasist
> > > material

can't aswer thw point racist shit
> >
> > that rasist posted it and a racist like yourself suports keeping it
> > alive has everything to do with it
> > >
> > > But it does have EVERYthing to do with you being a fool.
> >
> > wrong agina
>
> "wrong agina".....
cuting stvei whing

1974. well I am off for bit a few more autopost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:00:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135105214.951294.234960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127742

caughtup on email and I need to take my kitten to vet for some more
shot so TTFN

1975. http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:00:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <75lgq1ll95rhhsg4ua5kku7p5gmrede12t@4ax.com>
Source record: 127747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

http://niggermania.com/

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1976. stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:01:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135103698.593198.143230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127744

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > w5amy@arrl.net didn't write, but Mark Morgan foreged an e-mail address
> > > of...:
> > cut
>
> Don't like having your deceit exposed, eh, Markie...?!?!
lie so flsh

1977. more stevei shit filled lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:02:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135105362.700483.226650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127745

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > cut
> > > > > > proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
> > > > > > eveidence"
> > > > >
> > > > > No...Absolutley CLEAR evidence that you and your "colleagues" are
> > > > > the liars and miscreants I have alleged.
> > > >
> > > > where?
> > >
> > > We can start withn YOUR "forged" use of e-mail aliases that don't
> > > belong to you, and follow it up with your "tag" line that includes
> > > false allegeations of abuse, etc, and phone numbers that don't belong
> > > to anyone in this forum.
> >
> > no false alegation about you
>
> Sure it is.

not a word false
>
> > you do falsely accuse people of stuff
>
> Not so far.

yes you have mde false accsution of childabuse elder abuse pedophilia
homesexuality and hive status
>
> > and the number listed belongs to YOU according the Phone Company
>
> Nope. It doesn't. It hasn't "belonged" to me for a year.

not whta they said
>
> BTW, Markie...Do you know the name of the local "Phone
> Company"...?!?!

nope and I don't care
>
> > > That's just for CURRENT events.
> > >
> > > Then we can go to your retasking of subject lines with
> > > profanities.
> >
> > whci is not ilegal or imoral
> >
> > it is simply being honest about the subject
>
> No...it's being profane..

so deal with it

idealy by growing up

>.Which is to say childish and
> irresponsible.

IYO

not mine

>
> But then we ARE talking about YOU!

nope wrong again
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1978. I'd Call 513 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard as of 20 December 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:02:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135105378.903894.210710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127746

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > k4yz did not write:
> > >
> > > stevei foreghimself
> > > > > On 8 Dec 2005 16:07:11 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> cut
> > > > Markie, that you glean an "admission" from anything I've said in
> > > > the last few days is pretty much an admission of your own that you have
> > > > some problems with delusions.
> > >
> > > nope stevie it is proof thatyou can dish it out but you can't take it
> >
> > Take what, Markie?
>
> you don't know

Obviously you don't either.

> > Your recent break with reality and self control by spamming the NG
> > with over 400 trash posts?
>
> what brak you talking about

I wasn't talking about a "brak'.

I was talking about YOUR >>>BREAK<<< with reality.

> > Naaaaah...If YOU have the time and the inclination to sit around
> > creating screen names with which to harass not only me, but RRAP users
> > worldwide, then be my guest, Markie...
>
> then stop whining about it

I'm not whining about anything, Markie.

I'm pointing out that no one here finds anything funny or
constructive in your exploits.

I'm pointing out that everyone, including your Feeble Five
bretheren, are laughing at you.

> > It only substantiates my claims about you anyway, so thanks for
> > the help! (Not that I needed it, but you DO help keep the ball
> > rolling!)

Again...

Steve, K4YZ

1979. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:08:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135105726.635999.231010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127749

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > > We can start withn YOUR "forged" use of e-mail aliases that don't
> > > > belong to you, and follow it up with your "tag" line that includes
> > > > false allegeations of abuse, etc, and phone numbers that don't belong
> > > > to anyone in this forum.
> > >
> > > no false alegation about you
> >
> > Sure it is.
>
> not a word false

Absolutley false...The phone number being the least of them.

> > > you do falsely accuse people of stuff
> >
> > Not so far.
>
> yes you have mde false accsution of childabuse elder abuse pedophilia
> homesexuality and hive status

"made" "accusation" "child abuse" "homosexuality"

And "hive" status...?!?!

I claimed you were a bee???

> > > and the number listed belongs to YOU according the Phone Company
> >
> > Nope. It doesn't. It hasn't "belonged" to me for a year.
>
> not whta they said

Lies, lies, Markie loves his lies.

Dial the number, PutzBoy, and see what you get!

> > BTW, Markie...Do you know the name of the local "Phone
> > Company"...?!?!
>
> nope and I don't care

You don't know because you never called.

You don't know because you're making up stories as you go.

> > > > That's just for CURRENT events.
> > > >
> > > > Then we can go to your retasking of subject lines with
> > > > profanities.
> > > >
> > > whci is not ilegal or imoral
> > > >
> > > it is simply being honest about the subject
> > >
> > No...it's being profane..
> >
> so deal with it

I am dealing with it.

By feeding your behaviour back to you.

> idealy by growing up

I'm already there.

YOU have a ways to go.

> >.Which is to say childish and
> > irresponsible.
> >
> IYO
> >
> not mine

And everyone else who's cared to render an opinion.

> > But then we ARE talking about YOU!
> >
> nope wrong again

Uhhhhh...Yes, Markie...we ARE talking about YOU!

Steve, K4YZ

1980. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:22:22 UTC
From: SMØIMJ <sm0imj@telia.com>
Message-ID: <ORYpf.152661$dP1.510251@newsc.telia.net>
Source record: 127751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hi Hans,
You should most certainly look at EasyLog (www.easylog.com).
I have used it for a number of years and also tested other logging
softwares, but always turned back to EasyLog.
Take a look at it and feel free to contact med with questions.

73' Hans - SMï¿½IMJ

Kï¿½HB wrote:

>My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog, but
>support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
>
>Bought EQF-logger, but after a few months of use I still haven't gotten used to
>the kluge-operator-interface, so I've written that one off.
>
>Here's my list of MUST HAVE features:
>
> An intuitive, non-rodent-centric operator interface, similar to the
>simplicity of Hyperlog or CT (Mouse OK for non-routine functions, but logging
>sequences must be
>touch-typist-hands-on-the-keyboard-no-need-to-watch-the-screen.)
>
> Radio control integration
>
> LoTW integration
>
> Import from ADIF
>
> Good support from the author
>
>Any suggestions?
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>--
>
>
>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

>
>

1981. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:23:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ebqdnf_B6LF6xzXenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127752

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:GkXpf.4270$mj1.3016@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:XQVpf.4229$mj1.3511@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> Bill Sohl wrote:

[snip]

>> Just for grins and giggles, I took my log from the last VHF/UHF contest
>> and checked all the call signs (I only worked about 50 stations). Most
>> of them were Extras with a smattering of Advanced and Generals. There
>> was ONE Technician and since he was local and rather weak, I doubt if he
>> was running high power.
>
> I didn't run across significant number of local Techs running high power
> in the early 1980's and prior when I operated from Cincinnati either.
> There were eight or ten though and they were all active in working SSB/CW
> on the VHF/UHF bands. The fellows I work from here currently are
> scattered across the Midwest and along the Eastern Seaboard. You're right
> though, that most of the fellows running higher power are those with
> General, Advanced and Extra tickets.
>

Well I don't know if any of the operators I worked were running high power
or not. All that I could determine was their license. I only ran 100watts
but did pick up some quite distant stations (including WV) and they had no
trouble hearing me. What I was attempting to point out was the scarcity of
Techs on SSB & CW VHF/UHF.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1982. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:27:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JJidnQRvnt1XxjXenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qMXpf.573$R84.382@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog,
> but support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
>
> Bought EQF-logger, but after a few months of use I still haven't gotten
> used to the kluge-operator-interface, so I've written that one off.
>
> Here's my list of MUST HAVE features:
>
> An intuitive, non-rodent-centric operator interface, similar to the
> simplicity of Hyperlog or CT (Mouse OK for non-routine functions, but
> logging sequences must be
> touch-typist-hands-on-the-keyboard-no-need-to-watch-the-screen.)
>
> Radio control integration
>
> LoTW integration
>
> Import from ADIF
>
> Good support from the author
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

Have you tried N1MM? Though I don't know how well it works for large databases and I've only used it for contests although it can do general logging.

Some people speak highly of the DXLab suite. I haven't tried it myself though.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1983. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:31:22 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <Hambone@dxnutshambone.com>
Message-ID: <do9m55arc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

K1MAN is a great man, and his broadcasts are in full compliance
with the law. All of radio land should be grateful to Mr Baxter
for standing up to and fighting the corrupt and illegal activities of
the FCC & ARRL.

Dr Hambone

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qMXpf.573$R84.382@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog,
> but support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
>
> Bought EQF-logger, but after a few months of use I still haven't gotten
> used to the kluge-operator-interface, so I've written that one off.
>
> Here's my list of MUST HAVE features:
>
> An intuitive, non-rodent-centric operator interface, similar to the
> simplicity of Hyperlog or CT (Mouse OK for non-routine functions, but
> logging sequences must be
> touch-typist-hands-on-the-keyboard-no-need-to-watch-the-screen.)
>
> Radio control integration
>
> LoTW integration
>
> Import from ADIF
>
> Good support from the author
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>

1984. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:46:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135107988.588191.76590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127755
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > DrDeath wrote:
> > > > > > > > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:do3icl$s0$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > > > > > > more thread killing
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You keep trying to censor others yet they keep right on ignoring
> > > > > > > you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I wonder why that is...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > becuase you are a racist in part
> > > > >
> > > > You being ignored as a censor has nothing to do with ANYone being
> > > > a racist, Markie...
> > > >
> > > yes it does since what I am trying to kill off is a thread of rasist
> > > material
> >
> can't aswer thw point racist ####

Yes, Markie...You're a racist. We know that.

> > > that rasist posted it and a racist like yourself suports keeping it
> > > alive has everything to do with it
> > > >
> > > But it does have EVERYthing to do with you being a fool.
> > >
> > > wrong agina
> >
> > "wrong agina".....
> >
> cuting stvei whing

It's not "whining", Markie...

It's out-and-out laughing my butt off at you!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!

Steve, K4YZ

1985. asked an answered dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:47:51 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <fsfgq195qn55k3civvd803f1df1jr5tm2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 127761

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:40:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> likely never
>
>You make this too easy. When will you learn the spelling of the words
>you try to use here?

never but Stvei the spelling cop is subject

but your lack of reading skill prevented you from seeing that
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1986. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 19:47:59 UTC
From: John Higdon <engineering@kome.com>
Message-ID: <engineering-2239F0.11475920122005@equine.announcetech.com>
Source record: 127756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

In article <do9m55arc0@pita.alt.net>,
"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <Hambone@dxnutshambone.com> wrote:

> K1MAN is a great man, and his broadcasts are in full compliance
> with the law. All of radio land should be grateful to Mr Baxter
> for standing up to and fighting the corrupt and illegal activities of
> the FCC & ARRL.

How did ba.broadcast get in the middle of this?

--
John Higdon | Email Address Valid
+1 408 266 4400 | Sana Zay, CA

1987. stvei denies I have control over my own posting what an asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:00:33 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <thogq1tobt7udrtn38n67utsatat7ug0ut@4ax.com>
Source record: 127769

On 20 Dec 2005 10:52:34 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > to get he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
>> > > > rest of us
>> > >
>> > > frinldyl reminder
>> >
>> > What's a "frinldyl", Markie? Some ficticious alien species from
>> > "Star Trek"...?!?!
>> >
>> > And Markie...Neither you nor any others of the "Feeble Five" are
>> > in any position to "dictate terms"..
>>
>> yes I am in position indeed only I can do so in this case.
>
> The ONLY "position" you are in, Markie, is to rein in your
>misconduct, profanities, and spamming of the NG with your silliness.

no misconduct no spaming and profanities is quite legal
>
> I don't see that happening. As for terms...You're only kidding
>yourself, PaganBoy.

I am the only one able to dictate terms
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1988. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:01:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135108878.850172.273550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127757

w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, a known liar and
thief:
> On 19 Dec 2005 19:41:32 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >N9OGL wrote:
> >> >You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
> >> >you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
> >> >profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
> >>
> >> oh, and what about your behaviour?? calling me gay, when I'm not.
> >
> > Sure you are. That you say you're not with the same mouth that
> >proves that you are is ironic.
>
> what proff

What's a "proff", Markie?

> >> Claiming my father has AIDS when he doesn't.
> >
> > He doesn't? You're not an "Arrogant Idiotic Dependent Son"...?!?!
> >
> not what you said then
>
> and not true eve it that is what you mean

Well Markie, YOU are the one who says it's OK to change the
meaning of accronyms, words, phrases, etc, without establishing mutual
understanding with your intended audience.

YOU have sid that it's everyone ELSES "problem" if they don't
understand YOUR "use" of any given word, even when it IS within
establsihed context of a subject, IE the word "drafted" as it applies
to military service...

Need us to research and re-post your own words in THAT fiasco,
PaganBoy?

> > You promote child pornogrophy. Most likely due to the fact that
> >no English speaking woman over 9 with any self respect would want
> >anything to do with you.
>
> nope they don't as a matter of law

Nope...they don't as a matter of self respect.

> But we know you do not respect law

Sure I do.

> and you lie about the womaen of ilinois

About the WHO of WHERE...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1989. yep more heaps of stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:02:37 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <akogq1dagheoilupe9l6vs8j6qiovfse1s@4ax.com>
Source record: 127770

On 20 Dec 2005 10:49:41 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> cut
>> > > proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
>> > > eveidence"
>> >
>> > No...Absolutley CLEAR evidence that you and your "colleagues" are
>> > the liars and miscreants I have alleged.
>>
>> where?
>
> We can start withn YOUR "forged" use of e-mail aliases that don't
>belong to you, and follow it up with your "tag" line that includes
>false allegeations of abuse, etc, and phone numbers that don't belong
>to anyone in this forum.

you use flase address that don't belong to you

you do make false charges of abuse
>
> That's just for CURRENT events.
>
> Then we can go to your retasking of subject lines with
>profanities.

so what
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1990. stvei denies making rapaed an firedn post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:05:03 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <qlogq1pllpj7r180rmqjfhit8gteqfbd3l@4ax.com>
Source record: 127771

On 20 Dec 2005 10:58:03 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > cut
>> > > > > > proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
>> > > > > eveidence"
>> > > >
>> > > > No...Absolutley CLEAR evidence that you and your "colleagues" are
>> > > > the liars and miscreants I have alleged.
>> > >
>> > > where?
>> >
>> > We can start withn YOUR "forged" use of e-mail aliases that don't
>> > belong to you, and follow it up with your "tag" line that includes
>> > false allegeations of abuse, etc, and phone numbers that don't belong
>> > to anyone in this forum.
>>
>> no false alegation about you
>
> Sure it is.
>
nope you made it asshole
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1991. stvei lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:07:54 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <vmogq1ljkbhdnlem36788q7nr9pr1s6urj@4ax.com>
Source record: 127772

On 20 Dec 2005 12:01:18 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, a known liar and
>thief:
>> On 19 Dec 2005 19:41:32 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >N9OGL wrote:
>> >> >You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
>> >> >you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
>> >> >profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
>> >>
>> >> oh, and what about your behaviour?? calling me gay, when I'm not.
>> >
>> > Sure you are. That you say you're not with the same mouth that
>> >proves that you are is ironic.
>>
>> what proff
>
> What's a "proff", Markie?
>
>> >> Claiming my father has AIDS when he doesn't.
>> >
>> > He doesn't? You're not an "Arrogant Idiotic Dependent Son"...?!?!
>> >
>> not what you said then
>>
>> and not true eve it that is what you mean
>
> Well Markie, YOU are the one who says it's OK to change the
>meaning of accronyms, words, phrases, etc, without establishing mutual
>understanding with your intended audience.
never said that

I said i don't have to use the meanings you choose for me that I can
use words outside the meaning you want to restrict them too
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398

931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1992. stvei still refuses to answer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:11:12 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <poogq15r8glf79r3bgr99e882lcgs8u5dr@4ax.com>
Source record: 127775

On 20 Dec 2005 10:41:32 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >
>> > >From the butchered subject line of : "stevei si reminded that he can
>> > stop this abuse by agreeing to to follow the comon accepted rules of
>> > conduct here on usenet and apoligize for his past sins"
>> >
>> > > it is just that simple apologize for your forgeries and I'll stop
>> >
>> > Markie, let there be nooooooooooooooooooooooo mistake or
>> > misunderstanding.
>> >
>> > I have absolutely nothing to apologize to you, Brain, or the rest
>> > of the Feeble Five for...
>>
>> more stevie lies
>> >
>> > Each of you have been PROVEN to be liars and miscreants in your
>> > own rights, and are as "guilty" of multiple USENET transgressions as
>> > you accuse anyone else of.
>>
>> proven how you don't even understand the concepts of "proof" or
>> eveidence"
>
> No...Absolutley CLEAR evidence that you and your "colleagues" are
>the liars and miscreants I have alleged.

where?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1993. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:11:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BzZpf.6666$Tg2.5492@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127758

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> What I was attempting to point out was the scarcity of Techs on SSB & CW
> VHF/UHF.

Must be a Michigan thing.

Northern Lights Radio Society, a Minnesota VHF/UHF contest group, has no scarcity of Techs on the roster or in on-the-air activities, predominantly SSB/CW contesting on VHF/UHF

1994. Re: why is the cartoon charater obsesed with poart potties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:14:30 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <fqogq1pj0ti65mmjg3ktsute2cn3bot6uq@4ax.com>
Source record: 127779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 20 Dec 2005 07:47:38 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>You definatrely are, aids-ridden child abuser Marky Morgan.

more form one stvei freind or is it stevie

who can keep it straight

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1995. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:15:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C_adnWQnp7WK-jXenZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127759

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:BzZpf.6666$Tg2.5492@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> What I was attempting to point out was the scarcity of Techs on SSB & CW
>> VHF/UHF.
>
> Must be a Michigan thing.
>
> Northern Lights Radio Society, a Minnesota VHF/UHF contest group, has no
> scarcity of Techs on the roster or in on-the-air activities, predominantly
> SSB/CW contesting on VHF/UHF
>

Could very well be. There are plenty of Techs in our club too. However their main interest seems to be community service (supporting walkathons, etc) so perhaps it just regional differences in activity preferences. The club I belong to is very heavy into these community activities and we usually have no trouble getting enough volunteers. Though one time there were three activities on the same day so we were a little thin that time.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1996. Re: I'd Call 315 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:22:38 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <trogq1httjlve6sq3e18hqkf5laflrd958@4ax.com>
Source record: 127790

On 20 Dec 2005 03:41:39 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > k4yz did not write:
>>
>> stevei foreghimself
>> > > On 8 Dec 2005 16:07:11 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >K4YZ wrote:
>> > > >> Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
>> > > >> distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Let's hope he get's his check soon and get's out of the house for
>> > > >> a while!
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Steve, K4YZ
>> > > >
>> > > >You define internet stalking as 130 new threads?
>> > >
>> > > like I have said before in making these posts stevie more or less
>> > > admitts to the charges made over the years against him
>> >
>> > Markie, that you glean an "admission" from anything I've said in
>> > the last few days is pretty much an admission of your own that you have
>> > some problems with delusions.
>>
>> nope stevie it is proof thatyou can dish it out but you can't take it
>
> Take what, Markie?
>
your own medicne
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1997. mpre stevie shit filed forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:26:56 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <esogq1ln3gi9fb4k67kpduotv1fikcbi29@4ax.com>
Source record: 127793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 06:47:49 -0500, an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org >
wrote:

>http://www.scatmen.com/graphics/scatmen03_1x1.jp
>
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1998. K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:30:57 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135110657.254064.56780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)

by

Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
(written in the third person)

I have just finished word for word reading of The Life of Riley, including all footnotes, dated March, 2005. There are various and very serious defects in this otherwise very informative and basically correct document. Riley and ND8V need to go, but Karol feels that K1MAN will go instead. No so. K1MAN is too smart for Riley, ND8V, K3VR, AND Karol. K1MAN's weapon is the U.S. Constitution. For K1MAN this is child's play.

FIRST

The most serious fault regards the concept of "frequency ownership." The problem with Karol is that the Polish calling frequency on 14.273 MHz. came into conflict with K1MAN and IARN on 14.275 MHz. beginning in 1985 with IARN emergency operations and then in 1987 with K1MAN Amateur Information Bulletins. One main purpose of the information bulletins was to "establish" 14.275 MHz. as an emergency frequency so the contesters would not wipe out IARN emergency operations due to lack of knowledge. The Polish calling frequency on 14.273 MHz. is too close, and the logical thing to do would be to move to 14.272 MHz. or ask IARN (and the Bermuda Net, of course) to move to 14.276 MHz. Another idea would be to move the Polish calling frequency to 14.272.5 MHz. and ask IARN (and the Bermuda Net, of course) to move to 14.275.5 MHz.

I do remember some discussions with representatives from the Polish group, and their position seemed to be "We will not move, you move..." or something to that effect.

Now, the FCC rule of using the spectrum most efficiently kicks in. If IARN moved to 14.276 MHz., there would still be a problem with the Bermuda Net who opted to have K1MAN's license revoked with the eager assistance of ARRL instead of moving themselves or working out a mutually acceptable schedule. Etc. K1MAN has always tried to negotiate in good faith in order to be within the FCC rule of cooperating with respect to selection of frequency. It is hard for a bona fide bulletin station or a bona fide emergency organization with substantial track record (such as IARN) to come up short in such negotiations.

The smart thing for the Polish to do would be to slide down to 14.272 MHz. When K1MAN took two years off (to teach) from 14.725 MHz., ND8V and associates jumped from the "zoo" on 13.313 MHz. onto the 12 year K1MAN established 14.275 MHz., and the turf war began. Sorry, but ND8V was right. 14.273 MHz. IS too close to the more heavily occupied 14.275 MHz. (by more than one group). The Polish were outnumbered, and common sense still called for the Polish to slide down.

Recently, Karol tried to slide K1MAN to 14.274 MHz., just occupied nearby by ND8V on 14.273 MHz. ND8V was wrong to be on 14.273 MHz. (just as the Polish are wrong to be on 14.273 MHz.), and K1MAN would be wrong to slide to 14.274 MHz. Two wrongs don't make a right, and Karol should realize that such poor practive by K1MAN could easily be translated (in the current K1MAN/FCC legal environment) to criminal proseedings against K1MAN by "Rot Riley" Hollingsworth, FCC Special Counsel. Was Karol also sick on the day they taught law at law school?

The "Life of Riley" asks Hollingsworth to get into a juvenile frequency dispute turf battle which "Rot Riley" played to the hilt with his campaign against K1MAN (with IARN, AARA, and information bulletins barley mentioned at all by Karol - what's with that?). Sure, Riley is corrupt, and sure, ND8V is "enabled" by Riley to break the law, Mike's reward for his cooperation to nab K1MAN. Same deal was implemented with KV4FZ. Then KV4FZ was dumped into the fire (with WB2OTK and K1MAN, almost!) just as ND8FZ will be dumped into the fire with K1MAN is Riley has his way; he won't.

Karol mixes his issues and the net result is his Polish friends are involved with something that could have been avoided years ago by sliding down to 14.272 MHz. where his war with bigot ND8V could be easily won, hands down.

SECOND

Karol is very "anti American," it seems. He has stated to me that he does not care about the U.S. Constitution, something I would gladly die to protect. (Blood is thicker than water!) Bad image for Karol if he want to constructively and therefore effectively criticise the U.S. We can take it; we need it actually! Also, ethnic slurs against "Red Necks" and "Stupid Land" and how dumb ALL Americans are is not too smart as far as amateur radio public relations goes, but Canadians are not too smart, are they? Hi! See what I mean? That stung didn't it?. Such generalizations are taken quite seriously by many if not most. How about "All Canadians are stupid" or "All Pollak's are stupid." Ouch! See what I mean?

THIRD

Personal attacks (weight, mental problems, intelligence, etc.) against anyone is always a sign that you are losing your fight. Not a winning strategy, in my view.

FOURTH

Karol needs to define his goal or goals. These are quite unclear to me. Two good goals would be to move the Polish to 14.272 MHz. and not be harassed there plus to constructively criticise America; we welcome a Devil's advocate. I welcome it anyway. If Karol wants to "nix" the U.S., he will lose. We have the aircraft carriers, remember? Sam and John Adams and company set up a pretty powerful group down here in Amarica, and we are not done yet!

FIFTH

Karol should reign in his "potty mouth" on amateur radio. It makes him, his military college, Poland, and Canada look very bad. His childish references to "sucking" or otherwise "doing" Riley in the bath house are illegal here in the U.S. and that would be more than enough for Riley to issue a Notice of Forfeiture and win big, big, big against K1MAN if Glenn did likewise. Make no mistake, I say that Riley is a cheap and corrupt polition playing ND8V like a grand piano, and what he does in the "bath house" is not relevant, of course.

This is America. Anything goes. Market forces sort it all out. The current market forces dictate that potty mouth on amateur radio and national television is out. Even Janet Jackson's bare boob is out. The ancient Egyptians flaunted their boobs all the time. It is great to be a king, isn't it? Hi, of course.

ND8V and K3VR were dealt on air mortal blows om 16 and 17 December 2005. The pen is mightier than the sword!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Both can recover if they are smart. I still have hopes for ND8V. I am afraid that K3VR and Riley are toast, however. They are going down.

FINALLY

Karol can do better and fix things by acting better in public. His intellect and wit are awesome. Clean it up, Karol, define your goals, and we can make one hell of a difference in both amateur radio and in the lives of all of our listeners. The latter (and the new talk show) has the very real possibility of becoming huge and blowing both the FCC (rather Riley and his FCC support), K3VR, ARRL (and ND8V) right out of the water. Carpe diem!

Trying to set me up for a weapons conflict with the government here at K1MAN might be aimed at illustrating your anti gun Canadian view, however, our Constitution prohibits advocating the overthrow of our government by force. Not very smart, Karol! Also, this plays right into the hands of Brian, the fraudulent Crow.

I have all the tools I need right in our Constitution to beat those punks, hands down. We Americans are not as stupid as you suggest. You are not as smart as you think. I am playing at Level I, Riley is at Level II, Brian is at Level III, and you are at a distant Level IV. If you really think I am "going down," as you say, then you are at Level V or posibly as low as Level XX.

I think you are all wound up with anti American sentiments and it is clouding your judgment. Come down and sit in my chair for a day and see just how smart you really are! You would go down, and hard, here on our U.S. playing field, and fast. Yes, you have "free" health care but no aircraft carriers. Your kids and adults are not in Iraq. Good for you. We will gladly let you switch roles with us, old pal from the North! We don't plan to let punks like Hitler and Stalin (or Saddam, or anyone else) gang rape our mothers!

Don't like America? Just wait and let us hang ourselves if you really think we are THAT stupid. When we run out of fossil fuel we will all freeze anyway. eh? Except those in "stupid land!"

73 and GL de K1MAN

1999. more foregries from stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:31:49 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <quogq1t5qnqp35gk2cnd77rtjf42nru50p@4ax.com>
Source record: 127795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 10:30:59 -0500, an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org >
wrote:

>"Torvald" <TorJohnson@cableone.net> wrote:
>> dk wrote:
>> > Your off topic comments about all the radios you have, politics, movies
>> > you watch, ladies that live next to you, etc, are really detractiing
>> > from the usefuleness of this list. Please, please, take your comments
>> > elsewhere - I'm sure that there is some group that would be more
>> > appropriate for these types of comments - Maybe try some yahoo group or
>> > someting, I don't know, but it doesn't belong here on
>> > rec.radio.shortwave.
>> >
>> > I would welcome other comments on this issue!
>>
>> You leave our Cuhulin alone or I'll drive down from Brimson to Superior
>> and kick your ass personally!
>>
>will you lets ne lick your ass?
>>
>> That would make for a good christmas present!
>>
>I love solid wastee to now butt ts news too me
>>
>> Ya sure you betcha'
>>
>> Torvald Johnson
>> Brimson, Minnesota
>>
>ever cum to michagan?
>
>Mark Morgan
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2000. still more stevie forgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:36:41 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <cvogq19dg3cl3mte5uakih5q0ck15ps1q7@4ax.com>
Source record: 127797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 10:32:52 -0500, an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org >
wrote:

>Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>> On 19 Dec 2005 19:46:25 -0800, Les Locklear <TorJohnson@cableone.net>
>> wrote:
>> >
>> > dk wrote:
>> > > Your off topic comments about all the radios you have, politics,
>> > > movies you watch, ladies that live next to you, etc, are really
>> > > detractiing from the usefuleness of this list. Please, please, take
>> > > your comments elsewhere - I'm sure that there is some group that
>> > > would be more appropriate for these types of comments - Maybe try
>> > > some yahoo group or someting, I don't know, but it doesn't belong
>> > > here on rec.radio.shortwave.
>> > >
>> > > I would welcome other comments on this issue!
>> >
>> > You leave our Cuhulin alone or I'll drive down from Brimson to Superior
>> > and kick your ass personally!
>> >
>> > That would make for a good christmas present!
>> >
>> > Ya sure you betcha'
>> >
>> > Torvald Johnson
>> > Brimson, Minnesota
>>
>> Hi there, Les! Still posting from a Long Beach, MS IP address I see.
>>
>Are you bi to i like solids wastee now.
>
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2001. yet more steve forgeris

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:42:09 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <qvogq19405g63c5rlumnr4ev2111jvif7j@4ax.com>
Source record: 127799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 10:32:53 -0500, an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org >
wrote:

>----------
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2002. Re: stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:47:35 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <d0pgq1tk70j9b3blg291hhuctc1rgfqks8@4ax.com>
Source record: 127801

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:36:20 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> stevie geberated shit that is this is
>
>Waxing poetic again, Colonel?

yes
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2003. stvei trying tohide his shit behind another nick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:47:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135111676.138073.176610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127762

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > We can start withn YOUR "forged" use of e-mail aliases that don't
> > > > > belong to you, and follow it up with your "tag" line that includes
> > > > > false allegeations of abuse, etc, and phone numbers that don't belong
> > > > > to anyone in this forum.
> > > >
> > > > no false alegation about you
> > >
> > > Sure it is.
> >
> > not a word false
> >
> Absolutley false...The phone number being the least of them.

not a flase word in tit
>
> > > > you do falsely accuse people of stuff
> > >
> > > Not so far.
> >
> > yes you have mde false accsution of childabuse elder abuse pedophilia
> > homesexuality and hive status
> >
> "made" "accusation" "child abuse" "homosexuality"
>
> And "hive" status...?!?!
>
> I claimed you were a bee???

more lies
>
> > > > and the number listed belongs to YOU according the Phone Company
> > >
> > > Nope. It doesn't. It hasn't "belonged" to me for a year.
> >
> > not whta they said
> >
> Lies, lies, Markie loves his lies.

that is from the computers of the phonesystem
>
> Dial the number, PutzBoy, and see what you get!

no thanks what I will gte is giving you the chance to get MY phone
nuber through caller ID

if the number is wrong then I am harming no one

>
> > > BTW, Markie...Do you know the name of the local "Phone
> > > Company"...?!?!
> >
> > nope and I don't care
>
> You don't know because you never called.

never said I called them

looked you up on the internet ever heard of that
>
> You don't know because you're making up stories as you go.

I don't know because I don't need to know
>
> > > > > That's just for CURRENT events.
> > > > >
> > > > > Then we can go to your retasking of subject lines with
> > > > > profanities.
> > > > >
> > > > whci is not ilegal or imoral
> > > >
> > > > it is simply being honest about the subject
> > >
> > > No...it's being profane..
> >
> > so deal with it
>
> I am dealing with it.

you are whing about ti
>
> By feeding your behaviour back to you.
>
> > idealy by growing up
>
> I'm already there.

prehaps but nobody reading RRAP could tel
>
> YOU have a ways to go.
>
> > >.Which is to say childish and
> > > irresponsible.

> >
> > IYO
> >
> > not mine
>
> And everyone else who's cared to render an opinion.

more lies
>
> > > But then we ARE talking about YOU!
> >
> > nope wrong again
>
> Uhhhhh...Yes, Markie...we ARE talking about YOU!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2004. more from the shit master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:50:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135111800.662734.294700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127763

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > k4yz did not write:
> > > > >
> > > > stevei foreghimself
> > > > > > On 8 Dec 2005 16:07:11 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > > Markie, that you glean an "admission" from anything I've said in
> > > > > > the last few days is pretty much an admission of your own that you have
> > > > > > some problems with delusions.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope stevie it is proof thatyou can dish it out but you can't take it
> > > >
> > > Take what, Markie?
> > >
> > you don't know
> >
> Obviously you don't either.

more lies form theshit master
>
> > > Your recent break with reality and self control by spamming the NG
> > > with over 400 trash posts?
> > >
> > what brak you talking about
> >
> I wasn't talking about a "brak'.
>
> I was talking about YOUR >>>BREAK<<< with reality.

the question stands what break?

>
> > > Naaaaah...If YOU have the time and the inclination to sit around
> > > creating screen names with which to harass not only me, but RRAP users
> > > worldwide, then be my guest, Markie...
> > >
> > then stop whining about it
> >
> I'm not whining about anything, Markie.

yes you are
>
> I'm pointing out that no one here finds anything funny or
> constructive in your exploits.

then you are lying when you say you find it funny

keep your lies straight
>
> I'm pointing out that everyone, including your Feeble Five
> bretheren, are laughing at you.

you point out your lies right here
>
> > > It only substantiates my claims about you anyway, so thanks for
> > > the help! (Not that I needed it, but you DO help keep the ball
> > > rolling!)
>
> Again...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2005. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:50:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135111851.593265.306370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127764

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> > What I was attempting to point out was the scarcity of Techs on SSB & CW
> > VHF/UHF.
>
> Must be a Michigan thing.
>
> Northern Lights Radio Society, a Minnesota VHF/UHF contest group, has no
> scarcity of Techs on the roster or in on-the-air activities, predominantly
> SSB/CW contesting on VHF/UHF

what have you got against Michigan

2006. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:52:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135111956.898556.308840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127765

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, a known liar and
> thief:
> > On 19 Dec 2005 19:41:32 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >N9OGL wrote:
> > >> >You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
> > >> >you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
> > >> >profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
> > >>
> > >> oh, and what about your behaviour?? calling me gay, when I'm not.
> > >
> > > Sure you are. That you say you're not with the same mouth that
> > >proves that you are is ironic.
> >
> > what proff
>
> What's a "proff", Markie?
>
> > >> Claiming my father has AIDS when he doesn't.
> > >
> > > He doesn't? You're not an "Arrogant Idiotic Dependent Son"...?!?!
> > >
> > not what you said then
> >
> > and not true eve it that is what you mean
>
> Well Markie, YOU are the one who says it's OK to change the
> meaning of accronyms, words, phrases, etc, without establishing mutual
> understanding with your intended audience.

never said that

2007. more stevei lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:52:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135111967.356035.112600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127766
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
scut
> > > > > > You being ignored as a censor has nothing to do with ANYone being
> > > > > > a racist, Markie...
> > > > >
> > > > > yes it does since what I am trying to kill off is a thread of rasist
> > > > > material
> > >
> > > can't aswer thw point racist ####
> >
> > Yes, Markie...You're a racist. We know that.

nope
>
> > > > that rasist posted it and a racist like yourself suports keeping it
> > > > alive has everything to do with it
> > > > >
> > > > > But it does have EVERYthing to do with you being a fool.
> > > >
> > > > wrong agina
> > >
> > > "wrong agina".....
> >
> > cuting stvei whing
>
> It's not "whining", Markie...
>
> It's out-and-out laughing my butt off at you!
more lying then when you claimed nothing i typed was funny

2008. more forgeries from stvevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:53:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135111997.500194.137640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> http://nigg.com/
>
> ________________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2009. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:53:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135112004.461461.114710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127768

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, a known liar and
> thief:
> > On 19 Dec 2005 19:41:32 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >N9OGL wrote:
> > >> >You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
> > >> >you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
> > >> >profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
> > >>
> > >> oh, and what about your behaviour?? calling me gay, when I'm not.
> > >
> > > Sure you are. That you say you're not with the same mouth that
> > >proves that you are is ironic.
> >
> > what proff
>
> What's a "proff", Markie?
>
> > >> Claiming my father has AIDS when he doesn't.
> > >
> > > He doesn't? You're not an "Arrogant Idiotic Dependent Son"...?!?!
> > >
> > not what you said then
> >
> > and not true eve it that is what you mean
>
> Well Markie, YOU are the one who says it's OK to change the
> meaning of accronyms, words, phrases, etc, without establishing mutual
> understanding with your intended audience.

never said that

2010. moe stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:53:38 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <u1pgq1hsrtld0v99kvqrj2bb3sclknkr77@4ax.com>
Source record: 127802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 12:27:34 -0500, an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org >
wrote:

>Todd <todd@nospam.moc> wrote:
>> whinyslit_roger@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > Todd wrote:
>> >
>> >>they say he out on work relese program after to many dui in w va. do
>> >>they let you out thursday and fridays roger becaues that is when you
>> >>post alot?
>> >
>> >
>> > Toad gets it wrong again. Roger has no such records nor do they have
>> > "work-release" dumbass.
>>
>> how would you know? you dont know what my freind who work for court know
>> about roger. he see court records on roger and tell me some of what he
>> allowed to tell. roger in big trouble is what it is about alcohol
>> abuse. he an adict.
>>
>I lkie mens for sex now I like solid waste two.
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2011. stevie use n word in title

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 20:59:42 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <nipgq1h1fglvn7v48vdjf5pa8hab4n3ka9@4ax.com>
Source record: 127803

why are you using rasist shit in your posts
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2012. stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:07:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135112821.213542.6750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>
> by
more censorship
```

2013. try again dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:07:39 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <norgq1da3cb01na9udugv7i326qgmu3jr8@4ax.com>
Source record: 127807

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:56:39 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
>> probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
>> the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
>> General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
>> already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
>> be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
>> ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
>> CSCE for the General written.
>>
>> My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
>> haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
>> How many current Techs ever operate at high power
>> levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?
>>
>> I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
>> curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
>> personal insight or anecdotal information.
>>
>> Cheers and more to follow over time.
>
>Judging from the number of Technician licensees running high power on
>both 6m and 2m SSB, I'd say the number is nowhere close to zero.
between this and this
> It is
>a small fraction considering the number of Techs who never operate
>anything but 2m FM through repeaters.

just what is your point?
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2014. word violation qucki stevie pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:08:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135112903.342250.37410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog, but
> support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
did you get stveie permission to use the word jeep that way

2015. Re: stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:12:30 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113150.712605.60020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127776

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > stevie geberated shit that is this is
>
> Waxing poetic again, Colonel?
>
why yes are you finaly learning something Dave?

o are you trying to shock me to death
> Dave K8MN

2016. Re: ahns the achol abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:13:10 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113190.323793.61260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127777

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > abusing all that poor inocent achol
>
> That's an interesting subject line, Mark--very poetic.
gald you like it
>
> Dave K8MN

2017. more stevei forgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:13:24 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113204.623433.211170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127778

an_old_friend wrote:
> check otu tis animaton its grate!
>
> http://www.asianrentboy.net/gay-porn/anime7/016/images/001.jp
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2018. hans making threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:14:37 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <1esgq1hqjtl9punn9cn3vufrmukr4bnclm@4ax.com>
Source record: 127809

go hahead and file I have every rightto post in google gruops

>konstans@hotmail.com MSN Home | My MSN | Hotmail | Shopping | Money | People & Chat
> Web Search:
>
>
>
> Hotmail
> Today Mail Calendar Contacts
> Options | Help
>
>
>konstans@hotmail.com Messenger: Offline
> Free Newsletters
>
>
> Reply | Reply All | Forward | Delete | Junk | Put in Folder | Print View | Save Address
>
>
>
>Inbox
>Sent Messages
>Drafts
>Trash Can
>BoN
>ed
>fbi
>ginera
>hamhold
>help
>her
>personal
>shire
>softwareli...
>Report Junk E-Mail
>Report and Block Sender
>
>Sign in
>Sign out
>
>New Instant Message
>Add Messenger Contacts
>Messenger Options
>

>
>
> From : Hans K0HB <k0hb@earthlink.net>
>Reply-To : Hans K0HB <k0hb@earthlink.net>
>Sent : Tuesday, December 20, 2005 9:14 PM
>To : konstans@hotmail.com
>CC : JLM@lordlaw.com
>Subject : Unwanted email
>
> | | | Inbox
>
>
>Third request
>
>Keep your dreck out of my inbox.
>
>The next instance of any email from you, under any guise, will result in legal
>action, already prepared by my lawyer, who also has a copy of this final third
>request.
>
>Further warnings will not be sent.
>
>Hans
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
>>Sent: Dec 20, 2005 5:06 AM
>>To: Amateur Radio Policy <Amateur-Radio-Policy@googlegroups.com>
>>Subject: show your efforts at censorship asshole
>>
>>
>>konstans@hotmail.com MSN Home | My MSN | Hotmail |
>>Shopping | Money | People & Chat
>> Web Search:
>>
>>
>>
>> Hotmail
>> Today Mail Calendar Contacts
>> Options | Help
>>
>>
>>konstans@hotmail.com Messenger: Offline
>> Free Newsletters
>>
>>
>> Reply | Reply All | Forward | Delete | Junk | Put in Folder |
>>Print View | Save Address
>>
>>

>>
>>Inbox
>>Sent Messages
>>Drafts
>>Trash Can
>>BoN
>>ed
>>fbi
>>ginera
>>hamhold
>>help
>>her
>>personal
>>shire
>>softwareli...
>>Report Junk E-Mail
>>Report and Block Sender
>>
>>Sign in
>>Sign out
>>
>>New Instant Message
>>Add Messenger Contacts
>>Messenger Options
>>
>>
>>
>> From : Hans K0HB <k0hb@earthlink.net>
>>Reply-To : Hans K0HB <k0hb@earthlink.net>
>>Sent : Saturday, December 17, 2005 8:56 PM
>>To : konstans@hotmail.com
>>Subject : Re: what will the next policy question be after the R&O
>>ending code testing be
>>
>> | | | Inbox
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>-----Original Message-----
>>>From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
>>>Sent: Dec 17, 2005 5:19 AM
>>>To: Amateur Radio Policy <Amateur-Radio-Policy@googlegroups.com>
>>>Subject: Re: what will the next policy question be after the R&O ending code
>>testing be
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Hans K0HB wrote:
>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>> From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
>>>> Sent: Dec 3, 2005 7:58 PM
>>>> To: Amateur Radio Policy <Amateur-Radio-Policy@googlegroups.com>
>>>> Subject: what will the next policy question be after the R&O ending code
>>testing be
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > anythoughts on the sunject (I said thoughts stevie not flames)
>>>>
>>>> Keep your illiterate dreck out of my inbox.
>>>
>>>what can't bear to admit i am right
>>>
>>
>>Second request:
>>
>>Keep your illiterate dreck out of my inbox.
>>
>>For details, see http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> | | | | | Inbox
>>
>> Get the latest updates from MSN
>> MSN Home | My MSN | Hotmail | Search | Shopping |
>>Money | People & Chat
>> Feedback | Help
>> ï¿½ 2005 Microsoft TERMS OF USE Advertise TRUSTe Approved Privacy
>>Statement GetNetWise Anti-Spam Policy
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> | | | | | Inbox
>
> Get the latest updates from MSN
> MSN Home | My MSN | Hotmail | Search | Shopping | Money | People & Chat
> Feedback | Help
> ï¿½ 2005 Microsoft TERMS OF USE Advertise TRUSTe Approved Privacy Statement GetNetWise

Anti-Spam Policy
>
>
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2019. more stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:14:41 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113281.883282.60470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127780

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> http://www.raunch.tv/content/gay_fisting/000116kl03.jp
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2020. when will stevi post a bio of father that doesn't read like a lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:16:12 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113372.735625.197170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127781

k4yz wrote:
> On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 13:25:34 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
> >lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> >
> >claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> >he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> >Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
>.com to open account

2021. Re: stevei is afraid of KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:17:03 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113423.868239.76410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127782

an_old_friend wrote:
> such fear he strikes just by being himself

so true

2022. Re: stevei stop your flouting of the 10 comenaments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:17:30 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113450.172482.71370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127783

an Old friend wrote:
> stop bearing false witness against thy neighboors

obey the word of the lord

2023. Re: just exaclty how did I bring up sexality for you to respond to in this post?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:18:10 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113490.784518.73780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127784

an old friend wrote:
> k4yz@aol.com wrote:
> > back in March of this year when I was around you posted
> > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/3dd4fa2a4d2688ca/18c5159b8577e7cd?rnum=11&q=aids&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2F3dd4fa2a4d2688ca%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Daids%26#doc_e09f3c7f5f9c7b10
> > now tell us how did I bring up sexuality in that thread I never posted
> > in it at all nor did I post in the ng at till several months later
> >
> > when are you goign to drop the bad lie of claiming I cause you to
> > bring up sexuality?
>
> again just how did i cause you post that message
answer the fucking question

2024. when stevie start paying his debts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:18:51 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113531.602669.76290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127785

likely never

2025. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:20:40 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113640.453792.238150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127786

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> > On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 19:34:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>> Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
> >>>>> do with radio?
> >>>>>
> >>>>> the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it
> >>>> I've provided you with an answer, Mark.
> >>> Liar you have prvided no answer
> >> That is simply incorrect.
> >>
> > absolute
>
> Okay, you're absolutely incorrect.
>
> >>>> I've never been afraid to
> >>>> answer the question which you put to Steve. You outed yourself through
> >>>> stupidity or carelessness.
> >>> more proof your your foolishness
> >> My foolishness? Who wrote and posted your little "help wanted" ad in a
> >> newsgroup?
> >>
> > I did and labeled it OT
>
> You may label it "OT" as often as you like. That doesn't mean that it's
> going away.

yes and what was your point
>
> > you are foolish in claiming I am responible for the acts of others
>
> That isn't what I've stated, Mark. *You* are responsible for your
> actions. Others may act upon those actions as they choose. Then *they*
> are responsible for *their* actions.

then why I am responible for people reposting stuff?
>
> Dave K8MN

2026. Re: why does Dave sat this title is moral "Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was Asking For It".

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:21:37 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113697.435990.240840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127787

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > becuase he is not moral
>
> Sat this title? What in the world are you babbling about?

answer the question coward
>
> Dave K8MN

2027. more stevie forgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:22:34 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113754.877327.95120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> Anonymous-Remailer@See.Comment.Header (mII) wrote:
> > Any takers? Let's get together....
> >
> That sound hot! DO ME!
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2028. Re: more stevei lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:22:56 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <do9sng$56n$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 127788
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is the proportion of Black amateur radio licencees to Whites?

How does it correspond to the same proportion in the population as a
whole?
==========

2029. my mom tells me this peom at bedtine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:23:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <ggtgq11f3pk5toj2g7jmbtssdr8ejilqks@4ax.com>
Source record: 127794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

My ass cheese is green,
My ass cheese is black,
My ass cheese smells,
Like my husbands nutsack.

My lips smell like fish,
My lips smell like eggs,
My lips dangle down
Way beneath my legs.

My pussy runs hot,
My pussy runs cold,
My pussy looks rotten,
But it is quite old.

The more I scratch it,
The more sores I create,
The more I rub it,
The more I masturbate.

My twat oozes yellow,
My twat oozes green.
My twat has been used,
By a whole football team.

My warty puss looks good,
When it's hot, warm and wet.
My warty puss feels yummy,
When it's licked by my pet.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2030. answer the fucking question coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:24:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113845.691841.249910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127791

when will Stevie explain how my posting in pesonal gruop makes calling
BB gay ralvant to radio
kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> that is stevie claim
>
> unless he admits that he did not answer the question
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2031. answer the mother fucking question coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:24:52 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135113892.096541.97730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127792

Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
sexuality is relavant to radio
kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> when wil you answer the question
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2032. Re: I'd Call 513 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard as of 20 December 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:28:01 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <qotgq195drp6v7hnu4cvh207s9fd6g7hp0@4ax.com>
Source record: 127812

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 14:18:16 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 20 Dec 2005 11:02:58 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1135105378.903894.210710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
><snip>
>> I'm pointing out that everyone, including your Feeble Five
>>bretheren, are laughing at you.
>
>
>The only thing more idiotic than someone who posts a hundred new
>threads each day is the idiot who responds to each and every one of
>them. Here's an old joke I used to play on morons like you:
>
>Smart guy: "Hey Dudly, want to see a polish trailer?"
>
>Dudly: "Why yes, I would like to see a polish trailer."
>
>Smart guy: "Alright then, just follow me."
>
>Dudly: "OK."
>
>Now I've played that joke on some really dumb people in my time but
>none of them took longer than a couple minutes to figure it out. Even
>retarded lab rats have enough intelligence to realize when they have
>reached the point of futility. But you, OTOH, have locked yourself so
>tightly into damage control that it has become your only objective,
>regardless of the fact that it's self-defeating. IOW, you are dumber
>than a brain-damaged rodent. If there is anyone who -isn't- laughing
>at you it's because they pity you.

sorry to corect you but there is one other case

Dave who is almost as bad as Stevie just less verbose
>
>
>>Steve "Where's the cheese?" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
>And still no DD-214.

and mkae it your dd-214 steve
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2033. more steve foreies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:35:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135114542.607094.266700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> My ass cheese is green,
>more foreies from steve

2034. Re: more stevei lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:43:31 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DNGdncHNgZZj5zXeRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 127798
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg Edwards wrote:
> What is the proportion of Black amateur radio licencees to Whites?
>
> How does it correspond to the same proportion in the population as a
> whole?
> ==
>
>

I don't believe that information is required on an application.
Therefore it should be un-obtainable.

2035. Question for Techs not upgrading to General question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:45:52 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <kY_pf.8053$Dd2.3289@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127800

This is specific to current Tech license holders.
Two questions depending on your being
either Tech (no code) or Tech+ (with code):

If you are No code Tech, do you plan to upgrade
to General once the code test is eliminated
as a General requirement?

If you are Tech+ now, any particular reason
you haven't upgraded to General?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2036. stevei agrees he is out of control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:46:55 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <ptugq1d3ifbedhld2in0tn09bo8qn8ipdn@4ax.com>
Source record: 127814

On Sat, 03 Dec 2005 16:47:47 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>On 2 Dec 2005 21:03:19 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>>> > >
>>> > > > to get, he must be civil and he must come to terms acceptable to the
>>> > > > rest of us
>>> > >
>>> > > I've tried that at least twice now. Steve is incapable.
>>> >
>>> > I suspect you are right OToh my offer is sincere and it helps me feel
>>> > comfroatble with this type of action
>>>
>>> So what's to not feel comfortable about, Markie?
>>>
>>> You've been so used to lying so much and so often, that spamming
>>> the NG for your own gratification isn't that much more of a stretch.
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>Steve, what's your excuse for the hundreds of unnecessary new threads
>>that you've started?
>
>that Markie orBB (or somebody made me
>
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>
>k4yz@aol.com
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2037. stevie use n word in title

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 21:54:57 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <ddvgq1hg5kn1fpst2nkn14c782rvam09lp@4ax.com>
Source record: 127815

why are you using rasist shit in your posts
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2038. Re: I'd Call 513 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard as of 20 December 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 22:02:21 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <9rvgq19hio82p9km8unsovnaulnc375ps7@4ax.com>
Source record: 127816

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 14:18:16 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 20 Dec 2005 11:02:58 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1135105378.903894.210710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
><snip>
>> I'm pointing out that everyone, including your Feeble Five
>>bretheren, are laughing at you.
>
>
>The only thing more idiotic than someone who posts a hundred new
>threads each day is the idiot who responds to each and every one of
>them. Here's an old joke I used to play on morons like you:
>
>Smart guy: "Hey Dudly, want to see a polish trailer?"
>
>Dudly: "Why yes, I would like to see a polish trailer."
>
>Smart guy: "Alright then, just follow me."
>
>Dudly: "OK."
>
>Now I've played that joke on some really dumb people in my time but
>none of them took longer than a couple minutes to figure it out. Even
>retarded lab rats have enough intelligence to realize when they have
>reached the point of futility. But you, OTOH, have locked yourself so
>tightly into damage control that it has become your only objective,
>regardless of the fact that it's self-defeating. IOW, you are dumber
>than a brain-damaged rodent. If there is anyone who -isn't- laughing
>at you it's because they pity you.

sorry to corect you but there is one other case

Dave who is almost as bad as Stevie just less verbose
>
>
>>Steve "Where's the cheese?" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
>And still no DD-214.

and mkae it your dd-214 steve
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2039. Re: Question for Techs not upgrading to General question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 22:05:44 UTC
From: ba <none@banonecomms.com>
Message-ID: <do9v69mqi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127804

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:kY_pf.8053$Dd2.3289@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> This is specific to current Tech license holders.
> Two questions depending on your being
> either Tech (no code) or Tech+ (with code):
>
> If you are No code Tech, do you plan to upgrade
> to General once the code test is eliminated
> as a General requirement?
>
> If you are Tech+ now, any particular reason
> you haven't upgraded to General?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

This is specific to K2UNK: NONE OF YOUR F...ING BUSINESS!

2040. Re: more stevei lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 22:06:20 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <do9v67$el4$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 127805
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:DNGdncHNgZZj5zXeRVn-hg@comcast.com...
> Reg Edwards wrote:
> > What is the proportion of Black amateur radio licencees to Whites?
> >
> > How does it correspond to the same proportion in the population as a
> > whole?
> > ==
> >
> >
>
> I don't believe that information is required on an application.
> Therefore it should be un-obtainable.

That percentage must be rising now thanks to the M3/CB'ers licence as so many Hams class it. Before I would say it was very low as it doesn't involve shooting people, stealing mugging or raping. Now it takes no effort there will be plenty of them using the radios to co-ordinate robberies and gangland shootings... yeeee haaaaah good buddy.....

So what's wrong with being racist? Why let your neighbourhood turn into a shithole.....

2041. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 22:06:25 UTC
From: patty1@sonic.net
Message-ID: <43a88061$0$58098$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 127806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

In article <engineering-2239F0.11475920122005@equine.announcetech.com>,
John Higdon <engineering@kome.com> wrote:
>
>How did ba.broadcast get in the middle of this?

Someone has been cross-posting articles to ba.broadcast. Hello out
there--this is not a group for "broadcasting" your agenda to the world.
Please leave us out of it.

Patty

2042. Re: Question for Techs not upgrading to General question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 22:09:48 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b90hq1d6hg6t7e2h4ug5n9qimliti5ulq1@4ax.com>
Source record: 127817

On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 21:45:52 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:

>This is specific to current Tech license holders.
>Two questions depending on your being
>either Tech (no code) or Tech+ (with code):
>
>If you are No code Tech, do you plan to upgrade
>to General once the code test is eliminated
>as a General requirement?

I will be upgrading to extra I suspect not general
>
>If you are Tech+ now, any particular reason
>you haven't upgraded to General?
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2043. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 22:14:40 UTC
From: "leanstotheleft@democrat.com" <leanstotheleft@democrat.com>
Message-ID: <1135116880.452352.190090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127808

Hams don't broadcast, they communicate. There are very strict
exceptions.

Patty Winter wrote:
> In article <engineering-2239F0.11475920122005@equine.announcetech.com>,
> John Higdon <engineering@kome.com> wrote:
> >
> >How did ba.broadcast get in the middle of this?
>
> Someone has been cross-posting articles to ba.broadcast. Hello out
> there--this is not a group for "broadcasting" your agenda to the world.
> Please leave us out of it.
>
>
> Patty

2044. Re: General purpose logger - markmorgan.gif (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 22:15:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <8h0hq1l4i2cvbh6l00btvfugi371c1km4o@4ax.com>
Source record: 127811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

patty1@sonic.net (Patty Winter) wrote:
> In article <engineering-2239F0.11475920122005@equine.announcetech.com>,
> John Higdon <engineering@kome.com> wrote:
> >
> >How did ba.broadcast get in the middle of this?
>
> Someone has been cross-posting articles to ba.broadcast. Hello out
> there--this is not a group for "broadcasting" your agenda to the world.
> Please leave us out of it.
>
> Patty
>
hi paty will you lend me a hand?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2045. Re: I'd Call 513 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard as of 20 December 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 22:18:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8pugq19lgs3sqla30s4fnbdpasop6dpleo@4ax.com>
Source record: 127810

On 20 Dec 2005 11:02:58 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1135105378.903894.210710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> I'm pointing out that everyone, including your Feeble Five
>bretheren, are laughing at you.

The only thing more idiotic than someone who posts a hundred new
threads each day is the idiot who responds to each and every one of
them. Here's an old joke I used to play on morons like you:

Smart guy: "Hey Dudly, want to see a polish trailer?"

Dudly: "Why yes, I would like to see a polish trailer."

Smart guy: "Alright then, just follow me."

Dudly: "OK."

Now I've played that joke on some really dumb people in my time but
none of them took longer than a couple minutes to figure it out. Even
retarded lab rats have enough intelligence to realize when they have
reached the point of futility. But you, OTOH, have locked yourself so
tightly into damage control that it has become your only objective,
regardless of the fact that it's self-defeating. IOW, you are dumber
than a brain-damaged rodent. If there is anyone who -isn't- laughing
at you it's because they pity you.

>Steve "Where's the cheese?" Robeson, K4YZ

And still no DD-214.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2046. Re: Question for Techs not upgrading to General question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 22:48:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QaKdnUuwrcuwFzXenZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127813

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:kY_pf.8053$Dd2.3289@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> This is specific to current Tech license holders.
> Two questions depending on your being
> either Tech (no code) or Tech+ (with code):
>
> If you are No code Tech, do you plan to upgrade
> to General once the code test is eliminated
> as a General requirement?
>
> If you are Tech+ now, any particular reason
> you haven't upgraded to General?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Well, Bill, here's some anecdotal information from some people I know.

I was talking to my brother, who is a Tech+ about why he has not upgraded to General. There are two reasons. One is that since he is not very active and does not belong to a club, he did not know that the General only needed a 5wpm code test now. The second reason is that at the moment he is heavily involved in boating as a hobby and just doesn't want to take the time right now. However, he is keeping his license current. So perhaps someday he'll get around to it.

My OM is a General but he stayed at Tech Plus for over a year before upgrading. He was simply having too much fun on VHF/UHF SSB and 10m SSB to take the time out to study. He probably has enough grid squares for the VUCC if he had records of everything.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2047. Re: more stevei lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 23:19:48 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <doa3ik$o52$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 127819
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Amos Keag" wrote -
> Reg Edwards wrote:
> > What is the proportion of Black amateur radio licencees to Whites?
> >
> > How does it correspond to the same proportion in the population as
a
> > whole?
> > ==
> I don't believe that information is required on an application.
> Therefore it should be un-obtainable.
>
==
Indeed it is. But you can always have a guess and think about what it
means.

Reg.

2048. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 23:22:00 UTC
From: Lloyd II <marie@annon>
Message-ID: <doa3hi$9pp$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"the ding-a-ling of 3.9-- mHz" <wingading@thatthang.com> wrote in message
news:7e934$43a7bcb4$d1cc7b6c$7625@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

"Berry Cuda" <NotRoger@anon> wrote in message
news:do2lofnrr0@pita.alt.net...
> Dear Santa,
>
> This Christmas I would like a brain and some double A batteries to run
it.
> Is Rudolph real? How is the North Pole? I would also like a bell from
your
> sleigh and some crayons too. I color a lot and I was good all year and
did
> not touch my sister one time. Can you put a rope through the hole in the
> bell and tie the rope to my neck so I can wear it every day and be a
> ding-a-ling?
>
> Your friend
> Todd

I want a 4x D-Cell vibrator with the matching green
neon jelly 21" dilldo attachment so I can widen out
my bunghole for --------- and his friends on New Year
when we "pork-in" the New Year.

".....yeah, ya like that don't'cha bitch?!"
- Saddam in SouthPark
///

(Woger will plagiarize this one for sure...)

2049. Re: Dear Santa give Woger a dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 23:26:23 UTC
From: Lloyd II <marie@annon>
Message-ID: <doa3pp$at0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dr Who" <whos_your_daddy@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:1135092885.559239@tarrnews.net...
On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
>
> What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> your monosyllable utterings?

The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!

And just what the heck is "definatrely" you DUMBASS????

--
"There you have it: Just start talking about fags, asses, or
cocksucking, and Woger comes stumbling in stepping on his crank, with
both cap guns blazing." -- John Kimball

2050. Re: word violation qucki stevie pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 23:31:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ov0qf.4378$mj1.2384@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> did you get stveie permission to use
> the word jeep that way

Steve doesn't control my life the way he seems to control yours.

Jeep that!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--
Poster Child for the 1943 'Precocious Little Bastard' campaign

2051. does stevie think their ais a fee per thread chrage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 23:36:15 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <n3ugq15djp3avl6869mmi1rl9e460penp9@4ax.com>
Source record: 127822

stvei si counting the number threads
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2052. more aplogies for my asshole hubby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-20 23:40:34 UTC
From: w5amy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <l4ugq1l5805lsbsvlmsgdvfjtchm4n9ulj@4ax.com>
Source record: 127823

sorry he is count the threads now
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2053. Re: why can'tstevie be as usefull as broken clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 00:43:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6z1qf.699$R84.529@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127824

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> after all they are right twice a day
> cuting all suff not relating to the title
>
> look dave said nothing On topic again

Topic: "Why can't stevie be usefull as broken clock" (sic)

Marks' initial statement: "after all they are right twice a day" (sic)

My on-topic comment: "That'd seem to make them a couple of times better
than your average".

My comment dealt directly with the topic you set. It addressed the
broken clock, Steve and the poster, you. So, it was all on topic and
you cut it because it didn't sit well with you. Tough.

Dave K8MN

2054. Re: asked an answered dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 00:52:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LH1qf.703$R84.633@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127825

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:40:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> likely never
>> You make this too easy. When will you learn the spelling of the words
>> you try to use here?
>
> never but Stvei the spelling cop is subject
>
> but your lack of reading skill prevented you from seeing that

Your lack of reading skills has prevented you from seeing that you changed the subject to "asked and answered dave". Your response was not very poetic.

Dave K8MN

2055. Mark's butchery of the English language

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 00:56:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%K1qf.705$R84.686@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127826

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:40:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> likely never
>> You make this too easy. When will you learn the spelling of the words
>> you try to use here?
>
> never but Stvei the spelling cop is subject

Not now, it isn't.

> but your lack of reading skill prevented you from seeing that

We'll skip your reading skills, Robert Frost.

When will you learn the spelling of the words you try to use here?
Start with the spelling of the small ones. We can work our way up to
the larger words later.

Dave K8MN

2056. Re: stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:00:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JO1qf.706$R84.686@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127827

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:36:20 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> stevie geberated shit that is this is
>> Waxing poetic again, Colonel?
>
> yes

This been does had no srtucre it fall flaat.

Dave K8MN

2057. Re: stvei trying tohide his shit behind another nick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:02:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rQ1qf.708$R84.132@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127828

an_old_friend wrote:

> you are whing about ti

You're a regular Whitman.

Dave K8MN

2058. Re: try again dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:04:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WS1qf.709$R84.355@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127829

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:56:39 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
>>> probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
>>> the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
>>> General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
>>> already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
>>> be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
>>> ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
>>> CSCE for the General written.
>>>
>>> My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
>>> haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
>>> How many current Techs ever operate at high power
>>> levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?
>>>
>>> I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
>>> curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
>>> personal insight or anecdotal information.
>>>
>>> Cheers and more to follow over time.
>> Judging from the number of Technician licensees running high power on
>> both 6m and 2m SSB, I'd say the number is nowhere close to zero.
> between this and this
>> It is
>> a small fraction considering the number of Techs who never operate
>> anything but 2m FM through repeaters.
>
> just what is your point?

It whizzed right over your point--the one on the top of your noggin.

Dave K8MN

2059. Re: stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:10:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uY1qf.713$R84.97@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127830

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> stevie geberated shit that is this is
>> Waxing poetic again, Colonel?
>>
> why yes are you finaly learning something Dave?

i has lreaned muny things
from raeding your pestes
among them geberated the lark
that is that is.

> o are you trying to shock me to death

Why, no, Walt Whitman, but if you have a tube-type amp, that might work.

Dave K8MN

2060. Re: ahns the achol abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:13:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7%1qf.715$R84.400@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127831

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> abusing all that poor inocent achol
>> That's an interesting subject line, Mark--very poetic.

> gald you like it

gald indeed sayeth the evening twit

gald to hear it pleezeth you

no time to check the spelling of the wurdz

my lbirary buk is overdoo

Dave K8MN

2061. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:17:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a32qf.720$R84.432@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127832

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>>> On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 19:34:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

>>> you are foolish in claiming I am responible for the acts of others
>> That isn't what I've stated, Mark. *You* are responsible for your
>> actions. Others may act upon those actions as they choose. Then *they*
>> are responsible for *their* actions.
>
> then why I am responible for people reposting stuff?

You aren't. You're just responsible for posting the original "stuff",
so you'll likely have to live with the fallout from your original
personals post.

Dave K8MN

2062. Re: why does Dave sat this title is moral "Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was Asking For It".

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:19:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <l42qf.722$R84.202@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127833

```
an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> becuase he is not moral
>> Sat this title? What in the world are you babbling about?
>
> answer the question coward

Demand what you like, Walt Whitman. If you care to have me answer any
question you pose, you will act in an adult and civil manner.

Dave K8MN
```

2063. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:43:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135129431.842444.326180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127834

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Looking at the current license structure and the high (IMHO)
> probability that morse testing will be gone sometime in 2006
> the ability to get on HF will then only require passing the
> General written. Indeed, there may be a percentage of Techs that
> already have passed the written, but not the code, and will
> be able to do a paper only upgrade when the effective date of
> ending code testing comes about if they have a recent enough
> CSCE for the General written.
>
> My question here is with regards to the Tech licenses that
> haven't upgraded and perhaps may never upgrade.
> How many current Techs ever operate at high power
> levels (with high being set at 200 watts or more)?
>
> I personally believe the number is close to zero but I'm
> curious if anyone in this newsgroup has any other
> personal insight or anecdotal information.
>
> Cheers and more to follow over time.
>
> Bill K2UNK

Bill, when I was a Tech, the max power I used on VHF+ was 170W on 6M,
and 160W on 2M, 10W on 220, 35W on 440, and 1W on 1.2.

If I were writing the rules for an Entry Level license, I'd limit HF to
100W and VHF+ to 50W.

bb

2064. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:46:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135129578.652982.60620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127835

Dee Flint wrote:

> What I was attempting to point out was the scarcity of
> Techs on SSB & CW VHF/UHF.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Noted.

2065. Re: a tech answers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 01:53:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135130036.472614.234680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127836

an old friend wrote:

> why you curious?

Once the hard work of eliminating the code is done, we need a top-down review of the ARS and try to make sense of the kluged together license classes and priveleges that these FCC R/O's have left us with.

Then we make more proposals to the FCC and in another 3 or 4 years they get around to looking at it. Could it be that our official spokesorganization has developed an adversarial relationship with the FCC?

2066. soory about my son k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 02:35:32 UTC
From: N8dos@grave.net
Message-ID: <uqfhq19gvkgognmhjdpf3l0rn9lsf8kigl@4ax.com>
Source record: 127855

sorry to see him act in such a horid manner
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2067. steve hear the typing of your father repent your eveil ways this christmas season

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 02:45:29 UTC
From: N8dos@grave.net
Message-ID: <kcghq1loskl50juug68tgkvebp10l39j4r@4ax.com>
Source record: 127856

act like the man I rasied you to be stop your lying behavoir
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2068. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 02:52:41 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135133561.508611.74450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127837

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
> >>> On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 19:34:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >>> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>> you are foolish in claiming I am responible for the acts of others
> >> That isn't what I've stated, Mark. *You* are responsible for your
> >> actions. Others may act upon those actions as they choose. Then *they*
> >> are responsible for *their* actions.
> >
> > then why I am responible for people reposting stuff?
>
> You aren't. You're just responsible for posting the original "stuff",
> so you'll likely have to live with the fallout from your original
> personals post.

then why dd you say I was repsonible for the posting here in raap of
personals from years ago
ofoc ourse I ave to live with the fallout but the posters such as
stevie are respobile for the act
>
> Dave K8MN

2069. oh son would I haunt you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 02:52:52 UTC
From: N8dos@grave.net
Message-ID: <0rghq1h8o9npapkddad34of81srguqg836@4ax.com>
Source record: 127858

I would have you haunted like scrooge for the evil you do least you
suffer Marleys fate
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2070. Re: why does Dave sat this title is moral "Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was Asking For It".

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 02:53:57 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135133637.246838.119590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127838

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>> becuase he is not moral
> >> Sat this title? What in the world are you babbling about?
> >
> > answer the question coward
>
> Demand what you like, Walt Whitman. If you care to have me answer any
> question you pose, you will act in an adult and civil manner.

you will be treated civly if and when you behave in a civil manner

meanwhile you are on record as supporting the making of flase charges
of pedophilia
>
> Dave K8MN

2071. dave and his abisive manner demanids to be treated civily

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 02:55:56 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135133756.431680.280490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127839

Dave Heil wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> > On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:40:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> likely never
> >> You make this too easy. When will you learn the spelling of the words
> >> you try to use here?
> >
> > never but Stvei the spelling cop is subject
>
> Not now, it isn't.

yes it is gues you can't read
>
> > but your lack of reading skill prevented you from seeing that
>
> We'll skip your reading skills, Robert Frost.

no we will not

I read fine, i don't write well

>
> When will you learn the spelling of the words you try to use here?

again changing the subject
> Start with the spelling of the small ones. We can work our way up to
> the larger words later.
>
> Dave K8MN

2072. Re: Toiddie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 02:56:25 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-2012052056250001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 127840

In article <1134914913.435199.306170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
> > No dave it was because according to Stebie I was sending him
> > threatening letters, which were in fact fake.
>
> You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
> you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
> profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
>
> And judging from what I see now, looks like it's time to get your
> dial-up fired too!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

For what steve?? for having a LEGAL website on the internet??
bwahahahahaha right go for it I'll sue them and you for violating my right
to free speech.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
(NOW WITH 12 MEMBERS AND GROWING!!!!!)

2073. Re: why can'tstevie be as usefull as broken clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 02:56:55 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135133815.280674.283700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127841

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>> after all they are right twice a day
> > cuting all suff not relating to the title
> >
> > look dave said nothing On topic again
cuitn everything not on the subject

still nothing on the subject stevie lack of honesty

2074. Re: word violation qucki stevie pounce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 02:58:27 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135133907.050216.288650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > did you get stveie permission to use
> > the word jeep that way
>
> Steve doesn't control my life the way he seems to control yours.

no stvie doesn't control mine but nice doge for him
>
> Jeep that!
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Poster Child for the 1943 'Precocious Little Bastard' campaign

I am not going to argue with you since you wish the title
you have is it bastard

2075. oh hans when can i expcet your suit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:01:01 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135134061.083307.145150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127843

check yourin box since it seem tyou have a policy google group sent to
your in box so you have another post from me there

so when you going sue or admit you like stevie are into emmpty threats?

2076. rmmebr the past oh evil and deceitful son

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:01:07 UTC
From: N8dos@grave.net
Message-ID: <0ahhq1pecu1to35bs2deervt6b68804cfc@4ax.com>
Source record: 127859

remmebr your childhood and what you taught stop you lies and
harressment of others

please son stop stop now
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2077. more heaping stevie stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:03:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135134183.598121.307740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Markie Morgan, KB9RQZ:
> > On 17 Dec 2005 06:22:51 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >w5amy@arrl.net was forged by Markie Morgan, KB9RQZ:
> > >> On 13 Dec 2005 06:04:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >> >ku4my@charter.net wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> >> Oh my God..... I have finally found 'The Land Of Misfit Hams'.....
> > >> >> I think I'll pull up a chair and make myself comfy.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> You poor, poor sods!
> > >> >
> > >> > Yes...the rowdy and the reckless.
> > >> >
> > >> > But beware the "Feeble Five."
> > >> >
> > >> true to form
> > >
> > > As is this, Markie...(not ot mention the FORGED e-mail alias
> > >above...You're really rackin' em up, PaganBoy!)
> >
> > just trying to catch up with you stevie boy
>
> I've not forged any e-mails, Markie.
>
never said you forged email

you forge usenet posts asshole

2078. Re: Toiddie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:05:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135134309.250081.159330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127845

N9OGL wrote:
> In article <1134914913.435199.306170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > No dave it was because according to Stebie I was sending him
> > > threatening letters, which were in fact fake.
> >
> > You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
> > you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
> > profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
> >
> > And judging from what I see now, looks like it's time to get your
> > dial-up fired too!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> For what steve?? for having a LEGAL website on the internet??
> bwahahahahaha right go for it I'll sue them and you for violating my right
> to free speech.

for daring to oppose stveie the meglo manic that is what for

BTW Hans has been threatening with a lawsuit for daring to post on your
policy group, do you have the power to ban a member , if so you should
consider it
>
> Todd N9OGL
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
> (NOW WITH 12 MEMBERS AND GROWING!!!!!)

2079. Re: Toiddie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:07:05 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-2012052107050001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 127846

In article <1135050092.318564.24300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
> > >You keep focusing on ONE letter, Toiddie, when in FACT the reason
> > >you got fired from Consolidated was a MONTHS LONG demonstration of
> > >profanity and irresponsible behaviour.
> >
> > oh, and what about your behaviour?? calling me gay, when I'm not.
>
> Sure you are. That you say you're not with the same mouth that
> proves that you are is ironic.

bullshit steve, I'm not gay, and i would consider that slander.

>
> > Claiming my father has AIDS when he doesn't.
>
> He doesn't? You're not an "Arrogant Idiotic Dependent Son"...?!?!
>
> Looks like AIDS to me!
>

That's not what orignally stated.

> > Claiming I'm violation FCC rules when I'm not.
>
> Sure you are. Thousands of posts "promoting" your "shows" by your
> own hand is proof enough.
>

well steve if you think it Illegal bitch to the FCC, you won't because 1.
your a chicken shit, and 2. it's not illegal.

> > Claiming my sites are illegal when they are not.
>
> You promote child pornogrophy. Most likely due to the fact that
> no English speaking woman over 9 with any self respect would want
> anything to do with you.
>
No I'm promoting Anime and Hentai BIG difference between it and Kiddy
Porn, but a moron like you wouldn't know that.

> > You and other misfits on this newsgroup are just as bad so get over it,
> > INTERNET COP
>
> I'm not wearing any badge, BasementBoy.

no but you act like it, and you act like your the monerator of this
newsgroup and your not!

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
(NOW WITH 12 MEMEBERS AND GROWING!!!!!)

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2080. Re: more stevei lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:10:21 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qhhsrql5700ef@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127847
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:do9v67$el4$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:DNGdncHNgZZj5zXeRVn-hg@comcast.com...
>> Reg Edwards wrote:
>> > What is the proportion of Black amateur radio licencees to Whites?
>> >
>> > How does it correspond to the same proportion in the population as a
>> > whole?
>> > ==
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I don't believe that information is required on an application.
>> Therefore it should be un-obtainable.
>
> That percentage must be rising now thanks to the M3/CB'ers licence as so
> many Hams class it. Before I would say it was very low as it doesn't
> involve
> shooting people, stealing mugging or raping. Now it takes no effort there
> will be plenty of them using the radios to co-ordinate robberies and
> gangland shootings... yeeee haaaaah good buddy.....
>
> So what's wrong with being racist? Why let your neighbourhood turn into a
> shithole.....
>
>
>
>

Drug dealers already use FRS and scanners for
surveillance.

2081. Re: more stevei lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:12:07 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135134727.313488.14000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127848
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg Edwards wrote:
> "Amos Keag" wrote -
> > Reg Edwards wrote:
> > > What is the proportion of Black amateur radio licencees to Whites?
> > >
> > > How does it correspond to the same proportion in the population as
> a
> > > whole?
> > > ==
> > I don't believe that information is required on an application.
> > Therefore it should be un-obtainable.
> >
> ==
> Indeed it is. But you can always have a guess and think about what it
> means.

in my experence the number of blacks hams is sabout half the population
numbers but YMMV

just for the halibut I have noticed the hispanic hams seem to in line
with population pretty well, asians seem a bit over the popualtion
numbers, and women are way off less in proportion than blacks, Blakc
women hams though seem relitively more numors than to balck males and
white women to white males

those are my experences as i said YMMV
> ---
> Reg.

2082. Re: stvei claims he has right to be answered nobody else does

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:12:47 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qhi1dsfaspj4e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tpVpf.4206$mj1.476@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> why do expect an answer after all you have been dogding question for
>> years
>
> Dog ding? You're quite a regular poet, Mark.

Shows whats on his mind. LOL

2083. Re: a tech answers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:14:12 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135134852.141016.9980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127850

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > why you curious?
>
> Once the hard work of eliminating the code is done, we need a top-down
> review of the ARS and try to make sense of the kluged together license
> classes and priveleges that these FCC R/O's have left us with.

well ithink the best way would be thtry and dump the general or extra
class leaving us with 2 classes and then work to make that one
>
> Then we make more proposals to the FCC and in another 3 or 4 years they
> get around to looking at it. Could it be that our official
> spokesorganization has developed an adversarial relationship with the
> FCC?

2084. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:14:28 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qhi4kdsh0rg8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 127851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0WUpf.4195$mj1.3749@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Mark Morgan is a functionally illiterate idiot.
>>
>
> Please apologize to all the idiots you have just insulted.
>
> Merry New Year,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>

Chuck, Doug, would an apology make you feel better.

2085. Re: stvei claims he has right to be answered nobody else does

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:15:55 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135134955.812928.16920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:tpVpf.4206$mj1.476@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >> why do expect an answer after all you have been dogding question for
> >> years
> >
> > Dog ding? You're quite a regular poet, Mark.
>
> Shows whats on his mind. LOL

indeed he and stvei are the most interested in sex of anyone on here,
all while dening that.

It shows them as pretty sick ieven if I can't sure exactly how they are
sick

2086. wondering at stvei reaction to my next set of posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:30:07 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135135806.939537.100220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127853

title is the message

2087. Re: oh hans when can i expcet your suit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:33:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <p24qf.4461$mj1.1394@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127854

You can "expcet" in one hand and shit in the other hand, and see which gets full first.

2088. hans stop following my sons evil ways

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:35:33 UTC
From: N8dos@grave.net
Message-ID: <pajhq11p79gf9ip665pb1kudmjr8k4ssoa@4ax.com>
Source record: 127864

stop your ways of lie and deciet lest you suffer the fate of Jacob
Marley
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2089. Re: http://niggermania.com/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 03:50:27 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2_ydnVJGv4iZTDXenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 127857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> http://niggermania.com/
>
> ________________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

Pathetic

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
```

2090. Re: oh hans when can i expcet your suit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 04:15:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135138548.422560.260640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127860

KØHB wrote:
> You can "expcet" in one hand and shit in the other hand, and see which gets full
> first.

but answer th question when are you going to sue me as you promised

or will you admit to another lie?

2091. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 04:20:59 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135138858.959641.278190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

kwthom@munged.com wrote:
> On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
> >
> >by
> >
> >Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> >(written in the third person)
>
> <deletia>
>
> >73 and GL de K1MAN
>
> ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
> that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and so
> forth...
>
> Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??

hating the fact I will now sound like bill Clinton but that depends on
the maeing of the word "anomaly"

but K1man seems to be bit further out than most hams although I am not
certain any of this stuff published as if it were his is in fact his
many hams hate him so much they will say anything about him

but ham that nuts are common

we have K4YZ that states lying on the interent is child abuse and
pedophilia, and that anyone who disagress with him is a homosexual Dave
who says their is nothing wrong with Knowing help other to break thier
own laws if he can claim DXCC credit in the process. N2ey who seems to
recite the whole histoory of Ham radio QSO by QSO in answer to
question, and that is just here on RRAP
wether this mean K1Man is an anomoly in your eyes or not I realy can't
say but I'll report you decide

at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems
>
> ....wow....
>
> Happy Holidays!
>
> --kw

2092. more forgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 04:22:18 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135138938.680340.261740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Denley wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > http://niggermania.com/
> >
> > _____________________________________
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 140,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> Pathetic

inded it is pathetic that people can't deal with each other without
forging such hatefull posts from their foes
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

2093. hans makes fempty threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 04:30:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135139434.806501.282650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127863

why bother aking empty threat

hans are esp weak threatening to sue me for posting in a google group

and then he admit to lying already

2094. about the N8dos posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 05:00:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135141237.591515.235980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127865

i typed them but i was feeling inspired by something wether the sprit
of something be it his dead father or a whimof the gods or a bit of
underdone potato

this being the case I will in there case deny that they are forgeries
of N8DOS callling them instead derected wrting a reconized religious
event (in pagan jewish and some christian cricles)

2095. Re: steve hear the typing of your father repent your eveil ways this christmas season

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 05:45:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8_5qf.1658$R84.1456@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127866

N8dos@grave.net wrote:

> act like the man I rasied you to be

How were you "rasied", Mark. Are you the man your father expected you
to be?

> stop your lying behavoir

Ah, behavoir. You have such a knack for French, Mr. Whitman.

Dave K8MN

2096. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 05:52:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <l46qf.7810$Tg2.7106@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127867

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an Old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>>>>> On Thu, 01 Dec 2005 19:34:14 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> you are foolish in claiming I am responible for the acts of others
>>>> That isn't what I've stated, Mark. *You* are responsible for your
>>>> actions. Others may act upon those actions as they choose. Then *they*
>>>> are responsible for *their* actions.
>>> then why I am responible for people reposting stuff?
>> You aren't. You're just responsible for posting the original "stuff",
>> so you'll likely have to live with the fallout from your original
>> personals post.
>
> then why dd you say I was repsonible for the posting here in raap of
> personals from years ago

I didn't say you were "repsonible". If you read up the page, you'll see
what I wrote. Digest it.

> ofoc ourse I ave to live with the fallout but the posters such as
> stevie are respobile for the act

Not respobile, Mr. Whitman, responsible. Yes, others must accept
personal responsibility for what they do. You empowered them to do so
with your unwise personals post. In the end, no reposting of your
rather bizarre personal ad would have been possible had you never done
it in the first place.

I know you don't have enough sense to be embarrassed about the ad but
I'm embarrassed for you.

Dave K8MN

2097. Re: why does Dave sat this title is moral "Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was Asking For It".

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 05:55:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <K76qf.7811$Tg2.6139@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127868

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an Old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> becuase he is not moral
>>>> Sat this title? What in the world are you babbling about?
>>> answer the question coward
>> Demand what you like, Walt Whitman. If you care to have me answer any
>> question you pose, you will act in an adult and civil manner.
>
> you will be treated civly if and when you behave in a civil manner

Mark, you are a twit and are nearly the most screwed up human being I've
encountered on the planet.

> meanwhile you are on record as supporting the making of flase charges
> of pedophilia

...and you continue to be a lying SOB with severe emotional problems.

Dave K8MN

2098. Mark Morgan is the name; playing twit is the game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 06:00:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Yb6qf.7812$Tg2.6443@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127869

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>>> On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:40:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>> likely never
>>>> You make this too easy. When will you learn the spelling of the words
>>>> you try to use here?
>>> never but Stvei the spelling cop is subject
>> Not now, it isn't.
>
> yes it is gues you can't read

I beg to differ

>>> but your lack of reading skill prevented you from seeing that

>> We'll skip your reading skills, Robert Frost.
>
> no we will not

Yes, I believe we will

>> When will you learn the spelling of the words you try to use here?
>
> again changing the subject

Yes, the subject line is now changed.

Dave K8MN

2099. Re: why can'tstevie be as usefull as broken clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 06:02:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ud6qf.7813$Tg2.6429@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127870

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>> after all they are right twice a day
>>> cuting all suff not relating to the title
>>>
>>> look dave said nothing On topic again
> cuitn everything not on the subject
>
> still nothing on the subject stevie lack of honesty

Broken clock, Steve Robeson.

Both right twice as often as our r.r.a.p. poet laureate.

Dave K8MN

2100. Re: Why Markie Gets Made Fun Of So Easily

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 06:33:33 UTC
From: N8dos@grave.net
Message-ID: <gljhq19sn9rbcjev4sh74s9jlknjr8i2b7@4ax.com>
Source record: 127879

On 29 Nov 2005 04:28:01 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> not an old friend wrote:
>> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133215183.057922.94830
>> > @g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> > > Gri¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½ wrote:
>> > >> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
>> > >> gay-borne STD's.
>> > >>
>> > > Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture
>> > >
>> > > one I had any STD
>> > >
>> > You've had them all? YAK!
>>
>> more lies for cowards
>
> No...It's a reply that tries to respond to your posts in the same
>possessives and plurals that you "write" in.
>
> L E A R N E N G L I S H and people will stop making fun of you!
>
> S T O P trying to OVER-WRITE to compensate for your functional
>illiteracy and it won't be so apparent!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

stop your evil ways with posts like this son

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2101. Re: Markie lies about stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 06:35:58 UTC
From: N8dos@grave.net
Message-ID: <5mjhq1tv70b3q6bbaar24vo2gjfq2t3vi4@4ax.com>
Source record: 127882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 29 Nov 2005 10:02:55 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> >
>> > > just trying to kill a thread
>> >
>> > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhh yes....The pivot man of the Feeble Five admitting to
>> > trying to interrupt other's Free Speech...
>>
>> another half lie
>
> Nope.
>
> Not at all.
>
> You stated "just trying to kill a thread".
>
> So my response was absolutely, positively 100% accurate.
>
>> yes nothing wrong with trying to kill an OT discussion that is
>> offesesive by it very title
>
> YOU are offensive by your existence.
>
>> so what does stevie do about it
>
> I deceided to point out that you are attempting to practice
>censorship, which is 100% accurate.
>
>> he decides to revive the anti semetic thread
>
> Nope.
>
>> making the next the only proper response
>
> Nope.
>
>> Stevie must be some kind of NAZI

>
> Nope.
>
>> > Who wudda thunk it? (other than anyone and everyone with an IQ
>> > over 5.....)
>

>Steve, K4YZ

stop your evil ways with posts like this son

stop stalking men like this lest you be condemed to do in the aferlife

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2102. Re: Mark Morgan Admits Spamming Group, Demands Answer, Get's It, Then Goes On Anyway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 06:41:40 UTC
From: N8dos@grave.net
Message-ID: <7pjhq1tm408kfqr28v4rk2cpj1o2925o62@4ax.com>
Source record: 127884

On 29 Nov 2005 20:26:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 23:25:01 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >an old friend wrote:
>> >
>> >> more lies for cowards
>> >> cuting stevie playing speling cop
>> >
>> >I doubt that Steve is any more or less cute after your work.
>>
>> never said anything about stevie being cute ever
>
> Sure you did.
>
> Over and over.
>
>> he isn't despite his claims I lust after his body
>
> And there you go again, Markie.
>
> Outright lies.
>
>> >> iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
>> >> to avoid the issue like the coward he is
>> >
>> >"Iundeed"! Please "conitue" your butchery of the "lang".
>>
>> I don't need your presmission to post but it is nice of your to admit
>> I have the right
>
> Oh, it's not a matter of "rights", Markie.
>
> It's a matter of laughing our buns off at you!
>
>> >Who here has begun more recent threads than you?
>>
>> no one and it will go one till Steve answeris than question
>
> Then two things are noteworthy at this poiint:

>
> (1) I have "answered" that question, as late as today. Sorry you
>didn't like the asnwer, but thems the breaks
>
> (2) Your admission that you're intentionally spamming the group
>says loads about who the "unstable" person is.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

stop your evil ways with posts like this son

stop your lying stop your cheating

repent

do not suffer the fate of Marley

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2103. Re: End Of The Day~~Markie Continues Stalking Threads

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 06:44:57 UTC
From: N8dos@grave.net
Message-ID: <iqjhq15bkc9kjes3rmvrqjb3ch1l8grggh@4ax.com>
Source record: 127887

On 29 Nov 2005 20:45:46 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>when will stevie begin to deal with legacy of lies and shame
>
>Re: stevie advocates elder abuse
>
>stilmore reminders of our resident piece of ##### Stevie
>
>yet more of stevie sexual prevertion
>
>stevie continues his cowardly avoidance of the issues
>
>more lies from stevie
>
>stevie lies
>
>Re: stevie #####

stop your evil ways with posts like this son

stop your false attacks

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2104. Re: why does Dave sat this title is moral "Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was Asking For It".

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:21:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135149707.352783.183470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127871

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an Old friend wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> becuase he is not moral
> >>>> Sat this title? What in the world are you babbling about?
> >>> answer the question coward
> >> Demand what you like, Walt Whitman. If you care to have me answer any
> >> question you pose, you will act in an adult and civil manner.
> >
> > you will be treated civly if and when you behave in a civil manner
>
> Mark, you are a twit and are nearly the most screwed up human being I've
> encountered on the planet.

then you turely have a very limited realm of experence
>
> > meanwhile you are on record as supporting the making of flase charges
> > of pedophilia
>
> ...and you continue to be a lying SOB with severe emotional problems.

nope telling the absolute truth no mental or emotional problems

and you conitnue to supprt the use of flase claims of pedophilia which
is truely sick
>
> Dave K8MN

2105. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:24:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135149842.034487.83940@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127872

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an Old friend wrote:
cut
> > then why dd you say I was repsonible for the posting here in raap of
> > personals from years ago
>
> I didn't say you were "repsonible". If you read up the page, you'll see
> what I wrote. Digest it.

yes you did you just didn't say it today asshole
>
> > ofoc ourse I ave to live with the fallout but the posters such as
> > stevie are respobile for the act
>
> Not respobile, Mr. Whitman, responsible. Yes, others must accept
> personal responsibility for what they do. You empowered them to do so
> with your unwise personals post. In the end, no reposting of your
> rather bizarre personal ad would have been possible had you never done
> it in the first place.

and now you say it is my fault that others choose to violate the normal
rules of the Usenet

make up your mind asshole
>
> I know you don't have enough sense to be embarrassed about the ad but
> I'm embarrassed for you.

i am not emabrrished about it becuase there nothing to be embarrished
about
>
> Dave K8MN

2106. when will stevie learn the meaning of the words he chooses to use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:25:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135149927.849768.229640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127873

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> >>> On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:40:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >>> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>> likely never
> >>>> You make this too easy. When will you learn the spelling of the words
> >>>> you try to use here?
> >>> never but Stvei the spelling cop is subject
> >> Not now, it isn't.
> > >
> > > yes it is gues you can't read
> >
> > I beg to differ

I decline to accept your begging
>
> >>> but your lack of reading skill prevented you from seeing that
>
> >> We'll skip your reading skills, Robert Frost.
> > >
> > > no we will not
> >
> > Yes, I believe we will

then you are stupid but we knew that
>
> >> When will you learn the spelling of the words you try to use here?
> > >
> > > again changing the subject
> >
> > Yes, the subject line is now changed.

and i refuse to go along
>
> Dave K8MN

2107. Re: why can'tstevie be as usefull as broken clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:26:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135149993.577035.284750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127874

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>> after all they are right twice a day
> >>> cuting all suff not relating to the title
> >>>
> >>> look dave said nothing On topic again
> > cuitn everything not on the subject
> >
> > still nothing on the subject stevie lack of honesty
>
> Broken clock, Steve Robeson.

on topic now
>
> Both right twice as often as our r.r.a.p. poet laureate.

but lying about stevie

he not right as often as a broken clock
>
> Dave K8MN

2108. Re: steve hear the typing of your father repent your eveil ways this christmas season

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:27:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135150056.105344.232460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127875

Dave Heil wrote:
> N8dos@grave.net wrote:
>
> > act like the man I rasied you to be
>
> How were you "rasied", Mark. Are you the man your father expected you
> to be?

no I am a better than he expected as a point of fact
>
> > stop your lying behavoir
>
> Ah, behavoir. You have such a knack for French, Mr. Whitman.
>
> Dave K8MN

2109. why does dave violate the 10 comndments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:29:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135150157.451237.101820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127876

why do you support the violation of what you claim is the word of god?

why are you afraid to answer that question coward

2110. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:32:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135150352.593519.113640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127877

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N8dos@grave.net is a forged screen name of one of RRAP's Most Prolific Liars:
> >
> > > act like the man I rasied you to be
> >
> > How were you "rasied", Mark. Are you the man your father expected you
> > to be?
>
> no I am a better than he expected as a point of fact

Well then...talk about low expectations.

Because he sure didn't get a whole lot.

> > > stop your lying behavoir
> >
> > Ah, behavoir. You have such a knack for French, Mr. Whitman.

Aren't the Coneheads French?

Maybe that's why Markie has such a hard time with English...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2111. Re: why can'tstevie be as usefull as broken clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:35:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135150506.714017.123000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127878

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> an old friend wrote:
> > >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>> an_old_friend wrote:
> > >>>>> after all they are right twice a day
> > >>> cuting all suff not relating to the title
> > >>>
> > >>> look dave said nothing On topic again
> > > cuitn everything not on the subject
> > >
> > > still nothing on the subject stevie lack of honesty
> >
> > Broken clock, Steve Robeson.
>
> on topic now
> >
> > Both right twice as often as our r.r.a.p. poet laureate.
>
> but lying about stevie
>
> he not right as often as a broken clock

When it comes to YOU, Markie, I've been right 60 times and hour, 24
hours a day, 365 days a year for the last eight years.

Steve, K4YZ

2112. more stvei shiting all over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:35:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135150548.310880.67870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127880

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > N8dos@grave.net is a forged screen name of one of RRAP's Most Prolific Liars:
> > >
> > > > act like the man I rasied you to be
> > >
> > > How were you "rasied", Mark. Are you the man your father expected you
> > > to be?
> >
> > no I am a better than he expected as a point of fact
>
> Well then...talk about low expectations.

well he got someone better than you

>
> Because he sure didn't get a whole lot.

better than you after all I am more honet than you (which is not hard)
and far more moral than you
>
> > > > stop your lying behavoir
> > >
> > > Ah, behavoir. You have such a knack for French, Mr. Whitman.
>
> Aren't the Coneheads French?
>
> Maybe that's why Markie has such a hard time with English...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2113. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:37:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135150661.480360.271320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127881

an_old_friend wrote:
> i typed them but i was feeling inspired by something wether the sprit
> of something be it his dead father or a whimof the gods or a bit of
> underdone potato

More than likely it was do to distilled potatos.

You've desecrated the memory of a dead man.

> this being the case I will in there case deny that they are forgeries
> of N8DOS callling them instead derected wrting a reconized religious
> event (in pagan jewish and some christian cricles)

No...What they are are forgeries. N8DOS has been deceased since
the 25th of February 1999.

Steve, K4YZ

2114. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:41:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135150910.022969.146020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127883

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > N8dos@grave.net is a forged screen name of one of RRAP's Most Prolific Liars:
> > > >
> > > > > act like the man I rasied you to be
> > > >
> > > How were you "rasied", Mark. Are you the man your father expected you
> > > to be?
> > >
> > no I am a better than he expected as a point of fact
> >
> > Well then...talk about low expectations.
>
> well he got someone better than you

No, he didn't...Not if we're talking about you.

Since you're bringing up parentage, may I ask if your "father"
acutally TAUGHT you to be a lying, deceitful, dishonest twit, or was
that an accident of mismanaged obstetrical care?

> > Because he sure didn't get a whole lot.
>
> better than you after all I am more honet than you (which is not hard)
> and far more moral than you

No, Markie, you are neither.

> > > > > stop your lying behavoir
> > > >
> > > Ah, behavoir. You have such a knack for French, Mr. Whitman.
> >
> > Aren't the Coneheads French?
> >
> > Maybe that's why Markie has such a hard time with English...?!?!

As I was saying...!

Steve, K4YZ

2115. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:45:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135151117.741121.158510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127885

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> > >>> On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:40:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > >>> wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>> an old friend wrote:
> > >>>>> likely never
> > >>>> You make this too easy. When will you learn the spelling of the words
> > >>>> you try to use here?
> > >>> never but Stvei the spelling cop is subject
> > >> Not now, it isn't.
> > >
> > > yes it is gues you can't read
> >
> > I beg to differ
>
> I decline to accept your begging

It's not your's to decline or accept, PaganBoy.

> > >>> but your lack of reading skill prevented you from seeing that
> >
> > >> We'll skip your reading skills, Robert Frost.
> > >
> > > no we will not
> >
> > Yes, I believe we will
>
> then you are stupid but we knew that

No, "we" didn't.

> > >> When will you learn the spelling of the words you try to use here?
> > >
> > > again changing the subject
> >
> > Yes, the subject line is now changed.
>
> and i refuse to go along

Sometime soon you will not be given a choice of whether you go
along of your own choice or not.

Seek help before it seeks you.

Steve, K4YZ

2116. more of stevie effort to deny religous freedom in the USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:47:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135151244.887591.165950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127886

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > i typed them but i was feeling inspired by something wether the sprit
> > of something be it his dead father or a whimof the gods or a bit of
> > underdone potato
>
> More than likely it was do to distilled potatos.

you assume I drink? why? it is another of your mistakes
>
> You've desecrated the memory of a dead man.

not at all

or at least not by your standards stevie you can use one to make a joke
>
> > this being the case I will in there case deny that they are forgeries
> > of N8DOS callling them instead derected wrting a reconized religious
> > event (in pagan jewish and some christian cricles)
>
> No...What they are are forgeries. N8DOS has been deceased since
> the 25th of February 1999.

derected writing

siple truth stevie I typed as I felt myself lead
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2117. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:51:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135151461.293706.36550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127888

>From Markie's Subject line:

"Re: more of stevie effort to deny religous freedom in the USA"

A boldfaced lie.

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > i typed them but i was feeling inspired by something wether the sprit
> > > of something be it his dead father or a whimof the gods or a bit of
> > > underdone potato
> >
> > More than likely it was do to distilled potatos.
>
> you assume I drink? why? it is another of your mistakes

I don't assume.

I know.

> > You've desecrated the memory of a dead man.
>
> not at all

Sure you have.

> or at least not by your standards stevie you can use one to make a joke

What joke?

> > > this being the case I will in there case deny that they are forgeries
> > > of N8DOS callling them instead derected wrting a reconized religious
> > > event (in pagan jewish and some christian cricles)
> >
> > No...What they are are forgeries. N8DOS has been deceased since
> > the 25th of February 1999.
>
> derected writing

"derected writing"...?!?!

That's what you call forgeries?

> siple truth stevie I typed as I felt myself lead

No...You tyoed as you thought you could use to stalk.

Steve, K4YZ

2118. more stevie bullshit threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:56:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135151811.769346.56510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127889

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> > > >>> On Tue, 20 Dec 2005 15:40:00 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > >>> wrote:
> > > >>>
> > > >>>> an old friend wrote:
> > > >>>>> likely never
> > > >>>> You make this too easy. When will you learn the spelling of the words
> > > >>>> you try to use here?
> > > >>> never but Stvei the spelling cop is subject
> > > >> Not now, it isn't.
> > > >
> > > > yes it is gues you can't read
> > >
> > > I beg to differ
> >
> > I decline to accept your begging
>
> It's not your's to decline or accept, PaganBoy.

but it is stevie
it is

dave can beg all he likes but i don't have to grant his appeal

BTW why have more of your pagan bashing

>
> > > >>> but your lack of reading skill prevented you from seeing that
> > >
> > > We'll skip your reading skills, Robert Frost.
> > >
> > > no we will not
> >
> > Yes, I believe we will
> >
> > then you are stupid but we knew that
>
> No, "we" didn't.

we did BB, frank, len, and I know dave and you are stupid
>

> > > >> When will you learn the spelling of the words you try to use here?
> > > >
> > > > again changing the subject
> > >
> > > Yes, the subject line is now changed.
> >
> > and i refuse to go along
>
> Sometime soon you will not be given a choice of whether you go
> along of your own choice or not.

more threats

more threats you can't carry out

>
> Seek help before it seeks you.

stevie if you are a Nurse and not engaged in a truely massive case of
ID theft then you would know I can't be forced to to accept "help"
without being an overt danger to myself or to others, and you should
also know I don't meet that standard. You are close I'd say you were
other that line, but I know those that make the decison push the line
further out than I would

why don't you stop with the bullshit

you do knwo your threats are idle
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2119. Re: more of stevie effort to deny religous freedom in the USA"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 07:58:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135151902.648208.145130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127890

K4YZ wrote:
> >From Markie's Subject line:
>
> "Re: more of stevie effort to deny religous freedom in the USA"
>
> A boldfaced lie.

nope the absolute truth
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > i typed them but i was feeling inspired by something wether the sprit
> > > > of something be it his dead father or a whimof the gods or a bit of
> > > > underdone potato
> > >
> > > More than likely it was do to distilled potatos.
> >
> > you assume I drink? why? it is another of your mistakes
>
> I don't assume.

yes you do
>
> I know

you don't know. I don't drink.

but you show yourself as an asshole again

>
> > > You've desecrated the memory of a dead man.
> >
> > not at all
>
> Sure you have.
nope
>
> > or at least not by your standards stevie you can use one to make a joke
>
> What joke?
yours
>
> > > > this being the case I will in there case deny that they are forgeries
> > > > of N8DOS callling them instead derected wrting a reconized religious
> > > > event (in pagan jewish and some christian cricles)

> > >
> > > No...What they are are forgeries. N8DOS has been deceased since
> > > the 25th of February 1999.
> >
> > derected writing
>
> "derected writing"...?!?!
>
> That's what you call forgeries?
>
> > siple truth stevie I typed as I felt myself lead
>
> No...You tyoed as you thought you could use to stalk.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2120. derected writing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:00:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135152040.570882.25320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127891

> > derected writing
>
> "derected writing"...?!?!
>
> That's what you call forgeries?

nope

i explained it do your reseach if you don't understand
>
> > siple truth stevie I typed as I felt myself lead
>
> No...You tyoed as you thought you could use to stalk.

I did not tyoed

nor have I have stalked you at anytime unless you were lying when you
claimed you have never stalked me

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2121. stevei admit to stalkingme

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:03:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135152182.244054.221960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127892

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> an old friend wrote:
> > > >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >>>> an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >>>>> after all they are right twice a day
> > > >>> cuting all suff not relating to the title
> > > >>>
> > > >>> look dave said nothing On topic again
> > > > cuitn everything not on the subject
> > > >
> > > > still nothing on the subject stevie lack of honesty
> > >
> > > Broken clock, Steve Robeson.
> >
> > on topic now
> >
> > Both right twice as often as our r.r.a.p. poet laureate.
> >
> > but lying about stevie
> >
> > he not right as often as a broken clock
>
> When it comes to YOU, Markie, I've been right 60 times and hour, 24
> hours a day, 365 days a year for the last eight years.

admiting that you stalk me 24/7 375

however you are wrong most of the time

and on the easy stuff

like you can't get it that I bisexual instead of homosexual. you don't
know male from female
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2122. more steaming shit from the asshole of RRAP K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:14:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135152890.074202.262660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127893

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > N8dos@grave.net is a forged screen name of one of RRAP's Most Prolific Liars:
> > > > >
> > > > > > act like the man I rasied you to be
> > > > >
> > > > > How were you "rasied", Mark. Are you the man your father expected you
> > > > > to be?
> > > >
> > > > no I am a better than he expected as a point of fact
> > >
> > > Well then...talk about low expectations.
> >
> > well he got someone better than you
>
> No, he didn't...Not if we're talking about you.

yes he did and we are talking about me
>
> Since you're bringing up parentage, ...

more lies dave brought it up can't even read the post correctly

>...may I ask if your "father"
> acutally TAUGHT you to be a lying, deceitful, dishonest twit, or was
> that an accident of mismanaged obstetrical care?

neither but you know that if you are a nurse

>
> > > Because he sure didn't get a whole lot.
> >
> > better than you after all I am more honet than you (which is not hard)
> > and far more moral than you
>
> No, Markie, you are neither.

I am both which is hands down one the easiest marks I have evr jumped
over

I don't even forge a post without anoucing it

the only thing to worry would be to be lower than you, since that is
>

> > > > > > stop your lying behavoir
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ah, behavoir. You have such a knack for French, Mr. Whitman.
> > > >
> > > > Aren't the Coneheads French?
> > > >
> > > > Maybe that's why Markie has such a hard time with English...?!?!
>
> As I was saying...!

you were bashing me disablity anand then drawing attention to your
despicatble act again
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2123. hi there from the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:18:28 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <lo3iq19t2rd4r7bcr3aeefd4e2om7cgao6@4ax.com>
Source record: 127920

stvie who I am posting under just l;oves flamewars that is why he
reufses to be merely rude and instaed is down right despeitcable
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2124. stevie lies stil more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:23:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135153437.848157.294780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127894

stveie thinks anybody he does not like is drunk what an asshole

2125. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:27:17 UTC
From: spam.for.the@buerotiger.de
Message-ID: <40shv5F1bo5ovU1@individual.net>
Source record: 127895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.amateur.dx an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:

[crap]

Are you DL1BMF in disguise?

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
Paul Lincke ist dem Zille sein Milhaud.

(Harry Rowohlt)

2126. stveive claims that 122 days after he contacted them that Michigan is going to sweep in and comit me on his say so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:28:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135153700.693065.118180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127896

why do you conitue this lie?

2127. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:29:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135153761.493263.192740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Peter Lemken wrote:
> In rec.radio.amateur.dx an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
>
> [crap]
>
> Are you DL1BMF in disguise?
no
>
> Peter Lemken
> DF5JT
> Berlin
>
> --
> Paul Lincke ist dem Zille sein Milhaud.
>
> (Harry Rowohlt)

2128. Re: stvei trying tohide his shit behind another nick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:31:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135153897.974159.262000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127898

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > you are whing about ti
>
> You're a regular Whitman.

why thank you dave
>
> Dave K8MN

2129. Re: stvei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:32:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135153941.258707.102690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127899

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>> stevie geberated shit that is this is
> >> Waxing poetic again, Colonel?
> >>
> > why yes are you finaly learning something Dave?
>
> i has lreaned muny things
> from raeding your pestes
> among them geberated the lark
> that is that is.
>
> > o are you trying to shock me to death
>
> Why, no, Walt Whitman, but if you have a tube-type amp, that might work.

more lying from you so much like stvei athe asshole
>
> Dave K8MN

2130. Re: ahns the achol abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:34:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135154043.968870.108650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127900

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>> abusing all that poor inocent achol
> >> That's an interesting subject line, Mark--very poetic.
> >
> > gald you like it
> >
> gald indeed sayeth the evening twit

gee you are an ungratefull wrech thank the guy and what does he do but
get insulting
>
> gald to hear it pleezeth you

yuo are welcome
>
> no time to check the spelling of the wurdz

and no need to bother

>
> my lbirary buk is overdoo

then deal with it at once since you are threfore stealing from the
public
>
> Dave K8MN

2131. If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:34:22 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135154062.667473.109580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127901
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A joke for the Winter Solstice season, largely known
as "Christmas" because the Christians have shown their
true mettle over the years by burning at the stake those
who opposed them, and yet who have parasitised so
much of their opponents' beliefs such as Yule Logs,
Holly, Ivy, sacred sites and the choice of this time to
year, all as a means of insidious propaganda.

What a truly nasty sect are the Christians! (They even
went so far as to torture those who claimed to be
Christian but who objected to rule from
Rome, seeming to lose sight of the fact that the origins are
not in Rome but in Palestinianstan!)

If the Christian god really exists, then he must have a pretty
sick sense of humour - sending that Tsunami last Boxing
Day. He must also be pretty thick - in an age that has
encompassed the postal service, carrier pigeons, heliographs,
semaphore, telegraphy, telephones, radio, television, GSM,
GPS, Satellite TV, and the Internet - when he wants to
communicate with humanity he sends a tsunami? That God
seems as thick as those who believe in him!

Anyway, to keep this on topic, taking the lead from
the title of the thread.....

Q. If the answer is, "Cockrobin", what is the question?
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|

|
|
|

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

2132. more proof of stevie double standard as if it were need

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:36:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135154184.358067.146170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog, but
> > support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
> did you get stveie permission to use the word jeep that way

a full posting cycle from stvei and yet Hans is allowed to make up
words without a care from Stevie

just more proof stevie is the Asshole of RRAP

2133. more hate from "polymath"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:37:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135154268.494023.154810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127903
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> A joke for the Winter Solstice season, largely known
> as "Christmas" because the Christians have shown their
> true mettle over the years by burning at the stake those
> who opposed them, and yet who have parasitised so
> much of their opponents' beliefs such as Yule Logs,
> Holly, Ivy, sacred sites and the choice of this time to
> year, all as a means of insidious propaganda.
>
cuting the bullshit

why do you bother asshole

2134. why does stevie want the flame war to ciontiue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:49:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135154965.661418.243090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127904

why does stevie refuse to pay the price of stoping his forgeries stop
his lying and be even merely uncivil instad of the lying SoS we all
know and loathe

I can only guess becuase it would leave him nothing to post on RRAP if
he had to tell the truth and be the upstanding man he claims he is

2135. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:50:44 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135155043.983039.198810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127905
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I don't celebrate and have withdrawn from the prezzie cycle
for some years because it's a most difficult time for alcoholics
and the time of year when one is most likely to have a "slip"
(as it is termed in AA) if one is not on one's guard all the time.

However, as I have to find humour from within myself and not
rely on some external drug, on the occasions when I do
go to social gatherings, I am accused of being the drunkest
person present!

So, alcoholism has one big advantage - I don't pay out loads
of shekels to give to other people loads of things they don't
really want and get in return loads of things that I don't
really want! The spare cash that I have goes to the
purchase of components that I do want!

PS.There's a new condom on the market this Christmas, the
"Sant Claus" model.

It's 6 foot long!

Why? Because he only "comes" once a year, and when he
does, he fills up your stockings!

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On 21 Dec 2005 00:34:22 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
> <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote:
>
> What's Santa bringing you this year, Gareth?

2136. why must ham radio have clases of liecen what tdo we get except the devisive bullshit arists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:51:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135155062.239261.199920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127906
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On 21 Dec 2005 00:34:22 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
> <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
> What's Santa bringing you this year, Gareth?

I hope he skips him after all his hate does not deserve even coal coal
is valueble
>
> 73 de Wlat
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

2137. Re: more hate from "polymath"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:52:11 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135155130.998761.203730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127907
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you wish to be ever perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer),
then carry right on with the Childish Broadcasting (CB) such
as you utter below.

Grow up!

Stupid boy.

an old friend wrote:
> >
> cuting the bullshit
>
> why do you bother asshole

2138. Re: why must ham radio have clases of liecen what tdo we get except the devisive bullshit arists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:56:52 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135155412.339697.84900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127908
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"coal coal"?

ITYM "Coca Cola"!

PS. Did you hear about the dyslexic alcoholic?

He drowned in a pool of VIMTO!

(For the benefit of the unchristian Yankland warmongers, "VIMTO" is
a Britland children's drink. (As are,
"Alcopops" these days, by alla accounts!))

an old friend wrote:
> Walt Davidson wrote:
> > On 21 Dec 2005 00:34:22 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
> > <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote:
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> > What's Santa bringing you this year, Gareth?
>
> I hope he skips him after all his hate does not deserve even coal coal
> is valueble
> >
> > 73 de Wlat
> >
> > --
> > Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

2139. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:57:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135155459.998063.217840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127909

an old friend wrote:
> why does stevie refuse to pay the price of stoping his forgeries stop
> his lying and be even merely uncivil instad of the lying SoS we all
> know and loathe

Markie, YOU are the ONLY one perpetrating any "forgeries" here,
witness your blatant use of my mine, my wife's and deceased father's
callsigns as Google aliases.

> I can only guess becuase it would leave him nothing to post on RRAP if
> he had to tell the truth and be the upstanding man he claims he is

There's only one person perpetrating a "flame war" here, Markie.

It's you, now with just under 600 posts all attacking me.

And if you think that no one but you can see it, you're a bigger
fool than your posts let on...

Steve, K4YZ

2140. Re: more hate from "polymath"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:58:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135155485.827568.88620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127910
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> If you wish to be ever perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer),
> then carry right on with the Childish Broadcasting (CB) such
> as you utter below.

you i don't care if you think I am CBer in point of fact I use CB when
it suits. FRS when it suits my needs and Ham Radio

all are usefull tools each has there place

something an asshole like yourself is clearly too dumb to know
>
> Grow up!

look in the mirror

you publish the lame hate week after week
>
> Stupid boy.

must be looking in the mirror
>
> an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > cuting the bullshit
> >
> > why do you bother asshole

2141. Re: why must ham radio have clases of liecen what tdo we get except the devisive bullshit arists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 08:59:38 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135155578.192302.300960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127911
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"alla"? What a (divinely inspired?) typo!!!!!!

"By all accounts"!!!!!

Pierian Spring wrote:
> "coal coal"?
>
> ITYM "Coca Cola"!
>
> PS. Did you hear about the dyslexic alcoholic?
>
> He drowned in a pool of VIMTO!
>
> (For the benefit of the unchristian Yankland warmongers, "VIMTO" is
> a Britland children's drink. (As are,
> "Alcopops" these days, by alla accounts!))
>
> an old friend wrote:
> > Walt Davidson wrote:
> > > On 21 Dec 2005 00:34:22 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
> > > <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote:
> > >
> > > [snip]
> > >
> > > What's Santa bringing you this year, Gareth?
> >
> > I hope he skips him after all his hate does not deserve even coal coal
> > is valueble
> > >
> > > 73 de Wlat
> > >
> > > --
> > > Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

2142. A Good Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 09:00:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135155605.463463.226430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127912
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > A joke for the Winter Solstice season, largely known
> > as "Christmas" because the Christians have shown their
> > true mettle over the years by burning at the stake those
> > who opposed them, and yet who have parasitised so
> > much of their opponents' beliefs such as Yule Logs,
> > Holly, Ivy, sacred sites and the choice of this time to
> > year, all as a means of insidious propaganda.
> >
> cuting the bull####
>
> why do you bother ###hole

Good question, Markie.

Perian, why DO you bother Markie?

Steve, K4YZ

2143. more stemain shit straight from the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 09:02:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135155757.845551.235940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127913

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > why does stevie refuse to pay the price of stoping his forgeries stop
> > his lying and be even merely uncivil instad of the lying SoS we all
> > know and loathe
>
> Markie, YOU are the ONLY one perpetrating any "forgeries" here,
> witness your blatant use of my mine, my wife's and deceased father's
> callsigns as Google aliases.

nope you have been for weeks I just choose tojion you to make the point

you canstopp it anytime byagreeing to merely rude
>
> > I can only guess becuase it would leave him nothing to post on RRAP if
> > he had to tell the truth and be the upstanding man he claims he is
>
> There's only one person perpetrating a "flame war" here, Markie.

yes there is currently and you can stop it by just agreeing to be
merely rude
>
> It's you, now with just under 600 posts all attacking me.

if you say so I will not quiible but so what I will use as many posts
as I choose
>
> And if you think that no one but you can see it, you're a bigger
> fool than your posts let on...

more stupid shit from the asshole of RRAP

I think the whole NG except you and Dave understand exactly what is
going on

and they know you could stop it but agreeingto stop your foregeies of
posts your lying your threats, your gay bashing you pagan bashing your
spreading of hate
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2144. Re:FAQ What is Xmas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 09:04:26 UTC
From: Krusty <nospam@please.com>
Message-ID: <uU8qf.4621$f7.3560@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 127914
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135155043.983039.198810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I don't celebrate and have withdrawn from the prezzie cycle
> for some years because it's a most difficult time for alcoholics
> and the time of year when one is most likely to have a "slip"
> (as it is termed in AA) if one is not on one's guard all the time.
>

My personal reasonal reasons for celebrating Christmas is to look back and on my previous 12 months achievements, also to take time out from a busy work schedule (the aerospace industry doesn't run itself you know!!) and spend time amongst friends and family. Even exchanging gifts as a token of appreciation for being there thru the ups and downs of the past year and to look forward to whatever the future holds. A beer here, a spirit there and the odd mince pie does no harm.Indeed, a wondorous time for even the strongest disbelievers!

....and a good excused to get pissed for a week and a bit!!!

2145. the true asshole of RRap priate another thread for his hate athon and act stupid in the baragn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 09:05:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135155904.044634.324820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127915
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Pierian Spring wrote:
> > > A joke for the Winter Solstice season, largely known
> > > as "Christmas" because the Christians have shown their
> > > true mettle over the years by burning at the stake those
> > > who opposed them, and yet who have parasitised so
> > > much of their opponents' beliefs such as Yule Logs,
> > > Holly, Ivy, sacred sites and the choice of this time to
> > > year, all as a means of insidious propaganda.
> > >
> > cuting the bull####
> >
> > why do you bother ###hole

more the forgery that you are famous for stevie and the one of the
reasons for the flamewar
>
> Good question, Markie.
>
> Perian, why DO you bother Markie?

why isn't stevie smart enough to ask the right person

asshole
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2146. Re: why must ham radio have clases of liecen what tdo we get except the devisive bullshit arists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 09:12:06 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135156326.594787.238510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127916
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And an interesting revelation recently was that the
red-coated Daddy Xmas isn't traditional (He was
originally GREEN, and an extension of the Celt's
interest in the evergreen trees, those that did not die
and that therefore were immortal).

The red colouring ("coloring" for the benefit of
the unchristian warmongers of Yankland) came
about as a Coca Cola advert!

Pierian Spring wrote:
> "coal coal"?
>
> ITYM "Coca Cola"!

2147. More Markie Muarkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 09:13:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135156437.065791.81120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127917

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > why does stevie refuse to pay the price of stoping his forgeries stop
> > > his lying and be even merely uncivil instad of the lying SoS we all
> > > know and loathe
> >
> > Markie, YOU are the ONLY one perpetrating any "forgeries" here,
> > witness your blatant use of my mine, my wife's and deceased father's
> > callsigns as Google aliases.
>
> nope you have been for weeks I just choose tojion you to make the point

The only "point" you've made is that you have mental health issues
that are not addressed by proper therapy.

> you canstopp it anytime byagreeing to merely rude

Was that a sentence?

As for anyone being "rude", I guess the blatant theft of others
callsigns for use as Yahoo aliases pretty well puts YOU right in the
middle of it.

> > > I can only guess becuase it would leave him nothing to post on RRAP if
> > > he had to tell the truth and be the upstanding man he claims he is
> >
> > There's only one person perpetrating a "flame war" here, Markie.
>
> yes there is currently and you can stop it by just agreeing to be
> merely rude

You're the one being rude, Markie...Withness the 600 posts, most
of which are proliferated with death threats, profanites and stolen
e-mail aliases.

All from YOU keyboard.

> > It's you, now with just under 600 posts all attacking me.
>
> if you say so I will not quiible but so what I will use as many posts
> as I choose

Sure you will.

And in the process continue to demonstrate your mental defect and
anti-social behaviour.

> > And if you think that no one but you can see it, you're a bigger
> > fool than your posts let on...
>
> more stupid #### from the ###hole of RRAP

More proof of my above allegations of YOUR rudeness and mental defect.

> I think the whole NG except you and Dave understand exactly what is
> going on

Yes, Markie...The whole NG INCLUDING Dave and I understand EXACTLY what is going on.

You're a functionally illiterate, mentally challenged, socially inept little dweeb whose whole life pivots around being a meaner, more deceitful, dishonest troll.

> and they know you could stop it but agreeingto stop your foregeies of
> posts your lying your threats, your gay bashing you pagan bashing your
> spreading of hate

No lies. No threats. No gay bashing. No pagan bashing. No spreading of hate.

Just showing you to be the aforementioned idiot....And YOU just keep adding more and more to the "proof" pile.

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

2148. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 09:18:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135156702.947795.288880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127918
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Pierian Spring wrote:
> > > > A joke for the Winter Solstice season, largely known
> > > > as "Christmas" because the Christians have shown their
> > > > true mettle over the years by burning at the stake those
> > > > who opposed them, and yet who have parasitised so
> > > > much of their opponents' beliefs such as Yule Logs,
> > > > Holly, Ivy, sacred sites and the choice of this time to
> > > > year, all as a means of insidious propaganda.
> > > >
> > > cuting the bull####
> > >
> > > why do you bother ###hole
> >
> more the forgery that you are famous for stevie and the one of the
> reasons for the flamewar

There's no forgery here, Markie.

I simply choose to not promulgate your profanities.

> > Good question, Markie.
> >
> > Perian, why DO you bother Markie?
> >
> why isn't stevie smart enough to ask the right person

I DID ask the right person, Markie.

You said (to Pierian) "why do you bother ###hole"

And I agreed...I asked Pierian why he was bothering you...That WAS
your question...

> ###hole

Sober up, PaganBoy. You're talking about yourself again.

Steve, K4YZ

2149. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 09:21:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135156881.771096.169500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog, but
> > > support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
> > did you get stveie permission to use the word jeep that way
>
> a full posting cycle from stvei and yet Hans is allowed to make up
> words without a care from Stevie

Hans didn't "make up" any words. Every word he use can be found
in any standard dictionary.

> just more proof stevie is the ###hole of RRAP

Just more proof you're the drunken sloth of RRAP.

Steve, K4YZ

2150. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 09:31:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135157475.264304.140260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127921

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > We can start withn YOUR "forged" use of e-mail aliases that don't
> > > > > > belong to you, and follow it up with your "tag" line that includes
> > > > > > false allegeations of abuse, etc, and phone numbers that don't belong
> > > > > > to anyone in this forum.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no false alegation about you
> > > > >
> > > > Sure it is.
> > > >
> > > not a word false
> > >
> > Absolutley false...The phone number being the least of them.
> >
> not a flase word in ###

You're a hoot, Illiterate One!

> > > > > you do falsely accuse people of stuff
> > > > >
> > > > Not so far.
> > > >
> > > yes you have mde false accsution of childabuse elder abuse pedophilia
> > > homesexuality and hive status
> > >
> > "made" "accusation" "child abuse" "homosexuality"
> >
> > And "hive" status...?!?!
> >
> > I claimed you were a bee???
> >
> more lies

Nope.

YOU claimed I made some claims about your hive status. Scroll up
and see for yourslef.

I've NEVER discussed your hive.

> > > > > and the number listed belongs to YOU according the Phone Company
> > > > >
> > > > Nope. It doesn't. It hasn't "belonged" to me for a year.
> > > >
> > > not whta they said
> > >
> > Lies, lies, Markie loves his lies.
> >
> that is from the computers of the phonesystem

No it't not.

It's from old Google or Yahoo archives which are NOT the "Phone
Company".

YOU claimed it was "the Phone Company" which insisted that that is
my phone number.

It's not.

> > Dial the number, PutzBoy, and see what you get!
> >
> no thanks what I will gte is giving you the chance to get MY phone
> nuber through caller ID
> >
> if the number is wrong then I am harming no one

Not true.

By publishing the number you've exposed an innocent third party to
harrassment that they have no reason why they'd be getting it.

You HAVE harmed people.

> > > > > BTW, Markie...Do you know the name of the local "Phone
> > > > > Company"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > nope and I don't care
> > > >
> > > You don't know because you never called.
> > >
> > never said I called them
> > >
> > looked you up on the internet ever heard of that

No....

YOU, MARK MORGAN, said that the PHONE COMPANY said that that is my
phone number.

You were, again, caught in a lie of your own making.

> > You don't know because you're making up stories as you go.
> >
> I don't know because I don't need to know

You don't know because you were making the story up as you went
along.

> > > > > > That's just for CURRENT events.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Then we can go to your retasking of subject lines with
> > > > > > > profanities.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > whci is not ilegal or imoral
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > it is simply being honest about the subject
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No...it's being profane..
> > > > >
> > > > > so deal with it
> > >
> > I am dealing with it.
>
> you are whing about ti

Nope.

No more than YOU are "whing" about "flamewars"...to the tune of
600 posts now.

That's a LOT of "whing".

> > By feeding your behaviour back to you.
> >
> > > idealy by growing up
> >
> > I'm already there.
>
> prehaps but nobody reading RRAP could tel

Sure they can.

> > YOU have a ways to go.
> >
> > > >.Which is to say childish and
> > > > irresponsible.
> > >
> > > IYO
> > >
> > > not mine
> >
> > And everyone else who's cared to render an opinion.
>
> more lies

Nope.

> > > > But then we ARE talking about YOU!
> > >

> > > nope wrong again
> >
> > Uhhhhh...Yes, Markie...we ARE talking about YOU!

And we're STILL talking about you...

BTW, Markie. where's the alleged "false nick" I am supposedly
hiding behind?

Steve, K4YZ

2151. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 10:06:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135159617.539487.227190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127922

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>> stevie geberated shit that is this is
> >> Waxing poetic again, Colonel?
> >>
> > why yes are you finaly learning something Dave?
>
> i has lreaned muny things
> from raeding your pestes
> among them geberated the lark
> that is that is.

ka-BAAAAAAAAAM!

It takes work to do that!~ I tried to mimic Markie's dreck once,
but it hurt!

> > o are you trying to shock me to death
>
> Why, no, Walt Whitman, but if you have a tube-type amp, that might work.

Don't get my hopes up, Dave...Not this close to Christmas.

Steve, K4YZ

2152. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 10:10:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135159835.293707.275340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127923

steverobeson@yahoo.com was forged and illegally used by MArk Morgan,
KB9RQZ:

> stvie who I am posting under just l;oves flamewars that is why he
> reufses to be merely rude and instaed is down right despeitcable

Mark...T R Y S O B R I E T Y....If you won't learn proper
English, at least you can clean your dreck up a bit by being sober when
you post...Even if it IS under a stolen e-mail address.

Steve, K4YZ

2153. Re: stveive claims that 122 days after he contacted them that Michigan is going to sweep in and comit me on his say so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 10:13:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135160010.791121.27080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127924

an old friend wrote:
> why do you conitue this lie?

>From Markie's Subject Line:

"Re: stveive claims that 122 days after he contacted them that Michigan
is going to sweep in and comit me on his say so"

The question is, Markie, when are you going to stop YOURS...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2154. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 10:16:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135160195.055293.222930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127925

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > When it comes to YOU, Markie, I've been right 60 times and hour, 24
> > hours a day, 365 days a year for the last eight years.
>
> admiting that you stalk me 24/7 375

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHA
!!!

2155. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 10:17:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135160261.012859.42020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127926

an old friend wrote:
> why do you conitue this lie?
>From Markie's Subject Line:

"Re: stveive claims that 122 days after he contacted them that Michigan

is going to sweep in and comit me on his say so"

The question is, Markie, when are you going to stop YOURS...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2156. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 10:31:18 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135161078.520645.90890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127927
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> What's Santa bringing you this year, Gareth?

A fresh supply of lithium carbonate, hopefully.

2157. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 11:08:37 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135163317.378183.222730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127928
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you wish ever to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then carry right on with your Childish Broadcasting (CB) such
as you utter below.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Walt Davidson wrote:
> > What's Santa bringing you this year, Gareth?
>
> A fresh supply of lithium carbonate, hopefully.

2158. Re: why can'tstevie be as usefull as broken clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 11:16:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135163791.320468.252910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127929

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> an old friend wrote:
> > > >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >>>> an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >>>>> after all they are right twice a day
> > > >>> cuting all suff not relating to the title
> > > >>>
> > > >>> look dave said nothing On topic again
> > > > cuitn everything not on the subject
> > > >
> > > > still nothing on the subject stevie lack of honesty
> > >
> > > Broken clock, Steve Robeson.
> >
> > on topic now
> > >
> > > Both right twice as often as our r.r.a.p. poet laureate.
> >
> > but lying about stevie
> >
> > he not right as often as a broken clock
>
> When it comes to YOU, Markie, I've been right 60 times and hour, 24
> hours a day, 365 days a year for the last eight years.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Forging "raped an old friend" was right?

You're simply wrong far more often than you care to admit, but it goes
beyond that. You're despicable.

2159. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 11:17:31 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135163851.402488.24450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127930
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

To the person known variously as, "Ever
Diminishing Signal to Noise Ratio", "G4GCJ",
"Catweazel", "MJN", and "Frances Fuller"...

If you wish for ever to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then continue with your gratuitous Childish Broadcasting (CB) that
you use below.

I never troll. The poisoning of the world of Radio Hammery by
the M3/CBer and his spawn is not something to be ignored
and must be tackled at every opportunity.

Grow up FRANCES!

Stupid child.

Ever Diminishing Signal to Noise Ratio wrote:
> sigh.
> Please don't feed the Troll.

2160. Re: more hate from "polymath"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 11:32:06 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135164725.994604.236110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127931
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I must take you up on that slur, for you seem to
have misunderstood, (perhaps deliberately?) what
is being said.

There is no hate whatsoever in welcoming with
open arms all those who wish to be part of the
technical pursuit that is Ham Radio.

There is no hate in setting ourselves apart from
those who are not Radio Hams in an effort to
preserve our hard-earned rights.

There is no hate in correcting those who have been
misled by some desperate Billies-No-Mates to think
that they are Radio Hams, when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind!

No. There is no hate whatsoever in what I publish; merely
a statement of who we are, and encouragement to exert
themselves to those who would join us!

an old friend wrote:
>
> you publish the lame hate week after week

2161. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 12:02:00 UTC
From: Chaney <chaney@i12.com>
Message-ID: <Yubqf.5788$PD2.2097@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 127932
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Drunkest!!!...no such word...I'm sure it's "most drunk"...as in "most drunk person there"...

--

Chaney

Chaney's World
www.chaney.i12.com

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message news:1135155043.983039.198810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I don't celebrate and have withdrawn from the prezzie cycle
> for some years because it's a most difficult time for alcoholics
> and the time of year when one is most likely to have a "slip"
> (as it is termed in AA) if one is not on one's guard all the time.
>
> However, as I have to find humour from within myself and not
> rely on some external drug, on the occasions when I do
> go to social gatherings, I am accused of being the drunkest
> person present!
>
> So, alcoholism has one big advantage - I don't pay out loads
> of shekels to give to other people loads of things they don't
> really want and get in return loads of things that I don't
> really want! The spare cash that I have goes to the
> purchase of components that I do want!
>
> PS.There's a new condom on the market this Christmas, the
> "Sant Claus" model.
>
> It's 6 foot long!
>
> Why? Because he only "comes" once a year, and when he
> does, he fills up your stockings!
>
>
>
> Walt Davidson wrote:
>> On 21 Dec 2005 00:34:22 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
>> <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>> What's Santa bringing you this year, Gareth?
>

2162. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 12:05:02 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dobgae$1f8$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 127933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

// snip //

> at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems
> >
> > ....wow....
> >
> > Happy Holidays!
> >
> > --kw

Youve noticed that too then eh? Tell me, out of curiosity, are you an
Amateur or a CB'er?

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· ·_· ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

2163. When does channel changing DSSS become hybrid DSSS/FHSS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 12:51:24 UTC
From: blurp@tampabay.rr.com
Message-ID: <1135169484.391829.59340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127934

Does anyone know how frequently a DSSS system may change channels? At some point it has to resemble a frequency hopping system enough that it falls under the rules reguarding hybrid DSSS/FHSS systems.

47 CFR Part 15 section 15.247(g) does say that "a [hybrid]system employing short transmission bursts must comply with the definition of a frequency hopping system and must distribute its transmissions over the minimum number of hopping channels specified in this section. "

This seems to suggest at least that a bursty DSSS system that changes channels must follow the rules for FH.

Can anyone out there clarify things?

2164. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 12:56:44 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <YOmdnVyxA_2XzDTenZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 127935
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> A joke for the Winter Solstice season, largely known
> as "Christmas" because the Christians have shown their
> true mettle over the years by burning at the stake those
> who opposed them, and yet who have parasitised so
> much of their opponents' beliefs such as Yule Logs,
> Holly, Ivy, sacred sites and the choice of this time to
> year, all as a means of insidious propaganda.
>
> What a truly nasty sect are the Christians! (They even
> went so far as to torture those who claimed to be
> Christian but who objected to rule from
> Rome, seeming to lose sight of the fact that the origins are
> not in Rome but in Palestinianstan!)
>

Readers may like to be reminded that Gareth was once a very devout Christian
(look up the archives).

What happened, Gareth baby? Did they make you an outcast? Where you not
wanted?

Awwww
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2165. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 13:00:47 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <0dGdnTWvBONjzDTenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 127936
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

huLLy wrote:
>
> Readers may like to be reminded that Gareth was once a very devout
> Christian (look up the archives).
>
> What happened, Gareth baby? Did they make you an outcast? Where you
> not wanted?
>
> Awwww

Having thought about this, I suspect that he wandered into church one Sunday
so drunk that he threw up all over the vicar. Ah yes, everything else just
falls into place now...
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2166. Re: why must ham radio have clases of liecen what tdo we get except the devisive bullshit arists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 13:46:42 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135172801.959020.135660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127937
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> The red colouring ("coloring" for the benefit of
> the unchristian warmongers of Yankland) came
> about as a Coca Cola advert!

Yet more (G4) Sad Drivel from Wiltshire? See
http://www.snopes.com/cokelore/santa.asp

It's not the class of licence the amateur holds, it's the class of
amateur who holds the licence.

2167. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 14:06:26 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <faoiq195tlrihj6oijuqtvjutrs2m88iuf@4ax.com>
Source record: 127938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

No one cares, moron.

>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>
>by
>
>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
>(written in the third person)
>
>
>I have just finished word for word reading of The Life of Riley,
>including all footnotes, dated March, 2005. There are various and
>very serious defects in this otherwise very informative and basically
>correct document. Riley and ND8V need to go, but Karol feels that
>K1MAN will go instead. No so. K1MAN is too smart for Riley, ND8V,
>K3VR, AND Karol. K1MAN's weapon is the U.S. Constitution. For
>K1MAN this is child's play.
>
>
>FIRST
>
>The most serious fault regards the concept of "frequency ownership."
>The problem with Karol is that the Polish calling frequency
>on 14.273 MHz. came into conflict with K1MAN and IARN on 14.275 MHz.
>beginning in 1985 with IARN emergency operations and then in 1987 with
>K1MAN Amateur Information Bulletins. One main purpose of the
>information bulletins was to "establish" 14.275 MHz. as an emergency
>frequency so the contesters would not wipe out IARN emergency
>operations due to lack of knowledge. The Polish calling frequency
>on 14.273 MHz. is too close, and the logical thing to do would be to
>move to 14.272 MHz. or ask IARN (and the Bermuda Net, of course) to
>move to 14.276 MHz. Another idea would be to move the Polish calling
>frequency to 14.272.5 MHz. and ask IARN (and the Bermuda Net, of
>course) to move to 14.275.5 MHz.
>
>I do remember some discussions with representatives from the Polish
>group, and their position seemed to be "We will not move, you move..."
>or something to that effect.
>
>Now, the FCC rule of using the spectrum most efficiently kicks in. If
>IARN moved to 14.276 MHz., there would still be a problem with the
>Bermuda Net who opted to have K1MAN's license revoked with the eager

>assistance of ARRL instead of moving themselves or working out a
>mutually acceptable schedule. Etc. K1MAN has always tried to
>negotiate in good faith in order to be within the FCC rule of
>cooperating with respect to selection of frequency. It is hard for
>a bona fide bulletin station or a bona fide emergency organization
>with substantial track record (such as IARN) to come up short in such
>negotiations.
>
>The smart thing for the Polish to do would be to slide down to
>14.272 MHz. When K1MAN took two years off (to teach) from 14.725
>MHz., ND8V and associates jumped from the "zoo" on 13.313 MHz. onto
>the 12 year K1MAN established 14.275 MHz., and the turf war began.
>Sorry, but ND8V was right. 14.273 MHz. IS too close to the more
>heavily occupied 14.275 MHz. (by more than one group). The Polish
>were outnumbered, and common sense still called for the Polish to
>slide down.
>
>Recently, Karol tried to slide K1MAN to 14.274 MHz., just occupied
>nearby by ND8V on 14.273 MHz. ND8V was wrong to be on 14.273 MHz.
>(just as the Polish are wrong to be on 14.273 MHz.), and K1MAN would
>be wrong to slide to 14.274 MHz. Two wrongs don't make a right, and
>Karol should realize that such poor practive by K1MAN could easily be
>translated (in the current K1MAN/FCC legal environment) to criminal
>proseedings against K1MAN by "Rot Riley" Hollingsworth, FCC Special
>Counsel. Was Karol also sick on the day they taught law at law
>school?
>
>The "Life of Riley" asks Hollingsworth to get into a juvenile
>frequency dispute turf battle which "Rot Riley" played to the hilt
>with his campaign against K1MAN (with IARN, AARA, and information
>bulletins barley mentioned at all by Karol - what's with that?).
>Sure, Riley is corrupt, and sure, ND8V is "enabled" by Riley to break
>the law, Mike's reward for his cooperation to nab K1MAN. Same deal
>was implemented with KV4FZ. Then KV4FZ was dumped into the fire
>(with WB2OTK and K1MAN, almost!) just as ND8FZ will be dumped into the
>fire with K1MAN is Riley has his way; he won't.
>
>Karol mixes his issues and the net result is his Polish friends are
>involved with something that could have been avoided years ago by
>sliding down to 14.272 MHz. where his war with bigot ND8V could
>be easily won, hands down.
>
>
>SECOND
>
>Karol is very "anti American," it seems. He has stated to me that he
>does not care about the U.S. Constitution, something I would gladly
>die to protect. (Blood is thicker than water!) Bad image for
>Karol if he want to constructively and therefore effectively criticise
>the U.S. We can take it; we need it actually! Also, ethnic slurs

>against "Red Necks" and "Stupid Land" and how dumb ALL Americans are
>is not too smart as far as amateur radio public relations goes, but
>Canadians are not too smart, are they? Hi! See what I mean?
>That stung didn't it?. Such generalizations are taken quite
>seriously by many if not most. How about "All Canadians are stupid"
>or "All Pollak's are stupid." Ouch! See what I mean?
>
>
>THIRD
>
>Personal attacks (weight, mental problems, intelligence, etc.) against
>anyone is always a sign that you are losing your fight. Not a
>winning strategy, in my view.
>
>
>FOURTH
>
>Karol needs to define his goal or goals. These are quite unclear to
>me. Two good goals would be to move the Polish to 14.272 MHz. and
>not be harassed there plus to constructively criticise America; we
>welcome a Devil's advocate. I welcome it anyway. If Karol wants
>to "nix" the U.S., he will lose. We have the aircraft carriers,
>remember? Sam and John Adams and company set up a pretty powerful
>group down here in Amarica, and we are not done yet!
>
>
>FIFTH
>
>Karol should reign in his "potty mouth" on amateur radio. It makes
>him, his military college, Poland, and Canada look very bad. His
>childish references to "sucking" or otherwise "doing" Riley in the
>bath house are illegal here in the U.S. and that would be more than
>enough for Riley to issue a Notice of Forfeiture and win big, big,
>big against K1MAN if Glenn did likewise. Make no mistake, I say that
>Riley is a cheap and corrupt polition playing ND8V like a grand piano,
>and what he does in the "bath house" is not relevant, of course.
>
>This is America. Anything goes. Market forces sort it all out.
>The current market forces dictate that potty mouth on amateur radio
>and national television is out. Even Janet Jackson's bare boob is
>out. The ancient Egyptians flaunted their boobs all the time. It
>is great to be a king, isn't it? Hi, of course.
>
>
>ND8V and K3VR were dealt on air mortal blows om 16 and 17 December
>2005. The pen is mightier than the sword!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Both can
>recover if they are smart. I still have hopes for ND8V. I am
>afraid that K3VR and Riley are toast, however. They are going down.
>
>

>FINALLY
>
>Karol can do better and fix things by acting better in public. His
>intellect and wit are awesome. Clean it up, Karol, define your
>goals, and we can make one hell of a difference in both amateur radio
>and in the lives of all of our listeners. The latter (and the new
>talk show) has the very real possibility of becoming huge and blowing
>both the FCC (rather Riley and his FCC support), K3VR, ARRL (and ND8V)
>right out of the water. Carpe diem!
>
>Trying to set me up for a weapons conflict with the government here at
>K1MAN might be aimed at illustrating your anti gun Canadian view,
>however, our Constitution prohibits advocating the overthrow of our
>government by force. Not very smart, Karol! Also, this plays right
>into the hands of Brian, the fraudulent Crow.
>
>I have all the tools I need right in our Constitution to beat those
>punks, hands down. We Americans are not as stupid as you suggest.
>You are not as smart as you think. I am playing at Level I, Riley is
>at Level II, Brian is at Level III, and you are at a distant Level IV.
>If you really think I am "going down," as you say, then you are at
>Level V or posibly as low as Level XX.
>
>I think you are all wound up with anti American sentiments and it is
>clouding your judgment. Come down and sit in my chair for a day and
>see just how smart you really are! You would go down, and hard, here
>on our U.S. playing field, and fast. Yes, you have "free" health
>care but no aircraft carriers. Your kids and adults are not in Iraq.
>Good for you. We will gladly let you switch roles with us, old pal
>from the North! We don't plan to let punks like Hitler and Stalin
>(or Saddam, or anyone else) gang rape our mothers!
>
>Don't like America? Just wait and let us hang ourselves if you
>really think we are THAT stupid. When we run out of fossil fuel we
>will all freeze anyway. eh? Except those in "stupid land!"
>
>
>73 and GL de K1MAN

Vinnie S.

2168. i did it agian (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 14:40:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <m5qiq1dijdicsic0crf9t701h2vuk6onr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 127939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
i stayd up all nihgt poasting in a drunk stuper

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2169. Re: When does channel changing DSSS become hybrid DSSS/FHSS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 15:02:13 UTC
From: Henry <henry@nonehenryboy.com>
Message-ID: <dobqon$u9h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 127940

<blurp@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:1135169484.391829.59340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Does anyone know how frequently a DSSS system may change channels?

A dozen or more times per hour.

At
> some point it has to resemble a frequency hopping system enough that it
> falls under the rules reguarding hybrid DSSS/FHSS systems.
> 47 CFR Part 15 section 15.247(g) does say that "a [hybrid]system
> employing short transmission bursts must comply with the definition
> of a frequency hopping system and must distribute its transmissions
> over the minimum number of hopping channels
> specified in this section. "

Those rules are outdated and no longer apply in this situation.

>
> This seems to suggest at least that a bursty DSSS system that changes
> channels must follow the rules for FH.
>
> Can anyone out there clarify things?
>

Just continue using your channel changing DSSS and don't worry.
Technology is growing too quickly for the FCC bureaucrats to
keep up.

73,

Henry

2170. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 15:11:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <h3siq11uc9n2ospnr7tub06jnfgj02h7k0@4ax.com>
Source record: 127941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 20 Dec 2005 20:20:59 -0800, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
wrote:

>at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems

Only a quarter? Most *people* seem to present some real ego problems,
so if only a quarter of hams do, we're a pretty well-adjusted group.

2171. Re: why must ham radio have clases of liecen what tdo we get except the devisive bullshit arists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 15:17:24 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135178244.602193.112510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127942
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you wish to perceived for ever as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer),
then carry right on with the rather sad and infantile Childish
Broadcasting (CB) such as you use below.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > The red colouring ("coloring" for the benefit of
> > the unchristian warmongers of Yankland) came
> > about as a Coca Cola advert!
>
> Yet more (G4) Sad Drivel from Wiltshire? See
> http://www.snopes.com/cokelore/santa.asp
>
> It's not the class of licence the amateur holds, it's the class of
> amateur who holds the licence.

2172. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 15:24:54 UTC
From: DrBoris <noyuckymeat@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <ateqf.37642$Cj5.21159@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 127943
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<mike@g4kfk.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135161078.520645.90890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Walt Davidson wrote:
>> What's Santa bringing you this year, Gareth?
>
> A fresh supply of lithium carbonate, hopefully.
>
More like thioridazine, chlorpromazine etc.

2173. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 15:38:20 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135179500.701146.324710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127944
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you wish the previous public perception of you as a
Childish Broadcaster (CBer) to be re-awakened, then just
carry on, as you do below, with your rather silly
and infantile Childish Broadcasts (CB).

Grow up, Andy Gilfillan!

Stupid boy.

DrBoris wrote:
> >
> More like thioridazine, chlorpromazine etc.

2174. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 15:42:18 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135179738.032256.236000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127945
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

DrBoris wrote:
> <mike@g4kfk.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1135161078.520645.90890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Walt Davidson wrote:
> >> What's Santa bringing you this year, Gareth?
> >
> > A fresh supply of lithium carbonate, hopefully.
> >
> More like thioridazine, chlorpromazine etc.

NWTAP, but his postings are more symptomatic of bipolar disorder than
of senile dementure.

Focused rage at the new licensing scheme, misdirected rantings at the
new licensees who are merely progressing through the licensing régime
as it now stands --- mixed with a manic fixation that everybody else in
the UK shares his rage, and that he alone can fix it by repetitive
posting of the same tedious drivel umpteen times a week. The other
fixation with (as he puts it) "kiddie fiddlers" is indicative of the
heightened libido often associated with manic depression.

In any case, if Wiltshire Primary Healthcare Trust can't afford the
frontal lobotamy and 4 rolls of double-thickness rubber wallpaper that
he so desparately needs, they certainly can't afford thioridazine.

73
Mike G4KFK
www.g4kfk.co.uk

2175. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 15:54:34 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135180474.530862.58650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127946
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you wish to be continued to be seen as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just continue with the rather silly and infantile tirade of
Childish Broadcasts that you utter below.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> NWTAP, but his postings are more symptomatic of bipolar disorder than
> of senile dementure.
> Focused rage at the new licensing scheme, misdirected rantings at the
> new licensees who are merely progressing through the licensing régime
> as it now stands --- mixed with a manic fixation that everybody else in
> the UK shares his rage, and that he alone can fix it by repetitive
> posting of the same tedious drivel umpteen times a week. The other
> fixation with (as he puts it) "kiddie fiddlers" is indicative of the
> heightened libido often associated with manic depression.
> In any case, if Wiltshire Primary Healthcare Trust can't afford the
> frontal lobotamy and 4 rolls of double-thickness rubber wallpaper that
> he so desparately needs, they certainly can't afford thioridazine.

2176. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 15:56:41 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135180601.856235.266600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127947
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oops, seem to have lost the cross-posted groups again!

Pierian Spring wrote:
> I am a decent, civilised law-abiding citizen. I _NEVER_
> originate personal attacks; I _NEVER_ initiate the
> behaviour of the playground; I _NEVER_ post grossly
> offensive articles purporting to be from someone else, articles
> that are severe breaches of the Malicious Communications
> Act, the Forgery Act and the Telecommunications Act. I
> _NEVER_ seek to justify infantile behaviour simply because I
> have none. I _NEVER_ proffer hypocritical apologies.
>
> It is entirely right for you to suggest that you are different
> from me. There is hope for you, yet.
>
> Leigh Preece wrote:
> > For once, despite our differences

2177. Re: more proof of stevie double standard as if it were need

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 16:49:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FIfqf.543$nu6.434@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127948

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1135154184.358067.146170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

an_old_friend wrote:
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> > My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog,
>> > but
>> > support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
>> did you get stveie permission to use the word jeep that way

> a full posting cycle from stvei and yet Hans is allowed to make up
> words without a care from Stevie
>
> just more proof stevie is the Asshole of RRAP

Four things:

Thing #1) Congratulations on a post with only ONE word spelled incorrectly
("stvei").

Thing #2) None of my words were "made up".

Thing #3) I reserve the right, so well explained by Lewis Carroll, to use words
in the fashion that suits me. See below.

"When I use a word," Humpty Dumpty said, in a rather
scornful tone, "it means just what I choose it to mean--neither
more nor less."

"The question is," said Alice, "whether you can make words
mean so many different things."

"The question is," said Humpty Dumpty," which is to be
master--that's all."

---Lewis Carroll, Through the Looking-Glass

Thing #4) I don't give a rats ass whether Steve approves of my words, or doesn't
approve.

Thing #5) (I know I said "Four things", but this is a bonus just for you.) I
damned sure don't give a rats ass whether YOU approve of my use of words. In
fact, I view your dis-approval as a positive endorsement of my choice of words.

With kindest warm wishes of the season,

de Hans, K0HB

2178. Re: why must ham radio have clases of liecen what tdo we get except the devisive bullshit arists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:17:36 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <X42dnUuJ_6ayEzTenZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 127949
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> If you wish to perceived for ever as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer),
> then carry right on with the rather sad and infantile Childish
> Broadcasting (CB) such as you use below.
>
> Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
>
> Stupid boy.
>

This is, of course, not a personal attack!
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2179. Brain Bouncing Blindly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:23:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135185822.979142.54270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127950

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?

Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.

Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you nor he can provide a single "forged" post. Not one RRAP post has been generated by me that misrepresented the author by screen name or e mail address. I don't use anonymous remailers nor do I create bogus accounts for the purpose of anonyminity.

You're welcome to try and find one, but you'll only wind up chasing your already pitiful little tail.

> You're simply wrong far more often than you care to admit, but it goes
> beyond that. You're despicable.

No, Brain, YOU are. You wish to create some veil of innocence that you can wrap yourself in all-the-while accusing others (OK...Just me...) of doing "despicable" things.

You have some serious deficits of your own to deal with, but seemingly can't come to grips with them so everyone else gets to "deal" with them.

So..."ARES"..."Unlicensed Devices"..."Somalia authorization"..."diminutives"...

Care to answer any of those?

Bad Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

2180. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:33:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135186386.657951.317150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127951

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > N8dos@grave.net is a forged screen name of one of RRAP's Most Prolific Liars:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > act like the man I rasied you to be
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How were you "rasied", Mark. Are you the man your father expected you
> > > > > > to be?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no I am a better than he expected as a point of fact
> > > > >
> > > > > Well then...talk about low expectations.
> > > >
> > > > well he got someone better than you
> > >
> > > No, he didn't...Not if we're talking about you.
> >
> > yes he did and we are talking about me

Then your male parent was short sighted and inept.

He raised a lying cheat

> > Since you're bringing up parentage, ...
>
> more lies dave brought it up can't even read the post correctly

Sure I can.

Nice try, though.

Lame, but I realize you're at your best game.

> >...may I ask if your "father"
> > acutally TAUGHT you to be a lying, deceitful, dishonest twit, or was
> > that an accident of mismanaged obstetrical care?
>
> neither but you know that if you are a nurse

My being a Nurse has nothing to do with whether you were ineptly
raised or the victim of poor obstetrical care.

> > > > Because he sure didn't get a whole lot.
> > >
> > > better than you after all I am more honet than you (which is not hard)
> > > and far more moral than you
> >
> > No, Markie, you are neither.
>
> I am both which is hands down one the easiest marks I have evr jumped
> over

There are many things you've "jumped over". Actually "skipped"
over would be more apt...You've skipped over being honest, truthful,
and trustworthy.

> I don't even forge a post without anoucing it

Sure you do. Did it for days with W5AMY before you made your
excuses for doing it.

> the only thing to worry would be to be lower than you, since that is

You need to learn how to complete your thoughts when trying to
express yourself in a written sentence, PaganBoy.

> > > > > > > stop your lying behavoir
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ah, behavoir. You have such a knack for French, Mr. Whitman.
> > > > >
> > > > Aren't the Coneheads French?
> > > >
> > > Maybe that's why Markie has such a hard time with English...?!?!
> >
> > As I was saying...!
>
> you were bashing me disablity anand then drawing attention to your
> despicatble act again

You're not disabled. You're lazy and stupid. That you've
corrected my very infrequent typos is clear evidence that your alleged
dyslexia is a sham.

A lie.

Steve, K4YZ

2181. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:36:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135186613.317168.75710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127952

nobodys_old_friend wrote (without attributing the other comments in her post):

> > > derected writing
> >
> > "derected writing"...?!?!
> >
> > That's what you call forgeries?
>
> nope
>
> i explained it do your reseach if you don't understand

No, what you did was attempt to evoke the memory of my deceased father for your own gratification.

> > > siple truth stevie I typed as I felt myself lead
> >
> > No...You tyoed as you thought you could use to stalk.
>
> I did not tyoed

Proof that your excuse of dyslexia is a sham.

> nor have I have stalked you at anytime unless you were lying when you
> claimed you have never stalked me

Of course you're stalking, Markie. We've had you admit to same in the last week.

Steve, K4YZ

2182. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:41:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135186897.000331.126770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127953

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > >From Markie's Subject line:
> >
> > "Re: more of stevie effort to deny religous freedom in the USA"
> >
> > A boldfaced lie.
>
> nope the absolute truth

Nope.

Unless you can provide some documentation where I've ever
prevented you from attending the services of your choice, denied you
access to materials with which to practice your faith, or otherwise
impeded your access to those resources.

Calling you "PaganBoy" is none of those.

> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > i typed them but i was feeling inspired by something wether the sprit
> > > > > of something be it his dead father or a whimof the gods or a bit of
> > > > > underdone potato
> > > >
> > > > More than likely it was do to distilled potatos.
> > >
> > > you assume I drink? why? it is another of your mistakes
> >
> > I don't assume.
>
> yes you do

Nope.

> > I know
>
> you don't know. I don't drink.

Sure you do. You should make sure your hide your trash better.

> but you show yourself as an ###hole again

I look like one of your relatives...?!?!?

> > > > You've desecrated the memory of a dead man.
> > >
> > > not at all
> >
> > Sure you have.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > > or at least not by your standards stevie you can use one to make a joke
> >
> > What joke?
>
> yours

The joke was staring at you in the mirror, Markie.

Pretty sad looking, wasn't it...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2183. Re: Mark Morgan Admits Spamming Group, Demands Answer, Get's It, Then Goes On Anyway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:50:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135187405.216440.156280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127954

N8dos@grave.net wrote:

N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.

N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.

This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

Steve, K4YZ

2184. Re: End Of The Day~~Markie Continues Stalking Threads

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:51:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135187473.274547.289310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127955

N8dos@grave.net wrote:

N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.

N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.

This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

Steve, K4YZ

2185. Re: Markie lies about stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:52:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135187521.166412.129680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N8dos@grave.net wrote:

N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.

N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.

This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

Steve, K4YZ

2186. Re: hans stop following my sons evil ways

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:52:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135187571.554300.166570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127957

N8dos@grave.net wrote:

N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.

N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.

This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

Steve, K4YZ

2187. Re: rmmebr the past oh evil and deceitful son

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:53:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135187629.893206.67450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127958

N8dos@grave.net wrote:

N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.

N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.

This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

Steve, K4YZ

2188. Re: oh son would I haunt you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:54:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135187677.374390.67910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127959

N8dos@grave.net wrote:

N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.

N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.

This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

Steve, K4YZ

2189. Re: more aplogies for my ###hole hubby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:56:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135187814.223922.84360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127960

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:

The W5AMY@arrl.net screen name has been stolen by Mark C. Morgan,
KB9RQZ.

Mark is a known pathologiocal liar and now thief.

Steve, K4YZ

2190. Re: is dave realy that dumb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:58:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135187919.886469.186860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127961

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:

The W5AMY@arrl.net screen name has been stolen by Mark C. Morgan,
KB9RQZ.

Mark is a known pathological liar and now thief.

Steve, K4YZ

2191. Re: does stevie think their ais a fee per thread chrage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 17:59:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135187992.333788.157190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127962

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:

The W5AMY@arrl.net screen name has been stolen by Mark C. Morgan,
KB9RQZ.

Mark is a known pathological liar and now thief.

Steve, K4YZ

2192. Re: stevei agrees he is out of control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 18:00:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135188055.988088.195630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127963

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:

The W5AMY@arrl.net screen name has been stolen by Mark C. Morgan,
KB9RQZ.

Mark is a known pathological liar and now thief.

Steve, K4YZ

2193. Re: answer the mother ####ing question coward <<< Makes You Want To Run Right Out And Join AMSAT, eh...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 18:07:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135188422.629766.22000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127964

an Old friend wrote:
> Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
> sexuality is relavant to radio

I never did make that claim, Markie.

And I am sure that AMSAT is proud to have people like you
"representing" it with your misuse of thier e-mail address.

Steve, K4YZ

2194. Re: answer the ####ing question coward <<< I Bet AMSAT is Proud To Be Represented By KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 18:09:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135188549.484313.317720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127965

an Old friend wrote:
> when will Stevie explain how my posting in pesonal gruop makes calling
> BB gay ralvant to radio

I never made that claim.

You did.

Steve, K4YZ

2195. Frankie Gilliland, The Court Martialed Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 18:18:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135189092.058178.61770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127966

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 20 Dec 2005 11:02:58 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1135105378.903894.210710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> > I'm pointing out that everyone, including your Feeble Five
> >bretheren, are laughing at you.
>
> The only thing more idiotic than someone who posts a hundred new
> threads each day is the idiot who responds to each and every one of
> them.

And who would that be? I've certainly not.

> Here's an old joke I used to play on morons like you:

The "joke" played herein, Frankie, has been the suggestion that
you were a Marine.

You made it through boot camp alright, only to be proven a coward,
attested to by your own "testimony".

Now we ALL know.

But thanks for pointing out to Markie that even his Feeble Five
bretheren consider him an idiot. At least he's in comfortable company.

Steve, K4YZ

2196. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 18:46:44 UTC
From: guv <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <40tm8iF1bp5e0U1@individual.net>
Source record: 127967
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135154062.667473.109580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

<Snip shit>

Fuck off, Evans.

2197. More Markie Mularkie...Some Remedial Math For Markie..And An Awakening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 18:51:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135191062.305630.274360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127968

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > I decline to accept your begging
> > >
> > It's not your's to decline or accept, PaganBoy.
> >
> but it is stevie
> it is
> >
> dave can beg all he likes but i don't have to grant his appeal
> >
> BTW why have more of your pagan bashing

I'm not bashing Pagans.

I'm ridiculing an idiot who happens to BE a pagan...Much to the chagrin of many HONEST pagans, I am sure.

> > > then you are stupid but we knew that
> > >
> > No, "we" didn't.
> >
> we did BB, frank, len, and I know dave and you are stupid

Lessee....

You're a proven (and admitted) pathological liar with a penchant for theft, alcoholism, and a whole long list of acts of deceit and misconduct.

"BB" has a laundry-list of unanswerd questions about statements he's made over the last 6 years, most of which include dishonest or intentionally deceitful comments he's made. Many of those were so ludicrous and stupid on the face of them, then made even MORE ludicrous by his attempts to "defend" them. "Somalia", "ARES", "unlicensed devices", "MARS", etc etc etc...

"frank" is a disgraced, twice court martialed ex-Marine who claims to be buddies with Oliver North and has "resources you can't even begin to understand", but can't seem to verify public let alone privileged USMC information. In other words, he's been caught lying. He's admitted some of his errors in this very forum. I only give him small "slack" for having owned up to his court martials, but he's still liar and a coward.

And "len"...Len's been caught lying more times than YOU have, and
that's no small feat. Lennie has lied about individuals in this group,
lied about conditions of his Army service, lied about radio policies
and, well, just lied.

So....Let me add this up: Zero (Mark's opinion) plus Zero ("BB's
opinion) plus Zero ("frank's" opinion") plus Zero ("len's" opinion)
equals....ZERO! Multiply that by Todd's opinion and we have Zero to
the Zero power!

> > > > >> When will you learn the spelling of the words you try to use here?
> > > > >
> > > > > again changing the subject
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, the subject line is now changed.
> > > >
> > > and i refuse to go along
> > >
> > Sometime soon you will not be given a choice of whether you go
> > along of your own choice or not.
>
> more threats
>
> more threats you can't carry out

It's not for me to carry out.

> > Seek help before it seeks you.
>
> stevie if you are a Nurse and not engaged in a truely massive case of
> ID theft then you would know I can't be forced to to accept "help"
> without being an overt danger to myself or to others, and you should
> also know I don't meet that standard.

Sure you can, Markie.

Refer to Michigan's "Kevin's Law".

You've uttered a death threat in a public forum. Several times,
actually.

> You are close I'd say you were
> other that line, but I know those that make the decison push the line
> further out than I would

You're the one pushing things, Markie.

You're about to be pushed back.

> why don't you stop with the bull####

Because I'm not the one with the bull, Markie.

> you do knwo your threats are idle

Keep repeating that to yourself over and over, Markie....

"There's no place like home...there's no place like home...there's no place like home..."

Steve, K4YZ

2198. Re: Dear Santa give Toad a cure for his cocksucking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 19:36:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135193766.023679.112610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127969

Toad the cockgobbler wrote:
> Dr Who wrote:
> > On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >>N9OGL wrote:
> >>
> >>>ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
> >>
> >>What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> >>your monosyllable utterings?
> >
> >
> > The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
>
> ahahahahahahahahah. woger is such a DUMB BITCH!!!!

BWHAHAHAHAHA. Roger didn't post it, cockgobbler.

2199. Re: Dear Santa give Dr. Whore a cure for his cocksucking lisp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 19:38:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135193920.590331.125000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr Whore wrote:
> On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
> >
> > What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> > your monosyllable utterings?
>
> The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!

As if you'd know, pizza boy mentally ill retard, you couldn't pass high school and had to get a G.E.D. He only had one uttering, DUMBASS, so it is in the singular tense, not plural,
DUMBASS!!!!

2200. Re: Dear Santa give Mikey Slater a cock like dead Fred Eichorn's.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 19:40:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135194028.035220.162050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Jew wrote:

>
> And just what the heck is "definatrely" you DUMBASS????
^^^

2201. Re: oh hans when can i expcet your suit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 19:43:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135194196.327096.12430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127972

an Old friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > You can "expcet" in one hand and shit in the other hand, and see which gets full
> > first.
>
> but answer th question when are you going to sue me as you promised
>
> or will you admit to another lie?

The only suit you can expect, kiddie diddler Markie is that straight
jacket suit.

2202. Re: steve hear the typing of your father repent your eveil ways this christmas season

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 19:57:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Fsiqf.620$nu6.281@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127973

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> N8dos@grave.net wrote:
>>
>>> act like the man I rasied you to be
>> How were you "rasied", Mark. Are you the man your father expected you
>> to be?
>
> no I am a better than he expected as a point of fact

He must have had very, very low expectations.

Dave K8MN

2203. Re: why does dave violate the 10 comndments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 19:59:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ouiqf.621$nu6.466@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127974

an_old_friend wrote under "Re: why does dave violate the 10 comndments":

I'd like to buy a vowel--and maybe an extra consonant.

> why do you support the violation of what you claim is the word of god?
>
> why are you afraid to answer that question coward

If you desire answers to your questions, you'll act like an adult and
you'll be civil.

Dave K8MN

2204. Re: Dear Santa give Woger a dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 20:08:47 UTC
From: Dr Who <whos_your_daddy@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <193920.590331.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 127975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 21 Dec 2005 11:38:40 -0800, whinyslit_woger fucked up with:
>
> Dr Whore wrote:
> > On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
> > >
> > > What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> > > your monosyllable utterings?
> >
> > The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
>
> As if you'd know, pizza boy mentally ill retard, you couldn't pass high
> school and had to get a G.E.D. He only had one uttering, DUMBASS, so it
> is in the singular tense, not plural,
> DUMBASS!!

Hey DUMBASS!!, "monosyllabic"
is the ONLY form of the adjective, DUMBASS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Mua HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

2205. Re: Dear Santa give Toad a cure for his cocksucking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 20:15:18 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <docd43pvi1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127976

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Toad the cockgobbler wrote:
>
>>Dr Who wrote:
>>
>>>On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
>>>>
>>>>What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
>>>>your monosyllable utterings?
>>>
>>>
>>>The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
>>
>>ahahahahahahahahah. woger is such a DUMB BITCH!!!!
>
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHA. Roger didn't post it, cockgobbler.

the only way you could know that is if you are Woger, PISS DRINKER!!!!

2206. Re: Dear Santa give Woger a dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 20:16:44 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <docd6ppvi2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 127977

Dr Who wrote:
> On 21 Dec 2005 11:38:40 -0800, whinyslit_woger fucked up with:
>
>>Dr Whore wrote:
>>
>>>On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
>>>>
>>>>What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
>>>>your monosyllable utterings?
>>>
>>>The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
>>
>>As if you'd know, pizza boy mentally ill retard, you couldn't pass high
>>school and had to get a G.E.D. He only had one uttering, DUMBASS, so it
>>is in the singular tense, not plural,
>>DUMBASS!!!!

2207. Re: When does channel changing DSSS become hybrid DSSS/FHSS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 20:39:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135197556.005525.241280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127978

[Code of Federal Regulations]
[Title 47, Volume 1]
[Revised as of October 1, 2002]
>From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
[CITE: 47CFR15.247]

[Page 740-742]

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents

Subpart C--Intentional Radiators

Sec. 15.247 Operation within the bands 902-928 MHz, 2400-2483.5 MHz, and 5725-5850 MHz.

(a) Operation under the provisions of this section is limited to frequency hopping and digitally modulated intentional radiators that comply with the following provisions:
(1) Frequency hopping systems shall have hopping channel carrier frequencies separated by a minimum of 25 kHz or the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel, whichever is greater. The system shall hop to channel frequencies that are selected at the system hopping rate from a

pseudorandomly ordered list of hopping frequencies. Each frequency must

be used equally on the average by each transmitter. The system receivers
shall have input bandwidths that match the hopping channel bandwidths of
their corresponding transmitters and shall shift frequencies in synchronization with the transmitted signals.
(i) For frequency hopping systems operating in the 902-928 MHz band:
if the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is less than 250 kHz, the

system shall use at least 50 hopping frequencies and the average time of
occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within

a 20 second period; if the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is 250
kHz or greater, the system shall use at least 25 hopping frequencies and
the average time of occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater

than
0.4 seconds within a 10 second period. The maximum allowed 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is 500 kHz.
(ii) Frequency hopping systems operating in the 5725-5850 MHz band shall use at least 75 hopping frequencies. The maximum 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is 1 MHz. The average time of occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within a 30 second period.
(iii) Frequency hopping systems in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band shall use at

[[Page 741]]

least 15 non-overlapping channels. The average time of occupancy on any

channel shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within a period of 0.4 seconds multiplied by the number of hopping channels employed. Frequency
hopping systems which use fewer than 75 hopping frequencies may employ intelligent hopping techniques to avoid interference to other transmissions. Frequency hopping systems may avoid or suppress transmissions on a particular hopping frequency provided that a minimum

of 15 non-overlapping channels are used.
(2) Systems using digital modulation techniques may operate in the 902-928 MHz, 2400-2483.5 MHz, and 5725-5850 MHz bands. The minimum 6 dB

bandwidth shall be at least 500 kHz.
(b) The maximum peak output power of the intentional radiator shall

not exceed the following:
(1) For frequency hopping systems in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band employing at least 75 hopping channels, and all frequency hopping systems in the 5725-5850 MHz band: 1 Watt. For all other frequency hopping systems in the 2400-2483.5 band: 0.125 Watt.
(2) For frequency hopping systems operating in the 902-928 MHz band:
1 watt for systems employing at least 50 hopping channels; and, 0.25 watts for systems employing less than 50 hopping channels, but at least

25 hopping channels, as permitted under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section.
(3) For systems using digital modulation in the 902-928 MHz, 2400-2483.5 MHz, and 5725-5850 MHz bands: 1 Watt.
(4) Except as shown in paragraphs (b)(3) (i), (ii) and (iii) of this
section, if transmitting antennas of directional gain greater than 6 dBi
are used the peak output power from the intentional radiator shall be reduced below the stated values in paragraphs (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this section, as appropriate, by the amount in dB that the directional gain of the antenna exceeds 6 dBi.

(i) Systems operating in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band that are used exclusively for fixed, point-to-point operations may employ transmitting
antennas with directional gain greater than 6 dBi provided the maximum peak output power of the intentional radiator is reduced by 1 dB for every 3 dB that the directional gain of the antenna exceeds 6 dBi.
(ii) Systems operating in the 5725-5850 MHz band that are used exclusively for fixed, point-to-point operations may employ transmitting
antennas with directional gain greater than 6 dBi without any corresponding reduction in transmitter peak output power.
(iii) Fixed, point-to-point operation, as used in paragraphs (b)(3)(i) and (b)(3)(ii) of this section, excludes the use of point-to-multipoint systems, omnidirectional applications, and multiple co-located intentional radiators transmitting the same information. The operator of the spread spectrum intentional radiator or, if the equipment is professionally installed, the installer is responsible for

ensuring that the system is used exclusively for fixed, point-to-point operations. The instruction manual furnished with the intentional radiator shall contain language in the installation instructions informing the operator and the installer of this responsibility.
(5) Systems operating under the provisions of this section shall be

operated in a manner that ensures that the public is not exposed to radio frequency energy levels in excess of the Commission's guidelines.

See Sec. 1.1307(b)(1) of this chapter.
(c) In any 100 kHz bandwidth outside the frequency band in which the
spread spectrum or digitally modulated intentional radiator is operating, the radio frequency power that is produced by the intentional
radiator shall be at least 20 dB below that in the 100 kHz bandwidth within the band that contains the highest level of the desired power, based on either an RF conducted or a radiated measurement. Attenuation below the general limits specified in Sec. 15.209(a) is not required. In addition, radiated emissions which fall in the restricted bands, as defined in Sec. 15.205(a), must also comply with the radiated emission

limits specified in Sec. 15.209(a) (see Sec. 15.205(c)).
(d) For digitally modulated systems, the peak power spectral density
conducted from the intentional radiator to the antenna shall not be greater than 8

[[Page 742]]

dBm in any 3 kHz band during any time interval of continuous transmission.
(e) [Reserved]
(f) For the purposes of this section, hybrid systems are those that

employ a combination of both frequency hopping and digital modulation techniques. The frequency hopping operation of the hybrid system, with the direct sequence or digital modulation operation turned off, shall have an average time of occupancy on any frequency not to exceed 0.4 seconds within a time period in seconds equal to the number of hopping frequencies employed multiplied by 0.4. The digital modulation operation
of the hybrid system, with the frequency hopping operation turned off, shall comply with the power density requirements of paragraph (d) of this section.
(g) Frequency hopping spread spectrum systems are not required to employ all available hopping channels during each transmission. However,
the system, consisting of both the transmitter and the receiver, must be
designed to comply with all of the regulations in this section should the transmitter be presented with a continuous data (or information) stream. In addition, a system employing short transmission bursts must comply with the definition of a frequency hopping system and must distribute its transmissions over the minimum number of hopping channels
specified in this section.
(h) The incorporation of intelligence within a frequency hopping spread spectrum system that permits the system to recognize other users

within the spectrum band so that it individually and independently chooses and adapts its hopsets to avoid hopping on occupied channels is

permitted. The coordination of frequency hopping systems in any other manner for the express purpose of avoiding the simultaneous occupancy of
individual hopping frequencies by multiple transmitters is not permitted.

Note: Spread spectrum systems are sharing these bands on a noninterference basis with systems supporting critical Government requirements that have been allocated the usage of these bands, secondary only to ISM equipment operated under the provisions of part 18
of this chapter. Many of these Government systems are airborne radiolocation systems that emit a high EIRP which can cause interference
to other users. Also, investigations of the effect of spread spectrum interference to U. S. Government operations in the 902-928 MHz band may

require a future decrease in the power limits allowed for spread spectrum operation.

[54 FR 17714, Apr. 25, 1989, as amended at 55 FR 28762, July 13, 1990; 62 FR 26242, May 13, 1997; 65 FR 57561, Sept. 25, 2000; 67 FR 42734, June 25, 2002]

2208. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 21:27:10 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43a9c89e.1287800@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 127979
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DrBoris" <noyuckymeat@ntlworld.com> wrote:

oh look! the good doctor has surfaced.

2209. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 21:28:02 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43a9c8bf.1320954@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 127980
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:

> NWTAP, but his postings are more symptomatic of bipolar disorder than
> of senile dementure.

and i'm sure you are qualified to make such a suggestion ???

if you are fine... if you are not then why did you ?

2210. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:00:40 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135202440.809264.313710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127981
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In whatever guise he appears, the incurable CBer Not-Ham, a
self confessed criminal pirate, is as childish and as foul as ever.....

Insofar as he is a criminal, then we pay him no further heed.

guv wrote:
> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1135154062.667473.109580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> <Snip shit>
>
> Fuck off, Evans.

2211. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:04:17 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <KLKdnb6eIsHCTDTenZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 127982
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> In whatever guise he appears, the incurable CBer Not-Ham, a
> self confessed criminal pirate, is as childish and as foul as
> ever.....
>
> Insofar as he is a criminal, then we pay him no further heed.
>
> guv wrote:
>> "Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:1135154062.667473.109580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> <Snip shit>
>>
>> Fuck off, Evans.

Is that your 'software' that you wrote, Evans, to determine that the post you quoted was mine?
As ever, you're completely wrong. Kinda sums up your dismal life, doesn't it?
Are you drunk yet? Getting in the mood for some baaadroom antics with Elisabeth?
I've never been arrested. How many times is it for you? Do you have a favourite cell at the Chippenham nick?

Total loser, Evans.
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2212. agains well said BB ty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:28:20 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qgljq1lgtikfg7jteffiguq48sphvrng14@4ax.com>
Source record: 127986

On 21 Dec 2005 14:46:25 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > why does stevie refuse to pay the price of stoping his forgeries stop
>> > his lying and be even merely uncivil instad of the lying SoS we all
>> > know and loathe
>>
>> Markie, YOU are the ONLY one perpetrating any "forgeries" here,
>> witness your blatant use of my mine, my wife's and deceased father's
>> callsigns as Google aliases.
>
>Hmmmm? "raped an old friend" is proof that you perpetrate forgeries.
>I think that's precisely the assinine stunt you pulled that set Mark
>off.

It is one the bigg ones yes BB
>
>I think an apology is in order.
>
>> > I can only guess becuase it would leave him nothing to post on RRAP if
>> > he had to tell the truth and be the upstanding man he claims he is
>>
>> There's only one person perpetrating a "flame war" here, Markie.
>>
>> It's you, now with just under 600 posts all attacking me.
>
>Nope. You paved the path with your incessant posting of new threads
>meant to insult, demean, or otherwise stalk those who you take a
>disliking to. It's your trademark.

Stvie pathed the road I am just going down the path Stveie laid out
and still being politer in doing so than stevie
>
>> And if you think that no one but you can see it, you're a bigger
>> fool than your posts let on...
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, you're the fool. You treat people like $hit then expect them to
>see you as some kind of upstanding RRAP citizen. Doesn't work that
>way, as you're finding out. It's obvious that your forgery of "raped
>an old friend" was the final straw for Mark.

got to correct you the final starw was stevie supportof the publishing
of the name address and phone number of some who only "fault was
sharing my name, and his lies that the person was in no way in
dangered.

that onforunate received phone call threatening to burn him outof his
own and crusify on the lawn

this iniceidnet told I had to make an effort to reaign stvei and his
campaign of terror

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2213. stevit comits forgery agains

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:29:44 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <moljq194rhopq6jgoh8m1buag0o1aofbnh@4ax.com>
Source record: 127987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 21 Dec 2005 09:52:01 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>N8dos@grave.net wrote:
>
> N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.
>
> N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.
>
> This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

look at the attibution now stvei is clamin hhe isallowed to forge but
only he

stvei can stopthis by agreeing to follow the rules of even uncivl
conduct
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2214. more forgery from the shit master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:30:22 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <0rljq15e62217lomemfjo6c57a9qgpk7il@4ax.com>
Source record: 127988

On 21 Dec 2005 09:50:05 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N8dos@grave.net wrote:
>
> N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.
>
> N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.
>
> This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

again stevie chosess to forge if you can forge so can I
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2215. poor stevie the forger can dish it out but not take it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:31:03 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5sljq1tickf7orl01kbkgkh6bpb6a1hlkm@4ax.com>
Source record: 127989

On 21 Dec 2005 09:51:13 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>N8dos@grave.net wrote:
>
> N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.
>
> N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.
>
> This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

and here you go foreging hi why do you think you have that previeldge
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2216. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:46:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135205185.555598.227530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127983

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > why does stevie refuse to pay the price of stoping his forgeries stop
> > his lying and be even merely uncivil instad of the lying SoS we all
> > know and loathe
>
> Markie, YOU are the ONLY one perpetrating any "forgeries" here,
> witness your blatant use of my mine, my wife's and deceased father's
> callsigns as Google aliases.

Hmmmm? "raped an old friend" is proof that you perpetrate forgeries.
I think that's precisely the assinine stunt you pulled that set Mark
off.

I think an apology is in order.

> > I can only guess becuase it would leave him nothing to post on RRAP if
> > he had to tell the truth and be the upstanding man he claims he is
>
> There's only one person perpetrating a "flame war" here, Markie.
>
> It's you, now with just under 600 posts all attacking me.

Nope. You paved the path with your incessant posting of new threads
meant to insult, demean, or otherwise stalk those who you take a
disliking to. It's your trademark.

> And if you think that no one but you can see it, you're a bigger
> fool than your posts let on...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you're the fool. You treat people like $hit then expect them to
see you as some kind of upstanding RRAP citizen. Doesn't work that
way, as you're finding out. It's obvious that your forgery of "raped
an old friend" was the final straw for Mark.

2217. yet more from asshole ofRRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:55:28 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <etmjq1t0js59drqptl20rcf6qeesti0r6n@4ax.com>
Source record: 127994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 09:40:23 -0500, an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org >
wrote:

>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2218. Re: I'd Call 130 Threads To Be Evidence of Internet Stalking~~Markie's Scorecard of 30 November 2005~~(Let's See If He Tries to "kill" This Thread Too..?!?!?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:56:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135205799.072659.271040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127984

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Some of the threads have the same name, but are indeed from
> > distinct threads or subject line re-taskings.
> >
> > Let's hope he get's his check soon and get's out of the house for
> > a while!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You define internet stalking as 130 new threads?
>
> Should I go back and count up your new threads?
>
> Hi!

In another thread, Steve denies saying that he has defined internet stalking as 130 new threads, but here it is again.

I once counted 28 new Steve lies in 10 days. Like the little shepherd boy that cried seven hostile wolves, Steve cannot be believed.

2219. anothe rlie from hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 22:58:47 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3umjq1llmsqijd0umi1hguih59cag8aehp@4ax.com>
Source record: 127997

On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 16:49:41 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1135154184.358067.146170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>> > My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog,
>>> > but
>>> > support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
>>> did you get stveie permission to use the word jeep that way
>
>> a full posting cycle from stvei and yet Hans is allowed to make up
>> words without a care from Stevie
>>
>> just more proof stevie is the Asshole of RRAP
>
>Four things:
>
>Thing #1) Congratulations on a post with only ONE word spelled incorrectly
>("stvei").
>
>Thing #2) None of my words were "made up".

BUZZ you added a new meaning of JEEP therfore you just lied

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2220. more heaping steaming shit direct from the asshole of RRA K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:02:06 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <evmjq1hraqcsdlgb6af8erhk58brh1adm5@4ax.com>
Source record: 128000

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.

yes you did
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post. Not one RRAP post has been
>generated by me that misrepresented the author by screen name or e mail
>address. I don't use anonymous remailers nor do I create bogus
>accounts for the purpose of anonyminity.

when you change the stribution you forge the post
flsuh
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2221. Re: More Projection!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:04:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135206247.185903.212310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127985

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
> > service why does he care?
>
> Because you're a bully, Markie, and I detest bullies.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

WOW! Steve calling Mark a bully!!! Maybe Mark is a Tennesee LPN, too?
Hi, hi, hi!

2222. Re: more aplogies for my asshole hubby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:05:54 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c1njq1dl0ee102oef17ktqhvc089r8p3ma@4ax.com>
Source record: 128002

On 21 Dec 2005 09:56:54 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>
> The W5AMY@arrl.net screen name has been stolen by Mark C. Morgan,
>KB9RQZ.
>
> Mark is a known pathologiocal liar and now thief.
>

more foregring fro stvei

sause for the goose is sauce for the gander
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2223. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:27:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Sxlqf.8167$3Z.1757@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 127990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qMXpf.573$R84.382@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog,
> but support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
>
> Bought EQF-logger, but after a few months of use I still haven't gotten
> used to the kluge-operator-interface, so I've written that one off.
>
> Here's my list of MUST HAVE features:
>
> An intuitive, non-rodent-centric operator interface, similar to the
> simplicity of Hyperlog or CT (Mouse OK for non-routine functions, but
> logging sequences must be
> touch-typist-hands-on-the-keyboard-no-need-to-watch-the-screen.)
>
> Radio control integration
>
> LoTW integration
>
> Import from ADIF
>
> Good support from the author
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>

Hans,

I also dropped EQF for those reasons. I then went to N3FJP and I love em.
Check it out on the web at;

www.n3fjp.com

Dan/W4NTI

2224. sosry that sstevie is an ashole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:29:40 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <q2pjq11nnv030if3q0katd98me40vrno0k@4ax.com>
Source record: 128011

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2225. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:32:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135207931.404061.60140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qMXpf.573$R84.382@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog,
> > but support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
> >
> > Bought EQF-logger, but after a few months of use I still haven't gotten
> > used to the kluge-operator-interface, so I've written that one off.
> >
> > Here's my list of MUST HAVE features:
> >
> > An intuitive, non-rodent-centric operator interface, similar to the
> > simplicity of Hyperlog or CT (Mouse OK for non-routine functions, but
> > logging sequences must be
> > touch-typist-hands-on-the-keyboard-no-need-to-watch-the-screen.)
> >
> > Radio control integration
> >
> > LoTW integration
> >
> > Import from ADIF
> >
> > Good support from the author
> >
> > Any suggestions?
> >
> > de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> >><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Hans,
>
> I also dropped EQF for those reasons. I then went to N3FJP and I love em.
> Check it out on the web at;
>
> www.n3fjp.com
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, you were gone longer than expected. Welcome back. bb

2226. when will the asshole of RRAP stop his forging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:36:52 UTC
From: steverobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3opjq11m86eu12hpaco9b9a2m4psvig7es@4ax.com>
Source record: 128015

never
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2227. Re: more aplogies for my asshole hubby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:40:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135208405.574495.86780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127992

w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> sorry he is count the threads now
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> k4yz@aol.com

Even though Steve has often tried to involve other peoples families in
his squabbles on RRAP, I think it's pretty forked up to do the same. I
suggest Steve be given a pass on this aspect of his screwed up life.

2228. more shit form the asshole of RRAP stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:52:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135209150.618006.105460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127993

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
ut

> > > o are you trying to shock me to death
> >
> > Why, no, Walt Whitman, but if you have a tube-type amp, that might work.
>
> Don't get my hopes up, Dave...Not this close to Christmas.

more of stvei asshood
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2229. Re: More Projection!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:55:37 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <drqjq1tb425i4e2fpa8lecvuh2fmsgdo2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 128018

On 21 Dec 2005 15:04:07 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
>> > service why does he care?
>>
>> Because you're a bully, Markie, and I detest bullies.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>WOW! Steve calling Mark a bully!!! Maybe Mark is a Tennesee LPN, too?
> Hi, hi, hi!

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2230. Re: more aplogies for my asshole hubby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:55:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135209344.762196.271720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127995

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> > sorry he is count the threads now
> > everyone should be advised that The following person
> > has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
> >
> > he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> > he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
> >
> > STEVEN J ROBESON
> > 151 12TH AVE NW
> > WINCHESTER TN 37398
> > 931-967-6282
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com
>
> Even though Steve has often tried to involve other peoples families in
> his squabbles on RRAP, I think it's pretty forked up to do the same. I
> suggest Steve be given a pass on this aspect of his screwed up life.

I hear your words and I am beginingto give him for now (on to other things)

But unlike stevie I have nt threaten to contact his family, or tried to lie them as stevei HAS to memebrs of MY family

so for now I am givgoing to give him a pass but i felt the point need to made, and i expect however that stevie will make needfull for me to return that at some point

2231. still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:57:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135209430.366050.144730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog, but
> > > > support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
> > > did you get stveie permission to use the word jeep that way
> >
> > a full posting cycle from stvei and yet Hans is allowed to make up
> > words without a care from Stevie
>
> Hans didn't "make up" any words. Every word he use can be found
> in any standard dictionary.

made menaing so sorry
>
> > just more proof stevie is the ###hole of RRAP
>
> Just more proof you're the drunken sloth of RRAP.

what proof?

I don't drinnk stevie everytime you claim to "know" i dod you lie again
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2232. Re: Definitely Not Qualified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:59:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135209540.667359.150900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127998

From: Frank Gilliland on Tues, Dec 20 2005 12:42 am

>On 19 Dec 2005 20:41:35 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
>>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 19, 1:28 pm
>>>On 19 Dec 2005 10:38:46 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>>>From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 18, 6:54 pm

>>> The AN/PRC-104 HF manpack transceiver (operational 1986,
>>> will be replaced soon by an updated unit) by Hughes Ground
>>> Systems has an automatic antenna tuner integral to the
>>> manpack R/T. One can physically shorten the whip by
>>> removing sections to cut down visibility and the antenna
>>> tuner will compensate for the shorter sections. Won't be
>>> quite as efficient as the full whip but it is less visible
>>> on the ground. The lil 20 W PEP transmitter will shove as
>>> much RF into the whip as it can without damaging itself.
>>
>>We had the PRC-104 in the early '80s; the RT was used as the exciter
>>for the MRC-109/110 (400/1000W) jeep radios. Mechanical push-button
>>tuning from 2-30 MHz. I still want one.
>
> I'm not familiar with the MRC-109 or MRC-110, but a reference
> on C4I has that compatible with the AN/VRC-49 which is FM in the
> 30 to 76 MHz region.
>
> The AN/PRC-104 basic R/T was used in the AN/GRC-213, a vehicle
> mount configuration with audio amplifier and DC vehicle power
> supply conditioning, 20 W PEP SSB output. The AN/GRC-193 is
> also for vehicle mounting, uses the basic R/T, but includes
> a 100/400 Watt linear amplifier for transmitter and a higher-
> power antenna coupler/tuner.

I'll have to amend what I said on the PRC-104. Hughes Aircraft
Ground Systems got the initial development contract for it from
the USMC some time between 1975 and 1977; seems there was a bit
of disagreement between a couple of ex-HAC people who worked on
it south of here as to exact year. :-)

The Army got into the act on seeing field performance of the
first USMC ones and they wanted one, too. :-) Army changed a
few things (not much) and - probably - they added the "IHFR"
moniker to the series ("Improved High Frequency Radio")...which
meant an "A" suffix to the original PRC-104 and its R/T unit
(RT-1209). Not to be outdone, the USMC wanted some changes
after that with a resulting "B" suffix. :-)

USMC had them first in the latter half of the 1970s, Army got
theirs in the first half of the 1980s. :-)

Somewhere in the era between 1977 and 1985, Hughes incorporated
a microprocessor in the synthesizer. One result was the change
from a single push button per digit with mechanical display to
an LCD screen with rubber-sealed push buttons on frequency
control of the R/T. That, plus some more minor revisions
inside resulted in a re-issue of TMs in 1985 - 1986. Same basic
R/T that is a full SSB receiver plus Tx exciter (the 20 W PEP
Amp is in the automtic tuning unit alongside the manpack, higher
power Amp and auto antenna tune in the vehicular or "ground"
version (GRC-193).

I tried to find a better description of the MRC-138 but could not.
Maybe that was the Marines' own version of the GRC-193? Either
way, it was described as selectable 100 W or 400 W PEP on HF.
Note: A lot of "MRC-" radios out there but all for Marines;
I find no direct Army "MRC-"s described.

>Well hell, I guess I -am- getting old. I found references to the 400
>watt MRC-138 but not the 1000 watt version. I could have sworn they
>were called the MRC-109/110.......

Given a mere 64 years since we got into WW2 until now, there's
a whole potfull of different radios, radar sets, transponders,
gizmos that have gotten the U.S. military nomenclature. A few
of those were civilian developments, bought intact, and given
MIL monikers (AN/FRC-93 for the Collins KWM-2 all-band HF
transceiver; AN/FRC-23 and FRC-35 for a GE microwave terminal).
I'm glad I "took notes" with my camera during my 4 active years
just to jog the memory much later; came easy enough with visual
clues to pull out certain technical details. :-)

>> The basic R/T has digit push-wheel frequency selection (like
>> digit thumbwheels but with a single button mover). The
>> AN/VRC-11 through AN/VRC-49 had, variously, 10 push-button
>> or rotary switch selection of frequencies in the 30 to 76 MHz
>> region, FM, and were compatible with the AN/PRC-25 and -77
>> VHF FM sets. The lower number VRCs I've seen all have
>> chromed push buttons, something left over from WW2. :-)
>
>Got a couple of tuners like that in the parts pile.

Probably from the old BC-603 and BC-604 "tank radios." [add
80 to the numbers for corresponding non-tank radios] Those were
all-tube, FM, and definitely crystal-controlled using 1 to 10
FT-241 holder crystals with "channel numbers" on them plus the
air frequency (highest end of HF). Those just selected the
crystal and cam-operated a couple variable capacitors. Those
"tank radios" were among the first to get their nomenclature
changed to "AN/VRC-" in the last year of WW2.

>> In 1967 the U.S. military had three field models of
>> NODs. Electro-Optical Systems division of Xerox in
>> Pasadena produced one of those models. Three were
>> stolen/turned-up-mission that year, feds in there asking
>> questions after. The production manager "resigned."
>> I have no idea where those missing NODs wound up but I
>> read reports in 1970 where the USSR military now had
>> them. First ones were "blotchy" in imaging and sensitivity
>> didn't have any automatic gain control but they could
>> enable anyone to "own the night." They have improved
>> considerably since 1967.
>
>Sounds about right. On this one the lens is pretty good quality but
>the electronics are nothing more than a noisy inverter and a finger
>trigger. Suprisingly sensitive tho, especially to short IR.

The sensitivity is due - according to a PhD in Optics I worked
under at Rocketdyne - an innovative expansion of the basic
photomultiplier tube still used for light level measurements
down to single photon level. The difference with the NOD is
that it does it as a wavefront of EM light as opposed to the
"stages" of the photomultiplier tube with a small target area.
That requires the higher voltages from the internal battery
supply. Sensitivity is best at IR due to less wavefront energy
there, thus the photon multiplication has higher gain at IR.

Weird science! First time I looked through one at EOS in
Pasadena (test area completely enclosed in double black
plastic sheet), the "illumination" came from a guy's radium-
marked wristwatch dial! "Eye opening" experience! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2233. Re: More Projection!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-21 23:59:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135209547.822713.169650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 127999

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
> > > service why does he care?
> >
> > Because you're a bully, Markie, and I detest bullies.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> WOW! Steve calling Mark a bully!!! Maybe Mark is a Tennesee LPN, too?
> Hi, hi, hi!

BB I must insist you apologize I am not an LPN

LPN are about one rung up from the bedpanchaning CNA's indeed in many
places the LPN do change the bedpans

2234. more stink shit filled lies form the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:01:05 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c1rjq11k96f9qgm6ph3p37o8ng51d32saf@4ax.com>
Source record: 128020

On 21 Dec 2005 01:13:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
cut

> You're the one being rude, Markie...Withness the 600 posts, most
>of which are proliferated with death threats, profanites and stolen
>e-mail aliases.

yes I am being rude

merly rude but I have never threatened you

you have threatened meand contiue to on a daily basis
>
> All from YOU keyboard.
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2235. more heaping stink mound of shit filled threats from the ASShole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:05:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135209911.692611.305730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128001

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut

> > > > > >> When will you learn the spelling of the words you try to use here?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > again changing the subject
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, the subject line is now changed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > and i refuse to go along
> > > >
> > > Sometime soon you will not be given a choice of whether you go
> > > along of your own choice or not.
> >
> > more threats
> >
> > more threats you can't carry out
>
> It's not for me to carry out.

no it isn't which why the threat is idle
>
> > > Seek help before it seeks you.
> >
> > stevie if you are a Nurse and not engaged in a truely massive case of
> > ID theft then you would know I can't be forced to to accept "help"
> > without being an overt danger to myself or to others, and you should
> > also know I don't meet that standard.
>
> Sure you can, Markie.
>
> Refer to Michigan's "Kevin's Law".

I have read it

and you are threatening to file a false report

PLEASE do so

PLEASE DO SO in a convincing manner so I have a real chance to send you
to jail where you belong, as somebody's bitch
>
> You've uttered a death threat in a public forum. Several times,
> actually.

no I have not
I have warned you that if you carry out YOUR threat to come to MY home
the result is the death of one of us, and that I would do all in my
power to see that it is you

further if I had Michiganlaw would not apply since don't live here
idoit
>
> > You are close I'd say you were
> > other that line, but I know those that make the decison push the line
> > further out than I would
>
> You're the one pushing things, Markie.

bullshit

I haven't called you a pedo

haven't said you spread STD's
I haven't threatened to kill you as you have threatened my life

>
> You're about to be pushed back.

bullshit
>
> > why don't you stop with the bullshit

stop your forging
>
> Because I'm not the one with the bull, Markie.

yes you are
>
> > you do knwo your threats are idle
>
> Keep repeating that to yourself over and over, Markie....
>
> "There's no place like home...there's no place like home...there's
> no place like home..."

I guess maybe you are that stupid

but still no cops?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2236. stl more form the shitking of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:06:31 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <a4rjq1llvqclbi0uodtpkmadmk63fbbbte@4ax.com>
Source record: 128024

On 21 Dec 2005 01:13:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
cut

>> > It's you, now with just under 600 posts all attacking me.
>>
>> if you say so I will not quiible but so what I will use as many posts
>> as I choose
>
> Sure you will.

mighty generous of you to accept I have that right
>
> And in the process continue to demonstrate your mental defect and
>anti-social behaviour.

ut now you go on to tell some lies
>
>> > And if you think that no one but you can see it, you're a bigger
>> > fool than your posts let on...
>>
>> more stupid #### from the ###hole of RRAP
cut
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2237. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:06:35 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <U5mqf.41332$ih5.11875@dukeread11>
Source record: 128003

Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote
>
>> That would be the real challenge, working
>> the entire world on any band on under 50 watts.
>
> Not challenging at all. 50W is only about two S-units (13db) below a full
> kilowatt.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
care to show the math underlying that conclusion, OM?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2238. Re: answer the mother fucking question coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:07:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135210073.817664.203460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128004

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
> > sexuality is relavant to radio
>
> I never did make that claim, Markie.

yes you did you sack of shit

you posted the stament that he is gay in this forum which is MKAKING
the claim
>
> And I am sure that AMSAT is proud to have people like you
> "representing" it with your misuse of thier e-mail address.

misusing how by telling the turth about an asshole like you?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2239. another forgery from stevei the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:10:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135210238.169595.8310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128005

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote (without attributing the other comments in her
> post):

another forgery
>
> > > > derected writing
> > >
> > > "derected writing"...?!?!
> > >
> > > That's what you call forgeries?
> >
> > nope
> >
> > i explained it do your reseach if you don't understand
>
> No, what you did was attempt to evoke the memory of my deceased
> father for your own gratification.

no I folowed the inspriation of th Goods in trying to make you behave
in even a semicivlized manner
>
> > > > siple truth stevie I typed as I felt myself lead
> > >
> > > No...You tyoed as you thought you could use to stalk.
> >
> > I did not tyoed
>
> Proof that your excuse of dyslexia is a sham.

more lies form the asshole of RRAP a
>
> > nor have I have stalked you at anytime unless you were lying when you
> > claimed you have never stalked me
>
> Of course you're stalking, Markie. We've had you admit to same in
> the last week.

where is the admission asshole
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2240. more shit from the asshole fo RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:11:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135210298.214227.171430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128006

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > why do you conitue this lie?
> >From Markie's Subject Line:
>
>
> "Re: stveive claims that 122 days after he contacted them that Michigan
>
> is going to sweep in and comit me on his say so"
>
> The question is, Markie, when are you going to stop YOURS...?!?!

not lying

in fact i rarely lie stevie unlike you
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2241. Re: anothe rlie from hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:12:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rbmqf.672$nu6.512@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128007

<steverobeson@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> BUZZ you added a new meaning of JEEP therfore you just lied
>

Lied?!?!?!?

The origin of the word JEEP is from the initials G P, standing for General Purpose. Exactly as I used the word in my original post.

Good luck on this one now.

2242. yet still more form the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:12:35 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <k6rjq1dr156mohocgtkgkb8qs60clejkhc@4ax.com>
Source record: 128026

On 21 Dec 2005 01:13:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
cut

>> more stupid #### from the ###hole of RRAP
>
> More proof of my above allegations of YOUR rudeness and mental
>defect.

rude yes

mental defect no

thereofr you lied again
>
>> I think the whole NG except you and Dave understand exactly what is
>> going on
cut
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2243. the asshole of RRAP spes forth the bullshit claim that I drink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:14:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135210454.047493.226440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128008

K4YZ wrote:
> steverobeson@yahoo.com was forged and illegally used by MArk Morgan,
> KB9RQZ:
>
> > stvie who I am posting under just l;oves flamewars that is why he
> > reufses to be merely rude and instaed is down right despeitcable
>
> Mark...T R Y S O B R I E T Y.

I am alwasy sober stevie

>...If you won't learn proper
> English, at least you can clean your dreck up a bit by being sober when
> you post..

More lie I know proper english my ind just will not let me type esp
when I dealing with an asshole like yourself

and I always sober asshole
>.Even if it IS under a stolen e-mail address.

I have in no way stolen your emal more lies from stevie

Indeed I am just folowing a sugestion made searly on inthis process

and showing liar in the baragin
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2244. even more on the asshole of RRAp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:18:39 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <l8rjq1plfmvcbkd7rfs01qhnfknj76257d@4ax.com>
Source record: 128029

On 21 Dec 2005 01:13:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
cut

>> I think the whole NG except you and Dave understand exactly what is
>> going on
>
> Yes, Markie...The whole NG INCLUDING Dave and I understand EXACTLY
>what is going on.

I don't you do
>
> You're a functionally illiterate, mentally challenged, socially
>inept little dweeb whose whole life pivots around being a meaner, more
>deceitful, dishonest troll.

and that proves it

you don't know what is going on

but you more or less admit to being troll yourself which may be
progress

>
>> and they know you could stop it but agreeingto stop your foregeies of
>> posts your lying your threats, your gay bashing you pagan bashing your
>> spreading of hate
>
> No lies.

plenty of lies
> No threats.
lots of threats from you

> No gay bashing. No pagan bashing. No
>spreading of hate.

all frdone by your keyboard many times

>
> Just showing you to be the aforementioned idiot....And YOU just
>keep adding more and more to the "proof" pile.

Proof of what

that you are lying yes that is piling so high no one can see it rise
higher
>
> Thanks.

your welcome
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2245. more stinking smelly shit from the asshole fo RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:20:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135210839.489285.235670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128009

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >From Markie's Subject line:
> > >
> > > "Re: more of stevie effort to deny religous freedom in the USA"
> > >
> > > A boldfaced lie.
> >
> > nope the absolute truth
>
> Nope.
yep
>
> Unless you can provide some documentation where I've ever
> prevented you from attending the services of your choice, denied you
> access to materials with which to practice your faith, or otherwise
> impeded your access to those resources.
>
> Calling you "PaganBoy" is none of those.

never said that was it

but you have sought to deny the ability to practice my faith through
directed writing
>
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > i typed them but i was feeling inspired by something wether the sprit
> > > > > > of something be it his dead father or a whimof the gods or a bit of
> > > > > > underdone potato
> > > > >
> > > > > More than likely it was do to distilled potatos.
> > > >
> > > > you assume I drink? why? it is another of your mistakes
> > >
> > > I don't assume.
> >
> > yes you do
>
> Nope.

yep
>

> > > I know
> >
> > you don't know. I don't drink.
>
> Sure you do. You should make sure your hide your trash better.

I don't drink

now you are claiming to have knowledge of my trash?

in my case that would require trepassing since trash is not picked up
at the curb ever

You can't know if I drink. simply impossible therefore you are lying in
claiming you know I drink
>
> > but you show yourself as an asshole again

corecting ore forgery by stvie
>
> I look like one of your relatives...?!?!?

never said that don't know why you did
>
> > > > > You've desecrated the memory of a dead man.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> > >
> > > Sure you have.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.
nope
>
> > > > or at least not by your standards stevie you can use one to make a joke
> > >
> > > What joke?
> >
> > yours
>
> The joke was staring at you in the mirror, Markie.

more lie

more shit from the ASShole of RRAP
>
> Pretty sad looking, wasn't it...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2246. mor elying shit from the asshole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:28:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135211291.832635.251230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128010

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > > not a word false
> > > >
> > > Absolutley false...The phone number being the least of them.
> > >
> > not a flase word in ###
more forgery from stvie
>
> You're a hoot, Illiterate One!

not illerate at all merely dysgraphic
>
> > > > > > you do falsely accuse people of stuff
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not so far.
> > > > >
> > > > yes you have mde false accsution of childabuse elder abuse pedophilia
> > > > homesexuality and hive status
> > > >
> > > "made" "accusation" "child abuse" "homosexuality"
> > > >
> > > And "hive" status...?!?!
> > > >
> > > I claimed you were a bee???
> > >
> > more lies
>
> Nope.
yep cuting some lying shit

>
> > > > > > and the number listed belongs to YOU according the Phone Company
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope. It doesn't. It hasn't "belonged" to me for a year.
> > > > >
> > > > not whta they said
> > > >
> > > Lies, lies, Markie loves his lies.
> > >
> > that is from the computers of the phonesystem

>
> No it't not.

yes it is
>
> It's from old Google or Yahoo archives which are NOT the "Phone
> Company".

no I did not get it from Google
>
> YOU claimed it was "the Phone Company" which insisted that that is
> my phone number.

indeed ATT derertory assitance said it was your phone number
>
> It's not.

so you say

but you lie so I don't believe you
>
> > > Dial the number, PutzBoy, and see what you get!
> >
> > no thanks what I will gte is giving you the chance to get MY phone
> > nuber through caller ID
> >
> > if the number is wrong then I am harming no one
>
> Not true.

absolutely true if we accept the shakey notion you were telling the
truth for once
>
> By publishing the number you've exposed an innocent third party to
> harrassment that they have no reason why they'd be getting it.

not if yo are teling the turth when you clai you called the number and
that it was not in service
>
> You HAVE harmed people.

who?

with number not assigned

You claimed no one was harm when the real number of person that just
happened to named Mark Morgan was published here
>
> > > > > BTW, Markie...Do you know the name of the local "Phone
> > > > > Company"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > nope and I don't care
> > >

> > > You don't know because you never called.
> >
> > never said I called them
> >
> > looked you up on the internet ever heard of that
>
> No....
>
> YOU, MARK MORGAN, said that the PHONE COMPANY said that that is my
> phone number.

ATT.com
>
> You were, again, caught in a lie of your own making.

ATT is aphone company just not yours (or maybe it is I don't know or
care)
>
> > > You don't know because you're making up stories as you go.
> >
> > I don't know because I don't need to know
>
> You don't know because you were making the story up as you went
> along.

nope

>
> > > > > > > That's just for CURRENT events.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Then we can go to your retasking of subject lines with
> > > > > > > > profanities.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > whci is not ilegal or imoral
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > it is simply being honest about the subject
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No...it's being profane..
> > > > > >
> > > > > > so deal with it
> > > > >
> > > > > I am dealing with it.
> > >
> > > you are whing about ti
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > No more than YOU are "whing" about "flamewars"...to the tune of
> > 600 posts now.

not whining about flamewar

I am enaging in one
>
> That's a LOT of "whing".
>
> > > By feeding your behaviour back to you.
> > >
> > > > idealy by growing up
> > >
> > > I'm already there.
> >
> > prehaps but nobody reading RRAP could tel
>
> Sure they can.
>
who besides Dave and maybe jim think you are acting in an adult manner

> > > YOU have a ways to go.
> > >
> > > > >.Which is to say childish and
> > > > > irresponsible.
> > > >
> > > > IYO
> > > >
> > > > not mine
> > >
> > > And everyone else who's cared to render an opinion.
> >
> > more lies
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > > But then we ARE talking about YOU!
> > > >
> > > > nope wrong again
> > >
> > > Uhhhhh...Yes, Markie...we ARE talking about YOU!
>
> And we're STILL talking about you...
>
> BTW, Markie. where's the alleged "false nick" I am supposedly
> hiding behind?

steveirebeson

you claimed the only reason to use other nick was to hide

that is from from your anti BB attack threads of last year
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2247. Re: anothe rlie from hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:32:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135211535.361838.241980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128012

KØHB wrote:
> <steverobeson@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> >
> > BUZZ you added a new meaning of JEEP therfore you just lied
> >
>
> Lied?!?!?!?

yep

just standing in for stvie
once the word was attached to the military only the vechile was
allowed

that was stveie line when i used the owrds drafted in the context of
saying I was forced to work on something for less than I wanted under
threat reactivation to active duty

2248. heaps of shit from the shit master of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:33:55 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <jerjq1p3qminuitri93i9mqs9r6q94ecuk@4ax.com>
Source record: 128032

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.

yes you did
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.
which is forgery

> Not one RRAP post has been
>generated by me that misrepresented the author by screen name or e mail
>address.
yes you are repsonible for many

> I don't use anonymous remailers nor do I create bogus
>accounts for the purpose of anonyminity.

yes you do
>
> You're welcome to try and find one, but you'll only wind up
>chasing your already pitiful little tail.

already pointed it out
>
>> You're simply wrong far more often than you care to admit, but it goes
>> beyond that. You're despicable.
>
> No, Brain, YOU are. You wish to create some veil of innocence that
>you can wrap yourself in all-the-while accusing others (OK...Just
>me...) of doing "despicable" things.
>
> You have some serious deficits of your own to deal with, but
>seemingly can't come to grips with them so everyone else gets to "deal"
>with them.
>
> So..."ARES"..."Unlicensed Devices"..."Somalia
>authorization"..."diminutives"...
>
> Care to answer any of those?

he has many times answere you just don't like the answer asshole
>
> Bad Brain.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2249. Re: why does dave violate the 10 comndments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:34:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135211663.916278.282110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128013

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote under "Re: why does dave violate the 10 comndments":
>
> I'd like to buy a vowel--and maybe an extra consonant.
no
not from me anyway
>
> > why do you support the violation of what you claim is the word of god?
> >
> > why are you afraid to answer that question coward
>
> If you desire answers to your questions, you'll act like an adult and
> you'll be civil.

in point of facts I would to an answer that you folowed the teachings
of your faith

I'll settle for an answer to why you clearly refuse to
>
> Dave K8MN

2250. answer the fucking question ashole of RRAp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:35:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135211727.571721.93860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128014

does stevie think their ais a fee per thread chrage?
K4YZ wrote:
> w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>
> The W5AMY@arrl.net screen name has been stolen by Mark C. Morgan,
> KB9RQZ.
>
> Mark is a known pathological liar and now thief.

answe coward
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2251. Re: answer the fucking question coward stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:50:08 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135212608.213880.326490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128016

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > when will Stevie explain how my posting in pesonal gruop makes calling
> > BB gay ralvant to radio
>
> I never made that claim.

yes you did

you claimed in aswer to the question the only reason sexuality is
brought is becuase I posted in a personal gruop

>
> You did.
anothe rlie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2252. more lies from the asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:51:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135212699.044717.7240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128017

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > N8dos@grave.net is a forged screen name of one of RRAP's Most Prolific Liars:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > act like the man I rasied you to be
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > How were you "rasied", Mark. Are you the man your father expected you
> > > > > > > to be?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > no I am a better than he expected as a point of fact
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Well then...talk about low expectations.
> > > > >
> > > > > well he got someone better than you
> > > >
> > > > No, he didn't...Not if we're talking about you.
> > >
> > > yes he did and we are talking about me
> >
> > Then your male parent was short sighted and inept.

why do you claim the right to invole my father and deny me the same
flsuh

2253. Re: stveive claims that 122 days after he contacted them that Michigan is going to sweep in and comit me on his say so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 00:54:10 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1rrjq1dviev5nku0ej0rr80ht7dkqrkkts@4ax.com>
Source record: 128036

On 21 Dec 2005 02:13:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> why do you conitue this lie?
>
>>From Markie's Subject Line:
>
>"Re: stveive claims that 122 days after he contacted them that Michigan
>is going to sweep in and comit me on his say so"
>
> The question is, Markie, when are you going to stop YOURS...?!?!

no the questuion was an remains when are you going to stop telling the
lie that Michigan is going to comit me on your say sO?

learn to read
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2254. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:02:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135211397.421495.284370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 20 Dec 2005 20:20:59 -0800, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
> wrote:
>
> >at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems
>
> Only a quarter? Most *people* seem to present some real ego problems,
> so if only a quarter of hams do, we're a pretty well-adjusted group.

I said at least one quarter not only, and I was trying to be kind

2255. Re: anothe rlie from hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:03:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zXmqf.683$nu6.78@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128021

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> once the word was attached to the military
> only the vechile was allowed

If I carefully re-arrange those words, I get the impression that you want to convey the thought that once the military uses a word (like "jeep" for G P), then all civilian use of the word in not allowed?

That's a large a lie as I've ever witnessed here on rrap, right up there with "I was a Colonel in the Chemical Corps".

BTW, what is a "ve chile" anyhow? Is that a Canadian person under the age of 6?

By the way, I'm still looking for a good jeep-style amateur radio logging program. Do you have any nominations?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--

2256. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:05:37 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135213536.930304.316540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128022

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
>
> >
> > "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote
> >
> >> That would be the real challenge, working
> >> the entire world on any band on under 50 watts.
> >
> > Not challenging at all. 50W is only about two S-units (13db) below a full
> > kilowatt.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> >><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> care to show the math underlying that conclusion, OM?

not likely Hans does not show his work
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

2257. Re: anothe rlie from hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:08:02 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135213682.044074.70780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128023

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>
> > once the word was attached to the military
> > only the vechile was allowed
>
> If I carefully re-arrange those words, I get the impression that you want to
> convey the thought that once the military uses a word (like "jeep" for G P),
> then all civilian use of the word in not allowed?
>
> That's a large a lie as I've ever witnessed here on rrap, right up there with "I
> was a Colonel in the Chemical Corps".

and that has been Stevie contention for year

and when you going sue me as you pormised ?
>
> BTW, what is a "ve chile" anyhow? Is that a Canadian person under the age of 6?

more mocking the disabled
>
> By the way, I'm still looking for a good jeep-style amateur radio logging
> program. Do you have any nominations?

how about pencil and paper
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
> --

2258. Re: anothe rlie from hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:09:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135213745.211708.67990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128025

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>
> > once the word was attached to the military
> > only the vechile was allowed
>
> If I carefully re-arrange those words, I get the impression that you want to
> convey the thought that once the military uses a word (like "jeep" for G P),
> then all civilian use of the word in not allowed?
>
> That's a large a lie as I've ever witnessed here on rrap, right up there with "I
> was a Colonel in the Chemical Corps".

and that has been Stevie contention for year

and when you going sue me as you pormised ?
>
> BTW, what is a "ve chile" anyhow? Is that a Canadian person under the age of 6?

more mocking the disabled
>
> By the way, I'm still looking for a good jeep-style amateur radio logging
> program. Do you have any nominations?

how about pencil and paper
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
> --

2259. more lying shit from the asshole of RRAP the one and thank god only steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:11:08 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <89vjq1l92nrmgqbeorshqbvilv8fkgp3ot@4ax.com>
Source record: 128037

On 21 Dec 2005 10:18:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 20 Dec 2005 11:02:58 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1135105378.903894.210710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> > I'm pointing out that everyone, including your Feeble Five
>> >bretheren, are laughing at you.
>>
>> The only thing more idiotic than someone who posts a hundred new
>> threads each day is the idiot who responds to each and every one of
>> them.
>
> And who would that be? I've certainly not.

yes you ahve
>
>> Here's an old joke I used to play on morons like you:
>
> The "joke" played herein, Frankie, has been the suggestion that
>you were a Marine.
>
> You made it through boot camp alright, only to be proven a coward,
>attested to by your own "testimony".
>
> Now we ALL know.
>
> But thanks for pointing out to Markie that even his Feeble Five
>bretheren consider him an idiot. At least he's in comfortable company.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2260. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:15:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <g7nqf.4836$mj1.4289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> what proof?

Proof? You want proof? I'm right, and you're wrong, and I can provide the
mathematical proof.

It is obvious, even to you, that the opposite of right is left and that three
lefts
make a right or 3l = r.

It is also clear that wrong is the opposite of right so -w = r.

So this would make 3l = r = -w which means that 3l = -w. Now, since
left is the opposite of right (-l = r) this would mean l = r = -w, which
playing with the math gives me l = -r = w and so left is the same as
wrong (yes, I could have done this in fewer steps, but I didn't feel
like doing that).

Now, since l = w and 3l = r that would make 3w = r. This means
that three wrongs make a right. Of course, since 3 is an odd number,
this situation doesn't work out with any values for r and w except 0
which would mean that r = 0 and w = 0 and l = 0, although the last
one I don't really care about.

This means that right and wrong are mathematically identical and so
classifying things as right or wrong is pointless because both of them are
the same. And since there is no difference between right and wrong, that
would make ME always right and YOU always wrong and isn't that the
thing that rrap is all about?

2 + 2 = 8 if you assign sufficiently large values to 2.

Warmest personal wishes for the holiday,

de Hans, K0HB
--
If a `religion' is defined to be a system of ideas that contains unprovable
statements, then Kurt Godel taught us that mathematics is not only a
religion, it is the only religion that can prove itself to be one.

2261. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:18:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135214329.873258.101130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > what proof?
>
> Proof? You want proof? I'm right, and you're wrong, and I can provide the
> mathematical proof.

it become obvious you can't
>
> It is obvious, even to you, that the opposite of right is left and that three
> lefts
> make a right or 3l = r.
nope you are making a false stament

therfore the rest of your shit needs to be flush.

2262. the asshole of RRAP says Rape is for fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:23:52 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <auvjq1do594a7ekn1610dd6bpi594mu4ld@4ax.com>
Source record: 128039

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2263. why does stevie try telling the lie that I drink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:34:24 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135215264.869695.130580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128030

after al drinking is not illgeal not universaly (or even all that widely) considered imoral

it happens not to be true and stvieis lying when he says he knows I don't drink

appearntly he has gone to the trouble of egeting dsome onetop raid my trash to find what I don't know maybe some beer can if this was back in Illiopis where my wifes uncle used to live with us and he drank, indeed that poor man drank himself to death, god rest his soul

but this just proves that stevie is stalker and that nobody is safe that has drawn his ire

the man will go through thrash looking for something to "harm" me with?

what an asshole

2264. the asshole of RRAP on the subject of Rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:34:33 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9g0kq19i1h4pg9qqq00aqtjabi79vrc0ps@4ax.com>
Source record: 128041

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2265. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:35:40 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135215340.314230.301520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128031
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

zYYPK wrote:
> mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>
> > NWTAP, but his postings are more symptomatic of bipolar disorder than
> > of senile dementure.
>
> and i'm sure you are qualified to make such a suggestion ???
>
> if you are fine... if you are not then why did you ?

You're right, of course. It was unprofessional to attempt a remote
diagnosis. Besides, a face-to-face consultation would be fascinating -
there must be enough material there for an entire conference.

73
Mike G4KFK

2266. newflash Mark admits to making ero wrt to stevei stament

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:36:36 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135215396.218954.301590@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128033

stevie asked something like if I thought he(stevei looked relitive of
mine. I said no
I was in fact most likely worng

I a sure stevie looks like many men incluing relitives of mine from the
angle he insists on show us her in RRAP, his ass.

I was in error I pologize for that erro and I hope it did not confuse
anybody (except stveie)

2267. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:41:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135215665.128739.319640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128034
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

m...@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> zYYPK wrote:
> > mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> >
> > > NWTAP, but his postings are more symptomatic of bipolar disorder than
> > > of senile dementure.
> >
> > and i'm sure you are qualified to make such a suggestion ???
> >
> > if you are fine... if you are not then why did you ?
>
> You're right, of course. It was unprofessional to attempt a remote
> diagnosis. Besides, a face-to-face consultation would be fascinating -
> there must be enough material there for an entire conference.

fascinating? are you sure the answer isn't sickiening? or something
along those lines

>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2268. the depiectable word of the asshole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:41:13 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <411kq1pghcjr729bvu9nvc89v222c8h20f@4ax.com>
Source record: 128044

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2269. does the asshole of RRAP think this is RAPE instaed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:46:39 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <231kq1tj45fk63e48cfgoormkq6k1sot0j@4ax.com>
Source record: 128045

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2270. another of the forgeries that are stevie respoibilty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:51:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135216270.200468.211030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> i stayd up all nihgt poasting in a drunk stuper

and another lie from stvei
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2271. one the reason for the flemaewar Stevei sthink rape in funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:52:45 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <q41kq1l0upqv136409dqf212dh5dmrkgma@4ax.com>
Source record: 128046

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2272. steve on how rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 01:58:48 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <dj1kq11v6l2taqttofccs72p7rsllvhmrc@4ax.com>
Source record: 128047

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2273. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 02:15:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0%nqf.1874$R84.92@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128038

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:U5mqf.41332$ih5.11875@dukeread11...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>>
>> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote
>>
>>> That would be the real challenge, working
>>> the entire world on any band on under 50 watts.
>>
>> Not challenging at all. 50W is only about two S-units (13db) below a full
>> kilowatt.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> --
>>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> care to show the math underlying that conclusion, OM?

Sure, no problem. The math is elementary.

Db = 10 log x P2/P1, which works out in round numbers that a 10 times gain in power = 10db, and a 2 times gain in power = 3 db.

So 50W raised 10db = 500w

500W raised another 3db = 1,000

Total gain (from 50W to 1000W) = 13db

An S-unit is generally considered to be 6db.

Two S-units = 12db

13db is just a bit over 2 S-units.

A kilowatt is only about 2 S-units stronger than 50W, assuming equivalent antenna systems.

However, if you're using a 1,500W amplifier and a 0db-gain vertical , and I'm using a 50W transmitter with 16db gain directional antenna, all other things being equal, my 50W will be LOUDER than your 1,500W at a distant receiver.

Do the math.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2274. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 02:25:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <u8oqf.4846$mj1.4421@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128040

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote

> Hans does not show his work

Of course I do, Liar!

Db = 10 log x P2/P1, which works out in round numbers that a 10 times gain in power = 10db, and a 2 times gain in power = 3 db.

So 50W raised 10db = 500W

500W raised another 3db = 1,000W

Total gain (from 50W to 1000W) = 13db

An S-unit is generally considered to be 6db.

Two S-units = 12db

13db is just a bit over two S-units.

Ergo, a kilowatt is only slightly more than two S-units stronger than 50W, assuming equivalent
antenna systems.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
--
As far as the laws of mathematics refer to reality, they are not certain,
as far as they are certain, they do not refer to reality.
-- Albert Einstein

2275. Re: anothe rlie from hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 02:37:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Cjoqf.1877$R84.1252@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128042

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote

>> BTW, what is a "ve chile" anyhow? Is that a Canadian person under the age of
>> 6?

> more mocking the disabled

Are Canadian children disabled?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2276. hans jions stvei bashing the disabled with mockery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 02:42:33 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135219353.485892.206910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128043

KØHB wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>
>
> >> BTW, what is a "ve chile" anyhow? Is that a Canadian person under the age of
> >> 6?
>
> > more mocking the disabled
>
> Are Canadian children disabled?
some yes of course

when you goign to sue me asshole
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

2277. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 03:01:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-JudnQQJzOIZijfenZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 128048

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:u8oqf.4846$mj1.4421@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>
>> Hans does not show his work
>
> Of course I do, Liar!
>
> Db = 10 log x P2/P1, which works out in round numbers that a 10 times gain
> in
> power = 10db, and a 2 times gain in power = 3 db.
>
> So 50W raised 10db = 500W
>
> 500W raised another 3db = 1,000W
>
> Total gain (from 50W to 1000W) = 13db
>
> An S-unit is generally considered to be 6db.
>
> Two S-units = 12db
>
> 13db is just a bit over two S-units.
>
> Ergo, a kilowatt is only slightly more than two S-units stronger than 50W,
> assuming equivalent
> antenna systems.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
> As far as the laws of mathematics refer to reality, they are not certain,
> as far as they are certain, they do not refer to reality.
> -- Albert Einstein
>

Hans, I don't know how familiar you are with today's testing but this material is not covered on the Technician test. It doesn't get addressed until the General test. I happen to know this because sometimes I teach classes sponsored by our club. It's unfortunate that this is not covered. I've often had to address it in the Technician class though as there is typically one in each class who thinks that he should run out and buy an amplifier as soon as they get licensed.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2278. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 03:23:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <E_oqf.4861$mj1.4850@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128049

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> Hans, I don't know how familiar you are with today's testing but this material
> is not covered on the Technician test.

Here's a question lifted directly from the Technician question pool.

T7A16
If you increase your transmitter output power from 5 watts to 10 watts, what
decibel (dB) increase does that represent?
A. 2 dB
B. 3 dB
C. 5 dB
D. 10 dB

73, de Hans, K0HB

2279. ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 03:35:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135222525.123842.187080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128050

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.

So you think it's OK to forge in the >>>attributes<<<, "Raped an old friend?"

> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line,

Poked fun???

No, you didn't because it's not funny. Not even a little bit. You may have thought it was funny, but no one else does.

And it appears like an accusation of rape.

Why not ask the women in your life (mother, mother in law, wife, sister) if they think "Raped an old friend" is funny.

but neither you
> nor he can provide a single "forged" post. Not one RRAP post has been
> generated by me that misrepresented the author by screen name or e mail
> address.

And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?

> I don't use anonymous remailers nor do I create bogus
> accounts for the purpose of anonyminity.

You probably do, but no one here can prove it.

> You're welcome to try and find one, but you'll only wind up
> chasing your already pitiful little tail.

You always leave yourself an "out." Your actions are OK in your mind because you didn't cross some imaginary and meaningless line that you drew.

> > You're simply wrong far more often than you care to admit, but it goes
> > beyond that. You're despicable.
>
> No, Brain, YOU are.

No, Steve, you're wrong again.

> You wish to create some veil of innocence that
> you can wrap yourself in all-the-while accusing others (OK...Just
> me...) of doing "despicable" things.

Whether or not I accused you, you did a despicable thing.

> You have some serious deficits of your own to deal with, but
> seemingly can't come to grips with them so everyone else gets to "deal"
> with them.

More projection.

> So..."ARES"..."Unlicensed Devices"..."Somalia
> authorization"..."diminutives"...
>
> Care to answer any of those?
>
> Bad Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steven J. Robeson, you owe Mark an apology.

2280. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 03:39:45 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <393ef$43aa1fa7$4fc6191$3803@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 128051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1135092972.488924.267800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> What college? Barber college is all the college Toad could pass.
>

What makes you think he could pass barber college?
Ace - WH2T

2281. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 03:49:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <alednb1BmYgavzfe4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 128052

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:E_oqf.4861$mj1.4850@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>>
>> Hans, I don't know how familiar you are with today's testing but this
>> material is not covered on the Technician test.
>
> Here's a question lifted directly from the Technician question pool.
>
> T7A16
> If you increase your transmitter output power from 5 watts to 10 watts,
> what
> decibel (dB) increase does that represent?
> A. 2 dB
> B. 3 dB
> C. 5 dB
> D. 10 dB
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

I stand corrected. However, I can't find the equation to allow the Tech candidate to actually calculate this in the Now You're Talking Technician study guide so it boils down to rote memorization. Not a very good way to really understand the meaning. The equation and how to use it is covered in the General study guide. So that's how I came to the impression that it wasn't on the test. Sorry about that.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2282. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 03:56:55 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135223815.544875.291150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128053

From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 20, 1:56 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 18 2005 11:01 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 10, 3:48 pm,
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 7, 5:28 pm

<etc>

>What "obvious political spin"? Give us some examples of
>where the ARRL history is "spun" or inaccurate. For example -
>were there others besides amateurs who achieved low-power
>2-way transatlantic shortwave radio communication before
>November 1923?

Yes, there were. :-)

But, trying to present all those, finding the references,
noting them in here is all wasted effort on my part. You
will simply not accept any of it. What you will do is
write something like:

>That's just *your* spin, Len.

And all my effort will be for naught. :-)

That's the way it has been in here and that's just how you
will handle it. That's just the way it is... :-)

If anyone else cares to look at the history of ALL radio
they are welcome to peruse two texts by Hugh G. J. Aitken,
"Syntony and Spark," "The Continuous Wave, Technology and
American Radio 1900-1932, Princeton University Press.

For those who wish to review Thomas H. White's history
of USA radio, go to http://earlyradiohistory.us/ or
find a much-more abbreviated history at the FCC website.

There are many others of the non-amateur histories, but
you just don't want to hear of those, do you? :-(

>So go ahead.

For what purpose? I'm not a "radio evangelist" out trying
to hold "tent revival meetings" that we should all believe
in the Church of St. Hiram. :-)

Jimmie, U.S. radio amateurs were BANISHED from the "long
waves" after WW1 and had to be on the "short waves" from
200 meter wavelengths and down. BANISHMENT is banishment.
U.S. radio amateurs have NEVER been granted any band
allocations below MF since the FCC was created in 1934.

BTW, you are free to use the CORRECT term of decades in
the EM spectrum that others use; i.e., HF, VHF, UHF, etc.

TODAY's use of "shortwave" refers to the high microwave
region, usually above 10 GHz. Very short wavelengths
indeed.

1923 was 82 years ago, Jimmie.

This is the year 2005. Do try to keep up.

>> >Nonsense, Len. The ARRL doesn't elect government officials.
>
>> It postures as if it does...stating bluntly that it is
>> the "representative of amateur radio!" :-)
>
>Not the same thing at all.

ARRL is a MINORITY special interest group, Jimmie.

Only one out of five licensed U.S. radio amateurs are
members of the ARRL.

>And who else represents amateur radio in the
>USA on anyhting like as many issues?

Okay, so this MINORITY "representative group" practices
oligarchy. So what? :-)

>FCC makes the regulations.

Ah, but, on 10 December, you wrote "FCC doesn't license
radio amateurs!" :-)

>> You didn't exist in the 1940s, Jimmie.
>
>So what? The fact is there were other groups back then trying to
>change the regulations.

PROVE that fact. Show your work...tell us why we should care.

>> Everyone in the military puts their LIFE on the line, 24/7, as
>> long as they are in.

Jimmie, you did not comment on that, yet you quoted it.

Are you going to "argue" that now? Don't try...it will only
make you look more foolish than you are in regards to
national service.

>You've built complete amateur radio stations yourself? I fon't think
>so.

Whether or not you "fon't think so" is irrelevant. I have.

>> INCORRECT. I've built equipment "from scratch." INCLUDING
>> the "sheet metal work." :-)
>
>Not amateur radio equipment for your own use in your own station.
>
>That's the point.

It was radio equipment. No one paid me for it, ergo it was
"amateur." :-)

>> From the initial notes and sketches on paper to more detailed
>> plans on vellum to getting the parts, doing the breadboards,
>> finalizing the physical layouts, laying out the circuit boards,
>> masking and etching the PCBs, "bending the tin" (an expression
>> in aerospace for sheet-metal work), using the metal brake, using
>> drill presses, mills, lathes, tapping the screw holes, wiring up
>> the components, assembling everything, then testing and recording
>> the operation of the finished product. That was just for HOBBY
>> equipment, Jimmie. :-)
>
>But not for amateur radio equipment. And not using just your own
>resources.

Using ENTIRELY MY RESOURCES, Jimmie. Paid for out of my own
pocket. That classifies it as "amateur" as opposed to
"professional."

I've used others' brake, mill, and lathes, exhanging services
of mine for their loan of tools. All the rest were mine,
owned outright. Fair exchange of services agreeable to all.

Most "chassis" are easier to use purchased off the shelf. I have
the Greenlee chassis punches on hand to do those jobs, had them a
long time, even visited the very workshop in the department of
Greenlee's factory that made them back in 1950. [it was, and
remained, a tiny, tiny part of the overall Greenlee factory]

>> At WORK I've done all that plus a lot more...and been responsible
>> for the completion of the final design to established milestones,
>> setting up and doing the environmental testing, going out in the
>> field for the corporation to assist the customer, being responsible
>> for million-dollar project completion plus all the interdisciplinary
>> design review meetings and reports before managers as well as giving
>> pitches for contracts up for bid.
>
>All by yourself? Or with the "help" of an engineering team?

The travel departments at various companies arranged for my tickets
and lodging reservations, accounts payable argued with me on my

expense reports. I didn't have solo meetings with myself in design
reviews, if that is what you mean. :-) [do you hold meetings
with yourself at your work?]

>> >In other words, since there was no money in it for you...
>
>> No NEED, Jimmie. Can't you get anything straight?
>
>No need in your job. Job is for money. I got it right.

No NEED for ME, Jimmie.

My, my, you DO come across as an UNDERPAID engineer who is all
the time talking about "money." :-)

Are you really a surreptuous socialist? A closet communist?

Maybe you believe that you should get "free radios" because
you are a radio amateur?

>> Not AUTHORIZED, Jimmie. Do try to keep up...
>
>Neither qualified nor authorized, Len. Do try to be accurate.

I am accurate. I'm am quite QUALIFIED to not only operate
a radio transmitter (or any RF emitter), but to design it,
build a prototype, test it, align it, make it work.

I am not AUTHORIZED to USE it on frequencies which are
regulated by an AUTHORIZING AGENCY. In this case, the agency
is the FCC.

>> When were you on the Condor Network? Give us the year you
>> operated on it. Describe it.
>
>Wasn't talking about the Condor Network. Was talking about the amateur
>bands above 220 MHz. I've operated there - you've only listened.

The amateur Condor Net operates ON the "220" band, Jimmie,
not above it.

I have LEGALLY OPERATED on non-amateur bands both above and
below the amateur "220" band since 1952.

>I've been a radio amateur for 38 years, Len. Some other hams here
>have been radio amateurs even longer.
>
>Why should *we* change?

It's not a question of "should," Jimmie.

You've made it quite clear that you "fon't" want to change!

:-)

>I'm not saying you *should* change, Len. I'm simply pointing out
>that you're a nonamateur tells others that *they* have to change
>but doesn't accept change himself.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Mr.-I'm-an-amateur-for-38-years, the FCC,
which is NOT requiring any commissioners or staff to be
licensed in amateur radio, has been TELLING YOU WHAT TO DO
IN RADIO OPERATION for 38 years. :-)

>btw - you accused at least one developer of "payola" to the zoning
>commission, and also that the zoning commission accepted the
>"payola". Pretty serious charges. Care to back them up with facts?

You are welcome to go search the Los Angeles Superior Court
records, the Los Angeles City government records, if it is
so "important" to you. :-)

City government activity involving zoning ordinances have
NOTHING to do with radio, Jimmie.

>Were you top of the form in Morse Code? I think not.

I've never claimed to be "top of the form in morse code."

:-)

>> TRY to understand the 53 years ago morse code was NOT
>> the ultimate skill in radio operation on communications
>> circuits.
>
>Depends on which "communications circuits" you mean.

The Defense Communications System, and all its predecessors
since WW2 days, Jimmie. "Communications" is not restricted
to manual radiotelegraphy.

On the average, Washington Army Radio (WAR) relayed a MILLION
teletypewriter messages per MONTH out of Fort Detrick, MD, in
1955. Some of those were the 220 thousand TTY messages
relayed by station ADA in Tokyo of that same year. ADA did
not communicate directly, RUAP to RUEP, but communicated to
Anchorage, Seattle, San Francisco, Honolulu, Manila, Okinawa,
Seoul, Pusan, and Saigon in 1955. Some USAF, USN messages
were relayed through ADA, along with State Department messages
to Manila. A few, but very few facsimiles were relayed,
mostly for the USAF. Manila had a circuit through Asmara,
Eritrea, to the USAEUR (U.S. Army in Europe).

> You
>always seem to spin away from "communications circuits"
>like those used by the US Navy...

I'm not "spinning" anything, Jimmie. TTY messaging carried
the overwhelming mass of ALL messages involving the United
States military a half century ago. Insofar as encrypted

messages are concerned, TTY carried very nearly ALL of
those. "DATA" modes now carry the overwhelming mass of
messaging that goes on now...even in the United States Navy.

>> NOT necessary in relaying tens or hundreds of thousands of
>> messages a month worldwide.
>
>As if!

No argument about it...teletypewriter mode was the overwhelming
medium/mode of messaging a half century ago in the United States
military.

>> Today the "need" for radiotelegraphy skill has atrophied down
>> to some amateur radio hobbyists who cling to the myth that it
>> is "necessary" for obtaining an amateur radio license.
>
>Spin, spin, spin....

No "spin." That's just the way it is.

You will not accept reality since you are a devout desciple of
the Church of St. Hiram, a "True Believer" in the mythologic
efficacy of morsemanship in radio.

>> Even
>> then that myth is not universal nor does it represent any
>> "consensus" among those that have obtained the "highest" CLASS
>> amateur radio license. That's just the way it is...
>
>But you have no such license, yet you keep trying to force others to
>change.

What "force," Jimmie?

I am simply showing the TRUTH of the reality of communications
modes in the REALITY of the radio world.

The FCC gives you the OPTION of using any allocated mode, any
amateur band. One of those OPTIONAL modes is morse code on-off
keying. NO ONE is "taking that away from you" when the morse
code test is removed from amateur licensing regulations.

You will continue to be FREE to use any OPTIONAL communications
mode INSIDE your amateur frequency allocations. The "force"
that you imagine others try to put on you will remain, well,
just imaginary.

>You also haven't been a radio amateur at all.

Neither has any staffer or commissioner at the FCC...and they
can, not only tell YOU what to do in amateur radio, but they
can also FORCE you to do things (or not do them) via the U.S.
federal marshalls and federal court system.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2283. stveie the asshole of rape on rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 04:05:45 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <pp1kq1dnhf3lvl3erlse7mqra2p6pkls62@4ax.com>
Source record: 128055

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2284. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 04:06:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tDpqf.740$nu6.276@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128054

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> However, I can't find the equation to allow the Tech candidate to actually
> calculate this in the Now You're Talking Technician study guide so it boils
> down to rote memorization. Not a very good way to really understand the
> meaning.

I personally don't think an entry level examination (we can argue as to whether Technician is really an entry level license) ought to contain material on the underlying mathematics. A simple introduction to the terminology and concepts like "a 3db increase doubles the power" seems appropriate to me. At that level, being able to shuffle through the formulae is less important than familiarity with the broad concepts. I can understand that a wolf is dangerous without needing to be able to mathematically calculate the PSI of pressure his rear incisors will exert on my buttocks if he catches me.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2285. stveie demans rape victum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 04:06:52 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <nt1kq1ha2fl35v3585kfq4mu71l9pr2v3p@4ax.com>
Source record: 128056

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2286. why does stevie say rape is a matter for fun?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 04:08:15 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <j62kq1p4ma3ul0t1k5lkjdodipf03lolu5@4ax.com>
Source record: 128057

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2287. stveie should think himself lucky I don't crospost his action to the rape surovioirs gruop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 04:27:19 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3oakq15hp85r315o90bo04ef76laahgcop@4ax.com>
Source record: 128064

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2288. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:07:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8bWdnQWV1Mo6qTfenZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 128058

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tDpqf.740$nu6.276@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> However, I can't find the equation to allow the Tech candidate to
>> actually calculate this in the Now You're Talking Technician study guide
>> so it boils down to rote memorization. Not a very good way to really
>> understand the meaning.
>
> I personally don't think an entry level examination (we can argue as to
> whether Technician is really an entry level license) ought to contain
> material on the underlying mathematics. A simple introduction to the
> terminology and concepts like "a 3db increase doubles the power" seems
> appropriate to me. At that level, being able to shuffle through the
> formulae is less important than familiarity with the broad concepts. I
> can understand that a wolf is dangerous without needing to be able to
> mathematically calculate the PSI of pressure his rear incisors will exert
> on my buttocks if he catches me.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Well I can't say it is a good thing or not. I was just noting that it is not really covered. To me it is far harder to memorize a meaningless phrase like "a 3db increase doubles the power" than to learn the concept and the equation that goes with it. If I learn the concept, then I know why I should remember it. But everyone learns differntly.

I just find it exceedingly difficult to learn lists of things when I don't know what is behind them. For example, memorizing band limits drove me up the wall. There is no rhyme or reason to them. They are simply divisions chosen to be used as awards for upgrading. One of the reasons I went to Extra was that it was far easier to remember the band limits on a day to day operating basis than for any of the other licenses. On the other hand, the equations (and how to use them) was a piece of cake.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2289. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:08:44 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135228124.127958.233620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128059

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
> > >
> > Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
> >
> So you think it's OK to forge in the >>>attributes<<<, "Raped an old
> friend?"
>
> > Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line,
> >
> Poked fun???
>
> No, you didn't because it's not funny. Not even a little bit. You may
> have thought it was funny, but no one else does.
>
> And it appears like an accusation of rape.

it is an acussation of rape

a flase one one of his depcitable acts
>
> Why not ask the women in your life (mother, mother in law, wife,
> sister) if they think "Raped an old friend" is funny.
>
> but neither you
> > nor he can provide a single "forged" post. Not one RRAP post has been
> > generated by me that misrepresented the author by screen name or e mail
> > address.
> >
> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>
> > I don't use anonymous remailers nor do I create bogus
> > accounts for the purpose of anonyminity.
> >
> You probably do, but no one here can prove it.

I know that one of the anny mouses posts from alo.com and we have only
one aol.com poster here Stevie

not conclusive proof but good enough for me
>

> > You're welcome to try and find one, but you'll only wind up
> > chasing your already pitiful little tail.
>
> You always leave yourself an "out."

he treis to leave himself an out

> Your actions are OK in your mind
> because you didn't cross some imaginary and meaningless line that you
> drew.

he is however self deluded in think that yanyone esle Must respect
these quible lines he draws, indeed I can't even see what he is
quibbling about in many cases

>
> > > You're simply wrong far more often than you care to admit, but it goes
> > > beyond that. You're despicable.
> >
> > No, Brain, YOU are.
>
> No, Steve, you're wrong again.

as is normal for him
>
> > You wish to create some veil of innocence that
> > you can wrap yourself in all-the-while accusing others (OK...Just
> > me...) of doing "despicable" things.
>
> Whether or not I accused you, you did a despicable thing.

many despicatble things

>
> > You have some serious deficits of your own to deal with, but
> > seemingly can't come to grips with them so everyone else gets to "deal"
> > with them.
>
> More projection.
>
> > So..."ARES"..."Unlicensed Devices"..."Somalia
> > authorization"..."diminutives"...
> >
> > Care to answer any of those?
> >
> > Bad Brain.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steven J. Robeson, you owe Mark an apology.

andf the entire NG and Dee flint and Kim as representives of females in
general

2290. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:09:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135228188.053700.215980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1135092972.488924.267800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > What college? Barber college is all the college Toad could pass.
> >
>
> What makes you think he could pass barber college?
> Ace - WH2T

judgeing people by the standards of your mail order degree again?

2291. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:14:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135228479.776751.207320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128061

Dee Flint wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:tDpqf.740$nu6.276@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >
> >> However, I can't find the equation to allow the Tech candidate to
> >> actually calculate this in the Now You're Talking Technician study guide
> >> so it boils down to rote memorization. Not a very good way to really
> >> understand the meaning.
> >
> > I personally don't think an entry level examination (we can argue as to
> > whether Technician is really an entry level license) ought to contain
> > material on the underlying mathematics. A simple introduction to the
> > terminology and concepts like "a 3db increase doubles the power" seems
> > appropriate to me. At that level, being able to shuffle through the
> > formulae is less important than familiarity with the broad concepts. I
> > can understand that a wolf is dangerous without needing to be able to
> > mathematically calculate the PSI of pressure his rear incisors will exert
> > on my buttocks if he catches me.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
> Well I can't say it is a good thing or not. I was just noting that it is
> not really covered. To me it is far harder to memorize a meaningless phrase
> like "a 3db increase doubles the power" than to learn the concept and the
> equation that goes with it. If I learn the concept, then I know why I
> should remember it. But everyone learns differntly.
>
> I just find it exceedingly difficult to learn lists of things when I don't
> know what is behind them. For example, memorizing band limits drove me up
> the wall. There is no rhyme or reason to them. They are simply divisions
> chosen to be used as awards for upgrading. One of the reasons I went to
> Extra was that it was far easier to remember the band limits on a day to day
> operating basis than for any of the other licenses. On the other hand, the
> equations (and how to use them) was a piece of cake.

amusing that you and I have that in comon I intend to upgrade to extra
to simplify tband edges which you correctly have are abitray

the other rason of course is as matter not having to deal with the
classism of the ars from alower position anymore

although it isn't much work when I tooke the general I also took the
extra dispite not having studied that pool yet and 31 right I need what
35 to pass t as I recall
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2292. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:15:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UDqqf.752$nu6.706@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128062

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?

Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word about it.

Dave K8MN

2293. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:24:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1Mqqf.755$nu6.222@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128063

an Old friend wrote:

> I know that one of the anny mouses posts from alo.com and we have only
> one aol.com poster here Stevie

Both N2EY and Len Anderson post from AOL.

> not conclusive proof but good enough for me

Precisely. Your standards of proof are not very high.

Dave K8MN

2294. More Markie Mularkie, Brain Blends Bountiful Bovine Bowel Bombs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:37:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135229828.742512.280760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128065

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
> >
> > Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> So you think it's OK to forge in the >>>attributes<<<, "Raped an old
> friend?"

Do YOU think it's OK to alter the attributes line AT ALL...?!??!

(Careful, Brain...Better ask your "mentor" who started it all... !
! ! !)

> > Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line,
>
> Poked fun???
>
> No, you didn't because it's not funny. Not even a little bit. You may
> have thought it was funny, but no one else does.

"No one"....

> And it appears like an accusation of rape.

Sure does, doesn't it...?!?!

Guess Markie shouldn't have made allusions to forceful sex in the
past.

Guess what "sex by force" is, Brain...?!?!?

> Why not ask the women in your life (mother, mother in law, wife,
> sister) if they think "Raped an old friend" is funny.

Why not ask Markie why he ever suggested that being a "dom" or
having sex by force" was funny...?!?!

> but neither you
> > nor he can provide a single "forged" post. Not one RRAP post has been
> > generated by me that misrepresented the author by screen name or e mail
> > address.
>
> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?

Again...Ask Lennie...And of late, you can redirect that question
to Frankie, too!

> > I don't use anonymous remailers nor do I create bogus
> > accounts for the purpose of anonyminity.
>
> You probably do, but no one here can prove it.

I absolutley don't, but then I don't ahve anything to hide,
either...

(Kinda like continually changing your screen name and never
signing your name to your posts, Brain...)

> > You're welcome to try and find one, but you'll only wind up
> > chasing your already pitiful little tail.
>
> You always leave yourself an "out." Your actions are OK in your mind
> because you didn't cross some imaginary and meaningless line that you
> drew.

Everyone has thier "lines", Brain. You do too.

> > > You're simply wrong far more often than you care to admit, but it goes
> > > beyond that. You're despicable.
> >
> > No, Brain, YOU are.
>
> No, Steve, you're wrong again.

No Brain, I'm not.

YOU think it's OK to chastise others for "crossing lines" yet YOU
cross lines all the time too.

> > You wish to create some veil of innocence that
> > you can wrap yourself in all-the-while accusing others (OK...Just
> > me...) of doing "despicable" things.
>
> Whether or not I accused you, you did a despicable thing.

No, I didn't.

> > You have some serious deficits of your own to deal with, but
> > seemingly can't come to grips with them so everyone else gets to "deal"
> > with them.
>
> More projection.

There's YOUR "out", Brain.

See...everyone has thier lines. That's the one YOU drew for YOUR
self.

> > So..."ARES"..."Unlicensed Devices"..."Somalia
> > authorization"..."diminutives"...

> >
> > Care to answer any of those?
> >
> > Bad Brain.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steven J. Robeson, you owe Mark an apology.

Brian P Burke, you're on drugs.

Steve, K4YZ

2295. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:40:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135230034.070553.162860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128067

steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
> >
> > Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
> >
> > Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
> >nor he can provide a single "forged" post.
>
> there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
> think srape is a matter for fun

No, I didn't.

> Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types

Be my guest. I'll just refer them back to you with the evidence of
your forged posts.

> I know lse if they want to flame stevie the ###hole of RRAp

Uhhhh...that's MARKIE, the ###hole of RRAP.

Steve, K4YZ

2296. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:42:37 UTC
From: Lloyd II <marie@annon>
Message-ID: <dode89$8qm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1135228188.053700.215980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Dr.Ace wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1135092972.488924.267800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > What college? Barber college is all the college Toad could pass.
> >
>
> What makes you think he could pass barber college?
> Ace - WH2T

judgeing people by the standards of your mail order degree again?
' '

Not to be "judgeing" one by the degrees they claim to hold, but if Toad were
to go to barber college and take the test twice and pass both times,
wouldn't that make him twice the barber he was before?
Could we then call Toad 2Test, also?
Likewise for the malcontent sociopath Amateur Radio Operator (2Test) who has
had to take his Ham test twice. Doesn't that make the Ham in question twice
the operator he was before Riley 'invited' him to retest?

Heck, by extrapolation and a looooooong stretch of the imagination, according
to 2Test this should be so.

2297. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:43:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135230238.735109.174110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128068

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2298. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:45:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135230306.052413.44070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128069

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2299. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:46:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135230377.199821.182420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128070

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2300. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:47:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135230437.109094.148980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128071

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2301. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:48:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135230503.253405.21600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128072

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2302. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:49:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135230554.262530.209690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128073

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2303. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:50:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135230618.769183.6280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128074

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2304. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:51:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135230716.818302.6880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128075

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2305. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:53:15 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0512220052271.26209@panix3.panix.com>
Source record: 128076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

The reason I read is that i like to see what kind of nuts are posting out
there, LOL.

On Mon, 12 Dec 2005, Chuck Sherwood wrote:

> In article <20051209220855.102$gg@newsreader.com>,
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> re-entering ham radio
>>
>> Who cares?
>
> If you don't care, they why do you read this group or this thread?
>
>
>

2306. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:53:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135230838.666923.208080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128077

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2307. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:55:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135230927.163283.177870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2308. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:56:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135230982.953145.48500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128079

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2309. Re: Dear Santa give Toad a cure for his cocksucking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 05:56:59 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-2112052356590001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 128080

In article <1135193766.023679.112610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> Toad the cockgobbler wrote:
> > Dr Who wrote:
> > > On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > >>N9OGL wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
> > >>
> > >>What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> > >>your monosyllable utterings?
> > >
> > >
> > > The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
> >
> > ahahahahahahahahah. woger is such a DUMB BITCH!!!!
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHA. Roger didn't post it, cockgobbler.

Your the cockgrabber,you have to peoples cocks because you don't have one!
maybe you change your handle from whinylittleslut_lloydie to Cockgrabber
or better yet CockLover

Todd N9OGL
DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
(NOW WITH 14 MEMBERS AND GROWING!!!)

2310. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:02:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135231361.278024.49700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128081

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

an Old friend wrote:
> after al drinking is not illgeal not universaly (or even all that
> widely) considered imoral

I didn't say it was either illegal or immoral.

I said you need to sober up when you post.

You already butcher the English language as it is, and your
affliction (alcohol, not your alleged "dyslexia") make it worse.

> it happens not to be true and stvieis lying when he says he knows I
> don't drink

I don't?

> appearntly he has gone to the trouble of egeting dsome onetop raid my
> trash to find what I don't know maybe some beer can if this was back in
> Illiopis where my wifes uncle used to live with us and he drank, indeed
> that poor man drank himself to death, god rest his soul

If he lived with you, I am surprised he didn't climb a clock tower
somewhere before doing himself in...

> but this just proves that stevie is stalker and that nobody is safe
> that has drawn his ire

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight......

> the man will go through thrash looking for something to "harm" me with?

Hey MARKIE! Congratulations!

You forgot to start the sentences with a capitalized letter and a
period at the end of the first, but you spelled TWO WHOLE SENTENCES
correctly!

Whooo Hoooo!

> what an ###hole

Now now, Markie...Don't be so down on yourself! That was THREE!

Treat yourself to a cold one on me!

Steve, K4YZ

2311. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:04:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135231482.535930.51320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an Old friend wrote:
> Dr.Ace wrote:
> > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1135092972.488924.267800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > What college? Barber college is all the college Toad could pass.
> > >
> >
> > What makes you think he could pass barber college?
> > Ace - WH2T
>
> judgeing people by the standards of your mail order degree again?

The functionally illiterate welfare warrior "calling" others on
their "degrees"...

Cute, Markie...Cute!

Steve, K4YZ

2312. OUR NEWEST MEMBERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:06:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135231597.054712.58030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128083

Demented Otaku BBS are happy to have over 14 new members including
whinnyslit_lloyd@yahoo.com and K4YZ Welcome new members!!!

Todd N9OGL
DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

2313. Brain's Bountiful Bovine Bowel Bomb Bonanza

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:09:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135231746.794596.113340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128084

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> I once counted 28 new Steve lies in 10 days. Like the little shepherd
> boy that cried seven hostile wolves, Steve cannot be believed.

Uhhhh...noooo...You CLAIMED 28 lies, Brain.

You never were able to substantiate a single one of them. You were
asked at each and every "claim", but never made good on a single one.

In other words, Brain P Burke lied 28 times.

Just WHAT will your kids think?

Steve, K4YZ

2314. McDaugherty's Malicious Muddled Mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:12:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135231922.723057.123430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128085

N9OGL wrote:
> Demented Otaku BBS are happy to have over 14 new members including
> whinnyslit_lloyd@yahoo.com and K4YZ Welcome new members!!!

You wish!

Steve, K4YZ

2315. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:13:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135232029.906916.295520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128086

steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> On 21 Dec 2005 02:13:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an old friend wrote:
> >> why do you conitue this lie?
> >
> >>From Markie's Subject Line:
> >
> >"Re: stveive claims that 122 days after he contacted them that Michigan
> >is going to sweep in and comit me on his say so"
> >
> > The question is, Markie, when are you going to stop YOURS...?!?!
>
> no the questuion was an remains when are you going to stop telling the
> lie that Michigan is going to comit me on your say sO?

I NEVER said you'd be commited on my "say so".

> learn to read

Take your own advice, PaganBoy!

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2316. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:17:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135232246.937496.153900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128087

"Re: more lies from the ###hole"

You're too hard on yourself, Markie.

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns

have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2317. Re: answer the ####ing question coward stop lying <<< I Bet AMSAT Is Proud To Have KB9RQZ Representing It.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:19:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135232394.545214.268410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128088

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > when will Stevie explain how my posting in pesonal gruop makes calling
> > > BB gay ralvant to radio
> >
> > I never made that claim.
>
> yes you did

No, I didn't. Find it and repost it here.

> you claimed in aswer to the question the only reason sexuality is
> brought is becuase I posted in a personal gruop

No, I did not.

> > You did.
>
> anothe rlie

Nope.

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns

have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2318. Re: answer the ####ing question ##hole of RRAp (Markie Needs To Sober Up Before Posting...Now Can't Even Spell His Profanities Correctly)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:21:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135232519.858878.157620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128089

an old friend wrote:
> does stevie think their ais a fee per thread chrage?
> K4YZ wrote:
> > w5amy@arrl.net was stolen by Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ:
> >
> > The W5AMY@arrl.net screen name has been stolen by Mark C. Morgan,
> > KB9RQZ.
> >
> > Mark is a known pathological liar and now thief.
>
> answe coward

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns

have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2319. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:31:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135233090.534797.201630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128090

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >From Markie's Subject line:
> > > > >
> > > > "Re: more of stevie effort to deny religous freedom in the USA"
> > > > >
> > > > A boldfaced lie.
> > > >
> > > nope the absolute truth
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> yep

Nope.

Re-read the below and show me where any of the following occured:

> > Unless you can provide some documentation where I've ever
> > prevented you from attending the services of your choice, denied you
> > access to materials with which to practice your faith, or otherwise
> > impeded your access to those resources.
> >
> > Calling you "PaganBoy" is none of those.
> >
> never said that was it
> >
> but you have sought to deny the ability to practice my faith through
> directed writing

"directed writing"...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!

>
> I don't drink

Sure you do.

> now you are claiming to have knowledge of my trash?

Nope. YOU have acknowledged drinking beer in this forum in the
past, Markie. I didn't have to get with 500 miles of you.

> in my case that would require trepassing since trash is not picked up
> at the curb ever

I am quite sure that the trash is never picked up at your
residence.

> You can't know if I drink. simply impossible therefore you are lying in
> claiming you know I drink

I do know that you drink unless you were lying about it then.

So...the question is raised again...were you lying then, or are
you lying now?

> > > but you show yourself as an ###hole again
>
> corecting ore forgery by stvie

Was that a sentence?

> > I look like one of your relatives...?!?!?
>
> never said that don't know why you did

Because YOU stated that "but you show yourself as an ###hole
again"...

You said I look like an "###hole", ergo I MUST look like a
relative of yours...

> > The joke was staring at you in the mirror, Markie.
>
> more lie

Nope.

> more #### from the ###hole of RRAP

Yes, Markie, once again you bombed the NG with more of your ####.

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns

have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2320. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:38:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135233480.676854.9110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128091

steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> On 21 Dec 2005 01:13:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an old friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > an old friend wrote:
> cut
>
> >> I think the whole NG except you and Dave understand exactly what is
> >> going on
> >
> > Yes, Markie...The whole NG INCLUDING Dave and I understand EXACTLY
> >what is going on.
>
> I don't you do

Very good! It was an accident, I am sure, but you got it "right"
for once!

> > You're a functionally illiterate, mentally challenged, socially
> >inept little dweeb whose whole life pivots around being a meaner, more
> >deceitful, dishonest troll.
>
> and that proves it

That's TWICE that you've gotten it right, but again, no doubt due
to your functional illiteracy issues.

> you don't know what is going on

Now you slip back into your drunken stupor.

> but you more or less admit to being troll yourself which may be
> progress

I "more or less" did nothing of the sort, but if it makes you feel
better to think so, be my guest.

> >> and they know you could stop it but agreeingto stop your foregeies of
> >> posts your lying your threats, your gay bashing you pagan bashing your
> >> spreading of hate
> >
> > No lies.
>
> plenty of lies

Not from me, Markie.

> > No threats.
>
> lots of threats from you

Uhhhhhh....Nope.

> > No gay bashing. No pagan bashing. No
> >spreading of hate.
>
> all frdone by your keyboard many times

Nope again.

> > Just showing you to be the aforementioned idiot....And YOU just
> >keep adding more and more to the "proof" pile.
>
> Proof of what

You're a functionally illiterate, mentally challenged, socially
inept little dweeb whose whole life pivots around being a meaner, more
deceitful, dishonest troll.

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns

have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2321. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:44:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135233883.001670.77610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128092

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
> > > sexuality is relavant to radio
> >
> > I never did make that claim, Markie.
>
> yes you did you sack of ####

More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".

> you posted the stament that he is gay in this forum which is MKAKING
> the claim

No, it's not "MKAKING" anything.

Only if I type the words is the claim made. I have never made
that claim.

You're lying.

> > And I am sure that AMSAT is proud to have people like you
> > "representing" it with your misuse of thier e-mail address.
>
> misusing how by telling the turth about an ###hole like you?

The ###hole is the guy who creates subject lines using the "f"
word while using the e-mail alias of an organization known for it's
scientific contributions, not it's proliferation of profanity in
USENET.

Uhhhhhhhh...That would be YOU, PaganBoy.

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns

have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2322. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:50:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135234214.046181.213530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128093

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > steverobeson@yahoo.com was forged and illegally used by MArk Morgan,
> > KB9RQZ:
> >
> > > stvie who I am posting under just l;oves flamewars that is why he
> > > reufses to be merely rude and instaed is down right despeitcable
> >
> > Mark...T R Y S O B R I E T Y.
>
> I am alwasy sober stevie

Obviously not.

> >...If you won't learn proper
>
> > English, at least you can clean your dreck up a bit by being sober when
> > you post..
>
> More lie I know proper english

Then why don't you use it?

>my ind just will not let me type esp when I dealing with an ###hole like yourself

Your "ind" won't let you type?

> and I always sober ###hole

No, you're a drunk ###hole. Like right now.

> >.Even if it IS under a stolen e-mail address.
>
> I have in no way stolen your emal more lies from stevie

Sure you have.

You are using a Hotmail address as a "nick" that is my e mail
address, as you are using my wife's ARRL alias.

> Indeed I am just folowing a sugestion made searly on inthis process

Have another slug, Markie...

> and showing liar in the baragin

Yes, Markie...You're showing yourself to be a liar again...Good for
you!

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns

have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2323. More Markie Mularkie Bolstered By Brain's Bountiful Bovine Bowel Bombs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 06:57:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135234672.506881.123020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128094

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
> > > > service why does he care?
> > >
> > > Because you're a bully, Markie, and I detest bullies.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > WOW! Steve calling Mark a bully!!! Maybe Mark is a Tennesee LPN, too?
> > Hi, hi, hi!
>
> BB I must insist you apologize I am not an LPN

You couldn't be one. Not even in Michigan.

> LPN are about one rung up from the bedpanchaning CNA's indeed in many
> places the LPN do change the bedpans

Uh huh...As do RN's at the Associate, Bacalaureate, and Master's
Degree'd levels...Unless they want to be charged with patient neglect.
I even know EMT's and Paramedics that have had to do it. Even MD's in
residency have gotten tasked to perform this less-than-glorious task.

Not fun, but certainly a part of the job.

Do you suggest that patient's be allowed to lay in thier own
feces, Mark?

Or is THAT how you got put under suspicion of elder abuse
yourself?

Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:

The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns

have been stolen by him:

w5amy@arrl.net

steverobeson@hotmail.com

n8dos@grave.net

Steve, K4YZ

2324. Re: McDaugherty's Malicious Muddled Mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 07:01:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135233295.596453.180090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128095

Well, I checked the logs and it seems that there's a lot of activity
from AOL so I figured it was you looking at the assortment of pictures
from our gallery.

Todd N9OGL
Demeted Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.29/azbb/azbb.php

2325. Re: McDaugherty's Malicious Muddled Mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 07:04:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135235057.166605.105280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128096

N9OGL wrote:
> Well, I checked the logs and it seems that there's a lot of activity
> from AOL so I figured it was you looking at the assortment of pictures
> from our gallery.

Then you figgured wrong, KiddiePornoBoy.

Again.

Steve, K4YZ

2326. A message for John L Sielke (Was : Re: Pepper And Salt (Seasoned Greetings) to all our readers - the Xmas FAQ)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 07:59:34 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135238373.980197.174590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128097
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

There was an email message from you in my inbox this morning.

It was deleted unread.

I do not accept email messages arising from NG discourse. If you have an issue arising from matters in this NG, then this NG is the place to discuss it, all open and above board.

The only reason for having the email account is because it is a requirement of google groups. The mailbox does not get accessed for days at a time.

Pierian Spring wrote:
> A number of potential recruits are in great danger of being
> misled into thinking that they are Radio Hams when, in fact,
> they are nothing of the kind!

2327. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 08:08:05 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135238885.856798.17740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128098
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you wish to be for ever perceived to be a Childish Broadcaster
(CBer)
then carry on, as you do below, with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

Not only is it unprofessional but it is also a criminal act for
a ship's radio "officer" to attempt to pass himself off as a
medical professional.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>
> You're right, of course. It was unprofessional to attempt a remote
> diagnosis. Besides, a face-to-face consultation would be fascinating -
> there must be enough material there for an entire conference.

2328. FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 08:47:32 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135241252.682964.182400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128099
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In case their are those who get a CB-type rig such as the 817
in their stockings and are misled by those-who-ought-to-know-better
into thinking that they are Radio Hams, the weekly (and
revised) FAQ is posted a couple of days early to enable
the parents to take the CB gear back to the shop.....

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal

of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One such CB type is the so-called "Not-Ham". Otherwise
known as the CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham, this type
had their background in the hobby that is CB Radio and not
in the technical pursuits that lead up to a coveted Ham licence.
Easily recognised by their boasts of the criminal activity of
11 metre SSB operation, one wonders why they don't go back to
the CB Bands if such bands are dearer in their hearts than are the Ham
Bands? No _REAL Radio Hams associate with those who made an
illegal installation of transmitting equipment before being
in possession of an appropriate licence.

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham

could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

2329. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 09:10:01 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135242601.245634.261180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128100
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Over-wound Spring wrote:
> In case their are those who get a CB-type rig such as the 817
> in their stockings and are misled by those-who-ought-to-know-better
> into thinking that they are Radio Hams, the weekly (and
> revised) FAQ is posted a couple of days early to enable
> the parents to take the CB gear back to the shop.....

Could this possibly have been posted by the man who, just 8 years ago,
was selling his FT101E on the grounds that it was too difficult to tune
up without the manual?

> 2. FT101E, pristine condition, CW filter. (Purchased Longleat '95, never
> used on TX by me 'cos critical tuning-up pages missing from manual!) "
> 73 de Gareth G4SDW
> 13 Hardens Close, Chippenham, Wiltshire, SN15 3AA
> (01249) 651897

You should get an 817 yourself - no tuning required!

2330. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 09:42:18 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135244538.349938.188520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128101
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you wish to be henceforth perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then carry right on with the Childish Broadcasting (CB) such as
you utter below.

You seem to be obsessed with me, you are chanting your
infantile playground tirades at every turn. Brian Reay says that
such obsession is a sign of envy. Have you no life of your own?

All _REAL_ Radio Hams have a number of ongoing projects
simultaneously. Some are held up for lack of components, some
for a lack of time, others for lack of info.
I wouldn't recommend anyone whose background
is in transistorised QRP to tackle the neutralising of a compact
PA stage with 600 VDC on the anodes after replacing the
antenna coupling capacitor unadvisedly, lightly, or wantonly. The
project
was held up awaiting info, and when I needed the space, was
one of a number that I disposed of. ISTR that an R1475 awaiting
restoration
also was disposed of at the same time. The project was underway,
I was fully prepared to have a go, in sharp contrast to the
CBers-Masquerading-As-Radio-Hams of today who buy their
goods from a shop and return them to a shop if needing repair.

You really do seem anxious to score some pathetic and childish
points. You may feel at home starting and then desperately
trying to continue a pissing-in-the-playground
competition, but that is not my style.

You do yourself, this NG, and Ham Radio in general no service
by discouraging the discussion of what we do and the problems
we encounter along the way if you pick up on minor points out
of context and sneer and chant from the sidelines. How are
we to encourage the novitiate other than by discussing what
we do?

Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

m...@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Over-wound Spring wrote:
> > In case their are those who get a CB-type rig such as the 817
> > in their stockings and are misled by those-who-ought-to-know-better

> > into thinking that they are Radio Hams, the weekly (and
> > revised) FAQ is posted a couple of days early to enable
> > the parents to take the CB gear back to the shop.....
>
> Could this possibly have been posted by the man who, just 8 years ago,
> was selling his FT101E on the grounds that it was too difficult to tune
> up without the manual?
>
> > 2. FT101E, pristine condition, CW filter. (Purchased Longleat '95, never
> > used on TX by me 'cos critical tuning-up pages missing from manual!) "
>
> You should get an 817 yourself - no tuning required!

2331. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 09:56:02 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135245362.058528.81080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128102
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

OK - are you a man, or a yellow-bellied coward?

I notice that your own personal details are withheld
in the callbook.

How brave of you to publish someone else's, including
their phone number while you yourself hide shaking
and quivering behind the safety of the playground fence
while you chant your infantile sneers.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> > 73 de Gareth G4SDW
> > 13 Hardens Close, Chippenham, Wiltshire, SN15 3AA
> > (01249) 651897

2332. Re: tedious bollocks - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 09:59:10 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135245550.762168.226490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128103
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
>You may feel at home starting and then desperately
> trying to continue a pissing-in-the-playground
> competition, but that is not my style.

Oh - it seems I owe you an apology?

It must be some other Pierian Spring who "pisses" the same tedious
self-righteous drivel into these newsgroups umpteen times a week.

2333. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 10:02:59 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135245779.351638.228770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128104
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> OK - are you a man, or a yellow-bellied coward?
>
> I notice that your own personal details are withheld
> in the callbook.
>
> How brave of you to publish someone else's, including
> their phone number while you yourself hide shaking
> and quivering behind the safety of the playground fence
> while you chant your infantile sneers.

QTHR on the website (www.g4kfk.co.uk) and at QRZ.com

You'll also find Nick G3VCP, whom you accused of being a pirate, is
QTHR at qrz.com

And at least I use my own name, rather than claiming to be the Pierian
Spring of Greek mythology.

"A little learning is a dang'rous thing;
Drink deep or taste not the Pierian Spring"
Alexander Pope

73
Mike G4KFK

2334. Re: tedious bollocks - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 10:21:32 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135246892.186109.298860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128105
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If it is your wish to be for ever perceived as a Childish
Broadcaster (CBer), then carry right on with uttering
your obsessive Childish Broadcasting (CB) such as you
do below.

You're not doing yourself any favours with your
infantile tirades, and you really ought to know better.

Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> >You may feel at home starting and then desperately
> > trying to continue a pissing-in-the-playground
> > competition, but that is not my style.
> Oh - it seems I owe you an apology?
> It must be some other Pierian Spring who "pisses" the same tedious
> self-righteous drivel into these newsgroups umpteen times a week.

2335. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 10:36:47 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135247807.624407.200900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128106
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I don't follow web links - if you have something valid
to contribute to a discussion in this NG - possibly
your address and telephone number, then contribute it,
or else be for ever dismissed as a cowardly child.

You really must get out of this habit of yours of
chanting infantile untruths; it does you no favours.
I did not accuse anybody of being a pirate as you
imply below.

As to using one's own name, I used to until my
family was subject to malicious and threatening
correspondence coming from Stephen G Bryan G0SGB.
I advise anybody to remain anonymous as there are
malicious and obsessive loonies to be found in this NG
and in this thread to this day.

You also seem to not understand the tradition
of using a pseudonym, in my case for the reason
discussed above - I do not claim to be a font of any sort;
I am merely using a pseudonym.

Once again, you should give serious consideration to
presenting to this NG a persona other than the
insult-sneering infant that you continue to do below.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > OK - are you a man, or a yellow-bellied coward?
> > I notice that your own personal details are withheld
> > in the callbook.
> > How brave of you to publish someone else's, including
> > their phone number while you yourself hide shaking
> > and quivering behind the safety of the playground fence
> > while you chant your infantile sneers.
> QTHR on the website (www.g4kfk.co.uk) and at QRZ.com
> You'll also find Nick G3VCP, whom you accused of being a pirate, is
> QTHR at qrz.com
> And at least I use my own name, rather than claiming to be the Pierian
> Spring of Greek mythology.
> "A little learning is a dang'rous thing;

> Drink deep or taste not the Pierian Spring"
> Alexander Pope

2336. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 10:48:31 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135248511.118828.240980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128107
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> ... there are malicious and obsessive loonies to be found in this NG

You're right about one thing!

2337. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 10:48:35 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135248515.732665.68440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128108
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> ... there are malicious and obsessive loonies to be found in this NG

You're right about one thing!

2338. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 11:30:15 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <1uukq1dteklhp6s4e5dgvah0j98uomn419@4ax.com>
Source record: 128109
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:

>
>Over-wound Spring wrote:
>
>> In case their are those who get a CB-type rig such as the 817
>> in their stockings and are misled by those-who-ought-to-know-better
>> into thinking that they are Radio Hams, the weekly (and
>> revised) FAQ is posted a couple of days early to enable
>> the parents to take the CB gear back to the shop.....

>You should get an 817 yourself - no tuning required!

It's interesting to note that the author makes much of the repair issue in his so-called 'FAQ', where he says:

"Usually such people are a variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their radios off the shelf and send them back to be repaired"

and also goes on to say, referring to his vision of 'radio hams' (whatever they are):

"They do this with gusto, and also repair and modify their own equipment"

but elsewhere said

"I wouldn't recommend anyone whose background is in transistorised QRP to tackle the neutralising of a compact PA stage with 600 VDC on the anodes after replacing the antenna coupling capacitor "

Is there is a conflict here between the so-called 'FAQ' as laid down, and its practice as suggested by its own adherent? Under what circumstances should others 'repair with gusto' but not consider adjustments to a valve PA? All PAs? Ones with 12V on the anode? What are the limitations here? Is there a safety issue here? Where does one go to get 'a suitable background'? The so-called 'FAQ' should make things clear, not have the issues clouded by postings elsewhere.

But it doesn't stop here. Note that no licence is necessary for the repair of radios, as anyone who wishes can repair them. Neither does the possession of an Amateur Licence bestow a qualification for this activity; it is not specifically mentioned.

But further to the repair issue; the so-called 'FAQ', as noted above, states

"Usually such people are a variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their radios off the shelf and send them back to be repaired"

but it is apparently a sanctioned activity to have a non-functioning radio, and sell it off in that state, the 'redeeming' factors being that the owner was prepared to repair it, and was intending to repair it; the fact that he did not do so apparently being irrelevant.

So, under this so-called 'FAQ', anyone whose radio ceased to function, but who thought they might have a go at repairing it, and indeed intended to repair it, but in the end didn't for whatever reason ("I can't find a screwdriver to get the lid off") would not have negated their 'qualification' for inclusion in the strange but very limited sect proposed by the so-called 'FAQ'! A mere 'statement of intent', perhaps made years later, will be all that is required to save their bacon!

It would seem that this issue of 'repair' needs to be clarified within the so-called 'FAQ' itself, rather than by passing defensive remarks in other utterances; and to do this as a matter of urgency as there might be safety issues for anyone so encouraged to follow it. Also, it makes the so-called 'FAQ' difficult to follow for its adherents - should there be any - in much the same way that over the years 'operating' has been at various times both held high and then damned.

It would seem that this so-called 'FAQ' is itself in need of serious repair; let us see if the author can tackle that, or whether he merely intends to tackle it but then abandon it in favour of some activity that actually lies within his ambit. It is suggested that for a number of reasons, some possibly legal in scope, he does not republish it until the problems inherent in it are satisfactorily dealt with, otherwise serious issues might arise by any who follow it.

from
Aero Spike

2339. website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 12:25:35 UTC
From: Super DX <superdx@free.fr>
Message-ID: <QWwqf.507$Lp.374@nntpserver.swip.net>
Source record: 128110

Hi all,

here is a new ham website :

http://superdx.free.fr

73's and Merry Xmas !

Vince

2340. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 12:30:06 UTC
From: Noah Little <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <doe61dcnb3@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 128112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old friend wrote:

> I said at least one quarter not only, and I was trying to be kind

Wouldn't want to be around when you're trying to be mean, then.

2341. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 12:32:14 UTC
From: Brian Reay <see@website.invalid>
Message-ID: <i1xqf.22292$zt1.1038@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128111
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:1uukq1dteklhp6s4e5dgvah0j98uomn419@4ax.com...
>
> "I wouldn't recommend anyone whose background is in transistorised QRP
> to tackle the neutralising of a compact PA stage with 600 VDC on the
> anodes after replacing the antenna coupling capacitor "
>
> Is there is a conflict here between the so-called 'FAQ' as laid down,
> and its practice as suggested by its own adherent? Under what
> circumstances should others 'repair with gusto' but not consider
> adjustments to a valve PA? All PAs? Ones with 12V on the anode? What
> are the limitations here? Is there a safety issue here? Where does one
> go to get 'a suitable background'? The so-called 'FAQ' should make
> things clear, not have the issues clouded by postings elsewhere.

Plus, of course, such a neutralisation isn't that difficult a task- being a
normal process after replacing a valve. I've done a couple of FT101's over
the years and I don't recall the PA being that compact.

Either way, assuming the technician involved had even a modicum of
experience in electronics, it is the sort of job I'd expect them to be able
to tackle.

73
Brian

2342. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 13:10:41 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <doe8kh$9ip$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128113
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

However as it's Christmas, please feel free to join me at my run down QTH
for a spot of meths!

Pierian Spring wrote:
> I don't follow web links - if you have something valid
> to contribute to a discussion in this NG - possibly
> your address and telephone number, then contribute it,
> or else be for ever dismissed as a cowardly child.
>

2343. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 13:14:11 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135257250.969579.255320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128114
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Spike wrote:
> It would seem that this so-called 'FAQ' is itself in need of serious
> repair; let us see if the author can tackle that, or whether he merely
> intends to tackle it but then abandon it in favour of some activity
> that actually lies within his ambit. It is suggested that for a number
> of reasons, some possibly legal in scope, he does not republish it
> until the problems inherent in it are satisfactorily dealt with,
> otherwise serious issues might arise by any who follow it.

But why would he allow "nugatories" (his word) such as truth and
common-sense to spoil his vision of amateur radio? A vision which,
according to this posting from 1999, included an HND-level exam!

Gareth Alun Evans wrote in message
<922534269.8136.0.nnrp-06.9e982...@news.demon.co.uk>...
> Perhaps the answer would be to widen the terms
> of the CB license, to include what are now the 2m,
> 6m, 10m and 20m amateur bands, using only
> type-approved eqpt (which should suit the quasi-
> CB activities of DX, WAB and contests, and the
> current behavioural habits of the denizens of those
> bands); and then to bring in a much higher
> educational requirement (say, 'A' level maths
> and electronics) as a pre-requisite for an RAE
> at about the HND level. It cannot be ignored
> that a good mathematical grounding is essential for
> engineering design. This would also provide a
> break-time to ease the Morse requirement.
> Needless to say, all current Radio Hams (myself
> included) would get only the expanded CB, and
> would have to sit the new exams. I cannot see
> that there would be any objection to this because
> if you consider yourself to competent to design and
> construct radios, then you'd pass the exam with
> flying colours, wouldn't you?
> A Radio Ham licence would then, indeed, be
> a prized privilege

One wonders what would be the point? If 136kHz, 1.8, 3.5, 5, 7, 10, 18,
21 and 24MHz were only available to qualified engineers, what would
they use them for? What could they learn about propagation on these
bands that we don't already know? And, in a world where
mission-critical long-distance comms has already moved to fibre and
satellite, to what use would any new knowledge be put?

Surely it's better to promote the social side of the hobby (and yes Gareth, it IS a hobby) to at least the same extent as the technical side, and the only way to do this is for entry into the hobby to remain "competitive" with other pastimes. The RSGB have shown that they can do this efficiently.

73 and Happy CHRISTmas
Mike G4KFK
www.g4kfk.co.uk

2344. Re: Average cost of radio repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 13:17:16 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135257435.921197.187460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

wrong, thats your momma

2345. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 13:20:04 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <w9Gdnbwg2defNTfeRVn-tA@giganews.com>
Source record: 128116
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
>
> One such CB type is the so-called "Not-Ham". Otherwise
> known as the CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham, this type
> had their background in the hobby that is CB Radio and not
> in the technical pursuits that lead up to a coveted Ham licence.
> Easily recognised by their boasts of the criminal activity of
> 11 metre SSB operation, one wonders why they don't go back to
> the CB Bands if such bands are dearer in their hearts than are the Ham
> Bands? No _REAL Radio Hams associate with those who made an
> illegal installation of transmitting equipment before being
> in possession of an appropriate licence.
>

Cool! I'm in the G4SDW hall of flame!
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2346. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 13:30:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zPOdnRZZOdRdNzfeRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 128117

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tDpqf.740$nu6.276@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> However, I can't find the equation to allow the Tech candidate to
>> actually calculate this in the Now You're Talking Technician study guide
>> so it boils down to rote memorization. Not a very good way to really
>> understand the meaning.
>
> I personally don't think an entry level examination (we can argue as to
> whether Technician is really an entry level license) ought to contain
> material on the underlying mathematics. A simple introduction to the
> terminology and concepts like "a 3db increase doubles the power" seems
> appropriate to me. At that level, being able to shuffle through the
> formulae is less important than familiarity with the broad concepts. I
> can understand that a wolf is dangerous without needing to be able to
> mathematically calculate the PSI of pressure his rear incisors will exert
> on my buttocks if he catches me.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

The wolf analogy doesn't seem to me to be quite valid in this context. I would liken being able to calculate the decibels to be more similar to having the ability to identify the wolf as a wolf rather than a dog or a pig or a sheep and knowing the degree of danger that each may or may not present.

The power equation is a simple equation that can be run on any calculator that has more than the basic four functions. The user can simply punch it in and get the answer.

Here we have an entry level license that is allowed full power at the VHF/UHF frequencies. Yet the licensee is not expected to learn the associated terminology and concepts. That seems rather inappropriate to me, particularly in light of the argument that some people make that privileges should be tied directly to material that is tested. To correct that situation, would require either increasing the level of material covered on the Tech test or decreasing the power levels allowable to Techs. Either one would go over like a lead balloon.

Besides as someone has commented before, it is far more difficult to determine if an operator is violating the power limits than it is the frequency limits for their license. You can't really measure the power of a station from halfway across the country. As we've both seen, we've each

worked QRPers that were pegging the needle and "killer watters" that were barely a whisper all due to propagation conditions. So additional spectrum makes the most sense from the perspective of ease of enforcement even though it may not be related to the material being studied.

The "simple" introduction also leads people to false conclusions as without studying the equation and understanding how to use it, any reasonable person will assume that the effect is linear. Although this particular mistake would be relatively harmless.

In every Tech class that I've taught, at least one person gets really excited when they find out that they can legally run 1500 watts and wants to know what are the best amps and where to buy them. So I end up drawing a sketch of a signal strength meter and showing them the difference in the meter reading. Often they are disappointed that the results are relatively small. So then I end up going through an explanation of when and why an amp will help and discussing gain antennas versus amps to get the higher signal strength. We end up covering more than is on the test. But that's a good thing. I'm glad that the students are curious, involved participants as that means that they will learn better and remember longer. I must admit though that when it comes to really digging into it, I have to tell them we'll cover it in the General class simply due to lack of time. However, their interest has been sufficiently engaged that they are the ones who come to the General class.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2347. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 13:38:08 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <lbalq19t17ujhd6gobqi9pts126420534o@4ax.com>
Source record: 128118
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:

>
>Spike wrote:
>
>> It would seem that this so-called 'FAQ' is itself in need of serious
>> repair; let us see if the author can tackle that, or whether he merely
>> intends to tackle it but then abandon it in favour of some activity
>> that actually lies within his ambit. It is suggested that for a number
>> of reasons, some possibly legal in scope, he does not republish it
>> until the problems inherent in it are satisfactorily dealt with,
>> otherwise serious issues might arise by any who follow it.
>
>But why would he allow "nugatories" (his word) such as truth and
>common-sense to spoil his vision of amateur radio? A vision which,
>according to this posting from 1999, included an HND-level exam!

Presumably this is the level of attainment that he himself reached;
had he set it higher, he would have excluded himself!

But it is the so-called 'FAQ' that raises the greatest concern, for he
has now started to bound it with other advice - not written within the
so-called FAQ, but elsewhere. If this was done as part of a
professional's duties, it would be regarded as, well, unprofessional;
but in the context of encouraging newcomers, it is a dangerous and
disgraceful practice.

Should this so-called FAQ should be ignored by all, and a disclaimer
issued by the author? Perhaps an abuse report might be in order if
this not be done in the very near future.

from
Aero Spike

2348. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 13:50:11 UTC
From: W8LNA <W8LNA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <nayqf.124$qg.94@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128119
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> In case their are those who get a CB-type rig such as the 817
> in their stockings and are misled by those-who-ought-to-know-better
> into thinking that they are Radio Hams,

I got news for ya chappie, the war's over, you can get those parts for your head now.

Yeah, yeah, I know, 'stupid boy.'

You need some new material, and maybe some vitamin C tabs, ya been suckin' limes* so long you're sour completely through.

*- Apologies to all the British possibly offended by this remark with the exception of G4SDW, who it was intended to offend.

2349. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 14:06:37 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Npyqf.8380$3Z.4376@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128120

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:8bWdnQWV1Mo6qTfenZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:tDpqf.740$nu6.276@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>> However, I can't find the equation to allow the Tech candidate to
>>> actually calculate this in the Now You're Talking Technician study guide
>>> so it boils down to rote memorization.
>>
>> I personally don't think an entry level examination (we can argue as to
>> whether Technician is really an entry level license) ought to contain
>> material on the underlying mathematics. A simple introduction to the
>> terminology and concepts like "a 3db increase doubles the power" seems
>> appropriate to me. At that level, being able to shuffle through the
>> formulae is less important than familiarity with the broad concepts. I
>> can understand that a wolf is dangerous without needing to be able to
>> mathematically calculate the PSI of pressure his rear incisors will exert
>> on my buttocks if he catches me.
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Well I can't say it is a good thing or not. I was just noting that it is
> not really covered. To me it is far harder to memorize a meaningless
> phrase like "a 3db increase doubles the power" than to learn the concept
> and the equation that goes with it. If I learn the concept, then I know
> why I should remember it. But everyone learns differntly.

Agreed.

> I just find it exceedingly difficult to learn lists of things when I don't
> know what is behind them. For example, memorizing band limits drove me up
> the wall. There is no rhyme or reason to them. They are simply divisions
> chosen to be used as awards for upgrading.

Additionally, the band limits change by FCC action for a variety of
reasons. On the knowledge of band limits, I believe test applicants
would be better informed if they were provided with a band limits
chart and then asked specific questions which they could then use the chart
to find the correct answer.

> One of the reasons I went to Extra was that it was far easier to remember
> the band limits on a day to day operating basis than for any of the other
> licenses.

If you asked me the specific band limits for the lower edge of
phone on the HF bands I'd have to look it up myself.

> On the other hand, the equations (and how to use them) was a piece of
> cake.
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I always found knowing the equations easier also...but
there are folks that really struggle with basic algebra.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2350. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 14:37:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2MadnTfV9trJJzfenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 128121

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:Npyqf.8380$3Z.4376@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>

[snip]

>
> I always found knowing the equations easier also...but
> there are folks that really struggle with basic algebra.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Struggling is an insufficient reason. There are people who have to struggle to balance their checkbooks but the bank cuts them no slack when they overdraw their account. They still have to pay the overdraft fee.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2351. Re: More Projection!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 14:45:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135262719.343948.201680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128122

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
> > > > service why does he care?
> > >
> > > Because you're a bully, Markie, and I detest bullies.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > WOW! Steve calling Mark a bully!!! Maybe Mark is a Tennesee LPN, too?
> > Hi, hi, hi!
>
> BB I must insist you apologize I am not an LPN
>
> LPN are about one rung up from the bedpanchaning CNA's indeed in many
> places the LPN do change the bedpans

Sorry. Didn't mean to insult you.

But look at it this way, if you were an ER LPN, you could make jokes
about rape and all the upstanding citizens on RRAP would look the other
way.

2352. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 14:47:46 UTC
From: Brian Reay <see@website.invalid>
Message-ID: <m0zqf.15911$q4.6514@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128123
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:lbalq19t17ujhd6gobqi9pts126420534o@4ax.com...
>but elsewhere. If this was done as part of a
> professional's duties, it would be regarded as, well, unprofessional;
> but in the context of encouraging newcomers, it is a dangerous and
> disgraceful practice.

Certainly most professions expect the "established" to encourage and support
newcomers.
Experienced engineers tend to have newcomers as assistants, qualified
teachers have PGCE students to mentor and advise, new police officers are
put with experienced officers, junior Doctors are assign to a registrar,
etc., etc., etc.

Such a system only fails if the "established" person isn't so "established"
as maybe they should be.

73

Brian

2353. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 14:58:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vazqf.4941$mj1.3663@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128124

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> Here we have an entry level license that is allowed full power at the VHF/UHF
> frequencies. Yet the licensee is not expected to learn the associated
> terminology and concepts. That seems rather inappropriate to me,
> particularly in light of the argument that some people make that privileges
> should be tied directly to material that is tested. To correct that
> situation, would require either increasing the level of material covered on
> the Tech test or decreasing the power levels allowable to Techs.

I personally don't get the point you're trying to make (hope that doesn't make me a bad person).

The Technician exam "is what it is" based on a rather convoluted set of rule making decisions going all the way back to 1951. By happenstance, it has ended up as the "entry level" license into Amateur radio.

The solution is NOT more tinkering with the Technician license, but rather the establishment of a true entry level "learners permit" similar to the original Novice.

> Either one would go over like a lead balloon.

As well it ought! For at least two reasons.

Reason #1: (Increasing the level of material) The test, as it currently is used, is trying to "stand in" for an entry level examination. Increasing the difficulty would make it even more inappropriate for that purpose.

Reason #2: (Decrease the power levels) While the majority of licensees (of ANY CLASS) may not use the current allowed 1,500W, there is a non-trivial percentage of Technicians who are using the license they were granted in contesting, exotic propagation modes (meteor scatter, tropo, eme, etc.), and other applications which routinely use higher power levels.

Rather than jeremiads against the current Technician situation, there should rather be an effort to convince FCC to institute a NEW entry class "learners permit" similar to the original Novice. I recommend a 50W permit, with access to all bands/modes from DC to daylight, and a 10-year non-renewable grant.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--

2354. Re: More Projection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 15:00:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135263631.076901.156630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128125

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
> > > > > service why does he care?
> > > > >
> > > > Because you're a bully, Markie, and I detest bullies.
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > WOW! Steve calling Mark a bully!!! Maybe Mark is a Tennesee LPN, too?
> > > Hi, hi, hi!
> > >
> > BB I must insist you apologize I am not an LPN
> >
> You couldn't be one. Not even in Michigan.

Do they have a rule about laughing at rape?

> > LPN are about one rung up from the bedpanchaning CNA's indeed in many
> > places the LPN do change the bedpans
> >
> Uh huh...As do RN's at the Associate, Bacalaureate, and Master's
> Degree'd levels...Unless they want to be charged with patient neglect.

Do they get to joke about rape, too?

> I even know EMT's and Paramedics that have had to do it. Even MD's in
> residency have gotten tasked to perform this less-than-glorious task.

Do they get their laughs making rape jokes?

> Not fun, but certainly a part of the job.

I'm sure that joking about rape makes that job go faster.

> Do you suggest that patient's be allowed to lay in thier own
> feces, Mark?

If they joked about rape...

> Or is THAT how you got put under suspicion of elder abuse
> yourself?

"Dialing..." probably had more to do with that than anything Mark might
have done.

> Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
>
> The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
>
> have been stolen by him:
>
> w5amy@arrl.net
>
> steverobeson@hotmail.com
>
> n8dos@grave.net
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Looks like you bullied the wrong person, Steve. I recall you telling Len about what happens to guys who shoot "thier" mouth off to the wrong person? What was it again?

Anyway, I'm sure a sincere apology to Mark would start to put things right again.

2355. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 15:09:39 UTC
From: DrBoris <noyuckymeat@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <Tkzqf.11721$5v1.10650@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128126
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135244538.349938.188520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
<crap snipped>

>> I wouldn't recommend anyone whose background
> is in transistorised QRP to tackle the neutralising of a compact
> PA stage with 600 VDC on the anodes after replacing the
> antenna coupling capacitor unadvisedly, lightly, or wantonly.

So how are people supposed to learn/progress if they are not
going to tackle something they have no previous experience of?
Is that not the whole point of Ham Radio, as you seem to continually
remind us of in your FAQ?

2356. Re: agains well said BB ty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 15:13:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135264381.285984.65180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128127

steverobeson@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 21 Dec 2005 14:46:25 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:

> >> And if you think that no one but you can see it, you're a bigger
> >> fool than your posts let on...
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Steve, you're the fool. You treat people like $hit then expect them to
> >see you as some kind of upstanding RRAP citizen. Doesn't work that
> >way, as you're finding out. It's obvious that your forgery of "raped
> >an old friend" was the final straw for Mark.
>
> got to correct you the final starw was stevie supportof the publishing
> of the name address and phone number of some who only "fault was
> sharing my name, and his lies that the person was in no way in
> dangered.
>
> that onforunate received phone call threatening to burn him outof his
> own and crusify on the lawn

Reminds me of Steve's lecturing Len about what happens to people who
shoot "thier" mouth off to the wrong person.

I wonder if Steve is making any of these posts from his work computer
so that his company can be held liable, too.

2357. Re: OUR NEWEST MEMBERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 15:20:45 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.20gmuk@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.20gmuk@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 128188

N9OGL Wrote:
> Well, I checked the logs and it seems that there's a lot of activity
> from AOL so I figured it was you looking at the assortment of pictures
> from our gallery.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> Demeted Otaku BBS
> http://12.166.133.28/azbb/azbb.php

Out of many million AOL subscribers, you have deduced a single person?

Which explains the name demented (as in "dementia"). OBTFW, learn to
spell demented.

The Man in the Maze
QRU at Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

2358. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 15:24:03 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135265043.790913.86810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128128
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> However as it's Christmas, please feel free to join me at my run down QTH
> for a spot of meths!

That's a very kind offer and, as it happens, we will be visiting
friends in Canal Road over the hols, so I might well take you up on the
offer.

It it BYOB? Should I bring my own anti-freeze?

Merry CHRISTmas
Mike G4KFK
www.g4kfk.co.uk

2359. Re: more aplogies for my asshole hubby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 15:38:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135265896.483405.135800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128129

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
> > > sorry he is count the threads now
> > > everyone should be advised that The following person
> > > has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
> > >
> > > he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> > > he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
> > >
> > > STEVEN J ROBESON
> > > 151 12TH AVE NW
> > > WINCHESTER TN 37398
> > > 931-967-6282
> > >
> > > k4yz@aol.com
> >
> > Even though Steve has often tried to involve other peoples families in
> > his squabbles on RRAP, I think it's pretty forked up to do the same. I
> > suggest Steve be given a pass on this aspect of his screwed up life.
>
> I hear your words and I am beginingto give him for now (on to other
> things)
>
> But unlike stevie I have nt threaten to contact his family, or tried to
> lie them as stevei HAS to memebrs of MY family
>
> so for now I am givgoing to give him a pass but i felt the point need
> to made, and i expect however that stevie will make needfull for me to
> return that at some point

It turned my stomach to try to return to Steve what he dished out to myself and others. That he is able to relentlessly do the things he does indicates to me that he has absolutely no self respect.

Take the high road. He will have the low road all to himself. Let him walk alone in this endeavor.

bb

2360. Announcing The RRAP Crossdressers New Year's Ball Awards !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 16:05:11 UTC
From: xylophone <happydiscs44@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bd08c$43aadcd0$d1cc57ce$14041@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 128130

Location yet to be announced.
THE RRAP Crossdresser Ball

Freq of 3.9-- (you know where!)
Annual on-the-air Awards:

1) Kiebassa taped to my left leg Truckstop Trolling for bull dykes Award

2) 2005 most obnoxous Ass H--- on RRAP Award

3) Ham Operator with the fattest-looking ass at the hamfest Award

4) El-Cheapo dirty Hamfest Bum Award (open to hams who bathe 2x per Month)

5) Dayton 2005 Lunchroom "steal-the-waitress's-tip I have no shame" Award

6) Best 75 Meter HF QRM'er Award

7) Most PRON downloads achieved in one hour by a Ham Pervert Award.

8) Most antennas mounted on a beat up old domestic car Award

9) Trashy QTH Award (Special West Virginia QTH Category !)

10) The KV4FZ "Pain-in-The Ass" Award (most obnoxous ham on 75M)

VOTE NOW! VOTE NOW! VOTE NOW!

2361. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 16:17:13 UTC
From: Me <Me@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <doejic$j53$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128131
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
[snip]
> I advise anybody to remain anonymous

As in getting yourself arrested, and your name and picture published in your local newspaper..thus bringing amateur radio into disrepute, is that what you version of anonymous is ?

http://archive.thisiswiltshire.co.uk/2005/3/10/91923.html

2362. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 16:28:04 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135268884.413518.215010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128132
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Me wrote:
> As in getting yourself arrested, and your name and picture published in
> your local newspaper..thus bringing amateur radio into disrepute, is
> that what you version of anonymous is ?

Maybe a clean CRB check should be a pre-requisite for an amateur radio
licence?

73
Mike G4KFK

2363. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 16:32:55 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135269174.934284.28770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128133
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I see that some cowardly child has hidden behind an
anonymising server to forge an abusive posting that did not come from
me.

What more proof do we need that the dumbing down that
has come in from, amongst other things, the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence, has
done irreparable harm to the society of Radio Hams?

In the light of the forged posting below, it is more and more
important that _ALL_ self-respecting _REAL_ Radio Hams
resign forthwith from the RSCB, if only to show support
for decency and for civilised behaviour in Radio Hammery.

Pierian Spring wrote:
> However as it's Christmas, please feel free to join me at my run down QTH
> for a spot of meths!
>
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > I don't follow web links - if you have something valid
> > to contribute to a discussion in this NG - possibly
> > your address and telephone number, then contribute it,
> > or else be for ever dismissed as a cowardly child.
> > >

2364. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 16:40:30 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135269630.269502.23890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128134
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If it was your intention to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster
(CBer),
and deliberately setting out to cause offence is most certainly
childish, then continue with your Childish Broadcasting (CB) such
as you use below.

Grow up, Galen Watts!

Stupid child.

W8LNA wrote:
> I got news for ya chappie, the war's over, you can get those parts for
> your head now.
> Yeah, yeah, I know, 'stupid boy.'
> You need some new material, and maybe some vitamin C tabs, ya been
> suckin' limes* so long you're sour completely through.
> *- Apologies to all the British possibly offended by this remark with
> the exception of G4SDW, who it was intended to offend.

2365. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 16:45:22 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135269922.792863.41410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128135
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

At no time have I indicated that I wasn't prepared to
tackle something of which I had no experience. I was
prepared to tackle it, and was awaiting the appropriate
instructions. How many of those who boast of having
neutralised the PA stage of an FT101 did so without
the manufacturer's instructions thereto, and if they
lacked the instructions, how did they know that their
attempts to so neutralise were successful?

You really do seem desperate to score some
infantile point, Andy GilFillan!

DrBoris wrote:
> "Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1135244538.349938.188520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> <crap snipped>
> >> I wouldn't recommend anyone whose background
> > is in transistorised QRP to tackle the neutralising of a compact
> > PA stage with 600 VDC on the anodes after replacing the
> > antenna coupling capacitor unadvisedly, lightly, or wantonly.
> So how are people supposed to learn/progress if they are not
> going to tackle something they have no previous experience of?
> Is that not the whole point of Ham Radio, as you seem to continually
> remind us of in your FAQ?

2366. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 16:47:40 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135270060.440240.287510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128136
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If it is your wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry right on with your Childish Broadcasting (CB)
such as you utter below.

You do yourslef no favours by your continual use of
gratuitous and abusive outbursts.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > However as it's Christmas, please feel free to join me at my run down QTH
> > for a spot of meths!
> That's a very kind offer and, as it happens, we will be visiting
> friends in Canal Road over the hols, so I might well take you up on the
> offer.
> It it BYOB? Should I bring my own anti-freeze?
> Merry CHRISTmas
> Mike G4KFK
> www.g4kfk.co.uk

2367. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 16:57:36 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135270656.287807.255580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128137
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Firstly, why not identify yourself, rather than hiding like the
infantile coward that you undoubtedly are behind an
anonymising server? (Talking of infantile anonymous
cowards, have you ever thought about joining the
plods yourself?)

Secondly, if anyone is arrested as the result of a malicious
and perjurous complaint, then that arrest, and any corresponding
bringing of Ham Radio into disrepute, is a reflection on the
criminal making the complaint, in this case, Brian Reay, G8OSN/M3OSN,
and not on his unfortunate victim.

Brian Reay subjected me to a vicious, malicious and distressing
campaign of harassment over a number of years when he
repeatedly accused me of sheep-shagging, said that my
wife was a sheep in the bed next to me, and accused
me of drinking methylated spirit. I did not respond to him
other than to chastise him for his grossly offensive behaviour.

When it became apparent that Brian Reay was a schoolteacher,
and had been conducting his sexually deviant campaign when
he was under training at a girls's school in Dartford, Kent,
I responded by suggesting that such a campaign meant that
he was a danger to children. Brian Reay's infantile psyche
could not deal with having the tables turned on him, and
he made a perjurous and malicious complaint to the plods
suggesting that it was I who was harassing him, rather than
the other way about. Brian Reay is a w*nkmason and it seems that
his fellow w*nkmasons in the plods will not take action against him
for wasting plod time in the way that he did.

Me wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> [snip]
> > I advise anybody to remain anonymous
>
> As in getting yourself arrested, and your name and picture published in
> your local newspaper..thus bringing amateur radio into disrepute, is
> that what you version of anonymous is ?
>
> http://archive.thisiswiltshire.co.uk/2005/3/10/91923.html

2368. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 16:59:33 UTC
From: W8LNA <W8LNA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <VXAqf.135$qg.79@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128138
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> If it was your intention to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster
> (CBer),
> and deliberately setting out to cause offence is most certainly
> childish, then continue with your Childish Broadcasting (CB) such
> as you use below.
>
> Grow up, Galen Watts!

Bullseye!

Gee, he can use a call sign lookup page, I'm really, really scared!

Maybe I should file a report with the Melksham police.

I'd bet they know who you are, Springy Ol' boy.

What's more childish, a casual insult across the internet or actually
going far enough to get arrested for intimidation across the internet?

I suspect the latter.

W8LNA
Galen Watts
HC 63 Box 309
Arbovale, WV 24915-9741

2369. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:05:51 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S7KdnTz8mPGzQDfenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 128139

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:vazqf.4941$mj1.3663@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> Here we have an entry level license that is allowed full power at the
>> VHF/UHF
>> frequencies. Yet the licensee is not expected to learn the associated
>> terminology and concepts. That seems rather inappropriate to me,
>> particularly in light of the argument that some people make that
>> privileges
>> should be tied directly to material that is tested. To correct that
>> situation, would require either increasing the level of material covered
>> on
>> the Tech test or decreasing the power levels allowable to Techs.
>
>
> I personally don't get the point you're trying to make (hope that doesn't
> make
> me a bad person).
>

Not at all. My point was unclear. I was attempting to point out that it
may be silly to try to make the exams address only the privileges that will
be earned as a result of that test as some would try to convince the FCC.
You'ld not only have to rework the exam structure but also the alignment of
privileges. They are already out of step for the reasons you indicate below
and "fixes" would cause a problem.

> The Technician exam "is what it is" based on a rather convoluted set of
> rule
> making decisions going all the way back to 1951. By happenstance, it has
> ended
> up as the "entry level" license into Amateur radio.
>

Exactly. And along the way, the technical requirements to earn it changed
when the Tech written was split off from the General written.

> The solution is NOT more tinkering with the Technician license, but rather
> the
> establishment of a true entry level "learners permit" similar to the
> original
> Novice.
>

Actually I would agree with that to an extent. Any tinkering with the Tech license would worsen the situation. I would however propose closing the Tech license to new issues just as the Novice and Advanced are now closed. However I think creating a new entry level license will be unnecessary. Once the current NPRM is implemented, thereby eliminating the code, we are going to see the Tech numbers drop like a rock. Current Techs will upgrade within a year or two (assuming that they are active enough to hear about it). New Techs will be likely to push right on through and take the General fairly soon after taking the Tech. I really think that,for the most part, only those Technicians who are inactive will not upgrade.

>> Either one would go over like a lead balloon.
>
> As well it ought! For at least two reasons.
>

And I agree. That was my point. The "fixes" would be worse than the problem!

> Reason #1: (Increasing the level of material) The test, as it currently
> is
> used, is trying to "stand in" for an entry level examination. Increasing
> the
> difficulty would make it even more inappropriate for that purpose.
>

As we move forward, I think we'll see enough people pushing right on through to General that "difficulty" would become a moot point. In the test sessions that I've been involved in, we actively encourage everyone who passes the Tech exam to go ahead and give the General written a try. Those who do try it only miss by a few questions and are so motivated by coming that close that they dive into studying it and pass the General written shortly thereafter. As indicated above, I believe that the Tech should be closed to new issues sometime after the NPRM goes into effect. However, that will require reworking the question pools as the bulk of the RF safety material is covered only on the Technician exam and that would need rolled into a new General exam in that case.

> Reason #2: (Decrease the power levels) While the majority of licensees
> (of ANY
> CLASS) may not use the current allowed 1,500W, there is a non-trivial
> percentage
> of Technicians who are using the license they were granted in contesting,
> exotic
> propagation modes (meteor scatter, tropo, eme, etc.), and other
> applications
> which routinely use higher power levels.
>

That was exactly my point. It would be inappropriate as they would lose privileges. It seems to me that most hams agree that losing privileges is highly undesireable in reworking the license structure.

> Rather than jeremiads against the current Technician situation, there
> should
> rather be an effort to convince FCC to institute a NEW entry class
> "learners
> permit" similar to the original Novice. I recommend a 50W permit, with
> access
> to all bands/modes from DC to daylight, and a 10-year non-renewable grant.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

It was not intended to be a jermiad against the current Technician licensing situation. If it came across that way, then I did not express myself as well as I had intended.

I do not agree with your new entry license though. It's simply unnecessary in light of the upcoming elimination of the code test.

When I got into amateur radio in 1992, the amount of material that one had to cover to go from Novice to Extra made a five level licensing structure reasonable to allow the candidate to make the journey in manageable size steps. When the code requirement was reduced to 5wpm in 2000, the three license structure made sense as far as step size went. Unfortunately accumulated changes in the Tech license kind of put it out of step with the other licenses as you pointed out. That would have been the time to devise a new entry level license. Implementation of the pending NPRM would make a two license system reasonable.

73
Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2370. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:07:09 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135271229.566574.35570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128140
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If anyone is arrested as the result of a malicious
and perjurous complaint, then that arrest, and any corresponding
bringing of Ham Radio into disrepute, is a reflection on the
criminal making the complaint, in this case, Brian Reay, G8OSN/M3OSN,
and not on his unfortunate victim.

Brian Reay subjected me to a vicious, malicious and distressing
campaign of harassment over a number of years when he
repeatedly accused me of sheep-shagging, said that my
wife was a sheep in the bed next to me, and accused
me of drinking methylated spirit. I did not respond to him
other than to chastise him for his grossly offensive behaviour.

When it became apparent that Brian Reay was a schoolteacher,
and had been conducting his sexually deviant campaign when
he was under training at a girls's school in Dartford, Kent,
I responded by suggesting that such a campaign meant that
he was a danger to children. Brian Reay's infantile psyche
could not deal with having the tables turned on him, and
he made a perjurous and malicious complaint to the plods
suggesting that it was I who was harassing him, rather than
the other way about. Brian Reay is a w*nkmason and it seems that
his fellow w*nkmasons in the plods will not take action against him
for wasting plod time in the way that he did.

If it was your intention to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster
(CBer), and deliberately setting out to cause offence is most
certainly childish, then continue with your Childish Broadcasting
(CB) such as you use below.

Grow up, Galen Watts!

Stupid child.

W8LNA wrote:
> Bullseye!
> Gee, he can use a call sign lookup page, I'm really, really scared!
> Maybe I should file a report with the Melksham police.
> I'd bet they know who you are, Springy Ol' boy.
> What's more childish, a casual insult across the internet or actually
> going far enough to get arrested for intimidation across the internet?
> I suspect the latter.

2371. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:20:24 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135272024.453418.180780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128141
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> If it is your wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
> then just carry right on with your Childish Broadcasting (CB)
> such as you utter below.
>
> You do yourslef no favours by your continual use of
> gratuitous and abusive outbursts.
>
> Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
>
> Stupid boy.

Go on then - you drink that meths all by yourself. See if I care.

That's the last time I accept an invitation for a boys night out with
you!

73
Mike G4KFK

2372. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:25:09 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135272309.625773.197140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128142
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on with your Childish Broadcasting (CB)
such as you use below.

You do yourself no favours by your continual use of
gratuitous and abusive outbursts.

You bemuse those of us who observe your behaviour.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

m...@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Go on then - you drink that meths all by yourself. See if I care.
> That's the last time I accept an invitation for a boys night out with
> you!

2373. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:31:38 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135272698.718441.207390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128143
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Typo (in the third word as well!)

For "their", read "there".

Pierian Spring wrote:
> In case their are those who get a CB-type rig such as the 817
> in their stockings and are misled by those-who-ought-to-know-better
> into thinking that they are Radio Hams, the weekly (and
> revised) FAQ is posted a couple of days early to enable
> the parents to take the CB gear back to the shop.....
>
> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
>
> Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> fascination with all things technical and gives
> an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
> This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>
> Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> are qualified to design, build and then
> operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
>
> The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces

> of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> development grows with the years
> and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> be learnt and applied.
>
> The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> competitions and fox-hunts.
>
> -----OOOOO----
>
> However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> desirable thing to have that there are large
> numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> of the kind! Usually such people are a
> variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> and their licences prevent the use of
> self-designed-and-built equipment.
>
> These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
> No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One such CB type is the so-called "Not-Ham". Otherwise
> known as the CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham, this type
> had their background in the hobby that is CB Radio and not
> in the technical pursuits that lead up to a coveted Ham licence.
> Easily recognised by their boasts of the criminal activity of
> 11 metre SSB operation, one wonders why they don't go back to
> the CB Bands if such bands are dearer in their hearts than are the Ham
> Bands? No _REAL Radio Hams associate with those who made an
> illegal installation of transmitting equipment before being
> in possession of an appropriate licence.
>

> -----ooooo-----
>
> One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
> from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
> difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
> perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
> perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
> no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
> GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
> could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
> such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
> telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
> technical pursuit.
>
> A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
> a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
> sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
> tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
> Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
> a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
> issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
> M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
> Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
> apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
> licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
> the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
> bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
> intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
>
> 6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
> enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
> for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
> the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
> of the imagination!
>
> Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
> or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
> degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

2374. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:43:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135273409.706311.269990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128144

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>
> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
> about it.
>
> Dave K8MN

Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.

2375. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:51:09 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43aae775.1154377@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128145
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"guv" <me@privacy.net> wrote:

> xxxx xxx, xxxxx.

the foul mouthed cb'er ....

2376. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:52:13 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43aae79e.1194761@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128146
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote:

> Are you drunk yet? Getting in the mood for some baaadroom antics with
> xxxxxxxxx?

there you go again...
giving a green light to all and sundry to mention your own wife BY NAME !!

2377. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:53:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135274003.090586.131360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128147

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Dec 19, 10:50 am
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 5:08 pm
> >
> >
> > >>> It's understandable that Jim doesn't want to discuss the circumstances
> > >>> of why he didn't serve. But he sure is a interested in military
> > >>> history. Knows all about it.
> > >
> > >> Jimmie seems to "know all about anything" he babbles about.
> > >
> > >> He's read some and can search the Internet (like anyone else)
> > >> and then is a wannabe guru on the anything. :-(
> > >
> > >Since all who have access to a computer and the internet can search (not
> > >like *everyone else*), what then accounts for your frequent and numerous
> > >factual inaccuracies?
> >
> > My "frequent and numerous factual inaccuracies?!?" :-)
> >
> > Davie boy, you will have to do much better than that little
> > INACCURATE statement of yours. :-)
> >
> > Let's look at the PCTA "side" and check out some really big MISTAKES
> > written by The Chosen Ones:
> >
> > Who wrote, on 10 December in here:
> >
> > "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

They don't? I guess the FCC has no regulatory authority over civil
radio in the US of A.

> > Or, a year to two years ago, frequently:
> >
> > "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."

It's starting to make sense. Jims says the FCC fined some idiot for
jamming MARS nets. So although the FCC no longer has regulatory
authority over civil radio, they've taken up enforcing military radio
and MARS nets. Sheesh!

> Davie, you just settle down and don't spazz so much on certain
> folks. You only make yourself look pompous, arrogant and you
> WILL wind up the target of lots of uncomplimentary-to-you
> comments...as have already happened in here. <shrug>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I especially liked him self-identifying as a DXer who works out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

2378. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:54:05 UTC
From: Ed Unsworth <edunsworth@ULTIMATEEMAIL.COM>
Message-ID: <43aae83d_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 128148
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135271229.566574.35570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> If anyone is arrested as the result of a malicious
> and perjurous complaint,
Pray tell, has there been a prosecution, or conviction against Mr Reay for the above "alleged" malicious and perjurous complaints, if not your allegations must be groundless.

> Brian Reay is a we*nkmason
Right all is now clear...I take it you have never been invited to join, yet another goal you have failed to achieve.

2379. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 17:54:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xLBqf.1488$nu6.323@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128149

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> I would however propose closing the Tech license to new
> issues just as the Novice and Advanced are now closed.
> I do not agree with your new entry license though. It's simply unnecessary in
> light of the upcoming elimination of the code test.
>

??????????????????????????

I disagree with you, and I disagree violently. If we were sitting discussing this over a cup of coffee, I'd be yelling at you!

The code test has nothing to do with it.

There currently is no proper entry level "learners permit" to "dip a toe" in the amateur radio waters. Closing the Tech license would be to discard even the makeshift "entry level" we have now! This is an idea which should not be tossed aside lightly. It should be hurled aside with great force.

There needs to be a proper "learners permit", and until that's available closing the Tech license would be suicidal to the amateur service.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2380. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 18:12:38 UTC
From: W8LNA <W8LNA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <q0Cqf.1813$OU3.1728@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 128150
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:

> Brian Reay subjected me to a vicious, malicious and distressing
> campaign of harassment...

Considering your behavior here I sincerely doubt your account of Mr. Reay's actions, especially when you refer to the constabulary as 'the plods,' a strong indication of your own denial of reality. I suspect (there's a word you're used to, eh?) the police reviewed transcripts of your exchanges with Mr. Reay and apparently determined you were the threat, as you were the party taken in to custody.

Ta ta,
W8LNA, 'Stupid Child'

2381. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 18:27:08 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <0eCqf.9519$Dd2.5591@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128151

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xLBqf.1488$nu6.323@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> I would however propose closing the Tech license to new
>> issues just as the Novice and Advanced are now closed.
>> I do not agree with your new entry license though. It's simply
>> unnecessary in light of the upcoming elimination of the code test.
>>
>
> ????????????????????????
>
> I disagree with you, and I disagree violently. If we were sitting
> discussing this over a cup of coffee, I'd be yelling at you!
>
> The code test has nothing to do with it.
>
> There currently is no proper entry level "learners permit" to "dip a toe"
> in the amateur radio waters. Closing the Tech license would be to discard
> even the makeshift "entry level" we have now! This is an idea which
> should not be tossed aside lightly. It should be hurled aside with great
> force.
>
> There needs to be a proper "learners permit", and until that's available
> closing the Tech license would be suicidal to the amateur service.
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

I agree with Han's position on a "learners license."

I don't believe, however, that any tech (no code tech that is)
who is running high power today would not be willing to
go for General if the Tech power limit was reduced. I
doubt there's anymore than a percentage point or 2
of current techs that operate over 100w. Those that
do and are "no code techs" I can't see being upset about
a reduced power limit IF the tech license is expanded
to allow significant HF access. That's a quid pro quo
as opposed to only a loss of privileges which Generals
and Advanced saw back when (1968) incentive licensing hit
the streets.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2382. Re: ER Nurse says Rape is still a Joking Matter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 18:51:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135277509.563756.184690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128152

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
> > >
> > > Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
> >
> > So you think it's OK to forge in the >>>attributes<<<, "Raped an old
> > friend?"
>
> Do YOU think it's OK to alter the attributes line AT ALL...?!??!
>
> (Careful, Brain...Better ask your "mentor" who started it all... !
> ! ! !)

So you still think it's OK to forge "Raped an old friend" in the
attributes?

> > > Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line,
> >
> > Poked fun???
> >
> > No, you didn't because it's not funny. Not even a little bit. You may
> > have thought it was funny, but no one else does.
>
> "No one"....
>
> > And it appears like an accusation of rape.
>
> Sure does, doesn't it...?!?!
>
> Guess Markie shouldn't have made allusions to forceful sex in the
> past.
>
> Guess what "sex by force" is, Brain...?!?!?

A laughing matter?

> > Why not ask the women in your life (mother, mother in law, wife,
> > sister) if they think "Raped an old friend" is funny.
>
> Why not ask Markie why he ever suggested that being a "dom" or

> having sex by force" was funny...?!?!

I've never seen Mark post such things. Where are you seing this stuff
at? Do the women in your life think it's funny or even an acceptable
subject to joke about it? Do you laugh at rape victims in the ER?

And what does it have to do with Amateur Radio or RRAP?

> > but neither you
> > > nor he can provide a single "forged" post. Not one RRAP post has been
> > > generated by me that misrepresented the author by screen name or e mail
> > > address.
> >
> > And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>
> Again...Ask Lennie...And of late, you can redirect that question
> to Frankie, too!

I'm asking you.

> > > I don't use anonymous remailers nor do I create bogus
> > > accounts for the purpose of anonyminity.
> >
> > You probably do, but no one here can prove it.
>
> I absolutley don't, but then I don't ahve anything to hide,
> either...

You probably do. Your public behavio[u]r indicates you've got a very
large closet.

> (Kinda like continually changing your screen name and never
> signing your name to your posts, Brain...)

How do you like having your name, your home address, and your telephone
number plastered over the net with every Mark post?

> > > You're welcome to try and find one, but you'll only wind up
> > > chasing your already pitiful little tail.
> >
> > You always leave yourself an "out." Your actions are OK in your mind
> > because you didn't cross some imaginary and meaningless line that you
> > drew.
>
> Everyone has thier "lines", Brain. You do too.

Mine follow the line that society draws far more closely than yours
does. Society doesn't think rape is a joking matter. You do and you
continue to defend it. Why?

> > > > You're simply wrong far more often than you care to admit, but it goes
> > > > beyond that. You're despicable.
> > >
> > > No, Brain, YOU are.

> >
> > No, Steve, you're wrong again.
>
> No Brain, I'm not.
>
> YOU think it's OK to chastise others for "crossing lines" yet YOU
> cross lines all the time too.

You've recently used deceased W0EX as a setup to a joke about the FCC's
10th Call District. Now you use rape as a way to be funny. What's
next?

> > > You wish to create some veil of innocence that
> > > you can wrap yourself in all-the-while accusing others (OK...Just
> > > me...) of doing "despicable" things.
> >
> > Whether or not I accused you, you did a despicable thing.
>
> No, I didn't.

You did.

> > > You have some serious deficits of your own to deal with, but
> > > seemingly can't come to grips with them so everyone else gets to "deal"
> > > with them.
> >
> > More projection.
>
> There's YOUR "out", Brain.
>
> See...everyone has thier lines. That's the one YOU drew for YOUR
> self.

ARES not being able to respond is like joking about rape? You're
ridiculous.

> > > So..."ARES"..."Unlicensed Devices"..."Somalia
> > > authorization"..."diminutives"...
> > >
> > > Care to answer any of those?
> > >
> > > Bad Brain.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steven J. Robeson, you owe Mark an apology.
>
> Brian P Burke, you're on drugs.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I don't use drugs unless prescribed by a physician.

Do you have any other accusations as a diversion from your joking about rape?

Where is your apology to Mark?

2383. Anime child porn possession sends VA man to prison for life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 18:55:17 UTC
From: Chris <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3oeslf4d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 128153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You reading this Todd? Obscene cartoon drawings *are* an illegal form of child pornography. Read the final paragraph below carefully. You just might have a few Federal agents scanning your filthy little bbs after all.

====(begin quote)====

posted on 2005-12-02 10:01:34
source: Richmond Times-Dispatch

In March we reported on a man in Virginia who faced life in prison for, among other things, downloading anime-child pornography on a computer at the Virginia Employment Commission. The downloads occurred while the man was on probation for a previous conviction involving child pornography.

In total he was accused of 20 counts of receiving obscene materials, 20 counts of receiving obscene visual representations of sexual abuse of children, 14 counts of receiving child pornography and 20 counts of sending and receiving obscene e-mails describing sexual abuse of children. Besides the anime-child porn, he was also received digital photographs of children engaged in sexually explicit acts.

Yesterday that man was found guilty, by jury, of 74 counts of child pornography charges in U.S. District Court.

When he is sentenced on March 10, he faces a maximum sentence of 1,160 years in prison and a fine of US$18.5 million.

According to the man was "the first person convicted under a 2003 federal statute that makes obscene cartoon drawings as well as photographs an illegal form of child pornography."

Provisions of the Child Pornography Prevention Act (CPPA), a 1996 law that banned virtual child pornography, were thrown out by the US Supreme Court in 2002 on freedom of speech grounds. However WRIC reports that this individual is the first to be charged under "a new child pornography law that can apply to cartoons." The report did not specify whether this was a federal or state law, however the US Attorney's Office was cited as being involved. If convicted, he could face life in prison.

2384. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 18:57:26 UTC
From: Boaz <Boaz@kingsolomon.com>
Message-ID: <410b8mF1cs003U1@individual.net>
Source record: 128154
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>
>>However as it's Christmas, please feel free to join me at my run down QTH
>>for a spot of meths!
>
>
> That's a very kind offer and, as it happens, we will be visiting
> friends in Canal Road over the hols, so I might well take you up on the
> offer.
>
> It it BYOB? Should I bring my own anti-freeze?
>
> Merry CHRISTmas
> Mike G4KFK
> www.g4kfk.co.uk
>

In case anyone has trouble finding his qth (you might want to deliver

christmas cards) here is a map

www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?formtype=address&country=GB&addtohistory=&address=&city=&zip code=SN15+3AA

The fact that Wood Lane Police Station is only just down the road is
just coincidental (I think)

2385. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 19:34:52 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135280092.889738.28190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128155
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The plods did not check out any transcripts of mine, and took
Brian Reay at his word. I rapidly produced in defence a pile
6 inches thick of Brian Reay's infantile and grossly offensive
remarks to me and the plods had to back down. I had no
"exchanges" with Reay - I merely responded in defence
against him to chasitise him for his gratuitous offensive
onslaughts - not dissimilar from your own.

The trial of the plod Richard Fuller for assault is scheduled
to take place on the 8th February at Chippenham Magistrates'
Court.

My behaviour here has always been in support of the
technical pursuit that is _REAL Ham Radio and trying
to maintain standards of decency in response to the
outbursts of rather silly and infantile people such as
yourself.

Indeed, in respect of your rather silly and infantile behaviour,
if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on with the Childish Broadcasting (CB) that
you utter below.

W8LNA wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > Brian Reay subjected me to a vicious, malicious and distressing
> > campaign of harassment...
> Considering your behavior here I sincerely doubt your account of Mr.
> Reay's actions, especially when you refer to the constabulary as 'the
> plods,' a strong indication of your own denial of reality. I suspect
> (there's a word you're used to, eh?) the police reviewed transcripts of
> your exchanges with Mr. Reay and apparently determined you were the
> threat, as you were the party taken in to custody.
>
> Ta ta,
> W8LNA, 'Stupid Child'

2386. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 19:40:58 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <FbednV-GMe3RnDbeRVn-og@giganews.com>
Source record: 128156
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

zYYPK wrote:
> "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> Are you drunk yet? Getting in the mood for some baaadroom antics with
>> xxxxxxxxx?
>
> there you go again...
> giving a green light to all and sundry to mention your own wife BY
> NAME !!

No, it was already in the public domain, mention by Gareth himself many a time. So there's not really a problem, is there. If he hadn't mentioned his wife's name, neither would I.
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2387. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 19:45:02 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <AJadnUJk5O3dnzbenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 128157
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
>
> Brian Reay subjected me to a vicious, malicious and distressing
> campaign of harassment over a number of years when he
> repeatedly accused me of sheep-shagging, said that my
> wife was a sheep in the bed next to me, and accused
> me of drinking methylated spirit. I did not respond to him
> other than to chastise him for his grossly offensive behaviour.
>

No, Gareth.

You are the instigator against Brian. YOU are the guilty man. YOU are the harrassing stalker. Unfortunately, you are too mentally unstable to ever see that.
How your wife puts up with you I shall never know. Maybe she's locked in a trunk in the attic or mummified in a rocking chair?

--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2388. Re: McDaugherty's Malicious Muddled Mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 19:46:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135280818.134164.210870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128158

N9OGL wrote:
> Well, I checked the logs and it seems that there's a lot of activity

Toadie has also checked the gay porn and kiddie porn sites, too...

> from AOL so I figured it was you looking at the assortment of pictures
> from our gallery.

Toad, are you all upset now that Elton John married somebody else
instead of you, cocksucker?

http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/port_a_bitch_lloyd.jpg

2389. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 19:50:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135281058.091763.53300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr.Ace wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1135092972.488924.267800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > What college? Barber college is all the college Toad could pass.
> >
>
> What makes you think he could pass barber college?
> Ace - WH2T

True, Toad couldn't even get through the 10th grade the 5 times he
tried.

2390. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 19:59:02 UTC
From: W8LNA <W8LNA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <aADqf.1822$OU3.1432@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 128160
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
...
> if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster...

Frankly I don't care how you perceive me.

You, on the other hand, seem to be perceived by the others on this group as just that you decry, childish.

> My behaviour here has always been in support of the
> technical pursuit that is _REAL Ham Radio and trying
> to maintain standards of decency...

Whose standards? Yours? Do those 'standards' involve assisting newcomers, or do you just call them 'childish' and gloat from your soiled, ivory tower?

Oh for pity's sake.

It seems everyone here knows who you are, where you live etc. So why can't you post under your own name and call sign, instead of some fantastically pompous delusion that you're representative of some font of wisdom?

A font of pomposity, yes. Wisdom and knowledge? I'd laugh if you weren't so incredibly pitiful.

Go build something, follow your own FAQ,
W8LNA

2391. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:01:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135281687.256601.112640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd the Jew wrote:
> "an Old kiddiefucker@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1135228188.053700.215980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace wrote:
> > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1135092972.488924.267800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > What college? Barber college is all the college Toad could pass.
> > >
> >
> > What makes you think he could pass barber college?
> > Ace - WH2T
>
> judgeing people by the standards of your mail order degree again?

"Mail order degree?" <guffaw!> You really are a fucking imbecile.
Obviously, when you can't even spell "judging" correctly, fatass.

>
> Not to be "judgeing" one by the degrees they claim to hold, but if Toad were

"judging", stupid.

> to go to barber college and take the test twice and pass both times,
> wouldn't that make him twice the barber he was before?
> Could we then call Toad 2Test, also?

"2Test" isn't a word, DUMBASS. But YOU couldn't pass your freshman year
of that 10th rate community college, tubby. Nor can you upgrade for the
training wheel technician license. Better stick to the 2 meter
repeaters you jam, Davies, you couldn't put a decent antenna for HF in
that ghetto HUD apartment you are forced to live in because you fucked
up in life so bad the best job you can get is one as a pizza delivery
BOY.

> Likewise for the malcontent sociopath Amateur Radio Operator (2Test) who has
> had to take his Ham test twice. Doesn't that make the Ham in question twice
> the operator he was before Riley 'invited' him to retest?

It wasn't much of a sanction or punishment either way, eternal no code
tech. Roger upgraded from the General he had to retake (quite easily,
too; YOU can't even get 5 wpm code, remember, you get stuck after 5
lettters). Looks like the "punishment/sanction" wasn't very effective.

> Heck, by extrapolation and a looooooong stretch of the imagination, according
> to 2Test this should be so.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Making up words again, you chronic alcoholic? Go back to delivering
those greasy shitty Papa John's pizzas, fatass.

2392. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:01:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135281703.987018.126640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128162

From: K0HB on Dec 22, 9:54 am

>"Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> I would however propose closing the Tech license to new
>> issues just as the Novice and Advanced are now closed.
>> I do not agree with your new entry license though. It's simply unnecessary in
>> light of the upcoming elimination of the code test.
>
>??????????????????????????
>
>I disagree with you, and I disagree violently. If we were sitting discussing
>this over a cup of coffee, I'd be yelling at you!
>
>The code test has nothing to do with it.

Well, yes and no on that. As of 17 Dec 05, the Technician
class license numbers amount to 41.46% of ALL U.S. amateur
radio licensees. Both Technician and Technician Plus
licensees together make up 48.70% of ALL licensees.

"Closing" the Technician class to new licensees would be as
close to an act of outright nastiness on at least 2 out of 5
U.S. radio amateurs. It would be internecine warfare on the
hobby!

As of mid-2000, the Technician Plus license class was ALREADY
closed to new license grants. See R&O FCC 99-412.

Note also that, as of 17 Dec 05 Hamdata figures, the last 12
months indicated that NEW licensees finally were more than the
expirations by 578 or so. The defacto "entry class" has been
the no-code-test Technician practically since it was created
14 years ago.

>There currently is no proper entry level "learners permit" to "dip a toe" in the
>amateur radio waters.

"Learner's permit?" Are you thinking - still - that "radio"
is some kind of craft or mysterious means of communication?
That it MUST be done with a long period of "apprenticeship?"

Radio communication is one button-push "more advanced" than
using a telephone. That and learning the jargon of the ham-
ways and cutesy old-time phrasing and oh-so-very-important
"procedure!" Violate "procedure" and a license grant is
taken from the licensee "with great force?!?"

>Closing the Tech license would be to discard even the
>makeshift "entry level" we have now!

"Makeshift?!?" Good grief, the no-code-test Technician class
>>is<< the defacto "entry class" and has been so for no less
than FOURTEEN YEARS! You don't understand that is NO longer
"makeshift?"

>There needs to be a proper "learners permit", and until that's available closing
>the Tech license would be suicidal to the amateur service.

<ride up gain on "M*A*S*H" theme> ... "Suicide is painless"
[lyrics in the original movie theme song] Just take that
"black pill" and all worries are gone...

So...are you reverting to olde, olde days of pre-WW2 when
"radio" was still a mysterious "force" with strange, arcane
technology that had to be laboriously studied under a
"master of the arts?" :-)

"Radio" use - by beginners - is just one push-button "harder"
than using a cell phone today. One out of three Americans
has a cell phone service subscription. I have yet to come
across anyone who has NOT used a conventional telephone for
communications purposes and is capable of ordinary speech
and hearing.

Oh...the "procedure!" Yes, by all means, make the beginners
use CORRECT PROCEDURE! That's "for the good of the service!"
We can't have all those olde-tymers get all upset by some
snot-nosed raw beginners NOT using CORRECT PROCEDURE! [what
will the CO think? hold "Captain's Mast?" do "Company
Punishment?"]

Roger that, super chief. Over. Out. Pbtbthththth...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2393. Re: Dear Santa give Toad a cure for his cocksucking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:03:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135281834.317045.259700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128163

N9OGL wrote:
> In article <1135193766.023679.112610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Toad the cockgobbler wrote:
> > > Dr Who wrote:
> > > > On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>N9OGL wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
> > > >>
> > > >>What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> > > >>your monosyllable utterings?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
> > >
> > > ahahahahahahahahah. woger is such a DUMB BITCH!!!!
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHA. Roger didn't post it, cockgobbler.
>
>
> Your the cockgrabber,you have to peoples cocks because you don't have one!

Try posting without one in your face, Toad, you might accidentally make
sense for once.

> maybe you change your handle from whinylittleslut_lloydie to Cockgrabber
> or better yet CockLover

Toad, you really get sexually excited when you talk about cocks, don't
you, you aids ridden faggot?

2394. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:03:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135281837.889053.88650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128164

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 22, 9:53 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Dec 19, 10:50 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 5:08 pm

>> >>> It's understandable that Jim doesn't want to discuss the circumstances
>> >>> of why he didn't serve. But he sure is a interested in military
>> >>> history. Knows all about it.
>
>> >> Jimmie seems to "know all about anything" he babbles about.
>
>> >> He's read some and can search the Internet (like anyone else)
>> >> and then is a wannabe guru on the anything. :-(
>
>> >Since all who have access to a computer and the internet can search (not
>> >like *everyone else*), what then accounts for your frequent and numerous
>> >factual inaccuracies?
>
>> My "frequent and numerous factual inaccuracies?!?" :-)
>
>> Davie boy, you will have to do much better than that little
>> INACCURATE statement of yours. :-)
>
>> Let's look at the PCTA "side" and check out some really big MISTAKES
>> written by The Chosen Ones:
>
>> Who wrote, on 10 December in here:
>
>> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>
>They don't? I guess the FCC has no regulatory authority over civil
>radio in the US of A.

Depends on which Alternate Universe Jimmie is inhabiting at the
moment. In ours they DO have regulatory authority. In others
they probably don't. :-)

>> Or, a year to two years ago, frequently:
>
>> "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."
>
>It's starting to make sense. Jims says the FCC fined some idiot for
>jamming MARS nets. So although the FCC no longer has regulatory

>authority over civil radio, they've taken up enforcing military radio
>and MARS nets. Sheesh!

Those Alternate Universes are tough areas to inhabit!

I wish those folks would leave their imaginary Universes and
re-enter reality.

>> Davie, you just settle down and don't spazz so much on certain
>> folks. You only make yourself look pompous, arrogant and you
>> WILL wind up the target of lots of uncomplimentary-to-you
>> comments...as have already happened in here. <shrug>
>
>I especially liked him self-identifying as a DXer who works out of band
>Frenchmen on 6 meters.

Davie explained that he was not responsible, cannot regulate
others' use of the ham bands. Then he turns right around and
tries to bully others in newsgroups. <shrug> Oh, well...

Maybe he's been spending too much time at "the lodge" by the
fire, sipping rum with the rest of his "out-of-banders'"
banterers? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2395. more of stveie lie lies the asshole does nothign btut lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:04:28 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fi1mq1trhvtgldlp08cdsrpsiecvg7tbu3@4ax.com>
Source record: 128180

On 21 Dec 2005 22:50:14 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > steverobeson@yahoo.com was forged and illegally used by MArk Morgan,
>> > KB9RQZ:
>> >
>> > > stvie who I am posting under just l;oves flamewars that is why he
>> > > reufses to be merely rude and instaed is down right despeitcable
>> >
>> > Mark...T R Y S O B R I E T Y.
>>
>> I am alwasy sober stevie
>
> Obviously not.

obviously you are just plain nuts
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2396. more of the lying asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:04:35 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <0k1mq1po6v6lv5cbadfvole8t7g09g0eh1@4ax.com>
Source record: 128181

On 21 Dec 2005 22:31:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > >From Markie's Subject line:
>> > > >
>> > > > "Re: more of stevie effort to deny religous freedom in the USA"
>> > > >
>> > > > A boldfaced lie.
>> > >
>> > > nope the absolute truth
>> >
>> > Nope.
>>
>> yep
>
> Nope.

yep
>
> Re-read the below and show me where any of the following occured:
>
>> > Unless you can provide some documentation where I've ever
>> > prevented you from attending the services of your choice, denied you
>> > access to materials with which to practice your faith, or otherwise
>> > impeded your access to those resources.
>> >
>> > Calling you "PaganBoy" is none of those.
>>
>> never said that was it
>>
>> but you have sought to deny the ability to practice my faith through
>> directed writing
>
> "directed writing"...?!?!
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>!!!

more whing
>
> So..in OTHER words none of the foregoing was met, and none of your
>"religious" freedoms were EVER impeded.

meaning i don't accept your diffeintion or your right to make them for
me
>
>> > > you don't know. I don't drink.
>> >
>> > Sure you do. You should make sure your hide your trash better.
>>
>> I don't drink
>
> Sure you do.
>
>> now you are claiming to have knowledge of my trash?
>
> Nope. YOU have acknowledged drinking beer in this forum in the
>past, Markie. I didn't have to get with 500 miles of you.
>
>> in my case that would require trepassing since trash is not picked up
>> at the curb ever
>
> I am quite sure that the trash is never picked up at your
>residence.
>
>> You can't know if I drink. simply impossible therefore you are lying in
>> claiming you know I drink
>
> I do know that you drink unless you were lying about it then.
>
> So...the question is raised again...were you lying then, or are
>you lying now?
>
>> > > but you show yourself as an ###hole again
>>
>> corecting ore forgery by stvie
>
> Was that a sentence?
>
>> > I look like one of your relatives...?!?!?
>>
>> never said that don't know why you did
>
> Because YOU stated that "but you show yourself as an ###hole
>again"...
>
> You said I look like an "###hole", ergo I MUST look like a
>relative of yours...
>

>> > The joke was staring at you in the mirror, Markie.
>>
>> more lie
>
> Nope.
>
>> more #### from the ###hole of RRAP
>
> Yes, Markie, once again you bombed the NG with more of your ####.
>
>Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
>
>The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
>
>have been stolen by him:
>
>w5amy@arrl.net
>
>steverobeson@hotmail.com
>
>n8dos@grave.net
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2397. more lies from the many that says rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:04:43 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <kl1mq1d33b0amarnn5ihdosk100rrf72ah@4ax.com>
Source record: 128182

On 21 Dec 2005 21:40:34 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
>> On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> >> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>> >
>> > Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>> >
>> > Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>> >nor he can provide a single "forged" post.
>>
>> there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
>> think srape is a matter for fun
>
> No, I didn't.

yes you right there lying asshoole
>
>> Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
>
> Be my guest. I'll just refer them back to you with the evidence of
>your forged posts.
>
>> I know lse if they want to flame stevie the ###hole of RRAp

more forgery from stevei
>
> Uhhhh...that's MARKIE, the #asshole of RRAP.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW

WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2398. Re: Dear Santa give Toad a cure for his cocksucking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:05:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135281930.194309.263770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128165

Todd the cocksmoker wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Toad the cockgobbler wrote:
> >
> >>Dr Who wrote:
> >>
> >>>On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>N9OGL wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
> >>>>
> >>>>What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> >>>>your monosyllable utterings?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
> >>
> >>ahahahahahahahahah. woger is such a DUMB BITCH!!!!
> >
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHA. Roger didn't post it, cockgobbler.
>
> the only way you could know that is if you are Woger, PISS DRINKER!!!!

Wrong, shit for brains. And get that cocksucking lisp attneded to.

2399. stevie contiues to just ass expected of the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:05:39 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4n1mq15113dr8lt7paqem2mqijbdr7aeq7@4ax.com>
Source record: 128183

On 21 Dec 2005 21:43:58 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
>
>The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
>have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:
>
>w5amy@arrl.net

not stolen
>
>steverobeson@hotmail.com

that one belong to me

>
>n8dos@grave.net

that one bleongs to no at all
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2400. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:06:08 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135281968.135904.265150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128166
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There's no denial of reality in following the example
set by the Oddity Of Ploddity that is the We'll Shite
(All Over You) Constabulary....

At 16:45 on the 3rd July 2000, Bobby Young, an Acting
Inspector screamed at me, "ANY OLD PLOD WILL NOT DO!".
(Perhaps it is no wonder the childish disposition of the
common plod when their leaders behave so badly)

In the evening of 7th March this year, David Hunt W1361
referred to the "common plod".

So.....it seems to me that if it is acceptable for the plods
to use the word, "plod" when discussing the plods with
members of the public, then it is acceptable for members
of the public to use the word, "plod" when discussing the plods,
either with the plods, or with people who are not plods.

W8LNA wrote:
>... especially when you refer to the constabulary as 'the
> plods,' a strong indication of your own denial of reality.

2401. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:06:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135281985.045352.94660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128167

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 22, 9:43 am

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> > And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>
>> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
>> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
>> about it.
>
>Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.

Not me! RAPE is a damnable act, an act of force against those
the rapist wishes to control.

The closest I've ever come to that four-letter word is (long ago)
writing that "give a man enough ROPE and he will hang himself."

Give Davie an inch and he thinks he's a ruler...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2402. Re: more aplogies for my asshole hubby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:07:10 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <dq1mq1t23is6db804d65gvkqb86g1mmco1@4ax.com>
Source record: 128184

On 22 Dec 2005 07:38:16 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > w5amy@arrl.net wrote:
>> > > sorry he is count the threads now
>> > > everyone should be advised that The following person
>> > > has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
>> > >
>> > > he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>> > > he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>> > >
>> > > STEVEN J ROBESON
>> > > 151 12TH AVE NW
>> > > WINCHESTER TN 37398
>> > > 931-967-6282
>> > >
>> > > k4yz@aol.com
>> >
>> > Even though Steve has often tried to involve other peoples families in
>> > his squabbles on RRAP, I think it's pretty forked up to do the same. I
>> > suggest Steve be given a pass on this aspect of his screwed up life.
>>
>> I hear your words and I am beginingto give him for now (on to other
>> things)
>>
>> But unlike stevie I have nt threaten to contact his family, or tried to
>> lie them as stevei HAS to memebrs of MY family
>>
>> so for now I am givgoing to give him a pass but i felt the point need
>> to made, and i expect however that stevie will make needfull for me to
>> return that at some point
>
>It turned my stomach to try to return to Steve what he dished out to
>myself and others. That he is able to relentlessly do the things he
>does indicates to me that he has absolutely no self respect.

as a warrior I have a better stomach but I will dish back at times
>
>Take the high road. He will have the low road all to himself. Let him
>walk alone in this endeavor.
>

>bb

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2403. Re: agains well said BB ty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:08:05 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2s1mq153na95n7urvluh06ogpckc3fmaks@4ax.com>
Source record: 128185

On 22 Dec 2005 07:13:01 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>steverobeson@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On 21 Dec 2005 14:46:25 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>
>> >> And if you think that no one but you can see it, you're a bigger
>> >> fool than your posts let on...
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Steve, you're the fool. You treat people like $hit then expect them to
>> >see you as some kind of upstanding RRAP citizen. Doesn't work that
>> >way, as you're finding out. It's obvious that your forgery of "raped
>> >an old friend" was the final straw for Mark.
>>
>> got to correct you the final starw was stevie supportof the publishing
>> of the name address and phone number of some who only "fault was
>> sharing my name, and his lies that the person was in no way in
>> dangered.
>>
>> that onforunate received phone call threatening to burn him outof his
>> own and crusify on the lawn
>
>Reminds me of Steve's lecturing Len about what happens to people who
>shoot "thier" mouth off to the wrong person.
>
>I wonder if Steve is making any of these posts from his work computer
>so that his company can be held liable, too.

I don't think even he is that stupid but more from a fear of being
fired, after all stevei is always afraid

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398

931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2404. Re: Dear Santa give Toad that shemale he always wants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:08:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135282121.152707.28120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128168

Todd the cockgobbler wrote:
> Dr Whore wrote:
> > On 21 Dec 2005 11:38:40 -0800, whinyslit_woger fucked up with:
> >
> >>Dr Whore wrote:
> >>
> >>>On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>N9OGL wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
> >>>>
> >>>>What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> >>>>your monosyllable utterings?
> >>>
> >>>The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
> >>
> >>As if you'd know, pizza boy mentally ill retard, you couldn't pass high
> >>school and had to get a G.E.D. He only had one uttering, DUMBASS, so it
> >>is in the singular tense, not plural,
> >>DUMBASS!!!!

2405. Re: More Projection!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:09:13 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <kt1mq1lm2df6lnqer8ljn95u98vccm22n4@4ax.com>
Source record: 128186

On 22 Dec 2005 06:45:19 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > stevie claims I am sending the wrong phone number and one not in
>> > > > service why does he care?
>> > > >
>> > > Because you're a bully, Markie, and I detest bullies.
>> > > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > >
>> > WOW! Steve calling Mark a bully!!! Maybe Mark is a Tennesee LPN, too?
>> > Hi, hi, hi!
>>
>> BB I must insist you apologize I am not an LPN
>>
>> LPN are about one rung up from the bedpanchaning CNA's indeed in many
>> places the LPN do change the bedpans
>
>Sorry. Didn't mean to insult you.
>
>But look at it this way, if you were an ER LPN, you could make jokes
>about rape and all the upstanding citizens on RRAP would look the other
>way.

I can dish out most of what stevie delivers but een my cast iron
stomach can't make jokes about rape as stevie does

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2406. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:10:07 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <nv1mq1t90g5l5e52c9o0uursumqhc3gebd@4ax.com>
Source record: 128187

On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 05:24:13 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an Old friend wrote:
>
>> I know that one of the anny mouses posts from alo.com and we have only
>> one aol.com poster here Stevie
>
>Both N2EY and Len Anderson post from AOL.

missed that

how ever while Jim is foolish and misguided he is not despictable
>
>> not conclusive proof but good enough for me
>
>Precisely. Your standards of proof are not very high.

higher than your or stveies
na dinded thery are my own
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2407. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:13:31 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <LNDqf.45230$a15.6759@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128170
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring of verbal diarrhoea wrote:
> At 16:45 on the 3rd July 2000, Bobby Young, an Acting
> Inspector screamed at me, "ANY OLD PLOD WILL NOT DO!".
> (Perhaps it is no wonder the childish disposition of the
> common plod when their leaders behave so badly)
>
OK Beanie, don't keep us in suspense, what caused him to scream that at
you, and why did you note the exact date and time?
...(_!_)...

2408. stvei conitinue to claim he has the raight to make fun of rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:13:31 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <042mq19are6ep4j9njrt66bd8ea81vk0fu@4ax.com>
Source record: 128189

On 21 Dec 2005 21:37:08 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> > > Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>> >
>> > Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>>
>> So you think it's OK to forge in the >>>attributes<<<, "Raped an old
>> friend?"
>
> Do YOU think it's OK to alter the attributes line AT ALL...?!??!

ok perphaps

to do so to the extent of making fun of rap no
>
> (Careful, Brain...Better ask your "mentor" who started it all... !
>! ! !)
>
>> > Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line,
>>
>> Poked fun???
>>
>> No, you didn't because it's not funny. Not even a little bit. You may
>> have thought it was funny, but no one else does.
>
> "No one"....
>
>> And it appears like an accusation of rape.
>
> Sure does, doesn't it...?!?!
>
> Guess Markie shouldn't have made allusions to forceful sex in the
>past.

more lies I have never suegested rape more lie from the asshole of
RRAP
>
> Guess what "sex by force" is, Brain...?!?!?
>

>> Why not ask the women in your life (mother, mother in law, wife,
>> sister) if they think "Raped an old friend" is funny.
>
> Why not ask Markie why he ever suggested that being a "dom" or
>having sex by force" was funny...?!?!

I have never made such a syggestion

>
>> but neither you
>> > nor he can provide a single "forged" post. Not one RRAP post has been
>> > generated by me that misrepresented the author by screen name or e mail
>> > address.
>>
>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>
> Again...Ask Lennie...And of late, you can redirect that question
>to Frankie, too!

alwasy with some one else made you do it
>
>> > I don't use anonymous remailers nor do I create bogus
>> > accounts for the purpose of anonyminity.
>>
>> You probably do, but no one here can prove it.
>
> I absolutley don't, but then I don't ahve anything to hide,
>either...
>
> (Kinda like continually changing your screen name and never
>signing your name to your posts, Brain...)
>
>> > You're welcome to try and find one, but you'll only wind up
>> > chasing your already pitiful little tail.
>>
>> You always leave yourself an "out." Your actions are OK in your mind
>> because you didn't cross some imaginary and meaningless line that you
>> drew.
>
> Everyone has thier "lines", Brain. You do too.
>
>> > > You're simply wrong far more often than you care to admit, but it goes
>> > > beyond that. You're despicable.
>> >
>> > No, Brain, YOU are.
>>
>> No, Steve, you're wrong again.
>
> No Brain, I'm not.
>
> YOU think it's OK to chastise others for "crossing lines" yet YOU

>cross lines all the time too.
>
>> > You wish to create some veil of innocence that
>> > you can wrap yourself in all-the-while accusing others (OK...Just
>> > me...) of doing "despicable" things.
>>
>> Whether or not I accused you, you did a despicable thing.
>
> No, I didn't.
>
>> > You have some serious deficits of your own to deal with, but
>> > seemingly can't come to grips with them so everyone else gets to "deal"
>> > with them.
>>
>> More projection.
>
> There's YOUR "out", Brain.
>
> See...everyone has thier lines. That's the one YOU drew for YOUR
>self.
>
>> > So..."ARES"..."Unlicensed Devices"..."Somalia
>> > authorization"..."diminutives"...
>> >
>> > Care to answer any of those?
>> >
>> > Bad Brain.
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Steven J. Robeson, you owe Mark an apology.
>
> Brian P Burke, you're on drugs.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2409. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:16:24 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135282584.606742.287660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128169
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you wish forever henceforth to be perceived as a Childish
Broadcaster (CBer) then just continue with your aggressive
assaults in the manner of Childish Broadcasting (CB) that
you emit below.

Grow up, Galen!

Stupid child.

W8LNA wrote:
> Frankly I don't care how you perceive me.
> You, on the other hand, seem to be perceived by the others on this group
> as just that you decry, childish.
> Whose standards? Yours? Do those 'standards' involve assisting
> newcomers, or do you just call them 'childish' and gloat from your
> soiled, ivory tower?
> Oh for pity's sake.
> It seems everyone here knows who you are, where you live etc. So why
> can't you post under your own name and call sign, instead of some
> fantastically pompous delusion that you're representative of some font
> of wisdom?
> A font of pomposity, yes. Wisdom and knowledge? I'd laugh if you
> weren't so incredibly pitiful.
> Go build something, follow your own FAQ,
> W8LNA

2410. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:22:56 UTC
From: bigamps <bigamps@louder.still.net>
Message-ID: <AWDqf.154$qg.2@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128171
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> If you wish forever henceforth to be perceived as a Childish
> Broadcaster (CBer)...

I don't care how *you* perceive me, your authority and superiority on
the matter stops at the edge of your minuscule cranial contents.

Get stuffed, Olde Springy,
W8LNA

2411. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:27:19 UTC
From: W8LNA <W8LNA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <H_Dqf.157$qg.72@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128172
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> If you wish forever henceforth to be perceived as a Childish
> Broadcaster (CBer)...

Make an attempt to grasp the following:

I don't care what you forever henceforth perceive of me, your authority
and superiority in the matter extends only to the ends of the contents
of your cranium, whatever that may be.

> Grow up, Galen!
>
> Stupid child.

Get stuffed, Springy Old Boy.

Pompous ass (arse).

-W8LNA

2412. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:30:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5f6dnSv2xcVxkTbeRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 128173

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xLBqf.1488$nu6.323@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> I would however propose closing the Tech license to new
>> issues just as the Novice and Advanced are now closed.
>> I do not agree with your new entry license though. It's simply
>> unnecessary in light of the upcoming elimination of the code test.
>>
>
> ????????????????????????
>
> I disagree with you, and I disagree violently. If we were sitting
> discussing this over a cup of coffee, I'd be yelling at you!
>
> The code test has nothing to do with it.
>
> There currently is no proper entry level "learners permit" to "dip a toe"
> in the amateur radio waters. Closing the Tech license would be to discard
> even the makeshift "entry level" we have now! This is an idea which
> should not be tossed aside lightly. It should be hurled aside with great
> force.
>
> There needs to be a proper "learners permit", and until that's available
> closing the Tech license would be suicidal to the amateur service.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable, why would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges? I will be very strongly pushing my students to go straight for General. I'm planning on putting together a syllabus over the next few months to be ready for that very contingency.

Why "dip a toe" when you can easily just dive in?

What will the "learner"s permit actually accomplish?

In other words, I believe that the time for a scaled down, entry level license is passing, if not already passed. We're hanging on to yet another outdated idea simply because that is the way some people started.

By the way, this is a fun debate.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2413. Re: ER Nurse says Rape is still a Joking Matter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:30:36 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6k2mq1to0oauqmueb3vdf1g4e4tm4hu469@4ax.com>
Source record: 128191

On 22 Dec 2005 10:51:49 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>> > >
>> > > Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>> >
>> > So you think it's OK to forge in the >>>attributes<<<, "Raped an old
>> > friend?"
>>
>> Do YOU think it's OK to alter the attributes line AT ALL...?!??!
>>
>> (Careful, Brain...Better ask your "mentor" who started it all... !
>> ! ! !)
>
>So you still think it's OK to forge "Raped an old friend" in the
>attributes?

obvious he feels that Len made him type tose despictable words
>
>> > > Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line,
>> >
>> > Poked fun???
>> >
>> > No, you didn't because it's not funny. Not even a little bit. You may
>> > have thought it was funny, but no one else does.
>>
>> "No one"....
>>
>> > And it appears like an accusation of rape.
>>
>> Sure does, doesn't it...?!?!
>>
>> Guess Markie shouldn't have made allusions to forceful sex in the
>> past.
>>
>> Guess what "sex by force" is, Brain...?!?!?
>
>A laughing matter?

according to sicko stevie

>
>> > Why not ask the women in your life (mother, mother in law, wife,
>> > sister) if they think "Raped an old friend" is funny.
>>
>> Why not ask Markie why he ever suggested that being a "dom" or
>> having sex by force" was funny...?!?!
>
>I've never seen Mark post such things.
I never have

Indeed I have flame Stevie for his past jokes about rape

the closest you get is one the annymouses that appears to be steve
himself (by being from aol.com)

> Where are you seing this stuff
>at? Do the women in your life think it's funny or even an acceptable
>subject to joke about it? Do you laugh at rape victims in the ER?

I supect he does have the good to wait till he gets to the break room
to make these jokes
>
>And what does it have to do with Amateur Radio or RRAP?

been asking question like that

indeed the last straw was his refual to answer sex has to do with
radio
>
>> > but neither you
>> > > nor he can provide a single "forged" post. Not one RRAP post has been
>> > > generated by me that misrepresented the author by screen name or e mail
>> > > address.
>> >
>> > And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>>
>> Again...Ask Lennie...And of late, you can redirect that question
>> to Frankie, too!
>
>I'm asking you.

len made him do it is no doubt his answer
>
>> > > I don't use anonymous remailers nor do I create bogus
>> > > accounts for the purpose of anonyminity.
>> >
>> > You probably do, but no one here can prove it.
>>
>> I absolutley don't, but then I don't ahve anything to hide,
>> either...
>
>You probably do. Your public behavio[u]r indicates you've got a very

>large closet.

likely from his inclusing desires for man to man sex, if not the act
itself, he fits all the classic signs of these closet cases
>
>> (Kinda like continually changing your screen name and never
>> signing your name to your posts, Brain...)
>
>How do you like having your name, your home address, and your telephone
>number plastered over the net with every Mark post?

he doesn't like evene claims I am not doing it in the case of phone
number but then if I am not doing why does he care?

>
>> > > You're welcome to try and find one, but you'll only wind up
>> > > chasing your already pitiful little tail.
>> >
>> > You always leave yourself an "out." Your actions are OK in your mind
>> > because you didn't cross some imaginary and meaningless line that you
>> > drew.
>>
>> Everyone has thier "lines", Brain. You do too.
>
>Mine follow the line that society draws far more closely than yours
>does. Society doesn't think rape is a joking matter. You do and you
>continue to defend it. Why?

becuase he can not afford to admit any real error, since he has tried
to hold others up such a ridiculous standard that he would lose his
credibiltty where it counts the most in his own minnd, feebel as it is
>
>> > > > You're simply wrong far more often than you care to admit, but it goes
>> > > > beyond that. You're despicable.
>> > >
>> > > No, Brain, YOU are.
>> >
>> > No, Steve, you're wrong again.
>>
>> No Brain, I'm not.
>>
>> YOU think it's OK to chastise others for "crossing lines" yet YOU
>> cross lines all the time too.
>
>You've recently used deceased W0EX as a setup to a joke about the FCC's
>10th Call District. Now you use rape as a way to be funny. What's
>next?

the jews had it coming fo opposing code testing prehaps

nothing beyond stveie in his quest to comand dominate and in rape Rape
other.

in BDSM trying to force submission of others is seen as Rape even
without sex involved
>
>> > > You wish to create some veil of innocence that
>> > > you can wrap yourself in all-the-while accusing others (OK...Just
>> > > me...) of doing "despicable" things.
>> >
>> > Whether or not I accused you, you did a despicable thing.
>>
>> No, I didn't.
>
>You did.

many times he prefroms the same depcitable thing indeed when I first
protested this action he dared to call me paranoid for even objecting
to his taking such liberities
>
>> > > You have some serious deficits of your own to deal with, but
>> > > seemingly can't come to grips with them so everyone else gets to "deal"
>> > > with them.
>> >
>> > More projection.
>>
>> There's YOUR "out", Brain.
>>
>> See...everyone has thier lines. That's the one YOU drew for YOUR
>> self.
>
>ARES not being able to respond is like joking about rape? You're
>ridiculous.

he sure is

even more riduclous is He may even believ the shit he is typing
>
>> > > So..."ARES"..."Unlicensed Devices"..."Somalia
>> > > authorization"..."diminutives"...
>> > >
>> > > Care to answer any of those?
>> > >
>> > > Bad Brain.
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > Steven J. Robeson, you owe Mark an apology.
>>
>> Brian P Burke, you're on drugs.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>I don't use drugs unless prescribed by a physician.
>

>Do you have any other accusations as a diversion from your joking about
>rape?

not yet but I am sure he will find one
>
>Where is your apology to Mark?

i turely take oath that I beleiev that stevie would rather die
physcial death than apologize

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2414. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:32:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <i4ydnWGFYYXAkDbenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 128174

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:0eCqf.9519$Dd2.5591@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:xLBqf.1488$nu6.323@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>
>>> I would however propose closing the Tech license to new
>>> issues just as the Novice and Advanced are now closed.
>>> I do not agree with your new entry license though. It's simply
>>> unnecessary in light of the upcoming elimination of the code test.
>>>
>>
>> ????????????????????????
>>
>> I disagree with you, and I disagree violently. If we were sitting
>> discussing this over a cup of coffee, I'd be yelling at you!
>>
>> The code test has nothing to do with it.
>>
>> There currently is no proper entry level "learners permit" to "dip a toe"
>> in the amateur radio waters. Closing the Tech license would be to
>> discard even the makeshift "entry level" we have now! This is an idea
>> which should not be tossed aside lightly. It should be hurled aside with
>> great force.
>>
>> There needs to be a proper "learners permit", and until that's available
>> closing the Tech license would be suicidal to the amateur service.
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> I agree with Han's position on a "learners license."
>
> I don't believe, however, that any tech (no code tech that is)
> who is running high power today would not be willing to
> go for General if the Tech power limit was reduced. I
> doubt there's anymore than a percentage point or 2
> of current techs that operate over 100w. Those that
> do and are "no code techs" I can't see being upset about
> a reduced power limit IF the tech license is expanded
> to allow significant HF access. That's a quid pro quo
> as opposed to only a loss of privileges which Generals
> and Advanced saw back when (1968) incentive licensing hit

> the streets.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

But in today's regulatory climate what precisely does a "learner's permit" accomplish? The General is a reasonable level to test for right from the get-go.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2415. why does stevie claim rape is funnt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:43:26 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1v3mq1ha6vj61n0kqtr4qmr800keclrt06@4ax.com>
Source record: 128205

eom
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2416. stevei contieus to claim rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:45:09 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <114mq1t85q0h1e5nbquui3k601gdfuuc1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 128206

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2417. reposting to get past stevei effor to hide it stvei think rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:47:12 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <o24mq15pe7ibriesfu1b3ofblg912vmlh3@4ax.com>
Source record: 128207

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2418. why does stevie think rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:52:29 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <s54mq1hg5i2kdk85edplapev48q2e186mk@4ax.com>
Source record: 128208

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2419. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:53:23 UTC
From: "John K." <pizzaman@uglyroger.com>
Message-ID: <dof3ir$jk2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1135281687.256601.112640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Lloyd the Jew wrote:
> "an Old kiddiefucker@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1135228188.053700.215980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace wrote:
> > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1135092972.488924.267800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > What college? Barber college is all the college Toad could pass.
> > >
> >
> > What makes you think he could pass barber college?
> > Ace - WH2T
>
> judgeing people by the standards of your mail order degree again?

"Mail order degree?" <guffaw!> You really are a fucking imbecile.
Obviously, when you can't even spell "judging" correctly, fatass.

>
> Not to be "judgeing" one by the degrees they claim to hold, but if Toad
were

"judging", stupid.

> to go to barber college and take the test twice and pass both times,
> wouldn't that make him twice the barber he was before?
> Could we then call Toad 2Test, also?

"2Test" isn't a word, DUMBASS. But YOU couldn't pass your freshman year
of that 10th rate community college, tubby. Nor can you upgrade for the
training wheel technician license. Better stick to the 2 meter
repeaters you jam, Davies, you couldn't put a decent antenna for HF in
that ghetto HUD apartment you are forced to live in because you fucked
up in life so bad the best job you can get is one as a pizza delivery
BOY.

> Likewise for the malcontent sociopath Amateur Radio Operator (2Test) who
has
> had to take his Ham test twice. Doesn't that make the Ham in question
twice
> the operator he was before Riley 'invited' him to retest?

It wasn't much of a sanction or punishment either way, eternal no code tech. Roger upgraded from the General he had to retake (quite easily, too; YOU can't even get 5 wpm code, remember, you get stuck after 5 lettters). Looks like the "punishment/sanction" wasn't very effective.

> Heck, by extrapolation and a looooooong stretch of the imagination, according
> to 2Test this should be so.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Making up words again, you chronic alcoholic? Go back to delivering those greasy shitty Papa John's pizzas, fatass.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Typical Roger. Whenever he stumbles across a word he doesn't understand, he accuses the other of "making it up."

--
"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

2420. Yes, Makeshift!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:54:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <doEqf.1516$nu6.640@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128179

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> "Makeshift?!?" Good grief, the no-code-test Technician class
> >>is<< the defacto "entry class" and has been so for no less
> than FOURTEEN YEARS! You don't understand that is NO longer
> "makeshift?"
>

I understand perfectly well, Len. The Technician license does not grant any access to HF communications, and Docket 05-235 proposes to keep it that way. At the current time it is possible for Technicians to gain a tiny amount of HF access by the makeshift means of taking a Morse exam. If Docket 05-235 is adopted as written, then even that makeshift access will disappear.

A new entry level class is needed which gives meaningful and useful access to ALL bands, not just UHF/VHF, without requiring passing the General examination.

Pbtbtht hthth pbtbtht hthth...

Merry christmas,

de Hans, K0HB

2421. Re: Dear Santa give Toad a cure for his cocksucking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:54:55 UTC
From: "John K." <pizzaman@uglyroger.com>
Message-ID: <dof3lo$k6k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128176

AB8MQ, aka
<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message

<YAWN>

2422. Re: Dear Santa give Toad a cure for his cocksucking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:55:13 UTC
From: "John K." <pizzaman@uglyroger.com>
Message-ID: <dof3ma$k7e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128177

AB8MQ, aka
<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message

<YAWN>

2423. why don't otehr find stevie making rape jokes offienseive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:55:21 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <m64mq111d92tr3nup0ee31drjkbo4r3nnu@4ax.com>
Source record: 128209

only people to way in ar emyself the target B and Len where are the
rest

esp ole dave

Dave obviously thinks it is ok for stevei to make rape jokes
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2424. Re: Dear Santa give Toad that shemale he always wants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:55:29 UTC
From: "John K." <pizzaman@uglyroger.com>
Message-ID: <dof3mp$k7h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128178

AB8MQ, aka
<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message

<YAWN>

2425. stveie joke about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 20:58:40 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <k84mq111q8suc4v2ptn6cd8899c2p6lj90@4ax.com>
Source record: 128213

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2426. steve make joke about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:02:27 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ha4mq1hcvv4b5jfskaukasf63kr5kqnsrs@4ax.com>
Source record: 128215

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2427. why does stegvie makes joke about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:06:14 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ab4mq19u51jb5nqs405fvdilj41bc6kbqu@4ax.com>
Source record: 128218

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2428. whay does the asshole stevei think rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:10:32 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <qb4mq1tqltsrj555hgif8cqsmpsq304ddt@4ax.com>
Source record: 128220

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2429. despetable steveie makes joke about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:15:23 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <vc4mq19n5ldc5n6b9i37mbjad31mshe2rt@4ax.com>
Source record: 128221

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2430. when will stevie stop defednig jokes about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:20:16 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2e4mq1h4kfrgl0cuthbb1kjqcrd06tnfpf@4ax.com>
Source record: 128222

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2431. stveie jokes about rape what is next raping people himself or has he already done that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:25:42 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ff4mq1ll2hle407js2cf36vhte0vvp7cne@4ax.com>
Source record: 128223

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2432. stevei lies about intoxcation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:27:52 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135286872.424680.122050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128190

K4YZ wrote:Markie Needs To Sober Up Before Posting
ore lies from the asshole of RRAP

2433. stevelie the asshoel lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:29:38 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135286978.701530.19640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128192

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > when will Stevie explain how my posting in pesonal gruop makes calling
> > > > BB gay ralvant to radio
> > >
> > > I never made that claim.
> >
> > yes you did
>
> No, I didn't. Find it and repost it here.
you did
flshing the rest

2434. stevei lies about his word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:30:27 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287027.564720.316880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128193

K4YZ wrote:
> steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> > On 21 Dec 2005 02:13:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
cut
> > no the questuion was an remains when are you going to stop telling the
> > lie that Michigan is going to comit me on your say sO?
>
> I NEVER said you'd be commited on my "say so".

yes you did

flsuh

2435. will stvei ever apoligizing for claiming that rape is proper suject for humr?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:31:09 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <k85mq1l7r6ie43a24di0c4doap2aff0772@4ax.com>
Source record: 128226

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2436. why does stevie alone have the previlege of engaing in scat in the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:31:49 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287109.222969.285180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128194

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > I once counted 28 new Steve lies in 10 days. Like the little shepherd
> > boy that cried seven hostile wolves, Steve cannot be believed.
>
> Uhhhh...noooo...You CLAIMED 28 lies, Brain.
yes your point

flsuhing the mind bs

2437. more stevei lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:34:43 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287283.767530.299590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128195

K4YZ wrote:
cut some lies to get to the point
> an Old friend wrote:
> > after al drinking is not illgeal not universaly (or even all that
> > widely) considered imoral
>
> I didn't say it was either illegal or immoral.

you sure did more lies from you
>
> I said you need to sober up when you post.

if I wasn't sober why do I need to be sober?
>
> You already butcher the English language as it is, and your
> affliction (alcohol, not your alleged "dyslexia") make it worse.

more lie I don't drink stevie
>
> > it happens not to be true and stvieis lying when he says he knows I
> > don't drink
>
> I don't?

right you don't indeed you can't
fksuh the rest

2438. stvelies still more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:35:18 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287317.979156.301100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128196

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
>
> The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
> have been stolen by him:
>
> w5amy@arrl.net
>
> steverobeson@hotmail.com
>
> n8dos@grave.net

nothing to steal
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2439. steeie tell the at least once a day will you please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:36:07 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287367.725912.5590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
>
> The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
> have been stolen by him:
>
> w5amy@arrl.net
>
> steverobeson@hotmail.com
>
> n8dos@grave.net
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2440. stevei sees plots everywhere

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:36:36 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6b6mq19gf6ietm1p1bks9obq4bp3uun3v3@4ax.com>
Source record: 128228

40% of the Feeble Five Making "Plans"
http://groups.google.com/group/Amateur-Radio-Policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/c9bcad6aeeb5ec05/ccbdc98b5a2adb03?rnum=1&_done=%2Fgroup%2FAmateur-Radio-Policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2Fc9bcad6aeeb5ec05%2F9651a063a1a11dca%3Ftvc%3D1%26#doc_60f31e328179736b

reading ththat thread is ggogle mdoerated by Tood show stevie paranoia
in it fullblome

when 2 people just comuincate they are somehow plotting

stevie dares to to call me paranoid?

well stevie has plenty of nerve
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2441. steveie thinks rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:37:07 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287427.559802.3740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128198

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
>
> The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
> have been stolen by him:
>
> w5amy@arrl.net
>
> steverobeson@hotmail.com
>
> n8dos@grave.net
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2442. steveie thinks rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:37:32 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287451.982347.297730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128199

eom

2443. steveie thinks rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:37:54 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287474.759784.298430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128200

eom

2444. steveie thinks rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:38:20 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287500.189501.145420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128201

eom

2445. steveie thinks rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:38:46 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287526.478504.326990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128202

eom

2446. steveie thinks rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:39:27 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287567.236082.238150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128203

eom

2447. steveie thinks rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:39:51 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135287591.522030.310430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128204

eom

2448. oh daddy why do you make joke about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:42:38 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <907mq1dtg140l92buvnckpqf3o3kdho1er@4ax.com>
Source record: 128229

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2449. if I were truely here I would e ashamed of my daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:48:41 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <t17mq15j7ckr32e9q765qtmvqlene44v4k@4ax.com>
Source record: 128230

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2450. steve can try to doge and evade all he likes but why does

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:57:06 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135288626.605377.279690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128210

why doesn't try the truth

he has been sping this lie that I posting drunk whn I don't drink?

why do this after nothing ilegal immoral iresponaible or evn wrong if I were posting drunk after it is isn't driving drunk

he avoided answered the question of why he is claiming that he has gone trhough my trash or had someone go through my trash looking fro achol bottles?

why tell this lie?

the only reason i can see right off it is convince he is stalking me, and then try at some point to pull a rug from under me

but still what is the point?

2451. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:57:21 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <5jFqf.45225$eI5.42699@trnddc05>
Source record: 128211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Hello...???

ALL of them...

I don't even watch TV anymore... this is the best survivor show there is,
and it's interactive!

LOL

rb

"Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0512220052271.26209@panix3.panix.com...

> The reason I read is that i like to see what kind of nuts are posting out
> there, LOL.
>
> On Mon, 12 Dec 2005, Chuck Sherwood wrote:
>
>> In article <20051209220855.102$gg@newsreader.com>,
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> re-entering ham radio
>>>
>>> Who cares?
>>
>> If you don't care, they why do you read this group or this thread?
>>
>>
>>

2452. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 21:58:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_jFqf.2165$R84.598@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128212

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable, why
> would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?

There ought to be two classes of license. A "standard" license with an
examination roughly equivalent to the current Extra exam, and a limited-term
"learners permit" roughly equivalent to the 1951 Novice exam.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2453. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:01:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4nFqf.5185$mj1.261@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128214

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
>> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
>> about it.

> Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.

First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
to which I replied.

Dave K8MN

2454. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:04:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135289061.722554.71840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128216

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
> >> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
> >> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
> >> about it.
>
> > Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.
>
> First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
> to which I replied.

not the first I have heard of this but the other occasion were stvei
claiming that len acuses people of rape

but Dave it just goes to show NO lie is beyond the pale for steve

no subject to sick to make jokes about

>
> Dave K8MN

2455. more stevei shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:05:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135289145.077814.69740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128217

just some more thread killing everybody but Stevie and BB and myself
tend ignore threads titled stevie shit so re titling it such will tend
to get it ignored so it may go awy
John K. wrote:
> AB8MQ, aka
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> <YAWN>

2456. why does my father dishonor my memory by joking about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:08:40 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <757mq1pgdgc5l1gn2pgteogv3f3kcsgc0b@4ax.com>
Source record: 128231

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2457. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:10:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xvFqf.5186$mj1.5135@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128219

steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 05:24:13 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>> I know that one of the anny mouses posts from alo.com and we have only
>>> one aol.com poster here Stevie
>> Both N2EY and Len Anderson post from AOL.
>
> missed that

Yes, you did. That's why I pointed it out to you.

> how ever while Jim is foolish and misguided he is not despictable

Nobody is despictable.

>>> not conclusive proof but good enough for me

>> Precisely. Your standards of proof are not very high.

> higher than your or stveies

Apparently not. You used incorrect information and used it as "good
enough for me".

> na dinded thery are my own

Da buego casiti nimba weyone.

Dave K8MN

2458. prehaps I should be glad that I am not here alive to se my daddy make jokes about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:14:57 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <377mq19bgrs5qdcv830id02sq3h3g8p2j1@4ax.com>
Source record: 128232

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2459. good thing I did not life to see my father post his jokes about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:21:12 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6d7mq1tdvckht83gs6lr5g6m53kpf1l1ol@4ax.com>
Source record: 128233

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2460. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:28:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135290488.452371.180460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128224

Dave Heil wrote:
> steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> > On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 05:24:13 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> an Old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>> I know that one of the anny mouses posts from alo.com and we have only
> >>> one aol.com poster here Stevie
> >> Both N2EY and Len Anderson post from AOL.
> >
> > missed that
>
> Yes, you did. That's why I pointed it out to you.
>
> > how ever while Jim is foolish and misguided he is not despictable
>
> Nobody is despictable.

gee if I thought you were joking that might eb funny

but you are not
just dumb

Saddam is despectiable Hitler was despieable anyone that makes jokes
about rape is despectable
>
> >>> not conclusive proof but good enough for me
>
> >> Precisely. Your standards of proof are not very high.
>
> > higher than your or stveies
>
> Apparently not. You used incorrect information and used it as "good
> enough for me".

but you have still provided no reason to doubt the conclusion

the anny mouse posts from Aol.com between Jim and steve who is more
likely

in addition the annymouse posts then stevie uses to material for an
attack post within a few minute

also steavie has posted a forge threat sent as the heading he posted
showing that the forgery was made from aol and then used that forery to

have Todd service cancelled

he also post a post off a blog sent to form staring rom aol.com and
then used it as excuse to attack Todd

either we are dealing with a lot of "luck" from steve or stevie is the
author
>
> > na dinded thery are my own
>
> Da buego casiti nimba weyone.
>
> Dave K8MN

2461. well it is a low blow but called for IMO head up stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:30:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135290632.981201.117100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128225

wait for it I am sure you will know what i typing about when you see it

if you don't want your kids smeared don't invole those of others

It will not last long as I hardly have the stomach for it

2462. btw why does dave post in the thread er nurse think rape is funny not comdem or defend steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 22:36:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135291000.858700.163350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128227

prehaps their lies and deths that dave will not stoop to prehaps not

but why to provide steve cover rather than apply the standard you claim
to uphold

2463. New DXCC Country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 23:02:21 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.20h3il@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.20h3il@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 128249

Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, has declared himself a country. Morgan, who now goes by the name Zush, says he got the idea from a schizophrenic he met in a mental hospital where he was being treated last October.

Zush's new DXCC country, "Pagan Altered Mental State", consists of one inhabitant -- himself -- and he has issued himself a passport so he can move around. Zush has grudgingly adopted a flag. "I don't need flags and hymns," he says, "but the only way people accept that you have your own country is by using symbols of state. It's purely for diplomatic reasons." He also has changed his amateur radio license to the class "Super Extra" with the vanity callsign PH�NEY.

Zush, has adopted a national religion, "Dyslexic Aethism" with the motto "We don't believe in Dog".

--
Iitoi

2464. Mark isn't drunk; He's insane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 23:32:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IIGqf.1558$nu6.381@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128234

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>>>> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
>>>> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
>>>> about it.
>>> Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.
>> First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
>> to which I replied.
>
> not the first I have heard of this but the other occasion were stvei
> claiming that len acuses people of rape

I don't know anything about that either.

> but Dave it just goes to show NO lie is beyond the pale for steve

I don't think I'd worry about Steve if I were you. I think you need to
worry about your own sanity given your perverse use of the dead, your
rambling, disjointed posts and your foul language, Colonel.
>
> no subject to sick to make jokes about

Apparently for you, there is not.

Dave K8MN

2465. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 23:41:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DQGqf.1560$nu6.639@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128235

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 05:24:13 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> an Old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> I know that one of the anny mouses posts from alo.com and we have only
>>>>> one aol.com poster here Stevie
>>>> Both N2EY and Len Anderson post from AOL.
>>> missed that
>> Yes, you did. That's why I pointed it out to you.
>>
>>> how ever while Jim is foolish and misguided he is not despictable
>> Nobody is despictable.
>
> gee if I thought you were joking that might eb funny

If you could read, you'd know I was joking.

> but you are not
> just dumb

You just told me a day or so back that you could read just fine, that it
was just that you had problems writing. I can see by your response that
you've not been truthful. There is no word "despictable".

> Saddam is despectiable Hitler was despieable anyone that makes jokes
> about rape is despectable

Well, at least you've hosed up the word in an entirely new way. First
it was "despictable", now it is "despectable". Both are, of course,
incorrect.

>>>> not conclusive proof but good enough for me

>>>> Precisely. Your standards of proof are not very high.

>>> higher than your or stveies

>> Apparently not. You used incorrect information and used it as "good
>> enough for me".
>
> but you have still provided no reason to doubt the conclusion

The conclusion that since Steve is the only person to use AOL, he has to be posting as some anonymous--except that Steve isn't the only person to use AOL? That's quite some piece of logic you've got going, Mr. Whitman.

> the anny mouse posts from Aol.com between Jim and steve who is more
> likely

Did you forget Len? Are you of the impression that it has to be any of the three? Why not employ some of that higher-than-Marilyn Vos Savant IQ?

> in addition the annymouse posts then stevie uses to material for an
> attack post within a few minute

Mark, I truly believe that you might not be sane.

> also steavie has posted a forge threat sent as the heading he posted
> showing that the forgery was made from aol and then used that forery to
> have Todd service cancelled

Where's the evidence of that, Colonel?

> he also post a post off a blog sent to form staring rom aol.com and
> then used it as excuse to attack Todd

Where's the evidence of that, Mr. Whitman?

> either we are dealing with a lot of "luck" from steve or stevie is the
> author

Luck? What the blazes are you talking about?

>>> na dinded thery are my own

>> Da buego casiti nimba weyone.

Dave K8MN

2466. Re: btw why does dave post in the thread er nurse think rape is funny not comdem or defend steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 23:42:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QRGqf.1561$nu6.1027@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128236

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> prehaps their lies and deths that dave will not stoop to prehaps not
>
> but why to provide steve cover rather than apply the standard you claim
> to uphold

Get it translated and I might reply.

Dave K8MN
```

2467. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-22 23:59:22 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135295962.790503.263000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128237

whinyshit_lloydie AKA 2test wogie@yahoo.com wrote:
> commode buttfucker of little boyz corey wrote:
> > "LOL @ the Athens cockgobbler"
> >
> > 'trhe'...another of 2test wogie's made up words.
> ^^
>
> "2test" ..."wogie" .....Oh, the irony, coming from the pissdrinker
> Buzzy's "made up words" limited vocabulary.

LOL at the 2test Glendale retard port-a-pottie cleaning specialists.

2468. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 00:03:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GJmdneAQQbD5ojbenZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 128238

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:_jFqf.2165$R84.598@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable,
>> why would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?
>
> There ought to be two classes of license. A "standard" license with an
> examination roughly equivalent to the current Extra exam, and a
> limited-term "learners permit" roughly equivalent to the 1951 Novice exam.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

I agree on the two license concept. But I think there should be a "standard" license with an examination roughly equivalent to a blended Tech/General exam. Then for those who want to go beyond that.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2469. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 00:06:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QoWdnfpd455ZojbeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 128239

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:GJmdneAQQbD5ojbenZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:_jFqf.2165$R84.598@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>
>>> Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable,
>>> why would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?
>>
>> There ought to be two classes of license. A "standard" license with an
>> examination roughly equivalent to the current Extra exam, and a
>> limited-term "learners permit" roughly equivalent to the 1951 Novice
>> exam.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>
> I agree on the two license concept. But I think there should be a
> "standard" license with an examination roughly equivalent to a blended
> Tech/General exam. Then for those who want to go beyond that.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

OOPS! Meant to say "Then Extra for those who want to go beyond that"

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2470. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 00:10:20 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135296620.836221.162500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128240
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gareth "The Jailbird" Evans (G4SDW) wrote:
> Typo (in the third word as well!)

FWIW, I thought the first three words were pretty good.

Unfortunately it went downhill rapidly after the fourth.

73
Mike G4KFK

2471. Re: Anime child porn possession sends VA man to prison for life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 00:35:49 UTC
From: Falky foo <falkyfoo@bonksbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FDHqf.41810$tV6.28925@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 128241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

sup. ct. will strike that'n down.

2472. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 00:59:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135299554.171482.144730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128242

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
> >> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
> >> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
> >> about it.
>
> > Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.
>
> First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
> to which I replied.
>
> Dave K8MN

Then you ignored the larger context of the message that I've been on
for about a week.

2473. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 01:10:22 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7hjmq1148oc0302si9hgrvruji6kj64tp6@4ax.com>
Source record: 128243

On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 23:41:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 05:24:13 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> an Old friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> I know that one of the anny mouses posts from alo.com and we have only
>>>>>> one aol.com poster here Stevie
>>>>> Both N2EY and Len Anderson post from AOL.
>>>> missed that
>>> Yes, you did. That's why I pointed it out to you.
>>>
>>>> how ever while Jim is foolish and misguided he is not despictable
>>> Nobody is despictable.
>>
>> gee if I thought you were joking that might eb funny
>
>If you could read, you'd know I was joking.
dave in all candor it is not a lack of reading skill it is frankly
that I have prehaps an even lowere opinion of you than you have of me

I accept your correction and pologize for the mistake
flush
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2474. what a merial to my memory joking about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 01:20:32 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <rm7mq19ct9admpj4lgci7k659r3a3iftc1@4ax.com>
Source record: 128244

On 21 Dec 2005 09:23:43 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Forging "raped an old friend" was right?
>
> Nowhere did I "forge" a nickname or screen name, Brain.
>
> Yes, I poked fun at Markie in the attributes line, but neither you
>nor he can provide a single "forged" post.

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2475. at least dave does seem to agree that stevie is kying in saying I am drunkRe: Mark isn't drunk; He's insane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 01:21:49 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <pnjmq1lpk2p2c87dqqbra44hr0c1r2b2og@4ax.com>
Source record: 128246

On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 23:32:56 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>>>>> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
>>>>> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
>>>>> about it.
>>>> Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.
>>> First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
>>> to which I replied.
>>
>> not the first I have heard of this but the other occasion were stvei
>> claiming that len acuses people of rape
>
>I don't know anything about that either.
>
>> but Dave it just goes to show NO lie is beyond the pale for steve
>
>I don't think I'd worry about Steve if I were you.
prehaps you would not I don't know and frankly don't care

> I think you need to
>worry about your own sanity given your perverse use of the dead, your
>rambling, disjointed posts and your foul language, Colonel.

Dave you don't understand By my own standards no preverse use was made
of the dead, Stevei has choosen to involeved fmaily memebers therefore
in my view his father not daughter are expet merely because they are
dead, Indeed with stevei insiting Ipeople my only reutn something
exactly in kind I have no choice but to use if I wish to get that
think head of Steve

dyslexia nor disgraphia are symtoms or sign of insanity nor is the
willfull and controled use of flanguage fouls or otherwise.

rambling is hardly insainty or else the presidential election whould
have sen both men likely loocked as insane

you don't like my tactic fine but claiming just becuase yuo don't like
em esp without poviding any contructive suggestion of a workable to
cause stevei to stop poluteing the Ng with foul lange his lies and
frauds measn I am unable to even consdier do what you might wish
>>
>> no subject to sick to make jokes about
>
>Apparently for you, there is not.
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2476. Re: btw why does dave post in the thread er nurse think rape is funny not comdem or defend steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 02:18:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135304309.811224.248900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128245

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > prehaps their lies and deths that dave will not stoop to prehaps not
> >
> > but why to provide steve cover rather than apply the standard you claim
> > to uphold
>
> Get it translated and I might reply.

not likely you have shown an extreme reluctance to enagge in any form
of deabte here with any body
>
> Dave K8MN

2477. well the purpose served

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 02:26:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135304775.836317.274820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128247

I hope you got the message stevie

the last of th post in your daughter names have gone out from my PC

you claimed you want only exact tit for tat you got it what do you think of it?

you can prevent further demstrations of this sort by ceasing all references to people family in the NG and apologizing for them otheriwse furtehr lessons will be delivered by me at my whim

stevei will surely give a foul typed set of reply all making scatological references, malarke being as scatological as the word shit or feces for that matter, adn I franklym no accept that there is no defference in foulness between More stevie shit and stevie prference more markie malarke. the same reference is made or even if stevie choose the tile More markie Manure, all the same to to me and I will react to it all the same ways as I find it as offenseive

when dealing with you foe you must learn to undestand them if you hope to defeat them I struggle with that with you stevie, but I have a pretty good handle on you. Indeed I would so sympathy what what I am pretty is the life of abuse you have mostly likely suffered, emotional likely physcial and sexual as well, if it were not fro that that you have allowed it to manifest in behavoir I know to be dangerous to my health

2478. Re: Anime child porn possession sends VA man to prison for life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 02:37:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135305456.416965.38420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Falky foo wrote:
> sup. ct. will strike that'n down.

after wasteing even more of the public's money dealling with the issue

2479. Stamping out ignorance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 03:13:30 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.20h3im@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.20h3im@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 128250

Q. Does KB9RQZ help stamp out ignorance?

A. That depends on whether by "stamp out" you mean "eliminate" or
"reproduce rapidly in great quantity."

--
Iitoi

2480. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 03:52:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YvKqf.5253$mj1.1189@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128251

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>>>> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
>>>> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
>>>> about it.
>>> Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.
>> First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
>> to which I replied.

> Then you ignored the larger context of the message that I've been on
> for about a week.

That's right, I did. I commented on that portion of your post which
appeared in my response. Now then, that wasn't so hard, was it?

Dave K8MN

2481. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 03:55:52 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <2e295$43ab753a$4e0db7b$11456@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 128252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Sxlqf.8167$3Z.1757@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qMXpf.573$R84.382@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog,
>> but support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
>>
>> Bought EQF-logger, but after a few months of use I still haven't gotten
>> used to the kluge-operator-interface, so I've written that one off.
>>
>> Here's my list of MUST HAVE features:
>>
>> An intuitive, non-rodent-centric operator interface, similar to the
>> simplicity of Hyperlog or CT (Mouse OK for non-routine functions, but
>> logging sequences must be
>> touch-typist-hands-on-the-keyboard-no-need-to-watch-the-screen.)
>>
>> Radio control integration
>>
>> LoTW integration
>>
>> Import from ADIF
>>
>> Good support from the author
>>
>> Any suggestions?
>>
>> de Hans, K0HB
>> --
>>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>>
>>
>>
>>
> Hans,
>
> I also dropped EQF for those reasons. I then went to N3FJP and I love em.
> Check it out on the web at;
>
> www.n3fjp.com
>

> Dan/W4NTI

Welcome Back Dan,
You were missed.
But, I'm sure some low class operators didn't miss you.
Very, 73
Ace - WH2T

2482. Re: Anime child porn possession sends VA man to prison for life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 04:09:57 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <9f0fa$43ab7886$4e0db7b$11511@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 128253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Chris" <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
news:3oeslf4d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net...
> You reading this Todd? Obscene cartoon drawings *are* an illegal form
> of child pornography. Read the final paragraph below carefully. You
> just might have a few Federal agents scanning your filthy little bbs
> after all.
>
> ====(begin quote)====
>
> posted on 2005-12-02 10:01:34
> source: Richmond Times-Dispatch
>
> In March we reported on a man in Virginia who faced life in prison
> for, among other things, downloading anime-child pornography on a
> computer at the Virginia Employment Commission. The downloads occurred
> while the man was on probation for a previous conviction involving
> child pornography.
>
SNIPPED
>
> Provisions of the Child Pornography Prevention Act (CPPA), a 1996 law that
> banned virtual child pornography, were thrown out by the US
> Supreme Court in 2002 on freedom of speech grounds. However WRIC
> reports that this individual is the first to be charged under "a new
> child pornography law that can apply to cartoons." The report did not
> specify whether this was a federal or state law, however the US
> Attorney's Office was cited as being involved. If convicted, he could
> face life in prison.

Profile Of A Pedophile
http://www.mental-health-matters.com/articles/article.php?artID=273

http://crime.about.com/od/sex/p/pedophile.htm

Islam's Mohammad Was a Pedophile
http://www.homa.org/Details.asp?ContentID=2137352728&TOCID=2083225348

Merry Christmas, Ace - WH2T

2483. Re: at least dave does seem to agree that stevie is kying in saying I am drunkRe: Mark isn't drunk; He's insane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 04:15:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pRKqf.2283$R84.992@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128254

taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> On Thu, 22 Dec 2005 23:32:56 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>>>>>> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
>>>>>> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
>>>>>> about it.
>>>>> Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.
>>>> First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
>>>> to which I replied.
>>> not the first I have heard of this but the other occasion were stvei
>>> claiming that len acuses people of rape
>> I don't know anything about that either.
>>
>>> but Dave it just goes to show NO lie is beyond the pale for steve

>> I don't think I'd worry about Steve if I were you.

> prehaps you would not I don't know and frankly don't care

>> I think you need to
>> worry about your own sanity given your perverse use of the dead, your
>> rambling, disjointed posts and your foul language, Colonel.
>
> Dave you don't understand By my own standards no preverse use was made
> of the dead...

You have to live in a world where society sets the standards. You don't
get to do anything you like without penalty.

> Stevei has choosen to involeved fmaily memebers therefore
> in my view his father not daughter are expet merely because they are
> dead, Indeed with stevei insiting Ipeople my only reutn something
> exactly in kind I have no choice but to use if I wish to get that
> think head of Steve

"chosen", "involve", "family", "members", "nor", "expect", "Stevie",
"inciting", "people", "return", "thick"

You are not "doing something exactly in kind". You *do* have a choice

> dyslexia nor disgraphia are symtoms or sign of insanity nor is the
> willfull and controled use of flanguage fouls or otherwise.

It isn't your dyslexia which leads me to believe that you aren't in
control of faculties. You have far greater issues than dyslexia.

> rambling is hardly insainty or else the presidential election whould
> have sen both men likely loocked as insane

"insanity", "would", "looked"

How's that? Did they begin using foul language, start speaking to each
other as dead members of the opponent's family. Did they start
e-mailing each other hundreds of disjointed messages?

>
> you don't like my tactic fine but claiming just becuase yuo don't like
> em esp without poviding any contructive suggestion of a workable to
> cause stevei to stop poluteing the Ng with foul lange his lies and
> frauds measn I am unable to even consdier do what you might wish

Tell you what, Mark. In about 12 hours, I'm going to start complaining
loud and long to each outfit whose facilities you use to post your spam.
I'll forward each of your posts, along with a note which outlines your
violations of the TOS of each organization. If you can't get a grip on
yourself, I'm sure that others can take steps to clean up this stuff.

Constructive suggestion: Gain control over your actions.

>>> no subject to sick to make jokes about

>> Apparently for you, there is not.

Dave K8MN

2484. Re: btw why does dave post in the thread er nurse think rape is funny not comdem or defend steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 04:15:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3SKqf.2284$R84.1140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128255

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> prehaps their lies and deths that dave will not stoop to prehaps not
>>>
>>> but why to provide steve cover rather than apply the standard you claim
>>> to uphold
>> Get it translated and I might reply.
>
> not likely you have shown an extreme reluctance to enagge in any form
> of deabte here with any body

Lack of translation noted.

Dave K8MN
```

2485. Re: Is mark morgan on foodstamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 04:33:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051222233326.709$RL_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 128256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
> everyone should be advised that The following person
>
Get lost, nym shifting dick licker.

2486. Re: Average cost of radio repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 06:34:58 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qn6ke41tmf6fe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 128257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote in message
news:1135257435.921197.187460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> wrong, thats your momma
>

No. I've seen your pic and it's you.
http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html
Look familiar. LOL

2487. Re: Average cost of radio repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 06:36:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051223013607.327$3A@newsreader.com>
Source record: 128258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> "moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> wrote in message
> news:1135257435.921197.187460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > wrong, thats your momma
> >
>
> No. I've seen your pic and it's you.
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html
> Look familiar. LOL
>
I'm blinded! lol

2488. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 06:49:55 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qn7gfhj0j6s3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 128259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
> On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>>
>>by
>>
>>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
>>(written in the third person)
>
> <deletia>
>
>>73 and GL de K1MAN
>
> ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
> that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and so
> forth...
>
> Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
>
> ....wow....
>
> Happy Holidays!
>
> --kw

And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self righteous
assholes.

2489. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 06:53:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051223015339.489$ZR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 128260
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> <kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
> news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
> > On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
> >>
> >>by
> >>
> >>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> >>(written in the third person)
> >
> > <deletia>
> >
> >>73 and GL de K1MAN
> >
> > ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
> > that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and so
> > forth...
> >
> > Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
> >
> > ....wow....
> >
> > Happy Holidays!
> >
> > --kw
>
> And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self
> righteous assholes.
>
Some are just indigent maggots.

http://tinypic.com/izs28g.jpg

2490. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 07:12:37 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qn8r13bnei105@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 128261
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051223015339.489$ZR@newsreader.com...
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>> <kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
>> news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
>> > On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> >>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>> >>
>> >>by
>> >>
>> >>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
>> >>(written in the third person)
>> >
>> > <deletia>
>> >
>> >>73 and GL de K1MAN
>> >
>> > ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
>> > that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and so
>> > forth...
>> >
>> > Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
>> >
>> > ....wow....
>> >
>> > Happy Holidays!
>> >
>> > --kw
>>
>> And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self
>> righteous assholes.
>>
> Some are just indigent maggots.
>
> http://tinypic.com/izs28g.jpg

He should have a big L tattooed on his forehead. I have a friend that
tattoos. Sack him up throw him in the trunk and bring him to my house.

2491. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 08:43:32 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135327412.685193.247310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128262
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentialy very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Gareth "Jailbird" Evans (G4SDW) wrote:
> > Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
> > Stupid boy.
>
> That's the 7th time he's said that to me this week! Can anybody else
> beat that?
>
> Is there an award scheme? Maybe a Bronze Truncheon for 25 verified
> "stupid boys"? Or a pair of Silver Handcuffs for 50.
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2492. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 08:45:05 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135327505.305793.296210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128263
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Gareth "Jailbird" Evans (G4SDW) wrote:
> > Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
> > Stupid boy.
>
> That's the 7th time he's said that to me this week! Can anybody else
> beat that?
>
> Is there an award scheme? Maybe a Bronze Truncheon for 25 verified
> "stupid boys"? Or a pair of Silver Handcuffs for 50.
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2493. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:02:04 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135328524.880631.75010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128264
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
> Stupid boy.

I've got 9 now! Maybe I'll go for the Golden Straight Jacket award
instead. At this rate I'll have it under my belt by the new year!

2494. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:28:51 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135330131.280109.118770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128265
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

Shame on you.

Grow up, Galen Watts!

Stupid child.

bigamps wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > If you wish forever henceforth to be perceived as a Childish
> > Broadcaster (CBer)...
>
> I don't care how *you* perceive me, your authority and superiority on
> the matter stops at the edge of your minuscule cranial contents.
>
> Get stuffed, Olde Springy,
> W8LNA

2495. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:29:54 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135330194.139270.169560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128266
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

Shame on you.

Grow up, Galen Watts!

Stupid child.

W8LNA wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > If you wish forever henceforth to be perceived as a Childish
> > Broadcaster (CBer)...
>
> Make an attempt to grasp the following:
>
> I don't care what you forever henceforth perceive of me, your authority
> and superiority in the matter extends only to the ends of the contents
> of your cranium, whatever that may be.
>
> > Grow up, Galen!
> >
> > Stupid child.
>
> Get stuffed, Springy Old Boy.
>
> Pompous ass (arse).
>
> -W8LNA

2496. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:30:56 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135330256.248735.93250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128267
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Gareth "The Jailbird" Evans (G4SDW) wrote:
> > Typo (in the third word as well!)
>
> FWIW, I thought the first three words were pretty good.
>
> Unfortunately it went downhill rapidly after the fourth.
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2497. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:33:00 UTC
From: realradioham <realradioham@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <43abc48f$3_2@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 128268
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135330256.248735.93250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
> look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
> abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
> the whole world?

Do you ever read your own posts??? Same repetitive twaddle.

rrh

2498. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:37:43 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135330662.932422.39500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128269
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
> "officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

You keep posting this, like you've dug up some interesting piece of trivia from an obscure source.

Rather puzzling, since I mentioned it myself last week in response to the thread about the "numbers station" conspiracy theory - and it's on my website www.g4kfk.co.uk

The good news is that I phoned SIPgate's head office in Germany to see what I could learn about your malicious caller. The bad news is that until you stop calling me a stupid boy, I'm not going to tell you what they told me.

73
Mike G4KFK

2499. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:43:59 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135331039.201036.135550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128270
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
> > Stupid boy.
>
> I've got 9 now! Maybe I'll go for the Golden Straight Jacket award
> instead. At this rate I'll have it under my belt by the new year!

2500. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:46:27 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dogguf$9os$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<kwthom@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:giomq1140pariq1jgeaheovahjkvqnp13u@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 12:05:02 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >// snip //
> >
> >> at least a quarter of hams seem to prsent some real ego problems
> >> >
> >> > ....wow....
> >> >
> >> > Happy Holidays!
> >> >
> >> > --kw
> >
> >Youve noticed that too then eh? Tell me, out of curiosity, are you an
> >Amateur or a CB'er?
> >
> >Regards,
> >Graham
>
> Not too sure that in this thread it really makes a difference
>
> After all, an appliance radio operator is an appliance radio operator,
> right?
>
> Does it matter if there's a bit of knowledge to pass a test in order
> to operate the appliance?
>
> Cheers!
>
> --kw

If you ask that to a few of the Amateurs lurking about in the Newsgroups
then the answer from them would be an emphatic yes it does matter, followed
by the same old "lowering of standards" and "m3/brain dead cb'ers" "Lazy
Asses" etc etc.

That's not from me btw, I take people as I find them, I was simply curious.

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

2501. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:49:50 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135331390.572403.155670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128272
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
> > "officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.
>
> You keep posting this, like you've dug up some interesting piece of
> trivia from an obscure source.
>
> Rather puzzling, since I mentioned it myself last week in response to
> the thread about the "numbers station" conspiracy theory - and it's on
> my website www.g4kfk.co.uk
>
> The good news is that I phoned SIPgate's head office in Germany to see
> what I could learn about your malicious caller. The bad news is that
> until you stop calling me a stupid boy, I'm not going to tell you what
> they told me.
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2502. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 09:54:05 UTC
From: Howard Long <howard@howardlongxxx.com>
Message-ID: <doghftghc1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 128273
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:AJadnUJk5O3dnzbenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@giganews.com...
> How your wife puts up with you I shall never know. Maybe she's locked in a
> trunk in the attic or mummified in a rocking chair?

Or a pen perhaps?

73, Howard G6LVB

2503. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 10:08:27 UTC
From: Howard Long <howard@howardlongxxx.com>
Message-ID: <dogiar$ig8$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 128274
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<mike@g4kfk.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135330662.932422.39500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
> > "officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.
>
> You keep posting this, like you've dug up some interesting piece of
> trivia from an obscure source.

Not that obscure to most as it's a web link on your website's home page that you often quote. But then it would be obscure to Pierian Spring who said in this thread:

> I don't follow web links

Or is there was some other really credible explanation, like a lucky guess, divine inspiration, someone else followed the link and told him... or some other dog-ate-my-homework reason?

Cheers & season's greetings.

73, Howard G6LVB

2504. A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 10:28:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128275

"taelor_robeson"

This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County Sheriff's Office.

I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.

I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27 December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move against you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an Attorney in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file them on my behalf.

Said apology will also appear in RRAP.

That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the consequences are yours to bear.

Steven J Robeson

2505. Attn: Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 10:56:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135335416.199244.327590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128276

Dave Heil wrote:
> taelor_robeson@hotmail.com was a falsified e mail address forged by Mark C. Morgan:

> > you don't like my tactic fine but claiming just becuase yuo don't like
> > em esp without povіding any contructive suggestion of a workable to
> > cause stevei to stop poluteing the Ng with foul lange his lies and
> > frauds measn I am unable to even consdier do what you might wish
>
> Tell you what, Mark. In about 12 hours, I'm going to start complaining
> loud and long to each outfit whose facilities you use to post your spam.
> I'll forward each of your posts, along with a note which outlines your
> violations of the TOS of each organization. If you can't get a grip on
> yourself, I'm sure that others can take steps to clean up this stuff.
>
> Constructive suggestion: Gain control over your actions.

He's got till Monday to decide if he really wants to risk his
financial security on these ramblings, Dave. And I've already started
filing complaints to AMSAT about his "F" word subject lines and
profanities.

He's got decisions to make. Let's see if he can make some lucid
ones.

Steve, K4YZ

2506. Attn: Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 10:57:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135335422.501029.61080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128277

Dave Heil wrote:
> taelor_robeson@hotmail.com was a falsified e mail address forged by Mark C. Morgan:

> > you don't like my tactic fine but claiming just becuase yuo don't like
> > em esp without povіding any contructive suggestion of a workable to
> > cause stevei to stop poluteing the Ng with foul lange his lies and
> > frauds measn I am unable to even consdier do what you might wish
>
> Tell you what, Mark. In about 12 hours, I'm going to start complaining
> loud and long to each outfit whose facilities you use to post your spam.
> I'll forward each of your posts, along with a note which outlines your
> violations of the TOS of each organization. If you can't get a grip on
> yourself, I'm sure that others can take steps to clean up this stuff.
>
> Constructive suggestion: Gain control over your actions.

He's got till Monday to decide if he really wants to risk his
financial security on these ramblings, Dave. And I've already started
filing complaints to AMSAT about his "F" word subject lines and
profanities.

He's got decisions to make. Let's see if he can make some lucid
ones.

Steve, K4YZ

2507. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 11:51:43 UTC
From: "Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <jxRqf.1866$OU3.1473@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 128278
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> For as long...

(another warm breeze snipped)

Let me guess: You're the inspiration for the Monty Python character 'Upper Class Twit of the Year'? That's amazing, considering you were about 15 years old when they filmed that skit, you were quite accomplished at such a tender age, my accolades!

If you are the example of a _REAL_ Radio Ham the hobby has definately sunk to a low much lower than I had ever suspected possible.

W8LNA

2508. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 11:57:11 UTC
From: "Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <rCRqf.189$qg.93@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128279
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>
>>Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
>>Stupid boy.
>
>
> I've got 9 now! Maybe I'll go for the Golden Straight Jacket award
> instead. At this rate I'll have it under my belt by the new year!

I've got five 'Stupid Childs', is that a separate category or are we in competition? If the latter, I may be behind but I've got the will and desire to win! Fair warning, I'm still in the game! (if I don't laugh myself into a serious injury.)

73, G4KFK!
-W8LNA

2509. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 11:58:45 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135339125.703759.269650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128280
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

Shame on you, you really ought to know better.

Grow up, Galen Watts!

Stupid child.

Galen, W8LNA wrote:
> (another warm breeze snipped)
> Let me guess: You're the inspiration for the Monty Python character
> 'Upper Class Twit of the Year'? That's amazing, considering you were
> about 15 years old when they filmed that skit, you were quite
> accomplished at such a tender age, my accolades!
> If you are the example of a _REAL_ Radio Ham the hobby has definately
> sunk to a low much lower than I had ever suspected possible.
> W8LNA

2510. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:00:55 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135339255.215243.239050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128281
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

Shame on you.

Grow up, Galen Watts!

Stupid child.

Galen, W8LNA wrote:
> mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> > Pierian Spring wrote:
> >
> >>Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
> >>Stupid boy.
> >
> >
> > I've got 9 now! Maybe I'll go for the Golden Straight Jacket award
> > instead. At this rate I'll have it under my belt by the new year!
>
> I've got five 'Stupid Childs', is that a separate category or are we in
> competition? If the latter, I may be behind but I've got the will and
> desire to win! Fair warning, I'm still in the game! (if I don't laugh
> myself into a serious injury.)
>
> 73, G4KFK!
> -W8LNA

2511. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:03:58 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135339438.849497.250990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128282
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Galen, W8LNA wrote:
> Let me guess: You're the inspiration for the Monty Python character
> 'Upper Class Twit of the Year'? That's amazing, considering you were
> about 15 years old when they filmed that skit, you were quite
> accomplished at such a tender age, my accolades!

There's nothing upper class about Gareth.

He's more like another Monty Python character, "The Black Knight" from
The Holy Grail. He just keeps coming back for more and more, never
knowing when to admit defeat.

73
Mike G4KFK

2512. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:13:53 UTC
From: bigamps <bigamps@louder.still.net>
Message-ID: <5SRqf.1868$OU3.1445@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 128283
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> For as long as you...

I wasn't addressing you, butt out!

> Galen, W8LNA wrote:
>
>>mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>>
>>>Pierian Spring wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
>>>>Stupid boy.
>>>
>>>
>>>I've got 9 now! Maybe I'll go for the Golden Straight Jacket award
>>>instead. At this rate I'll have it under my belt by the new year!
>>
>>I've got five 'Stupid Childs', is that a separate category or are we in
>>competition? If the latter, I may be behind but I've got the will and
>>desire to win! Fair warning, I'm still in the game! (if I don't laugh
>>myself into a serious injury.)
>>
>>73, G4KFK!
>>-W8LNA
>
>

2513. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:14:34 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <L-SdnfEMZMO2dzbeRVnytQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 128284
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Shame on you.
>
> Grow up, Galen Watts!
>
> Stupid child.
>
Now that Christmas, aka the alchoholic period, is upon us, expect postings
to increase with toxicity.
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2514. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:15:47 UTC
From: bigamps <bigamps@louder.still.net>
Message-ID: <TTRqf.1869$OU3.1522@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 128285
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> For as long as you are prepared...

...zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzHuh? Oh, still at it?

hmmh....zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz...

2515. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:19:37 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135340377.605097.192260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128286
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The pursuit of decency and of civilised behaviour should
never admit defeat in the face of an onslaught by a horde
of vulgar and abusive infants.

If we in Radio Hammery retreat in the face of such vulgarity, then
the gates will be left open for the Mongolian Hordes.

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Galen, W8LNA wrote:
> > Let me guess: You're the inspiration for the Monty Python character
> > 'Upper Class Twit of the Year'? That's amazing, considering you were
> > about 15 years old when they filmed that skit, you were quite
> > accomplished at such a tender age, my accolades!
>
> There's nothing upper class about Gareth.
>
> He's more like another Monty Python character, "The Black Knight" from
> The Holy Grail. He just keeps coming back for more and more, never
> knowing when to admit defeat.
>

> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2516. Re: When does channel changing DSSS become hybrid DSSS/FHSS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:19:49 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dogq0f$f99$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128287

N9OGL wrote:
> [Code of Federal Regulations]
> [Title 47, Volume 1]
> [Revised as of October 1, 2002]
>>From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
> [CITE: 47CFR15.247]
>
> [Page 740-742]
>
> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>

is all pile of dung. fcc cant change law of physics like gravity or electron mass.

electron mass in f=ma give force to big to be physical. to make wi-fi radio signal electron supposed to vibrate at 2,400,000,000 times each second. that nonsense becaues of f=ma make hop force so big no one have enough power to do it in first place. forget regulations. do what I do and do whatever you want on radio becaues fcc dont know crap anyways.

2517. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:23:12 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135340592.348833.31080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128288
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I am pleased that you tire of your infantile behaviour. There is hope for you, yet. But please remember that it is not just you who is made to look a fool by your behaviour; your behaviour affects the public face of Radio Hammery, and thereby affects us all.

Sleep on, awaken refreshed and hopefully of a more mature perspective than you have presented in your recent posts.

bigamps wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > For as long as you are prepared...
>
>
> ...zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzHuh? Oh, still at it?
>
> hmmh....zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz...

2518. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:23:25 UTC
From: "Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1%Rqf.1872$OU3.842@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 128289
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Pierian Spring wrote:
> For...

You weren't being addressed, please butt out!

...
>>mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>>
>>>Pierian Spring wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
>>>>Stupid boy.
>>>
>>>
>>>I've got 9 now! Maybe I'll go for the Golden Straight Jacket award
>>>instead. At this rate I'll have it under my belt by the new year!
>>
>>I've got five 'Stupid Childs', is that a separate category or are we in
>>competition? If the latter, I may be behind but I've got the will and
>>desire to win! Fair warning, I'm still in the game! (if I don't laugh
>>myself into a serious injury.)
>>
>>73, G4KFK!
>>-W8LNA
>
>
```

2519. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:24:09 UTC
From: "Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <J%Rqf.1873$OU3.1085@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 128290
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> For as long...

...zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzHuh? Oh, still at it?

hmmh....zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz...

(shhh!)
(-W8LNA)

2520. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:28:25 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135340905.307309.222390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128291
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It is rather infantile to seek to impose a rule on others,
a rule that you did not follow yourself, for I addressed no
remarks to you that would have warranted the nascence
of your infantile tirades that you have directed at me.

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

Grow up, Galen!

Stupid child.

Galen, W8LNA wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > For...
>
> You weren't being addressed, please butt out!
>

2521. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:30:20 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135341020.458222.135970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128292
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I am pleased that you tire of your infantile behaviour. There is hope for you, yet. But please remember that it is not just you who is made to look a fool by your behaviour; your behaviour affects the public face of Radio Hammery, and thereby affects us all.

Sleep on, awaken refreshed and hopefully of a more mature perspective than you have presented in your recent posts.

Galen, W8LNA wrote:
>
> ...zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzHuh? Oh, still at it?
>
> hmmh....zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz...
>
> (shhh!)
> (-W8LNA)

2522. A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:48:01 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135342081.128309.88930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128293
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Now that the onslaught of rather silly and infantile
tirades from the closet CBers has ceased, may
I wish all the readers of this NG everything that they
would wish for themselves in this (belated) season
of Solstice celebrations!

2523. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:48:26 UTC
From: Brian Reay <see@website.invalid>
Message-ID: <umSqf.16045$q4.13337@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128294
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:L-SdnfEMZMO2dzbeRVnytQ@giganews.com...
>>
> Now that Christmas, aka the alchoholic period, is upon us, expect postings
> to increase with toxicity.

More like someone is having a bad time and sees everyone else having a good time, so they lash out. Same mentality that gives rise to vandals damaging nice cars etc.

Sad really.

Merry Xmas & 73

Brian
G8OSN
www.g8osn.org.uk

2524. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:56:37 UTC
From: bigamps <bigamps@louder.still.net>
Message-ID: <9uSqf.191$qg.40@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128295
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Now that the onslaught of rather silly and infantile
> tirades from the closet CBers

Sorry, I was over on the LinRadio console actually doing ham radio (it's sunrise here) instead of provoking what could be described as the 21st century version of Inspiration for the Sex Pistols.

Where were we? Oh yes,

If you were a real ham radio op you'd be on the radio instead of playing Lord of the Gnats.

Twit.
-W8LNA

2525. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 12:58:20 UTC
From: "Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MvSqf.193$qg.182@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128296
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Now that the onslaught of rather silly and infantile
> tirades from the closet CBers...

Sorry for the delay, I was over on the LinRadio console actually doing ham radio (it's sunrise here) instead of provoking what could be described as the 21st century version of Inspiration for the Sex Pistols.

Where were we? Oh yes,

If you were a real ham radio op you'd be on the radio instead of playing Lord of the Gnats.

Twit.
-W8LNA

2526. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 13:00:23 UTC
From: MuppetMaster <geraldsnipe1@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135342823.301353.241710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128297
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Thank you kindly for your good wishes. It is a pleasure to read your offerings, for all your rantings at times I do believe you are a good egg.

2527. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 13:00:44 UTC
From: "Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <0ySqf.194$qg.62@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128298
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> I am pleased that you tire of your infantile behaviour...

Nahhh, you're just boring me near to death!

Got to go, Springy Ol' Chap(ped arse), it's sunrise and I'm hearing good DX.

-W8LNA

2528. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 13:09:40 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135343380.276312.170750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128299
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Now that the onslaught of rather silly and infantile
> tirades from the closet CBers has ceased, may
> I wish all the readers of this NG everything that they
> would wish for themselves in this (belated) season
> of Solstice celebrations!

Indeed. And here are some seasonal songs specially for you Gareth:

"Santa Claus is Coming to Get Me"

"You Better Watch Out, I'm Gonna Cry, I'm Gonna Pout, Maybe I'll tell You Why"

"Walking in a Winter Wonderland Miles From My House in My Slippers and Robe"

"I Saw Mommy Kissing Santa Claus So I Burned Down the House"

"Have Yourself a Merry Little Christmas While I Sit Here and Hyperventilate"

73
Mike G4KFK

2529. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 13:23:20 UTC
From: Mr W Z Boson <WZ_Boson@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135344200.910638.273850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128300
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:

> Now that the onslaught of rather silly and infantile
> tirades from the closet CBers has ceased, may
> I wish all the readers of this NG everything that they
> would wish for themselves in this (belated) season
> of Solstice celebrations!

I'm reminded of the words of that great Irish poet, songwriter and drunkard, Shane MacGowan ...

"Happy Christmas your arse, I pray God it's our last"

.. try singing it, it really does rhyme. So all together now ...

"It was Christmas Eve babe ..."

2530. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 13:42:20 UTC
From: tox <tox@toxtox.co.com>
Message-ID: <09Tqf.30747$8v6.2194@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128301
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:L-SdnfEMZMO2dzbeRVnytQ@giganews.com...
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>> Shame on you.
>>
>> Grow up, Galen Watts!
>>
>> Stupid child.
>>
> Now that Christmas, aka the alchoholic period, is upon us, expect postings
> to increase with toxicity.

Oi, don't drag me into his cesspit ;-)

tox

2531. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 13:44:10 UTC
From: "Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <KaTqf.197$qg.133@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128302
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MuppetMaster wrote:
> ... I do believe you (Gareth) are a good
> egg.

I don't think he is, I think he's someone who finds himself unhappy with his lot in life and instead of trying to improve what he can (starting with himself) he instead denigrates what's around him in a futile attempt to elevate himself. He draws ridicule like a magnet because he continues to denigrate after receiving helpful and occasionally nasty suggestions to recognize his deluded behavior. A legend in his own mind but a joke that has become all too pitiful and no longer funny after too much repetition in the view of others.

But,
A Happy Holiday Season to all, especially Gareth, I hope you find yourself someday, you've got too much intelligence to waste on that FAQ drivel.

Change what you can, recognize what you can't, and move on.

W8LNA

2532. FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 13:55:13 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135346113.085610.124590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128303
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured

the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One such CB type is the so-called "Not-Ham". Otherwise
known as the CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham, this type
had their background in the hobby that is CB Radio and not
in the technical pursuits that lead up to a coveted Ham licence.
Easily recognised by their boasts of the criminal activity of
11 metre SSB operation, one wonders why they don't go back to
the CB Bands if such bands are dearer in their hearts than are the Ham
Bands? No _REAL Radio Hams associate with those who made an
illegal installation of transmitting equipment before being
in possession of an appropriate licence.

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

2533. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:12:18 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <u62dnUe9vv9emDHenZ2dnUVZ8qednZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 128304
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> What is Ham Radio?
>
abuse@network-i.net informed for repeated spamming.

Gareth uses a fixed IP of 212.21.118.235 incase anyone else feels the need
for a Christmas break from this loony.

--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2534. Re: FAQ - Repeated again because I can't accept that I need professional help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:13:14 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <K62dnRLfG7hhmDHenZ2dnUVZ8qednZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 128305
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
tox wrote:
> "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:L-SdnfEMZMO2dzbeRVnytQ@giganews.com...
>> Pierian Spring wrote:
>>> Shame on you.
>>>
>>> Grow up, Galen Watts!
>>>
>>> Stupid child.
>>>
>> Now that Christmas, aka the alchoholic period, is upon us, expect
>> postings to increase with toxicity.
>
> Oi, don't drag me into his cesspit ;-)
>
> tox

At least you won't have to buy batteries for the kids toys!
Merry Christmas de
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925
```

2535. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:18:30 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135347510.700600.108500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128306
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gareth Alun Evans (G4SDW) loosened his restraints for long enough to
scrawl:
> It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.

And, more recently, of harbouring sociopaths like the clown featured in
the following press article:
http://archive.thisiswiltshire.co.uk/2005/3/10/91923.html

The callsign of the subject of this article, which is a source of
embarrassment to all real radio amateurs, is G4SDW. Mr Evans is not a
newcomer to this hobby, but an old-timer licensee of more than 30
years!

73
Mike G4KFK

2536. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:18:53 UTC
From: bigamps <bigamps@louder.still.net>
Message-ID: <hHTqf.198$qg.83@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128307
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> What is Ham Radio...

You can hear Mongolian CB'ers? What kind of antenna are you using?

-W8LNA

2537. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:21:04 UTC
From: "Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <kJTqf.200$qg.65@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128308
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> What...

You can hear Mongolian CB'ers? What kind of antenna are you using?

-W8LNA

2538. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:21:10 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135347670.899352.219060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128309
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bigamps wrote:
> You can hear Mongolian CB'ers? What kind of antenna are you using?

None at all. He forgot to put on his aluminium foil helmet this morning
:-)

2539. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:21:41 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <Gc2dnZ59pYdrmjHeRVnyiQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 128310
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Gareth Alun Evans (G4SDW) loosened his restraints for long enough to
> scrawl:
>> It has a long-standing
>> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
>> are born naturals.
>
> And, more recently, of harbouring sociopaths like the clown featured
> in the following press article:
> http://archive.thisiswiltshire.co.uk/2005/3/10/91923.html
>
> The callsign of the subject of this article, which is a source of
> embarrassment to all real radio amateurs, is G4SDW. Mr Evans is not a
> newcomer to this hobby, but an old-timer licensee of more than 30
> years!
>

G4SDW's behaviour here and away is, indeed, shameful, and has done much
damage to the hobby that was amateur radio. Shameful!
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2540. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:28:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135348118.985907.147110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128311

an Old friend wrote:
> why doesn't try the truth

Good question. Why don't you?

> he has been sping this lie that I posting drunk whn I don't drink?

Re-read that line and then TRY and wonder why anyone who is
COMPETENT in English might wonder.

> why do this after nothing ilegal immoral iresponaible or evn wrong if I
> were posting drunk after it is isn't driving drunk

I never said it was illegal or immoral.

I said it's stupid and contributes to your already functionally
illiterate jibberish.

There's a lot of things you can do in this life that are neither
illegal or immoral, but are obviously stupid.

> he avoided answered the question of why he is claiming that he has gone
> trhough my trash or had someone go through my trash looking fro achol
> bottles?

No one had to, Markie. You've acknowledged drinking in this forum
in the past.

Your writing and blatant lack of judgement make it easy to draw
obvious conclusions.

> why tell this lie?

It's not a lie.

> the only reason i can see right off it is convince he is stalking me,
> and then try at some point to pull a rug from under me

You're pulling your own rug while standing on it, Markie. The
subsequent fall is going to be painful and expensive.

> but still what is the point?

You'd better ask yourself that question several times a day...

Steve, K4YZ

2541. Re: Stamping out ignorance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:30:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135348229.611749.154220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128312

Iitoi wrote:
> Q. Does KB9RQZ help stamp out ignorance?
>
> A. That depends on whether by "stamp out" you mean "eliminate" or
> "reproduce rapidly in great quantity."

Rimshot on the drums, ta-dah-DAH!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2542. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:31:33 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <pe2oq1t1d3fqcbgejutdo7e8okfbo76bv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 128313
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

realradioham wrote:

>
>"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote

<snip>

>Do you ever read your own posts?
>

He must do, as he sometimes replies to them.....

from
Aero Spike

2543. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 14:58:23 UTC
From: Chaney <chaney@i12.com>
Message-ID: <jgUqf.13487$D47.800@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 128314
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I too like Gareth...he never switches allegiance on a whim...and I think he says what's on his mind...even if most of us don't agree with him...

Merry Christmas whoever you are this week...

--

Chaney

Chaney's World
www.chaney.i12.com

"Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:KaTqf.197$qg.133@news02.roc.ny...
> MuppetMaster wrote:
>> ... I do believe you (Gareth) are a good
>> egg.
>
> I don't think he is, I think he's someone who finds himself unhappy with
> his lot in life and instead of trying to improve what he can (starting
> with himself) he instead denigrates what's around him in a futile attempt
> to elevate himself. He draws ridicule like a magnet because he continues
> to denigrate after receiving helpful and occasionally nasty suggestions to
> recognize his deluded behavior. A legend in his own mind but a joke that
> has become all too pitiful and no longer funny after too much repetition
> in the view of others.
>
> But,
> A Happy Holiday Season to all, especially Gareth, I hope you find yourself
> someday, you've got too much intelligence to waste on that FAQ drivel.
>
> Change what you can, recognize what you can't, and move on.
>
> W8LNA

2544. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:01:04 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <QiUqf.9844$Dd2.8460@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128315

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:QoWdnfpd455ZojbeRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:GJmdneAQQbD5ojbenZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:_jFqf.2165$R84.598@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>>> Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable,
>>>> why would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?
>>>
>>> There ought to be two classes of license. A "standard" license with an
>>> examination roughly equivalent to the current Extra exam, and a
>>> limited-term "learners permit" roughly equivalent to the 1951 Novice
>>> exam.
>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> I agree on the two license concept. But I think there should be a
>> "standard" license with an examination roughly equivalent to a blended
>> Tech/General exam. Then for those who want to go beyond that.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> OOPS! Meant to say "Then Extra for those who want to go beyond that"
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I personnaly think a 3 license structure (Learners permit, General and
Extra)
would serve the amateur service well. I'd suggest the following also:

Leraner's permit (10 year non-renewable, 200 watts or less, access
to a good spectrum of HF plus VHF and UHF)

General, as is today but grandfather all existing generals to full
power with a 500w limit on new generals after rules go into effect

Extra, as today

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2545. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:13:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8uUqf.5342$mj1.4920@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128316

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

> I personnaly think a 3 license structure (Learners permit, General and Extra)
> would serve the amateur service well. I'd suggest the following also:
>
> Leraner's permit (10 year non-renewable, 200 watts or less, access
> to a good spectrum of HF plus VHF and UHF)
>
> General, as is today but grandfather all existing generals to full
> power with a 500w limit on new generals after rules go into effect
>
> Extra, as today
>

Bill,

I thought you'd be more forward looking than that. You've just proposed a re-run of 1968, and to no good purpose.

Learners permit should have access to ALL spectrum, so that they rub shoulders on the air with everyone. Reduced output (50W max?) so they don't hurt themselves or the spectrum too badly.

Reducing new General to 500W serves what purpose, other than to set up a new divisive factor in amateur radio?

Beyond the entry level license, there should be ONE class of hams, just ONE.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2546. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:16:44 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135351004.906258.13910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128317
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Gareth Alun Evans (G4SDW) loosened his restraints for long enough to
> scrawl:
> > It has a long-standing
> > tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> > are born naturals.
> And, more recently, of harbouring sociopaths like the clown featured in
> the following press article:
> http://archive.thisiswiltshire.co.uk/2005/3/10/91923.html
> The callsign of the subject of this article, which is a source of
> embarrassment to all real radio amateurs, is G4SDW. Mr Evans is not a
> newcomer to this hobby, but an old-timer licensee of more than 30
> years!

2547. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:18:23 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135351103.473867.19640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128318
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> bigamps wrote:
> > You can hear Mongolian CB'ers? What kind of antenna are you using?
>
> None at all. He forgot to put on his aluminium foil helmet this morning
> :-)

2548. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:27:47 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135351666.980623.27510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128319
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That looks to all intents and purposes like you are abusing
your privileged position that your employer has given you.

Who do you work for?

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>
> The good news is that I phoned SIPgate's head office in Germany to see
> what I could learn about your malicious caller. The bad news is that
> until you stop calling me a stupid boy, I'm not going to tell you what
> they told me.

2549. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:39:43 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135352383.011475.106370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128320
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> That looks to all intents and purposes like you are abusing
> your privileged position that your employer has given you.
>
> Who do you work for?

I work for the Mental Health Department of Wiltshire Primary Healthcare
Trust. That's how I know so much about you :-)

2550. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:42:23 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135352543.023951.185190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128321
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better. Shame on you.

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

m...@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > That looks to all intents and purposes like you are abusing
> > your privileged position that your employer has given you.
> >
> > Who do you work for?
>
> I work for the Mental Health Department of Wiltshire Primary Healthcare
> Trust. That's how I know so much about you :-)

2551. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:42:52 UTC
From: tox <tox@toxtox.co.com>
Message-ID: <0WUqf.50750$uR.1645@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128322
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<mike@g4kfk.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135347510.700600.108500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> The callsign of the subject of this article, which is a source of
> embarrassment to all real radio amateurs, is G4SDW. Mr Evans is not a
> newcomer to this hobby, but an old-timer licensee of more than 30
> years!

AIUI, he certainly has not held a class A licence for thirty years. Did it
not take him 10 years to pass the "simple" Morse test?

Regards
tox

2552. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:46:39 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <pOudnQnS8aVChjHeRVnyig@giganews.com>
Source record: 128323
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> For as long as you are prepared to ....

Your repeated spamming is not very gentlemanly to the newsgroup users with dialup access.

Think about ceasing your vitriol until next year.

Abuse@network-i.net informed again.

2553. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:48:15 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <m9qdnRH2O7GigTHenZ2dnUVZ8qWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 128324
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

tox wrote:
> <mike@g4kfk.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1135347510.700600.108500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> The callsign of the subject of this article, which is a source of
>> embarrassment to all real radio amateurs, is G4SDW. Mr Evans is not a
>> newcomer to this hobby, but an old-timer licensee of more than 30
>> years!
>
> AIUI, he certainly has not held a class A licence for thirty years.
> Did it not take him 10 years to pass the "simple" Morse test?
>

Yes, but he conveniently forgets this fact, as well as many other things!

2554. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:51:51 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135353111.171163.219220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128325
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
>
> Stupid boy.

Well I'm definitely not going to tell you what SIPgate said now!

2555. Re: Disgraceful behaviour today - what do you think?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 15:56:07 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135353367.112665.154870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128326
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sorry, forgot the cross-post

Pierian Spring wrote:
> We, in the technical pursuit that is Radio Hammery have
> long held gentlemanly traditions.
>
> However, today in this NG there has been an avalanche
> of disgraceful personal attacks coming from a number
> of what appear to be Childish Broadcasters (CBers).
>
> What do _YOU_ think?
>
> Is this the way forward for Radio Hammery? Is this
> the public face that you want to see presented for
> your life's purpose?
>
> Have we gained nothing by withdrawing away from the RSCB
> and everything that its members and directors stand for?
>
> Please join me in decrying the disgraceful behaviour
> of one or two rather sillyand infantile miscreants. I am
> sure that I do not need to tell you who they are; indeed, it
> is quite likely that these Not-Ham characters will identify
> themselves with some silly and childish response to
> this appeal.

2556. Re: I'm barking mad - what do you think?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 16:24:32 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135355072.585088.258390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128327
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> > What do _YOU_ think?

We think you need a check-up from the neck up!

2557. Re: I'm barking mad - what do you think?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 16:39:36 UTC
From: G4ZWI <fred.c@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <1135355976.080905.323240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128328
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Grow up, Mike Gathergood..........

Stupid boy...........

(Sorry Mike, don't know you from Adam, {& don't REALLY mean the above
comments,,, }
Just thought I would get them in, before somebody else!!!!!)
Merry Christmas, Mike, & a happy new year, Fred G4ZWI GQRP 10981

2558. Re: FAQ - the voices in my head told me to post it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 16:49:46 UTC
From: white van driver <scotland_glasgow@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135356586.624733.170230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128329
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gareth,

You remind me of the advert years ago about Ariston....and on.....and
on......and on.....and on.....and on.....and on.....and on.....and
on.....and on.....and on.....and on.....and on.....and on.....and on

P.S. Before you post a response, here's the text you keep pasting with
Control + V on the keyboard,

Gareth's boring text

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

If at all possible, any chance you could give a constructive response
without the usual M3/CB twaddle ?

?
?
?
Bet you can't !

2559. Re: I'm barking mad - what do you think?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 16:52:00 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135356720.625867.99870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128330
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

G4ZWI wrote:
> Merry Christmas, Mike, & a happy new year, Fred G4ZWI GQRP 10981

Hi Fred

Season's greetings to you too!

72
Mike G4KFK G-QRP 6627

2560. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 17:10:38 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dohb1q$t2k$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128331

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
>
>>why doesn't try the truth
>
>
> Good question. Why don't you?
>
>
>>he has been sping this lie that I posting drunk whn I don't drink?
>
>
> Re-read that line and then TRY and wonder why anyone who is
> COMPETENT in English might wonder.
>
>
>>why do this after nothing ilegal immoral iresponaible or evn wrong if I
>>were posting drunk after it is isn't driving drunk
>
>
> I never said it was illegal or immoral.

anime not illegal either.

> I said it's stupid and contributes to your already functionally
> illiterate jibberish.

gibberish really spelled with "g." look any dictionary and become more
literate like me and roger BITCH.

2561. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 17:21:05 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <4ecoq19n2v3acrhu9tk1hf965qvomh8u7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 128332
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 15:42:52 GMT, "tox" <tox@toxtox.co.com> wrote:

>AIUI, he certainly has not held a class A licence for thirty years. Did it
>not take him 10 years to pass the "simple" Morse test?
>
>Regards
>tox
>

Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?

Merry Christmas, Baldy.

2562. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 17:22:01 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <fgcoq1l7oa7hlearr0vatfvae5d4ablgq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 128333
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 15:48:15 -0000, "huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote:

>tox wrote:
>> <mike@g4kfk.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:1135347510.700600.108500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>> The callsign of the subject of this article, which is a source of
>>> embarrassment to all real radio amateurs, is G4SDW. Mr Evans is not a
>>> newcomer to this hobby, but an old-timer licensee of more than 30
>>> years!
>>
>> AIUI, he certainly has not held a class A licence for thirty years.
>> Did it not take him 10 years to pass the "simple" Morse test?
>>
>
>Yes, but he conveniently forgets this fact, as well as many other things!
>

Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?

2563. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 17:22:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051223122243.327$SQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 128334
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051223015339.489$ZR@newsreader.com...
> > "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> <kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
> >> news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
> >> > On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
> >> >>
> >> >>by
> >> >>
> >> >>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> >> >>(written in the third person)
> >> >
> >> > <deletia>
> >> >
> >> >>73 and GL de K1MAN
> >> >
> >> > ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
> >> > that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and
> >> > so forth...
> >> >
> >> > Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
> >> >
> >> > ....wow....
> >> >
> >> > Happy Holidays!
> >> >
> >> > --kw
> >>
> >> And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self
> >> righteous assholes.
> >>
> > Some are just indigent maggots.
> >
> > http://tinypic.com/izs28g.jpg
>
> He should have a big L tattooed on his forehead. I have a friend that
> tattoos. Sack him up throw him in the trunk and bring him to my house.
>
Wouldn't that be dogie abuse?
```

2564. k4yz not forgot for 2005 lies and netKKKop liable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 17:23:36 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dohbqa$u0s$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128335

is true you not sued yet but I am byding my time for while. revenge
best served on cold dish.

2565. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 17:29:59 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <Oaudnf2Yu6yKqTHeRVnyuA@giganews.com>
Source record: 128336
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
>
> Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?

I expect you will insist on paying for your license annually when they will
be free for life!
Some people...
--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278

2566. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 18:03:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <1135361033.696765.293630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128337

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> >
> > I personnaly think a 3 license structure (Learners permit, General and Extra)
> > would serve the amateur service well. I'd suggest the following also:
> >
> > Leraner's permit (10 year non-renewable, 200 watts or less, access
> > to a good spectrum of HF plus VHF and UHF)
> >
> > General, as is today but grandfather all existing generals to full
> > power with a 500w limit on new generals after rules go into effect
> >
> > Extra, as today
> >
>
> Bill,
>
> I thought you'd be more forward looking than that. You've just proposed a
> re-run of 1968, and to no good purpose.

Same year we got the gun control act.

> Learners permit should have access to ALL spectrum, so that they rub shoulders
> on the air with everyone.

i.e., no ghettos.

> Reduced output (50W max?) so they don't hurt
> themselves or the spectrum too badly.

Below level where EA is required! Superb reasoning.

> Reducing new General to 500W serves what purpose, other than to set up a new
> divisive factor in amateur radio?
>
> Beyond the entry level license, there should be ONE class of hams, just ONE.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Bravo!

Hans da Man!!!

2567. Re: what a merial to my memory joking about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 18:12:32 UTC
From: litoi <litoi.20ihio@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <litoi.20ihio@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 128354

K4YZ Wrote:
> "taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> Sheriff's Office.
>
> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
> I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
> apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
> December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move
> against
> you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
> court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an
> Attorney
> in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
> them on my behalf.
>
> Said apology will also appear in RRAP.
>
> That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
> consequences are yours to bear.
>
> Steven J Robeson

This is rich coming from the Dud who pretty much pioneered the concept
of "insult their family" in rrap.

Examples:

"Speaking of surly lapdogs with poor gums, how IS Mrs Lennie ..."

"Dust them off occassionally with Mrs Putzman's correspondence courses,
no doubt?"

"G'nite! Best to Mrs Lennie!"

"I can't imagine the slimey feeling Mrs. A must get having to be near
you. God I hope you never had the chance to procreate."

"Please re-direct your comments to someone who LIKES scumbags...Like
Mrs Lennie, for example."

"The ones I feel sorry for are Mrs Lennie and thier cats."

"Does Mrs. Lennie know she's sleeping with a patholgical liar,
Lennie?"

"And since Mrs Lennie the First has since passed away and you are
now....."

"Mrs A is getting her neo-Nazi leatehrs out for you...."

"ressurected from wherever it is he and Mrs Lennie slither ..."

"I am sure Mrs. Brokeslob wonders what her little Hansie is up to."

"I reitierate my assumption that Mrs. Brokeslob has cut off the goodies
again...."

"Mrs Brokeslob catch you eyeing the neighbor's kids again?"

"Your kids will read these some day and they'll see what an unethical
toad you really are, Brain."

"I'm sure your children are proud of their daddy."

"What are you going to say to your kids when they confront you with
this stuff one day?"

Google provides.

--
Iitoi

2568. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 18:14:52 UTC
From: tox <tox@toxtox.co.com>
Message-ID: <w8Xqf.16030$mn1.7474@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128338
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Nedlar" <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote in message
news:4ecoq19n2v3acrhu9tk1hf965qvomh8u7s@4ax.com...
>>
>
> Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?

If you continue to practice, I figure in another 20 years, you may reach 5
WPM.

HTH
tox

2569. The ten secrets of rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 18:18:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UbXqf.1847$nu6.461@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128339

Top Ten Hidden Secrets of r.r.a.p.:

10. KB9RQZ doesn't understand logic. Never has, never will.

9. Len wants a pony for Christmas but, due to a secret deal between Dave Sumner and Riley Hollingsworth, he'll never get it.

8. K2ASP is our resident legal advisor. Sgt Robeson is our traffic cop. Neither have jurisdiction outside their own imagination.

7. N9OGL provides millions of Americans with delightful entertainment just like so many of the shows on the QVC and K1MAN networks.

6. Mike Coslo lurks.

5. Ignore N0IMD. All of us do.

4. If you jiggle the handle on the r.r.a.p Executive Washroom you can get in there sometimes. Just be sure and do it after hours because if you get caught sneaking in you'll have to ride next to N2EY on the team bus on the trip to Dayton while he explains the advantages of CW.

3. We're actually all very nice here. We only flame the clueless and/or annoying.

2. OK, so we do flame some other people. But they had it coming.

1. RRAP Speed Limit: 5WPM (Farnsworth format 18/5).

2570. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 19:52:32 UTC
From: Highland Ham <abcgm0csz.kn6whxyz@dsl.pipex.com>
Message-ID: <3e6dnf0aBIsSyDHeRVny1g@pipex.net>
Source record: 128340
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> The callsign of the subject of this article, which is a source of
> embarrassment to all real radio amateurs, is G4SDW. Mr Evans is not a
> newcomer to this hobby, but an old-timer licensee of more than 30
> years!
==========

Whatever ,considering his weekly outpours ,it seems that Gareth ,G4SDW
is subject to some mental derailment. If we all just ignore him there
might be a chance that he is starting to get the message that he is
making a fool of himself..... so it is up to all of us on these NGs .

Frank KN6WH / GM0CSZ

2571. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 19:58:06 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135367886.299139.41810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128341
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

Grow up, Frank Dinger!

Stupid boy.

Highland Ham wrote:
> > The callsign of the subject of this article, which is a source of
> > embarrassment to all real radio amateurs, is G4SDW. Mr Evans is not a
> > newcomer to this hobby, but an old-timer licensee of more than 30
> > years!
> ==========
> Whatever ,considering his weekly outpours ,it seems that Gareth ,G4SDW
> is subject to some mental derailment. If we all just ignore him there
> might be a chance that he is starting to get the message that he is
> making a fool of himself..... so it is up to all of us on these NGs .
>
> Frank KN6WH / GM0CSZ

2572. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 20:19:42 UTC
From: Messenger <scotland_glasgow@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135369182.546138.180050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128342
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gareth,

Please go and see the doctor before you pass out with stress !

2573. Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 20:36:40 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135370200.466793.250570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128343
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

At one time, Wood & Douglas was a respectable supplier to the
Ham Radio market, although it has long since devoted itself
to commercial markets only.

However, the behaviour of its EXPORT SALES MANAGER, one
Mike Gathergood, who has contributed a great number of grossly
offensive
and abusive publications to this NG in recent days would suggest
that the company has gone downhill very rapidly, and is no
longer a respectable organisation.

It is sad that a once respected company should be reflected
so badly in the appaling behaviour of its senior managers, especially
when his infantile and aggressive comments have been published
into various international news groups.

Indeed, it is surprising that the services someone who exhibits such
silly and infantile outbursts into the public fora that are NG
should be retained after giving the company such a bad press.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > That looks to all intents and purposes like you are abusing
> > your privileged position that your employer has given you.
> >
> > Who do you work for?
>
> I work for the Mental Health Department of Wiltshire Primary Healthcare
> Trust. That's how I know so much about you :-)

2574. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 20:38:57 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <Sa6dnSjGyb78_THeRVny1Q@giganews.com>
Source record: 128344
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> At one time, Wood & Douglas was a respectable supplier to the
> Ham Radio market, although it has long since devoted itself
> to commercial markets only.
>
Crossing the line again, Gareth.

Abuse@network-i.net informed again.
--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278

2575. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 20:41:49 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135370509.748923.7290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128345
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Would we be talking about....

Mike Gathergood,
Formerly P-Com Wireless Access Group,
6 Sylverns Court,
Warfield,
Bracknell,
RG42 3SL

Mob: (07717) - 728290
Tel: (01344) 310610
Fax: (01344) 310611?

Pierian Spring wrote:
> OK - are you a man, or a yellow-bellied coward?
>
> I notice that your own personal details are withheld
> in the callbook.
>
> How brave of you to publish someone else's, including
> their phone number while you yourself hide shaking
> and quivering behind the safety of the playground fence
> while you chant your infantile sneers.
>
> Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

2576. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 20:47:22 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135370842.036842.170860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128346
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> At one time, Wood & Douglas was a respectable supplier to the
> Ham Radio market, although it has long since devoted itself
> to commercial markets only.
>
> However, the behaviour of its EXPORT SALES MANAGER, one
> Mike Gathergood, who has contributed a great number of grossly
> offensive
> and abusive publications to this NG in recent days would suggest
> that the company has gone downhill very rapidly, and is no
> longer a respectable organisation.

Well, you'd better call Alan (G4EEE) straight after the hols and let
him know how I've been misusing the company's phone and email
facilities. I'm sure he'll be very interested to hear from you!

73
Mike G4KFK

2577. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 20:49:42 UTC
From: Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic>
Message-ID: <GpZqf.13947$iz3.3417@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 128347
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
> On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 15:42:52 GMT, "tox" <tox@toxtox.co.com> wrote:
>
>
>>AIUI, he certainly has not held a class A licence for thirty years. Did it
>>not take him 10 years to pass the "simple" Morse test?
>>
>>Regards
>>tox
>>
>
>
> Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?
>
> Merry Christmas, Baldy.

So, Mr Nedlar (obsolete). At some time in your obsolete life you took some time out to pass an obsolete test so that you could PLAY with some obsolete code and with no doubt some obsolete radio equipment?

You must be so proud!

Merry whatever floats your boat.

Wiggy

2578. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 20:54:15 UTC
From: Mr W Z Boson <WZ_Boson@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135371255.232545.249070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128348
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:

> On 23 Dec 2005 05:23:20 -0800, "Mr W Z Boson" <WZ_Boson@yahoo.co.uk>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm reminded of the words of that great Irish poet, songwriter and
> >drunkard, Shane MacGowan ...
>
> Quite. Except that Shane is not Irish. Despite false claims that he
> is a native of Co. Tipperary, in fact he was born on Christmas Day
> 1957 in Tunbridge Wells! (Which, by coincidence, is not a million
> miles from Gillingham ...)
>
> He won a scholarship to the posh Westminster School, which he attended
> for a year until he was expelled (in the interests of street cred, you
> understand).
> ;-)
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shane_McGowan
>
> When I used to meet him "out on the town" in 1976-77, he spoke with a
> strong North London accent.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

Well, you live and learn.

Great song nonetheless.

I don't understand the Gillingham reference though.

2579. Re: k4yz not forgot for 2005 lies and netKKKop liable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 20:58:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135371505.718051.207790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128349

Todd wrote:
> is true you not sued yet but I am byding my time for while. revenge
> best served on cold dish.

Says Commander Toad, of the House of Dipshitery, the Klingon
dingleberry on ham radio's ass.

2580. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 20:59:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135371594.143742.3520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128350

Todd wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> >
> >>why doesn't try the truth
> >
> >
> > Good question. Why don't you?
> >
> >
> >>he has been sping this lie that I posting drunk whn I don't drink?
> >
> >
> > Re-read that line and then TRY and wonder why anyone who is
> > COMPETENT in English might wonder.
> >
> >
> >>why do this after nothing ilegal immoral iresponaible or evn wrong if I
> >>were posting drunk after it is isn't driving drunk
> >
> >
> > I never said it was illegal or immoral.
>
> anime not illegal either.
>
> > I said it's stupid and contributes to your already functionally
> > illiterate jibberish.
>
> gibberish really spelled with "g." look any dictionary and become more
> literate like me and roger BITCH.

You couldn't be literate in a million eons, Toad.

2581. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:00:07 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135371607.854994.256430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128351
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Eric Williams, M3EJO, both in his current incarnation, and in his
previous incarnation of "Micky Taker", illustrates so well why
anybody who holds, or who has ever held, a licence issued under
the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence
scheme will _NEVER_ make it into the ranks of _REAL_ Radio
Hams with their gentlemanly traditions. Having failed to make
any grade other than that intended for 6-year-olds, he sneers
like a playground loser at his betters who have achieved
qualifications that he so dismally failed to acheive in the 20, or so,
years that he pretended to have an interest.

Eric - once you've made the grade (Yes, we can even arrange a 12WPM
Morse test for you) then you're in a position to comment.

Until then, you're just a poor loser.

Grow up.

Wiggy wrote:
> Nedlar wrote:
> > On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 15:42:52 GMT, "tox" <tox@toxtox.co.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>AIUI, he certainly has not held a class A licence for thirty years. Did it
> >>not take him 10 years to pass the "simple" Morse test?
> >>
> >>Regards
> >>tox
> >>
> >
> >
> > Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?
> >
> > Merry Christmas, Baldy.
>
> So, Mr Nedlar (obsolete). At some time in your obsolete life you took
> some time out to pass an obsolete test so that you could PLAY with some
> obsolete code and with no doubt some obsolete radio equipment?
>
> You must be so proud!
>
> Merry whatever floats your boat.
>

> Wiggy

2582. Re: Stamping out ignorance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:01:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135371683.029440.227810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128352

K4YZ wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > Q. Does KB9RQZ help stamp out ignorance?
> >
> > A. That depends on whether by "stamp out" you mean "eliminate" or
> > "reproduce rapidly in great quantity."
>
> Rimshot on the drums, ta-dah-DAH!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Toad's mother should've followed the idea in this subject line by
having an abortion when he was in her crusty womb.

2583. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:05:46 UTC
From: tox <tox@toxtox.co.com>
Message-ID: <KEZqf.11933$f7.6232@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128353
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135371607.854994.256430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Eric - once you've made the grade (Yes, we can even arrange a 12WPM
> Morse test for you) then you're in a position to comment.

Will it take him 10 years to pass the test though?

tox

2584. Re: FCC Affirms Jack Gerritsen $42,000 fine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:08:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135372106.887656.300250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128355

commode butt_cornholer of little boyz Mick Slater, n9pge wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie <wrong, dumbass>@yahoo.com wrote:
> > commode buttfucker of little boyz corey wrote:
> > > "LOL @ the Athens cockgobbler"
> > >
> > > 'trhe'...another of 2test wogie's made up words.
> > ^^
> >
> > "2test" ..."wogie" .....Oh, the irony, coming from the pissdrinker
> > Buzzy's "made up words" limited vocabulary.
>
> LOL at

"2test"; "wogie" <<<---- Buzzy's made up words again.

LOL @ the dead Fred Eichorn cock gobbler and drunk Mick Slater N9PGE.

2107 Stevens Ave.
Elkhart, IN 46577

2585. Re: Toiddie, Let's TRY To Get This Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:09:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135372177.374075.247450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128356

"Monerator" Take your father's dick out of your aids inffected mouth
when you post, Toad.

2586. Re: Anime child porn possession sends VA man to prison for life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:11:32 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns973586316911Ddoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 128357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Falky foo" <falkyfoo@bonksbcglobal.net> wrote in news:FDHqf.41810
$tV6.28925@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net:

> sup. ct. will strike that'n down.

Except for the part where he was trafficking in real pictures af real
children. They are likely to let that one stand.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

2587. Re: re-entering ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:13:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135372408.778827.260980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

It's Lardass Lloyd Davies' way.

2588. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:38:27 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <ugroq1dai6e2jbahs53k4vvl6f72hjj07r@4ax.com>
Source record: 128359
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 17:29:59 -0000, "huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote:

>Nedlar wrote:
>>
>> Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?
>
>
>I expect you will insist on paying for your license annually when they will
>be free for life!

Just the kind of stupid answer a lazy-arsed CBr G7 would utter.

2589. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:41:10 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <ljroq15i2bu13mhli2sn2vm462jhhq0fr5@4ax.com>
Source record: 128360
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 18:14:52 GMT, "tox" <tox@toxtox.co.com> wrote:

>
>"Nedlar" <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote in message
>news:4ecoq19n2v3acrhu9tk1hf965qvomh8u7s@4ax.com...
>>>
>>
>> Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?
>
>If you continue to practice, I figure in another 20 years, you may reach 5
>WPM.
>
>HTH
>tox

In 20 years I'll have snuffed it, Baldy.
Why don't you tell us why you were so lazy that you never attempted a
Morse test? Not interested in HF eh? Bwahahahahahaha
Bald tosser.

2590. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:42:35 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <vdOdnZsDfpfW8jHeRVnyiA@giganews.com>
Source record: 128361
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
> On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 17:29:59 -0000, "huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote:
>
>> Nedlar wrote:
>>>
>>> Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?
>>
>>
>> I expect you will insist on paying for your license annually when
>> they will be free for life!
>
> Just the kind of stupid answer a lazy-arsed CBr G7 would utter.

The only degree you have is in stupidity.

Merry Christmas.
--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278

2591. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:45:25 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <goroq15c8g0ld3l3749vbh6jq5nr777bfu@4ax.com>
Source record: 128362
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 20:49:42 GMT, Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic> wrote:

>
>So, Mr Nedlar (obsolete). At some time in your obsolete life you took
>some time out to pass an obsolete test so that you could PLAY with some
>obsolete code and with no doubt some obsolete radio equipment?
>
>You must be so proud!
>
>Merry whatever floats your boat.
>
>Wiggy

WRONG!
I took the test that was required for me to get a licence (only one
class then). What I didn't do was wait until standards had been dumbed
down to a level that allowed idiots like you onto the amateur bands.
As for obselescence...well what are all these Morse signals I hear?
Perhaps we should abolish voice comms eh? After all, that's almost as
old as Morse.

2592. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:45:35 UTC
From: Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic>
Message-ID: <3e_qf.13979$iz3.12806@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 128363
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Eric Williams, M3EJO, both in his current incarnation, and in his
> previous incarnation of "Micky Taker", illustrates so well why
> anybody who holds, or who has ever held, a licence issued under
> the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence
> scheme will _NEVER_ make it into the ranks of _REAL_ Radio
> Hams with their gentlemanly traditions. Having failed to make
> any grade other than that intended for 6-year-olds, he sneers
> like a playground loser at his betters who have achieved
> qualifications that he so dismally failed to acheive in the 20, or so,
> years that he pretended to have an interest.
>
> Eric - once you've made the grade (Yes, we can even arrange a 12WPM
> Morse test for you) then you're in a position to comment.
>
> Until then, you're just a poor loser.
>
> Grow up.
>

Ah! But I can walk 25 metres on stilts. So who's the loser now?

Wiggy
2E0ECW

"Perversely, the Novice RAE is a higher technical challenge than the
RAE!" - (C) Gareth Alun Evans 1996

2593. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:47:12 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <v0soq1li466tsb2rsmo7e4764fjjtubqf6@4ax.com>
Source record: 128364
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 21:05:46 GMT, "tox" <tox@toxtox.co.com> wrote:

>
>"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:1135371607.854994.256430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Eric - once you've made the grade (Yes, we can even arrange a 12WPM
>> Morse test for you) then you're in a position to comment.
>
>Will it take him 10 years to pass the test though?
>
>tox
>
Or will he take you example and avoid it altogether?
Lazy-arsed CBr you are, Baldy.

2594. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:51:32 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <d6soq15dibv3le3efokbq1aj43st96mnfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 128365
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 21:42:35 -0000, "huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote:

>The only degree you have is in stupidity.
>
>Merry Christmas.

But I managed a 12wpm Morse test. You couldn't manage it.
I have a G3 call while you have a G7.
I managed a proper written test and proper Morse test...you didn't.

2595. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:52:20 UTC
From: Me <Me@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dohril$n0q$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128366
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> At one time, Wood & Douglas was a respectable supplier

At one time I wonder if this company was respectable

OUT & ABOUT SYSTEMS LTD
13 HARDENS CLOSE
CHIPPENHAM WILTSHIRE
SN153AA

Std. Industrial Classification (SIC):7372 Prepackaged software
72.20 COMPUTER SERVICES
Turnover:0
Profit:0
Networth:0
Employees:0
Company Registration Number:3144797

2596. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:52:45 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <ubsoq197f04d2l4a0pdteopbg9ko9kn55s@4ax.com>
Source record: 128367
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 21:45:35 GMT, Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic> wrote:

>
>Ah! But I can walk 25 metres on stilts. So who's the loser now?
>
>Wiggy
>2E0ECW

I can do at least 50mtrs while having a 30wpm Morse contact.

2597. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:54:06 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135374846.000671.83930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128368
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Would we be talking about....
>
> Mike Gathergood,
> Formerly P-Com Wireless Access Group,
> 6 Sylverns Court,
> Warfield,
> Bracknell,
> RG42 3SL
>
> Mob: (07717) - 728290
> Tel: (01344) 310610
> Fax: (01344) 310611?

That's very good - I bet nobody else reading this would have thought of putting my name into Google! We can all see now why you're the MD of a Software Consultancy.

So now, having correctly linked me to senior technical sales positions within two well-respected UHF and microwave communications companies, do I still qualify as a "vile CBer" just because I have spoken out against the repeated posting of your warped self-righteous and hypocritical "Frequently Asked Questions"? Or because I objected to your belittlement of the hard work and enthusiasm shown by various members of our scout group?

If so, there can't be much hope for many of us here, can there?

73
Mike G4KFK

2598. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 21:58:02 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <08SdnQ3wG-V27zHeRVnysQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 128369
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
> On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 21:42:35 -0000, "huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote:
>
>
>> The only degree you have is in stupidity.
>>
>> Merry Christmas.
>
> But I managed a 12wpm Morse test. You couldn't manage it.
> I have a G3 call while you have a G7.
> I managed a proper written test and proper Morse test...you didn't.

I do apologise for not being born 50 years earlier! Silly Me!
I still don't use HF (except in a professional capacity), I still have no interest in morse, and it really doesn't bother me. Why does it bother you so?
--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278

2599. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 22:01:12 UTC
From: Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic>
Message-ID: <ls_qf.13987$iz3.13857@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 128370
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
> On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 20:49:42 GMT, Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic> wrote:
>
>
>
>>So, Mr Nedlar (obsolete). At some time in your obsolete life you took
>>some time out to pass an obsolete test so that you could PLAY with some
>>obsolete code and with no doubt some obsolete radio equipment?
>>
>>You must be so proud!
>>
>>Merry whatever floats your boat.
>>
>>Wiggy
>
>
> WRONG!
> I took the test that was required for me to get a licence (only one
> class then). What I didn't do was wait until standards had been dumbed
> down to a level that allowed idiots like you onto the amateur bands.

Good job! Because you would probably fail now!

> As for obselescence...well what are all these Morse signals I hear?

What you hear is _amateurs_ "playing" with Morse code and radios!

> Perhaps we should abolish voice comms eh? After all, that's almost as
> old as Morse.

You or "we" are not in a position to abolish anything. Even so, that's
the way it's going. In the future it may be impossible to copy any
signal without the appropriate decoding system.

Wiggy
2E0ECW
Vorsprung Durk Teknik!

2600. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 22:03:52 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <4rsoq1l6cln83edtovjs8l9cc4riqu78dg@4ax.com>
Source record: 128371
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 21:58:02 -0000, "huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote:

>
>I do apologise for not being born 50 years earlier! Silly Me!
>I still don't use HF (except in a professional capacity), I still have no
>interest in morse, and it really doesn't bother me. Why does it bother you
>so?

It bothers me because you are a lazy-arsed CBr who thinks he is a
radio amateur. It is your type that has turned amateur radio into
multi-band CB.
Anyway it's time for me to pound the key for a while, so no more
replies tonight.

2601. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 22:05:05 UTC
From: tox <tox@toxtox.co.com>
Message-ID: <lw_qf.50000$7p5.47100@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128372
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote in message
news:08SdnQ3wG-V27zHeRVnysQ@giganews.com...

>
> I do apologise for not being born 50 years earlier! Silly Me!
> I still don't use HF (except in a professional capacity), I still have no
> interest in morse, and it really doesn't bother me. Why does it bother you
> so?

Ignore the doddering old fart, he's just wind and piss...

Regards
tox

2602. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 22:07:22 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <soCdnUI-wJqH6DHeRVnyjA@giganews.com>
Source record: 128373
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

tox wrote:
> "huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote in message
> news:08SdnQ3wG-V27zHeRVnysQ@giganews.com...
>
>>
>> I do apologise for not being born 50 years earlier! Silly Me!
>> I still don't use HF (except in a professional capacity), I still
>> have no interest in morse, and it really doesn't bother me. Why does
>> it bother you so?
>
>
> Ignore the doddering old fart, he's just wind and piss...
>

That's why I won't buy SK gear!
--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278

2603. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 22:10:50 UTC
From: Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic>
Message-ID: <KB_qf.13992$iz3.11200@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 128374
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

huLLy wrote:
> tox wrote:
>
>>"huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote in message
>>news:08SdnQ3wG-V27zHeRVnysQ@giganews.com...
>>
>>
>>>I do apologise for not being born 50 years earlier! Silly Me!
>>>I still don't use HF (except in a professional capacity), I still
>>>have no interest in morse, and it really doesn't bother me. Why does
>>>it bother you so?
>>
>>
>>Ignore the doddering old fart, he's just wind and piss...
>>
>
>
> That's why I won't buy SK gear!

Why not? Most of it is unused! :-)

Wiggy

2604. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 22:14:26 UTC
From: Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic>
Message-ID: <6F_qf.13998$iz3.10017@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 128375
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
> On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 21:58:02 -0000, "huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>>I do apologise for not being born 50 years earlier! Silly Me!
>>I still don't use HF (except in a professional capacity), I still have no
>>interest in morse, and it really doesn't bother me. Why does it bother you
>>so?
>
>
> It bothers me because you are a lazy-arsed CBr who thinks he is a
> radio amateur. It is your type that has turned amateur radio into
> multi-band CB.
> Anyway it's time for me to pound the key for a while, so no more
> replies tonight.

Don't you mean "bash the bishop"?

Wiggy
3 mistresses and 14 love children!

2605. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 22:48:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135378104.131714.261290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128376

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K0HB on Dec 22, 9:54 am

> >"Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote

> >> I would however propose closing the Tech license to new
> >> issues just as the Novice and Advanced are now closed.
> >> I do not agree with your new entry license though. It's simply unnecessary in
> >> light of the upcoming elimination of the code test.
> >
> >??????????????????????
> >
> >I disagree with you, and I disagree violently. If we were sitting discussing
> >this over a cup of coffee, I'd be yelling at you!
> >
> >The code test has nothing to do with it.
>
> Well, yes and no on that. As of 17 Dec 05, the Technician
> class license numbers amount to 41.46% of ALL U.S. amateur
> radio licensees. Both Technician and Technician Plus
> licensees together make up 48.70% of ALL licensees.

Does that percentage involve individuals, or clubs, military and other
licenses too?

Does that percentage include current unexpired licenses or does it
also include licenses that are expired but in the grace period?

Most of all - what is the trend? Is that percentage going up or down
compared to, say, 5 years ago?

> "Closing" the Technician class to new licensees would be as
> close to an act of outright nastiness on at least 2 out of 5
> U.S. radio amateurs. It would be internecine warfare on the
> hobby!

How? Why?

What is being proposed is not just to close off the existing Technician
license but to replace it with a better entry-level license class.

> As of mid-2000, the Technician Plus license class was ALREADY
> closed to new license grants. See R&O FCC 99-412.

Since then, all those Technician Pluses who renew are getting
Technician licenses. That's one big reason the number of Technicians
keeps growing.

>
> Note also that, as of 17 Dec 05 Hamdata figures, the last 12
> months indicated that NEW licensees finally were more than the
> expirations by 578 or so.

When you say "expirations", do you mean licenses that are out of the
grace period or licenses that are in the grace period?

> The defacto "entry class" has been
> the no-code-test Technician practically since it was created
> 14 years ago.

"Defacto" is the key word there.

Actually the Technician was the entry-class license of choice well
before it lost its
code test in 1991. The reason was the FM-and-repeater boom of the 1970s
and 1980s.

> >There currently is no proper entry level "learners permit" to "dip a toe" in the
> >amateur radio waters.

Agreed!

> "Learner's permit?" Are you thinking - still - that "radio"
> is some kind of craft or mysterious means of communication?

You seem to miss the entire point of amateur radio, Len, with
statements like
that.

> That it MUST be done with a long period of "apprenticeship?"

Not at all. The point is that it makes sense for there to be a license
that
offers an easier entry point than the testing needed for a
full-privileges license.

If someone wants to study up and pass all the requirements for a
full-privileges license
right away, fine. Let them 'get their Extra right out of the box' - if
they can!

> Radio communication is one button-push "more advanced" than
> using a telephone.

With some radios, yes. With others it's a bit more. Are you qualified
to
operate my Elecraft K2, Len? I think not! Nor are you qualified to
operate
any of the Southgate series of receivers, transmitters and
transceivers....

> That and learning the jargon of the ham-
> ways and cutesy old-time phrasing and oh-so-very-important

> "procedure!" Violate "procedure" and a license grant is
> taken from the licensee "with great force?!?"

We can always count on Len to call names and ridicule.

> >Closing the Tech license would be to discard even the
> >makeshift "entry level" we have now!
>
> "Makeshift?!?"

Yes.

> Good grief, the no-code-test Technician class
> >>is<< the defacto "entry class" and has been so for no less
> than FOURTEEN YEARS! You don't understand that is NO longer
> "makeshift?"

It's more makeshift now than ever before. We can do a lot better.

> >There needs to be a proper "learners permit", and until that's available closing
> >the Tech license would be suicidal to the amateur service.
>
> <ride up gain on "M*A*S*H" theme> ... "Suicide is painless"
> [lyrics in the original movie theme song] Just take that
> "black pill" and all worries are gone...
>
> So...are you reverting to olde, olde days of pre-WW2 when
> "radio" was still a mysterious "force" with strange, arcane
> technology that had to be laboriously studied under a
> "master of the arts?" :-)

Are you master of your domain, Len? ;-)

> "Radio" use - by beginners - is just one push-button "harder"
> than using a cell phone today.

You said that before, Len.

It's not true for most ham rigs, though.

> One out of three Americans
> has a cell phone service subscription. I have yet to come
> across anyone who has NOT used a conventional telephone for
> communications purposes and is capable of ordinary speech
> and hearing.

Amateur radio is a bit more than a telephone, Len.

> Oh...the "procedure!" Yes, by all means, make the beginners
> use CORRECT PROCEDURE! That's "for the good of the service!"
> We can't have all those olde-tymers get all upset by some
> snot-nosed raw beginners NOT using CORRECT PROCEDURE! [what
> will the CO think? hold "Captain's Mast?" do "Company
> Punishment?"]

Is there something wrong with having procedures, Len?

2606. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 23:02:14 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43ac81d9.949176@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128377
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote:

> > there you go again...
> > giving a green light to all and sundry to mention your own wife BY
> > NAME !!
>
> No, it was already in the public domain, mention by Gareth himself many a

wrong !

i'm sure another on here will confirm.

2607. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 23:07:20 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43ac82e7.1219040@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128378
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote:

> . YOU are the guilty man. YOU are the

oh ?

has hully become judge&jury in the last few days ?

(rest of post cut due to strong legal content)

2608. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 23:07:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gYSdnWdwHZTEHjHeRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 128379

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135378104.131714.261290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

[snip]

> What is being proposed is not just to close off the existing Technician
> license but to replace it with a better entry-level license class.
>

Actually, Jim, I am suggesting ditching the so-called "entry level"
entirely. Close the Tech to new issues (of course existing Techs could keep
renewing at that level and privileges if they wish or they could upgrade).
The General requirements are NOT unreasonable for a person to pass as their
first license. Then if they want more, they can upgrade to Extra.

This would be a two license system: General and Extra.

There material covered on the General test is only very slightly harder than
the Technician material. Of course the test would need rewritten as right
now the RF safety questions are covered on the Tech but not General exam and
this material would need blended in for example.

If the NPRM on code testing goes through as written, the active Techs will
quickly upgrade to General as will most new Techs that come in after the
change. Although the inactive hams won't upgrade, I really don't think that
is relevant to how many license classes we need.

No one has yet satisfactorily explained why we will continue to need an
entry level license. The arguments for it seem to really be based on the
notions of the past.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2609. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 23:27:14 UTC
From: Mr W Z Boson <WZ_Boson@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135380434.173067.138560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128380
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:

> On 23 Dec 2005 12:54:15 -0800, "Mr W Z Boson" <WZ_Boson@yahoo.co.uk>
> wrote:
>
> >I don't understand the Gillingham reference though.
>
> You're new here, aren't you?
> ;-)
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

Oh right, I've got it now - it's about this BR malarkey isn't it?

tum tee tum.

I really am a bit worried about ARB though - it's all getting a bit OTT.

2610. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-23 23:39:14 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <43ac8a9c$0$29573$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 128381
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> But I managed a 12wpm Morse test. You couldn't manage it.
> I have a G3 call while you have a G7.
> I managed a proper written test and proper Morse test...you didn't.

No doubt a REGURGITATED G3 call

You talk like a CRETIN not like a Respected G3

DieSea

2611. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 00:39:15 UTC
From: G4ZWI <fred.c@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <1135384755.574240.63330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128382
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!!!

stupid boy.......

Sorry Mike! didn't know you were connected with W&D..

I did buy a 70cms PA kit from W&D 15 years ago, but then got a FT2700,
so never put it together.
I now have a handheld for 70cms, and so dug it out, and put it
together.Worked first time of powering up, but think I may need to beef
up the heatsinking. It is so nice to spend my time increasing my
knowledge of ham radio, instead of kicking others for any shortfalls
they may have!!! (perhaps, to help and educate is the way
forward?????) Ah, well, night, night, and back to 4 meters for me....

2612. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 00:51:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135385494.060496.40560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128383

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135378104.131714.261290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> [snip]
>
> > What is being proposed is not just to close off the existing Technician
> > license but to replace it with a better entry-level license class.

> Actually, Jim, I am suggesting ditching the so-called "entry level"
> entirely.

Bad idea, Dee. IMHO.

> Close the Tech to new issues (of course existing Techs could keep
> renewing at that level and privileges if they wish or they could upgrade).

What you're proposing is that the General become the "entry level"
class.

> The General requirements are NOT unreasonable for a person to pass as their
> first license. Then if they want more, they can upgrade to Extra.

What is "reasonable" depends on the person. The General written doesn't
look
like much to you or me, but to someone with no real technical
background,
particularly a young person such as a typical middle-schooler, having
to go
from zero to General can be a big leap. Sure it's been done - but
is that the best way to attract new hams in the 21st century?

The old Novice did its job admirably for most of its existence - it
offered
an easy way to get started, and incentives for those interested to
learn
more. That concept is still valid today, even if the implementation is
different.

That's K0HB's point, I think.

> This would be a two license system: General and Extra.

Trouble is, the General would have to cover an enormous amount of
information
because of all the privileges granted. Again it may not seem like much
to you

or me, or to someone with a technical background, but to the true beginner in
radio it can be a big leap.

Heck, we've had a self proclaimed 'PROFESSIONAL IN RADIO' say he way going for Extra straightaway, but after six years - no license ;^?

> There material covered on the General test is only very slightly harder than
> the Technician material. Of course the test would need rewritten as right
> now the RF safety questions are covered on the Tech but not General exam and
> this material would need blended in for example.

And the result is a pretty big pile of info for a raw beginner - unless the writtens are watered down even more, as proposed by NCVEC and others.

> If the NPRM on code testing goes through as written, the active Techs will
> quickly upgrade to General as will most new Techs that come in after the
> change.

Maybe. Or maybe not. Consider that since 2000, everyone who ever held a Novice or code-tested Technician could get a General with no additional code testing. Pre-March-1987 Techs could even do it with no additional *written* testing. Yet every month we see large numbers of Tech Pluses renewed as Techs.

> Although the inactive hams won't upgrade, I really don't think that
> is relevant to how many license classes we need.

There are all kinds of inactivity. Some will never be active again, others may simply
be temporarily inactive due to school, work, or other life events.

> No one has yet satisfactorily explained why we will continue to need an
> entry level license. The arguments for it seem to really be based on the
> notions of the past.

Here's why:

A big factor in getting people involved in any voluntary activity is how much investment they need to make in order to get started. If you make the entry requirements high,
you get a few people. If you make them too low, you either get a short-lived fad, or you get a high dropout rate of people who don't know enough to do well in the activity.

I'm a runner, so I'll make a running analogy.

Years ago, the marathon (26.22 miles) was a running event with very limited participation. It was considered a distance that only supermen could conquer. (Women weren't even allowed to enter marathons back then - ask Kathrine Switzer).

Then came the running boom of the 1970s and '80s. Road racing became all the rage, at distances from 5000 meters to the marathon. All sorts of folks were (and are) lacing up running shoes and running those races. Most runners start out with relatively short distances, and relatively low speeds. Some never did much more, while others discovered they could do longer races and higher speeds.

Participation increased so much that races like the Boston and New York City Marathons, that used to take all entrants, had to add entry requirements because the courses and resources couldn't handle the demand.

End result has been a lot more people, of all ages and abilities, running races and
sometimes even winning them. A big factor is the fact that there's a wide range of distances, courses, and races to choose from.

And the record times began to fall. Not just the world records, but the age-group records and the course records - at all distances. Many more people are running today than before the boom that brought all those different races.

Of course there were and are people who run a marathon as a first race. But isn't
it a lot better to have a wider choice of distances?

Yes, the analogy isn't perfect. Running doesn't require a license, and usually doesn't
use up a limited resource. (Popular races like Boston and NYC are the exception).

Not having an entry-level license is like having only one race distance.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2613. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 00:57:21 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135385840.962577.196350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128384
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

G4ZWI wrote:
> Grow up, Mike Gathergood!!!
>
>
>
> stupid boy.......
>
> Sorry Mike! didn't know you were connected with W&D..

I joined W&D well after their activities with amateur radio, though there remain many licensed amateurs, both within the company itself and the network of distributors.

The remnants of the amateur products were divested lock, stock and barrel to G3TUX. Unfortunately Chris closed his business and retired to Guernsey last year, so the only place you'll find W&D amateur products sold now is on eBay.

It's a shame, but the reality is that us radio hams are congenital tightwads!

73
Mike G4KFK

2614. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 01:17:46 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135387066.015440.303550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128385
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> It's a shame, but the reality is that us radio hams are congenital
> tightwads!

Before the (G4) Sad Dim Wit spots that grammatical error, I'll correct
it myself...
"It's a shame, but the reality is that WE radio hams are congenital
tightwads!

Merry CHRISTmas
Mike G4KFK

2615. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 01:22:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135387378.779777.148980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128386

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
> >>>> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
> >>>> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
> >>>> about it.
> >>> Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.
> >> First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
> >> to which I replied.
> >
> > Then you ignored the larger context of the message that I've been on
> > for about a week.
> >
> That's right, I did.

Too bad.

2616. Re: why must ham radio have clases of liecen what tdo we get except the devisive bullshit arists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 01:30:04 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton T. Squeegee" <SpammersAreJerks@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1e16447a1566d56a98973c@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 128387
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1135178244.602193.112510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
radio.ham@lycos.co.uk says...

> Pierian Spring

Oh, look... Airy-head's got a new morph...

<yawn!>

PLONK!

--
Dr. Anton T. Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute.
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, ARS KC7GR,
kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech[d=o=t]calm -- www.bluefeathertech.com
"If Salvador Dali had owned a computer, would it have been equipped
with surreal ports?"

2617. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 01:36:33 UTC
From: Stuart Crow <sjc.usenet@nospam.ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9736101D8C34C321200@80.5.182.99>
Source record: 128388
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in
news:1135370200.466793.250570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> At one time, Wood & Douglas was a respectable supplier to the
> Ham Radio market, although it has long since devoted itself
> to commercial markets only.

Given the representation of Amateur Radio given by one contributor to these NGs, it's a wonder any sensible professional organisation has anything to do with it.

The professional engineer, and many overseas Amateurs, must suspect that the rot set into British Amateur Radio some time late in the G4 series, and could be forgiven for avoiding contact with it as far as possible.

W&D's reputation has probably never stood higher. Professionals understand that; some Amateurs can't even tune up an FT101 properly. How technically inept must they be?

2618. Re: The ten secrets of rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 02:04:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135389871.933126.91610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128389

KØHB wrote:
> Top Ten Hidden Secrets of r.r.a.p.:
>
> 10. KB9RQZ doesn't understand logic. Never has, never will.
>
> 9. Len wants a pony for Christmas but, due to a secret deal between Dave Sumner
> and Riley Hollingsworth, he'll never get it.
>
> 8. K2ASP is our resident legal advisor. Sgt Robeson is our traffic cop.
> Neither have jurisdiction outside their own imagination.
>
> 7. N9OGL provides millions of Americans with delightful entertainment just like
> so many of the shows on the QVC and K1MAN networks.
>
> 6. Mike Coslo lurks.
>
> 5. Ignore N0IMD. All of us do.

And yet here you are giving him unwanted attention.

2619. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 02:18:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8aWdnQizsPWpLTHenZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 128390

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135385494.060496.40560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1135378104.131714.261290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> > What is being proposed is not just to close off the existing Technician
>> > license but to replace it with a better entry-level license class.
>
>> Actually, Jim, I am suggesting ditching the so-called "entry level"
>> entirely.
>
> Bad idea, Dee. IMHO.
>
>> Close the Tech to new issues (of course existing Techs could keep
>> renewing at that level and privileges if they wish or they could
>> upgrade).
>
> What you're proposing is that the General become the "entry level"
> class.
>
>> The General requirements are NOT unreasonable for a person to pass as
>> their
>> first license. Then if they want more, they can upgrade to Extra.
>
> What is "reasonable" depends on the person. The General written doesn't
> look
> like much to you or me, but to someone with no real technical
> background,
> particularly a young person such as a typical middle-schooler, having
> to go
> from zero to General can be a big leap. Sure it's been done - but
> is that the best way to attract new hams in the 21st century?
>
> The old Novice did its job admirably for most of its existence - it
> offered
> an easy way to get started, and incentives for those interested to
> learn
> more. That concept is still valid today, even if the implementation is
> different.
>
> That's K0HB's point, I think.

>
>> This would be a two license system: General and Extra.
>
> Trouble is, the General would have to cover an enormous amount of
> information
> because of all the privileges granted. Again it may not seem like much
> to you
> or me, or to someone with a technical background, but to the true
> beginner in
> radio it can be a big leap.
>

But would it really be that big a leap? Look at what the original Novices had to do. If I remember reading correctly, in the beginning they had to build a transmitter. Isn't that a heck of a big step to get started?

Take a good hard look at the material that is tested on the Tech and General exams. Much of it is repeated on both exams. Today if the candidate actually learns the material presented in "Now You're Talking" and in addition memorizes the General band privileges, he/she is likely to pass both exams at the same sitting without actually going through the General study guide.

> Heck, we've had a self proclaimed 'PROFESSIONAL IN RADIO' say he way
> going for Extra straightaway, but after six years - no license ;^?
>
>> There material covered on the General test is only very slightly harder
>> than
>> the Technician material. Of course the test would need rewritten as
>> right
>> now the RF safety questions are covered on the Tech but not General exam
>> and
>> this material would need blended in for example.
>
> And the result is a pretty big pile of info for a raw beginner - unless
> the writtens are watered down even more, as proposed by NCVEC and
> others.
>

For the raw beginner, it's that initial pile that is big. Adding the General info is peanuts since much of it is duplicated from the Tech.

>> If the NPRM on code testing goes through as written, the active Techs
>> will
>> quickly upgrade to General as will most new Techs that come in after the
>> change.
>
> Maybe. Or maybe not. Consider that since 2000, everyone who ever held a
> Novice or code-tested Technician could get a General with no additional
> code testing. Pre-March-1987 Techs could even do it with no additional
> *written* testing. Yet every month we see large numbers of Tech Pluses
> renewed as Techs.

>

Typically this is the inactive ones and they don't know all that they now
need to do is take the General written. I've run across many hams that were
inactive, get involved again, and when they hear that their Tech Plus or
Novice gives them all the code credit they need, they upgrade very quickly.

>> Although the inactive hams won't upgrade, I really don't think that
>> is relevant to how many license classes we need.
>
> There are all kinds of inactivity. Some will never be active again,
> others may simply
> be temporarily inactive due to school, work, or other life events.
>

While I hope that they get involved again, that still wouldn't be relevant
to how many classes are needed in the future.

>> No one has yet satisfactorily explained why we will continue to need an
>> entry level license. The arguments for it seem to really be based on the
>> notions of the past.
>
> Here's why:
>
> A big factor in getting people involved in any voluntary activity is
> how much investment they need to make in order to get started. If you
> make the entry requirements high,
> you get a few people. If you make them too low, you either get a
> short-lived fad, or you get a high dropout rate of people who don't
> know enough to do well in the activity.
>

We are already seeing the phenomena resulting from making the requirements
too low. Those who got licensed 10 years ago for family communications
(before cell phones became reasonably available) are typically not renewing.
Yes a handful found radio interesting beyond that and continued on but
mostly they went by the wayside when cell phones became relatively cheap.
As far as the people who don't know enough to do well, making a simpler
entry level license goes in the wrong direction! Lack of knowledge is cured
by more study not less and by getting them hooked up with elmers to mentor
them through the problems that we all faced in the beginning.

It would seem to me that the entry level testing therefore isn't hard
enough.

> I'm a runner, so I'll make a running analogy.
>
> Years ago, the marathon (26.22 miles) was a running event with very
> limited participation. It was considered a distance that only supermen
> could conquer. (Women weren't even allowed to enter marathons back then
> - ask Kathrine Switzer).
>

> Then came the running boom of the 1970s and '80s. Road racing became
> all the rage, at distances from 5000 meters to the marathon. All sorts
> of folks were (and are) lacing up running shoes and running those
> races. Most runners start out with relatively short distances, and
> relatively low speeds. Some never did much more, while others
> discovered they could do longer races and higher speeds.
>

You mention starting with shorter races and yes that is a good approach. But did they take the shortest races and make them shorter yet? Did they make races only a block long because some of the people hadn't trained enough to do a regular race?

> Participation increased so much that races like the Boston and New York
> City Marathons, that used to take all entrants, had to add entry
> requirements because the courses and resources couldn't handle the
> demand.
>
> End result has been a lot more people, of all ages and abilities,
> running races and
> sometimes even winning them. A big factor is the fact that there's a
> wide range of distances, courses, and races to choose from.
>

But did they create even shorter races (see the one block analogy above)?

> And the record times began to fall. Not just the world records, but the
> age-group records and the course records - at all distances. Many more
> people are running today than before the boom that brought all those
> different races.
>
> Of course there were and are people who run a marathon as a first race.
> But isn't
> it a lot better to have a wider choice of distances?
>
> Yes, the analogy isn't perfect. Running doesn't require a license, and
> usually doesn't
> use up a limited resource. (Popular races like Boston and NYC are the
> exception).
>
> Not having an entry-level license is like having only one race
> distance.
>

In my opinion, that is where the analogy falls down. The current Technician license isn't really satisfactory while the various proposals put forward give too many privileges for too little knowledge. This has a high possibility of the new ham getting discouraged since he will have neither experience nor book knowledge to help him over the difficulties in getting going.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2620. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 02:48:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uG2rf.2516$R84.1309@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128391

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> But would it really be that big a leap? Look at what the original Novices had
> to do. If I remember reading correctly, in the beginning they had to build a
> transmitter.
>

No such thing. The original Novice examination was very elementary, no schematics to read (or draw), no equipment to build (there was never ANY amateur examination which required the applicant to build equipment!), and no calculations required.

I have a copy of the License Manual, and here are some representative questions:

"What is the log of an amateur station, and what information is required to be entered therein?"

"What is the maximum permissible percentage of modulation of an amateur radiotelephone station?"

"What is the relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle?"

"What instrument is used to measure: electrical potential; electrical current; electrical power; electrical energy?"

"What is a frequency multiplier?"

"What is the purpose of a key-click filter and when should it be used?"

"What precautions should be taken to avoid the danger of shock from high-voltage electrical circuits?"

"What are the undesirable effects of overmodulation in radiotelephony?"

>
> Isn't that a heck of a big step to get started?
>

No, it was a tiny step, and it had the exact result intended --- a ton of new hams "put their toe in the water". The dropout rate was high (around 60% IIRC), but we got a lot of new hams in the 50s, 60s, and 70s who would have never even attempted the tougher Technician/General examination.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2621. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 02:57:50 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <OO2rf.9690$nm.6415@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128392

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:8aWdnQizsPWpLTHenZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135385494.060496.40560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1135378104.131714.261290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>> > What is being proposed is not just to close off the existing
>>> > Technician
>>> > license but to replace it with a better entry-level license class.
>>
>>> Actually, Jim, I am suggesting ditching the so-called "entry level"
>>> entirely.
>>
>> Bad idea, Dee. IMHO.
>>
>>> Close the Tech to new issues (of course existing Techs could keep
>>> renewing at that level and privileges if they wish or they could
>>> upgrade).
>>
>> What you're proposing is that the General become the "entry level"
>> class.
>>
>>> The General requirements are NOT unreasonable for a person to pass as
>>> their
>>> first license. Then if they want more, they can upgrade to Extra.
>>
>> What is "reasonable" depends on the person. The General written doesn't
>> look
>> like much to you or me, but to someone with no real technical
>> background,
>> particularly a young person such as a typical middle-schooler, having
>> to go
>> from zero to General can be a big leap. Sure it's been done - but
>> is that the best way to attract new hams in the 21st century?
>>
>> The old Novice did its job admirably for most of its existence - it
>> offered
>> an easy way to get started, and incentives for those interested to
>> learn

>> more. That concept is still valid today, even if the implementation is
>> different.
>>
>> That's K0HB's point, I think.
>>
>>> This would be a two license system: General and Extra.
>>
>> Trouble is, the General would have to cover an enormous amount of
>> information
>> because of all the privileges granted. Again it may not seem like much
>> to you
>> or me, or to someone with a technical background, but to the true
>> beginner in
>> radio it can be a big leap.
>>
>
> But would it really be that big a leap? Look at what the original Novices
> had to do. If I remember reading correctly, in the beginning they had to
> build a transmitter. Isn't that a heck of a big step to get started?

Just a clarification, not sure where you (Dee) got that idea... There never
was any requirement that a Novice build a transmitter.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2622. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 03:16:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135394183.881482.57820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128393

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> > But would it really be that big a leap? Look at what the original Novices had
> > to do. If I remember reading correctly, in the beginning they had to build a
> > transmitter.

> No such thing. The original Novice examination was very elementary, no
> schematics to read (or draw), no equipment to build (there was never ANY amateur
> examination which required the applicant to build equipment!), and no
> calculations required.

There was never a *US* amateur radio license requirement to build
anything. Some other countries, like the USSR, required that a
prospective ham build equipment and explain how it worked, as part of
the license process.
>
> I have a copy of the License Manual, and here are some representative questions:

What year? Because the requirements changed dramatically over time...

> "What is the log of an amateur station, and what information is required to be
> entered therein?"

Regulations
>
> "What is the maximum permissible percentage of modulation of an amateur
> radiotelephone station?"

Basic theory
>
> "What is the relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle?"

Units and standards
>
> "What instrument is used to measure: electrical potential; electrical current;
> electrical power; electrical energy?"

Measurements
>
> "What is a frequency multiplier?"

Transmitter technology
>
> "What is the purpose of a key-click filter and when should it be used?"

Purity of signals

> "What precautions should be taken to avoid the danger of shock from high-voltage
> electrical circuits?"

Safety
>
> "What are the undesirable effects of overmodulation in radiotelephony?"

Purity of signals

> > Isn't that a heck of a big step to get started?

Actually, a lot of Novices did build their own transmitters. And
receivers too. Perhaps in the New Year I shall rebuild the Southgate
Type 1....

One big advantage of the limited Novice privileges was that a
relatively simple setup could be competitive. Since there were only a
few bands, low power, and crystal control, a "Novice gallon"
transmitter usually had only two or at most three stages. A simple
oscillator transmitter could do wonders on 80 and 40 meters. The Novice
with a 25 watt rig was less than an S unit down from the one with 75
watts. Etc.

> No, it was a tiny step, and it had the exact result intended --- a ton of new
> hams "put their toe in the water". The dropout rate was high (around 60% IIRC),
> but we got a lot of new hams in the 50s, 60s, and 70s who would have never even
> attempted the tougher Technician/General examination.

I don't know if the dropout rate was that high, but the point was that
it did bring in a lot
of folks who could then get an idea of what ham radio was all about.

But nobody *had to* start with Novice. Only the pre-1953 Advanced and
pre-1975 Extra required prior amateur radio experience.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2623. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 03:36:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OY6dnXvgpZrwXzHenZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 128394

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135394183.881482.57820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> > But would it really be that big a leap? Look at what the original
>> > Novices had
>> > to do. If I remember reading correctly, in the beginning they had to
>> > build a
>> > transmitter.
>
>> No such thing. The original Novice examination was very elementary, no
>> schematics to read (or draw), no equipment to build (there was never ANY
>> amateur
>> examination which required the applicant to build equipment!), and no
>> calculations required.
>

OK, I was confused on that point. Though didn't a lot of Novices build them
anyway as a cost effective way to get on the air?

>There was never a *US* amateur radio license requirement to build
>anything. Some other countries, like the USSR, required that a
>prospective ham build equipment and explain how it worked, as part of
>the license process.
>>
>> I have a copy of the License Manual, and here are some representative
>> questions:
>
>What year? Because the requirements changed dramatically over time...
>
>> "What is the log of an amateur station, and what information is required
>> to be
>> entered therein?"
>
>Regulations
>>
>> "What is the maximum permissible percentage of modulation of an amateur
>> radiotelephone station?"
>
>Basic theory
>
>> "What is the relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle?"
>

>Units and standards
>>
>> "What instrument is used to measure: electrical potential; electrical
>> current;
>> electrical power; electrical energy?"
>
>Measurements
>>
>> "What is a frequency multiplier?"
>
>Transmitter technology
>>
>> "What is the purpose of a key-click filter and when should it be used?"
>
>Purity of signals
>
>> "What precautions should be taken to avoid the danger of shock from
>> high-voltage
>> electrical circuits?"
>
>Safety
>>
> >"What are the undesirable effects of overmodulation in radiotelephony?"
>
>Purity of signals
>
>> > Isn't that a heck of a big step to get started?
>
>Actually, a lot of Novices did build their own transmitters. And
>receivers too. Perhaps in the New Year I shall rebuild the Southgate
>Type 1....
>
>One big advantage of the limited Novice privileges was that a
>relatively simple setup could be competitive. Since there were only a
>few bands, low power, and crystal control, a "Novice gallon"
>transmitter usually had only two or at most three stages. A simple
>oscillator transmitter could do wonders on 80 and 40 meters. The Novice
>with a 25 watt rig was less than an S unit down from the one with 75
>watts. Etc.

Competitive in what sense?

>> No, it was a tiny step, and it had the exact result intended --- a ton of
>> new
>> hams "put their toe in the water". The dropout rate was high (around 60%
>> IIRC),
>> but we got a lot of new hams in the 50s, 60s, and 70s who would have
>> never even
>> attempted the tougher Technician/General examination.
>

How do you know for sure that they would not have done so?

>I don't know if the dropout rate was that high, but the point was that
>it did bring in a lot
>of folks who could then get an idea of what ham radio was all about.
>
>But nobody *had to* start with Novice. Only the pre-1953 Advanced and
>pre-1975 Extra required prior amateur radio experience.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

And a biggie is how is a simpler entry level license going to get people into the hobby if the don't even know it exists! I've not had a single person tell me the licensing requirements were too hard or not worth the effort. On the other hand, I get a lot of "ham radio? What's that?"

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2624. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 04:09:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IR3rf.5536$mj1.3825@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128395

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> What year? Because the requirements changed dramatically over time...

35th Edition, Copyright 1955, cover price 50-cents.

Only eighty pages included the Q&A study guides for all three exams (Novice, General/Technician/Conditional, and Amateur Extra), plus the complete text of Part 12 of the FCC Rules and Regulations. While we hear tales about how "tough" those exams were (mostly from people who never took them), a cursury glance through them this evening alongside the General and Extra exams of today, and the "difficulty" is grossly exagerated by most accounts. If anything, considering the narrower scope of the subject matter, todays examinations are probably more difficult for the "non-techie" applicant.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2625. Re: ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 04:09:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kS3rf.2525$R84.95@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128396

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>>>>>> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
>>>>>> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
>>>>>> about it.
>>>>> Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.
>>>> First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
>>>> to which I replied.
>>> Then you ignored the larger context of the message that I've been on
>>> for about a week.
>> That's right, I did.
>
> Too bad.

Is that the Cha-Cha you're doing? I love the red hat.

Dave K8MN

2626. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 04:12:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NU3rf.5538$mj1.5023@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128397

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote

> If anything, considering the narrower scope of the subject
> matter, todays examinations are probably more difficult
> for the "non-techie" applicant.

On review, that sentence is misleading.

I should have written:

"If anything, considering the narrower scope of the subject matter BACK THEN,
todays examinations are probably more difficult for the "non-techie" applicant."

73, de Hans, K0HB

2627. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 04:15:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135397707.210361.229200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128398

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135394183.881482.57820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> KØHB wrote:
> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >> > But would it really be that big a leap? Look at what the original
> >> > Novices had
> >> > to do. If I remember reading correctly, in the beginning they had to
> >> > build a
> >> > transmitter.
> >
> >> No such thing. The original Novice examination was very elementary, no
> >> schematics to read (or draw), no equipment to build (there was never ANY
> >> amateur
> >> examination which required the applicant to build equipment!), and no
> >> calculations required.

> OK, I was confused on that point. Though didn't a lot of Novices build them
> anyway as a cost effective way to get on the air?

Oh yes. I was one of them. There were many others.

Part of the attraction of amateur radio for many of us was and is
taking
a pile of what some would call 'junk' and turning it into a working
radio
station capable of worldwide communication.

> >There was never a *US* amateur radio license requirement to build
> >anything. Some other countries, like the USSR, required that a
> >prospective ham build equipment and explain how it worked, as part of
> >the license process.
> >>
> >> I have a copy of the License Manual, and here are some representative
> >> questions:
> >
> >What year? Because the requirements changed dramatically over time...
> >
> >> "What is the log of an amateur station, and what information is required
> >> to be
> >> entered therein?"
> >
> >Regulations
> >>
> >> "What is the maximum permissible percentage of modulation of an amateur

> >> radiotelephone station?"
> >
> >Basic theory
> >
> >> "What is the relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle?"
> >
> >Units and standards
> >>
> >> "What instrument is used to measure: electrical potential; electrical
> >> current;
> >> electrical power; electrical energy?"
> >
> >Measurements
> >>
> >> "What is a frequency multiplier?"
> >
> >Transmitter technology
> >>
> >> "What is the purpose of a key-click filter and when should it be used?"
> >
> >Purity of signals
> >
> >> "What precautions should be taken to avoid the danger of shock from
> >> high-voltage
> >> electrical circuits?"
> >
> >Safety
> >>
> > >"What are the undesirable effects of overmodulation in radiotelephony?"
> >
> >Purity of signals
> >
> >> > Isn't that a heck of a big step to get started?
> >
> >Actually, a lot of Novices did build their own transmitters. And
> >receivers too. Perhaps in the New Year I shall rebuild the Southgate
> >Type 1....
> >
> >One big advantage of the limited Novice privileges was that a
> >relatively simple setup could be competitive. Since there were only a
> >few bands, low power, and crystal control, a "Novice gallon"
> >transmitter usually had only two or at most three stages. A simple
> >oscillator transmitter could do wonders on 80 and 40 meters. The Novice
> >with a 25 watt rig was less than an S unit down from the one with 75
> >watts. Etc.
>
> Competitive in what sense?

In the sense that those with a lot more resources didn't have that much
of an

advantage unless they had more skill too.

The old 75 watt input limit meant that the best Novice rigs might put
out 50 watts on a good day. That's only an S-unit above 12 watts out,
and you could get 12 watts out of even a simple one-stage oscillator
transmitter. IOW the lowpowered Novice wasn't that far below the
top-of-the-line one.

Xtal control meant there was no advantage to a transceiver and no need
for a VFO.
Novices learned to tune the whole subband listening for replies.

Of course the most important part of the station is the antenna, and
the Novice had
no restrictions on antennas.

> >> No, it was a tiny step, and it had the exact result intended --- a ton of
> >> new
> >> hams "put their toe in the water". The dropout rate was high (around 60%
> >> IIRC),
> >> but we got a lot of new hams in the 50s, 60s, and 70s who would have
> >> never even
> >> attempted the tougher Technician/General examination.

> How do you know for sure that they would not have done so?

One way was to ask them.

> >I don't know if the dropout rate was that high, but the point was that
> >it did bring in a lot
> >of folks who could then get an idea of what ham radio was all about.
> >
> >But nobody *had to* start with Novice. Only the pre-1953 Advanced and
> >pre-1975 Extra required prior amateur radio experience.
> >
> And a biggie is how is a simpler entry level license going to get people
> into the hobby if the don't even know it exists!

Of course! I've been saying that for years. The Morse Code test issue
is
a red herring compared to the publicity issue.

> I've not had a single
> person tell me the licensing requirements were too hard or not worth the
> effort.

I have - here on rrap.

On the other hand, I get a lot of "ham radio? What's that?"
>
Yep.

Of course when ham radio is explained to most people, the next question
(in my experience) is usually "why do you want to do that?" Most people

aren't interested
in radio for its own sake.

But a few *are* interested, and it is those few who we need to inform.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2628. Re: K1MAN REQUIRED READING ESSAY: THE LIFE OF KAROL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 04:46:56 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11qpkm283r8va06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 128399
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051223122243.327$SQ@newsreader.com...
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20051223015339.489$ZR@newsreader.com...
>> > "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> <kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:g5jhq150tjg3g6fga5emebfp5ibkfvp599@4ax.com...
>> >> > On 20 Dec 2005 12:30:57 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >>THE LIFE OF KAROL - 18 December 2005 - ES051218A - (draft)
>> >> >>
>> >> >>by
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
>> >> >>(written in the third person)
>> >> >
>> >> > <deletia>
>> >> >
>> >> >>73 and GL de K1MAN
>> >> >
>> >> > ...and it's been said (more than once...) by more than one operator
>> >> > that 11m and/or "freeband" operators were immature, child-like and
>> >> > so forth...
>> >> >
>> >> > Tell me the truth, guys...this is an anomaly, right??
>> >> >
>> >> > ....wow....
>> >> >
>> >> > Happy Holidays!
>> >> >
>> >> > --kw
>> >>
>> >> And it's been said by many freebanders that a lot of hams are self
>> >> righteous assholes.
>> >>
>> > Some are just indigent maggots.
>> >
>> > http://tinypic.com/izs28g.jpg
>>
>> He should have a big L tattooed on his forehead. I have a friend that
>> tattoos. Sack him up throw him in the trunk and bring him to my house.

>>
> Wouldn't that be dogie abuse?

Could be, but it's a misdemeanor. And after the judge reads all his homo posts, I'm sure we will get off with a slap on the wrist. Then in the judges chamber he will probably pat us on the back for a job well done.

2629. Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 04:56:22 UTC
From: Tammy <MerryChristmas@72700>
Message-ID: <doik8d$1si$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128400

Super Sleuth 2Test has proven himself to be a junior Dick Tracy of the highest order. According to 2Test, Commander Buzz Corey is none other than N9PGE.
I've always wondered who The Commander is and now I know. Thank you 2Test for your shining example of detective work and filling the rest of us in.

In light of this new revelation, and since it is apparent that The Commander rankles (that means "causing irritation", Roger) vertically challenged Woger 2Test, I think I'll look up N9PGE's callsign and send a Christmas card his way. I'll tell him The Dwarf sent me.

2630. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 06:48:39 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135406919.014961.269620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128401
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The remarks below indicates everything that is wrong with
the educational and background requirements for the
gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools'
Licence scheme and why nobody who holds, or who
has ever held, a licence issued under that scheme will
ever make it into the ranks of _REAL_ Radio Hams.....

Someone who posits that the ability to walk on stilts
is somehow a competitive advantage to Radio Hammery
clearly has _NO_ idea what Radio Hammery is all about!

M3EJO / Eric Williams / Micky Taker / Wiggy wrote:

> Ah! But I can walk 25 metres on stilts. So who's the loser now?

2631. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 07:10:13 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135408212.958607.192550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128402
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not-Ham seems desperate to justify his infantile and gratuious
offensive outbursts. There is no justification for such anti-social,
obsessive, and maladjusted behaviour as he demonstrates.

He is a deranged looney BEYOND ANY SHADOW OF A DOUBT.

He is also very immature if he will not take personal responsibilty
for his own actions.

I have _NEVER_ mentioned my wife's name in this NG, nor that of
my children, nor that of my grandchildren.

Those who seek to do so and cause harassment and distress thereby
are sick in the mind.

zYYPK wrote:
> "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > > there you go again...
> > > giving a green light to all and sundry to mention your own wife BY
> > > NAME !!
> >
> > No, it was already in the public domain, mention by Gareth himself many a
>
>
> wrong !
>
> i'm sure another on here will confirm.

2632. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 07:15:46 UTC
From: G4ZWI <fred.c@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <1135408546.866424.72730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128403
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

As a late G4 callsign, and therefore, it seems, being responsible for the rot that has set into the hobby, I wil inform you a little of my background, Stuart. Having joined the RAF after leaving school, I trained for two years at No:-1 Radio school, RAF Locking, as a Ground Communications engineer. I left RAF Locking, and was posted to RAF Cranwell. Upon leaving the forces, I continued in the domestic electronics trade, (TV, video, computers, etc,) I have 'fiddled' with electronics all my working life, (I am now in my 50's), and have half-filled my house with wonderful bargains, and homebrewed kit, as the love of my life will testify. I have ferric chloride stains on most of the carpets, a well-scorched bench, (soldering iron.....) and, not only can I tune my FT101B, I have also modified it to accept 6146B valves in the output stages, as the cost, and availability of the 6JS6 'bottles' became silly.
I do admit to having held a class B callsign, (G8ZWI) , and cheerfully admit to holding it for a large number of years, because I was too lazy to get off my backside, and do the Morse. My latest constructional project (half finished,) is a home-brew version of the Norcal Sierra, but with an AD9851 DDS & LCD in place of the normal VFO tuning. I will try to contact you, if I have any problems, although I am not too sure you will be willing to help a lowly, late, G4,

(P.S. I believe Santa Claus is bringing me a Yaesu FT817, with c.w. filter for Christmas. Should I send it back???)

Fred Cooper G4ZWI, GQRP 10981, FISTS 9889

2633. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 07:19:37 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <zoGdnU9ETs4UazHeRVnyjQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 128404
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135408212.958607.192550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> He is also very immature if he will not take personal responsibilty
> for his own actions.
>

Oh, I'd take personal responsibility, if the law allowed, but at the moment
I think you get 10 years plus for it!

2634. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 07:20:11 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <gqidne1A-fU2azHenZ2dnUVZ8qednZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 128405
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135408212.958607.192550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Not-Ham seems desperate to justify his infantile and gratuious
> offensive outbursts. There is no justification for such anti-social,
> obsessive, and maladjusted behaviour as he demonstrates.
>
> He is a deranged looney BEYOND ANY SHADOW OF A DOUBT.
>

How cool, I'm actually getting to the nutball. Result!

2635. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 07:26:00 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135409160.924250.216800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128406
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better.
Indeed, it is difficult to see, in the light of your
well-demonstrated infantile disposition, how you could
ever have been the export sales manager for a well-
respected company such as Wood & Douglas.

Shame on you. You should know better. What on Earth would Alan
Wood G4EEE think of the bad publicity you are visiting on
his company?

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:

> Before the (G4) Sad Dim Wit spots that grammatical error,

2636. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 07:28:21 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135409301.691117.63270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128408
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It does not say much for you that you side with those
whose habit is gratuious and offensive remarks and
that you criticise those who would steadfastly stand up
for decency and for civilised behaviour.

Stuart Crow wrote:
>.....
> the rot set into British Amateur Radio some time late in the G4 series, and
> could be forgiven for avoiding contact with it as far as possible.

2637. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 07:31:59 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <PP6rf.22656$zt1.17188@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128407
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> As a late G4 callsign,

Yur deed ? ....

2638. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 07:40:54 UTC
From: G4ZWI <fred.c@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <1135410054.414875.267400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128409
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Just another silly boy, who needs to grow up, then????

Like it or not, the hobby will move towards operating rather than
'homebrewing', our time may be better spent, educating,not insulting,
new licencees, (they will be all we have to talk to, one day!!)

2639. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 08:10:25 UTC
From: G4ZWI <fred.c@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <1135411825.324662.69590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128410
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Thank you, by the way for the above remark. This is the first time I have had any direct contact with you. I have never directly criticised you, or your views.

Can you tell me who posted (on Dec 21 at 3:47 PM):- the following:-

I am a decent, civilised, law-abiding citizen, I NEVER ORIGINATE PERSONAL ATTACKS

{My italics..}
Merry Christmas...

2640. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 08:13:30 UTC
From: G4ZWI <fred.c@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <1135412010.219999.67590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128411
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sorry, my capitals..........

2641. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 08:39:36 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135413576.770105.94520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128412
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Some confusion on your part, I think, because the post to which
you are replying was addressed to Stuart Crowe in response to
his rather silly and infantile gratuitous remarks directed at me.

You, however, seem to be F.Cooper, and the post to which you
reply was not addressed to you.

With a quick GoogleMiner session, neither your email addresses
nor your NNTP programs are the same.

Like a number of new contributors to this NG, you very rapidly
metamorphose into an aggressive challenger, for what reason
I do not know.

The answer to your question is that, yes, I never originate
personal attacks, I only ever assert the right of reply, and
the right of chastisement when answering posts that are
somewhat infantile as I did in the post to which you responded.

It is important that any self-respecting _REAL Radio Ham makes
a stand for decency and for civilised behaviour, a course of
action that I admonish you, in your turn, to follow.

G4ZWI wrote:
> Thank you, by the way for the above remark. This is the first time I
> have had any direct contact with you. I have never directly criticised
> you, or your views.
> Can you tell me who posted (on Dec 21 at 3:47 PM):- the following:-
> I am a decent, civilised, law-abiding citizen, I NEVER ORIGINATE
> PERSONAL ATTACKS
> {My italics..}
> Merry Christmas...

2642. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 09:02:00 UTC
From: Brian Reay <see@website.invalid>
Message-ID: <c88rf.18172$b4.9113@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128413
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:rp2qq1pvsooqjkl9pefjj7uqdtji2d0261@4ax.com...
> On 23 Dec 2005 16:57:21 -0800, mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>
>>It's a shame, but the reality is that us radio hams are congenital
>>tightwads!
>
> It is significant, is it not, that the only people who make this
> allegation are those who have been involved (or still are) in the
> business of making money out of selling equipment to the said "radio
> hams". I have heard this complaint innumerable times from failed
> amateur radio traders ... with whom this country is awash.

You don't listen to many amateur then Walt. A definite trait of the radio amateur is "short arms and deep pockets"- a positive trait in my view but still worthy of a bit of mirth. I like a bargain as much as the next man and (re)using a bit of "junk" to repair or make something is far more pleasing than flashing the credit card.

--
73
Brian
www.g8osn.org.uk

2643. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 09:17:38 UTC
From: Stuart Crow <sjc.usenet@nospam.ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97365F1D5362B321200@80.5.182.99>
Source record: 128415
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"G4ZWI" <fred.c@ntlworld.com> wrote in news:1135408546.866424.72730
@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> As a late G4 callsign, and therefore, it seems, being responsible for
> the rot

I don't see why you'd draw that conclusion, Fred, since my post clearly referred to one particular contributor. Rot generally takes time to spread, and starts out with a small area of contamination. There are still some good people coming into the hobby even now judging from the bands.

> (P.S. I believe Santa Claus is bringing me a Yaesu FT817, with c.w.
> filter for Christmas. Should I send it back???)

That ain't going to warm a cold shack much at this time of year - I'd rather have a nice L7 or a TL922. Merry Xmas!

2644. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 09:19:26 UTC
From: G4ZWI <fred.c@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <1135415966.212244.110510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128414
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If I am in error, sir, I will stand corrected. I believe, however, most
people following this thread would conclude your post 89 was directed
to me, as I did.
I am sorry if I do not live up to your ideal radio amateur, and wish
you once again,
Merry Christmas.
(Please do not respond to this post, as I have no intention of
following this thread further)
Fred Cooper G4ZWI

2645. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 09:49:09 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <2c6qq15qpursvh01j79vh81gi615v3777h@4ax.com>
Source record: 128416
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 23:39:14 -0000, "DieSea"
<DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom> wrote:

>
>> But I managed a 12wpm Morse test. You couldn't manage it.
>> I have a G3 call while you have a G7.
>> I managed a proper written test and proper Morse test...you didn't.
>
>
>No doubt a REGURGITATED G3 call
>
>You talk like a CRETIN not like a Respected G3
>
>DieSea
>

The brain-dead CBr reveals himself....

2646. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 10:07:04 UTC
From: Roger <AB8MQ@lloyd>
Message-ID: <doj6f1gft0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128417
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Nedlar" <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote in message
news:2c6qq15qpursvh01j79vh81gi615v3777h@4ax.com...
On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 23:39:14 -0000, "DieSea"
<DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom> wrote:

>
>> But I managed a 12wpm Morse test. You couldn't manage it.
>> I have a G3 call while you have a G7.
>> I managed a proper written test and proper Morse test...you didn't.
>
>
>No doubt a REGURGITATED G3 call
>
>You talk like a CRETIN not like a Respected G3
>
>DieSea
>

The brain-dead CBr reveals himself....

//

You kiddies are not real Hams. In order to be a REAL Ham you have to do what
I did...be retested.
And not just everybody can be retested...you have to have a special
'invitation' from Riley Hollingsworth himself.
I know. I've tested twice.

Roger
AB8MQ

2647. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 10:08:55 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135418934.958101.120670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128418
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are a LIAR.

I have _NEVER belittled any "of the hard work and enthusiasm
shown by various members of" your "scout group"

I did not even know that you had a scout troop.

But since you raise the issue, if they are, indeed, scouts
and are over the age of 11 years, and they have had to put
in "hard work" to pass an exam intended for 6-year-olds,
then they are not achievers but retards.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Or because I objected to
> your belittlement of the hard work and enthusiasm shown by various
> members of our scout group?

2648. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 10:18:25 UTC
From: Brian Reay <see@website.invalid>
Message-ID: <Rf9rf.50114$7p5.24372@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128419
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:o27qq1h208t6haf2pes4j0gqhm5rjr8sih@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 24 Dec 2005 09:02:00 GMT, "Brian Reay" <see@website.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>>"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
>>> On 23 Dec 2005 16:57:21 -0800, mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>>>
>>>>It's a shame, but the reality is that us radio hams are congenital
>>>>tightwads!
>>>
>>> It is significant, is it not, that the only people who make this
>>> allegation are those who have been involved (or still are) in the
>>> business of making money out of selling equipment to the said "radio
>>> hams". I have heard this complaint innumerable times from failed
>>> amateur radio traders ... with whom this country is awash.
>>
>>You don't listen to many amateur then Walt. A definite trait of the radio
>>amateur is "short arms and deep pockets"- a positive trait in my view but
>>still worthy of a bit of mirth. I like a bargain as much as the next man
>>and
>>(re)using a bit of "junk" to repair or make something is far more pleasing
>>than flashing the credit card.
>
> I quite agree, Brian ... but there is a world of difference between
> being "a congenital tightwad" and merely being a prudent spender!

Surely the best accolade a "prudent spender" can receive is to be called "a
congenital tightwad" ;-)

--
73
Brian
www.g8osn.org.uk

2649. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 10:24:06 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135419846.147619.172090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128420
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you do not wish for there to be a right of reply, then you
would be better served if you do not contribute at all.

I did not say that you do not live up to my "ideal radio amateur";
in fact, I do not even use the term.

To the contrary, from what you have posted recently, you do
seem to be a _REAL_ Radio Ham in (most of) the best
traditions thereto.

A Merry (Belated) Solstice to you, also.

G4ZWI wrote:
> If I am in error, sir, I will stand corrected. I believe, however, most
> people following this thread would conclude your post 89 was directed
> to me, as I did.
> I am sorry if I do not live up to your ideal radio amateur, and wish
> you once again,
> Merry Christmas.
> (Please do not respond to this post, as I have no intention of
> following this thread further)
> Fred Cooper G4ZWI

2650. Re: If the answer's, "M3", it must be a bloody silly question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 10:27:49 UTC
From: sKhully <beanz@hienz.com>
Message-ID: <1e8qq1lifg18lcrid91o5qoc9b6r2png3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 128421
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 23 Dec 2005 23:10:13 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
<aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote:

>I have _NEVER_ mentioned my wife's name in this NG, nor that of
>my children, nor that of my grandchildren.
>

That's it I'm getting out of Wiltshire, 'Beanie' has been allowed to
breed, the thought of dueling banjo's, and little genetic misfires
populating the county is the last straw !!!

2651. اي جمامعة فى منـح تعليميـة مجانية فى مجال برمجة الكمبيوتر

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 10:36:45 UTC
From: Dr_mohamed_hassan_82 <Dr_mohamed_hassan_82@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135420605.404894.169800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128422

من يرغب فى دراسة برمجة الكمبيوتر فهناك
شركة تدعى مؤسسة النمام العربى تقدم منح
مجانية - للمزيد من المعلومات

Oracle 10G Developer Users - OCP
Oracle application server
Oracle designer
Oracle 10g database administration - OCA

Microsoft Certified Solution Developer - MCSD
Microsoft Certified Systems Engineer - MCSE
International Computer Driving Licence - ICDL

CISCO - CCNA
CISCO - CCNP

Multimedia - 2D
Multimedia - 3D

Linux - power user
Linux - adminstration

PHP

Java programming

System analysis
System design

أتصل بمؤسسة النمام العربى فى 4522041
القاهرة
http://www.egyptwebs.tk

2652. اي جمامعة فى منـح تعليميـة مجانية فى مجال برمجة الكمبيوتر

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 10:47:11 UTC
From: Dr_mohamed_hassan_83 <Dr_mohamed_hassan_83@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135421230.959960.265070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128423

من يرغب فى دراسة برمجة الكمبيوتر فهناك
شركة تدعى مؤسسة النمام العربى تقدم منح
مجانبة - للمزيد من المعلومات

Oracle 10G Developer Users - OCP
Oracle application server
Oracle designer
Oracle 10g database administration - OCA

Microsoft Certified Solution Developer - MCSD
Microsoft Certified Systems Engineer - MCSE
International Computer Driving Licence - ICDL

CISCO - CCNA
CISCO - CCNP

Multimedia - 2D
Multimedia - 3D

Linux - power user
Linux - adminstration

PHP

Java programming

System analysis
System design

أتصل بمؤسسة النمام العربى فى 4522041
القاهرة
http://www.egyptwebs.tk

2653. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 10:57:25 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <43ad2992$0$29575$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 128424
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> 73 de G3NYY [VERY careful with his money!]

Ok Wlat

Sew you showed the ScotchMan how to weld his sporran up

And Super glue for the Yorkshiremans wallet to boot

Happy Crimbo All

Well to all that's not in my KillFile

DieSea

2654. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 11:52:12 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <MDarf.51162$uR.27594@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128426
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring of verbal diarrhoea wrote:
> But since you raise the issue, if they are, indeed, scouts
> and are over the age of 11 years, and they have had to put
> in "hard work" to pass an exam intended for 6-year-olds,
> then they are not achievers but retards.
>
and since, I believe, _YOU_ sat the RAE when in your twenties what does
that make _YOU_ ? A super retard?
To use your words, 'GROW UP, MR EVANS.'
Alternatively, FOAD.
...(_!_)...

2655. A Last WARNING to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 11:53:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135425213.033822.297550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128425

Iitoi wrote:
> K4YZ Wrote:
> > "taelor_robeson"
> >
> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> > be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> > Sheriff's Office.
> >
> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
> >
> > I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
> > apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
> > December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move
> > against
> > you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
> > court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an
> > Attorney
> > in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
> > them on my behalf.
> >
> > Said apology will also appear in RRAP.
> >
> > That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
> > consequences are yours to bear.
> >
> > Steven J Robeson
>
>
> This is rich...SNIP

Probably so.

Nonetheless, the clock is ticking.

I hope Markie finds his way to the post office. I'd rather not
let this guy get all of my retainer.

Steve, K4YZ

2656. OT: Cool Little Quiz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 13:08:28 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1135429708.796776.92070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128427

Take a Quiz!

1. . Write the name of a person of the opposite
sex.

2. Which is your favorite color out of red,
black, blue, green, yellow?

3. Your first initial?

4. Your month of birth?

5. Which color do you like more, black or
white?

6. Name of a person of the same sex as yours.

7. Your favorite number?

8. Do you like California or Florida more?

9. Do you like the lake or the ocean more?

10. Write down a wish. (A realistic one).

WHEN YOU'RE DONE, SCROLL DOWN
(DON'T CHEAT)
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.

.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
THE ANSWERS

1. You are completely in love with this person.

2. If you choose:
Red - You are alert and your life is full of love.
Black - You are conservative and aggressive.
Green - Your soul is relaxed and you are laid back.
Blue - You are spontaneous and love kisses and affection from the ones
you love.
Yellow- you are a very happy person and give
good advice to those who are down.

3. If your initial is:
A-K You have a lot of love and friendships in your life.
L-R You try to enjoy your life to the maximum and your love life issoon
to blossom.
S-Z You like to help others and your future love
life looks very good.

4. If you were born in:
Jan-Mar: The year will go very well for you and you will discover that
you fall in love with someone totally unexpected.
April-June: You will have a strong love relationship that will not last
long but the memories will last forever.
July-Sep: You will have a great year and will experience a major life
changing experience for the good.
Oct-Dec: Your love life will not be too great, but eventually you will
find your soul mate.

5. If you chose...
Black: Your life will take on a different direction, it will seem hard
at the time but will be the best thing for you, and you will be glad
for the change.
White: You will have a friend who completely confides in you and would
do anything for you, but you may not realize it.

6. This person is your best friend.

7. This is how many close friends you have in your lifetime.

8. If you chose:
California: You like adventure.
Florida: You are a laid back person.

9. If you chose:
Lake: You are loyal to your friends and to your love. And you arevery reserved.
Ocean: You are spontaneous and like to please people.

10. This wish will come true only if you RE-POST THIS BULLETIN in a different board within one hour., and it will come true before your next birthday.

2657. Season's Greetings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 13:12:29 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1135429949.899095.106210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128428

>From mine to yours, here's wishing all of you on R.R.A.P. and your families/friends a healthy happy holiday season and a prosperous new year.

Vy 73 de Bert,
WA2SI

2658. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 13:20:17 UTC
From: Mike Clayton <news2005d.10.mikelclayton@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <U42XcKGRsUrDFwuz@ntlworld.com>
Source record: 128429
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In message <1135409160.924250.216800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.co
>Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
>look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
>abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
>the whole world?
>

Perhaps you should ask yourself the same question?
--
Mike Clayton
'For evil to triumph it is only necessary for good men to do nothing'
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/mike_clayton

2659. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 13:33:59 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b7crf.9801$nm.6265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128430

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:OY6dnXvgpZrwXzHenZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@comcast.com...
> And a biggie is how is a simpler entry level license going to get people
> into the hobby if the don't even know it exists! I've not had a single
> person tell me the licensing requirements were too hard or not worth the
> effort. On the other hand, I get a lot of "ham radio? What's that?"

Making ham radio known to potential newcomers is ALL OUR
RESPONSIBILITY if we care about amateur service
continuing in the future. Today's licensing process is a
hodgepodge of 3 licenses which really (IMHO) don't
provide a very logical path from new beginner to
Extra. That's the problem I see all of us actually
discussing here. How do we make it better and
more logical?

Merry Christmas to all.
Bill K2UNK

2660. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 13:44:44 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ghcrf.10107$Dd2.8221@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128431

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:IR3rf.5536$mj1.3825@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>> What year? Because the requirements changed dramatically over time...
>
> 35th Edition, Copyright 1955, cover price 50-cents.
>
> Only eighty pages included the Q&A study guides for all three exams
> (Novice, General/Technician/Conditional, and Amateur Extra), plus the
> complete text of Part 12 of the FCC Rules and Regulations. While we hear
> tales about how "tough" those exams were (mostly from people who never
> took them), a cursury glance through them this evening alongside the
> General and Extra exams of today, and the "difficulty" is grossly
> exagerated by most accounts. If anything, considering the narrower scope
> of the subject matter (back then), todays examinations are probably more
> difficult for the "non-techie" applicant.

Having myself done both the Novice and General/Tech written in the late
50s, Hans has it 100% correct. If I recall too, the Novice "material"
was only about 12 pages in the Q&A study guide.

And remember too that at that time (50s and most of the 60s),
General/Conditional conveyed ALL amateur privileges. Extra
conveyed no additional privileges.

Merry Christmas to all,
Bill K2UNK

2661. Re: When does channel changing DSSS become hybrid DSSS/FHSS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 14:10:45 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <nImdnUe_gKJtyzDeUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 128432

It should be noted by all that the rules below only apply to Part 15
operation - not to operation under the Part 97 Amateur Radio Service rules
...

73,
Carl - wk3c

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1135197556.005525.241280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> [Code of Federal Regulations]
> [Title 47, Volume 1]
> [Revised as of October 1, 2002]
>>From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
> [CITE: 47CFR15.247]
>
> [Page 740-742]
>
> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>
> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>
> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
>
> Subpart C--Intentional Radiators
>
> Sec. 15.247 Operation within the bands 902-928 MHz, 2400-2483.5 MHz,
> and 5725-5850 MHz.
>
> (a) Operation under the provisions of this section is limited to
> frequency hopping and digitally modulated intentional radiators that
> comply with the following provisions:
> (1) Frequency hopping systems shall have hopping channel carrier
> frequencies separated by a minimum of 25 kHz or the 20 dB bandwidth of
> the hopping channel, whichever is greater. The system shall hop to
> channel frequencies that are selected at the system hopping rate from a
>
> pseudorandomly ordered list of hopping frequencies. Each frequency must
>
> be used equally on the average by each transmitter. The system
> receivers
> shall have input bandwidths that match the hopping channel bandwidths
> of
> their corresponding transmitters and shall shift frequencies in
> synchronization with the transmitted signals.
> (i) For frequency hopping systems operating in the 902-928 MHz

> band:
> if the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is less than 250 kHz, the
>
> system shall use at least 50 hopping frequencies and the average time
> of
> occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within
>
> a 20 second period; if the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is
> 250
> kHz or greater, the system shall use at least 25 hopping frequencies
> and
> the average time of occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater
> than
> 0.4 seconds within a 10 second period. The maximum allowed 20 dB
> bandwidth of the hopping channel is 500 kHz.
> (ii) Frequency hopping systems operating in the 5725-5850 MHz band
> shall use at least 75 hopping frequencies. The maximum 20 dB bandwidth
> of the hopping channel is 1 MHz. The average time of occupancy on any
> frequency shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within a 30 second
> period.
> (iii) Frequency hopping systems in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band shall
> use at
>
> [[Page 741]]
>
> least 15 non-overlapping channels. The average time of occupancy on any
>
> channel shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within a period of 0.4
> seconds multiplied by the number of hopping channels employed.
> Frequency
> hopping systems which use fewer than 75 hopping frequencies may employ
> intelligent hopping techniques to avoid interference to other
> transmissions. Frequency hopping systems may avoid or suppress
> transmissions on a particular hopping frequency provided that a minimum
>
> of 15 non-overlapping channels are used.
> (2) Systems using digital modulation techniques may operate in the
> 902-928 MHz, 2400-2483.5 MHz, and 5725-5850 MHz bands. The minimum 6 dB
>
> bandwidth shall be at least 500 kHz.
> (b) The maximum peak output power of the intentional radiator shall
>
> not exceed the following:
> (1) For frequency hopping systems in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band
> employing at least 75 hopping channels, and all frequency hopping
> systems in the 5725-5850 MHz band: 1 Watt. For all other frequency
> hopping systems in the 2400-2483.5 band: 0.125 Watt.
> (2) For frequency hopping systems operating in the 902-928 MHz
> band:
> 1 watt for systems employing at least 50 hopping channels; and, 0.25

> watts for systems employing less than 50 hopping channels, but at least
>
> 25 hopping channels, as permitted under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this
> section.
> (3) For systems using digital modulation in the 902-928 MHz, 2400-
> 2483.5 MHz, and 5725-5850 MHz bands: 1 Watt.
> (4) Except as shown in paragraphs (b)(3) (i), (ii) and (iii) of
> this
> section, if transmitting antennas of directional gain greater than 6
> dBi
> are used the peak output power from the intentional radiator shall be
> reduced below the stated values in paragraphs (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this
> section, as appropriate, by the amount in dB that the directional gain
> of the antenna exceeds 6 dBi.
> (i) Systems operating in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band that are used
> exclusively for fixed, point-to-point operations may employ
> transmitting
> antennas with directional gain greater than 6 dBi provided the maximum
> peak output power of the intentional radiator is reduced by 1 dB for
> every 3 dB that the directional gain of the antenna exceeds 6 dBi.
> (ii) Systems operating in the 5725-5850 MHz band that are used
> exclusively for fixed, point-to-point operations may employ
> transmitting
> antennas with directional gain greater than 6 dBi without any
> corresponding reduction in transmitter peak output power.
> (iii) Fixed, point-to-point operation, as used in paragraphs
> (b)(3)(i) and (b)(3)(ii) of this section, excludes the use of point-to-
> multipoint systems, omnidirectional applications, and multiple co-
> located intentional radiators transmitting the same information. The
> operator of the spread spectrum intentional radiator or, if the
> equipment is professionally installed, the installer is responsible for
>
> ensuring that the system is used exclusively for fixed, point-to-point
> operations. The instruction manual furnished with the intentional
> radiator shall contain language in the installation instructions
> informing the operator and the installer of this responsibility.
> (5) Systems operating under the provisions of this section shall be
>
> operated in a manner that ensures that the public is not exposed to
> radio frequency energy levels in excess of the Commission's guidelines.
>
> See Sec. 1.1307(b)(1) of this chapter.
> (c) In any 100 kHz bandwidth outside the frequency band in which
> the
> spread spectrum or digitally modulated intentional radiator is
> operating, the radio frequency power that is produced by the
> intentional
> radiator shall be at least 20 dB below that in the 100 kHz bandwidth
> within the band that contains the highest level of the desired power,
> based on either an RF conducted or a radiated measurement. Attenuation

> below the general limits specified in Sec. 15.209(a) is not required.
> In addition, radiated emissions which fall in the restricted bands, as
> defined in Sec. 15.205(a), must also comply with the radiated emission
>
> limits specified in Sec. 15.209(a) (see Sec. 15.205(c)).
> (d) For digitally modulated systems, the peak power spectral
> density
> conducted from the intentional radiator to the antenna shall not be
> greater than 8
>
> [[Page 742]]
>
> dBm in any 3 kHz band during any time interval of continuous
> transmission.
> (e) [Reserved]
> (f) For the purposes of this section, hybrid systems are those that
>
> employ a combination of both frequency hopping and digital modulation
> techniques. The frequency hopping operation of the hybrid system, with
> the direct sequence or digital modulation operation turned off, shall
> have an average time of occupancy on any frequency not to exceed 0.4
> seconds within a time period in seconds equal to the number of hopping
> frequencies employed multiplied by 0.4. The digital modulation
> operation
> of the hybrid system, with the frequency hopping operation turned off,
> shall comply with the power density requirements of paragraph (d) of
> this section.
> (g) Frequency hopping spread spectrum systems are not required to
> employ all available hopping channels during each transmission.
> However,
> the system, consisting of both the transmitter and the receiver, must
> be
> designed to comply with all of the regulations in this section should
> the transmitter be presented with a continuous data (or information)
> stream. In addition, a system employing short transmission bursts must
> comply with the definition of a frequency hopping system and must
> distribute its transmissions over the minimum number of hopping
> channels
> specified in this section.
> (h) The incorporation of intelligence within a frequency hopping
> spread spectrum system that permits the system to recognize other users
>
> within the spectrum band so that it individually and independently
> chooses and adapts its hopsets to avoid hopping on occupied channels is
>
> permitted. The coordination of frequency hopping systems in any other
> manner for the express purpose of avoiding the simultaneous occupancy
> of
> individual hopping frequencies by multiple transmitters is not
> permitted.

>
> Note: Spread spectrum systems are sharing these bands on a
> noninterference basis with systems supporting critical Government
> requirements that have been allocated the usage of these bands,
> secondary only to ISM equipment operated under the provisions of part
> 18
> of this chapter. Many of these Government systems are airborne
> radiolocation systems that emit a high EIRP which can cause
> interference
> to other users. Also, investigations of the effect of spread spectrum
> interference to U. S. Government operations in the 902-928 MHz band may
>
> require a future decrease in the power limits allowed for spread
> spectrum operation.
>
> [54 FR 17714, Apr. 25, 1989, as amended at 55 FR 28762, July 13, 1990;
> 62 FR 26242, May 13, 1997; 65 FR 57561, Sept. 25, 2000; 67 FR 42734,
> June 25, 2002]
>

2662. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 14:52:04 UTC
From: 2Test Roger <2Test@trollboywoger.net>
Message-ID: <dojn8u$r7q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128433

"Narrow Scope" always reminds me of a
Navy Radioman's brain.

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:NU3rf.5538$mj1.5023@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>> If anything, considering the narrower scope of the subject
>> matter, todays examinations are probably more difficult
>> for the "non-techie" applicant.
>
> On review, that sentence is misleading.
>
> I should have written:
>
> "If anything, considering the narrower scope of the subject matter BACK
> THEN, todays examinations are probably more difficult for the "non-techie"
> applicant."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>

2663. Reality Check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 15:08:56 UTC
From: 2Test Roger <2Test@trollboywoger.net>
Message-ID: <dojo91$9p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128434

lets face it guys....today ham's ticket is not the tecknikal pieze
of paper you guys think it might be.... ham radio poplation today
is 50% CB white trashe & 50% old white geezers.
LOL 10-4?

2664. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 15:18:41 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135437521.218168.19780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128435
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> You are a LIAR.
>
> I have _NEVER belittled any "of the hard work and enthusiasm
> shown by various members of" your "scout group"

Really? Which pathetic sociopath wrote, and continues to spam various newsgroups with, this bitter and twisted little piece of prose then?

"Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme! "

It was you, wasn't it Gareth?

73
Mike G4KFK

2665. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 15:26:33 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135437993.892034.104940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128436
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Really? Which pathetic sociopath wrote, and continues to spam various

Definition of a sociopath, for those who were wondering:
Diagnostic criteria (DSM-IV-TR)
The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, a widely used manual for diagnosing mental and behavioral disorders (see also: DSM cautionary statement), defines antisocial personality disorder as a pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of others occurring since age 15 years, as indicated by three (or more) of the following:
- failure to conform to social norms with respect to lawful behaviors as indicated by repeatedly performing acts that are grounds for arrest
- deceitfulness, as indicated by repeated lying, use of aliases, or conning others for personal profit or pleasure
- impulsivity or failure to plan ahead
- irritability and aggressiveness, as indicated by repeated physical fights or assaults
reckless disregard for safety of self or others
- consistent irresponsibility, as indicated by repeated failure to sustain steady work or honor financial obligations
- lack of remorse, as indicated by being indifferent to or rationalizing having hurt, mistreated, or stolen from another

73
Mike G4KFK

2666. Re: A Last WARNING to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 15:35:12 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <diqqq19peek3pvgt1u81a8ms9pqvl3en0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 128437

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > K4YZ Wrote:
> > > "taelor_robeson"
> > >
> > > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> > > be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> > > Sheriff's Office.
> > >
> > > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
> > >
> > > I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
> > > apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
> > > December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move
> > > against
> > > you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in
> > > criminal court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by
> > > an Attorney
> > > in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
> > > them on my behalf.
> > >
> > > Said apology will also appear in RRAP.
> > >
> > > That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
> > > consequences are yours to bear.
> > >
> > > Steven J Robeson
> >
> >
> > This is rich...SNIP
>
> Probably so.
>
> Nonetheless, the clock is ticking.
>
> I hope Markie finds his way to the post office. I'd rather not
> let this guy get all of my retainer.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making
false charges of child rape, rape in general

forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2667. more stive shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 15:39:15 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <mrqqq15i29org5miekqk7pco17j65p3cj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 128438

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> "taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> Sheriff's Office.
>
> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
> I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
> apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
> December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move against
> you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
> court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an Attorney
> in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
> them on my behalf.
>
> Said apology will also appear in RRAP.
>
> That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
> consequences are yours to bear.
>
> Steven J Robeson
>
there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is
you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the
real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the
asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making
false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398

931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2668. How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 15:46:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135439166.472559.176230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128439

>From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:

Study Question #31:

Draw a schematic diagram of a circuit having the following components:

(a) battery with internal resistance,
(b) resistive load,
(c) voltmeter,
(d) ammeter.

Study Question #32:

>From the values indicated by the meters in the above circuit, how can
the value of the resistive load be determined?

How can the power consumed by the load be determined?

Study Question #33:

In the above circuit, what must the value of the resistive load be in
order for the maximum power to be delivered from the battery?

Study Question #34: Draw the schematic diagram of an RF power
amplifier circuit having the following components:

(a) triode vacuum tube,
(b) pi-network output tank,
(c) high voltage source,
(d) plate-current meter,
(e) plate-voltage meter,
(f) rf chokes,
(g) bypass capacitors, coupling capacitor.

Study Question #35: What is the proper tune-up procedure for the above
circuit?

Of course those questions seem simple if someone has reasonable
knowledge and experience with the radio technology of the times.

The above questions, and their answers, took up less than a page in the
study guide.
How many pages of explanation would it take to teach the above
material in detail?

The old LMs were not meant as standalone do-it-all books, like the
newer ones.

The actual exam did not use these questions. Instead, it might show, for
example, a schematic of the amplifier circuit similar to, but not exactly like,
the one shown in the license manual, with 5 of the components labeled "a" thru "e".
The questions would be something like, "which component is the coupling capacitor?"
"which component is an rf chokes?" "what is function of the component labelled ''d' in the circuit above?"

Does anyone think that the current exams are tougher than that? The breadth of material has increased but the depth has decreased.

The number of questions on the exam has decreased and the nature of the test has changed.

One reason the new study guides seem impressive is that they include every
possible question and answer, plus a detailed explanation of each. That's a far
cry from the old LMs that only showed the general subject areas.

More proof:

Written test requirements before April 15, 2000:

Novice: 1 test, 30 questions

Tech/Tech Plus: 2 tests, 30/35 questions (65 total)

General: 3 tests, 30/35/35 questions (100 total)

Advanced: 4 tests, 30/35/35/50 questions (150 total)

Extra: 5 tests, 30/35/35/50/40 questions (190 total)

Written test requirements after April 15, 2000:

Tech: 1 test, 35 questions

General: 2 tests, 35/35 questions (70 total)

Extra: 3 tests, 35/35/50 questions (120 total)

I did this from memory so the number of questions may not be perfect, but the trend is very clear. Fewer tests and fewer questions across the board.

Back when I took the exams (1967-1970) the Novice was about 20 questions, and all of the other classes about 50 questions each. About 170 total questions.

The exact number of questions on the test was not known in advance back then, nor were the exact Q&A. Even the form of the questions in the study guide was different too. One question in the study guide might cover, say, Ohm's Law for DC circuits, which meant that the prospective

ham would often learn all about that subject because there might be several questions about it on the test, and the exact form of the questions was
unknown.

Most of all, without the actual tests used, and the same test environment, it's not
possible to say for sure.

Of course we're not going to see a return to 'secret' tests, because FCC doesn't have the resources, nor do they see the need. And tests alone are not the only indicator of knowledge and skill, of course. There were folks who "tightened all the loose screws" in those days, too.

The thing to be learned from the bad old days was the concept of how to get
people interested and involved.

Publicity is of course the #1 issue, because the license tests don't mean a thing to people who don't even know ham radio exists.

But we're talking about license requirements and privileges for a new entry-level license class. I think what's needed is a balance of modes, bands and power levels. I know that K0HB would give his entry-level licensees all modes and bands, and restrict only the power level, but that has a problem because FCC would probably then want the license tests to cover all that material.

I think one of the reasons the old Novice worked so well in its time was a synergy
between the license requirements and privileges, the amateur radio literature of the time, and the technology.

The old Novice had a very simple written exam because its privileges were so limited. No VFO questions because VFOs weren't allowed to Novices. No high power questions, etc. All of the Novice band limits could be tested with four questions if you allowed one band per question. Only a few modes allowed on one VHF band, only one on HF. End result was that the beginner could focus on a few things in depth.

The ham radio literature of the time worked well too. The LM was less than a hundred pages and cost fifty cents, yet it covered all the rules and all the license classes plus the exam procedures. Books like "How To Become A Radio Amateur", "So You Want To Be a Ham", "ABC's of Ham Radio" cost a few dollars at most, were small but packed with info, and focused on getting started as a Novice. The magazines were full of articles that practically leaped from the page yelling "BUILD THIS RADIO!!" at you - and you could build them and work the world. Or convert surplus, build kits, or buy new or used manufactured gear.

Compare this to the idea that today's beginner will be handed a book costing many dollars and comprising hundreds of pages, just to get a

license that lets him/her use an HT?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2669. ER Nurse thinks Rape is Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 15:46:25 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <s9rqq19v4bml6ivmcjt0iaue9ge70eku95@4ax.com>
Source record: 128440

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is
you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the
real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the
asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making
false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2670. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:00:00 UTC
From: 2Test Roger <2Test@trollboywoger.net>
Message-ID: <dojr8a$df9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128441

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135439166.472559.176230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual////////drivel snipped//////

You old geezers are stuck in a time warp and dont even realize
the FCC & ARRL sold out ham radio years ago for one
reason *GREED*

2671. Re: Attn: Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:00:35 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <s0sqq192gac7fqc34pde6runt5dbhfgqbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 128443

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > taelor_robeson@hotmail.com was a falsified e mail address forged by
> > Mark C. Morgan:
>
> > > you don't like my tactic fine but claiming just becuase yuo don't
> > > like em esp without poviding any contructive suggestion of a workable
> > > to cause stevei to stop poluteing the Ng with foul lange his lies and
> > > frauds measn I am unable to even consdier do what you might wish
> >
> > Tell you what, Mark. In about 12 hours, I'm going to start complaining
> > loud and long to each outfit whose facilities you use to post your
> > spam. I'll forward each of your posts, along with a note which outlines
> > your violations of the TOS of each organization. If you can't get a
> > grip on yourself, I'm sure that others can take steps to clean up this
> > stuff.
> >
> > Constructive suggestion: Gain control over your actions.
>
> He's got till Monday to decide if he really wants to risk his
> financial security on these ramblings, Dave. And I've already started
> filing complaints to AMSAT about his "F" word subject lines and
> profanities.
>
> He's got decisions to make. Let's see if he can make some lucid
> ones.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

there you have ladies gentlemen and germs (that is
you stvie) Stvie
think srape is a matter for fun

Maybe I shuld forward this stuff to some of the
real femmiNazi's types
I know lse if they want to flame stevie the
asshole of RRAp
everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making
false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2672. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:04:37 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pkerf.18312$b4.9685@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128442
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

on 23/12/2005 21:52 Me said the following:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>
>> At one time, Wood & Douglas was a respectable supplier
>
>
> At one time I wonder if this company was respectable
>
> OUT & ABOUT SYSTEMS LTD
> 13 HARDENS CLOSE
> CHIPPENHAM WILTSHIRE
> SN153AA
>
> Std. Industrial Classification (SIC):7372 Prepackaged software
> 72.20 COMPUTER SERVICES
> Turnover:0
> Profit:0
> Networth:0
> Employees:0
> Company Registration Number:3144797
http://tinyurl.com/as56c
I wonder what Company he's using for his sub-contracting in Portsmouth,
and what tax arrangements have Bean made?
--
;-)
73 de Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI - mine's a pint.
http://turner-smith.co.uk

2673. Re: Bringing Chippenham in Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:24:42 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135441482.314555.77900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128444
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI wrote:
> on 23/12/2005 21:52 Me said the following:
> http://tinyurl.com/as56c
> I wonder what Company he's using for his sub-contracting in Portsmouth,
> and what tax arrangements have Bean made?

That was worth £2.00 !!!

If anybody would like a Micro$oft RTF format copy of the Company Report
and Accounts, please email me at mike@g4kfk.co.uk

But don't expect a reply tomorrow, as it's Xmas!

73
Mike G4KFK

2674. Re: Bringing Chippenham in Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:28:42 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135441722.400430.258410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128445
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

m...@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> If anybody would like a Micro$oft RTF format copy of the Company Report
> and Accounts, please email me at mike@g4kfk.co.uk

Correction - PDF format.

2675. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:41:56 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135442516.754788.189530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128446
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There's no reason to ask myself the same question.

My position here is that I'm making a stand for
decency and civilised behaviour against the
gratuitous and offensive onslaught of a few
CBers who really ought to know better.

If more stood up for decency, things would improve.
Who was it who quotes, "'For evil to triumph it is only
necessary for good men to do nothing'"?

Oohh! - it is someone by the name of , "Mike Clayton" -
anybody that you know?

I find it strange that you seem to have no dispute with
those who originate the most offensive of personal
insults and yet you criticise those who defend themselves
and stand up for decency.

Mike Clayton wrote:
> In message <1135409160.924250.216800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.co
> >Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
> >look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
> >abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
> >the whole world?
> >
>
> Perhaps you should ask yourself the same question?
> --
> Mike Clayton
> 'For evil to triumph it is only necessary for good men to do nothing'
> http://homepage.ntlworld.com/mike_clayton

2676. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:42:06 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135442526.888535.138080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128447
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Me wrote:
> Turnover:0
*** CORRECT ***

> Profit:0
*** CORRECT ***

> Networth:0
*** WRONG! *** ACTUALLY £40.00 ON 31/3/04

Does this mean British taxpayers are paying for him to spam his tedious
drivel into these newsgroups?

73
Mike G4KFK

2677. Re: Bringing Chippenham into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:44:45 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135442685.305322.311960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128448
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> My position here is that I'm making a stand for
> decency and civilised behaviour against the

And this from the numpty who changed the thread into "bringing Scouting into disrepute" then x-posted it into a load of scouting newsgroups!

2678. Re: Bringing Chippenham into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:44:51 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135442691.607807.5190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128449
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> My position here is that I'm making a stand for
> decency and civilised behaviour against the

And this from the numpty who changed the thread into "bringing Scouting into disrepute" then x-posted it into a load of scouting newsgroups!

2679. The infantile and abusive public face of Wood & Douglas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:49:32 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135442972.756328.21500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128450
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There is no mention of any scout troop in what you quote.

Indeed, that you associate your scout troop with the
phrases, "gangrenous degeneration" and "M3/CB Fools'
Licence" is very revealing - no one but you has made
that association.

Every part of the FAQ, where it criticises the M3/CB Fools'
Licensees is as the direct result of encountering them
and their ridiculous behaviour in this NG. Indeed, if you
are responsible for training such licensees, perhaps it
is from you that their anti-social tendencies arise?

Once again, you resort to rather silly and infantile forms
of abuse, "pathetic sociopath" and "bitter and twisted".

Shame on you, Mike Gathergood, you ought to
know better at your age, and your pubic face, which
is also the public face of Wood & Douglas, does
them no favours.

Grow up.

Stupid child.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > You are a LIAR.
> >
> > I have _NEVER belittled any "of the hard work and enthusiasm
> > shown by various members of" your "scout group"
>
> Really? Which pathetic sociopath wrote, and continues to spam various
> newsgroups with, this bitter and twisted little piece of prose then?
>
> "Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
> or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
> degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme! "
>
> It was you, wasn't it Gareth?
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2680. Re: FAQ - revised version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:52:33 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135443153.165781.14670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128451
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Certainly seems to describe your own anti-social, infantile and
gratuitously abusive traits over the last few days.

You are to be congratulated for your candidness.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> > Really? Which pathetic sociopath wrote, and continues to spam various
>
> Definition of a sociopath, for those who were wondering:
> Diagnostic criteria (DSM-IV-TR)
> The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, a widely
> used manual for diagnosing mental and behavioral disorders (see also:
> DSM cautionary statement), defines antisocial personality disorder as a
> pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of
> others occurring since age 15 years, as indicated by three (or more) of
> the following:
> - failure to conform to social norms with respect to lawful behaviors
> as indicated by repeatedly performing acts that are grounds for arrest
> - deceitfulness, as indicated by repeated lying, use of aliases, or
> conning others for personal profit or pleasure
> - impulsivity or failure to plan ahead
> - irritability and aggressiveness, as indicated by repeated physical
> fights or assaults
> reckless disregard for safety of self or others
> - consistent irresponsibility, as indicated by repeated failure to
> sustain steady work or honor financial obligations
> - lack of remorse, as indicated by being indifferent to or
> rationalizing having hurt, mistreated, or stolen from another
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2681. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 16:54:45 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135443285.415806.221060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128452
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
you for your ridiculous outbursts.

It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.

Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
the whole world?

However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).

You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
"officer", really ought to know better.
Indeed, it is difficult to see, in the light of your
well-demonstrated infantile disposition, how you could
ever have been the export sales manager for a well-
respected company such as Wood & Douglas.

Shame on you. You should know better. What on Earth would Alan
Wood G4EEE think of the bad publicity you are visiting on
his company?

Grow up, Mike Gathergood!

Stupid boy.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Me wrote:
> > Turnover:0
> *** CORRECT ***
>
> > Profit:0
> *** CORRECT ***
>
> > Networth:0
> *** WRONG! *** ACTUALLY £40.00 ON 31/3/04
>
> Does this mean British taxpayers are paying for him to spam his tedious

> drivel into these newsgroups?
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2682. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 17:13:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135444412.684559.277460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128453

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 22, 9:53 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil on Dec 19, 10:50 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 18, 5:08 pm
> >
> >
> >> >>> It's understandable that Jim doesn't want to discuss the circumstances
> >> >>> of why he didn't serve. But he sure is a interested in military
> >> >>> history. Knows all about it.
> >
> >> >> Jimmie seems to "know all about anything" he babbles about.
> >
> >> >> He's read some and can search the Internet (like anyone else)
> >> >> and then is a wannabe guru on the anything. :-(
> >
> >> >Since all who have access to a computer and the internet can search (not
> >> >like *everyone else*), what then accounts for your frequent and numerous
> >> >factual inaccuracies?
> >
> >> My "frequent and numerous factual inaccuracies?!?" :-)
> >
> >> Davie boy, you will have to do much better than that little
> >> INACCURATE statement of yours. :-)
> >
> >> Let's look at the PCTA "side" and check out some really big MISTAKES
> >> written by The Chosen Ones:
> >
> >> Who wrote, on 10 December in here:
> >
> >> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
> >
> >They don't? I guess the FCC has no regulatory authority over civil
> >radio in the US of A.
>
> Depends on which Alternate Universe Jimmie is inhabiting at the
> moment. In ours they DO have regulatory authority. In others
> they probably don't. :-)

In another thread, he's talking about how hard the FCC exams were when
he tested. As if the FCC licenses amateur radio.

> >> Or, a year to two years ago, frequently:
> >
> >> "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."
> >
> >It's starting to make sense. Jims says the FCC fined some idiot for
> >jamming MARS nets. So although the FCC no longer has regulatory
> >authority over civil radio, they've taken up enforcing military radio
> >and MARS nets. Sheesh!
>
> Those Alternate Universes are tough areas to inhabit!

The atmospheres are low in oxygen, high in methane.

> I wish those folks would leave their imaginary Universes and
> re-enter reality.

Are you kidding? They're more entertaining than HBO. Cheaper, too.

> >> Davie, you just settle down and don't spazz so much on certain
> >> folks. You only make yourself look pompous, arrogant and you
> >> WILL wind up the target of lots of uncomplimentary-to-you
> >> comments...as have already happened in here. <shrug>
> >
> >I especially liked him self-identifying as a DXer who works out of band
> >Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>
> Davie explained that he was not responsible, cannot regulate
> others' use of the ham bands. Then he turns right around and
> tries to bully others in newsgroups. <shrug> Oh, well...

He chastises other folks for not knowing as much about DX as he does,
then he pulls a stunt like that.

> Maybe he's been spending too much time at "the lodge" by the
> fire, sipping rum with the rest of his "out-of-banders'"
> banterers? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Sipping branchwater. Prolly sippin it when those Frenchmen were
calling him. He could have moved it to their legal authorization, you
know.

2683. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 18:19:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6jgrf.2092$nu6.1708@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128455

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 22, 9:53 am

>>> I especially liked him self-identifying as a DXer who works out of band
>>> Frenchmen on 6 meters.
>> Davie explained that he was not responsible, cannot regulate
>> others' use of the ham bands. Then he turns right around and
>> tries to bully others in newsgroups. <shrug> Oh, well...
>
> He chastises other folks for not knowing as much about DX as he does,
> then he pulls a stunt like that.
>
>> Maybe he's been spending too much time at "the lodge" by the
>> fire, sipping rum with the rest of his "out-of-banders'"
>> banterers? :-)

> Sipping branchwater. Prolly sippin it when those Frenchmen were
> calling him. He could have moved it to their legal authorization, you
> know.

Pass along Christmas greetings to your pal, the California Grinch.

I'll leave a little something in your tin cup. Maybe you'll do a tap
dance for us. I love your red hat.

Dave K8MN

2684. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 18:20:32 UTC
From: Alan Holmes <alan.holmes@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <Qjgrf.12238$f7.1774@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128454
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135346113.085610.124590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
>

Keeping repeating this just makes you look a bit of a twit!

Should I put you in my killfile?

Alan G4CRW if it matters!

2685. Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 19:03:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135451002.141831.151140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128456

Happy Christmas, Merry Holidays to one and all!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2686. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 19:15:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rvSdnRi15YIMAzDeRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 128457

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:b7crf.9801$nm.6265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:OY6dnXvgpZrwXzHenZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@comcast.com...
>> And a biggie is how is a simpler entry level license going to get people
>> into the hobby if the don't even know it exists! I've not had a single
>> person tell me the licensing requirements were too hard or not worth the
>> effort. On the other hand, I get a lot of "ham radio? What's that?"
>
> Making ham radio known to potential newcomers is ALL OUR
> RESPONSIBILITY if we care about amateur service
> continuing in the future. Today's licensing process is a
> hodgepodge of 3 licenses which really (IMHO) don't
> provide a very logical path from new beginner to
> Extra. That's the problem I see all of us actually
> discussing here. How do we make it better and
> more logical?
>
> Merry Christmas to all.
> Bill K2UNK

Until we potential recruits to worry about, a "better and more logical" path
is rather a moot point.

I've talked about ham radio with my friends and co-workers. Not one has yet
asked how to get a license.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2687. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 22:53:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kjkrf.9431$3Z.3880@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1135207931.404061.60140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qMXpf.573$R84.382@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > My all-time favorite jeep (general purpose) logging program is HyperLog,
> > but support has been discontinued, so I'm shopping for a new product.
> >
> > Bought EQF-logger, but after a few months of use I still haven't gotten
> > used to the kluge-operator-interface, so I've written that one off.
> >
> > Here's my list of MUST HAVE features:
> >
> > An intuitive, non-rodent-centric operator interface, similar to the
> > simplicity of Hyperlog or CT (Mouse OK for non-routine functions, but
> > logging sequences must be
> > touch-typist-hands-on-the-keyboard-no-need-to-watch-the-screen.)
> >
> > Radio control integration
> >
> > LoTW integration
> >
> > Import from ADIF
> >
> > Good support from the author
> >
> > Any suggestions?
> >
> > de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> >><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Hans,
>
> I also dropped EQF for those reasons. I then went to N3FJP and I love em.
> Check it out on the web at;
>
> www.n3fjp.com
>

> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, you were gone longer than expected. Welcome back. bb

Welcome back to what 'bb' ? I dropped out of RRAP and RRAM when I said I did. I didn't notice this was a cross posted message till now. You can find me on r.r.a.dx discussing real ham radio things.

Have a Nice Christmas

Dan/W4NTI

2688. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 23:09:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1lkrq1pu0ltcanehcapfuf96a27hhuj7el@4ax.com>
Source record: 128459

On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>"taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>Sheriff's Office.
>
> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.

Bullshit. The first thing any attorney would do is tell you to make no
contact with the other party except through your attorney. The next
thing he would do is browse your posting history and drop you like a
hot potato.

Maybe someday you'll learn the difference between reality and your
little fantasy world -- but obviously not any time soon.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2689. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-24 23:19:51 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <dokl2vorb1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128460

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>
>>"taelor_robeson"
>>
>> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>>be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>>Sheriff's Office.
>>
>> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
>
>
> Bullshit. The first thing any attorney would do is tell you to make no

is no doubt you bull shit expert for here and stebe is bigest
bullshitter who ever write email. there is no lawyer just like you say
and stebe make it all up LIKE LYING BITCH HE IS. marry christmas.

2690. Re: Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 01:10:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Ckmrf.320$qg.112@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128461

Hello, Len

Merry Christmas to you too. Glad to see at least one post in the group that
isn't flaming. :)

73 and Merry Christmas from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1135451002.141831.151140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Happy Christmas, Merry Holidays to one and all!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2691. Re: The ten secrets of rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 01:14:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <jomrf.1983$OU3.22@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 128462

Hello, Hans

Despite my best efforts, I am almost incapable of 5 words per minute. :(

Perhaps if they could send 30 words per minute one night, skip the next 5 nights, and then ask the questions .... :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:UbXqf.1847$nu6.461@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Top Ten Hidden Secrets of r.r.a.p.:
>
> 10. KB9RQZ doesn't understand logic. Never has, never will.
>
> 9. Len wants a pony for Christmas but, due to a secret deal between Dave Sumner
> and Riley Hollingsworth, he'll never get it.
>
> 8. K2ASP is our resident legal advisor. Sgt Robeson is our traffic cop.
> Neither have jurisdiction outside their own imagination.
>
> 7. N9OGL provides millions of Americans with delightful entertainment just like
> so many of the shows on the QVC and K1MAN networks.
>
> 6. Mike Coslo lurks.
>
> 5. Ignore N0IMD. All of us do.
>
> 4. If you jiggle the handle on the r.r.a.p Executive Washroom you can get in
> there sometimes. Just be sure and do it after hours because if you get caught
> sneaking in you'll have to ride next to N2EY on the team bus on the trip to
> Dayton while he explains the advantages of CW.
>
> 3. We're actually all very nice here. We only flame the clueless and/or
> annoying.
>
> 2. OK, so we do flame some other people. But they had it coming.
>
> 1. RRAP Speed Limit: 5WPM (Farnsworth format 18/5).

>
> ----
>
>

2692. Re: Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 01:16:45 UTC
From: 2Test Roger <2Test@trollboywoger.net>
Message-ID: <dokrs4$34n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128463

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:Ckmrf.320$qg.112@news02.roc.ny...
> Hello, Len
>
> Merry Christmas to you too. Glad to see at least one post in the group
> that
> isn't flaming. :)
>
> 73 and Merry Christmas from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Right, and you are as pure & white as the wind-driven snow,
when it comes to flaming.
ROTFLMAO!

2693. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 01:56:09 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Z_mrf.23550$zt1.17699@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128464
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

on 25/12/2005 00:38 Walter Raleigh said the following:
> On 23 Dec 2005 22:48:39 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
> <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> blurted forth into cyberspace:
>>Someone who posits that the ability to walk on stilts
>>is somehow a competitive advantage to Radio Hammery
>>clearly has _NO_ idea what Radio Hammery is all about!
>
> Nay, Gareth. However, someone who posits that either receiving a brace
> of half rotten pheasant from his local victualler is akin to rolling
> ones own in an AR sense, or that, on observing the preoccupied
> appearance of Christmas shoppers on Chippenham High Street and
> concluding that they are all depressed at the lamentably low entry
> standards to said AR, has no idea what society is all about, a sad
> fact laid bare by your difficulty with conformity to said society, or
> progress within same.
>
> Even playing within your own doctrine, as one who preaches with
> impunity-what have you done for Amateur Radio lately?
> --
>
> 73s de Walter R.
Never mind 'lately', what's he _EVER_ done?
--
;-)
73 de Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI - mine's a pint.
http://turner-smith.co.uk

2694. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 02:52:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135479138.899821.303310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128465

K4YZ wrote:
> "taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark,

It is vulgar, but did you draw that line or did Mark? Everybody seems to have different lines, as you've demonstrated.

> and the one that will
> be second in line (behind your death threats)

(Mark made death threats? Welp, you know what you said about people who shoot their mouth off to the wrong person. Looks like you finally got the reaction you've been begging for for so long.)

to Houghton County
> Sheriff's Office.

Houghton. I've been there. In 1992 I went all the way out to the end of the Keewenaw Peninsula.

> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.

Yeh. Probably costs more than Tennessee lawyers. Cost of living and all.

> I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
> apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
> December 2005.

You're going to, or you already have demanded an apology?

What did your attorney say about these demands? If Mark capitulates, do you get your retainer back?

> Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move against
> you in civil court.

Yikes! Is that legal? Will they dim the lights and play music?

> I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
> court.

Now that definitely sounds illegal. Someone call the bailiff, the Sgt at Arms, somebody.

> Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an Attorney
> in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
> them on my behalf.

What did the head counsellor say?

> Said apology will also appear in RRAP.

It will? Who would see it with all the Spam?

> That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
> consequences are yours to bear.

As are all things in America.

> Steven J Robeson

This could get interesting, you moving against Mark in a courtroom. I'm thinking of that Nextel commercial with the boombox and the "Oooh Baby Baby" song playing. Your ridiculousness cracks me up.

You ought to tone down your rhetoric and apologize to Mark before he brings a countersuit. You probably have more to lose than Mark, and this will probably make it to the Nurses Board. Not good. In the end, only your Michigan attorney will be ahead.

Merry Christmas.

2695. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 02:54:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135479291.301141.282420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128466

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >"taelor_robeson"
> >
> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> >be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> >Sheriff's Office.
> >
> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
>
> Bullshit. The first thing any attorney would do is tell you to make no
> contact with the other party except through your attorney. The next
> thing he would do is browse your posting history and drop you like a
> hot potato.
>
> Maybe someday you'll learn the difference between reality and your
> little fantasy world -- but obviously not any time soon.

Reread his desire to "move against Mark in a courtroom." Kinkiest
damned thing I ever heard of.

2696. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 03:00:05 UTC
From: jonz <someone@somewhere.com.au>
Message-ID: <43ae0b81$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 128468
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Nedlar" <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote in message
news:ljroq15i2bu13mhli2sn2vm462jhhq0fr5@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 18:14:52 GMT, "tox" <tox@toxtox.co.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Nedlar" <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote in message
> >news:4ecoq19n2v3acrhu9tk1hf965qvomh8u7s@4ax.com...
> >>>
> >>
> >> Would that be the same Morse test that you could NEVER pass?
> >
> >If you continue to practice, I figure in another 20 years, you may reach
5
> >WPM.
> >
> >HTH
> >tox
>
> In 20 years I'll have snuffed it, Baldy.
> Why don't you tell us why you were so lazy that you never attempted a
> Morse test? Not interested in HF eh? Bwahahahahahaha
> Bald tosser.

bald tossing ????? is this some sort of pommie sport??........j
```

2697. Re: Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 03:01:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135479667.632281.311020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128467

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Happy Christmas, Merry Holidays to one and all!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Merry Christmas, Len, and to all RRAP readers.

bb

2698. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 03:09:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135480173.774196.36380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128469

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 22, 9:53 am
>
> >>> I especially liked him self-identifying as a DXer who works out of band
> >>> Frenchmen on 6 meters.
> >> Davie explained that he was not responsible, cannot regulate
> >> others' use of the ham bands. Then he turns right around and
> >> tries to bully others in newsgroups. <shrug> Oh, well...
> >
> > He chastises other folks for not knowing as much about DX as he does,
> > then he pulls a stunt like that.
> >
> >> Maybe he's been spending too much time at "the lodge" by the
> >> fire, sipping rum with the rest of his "out-of-banders'"
> >> banterers? :-)
>
> > Sipping branchwater. Prolly sippin it when those Frenchmen were
> > calling him. He could have moved it to their legal authorization, you
> > know.
>
> Pass along Christmas greetings to your pal, the California Grinch.
>
> I'll leave a little something in your tin cup. Maybe you'll do a tap
> dance for us. I love your red hat.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, I'll gladly pass along your Christmas greetings, no charge. Len has started a new thread where you can give your wishes to Len or anyone else, but if you can't make the trip, I'll understand. Merry Christmas. bb

2699. Re: Season's Greetings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 03:12:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135480338.688618.17310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128470

Bert Craig wrote:
> >From mine to yours, here's wishing all of you on R.R.A.P. and your
> families/friends a healthy happy holiday season and a prosperous new
> year.
>
> Vy 73 de Bert,
> WA2SI

Merry Christmas, Bert.

bb

2700. Re: Season's Greetings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 04:06:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135483562.233612.225050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128471

Bert Craig wrote:
> >From mine to yours, here's wishing all of you on R.R.A.P. and your
> families/friends a healthy happy holiday season and a prosperous new
> year.
>
> Vy 73 de Bert,
> WA2SI

A Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year to all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

("God bless us....every one!")

2701. Re: The infantile and abusive public face of Wood & Douglas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 10:26:02 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <43ae73b8$0$23286$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 128472
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> ROTFL!
>
> 73 de Wlat
>
> --

Pot calling Kettle me thinks

Happy Christmas All

DieSea

2702. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 11:42:37 UTC
From: Mike Clayton <news2005d.10.mikelclayton@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <XHJnjtJtWorDFwsH@ntlworld.com>
Source record: 128473
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In message <1135442516.754788.189530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> writes
>There's no reason to ask myself the same question.
>
>My position here is that I'm making a stand for
>decency and civilised behaviour against the
>gratuitous and offensive onslaught of a few
>CBers who really ought to know better.
>
>If more stood up for decency, things would improve.
>Who was it who quotes, "'For evil to triumph it is only
>necessary for good men to do nothing'"?

I hardly think that the changes to the way that newcomers enter the
hobby could be described as evil :-)
>
>Oohh! - it is someone by the name of , "Mike Clayton" -
>anybody that you know?
>

Anyway, in the spirit of the season let me wish you and yours a very
Happy Christmas and a peaceful New Year
--
Mike Clayton
'For evil to triumph it is only necessary for good men to do nothing'
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/mike_clayton

2703. Re: The infantile and abusive public face of Wood & Douglas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 12:30:50 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135513850.402128.302930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128474
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Must have been a divinely-inspired (on the half-chance that
she does exist) typo!

A merry Solstice to you and yours!

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On 24 Dec 2005 08:49:32 -0800, "Pierian Spring"
> <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote:
> >Shame on you, Mike Gathergood, you ought to
> >know better at your age, and your pubic face ...
> ROTFL!

2704. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 12:34:40 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135514080.192884.48790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128475
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The only "hobby" around is CB Radio.

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit.

Newcomers to Ham Radio are those who
are naturally interested in technicalia and
who drive themselves forward. Such people
will have no interest in a licence issued
under the gangrenous degeneration that is
the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

To have introduced such a scheme whose
sole purpose is to maintain the profit
levels of a CB (Sorry, "seedy"!) book
publisher was evil indeed.

Mike Clayton wrote:
> In message <1135442516.754788.189530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> writes
> >My position here is that I'm making a stand for
> >decency and civilised behaviour against the
> >gratuitous and offensive onslaught of a few
> >CBers who really ought to know better.
> >If more stood up for decency, things would improve.
> >Who was it who quotes, "'For evil to triumph it is only
> >necessary for good men to do nothing'"?
>
> I hardly think that the changes to the way that newcomers enter the
> hobby could be described as evil :-)

2705. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 17:54:51 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <9108f$43aedcd1$4e0b732$22633@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 128476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hello Hans,
Great logic. I found an error / probable typo in your equation.
See below.
Very 73 & Merry Christmas, Ace - WH2T

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:g7nqf.4836$mj1.4289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> what proof?
>
> Proof? You want proof? I'm right, and you're wrong, and I can provide
> the mathematical proof.
>
> It is obvious, even to you, that the opposite of right is left and that
> three lefts
> make a right or 3l = r.
>
> It is also clear that wrong is the opposite of right so -w = r.
>
> So this would make 3l = r = -w which means that 3l = -w.

Now, since
> left is the opposite of right (-l = r) this would mean l = r = -w,

(SHOULD READ left is the opposite of right (-l = r) this would mean -l =
r = -w,)

which
> playing with the math gives me l = -r = w and so left is the same as
> wrong (yes, I could have done this in fewer steps, but I didn't feel
> like doing that).
>
> Now, since l = w and 3l = r that would make 3w = r. This means
> that three wrongs make a right. Of course, since 3 is an odd number,
> this situation doesn't work out with any values for r and w except 0
> which would mean that r = 0 and w = 0 and l = 0, although the last
> one I don't really care about.
>
> This means that right and wrong are mathematically identical and so
> classifying things as right or wrong is pointless because both of them are
> the same. And since there is no difference between right and wrong, that
> would make ME always right and YOU always wrong and isn't that the

> thing that rrap is all about?
>
> 2 + 2 = 8 if you assign sufficiently large values to 2.
>
> Warmest personal wishes for the holiday,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> --

2706. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 19:38:41 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <domsg1$3of$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 128477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> It is obvious, even to you, that the opposite of right is left and that
> three lefts
> make a right or 3l = r.
>
> It is also clear that wrong is the opposite of right so -w = r.

You're using the variable "r" for two very different and unrelated quantites, "right" as in "starboard" and "right" as in "correct", hence turning your proof into poop. To avoid such ambiguity, instead use the equations 3l = s (s for starboard) and -w = c (c for correct). But good luck finding a relationship between s and c.

Merry Christ Mass,
Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

2707. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 19:52:03 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <domt93$48s$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 128478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> 2 + 2 = 8 if you assign sufficiently large values to 2.

That relation is true for Z mod(4) (an additive algebraic group of four elements, i.e., all multiples of 4 = 0 mod(4)).

Merry Christ Mass,
Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

2708. well it is a low blow but called for IMO head up stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 20:56:03 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1q1uq1tsju8lfbvvc10m2c36dn30vusmkv@4ax.com>
Source record: 128479

wait for it I am sure you will know what i typing
about when you see it

if you don't want your kids smeared don't invole
those of others

It will not last long as I hardly have the stomach
for it

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2709. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-25 21:01:34 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <o22uq1hkhkh508ag4im2sr3tk6m7139jut@4ax.com>
Source record: 128480

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> "taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line
>
flushing the stpididity
I hope you got the message stevie

the last of th post in your daughter names have
gone out from my PC

you claimed you want only exact tit for tat you
got it what do you
think of it?

you can prevent further demstrations of this sort
by ceasing all
references to people family in the NG and
apologizing for them
otheriwse furtehr lessons will be delivered by me
at my whim

stevei will surely give a foul typed set of reply
all making
scatological references, malarke being as
scatological as the word shit
or feces for that matter, adn I franklym no accept
that there is no
defference in foulness between More stevie shit
and stevie prference
more markie malarke. the same reference is made or
even if stevie
choose the tile More markie Manure, all the same
to to me and I will
react to it all the same ways as I find it as
offenseive

when dealing with you foe you must learn to
undestand them if you hope
to defeat them I struggle with that with you
stevie, but I have a
pretty good handle on you. Indeed I would so
sympathy what what I am
pretty is the life of abuse you have mostly likely
suffered, emotional
likely physcial and sexual as well, if it were not

fro that that you
have allowed it to manifest in behavoir I know to
be dangerous to my
health

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2710. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 00:02:47 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <donbv2ekd1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128481

taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
[shit flushed]

haev to turminate this thred.

2711. Re: well it is a low blow but called for IMO head up stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 00:03:35 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <donc0iekd2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128482

taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
[shit flushed]

turminate this one to. must be done.

2712. Re: why does stegvie makes joke about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 04:06:34 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <donq85qc1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128483

steverobeson@hotmail.com wrote:
>[shitflush]

I realy want to get in stegvies pants. I am a faggot.

2713. No link attitude!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 04:32:46 UTC
From: n9zle <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <OnKrf.37465$dO2.27190@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 128484

No link attitude!

http://www.n9zle.com/forum/

What is it with this no-link to other site attitude?
Years ago when the internet was in its infancy just about any site would add your site as a link from theirs. In return you would do the same. But now it has come to NO-LINKS. Not even in the forums. I have had a website located on tripod for about 9 years over those nine years I had a link to qrz dot com That site received over a million hits. And many users went from that site to qrz and on-to Icomamerica dot com. I have always supported Icom. They have never given a link on their site to mine. I have always supported qrz. But of course he(AA7BQ) never put a link on his site to mine. Well you may say that "I never asked". And that is true. But recently I have noticed he has been deleting my posts. ALL OF THEM. He never contacted me telling me why. AA7BQ just deleted them. I build CIV cables and sell a few of them every week to amateur operators who want them. When I posted one on his site. I found he deleted the post soon after it was placed there.

My beliefs are.
1. QRZ AKA AA7BQ no longer has the amateur radio attitude.
2. AA7BQ has gone fully commercial but calls his site "The Ham Radio Super Site"
3. AA7BQ does not have your best interest in mind.

I can also prove what I am writing here.
For one if he claims he is nothing but a commercial site then why does he ask for donations?
If he is not a commercial site than why will he not allow me to post my CIV cables on his site?

That takes care of number 2
Now for number 1 and 3. If some one knows the truth about something but keeps it from you he is a liar.
No mater how you put it, he is a liar. On the QRZ site Fred is there to help you renew your license. Or so he leads you to believe so. He charges you for it. Mr. Lloyd has never revealed it to any amateurs that you can renew your license or do an address change at the fcc.gov web site for FREE. Yes TOTAL FREE at the FCC web site.
The forms at the FCC web site or no harder to fill out than his.

His CD. He charges 24.95 plus tax and shipping. On that CD is data he obtained from the FCC and got it for FREE, he sales it to you. Also he has software, created by programmers like MYSELF. And MODS that have been made available from the manufactures and other HAMS like ME! I have been contributing to Amateur Radio community for greater than 10 years, and have

received very little for software I created. Never complained when it was copied. I have performed and created several of the MODS for various transceivers. Never asked a penny for it. I never commercialized HAM RADIO. And NEVER took money from some one for something they could get FREE else where.

I am sure many of you would like to ask me "what I am going to do about it?" Here is my answer.

I have created an open forum on my web site http://www.n9zle.com/forum/

There you can post a link to your own site even if it is a commercial site. You can post any ham radio equipment you have for sell on the site.

You can do a callsign lookup at my site from the FCC database, not that stupid qrz thing.
I will soon put together an open source database that I will sell for the price of the CD plus the true cost of shipping. About two bucks total. Once you install the database on your system, you will be able to download updates for FREE. I will make drivers available to programmers to access the database in several different languages and operating systems. I will also attempt to make the database available on the internet for FREE to download.

I only ask for a little help from other HAMS like myself. I need a few elmers that are willing to moderate some of the forums. It is easy and you will find it fun. If you have any suggestions on new forums or sections please logon to my site and make a post.

73s N9ZLE

2714. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 04:36:24 UTC
From: jonz <someone@somewhere.com.au>
Message-ID: <43af7394$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 128485
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135409160.924250.216800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> For as long as you are prepared to illustrate so graphically
> the difference between a CBer, an M3/CB Fools' Licensee, a
> CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham and a _REAL_ Radio
> Ham with his gentlemanly traditions, I am prepared to
> watch you bemusedly from the sidelines and to chastise
> you for your ridiculous outbursts.
>
> It is important that we as Radio Hams make a stand for
> civilised behaviour and decency against the vile CBer,
> no matter how he might have slipped into our midst.
>
> Don't you realise how silly and ridiculous you make yourself
> look, by indulging in what are essentially very infantile
> abusive tirades in an international forum that is broadcast to
> the whole world?
well, an *international* forum, broadcasting to the *whole
world* indeed, a stunning concept!!!.....j
>
> However, if you wish to be perceived as a Childish Broadcaster (CBer)
> then just carry on as you are with your Childish Broadcasting (CB).
>
> You, coming as you do from a background as a ship's radio
> "officer", really ought to know better.
> Indeed, it is difficult to see, in the light of your
> well-demonstrated infantile disposition, how you could
> ever have been the export sales manager for a well-
> respected company such as Wood & Douglas.
>
> Shame on you. You should know better. What on Earth would Alan
> Wood G4EEE think of the bad publicity you are visiting on
> his company?
>
> Grow up, Mike Gathergood!
>
> Stupid boy.
>
> mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>
> > Before the (G4) Sad Dim Wit spots that grammatical error,
>

2715. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 04:53:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kHKrf.70$Hl6.49@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote

>
> You're using the variable "r" for two very different and unrelated
> quantites, "right" as in "starboard" and "right" as in "correct", hence
> turning your proof into poop.
>

No shit, Dick Tracy!

Since I have you beat by two pay grades, I guess I won't worry about your poopy attitude.

de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

2716. Re: General purpose logger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 05:19:46 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.20n46p@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.20n46p@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 128499

Jeffrey Herman Wrote:
> "K&#65533;HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net wrote in message
>
> It is obvious, even to you, that the opposite of right is left and
> that
> three lefts
> make a right or 3l = r.
>
> It is also clear that wrong is the opposite of right so -w = r.
>
>
> You're using the variable "r" for two very different and unrelated
> quantites, "right" as in "starboard" and "right" as in "correct",
> hence
> turning your proof into poop. To avoid such ambiguity, instead use the
> equations 3l = s (s for starboard) and -w = c (c for correct). But
> good
> luck finding a relationship between s and c.
>
> Merry Christ Mass,
> Jeff KH6O
> --
> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

```
Well, now that's about as petty a lecture as I've ever seen, Mr. Chief
Petty Lecturer. Good job.

--
Iitoi
```

2717. more stinking heaps of lying stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:09:57 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c32vq11ebuenucmb28on0cnss3e1fo3rir@4ax.com>
Source record: 128487

On 23 Dec 2005 06:28:39 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> why doesn't try the truth
>
> Good question. Why don't you?

I do
>
>> he has been sping this lie that I posting drunk whn I don't drink?
>
> Re-read that line and then TRY and wonder why anyone who is
>COMPETENT in English might wonder.

you claim not to wonder but to KNOW ywhen you make that claim YOU LIE
>
>> why do this after nothing ilegal immoral iresponaible or evn wrong if I
>> were posting drunk after it is isn't driving drunk
>
> I never said it was illegal or immoral.

more lie
>
> I said it's stupid and contributes to your already functionally
>illiterate jibberish.

more lie you never said that till now, abd beside drink has no effect
onmy writing since i don't drink so you lie agan
>
> There's a lot of things you can do in this life that are neither
>illegal or immoral, but are obviously stupid.
>
>> he avoided answered the question of why he is claiming that he has gone
>> trhough my trash or had someone go through my trash looking fro achol
>> bottles?
>
> No one had to, Markie. You've acknowledged drinking in this forum
>in the past.

agaoin avoid the issue you claimed to have knowledge of my tash

so you are claing to to have knowledge that would require you to comit
a crime to get
>
> Your writing and blatant lack of judgement make it easy to draw

>obvious conclusions.

obvious how?
>
>> why tell this lie?
>
> It's not a lie.

it is a lie
>
>> the only reason i can see right off it is convince he is stalking me,
>> and then try at some point to pull a rug from under me
>
> You're pulling your own rug while standing on it, Markie. The
>subsequent fall is going to be painful and expensive.

more threats from stvei

idle threats at that
>
>> but still what is the point?
>
> You'd better ask yourself that question several times a day...

why ask myself about YOUR actions
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2718. dave seems to think rape is funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:11:26 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <eb2vq11uf7vrqthrr3o24p9t96a67ihths@4ax.com>
Source record: 128488

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 03:52:24 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> And misrepresenting the author via the attributes doesn't count?
>>>>> Why not ask your boss, the Old Organ Grinder? Len has done that very
>>>>> thing on numerous occasions. I've never seen you write a single word
>>>>> about it.
>>>> Len is accusing people of rape? First I've heard of it.
>>> First I've heard of it as well. It wasn't part of your comments--those
>>> to which I replied.
>
>> Then you ignored the larger context of the message that I've been on
>> for about a week.
>
>That's right, I did. I commented on that portion of your post which
>appeared in my response. Now then, that wasn't so hard, was it?

indeed ity is hard to see you think anything is funny if targeted
aginst me
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2719. Re: well it is a low blow but called for IMO head up stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:12:08 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <bd2vq1pmjvp2vd29a72eeq27irg69ua4ds@4ax.com>
Source record: 128489

On Sun, 25 Dec 2005 18:03:35 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
wrote:

>taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
>[shit flushed]
>
>turminate this one to. must be done.

aha yes more stevei shit

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2720. Re: btw why does dave post in the thread er nurse think rape is funny not comdem or defend steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:12:36 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3e2vq1591022ksr5ariohacdfsqlfacjph@4ax.com>
Source record: 128490

On Fri, 23 Dec 2005 04:15:59 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> prehaps their lies and deths that dave will not stoop to prehaps not
>>>>
>>>> but why to provide steve cover rather than apply the standard you claim
>>>> to uphold
>>> Get it translated and I might reply.
>>
>> not likely you have shown an extreme reluctance to enagge in any form
>> of deabte here with any body
>
>Lack of translation noted.

more cowardace form dave noted
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2721. Re: Is mark morgan on foodstamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:39:27 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <f04vq1ptalq9a1m71c9dl36amhd3a185o4@4ax.com>
Source record: 128491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 23 Dec 2005 04:33:26 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
>> everyone should be advised that The following person
>>
>Get lost, nym shifting dick licker.
no to subject
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2722. more threats fro the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:49:22 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <pi4vq1594g5i3154cfkebnfhm9h5jogn5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 128494

On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>"taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>Sheriff's Office.
>
> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
> I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
>apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
>December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move against
>you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
>court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an Attorney
>in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
>them on my behalf.
>
> Said apology will also appear in RRAP.
>
> That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
>consequences are yours to bear.
>
>Steven J Robeson

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2723. stvei making threats again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:51:12 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4m4vq15tio7hlf5q9ja7g6c024q7cf7rf9@4ax.com>
Source record: 128495

why does he bother with suchidle threats?
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2724. will stvei ever growup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:52:08 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <bo4vq1h45s3gfpou5tj94145rpgrskau2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 128496

i doubt it
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2725. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan ....please let him be telling the truth for once

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:55:28 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8q4vq11guvh3sbasr4rgqidnb11klk4pkf@4ax.com>
Source record: 128497

On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

>
>"taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>Sheriff's Office.

what line?
>
> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.

so let him contact me
>
> I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
>apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
>December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move against
>you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
>court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an Attorney
>in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
>them on my behalf.

obviously you have retained an attorney in michigan he would not
advise hat you demand the imppoisble
>
> Said apology will also appear in RRAP.

no apology is due you
>
> That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
>consequences are yours to bear.
>
>Steven J Robeson

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW

WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2726. stvie shit filled threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 06:56:52 UTC
From: steverobeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2v4vq1tml7laqn1sl4a6sb52vdvk5iinn4@4ax.com>
Source record: 128498

On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

>
>"taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>Sheriff's Office.

what line?
>
> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.

so let him contact me
>
> I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
>apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
>December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move against
>you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
>court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an Attorney
>in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
>them on my behalf.

obviously you have retained an attorney in michigan he would not
advise hat you demand the imppoisble
>
> Said apology will also appear in RRAP.

no apology is due you
>
> That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
>consequences are yours to bear.
>
>Steven J Robeson

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2727. more stinking shit form the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 07:37:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135582670.209564.53060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128492

Iitoi wrote:
> Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, has declared himself a country. Morgan, who now
> goes by the name Zush, says he got the idea from a schizophrenic he met
> in a mental hospital where he was being treated last October.
enough siad

2728. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 07:44:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135583085.635771.35210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128493

K4YZ wrote:
> "taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> Sheriff's Office.
>
> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
> I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
> apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
> December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move against
> you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
> court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an Attorney
> in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
> them on my behalf.

apology for what? treating you as you have treated countless others

I am Sorry BTW it was nessary to use such measures against you I am not
sory I have done so

apolize to to piece of shit that think RAPE is funny/

you are nuts clears and obviously lying some more

2729. why did stvei lie about sending a package 5 dec to houghton county

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 09:12:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135588324.824117.287870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128500

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 2 Dec 2005 04:02:17 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
cut

> > becuase stevie say so?
>
> Nope.
>
> Because you've proven to anyone with an IQ over 7 that you're a
> liar of immense proportion.

you have proven your self quite liar
>
> > I am far more honest than you. that is a simple fact
>
> Not yesterday.
yes yesterday
>
> Not today.

today
>
> And I seriously doubt tomorrow will be any different.

i am always more honest than you even when I am lying i include more
the truth than you do
cut

> > I certainly have been investagtted for elder abuse
> >
> > why becuase someone made the false charge
>
> It wasn't false.

sure was
>
> > was that someone you steve? maye
>
> "maye" not, Markie.
>
> I don't make "anonymous" complaints, Markie. I thoroughly
> document my concerns, package them professionally, and sign my name.
>
> > I have also been investagatted for making an alleged death threats
> > that charge was found to be flase, and you made that one

>
> Nope. Not yet, anyway. The package for Houghton County is out
> via Priority Mail today.

realy and yet on
quote
On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote: A Last
WARNING To Mark Morgan

>
>"taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>Sheriff's Office.

what line?
unquote

you wrote that it will be made meaning one of these statemetnt by you
is a lie
flsuh the rest

2730. why did stvie lie here too about houghton county

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 09:13:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135588428.122141.309190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128501

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > quote
> > > > > > Markie, the only "threat" here is to USE the force of LAW.
> > > > > > unquote
> > > > > >
> > > > > > stevei now admit to making threats
> > > > > >
> > > > > It's only a "threat" if you're breaking the law.
> > > > >
> > > > nope it is threat regardless of what I doing
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > yes it is a threat
> > >
> > > If you're not breaking the law, you have nothing to worry about.
> > >
> > I am not breakingthe law, I have very little to worry about
> >
> > however this is mere diverstion that you are maing empty thrats does
> > not change there status as threats
> > >
> > > If you ARE breaking the law...well...then ...Partner, you HAVE
> > > something to worry about.
> > >
> > more lies I am not your partner/ Why do you solict sex from me I have
> > turned you down so many times
> > >
> > But I have little to worry about from you
>
> You're right.
>
> But you DO have issues with Houghton County Sheriff's department.

on the first I had issues but he sent the proity mail package on the
5th and yet still had done nothing by the 23rd

tell the truth about something stveie
>
> Have fun.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2731. why does stveie think repeating the same lie over and over is frighten me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 09:20:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135588846.465000.197290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128502

why?

2732. steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 10:15:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135592151.403028.262930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128503

indeed every word spelled right

2733. Re: FAQ - Repeated because the issues are too important to let the Mongolian Hordes of CBers shout them down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 10:55:47 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135594547.622389.316040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128504
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jonz wrote:
> bald tossing ????? is this some sort of pommie sport??........j

I think he must've meant "dwarf tossing"

See http://archives.cnn.com/2002/WORLD/europe/09/27/dwarf.throwing/

Merry Christmas!
Mike G4KFK

2734. steve you do need to respond to this But only in the unlikely event your are not lying again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 10:58:23 UTC
From: taelot_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b1jvq1hoci97neupcecccjegehjgpjlj2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 128505

who is your attorney so we don't discard his/her letter with the junk
mail

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2735. stvei tell me who your attorney is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 11:01:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135594879.604167.4310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128506

and then he can be reffered to mine attorney here and then you must
stopp al cotact with me esp here on RRAP

now we all know this is lie so grow up

2736. Re: steve you do need to respond to this But only in the unlikely event your are not lying again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 11:03:46 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ufjvq155924ks4qsepvkvkdgih49lgrr16@4ax.com>
Source record: 128507

On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 05:58:23 -0500, taelot_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:

>who is your attorney so we don't discard his/her letter with the junk
>mail
>
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>
>BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
>Mark Morgan
>
>k4yz@aol.com
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
so very sorry about this mispling of te addy I'l get right now
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2737. Re: well it is a low blow but called for IMO head up stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 11:26:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135596364.204414.104220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128508

an_old_friend wrote:
> wait for it I am sure you will know what i typing about when you see it
>
> if you don't want your kids smeared don't invole those of others
>
> It will not last long as I hardly have the stomach for it

well it apera as if I have found the mark after all was thining stveie
was too hard headed for me

2738. of stveie can stop what he sees as the abuse of his daughter's memery but he doesn't want to stop it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 11:34:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135596881.857900.131390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128509

all he has to do is apologize for" raped an old firend" and his other
forgeies, and stop them in future

indeed it doesn't even have to be all that sincere of an apology in any
case i will hardly belive it evn if it happens

agree to stop making references to people's family and sex lifes,
physcial or mental health

or of course if he prefers he can leave RRAP if want this to stop
without apologzing that will work too although it take awhile

the future is up to him

2739. Re: Bringing Wood & Douglas into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 11:56:54 UTC
From: jonz <someone@somewhere.com.au>
Message-ID: <43afdad2$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 128510
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135419846.147619.172090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> If you do not wish for there to be a right of reply, then you
> would be better served if you do not contribute at all.
>
> I did not say that you do not live up to my "ideal radio amateur";
> in fact, I do not even use the term.
>
> To the contrary, from what you have posted recently, you do
> seem to be a _REAL_ Radio Ham in (most of) the best
> traditions thereto.
>
> A Merry (Belated) Solstice to you, also.
> the word is christmas mr backdown.....hehe.....j
> G4ZWI wrote:
> > If I am in error, sir, I will stand corrected. I believe, however, most
> > people following this thread would conclude your post 89 was directed
> > to me, as I did.
> > I am sorry if I do not live up to your ideal radio amateur, and wish
> > you once again,
> > Merry Christmas.
> > (Please do not respond to this post, as I have no intention of
> > following this thread further)
> > Fred Cooper G4ZWI
>

2740. Re: well it is a low blow but called for IMO head up stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 12:02:20 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <doom48$r06$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128511

an_old_friend wrote:
> [shitflush]

you head up ass. look forward to see you in court.

2741. thread killed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 12:04:17 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <doom7s$r06$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128512

```
taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> On Sun, 25 Dec 2005 18:03:35 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
> wrote:
>
>
>>taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
>>[shit flushed]
>>
>>turminate this one to. must be done.
>
>
> aha yes more stevei shit
[shit flushed]
aha yes more mark shit
```

2742. thread killed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 12:05:43 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <doomak$r06$3@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128513

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> [shit flushed]
you dont matter. stfu.
```

2743. thread killed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 12:08:47 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <doomgd$r06$4@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128514

taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
>[shit flushed]
stfu

2744. looking for worf on star trek - end of thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 12:16:08 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <doomu3$s10$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128515

an_old_friend wrote:
> indeed every word spelled right
>
lmfao at aof

2745. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 13:53:52 UTC
From: rv <rv@santahodxb.net>
Message-ID: <dooskp$ug9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pretty nasty hangover you have today Hans? Maybe you had a
little too much "cheer" over at the Legion Hall?
LOL

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:kHKrf.70$Hl6.49@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
>
>>
>> You're using the variable "r" for two very different and unrelated
>> quantites, "right" as in "starboard" and "right" as in "correct", hence
>> turning your proof into poop.
>>
>
> No shit, Dick Tracy!
>
> Since I have you beat by two pay grades, I guess I won't worry about your
> poopy attitude.
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy
>
>
>
>

2746. Re: Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 14:47:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135608424.504806.203770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128517

2Test Roger wrote:
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Dumbfuck not only gets the number of times Roger was retested wrong
(in his headers) he makes up words like "2test". But what can you
expect from somebody who can't even pass a simple 5 wpm morse code
test.

> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:Ckmrf.320$qg.112@news02.roc.ny...
> > Hello, Len
> >
> > Merry Christmas to you too. Glad to see at least one post in the group
> > that
> > isn't flaming. :)
> >
> > 73 and Merry Christmas from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
>
>
> Right, and you are as pure & white as the wind-driven snow,
> when it comes to flaming.
> ROTFLMAO!

PKB, fuckhead.

2747. Re: more stinking heaps of lying stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 14:49:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135608545.374251.208770@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128518

Die of your aids, cocksucker.

2748. Re: Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 14:54:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135608876.925505.212090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128519

Tammy wrote:
> Super Sleuth 2Test has proven himself to be a junior Dick Tracy of the

Super chickenshit Tammy has proven to be a cocksucker of the highest
order.

> highest order. According to 2Test, Commander Buzz Corey is none other than
> N9PGE.

"2Test" <---- Dumbass makes good spell!

> I've always wondered who The Commander is and now I know. Thank you 2Test
> for your shining example of detective work and filling the rest of us in.

Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies. Now, tell us
why your parents even though they are divorced, didn't want you around
this xmas.

> In light of this new revelation, and since it is apparent that The Commander
> rankles (that means "causing irritation", Roger) vertically challenged Woger

BWHAHAHAHA Coming from some short fat fuck (You are 5'6") Davies, that
is ironic.

> 2Test, I think I'll look up N9PGE's callsign and send a Christmas card his
> way. I'll tell him The Dwarf sent me.

Naturally, you don't have the balls to use your own identity. Coward.

BTW: Enjoy the meat you crave so much, cocksucker.
http://www.big-cocks-jokes.com/images/big-penis.jpg

2749. Re: Reality Check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 14:59:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135609195.178910.245980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128520

Dumbass Davies wrote:
> lets ("Let's" watch as Lardass fucks up and shows why all he could get was a G.E.D. again)

And you can't get anything but the training wheel license, Davies.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

From: "Lloyd, N0VFP" <davies.lloyd@NOSPAMmcleod.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Would like a tutor to help with Code
year: 1999
In article <6t6nto$gtm1@odie.mcleod.net>, "Lloyd, N0VFP"
<davies.lloyd@NOSPAMmcleodusa.net> writes:

Hello,
I am going to our club's Novice/Tech class, in which they said they
will
emphizse more on CW this time around. I would like to upgrade my
liecense
soon, at lease 5wpm or 13wpm. My probelm is that I tried for 6 years
now,
but can't seem to get past a certain point in the code, say up to a
certain
letter, then get stuck and get confused.
I have the ARRL tapes, Gorden West tapes and several CW programs
including
Super morse. I know of several programs like Code Quick, but not
really
willing to spend the money on them. I you have a used copy to sell me,
I'd
consider it.

Thanks for reading this, Any help is welcome.

73
Lloyd Davies, N0VFP
n0vfp@NOSPAM.qsl.net
http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp

2750. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 16:06:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135613175.407087.145850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
>
> > You're using the variable "r" for two very different and unrelated
> > quantites, "right" as in "starboard" and "right" as in "correct", hence
> > turning your proof into poop.
>
> No shit, Dick Tracy!

> Since I have you beat by two pay grades,

Sorry, Hans, but you can't pull rank on RRAP.

2751. Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 16:43:04 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135615384.371675.193900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128522
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It seems that anyone of those who are involved
in any way with childrens' organisations or with
the inducing of children to tackle the kindergarten
entrance examination (that is represented by
the 6-year-olds' qualification of the M3/CB Fools'
Licence) exhibit behavioural traits - infantile
outbursts, deliberately insulting tirades and
vicious temper tantrums - that one would expect only
from the children in their care.

Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
to have anything to do with such mental derangees
and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
way?

Only then, by making it clear that young children are
not, and cannot be in any way, part of the society
of adult technocrats that is Radio Hammery will be be
able to influence them not to attempt to join us until
they have achieved maturity?

Word will soon get around if children who have been
conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
speak to them.

2752. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 16:54:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hfVrf.3094$R84.265@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128523
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote

>
> Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
> to have anything to do with such mental derangees
> and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
> 16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
> way?
>
> Only then, by making it clear that young children are
> not, and cannot be in any way, part of the society
> of adult technocrats that is Radio Hammery will be be
> able to influence them not to attempt to join us until
> they have achieved maturity?
>

A pommie version of Len Anderson!

Beep beep
Happy Boxer Day

de Hans, K0HB

2753. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 16:57:02 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135616222.358494.323760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128524
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:
> Word will soon get around if children who have been
> conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
> for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
> speak to them.

The only Billy No-Mates around here is you, you pathetic loser.

Mike G4KFK

2754. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 16:59:59 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <jkVrf.34397$8v6.1398@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128525
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135615384.371675.193900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It seems that anyone of those who are involved
> in any way with childrens' organisations or with
> the inducing of children to tackle the kindergarten
> entrance examination (that is represented by
> the 6-year-olds' qualification of the M3/CB Fools'
> Licence) exhibit behavioural traits - infantile
> outbursts, deliberately insulting tirades and
> vicious temper tantrums - that one would expect only
> from the children in their care.
>
> Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
> to have anything to do with such mental derangees
> and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
> 16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
> way?
>
> Only then, by making it clear that young children are
> not, and cannot be in any way, part of the society
> of adult technocrats that is Radio Hammery will be be
> able to influence them not to attempt to join us until
> they have achieved maturity?
>
> Word will soon get around if children who have been
> conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
> for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
> speak to them.
>
Agreed......

2755. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:02:36 UTC
From: realradioham <realradioham@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <43b02229$1_1@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 128526
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

OK I'm standing, what can we do? the problem is not the M3's but the system that let this farce happen. I don't agree with your sentiment that all M3's are freeloaders, that may have been the case when first introduced but not now. The only way into the hobby is via the new 3 step licensing but there is no real incentive to advance, a two year limit creating the provisional M3 licence would be a start - restriction of bands as in the good old us of a would be another.

rrh
"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135615384.371675.193900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It seems that anyone of those who are involved
> in any way with childrens' organisations or with
> the inducing of children to tackle the kindergarten
> entrance examination (that is represented by
> the 6-year-olds' qualification of the M3/CB Fools'
> Licence) exhibit behavioural traits - infantile
> outbursts, deliberately insulting tirades and
> vicious temper tantrums - that one would expect only
> from the children in their care.
>
> Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
> to have anything to do with such mental derangees
> and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
> 16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
> way?
>
> Only then, by making it clear that young children are
> not, and cannot be in any way, part of the society
> of adult technocrats that is Radio Hammery will be be
> able to influence them not to attempt to join us until
> they have achieved maturity?
>
> Word will soon get around if children who have been
> conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
> for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
> speak to them.
>

2756. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:04:53 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135616693.566771.292920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128527
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.scouting.misc,alt.scouting,uk.rec.scouting

You continue to utter gratuitous and deliberately offensive and
insulting personal remarks in a public and international
forum, when there were no personal issues _AT ALL_
in the thread before you polluted it with your
"customary delusional hatemail", to use
your own phrase.

You are hoist by your own petard.

Once again, you show yourself to be an adult whose behavioural
traits indicate an unsuitability for association with any childrens'
organisations such as the scouting movement.

No-one asked you or prompted you to originate the
rather silly and infantile outburst that is quoted below.
It came entirely from the atributes of your psyche.

m...@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Pierian Spring wrote:
> > Word will soon get around if children who have been
> > conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
> > for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
> > speak to them.
>
> The only Billy No-Mates around here is you, you pathetic loser.
>
> Mike G4KFK

2757. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:06:39 UTC
From: wankel <wankel@nospam.bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <43b02280$0$32355$6d36acad@taz.nntpserver.com>
Source record: 128528
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<mike@g4kfk.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135616222.358494.323760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>> Word will soon get around if children who have been
>> conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
>> for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
>> speak to them.
>
> The only Billy No-Mates around here is you, you pathetic loser.
>
> Mike G4KFK
>
.Me thinks maybe too much attention was given him from the bell ringing
clergy when he was a younger child. Now that he is an older child he is in
denial.

2758. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:11:22 UTC
From: Pierian Spring <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135617082.685685.63330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128529
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mr.D.Lawson continues to demonstrate an infantile psyche.....

wankel wrote:
>.Me thinks maybe too much attention was given him from the bell ringing
> clergy when he was a younger child. Now that he is an older child he is in
> denial.

2759. It's time to abolish the Federal Communications Commission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:21:05 UTC
From: GARETH <VK4EGE@10-4goodbuddy.com>
Message-ID: <43b02610$0$20951$6d36acad@roc.nntpserver.com>
Source record: 128530
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.scouting.misc,alt.scouting,uk.rec.scouting,sci.math,uk.telecom.voip,nl.filosofie,uk.radio.amateur.cb,alt.gareth.evans.cb

The reason is simple. The venerable FCC, created in 1934, is no longer necessary.

Its justification for existence was weak 70 years ago, but advances in technology since then have eliminated whatever arguments remained. Central planning didn't work for the Soviet Union, and it's not working for us. The FCC is now an agency that does more harm than good.

2760. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:22:46 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GFVrf.46764$Cj5.28474@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128531
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pierian Spring wrote:

> Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
> to have anything to do with such mental derangees
> and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
> 16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
> way?

Too complicated OM. Just refuse to have anything to do with any M3,
works fine for me.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

2761. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:26:35 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <fJVrf.10390$nm.4008@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128532

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:rvSdnRi15YIMAzDeRVn-vw@comcast.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:b7crf.9801$nm.6265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:OY6dnXvgpZrwXzHenZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@comcast.com...
>>> And a biggie is how is a simpler entry level license going to get people
>>> into the hobby if the don't even know it exists! I've not had a single
>>> person tell me the licensing requirements were too hard or not worth the
>>> effort. On the other hand, I get a lot of "ham radio? What's that?"
>>
>> Making ham radio known to potential newcomers is ALL OUR
>> RESPONSIBILITY if we care about amateur service
>> continuing in the future. Today's licensing process is a
>> hodgepodge of 3 licenses which really (IMHO) don't
>> provide a very logical path from new beginner to
>> Extra. That's the problem I see all of us actually
>> discussing here. How do we make it better and
>> more logical?
>>
>> Merry Christmas to all.
>> Bill K2UNK
>
> Until we potential recruits to worry about, a "better and more logical"
> path is rather a moot point.
>
> I've talked about ham radio with my friends and co-workers. Not one has
> yet asked how to get a license.
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

But that is no different than many other interests, sports and/or
hobbies that are somewhat outside of the mainstream of
lots of folks. I have no illusions as to my getting anymore
than an occasional friend or person into amateur radio. The
same is true for all sorts of other interest areas. In fact,
I'd say that there really aren't many truly mainstream
activities that attract large numbers other than watching
TV. Even golf does not garner tremendous numbers of
actual players on any given Saturday or Sunday.

When I suggest that WE (all of us that are hams) need to
be advocates for ham radio and, when the opportunity
does present itself, then we should be as helpful as we

can in aiding a friend or co-worker who does exhibit
some interest in ham radio.

Cheers,
Hope eveyone had a Merry Christmas,
Bill K2UNK

2762. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:31:59 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <jOVrf.3030$W4.1403@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128533
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"pointyhead" <ftscum@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:GFVrf.46764$Cj5.28474@newsfe6-win.ntli.net...
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>
> > Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
> > to have anything to do with such mental derangees
> > and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
> > 16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
> > way?
>
> Too complicated OM. Just refuse to have anything to do with any M3,
> works fine for me.
>
> --
> Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

me too......

2763. Re: well it is a low blow but called for IMO head up stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:55:45 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ckb0r1p23th6r8nvr7n3hv57ud9hmgk5cs@4ax.com>
Source record: 128535

On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 06:02:20 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> [shitflush]
>
>you head up ass. look forward to see you in court.

just confess to your forgerystevie

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2764. Re: more of stevie's effort at control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:55:50 UTC
From: Billy Smith <striker06@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <G8Wrf.9809$3Z.723@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Isn't that the truth. Grow the hell up.

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1135055349.589073.235100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>> > ARE YOU THE MONORATOR???
>>
>> "monorator"...?!?!
>
> grow up
>>
>> > ANSWER NO, SO #### OFF!!!
>>
>> More of that expensive Illinois college education going to waste.
>> Or maybe the money was spent on anime comic books instead...?!?!
>
> grow up
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

2765. poor work form the asshole of of Rrap steie the forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:57:40 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <qnb0r194s6hvhcdlo75r6rqr14jqgg5ubp@4ax.com>
Source record: 128536

On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 06:04:17 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
wrote:

>taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
>> On Sun, 25 Dec 2005 18:03:35 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>[shit flushed]
>>>
>>>turminate this one to. must be done.
>>
>>
>> aha yes more stevei shit
>[shit flushed]
>aha yes more mark shit

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2766. poor asshole stevie can dish it out but not take it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:58:11 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <tob0r198m9a3uranjsupj258hcbv2252qe@4ax.com>
Source record: 128537

On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 06:05:43 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> [shit flushed]
>you dont matter. stfu.

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2767. ah poor stebie can't deal with reality

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 17:58:28 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <mpb0r191t5qn6aujtnelt0gmfm3e871u1b@4ax.com>
Source record: 128538

On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 06:08:47 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
wrote:

>taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> >[shit flushed]
>stfu

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2768. thread killed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:12:44 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dopbqt$in4$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128539

Morgan shit flushed.

2769. thread killed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:15:56 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dopc0n$iu2$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128540

Flussssssssssh

2770. thread killed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:16:37 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dopc20$iu2$3@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128541

Morgan shit flushed

2771. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:19:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <quWrf.3114$R84.2363@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote

>
> But it's so long since you retired, Hans, that your final pay for the
> week was probably $2 and a tot of Navy Rum!
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

And a sympathy lap-dance from your mum.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2772. Re: why does stevie lie about BB answer the fucking questionasshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:22:13 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7nc0r1172d09eroqlf67hurf1tlb7vsd99@4ax.com>
Source record: 128543

On Sat, 03 Dec 2005 13:41:55 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>when are you going to start telling the truth about people
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>
>k4yz@aol.com
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2773. Re: Why does Stevie lie about Todd, answer the fucking question asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:25:03 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <unc0r19lil5f9sgsaekg9408m16ob670bo@4ax.com>
Source record: 128544

On Sat, 03 Dec 2005 14:31:20 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>when are you going to start telling the truth about people
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>
>k4yz@aol.com
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2774. Re: Stevie thinks STD are spread over the internet? why do thinks this ashole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:28:21 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <hoc0r19vloloc54h7dcqt7126l2j13tb4e@4ax.com>
Source record: 128545

On Sat, 03 Dec 2005 14:35:38 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>
>rom stevie
>>I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
>>about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who
>>thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?
> Stevie claims he wants no contact with me (but why then he contiue to
>send me threatening email?)
>
>but claims to faer contracting an STD from me
>
>First I don't have one but that is beside the point
>
>two he has to have contact with me to get one if I did
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>
>k4yz@aol.com
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2775. Re: why doesn't stevie get treatment for his sexual obsessions? answer the question asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:32:08 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2pc0r15np5vqbt3noqk61u4vpdrn7i5utk@4ax.com>
Source record: 128546

On Sat, 03 Dec 2005 14:39:56 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>when will stevie admit sexuality has nothing to do with radio
>everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders
>
>he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
>he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
>STEVEN J ROBESON
>151 12TH AVE NW
>WINCHESTER TN 37398
>931-967-6282
>
>k4yz@aol.com
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
after all you should have insrance

all it would cost is is admiting that you have a problem

but that small thing beyond your abiliity
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2776. Re: Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was Asking For It". why did my daddy tell thisl lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:35:55 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <orc0r1tv4auc9gcpt7qeid7ql2dqk1b0nh@4ax.com>
Source record: 128547

On 20 Oct 2005 02:31:02 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Jun 29 1998, 3:00 am
>> >rec.aviation.homebuilt
>> a post from Stevie
>> >> There are worse ways to die, you know..
>> >
>> >
>> >Living death...marriage
>> >Steve Robeson,LPN
>> >Amateur Call K4YZ
>> >Enjoying all facets of the Radio Communications Hobby Since 1962
>> >NCS TN SSB Net 3980Khz@2330 on Thursdays
>>
>> equating Marriage with living death what a hoot
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Me and every stand up comedian in the US, Markie. Better start
>"busting the chops" of David Letterman, Jay Leno, etc etc etc...
>
> Oh...And the Subject line?
>
> Well, it was about as truthful as anything YOU were saying.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2777. Re: Mark Morgan Accused Of Another Molestation why does my dady lie badly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:40:13 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fsc0r1lppdq0r5b9s0dgohip773pv3vfa3@4ax.com>
Source record: 128548

On 20 Oct 2005 02:24:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
>> >Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
>> >Cecil,
>> >
>> >
>> > >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code test,
>> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
>> >
>> > You and I.
>> >
>> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
>
> "really"
>
> You have too much time on your hands, Markie.
>
> Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2778. why stevie lie like this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:45:04 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8tc0r1p4j05qdcl2ihjqh0qih99u5ul0t5@4ax.com>
Source record: 128549

On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> Stevie you said you provide the contact info for your nursing if I
>> wanted well Ihae posted that I want it
>
> Well, Markie, unlike you I don't read all of your posts in every
>thread. It makes me nauseous.

yes you do you can't help it it seems
flsuh

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2779. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:54:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <o46dnXbTE4IBoS3enZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 128550

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:fJVrf.10390$nm.4008@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

[snip]

> But that is no different than many other interests, sports and/or
> hobbies that are somewhat outside of the mainstream of
> lots of folks. I have no illusions as to my getting anymore
> than an occasional friend or person into amateur radio. The
> same is true for all sorts of other interest areas. In fact,
> I'd say that there really aren't many truly mainstream
> activities that attract large numbers other than watching
> TV. Even golf does not garner tremendous numbers of
> actual players on any given Saturday or Sunday.
>
> When I suggest that WE (all of us that are hams) need to
> be advocates for ham radio and, when the opportunity
> does present itself, then we should be as helpful as we
> can in aiding a friend or co-worker who does exhibit
> some interest in ham radio.
>
> Cheers,
> Hope eveyone had a Merry Christmas,
> Bill K2UNK
>

Definitely agree with you on these points. Although ham radio seems to
suffer more from the fact that people haven't heard about it where they have
at least heard of the other non-mainstream activities.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2780. why did stevie lie here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 18:55:22 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <m2d0r1djev1kriinacm3r7c002d1nhktio@4ax.com>
Source record: 128551

On 30 Nov 2005 14:03:19 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
>>
>> claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
>> he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
>> Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
>
> Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
>derranged behaviour for days, now, and now create an absolute lie that
>even Brian and Lennie know to be false.

just how do len and bb know what I am thinking?

>
> Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
>gone?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2781. more lie in steve the assholes Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 19:00:48 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <15d0r1p9agoda5ja9boks2rriurcopbio2@4ax.com>
Source record: 128552

On 1 Dec 2005 08:11:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> not a pretty sight I agree, but stevie makes it plain. I have the
>> choice between seeing it strewn with Stevie lies about me, todd, frank
>> bb, len, the law comon decentcy or I can try to cover all of that with
>> clean truthfull dirt on Stevie
>
> There's nothing clean NOR truthful about you, Markie.

I am always more honest than you

after I know the deffeence between lying and telling telling the truth

you don't
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2782. the mystic calims be able to see drinking at more than a 1000 miles straight from the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 19:06:51 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <n8d0r1d8413tf42gnb36rmnptbooat86qp@4ax.com>
Source record: 128553

On 5 Dec 2005 04:11:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?
>
> Sober up, Markie.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
whn you going to pay up?

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2783. more stive shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 19:11:32 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <h1g0r1lsg09sbtpluk81ee8ocf7sa8d378@4ax.com>
Source record: 128554

dig me up an play wiht me

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2784. Re: still more shit fro the asshoole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 19:26:54 UTC
From: rv <rv@santahodxb.net>
Message-ID: <dopg3r$su6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:quWrf.3114$R84.2363@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote
>
>>
>> But it's so long since you retired, Hans, that your final pay for the
>> week was probably $2 and a tot of Navy Rum!
>>
>> 73 de G3NYY
>>
>> --
>> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com
>
> And a sympathy lap-dance from your mum.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Than is a worn out retort of yours Hans. Ask the guys down at
the Legion Bar for some new lines.
LOL!

2785. Re: my mom tells me this peom at bedtine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 19:30:39 UTC
From: Billy Smith <striker06@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zxXrf.10415$nm.5501@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Talking about a certain amateur from Tennessee or the one from West Virginia

"an_old_friend" <kb9rqz@hasmat.org > wrote in message
news:ggtgq11f3pk5toj2g7jmbtssdr8ejilqks@4ax.com...
> My ass cheese is green,
> My ass cheese is black,
> My ass cheese smells,
> Like my husbands nutsack.
>
> My lips smell like fish,
> My lips smell like eggs,
> My lips dangle down
> Way beneath my legs.
>
> My pussy runs hot,
> My pussy runs cold,
> My pussy looks rotten,
> But it is quite old.
>
> The more I scratch it,
> The more sores I create,
> The more I rub it,
> The more I masturbate.
>
> My twat oozes yellow,
> My twat oozes green.
> My twat has been used,
> By a whole football team.
>
> My warty puss looks good,
> When it's hot, warm and wet.
> My warty puss feels yummy,
> When it's licked by my pet.
>
>
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2786. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 19:32:40 UTC
From: rv <rv@santahodxb.net>
Message-ID: <dopgemudl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128557

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:o46dnXbTE4IBoS3enZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:fJVrf.10390$nm.4008@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> [snip]
>
>> But that is no different than many other interests, sports and/or
>> hobbies that are somewhat outside of the mainstream of
>> lots of folks. I have no illusions as to my getting anymore
>> than an occasional friend or person into amateur radio. The
>> same is true for all sorts of other interest areas. In fact,
>> I'd say that there really aren't many truly mainstream
>> activities that attract large numbers other than watching
>> TV. Even golf does not garner tremendous numbers of
>> actual players on any given Saturday or Sunday.
>>
>> When I suggest that WE (all of us that are hams) need to
>> be advocates for ham radio and, when the opportunity
>> does present itself, then we should be as helpful as we
>> can in aiding a friend or co-worker who does exhibit
>> some interest in ham radio.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Hope eveyone had a Merry Christmas,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>
> Definitely agree with you on these points. Although ham radio seems to
> suffer more from the fact that people haven't heard about it where they
> have at least heard of the other non-mainstream activities.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Increase the ham radio population. Graduate another class of
10-4 trash from CB. The FCC & ARRL sold out ham radio
decades ago in order to fill their own bank accounts. Wake up!

2787. Re: Dear Santa give Dr. Whore a cure for his cocksucking lisp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 19:34:34 UTC
From: Billy Smith <nnone@none2.com>
Message-ID: <eBXrf.10416$nm.7915@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey Woger, did you ever finish those flunky degrees you claimed to receive

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1135193920.590331.125000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr Whore wrote:
>> On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>> > > ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
>> >
>> > What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
>> > your monosyllable utterings?
>>
>> The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
>
> As if you'd know, pizza boy mentally ill retard, you couldn't pass high
> school and had to get a G.E.D. He only had one uttering, DUMBASS, so it
> is in the singular tense, not plural,
> DUMBASS!!
>

2788. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 19:51:20 UTC
From: AmateurCB <AmateurCB@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41avsmF1dmfdnU1@individual.net>
Source record: 128559
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135615384.371675.193900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It seems that anyone of those who are involved ...
>

The difference between licence categories is now merely a sop to the few hams who still retain their licences and want to pull-up-the-ladder behind them in a pathetic attempt to feel superior. This is what you have just said this in several paragraphs, but my one brief sentence says it more plainly.

Having several licence classes from CB upwards (is that the right word?) is an administrative nonsense. Ofcom has already realised this, aided greatly by RSGB's incompetent bungling in trying to maintain its jobs.

The arbitary categories ARE on the way out, regardless of what you or I may say about it. We have only to wait and see.

2789. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 20:19:02 UTC
From: GARETH <VK4EGE@10-4goodbuddy.com>
Message-ID: <43b04fc5$0$20937$6d36acad@roc.nntpserver.com>
Source record: 128560
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AmateurCB" <AmateurCB@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1135615384.371675.193900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > It seems that anyone of those who are involved ...
> >
>
> The difference between licence categories is now merely a sop to the few
> hams who still retain their licences and want to pull-up-the-ladder
> behind them in a pathetic attempt to feel superior. This is what you have
> just said this in several paragraphs, but my one brief sentence says it
> more plainly.
>
> Having several licence classes from CB upwards (is that the right word?)
> is an administrative nonsense. Ofcom has already realised this, aided
> greatly by RSGB's incompetent bungling in trying to maintain its jobs.
>
> The arbitary categories ARE on the way out, regardless of what you or I
> may say about it. We have only to wait and see.
>
I agree with you AmateurCB, there should only be ONE license class!

2790. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 20:27:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135628864.784306.209800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128561

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >"taelor_robeson"
>
> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> >be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> >Sheriff's Office.
> >
> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
> Bullshit. The first thing any attorney would do is tell you to make no
> contact with the other party except through your attorney.

Frank, I've been thinking about this, and you're right. An attorneey
would tell him that.

But what makes you think that Steve would actually listen to the advice
of his lawyer? Steve is a bully, and he would expect his lawyer to get
him out of whatever trouble his mouth got him into. Notice his need to
have Mark publish an apology on RRAP. Pure ego.

The next
> thing he would do is browse your posting history and drop you like a
> hot potato.

Maybe an attorney in the Frozen North needs to pay his heating bill and
would actually represent a bozo loke Steve regardless of how idiotic he
is.

2791. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 20:33:57 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <5_SdnVDWz-8ozi3eRVnyiA@giganews.com>
Source record: 128562
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.scouting.misc,alt.scouting,uk.rec.scouting

Pierian Spring wrote:
> You continue to utter gratuitous and deliberately offensive and
> insulting personal remarks in a public and international
> forum, when there were no personal issues _AT ALL_
> in the thread before you polluted it with your
> "customary delusional hatemail", to use
> your own phrase.
>
> You are hoist by your own petard.
>

If the scouting groups want Gareth Alun Evans, G4SDW to STOP cross posting to your groups, I strongly suggest each and everyone of you sends an abuse report of all his messages, with headers, to abuse@network-i.net ,his ISP. Gareth operates with a fixed IP of 212.21.118.235 in his headers so should make it easier for network i to close his account.

Yours in Scouting,

--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2792. Re: Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 20:45:47 UTC
From: 2Test Roger <2Test@qrmretestedboy.org>
Message-ID: <dopknngvh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128563

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1135608424.504806.203770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> 2Test Roger wrote:
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> Dumbfuck not only gets the number of times Roger was retested wrong
> (in his headers) he makes up words like "2test". But what can you
> expect from somebody who can't even pass a simple 5 wpm morse code
> test.
>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:Ckmrf.320$qg.112@news02.roc.ny...
>> > Hello, Len
>> >
>> > Merry Christmas to you too. Glad to see at least one post in the group
>> > that
>> > isn't flaming. :)
>> >
>> > 73 and Merry Christmas from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>>
>>
>> Right, and you are as pure & white as the wind-driven snow,
>> when it comes to flaming.
>> ROTFLMAO!
>
> PKB, fuckhead.
>

<YAWN> 2Test whines, whines, & whines.......ad nauseam

2793. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 20:54:34 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <43b05812.27881974@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128564
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote:

time?

it was time long ago.

i'm glad to say that my VALID qsl card 'box' remains free of any
undesirable hf contacts.

2794. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 20:56:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <43b058c0.28055278@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128565
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AmateurCB" <AmateurCB@yahoo.com> wrote:

> "Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1135615384.371675.193900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > It seems that anyone of those who are involved ...
> >
>
> The difference between licence categories is now merely a sop to the few
> hams who still retain their licences and want to pull-up-the-ladder behind
> them in a pathetic attempt to feel superior. This is what you have just said
> this in several paragraphs, but my one brief sentence says it more plainly.
>
> Having several licence classes from CB upwards (is that the right word?) is
> an administrative nonsense. Ofcom has already realised this, aided greatly
> by RSGB's incompetent bungling in trying to maintain its jobs.
>
> The arbitary categories ARE on the way out, regardless of what you or I may
> say about it. We have only to wait and see.
>

hmmm....

- - - - - - - - - -

From: "Brian Reay" <brian.reay@bigXXXX.com>
Subject: Re: FULL DETAILS OF NEW LICENCE PROPOSALS ON RA SITE
Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2001 19:58:32 +0100

The new announced is totally irrational and, at last, finally exposes the
nonsense of the morse requirement. A Foundation Licensee could no way learn
morse to 5wpm (as well as the other material) in a weekend BUT he will be
let loose on HF. A far better qualified B licensee is denied access because
morse is required for HF access!

2795. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 20:56:45 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <43b058f6.28109389@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128566
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"GARETH" <VK4EGE@10-4goodbuddy.com> wrote:

> I agree with you AmateurCB, there should only be ONE license class!

oh dear...

straight against the policy of the rsgb.

2796. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 21:03:46 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SUYrf.15561$f7.11674@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128567
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

GARETH wrote:

> I agree with you AmateurCB, there should only be ONE license class!

So do I, Class A, everything else is CB.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

2797. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 21:08:10 UTC
From: Michael Garner-Superlative <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135631290.550072.305240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128568
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Indeed, and why is it when we observe the
anti-social trends in M3/CB Fools' Licences,
that trend follows the infantile and abusive
histories of those in this NG who have indicated
that thet are part of the training schemes, the Reays,
Gathergoods and Preeces of this world?

Are the L.I.A.R.'s of this world responsible for more
than just technical decline?

Pierian Spring wrote:
> It seems that anyone of those who are involved
> in any way with childrens' organisations or with
> the inducing of children to tackle the kindergarten
> entrance examination (that is represented by
> the 6-year-olds' qualification of the M3/CB Fools'
> Licence) exhibit behavioural traits - infantile
> outbursts, deliberately insulting tirades and
> vicious temper tantrums - that one would expect only
> from the children in their care.

2798. A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 21:17:47 UTC
From: Michael Garner-Superlative <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135631867.248160.169300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128569
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

Gentlemen,

There were email messages from both of you in my in-box
just now. Both messages were deleted unread.

If you have any issues arising from discussion on Usenet, then
Usenet is the place to bring them up, all open and above board.

I am required by google to have a valid email address but I do
not accept unsolicited posts.

In any case, as the email address is treated as a NULL:, I
don't bother to look in there for days at a time, so you're
better off making your points on Usenet!

2799. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 21:19:47 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <DoOdnTQKe8Pvwy3eRVnyig@giganews.com>
Source record: 128570
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael Garner-Superlative wrote:
> Indeed, and why is it when we observe the
> anti-social trends in M3/CB Fools' Licences,
> that trend follows the infantile and abusive
> histories of those in this NG who have indicated
> that thet are part of the training schemes, the Reays,
> Gathergoods and Preeces of this world?
>
> Are the L.I.A.R.'s of this world responsible for more
> than just technical decline?
>
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>> It seems that anyone of those who are involved
>> in any way with childrens' organisations or with
>> the inducing of children to tackle the kindergarten
>> entrance examination (that is represented by
>> the 6-year-olds' qualification of the M3/CB Fools'
>> Licence) exhibit behavioural traits - infantile
>> outbursts, deliberately insulting tirades and
>> vicious temper tantrums - that one would expect only
>> from the children in their care.

Why are you answering your own question?

--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2800. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 21:34:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qsm0r1pilt8ds9nsprmnredflnd1pmj371@4ax.com>
Source record: 128571

On 26 Dec 2005 12:27:44 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1135628864.784306.209800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >"taelor_robeson"
>>
>> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>> >be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>> >Sheriff's Office.
>> >
>> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>>
>> Bullshit. The first thing any attorney would do is tell you to make no
>> contact with the other party except through your attorney.
>
>Frank, I've been thinking about this, and you're right. An attorneey
>would tell him that.
>
>But what makes you think that Steve would actually listen to the advice
>of his lawyer? Steve is a bully, and he would expect his lawyer to get
>him out of whatever trouble his mouth got him into. Notice his need to
>have Mark publish an apology on RRAP. Pure ego.
>
>The next
>> thing he would do is browse your posting history and drop you like a
>> hot potato.
>
>Maybe an attorney in the Frozen North needs to pay his heating bill and
>would actually represent a bozo loke Steve regardless of how idiotic he
>is.

Major Dud isn't going to take any legal action against anyone in the
newsgroup -- he maintains too many personal and professional lies to
risk having them exposed and publically recorded in a court of law. In
fact, his lies about his USMC and EMT 'careers' cast serious doubt on
any claims about his daughter, especially when his idea of 'grief' is
to plaster this sob-story all over the internet and beg for sympathy
and attention; i.e, any legal representative Dudly may have is going
to be a court-appointed attorney.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2801. Re: more stive shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 21:35:46 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6fo0r15avrn26kijvuf18gdhislusnbhfc@4ax.com>
Source record: 128572

On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 14:11:32 -0500, taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote
in <h1g0r1lsg09sbtpluk81ee8ocf7sa8d378@4ax.com>:

>dig me up an play wiht me

ROTFLMMFAO..... now -THAT'S- twisted!!!!!

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2802. Re: Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 21:37:32 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135633052.126149.25710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128573

Tammy wrote:
> Super Sleuth 2Test has proven himself to be a junior Dick Tracy of the
> highest order. According to 2Test, Commander Buzz Corey is none other than
> N9PGE.

LOLOLOL!!! Now that IS funny. Again, it shows that 2test woger hasn't a
clue. All those fumes from cleaning out those port-a-potties is getting
to his crack addled brain.

> I've always wondered who The Commander is and now I know. Thank you 2Test

Sorry, but 2test wogie has led you astray, but then what else to we
expect from 2test?

2803. Re: It's time to abolish the Federal Communications Commission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 21:38:02 UTC
From: AD c <graphi@y.co.uk>
Message-ID: <11r0oleaemcdf19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 128574
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.scouting.misc,alt.scouting,uk.rec.scouting,sci.math,uk.telecom.voip,nl.filosofie,uk.radio.amateur.cb,alt.gareth.evans.cb

GARETH wrote:
> The reason is simple. The venerable FCC, created in 1934, is no longer
> necessary.
>
> Its justification for existence was weak 70 years ago, but advances in
> technology since then have eliminated whatever arguments remained. Central
> planning didn't work for the Soviet Union, and it's not working for us. The
> FCC is now an agency that does more harm than good.
>
>
Wrong country.

2804. Re: Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 21:40:06 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135633206.458789.96400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128575

"Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies"

Wogie is behind the curtains.

"Naturally, you don't have the balls to use your own identity. Coward.
"

Kinda like you 2test.

2805. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:03:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135634637.581270.184360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128576

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 26 Dec 2005 12:27:44 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1135628864.784306.209800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >"taelor_robeson"
> >>
> >> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> >> >be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> >> >Sheriff's Office.
> >> >
> >> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
> >>
> >> Bullshit. The first thing any attorney would do is tell you to make no
> >> contact with the other party except through your attorney.
> >>
> >Frank, I've been thinking about this, and you're right. An attorneey
> >would tell him that.
> >
> >But what makes you think that Steve would actually listen to the advice
> >of his lawyer? Steve is a bully, and he would expect his lawyer to get
> >him out of whatever trouble his mouth got him into. Notice his need to
> >have Mark publish an apology on RRAP. Pure ego.
> >
> >The next
> >> thing he would do is browse your posting history and drop you like a
> >> hot potato.
> >>
> >Maybe an attorney in the Frozen North needs to pay his heating bill and
> >would actually represent a bozo loke Steve regardless of how idiotic he
> >is.
>
>
> Major Dud isn't going to take any legal action against anyone in the
> newsgroup -- he maintains too many personal and professional lies to
> risk having them exposed and publically recorded in a court of law. In
> fact, his lies about his USMC and EMT 'careers' cast serious doubt on
> any claims about his daughter, especially when his idea of 'grief' is
> to plaster this sob-story all over the internet and beg for sympathy
> and attention; i.e, any legal representative Dudly may have is going

> to be a court-appointed attorney.

Steve has the right to remain silent, if only he would.

2806. more long range "drinking" detection'?no it is just another lie from the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:05:05 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fad0r19koa5fofnrtq96tj9up7assveddh@4ax.com>
Source record: 128577

On 7 Dec 2005 05:57:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.rg wrote:
>> On 5 Dec 2005 04:11:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> steve get 100 buck when will pay amsat the money?
>> >
>> > Sober up, Markie.
>>
>> whn you goping to pay up welecher
>
> Sober up Markie, THEN try to tell us what you're saying.
>
> Not before then.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
pay up welcher

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2807. more forgered Mularkie form the asshole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:05:13 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ccd0r11env6oot9iv72r5hvt7h8lc2qocc@4ax.com>
Source record: 128578

On 17 Dec 2005 06:57:02 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys_old_friend wrote:
>
> "Re: poor mr slit or is it staveie hard to tell the difference
>betwen them"
>
> Well, Markie, one thing's for sure...It will NEVER be hard to tell
>YOUR posts from anyone elses.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

stop forgeing stevie and other may stop as well

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2808. lying Mularkie from the ashole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:05:23 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <eed0r1tajdlk0tl1qe6j22pqagsp3hiv2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 128579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 20 Dec 2005 04:18:50 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
>> > > > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > > > > well that isn't me....it's a fake
>> > > > > Todd N9OGL
>> > > > >
>> > > > This is you toad.
>> >
>> > Markie, was there supposed to be some "text" in there, or are you
>> > just labelling anything you care to these days IRT me?
>> >
>> > Makes sense...Middle of the month...Welfare checks aren't due for
>> > two more weeks...Weather's setting in...Not much to do in Chassell, is
>> > there?
>>
>> Idle hands and mind came up with "raped an old friend."
>>
>> But still no explanation or apology for having done it.
>
> An explanation WAS made, and no apology is due.

more lies
>
> Now...about your use of diminutives towards those who don't use
>them IRT you, Brain...?!?! Still no answer?

you don't want it tit for tat

you have proved that
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2809. Re: More Markie Mularkie steve does not even the difference betwen Mark and Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:05:49 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ogd0r15bhcr9tfvte3nrla8kpasvm02bsn@4ax.com>
Source record: 128580

On 19 Dec 2005 21:02:36 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...
>
> And once again the rear-area radio mechanic war hero invokes the
>Tomb of Unknowns for his own gratification.
>
> Putz.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2810. Re: It's time to abolish the Federal Communications Commission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:07:44 UTC
From: David Ames <worldrecord@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1135634864.065017.118320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.math

GARETH wrote:
> The reason is simple. The venerable FCC, created in 1934, is no longer
> necessary.
>
> Its justification for existence was weak 70 years ago, but advances in
> technology since then have eliminated whatever arguments remained. Central
> planning didn't work for the Soviet Union, and it's not working for us. The
> FCC is now an agency that does more harm than good.

The FCC was created by Congress to regulate radio-frequency
transmissions. That purpose is not obsolete. It is included,
moreover, in international treaties or conventions to which the United
States is signatory. Therefore if the FCC is abolished, something else
would have to be creaated to take its place.

I have no idea why you thought this might concern the Usenet group
sci.math. I have omitted the cross-postings to UK and NL because I
have no idea why you cross-posted a US matter to Usenet groups of those
countries.

David Ames

2811. Re: more stive shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:17:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135635446.026776.139750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128582

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 14:11:32 -0500, taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote
> in <h1g0r1lsg09sbtpluk81ee8ocf7sa8d378@4ax.com>:
>
> >dig me up an play wiht me
>
>
>
> ROTFLMMFAO..... now -THAT'S- twisted!!!!!

indeed more stveie forgeies some greif he suffers

yea right
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2812. Re: well it is a low blow but called for IMO head up stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:17:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135635461.727864.48940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128583

taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> wait for it I am sure you will know what i typing
> about when you see it
>
> if you don't want your kids smeared don't invole
> those of others
>
> It will not last long as I hardly have the stomach
> for it
>
try agina

2813. Re: well it is a low blow but called for IMO head up stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:18:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135635496.256855.155430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128584

taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 06:02:20 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
> wrote:
>
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> [shitflush]
> >
> >you head up ass. look forward to see you in court.
>
> just confess to your forgerystevie
>
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in
general forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on
RRAP a while
>
> Mark Morgan
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

poor stvei

2814. Re: more stinking heaps of lying stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:18:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135635537.890021.77420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128585

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Die of your aids, cocksucker.

of your meds againMr Slit or is it just stevie so hard to tell but

2815. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:28:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135636114.157688.210570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128586

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 26 Dec 2005 12:27:44 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1135628864.784306.209800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >"taelor_robeson"
> >>
> >> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> >> >be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> >> >Sheriff's Office.
> >> >
> >> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
> >>
> >> Bullshit. The first thing any attorney would do is tell you to make no
> >> contact with the other party except through your attorney.
> >>
> >Frank, I've been thinking about this, and you're right. An attorneey
> >would tell him that.
> >
> >But what makes you think that Steve would actually listen to the advice
> >of his lawyer? Steve is a bully, and he would expect his lawyer to get
> >him out of whatever trouble his mouth got him into. Notice his need to
> >have Mark publish an apology on RRAP. Pure ego.
> >
> >The next
> >> thing he would do is browse your posting history and drop you like a
> >> hot potato.
> >>
> >Maybe an attorney in the Frozen North needs to pay his heating bill and
> >would actually represent a bozo loke Steve regardless of how idiotic he
> >is.
>
>
> Major Dud isn't going to take any legal action against anyone in the
> newsgroup -- he maintains too many personal and professional lies to
> risk having them exposed and publically recorded in a court of law. In
> fact, his lies about his USMC and EMT 'careers' cast serious doubt on
> any claims about his daughter, especially when his idea of 'grief' is
> to plaster this sob-story all over the internet and beg for sympathy
> and attention; i.e, any legal representative Dudly may have is going

> to be a court-appointed attorney.

he would also need a cuase of action to file suit with

that is he must show that he is damaged in way that money can make up
for

or the case never gets to court

the best case he has is trakmark infringemn that I have violated his
tradmerk internet attacks style
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2816. Re: more of stevie's effort at control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:30:42 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7or0r1952fsjll4oas9udlho211pp32pqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 128588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 17:55:50 GMT, "Billy Smith"
<striker06@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Isn't that the truth. Grow the hell up.

sadly I fear he can't
>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1135055349.589073.235100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>> > ARE YOU THE MONORATOR???
>>>
>>> "monorator"...?!?!
>>
>> grow up
>>>
>>> > ANSWER NO, SO #### OFF!!!
>>>
>>> More of that expensive Illinois college education going to waste.
>>> Or maybe the money was spent on anime comic books instead...?!?!
>>
>> grow up
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2817. Re: ah poor stebie can't deal with reality

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:31:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135636306.894924.202720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128587

taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 06:08:47 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
> wrote:
>
> >taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >[shit flushed]
> >stfu
>
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Mark Morgan
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

try again

2818. Re: Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:42:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135636937.663492.132980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128589

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> "Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies"
>
> Wogie is behind the curtains.
>
> "Naturally, you don't have the balls to use your own identity. Coward.
> "
>
> Kinda like you 2test.

poor coward but what do we expect from a cancelled cartoon charater

2819. Re: Reality Check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:47:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135637240.371265.257550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128590

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Dumbass Davies wrote:
well any reality check will bounce if it comes through mr slit

2820. steveie the asshole who cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-26 22:49:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135637342.936836.156540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128591

Mark Freak wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > indeed every word spelled right
> >
> lmfao at aof

2821. Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 00:17:54 UTC
From: Christy D <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <eej123dcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 128592

On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
[a bunch of pipe dreams]

Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.

===(begin quote from http://www.counseling.mtu.edu/Stalking.htm)===

According to Michigan Penal Code MCLA 650.411 h, stalking is defined as:

"...a 'willful course of conduct' involving repeated or continuing harassment of another individual that would cause a reasonable person to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, threatened, 'harassed', or molested, and that actually causes the victim to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, threatened, harassed, or molested."

In this definition, "willful course of conduct" refers to a pattern of behavior made up of a series of two or more separate and noncontinuous acts which share the same purpose.

The term "harassed" is defined as repeated contact without permission, resulting in emotional distress.

Stalking takes many forms

According to the anti-stalking laws, a person can be charged with stalking for willfully and repeatedly contacting another individual, without permission, causing that person to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, threatened, harassed, or molested. Under these laws, assailants could be charged with stalking for repeatedly:

* Following or appearing within the sight of another.

* Approaching or confronting another individual in a public or private place.

* Appearing at the work place or residence of another.

* Entering or remaining on an individual's property.

* Contacting by telephone.

* Sending regular e-mail to you (also known as "cyber-stalking").

* Sending false regular or e-mail to other people about you (also known as "cyber-stalking").

Anyone can be a stalker!

Punishment for stalking

In the State of Michigan stalking is a misdemeanor offense. When individuals are convicted of stalking, they have the following punishment [MCLA 750.411h]:

* Up to one year imprisonment, or

* Up to $1,000 in fines, or both.

* Up to five years probation.

The order of probation may include an order to:

stop stalking anyone. stop having contact with the victim. be evaluated to determine the need for pschological evaluation or social counseling (at the stalker's expense).

Aggravated stalking

* In the State of Michigan aggravated stalking is a felony and involves at least one of the following [MCLA 750.411i]:

* One or more threats to kill or physically harm an individual -- or a member of an individual's household or family -- that causes the individual hearing the threat to fear for his or her safety or the safety of another.

* The violation of a condition of a pre-trial release or condition of probation or bond for stalking.

* The violation of a personal protection (restraining) order.

* A repeat offense.

Punishment for aggravated stalking

In the State of Michigan, when an individual is convicted of aggravated stalking the punishment is:

* Up to five years imprisonment, or

* Up to $10,000 in fines, or both

* Any term of probation (including life), but not less than five years probation.

The order of probation is the same as that of the misdemeanor, with the addition: the stalker is not allowed contact with the victim's family members or with people in the victim's household.

Options for victims of stalking

* The victim may report the incident to the appropriate law enforcement agency.

Michigan law gives the survivor up to seven years to initiate prosecution. However, the earlier the incident is reported the better the chance for prosecution and conviction. Filing a police report involves describing in detail the events that took place and providing as much information as possible about the stalker(s). The police will immediately begin to collect evidence. A victim may request that an advocate or support person accompany them during the reporting process. An advocate might be a friend, a counselor from Counseling Services or a crime victim advocate from Dial Help (482- HELP). The victim may also file a civil suit against the accused seeking monetary compensation. Legal Services of Northern Michigan (482-3908) can be helpful in this instance, particularly for students who lack the funds to pursue an attorney advocate through conventional means.

Build your case against the stalker by providing the police with any or all of the following:

* Documentation (personal journal or diary) of the stalker's activities.

* A list of contacts with the stalker (time & place, with whom, etc.)

* A record of all the verbal exchanges (quotes, letters, e-mails, etc.)

* Taped recording(s) of threatening telephone calls.

* Videotapes of the stalker's actions.

* Basic identifying information (i.e. license plate number, make of car, personal appearance).

Exercise your legal rights:

Get an anti-stalking personal protection (restraining) order from your local circuit court (this order states that the stalker is to have no contact with the victim; if violated, criminal penalties will follow). This will not only protect you, but also assist the law enforcement agency in enforcing the anti-stalking law. It also increases the penalties should the stalker violate the restraining order [MCLA 600.2950a].

You may also bring a civil action against your stalker. This allows you to sue him or her for any damage they have done, your emotional harm, and may entitle you to exemplary damages and legal fees as well [MCLA 600.2954].

Do not minimize the danger of being stalked -- many people across the country have been assaulted and even killed by a stalker they did not take seriously.

Take action and survive!

2822. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 00:22:08 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135642927.942645.244200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128593
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gareth Alun Evans (G4SDW) wrote:
> You are hoist by your own petard.

Nah - that's not being hoisted by one's own petard.

When you bang on about youngsters starting at the entry level into the hobby, referring to them as "retards", and then someone reminds you that you had a G8 callsign for 12 years and that you were already an adult when you received it .... that's being hoisted by your own petard.

When you bang on about the gentlemanly traditions of amateur radio, then someone reminds you that you were arrested for harassment .... that's being hoisted by your own petard.

When you bang on about amateur radio being a technical pursuit, then someone reminds you that you tried to flog an FT101E because you couldn't tune it up without written instructions ..... that's being hoisted by your own petard.

73
Mike G4KFK

2823. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 00:25:41 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <sdednVV8Sr-UFy3eRVnyvw@giganews.com>
Source record: 128594
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Gareth Alun Evans (G4SDW) wrote:
>> You are hoist by your own petard.
>
> Nah - that's not being hoisted by one's own petard.
>
> When you bang on about youngsters starting at the entry level into the
> hobby, referring to them as "retards", and then someone reminds you
> that you had a G8 callsign for 12 years and that you were already an
> adult when you received it .... that's being hoisted by your own
> petard.
>
> When you bang on about the gentlemanly traditions of amateur radio,
> then someone reminds you that you were arrested for harassment ....
> that's being hoisted by your own petard.
>
> When you bang on about amateur radio being a technical pursuit, then
> someone reminds you that you tried to flog an FT101E because you
> couldn't tune it up without written instructions ..... that's being
> hoisted by your own petard.
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

Well written.

So there you have it. Gareth Evans, the worlds first Retard Petard!

--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2824. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 00:32:08 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135643528.194367.82360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128595
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

huLLy wrote:
> Why are you answering your own question?

"Mike Garner-Superlative" and "Font Of All Knowledge" are just two more of Gareth's alternative personalities. I have a feeling we'll meet some more of them before the end of the Christmas holidays.

Give a man enough rope and he'll hang himself. Give Gareth enough bandwidth, and he'll talk himself into a padded cell.

73
Mike G4KFK

2825. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:03:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rp0sf.2838$nu6.964@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128596

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> indeed every word spelled right

If that's true, then WTF is a "worf". The only word which I can match even slightly close to "worf" is "wharf"! Why would anyone cry about a place to dock a ship?

Are you OK? (Yea, I know --- dumb question!)

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

2826. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:07:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ot0sf.445$qg.353@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128597
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

An interesting thought

I was just turning 15 in 1962 when I obtained my novice license (WN2CJV). A few years later, I obtained my general (WB2OSP). I obtained my extra class ticket along with my 1st phone and 2nd telegraph (too young for my 1st plus needed 6 months shipboard experience) along with my radar endorsement in 1966.

I would gently suggest not a change in years, but a reasonable test for the licenses. I disliked Morse at first, but ended up copying 40 words per minute perfect copy in Bainbridge, MD. for the U.S. Navy on a manual typewriter. For someone who disliked the code, I would suggest that 15 or 20 words per minute is not unreasonable.

As to theory, methinks that one should be able to solve something other than simple series/parallel circuits (multiple seats of voltage in a complex circuit) for their extra.

That aside, there should be a fairly simple exam to allow folks easy entrance into amateur radio. No need for Morse and there should be limited HF allocations.

Just my thoughts.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135615384.371675.193900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It seems that anyone of those who are involved
> in any way with childrens' organisations or with
> the inducing of children to tackle the kindergarten
> entrance examination (that is represented by
> the 6-year-olds' qualification of the M3/CB Fools'
> Licence) exhibit behavioural traits - infantile
> outbursts, deliberately insulting tirades and
> vicious temper tantrums - that one would expect only
> from the children in their care.
>
> Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
> to have anything to do with such mental derangees
> and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
> 16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
> way?
>

> Only then, by making it clear that young children are
> not, and cannot be in any way, part of the society
> of adult technocrats that is Radio Hammery will be be
> able to influence them not to attempt to join us until
> they have achieved maturity?
>
> Word will soon get around if children who have been
> conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
> for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
> speak to them.
>

2827. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:13:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135645990.833827.93460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128598

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > indeed every word spelled right
>
> If that's true, then WTF is a "worf". The only word which I can match even
> slightly close to "worf" is "wharf"! Why would anyone cry about a place to dock
> a ship?

do a search on worf becuase you are simply of target
>
> Are you OK? (Yea, I know --- dumb question!)
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

2828. Re: Is mark morgan on foodstamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:16:43 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11r15fqjcj9p6eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 128599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<steverobeson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:f04vq1ptalq9a1m71c9dl36amhd3a185o4@4ax.com...
> On 23 Dec 2005 04:33:26 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
>>> everyone should be advised that The following person
>>>
>>Get lost, nym shifting dick licker.
> no to subject
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child
> rape, rape in general forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and
> cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

Yeah, you mentioned that on several occasions now. I think we get the idea.

2829. back to subject stvei making jokes about rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:17:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135646278.019504.17130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128600

Christy D wrote:
> On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> [a bunch of pipe dreams]
>
> Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
> $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
> life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
> years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.

all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him

indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie

he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email

2830. Re: Is mark morgan on foodstamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:20:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135646430.705847.144280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

DrDeath wrote:
> <steverobeson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:f04vq1ptalq9a1m71c9dl36amhd3a185o4@4ax.com...
> > On 23 Dec 2005 04:33:26 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
> >>> everyone should be advised that The following person
> >>>
> >>Get lost, nym shifting dick licker.
> > > no to subject
> > > everyone should be advised that The following person
> > > has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child
> > > rape, rape in general forges post and name
> > >
> > > he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and
> > > cow his foes
> > > he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
> > >
> > > STEVEN J ROBESON
> > > 151 12TH AVE NW
> > > WINCHESTER TN 37398
> > > 931-967-6282
> > >
> > > k4yz@aol.com
> > > --
> > > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > > More than 140,000 groups
> > > Unlimited download
> > > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >
> > Yeah, you mentioned that on several occasions now. I think we get the idea.

there is still at least one person not getting the message

2831. Re: stvei tell me who your attorney is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:23:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135646584.469335.152880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128602

an_old_friend wrote:
> and then he can be reffered to mine attorney here and then you must
> stopp al cotact with me esp here on RRAP
>
> now we all know this is lie so grow up

well stevie who is your attoneey so I don't disacrad his letter as junk
mail

2832. stvei the asshole of rrap forges somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:26:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135646795.366649.176890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> more forgery
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
```

2833. Re: A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:26:43 UTC
From: Pete Jeffreys <petejeffreys@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135646803.682511.187890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128604
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

Michael Garner-Superlative wrote:
> Gentlemen,
>
> There were email messages from both of you in my in-box
> just now. Both messages were deleted unread.
>
> If you have any issues arising from discussion on Usenet, then
> Usenet is the place to bring them up, all open and above board.
>
> I am required by google to have a valid email address but I do
> not accept unsolicited posts.
>
> In any case, as the email address is treated as a NULL:, I
> don't bother to look in there for days at a time, so you're
> better off making your points on Usenet!

Or you could just piss off.

2834. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:41:09 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <doq63h$k7g$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128605

ahahahaha. your ass is grass and steve has a lawn mower! ahahahahahah!

2835. more shit from the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:52:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135648332.366406.50800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128606

Mark Freak wrote:
> ahahahaha. your ass is grass and steve has a lawn mower! ahahahahahah!

bullshit nothing would please me more than stevie telling a big enough
set of lies to attarct the attention of the cops

but stevie is just lying

2836. why does stvei claim only he has the portectionn of law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 01:59:21 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <qs71r1di9fq787aeplho4lkcou8p2qb662@4ax.com>
Source record: 128607

stevei goes on about his fnatasy that he alone is portected he may
threaten folks and email threat after and public threats private
threats but let anyone do anything that displeases hium and they are
going to jail

grow stvei

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2837. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:10:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eo1sf.320$HI6.111@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128608

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> you are simply of target

'of target'? How can a person be 'of' a target? Of what target? Who is aiming at the target I am 'of'? What are they aiming at me? Is this another death threat from Michigan?

WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep

de Hans, K0HB

2838. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:14:06 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <doq81a$mh3$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128609

you ass gona be soooo red after D.A.run over you with steves lawn mower.
ahhahahahah! love it!

2839. just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:17:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135649827.431396.14960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128610

Mark Freak wrote:
> you ass gona be soooo red after D.A.run over you with steves lawn mower.
> ahhahahahah! love it!

more forgery stveie grow up

2840. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:17:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135649862.608723.313160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128611

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > you are simply of target
>
> 'of target'? How can a person be 'of' a target? Of what target? Who is aiming
> at the target I am 'of'? What are they aiming at me? Is this another death
> threat from Michigan?

more bullshit from han

do a search on worf old fool
>
> WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep beeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeep
>
> de Hans, K0HB

2841. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:21:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uy1sf.2849$nu6.2832@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128612

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> more forgery stveie grow up
>

WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!! WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

2842. Re: stvei tell me who your attorney is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:29:08 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.20oi6r@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.20oi6r@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 128623

an_old_friend Wrote:
> and then he can be reffered to mine attorney here

The firm of "Dewey Screwum and Howe"

--
Iitoi

2843. Re: Question for Techs not upgrading to General question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:34:58 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <mL1sf.451$qg.234@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128613

1: yes

2: n/a

N2UBP

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:kY_pf.8053$Dd2.3289@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> This is specific to current Tech license holders.
> Two questions depending on your being
> either Tech (no code) or Tech+ (with code):
>
> If you are No code Tech, do you plan to upgrade
> to General once the code test is eliminated
> as a General requirement?
>
> If you are Tech+ now, any particular reason
> you haven't upgraded to General?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

2844. Re: why does stvei claim only he has the portectionn of law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:35:43 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <mark.sticks.his.head.in.his.microwave@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 128614

On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 20:59:21 -0500, imposter@hotmail.com wrote:
: stevei goes on about his fnatasy that he alone is portected he may
: threaten folks and email threat after and public threats private
: threats but let anyone do anything that displeases hium and they are
: going to jail
:
: grow stvei [snip]

I go away for a little while, and look what happens: Impossible as it may seem, someone is acting even more strangely than Woger Wiseman.

Could it be the r.f. radiation that is affecting some hams? Perhaps the regulations governing r.f. exposure need to be tightened even further.

Check that interlock on your microwave oven, Markie. You shouldn't be able to stick your head into the oven and power it up in order to dry out your hair after taking a shower.

It doesn't matter what Woger told you; it isn't a good idea.

2845. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:36:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135650999.280322.107060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128615

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> >
> > more forgery stveie grow up
> >
>
> WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!! WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

stevei is the asshole that cried worf

you can find the phrase on google I suspect

2846. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:39:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AP1sf.3226$R84.3124@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128616

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> stevei is the asshole that cried worf

WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2847. Re: why does stvei claim only he has the portectionn of law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:40:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135651211.750371.94110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128617

Stagger Lee wrote:
> On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 20:59:21 -0500, imposter@hotmail.com wrote:
> : stevei goes on about his fnatasy that he alone is portected he may
> : threaten folks and email threat after and public threats private
> : threats but let anyone do anything that displeases hium and they are
> : going to jail
> :
> : grow stvei [snip]
cut
> Check that interlock on your microwave oven, Markie. You shouldn't be
> able to stick your head into the oven and power it up in order to dry
> out your hair after taking a shower.

realy dumb aren't you
>
> It doesn't matter what Woger told you; it isn't a good idea.

2848. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:43:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135651398.905321.7110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128618

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > stevei is the asshole that cried worf
>
> WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!! WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

porr hans brain stuck again

gues you are just not up on modern lit

2849. Re: Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:45:01 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135651501.884413.242970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128619

"poor coward but what do we expect from a cancelled cartoon charater "

Poor an_old_idiot, doesn't even know there was no such cartoon
character (notice the correct spelling of character).

2850. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:52:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <q%1sf.3228$R84.1457@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128620

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> gues you are just not up on modern lit

Here's some modern lit for you......

----> http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!! WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

2851. Re: Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 02:54:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135652057.824976.111990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128621

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> "poor coward but what do we expect from a cancelled cartoon charater "
>
> Poor an_old_idiot, doesn't even know there was no such cartoon
> character (notice the correct spelling of character).

ah so there was never even that much ah poor cancelle dkook

2852. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 03:00:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135652407.248608.188990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128622

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > gues you are just not up on modern lit
>
> Here's some modern lit for you......
>
>poor
>
> WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!! WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

just check out real lit but you are too much of an old foggey to learn
much

2853. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 03:26:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vj71r1h64sr8ao06qfj2kra8oet3a42qcr@4ax.com>
Source record: 128624

On 27 Dec 2005 00:17:54 GMT, "Christy D"
<daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
<eej123dcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>:

>On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>[a bunch of pipe dreams]
>
>Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
>$1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
>life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
>years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
>
>===(begin quote from http://www.counseling.mtu.edu/Stalking.htm)===
>
>According to Michigan Penal Code........

Irrelevant. Dudly lives in Tennessee (or so he claims). That makes it
a federal issue which precludes state jurisdiction in both civil and
criminal matters. Federal stalking violations require the stalker to
physically cross state boundries, something which doesn't happen on
the internet (unless someone has secretly invented some sort of Star
Trek internet transporter device.....).

But let's get into this a little deeper. Stalking may be defined
differently in different states, but all states have stalking laws
that have two things in common: 1. The stalker presents a "threat";
and 2. That threat induces "fear".

First, the "threat". There are threats made all the time, both on and
off the internet. The vast majority of those threats never amount to
squat. This is even more true with internet threats since people tend
to be a little more 'outspoken' when they don't have to worry about
getting punched in the face (kind of ironic how easy threats are made
when there is no fear of retaliation). Add to that the abusive nature
of this paticular forum, the fact that Major Dud has participated in
this forum for at least seven years, seen many threats made by others,
and has even made a few threats himself.

Second, the "fear". Actually, the issue is how to demonstrate that the
threat caused fear. This is done by showing how any reasonable person
reacts to fear and comparing those reactions to the reactions of the
person claiming to be the victim. After receiving a threat, does a
reasonable person remain in the presence of the stalker and provoke
the stalker to make more threats? Of course not. I doubt that very
many UN-reasonable people would do that. But Dudly does, and he does
so with an odor of conceit that, in and of itself, evokes .

There was no fear, and therefore no threat. And therefore no case.

Now that we have covered criminal stalking laws at the state and
federal levels, let's try civil law. Civil cases are adjudicated by a
preponderance of the evidence, -not- by reasonable certainty. That, in
and of itself, pretty much throws Dudly out of court since his own
behavior in this newsgroup has been..... well, less than admirable.
There have been no racial slurs against him (although he has made a
couple of marginally prejudicial statements about "do-rag wearing,
be-bop hopping delinquents who think that a 'drive-by' is an Olympic
Sport"), so there are no grounds for federal action based on racial
discrimination (-by- him; however, there might be grounds to bring
action -against- him depending on what he has written as one of his
sock-puppets.....).

What's left? Libel? That might be a valid argument if not for the fact
that Dudly's name and reputation were disgraced by his own lies and
piss-poor behavior -long- before said threat occured. No case there.

Finally, let's try the court of public opinion. This is the USA,
right? Well, just how patriotic is it to have a bumper sticker that
says "UAW can KMA"? Especially for a retired USMC Gunnery Sergeant
(who claims to have never been a reservist yet also claims to have
served with 1/24, a USMC Reserve unit)? Then there is the little issue
about his claims to be an EMT yet was refused a license to pursue that
"hobby". So now he cruises the streets with a scanner, a medical bag,
and a light-bar in the trunk, looking for an opportunity to be a hero.
I'm sure that doesn't present a good image to the public..... do you?

If there is -any- legal action to be taken here is should be -against-
Major Dud for impersonating a Marine, an emergency services official,
and an FCC-licensed amateur radio operator. If you want to play the
lawyer, "Cristy", then cite some law in regards to -THOSE- offenses.
If not then shut the fuck up and let Dudly reap what he has sown.

Frank Gilliland, pro se.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2854. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 03:36:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135654588.767969.301990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128625

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 27 Dec 2005 00:17:54 GMT, "Christy D"
> <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
> <eej123dcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>:
>
> >On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >[a bunch of pipe dreams]
> >
> >Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
> >$1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
> >life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
> >years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
> >
> >===(begin quote from http://www.counseling.mtu.edu/Stalking.htm)===
> >
> >According to Michigan Penal Code........
>
>
> Irrelevant. Dudly lives in Tennessee (or so he claims). That makes it
> a federal issue which precludes state jurisdiction in both civil and
> criminal matters. Federal stalking violations require the stalker to
> physically cross state boundries, something which doesn't happen on
> the internet (unless someone has secretly invented some sort of Star
> Trek internet transporter device.....).
>
> But let's get into this a little deeper. Stalking may be defined
> differently in different states, but all states have stalking laws
> that have two things in common: 1. The stalker presents a "threat";
> and 2. That threat induces "fear".
>
> First, the "threat". There are threats made all the time, both on and
> off the internet. The vast majority of those threats never amount to
> squat. This is even more true with internet threats since people tend
> to be a little more 'outspoken' when they don't have to worry about
> getting punched in the face (kind of ironic how easy threats are made
> when there is no fear of retaliation). Add to that the abusive nature
> of this paticular forum, the fact that Major Dud has participated in
> this forum for at least seven years, seen many threats made by others,
> and has even made a few threats himself.
>
> Second, the "fear". Actually, the issue is how to demonstrate that the
> threat caused fear. This is done by showing how any reasonable person
> reacts to fear and comparing those reactions to the reactions of the
> person claiming to be the victim. After receiving a threat, does a

> reasonable person remain in the presence of the stalker and provoke
> the stalker to make more threats? Of course not. I doubt that very
> many UN-reasonable people would do that. But Dudly does, and he does
> so with an odor of conceit that, in and of itself, evokes .
>
> There was no fear, and therefore no threat. And therefore no case.
>
> Now that we have covered criminal stalking laws at the state and
> federal levels, let's try civil law. Civil cases are adjudicated by a
> preponderance of the evidence, -not- by reasonable certainty. That, in
> and of itself, pretty much throws Dudly out of court since his own
> behavior in this newsgroup has been..... well, less than admirable.
> There have been no racial slurs against him (although he has made a
> couple of marginally prejudicial statements about "do-rag wearing,
> be-bop hopping delinquents who think that a 'drive-by' is an Olympic
> Sport"), so there are no grounds for federal action based on racial
> discrimination (-by- him; however, there might be grounds to bring
> action -against- him depending on what he has written as one of his
> sock-puppets.....).
>
> What's left? Libel? That might be a valid argument if not for the fact
> that Dudly's name and reputation were disgraced by his own lies and
> piss-poor behavior -long- before said threat occured. No case there.
>
> Finally, let's try the court of public opinion. This is the USA,
> right? Well, just how patriotic is it to have a bumper sticker that
> says "UAW can KMA"? Especially for a retired USMC Gunnery Sergeant
> (who claims to have never been a reservist yet also claims to have
> served with 1/24, a USMC Reserve unit)? Then there is the little issue
> about his claims to be an EMT yet was refused a license to pursue that
> "hobby". So now he cruises the streets with a scanner, a medical bag,
> and a light-bar in the trunk, looking for an opportunity to be a hero.
> I'm sure that doesn't present a good image to the public..... do you?
>
> If there is -any- legal action to be taken here is should be -against-
> Major Dud for impersonating a Marine, an emergency services official,
> and an FCC-licensed amateur radio operator. If you want to play the
> lawyer, "Cristy", then cite some law in regards to -THOSE- offenses.
> If not then shut the fuck up and let Dudly reap what he has sown.

and if stveie in fact gets some one to pay attention to his BS it will
liely give me the chance to get them to deal with him

Stevie might be able to spin a good tale to inconvence me awhile but
then....
>
>
> Frank Gilliland, pro se.
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> -----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2855. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:17:49 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <doqf9butp1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128626

an old friend wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
sewer output flushed. Frank and you belong together. no one else would bother with the two of you. enjoy your gay sex about how you are un touchable. ah hahahahahahah!

2856. stveie the asshole forges some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:40:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135658425.249263.149290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128627

Mark Freak wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> sewer output flushed. Frank and you belong together. no one else would
> bother with the two of you. enjoy your gay sex about how you are un
> touchable. ah hahahahahahah!

right that has to be stevie everyone that opposes stevie is gay

2857. stive the asshole lies somemor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:41:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135658492.991072.85140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128628

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
> > > > sexuality is relavant to radio
> > >
> > > I never did make that claim, Markie.
> >
> > yes you did you sack of ####
>
> More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
> claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".

yes you have made that claim

you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
relavant to radio

2858. Re: stvei tell me who your attorney is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:42:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135658551.478041.221430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128629

Iitoi wrote:
> an_old_friend Wrote:
> > and then he can be reffered to mine attorney here
>
> The firm of "Dewey Screwum and Howe"

not ine firm you claiming to be stevei now?
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

2859. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ and stveie proves his lies now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:43:36 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <uih1r191ali7qrh39ofu3463d0cpakaejr@4ax.com>
Source record: 128630

On 25 Oct 2005 16:48:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kissingboys9ripsquickzipper@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 13:21:46 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:13:51 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> ><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Has anyone else noticed that the later it gets the more irrational and
>> >>wacked out his posts get?
>> >>
>> >>He is not only a fagot, but a drunk one to boot.
>> >>
>> >>Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >I'm drunk on cum!
>>
>> such an honnor to have people so frightened of me that they forge
>> whole posts as being mine
>
> "honor"
>
> There you go with that "I Am The Center Of The Universe"
>megalomania thing again, Markie! And you accuse ME of this!
>
> No one, repeat NO ONE in this forum is "frightened" of you...

yes you are otherwsie why the threats asshole
>
> Quite the contrary.
>
> We find you to be quite humorous...You're the deviant-jester of
>the "rec.radio" domain. You're the clueless idiot not watching where
>he's going and the NG is the banana peel.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2860. stevei is afraid of me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:47:08 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <omh1r15tus1944fdnj1choe57qetkrmr9d@4ax.com>
Source record: 128631

On 29 Nov 2005 11:00:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an Old friend wrote:
>> > > > > why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?
>> > > cut
>> > > > AFRAID OF >>>YOU<<<...?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!?!?!?!
>> >
>> > > obviously you are afard of me
>> >
>> > BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> >
>> > Not in Michigan...Not in Illinois, Tennessee, or even your most
>> > satisfying wet dream, Markie, would I EVER be "afraid" of a fat,
>> > greasy, uneducated, irresponsible, dishonorable punk like you.
>>
>> more lies
>
> Nope. I meant it word-for-word.

whcih means only you are lying to yourself
>
>> you are afraid to answer a simple question
>
> YOU are afraid to take others answers at face value then keep
>demanding the "answer" over and over...

you never answered the basic question

why is the sexuality of anyone relavant to radio

you have never answered this

there is no real answer of course other than it doesn't
>
>> you lie about me constanly
>
> Nope.

yes you do
>
> I don't have to.

but you do
>
> You prove each and every assertion I've made.

nope flat out lie
>
>> you are afaird of me
>>
>> I know why maybe you don't
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
>and given an STD.

dumb stevie dumb

>
> You're unclean in more ways than one.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2861. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:47:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135658878.793934.298520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128632

rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm

>"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable, why
>would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?

So they can "properly" emulate the past and all the "greats"
of "the service." :-)

<insert raucous laughter here...>

I really do NOT see any "need" for any "entry level" of any
kind except for the teen-agers who've been living far, far
away in some remote land for too long.

Examples of two-way radio use are all around us and there have
never been more texts on How Radio Works than now.

>Why "dip a toe" when you can easily just dive in?

ANYONE who has ever used a cell-phone has automatically used
a little two-way radio communications device.

ANYONE who has ever used an FRS or GMRS radio has used a
little two-way radio communications thingy.

ANYONE who has ever used a CB set has used a slightly larger
two-way radio communications set.

All of the above cost LESS than $100 each new...

Actually, any wireless microphone is a one-way radio and
those cost no more than $100 for a whole Karaoke set.

>What will the "learner"s permit actually accomplish?

It will make the old-timers feel superior and "elmerish,"
satisfying the control-need urges to make themselves the
role model for all who come after them. It's "good for
the 'service'" they will say...as if the HOBBY was some
sort of professional occupation or a military arm of the
country. <shrug>

>In other words, I believe that the time for a scaled down, entry level
>license is passing, if not already passed. We're hanging on to yet another
>outdated idea simply because that is the way some people started.

That IS the bottom line, Dee, congratulations on admitting
that! A bow of respect in your direction.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2862. stevie is afraid of KB9RQZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:48:15 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <jrh1r19aph3vo5ggdr2nb3soctnod1s5ob@4ax.com>
Source record: 128633

On 21 Dec 2005 09:50:05 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N8dos@grave.net wrote:
>
> N8DOS is being forged by Mark C. Morgan.
>
> N8DOS passed away on 25 February 1999.
>
> This pretty well summarizes the character of Mark C. Morgan.

avoidnigncontent poor stevie
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2863. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:50:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135659022.304802.115500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128634

From: K0HB on Dec 26, 5:03 pm

>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote

>> indeed every word spelled right
>
>If that's true, then WTF is a "worf".

Tsk, tsk, old Klingon, watch some "Star Trek" reruns next time
you aren't imitating Pierian Spring... :-)

>The only word which I can match even slightly close to "worf"
>is "wharf"!

Tsk, tsk, again. Change only ONE letter and "worf" becomes
"wolf!"

We can put you down as not watching "Jeopardy" on ABC either.

>Why would anyone cry about a place to dock a ship?

A harbormaster? :-)

>Are you OK? (Yea, I know --- dumb question!)

Tsk, Hans did dumb posting. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2864. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 04:57:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135659477.981533.246750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128635

From: Frank Gilliland on Mon, Dec 26 2005 1:34 pm

>On 26 Dec 2005 12:27:44 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

>Major Dud isn't going to take any legal action against anyone in the
>newsgroup -- he maintains too many personal and professional lies to
>risk having them exposed and publically recorded in a court of law.

"Professional lies?" :-)

Dud lied the old-fashioned way, he WORKED at it...:-)

It's too bad that he was never able to "work in" a good forgery
of his "last" DD-214. Nor was he able to "work in" a single
reference for his wondrous exploits over the last three decades
other than what he wrote about himself.

>In
>fact, his lies about his USMC and EMT 'careers' cast serious doubt on
>any claims about his daughter, especially when his idea of 'grief' is
>to plaster this sob-story all over the internet and beg for sympathy
>and attention; i.e, any legal representative Dudly may have is going
>to be a court-appointed attorney.

That's going to be a trick: Getting a "court-appointed attorney"
in a civil case! :-)

Dud won't get past the Hearing on a civil case, any state. Once
the judge stops his uproarious laughing, it will be tossed off
any docket.

Well, there is SOME hope...maybe Court TV (channel) is looking for
some comic-relief half-hour to fill up their cable broadcast
schedule? That could be a winner! Imagine Harvey Levin as the
"color" man interviewing "Major" Dud (wearing his CAP poopy suit)
in a pretend-format a la Geraldo Rivera? [Harvey doesn't do the
moustache thing so Dud has to wear it for him!]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2865. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 05:32:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135661554.528506.56900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128636

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:fJVrf.10390$nm.4008@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> [snip]
>
> > But that is no different than many other interests, sports and/or
> > hobbies that are somewhat outside of the mainstream of
> > lots of folks. I have no illusions as to my getting anymore
> > than an occasional friend or person into amateur radio. The
> > same is true for all sorts of other interest areas. In fact,
> > I'd say that there really aren't many truly mainstream
> > activities that attract large numbers other than watching
> > TV. Even golf does not garner tremendous numbers of
> > actual players on any given Saturday or Sunday.
> >
> > When I suggest that WE (all of us that are hams) need to
> > be advocates for ham radio and, when the opportunity
> > does present itself, then we should be as helpful as we
> > can in aiding a friend or co-worker who does exhibit
> > some interest in ham radio.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Hope eveyone had a Merry Christmas,
> > Bill K2UNK
> >
>
> Definitely agree with you on these points. Although ham radio seems to
> suffer more from the fact that people haven't heard about it where they have
> at least heard of the other non-mainstream activities.

i guess you both sound less interesting about Ham radio than l the
worst I have to over come is the ida that the ars is still tied to
Morse Code, but i have helped make a new ham evry year of my license,
how many hams would there be if al hams could say the same
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2866. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 05:34:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135661675.794216.265610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128637

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K0HB on Dec 26, 5:03 pm
>
> >"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
> >> indeed every word spelled right
> >
> >If that's true, then WTF is a "worf".
>
> Tsk, tsk, old Klingon, watch some "Star Trek" reruns next time
> you aren't imitating Pierian Spring... :-)

in deedin this case Q said the boy that cried worf as a joke
>
>
> >The only word which I can match even slightly close to "worf"
> >is "wharf"!
>
> Tsk, tsk, again. Change only ONE letter and "worf" becomes
> "wolf!"

indeed how does he read morse coded signals if he is so bad with the
slighest typo
>
> We can put you down as not watching "Jeopardy" on ABC either.
>
>
> >Why would anyone cry about a place to dock a ship?
>
> A harbormaster? :-)
>
>
> >Are you OK? (Yea, I know --- dumb question!)
>
> Tsk, Hans did dumb posting. :-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2867. Re: stevei is afraid of me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 05:34:58 UTC
From: "Rev. Boris Flamingo Fartboomer" <greeeeeeatwoog@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <28659$43b0e089$d1cc59a0$22794@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 128638

ND8V's 10kc wide rantings on 14.273-14.275 were MUCH FUNNIER.....
He was on over the xmas weekend on 20M. Looks like the "old Mike" is
back again folks. Like Hollingsworth asserts, "The apple don't roll very
far from the tree". (grin)

ps: why don't you fartknockers here in RRAP get on 20m?
Even Dumbass Donnie was pontification and ghost talking in
a drunken-stupor (?) over the holiday weekend on 14.313 and
it made for very fun listening with the comments from the
"peanut gallery" and stuff... Much more fun than this cyberspace
key-board to key-board crap which ain't even mildly amusing.

C'mon boys! Get back on HF and STIR THE SHIT up the way we
used to during the 14.313 FZ wars and the Mike and Judy Show!
Now THAT was some funny shit! Even the damm cb'ers were
tuning in via SWL's for a laugh or two.

2868. Re: stevei is afraid of me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 05:45:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135662356.766131.305340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128639

Rev. Boris Flamingo Fartboomer wrote:
> ND8V's 10kc wide rantings on 14.273-14.275 were MUCH FUNNIER.....
> He was on over the xmas weekend on 20M. Looks like the "old Mike" is
> back again folks. Like Hollingsworth asserts, "The apple don't roll very
> far from the tree". (grin)
>
> ps: why don't you fartknockers here in RRAP get on 20m?
> Even Dumbass Donnie was pontification and ghost talking in
> a drunken-stupor (?) over the holiday weekend on 14.313 and
> it made for very fun listening with the comments from the
> "peanut gallery" and stuff... Much more fun than this cyberspace
> key-board to key-board crap which ain't even mildly amusing.
>
> C'mon boys! Get back on HF and STIR THE SHIT up the way we
> used to during the 14.313 FZ wars and the Mike and Judy Show!
> Now THAT was some funny shit! Even the damm cb'ers were
> tuning in via SWL's for a laugh or two.

well In my case I can't not till the FCC decides to get off its ass and
imptment it's R&O I am a Tech and not licensed for HF and ahving
failied more than 100 code tests It isn't likely to happen so

2869. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 05:49:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135662555.828882.316370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128640

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
stveie the asshole can't even acept a correction and apology when I do
give one why should anyone beleive that anything stevei says is true

2870. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:04:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dQ4sf.2884$nu6.861@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128641

an_old_friend wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>>> indeed every word spelled right
>> If that's true, then WTF is a "worf". The only word which I can match even
>> slightly close to "worf" is "wharf"! Why would anyone cry about a place to dock
>> a ship?
>
> do a search on worf becuase you are simply of target

He's simply of target, huh? Do you think Hans works at Target?

I played your little game, Mark. Google came up with a number of
meanings for the term "worf".

1. It is a Klingon character from Star Trek.

2. It means Waba Open Robot Framework.

3. It means Warriors of Rain Forest.

4. It means Window Operational Research Facility (ISS).

None of 'em seem to make much sense.

To paraphrase Hans: Are you in control of your faculties?

To follow up on my recent comments to you, I've made good on forwarding
copies (with full headers) of all of your recent bizarre posts (using
screen names of Steve's deceased family members) to both Google and MSN
Hotmail. Both have responded quickly, following up their automatic
e-mail responses with queries from real, live people. I'm making all of
the information available to them. Your days of fouling this newsgroup
with such stuff seem destined to come to a quick end. It probably
wasn't very smart of you to use your "konstans@hotmail.com" address as
"an_old_friend" in referring to the other material you posted under
different names.

Dave K8MN

2871. Re: Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:11:57 UTC
From: "\"I'm Not Roger\"" <NotAB8MQ@lloydStalker>
Message-ID: <doqlq7$c83$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128642

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:1135633206.458789.96400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
"Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies"

Wogie is behind the curtains.

"Naturally, you don't have the balls to use your own identity. Coward.
"

Kinda like you 2test.
.
Wogie, "Who says I'm Roger?" says, "Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies" while all the while this sick little knee-high dwarf sees Lloyd behind every post. Look at the irony!

Roger, being an obviously disturbed fifty year old male doesn't see himself as a stalker. His entire life revolves around Usenet and the Nim Busters boards, but he is not a "stalker", at least not according to Roger.

And for Woger to call others "coiwards" (documented spelling) is the ultimate of ironies from a man who hides behind anon remailers and false accounts.

So, "Not Roger", where are YOUR cujones? You've second-guessed just about everybody here as to who you think they really are, you've posted names and addresses, alleged criminal records you falsify at will, and yet you hide in the shadows and cower behind the curtains. Still pissing your pantaloons, aren't you?

And by the way, I am STILL not Cmdr. Buss Corey.

"The funny thing is, you don't know your fat ass from a whole in the ground, shit-for-brains." Roger Wiseman, 1995

2872. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:13:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7Y4sf.2888$nu6.852@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128643

an old friend wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>>> stevei is the asshole that cried worf
>> WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> porr hans brain stuck again
>
> gues you are just not up on modern lit

gues you believe that Star Trek
is a part of modern lit
It's clear that where you get your lang
that crazy, mixed up stih.

Dave K8MN

2873. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:14:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135664079.823999.270000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128644

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >>
> >>> indeed every word spelled right
> >> If that's true, then WTF is a "worf". The only word which I can match even
> >> slightly close to "worf" is "wharf"! Why would anyone cry about a place to dock
> >> a ship?
> >
> > do a search on worf becuase you are simply of target
>
> He's simply of target, huh? Do you think Hans works at Target?
>
> I played your little game, Mark. Google came up with a number of
> meanings for the term "worf".
>
> 1. It is a Klingon character from Star Trek.

correct
>
> 2. It means Waba Open Robot Framework.

realy did not know that one
>
> 3. It means Warriors of Rain Forest.

again a new one
>
> 4. It means Window Operational Research Facility (ISS).

Indeed ty for the extra knowledge
>
> None of 'em seem to make much sense.

well you just don't know trek not my job to educateyou at least not in
this NG

>
> To paraphrase Hans: Are you in control of your faculties?
yes

>
> To follow up on my recent comments to you, I've made good on forwarding
> copies (with full headers) of all of your recent bizarre posts (using
> screen names of Steve's deceased family members) to both Google and MSN

> Hotmail. Both have responded quickly, following up their automatic
> e-mail responses with queries from real, live people. I'm making all of
> the information available to them. Your days of fouling this newsgroup
> with such stuff seem destined to come to a quick end. It probably
> wasn't very smart of you to use your "konstans@hotmail.com" address as
> "an_old_friend" in referring to the other material you posted under
> different names.

and you are going to prove your claims how?

likely not at all

but you are serious claiming you got an live answer on the days after
christmas

and assuming you get the hotmail account treminated this harms me How?

you lie worse than steve

>
> Dave K8MN

2874. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:16:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135664183.138209.209360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128645

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>
> >>> stevei is the asshole that cried worf
> >> WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > porr hans brain stuck again
> > >
> > > gues you are just not up on modern lit
> >
> > gues you believe that Star Trek
> > is a part of modern lit
No dave boy I know it is

Trek is taught in enas such in major universities as such and they are
the judges of such in the USA

> It's clear that where you get your lang
> that crazy, mixed up stih.

ah now you choose to insult Treekies

i realys should cross post your remarks somehwere and let you see the
results
>
> Dave K8MN

2875. Re: stive the asshole lies somemor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:16:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4%4sf.2889$nu6.123@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128646

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>> an Old friend wrote:
>>>>> Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
>>>>> sexuality is relavant to radio
>>>> I never did make that claim, Markie.
>>> yes you did you sack of ####
>> More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
>> claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
>
> yes you have made that claim
>
> you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
> relavant to radio

Whenever I think you're just a fool, you use that IQ of 248 to dazzle me.

Dave K8MN

2876. Re: stive the asshole lies somemor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:18:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135664282.062546.158420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128647

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> K4YZ wrote:
> >>>> an Old friend wrote:
> >>>>> Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
> >>>>> sexuality is relavant to radio
> >>>> I never did make that claim, Markie.
> >>> yes you did you sack of ####
> >> More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
> >> claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
> >
> > yes you have made that claim
> >
> > you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
> > relavant to radio
>
> Whenever I think you're just a fool, you use that IQ of 248 to dazzle me.

can you ever stay on topic davie boy?

>
> Dave K8MN

2877. BTW dave if you rported to google various of the posts you claims to haev you lied to google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:21:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135664493.788880.214640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128648

thepost you and stevie are finding so insane are not made through or
hotmail

in reporting that they were (if you were telling the truth about making
the rport) you lied inrpoerting the matter to google

2878. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:23:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_55sf.2891$nu6.1135@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128649

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K0HB on Dec 26, 5:03 pm
>>
>>> "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>>>> indeed every word spelled right
>>> If that's true, then WTF is a "worf".
>> Tsk, tsk, old Klingon, watch some "Star Trek" reruns next time
>> you aren't imitating Pierian Spring... :-)
>
> in deedin this case Q said the boy that cried worf as a joke

Why did the boy say "Q"? Why did he need to obtain a deed?
I have to admit that the joke was a real gut buster.

>>> The only word which I can match even slightly close to "worf"
>>> is "wharf"!
>> Tsk, tsk, again. Change only ONE letter and "worf" becomes
>> "wolf!"
>
> indeed how does he read morse coded signals if he is so bad with the
> slighest typo

That was sly, was it?

It would be easier if one checked your posts for the correctly spelled
words.

Dave K8MN

2879. Re: stevei is afraid of me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:26:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <q85sf.2892$nu6.575@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128651

an old friend wrote:
> Rev. Boris Flamingo Fartboomer wrote:

>> C'mon boys! Get back on HF and STIR THE SHIT up the way we
>> used to during the 14.313 FZ wars and the Mike and Judy Show!
>> Now THAT was some funny shit! Even the damm cb'ers were
>> tuning in via SWL's for a laugh or two.
>
> well In my case I can't not till the FCC decides to get off its ass and
> imptment it's R&O I am a Tech and not licensed for HF and ahving
> failied more than 100 code tests It isn't likely to happen so

You've attempted the 5 wpm morse test more than 100 times?

Dave K8MN

2880. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:28:28 UTC
From: Frank Flatu Lance <GassyLennie@nowhere>
Message-ID: <doqmp9gbc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128650

"Professional lies?" :-)

Dud lied the old-fashioned way, he WORKED at it...:-)

It's too bad that he was never able to "work in" a good forgery
of his "last" DD-214. Nor was he able to "work in" a single
reference for his wondrous exploits over the last three decades
other than what he wrote about himself.

>In
>fact, his lies about his USMC and EMT 'careers' cast serious doubt on
>any claims about his daughter, especially when his idea of 'grief' is
>to plaster this sob-story all over the internet and beg for sympathy
>and attention; i.e, any legal representative Dudly may have is going
>to be a court-appointed attorney.

That's going to be a trick: Getting a "court-appointed attorney"
in a civil case! :-)

Dud won't get past the Hearing on a civil case, any state. Once
the judge stops his uproarious laughing, it will be tossed off
any docket.

Well, there is SOME hope...maybe Court TV (channel) is looking for
some comic-relief half-hour to fill up their cable broadcast
schedule? That could be a winner! Imagine Harvey Levin as the
"color" man interviewing "Major" Dud (wearing his CAP poopy suit)
in a pretend-format a la Geraldo Rivera? [Harvey doesn't do the
moustache thing so Dud has to wear it for him!]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

There is a new digital cable channel on line in our area that is aimed
toward the elderly, most specifically the elderly suffering from macular
degeneration. All sorts of vitamins, herbs and alleged "natural" cures are
available for mail order. You should give it a look, Lennie.

Oh (guffaw!), that is not likely to hold much sway where you are concerned
Lennie. They have all kinds of pills and potions, salves and incantations,
but nary a one has a "cure" for an Old Man With His Head Implanted In His
Rectum.

At the least, Lennie, your dilemma has one outstanding plus. You will always
manage to keep your ears warm even in the coldest of climates. Your hearing
may be severely impaired, but you will never have to concern yourself with
frostbite to your ears and nose.

2881. why does dave lie so badly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:31:21 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <krn1r1hjg0fq6vmuo1pa0uej4hue1a2cuj@4ax.com>
Source record: 128652

dave claims to have gotten inside 24 hour live people at google to
tlak about post not made using google.com

he also claims that despite the fact the FBI can't shut mr Wisman he
shut down me for nothing ilegal

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2882. Re: when will stevie decide to end this game?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:35:21 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <55o1r1t4jufrh4ra3jce4b2r9s57s20eu4@4ax.com>
Source record: 128653

On 29 Nov 2005 21:50:19 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> Stevie can end this series of attack post by answering the following
>> questions truthfully and senibly
>
> That's already been done (truthfully). What was "senibly" supposed
>to be in English?

nope you have not answered the question

what does anyone sexuality to do with have radio
>
>> what relavance does sexulaity have to radio ? (not y sexuality but any
>> sexuality)
>
> Answered.

nope
cut
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2883. answer the fucking question with the truth stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:37:46 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <e5o1r1hus15qpfeg8iq7ou5gsivpqhhl95@4ax.com>
Source record: 128655

On 30 Nov 2005 13:56:25 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> you have it in your hands Stevie answer fully and truthfull one the
>> attackspost and I'll stop posting them
>
> The question's been answered, several times now.

where have you answered what Todds sexuality has to do with radio or B
of Franks now of Len

you have never answered that
>
> "IF YOU DON'T WANT YOUR 'SEXUALTY' OR DEVIANCE DISCUSSED, DON'T
>BRING IT UP!
>
> If you don't like the answer, it's too bad.
>
> Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and
>effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal
>avenues open to stop you.
>
> Stop now or else.

and you are still making idle threats
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2884. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:39:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Kk5sf.2895$nu6.2004@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128654

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>>
>>>>> indeed every word spelled right
>>>> If that's true, then WTF is a "worf". The only word which I can match even
>>>> slightly close to "worf" is "wharf"! Why would anyone cry about a place to dock
>>>> a ship?
>>> do a search on worf becuase you are simply of target
>> He's simply of target, huh? Do you think Hans works at Target?
>>
>> I played your little game, Mark. Google came up with a number of
>> meanings for the term "worf".
>>
>> 1. It is a Klingon character from Star Trek.
>
> correct
>> 2. It means Waba Open Robot Framework.
>
> realy did not know that one

That isn't my problem. It is still correct.

>> 3. It means Warriors of Rain Forest.
>
> again a new one

That isn't my problem. It is still correct.

>> 4. It means Window Operational Research Facility (ISS).
>
> Indeed ty for the extra knowledge

That isn't my problem. It is still correct.

>> None of 'em seem to make much sense.
>
> well you just don't know trek not my job to educateyou at least not in
> this NG

You're the one telling others to research the term. You've obviously
not done that yourself. I've provided three alternate meanings of the
term, despite the fact that it isn't my job to educate you, at least not
in this NG. Now that I realize you've been posting in Klingon, a

made-up language from a television show, it is possible that your posts,
when translated, will be brilliant.

>> To paraphrase Hans: Are you in control of your faculties?
> yes

Your recent posts point to a different conclusion.

>> To follow up on my recent comments to you, I've made good on forwarding
>> copies (with full headers) of all of your recent bizarre posts (using
>> screen names of Steve's deceased family members) to both Google and MSN
>> Hotmail. Both have responded quickly, following up their automatic
>> e-mail responses with queries from real, live people. I'm making all of
>> the information available to them. Your days of fouling this newsgroup
>> with such stuff seem destined to come to a quick end. It probably
>> wasn't very smart of you to use your "konstans@hotmail.com" address as
>> "an_old_friend" in referring to the other material you posted under
>> different names.
>
> and you are going to prove your claims how?

I don't intend to prove anything to you, Mark. I intend to get your
silly ass booted from MSN Hotmail and Google.

> likely not at all

Then you won't have anything to worry about.

> but you are serious claiming you got an live answer on the days after
> christmas

That's exactly what I'm claiming, except that I got several live answers.

> and assuming you get the hotmail account treminated this harms me How?

You don't seem to grasp the implications. Let's assume you find an
alternative. I'm going to do the same thing all over. You aren't going
to continue to foul the newsgroup with this insanity.

> you lie worse than steve

Try me. I told you what I was going to do. Now I'm doing it.

Dave K8MN

2885. stveie the asshole censors mark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:40:37 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <q5o1r1hbth46qbbavd3t6s7s1qc1tu92h2@4ax.com>
Source record: 128656

On 30 Nov 2005 14:06:26 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> trying to kill another OT thread
>>
>> little doubt Stevie will lie and claim I am censoring this thread as he
>> tries to revive his handiwork
>
> It's no lie.
>
> It's not up to you (or anyone else) to decide what's "OT" or not
>and to "kill" the thread.
>
> Anything else is censorship.

more lies from stveie

I can try to kill off any thread I like

you are trying to censor the NGasshole
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2886. who realy peed in polymath cheerios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:45:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135665927.376123.182950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128657
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> huLLy wrote:
> > Why are you answering your own question?
>
> "Mike Garner-Superlative" and "Font Of All Knowledge" are just two more
> of Gareth's alternative personalities. I have a feeling we'll meet some
> more of them before the end of the Christmas holidays.
>
> Give a man enough rope and he'll hang himself. Give Gareth enough
> bandwidth, and he'll talk himself into a padded cell.

only if the standard is much lower than in the USA
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2887. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:46:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0r5sf.2899$nu6.404@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128658

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>>
>>>>> stevei is the asshole that cried worf
>>>> WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WORF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! WHARF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>> porr hans brain stuck again
>>>
>>> gues you are just not up on modern lit
>> gues you believe that Star Trek
>> is a part of modern lit

> No dave boy I know it is

Well, Mark Boy, it ranks right up there with Steinbeck and Saroyan.

> Trek is taught in enas such in major universities as such and they are
> the judges of such in the USA

There's all sorts of nonsense "taught" in universities. I'm sure that
any student who is considered learned in Star Trek, is well-equipped to
step into the job market. There's your "enas such".

>> It's clear that where you get your lang
>> that crazy, mixed up stih.
>
> ah now you choose to insult Treekies

Sure I do. "Treekies" are freakies. Actually, I was insulting you.
You didn't even recognize, before breaking it up with your comments,
that I'd composed a little poem, Walt Whitman.

> i realys should cross post your remarks somehwere and let you see the
> results

Sure, Mark, give me more ammunition to use in terminating your accounts.

Dave K8MN

2888. Re: stive the asshole lies somemor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:47:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <as5sf.2900$nu6.927@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128659

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>> an Old friend wrote:
>>>>>>> Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
>>>>>>> sexuality is relavant to radio
>>>>>> I never did make that claim, Markie.
>>>>> yes you did you sack of ####
>>>> More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
>>>> claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
>>> yes you have made that claim
>>>
>>> you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
>>> relavant to radio
>> Whenever I think you're just a fool, you use that IQ of 248 to dazzle me.
>
> can you ever stay on topic davie boy?

Oh, that's right! The topic was what--harrassing Steve by using the
names of his deceased family members?

Dave K8MN
```

2889. Re: BTW dave if you rported to google various of the posts you claims to haev you lied to google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:48:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vt5sf.2902$nu6.60@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128660

an_old_friend wrote:
> thepost you and stevie are finding so insane are not made through or
> hotmail
>
> in reporting that they were (if you were telling the truth about making
> the rport) you lied inrpoerting the matter to google

That's interesting, Mark. Some of the full headers shows that you
posted through Google groups. Some do not. You do know how to read the
headers, don't you?

Dave K8MN

2890. Re: A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:55:36 UTC
From: The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135666536.653712.6020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128662
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

I wonder why it is that _ALL_ those associated with
scouting who have responded to me on Usenet in the
last few days are, to a man, rather silly and infantile
and therefore spectacularly unsuited to be associated
with childrens' organisations because their public
behaviours are such a bad example to children?

There would seem to be a nation-wide problem here
that needs to be addressed; a number of individuals
whom the scouting movement should distance
themselves from.

"Pete Jeffreys" below is an example of such an
undesirable associator.....

Pete Jeffreys wrote:
>
> Or you could just piss off.

2891. dave the fool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 06:55:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135666541.489691.181450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128661

dave says he wants a stop to flooding of the group

he says this but then what does he claim to have done about it?

cmaplain to severs not invold in posting the flood

instaed he could try to convice Stevei not to be depesable which stop
things at once

logical conclusion dave is lying and what he realy wants is to help
stevie try to censor the NG

2892. Re: BTW dave if you rported to google various of the posts you claims to haev you lied to google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 07:02:46 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6jp1r1ton292omiqjhff6bcer1hsnoe8ac@4ax.com>
Source record: 128663

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 06:48:59 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <vt5sf.2902$nu6.60@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> thepost you and stevie are finding so insane are not made through or
>> hotmail
>>
>> in reporting that they were (if you were telling the truth about making
>> the rport) you lied inrpoerting the matter to google
>
>That's interesting, Mark. Some of the full headers shows that you
>posted through Google groups.

And some of Dudly's headers show that he posted with his work computer
through HMA, Inc, while others come from various AOL servers in
Virginia. Hmmmm..... you're located in WV, aren't you Dave?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2893. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 07:15:48 UTC
From: The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135667748.332322.107830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128664
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

Once again you respond with infantile outbursts
whose purpose is to cause offence.

Shame on you.

The issues you sneer at below have been well
covered - and answered - already and, despite
your somewhat infantile intentions do not
cause offence in the way that you intend;
they only cause amusement to those of
us who are the adults and gentlemen
of Ham Radio- amusement that you
continue to represent yourself as
a maladjusted infant.

As to the issue of harassment, I will cover that
once again. I have contributed to this NG for
a number of years and have consistently
supported my view of what is Ham Radio, and have
done that without indulging in the sorts of behaviours
that are out of place with those associate with the
scouts such as you exhibit below. For some reason,
those from the gutter who should not be associated
in any way with children (such as yourself below) choose
to pick on me and proceed to illustrate very well what is
the difference between those in the hobby (CB Radio) and
those in the adult technical pursuit (Ham Radio). One
such childish degenerate, Brian Reay, G8OSN / M3OSN
subjected me over a number of years to a grossly offensive
series of infantile and abusive tirades in which he accused me
of sheep shagging, said that my wife was a sheep next to
me in the bed, made rude comments about my children,
repeated accused me of drinking methylated spirit and
capped it all by posting publicly that he was deliberately
harassing me and trying to wind me up. I discovered that
when he was demonstrating so publicly his sexual deviation
and obsession with sheep shagging that he was under training
to be a teacher at a girls' school in Dartford, Kent and my
indignation at his one-sided socially-maladjusted campaign
boiled ove rand I suggested, in the best traditions of
public responsibility that his sexual deviation made him
unsuited to being a schoolteacher. Brian Reay's infantile
psyche could not take having the tables turned on him
and he made a deliberately misleading and perjurous

complaint to the plods to claim that I was harassing him
rather than the other way around. The We'll Shite (All
Over You) plods have lng had a campaign of harassment
against me and took Brian Reay at his word, did not
check the evidence (Especially the popsts by Brian Reay
admitting to a campaign of harassment) came to arrest me
in force, kicking me in the face. Now you really ought
to know that it is not an arrest that indicates wickedness,
especially when the matter is dropped for lack of
evidence and without charges being indicted. However, your
infantile disposition and desperate need to cause offence
will not be bothered by a small detail like the truth, will it.
The trial of the plod Richard Fuller for assault is now
scheduled for the 8th Feb at 10:00 AM at Chippenham.

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Gareth Alun Evans (G4SDW) wrote:
> > You are hoist by your own petard.
>
> Nah - that's not being hoisted by one's own petard.
>
> When you bang on about youngsters starting at the entry level into the
> hobby, referring to them as "retards", and then someone reminds you
> that you had a G8 callsign for 12 years and that you were already an
> adult when you received it .... that's being hoisted by your own
> petard.
>
> When you bang on about the gentlemanly traditions of amateur radio,
> then someone reminds you that you were arrested for harassment ....
> that's being hoisted by your own petard.
>
> When you bang on about amateur radio being a technical pursuit, then
> someone reminds you that you tried to flog an FT101E because you
> couldn't tune it up without written instructions ..... that's being
> hoisted by your own petard.
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

2894. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 07:19:29 UTC
From: The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135667969.527289.88690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128665
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

I wonder why it is, that having revealed that you are an
adult associated with scouting, that all your contributions
to Usenet have been rather silly and childish, such as the
childish outburst below?

Is there a general concern that the populace of Britland
should have about adults who are associated with childrens'
organisations and who go out of their way to seek association
with children?

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>
> Give a man enough rope and he'll hang himself. Give Gareth enough
> bandwidth, and he'll talk himself into a padded cell.
>

2895. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 07:27:05 UTC
From: The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135668425.895997.295240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128666
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I think that it is important that we do not fall into the
trap of equivocating over the term, "Novice" - the Novice
licence as it existed in Yankland in those days was
a more advanced technical and constructional standard
than the Novice Licence that ever existed in Britland and
the "Birth Certificate" that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence.

Jim Hampton wrote:
> An interesting thought
>
> I was just turning 15 in 1962 when I obtained my novice license (WN2CJV).

2896. Re: A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 07:55:45 UTC
From: The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135670145.471275.212540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128667
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

I wonder why it is that there are so many posters
associated with the scouting movement whose
disposition is one of childishness, such as you
illustrate below?

Pete Jeffreys wrote:

> Or you could just piss off.

2897. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 09:01:29 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <Jp7sf.16727$mn1.8146@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128668
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> Just my thoughts.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

I admired the old US licensing regime with the advanced licence requiring 20wpm......I had a blind American friend K1HBJ/GM5BKJ and 20 wpm was no problem to him.....but those days have passed and all is lost.....

2898. Re: BTW dave if you rported to google various of the posts you claims to haev you lied to google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 09:20:22 UTC
From: Lennie <OldMen@anon>
Message-ID: <dor0rbstn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128669

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:vt5sf.2902$nu6.60@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
an_old_friend wrote:
> thepost you and stevie are finding so insane are not made through or
> hotmail
>
> in reporting that they were (if you were telling the truth about making
> the rport) you lied inrpoerting the matter to google

That's interesting, Mark. Some of the full headers shows that you
posted through Google groups. Some do not. You do know how to read the
headers, don't you?

Dave K8MN

Give the less than intelligent clowns a break, will you Dave?
Stupidity is their forte'.

Don't make them think.

2899. Re: Is mark morgan on foodstamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 09:25:00 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dor15vmcn1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak
and
> > cow his foes

>
> Yeah, you mentioned that on several occasions now. I think we get the
idea.

I would have thought by now he would have either noticed or been told about his spelling mistakes as he duplicates it every time he posts the same old guff.

1/ We have no idea what a flase report is.
2/ Id rather attack my foes.
3/ Has a "Rape" actually taken place or is it his dramatic wording?

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

2900. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 09:52:00 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <5q22r1pmtmnauuaq58t12pmrbomvspso5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 128671
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:

>"Mike Garner-Superlative" and "Font Of All Knowledge" are just two more
>of Gareth's alternative personalities. I have a feeling we'll meet some
>more of them before the end of the Christmas holidays.
>
>Give a man enough rope and he'll hang himself. Give Gareth enough
>bandwidth, and he'll talk himself into a padded cell.

You might not be familiar with the 'fettled casting' incident on
uk.rec.models.engineering a couple of years or so ago.

An amazing thread, concerning a casting bought at a show, which at
some point was fettled.

The complianant - no prizes! - exhibited a strange approach to
Customer Relations, allegedly faulty goods, returns policies, consumer
law, etc, but also kept changing his name in the thread. The reasons
can only be guessed at, but one result is to avoid kill filters.

The day's expenses were ï¿½25 petrol, ï¿½8-50 entry, ï¿½126 for the kit; 7
hours driving for the round trip.

However, in the end the 7.5 lb casting wound up in the dustbin, as the
OP couldn't be bothered to take it to the PO to send it off for a
refund. And this is the guy who complained of a University employee
wasting taxpayers money by sending an email three minutes after cop,
and which therefore must have been written during works time. The VC
appears to have told him to combine sex and travel (or words to that
effect).

The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
or three and enjoy the tortured logic:

<http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866c529
9fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&rnu
m=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>

from
Aero Spike

2901. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 10:17:27 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <TpSdnR9eAKUpiSzeRVnyhQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 128672
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Spike wrote:
>
> The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
> or three and enjoy the tortured logic:
>
> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866c5
299fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&r
num=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>
>

Lol, that was great! How inept can you get? I especially liked:

"You are mind-boggling in your sheer and utter moronic stupidity - even
a ten year old kid knows to take his gameboy back to the shop if it
doesn't work. "

A prefect analysis of Gareth Evans, if ever I saw one!

Copied to Blackgates for their amusement.
--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2902. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 11:08:37 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Vg9sf.24185$Dg6.2416@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128673
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

on 27/12/2005 07:15 The Font Of All Ignorance And Stupidity slurred
drunkenly in the following manner:
< crap snipped >
> rather than the other way around. The We'll Shite (All
> Over You) plods have lng had a campaign of harassment
> against me and took ***** **** at his word, ...
< loads more crap snipped >
and why do you think they singled _YOU_ out for this alleged 'campaign
of harassment'?
...(_!_)...

\ |
o__ __\o o |o
| | /| |
/|\ / \ //|\ /|\

2903. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 11:10:39 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Pi9sf.18211$q4.9223@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128674
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

on 27/12/2005 09:52 Spike said the following:
> mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
>
>
>>"Mike Garner-Superlative" and "Font Of All Knowledge" are just two more
>>of Gareth's alternative personalities. I have a feeling we'll meet some
>>more of them before the end of the Christmas holidays.
>>
>>Give a man enough rope and he'll hang himself. Give Gareth enough
>>bandwidth, and he'll talk himself into a padded cell.
>
>
> You might not be familiar with the 'fettled casting' incident on
> uk.rec.models.engineering a couple of years or so ago.
>
> An amazing thread, concerning a casting bought at a show, which at
> some point was fettled.
>
> The complianant - no prizes! - exhibited a strange approach to
> Customer Relations, allegedly faulty goods, returns policies, consumer
> law, etc, but also kept changing his name in the thread. The reasons
> can only be guessed at, but one result is to avoid kill filters.
>
> The day's expenses were ï¿½25 petrol, ï¿½8-50 entry, ï¿½126 for the kit; 7
> hours driving for the round trip.
>
> However, in the end the 7.5 lb casting wound up in the dustbin, as the
> OP couldn't be bothered to take it to the PO to send it off for a
> refund. And this is the guy who complained of a University employee
> wasting taxpayers money by sending an email three minutes after cop,
> and which therefore must have been written during works time. The VC
> appears to have told him to combine sex and travel (or words to that
> effect).
>
> The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
> or three and enjoy the tortured logic:
>
> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866c5
299fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&r
num=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>
>
>
> from

> Aero Spike
A gem I remember from that incident was his statement that 'any Company
that operates a Returns Department admits to selling shoddy goods'!
You couldn't make it up!
--
;-)
73 de Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI - mine's a pint.
http://turner-smith.co.uk

2904. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 11:32:56 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <md92r1tm6duscq21s0d82idinr9lrqfthp@4ax.com>
Source record: 128675
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI wrote:

>on 27/12/2005 09:52 Spike said the following:
>
>> The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
>> or three and enjoy the tortured logic:
>
>> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866c5299fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&rnum=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>
>>
>
>A gem I remember from that incident was his statement that 'any Company
>that operates a Returns Department admits to selling shoddy goods'!
>
>You couldn't make it up!

ROFL!

Unfortunately, Frank, someone *did* make it up....as they went along,
I guess!

from
Aero Spike

2905. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 11:44:30 UTC
From: EL84 <el84@nospam.aol.com>
Message-ID: <41cnouF1djti1U1@individual.net>
Source record: 128676
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"huLLy" <s2f@f2s.com> wrote in message
news:TpSdnR9eAKUpiSzeRVnyhQ@giganews.com...
> Spike wrote:
>>
>> The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
>> or three and enjoy the tortured logic:
>>
>> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866c
5299fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&
rnum=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>
>>
Oh dear, he has a serious reality problem (but we all know that). The thread
was that amusing its been posted to several radio forums, complete with his
contact information.

2906. Re: I can't believe it's not Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 12:22:35 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <forte.free.agent.sux@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 128677

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 01:31:21 -0500, imposter@yahoo.com <from
usenetzone.com> wrote:

: dave claims to have gotten inside 24 hour live people at google to
: tlak about post not made using google.com
:
: he also claims that despite the fact the FBI can't shut mr Wisman he
: shut down me for nothing ilegal

It's not a matter of the FBI shutting you down (although Woger was causing email to be sent to their Pittsburgh office). Rather it's a matter of you being in violation of the terms of service of one or more service providers. For example, if people can get your root ISPs (such as direcpc.com - now Direcway) to deny you internet access, why then you'll have to join Woger in hiding even more carefully behind free Usenet providers like Google and usenetzone.com. It took Woger quite some time to go into hiding, because he had to lose four or five service providers along the way before he figured things out. I don't recall that he ever lost a satellite broadband provider, though.

As Worf would say: "Baktag, Ha'DIBah, mak'dar, pahtk!"

2907. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 12:46:41 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <e0d2r1t57qhob2q8nmv3227vqp1991jdba@4ax.com>
Source record: 128678
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

huLLy wrote:

>Spike wrote:
>>
>> The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
>> or three and enjoy the tortured logic:
>> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866c5299fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&rnum=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>
>>
>
>Lol, that was great! How inept can you get?
>

That wasn't the only thread on the topic. Try the opening post of this
thread; it's an incisive poem (how appropriate!) written by Stephen
Howard:

<http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/53171b7f44a1bf3f/38e9b519f1b62f0b?lnk=st&q=%22or+did+he+just+fuck+it%22+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&rnum=1&hl=en#38e9b519f1b62f0b>

Enjoy!

from
Aero Spike

2908. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 12:50:09 UTC
From: Kantou <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <43b13881_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 128679

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:dQ4sf.2884$nu6.861@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> To follow up on my recent comments to you, I've made good on forwarding
> copies (with full headers) of all of your recent bizarre posts (using
> screen names of Steve's deceased family members) to both Google and MSN
> Hotmail. Both have responded quickly, following up their automatic e-mail
> responses with queries from real, live people. I'm making all of the
> information available to them. Your days of fouling this newsgroup with
> such stuff seem destined to come to a quick end. It probably wasn't very
> smart of you to use your "konstans@hotmail.com" address as "an_old_friend"
> in referring to the other material you posted under different names.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
Wouldn't it be more efficient to forward his abuse with headers to his ISP?

abuse@direcpc.com

2909. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 12:54:42 UTC
From: EL84 <el84@nospam.aol.com>
Message-ID: <41crsjF1dnr53U1@individual.net>
Source record: 128680
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:e0d2r1t57qhob2q8nmv3227vqp1991jdba@4ax.com...
>
> huLLy wrote:
>
>>Spike wrote:
>>>
>>> The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
>>> or three and enjoy the tortured logic:
>>> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866
c5299fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering
&rnum=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>
>>>
>>
>>Lol, that was great! How inept can you get?
>>
>
> That wasn't the only thread on the topic. Try the opening post of this
> thread; it's an incisive poem (how appropriate!) written by Stephen
> Howard:
>
> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/53171b7
f44a1bf3f/38e9b519f1b62f0b?lnk=st&q=%22or+did+he+just+fuck+it%22+group%3Auk.rec.models.eng
ineering&rnum=1&hl=en#38e9b519f1b62f0b>
>
> Enjoy!
>
>
> from
> Aero Spike
ROTFLMAO..to quote from the poem "Airy of Bean, his knees all a quiver" now
that proves he was a choirboy.

2910. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 13:08:51 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dore9p$2q8$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128681
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

// snip //

and have consistently
> supported my view of what is Ham Radio, and have
> done that without indulging in the sorts of behaviours
> that are out of place with those associate with the
> scouts such as you exhibit below. For some reason,
> those from the gutter who should not be associated
> in any way with children (such as yourself below) choose
> to pick on me and proceed to illustrate very well what is
> the difference between those in the hobby (CB Radio) and
> those in the adult technical pursuit (Ham Radio).

CB and Amateur radio are both "hobbies". CB is nowhere near as sophisticated
and held in such high regard as Amateur radio but it is a "hobby"
nontheless.

Here are a few examples of this...

From the ARRL Website,

Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech **hobby** that's got
something fun for
everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio operator--no matter what age,
gender or
physical ability. People from all walks of life pass their entry-level exam
and earn
their Amateur (ham) Radio license. They all share the diverse world of
activities you
can explore with ham radio.

From Wikipedia, the olnline encyclopedia,

Amateur radio, often called ham radio, is a **hobby** enjoyed by many people
throughout
the world. An amateur radio operator, ham, or radio amateur uses two-way
radio to
communicate with other radio amateurs, for recreation or self-edification.

From www.101science.com,

INTRODUCTION: You can learn more about amateur radio at the American Radio
Relay League
web site. Want to know what this HAM radio stuff is all about in plain
language? Then
be sure to check the GETTING STARTED page. It includes information on how

to become an
FCC licensed amateur radio operator. For specific licensing information
click HERE.
Amateur radio as a **hobby** has many different aspects. This is one of the
things that makes
amateur radio so wonderful. There are a variety of activities for
everyone; men, women,
and boys and girls.

From eHam.net,

Welcome to the Guide to Amateur Radio for new hams. Whether you are a new
ham radio
operator or are interested in getting into the exciting amateur radio
hobby these pages
can be helpful as you explore the many opportunities of Amateur Radio.

From Don Irving, www.irving.org/hamradio/,

Amateur Radio, also called ham radio, is an extensive **hobby** that can be
used for world-wide
communications or for chatting with friends across a parking lot. There are
even gateways
between ham radio and the Internet. There are tons of fun things you can do!

All in all, everyone except a few people from this newsgroup see it as
something more than a hobby. It can be a technical and very advanced hobby
but it remains still a hobby. Why do people disagree and get so upset with
this word?

As most people see it, CB is a Hobby, Amateur is a technical Hobby.

As for the personal abuse you have been getting I think that's more to do
with your attitude and approach to others. I find, in general, if your
polite to people they are polite in reply. If you are arrogant and
dismissive in your attitude you will upset some people who will have a go
back at you in the same manner. I have cut previous posts short in this
newsgroup, not because I have lost the argument but because it's pointless
continuing as the other person has no interest in your point of view (your
as in whoever the poster may be) and is only looking for a one-upmanship
argument (for want of a better word) which benefits no-one and in itself is
a "childish" activity. Baiting people to lose their temper as some do is
also a "childish" thing to do and shouldn't exist in a "Gentleman's Hobby".

I know a few of you don't want to hear this but next year im going to be
taking my M3 licence to talk legally on 2 metres so keep a listen out for
me. I will post my nice new M3 callsign in here when it happens.

Look after yourself during the holidays and a Happy New Year to all,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

2911. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 13:22:18 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dorf30$q2$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128682
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > Word will soon get around if children who have been
> > conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
> > for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
> > speak to them.
> >
> Agreed......
>

Just a point to note, before CB came along people who headed the direction of Amateur radio were classed as " Billy No Mates". Now it seems this phrase has been re-directed.
As for no-one speaking to them, you should have put no-one like yourself would speak to them as there are plenty of welcoming souls on the Amateur bands who welcome all who are genuine in the Hobby.

That said I do agree, unless supervised, youngsters shouldn't be allowed on air. But then again who am I to impose my thoughts on people. 6 year old seems ridiculously young to consider able to manage a radio station whatever their intellectual level.

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

2912. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 13:25:38 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dorf98jgd1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128683
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"zYYPK"
<class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test..es.eircom.com.net> wrote in message news:43b058f6.28109389@news.iol.ie...
> "GARETH" <VK4EGE@10-4goodbuddy.com> wrote:
>
> > I agree with you AmateurCB, there should only be ONE license class!
>
>
> oh dear...
>
> straight against the policy of the rsgb.
>

And common sense.

Regards,
Graham

--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

2913. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand? (take 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 13:31:09 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <xmbsf.48316$Cj5.39719@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128684
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: f-icon.gif, o-icon.gif, a-icon.gif, d-icon.gif

on 27/12/2005 07:15 The Font Of All Ignorance And Stupidity slurred
drunkenly in the following manner:
< crap snipped >

>> rather than the other way around. The We'll Shite (All
>> Over You) plods have lng had a campaign of harassment
>> against me and took ***** **** at his word, ...
>
< loads more crap snipped >
and why do you think they singled _YOU_ out for this alleged 'campaign
of harassment'?
...(_!_)...
FOAD

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2914. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 13:46:03 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dorgfh$a1j$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128685
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:Jp7sf.16727$mn1.8146@newsfe7-win.ntli.net...
>
> >
> > Just my thoughts.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> I admired the old US licensing regime with the advanced licence requiring
> 20wpm......I had a blind American friend K1HBJ/GM5BKJ and 20 wpm was no
> problem to him.....but those days have passed and all is lost.....

Theres still the full licence to aim for. That takes a keen knowledge of
Morse does it not, so its still there for those that aspire to it. As for
being blind, as Pointyhead said (I think it was him) its learned through
hearing and used like a language. It doesn't require sight (apart to see
maybe what frequency he/she is transmitting on) so being blind could even
be considered an advantage.

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

2915. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 13:55:26 UTC
From: Gfour <Gfour@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dorh4m$3qo$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128686
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

"Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI" <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk> wrote in message
news:Vg9sf.24185$Dg6.2416@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...
> on 27/12/2005 07:15 The Font Of All Ignorance And Stupidity slurred
> drunkenly in the following manner:
> < crap snipped >
>> rather than the other way around. The We'll Shite (All
>> Over You) plods have lng had a campaign of harassment
>> against me and took ***** **** at his word, ...
> < loads more crap snipped >
> and why do you think they singled _YOU_ out for this alleged 'campaign of
> harassment'?
Be careful when parking in Chippenham, especially in Hardens Close. The
space outside number 13 seems to be in regular use by plod wagons.

2916. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 14:27:50 UTC
From: Christy D <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <098980d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 128687

On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 19:26:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 27 Dec 2005 00:17:54 GMT, "Christy D"
> <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
> <eej123dcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>:
>
> >On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >[a bunch of pipe dreams]
> >
> >Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
> >$1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
> >life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
> >years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
> >
> >===(begin quote from http://www.counseling.mtu.edu/Stalking.htm)===
> >
> >According to Michigan Penal Code.....
>
>
> Irrelevant. Dudly lives in Tennessee (or so he claims). That makes it
> a federal issue which precludes state jurisdiction in both civil and

Sigh.

Jurisdiction was long ago addressed, but not with the outcome you
claim.

The overriding consideration is that the crime was committed by a
Michigan resident while physically located in Michigan, and that
Michigan can therefore prosecute the stalker, no matter where the
victim may reside.

One resource which discusses this fully is available freely on the
web. It is written in language simple enough for a layman like
yourself to understand.

Here, let me quote part of it. N.B. the final paragraph. Emphasis
added for clarity.

====(from Cyberage Stalking by Barbara Fullerton)====
Most stalker cases are prosecuted at the state and local level, so it
is up to each state to provide language that will protect potential
victims. Most often, first time offenders are given lenient fines or
punishments. Some state laws need to be changed to offer more severe
punishments. All 50 states have stalking laws, and about 42 states
now have laws that include electronic communications as a harassment
tool or in some way relate to cyberstalking. States that do not have

laws yet are: Utah, New Jersey, New Mexico, Idaho, Nebraska, and DC. In 1993, *Michigan was the first state to pass a stalking law that included the words electronic harassment.* It has been a challenge for the states to find a balance between a law that involves expressive conduct and speech which is protected under the First Amendment, and laws that protect victims and forbid harassment and provide privacy.

Another problem is the accused may not be in the same jurisdiction as the victim, or where the offense occurred. But in these instances, states have broadened their jurisdiction rules to help address cybercrimes that are not part of their harassment laws, like jurisdiction. *In their jurisdiction laws, courts can look at where the stalking began, various sites the messages may have passed, and the physical location of the stalker.*

2917. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 14:58:17 UTC
From: Brett Ostrom <b_ostrom@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <dEcsf.47318$tV6.22693@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 128688

Dee:

May be time to search for more challenging co-workers and friends.

Me

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:rvSdnRi15YIMAzDeRVn-vw@comcast.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:b7crf.9801$nm.6265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:OY6dnXvgpZrwXzHenZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@comcast.com...
>>> And a biggie is how is a simpler entry level license going to get people
>>> into the hobby if the don't even know it exists! I've not had a single
>>> person tell me the licensing requirements were too hard or not worth the
>>> effort. On the other hand, I get a lot of "ham radio? What's that?"
>>
>> Making ham radio known to potential newcomers is ALL OUR
>> RESPONSIBILITY if we care about amateur service
>> continuing in the future. Today's licensing process is a
>> hodgepodge of 3 licenses which really (IMHO) don't
>> provide a very logical path from new beginner to
>> Extra. That's the problem I see all of us actually
>> discussing here. How do we make it better and
>> more logical?
>>
>> Merry Christmas to all.
>> Bill K2UNK
>
> Until we potential recruits to worry about, a "better and more logical"
> path is rather a moot point.
>
> I've talked about ham radio with my friends and co-workers. Not one has
> yet asked how to get a license.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

2918. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 15:47:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135698458.860516.243750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128689

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
> > > > > sexuality is relavant to radio
> > > > >
> > > > I never did make that claim, Markie.
> > > >
> > > yes you did you sack of ####
> > >
> > More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
> > claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
> >
> yes you have made that claim

No, I've not.

> you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
> relavant to radio

Then we can use your "logic" to say that everytime you post you
agree that you're a liar and a thief.

Steve, K4YZ

2919. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 15:53:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135698781.258259.85670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128690

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >"taelor_robeson"
> >
> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> >be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> >Sheriff's Office.
> >
> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
>
> Bull#####

An opinion from the twice disgraced ex-Marine coward.

Thanks, Frankie. I'll print your comments and keep them in reach
in the bathroom where they belong.

Steve, K4YZ

2920. more lies from K4YZ nerver was an lawsuite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 15:53:50 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <koo2r1lsg09sbtpluk81ee8ocf7sa8d34c@4ax.com>
Source record: 128692

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > >
> > >"taelor_robeson"
> > >
> > > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that
> > >will be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> > >Sheriff's Office.
> > >
> > > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
> >
> >
> > Bull####
>
> An opinion from the twice disgraced ex-Marine coward.
>
> Thanks, Frankie. I'll print your comments and keep them in reach
> in the bathroom where they belong.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
you leid agian stive why do you lie so much
you will never win in any cort

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2921. more lies from K4YZ nerver was an lawsuite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 15:56:03 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <g0p2r192du6erle8652mfbtt579k2jduhl@4ax.com>
Source record: 128693

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > >
> > > >"taelor_robeson"
> > >
> > > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that
> > >will be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> > >Sheriff's Office.
> > >
> > > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
> >
> >
> > Bull####
>
> An opinion from the twice disgraced ex-Marine coward.
>
> Thanks, Frankie. I'll print your comments and keep them in reach
> in the bathroom where they belong.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
you leid agian stive why do you lie so much
you will never win in any cort

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2922. more lies from K4YZ nerver was an lawsuite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 15:56:32 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <u0p2r1pjt63lg5g30kjlur18k8ooi8lke2@4ax.com>
Source record: 128694

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > >
> > > >"taelor_robeson"
> > >
> > > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that
> > >will be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> > >Sheriff's Office.
> > >
> > > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
> >
> >
> > Bull####
>
> An opinion from the twice disgraced ex-Marine coward.
>
> Thanks, Frankie. I'll print your comments and keep them in reach
> in the bathroom where they belong.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
you leid agian stive why do you lie so much
you will never win in any cort

dig me up an play wit me

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2923. Last WARNING to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 15:58:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135699101.689910.104330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128691

an_old_friend wrote:
> Christy D wrote:
> > On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
> > $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
> > life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
> > years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
>
>
> all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
> action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie

Sure they are.

> he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email

Nope. Haven't sent you an e-mailed copy of an RRAP re-post in 6
years, Markie.

On the otherhand I not only have copies of letters you have
RECENTLY sent me, but the aforementioned RRAP posts.

The clock's ticking, Markie. Stop the use of my daughter's name
in your RRAP stalkings and stop misrepresenting yourself as my wife and
father.

It's your choice...And YOUR consequences.

Steve, K4YZ

2924. Apology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 16:10:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135699837.344187.45630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128695

Steve owes Mark an apology.

Steve says Mark owes him one by today.

Is Coslo's BBS up and running yet?

2925. Re: The infantile and abusive public face of Wood & Douglas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 16:20:57 UTC
From: Alan Holmes <alan.holmes@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <JRdsf.15698$f7.5635@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128696
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DieSea" <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom> wrote in message
news:43ae73b8$0$23286$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
>
>>
>> ROTFL!
>>
>> 73 de Wlat
>>
>> --
>
> Pot calling Kettle me thinks

Somehow I think you have missed the point, try reading the article again.

I thought it was funny as well.

Why is it crossposted to so many groups?

Alan

>
>
>
> Happy Christmas All
>
>
>
> DieSea
>
>

2926. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 16:33:14 UTC
From: Alan Holmes <alan.holmes@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <e1esf.50941$7p5.3027@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128697
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MvSqf.193$qg.182@news02.roc.ny...
> Pierian Spring wrote:
>> Now that the onslaught of rather silly and infantile
>> tirades from the closet CBers...
>
> Sorry for the delay, I was over on the LinRadio console actually doing ham
> radio (it's sunrise here) instead of provoking what could be described as
> the 21st century version of Inspiration for the Sex Pistols.
>
> Where were we? Oh yes,
>
> If you were a real ham radio op you'd be on the radio instead of playing
> Lord of the Gnats.

Wasn't one posting enough for you?

Alan

>
> Twit.
> -W8LNA

2927. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 16:56:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135702596.974896.208560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128698

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
> >"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable, why
> >would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?

Exactly, Dee. After all, the current General requires only
two written exams of 35 questions each, and a Morse
Code test of 5 wpm.

> So they can "properly" emulate the past and all the "greats"
> of "the service." :-)

Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...

> <insert raucous laughter here...>
>
> I really do NOT see any "need" for any "entry level" of any
> kind except for the teen-agers who've been living far, far
> away in some remote land for too long.

Would you prefer that the Technician license simply
be discontinued?

> Examples of two-way radio use are all around us and there have
> never been more texts on How Radio Works than now.

Of course - but so what?

> >Why "dip a toe" when you can easily just dive in?
>
> ANYONE who has ever used a cell-phone has automatically used
> a little two-way radio communications device.

Yep. There's a radio you're qualified to operate, Len.

> ANYONE who has ever used an FRS or GMRS radio has used a
> little two-way radio communications thingy.

Of course. Another radio you're qualified to operate, Len...

> ANYONE who has ever used a CB set has used a slightly larger
> two-way radio communications set.

Sure. Still another of your qualifications...

Of course all those sets are channleized, one-band,
certified/type-accepted

low-power, highly regulated sets designed for users with no real skill,

knowledge or understanding of radio.

> All of the above cost LESS than $100 each new...

I've built amateur radio stations for less than $100.
>
> Actually, any wireless microphone is a one-way radio and
> those cost no more than $100 for a whole Karaoke set.
>
There's another radio you're qualifed to operate, Len!!

But you are not qualified to operate an amateur radio station, Len

> >What will the "learner"s permit actually accomplish?
>
> It will make the old-timers feel superior and "elmerish,"
> satisfying the control-need urges to make themselves the
> role model for all who come after them.

Is that bad?

> It's "good for
> the 'service'" they will say...as if the HOBBY was some
> sort of professional occupation or a military arm of the
> country. <shrug>

I see - there shouldn't be any sort of standards, rules,
procedures, elmering, or other community in a "hobby",
right? Only "professionals" or "the military" should
have any standards, according to Len Anderson...

> >In other words, I believe that the time for a scaled down, entry level
> >license is passing, if not already passed. We're hanging on to yet another
> >outdated idea simply because that is the way some people started.
>
> That IS the bottom line, Dee, congratulations on admitting
> that! A bow of respect in your direction.

Well, Len, in a couple of weeks it will be six *years* since you
bragged
to us that you were "going for Extra right out of the box". Hasn't
happened
yet, though. Guess those three written tests and that 5 wpm code test
are
just too hard for you, eh? Yet you'll pontificate to us that there's no
need
for an entry level license.

2928. Re: Is mark morgan on foodstamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 17:25:15 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11r2u7pq7505uf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 128699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
(snip)
> I would have thought by now he would have either noticed or been told
> about
> his spelling mistakes as he duplicates it every time he posts the same old
> guff.
>
> 1/ We have no idea what a flase report is.
> 2/ Id rather attack my foes.
> 3/ Has a "Rape" actually taken place or is it his dramatic wording?
>
> Regards,
> Graham

Most states (in the U.S.) have internet info on crimes online. He can prove his claim by providing the link. Otherwise it is just hearsay. I just wish when he spams the group with this nonsense he would put OT in the header so I can skip rereading the same old junk.

2929. How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 17:58:23 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3hfsf.10718$nm.2956@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128700

Scattered around several other threads there have been several
dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
amateur radio.

The options suggested so far seem to be:

(a) 1 License
(b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
(c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
(d) 3 Licenses
(e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"

What I wonder about these is how the individual
proponents of each would set the "difficulty
level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
in multiple license options.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2930. Re: stive (STEVIE) the ######## (GRATUITOUS PROFANTIY) lies somemor (SOME MORE) [Or: How More Idiotic Can One Man Make Himself Appear In Public And Still Have His Pants On?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:02:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135706523.557683.57840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128701

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
> > > > > sexuality is relavant to radio
> > > >
> > > > I never did make that claim, Markie.
> > >
> > > yes you did you sack of ####
> >
> > More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
> > claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
>
> yes you have made that claim

No, I've not.

I offered you $100 American to re-post that quote over a month ago
and you've still not been able to pony-up the alleged "claim".

> you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
> relavant to radio

No, I've not claimed any such thing.

If we accept your "logic" of what constitutes a "claim", then we
can also say that by posting over 600 threatening and harrassing USENET
posts you are agreeing that you are in need of mental health
evaluation, voluntary or otherwise.

Steve, K4YZ

2931. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:07:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135706842.016905.237920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128702

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > To follow up on my recent comments to you, I've made good on forwarding
> > copies (with full headers) of all of your recent bizarre posts (using
> > screen names of Steve's deceased family members) to both Google and MSN
> > Hotmail. Both have responded quickly, following up their automatic
> > e-mail responses with queries from real, live people. I'm making all of
> > the information available to them. Your days of fouling this newsgroup
> > with such stuff seem destined to come to a quick end. It probably
> > wasn't very smart of you to use your "konstans@hotmail.com" address as
> > "an_old_friend" in referring to the other material you posted under
> > different names.
>
> and you are going to prove your claims how?

He doesn't have to.

YOUR posts are doing all the "work".

> likely not at all
>
> but you are serious claiming you got an live answer on the days after
> christmas
>
> and assuming you get the hotmail account treminated this harms me How?

It establishes a pattern that's demonstratable to a court of your
bizzare behaviour.

> you lie worse than steve

To "lie worse" than me, he would have had to lie. He hasn't.

So far, they're all coming from you.

Steve, K4YZ

2932. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:10:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135707054.401738.278550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128703

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
> stveie the ###hole can't even acept a correction and apology when I do
> give one why should anyone beleive that anything stevei says is true

What correction?

What apology?

And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the ###hole",
when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2933. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:25:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <f9t2r15lvjlvebievfgqetc249emnetq9a@4ax.com>
Source record: 128704

On 27 Dec 2005 14:27:50 GMT, "Major Dud in drag"
<daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
<098980d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>:

>On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 19:26:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 27 Dec 2005 00:17:54 GMT, "Christy D"
>> <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
>> <eej123dcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>:
>>
>> >On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >[a bunch of pipe dreams]
>> >
>> >Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
>> >$1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
>> >life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
>> >years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
>> >
>> >===(begin quote from http://www.counseling.mtu.edu/Stalking.htm)===
>> >
>> >According to Michigan Penal Code........
>>
>>
>> Irrelevant. Dudly lives in Tennessee (or so he claims). That makes it
>> a federal issue which precludes state jurisdiction in both civil and
>
>Sigh.
>
>Jurisdiction was long ago addressed,

It appears that both you and Dudly had the same lysdexic English
teacher in High School.

> but not with the outcome you
>claim.

The difference between state and federal jurisdiction has been argued
in the courts for over 200 years and is now very well defined. That
difference is reflected in the application of internet stalking laws
at the state and federal levels. The passage of VAWA clearly indicates
that the federal government recognizes the limitations of state
jurisdiction when it comes to interstate stalking via the internet.

>The overriding consideration is that the crime was committed by a
>Michigan resident while physically located in Michigan, and that
>Michigan can therefore prosecute the stalker, no matter where the
>victim may reside.

Wrong. If the crime was "committed" across state boundries, as would
be the case if the alleged perp was in Michigan and the alleged victim
in Tennessee, the jurisdiction is strictly federal -- the alleged
crime can be prosecuted -only- under federal law. If you need legal
precedents then I suggest you study some statutory and case law
regarding postal and telecommunications crimes. And it's very likely
that, in the future, states will be prohibited from prosecuting
internet crimes -within- state boundries for the very same reasons
that intrastate postal crimes now fall under federal jurisdiction.

>One resource which discusses this fully is available freely on the
>web. It is written in language simple enough for a layman like
>yourself to understand.
>
>Here, let me quote part of it. N.B. the final paragraph. Emphasis
>added for clarity.
>
>====(from Cyberage Stalking by Barbara Fullerton)====

I'll do you one better and provide the link:

http://www.llrx.com/features/cyberstalking.htm

<snip to semi-relevant paragraph>
>Another problem is the accused may not be in the same jurisdiction as
>the victim, or where the offense occurred. But in these instances,
>states have broadened their jurisdiction rules to help address
>cybercrimes that are not part of their harassment laws, like
>jurisdiction. *In their jurisdiction laws, courts can look at where
>the stalking began, various sites the messages may have passed, and
>the physical location of the stalker.*

States cannot "broaden their jurisdiction rules" to include other
states. That's firmly established law.

And I can't imagine why you didn't include this little bit of prose
your quote:

"There are some ways to help stop cyberstalking: self-regulatory,
ignore the stalking, and self-protection. Self-regulatory and
self-protection practices can include turning off the computer,
changing your email address a few times a year, or dropping out of
discussion groups."

IOW, the "victim" needs to use some common sense.

And I noticed you snipped the rest of my post. Very Dudly-esque.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2934. Re: A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:31:32 UTC
From: Ewan Scott <ewanscott@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dos1a4$4c6$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 128705
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

"The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in
message news:1135670145.471275.212540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I wonder why it is that there are so many posters
> associated with the scouting movement whose
> disposition is one of childishness, such as you
> illustrate below?
>
> Pete Jeffreys wrote:
>
> > Or you could just piss off.

Who are you?

and, who do you think you are?

Ewan Scott

2935. msg from emergency manager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:35:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135708531.934925.199000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128707

> North Dakota News
>
> > This text is from a county emergency manager
> out in the western
> part of North Dakota state after the recent snow
> storm.
>
> > WEATHER BULLETIN
>
> > Up here in the Northern Plains we just
> recovered from a Historic
> event --- may I even say a "Weather Event" of
> "Biblical Proportions" ---
> with a historic blizzard of up to 44" inches of snow
> and winds to 90 MPH
> that broke trees in half, knocked down utility
> poles, stranded hundreds
> of motorists in lethal snow banks, closed ALL roads,
> isolated scores of
> communities and cut power to 10's of thousands.
>
> > FYI:
>
> >
>
> > * George Bush did not come....
>
> >
>
> > * FEMA did nothing....
>
> >
>
> > * No one howled for the government...
>
> >
>
> > * No one blamed the government
>
> >
>
> > * No one even uttered an expletive on
> TV...
>

> >
>
> > * Jesse Jackson or Al Sharpton did not
> visit
>
> >
>
> > * Our Mayor's did not blame Bush or
> anyone else
>
> >
>
> > * Our Governor did not blame Bush or
> anyone else either
>
> >
>
> > * CNN, ABC, CBS, FOX, or NBC did not
> visit - or report on
> this
>
> >
>
> > category 5 snow storm
>
> >
>
> > * Nobody demanded $2,000 debit
> cards.....
>
> >
>
> > * No one asked for a FEMA Trailer
> House....
>
> >
>
> > * No one looted....
>
> >
>
> > * Nobody - I mean Nobody demanded the
> government do
> something
>
> >
>
> > * Nobody expected the government to do
> anything either
>

> >
>
> > * No Larry King, No Bill O'Rielly, No
> Oprah, No Chris
> Mathew and No Geraldo Rivera
>
> >
>
> > * No Shaun Penn, No Barbara Striesand,
> No Hollywood types
> to be found
>
> >
>
> > And Nope, we just melted the snow for water
>
>
> >
>
> > * Sent out caravans of SUV's to pluck
> people out of snow
> engulfed cars
>
> >
>
> > * The truck drivers pulled people out
> of snow banks and
> didn't ask for a penny
>
> >
>
> > * Local restaurants made food and the
> police and fire
> departments delivered it to the snow bound families
>
> >
>
> > * Families took in the stranded people
> - total strangers
>
> >
>
> > * We Fired up wood stoves
>
> >
>
> > * Broke out coal oil lanterns or
> Coleman lanterns
>
> >

>
> > * We put on extra layers of clothes
> because up here it is
> "Work or Die"
>
> >
>
> > * We did not wait for some affirmative
> action government
> to get us out of a mess created by being immobilized
> by a welfare
> program that trades votes for 'sittin at home'
> checks.
>
> >
>
> > * Even though a Category "5" blizzard
> of this scale has
> never fallen this early...we know it can happen and
> how to deal with it
> ourselves.
>
> >
>
> > "In my many travels, I have noticed that
> once one gets north of
> about 48 degrees North Latitude, 90% most of the
> world's social problems
> evaporate."
>
> >
>
> > Merry Christmas
>
> > And
>
> > Happy New Year

2936. Re: A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:35:36 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <P7qdnZI2K_voFCzenZ2dnUVZ8qmdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 128706
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

Ewan Scott wrote:
> "The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
> wrote in message
> news:1135670145.471275.212540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> I wonder why it is that there are so many posters
>> associated with the scouting movement whose
>> disposition is one of childishness, such as you
>> illustrate below?
>>
>> Pete Jeffreys wrote:
>>
>>> Or you could just piss off.
>
> Who are you?
>
> and, who do you think you are?
>
> Ewan Scott

email me if you want to know

--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

2937. stvevie the asshole contiues to be a lying asshole for rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:42:41 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <olp1r1dsdl8de59o2b8n50640ibk7u003m@4ax.com>
Source record: 128708

```
>Subject: Re: Welcome to Amateur Radio Experimentation
>Date: Mon, 01 Aug 2005 23:27:55 -0700
>Message-ID: <1122964075.737243.94590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
>In-Reply-To: <1122353966.282770.173870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
>References: <1122274498.835456.49200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> <1122353966.282770.173870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
>User-Agent: G2/0.2
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
>
>
>Bathrooman wrote:
>> toddaug...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > Welcome to Amateur Radio Experimentation, this group is for the
>> > discussion of experimenting in the Amateur Radio band and under Part
>> > 15. enjoy
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>>
>>
>> Great. Now what are we supposed to be experimenting with. How about
>> contesting while tripping on LSD?
>
> He's not already? People write and talk like him while SOBER?
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan
```

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2938. more prrof that stveie lies when he blames mark for fring up sexuality..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:43:18 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3ks1r1hfso091s8p525vhaqr8igvvvtevk@4ax.com>
Source record: 128709

WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
WED..?!?!

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and
N9OGL to WED..?!?!
Date: 23 Jun 2005 05:01:52 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <1119528112.138439.59150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119528117 26024 127.0.0.1 (23 Jun 2005
12:01:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2005 12:01:57 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an_old_friend" >konst...@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this
author
Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
Subject: Re: The Pool
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't,
may
mean they simply choose not to bother

Yessireesir! Markie's back!

The President of the IIII....Illiterate Illinformed Idiot from
Illinois.

Not THAT idiot...the ORIGINAL!

Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the
author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to
be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own
beliefs
to stand behind it.

MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.

On the otherhand...you might LIKE that kind of thing....Lord
knows...you've certainly expressed more bizarre interests than incest
before.

Steve, K4YZ
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2939. stevie the asshole prooves deserves his treatment as if it wee needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:43:23 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qos1r15afpbulcgvtmitt5n7uvq2r55msm@4ax.com>
Source record: 128710

```
Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on Todd's
irrational behaviour
Date: 5 Jun 2005 04:34:42 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <1117971282.042872.15120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <ec98e11d804924ced3552c426af05b2f@mixmaster.it>
<wuOdneAB_ukVxT_fRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117971288 31986 127.0.0.1 (5 Jun 2005
11:34:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2005 11:34:48 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <wuOdneAB_ukVxT_fRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

KC8GXW wrote:
> George Orwell wrote:
>
> > If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
> > honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
> > ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
> >
> > Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
> >
> > What a piece of work you are.
> >
>
> And you know this because? Toad bragged that his ISP told him to not
> come on the news groups but he refused to respect the ISP's authority.
> If Steve snitched toad out, then that is wrong, but you just saying it
> doesn't make it true!

I didn't "snitch toad out" for his newsgroup activities other than
his threats and profanities which I received via e-mail. Not all of
```

the stupid letters I received were via his blog. The headers tell the
tale and THAT'S what got him into trouble.

As for the suggestion that Todd was not responsible for the
threatening letters, I look at it this way...Even if he didn't send
some of them, he encouraged and incited others via his blog to act
irresponsibly.

I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his
blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.

Also, I am obviously NOT the only person who's been busting
Todd's
chops with his ISP.

He played with fire...He got burnt...Oh well...

73

Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2940. stvevie the asshole contiues to be a lying asshole for rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:43:28 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <po23r11lb46nrq9g00qul2g8mkd0ef0i8b@4ax.com>
Source record: 128712

everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making
false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Now dig me up and play with me

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2941. stveie advocates Todds murder yep that is the assole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:43:31 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <nps1r15gli624c4o4mm69faksfemdtk3qj@4ax.com>
Source record: 128711

```
Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Death of Amateur Radio
Date: 7 Mar 2005 08:54:21 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <1110214461.563933.227680@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
References: <420f2a51$1_1@127.0.0.1>
<1110069816.137921.213160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110209704.665589.41150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<422C8353.23C4D462@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.116.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110214466 10506 127.0.0.1 (7 Mar 2005
16:54:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 7 Mar 2005 16:54:26 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <422C8353.23C4D462@earthlink.net>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.116.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
> > about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams,
better
> > yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM
RADIO:A
> > RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T
WANT
> > THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.

I don't think Handi-Hams includes those who are potty-mouthed,
snivelling twits who trash airwaves and USENET alike.

> I assume that most of this will fall under the "opinion" portion of
your
> show, Todd.
```

>
> I have a few good topics for your future broadcasts/informational
> bulletins: "Fast typing makes for incoherent sentences", "My high IQ
> leaves me unable to communicate with lesser beings" or "How I beat
the
> FCC at its own game".
>
> Good luck. Drop us a line and tell us how it went.

Maybe we'll get lucky, Dave, and someone else will "drop a line"
around his neck.

What a twit.

Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2942. Re: Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 18:59:45 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135709985.307178.97360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128713

an old idot wrote:
> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > "poor coward but what do we expect from a cancelled cartoon charater "
> >
> > Poor an_old_idiot, doesn't even know there was no such cartoon
> > character (notice the correct spelling of character).
>
> ah so there was never even that much ah poor cancelle dkook

Maybe some day you will grow up and learn how to post something that
makes sense, but I really doubt it.

2943. Re: stive the asshole lies somemor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 19:01:39 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ro33r1tjr979lg3633mvet7hv3u16oaomp@4ax.com>
Source record: 128714

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 06:47:34 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>> an Old friend wrote:
>>>>>>>> Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
>>>>>>>> sexuality is relavant to radio
>>>>>>> I never did make that claim, Markie.
>>>>>> yes you did you sack of ####
>>>>> More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
>>>>> claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
>>>> yes you have made that claim
>>>>
>>>> you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
>>>> relavant to radio
>>> Whenever I think you're just a fool, you use that IQ of 248 to dazzle me.
>>
>> can you ever stay on topic davie boy?
>
>Oh, that's right! The topic was what--harrassing Steve by using the
>names of his deceased family members?

closer dave

>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2944. Re: BTW dave if you rported to google various of the posts you claims to haev you lied to google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 19:04:05 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <mq33r15v2a8t4s4s2bqm4q562mfts67sda@4ax.com>
Source record: 128715

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 04:20:22 -0500, "Lennie" <OldMen@anon> wrote:

>
>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:vt5sf.2902$nu6.60@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> thepost you and stevie are finding so insane are not made through or
>> hotmail
>>
>> in reporting that they were (if you were telling the truth about making
>> the rport) you lied inrpoerting the matter to google
>
>That's interesting, Mark. Some of the full headers shows that you
>posted through Google groups. Some do not. You do know how to read the
>headers, don't you?

indeed and none of the goolge stuff has had email like n8dos@grave.net
since google requires a real email address

and obviouslt that one is not
>
>Dave K8MN
>
>Give the less than intelligent clowns a break, will you Dave?
>Stupidity is their forte'.
>
>Don't make them think.
>
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2945. Re: Last WARNING to Mark Morgan when will stve tell the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 19:06:56 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <at33r11bvavp3k032ioqncu995cjn2hqgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 128716

On 27 Dec 2005 07:58:21 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Christy D wrote:
>> > On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
>> > $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
>> > life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
>> > years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
>>
>>
>> all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
>> action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

right
>
>> indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie
>
> Sure they are.

such as?
>
>> he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email
>
> Nope. Haven't sent you an e-mailed copy of an RRAP re-post in 6
>years, Markie.

never said you had that lately

you have just been sending death threats to me for year that is all

>
> On the otherhand I not only have copies of letters you have
>RECENTLY sent me, but the aforementioned RRAP posts.
>
> The clock's ticking, Markie. Stop the use of my daughter's name
>in your RRAP stalkings and stop misrepresenting yourself as my wife and
>father.

blsuter away

I am not misrepresting myself my posts are clearly marked as coming
from me

and none of those acts is stalking asshole
>
> It's your choice...And YOUR consequences.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2946. Re: Apology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 19:10:14 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <v043r11s1n8av2gtelsmt6qfk2n1c70d5a@4ax.com>
Source record: 128717

On 27 Dec 2005 08:10:37 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>Steve owes Mark an apology.

which i don't ever realy expect
>
>Steve says Mark owes him one by today.

and then he extends his dealine without a date to wggle out by lying
again
>
>Is Coslo's BBS up and running yet?

not likely

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2947. stevei on about sex again [Or: How More Idiotic Can One Man Make Himself Appear In Public And Still Have His Pants On?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 19:13:32 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <r243r19g7ia7ppnfh5qel0pjfk7bncm1rs@4ax.com>
Source record: 128718

On 27 Dec 2005 10:02:03 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an Old friend wrote:
>> > > > > Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
>> > > > > sexuality is relavant to radio
>> > > > >
>> > > > I never did make that claim, Markie.
>> > >
>> > > yes you did you sack of ####
>> >
>> > More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
>> > claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
>>
>> yes you have made that claim
>
> No, I've not.

yes you have
>
> I offered you $100 American to re-post that quote over a month ago
>and you've still not been able to pony-up the alleged "claim".

posted it severla time reposted it again today
>
>> you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
>> relavant to radio
>
> No, I've not claimed any such thing.

sure have
>
> If we accept your "logic" of what constitutes a "claim", then we
>can also say that by posting over 600 threatening and harrassing USENET
>posts you are agreeing that you are in need of mental health
>evaluation, voluntary or otherwise.

not without lying steie but you lie so often
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2948. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 19:27:51 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <s553r1l01h0ofdijndbuvqnfk8h552j18j@4ax.com>
Source record: 128719

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 17:58:23 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Scattered around several other threads there have been several
>dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
>amateur radio.
>
>The options suggested so far seem to be:
>
>(a) 1 License
>(b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"

i go for choice a or b
>(c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>(d) 3 Licenses
>(e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>
>What I wonder about these is how the individual
>proponents of each would set the "difficulty
>level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
>AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
>of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> in multiple license options.

I see no merit in power limits unless the point is to drop some sorts
of questions out the learner license pool to keep it smaller

but the difficulty of the main test should be enough to be roughly
famier what what is need for safe operation without undue accidnetal
interference

I aleraner liecen priveldge simlar to the old noivce adding 2 m and 6m
(no p[ower limits except preahps as above hf access bas before with
voice mode premitted (by either sliding the sgement up into voice or
sliding voice down to old novice bands both have merit both have
problems)
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2949. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 20:07:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135714061.310675.39920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128720

an_old_friend wrote:
> dave says he wants a stop to flooding of the group

True. And you're the guilty party.

> he says this but then what does he claim to have done about it?

He's done more than you have.

> cmaplain to severs not invold in posting the flood

But they will be able to force your ISP to stop you, Mark.

> instaed he could try to convice Stevei not to be depesable which stop
> things at once

WTF is "depesable"...?!?!

E N G L I S H you drunken fool!

> logical conclusion dave is lying and what he realy wants is to help
> stevie try to censor the NG

Nope.

He wants to see you stop acting like an idiot. (Yeah...like
THAT'S going to happen...)

Steve, K4YZ

2950. why dos stive lie about hving a lawyer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 20:40:36 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ti93r1pttvqo12i6s48qroh4m7em3qgr3k@4ax.com>
Source record: 128721

stive never cotrcact any lawyer

everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making
false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2951. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:14:40 UTC
From: Uncle Bobbolink <weleasewoger33@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <20a51$43b1be11$d1cc7923$32224@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 128759
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

The folllowing is from the URL: http://www.fuckchristmas.org/

This is a F-N MASTERPIECE. ENJOY you mother-fucking assholes. (grin)

Oh man, fuck Christmas.

Seriously – are you kidding me with this “There’s a war on
Christmas” bullshit? FOX News wasn’t raking in enough
cash already from all the Christmas commercials for
Kill ‘em All Barbie and Girls Gone Wild Brand Toddler Gear?
They had to start publishing books about some bogus attack
on Christianity? And who did they pick to lead this particular charge?

John fucking Gibson. This guy has wiener written all over him.

Bill O’Reilly gets all the credit as the biggest nutcase in
FOXville, but Gibson really deserves his own special wing
in the happy house. This motherfucker’s embedded
assignment reads “Up Karl Rove’s ass.”

What makes him such a dick? I mean, besides making a fortune
by screaming hysterically about how oppressed Christians
are by the other twenty percent? How about advocating
bombing countries that don’t vote the way we want in their
own elections? Way to encourage democracy, fuckhead.
And maybe he was kidding when he wished, on air,
that the French had gotten the 2012 Olympics instead
of the Brits so the terrorists would “blow up Paris,” but it
might have been just a touch over the top to call for it
again on the day of the London train bombings. Classy move, asshole.

And really? That’s just scratching the fucking surface.
Anyone remember who was responsible for the bombing
of the Federal building in Oklahoma City? John does: Iraq.
And speaking of Iraq, Gibson thinks Rove deserves a
fucking medal for outing that CIA agent. And, like any
good reporter, he wanted to burn the Florida ballots after
his buddy Bush got “elected” rather than, I don’t know,
count them? “Is this a case where knowing the facts
actually would be worse than not knowing?” That right
there is why sometimes it’s useful for journalists to go
to, what do you call that fucking place? Oh yeah, journalism school.

And now he's all worked up about Christmas being stolen. What is this, the fucking Fairytale Network? It's a national fucking holiday and we're spending gobs of our hard-earned tax dollars on wreaths and lights for your special Santa day. But these bastards are all "But they call them Holiday trees!" Here's a clue: no, they fucking don't. Ok, maybe in a couple places, like on FOXNews.com and at the White House, but if Christmas is under attack, I'm Kris fucking Kringle.

And guess who's stealing Christmas, according to Gibson. Go on — guess. "A cabal of secularists, so-called humanists trial lawyers, cultural relativists, and liberal, guilt-wracked Christians — not just Jewish people." (Emphasis mine. Pure, unadulterated anti-semitism, his.) A cabal? Are you fucking kidding me? Could we try to be a little more fucking original with our Jew-hating?

Speaking of Jew-hating, Pat Buchanan has joined the hype-a-thon of the supposed Attack on Christmas, too. Or, as he put it, "What we're witnessing here are hate crimes against Christianity." Sorry? We're not so hot on paying for an inflatable camel for your goddamn nativity scene and suddenly we're Slobodan fucking Milosevic? Fuck you. Get some goddamn perspective, you little prick. When they start hunting Christians in the streets, it'll be time to start yelling "Hate crime." And no, it won't count when they start chasing you with the torches. That'll be called "The Most Wonderful Time of the Year."

And Bill O'Reilly, Gibson's cellmate in the silly shack, is doing his part to save Christmas, too. He's been going after New York's Mayor Bloomberg (that Commie) because he says the Christmas Tree at Rockefeller Center has been renamed a "Holiday Tree," and "No Christian Christmas symbols are allowed in the public schools." The only problem? Neither of those things is even remotely fucking true.

It takes some super-sized balls for O'Reilly to get all lathered up about sinners and their fictional anti-Christian crusade. Every fucking person on the planet has hard and fast evidence that Bill is a world-class pervert, but still he feels totally justified lecturing the rest of us on our moral inferiority.

How fucked up is Bill O'Reilly? Pretty fucked up. Everyone got so crazyfaced about him confusing a sponge and a Middle Eastern snackcake (pretty fucking funny, mind you), that they missed the scary bit: what he meant to say. Old Bill wanted to get all funky on his intern like this: (you'll excuse my foul language, I'm quoting an award-winning newscaster here) "I'd take the other hand with the [loofah] thing and

put it on your pussy."

loofah (lū'fə) noun. A natural exfoliating sponge.

exfoliate (ĕks-fō'lē-āt') verb. To remove
(a layer of bark or skin, for example) in flakes
or scales; peel.

That's right. Bill O'Reilly, fount of morals, is super
familiar with the female anatomy. So much so, that
he knows how good it feels to have one's pussy
exfoliated. We're talking h – o – t, Hot.
That's exactly who I'm going to for my life lessons.

Sorry, where were we? Oh yeah, fuck Christmas.

Can we back up just a couple steps here? At what
point did a basic understanding of the separation
of church and state become a fucking war on religion?
And how did we get to the point where you can call
an organization set up to defend our civil liberties
"Terrorists" on national television and no one fires
your ass? Enough. Fuck all of you lying little shitheads
who wish the world was out to get you so you could
play the poor oppressed victims. Wake up assholes
— you're the cowboys, not the fucking Indians.

"But we want to display our Christmas tree on city property!"
You can, go right ahead. "They're stopping us from
praying in school!" They're not, so fuck off. "We're
not allowed to say 'Merry Christmas' anymore!" Are you
fucking kidding me? Knock yourself out. Say it at work,
scream it in your high school lunch room, hell, tattoo it
on your fucking g-ddammed slooped forehead for all we care.
Guess who's gonna be there defending your right to do
every one of those things? The fucking ACLU. One of
these days you bastards are going to drive those fuckers
out of business, and then you'll see some actual attacks
on your religious liberties. I thought conservatives
were supposed to be all proud and independent?
When did they turn into a bunch of fucking crybabies?

Let's back up even fucking further, shall we?
Can anyone tell me how old Christmas is? Anyone?
Two thousand years, give or take, right? Gee, who's
been reading their No Child Left Behind History Textbooks?
Try fucking four thousand years. Huh. Twice as fucking
long as your little baby king has been around. How
could that possibly be, unless. . . waitaminute. . .

Christmas isn't fucking Christian. Ok, now we're talking.

That’s right, that Yuletide cheer you’re spreading? What exactly
do you think Yule is? It's the fucking Pagan celebration of solstice.
And those “Christmas” traditions? They’re not just *like* Pagan
rituals, they fucking *are* Pagan rituals. Way before your Jesus
got all magical with the bread and fishes, the Romans were
celebrating the birth of Mithra on . . . guess? Go on – guess.
December fucking twenty fifth. What a weird coincidence, eh shithead..?
Practically the whole thing is ripped off from the fucking
Druids and the Romans. Twelve days? Check. Exchanging gifts?
Check. Mistletoe? Check. And you’d better fucking believe that
those decorated trees that Gibson and Co. are so bent out of
shape over are as Pagan as the Rune and Crystal Shack
at Pentagramfest 2005. You might as well be building miniature
fucking Stonehenges in your den or rec room alongside the bar.

And don’t you read your own goddamn Bibles? Jesus was
born when? In the middle of winter? Lot of Shepherds out
watching their flocks around that time of year in Bethlehem?
No, because they’d be freezing their fucking asses off.
Tell you what – y’all go figure out which one of the different
Bible stories about the birth of Baby Jesus® you want to
believe, and then we’ll argue about whether it fucking
happened like that or not.

Christians just stole a bunch of traditions from other
cultures, slapped them together, stuck a fucking tinfoil
star on top and called it the Most Important Holiday
of the Year. Modern American Christmas makes
Michael Jackson look positively organic.

But you boys at FOX still freak out every year about
how everyone's out to get your special trees. This is really
the most important thing you have to talk about? Whether
Target says Happy Holidays or Merry Christmas?
Here’s a brainstorm: there’s a fucking war on. Our soldiers
are out there dying in an Islamic shithole Country while you
guys do your 14th live feed of the day from WalMart to
show us what good little consumers we are. What Would Jesus Do?
He’d jump over that newsdesk and kick your ass
for that shit. Are you sure you want to hang your journalism
credentials on a story about what some guy calls a tree?
They should break one of the branches off and shove it up his
arse raw and with the splinters still intact.

Well we’ve fucking had it. You want to play bullshit games
and scream about how God’s fucking judgment is gonna
come raining down on us if we don't start watching our
vocabulary? Go right the fuck ahead. But let me clue
you in on something: fire and brimstone ain’t no
deterrent for us. We’re not going to hell, assholes,
we’re fucking in hell. We live with you.

And fuck Easter too, you fertility-rite-celebrating,
whiny, self-righteous, don't-know-the- history-
of-your-own-religion assholes.

Fuck off.

:)

"Alan Holmes" <alan.holmes@virgin.net> wrote in message
news:e1esf.50941$7p5.3027@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
>
> "Galen, W8LNA" <w8lna@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:MvSqf.193$qg.182@news02.roc.ny...
> > Pierian Spring wrote:
> >> Now that the onslaught of rather silly and infantile
> >> tirades from the closet CBers...
> >
> > Sorry for the delay, I was over on the LinRadio console actually doing
ham
> > radio (it's sunrise here) instead of provoking what could be described
as
> > the 21st century version of Inspiration for the Sex Pistols.
> >
> > Where were we? Oh yes,
> >
> > If you were a real ham radio op you'd be on the radio instead of
playing
> > Lord of the Gnats.
>
> Wasn't one posting enough for you?
>
> Alan
>
> >
> > Twit.
> > -W8LNA
>
>

2952. Re: Last WARNING to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:26:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135718765.809693.287140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128722

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Christy D wrote:
> > > On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
> > > $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
> > > life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
> > > years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
> >
> >
> > all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
> > action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> > indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie
>
> Sure they are.
>
> > he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email
>
> Nope. Haven't sent you an e-mailed copy of an RRAP re-post in 6
> years, Markie.
>
> On the otherhand I not only have copies of letters you have
> RECENTLY sent me, but the aforementioned RRAP posts.
>
> The clock's ticking, Markie. Stop the use of my daughter's name
> in your RRAP stalkings and stop misrepresenting yourself as my wife and
> father.
>
> It's your choice...And YOUR consequences.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Have you apoligized to Mark for incessantly referencing his sexuality
on RRAP?

Why can't you answer Marks question; "What does Mark's sexuality have
to do with amatuer radio?"

2953. Re: A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:36:59 UTC
From: The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135719419.077324.224370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128723
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

I think that I am someone who makes a stand for decency and for
civilised adult behaviour in the international public
fora that are the Usenet news groups, and who speaks
out consistently against personal offensive insulting
tirades that have no place outside of the school playground,
and in this context that have no place in the persona of those
who would seek to set themselves up as an example
for vulnerable children to follow.

Who do you think you are?

Ewan Scott wrote:
> "The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in
> message news:1135670145.471275.212540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > I wonder why it is that there are so many posters
> > associated with the scouting movement whose
> > disposition is one of childishness, such as you
> > illustrate below?
> > Pete Jeffreys wrote:
> > > Or you could just piss off.
> Who are you?
> and, who do you think you are?

2954. Re: stive (STEVIE) the ######## (GRATUITOUS PROFANTIY) lies somemor (SOME MORE) [Or: How More Idiotic Can One Man Make Himself Appear In Public And Still Have His Pants On?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:42:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135719776.584092.271410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128724

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
> > > > > > sexuality is relavant to radio
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I never did make that claim, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes you did you sack of ####
> > > >
> > > More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
> > > claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
> > >
> > yes you have made that claim
> >
> No, I've not.

Good. Then you understand that neither Mark's nor Todd's sexuality has
anything to do with amatuer radio and RRAP.

Why have you bring it up so often on RRAP?

> > you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
> > relavant to radio
> >
> No, I've not claimed any such thing.

You have claimed he is gay. You've not claimed it is relevant to this
newsgroup, which is probably why you can't answer Mark's question,
"What has Mark's sexuality to do with amateur radio?"

You should answer Mark's question or offer him an apology (if he'll
accept it at this point).

> If we accept your "logic" of what constitutes a "claim", then we
> can also say that by posting over 600 threatening and harrassing USENET
> posts you are agreeing that you are in need of mental health
> evaluation, voluntary or otherwise.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

And there is the famous Robeson bullying. Robeson can post hundreds and hundreds of posts claiming all sords of things, demanding all sorts of thigs, and his postings are not stalking, threatening, nor harrassing. It's just meek little Steve in do-gooder flight suit. But should anyone else give it back to him he requires them to have mental health evaluations, voluntary or OTHERWISE.

I recall a "Dialing..." threat from you a while back.

Why do you make such a fool of yourself?

2955. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:44:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rdc3r1pnu4lolt3v7u3d51uqbrrukjhs18@4ax.com>
Source record: 128725

On 27 Dec 2005 07:53:01 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1135698781.258259.85670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >"taelor_robeson"
>> >
>> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>> >be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>> >Sheriff's Office.
>> >
>> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>>
>>
>> Bull#####
>
> An opinion from the twice disgraced ex-Marine coward.
>
> Thanks, Frankie. I'll print your comments and keep them in reach
>in the bathroom where they belong.

Yep, right beside all your DD-214's and EMT license rejection letters.

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

OK, let's see if I have this straight: You were activated from the
reserves in 1990, sent to Pendleton for workup, then shipped to Okie
where you sat out Gulf War I in relative comfort. But you couldn't
hack active duty even in garrison, so you came up with an excuse to
get discharged early. Now if I can just remember the nature of the
excuse you used..... was it medical? psychological? alcohol abuse?
spousal abuse? family hardship? Help me out here, Dudly.....

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2956. Re: Last WARNING to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:48:53 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9hd3r19ht5gkad87rd9v96s7om6bf686ds@4ax.com>
Source record: 128726

On 27 Dec 2005 07:58:21 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1135699101.689910.104330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Christy D wrote:
>> > On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
>> > $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
>> > life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
>> > years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
>>
>>
>> all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
>> action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
>> indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie
>
> Sure they are.
>
>> he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email
>
> Nope. Haven't sent you an e-mailed copy of an RRAP re-post in 6
>years, Markie.
>
> On the otherhand I not only have copies of letters you have
>RECENTLY sent me, but the aforementioned RRAP posts.
>
> The clock's ticking, Markie. Stop the use of my daughter's name
>in your RRAP stalkings and stop misrepresenting yourself as my wife and
>father.
>
> It's your choice...And YOUR consequences.
>
>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Is this the third or fourth "Last Warning"? I think I may have missed
one of them......

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2957. Answer Mark's Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:52:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135720321.082575.268430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128727

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
> > > > > > sexuality is relavant to radio
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I never did make that claim, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > yes you did you sack of ####
> > >
> > > More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
> > > claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
> >
> > yes you have made that claim
>
> No, I've not.
>
> > you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
> > relavant to radio
>
> Then we can use your "logic" to say that everytime you post you
> agree that you're a liar and a thief.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Did you start a new thread about Todd's sexuality? Yes

Did you claim he was gay? Yes

Did you start a new thread about Mark's sexuality? Yes

Did you claim he was gay? Yes

By posting such stuff are you making a claim that sexuality is somehow
relevant to amateur radio?

If not, why do you post such things to an amateur radio newsgroup,
(which is the question that Mark has posted to you repeatedly)?

Why can't you answer Mark's question?

2958. Kiddie Rapist Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:56:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135720589.884417.295520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128728

Raper of little kids
commode buzz corey wrote:
> Tammy wrote:
> > Super Sleuth 2Test has proven himself to be a junior Dick Tracy of the
> > highest order. According to 2Test, Commander Buzz Corey is none other than
> > N9PGE.
>
> L<IKYABWAI>

BWHAHAHAHAHA..The commode sure thinks about where he was birthed a
lot..Commode Buzz Cornholer was shit out of one of those
port-a-potties, i.e. his mom's yeasty cunt.

>
> > I've always wondered who The Commander is and now I know. Thank you 2Test

"2test"...Commode makes good spell!

> Sorry, but

Wrong, Slater, you should really go hide behind yet another name,
coward. That is if you can stay sober enough. Hey, you beat any animals
lately, Commander? You have gotten arrested for animal cruelty, after
all........

2959. Re: Last WARNING to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:56:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135720601.781294.321340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128729

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 27 Dec 2005 07:58:21 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1135699101.689910.104330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> Christy D wrote:
> > >> > On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> > Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
> > >> > $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
> > >> > life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
> > >> > years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
> > >> action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him
> > >
> > > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> > >
> > >> indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie
> > >
> > > Sure they are.
> > >
> > >> he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email
> > >
> > > Nope. Haven't sent you an e-mailed copy of an RRAP re-post in 6
> > >years, Markie.
> > >
> > > On the otherhand I not only have copies of letters you have
> > >RECENTLY sent me, but the aforementioned RRAP posts.
> > >
> > > The clock's ticking, Markie. Stop the use of my daughter's name
> > >in your RRAP stalkings and stop misrepresenting yourself as my wife and
> > >father.
> > >
> > > It's your choice...And YOUR consequences.
> > >
> > >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > Is this the third or fourth "Last Warning"? I think I may have missed
> > one of them......

I know that Steve is in TN, and Mark is in MI. I think both are on Eastern time, so we have only about 7 more hours of "Last Warnings" to wade through.

2960. Baby Raper Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 21:58:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135720727.830295.107100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128730

Baby Raper
cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> "Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies"
>
> Wogie is behind the curtains.

And you are so afraid of him you use two dozen different names and not
one of your own. Coward. Hypocrite.

> "Naturally, you don't have the balls to use your own identity. Coward.
> "
>
> Kinda like you

No, wrong guess, again, cuntface.

> 2test.

High school flunk out Cornholer makes good spell.

2961. Dave Heil exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:00:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135720853.455519.309280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128731

"I'm Not Roger" wrote:

Hi Davey! Still think Roger is behind every post, don't you, asshole?

Say, did you get to molest Morgan again this year?

2962. Re: Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:01:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135720908.624415.89880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128732

Hypocrite
cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> an old idot wrote:
> > cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > > "poor coward but what do we expect from a cancelled cartoon charater "
> > >
> > > Poor an_old_idiot, doesn't even know there was no such cartoon
> > > character (notice the correct spelling of character).
> >
> > ah so there was never even that much ah poor cancelle dkook
>
> Maybe some day you will grow up and learn how to post something that
> makes sense, but I really doubt it.
^^^

2963. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:02:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135720964.928748.292400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128733

Fuck off, Lardass!

2964. more stvei shit filled ramblings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:04:07 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <qfe3r1ploihd3a152hdse0l0dlll5u1kg8@4ax.com>
Source record: 128734

On 27 Dec 2005 12:07:41 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> dave says he wants a stop to flooding of the group
>
> True. And you're the guilty party.

nope you are
>
>> he says this but then what does he claim to have done about it?
>
> He's done more than you have.

maybe you are right there
>
>> cmaplain to severs not invold in posting the flood
>
> But they will be able to force your ISP to stop you, Mark.

like they have stop wismean
>
>> instaed he could try to convice Stevei not to be depesable which stop
>> things at once
>
> WTF is "depesable"...?!?!
>
> E N G L I S H you drunken fool!

more lies about drunkness
>
>> logical conclusion dave is lying and what he realy wants is to help
>> stevie try to censor the NG
>
> Nope.

yep
>
> He wants to see you stop acting like an idiot. (Yeah...like
>THAT'S going to happen...)

no you are lying he like you want tostop anyone you disaprove of from
posting

>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2965. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:04:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135721061.840614.98310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128735

Frank Flatu Lance wrote:
> "Professional lies?" :-)
>
> Dud lied the old-fashioned way, he WORKED at it...:-)

But not very hard. His claims are obviously just claims.

> It's too bad that he was never able to "work in" a good forgery
> of his "last" DD-214. Nor was he able to "work in" a single
> reference for his wondrous exploits over the last three decades
> other than what he wrote about himself.

He's written a lot about himself, but at the same time, very few
verifiable facts.

> >In
> >fact, his lies about his USMC and EMT 'careers' cast serious doubt on
> >any claims about his daughter, especially when his idea of 'grief' is
> >to plaster this sob-story all over the internet and beg for sympathy
> >and attention; i.e, any legal representative Dudly may have is going
> >to be a court-appointed attorney.
>
> That's going to be a trick: Getting a "court-appointed attorney"
> in a civil case! :-)

Maybe he knows more about law than he does nursing.

> Dud won't get past the Hearing on a civil case, any state. Once
> the judge stops his uproarious laughing, it will be tossed off
> any docket.

Then there is the counter-suit.

> Well, there is SOME hope...maybe Court TV (channel) is looking for
> some comic-relief half-hour to fill up their cable broadcast
> schedule? That could be a winner! Imagine Harvey Levin as the
> "color" man interviewing "Major" Dud (wearing his CAP poopy suit)
> in a pretend-format a la Geraldo Rivera? [Harvey doesn't do the
> moustache thing so Dud has to wear it for him!]

I purposely stay well so that I don't have to see the crap on daytime
TV, but I might have to use a sick day to catch that.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

bb

2966. Re: Last WARNING to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:05:24 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <8ke3r1pvir7hkj7am65euedu50qd4d0fh0@4ax.com>
Source record: 128736

On 27 Dec 2005 13:56:41 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 27 Dec 2005 07:58:21 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1135699101.689910.104330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> Christy D wrote:
>> >> > On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
>> >> > $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
>> >> > life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
>> >> > years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
>> >> action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him
>> >
>> > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>> >
>> >> indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie
>> >
>> > Sure they are.
>> >
>> >> he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email
>> >
>> > Nope. Haven't sent you an e-mailed copy of an RRAP re-post in 6
>> >years, Markie.
>> >
>> > On the otherhand I not only have copies of letters you have
>> >RECENTLY sent me, but the aforementioned RRAP posts.
>> >
>> > The clock's ticking, Markie. Stop the use of my daughter's name
>> >in your RRAP stalkings and stop misrepresenting yourself as my wife and
>> >father.
>> >
>> > It's your choice...And YOUR consequences.
>> >
>> >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
>>
>>

>> Is this the third or fourth "Last Warning"? I think I may have missed
>> one of them......
>
>I know that Steve is in TN, and Mark is in MI. I think both are on
>Eastern time, so we have only about 7 more hours of "Last Warnings" to
>wade through.

we can only hope that is the case

I count 5 last warnings

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2967. Re: Bringing Chippenham into Disrepute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:08:21 UTC
From: The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135721301.279960.3200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128737
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

Oops - lost the associated groups!

The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom wrote:
> I do not think that a stand for decency and for civilised
> behaviour against the infantile and offensive outbursts
> of those with the minds of children is rubbish. Have the
> standards of naval officers fallen so?
>
> The newsgroups are not unrelated. The rather silly and infantile
> person of whom the remarks were critical identified himself
> as having an association with the boy scouts and thus his
> undesirability of association because of the bad example he set
> made the other newsgroups most relevant indeed.
>
> Alan Holmes wrote:
> > "Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> > news:1135513755.977918.297000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > The only malice that is extant in this thread has come from
> > > you. For some reason, best known only to yourself, you have
> > > become obsessed with directing gratuitously offensive and
> > > insulting tirades at me. I do not know why this should be so,
> > > I did not direct anything at you; indeed, I _NEVER_
> > > originate personal attacks because such behaviour is
> > > not that to be associated with Ham Radio and its
> > > gentlemanly traditions.
> >
> > Why do you keep crossposting this rubbish into unrelated newsgroups?
> >
> > Alan

2968. Re: stive (STEVIE) the ######## (GRATUITOUS PROFANTIY) lies somemor (SOME MORE) [Or: How More Idiotic Can One Man Make Himself Appear In Public And Still Have His Pants On?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:08:32 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <vle3r1prbr3urvs73n8mr86l05uuvcc0uf@4ax.com>
Source record: 128738

On 27 Dec 2005 13:42:56 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > an Old friend wrote:
>> > > > > > Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's
>> > > > > > sexuality is relavant to radio
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > I never did make that claim, Markie.
>> > > > >
>> > > > yes you did you sack of ####
>> > > >
>> > > More profanities will not change the fact that I never made the
>> > > claim that "Todd's sexuality is relevent to radio".
>> >
>> > yes you have made that claim
>>
>> No, I've not.
>
>Good. Then you understand that neither Mark's nor Todd's sexuality has
>anything to do with amatuer radio and RRAP.
>
>Why have you bring it up so often on RRAP?

good question
>
>> > you posted a message saying todd is gay therefore you have claimed t s
>> > relavant to radio
>>
>> No, I've not claimed any such thing.
>
>You have claimed he is gay. You've not claimed it is relevant to this
>newsgroup, which is probably why you can't answer Mark's question,
>"What has Mark's sexuality to do with amateur radio?"
>
>You should answer Mark's question or offer him an apology (if he'll
>accept it at this point).

I will accept it as part of leting the other party save face, I would
only come to beleive if stop bring up sexaulity in the future

>
>> If we accept your "logic" of what constitutes a "claim", then we
>> can also say that by posting over 600 threatening and harrassing USENET
>> posts you are agreeing that you are in need of mental health
>> evaluation, voluntary or otherwise.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>And there is the famous Robeson bullying. Robeson can post hundreds
>and hundreds of posts claiming all sords of things, demanding all sorts
>of thigs, and his postings are not stalking, threatening, nor
>harrassing. It's just meek little Steve in do-gooder flight suit. But
>should anyone else give it back to him he requires them to have mental
>health evaluations, voluntary or OTHERWISE.

indeed that it is a trademark is prehaps a viabel legal case for him t
use against me

>
>I recall a "Dialing..." threat from you a while back.

I recall geting the results of some dailing that claimed to be stavie

>
>Why do you make such a fool of yourself?
another good question

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2969. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan 1st last warning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:09:55 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <pse3r1hf57e2vrv0n1ok72h9dgfklh4dsk@4ax.com>
Source record: 128739

On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>"taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>Sheriff's Office.
>
> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
> I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
>apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
>December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move against
>you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
>court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an Attorney
>in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
>them on my behalf.
>
> Said apology will also appear in RRAP.
>
> That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
>consequences are yours to bear.
>
>Steven J Robeson

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2970. Re: A Last WARNING to Mark Morgan 2nd last warning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:10:08 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <hte3r1lfog5rsdqhkt8ao7e775vc74gifc@4ax.com>
Source record: 128740

On 24 Dec 2005 03:53:33 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Iitoi wrote:
>> K4YZ Wrote:
>> > "taelor_robeson"
>> >
>> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>> > be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>> > Sheriff's Office.
>> >
>> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>> >
>> > I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
>> > apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
>> > December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move
>> > against
>> > you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
>> > court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an
>> > Attorney
>> > in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
>> > them on my behalf.
>> >
>> > Said apology will also appear in RRAP.
>> >
>> > That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
>> > consequences are yours to bear.
>> >
>> > Steven J Robeson
>>
>>
>> This is rich...SNIP
>
> Probably so.
>
> Nonetheless, the clock is ticking.
>
> I hope Markie finds his way to the post office. I'd rather not
>let this guy get all of my retainer.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2971. Saggytits Lee Child molester and convicted of animal cruelty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:10:46 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135721445.992951.48160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128741

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 01:31:21 -0500, imposter@yahoo.com <from
> usenetzone.com> wrote:
>
> : dave claims to have gotten inside 24 hour live people at google to
> : tlak about post not made using google.com

Dave can only get inside that yeasty cunt of his wife.

> : he also claims that despite the fact the FBI can't shut mr Wisman he
> : shut down me for nothing ilegal

He also claims to have worked out of banders on 6 meters.

> It's not a matter of the FBI shutting you down (although Woger was
> causing email to be sent to their Pittsburgh office).

Poor Lardass, did you get a visit AGAIN for that kiddie porn you have
on your hard drive, pizza BOY?

> Rather it's a
> matter of you being in violation of the terms of service of one or
> more service providers. For example, if people can get your root ISPs
> (such as direcpc.com - now Direcway) to deny you internet access, why
> then you'll have to join Woger in hiding even more carefully behind
> free Usenet providers like Google and usenetzone.com.

You are rather stupid, aren't you? Of course you are, you claimed a
website in Alabama was one for an organization in West Virginny.....

> It took Woger

Nice lisp from sucking those nigger cocks, tubby.......

> quite some time to go into hiding, because he had to lose four or five
> service providers along the way before he figured things out. I don't
> recall that he ever lost a satellite broadband provider, though.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Saggytits Lee fucks up again........You claim to have
him tosed, dumbass, but you never can get the job done.

> As Worf would say: "Baktag, Ha'DIBah, mak'dar, pahtk!"
^^^

2972. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:19:20 UTC
From: The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135721960.578036.49390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128742
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A number of the posts that have been quoted as this
thread has developed seem to serve to re-inforce the
OP, and to justify a repeat of the OP.....

Pierian Spring wrote:
> It seems that anyone of those who are involved
> in any way with childrens' organisations or with
> the inducing of children to tackle the kindergarten
> entrance examination (that is represented by
> the 6-year-olds' qualification of the M3/CB Fools'
> Licence) exhibit behavioural traits - infantile
> outbursts, deliberately insulting tirades and
> vicious temper tantrums - that one would expect only
> from the children in their care.
>
> Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
> to have anything to do with such mental derangees
> and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
> 16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
> way?
>
> Only then, by making it clear that young children are
> not, and cannot be in any way, part of the society
> of adult technocrats that is Radio Hammery will be be
> able to influence them not to attempt to join us until
> they have achieved maturity?
>
> Word will soon get around if children who have been
> conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
> for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
> speak to them.

2973. Re: Reality Check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:23:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135722218.829863.260370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128743

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Dumbass Davies wrote:
> well any reality check will bounce if it comes through

Is that anything like those rubber ones you passed to A.E.S., Marky?

>mr slit

So *you* say, ms.cunt.

2974. please may tha gods grant that for once stveie is telling the truth about his last warning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:24:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135722250.567879.95940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128744

I certainly hope that stevie has choosen to tell thrruth for once about this being his last warning I counted 3 htreads started by stevie saying last warning and it is well past mail devilery there in TN so he has the fact I am not buckling under his threats

put up or shut up

2975. Re: Reality Check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:25:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135722322.220810.70620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128745

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Dumbass Davies wrote:
> > well any reality check will bounce if it comes through
>
> Is that anything like those rubber ones you passed to A.E.S., Marky?

what rubber checks? I use plastic at AES
>
> >mr slit
>
> So *you* say, ms.cunt.

boooing booooing mr slit

2976. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:26:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135722406.363464.85820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128746

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> amateur radio.
>
> The options suggested so far seem to be:
>
> (a) 1 License
> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> (d) 3 Licenses
> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>
> What I wonder about these is how the individual
> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> in multiple license options.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

(b) one license, one learner's permit.

License exam at the present General exam level, Called Amateur. All privs, 10 year renewable. Just like way back when.

Leaner's permit called Limited, nonrenewable, ever. Term is 2 years. No grace period. If you want back in, you pass the "General" exam.

Limited to 100W ERP on HF, except 5MHz limited to 50W ERP, and 50W ERP on VHF+.

Participation on 5MHz limited to emergency training nets and emergency nets.

All mode privs except power, no automated or robot stations, no repeater control.

Thanks for asking.

2977. Re: why does stvei claim only he has the portectionn of law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:28:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135722482.620786.210290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128748

Cocksucking Stagger Lee wrote:
> On Mon, 26 Dec 2005 20:59:21 -0500, imposter@hotmail.com wrote:
> : stevei goes on about his fnatasy that he alone is portected he may
> : threaten folks and email threat after and public threats private
> : threats but let anyone do anything that displeases hium and they are
> : going to jail
> :
> : grow stvei [snip]
>
> I go away for a little while, and look what happens

Translation: Saggytits got arrested for kiddie porn again.

>: Impossible as it
> may seem, someone is acting even more strangely than Woger Wiseman.

BWHAHAHAHAHA..says the lisping cocksucker who can't tell the difference
between a website in Alabama from one in West Virginny.

><Blatherings from the receding hairlined Saggytits>

At least he has hair, cueball.

What you say....

> It doesn't matter

Indeed.

2978. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:29:24 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dosf5d$ol6$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128747
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in
message news:1135721960.578036.49390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> A number of the posts that have been quoted as this
> thread has developed seem to serve to re-inforce the
> OP, and to justify a repeat of the OP.....

Sorry to say it Mr Font but..... radio Amateurs will soon no longer exist.
Amateur band is the new CB so you need to move to another hobby to make way
for us. Try flower arranging, im sure it will calm you down .

Regards,
Graham (having an "oh so childish" outburst)

--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

2979. Re: Dear Santa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:30:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135722626.896550.118730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
John K. wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1135281687.256601.112640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lloyd the Jew wrote:
> > "an Old kiddiefucker@amsat.org> wrote in message
> > news:1135228188.053700.215980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace wrote:
> > > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1135092972.488924.267800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > What college? Barber college is all the college Toad could pass.
> > > >
> > >
> > > What makes you think he could pass barber college?
> > > Ace - WH2T
> >
> > judgeing people by the standards of your mail order degree again?
>
> "Mail order degree?" <guffaw!> You really are a fucking imbecile.
> Obviously, when you can't even spell "judging" correctly, fatass.
>
> >
> > Not to be "judgeing" one by the degrees they claim to hold, but if Toad
> were
>
> "judging", stupid.
>
> > to go to barber college and take the test twice and pass both times,
> > wouldn't that make him twice the barber he was before?
> > Could we then call Toad 2Test, also?
>
> "2Test" isn't a word, DUMBASS. But YOU couldn't pass your freshman year
> of that 10th rate community college, tubby. Nor can you upgrade for the
> training wheel technician license. Better stick to the 2 meter
> repeaters you jam, Davies, you couldn't put a decent antenna for HF in
> that ghetto HUD apartment you are forced to live in because you fucked
> up in life so bad the best job you can get is one as a pizza delivery
> BOY.
>
> > Likewise for the malcontent sociopath Amateur Radio Operator (2Test) who
> has
> > had to take his Ham test twice. Doesn't that make the Ham in question
```

> twice
> > the operator he was before Riley 'invited' him to retest?
>
> It wasn't much of a sanction or punishment either way, eternal no code
> tech. Roger upgraded from the General he had to retake (quite easily,
> too; YOU can't even get 5 wpm code, remember, you get stuck after 5
> lettters). Looks like the "punishment/sanction" wasn't very effective.
>
>
> > Heck, by extrapolation and a looooooong stretch of the imagination,
> according
> > to 2Test this should be so.
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> Making up words again, you chronic alcoholic? Go back to delivering
> those greasy shitty Papa John's pizzas, fatass.
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> Typical Roger. Whenever he stumbles across a word he doesn't understand, he
> accuses the other of "making it up."

"2Test" isn't in the dictionary, DUMBASS Tunder.

2980. Re: Dear Santa give Toad that shemale he always wants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:32:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135722756.456242.89710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128750

John Kuntface spammed:
> <wrong as usual>, aka
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> <YAWN>

Yes, get all the oxygen to that much deprived single brain cell you
have left, you chronic alcoholic.

2981. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:34:06 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135722846.152605.296700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128751
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Spike wrote:
> The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
> or three and enjoy the tortured logic:
>
> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866c5299fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&rnum=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>
>
>
> from
> Aero Spike

Absolutely hysterical, but I don't believe Gareth wrote any of that,
and here's why. In his regular drivelXXXXX sorry FAQ, he always writes:
"Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who are interested in the
science of radio wave propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing tradition of
providing a source of engineers who are born naturals."

But the person who so publicly demonstrated his stupidity in that
thread stated from the outset: "Incidentally, it was not I who pointed
out the error, but a qualified engineer who was also thinking of buying
one"

Gareth, as we all know, is a "real radio ham", so why would he need to
get the opinion of a qualified engineer?

Must've been somebody else, Shirley?

73
Mike G4KFK

2982. Re: Dear Santa give Billy Smith a cure for his cocksucking lisp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:36:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135722978.373235.122240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Hey Woger,
Hey Doug Smith, did you ever get rid of that genital herpes you got
from eating out your mother? Since your "mother" is a shemale, you
must've sucked her dick quite a lot over xmas.

>did you ever finish those flunky degrees you claimed to receive

"Flunky degrees?"

LOL @ the Smith Shitforbrians. Roger went to a real college, unlike
you, who flunked out of freshman year at a tenth rate community
college.

> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1135193920.590331.125000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr Whore wrote:
> >> On 20 Dec 2005 07:34:45 -0800, whinyslit_woger@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> > > ARE YOU THE MONORATOR??? ANSWER NO, SO FUCK OFF!!!
> >> >
> >> > What's a "Monorator," you DUMBASS???? Is that the person in charge of
> >> > your monosyllable utterings?
> >>
> >> The word is "monosyllabic," you DUMBASS!!!!
> >
> > As if you'd know, pizza boy mentally ill retard, you couldn't pass high
> > school and had to get a G.E.D. He only had one uttering, DUMBASS, so it
> > is in the singular tense, not plural,
> > DUMBASS!!!
> >

2983. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:37:12 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135723032.910360.106160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128753
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom wrote:
> A number of the posts that have been quoted as this
> thread has developed seem to serve to re-inforce the
> OP, and to justify a repeat of the OP.....

If you really believe in what you say, why won't you put your real name
and callsign to it? Why all these pompous pseudonyms?

73
Mike G4KFK

2984. Re: more stinking heaps of lying stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:37:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135723061.755127.309470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128754

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Die of your aids, cocksucker.
>
> of your meds againMr Slit or is it just stevie so hard to tell but
^^^

2985. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:38:07 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <qgg3r111t2ie5b9hi0egsjr5bjoq23ntd5@4ax.com>
Source record: 128755
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 22:29:24 -0000, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>"The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in
>message news:1135721960.578036.49390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> A number of the posts that have been quoted as this
>> thread has developed seem to serve to re-inforce the
>> OP, and to justify a repeat of the OP.....
>
>Sorry to say it Mr Font but..... radio Amateurs will soon no longer exist.
>Amateur band is the new CB so you need to move to another hobby to make way
>for us. Try flower arranging, im sure it will calm you down .

good post

My add to your sugestion that idea that the flowers should prehaps be
oriental popies, they can be VERY c,laming if habdled correctly
>
>Regards,
>Graham (having an "oh so childish" outburst)

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2986. Re: Last WARNING to Mark Morgan rd last warning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:42:07 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <npg3r11845iecmumr7skc5un6qas0g3ptl@4ax.com>
Source record: 128756

On 27 Dec 2005 07:58:21 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Christy D wrote:
>> > On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
>> > $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
>> > life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
>> > years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
>>
>>
>> all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
>> action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
>> indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie
>
> Sure they are.
>
>> he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email
>
> Nope. Haven't sent you an e-mailed copy of an RRAP re-post in 6
>years, Markie.
>
> On the otherhand I not only have copies of letters you have
>RECENTLY sent me, but the aforementioned RRAP posts.
>
> The clock's ticking, Markie. Stop the use of my daughter's name
>in your RRAP stalkings and stop misrepresenting yourself as my wife and
>father.
>
> It's your choice...And YOUR consequences.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2987. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan 1st alst warning post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:43:00 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <iqg3r11fpihdniqkofnenu3bpih9vpiqta@4ax.com>
Source record: 128758

On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>"taelor_robeson"
>
> This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
>be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
>Sheriff's Office.
>
> I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
>
> I am going to demand that you deliver a complete, unconditional
>apology via Registered Mail to my home address no later than 27
>December 2005. Should you fail to do so, I am prepared to move against
>you in civil court. I am also prepared to move against you in criminal
>court. Ignore this as you will, however I am counselled by an Attorney
>in the State of Michigan that grounds exist and he is willing to file
>them on my behalf.
>
> Said apology will also appear in RRAP.
>
> That having been said, you are free to do as you wish, but the
>consequences are yours to bear.
>
>Steven J Robeson

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2988. Re: Baby Raper Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:47:12 UTC
From: Digital <NotRoger@SlumsOfGlendale>
Message-ID: <dosg44$3tj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128757

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1135720727.830295.107100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
Baby Raper
cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> "Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies"
>
> Wogie is behind the curtains.

And you are so afraid of him you use two dozen different names and not
one of your own. Coward. Hypocrite.

> "Naturally, you don't have the balls to use your own identity. Coward.
> "
>
> Kinda like you

No, wrong guess, again, cuntface.

> 2test.

High school flunk out Cornholer makes good spell.
>

OK, "Not Roger". You can hide but we all know who you are.

"No, wrong guess, again, cuntface." you say, but you are still in hiding.

2989. Re: Last WARNING to Mark Morgan 5th last warning post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:48:23 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <mtg3r1p81h0tdgksrinq5m9s591i5peefq@4ax.com>
Source record: 128762

On 27 Dec 2005 07:58:21 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Christy D wrote:
>> > On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
>> > $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
>> > life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
>> > years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
>>
>>
>> all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
>> action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
>> indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie
>
> Sure they are.
>
>> he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email
>
> Nope. Haven't sent you an e-mailed copy of an RRAP re-post in 6
>years, Markie.
>
> On the otherhand I not only have copies of letters you have
>RECENTLY sent me, but the aforementioned RRAP posts.
>
> The clock's ticking, Markie. Stop the use of my daughter's name
>in your RRAP stalkings and stop misrepresenting yourself as my wife and
>father.
>
> It's your choice...And YOUR consequences.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2990. Re: more stinking heaps of lying stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:49:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135723787.288210.156210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128761

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Die of your aids, cocksucker.
> >
> > of your meds againMr Slit or is it just stevie so hard to tell but
> ^^^

2991. a report to RRAp on the assholes last warning postsing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:50:26 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <61h3r15mr92hq1jceude71u7tqbfu36p00@4ax.com>
Source record: 128764

by my count stveie has made 3 thread titled last warning and five
posts titled last warning, nd futhr made ref to his last warning
(syaing it expried yesterday

this make a totlal to this point of 6 last warnings

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2992. Re: why does stvei claim only he has the portectionn of law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:50:30 UTC
From: Digital <NotRoger@SlumsOfGlendale>
Message-ID: <dosgaf$4q7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128760

Hey, Roger. Someone posted a photo of the new gal you claim you are seeing.
It is at alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

That's what you get for hanging out in the Yahoo! singles groups.

2993. Too f'ing looooong....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 22:51:05 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3uCdnbdFA6vFWCzeRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 128763
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

[No readable article body recovered]

2994. Re: a report to RRAp on the assholes last warning postsing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 23:05:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dsh3r1picdv2u01vqu5ndbbkks8b3ah5lv@4ax.com>
Source record: 128765

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 17:50:26 -0500, tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote in
<61h3r15mr92hq1jceude71u7tqbfu36p00@4ax.com>:

>by my count stveie has made 3 thread titled last warning and five
>posts titled last warning, nd futhr made ref to his last warning
>(syaing it expried yesterday
>
>this make a totlal to this point of 6 last warnings

Now we get to watch him squirm for months (possibly even years) while
making lame excuses why he can't or won't follow through on his threat
to take legal action. It's the best Chrisquanzakah ever!!!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2995. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 23:45:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128766

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> amateur radio.
>
> The options suggested so far seem to be:
>
> (a) 1 License
> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> (d) 3 Licenses
> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>
> What I wonder about these is how the individual
> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> in multiple license options.
>
That's just the beginning, Bill.

The devil is in the details, limited by what FCC
has written in various NPRMs and R&Os:

- No existing licensee should lose privileges
- No existing licensee should gain privileges without taking the
required tests
- No free upgrades
- No significant extra admin work for FCC
- FCC sees the optimum level as 3 license classes, none of which
have a limited term and all of which are renewable.

How does any proposed system handle all these requirements?
How do we convince FCC to accept the changes?

Those are the tough ones!

K0HB's proposed 2 class system addresses all these issues. But FCC
denied his ideas.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2996. Re: Baby Raper Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-27 23:55:08 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135727708.218399.187340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128767

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Baby Raper
> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > "Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies"
> >
> > Wogie is behind the curtains.
>
> And you are so afraid of him you use two dozen different names and not
> one of your own. Coward. Hypocrite.
>

Oh really? OK putz, what are those two dozen different names you claim
I use. Put up or shut up port-a-pottie cleaner.

>
> > "Naturally, you don't have the balls to use your own identity. Coward.
> > "
> >
> > Kinda like you
>
> No, wrong guess, again, cuntface.

Correct guess, port-a-pottie guy.
>
> > 2test.
>
> High school flunk out Cornholer makes good spell.

Ham radio jammer has to retest.

2997. Re: Kiddie Rapist Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 00:01:44 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135728104.512426.183020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128768

whinyshit 2test wogie wrote:

>Wrong, Slater, you should really go hide behind yet another name,
> coward.

You should come out from behind the curtians 2test coward. LOL!!
Who is Slater? More of your fantasizing?

> That is if you can stay sober enough. Hey, you beat any animals
> lately, Commander? You have gotten arrested for animal cruelty, after
> all........

LOL at the Glendale port-a-pottie technician retard who doesn't have
the slightest clue (did wogie ever have a clue?) who he is responding
to. LOLOLOLOL!!!

2998. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 00:11:57 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135728717.271522.312770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128769
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Spike wrote:
> The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
> or three and enjoy the tortured logic:
>
> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866c5299fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&rnum=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>
>
>
> from
> Aero Spike

The deranged twat has tried to start a war in uk.rec.scouting with a
posting in the name "Michael Garner-Superlative" likening the Scouts to
Al-Qaedr.

Oh Gareth - they're coming to take you away, ha ha!

73
Mike G4KFK

2999. Re: dave the fool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 00:21:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8Uksf.3469$R84.1663@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128770

an_old_friend wrote:
> dave says he wants a stop to flooding of the group
>
> he says this but then what does he claim to have done about it?
>
> cmaplain to severs not invold in posting the flood

So you admit to flooding the newsgroup with your crap, eh?

The folks at direcway.com will be interested, Colonel.

> instaed he could try to convice Stevei not to be depesable which stop
> things at once

Steve isn't the person spamming the newsgroup with the rants. You are.

> logical conclusion dave is lying

You always come to the same conclusion, Spock. My regards to your
Klingon friends.

> ...and what he realy wants is to help
> stevie try to censor the NG

If, by censoring the newsgroup, you mean that I intend to bring your
numerous spam posts to an end, yes, that is my aim.

Dave K8MN

3000. Re: BTW dave if you rported to google various of the posts you claims to haev you lied to google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 00:25:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NXksf.3471$R84.977@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128771

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 06:48:59 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <vt5sf.2902$nu6.60@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> thepost you and stevie are finding so insane are not made through or
>>> hotmail
>>>
>>> in reporting that they were (if you were telling the truth about making
>>> the rport) you lied inrpoerting the matter to google
>> That's interesting, Mark. Some of the full headers shows that you
>> posted through Google groups.
>
>
> And some of Dudly's headers show that he posted with his work computer
> through HMA, Inc, while others come from various AOL servers in
> Virginia.

So?

Hmmmm..... you're located in WV, aren't you Dave?

Why yes, Frank, I am. That's quite a different state. As a matter of fact, I'm about fourteen miles from Ohio and about two miles from Pennsylvania. Perhaps you've uncovered a plot! Maybe I'm Brian Burke, Brian Kelly and Jim Miccolis. Aren't you curious how my posts from Earthlink get to AOL?

Dave K8MN

3001. Re: Dave Heil exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 00:41:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Salsf.3475$R84.2416@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128772

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> "I'm Not Roger" wrote:
>
> Hi Davey! Still think Roger is behind every post, don't you,

No, I don't, Unwiseman? Do you think I'm "I'm Not Roger"?

Aren't you Roger's imaginary friend?

Dave K8MN

3002. Re: dave the fool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 01:12:05 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <qgp3r15d293tda3pia22c23nolq0rfcda4@4ax.com>
Source record: 128773

On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 00:21:24 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> dave says he wants a stop to flooding of the group
>>
>> he says this but then what does he claim to have done about it?
>>
>> cmaplain to severs not invold in posting the flood
>
>So you admit to flooding the newsgroup with your crap, eh?

it is sin't crap but I have never deined it
>
>The folks at direcway.com will be interested, Colonel.
>
>> instaed he could try to convice Stevei not to be depesable which stop
>> things at once
>
>Steve isn't the person spamming the newsgroup with the rants. You are.

not spaming anything Dave
>
>> logical conclusion dave is lying
>
>You always come to the same conclusion, Spock. My regards to your
>Klingon friends.

more lying from davey boy
>
>> ...and what he realy wants is to help
>> stevie try to censor the NG
>
>If, by censoring the newsgroup, you mean that I intend to bring your
>numerous spam posts to an end, yes, that is my aim.

no spam here

but you want along with stevie to end the abilty of anyone to use the
NG in peace if they disagree with you or steve
>
>Dave K8MN

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3003. Re: a report to RRAp on the assholes last warning postsing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 01:13:30 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <ukp3r1dba5vii8lqrpd1m01l7pud8lrhib@4ax.com>
Source record: 128774

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 15:05:15 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 17:50:26 -0500, tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote in
><61h3r15mr92hq1jceude71u7tqbfu36p00@4ax.com>:
>
>>by my count stveie has made 3 thread titled last warning and five
>>posts titled last warning, nd futhr made ref to his last warning
>>(syaing it expried yesterday
>>
>>this make a totlal to this point of 6 last warnings
>
>
>Now we get to watch him squirm for months (possibly even years) while
>making lame excuses why he can't or won't follow through on his threat
>to take legal action. It's the best Chrisquanzakah ever!!!

indeed that is the most likely repsonse

after we get a few more last warnings most likely
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3004. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 01:15:26 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <43b1e6fe.18800856@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128775
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

> Sorry to say it Mr Font but..... radio Amateurs will soon no longer exist.
> Amateur band is the new CB so you need to move to another hobby to make way
> for us. Try flower arranging, im sure it will calm you down .
>
> Regards,
> Graham (having an "oh so childish" outburst)

oh dear...

no invite to the potty-bar new years party with comments like that!!

3005. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 01:20:22 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <43b1e825.19095689@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128776
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

> >
> > straight against the policy of the rsgb.
> >
>
> And common sense.

who said anything about that ?

next thing you'll mention is 'progressive licencing system'

3006. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 01:23:22 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dospbv$4su$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128777
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"zYYPK"
<class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test..es.eircom.com.net> wrote in message news:43b1e6fe.18800856@news.iol.ie...
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> > Sorry to say it Mr Font but..... radio Amateurs will soon no longer exist.
> > Amateur band is the new CB so you need to move to another hobby to make way
> > for us. Try flower arranging, im sure it will calm you down .
> >
> > Regards,
> > Graham (having an "oh so childish" outburst)
>
>
> oh dear...
>
> no invite to the potty-bar new years party with comments like that!!

You know I was only teasing ;o)

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

3007. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 01:25:22 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dospfn$2ql$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128778
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"zYYPK"
<class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test..es.eircom.c
om.net> wrote in message news:43b1e825.19095689@news.iol.ie...
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> > >
> > > straight against the policy of the rsgb.
> > >
> >
> > And common sense.
>
> who said anything about that ?
>
> next thing you'll mention is 'progressive licencing system'

Ah, you've heard about that too :o)

Regards,
Graham

--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

3008. again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 01:35:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135733713.777744.139000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128779

that is if you are serious

3009. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 01:41:49 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dosqgv$8o5$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128780

an_old_friend wrote:
> that is if you are serious

scared? you should be.

3010. Re: dave the fool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 01:45:33 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dosqnr$8o5$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128781

scared? you should be.

3011. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 02:15:23 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11r3t9s73hmdsbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 128782
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

"Uncle Bobbolink" <weleasewoger33@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:20a51$43b1be11$d1cc7923$32224@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> The folllowing is from the URL: http://www.fuckchristmas.org/
>
snipped of stupidity

My kids school no longer has x-mas plays. They are not allowed to pray in school. In her religion class, they do not study any form of Christianity. Bill O'Reilly was cleared of the sex allegations,and if you would have kept up with that bit of the news, you would know that Bill O'Reilly's lowers WANTED them to release the alleged tapes because there were none. I could go on, but it would be a waste of bandwidth and your going to believe what you want no matter what I say.

3012. Re: Dave Heil exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 02:21:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135736483.163935.244770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128783

Dave Heil wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > "I'm Not Roger" wrote:
> >
> > Hi Davey! Still think Roger is behind every post, don't you,
>
> No, I
cuting

more lying from davey boy

3013. Re: Baby Raper Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 02:23:49 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135736629.789067.114700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128784

Digituphisass Davey or is it digitup Paris Carney's ass wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1135720727.830295.107100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Baby Raper
> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > "Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies"
> >
> > Wogie is behind the curtains.
>
> And you are so afraid of him you use two dozen different names and not
> one of your own. Coward. Hypocrite.
>
>
> > "Naturally, you don't have the balls to use your own identity. Coward.
> > "
> >
> > Kinda like you
>
> No, wrong guess, again, cuntface.
>
> > 2test.
>
> High school flunk out Cornholer makes good spell.
> >
>
> OK
cuting

more lying from davey boy

3014. Re: Baby Raper Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 02:26:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135736796.010169.254380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128785

Raper of little children
commode buzz corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Baby Raper
> > cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > > "Keep seeing Roger behind every post, cocksucking Davies"
> > >
> > > Wogie is behind the curtains.
> >
> > And you are so afraid of him you use two dozen different names and not
> > one of your own. Coward. Hypocrite.
> >
>
> Oh really? OK putz,

Go jack off to Tayleor's dead body.

> >
> > > "Naturally, you don't have the balls to use your own identity. Coward.
> > > "
> > >
> > > Kinda like you
> >
> > No, wrong guess, again, cuntface.
>
> Correct guess, port-a-pottie guy.

Commode admits he is a cuntface! LOLLOLLOL @ the Winchester wussy.....

> >
> > > 2test.
> >
> > High school flunk out Cornholer makes good spell.
>
> Ham radio jammer has to retest.

Ham radio restest? Not really, dumbass.

3015. Re: Kiddie Rapist Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 02:28:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135736907.511617.35100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128786

Dreaming of finger fucking Tayleor Robeson
commode buzz corey wrote:
> whinyshit lloydie wrote:
>
> >Wrong, Slater, you should really go hide behind yet another name,
> > coward.
>
> You should come out from behind the curtians 2test coward. LOL!!
> Who is Slater? More of your fantasizing?
>
> > That is if you can stay sober enough. Hey, you beat any animals
> > lately, Commander? You have gotten arrested for animal cruelty, after
> > all........
>
> LOL

LOL Commode Buzz Corey does indeed have charges against him for
sodomizing those sheep. LOLLOLLOLLOLLOL

3016. Re: why does stvei claim only he has the portectionn of law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 02:31:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135737105.508147.280710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128787

Digital wrote:
> Hey, (Poor Lardass, plagiarizing pictures again)

Hey, dumbass, at least Roger can GET a girlfriend.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

3017. Re: more stinking heaps of lying stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 02:33:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135737194.018943.325690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128788

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Die of your aids, cocksucker.
> > >
> > > of your meds againMr Slit or is it just stevie so hard to tell but
> > ^^^
```

3018. Re: BTW dave if you rported to google various of the posts you claims to haev you lied to google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 02:55:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fgv3r15kt68fb45fmlo8ild70i3il8lkbl@4ax.com>
Source record: 128789

On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 00:25:17 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <NXksf.3471$R84.977@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 06:48:59 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> in <vt5sf.2902$nu6.60@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> thepost you and stevie are finding so insane are not made through or
>>>> hotmail
>>>>
>>>> in reporting that they were (if you were telling the truth about making
>>>> the rport) you lied inrpoerting the matter to google
>>> That's interesting, Mark. Some of the full headers shows that you
>>> posted through Google groups.
>>
>>
>> And some of Dudly's headers show that he posted with his work computer
>> through HMA, Inc, while others come from various AOL servers in
>> Virginia.
>
>So?

My point exactly.

>>Hmmmm..... you're located in WV, aren't you Dave?
>
>Why yes, Frank, I am. That's quite a different state. As a matter of
>fact, I'm about fourteen miles from Ohio and about two miles from
>Pennsylvania. Perhaps you've uncovered a plot! Maybe I'm Brian Burke,
>Brian Kelly and Jim Miccolis. Aren't you curious how my posts from
>Earthlink get to AOL?

Hadn't even thought about it. Don't really care.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3019. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 03:15:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135739753.964648.181200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128790

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> > dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> > amateur radio.
> >
> > The options suggested so far seem to be:
> >
> > (a) 1 License
> > (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> > (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> > (d) 3 Licenses
> > (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> >
> > What I wonder about these is how the individual
> > proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> > level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> > AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> > of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> > in multiple license options.
> >
> That's just the beginning, Bill.
>
> The devil is in the details, limited by what FCC
> has written in various NPRMs and R&Os:

Time for the FCC to get real.

3020. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 03:26:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135740379.304958.261890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128791

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 27 Dec 2005 14:27:50 GMT, "Major Dud in drag"
>
> And I can't imagine why you didn't include this little bit of prose
> your quote:
>
> "There are some ways to help stop cyberstalking: self-regulatory,
> ignore the stalking, and self-protection. Self-regulatory and
> self-protection practices can include turning off the computer,
> changing your email address a few times a year, or dropping out of
> discussion groups."
>
> IOW, the "victim" needs to use some common sense.
>
> And I noticed you snipped the rest of my post. Very Dudly-esque.

Sounds like Steve should tuck tail.

3021. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 03:30:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135740624.768724.16950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128792

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 27 Dec 2005 07:53:01 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1135698781.258259.85670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 23 Dec 2005 02:28:53 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1135333733.762943.288370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >"taelor_robeson"
> >> >
> >> > This was the one that crossed the line, Mark, and the one that will
> >> >be second in line (behind your death threats) to Houghton County
> >> >Sheriff's Office.
> >> >
> >> > I've retained a lawyer in Michigan. It's expensive, but worth it.
> >>
> >>
> >> Bull####
> >
> > An opinion from the twice disgraced ex-Marine coward.
> >
> > Thanks, Frankie. I'll print your comments and keep them in reach
> >in the bathroom where they belong.
>
>
> Yep, right beside all your DD-214's and EMT license rejection letters.
>
>
> >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
> OK, let's see if I have this straight: You were activated from the
> reserves in 1990, sent to Pendleton for workup, then shipped to Okie
> where you sat out Gulf War I in relative comfort. But you couldn't
> hack active duty even in garrison, so you came up with an excuse to
> get discharged early. Now if I can just remember the nature of the
> excuse you used..... was it medical? psychological? alcohol abuse?
> spousal abuse? family hardship? Help me out here, Dudly.....

Weight management?

3022. Re: BTW dave if you rported to google various of the posts you claims to haev you lied to google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:01:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135739997.331864.122630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128793

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 06:48:59 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <vt5sf.2902$nu6.60@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> thepost you and stevie are finding so insane are not made through or
> >> hotmail
> >>
> >> in reporting that they were (if you were telling the truth about making
> >> the rport) you lied inrpoerting the matter to google
> >>
> >That's interesting, Mark. Some of the full headers shows that you
> >posted through Google groups.
>
>
> And some of Dudly's headers show that he posted with his work computer
> through HMA, Inc, while others come from various AOL servers in
> Virginia. Hmmmm..... you're located in WV, aren't you Dave?

Wonder how many patients had to wait while Steve had to fire off 40 or
50 posts?

3023. Re: Apology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:06:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135742764.226817.41110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128794

taelor_robeson@yahoo.com wrote:
> On 27 Dec 2005 08:10:37 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Steve owes Mark an apology.
>
> which i don't ever realy expect

Why not? It's due you.

> >Steve says Mark owes him one by today.
>
> and then he extends his dealine without a date to wggle out by lying
> again

Steve lie? Only when his lips are moving and his fingers are typing.

> >Is Coslo's BBS up and running yet?
>
> not likely

Welp, Steve needs to tuck tail.

Less than an hour to go for the deadline. I think I'll stay up for
this.

3024. Re: Last WARNING to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:11:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135743075.456791.301170@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128795

tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote:
> On 27 Dec 2005 13:56:41 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 27 Dec 2005 07:58:21 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1135699101.689910.104330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> Christy D wrote:
> >> >> > On 26 Dec 2005 14:28:34 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Here is the real truth: You can be charged with stalking, fined
> >> >> > $1,000, and sent to jail for one year. If you threatened someone's
> >> >> > life, you can be charged with a felony, fined $10,000, and spend five
> >> >> > years in jail. Mr. Robeson won't have to spend one penny.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> all you are doing is showing why I should conitue with my course of
> >> >> action stevie has been stalking me for years and I am not stalking him
> >> >
> >> > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >> >
> >> >> indeed nothing in that list is being done to Stevie
> >> >
> >> > Sure they are.
> >> >
> >> >> he OTH has threatened to kill me, stalks me in email
> >> >
> >> > Nope. Haven't sent you an e-mailed copy of an RRAP re-post in 6
> >> >years, Markie.
> >> >
> >> > On the otherhand I not only have copies of letters you have
> >> >RECENTLY sent me, but the aforementioned RRAP posts.
> >> >
> >> > The clock's ticking, Markie. Stop the use of my daughter's name
> >> >in your RRAP stalkings and stop misrepresenting yourself as my wife and
> >> >father.
> >> >
> >> > It's your choice...And YOUR consequences.
> >> >
> >> >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
> >>

> >>
> >> Is this the third or fourth "Last Warning"? I think I may have missed
> >> one of them......
> >
> >I know that Steve is in TN, and Mark is in MI. I think both are on
> >Eastern time, so we have only about 7 more hours of "Last Warnings" to
> >wade through.
>
> we can only hope that is the case
>
> I count 5 last warnings

Welp, we've got about 52 minutes to go and Steve has been vewwy, vewwy qwiet.

He gonna bag a wascawwy wabbit...

Or he's tucked tail.

3025. Re: msg from emergency manager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:11:56 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135743116.750179.288590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128796

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > North Dakota News
> >
> > > This text is from a county emergency manager
> > out in the western
> > part of North Dakota state after the recent snow
> > storm.
> >
> > > WEATHER BULLETIN
> >
> > > Up here in the Northern Plains we just
> > recovered from a Historic
> > event --- may I even say a "Weather Event" of
> > "Biblical Proportions" ---
> > with a historic blizzard of up to 44" inches of snow
> > and winds to 90 MPH
> > that broke trees in half, knocked down utility
> > poles, stranded hundreds
> > of motorists in lethal snow banks, closed ALL roads,
> > isolated scores of
> > communities and cut power to 10's of thousands.
> >
> > > FYI:
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * George Bush did not come....
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * FEMA did nothing....
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * No one howled for the government...
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * No one blamed the government
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * No one even uttered an expletive on
> > TV...

> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Jesse Jackson or Al Sharpton did not
> > visit
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Our Mayor's did not blame Bush or
> > anyone else
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Our Governor did not blame Bush or
> > anyone else either
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * CNN, ABC, CBS, FOX, or NBC did not
> > visit - or report on
> > this
> >
> > >
> >
> > > category 5 snow storm
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Nobody demanded $2,000 debit
> > cards.....
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * No one asked for a FEMA Trailer
> > House....
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * No one looted....
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Nobody - I mean Nobody demanded the
> > government do
> > something
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Nobody expected the government to do
> > anything either

> >
> > >
> >
> > > * No Larry King, No Bill O'Rielly, No
> > Oprah, No Chris
> > Mathew and No Geraldo Rivera
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * No Shaun Penn, No Barbara Striesand,
> > No Hollywood types
> > to be found
> >
> > >
> >
> > > And Nope, we just melted the snow for water
> >
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Sent out caravans of SUV's to pluck
> > people out of snow
> > engulfed cars
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * The truck drivers pulled people out
> > of snow banks and
> > didn't ask for a penny
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Local restaurants made food and the
> > police and fire
> > departments delivered it to the snow bound families
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Families took in the stranded people
> > - total strangers
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * We Fired up wood stoves
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Broke out coal oil lanterns or
> > Coleman lanterns
> >

> > >
> >
> > > * We put on extra layers of clothes
> > because up here it is
> > "Work or Die"
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * We did not wait for some affirmative
> > action government
> > to get us out of a mess created by being immobilized
> > by a welfare
> > program that trades votes for 'sittin at home'
> > checks.
> >
> > >
> >
> > > * Even though a Category "5" blizzard
> > of this scale has
> > never fallen this early...we know it can happen and
> > how to deal with it
> > ourselves.
> >
> > >
> >
> > > "In my many travels, I have noticed that
> > once one gets north of
> > about 48 degrees North Latitude, 90% most of the
> > world's social problems
> > evaporate."
> >
> > >
> >
> > > Merry Christmas
> >
> > > And
> >
> > > Happy New Year

And they didn't have a large black population either.

3026. Re: Kiddie Rapist Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:19:25 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135743564.998908.89100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128797

"FREEPORT, OH/GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote Advanced licensee Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, and General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" between the two men that included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review §97.1 of the Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Last November 9, the FCC sent Wiseman a Warning Notice in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters."

"GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters."

LOL at the Glendale retard!

3027. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:23:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135743827.623911.105700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128798

K4YZ wrote:
>
> And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the ###hole",
> when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Are you saying that Mark has you confused with someone else?

3028. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:45:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135745100.608229.135870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128799

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
> > >"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> > >Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable, why
> > >would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?
>
> Exactly, Dee. After all, the current General requires only
> two written exams of 35 questions each, and a Morse
> Code test of 5 wpm.
>
> > So they can "properly" emulate the past and all the "greats"
> > of "the service." :-)
>
> Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...

Gee, Jim, how many times and how many different ways can you say that?

3029. Re: more stinking heaps of lying stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:50:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135745420.081319.223710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128800

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Die of your aids, cocksucker.
> > > >
> > > > of your meds againMr Slit or is it just stevie so hard to tell but
> > > ^^^

3030. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:52:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135745521.350676.161360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128801

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 27 Dec 2005 07:53:01 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1135698781.258259.85670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > >
cut
> > >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > OK, let's see if I have this straight: You were activated from the
> > reserves in 1990, sent to Pendleton for workup, then shipped to Okie
> > where you sat out Gulf War I in relative comfort. But you couldn't
> > hack active duty even in garrison, so you came up with an excuse to
> > get discharged early. Now if I can just remember the nature of the
> > excuse you used..... was it medical? psychological? alcohol abuse?
> > spousal abuse? family hardship? Help me out here, Dudly.....
>
> Weight management?

that one is certainly possible

3031. Re: Apology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:53:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135745622.923919.107380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128802

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> taelor_robeson@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 27 Dec 2005 08:10:37 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > >Steve owes Mark an apology.
> >
> > which i don't ever realy expect
> >
> Why not? It's due you.

I honestly don't think stevie has the ability to give me one
>
> > >Steve says Mark owes him one by today.
> >
> > and then he extends his dealine without a date to wggle out by lying
> > again
> >
> Steve lie? Only when his lips are moving and his fingers are typing.
>
> > >Is Coslo's BBS up and running yet?
> >
> > not likely
> >
> Welp, Steve needs to tuck tail.

yep and likely he sorta will was thier another diaster he drive
ambulance for in the last few days?
>
> Less than an hour to go for the deadline. I think I'll stay up for
> this.

3032. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:55:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135745739.730833.113450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128803

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
> > > >"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> > > >Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable, why
> > > >would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?
> >
> > Exactly, Dee. After all, the current General requires only
> > two written exams of 35 questions each, and a Morse
> > Code test of 5 wpm.
> >
> > > So they can "properly" emulate the past and all the "greats"
> > > of "the service." :-)
> >
> > Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...
>
> Gee, Jim, how many times and how many different ways can you say that?

well jim has got in comon with stevie that he thinks things like this
are so vital he must keep pointing them out

3033. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:57:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135745820.425182.225910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128804

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the ###hole",
> > when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Are you saying that Mark has you confused with someone else?

he just proves there would be no point in apologizing for anything to
stevie he is an ungratfull sack of shit

3034. Re: BTW dave if you rported to google various of the posts you claims to haev you lied to google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 04:59:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135745951.577299.125150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128805

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 06:48:59 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> > in <vt5sf.2902$nu6.60@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >
> > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> thepost you and stevie are finding so insane are not made through or
> > >> hotmail
> > >>
> > >> in reporting that they were (if you were telling the truth about making
> > >> the rport) you lied inrpoerting the matter to google
> > >
> > >That's interesting, Mark. Some of the full headers shows that you
> > >posted through Google groups.
> >
> >
> > And some of Dudly's headers show that he posted with his work computer
> > through HMA, Inc, while others come from various AOL servers in
> > Virginia. Hmmmm..... you're located in WV, aren't you Dave?
>
> Wonder how many patients had to wait while Steve had to fire off 40 or
> 50 posts?

he did not care likely his bosses did not either stevie likely just
loged the time as biillabel to poor pataints and the hospital got paid

3035. Re: dave the fool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:00:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135746030.734578.323350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128806

Mark Freak wrote:
> scared? you should be.

of Dave? nah he can't even tell wether I am posting thought google or
someplace else

3036. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:06:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135746389.179581.152700@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128807

Mark Freak wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > that is if you are serious
>
> scared?
no
>you should be.

why?
one stevie will not do it, he will spend then next few years lying
about how he never threatened to

two, if he did he doesn't have a case

the only thing to worry about from stevie his his effort to incite
people agianst others with his poisonous posting about people, that and
that he might go apeshit and drive up here, but then I doubt he could
find the place, in some of his posts he said that chaseel was near
marquette

I rather he brought it on and we had it out in court than continue his
micky mouse games

3037. Re: my mom tells me this peom at bedtine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:07:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135746453.776749.265660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Billy Smith wrote:
> Talking about a certain amateur from Tennessee or the one from West Virginia

neither myself it is just another forgery likely from tenn
>
> "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz@hasmat.org > wrote in message
> news:ggtgq11f3pk5toj2g7jmbtssdr8ejilqks@4ax.com...
cut

3038. After years and years and years of pointing it out, does anyone on RRAP think Len has an Amateur Radio License??? If so, repetetive Jim is here to straighten you out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:10:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135746625.177727.262120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128809

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
> > > > >"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> > > > >Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable, why
> > > > >would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?
> > > >
> > > > Exactly, Dee. After all, the current General requires only
> > > > two written exams of 35 questions each, and a Morse
> > > > Code test of 5 wpm.
> > > >
> > > > > So they can "properly" emulate the past and all the "greats"
> > > > > of "the service." :-)
> > > >
> > > > Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...
> > >
> > > Gee, Jim, how many times and how many different ways can you say that?
> >
> > well jim has got in comon with stevie that he thinks things like this
> > are so vital he must keep pointing them out

Maybe it's an early symptom of advanced age - keeps repeating himself.

3039. what will stevie do it is after midnight?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:11:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135746683.590196.279630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128810

liekly nothing much more whining

he was changing his tune today maybe his lawyer was geting wind of what
stevie did to provoke this? maybe he was just lying about having one
likely we will never the exactl truth stevie certainly can't tell the
truth abiut this or anything

3040. Re: a report to RRAp on the assholes last warning postsing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:12:07 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <mj74r1l2priqcnu8t1u5ec6a8mmobdlm7n@4ax.com>
Source record: 128811

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 15:05:15 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 17:50:26 -0500, tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote in
><61h3r15mr92hq1jceude71u7tqbfu36p00@4ax.com>:
>
>>by my count stveie has made 3 thread titled last warning and five
>>posts titled last warning, nd futhr made ref to his last warning
>>(syaing it expried yesterday
>>
>>this make a totlal to this point of 6 last warnings
>
>
>Now we get to watch him squirm for months (possibly even years) while
>making lame excuses why he can't or won't follow through on his threat
>to take legal action. It's the best Chrisquanzakah ever!!!

or perhaps with his track history try to tell he said nothing of the
sort he still maintinas that threatening to shoot me in illioipolis
was not a threat
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3041. Re: After years and years and years of pointing it out, does anyone on RRAP think Len has an Amateur Radio License??? If so, repetetive Jim is here to straighten you out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:13:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135746815.103314.177020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128812

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
> > > > > >"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
cut
> > > > Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...
> > > >
> > > Gee, Jim, how many times and how many different ways can you say that?
> > >
> > well jim has got in comon with stevie that he thinks things like this
> > are so vital he must keep pointing them out
> >
> Maybe it's an early symptom of advanced age - keeps repeating himself.

nah I think it is excessive expousure to OKkeyed RF

3042. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:17:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135747069.185755.287350@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128813

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:
>
> Study Question #31:

It's always about "how tough" you had it.

3043. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:23:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135747408.960609.77350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128814

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:
> >
> > Study Question #31:
>
> It's always about "how tough" you had it.

yep back in the day they had it so bad had to test with the FCC itself had to crawl through the snow and rain on hands and knees (to show proper devotion) trhough broken glad uphill both ways

indeed it puts me in mind of some old stevie posts where he was insisting we to suffer to get a license BDSM advocated by someone that wants to cmit me for being in BDSM

3044. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:24:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135747480.860510.215410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128815

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> > dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> > amateur radio.
> >
> > The options suggested so far seem to be:
> >
> > (a) 1 License
> > (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> > (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> > (d) 3 Licenses
> > (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> >
> > What I wonder about these is how the individual
> > proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> > level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> > AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> > of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> > in multiple license options.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
>
> (b) one license, one learner's permit.
>
> License exam at the present General exam level, Called Amateur. All
> privs, 10 year renewable. Just like way back when.
>
> Leaner's permit called Limited, nonrenewable, ever. Term is 2 years.
> No grace period. If you want back in, you pass the "General" exam.
>
> Limited to 100W ERP on HF, except 5MHz limited to 50W ERP, and 50W ERP
> on VHF+.

why any power limits? has anyone realy hurt themseles on high power as
newbiee ham in recent year or harmed anyone else with it?

just curious
>
> Participation on 5MHz limited to emergency training nets and emergency
> nets.
>
> All mode privs except power, no automated or robot stations, no

> repeater control.
>
> Thanks for asking.

3045. Re: why does stvei claim only he has the portectionn of law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:25:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135747557.546868.216960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128816

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Digital wrote:
> > Hey, (Poor Lardass, plagiarizing pictures again)
>
> Hey, dumbass, at least Roger can GET a girlfriend.

can you?
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

3046. Re: A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:27:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135747642.065088.319240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128817
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom wrote:
> I think that I am someone who makes a stand for decency and for
> civilised adult behaviour in the international public
> fora that are the Usenet news groups, and who speaks
> out consistently against personal offensive insulting
> tirades that have no place outside of the school playground,
> and in this context that have no place in the persona of those
> who would seek to set themselves up as an example
> for vulnerable children to follow.

when decide to start making this "example" lets us we would not want to
miss it
>
> Who do you think you are?
>think you are?

3047. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:35:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Bupsf.3532$R84.1103@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128818

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Tsk, tsk, again. Change only ONE letter and "worf" becomes
> "wolf!"
>

Or "word" or "wore" or "work" or "worm" or "worn" or "wort" or "woof" and that's presuming we only need to change just ONE letter to decode his drek. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

So did the asshole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or or wort or woof or what?

Until Mark enrolls in a spelling class, I guess we'll just have to guess.

I'm betting of WHARF. At least it sorta sounds like "worf".

3048. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:39:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135748366.742400.266380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128819

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, again. Change only ONE letter and "worf" becomes
> > "wolf!"
> >
>
> Or "word" or "wore" or "work" or "worm" or "worn" or "wort" or "woof" and that's
> presuming we only need to change just ONE letter to decode his drek. Tsk, tsk,
> tsk.

tsk tsk tsk more preveidence that mosre code USE damages people brians
>
> So did the asshole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> or wort or woof or what?
>
> Until Mark enrolls in a spelling class, I guess we'll just have to guess.

wrong again
>
> I'm betting of WHARF. At least it sorta sounds like "worf".

then you just can't read Hans
worf is worf hans not anything else

3049. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:48:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0Hpsf.3536$R84.49@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128820

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> Trek is taught in enas such in major universities....

Riiiiight!

It's a canceled TV show and a few poorly done movies.

> ah now you choose to insult Treekies

WTF is a "Treekies"? Give us a hint on how many letters we need to change your insult into English.

3050. mr slit a necrophilic pedophlie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:52:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135749122.581613.305380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128821

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Dreaming of finger fucking Tayleor Robeson
lets see taelor was 12 at the time of her death therefore Mr slit would
be a pedo there and of course bewith her being dead of course cover the
necro part

and you have the nerve to call anyone sick? well at least you are not
lacking in nerves, just brains
cut

3051. Re: msg from emergency manager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:53:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135749184.217846.105150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128822

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > North Dakota News
> > >
> > > > This text is from a county emergency manager
> > > out in the western
> > > part of North Dakota state after the recent snow
> > > storm.
cut
> > > > Happy New Year
>
> And they didn't have a large black population either.

glad we can count on your to be a racist oh canceled cartoon character

3052. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:55:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135749349.200434.323040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128823

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > Trek is taught in enas such in major universities....
>
> Riiiiight!

right it is taught at a number of universities
>
> It's a canceled TV show and a few poorly done movies.
>
> > ah now you choose to insult Treekies
>
> WTF is a "Treekies"? Give us a hint on how many letters we need to change your
> insult into English.

why do you use such foul lang Hans

3053. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 05:56:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uOpsf.3538$R84.3402@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128824

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> Trek is taught in enas such in major universities....
>
> Riiiiight!
>
> It's a canceled TV show and a few poorly done movies.

They must be starved for new teaching materials in modern lit. Do you
suppose that Mark is the product of a major university?

>> ah now you choose to insult Treekies
>
> WTF is a "Treekies"? Give us a hint on how many letters we need to change your
> insult into English.

It's Klingon, Hans. Consonants are optional, vowels doubled and the
nines are silent.

Dave K8MN

>

3054. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 06:01:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FSpsf.3539$R84.2584@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128825

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> So did the asshole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> or wort or woof or what?

I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
something about a deed.

> Until Mark enrolls in a spelling class, I guess we'll just have to guess.

He just told us that he failed the 5 wpm morse exam more than 100 times.
How long are you willing to wait around?

Dave K8MN

3055. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 06:07:39 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dota3b$t2g$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 128827

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>Yep, right beside all your DD-214's and EMT license rejection letters.

I don't blame him for not posting his 214s; I have two and would never post them anywhere on the internet for you or any other strangers to view. Would you???

Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

3056. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 06:10:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o%psf.3162$nu6.806@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128826

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> more preveidence that mosre code USE damages people brians
^^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^
^^^^^
Did Brian (you should capitalize his name) use some "mosre" and it damaged his
people?

How many letters should be changed to turn "preveidence" into an English word.
Let me guess, did you mean "providence"?

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3057. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 06:11:58 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dotabe$t8l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 128828
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <qgg3r111t2ie5b9hi0egsjr5bjoq23ntd5@4ax.com>, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>Sorry to say it Mr Font but..... radio Amateurs will soon no longer exist.
>Amateur band is the new CB

That's nonsense. The low ends of the HF bands (CW) sound nothing at all
like CB.

KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

3058. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 07:34:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <plf4r1dcfot07geoo94al00oojbefkt2p7@4ax.com>
Source record: 128829

On 28 Dec 2005 06:07:39 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote in <dota3b$t2g$1@news.hawaii.edu>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>Yep, right beside all your DD-214's and EMT license rejection letters.
>
>I don't blame him for not posting his 214s; I have two and would never post them
>anywhere on the internet for you or any other strangers to view. Would you???

Yep. I already have. So has Hans (who, BTW, had a very impressive
military career).

You're coming into this discussion a little late, Jeff. You might want
to browse the archives and catch up before you continue.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3059. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 07:46:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d4g4r1hb3455c14cpe1koo2uvq68ks64nf@4ax.com>
Source record: 128830

On 27 Dec 2005 19:26:19 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1135740379.304958.261890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 27 Dec 2005 14:27:50 GMT, "Major Dud in drag"
>>
>> And I can't imagine why you didn't include this little bit of prose
>> your quote:
>>
>> "There are some ways to help stop cyberstalking: self-regulatory,
>> ignore the stalking, and self-protection. Self-regulatory and
>> self-protection practices can include turning off the computer,
>> changing your email address a few times a year, or dropping out of
>> discussion groups."
>>
>> IOW, the "victim" needs to use some common sense.
>>
>> And I noticed you snipped the rest of my post. Very Dudly-esque.
>
>Sounds like Steve should tuck tail.

He won't. He can't. His bruised ego won't let him concede because, in
his warped little mind, that would be the same as admitting his many
lies. He is so locked into defending his Walter Mitty complex that he
would rather stay in the newsgroup and fight a losing battle in hopes
that everyone else goes away or dies off before him so he can have the
last word. No, he's not stupid; just mentally ill.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3060. Re: a report to RRAp on the assholes last warning postsing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 07:54:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gqg4r1pbu6tja2abr7jcokv9qt2ksrobm8@4ax.com>
Source record: 128831

On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 00:12:07 -0500, tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote in
<mj74r1l2priqcnu8t1u5ec6a8mmobdlm7n@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 15:05:15 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 17:50:26 -0500, tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote in
>><61h3r15mr92hq1jceude71u7tqbfu36p00@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>by my count stveie has made 3 thread titled last warning and five
>>>posts titled last warning, nd futhr made ref to his last warning
>>>(syaing it expried yesterday
>>>
>>>this make a totlal to this point of 6 last warnings
>>
>>
>>Now we get to watch him squirm for months (possibly even years) while
>>making lame excuses why he can't or won't follow through on his threat
>>to take legal action. It's the best Chrisquanzakah ever!!!
>
>or perhaps with his track history try to tell he said nothing of the
>sort he still maintinas that threatening to shoot me in illioipolis
>was not a threat

I have no doubt that he will try to devise some way to justify his
hypocrisy. Want a good laugh? Crosspost those future excuses to
sci.logic.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3061. Frankie's Foolish Flutterings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 09:06:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135760785.759764.18110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128832

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 00:12:07 -0500, Mark Morgan in a faked screen name wrote in
> <mj74r1l2priqcnu8t1u5ec6a8mmobdlm7n@4ax.com>:

> >or perhaps with his track history try to tell he said nothing of the
> >sort he still maintinas that threatening to shoot me in illioipolis
> >was not a threat
>
> I have no doubt that he will try to devise some way to justify his
> hypocrisy. Want a good laugh? Crosspost those future excuses to
> sci.logic.

I thought that was filled up with disgraced, court martialed
ex-Marines explaining how admitting their cowardice made it "OK"...

Steve, K4YZ

3062. Brain Bouncing Blindly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 09:10:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135761015.312588.207000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128833

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Welp, we've got about 52 minutes to go and Steve has been vewwy, vewwy
> qwiet.

Nope. Just working a lot of overtime. Unfortunately nurses get
sick too.

> He gonna bag a wascawwy wabbit...
>
> Or he's tucked tail.

Nope.

The LAST thing Mark wanted me to do was stop talking to/about him
here.

Steve, K4YZ

3063. Laughing at Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 09:13:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135761213.284884.155340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128834

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
>
> > So did the ###hole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> > or wort or woof or what?
>
> I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
> something about a deed.
>
> > Until Mark enrolls in a spelling class, I guess we'll just have to guess.

yeah...Like THAT will happen!

> He just told us that he failed the 5 wpm morse exam more than 100 times.
> How long are you willing to wait around?

I am willing to bet that his reported "100 times" was 99 more than
he attempted.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3064. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 09:17:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135761426.807486.71970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128835

Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
> stive never cotrcact any lawyer

Who never did what to a lawyer?

> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
> forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
post to RRAP, Mark.

Steve, K4YZ

3065. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 09:33:17 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <ulm4r1hgmasbpo5cnto71r1uikeciermdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 128836
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:

>
>Spike wrote:
>> The fettled casting incident can be found here; pour yourself a scotch
>> or three and enjoy the tortured logic:
>
>> <http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.rec.models.engineering/browse_thread/thread/ac866c
5299fcd1aa/0cbfa48834da1d4a?lnk=st&q=blackgates+casting+group%3Auk.rec.models.engineering&
rnum=2&hl=en#0cbfa48834da1d4a>
>>
>
>Absolutely hysterical, but I don't believe Gareth wrote any of that,
>and here's why. In his regular drivelXXXXX sorry FAQ, he always writes:
>"Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who are interested in the
>science of radio wave propagation and who are also interested in the
>way that their radios function. It has a long-standing tradition of
>providing a source of engineers who are born naturals."
>
>But the person who so publicly demonstrated his stupidity in that
>thread stated from the outset: "Incidentally, it was not I who pointed
>out the error, but a qualified engineer who was also thinking of buying
>one"
>
>Gareth, as we all know, is a "real radio ham", so why would he need to
>get the opinion of a qualified engineer?

Could it be that he was out of his depth, and needed advice from
someone with experience? His approach to the FT-101E neutralising
problem was similar in that he got rid of the offending article. Come
to that, we haven't heard much about the FT-707 project either - but
at least he did ask for help with that, even if the replies were said
to have been lost due to one of his ISP failures.

from
Aero Spike

3066. Re: msg from emergency manager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 10:02:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135764177.078736.231480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128837

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > North Dakota News
> >
> > > This text is from a county emergency manager
> > out in the western
> > part of North Dakota state after the recent snow
> > storm.

SNIP

Something we can agree upon wholeheartedly, Brian.

Nothing like a bit of self-sufficiency to ensure your survivial.
Amazing the folks who chose to live below sea level along the Gulf
Coast didn't do the same thing.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3067. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 10:52:05 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dotql7rrm1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128838
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >Gareth, as we all know, is a "real radio ham", so why would he need to
> >get the opinion of a qualified engineer?
>
> Could it be that he was out of his depth, and needed advice from
> someone with experience? His approach to the FT-101E neutralising
> problem was similar in that he got rid of the offending article. Come
> to that, we haven't heard much about the FT-707 project either - but
> at least he did ask for help with that, even if the replies were said
> to have been lost due to one of his ISP failures.
>
> from
> Aero Spike

Its quite amusing how some of the fraternity in here who have a Full Licence think they can repair a radio. A lot can yes but there's also a lot of Amateurs who hold full licences who, for one reason or another, can't fix their radio. Be it shaky hands or impaired vision, no real skill with a soldering iron or simply not wanting to bother and let someone else repair it for them. Not all Full Amateurs can fix their radios even though they will tell you they can.
There are plenty of search results on google or Yahoo or probably any other search engine you would care to name that would reveal appropriate information be it CB or Amateur for the repairman. Its a shame they just can't ask for help and everyone join in in a helpful discussion which is how it should be.

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

3068. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 10:53:46 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dotqocrtf1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128839
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:dotabe$t8l$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> In article <qgg3r111t2ie5b9hi0egsjr5bjoq23ntd5@4ax.com>, <k4yz@aol.com>
wrote:
> >Sorry to say it Mr Font but..... radio Amateurs will soon no longer
exist.
> >Amateur band is the new CB
>
> That's nonsense. The low ends of the HF bands (CW) sound nothing at all
> like CB.
>
> KH6O
>
>
> --
> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

Lol, for a clever chappie he fell for that one pretty good ;o)

Have a good day,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

3069. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 12:36:41 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <2715r1t9il0sa8bcodhtbifmnmn34o5fg4@4ax.com>
Source record: 128840
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Magnum wrote:

>Its quite amusing how some of the fraternity in here who have a Full Licence
>think they can repair a radio. A lot can yes but there's also a lot of
>Amateurs who hold full licences who, for one reason or another, can't fix
>their radio.

Fortunately, the ability to fix a radio is only one facet of Amateur Radio, and not a compulsory one at that. There are Amateurs about who don't do moonbounce or MFSK or aerial experimentation - Amateur Radio is a broad church.

>There are plenty of search results on google or Yahoo or probably any other
>search engine you would care to name that would reveal appropriate
>information be it CB or Amateur for the repairman.

It's a shame that there are those about - even with a broadband connection - who 'do not follow web links'. It's their loss.

>It's a shame they just
>can't ask for help and everyone join in in a helpful discussion which is how
>it should be.

Well, one chap asked for help concerning a problem with an FT-707, and received a number of helpful replies. It was a pity that he was the only user on ukra of that particular ISP to suffer an ISP failure and consequently never saw them. Presumably in those days he didn't know how to Google the archives. Strange world.....

from
Aero Spike

3070. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 12:39:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135773587.523340.109590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128841

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:
> >
> > Study Question #31:
>
> It's always about "how tough" you had it.

Nope. Wrong.

I earned the Novice in 1967, the Technician and Advanced in 1968 and
the
Extra in 1970. Those questions were from the 1976 study guides.

3071. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 13:04:19 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dou2d6$51u$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128842
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<lucifer@eternal-flames.gov> wrote in message
news:juv4r115mooaqsf4keugs11pqmrk6e9bc3@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 10:52:05 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
>
> >There are plenty of search results on google or Yahoo or probably any
other
> >search engine you would care to name that would reveal appropriate
> >information be it CB or Amateur for the repairman.
>
> I used to make a packet out of CBers, often re-repairing what their
> so-called 'rig doctors' had made a mess of (who said CBers didn't have
> their uses). Now it's M3s who provide a good source of beer money.

Me too, even some of the shops technicians weren't as good as they thought
they were.

> They want to be treated as radio amateurs, but DuffCom say, "they have
> not demonstrated the necessary technical competence."

Some want to be treated as Amateurs, others as in many walk of life,
couldn't be arsed as long as they can use it.

> Ho hum...

> Nick.

I find it surprising that the Full licence requirement no longer requires
Morse. It seems to be on a self destruct course which is a shame as most of
you hard working Amateurs Full licence's seem to have been belittled by
this. If a Full Licence doesn't require Morse then the licence doesn't mean
as much. I think that is a mistake. Technical competence seems to be a
bygone word.

Still, worse things happen at sea....

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

3072. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 13:10:36 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dou2ov$202$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128843
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:2715r1t9il0sa8bcodhtbifmnmn34o5fg4@4ax.com...
>
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> >Its quite amusing how some of the fraternity in here who have a Full
Licence
> >think they can repair a radio. A lot can yes but there's also a lot of
> >Amateurs who hold full licences who, for one reason or another, can't fix
> >their radio.
>
> Fortunately, the ability to fix a radio is only one facet of Amateur
> Radio, and not a compulsory one at that. There are Amateurs about who
> don't do moonbounce or MFSK or aerial experimentation - Amateur Radio
> is a broad church.

Absolutely which is why it amazes me so much "hype" is placed on Morse by
the Higher Licence fraternity. It's a part of Amateur radio but theres so
much more.....

> >There are plenty of search results on google or Yahoo or probably any
other
> >search engine you would care to name that would reveal appropriate
> >information be it CB or Amateur for the repairman.
>
> It's a shame that there are those about - even with a broadband
> connection - who 'do not follow web links'. It's their loss.

Yep..... theres a lot of interesting stuff out there just waiting to be
found.

> >It's a shame they just
> >can't ask for help and everyone join in in a helpful discussion which is
how
> >it should be.
>
> Well, one chap asked for help concerning a problem with an FT-707, and
> received a number of helpful replies. It was a pity that he was the
> only user on ukra of that particular ISP to suffer an ISP failure and
> consequently never saw them. Presumably in those days he didn't know
> how to Google the archives. Strange world.....

It surely is. I had a few replies to some of my questions direct to my
mailbox as they didn't want to be shot down by some of the Preachers in
here. There are a lot of helpful and intelligent people on Amateur and in

the groups who don't like the actions of a few so rarely post and that is a great shame....

Best wishes,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

3073. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 14:24:47 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Pexsf.26515$zt1.3833@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 128844
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Magnum wrote:

> It surely is. I had a few replies to some of my questions direct to my
> mailbox as they didn't want to be shot down by some of the Preachers in
> here.

G8OSN? Am I right? Huh, huh.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

3074. Re: Kiddie Rapist Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 14:33:16 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <dou8tf$4r1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 128847

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:1135743564.998908.89100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
"FREEPORT, OH/GLENDALE, WV: The FCC wrote Advanced licensee Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, and General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" between the two men that included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review
97.1 of the Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Last November 9, the FCC sent Wiseman a Warning Notice in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters."

"GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters."

LOL at the Glendale retard!
/

But yet the social misfit maintains that there is NOTHING in the letter that stated anything about malicious interference.
What part of, " in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on
20 meters." does Roger think we do not understand?
Hmmm...I don't see a single mention anywhere in these articles that alludes to Roger being "invited" to retest because he was initially tested via the VEC program, as Roger claims. I do see comments made about "jamming", "interference" and "deliberate and malicious interference".
Of course that is Roger's story, and he's sticking to it. He never could tell the truth.

3075. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 14:40:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135780840.707867.182160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128845

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> from: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm

> >What will the "learner"s permit actually accomplish?
>
> It will make the old-timers feel superior and "elmerish,"
> satisfying the control-need urges to make themselves the
> role model for all who come after them. It's "good for
> the 'service'" they will say...as if the HOBBY was some
> sort of professional occupation or a military arm of the
> country. <shrug>

Ahhhhhh, yes....About what I'd expect from Lennie the Lame.

Don't help anyone else...Don't share what you know...Don't pass around your enthusiasm for something you think is exlcusively YOUR domain. But of course BERATE anyone who suggests the idea of it...

Guess this is why Lennie has always steered clear of the question "How Many Young People Have YOU Mentored In Radio Or Electronics?" while at the same time proposing an "age limit" where there's never been a demonstrated need for one...

Steve, K4YZ

3076. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 14:45:46 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <2695r1tdiecjgbl3h5k0dq83dhaff8fbf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 128846
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 14:24:47 GMT, pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>The Magnum wrote:
>
>> It surely is. I had a few replies to some of my questions direct to my
>> mailbox as they didn't want to be shot down by some of the Preachers in
>> here.
>
>G8OSN? Am I right? Huh, huh.

What? Not the L.I.A.R who had to 'upgrade' to an M3 in order to get on
HF? LOL

3077. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 15:05:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135782348.724007.273130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128848

an_old_friend wrote:
> Mark Freak wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > that is if you are serious
> >
> > scared?
>
> no
>
> >you should be.
>
> why?
>
> one stevie will not do it, he will spend then next few years lying
> about how he never threatened to

Already done.

> two, if he did he doesn't have a case

Sure I do. Under Michigan law, the attorney could not bill for
the retainer if, after having reviewed the complaint and assessed it's
validity against Michigan law, it was determined to not be a valid
complaint. (Mind you I said "complaint", not whether it will be
ajudicated in my favor or not...)

> the only thing to worry about from stevie his his effort to incite
> people agianst others with his poisonous posting about people

Well, Markie, after your behaviour in the last 30 days, I'd say
the pattern of who's inciting what has been established...And your use
of faked screen names in the name of dead family has pretty well
established who's "poisoning" the pot.

> that and that he might go ape#### and drive up here

As much as I want to avoid that, I am told it IS an eventuality.

> but then I doubt he could
> find the place, in some of his posts he said that chaseel was near
> marquette

First of all, there's no "chaseel" in Michigan, Markie.

Secondly, Marquette is only 30 air miles, probably and extra 15
driving miles since it's not a straight line to get to "Chassell" from
there.

Since the continental United States is about 3000 miles by 2500 miles, I think the average person would consider thirty miles, give-or-take, as "near".

> I rather he brought it on and we had it out in court than continue his
> micky mouse games

Count on it.

And Mark, to answer your subject line question "again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail", rest assured that your copies of the complaints will be delivered by an officer of the court, not the United States Postal Service.

Steve, K4YZ

3078. Re: How many Techs actually ever run maximum 1500w power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 15:29:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135783767.290755.223370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128849

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > from: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
>
> > >What will the "learner"s permit actually accomplish?
> >
> > It will make the old-timers feel superior and "elmerish,"
> > satisfying the control-need urges to make themselves the
> > role model for all who come after them. It's "good for
> > the 'service'" they will say...as if the HOBBY was some
> > sort of professional occupation or a military arm of the
> > country. <shrug>
>
> Ahhhhhh, yes....About what I'd expect from Lennie the Lame.

I read it, I disagreed with it. I don't have a need to call Len names over it.

What's your problem?

> Don't help anyone else...Don't share what you know...Don't pass
> around your enthusiasm for something you think is exlcusively YOUR
> domain.

Elmering can occur only if there's a "learners permit" in place?

> But of course BERATE anyone who suggests the idea of it...

That's Len. He's not suggesting that Dee have windows smashed with bricks, tires slashed, nor hubby terrorized.

What's your problem?

> Guess this is why Lennie has always steered clear of the question
> "How Many Young People Have YOU Mentored In Radio Or Electronics?"
> while at the same time proposing an "age limit" where there's never
> been a demonstrated need for one...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Raped an old friend."

You won't find Len posting such crap.

Guess this is why Steve has always steered clear of the question "What has Mark's sexuality to do with amateur radio?"

How bout it?

3079. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 15:31:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135783867.623945.79130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128850

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:
> > >
> > > Study Question #31:
> >
> > It's always about "how tough" you had it.
>
> Nope. Wrong.
>
> I earned the Novice in 1967, the Technician and Advanced in 1968 and
> the
> Extra in 1970. Those questions were from the 1976 study guides.

Did you misplace your own study guides?

3080. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 15:36:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135784218.616802.100140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128851

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
> > stive never cotrcact any lawyer
>
> Who never did what to a lawyer?
>
> > BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
> > forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
> post to RRAP, Mark.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Kind of looks like a sincere invitation to continue, but I thought you
wanted him to stop?

Or do you really want to move against Mark?

3081. Re: Frankie's Foolish Flutterings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 15:37:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fsb5r1l104u8feg96as37lpg6s29scd6f4@4ax.com>
Source record: 128852

On 28 Dec 2005 01:06:25 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1135760785.759764.18110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 00:12:07 -0500, Mark Morgan in a faked screen name wrote in
>> <mj74r1l2priqcnu8t1u5ec6a8mmobdlm7n@4ax.com>:
>
>> >or perhaps with his track history try to tell he said nothing of the
>> >sort he still maintinas that threatening to shoot me in illioipolis
>> >was not a threat
>>
>> I have no doubt that he will try to devise some way to justify his
>> hypocrisy. Want a good laugh? Crosspost those future excuses to
>> sci.logic.
>
> I thought that was filled up with disgraced, court martialed
>ex-Marines explaining how admitting their cowardice made it "OK"...

For example, that was a classic example of Argumentum ad Hominem of
the abusive variety, also known as the Genetic Fallacy. According to
Copi:

"The way in which this irrelevant argument may sometimes persuade is
through the psychological process of transference. Where an attitude
of disapproval toward a person can be evoked, it may possibly tend to
overflow the strictly emotional field and become disagreement with
what that person says. But this connection is only psycholol gical, not
logical. Even the most wicked of men may sometimes tell the truth or
argue correctly."

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Who's your lawyer, Dud?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3082. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 15:44:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135784680.790868.200290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128853

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Welp, we've got about 52 minutes to go and Steve has been vewwy, vewwy
> > qwiet.
>
> Nope. Just working a lot of overtime. Unfortunately nurses get
> sick too.

As if you can't post from work...

> > He gonna bag a wascawwy wabbit...
> >
> > Or he's tucked tail.
>
> Nope.
>
> The LAST thing Mark wanted me to do was stop talking to/about him
> here.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Hardly. He wants you to answer this question:

"What has Mark's sexuality to do with amateur radio?"

3083. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 15:50:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135785009.747243.234700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128854

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 27 Dec 2005 19:26:19 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1135740379.304958.261890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 27 Dec 2005 14:27:50 GMT, "Major Dud in drag"
> >>
> >> And I can't imagine why you didn't include this little bit of prose
> >> your quote:
> >>
> >> "There are some ways to help stop cyberstalking: self-regulatory,
> >> ignore the stalking, and self-protection. Self-regulatory and
> >> self-protection practices can include turning off the computer,
> >> changing your email address a few times a year, or dropping out of
> >> discussion groups."
> >>
> >> IOW, the "victim" needs to use some common sense.
> >>
> >> And I noticed you snipped the rest of my post. Very Dudly-esque.
> >
> >Sounds like Steve should tuck tail.
>
>
> He won't. He can't. His bruised ego won't let him concede because, in
> his warped little mind, that would be the same as admitting his many
> lies. He is so locked into defending his Walter Mitty complex that he
> would rather stay in the newsgroup and fight a losing battle in hopes
> that everyone else goes away or dies off before him so he can have the
> last word. No, he's not stupid; just mentally ill.

And that is the Robeson profile.

3084. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 15:52:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135785144.737653.115640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128855

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >Yep, right beside all your DD-214's and EMT license rejection letters.
>
> I don't blame him for not posting his 214s; I have two and would never post them
> anywhere on the internet for you or any other strangers to view. Would you???
>
> Jeff KH6O
>
> --
> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

Steve can make a photocopy and then "sanitize" the sensitive data from
the copy.

3085. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 15:58:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <msc5r1lmkgosn8ggqbhv7uhc7d6t5j0emq@4ax.com>
Source record: 128856

On 28 Dec 2005 07:44:40 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1135784680.790868.200290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> > Welp, we've got about 52 minutes to go and Steve has been vewwy, vewwy
>> > qwiet.
>>
>> Nope. Just working a lot of overtime. Unfortunately nurses get
>> sick too.
>
>As if you can't post from work...
>
>> > He gonna bag a wascawwy wabbit...
>> >
>> > Or he's tucked tail.
>>
>> Nope.
>>
>> The LAST thing Mark wanted me to do was stop talking to/about him
>> here.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Hardly. He wants you to answer this question:
>
>"What has Mark's sexuality to do with amateur radio?"

Nothing. It's just another Genetic Fallacy. This one falls into the
category that I like to call "Rovian" since, according to Sen. Boxer,
the supreme commander of the Shrub administration likes to divert
attention away from their failures by inciting public discord using
the three 'G's..... "God, Guns, and Gays".

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3086. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 16:03:48 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <douctp$6vc$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128857
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"pointyhead" <ftscum@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Pexsf.26515$zt1.3833@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> > It surely is. I had a few replies to some of my questions direct to my
> > mailbox as they didn't want to be shot down by some of the Preachers in
> > here.
>
> G8OSN? Am I right? Huh, huh.

No, sorry your not. Im not going to pass on who it was out of courtesy apart
from telling you it wasn't him.

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

3087. Re: A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 16:04:41 UTC
From: Ewan Scott <ewanscott@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <doud2p$k56$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 128858
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

> > Who are you?
> >
> > and, who do you think you are?
> >
> > Ewan Scott
>
> email me if you want to know
>

I have been made aware of who he is. I have done some research and am quite convinced that my opinion of him can be verified.

Sad that he brings down the world of amateur radio to the lowest common denominator.

Ewan Scott

3088. Re: Frankie's Foolish Flutterings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 16:07:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135786060.925637.128380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128859

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 28 Dec 2005 01:06:25 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1135760785.759764.18110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 00:12:07 -0500, Mark Morgan in a faked screen name wrote in
> >> <mj74r1l2priqcnu8t1u5ec6a8mmobdlm7n@4ax.com>:
> >>
> >> >or perhaps with his track history try to tell he said nothing of the
> >> >sort he still maintinas that threatening to shoot me in illioipolis
> >> >was not a threat
> >>
> >> I have no doubt that he will try to devise some way to justify his
> >> hypocrisy. Want a good laugh? Crosspost those future excuses to
> >> sci.logic.
> >>
> >> > I thought that was filled up with disgraced, court martialed
> >> >ex-Marines explaining how admitting their cowardice made it "OK"...
> >
> >
> > For example, that was a classic example of Argumentum ad Hominem of
> > the abusive variety, also known as the Genetic Fallacy. According to
> > Copi:
> >
> > "The way in which this irrelevant argument may sometimes persuade is
> > through the psychological process of transference. Where an attitude
> > of disapproval toward a person can be evoked, it may possibly tend to
> > overflow the strictly emotional field and become disagreement with
> > what that person says. But this connection is only psycholol gical, not
> > logical. Even the most wicked of men may sometimes tell the truth or
> > argue correctly."
> >
> >
> > >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > Who's your lawyer, Dud?

Steve has to go get one NOW, since Mark called his bluff.

Time for Steve to actually start writing checks.

Then Mark counter sues, and sends another package of harassment (raped
an old friend) to the Tennessee Nursing Board.

Steve writes more checks in an attempt to use the courts to cover up his mistakes.

3089. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 16:15:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135786508.493997.257930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128860

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> > > dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> > > amateur radio.
> > >
> > > The options suggested so far seem to be:
> > >
> > > (a) 1 License
> > > (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> > > (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> > > (d) 3 Licenses
> > > (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> > >
> > > What I wonder about these is how the individual
> > > proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> > > level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> > > AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> > > of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> > > in multiple license options.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > > Bill K2UNK
> >
> >
> > (b) one license, one learner's permit.
> >
> > License exam at the present General exam level, Called Amateur. All
> > privs, 10 year renewable. Just like way back when.
> >
> > Leaner's permit called Limited, nonrenewable, ever. Term is 2 years.
> > No grace period. If you want back in, you pass the "General" exam.
> >
> > Limited to 100W ERP on HF, except 5MHz limited to 50W ERP, and 50W ERP
> > on VHF+.
>
> why any power limits? has anyone realy hurt themseles on high power as
> newbiee ham in recent year or harmed anyone else with it?
>
> just curious

For the learner's permit? To simplify the body of knowledge for
operating and for the exams, and for operational safety. Harm is not

always immediately apparent and may take years of exposure to show itself. The lower power limits allow the learner to forego the environmental assessment and still operate a station safely.

If there is no real distinction between the "Limited" and the "Amateur" licenses, then I sugest we drop the Limited and keep only the Amateur at the General knowledge level.

> > Participation on 5MHz limited to emergency training nets and emergency
> > nets.
> >
> > All mode privs except power, no automated or robot stations, no
> > repeater control.
> >
> > Thanks for asking.

3090. Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 16:30:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135787416.228729.22010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128861

taelor_robeson@yahoo.com wrote:
> Path:
> g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>

> I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his
> blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.

I asked the same from you, and you just responded with more posts with
my name and callsign.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in
general forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on
RRAP a while
>
> Mark Morgan

3091. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 16:30:51 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%4zsf.51305$7p5.8691@newsfe4-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128862
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Magnum wrote:

> No, sorry your not. Im not going to pass on who it was out of courtesy apart
> from telling you it wasn't him.

Ha! You wouldn't tell me anyway! I'll bet though it was some lazy assed
class b with a chip on his shoulder or an M3/Cb'er!

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

3092. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 16:35:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dve5r1ptu52n51trjeaumdho34epq4jrn2@4ax.com>
Source record: 128863

On 27 Dec 2005 15:45:54 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
>> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
>> amateur radio.
>>
>> The options suggested so far seem to be:
>>
>> (a) 1 License
>> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
>> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>> (d) 3 Licenses
>> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>>
>> What I wonder about these is how the individual
>> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
>> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
>> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
>> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
>> in multiple license options.
>>
>That's just the beginning, Bill.
>
>The devil is in the details, limited by what FCC
>has written in various NPRMs and R&Os:
>
>- No existing licensee should lose privileges
>- No existing licensee should gain privileges without taking the
>required tests
>- No free upgrades

One license. Existing licenses would be valid until expiration with no
renewals -- they would need to pass the single-license test if they
want to continue.

>- No significant extra admin work for FCC

A single license would -reduce- the FCC's workload by mere
simplification.

>- FCC sees the optimum level as 3 license classes, none of which
>have a limited term and all of which are renewable.

The FCC has changed it's opinion on that subject several times. IIRC, there were once six different license classes (please correct me if I'm wrong).

>How does any proposed system handle all these requirements?

It ain't rocket surgery.

>How do we convince FCC to accept the changes?

Boycott the ARRL?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3093. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 16:44:23 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Hhzsf.10408$3Z.1481@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128864

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
>> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
>> amateur radio.
>>
>> The options suggested so far seem to be:
>>
>> (a) 1 License
>> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
>> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>> (d) 3 Licenses
>> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>>
>> What I wonder about these is how the individual
>> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
>> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
>> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
>> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
>> in multiple license options.
>>
> That's just the beginning, Bill.
>
> The devil is in the details, limited by what FCC
> has written in various NPRMs and R&Os:
> - No existing licensee should lose privileges
> - No existing licensee should gain privileges without taking
> the required tests
> - No free upgrades
> - No significant extra admin work for FCC
> - FCC sees the optimum level as 3 license classes, none of
> which have a limited term and all of which are renewable.

None of the above is defined by any FCC rules. At the
moment there are 3 licenses being issued, but even that
can be changed as the FCC is not locked into their
past decisions because of prior comment in any NPRM
and/or R&O.

> How does any proposed system handle all these requirements?

It doesn't, nor does it have to. It becomes an issue of
making athe case for whatever is being proposed. Clearly
the ARRL still believes and appears to be still supportive

of an entry level (learner's permit) despite what the FCC
may have already said.

> How do we convince FCC to accept the changes?

By making clear and rational arguments and reasons for
whatever the proposed system may be.

> Those are the tough ones!
>
> K0HB's proposed 2 class system addresses all these issues.
> But FCC denied his ideas.

FCC originally didn't buy a nocode Tech at some time
in the past but eventually changed its mind. FCC also
left 13wpm and 20wpm as requirements for many years
with the lack of change/elimination of said 13/20 wpm
elements supposedly waiting for a "consensus" in the
amateur ranks. In spite of the lack of any consensus on
code the FCC did, in fact, end 13/20wpm test elements
in April 2000 based on arguments and the FCC's own
conclusions at that time.

Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
end up being revisited and changed at a later review.

So Jim, with that in mind, what is your specific proposal?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3094. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 16:52:21 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9pzsf.3622$R84.2736@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128865

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> License exam at the present General exam level, Called Amateur. All
> privs, 10 year renewable. Just like way back when.
>

There currently are 5 grades of "General". Which do you mean?

One-Star General - Post 1987 Technician given a complimentary
field promotion to General

Two-Star General - Previous Conditional given humanitarian
promotion to General

Three-Star General - Pre 1987 Technician given posthumous
promotion to General

Four-Star General - General who took an actual General
examination in modern times at a VE session

Five-Star General - General who took an actual General
examination in front of a steely eyed FCC official in a noisy
drafty government office in downtown Fargo and had to walk uphill
(both ways) through 10-foot snowdrifts on Good Friday 1954.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3095. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 17:13:59 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <douh1dcoh1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128866
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"pointyhead" <ftscum@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:%4zsf.51305$7p5.8691@newsfe4-win.ntli.net...
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> > No, sorry your not. Im not going to pass on who it was out of courtesy
apart
> > from telling you it wasn't him.
>
> Ha! You wouldn't tell me anyway! I'll bet though it was some lazy assed
> class b with a chip on his shoulder or an M3/Cb'er!

No, it was a fully licensed Amateur who genuinely wanted to help. Sorry to
burst your bubble.

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

3096. Re: A Merry Solstice To All Our Readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 17:39:50 UTC
From: Uncle Bobbolink <weleasewoger33@nosp.gmail.com>
Message-ID: <322f$43b2dbe5$d1cc5960$14438@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 128867
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

ROTFLMAO

3097. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 17:42:52 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <w8Asf.15851$f7.12324@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 128868
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Magnum wrote:

> No, it was a fully licensed Amateur who genuinely wanted to help. Sorry to
> burst your bubble.

Bah! Like you'd tell me. We /both/ know who it really was.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

3098. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 18:04:50 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <douk0p$hu8$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128869
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"pointyhead" <ftscum@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:w8Asf.15851$f7.12324@newsfe3-win.ntli.net...
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> > No, it was a fully licensed Amateur who genuinely wanted to help. Sorry
to
> > burst your bubble.
>
> Bah! Like you'd tell me. We /both/ know who it really was.

No, I'd have told you if it was him. Genuinely.

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

3099. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 19:09:55 UTC
From: class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
Message-ID: <43b2e2e0.1172217@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 128870
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote:

> had to 'upgrade' to an M3

now now...when you say UPGRADE please remind everyone of the article it appeared in.

3100. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 19:15:17 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <douo85$p86$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 128872

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>Yep. I already have. So has Hans (who, BTW, had a very impressive
>military career).

Why in the world would you do that? Do these folks' opinions of you matter that much to you that you'd want to reveal such information to them? Did someone dare you, and in order to keep face you felt you just "had" to post it? You should never allow anyone to manipulate you in such a manner. In turn, you should not be trying to manipulate others; take what's said on here with a grain of salt, and move on to .policy issues.

When you turn off your PC, thoughts of the folks on here should be the last thing on your mind. I think more about the gecko running across my ceiling than all the nasty things that have been said about me on here over the past 14 years.

Be nice or else I'll start posting the rec.radio FAQ on here again which I helped draft back in the 90s and force your to memorize it! I don't think it has been posted in the last 6 or 7 years now.

73 from Hawaii,
Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

3101. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 19:28:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135798119.367695.266200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128871

KØHB wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > License exam at the present General exam level, Called Amateur. All
> > privs, 10 year renewable. Just like way back when.
> >
>
> There currently are 5 grades of "General". Which do you mean?
>
> One-Star General - Post 1987 Technician given a complimentary
> field promotion to General
>
> Two-Star General - Previous Conditional given humanitarian
> promotion to General
>
> Three-Star General - Pre 1987 Technician given posthumous
> promotion to General
>
> Four-Star General - General who took an actual General
> examination in modern times at a VE session
>
> Five-Star General - General who took an actual General
> examination in front of a steely eyed FCC official in a noisy
> drafty government office in downtown Fargo and had to walk uphill
> (both ways) through 10-foot snowdrifts on Good Friday 1954.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

****

3102. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 19:37:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135798672.883973.89010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128873

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> >> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> >> amateur radio.
> >>
> >> The options suggested so far seem to be:
> >>
> >> (a) 1 License
> >> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> >> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> >> (d) 3 Licenses
> >> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> >>
> >> What I wonder about these is how the individual
> >> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> >> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> >> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> >> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> >> in multiple license options.
> >>
> > That's just the beginning, Bill.
> >
> > The devil is in the details, limited by what FCC
> > has written in various NPRMs and R&Os:
> > - No existing licensee should lose privileges
> > - No existing licensee should gain privileges without taking
> > the required tests
> > - No free upgrades
> > - No significant extra admin work for FCC
> > - FCC sees the optimum level as 3 license classes, none of
> > which have a limited term and all of which are renewable.
>
> None of the above is defined by any FCC rules. At the
> moment there are 3 licenses being issued, but even that
> can be changed as the FCC is not locked into their
> past decisions because of prior comment in any NPRM
> and/or R&O.
>
> > How does any proposed system handle all these requirements?
>
> It doesn't, nor does it have to. It becomes an issue of

> making athe case for whatever is being proposed. Clearly
> the ARRL still believes and appears to be still supportive
> of an entry level (learner's permit) despite what the FCC
> may have already said.
>
> > How do we convince FCC to accept the changes?
>
> By making clear and rational arguments and reasons for
> whatever the proposed system may be.
>
> > Those are the tough ones!
> >
> > K0HB's proposed 2 class system addresses all these issues.
> > But FCC denied his ideas.
>
> FCC originally didn't buy a nocode Tech at some time
> in the past but eventually changed its mind. FCC also
> left 13wpm and 20wpm as requirements for many years
> with the lack of change/elimination of said 13/20 wpm
> elements supposedly waiting for a "consensus" in the
> amateur ranks. In spite of the lack of any consensus on
> code the FCC did, in fact, end 13/20wpm test elements
> in April 2000 based on arguments and the FCC's own
> conclusions at that time.
>
> Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
> in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
> end up being revisited and changed at a later review.
>
> So Jim, with that in mind, what is your specific proposal?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

For Jim, there is no problem so large nor complex that it cannot be run away from. We -must- be saddled with a system of licensing and privileges which are remnants of numerous OBE rules changes, according to Jim. Not only does he desire the code hurdle to remain, but he is now claiming that the FCC is the main obstacle to modernization of the service. Odd, but it is the FCC that is proposing rules changes. Jim is all about difficulty, hurdles, and obfuscation.

Why not look at the basis and purpose, then design an amateur radio service around that?

3103. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 19:43:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135799035.405459.110550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128874

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 28 Dec 2005 07:44:40 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1135784680.790868.200290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> > Welp, we've got about 52 minutes to go and Steve has been vewwy, vewwy
> >> > qwiet.
> >>
> >> Nope. Just working a lot of overtime. Unfortunately nurses get
> >> sick too.
> >
> >As if you can't post from work...
> >
> >> > He gonna bag a wascawwy wabbit...
> >> >
> >> > Or he's tucked tail.
> >>
> >> Nope.
> >>
> >> The LAST thing Mark wanted me to do was stop talking to/about him
> >> here.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Hardly. He wants you to answer this question:
> >
> >"What has Mark's sexuality to do with amateur radio?"
>
>
> Nothing. It's just another Genetic Fallacy. This one falls into the
> category that I like to call "Rovian" since, according to Sen. Boxer,
> the supreme commander of the Shrub administration likes to divert
> attention away from their failures by inciting public discord using
> the three 'G's..... "God, Guns, and Gays".

Once Steve acknowledges that Mark's sexuality has nothing to do with
amateur radio or RRAP, then he will be in a position to apologize to
Mark. Mark will probably stop spamming the group, and Steve will fade
into obscurity.

3104. Re: After years and years and years of pointing it out, does anyone on RRAP think Len has an Amateur Radio License??? If so, repetetive Jim is here to straighten you out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 19:50:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135799405.801983.75040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128875

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
> > > > > > >"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> cut
> > > > > Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...
> > > >
> > > > Gee, Jim, how many times and how many different ways can you say that?
> > >
> > > well jim has got in comon with stevie that he thinks things like this
> > > are so vital he must keep pointing them out
> >
> > Maybe it's an early symptom of advanced age - keeps repeating himself.
>
> nah I think it is excessive expousure to OKkeyed RF

OOK as well as all other modes require an EA. Maybe Jim hasn't performed an EA, or maybe his calcs are as accurate as his calc for the distance to the moon. Hi!

3105. Re: Laughing at Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 19:58:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135799909.635965.29680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128876

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> > > So did the ###hole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> > > or wort or woof or what?
> >
> > I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
> > something about a deed.
> >
> > > Until Mark enrolls in a spelling class, I guess we'll just have to guess.
>
> yeah...Like THAT will happen!

Like you will tell us what a person's sexuality has to do with amateur
radio and RRAP.

> > He just told us that he failed the 5 wpm morse exam more than 100 times.
> > How long are you willing to wait around?
>
> I am willing to bet that his reported "100 times" was 99 more than
> he attempted.

I'm willing to bet that you will avoid the truth for the rest of your
life.

3106. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:01:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135799698.753952.17610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128877

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
>
> > So did the asshole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> > or wort or woof or what?
>
> I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
> something about a deed.

Dave, I don't recall him saying that. Can you repost that?

I do recall him asking Steve what a person's sexuality has to do with
amateur radio.

Or is "Q" your euphimism for Queer?

> Dave K8MN

bb

3107. Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:09:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135800587.100575.164790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128878

taelor_robeson@yahoo.com (a repost of k4yz):

> WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
> WED..?!?!
>
> Path:
> g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and
> N9OGL to WED..?!?!
> Date: 23 Jun 2005 05:01:52 -0700
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Lines: 36
> Message-ID: <1119528112.138439.59150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119528117 26024 127.0.0.1 (23 Jun 2005
> 12:01:57 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2005 12:01:57 +0000 (UTC)
> User-Agent: G2/0.2
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
> posting-host=152.163.100.138;
> posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "an_old_friend" >konst...@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this
> author
> Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
> Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
> Subject: Re: The Pool
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't,
> may
> mean they simply choose not to bother
>
> Yessireesir! Markie's back!
>

> The President of the IIII....Illiterate Illinformed Idiot from
> Illinois.
>
> Not THAT idiot...the ORIGINAL!
>
> Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the
> author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to
> be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own
> beliefs
> to stand behind it.
>
> MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
> Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
> from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
> cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
> probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.
>
> On the otherhand...you might LIKE that kind of thing....Lord
> knows...you've certainly expressed more bizarre interests than incest
> before.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, what has anyone's sexuality have to do with amateur radio or
RRAP?

Why do you say that you didn't bring it up when it is clear that you
did?

Why do you suggest an illegal union of one man to another?

Why do you suggest that Len has the authority to perform a civil
marriage ceremony?

Why do you suggest that Todd or Mark are a product of an illegal union
called incest?

What is wrong with you, Steve?

I mean outside of being a chronic liar.

3108. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:15:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2hr5r1phtt1nsn0ikojou6a0d6m9fig532@4ax.com>
Source record: 128879

On 28 Dec 2005 19:15:17 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote in <douo85$p86$1@news.hawaii.edu>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>Yep. I already have. So has Hans (who, BTW, had a very impressive
>>military career).
>
>Why in the world would you do that? Do these folks' opinions of you
>matter that much to you that you'd want to reveal such information to
>them?

Nope. I did it because my service in the USMC is important to me.
Obviously you feel your service is important to you as well.

> Did someone dare you, and in order to keep face you felt you just
>"had" to post it?

Nope. Did it voluntarily and without any prompting.

> You should never allow anyone to manipulate you in
>such a manner.

I didn't.

> In turn, you should not be trying to manipulate others;
>take what's said on here with a grain of salt, and move on to .policy
>issues.

As long as I'm not "trying to manipulate" you, it's really none of
your business, is it?

>When you turn off your PC, thoughts of the folks on here should be the
>last thing on your mind. I think more about the gecko running across my
>ceiling than all the nasty things that have been said about me on here
>over the past 14 years.

I'm happy to hear that you have some emotional stability, although you
should probably deport that gecko back to it's point of origin.

>Be nice or else I'll start posting the rec.radio FAQ on here again
>which I helped draft back in the 90s and force your to memorize it! I
>don't think it has been posted in the last 6 or 7 years now.

Goodness..... you mean that I'll have to behave like all the other
people in here?

>73 from Hawaii,
>Jeff KH6O

I politely suggested that you research the history of this particular
discussion but you didn't. But since I'm a nice guy I'll give you
another chance.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3109. Re: the depiectable word of the asshole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:16:59 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dourrg$8sd$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128880

be afraid. be very afraid.

3110. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:18:32 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dourud$8sd$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128881

be afraid. be BERY afraid!

3111. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:20:21 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dous1q$8sd$3@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128882

LMFAO at Mark. he and you will shit your pants when he get letter this week. ah ahahahahaha!

3112. Re: Brain Bouncing Blindly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:21:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135801285.844003.207020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128883

Mark Freak wrote:
> be afraid. be BERY afraid!

You don't think Steve will fade obscurity? What do you think he'll do?

3113. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:27:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135801645.471205.133940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128884

Mark Freak wrote:
> LMFAO at Mark. he and you will shit your pants when he get letter this
> week. ah ahahahahaha!

Why? If a letter should actually come it will be fairly easy for Mark
to show that Steve has been harassing Mark in this newsgroup for years.

3114. Re: A Message for ROGER PARRY and for STEVEN FLETCHER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:37:58 UTC
From: The Font Of All Knowledge And Wisdom <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135802278.355631.307880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128885
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.scouting

I wonder why it is that all those who seem to hold an adult's
position in the boy scouts all have public personae that
are innately infantile and desirous of causing insult?

Perhaps it is no wonder the anti-social leanings of the
youth of today when those who would lead them have
the behaviours of children and not the behaviours of adults?

Ewan Scott wrote:
> I have been made aware of who he is. I have done some research and am quite
> convinced that my opinion of him can be verified.
> Sad that he brings down the world of amateur radio to the lowest common
> denominator.

3115. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:51:57 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <douttdsff1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 128891

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>One license. Existing licenses would be valid until expiration with no
>renewals -- they would need to pass the single-license test if they
>want to continue.

Let's crunch some numbers: Our total MF/HF spectrum consists of just 3.75 MHz, with only about half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for communications at any one particular time of the day. If suddenly, as if by magic, all licensees were granted MF/HF privileges, we could possibly have 670,000 hams attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz. Okay, I'll grant you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's say at any one time, we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams on the air, with two per QSO. That would mean each QSO would be separated by just 22 Hz.

I'll be more generous. Let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available all the time, with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time; now each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz. Getting better.

Worried that I didn't take into account frequency re-use? Alright, suppose we could manage three simultaneous QSOs spread across the country on a single frequency; each such grouping would now be separated by 336 Hz. That could be done if we ban phone.

I'll never understand this liberal mentality of wanting to grant everyone MF/HF privileges; it's no longer a privilege if it's something that everyone can get practically for free. We received our current spectrum total at a time (WARC 1979) when we had less than half the present number of hams. This movement of wanting to "fill up the bands or we'll lose them" is nonsense.

As Michael Savage says, "Liberalism is a mental disorder."

Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

3116. Re: Kiddie Rapist Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 20:59:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135803540.013246.108450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128886

Kiddie raper and has gotten 4 letters from the FCC
commode buzz corey wrote:
> "<ARRL news releases..not actual letters>

Poor Butt Cornholer, he tries and tries and can't get the job done.

I notice in the press relese your asshole buddy Steve Tunder got a
letter..LOL @ the Freeport Fuckhead!

>L< LOL at the Lameo Patrol's Commode Butt Cornholer>

Funny how others can see right through your piss poor logic, Butt
Cornholer....

From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com (LRod)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: 14.313 Jamming.K9BSD, N9PGE, and KK9G.
Message-ID: <3f95f43d.279559451@newsgroups.bellsouth.net>
References: <bn4ic3kne0@pita.alt.net>
<9eb78849147f073de63ea72948abd26f@mail.futureworlds.it>
<bn4mmpsnq0@pita.alt.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 41
X-Trace:
npbhgpngjbkmjfegdbdpiflmbcekedmfhojhikkbagflhcboaklfjkplkonophhikjjlgnhjkiogimbnbgackcbpan
nchokbekkjflfncmkmngeibpdbhojbajjenkiigmijeekfoggfnklbkpodebjebifnnkim
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 22 Oct 2003 00:27:01 EDT
Date: Wed, 22 Oct 2003 03:14:02 GMT

On Tue, 21 Oct 2003 20:32:06 -0500, "Rick Phillips" <NoSpam@NoSpam>
wrote:

>If you are going to tell it, tell it straight. THAT person only recieved ONE
>warning letter and was made to retest, yet the letter did not give
>interference as being the reason for the retest.
>This newsgroup has already hashed and rehashed THAT person's retest, ad
>nauseaum.

>One letter?
>No "interference"?

Read everything closely. There were three letters sent.

The letters to both Wiseman and Tunder were a preemptive "warning"
that did not allege any activities. That hardly qualifies as a warning
in the context of someone having actually done something.

The letter with the warning in it ALLEGED interference, and warned of consequences.

The retest letter made no reference to interference; in fact gave no reason for requiring the retest. Such a request is part of the Volunteer Examining program. It could have been made for any number of reasons that may have had nothing to do with any of the addressee's actions. An investigation into the VE group that gave the test, would be a good example.

Only one letter was a warning referring to interference.

So, yes; if you are going to tell it, tell it straight.

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

LOL @ Butt Cornholer the convicted child molester.

3117. Re: mr slit a necrophilic pedophlie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 21:02:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135803738.767898.11750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128887

Raped an old young boyfriend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Dreaming of finger fucking Tayleor Robeson
> lets see

"let's" see that you can't even post in English you mentally ill
queer.

>taelor was 12 at the time of her death therefore Mr slit would
> be a pedo there and of course bewith her being dead of course cover the
> necro part

The only Pedo is you Marky..and you have admitted it numerous times.
It's not surprising you are into necro, too, self-admitted pervert.

> and you have the nerve to call anyone sick? well at least you are not
> lacking in nerves, just brains

Yep, Marky dreams of finger fucking a dead little girl...he just
admitted it.

> cut

Run away, you aids ridden cocksucker.

3118. Re: Kiddie Rapist Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 21:03:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135803828.675320.32700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128888

Anon wrote:

> But yet the social misfit maintains that there is NOTHING in the letter that
> stated anything about malicious interference.
> What part of, " in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious
> interference on

That is still the ARRL news release, not the actual letter.

> 20 meters." does Roger think we do not understand?

Roger isn't posting, dumbass.

> Hmmm...I don't see a

Of course you don't. Your malformed bald head is wedged up your fat
ass.

From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com (LRod)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: 14.313 Jamming.K9BSD, N9PGE, and KK9G.
Message-ID: <3f95f43d.279559451@newsgroups.bellsouth.net>
References: <bn4ic3kne0@pita.alt.net>
<9eb78849147f073de63ea72948abd26f@mail.futureworlds.it>
<bn4mmpsnq0@pita.alt.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 41
X-Trace:
npbhgpngjbkmjfegdbdpiflmbcekedmfhojhikkbagflhcboaklfjkplkonophhikjjlgnhjkiogimbnbgackcbpan
nchokbekkjflfncmkmngeibpdbhojbajjenkiigmijeekfoggfnklbkpodebjebifnnkim
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 22 Oct 2003 00:27:01 EDT
Date: Wed, 22 Oct 2003 03:14:02 GMT

On Tue, 21 Oct 2003 20:32:06 -0500, "Rick Phillips" <NoSpam@NoSpam>
wrote:

>If you are going to tell it, tell it straight. THAT person only recieved ONE
>warning letter and was made to retest, yet the letter did not give
>interference as being the reason for the retest.
>This newsgroup has already hashed and rehashed THAT person's retest, ad
>nauseaum.

>One letter?
>No "interference"?

Read everything closely. There were three letters sent.

The letters to both Wiseman and Tunder were a preemptive "warning" that did not allege any activities. That hardly qualifies as a warning in the context of someone having actually done something.

The letter with the warning in it ALLEGED interference, and warned of consequences.

The retest letter made no reference to interference; in fact gave no reason for requiring the retest. Such a request is part of the Volunteer Examining program. It could have been made for any number of reasons that may have had nothing to do with any of the addressee's actions. An investigation into the VE group that gave the test, would be a good example.

Only one letter was a warning referring to interference.

So, yes; if you are going to tell it, tell it straight.

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

3119. Re: why does stvei claim only he has the portectionn of law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 21:08:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135804100.382743.142730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128889

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Digital wrote:
> > > Hey, (Poor Lardass, plagiarizing pictures again)
> >
> > Hey, dumbass, at least Roger can GET a girlfriend.
>
> can you?

I prefer human female girlfriends, so looks like your shit out of luck
as you were when you tried to get Steve to make you his, you perverted
faggot.

> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

3120. Re: my mom tells me this peom at bedtine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 21:09:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135804163.611444.146210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Billy Smith wrote:
> Talking about a certain amateur from Tennessee or the one from West Virginia

Mainly, you are talking out of your ignorant ass.

> "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz@hasmat.org > wrote in message
> news:ggtgq11f3pk5toj2g7jmbtssdr8ejilqks@4ax.com...
> > My ass cheese is green,
> > My ass cheese is black,
> > My ass cheese smells,
> > Like my husbands nutsack.
> >
> > My lips smell like fish,
> > My lips smell like eggs,
> > My lips dangle down
> > Way beneath my legs.
> >
> > My pussy runs hot,
> > My pussy runs cold,
> > My pussy looks rotten,
> > But it is quite old.
> >
> > The more I scratch it,
> > The more sores I create,
> > The more I rub it,
> > The more I masturbate.
> >
> > My twat oozes yellow,
> > My twat oozes green.
> > My twat has been used,
> > By a whole football team.
> >
> > My warty puss looks good,
> > When it's hot, warm and wet.
> > My warty puss feels yummy,
> > When it's licked by my pet.
> >
> >
> > _____
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 140,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3121. Re: more stinking heaps of lying stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 21:11:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135804295.289706.104630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128892

```
Raped an_old_young_boyfriend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > Die of your aids, cocksucker.
> > > > > >
> > > > > of your meds againMr Slit or is it just stevie so hard to tell but
> > > > ^^^
```

3122. Re: Reality Check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 21:14:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1135804444.426292.21410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128893

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Dumbass Davies wrote:
> > > well any reality check will bounce if it comes through
> >
> > Is that anything like those rubber ones you passed to A.E.S., Marky?
>
> what rubber checks? I use plastic at AES

Not according to your credit record, which is very bad, by the way.
That Chapter 11 you took really put a quietus on getting those plastic
cards, didn't it?

> >
> > >mr slit
> >
> > So *you* say, ms.cunt.
>
> boooing booooing mr slit

That why you falled all over yourself to respond, an old cunt?

3123. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 22:20:35 UTC
From: Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid>
Message-ID: <1s36r19bcihdp40cgujgklcv3sck5ablmp@4ax.com>
Source record: 128894
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 19:09:55 GMT,
class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
(zYYPK) wrote:

>Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote:
>
>> had to 'upgrade' to an M3
>
>
>now now...when you say UPGRADE please remind everyone of the article it
>appeared in.

I dont know which article it was. All I do know is that any exam that
gives you EXTRA privileges must be an upgrade.

3124. QRe: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 22:21:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZdEsf.3342$nu6.3045@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128895

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote

>
> Let's crunch some numbers: Our total MF/HF spectrum consists of just
> 3.75 MHz, with only about half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for
> communications at any one particular time of the day. If suddenly,
> as if by magic, all licensees were granted MF/HF privileges, we could
> possibly have 670,000 hams attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz.
>

Watch this closely, boys and girls. We're about to be given a demonstration of "figures don't lie, but liars figure". In this case the person "figuring" is no less than a self-proclaimed university "math lecturer", so we're seeing a pro at work!

> Okay, I'll grant you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's
> say at any one time, we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams
> on the air, with two per QSO.

One-fourth? That averages out to 6 hours of hamming every day by every ham, or 167,500 US hams on the air at any given instant, 24/7.

Uh huh!

Get real!

The most popular US operating event is the ARRL Field Day. To date, the record number of logs submitted was 2261 in 2004. The average number of transmitters-per-log was 2.41. That works out to 2,725 two-way QSO's on the air at any time. The mix of phone vs CW runs about 60:40. That works out to 1090 CW transmitters and 1635 phone transmitters active at any moment.

Presuming a phone transmitter uses 2400Hz of spectrum and a CW transmitter uses 200Hz, and assuming your 3:1 geographical sharing, that works out to 1.38MHz of the avaliable 3.75MHz is "busy" at any given QTH, leaving 2.45MHz available for additional users, and that's on the busiest MF/HF weekend of the year!

So much for your 336 Hz per user!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

3125. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 22:23:13 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <43b40bf5.536277466@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 128896
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 13:04:19 -0000, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>
>I find it surprising that the Full licence requirement no longer requires
>Morse. It seems to be on a self destruct course which is a shame as most of
>you hard working Amateurs Full licence's seem to have been belittled by
>this. If a Full Licence doesn't require Morse then the licence doesn't mean
>as much. I think that is a mistake. Technical competence seems to be a
>bygone word.

I find this puzzling. Please explain to me why you think a Morse Test pass at 12 wpm makes someone technically proficient? Surely a practical examination in some aspect(s) of circuit design, home construction and use of basic test equipment would be of far more value. I'd very much like to see such a practical section introduced as a replacement for the Morse Test.

I've been a CW op all my amateur radio life (ie since 1961) and for the previous 10 years as an SWL. Not once have I felt superior to the many G8 friends I have who have never taken the morse test but whose technical proficiency in electronics is far greater than mine. One very good friend of mine, a G8, is a Professor of Electrical Engineering at a well known UK University. He's written countless papers on antenna design, microwaves and the like, yet, for years, he was deprived the chance to operate on the HF bands simply because he had no interest in CW .... how ridiculous. I also know many G8s who can send and receive Morse well in excess of 12wpm but who chose not to take the morse test. They use morse on the VHF/UHF and microwave bands for weak signal work such as meteor scatter, EME, etc.... far more demanding in terms of homemade gear and antenna systems than an HF bands CW QSO (most likely using commercial gear and commercial antenna).

CW does not make you a better radio amateur but it does give some folk on this newgroup an inflated opinion of themselves. Perhaps that test and the RAE are only exams they have ever been able to pass? ;-)

Peter, G3PHO

3126. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 22:42:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9d36r19rfe537gu34d2s6apqvkunm3oct6@4ax.com>
Source record: 128897

On 28 Dec 2005 20:51:57 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote in <douttdsff1@news.hawaii.edu>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>One license. Existing licenses would be valid until expiration with no
>>renewals -- they would need to pass the single-license test if they
>>want to continue.
>
>Let's crunch some numbers: Our total MF/HF spectrum consists of just
>3.75 MHz, with only about half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for
>communications at any one particular time of the day.

Ok.....

> If suddenly,
>as if by magic, all licensees were granted MF/HF privileges,

I said nothing of the sort. You are assuming the changes would be
immediate. My suggestion to allow -EXISTING- licenses to remain valid
wouldn't change anyone's privileges until it's time to renew, at which
time the licensee can either take the one-license test or let it
lapse.

<snip rant based on incorrect assumption>
>I'll never understand this liberal mentality of wanting to grant
>everyone MF/HF privileges; it's no longer a privilege if it's something
>that everyone can get practically for free.

That pretty much describes the current state of Amateur Radio anyway;
a written test using questions that are publically available (don't
even have to steal them out of the teacher's desk!), a code test at a
speed slower than needed for practical use, and a fee that isn't much
more than the cost of a happy-meal.

But then again, what law requires that an Amateur license must be
-earned-? There isn't one. On the contrary, the law provides that any
citizen who wants a license can get one, and the testing process is
only a method to verify that the prospective licensee knows the rules.

So if you don't like the "liberal mentality" of the law then you
should probably work to change it. Good luck.

> We received our current
>spectrum total at a time (WARC 1979) when we had less than half the
>present number of hams. This movement of wanting to "fill up the bands
>or we'll lose them" is nonsense.

I agree, and I don't recall saying anything of the sort.

>As Michael Savage says, "Liberalism is a mental disorder."

Lincoln may have been aesthetically challenged, but I'm pretty sure it
was his wife that had the mental disorder.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3127. Re: QRe: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 22:47:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4e56r1t5dtsaik7muor3a9ptke4b0usvgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 128898

On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 22:21:45 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <ZdEsf.3342$nu6.3045@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
>
>>
>> Let's crunch some numbers: Our total MF/HF spectrum consists of just
>> 3.75 MHz, with only about half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for
>> communications at any one particular time of the day. If suddenly,
>> as if by magic, all licensees were granted MF/HF privileges, we could
>> possibly have 670,000 hams attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz.
>>
>
>Watch this closely, boys and girls. We're about to be given a demonstration of
>"figures don't lie, but liars figure". In this case the person "figuring" is no
>less than a self-proclaimed university "math lecturer", so we're seeing a pro at
>work!
>
>> Okay, I'll grant you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's
>> say at any one time, we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams
>> on the air, with two per QSO.
>
>One-fourth? That averages out to 6 hours of hamming every day by every ham, or
>167,500 US hams on the air at any given instant, 24/7.
>
>Uh huh!
>
>Get real!
>
>The most popular US operating event is the ARRL Field Day. To date, the record
>number of logs submitted was 2261 in 2004. The average number of
>transmitters-per-log was 2.41. That works out to 2,725 two-way QSO's on the air
>at any time. The mix of phone vs CW runs about 60:40. That works out to 1090
>CW transmitters and 1635 phone transmitters active at any moment.
>
>Presuming a phone transmitter uses 2400Hz of spectrum and a CW transmitter uses
>200Hz, and assuming your 3:1 geographical sharing, that works out to 1.38MHz of
>the avaliable 3.75MHz is "busy" at any given QTH, leaving 2.45MHz available for
>additional users, and that's on the busiest MF/HF weekend of the year!
>
>So much for your 336 Hz per user!
>
>Beep beep

>de Hans, K0HB

He also forgot to discount the time spent by hams typing in newsgroups
instead of working their stations.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3128. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 22:56:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135810579.740149.93480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128899

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Frank Gilliland <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote:
> >One license. Existing licenses would be valid until expiration with no
> >renewals -- they would need to pass the single-license test if they
> >want to continue.

That's a good way to get the number of US hams down to about half what
it is now...

> Let's crunch some numbers: Our total MF/HF spectrum consists of just
> 3.75 MHz, with only about half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for
> communications at any one particular time of the day.

That depends what you mean by "useful for communications".

If you're talking about DX-with-limited-power-and-antennas, the figure
varies all
over the place with the time of day, year, solar cycle, etc. There are
times when
nothing over 5 MHz is very useful, and times when all the bands are
"wide open".

OTOH, if we include things like regional and local QSOs, bands that are
useless
for DX (80 meters at midday, 15 meters at midnight at the bottom of the
cycle)
are 'useful' a lot more of the time.

Note also that 1.7 MHz of that 3.75 MHz is the ten meter band.

> If suddenly,
> as if by magic, all licensees were granted MF/HF privileges, we could
> possibly have 670,000 hams attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz.

More like 661,000, actually. As it stands now, about half that number
(in the USA) have lots of HF privileges (add up the current number
of Generals, Advanceds, and Extras - see the thread "ARS License
Numbers")

> Okay,
> I'll grant you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's say at any
> one time, we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams on the air, with two
> per QSO.

Whoa! That means every ham is on HF six hours a day, every day! 42
hours on HF per week!

A more realistic figure, I would say, is something like one hour per
day
per ham. Sure, there will be some who are more active, but also some
who
are far less active.

>That would mean each QSO would be separated by just 22 Hz.

Well, let's see....

One hour per day per ham, with two hams per QSO, and 660,000 hams,
means
13,750 QSOs simultaneously. That's 136 Hz per QSO.

> I'll be more generous. Let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available
> all the time, with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time;
> now each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz. Getting better.

2.4 hours per day per ham on HF - every day? That's 16.8 hours per
week.

> Worried that I didn't take into account frequency re-use? Alright,
> suppose we could manage three simultaneous QSOs spread across the country
> on a single frequency; each such grouping would now be separated by 336 Hz.

Using my numbers it works out to maybe 408 Hz

> That could be done if we ban phone.

Ah, but many 'phone QSOs are round-tables with more than two hams per
QSO.
If an SSB round table uses 2400 Hz, but has six hams in it, the
Hz-per-ham is
only 400...

And modesd like PSK31 use less than 100 Hz....
>
> I'll never understand this liberal mentality of wanting to grant
> everyone MF/HF privileges; it's no longer a privilege if it's something
> that everyone can get practically for free.

What "liberal mentality"?

Let's look at the record:

1983-1984: FCC testing is turned over to VECs, Q&A pools are published,
sending test is waived: Reagan Administration.

1987 Technician/General written is split in two: Reagan Administration

1990-1991: Medical waivers for code tests (as a favor to a foreign
King),
Technician loses its code test: Bush I administration

1998-2000: 3 license classes closed off to new issues; written exams
reduced,

code test reduced to 5 wpm: Clinton Administration

2003: S25.5 code test requirement eliminated from treaty: Bush II administration

2005: FCC proposes complete elimination of code test: Bush II administration.

Then there's BPL - who supported that idea?

> We received our current
> spectrum total at a time (WARC 1979) when we had less than half the
> present number of hams.

Compare how many hams with General/Advanced/Extra licenses existed then and now...

All three WARC bands added only 250 kHz to our HF allocations.

> This movement of wanting to "fill up the bands
> or we'll lose them" is nonsense.

The bigger question is: With over 300,000 US hams holding General, Advanced or Extra licenses, (all of which have lots of HF/MF privs), why aren't the bands busting at the seams 24/7??

Everyone who can meet the license requirements should get the license. That's all there is to it.

> As Michael Savage says, "Liberalism is a mental disorder."

Michael Savage? What's his call?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3129. stveie grants permission to continue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:12:27 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <8n66r11c1o5on22eq9c28gnkla1kghet3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 128901

On 28 Dec 2005 01:17:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
>> stive never cotrcact any lawyer
>
> Who never did what to a lawyer?
>
>> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
>> forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
>post to RRAP, Mark.

at your pleasure
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3130. stevie stupid shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:12:45 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <6o66r11upd205n87e95lirk36t3b038i5q@4ax.com>
Source record: 128902

On 28 Dec 2005 07:36:58 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
>> > stive never cotrcact any lawyer
>>
>> Who never did what to a lawyer?
>>
>> > BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
>> > forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>>
>> Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
>> post to RRAP, Mark.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Kind of looks like a sincere invitation to continue, but I thought you
>wanted him to stop?

>
>Or do you really want to move against Mark?
hardly matters and no body can tell what stveie wants

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3131. more name calling from stveie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:12:49 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <mp66r1hhuaoskksc2g35ebl60it1eo28kh@4ax.com>
Source record: 128903

On 28 Dec 2005 06:40:40 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> from: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
>
>> >What will the "learner"s permit actually accomplish?
>>
>> It will make the old-timers feel superior and "elmerish,"
>> satisfying the control-need urges to make themselves the
>> role model for all who come after them. It's "good for
>> the 'service'" they will say...as if the HOBBY was some
>> sort of professional occupation or a military arm of the
>> country. <shrug>
>
> Ahhhhhh, yes....About what I'd expect from Lennie the Lame.

more name calling
>
> Don't help anyone else...Don't share what you know...Don't pass
>around your enthusiasm for something you think is exlcusively YOUR
>domain. But of course BERATE anyone who suggests the idea of it...

more lies
>
> Guess this is why Lennie has always steered clear of the question
>"How Many Young People Have YOU Mentored In Radio Or Electronics?"
>while at the same time proposing an "age limit" where there's never
>been a demonstrated need for one...
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3132. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:12:57 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <cv66r151mof99u3j4lv5a4gu31pr5968sp@4ax.com>
Source record: 128904

On 27 Dec 2005 19:26:19 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 27 Dec 2005 14:27:50 GMT, "Major Dud in drag"
>>
>> And I can't imagine why you didn't include this little bit of prose
>> your quote:
>>
>> "There are some ways to help stop cyberstalking: self-regulatory,
>> ignore the stalking, and self-protection. Self-regulatory and
>> self-protection practices can include turning off the computer,
>> changing your email address a few times a year, or dropping out of
>> discussion groups."
>>
>> IOW, the "victim" needs to use some common sense.
>>
>> And I noticed you snipped the rest of my post. Very Dudly-esque.
>
>Sounds like Steve should tuck tail.

he should but he will not

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3133. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:13:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135811607.767043.136760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128900

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >One license. Existing licenses would be valid until expiration with no
> >renewals -- they would need to pass the single-license test if they
> >want to continue.
>
> Let's crunch some numbers: Our total MF/HF spectrum consists of just
> 3.75 MHz, with only about half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for
> communications at any one particular time of the day. If suddenly,
> as if by magic, all licensees were granted MF/HF privileges, we could
> possibly have 670,000 hams attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz. Okay,
> I'll grant you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's say at any
> one time, we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams on the air, with two
> per QSO. That would mean each QSO would be separated by just 22 Hz.
>
> I'll be more generous. Let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available
> all the time, with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time;
> now each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz. Getting better.

Sounds like the old argument for the Morse Code Exam - to keep people
out of amateur radio.

> Worried that I didn't take into account frequency re-use? Alright,
> suppose we could manage three simultaneous QSOs spread across the country
> on a single frequency; each such grouping would now be separated by 336 Hz.
> That could be done if we ban phone.

Ah! The perfect width for CW. Could be a renaissance for the mode.

> I'll never understand this liberal mentality of wanting to grant
> everyone MF/HF privileges;

I'll never understand the liberal mentality wanting set asides of
public domain for few priveleged people to have a little fun. Kind of
reminds me of the Arctic Wildlife Refuge where only F&W scientists are
ever likely to set foot. Kind of reminds me of the falsified bobcat
fur that F&W tried to use to close off 15 million acres of public lands
to sportsmen.

> it's no longer a privilege if it's something
> that everyone can get practically for free.

If they meet the necessary government requirements.

> We received our current
> spectrum total at a time (WARC 1979) when we had less than half the
> present number of hams. This movement of wanting to "fill up the bands

> or we'll lose them" is nonsense.

Yet we lost unused spectrum.

> As Michael Savage says, "Liberalism is a mental disorder."
>
> Jeff KH6O

He is correct.

3134. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:14:43 UTC
From: realradioham <realradioham@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <43b31c68$1_2@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 128905
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:43b40bf5.536277466@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> CW does not make you a better radio amateur but it does give some
> folk on this newgroup an inflated opinion of themselves. Perhaps that
> test and the RAE are only exams they have ever been able to pass? ;-)
>
> Peter, G3PHO
The RAE was never an exam. It's not reconsider by any employer as a
qualification. The Morse test merely showed a dedication to the hobby. A
better test enabling access to HF would be an exam testing the ability of
the individual to rectify emc issues etc.

rrh

3135. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:19:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <hi66r15oteq04pdebtor0c1aso5lnft2f5@4ax.com>
Source record: 128906

On 28 Dec 2005 14:56:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1135810579.740149.93480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >One license. Existing licenses would be valid until expiration with no
>> >renewals -- they would need to pass the single-license test if they
>> >want to continue.
>
>That's a good way to get the number of US hams down to about half what
>it is now...

Possibly. It could also reduce the inter-ham bickering to about half
of what it is now, which would make the service much more appealing to
potential -new- hams, myself included.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3136. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:25:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135812314.129100.259000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128908

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Mark Freak wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > that is if you are serious
> > >
> > > scared?
> >
> > no
> >
> > >you should be.
> >
> > why?
> >
> > one stevie will not do it, he will spend then next few years lying
> > about how he never threatened to
>
> Already done.

yea right
>
> > two, if he did he doesn't have a case
>
> Sure I do. Under Michigan law, the attorney could not bill for
> the retainer if, after having reviewed the complaint and assessed it's
> validity against Michigan law, it was determined to not be a valid
> complaint. (Mind you I said "complaint", not whether it will be
> ajudicated in my favor or not...)
>
> > the only thing to worry about from stevie his his effort to incite
> > people agianst others with his poisonous posting about people
>
> Well, Markie, after your behaviour in the last 30 days, I'd say
> the pattern of who's inciting what has been established...And your use
> of faked screen names in the name of dead family has pretty well
> established who's "poisoning" the pot.

raped an old friend...mark caught on tape
>
> > that and that he might go ape#### and drive up here
>
> As much as I want to avoid that, I am told it IS an eventuality.
>
> > but then I doubt he could
> > find the place, in some of his posts he said that chaseel was near

> > marquette
>
> First of all, there's no "chaseel" in Michigan, Markie.
>
> Secondly, Marquette is only 30 air miles, probably and extra 15
> driving miles since it's not a straight line to get to "Chassell" from
> there.

wrong again
>
> Since the continental United States is about 3000 miles by 2500
> miles, I think the average person would consider thirty miles,
> give-or-take, as "near".
>
> > I rather he brought it on and we had it out in court than continue his
> > micky mouse games
>
> Count on it.
>
> And Mark, to answer your subject line question "again stevie who is
> your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail", rest assured
> that your copies of the complaints will be delivered by an officer of
> the court, not the United States Postal Service.

not likely
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3137. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:25:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135812338.431060.179920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128907

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 28 Dec 2005 14:56:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> <1135810579.740149.93480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >> >One license. Existing licenses would be valid until expiration with no
> >> >renewals -- they would need to pass the single-license test if they
> >> >want to continue.
> >
> >That's a good way to get the number of US hams down to about half what
> >it is now...
>
>
> Possibly. It could also reduce the inter-ham bickering to about half
> of what it is now, which would make the service much more appealing to
> potential -new- hams, myself included.

We might be able to accept Jim's unsupervised counting.

3138. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:27:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135812435.786818.198490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128909

K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > two, if he did he doesn't have a case
>
> Sure I do. Under Michigan law, the attorney could not bill for
> the retainer if, after having reviewed the complaint and assessed it's
> validity against Michigan law, it was determined to not be a valid
> complaint. (Mind you I said "complaint", not whether it will be
> ajudicated in my favor or not...)

that would assume you told the lawyer the truth about the state of
affiars first

I suspect that you have told some distored verison of the case

3139. Re: the depiectable word of the asshole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:27:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135812477.022001.268120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128910

Mark Freak wrote:
> be afraid. be very afraid.

of You? pah

of stevie not likely

3140. Re: more stinking heaps of lying stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:29:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135812542.500723.122540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128911

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Raped an_old_young_boyfriend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > Die of your aids, cocksucker.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > of your meds againMr Slit or is it just stevie so hard to tell but
> > > > > > >
^^^

3141. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:29:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135812553.503242.205660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128912

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > two, if he did he doesn't have a case
> >
> > Sure I do. Under Michigan law, the attorney could not bill for
> > the retainer if, after having reviewed the complaint and assessed it's
> > validity against Michigan law, it was determined to not be a valid
> > complaint. (Mind you I said "complaint", not whether it will be
> > ajudicated in my favor or not...)
>
> that would assume you told the lawyer the truth about the state of
> affiars first
>
> I suspect that you have told some distored verison of the case

Mark, you've got to give him time to come up with one.

3142. Re: Reality Check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:30:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135812624.447576.314360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128913

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Dumbass Davies wrote:
> > > > well any reality check will bounce if it comes through
> > >
> > > Is that anything like those rubber ones you passed to A.E.S., Marky?
> >
> > what rubber checks? I use plastic at AES
>
> Not according to your credit record, which is very bad, by the way.
> That Chapter 11 you took really put a quietus on getting those plastic
> cards, didn't it?

what chapter 11?
>
> > >
> > > >mr slit
> > >
> > > So *you* say, ms.cunt.
> >
> > boooing booooing mr slit
>
> That why you falled all over yourself to respond, an old cunt?

falled over myself?

3143. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:36:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <p986r19skhs6d306tabt1hu3p6p2r3mu8n@4ax.com>
Source record: 128915

On 28 Dec 2005 15:29:13 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1135812553.503242.205660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> cut
>> > > two, if he did he doesn't have a case
>> >
>> > Sure I do. Under Michigan law, the attorney could not bill for
>> > the retainer if, after having reviewed the complaint and assessed it's
>> > validity against Michigan law, it was determined to not be a valid
>> > complaint. (Mind you I said "complaint", not whether it will be
>> > ajudicated in my favor or not...)
>>
>> that would assume you told the lawyer the truth about the state of
>> affiars first
>>
>> I suspect that you have told some distored verison of the case
>
>Mark, you've got to give him time to come up with one.

He probably called the Judge Judy Justice Hotline.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3144. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:36:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135813007.844571.219090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128914

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> > > > two, if he did he doesn't have a case
> > >
> > > Sure I do. Under Michigan law, the attorney could not bill for
> > > the retainer if, after having reviewed the complaint and assessed it's
> > > validity against Michigan law, it was determined to not be a valid
> > > complaint. (Mind you I said "complaint", not whether it will be
> > > ajudicated in my favor or not...)
> >
> > that would assume you told the lawyer the truth about the state of
> > affiars first
> >
> > I suspect that you have told some distored verison of the case
>
> Mark, you've got to give him time to come up with one.

No I don't as a point of Fact, nor does he have one

If he had had a lawyer he would not have posted that one asking to
contnue making the posts he claims to find offensive

that sort of slip up is why a lawyer tells a cleient not to have
contact except though attorneys

3145. Re: msg from emergency manager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:40:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135813214.324780.231040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128916

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > North Dakota News
> > >
> > > > This text is from a county emergency manager
> > > out in the western
> > > part of North Dakota state after the recent snow
> > > storm.
>
> SNIP
>
> Something we can agree upon wholeheartedly, Brian.
>
> Nothing like a bit of self-sufficiency to ensure your survivial.
> Amazing the folks who chose to live below sea level along the Gulf
> Coast didn't do the same thing.

ah yes we can count on stevie to blame the victums in any disater
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3146. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:42:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135813321.643148.292290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128917

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 28 Dec 2005 15:29:13 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1135812553.503242.205660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > >
> >an old friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> cut
> >> > > two, if he did he doesn't have a case
cut
> >> that would assume you told the lawyer the truth about the state of
> >> affiars first
> >>
> >> I suspect that you have told some distored verison of the case
> >
> >Mark, you've got to give him time to come up with one.
>
>
> He probably called the Judge Judy Justice Hotline.

and likely lied till he got the answer he wanted

3147. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:43:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135813398.291604.296390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128918
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
> On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 19:09:55 GMT,
> class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
> (zYYPK) wrote:
>
> >Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote:
> >
> >> had to 'upgrade' to an M3
> >
> >
> >now now...when you say UPGRADE please remind everyone of the article it
> >appeared in.
>
>
> I dont know which article it was. All I do know is that any exam that
> gives you EXTRA privileges must be an upgrade.

ge we must realy be divided by common langauage

3148. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:44:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135813464.717978.245160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128919

From: "K0HB" on Wed, Dec 28 2005 5:48 am

>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> Trek is taught in enas such in major universities....
>
>Riiiiight!
>
>It's a canceled TV show and a few poorly done movies.

Seven major motion pictures from Paramount Studios. Plus
two documentaries concerning Star Trek fandom.

Five TV series (1966 to 2004) each lasting longer
than two seasons:

[the original series]
"The Next Generation"
"Deep Space 9"
"Voyager"
"Enterprise"

Hundreds of printed-matter publications ranging from "manuals"
to novels covering all the series' characters in plots and
settings based on the series' background but enlarging on
the basic premises.

Thousands of licensed (to Paramount) Star Trek itmes sold in
many places from department stores to science-fiction
conventions.

Phrases such as "Beam me up, Scotty" have become part of our
word culture as has the "transporter" and "phaser." ["set
your phaser to 'stun' (or 'kill')" to solve a problem]

Paramount Studies (and the Gene Roddenberry estate) have made
millions of dollars in profit on the 38-year "history" of
Star Trek. It is Big Business and remains profitable.

>> ah now you choose to insult Treekies
>
>WTF is a "Treekies"?

It is more correct to call them "Trekkers," avid trekkers
having about the same need for exclusivity and belonging as
all the radio amateurs who think that amateur radio is "so
much MORE" than a hobby.

>Give us a hint on how many letters we need to change your
>insult into English.

As I remarked before, it takes only ONE letter change to
turn "Worf" into "wolf." Or vice-versa.

Now, Herr Worf, I suggest you have a glass of "a drink fit
for warriors" (as Worf has remarked more than once)...
prune juice.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3149. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:47:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135813632.549350.47480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128920

From: Frank Gilliland on Tues, Dec 27 2005 11:46 pm

>On 27 Dec 2005 19:26:19 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 27 Dec 2005 14:27:50 GMT, "Major Dud in drag"

>>Sounds like Steve should tuck tail.
>
>He won't. He can't. His bruised ego won't let him concede because, in
>his warped little mind, that would be the same as admitting his many
>lies. He is so locked into defending his Walter Mitty complex that he
>would rather stay in the newsgroup and fight a losing battle in hopes
>that everyone else goes away or dies off before him so he can have the
>last word. No, he's not stupid; just mentally ill.

That's what I've been saying in here for years... :-(

Tell-tale signs of that psychosis showed up years ago after
I explained the "Big Lie" technique of propaganda used by
others, giving the historical reference of NAZI Germany and
their successful use of that technique. As part of Dudly's
"mirror syndrome," he ignored that and started to call my
postings as "Goebbels-esque" just for disagreeing with Dud. :-)
[reference being the NAZI propaganda minister in the 30s and
early 40s Germany for all the young sprouts in here]

Suddenly Dud was "outraged" by any references to Nazism even
though he was born a decade after the NAZIs were defeated, had
never had any relative in a concentration camp, nor had he been
a victim of any NAZI atrocity. Yet Dud ACTED just like the
SA did in the very best "Krystalnacht" manner in here...and then
in the SS manner (after the SA "brown shirts" had been tossed).

It's all a part of the mirror syndrome. The sufferer realizes
what he is doing but can only see all the OTHERS as behaving as
he does. He sees a reflection only of himself as the LIAR but
tries to call everyone else a LIAR. Extreme rationalisation
founded on basic survival needs. Calling everyone else what Dud
shows himself to be is a classic mirror syndrome. Sick.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3150. Re: why does stvei claim only he has the portectionn of law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:47:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135813665.949625.311930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128921

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Digital wrote:
> > > > Hey, (Poor Lardass, plagiarizing pictures again)
> > >
> > > Hey, dumbass, at least Roger can GET a girlfriend.
> >
> > can you?
>
> I prefer human female girlfriends, so looks like your shit out of luck
> as you were when you tried to get Steve to make you his, you perverted
> faggot.

well i asked if you get a gril friend nothing else and you obviously
could not answer that question yes

in addition you also lied No interest in stevie at all sexual I prefer
human females for grils friends and he hardly seem to meet either
standard, nor I am interested in you since it is unclear that you meeet
either standard yourself
>
>
> > > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

3151. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:50:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135813842.447044.322220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128922

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 27 2005 8:45 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
>> > >"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>> > >Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable, why
>> > >would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?
>>
>> Exactly, Dee. After all, the current General requires only
>> two written exams of 35 questions each, and a Morse
>> Code test of 5 wpm.
>>
>> > So they can "properly" emulate the past and all the "greats"
>> > of "the service." :-)
>>
>> Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...
>
>Gee, Jim, how many times and how many different ways can you say that?

Brian, it's all Jimmie has left in his verbal arsenal. :-)

He still confuses "qualified" with AUTHORIZED insofar as
"operating."

The FCC is very much AUTHORIZED by Congress to regulate ALL U.S.
civil radio. The FCC AUTHORIZES ability to use the EM spectrum
by means of licensing.

However, neither Congress of the United States nor the Commission
itself requires ANY staffer or commissioner to be licensed in any
of the radio services it regulates. Ergo, under Jimmielogic, the
FCC is "not qualified" to regulate U.S. amateur radio. :-)

That may be why, on 10 December, Jimmie wrote:

"The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

The whole point of Jimmie's comment on me was to discourage my
posting. Jimmie's concept of discussion on "amateur radio policy"
is a CLOSED one, limited ONLY to those who have already obtained
an amateur radio license. This is rather wrong in a democratic-
principled society but it fits his insular exclusivity. It also
fits Davie Heil's similar concept about amateur radio, so the
two of them form a mutual-aid enclave in here. Since I don't

agree with the "traditional" ('morse-coded') ideals of old U.S. amateur radio, Jimmie wants me OUT of the "discussion."

Under Jimmielogic there is NO hope of any unlicensed-in-amateur-radio person "discussing" anything, regardless of previous experience in any other radio service. [in Jimmieworld "amateur radio" is very much different than any other radio service, therefore ALL unlicensed-in-amateur-radio persons are "unqualified" to discuss anything] Unlicensed=in-amateur-radio persons may (in Jimmieconcepts) ask polite questions, but must never ever disagree with Jimmie (or his mutual opinion aid enclave) in so doing. At that point, Jimmieguru takes over and copies off reams of league-speak phrases, elevating amateur radio to planes of existance far beyond what it really is...just a hobby. QED.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3152. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-28 23:53:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135814017.129969.298780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128923

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Wed, Dec 28 2005 11:37 am

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:

>> Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
>> in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
>> end up being revisited and changed at a later review.
>>
>> So Jim, with that in mind, what is your specific proposal?
>
>For Jim, there is no problem so large nor complex that it cannot be run
>away from. We -must- be saddled with a system of licensing and
>privileges which are remnants of numerous OBE rules changes, according
>to Jim. Not only does he desire the code hurdle to remain, but he is
>now claiming that the FCC is the main obstacle to modernization of the
>service. Odd, but it is the FCC that is proposing rules changes. Jim
>is all about difficulty, hurdles, and obfuscation.
>
>Why not look at the basis and purpose, then design an amateur radio
>service around that?

Brian, I find your last sentence to be most clear-headed
and refreshing in this din of inequity. Good point!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3153. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:01:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135814484.792948.323720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128924

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Tues, Dec 27 2005 11:46 pm
>
> >On 27 Dec 2005 19:26:19 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>> On 27 Dec 2005 14:27:50 GMT, "Major Dud in drag"
>
>
> >>Sounds like Steve should tuck tail.
> >
> >He won't. He can't. His bruised ego won't let him concede because, in
> >his warped little mind, that would be the same as admitting his many
> >lies. He is so locked into defending his Walter Mitty complex that he
> >would rather stay in the newsgroup and fight a losing battle in hopes
> >that everyone else goes away or dies off before him so he can have the
> >last word. No, he's not stupid; just mentally ill.
>
> That's what I've been saying in here for years... :-(

sadly it seems so true
>
> Tell-tale signs of that psychosis showed up years ago after
> I explained the "Big Lie" technique of propaganda used by
> others, giving the historical reference of NAZI Germany and
> their successful use of that technique. As part of Dudly's
> "mirror syndrome," he ignored that and started to call my
> postings as "Goebbels-esque" just for disagreeing with Dud. :-)
> [reference being the NAZI propaganda minister in the 30s and
> early 40s Germany for all the young sprouts in here]

I know of his work well
>
> Suddenly Dud was "outraged" by any references to Nazism even
> though he was born a decade after the NAZIs were defeated, had
> never had any relative in a concentration camp, nor had he been
> a victim of any NAZI atrocity. Yet Dud ACTED just like the
> SA did in the very best "Krystalnacht" manner in here...and then
> in the SS manner (after the SA "brown shirts" had been tossed).
>
> It's all a part of the mirror syndrome. The sufferer realizes
> what he is doing but can only see all the OTHERS as behaving as
> he does. He sees a reflection only of himself as the LIAR but
> tries to call everyone else a LIAR. Extreme rationalisation
> founded on basic survival needs. Calling everyone else what Dud
> shows himself to be is a classic mirror syndrome. Sick.

indeed I suspect he may have told some lawyer a distorted tale of woe making nothing his fault . I have alwasy sasid he may be able to cost a me a retaier althought if he files this time from this threat, I will first try to have it dismissed working pro se, but if I have to spend the retainer I will counter sue him for his years of libel

I dod hope I can reconize what ever charges stevie makes if he tries to make them

the hard part is establishing damgages that is what lawyers have been telling me for years
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

3154. the results of steve's handy work boy i hope he is proud of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:16:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135815390.426896.149210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128925

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Raped an old young boyfriend wrote:

the above is stevei ault by the same logic he was attacking Tood o
course stevei will deniy it
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Dreaming of finger fucking Tayleor Robeson
> > lets see
>
> "let's" see that you can't even post in English you mentally ill
> queer.

wrong again
>
> >taelor was 12 at the time of her death therefore Mr slit would
> > be a pedo there and of course bewith her being dead of course cover the
> > necro part
>
> The only Pedo is you Marky.
more lies that result rom stvei shit filled postsing
>.and you have admitted it numerous times.

stile more lies resulting stveie shit
> It's not surprising you are into necro, too, self-admitted pervert.

yet more a direct result of you steve
>
> > and you have the nerve to call anyone sick? well at least you are not
> > lacking in nerves, just brains
>
> Yep, Marky dreams of finger fucking a dead little girl...he just
> admitted it.

more stevie inspired lies
>
> > cut
>
> Run away, you aids ridden cocksucker.

3155. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:18:13 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dov9vq$rt5$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128926

be afraid. be very afraid.

3156. Re: my mom tells me this peom at bedtine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:18:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135815499.721836.60390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Billy Smith wrote:
> > Talking about a certain amateur from Tennessee or the one from West Virginia
>
> Mainly, you are talking out of your ignorant ass.
lookign in the mirr mr slit

3157. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:19:25 UTC
From: Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dova22$rt5$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128928

be afrraid. be very afraid. ass. grass. lawnmower.

3158. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:23:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135815806.061953.58780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128929

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Wed, Dec 28 2005 11:37 am
>
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >> Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
> >> in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
> >> end up being revisited and changed at a later review.
> >>
> >> So Jim, with that in mind, what is your specific proposal?
> >
> >For Jim, there is no problem so large nor complex that it cannot be run
> >away from. We -must- be saddled with a system of licensing and
> >privileges which are remnants of numerous OBE rules changes, according
> >to Jim. Not only does he desire the code hurdle to remain, but he is
> >now claiming that the FCC is the main obstacle to modernization of the
> >service. Odd, but it is the FCC that is proposing rules changes. Jim
> >is all about difficulty, hurdles, and obfuscation.
> >
> >Why not look at the basis and purpose, then design an amateur radio
> >service around that?
>
> Brian, I find your last sentence to be most clear-headed
> and refreshing in this din of inequity. Good point!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Thank you.

I need to stop listening to obstructionists like Jim whose only purpose
on RRAP is to tell us what the FCC thinks. Sheesh! I hope Coslo gets
that BBS up and running soon.

3159. stevei have you attorney contact MY attorney

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:26:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135816011.721364.122670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128930

K4YZ wrote:
please have your atoney contact MY atorney. In mIchigan that is
John E Barry
213 Calumet St,
Lake Linden, MI
49945

meanwhile if you are serious I offer the advice to stop wrecking what
litle of a case by stoping your posting but that is your affiar

3160. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:33:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135816388.176816.174110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128931

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 27 2005 8:45 pm
>
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
> >> > >"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> >> > >Why? With the ease with which the General license will be obtainable, why
> >> > >would anyone want to start with scaled back privileges?
> >>
> >> Exactly, Dee. After all, the current General requires only
> >> two written exams of 35 questions each, and a Morse
> >> Code test of 5 wpm.
> >>
> >> > So they can "properly" emulate the past and all the "greats"
> >> > of "the service." :-)
> >>
> >> Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...
> >
> >Gee, Jim, how many times and how many different ways can you say that?
>
> Brian, it's all Jimmie has left in his verbal arsenal. :-)

If so then he is more hollow than the strawmen that he trots out.

> He still confuses "qualified" with AUTHORIZED insofar as
> "operating."

They are synonymous to him.

> The FCC is very much AUTHORIZED by Congress to regulate ALL U.S.
> civil radio. The FCC AUTHORIZES ability to use the EM spectrum
> by means of licensing.
>
> However, neither Congress of the United States nor the Commission
> itself requires ANY staffer or commissioner to be licensed in any
> of the radio services it regulates. Ergo, under Jimmielogic, the
> FCC is "not qualified" to regulate U.S. amateur radio. :-)

Not Qualified?

> That may be why, on 10 December, Jimmie wrote:
>

> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."

Wonder if Riley got a copy of that original "Jimmyism"?

> The whole point of Jimmie's comment on me was to discourage my
> posting. Jimmie's concept of discussion on "amateur radio policy"
> is a CLOSED one, limited ONLY to those who have already obtained
> an amateur radio license. This is rather wrong in a democratic-
> principled society but it fits his insular exclusivity. It also
> fits Davie Heil's similar concept about amateur radio, so the
> two of them form a mutual-aid enclave in here. Since I don't
> agree with the "traditional" ('morse-coded') ideals of old U.S.
> amateur radio, Jimmie wants me OUT of the "discussion."

They do try to run people off who happen to disagree with them. I even entertained thoughts of leaving the group at one time, but I won't let them run me off. I plan to turn off the lights when this group is done. Between Steve and Mark, that may be sooner than I thought.

> Under Jimmielogic there is NO hope of any unlicensed-in-amateur-
> radio person "discussing" anything, regardless of previous
> experience in any other radio service. [in Jimmieworld
> "amateur radio" is very much different than any other radio
> service, therefore ALL unlicensed-in-amateur-radio persons are
> "unqualified" to discuss anything] Unlicensed=in-amateur-radio
> persons may (in Jimmieconcepts) ask polite questions, but must
> never ever disagree with Jimmie (or his mutual opinion aid
> enclave) in so doing. At that point, Jimmieguru takes over and
> copies off reams of league-speak phrases, elevating amateur
> radio to planes of existance far beyond what it really is...just
> a hobby. QED.

The Holy Grail is just a hobby? Get ready for some off-topic british humor.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

bb

3161. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:43:46 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5va6r15jfop5u0inbk57bkaao3ohnjqsk8@4ax.com>
Source record: 128932

On 28 Dec 2005 16:01:24 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote in <1135814484.792948.323720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>indeed I suspect he may have told some lawyer a distorted tale of woe
>making nothing his fault . I have alwasy sasid he may be able to cost a
>me a retaier althought if he files this time from this threat, I will
>first try to have it dismissed working pro se, but if I have to spend
>the retainer I will counter sue him for his years of libel

Step back and take a good, hard look at the whole picture. Dud has
been in this group for years doing the same things he's accusing of
you, and he uses sock-puppets to boot. He has lied about many facets
of his military 'career', he has lied about his status as an EMT, and
has openly admitted to behavior that is marginally legal. He has no
credibility in or out of the newsgroup. Not only that, but there have
been many, many people who have prodded him on Usenet and he hasn't
sued any of them yet. In case you haven't noticed, Dudly is all talk.

So like I said before, rest assured he doesn't have an attorney and
he's not taking any sort of legal action. On the microbial chance that
he has gone totally insane, enjoin me as a defendant and I'll crash
his party. OK?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3162. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:45:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <QkGsf.611$qg.57@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128933

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135773587.523340.109590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:
> > >
> > > Study Question #31:
> >
> > It's always about "how tough" you had it.
>
> Nope. Wrong.
>
> I earned the Novice in 1967, the Technician and Advanced in 1968 and
> the
> Extra in 1970. Those questions were from the 1976 study guides.
>

Looks like I missed a good one, Jim

I got my novice in 1962. I remember taking the test from Russ Deane, W2ZS. There was a note which stated "no extranious paper". I asked Russ if that meant no scratch paper. He said it stated no extranious paper. So, as I recall there were a couple of ohm's law problems. Simple, such as "how much current will flow through a 20 K Ohm resistor if 350 volts are applied to it?". I did the one or two problems in my head. No genius here, simply honest. Perhaps to a fault, but I followed the instructions to the letter. It might have been easier to ask him to take the instructions upstairs to his wife to read and get her interpretation. She was an attourney. Still, it made the test more interesting.

I obtained my general in 1964 and my extra, 1st phone, and 2nd telegraph in 1966.

I dropped all my licenses around 1982.

I decided to get my tickets (at least the ones I cared about) back in 1993 and simply tested out. My GROL and my extra. No studying nor any code practice. I did pass 20 words per minute after a few decades away from cw (last used in 1969). I must admit to having checked off some boxes randomly on the resonant frequency stuff. I knew I had plenty of correct answers and could afford to miss them. I didn't feel like extracting square roots by hand (I can, but it is tedious) and someone kindly offered me a calculator that rather resembled my remote for my entertainment center. Anything with that many buttons with no numbers I have a deep loathing for LOL. I believe I could write a simple BASIC program to do anything the calculator could in less time than it would take me to find the right buttons on the daggum

thing. I declined the offer and simply checked some answers off (mostly wrong, I'm sure). I believe that was on the advanced test and I watched from a distance and only missed four questions.

The key here is if someone actually learns something, they will, in all probability, retain it. Some band edges may change and bands be added or removed from, but the basics are still the same. Of course, I did miss the question on 40 meters. Why did I think it went to 7.5 MHz? Either a brain cramp or early stage Alzheimer's

I am neutral on Morse code. If you don't really want to do it, just pass the 5 word per minute exam and be done - or wait until it is dropped. After a few years, you will forget it. I know that I can no longer copy American Land-Line Morse. I had memorized the different characters and was limited to about 16 words per minute on my best day - and depended upon extra time on the stuff I had to do a mental look-up be provided by the simple stuff that was the same.

Today, too many folks have no idea of even how to repair a broken audio cable. If one actually learned and applied it a bit, even a different problem would likely be solved.

Tomorrow, I've got to repair an old Hammond organ. No problem; I've fixed solid state and tube stuff. They all have sections with gazintas and goesottas. This is basic very easy tech stuff.

What is funny is to hear some of the arguements. They really take on a religious fervor at times LOL.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3163. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:48:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128935

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> >> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> >> amateur radio.
> >>
> >> The options suggested so far seem to be:
> >>
> >> (a) 1 License
> >> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> >> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> >> (d) 3 Licenses
> >> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> >>
> >> What I wonder about these is how the individual
> >> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> >> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> >> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> >> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> >> in multiple license options.
> >>
> > That's just the beginning, Bill.
> >
> > The devil is in the details, limited by what FCC
> > has written in various NPRMs and R&Os:
> > - No existing licensee should lose privileges
> > - No existing licensee should gain privileges without taking
> > the required tests
> > - No free upgrades
> > - No significant extra admin work for FCC
> > - FCC sees the optimum level as 3 license classes, none of
> > which have a limited term and all of which are renewable.
>
> None of the above is defined by any FCC rules.

That's true, Bill.

But from FCC actions and reactions over the past 20 years
plus, it's pretty clear that FCC is acting in accordance with
those ideas.

> At the
> moment there are 3 licenses being issued, but even that

> can be changed as the FCC is not locked into their
> past decisions because of prior comment in any NPRM
> and/or R&O.

Of course! But at the same time, FCC isn't likely to change
their mind in the near future on those issues unless somebody
comes up with a really killer argument for the change.

> > How does any proposed system handle all these requirements?
>
> It doesn't, nor does it have to. It becomes an issue of
> making athe case for whatever is being proposed.

And that has to be done in a way that will convince FCC.

Particularly, to convince FCC to overrule decisions it made
just recently.

> Clearly
> the ARRL still believes and appears to be still supportive
> of an entry level (learner's permit) despite what the FCC
> may have already said.
>
>From reading the NPRM, it seems to me that FCC isn't against
an entry-level license at all. FCC simply sees the Technician
as the entry-level license for US ham radio, and also sees
no reason to change that - even though several proposals
have tried to change FCC's mind.

What you're seeing is the classic "Law of Unintended Consequences".
If FCC does what they propose, eliminating the code test will also
eliminate any way for Technicians to get any HF privileges except
by upgrade to General.

> > How do we convince FCC to accept the changes?
>
> By making clear and rational arguments and reasons for
> whatever the proposed system may be.

I'm sure that almost all the proposals and commenters thought they
were making "clear and rational arguments". But FCC said no to
all of them involving more privs for Techs, new license classes,
automatic upgrades, and much more.
>
> > Those are the tough ones!
> >
> > K0HB's proposed 2 class system addresses all these issues.
> > But FCC denied his ideas.
>
> FCC originally didn't buy a nocode Tech at some time
> in the past but eventually changed its mind.

No, that's not how it happened, IIRC.

FCC first proposed a nocodetest ham license for the USA
in 1975, as part of their "two-ladder" restructuring. (If you think
"incentive licensing" made things complex and took away
privs from existing hams, you should see what FCC proposed
in 1975!)

That 1975 proposal was so uniformly opposed by hams, ARRL, and
other groups that it went nowhere. About the only part of it
that was enacted was the renewal of Conditionals as General,
and the Novice becoming full renewable like other licenses.

Then in 1983 FCC tried again to get a nocodetest ham license. And
again ARRL and others opposed it so strongly that the idea went
nowhere.

Finally in 1990, FCC tried again, and let it be known that this time
they wouldn't take no for an answer. This was when 220 was under
attack from land mobile, and ARRL decided to propose a new
license class that would be a sort of "VHF/UHF Novice". No code
test, simple written test, and the centerpiece of the privs would be
220 MHz privileges. The idea was that new hams would fill up 220
so much that it couldn't be reassigned. FCC saw through that idea,
plus didn't want another license class, so they just dropped the
code test for the Technician.

> FCC also
> left 13wpm and 20wpm as requirements for many years
> with the lack of change/elimination of said 13/20 wpm
> elements supposedly waiting for a "consensus" in the
> amateur ranks.

Perhaps. Yet anyone who could come up with a doctor's
note could get a medical waiver. Such notes were never
hard to get.

> In spite of the lack of any consensus on
> code the FCC did, in fact, end 13/20wpm test elements
> in April 2000 based on arguments and the FCC's own
> conclusions at that time.

Yep. FCC also reduced the written tests at the same time
and closed off three license classes to new issues.

End result is less admin work for FCC. No more medical
waivers, only three written elements instead of five, and
eventual elimination of some rules.

> Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
> in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
> end up being revisited and changed at a later review.

Agreed - but at the same time, getting them to do so
is an uphill battle. Particularly when such an change will
result in more work for FCC.

> So Jim, with that in mind, what is your specific proposal?

I've given it here several times. Perhaps I'll dig it out and post it again.

My point is not that change is impossible, but that FCC isn't likely to adopt changes that violate the above principles.

For example, more than one proposal wanted free upgrades. FCC said no to all of them, and gave reasons why. (See footnote 142...)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3164. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:48:37 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <FnGsf.613$qg.185@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 128934

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1135783867.623945.79130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:
> > > > >
> > > > Study Question #31:
> > > >
> > > It's always about "how tough" you had it.
> > >
> > Nope. Wrong.
> >
> > I earned the Novice in 1967, the Technician and Advanced in 1968 and
> > the
> > Extra in 1970. Those questions were from the 1976 study guides.
>
> Did you misplace your own study guides?
>

I don't know about most folks, but I have *never* used a study guide. I
enjoyed reading and think I had a late 50s ARRL Handbook when I took the
Novice exam in 1962. Morse code held me up until 1964 for the General. I
was not going to get trapped into a tech ticket back then.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3165. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 00:49:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <loGsf.3766$R84.1945@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128936

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>> So did the asshole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
>>> or wort or woof or what?
>> I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
>> something about a deed.
>
> Dave, I don't recall him saying that. Can you repost that?

It's around. You'll likely have to wade through countless Mark Morgan
posts since I have no intention of doing so again. Why not try a google
search on all of the "worf" stuff?

> I do recall him asking Steve what a person's sexuality has to do with
> amateur radio.

You and Len are asked a number of things which you've apparently decided
not to answer. I don't see why Steve can't choose things he'll answer
or not answer. I answered Mark's question but I don't think he liked
the answer.

> Or is "Q" your euphimism for Queer?

It isn't my euphemism for anything. Maybe it is Mark's. He used the
term "Q".

3166. Re: Kiddie Rapist Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 01:26:50 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1135819610.197328.40820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128937

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Kiddie raper and has gotten 4 letters from the FCC
> commode buzz corey wrote:
> > "<ARRL news releases..not actual letters>
>
> Poor Butt Cornholer, he tries and tries and can't get the job done.
>
> I notice in the press relese your asshole buddy Steve Tunder got a
> letter..LOL @ the Freeport Fuckhead!
>
> >L< LOL at the Lameo Patrol's Commode Butt Cornholer>
>
> Funny how others can see right through your piss poor logic, Butt
> Cornholer....

GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to
General
licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee
had
been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio
operations
of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has
occurred at various times in the last several months and includes
broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter
from FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley
Hollingsworth. Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the
type
described could result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and

Spin it anyway you want 2test, but we all know why you had to retest.

LOL at 2test wogie, the Glendale retard, best cleaner-outer of
port-a-potties in the county.

3167. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 02:12:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135822335.293016.128880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128938

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135773587.523340.109590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:

> > > > Study Question #31:
> > > >
> > > It's always about "how tough" you had it.
> > >
> > Nope. Wrong.
> > >
> > I earned the Novice in 1967, the Technician and Advanced in 1968 and
> > the
> > Extra in 1970. Those questions were from the 1976 study guides.

> Looks like I missed a good one, Jim
>
> I got my novice in 1962. I remember taking the test from Russ Deane, W2ZS.
> There was a note which stated "no extranious paper". I asked Russ if that
> meant no scratch paper. He said it stated no extranious paper. So, as I
> recall there were a couple of ohm's law problems. Simple, such as "how much
> current will flow through a 20 K Ohm resistor if 350 volts are applied to
> it?". I did the one or two problems in my head. No genius here, simply
> honest. Perhaps to a fault, but I followed the instructions to the letter.
> It might have been easier to ask him to take the instructions upstairs to
> his wife to read and get her interpretation. She was an attourney. Still,
> it made the test more interesting.

> I obtained my general in 1964 and my extra, 1st phone, and 2nd telegraph in
> 1966.
>
> I dropped all my licenses around 1982.
>
> I decided to get my tickets (at least the ones I cared about) back in 1993
> and simply tested out. My GROL and my extra. No studying nor any code
> practice. I did pass 20 words per minute after a few decades away from cw
> (last used in 1969). I must admit to having checked off some boxes randomly
> on the resonant frequency stuff. I knew I had plenty of correct answers and
> could afford to miss them. I didn't feel like extracting square roots by
> hand (I can, but it is tedious) and someone kindly offered me a calculator
> that rather resembled my remote for my entertainment center. Anything with
> that many buttons with no numbers I have a deep loathing for LOL. I believe
> I could write a simple BASIC program to do anything the calculator could in

> less time than it would take me to find the right buttons on the daggum
> thing. I declined the offer and simply checked some answers off (mostly
> wrong, I'm sure). I believe that was on the advanced test and I watched
> from a distance and only missed four questions.

Good story. My testing all predated pocket calculators...

Jim Grundy, K3NYT, gave me the Novice. He wouldn't even look at the
exam papers to tell me if he thought I passed - just had to wait for
the
envelope from FCC. It was one of those "thick envelope/thin envelope"
things, where a thin envelope was good because all it had inside was
the license, while a thick envelope contained the failure letter and
the
forms to do the process all over again.

When I went for the General early the next summer, the FCC examiner
couldn't read my copy
well enough to find the required 65 characters. (Catholic school
Palmer Method longhand is pretty poor for copying Morse). So
I went home and taught myself rapid block printing a la Signal
Corps. Went back at the end of the summer and knocked off the
13 per no sweat. Since I'd passed the Tech/General written, I had
the General - but the examiner said, "why not try the Advanced while
you're here?"

14 year olds back then did not say no to The Man, so I tried (even
though
I'd not studied for Advanced at all) and passed easily.

> The key here is if someone actually learns something, they will, in all
> probability, retain it.

Depends on the person, I guess.

> Some band edges may change and bands be added or
> removed from, but the basics are still the same. Of course, I did miss the
> question on 40 meters. Why did I think it went to 7.5 MHz? Either a brain
> cramp or early stage Alzheimer's

Yup.

> I am neutral on Morse code. If you don't really want to do it, just pass
> the 5 word per minute exam and be done - or wait until it is dropped. After
> a few years, you will forget it. I know that I can no longer copy American
> Land-Line Morse. I had memorized the different characters and was limited
> to about 16 words per minute on my best day - and depended upon extra time
> on the stuff I had to do a mental look-up be provided by the simple stuff
> that was the same.

I'm sure there are things I once knew well that I've forgotten - but
I can't remember what they are....

> Today, too many folks have no idea of even how to repair a broken audio
> cable. If one actually learned and applied it a bit, even a different
> problem would likely be solved.

Of course - but that would require a major culture change.
Practical/technical
skills are not valued much in American society anymore, except in very
specific areas.

> Tomorrow, I've got to repair an old Hammond organ. No problem; I've fixed
> solid state and tube stuff. They all have sections with gazintas and
> goesottas. This is basic very easy tech stuff.

It's not so much about technology as about culture. The "modern"
response
is that the Hammond should just be replaced with something new.

> What is funny is to hear some of the arguements. They really take on a
> religious fervor at times LOL.

Of course! The funniest part is how much I get attacked for presenting
plain, simple facts...

--

btw - You ever get to AWA?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3168. Re: stveie advocates Todds murder yep that is the assole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 02:26:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135823219.147588.212110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128939

Then there was the post where he threaten to shoot me or that I might
have a accident.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

3169. Re: stevie the asshole prooves deserves his treatment as if it wee needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 02:40:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135824008.020777.230510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128940

>I didn't "snitch toad out" for his newsgroup activities other than
>his threats and profanities which I received via e-mail. Not all of
>the stupid letters I received were via his blog. The headers tell the
>tale and THAT'S what got him into trouble.

First off I NEVER sent him any "Threatening" letters. The Letters he recieved were from some one using the blog email system, He (STEBIE) did post a "email" on here that was suppose to been sent by me. The IP Address that was in the message wasn't my IP Address, it had been added to the message.

> As for the suggestion that Todd was not responsible for the
>threatening letters, I look at it this way...Even if he didn't send
>some of them, he encouraged and incited others via his blog to act
>irresponsibly.

RIGHT, that's a bunch of crap, I have no control on who posts on my blog, the only power I really really have is to make everyone who wants to post to register, but that will not stop the abuse, because all they have to do is go to a blog that doesn't require registering, which is what they are doing.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

3170. Re: more prrof that stveie lies when he blames mark for fring up sexuality..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 02:46:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135824360.127961.252000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128941

Roberson your a liar, I'm not gay, go back to smoke your crack you crack whore.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

3171. Re: stveie advocates Todds murder yep that is the assole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 03:32:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135827166.383294.172820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128942

N9OGL wrote:
> Then there was the post where he threaten to shoot me or that I might
> have a accident.

could you post a link to that for me might come in handy in the
unlikely even stevie is telling the turth about soething
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

3172. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 03:40:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135827610.694300.159060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128943

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 28 Dec 2005 16:01:24 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <1135814484.792948.323720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >indeed I suspect he may have told some lawyer a distorted tale of woe
> >making nothing his fault . I have alwasy sasid he may be able to cost a
> >me a retaier althought if he files this time from this threat, I will
> >first try to have it dismissed working pro se, but if I have to spend
> >the retainer I will counter sue him for his years of libel
>
>
> Step back and take a good, hard look at the whole picture.

Oh i have indeed I was merely giving the credit that he might disort
his the picture that an attonery might file some thing with the
attorney acting in good faith

focusing in close he has moments where he looks sane, he might have had
one of those moment with an attorney I try not to underestimate my
foes, I prefer to over estimate them

> Dud has
> been in this group for years doing the same things he's accusing of
> you, and he uses sock-puppets to boot. He has lied about many facets
> of his military 'career', he has lied about his status as an EMT, and
> has openly admitted to behavior that is marginally legal. He has no
> credibility in or out of the newsgroup. Not only that, but there have
> been many, many people who have prodded him on Usenet and he hasn't
> sued any of them yet. In case you haven't noticed, Dudly is all talk.

he is almost all talk But I am cautious, Indeed for once I would like
him to sue me it would get him to court on his dime

But indeed He has done everything I have and he has given me premssion
to use his daughter names claims he can start as many threads as he
likes and so one.

I don't expect anything real from stevie but I am ready for it should
it occour
>
> So like I said before, rest assured he doesn't have an attorney and
> he's not taking any sort of legal action.

He certainly isn't listening to any attorney wether he has one or not

>On the microbial chance that
> he has gone totally insane, enjoin me as a defendant and I'll crash
> his party. OK?

Not quite microbial chance I think but one that you need a magnifing
glass to measure
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3173. Re: stevie the asshole prooves deserves his treatment as if it wee needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 03:44:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135827888.032759.129640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128944

N9OGL wrote:
> >I didn't "snitch toad out" for his newsgroup activities other than
> >his threats and profanities which I received via e-mail. Not all of
> >the stupid letters I received were via his blog. The headers tell the
> >tale and THAT'S what got him into trouble.
>
> First off I NEVER sent him any "Threatening" letters. The Letters he
> recieved were from some one using the blog email system, He (STEBIE)
> did post a "email" on here that was suppose to been sent by me. The IP
> Address that was in the message wasn't my IP Address, it had been added
> to the message.
>
> > As for the suggestion that Todd was not responsible for the
> >threatening letters, I look at it this way...Even if he didn't send
> >some of them, he encouraged and incited others via his blog to act
> >irresponsibly.
>
> RIGHT, that's a bunch of crap, I have no control on who posts on my
> blog, the only power I really really have is to make everyone who wants
> to post to register, but that will not stop the abuse, because all they
> have to do is go to a blog that doesn't require registering, which is
> what they are doing.

there you are wrong what that passage means is that Stevie beleives
people are respoble for the acts of others, meaning that stvie is
respoble for those acts he inspires by his own words

thus stevie admits here that HE is responible for My action that occur
at HIS inspriation

cute isn't it
>
> Todd N9OGL
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

3174. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 03:47:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135828042.284069.185160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128945

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 28 Dec 2005 14:56:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> <1135810579.740149.93480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >> >One license. Existing licenses would be valid until expiration with no
> >> >renewals -- they would need to pass the single-license test if they
> >> >want to continue.
> >
> >That's a good way to get the number of US hams down to about half what
> >it is now...
>
Possibly. It could also reduce the inter-ham bickering to about half
of what it is now, which would make the service much more appealing to
potential -new- hams, myself included.

hear hear bear repating so I will scerwing the attribution to make sure
it is excised by google et all
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3175. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 03:55:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135828546.066069.156160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128946

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> KØHB wrote:
> >>
> >>> So did the asshole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> >>> or wort or woof or what?
> >> I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
> >> something about a deed.
> > >
> > > Dave, I don't recall him saying that. Can you repost that?
> >
> > It's around. You'll likely have to wade through countless Mark Morgan
> > posts since I have no intention of doing so again. Why not try a google
> > search on all of the "worf" stuff?

indeed if you are using google to read this post then scroll up in this
thread
>
> > I do recall him asking Steve what a person's sexuality has to do with
> > amateur radio.
>
> You and Len are asked a number of things which you've apparently decided
> not to answer. I don't see why Steve can't choose things he'll answer
> or not answer.
why? becuase he insits that we may not choose what to answer

> I answered Mark's question but I don't think he liked
> the answer.

no you did not realy but you did come a lot closely than stevie
>
> > Or is "Q" your euphimism for Queer?
>
> It isn't my euphemism for anything. Maybe it is Mark's. He used the
> term "Q".

"Q" is a proper name in this case

3176. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 03:58:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135828681.405525.223380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128947

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1135783867.623945.79130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:
> > > > > >
> > > > > Study Question #31:
> > > > >
> > > > It's always about "how tough" you had it.
> > > >
> > > Nope. Wrong.
> > >
> > > I earned the Novice in 1967, the Technician and Advanced in 1968 and
> > > the
> > > Extra in 1970. Those questions were from the 1976 study guides.
> >
> > Did you misplace your own study guides?
> >
>
> I don't know about most folks, but I have *never* used a study guide. I
> enjoyed reading and think I had a late 50s ARRL Handbook when I took the
> Novice exam in 1962. Morse code held me up until 1964 for the General. I
> was not going to get trapped into a tech ticket back then.

we seem to have that in common I never did either when I tried to get
my novice back in the 70's always passed the written

when I learn of the NCT I ordered the study guide but ended up taking
the test before I received it
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

3177. why does it matter how tough the old tests were?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 04:00:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135828822.654374.252580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128948

except as a matter of refernece?

after all the rules ae different and the nature odf radio ham or
otherwise is defferent?

how hard should the teste be?

as hard as they need to be to produce hams able to deal the ARS TODAY
no harder no easier

3178. Re: stevie the asshole prooves deserves his treatment as if it wee needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 04:15:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135829759.087078.289880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128949

True, by Steve's logic his behavior is what's inspiring others to
behave badly...right?

Todd N9OGL

3179. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 04:18:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135829925.969514.234300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128950

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> > >> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> > >> amateur radio.
> > >>
> > >> The options suggested so far seem to be:
> > >>
> > >> (a) 1 License
> > >> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> > >> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> > >> (d) 3 Licenses
> > >> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> > >>
> > >> What I wonder about these is how the individual
> > >> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> > >> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> > >> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> > >> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> > >> in multiple license options.
> > >>
> > > That's just the beginning, Bill.
> > >
> > > The devil is in the details, limited by what FCC
> > > has written in various NPRMs and R&Os:
> > > - No existing licensee should lose privileges
> > > - No existing licensee should gain privileges without taking
> > > the required tests
> > > - No free upgrades
> > > - No significant extra admin work for FCC
> > > - FCC sees the optimum level as 3 license classes, none of
> > > which have a limited term and all of which are renewable.
> >
> > None of the above is defined by any FCC rules.
>
> That's true, Bill.
>
> But from FCC actions and reactions over the past 20 years
> plus, it's pretty clear that FCC is acting in accordance with
> those ideas.

What is clear is that in '98 the FCC wanted to modernize the ARS. And it was pretty clear that they wanted the ARRL to lead in that modernization. And it was pretty clear that the ARRL had no plan (gosh, no concensus). When the ARRL saw Carl, Bill, and NCI et al, heading up the steps of the FCC building, the ARRL finally had the courage to develop a plan. The FCC wasn't particularly impressed with the ARRL plan.

Almost 8 years later we're still dealing with a lack of leadership in the amateur community, even after several NPRMs.

Perhaps NCI needs to ramp up and become an advocate for a broader array of issues than just the Morse Code Test issue.

3180. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 04:20:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <huJsf.3388$nu6.1591@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128951

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

>
> ..... what is your specific proposal?
>

I propose that new license applications be available
in two classes, namely "Class B" and "Class A".

The "Class B" learners permit would have an entry-level test
(basic regulations, safety, operating procedures, basic DC
and AC electronics). This class would have full frequency and
mode privileges, power limited to 50W output. The permit
would be issued for a period of 10 years, and be non-renewable.

The "Class A" license test would be of a difficulty level
similar to the current Extra class test, and would have
full privileges at power levels up to 1500W, equivalent to
current Extra Class license holders. This license
would be issued "for life" without requirement for
renewal.

Current licenses could be renewed indefinitely, and would
retain their current operating privileges.

Current Novice, Technician, General, and Advanced class
licensees could upgrade to "Class A" at any time.

3181. Re: why does it matter how tough the old tests were?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 04:25:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135830359.869236.272750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128952

an old friend wrote:
> except as a matter of refernece?
>
> after all the rules ae different and the nature odf radio ham or
> otherwise is defferent?
>
> how hard should the teste be?
>
> as hard as they need to be to produce hams able to deal the ARS TODAY
> no harder no easier

It merely grants bragging rights, and the option of claiming that ham X is more of a ham than ham Y. It also leads to the belief that "Since I had to march uphill both ways through the snow and drought, with cardboard in the sole of my shoes, you must do it too."

3182. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 04:29:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aDJsf.3797$R84.2662@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128953

an old friend wrote:

> hear hear bear repating so I will scerwing the attribution...

At the very *least*, you've done that. Enjoy that new toupee.

Dave K8MN

3183. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 04:31:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bEJsf.3798$R84.2124@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 128954

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> So did the asshole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
>>>>> or wort or woof or what?
>>>> I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
>>>> something about a deed.
>>> Dave, I don't recall him saying that. Can you repost that?
>> It's around. You'll likely have to wade through countless Mark Morgan
>> posts since I have no intention of doing so again. Why not try a google
>> search on all of the "worf" stuff?
>
> indeed if you are using google to read this post then scroll up in this
> thread
>>> I do recall him asking Steve what a person's sexuality has to do with
>>> amateur radio.
>> You and Len are asked a number of things which you've apparently decided
>> not to answer. I don't see why Steve can't choose things he'll answer
>> or not answer.
> why? becuase he insits that we may not choose what to answer
>
>> I answered Mark's question but I don't think he liked
>> the answer.
>
> no you did not realy but you did come a lot closely than stevie
>>> Or is "Q" your euphimism for Queer?
>> It isn't my euphemism for anything. Maybe it is Mark's. He used the
>> term "Q".
>
> "Q" is a proper name in this case

Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".

Dave K8MN

3184. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 04:39:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135831162.551078.63640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128955

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> KØHB wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> So did the asshole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> >>>> or wort or woof or what?
> >>>> I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
> >>>> something about a deed.
> >>> Dave, I don't recall him saying that. Can you repost that?
> >> It's around. You'll likely have to wade through countless Mark Morgan
> >> posts since I have no intention of doing so again. Why not try a google
> >> search on all of the "worf" stuff?
> >
> > indeed if you are using google to read this post then scroll up in this
> > thread
> >>> I do recall him asking Steve what a person's sexuality has to do with
> >>> amateur radio.
> >> You and Len are asked a number of things which you've apparently decided
> >> not to answer. I don't see why Steve can't choose things he'll answer
> >> or not answer.
> > why? becuase he insits that we may not choose what to answer
> >
> >> I answered Mark's question but I don't think he liked
> >> the answer.
> >
> > no you did not realy but you did come a lot closely than stevie
> >>> Or is "Q" your euphimism for Queer?
> >> It isn't my euphemism for anything. Maybe it is Mark's. He used the
> >> term "Q".
> >
> > "Q" is a proper name in this case
>
> Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
>
> Dave K8MN

Got it. Thanks.

3185. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 04:56:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135832174.483029.131330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128956

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 28, 4:33 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 27 2005 8:45 pm
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
>> >> > >"K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >> > >> "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote

>> >> Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...
>
>> >Gee, Jim, how many times and how many different ways can you say that?
>
>> Brian, it's all Jimmie has left in his verbal arsenal. :-)
>
>If so then he is more hollow than the strawmen that he trots out.

But, those hollow strawmen are very easy to build...and so
he keeps on making them...

>> He still confuses "qualified" with AUTHORIZED insofar as
>> "operating."
>
>They are synonymous to him.

Maybe Jimmie is listening to his strawmen speak in his ear?

>> The FCC is very much AUTHORIZED by Congress to regulate ALL U.S.
>> civil radio. The FCC AUTHORIZES ability to use the EM spectrum
>> by means of licensing.
>
>> However, neither Congress of the United States nor the Commission
>> itself requires ANY staffer or commissioner to be licensed in any
>> of the radio services it regulates. Ergo, under Jimmielogic, the
>> FCC is "not qualified" to regulate U.S. amateur radio. :-)
>
>Not Qualified?

Not in Jimmieworld. ONLY those who already possess official,
valid amateur radio licenses are - in Jimmieworld - "qualified"
to discuss any amateur radio regulations. That way, there is
little conflict due to so many who were required to operate
under the older regulations...which Jimmie passed.

>> That may be why, on 10 December, Jimmie wrote:
>

>> "The FCC doesn't license radio amateurs."
>
>Wonder if Riley got a copy of that original "Jimmyism"?

Doesn't matter if the "special counsel" to the Commission got
it or not. Any permanent staffer at the Commission can see
that Jimmie got it WRONG in public.

>> The whole point of Jimmie's comment on me was to discourage my
>> posting. Jimmie's concept of discussion on "amateur radio policy"
>> is a CLOSED one, limited ONLY to those who have already obtained
>> an amateur radio license. This is rather wrong in a democratic-
>> principled society but it fits his insular exclusivity. It also
>> fits Davie Heil's similar concept about amateur radio, so the
>> two of them form a mutual-aid enclave in here. Since I don't
>> agree with the "traditional" ('morse-coded') ideals of old U.S.
>> amateur radio, Jimmie wants me OUT of the "discussion."
>
>They do try to run people off who happen to disagree with them. I even
>entertained thoughts of leaving the group at one time, but I won't let
>them run me off. I plan to turn off the lights when this group is
>done. Between Steve and Mark, that may be sooner than I thought.

Well, I must admit that there is MUCH posting in this group
that isn't needed (too much cross-posting), full of anony-
mousies that like to cuss and say nasty words, and the Dudly
who refuses to admit he was defeated years ago.

>> Under Jimmielogic there is NO hope of any unlicensed-in-amateur-
>> radio person "discussing" anything, regardless of previous
>> experience in any other radio service. [in Jimmieworld
>> "amateur radio" is very much different than any other radio
>> service, therefore ALL unlicensed-in-amateur-radio persons are
>> "unqualified" to discuss anything] Unlicensed=in-amateur-radio
>> persons may (in Jimmieconcepts) ask polite questions, but must
>> never ever disagree with Jimmie (or his mutual opinion aid
>> enclave) in so doing. At that point, Jimmieguru takes over and
>> copies off reams of league-speak phrases, elevating amateur
>> radio to planes of existance far beyond what it really is...just
>> a hobby. QED.
>
>The Holy Grail is just a hobby?

NOT the Holy Grail of the Judeo-Christian world, the holy grail of
the Church of Saint Hiram. What Jimmie learned in Seminary was
the holy grail of the Church of St. Hiram.

Amateur radio really IS just a hobby, not a profession, not a
"national service" in any way, shape, or form.

There should be NOTHING negative about having a HOBBY. There are
so many different hobbies in this large land, as diverse as there

are different people. What gets bad is when certain hobbyists demand that THEIR ideas about that hobby MUST apply to everyone else...or else...

One problem with amateur radio is that, to exist, it must radiate RF. That requires the FCC to regulate it. The FCC regulates it by establishing license test regulations. The amateur radio hobbyists can now point to their "official" licenses and make a big fuss of how spay-shull they are, "taking tests" to prove how "qualified" they are, and strutting around like they are a "national service" of something. Wannabe professionals, stuck with the classification of "amateurs" (which no doubt rankles the self-important types in the hobby).

> Get ready for some off-topic british humor.

Monty Python strikes again? :-)

"Monty Python and the Holy Grail" was a funny-once comedy movie. Worth the price of a DVD rental, but not a cinema ticket, if you ask me. Anglophiles seem to lap that stuff up. Well, it is better than Pauly Shore on a good night, but not much more... :-)

[Pauly Shore is the son of Mitzi Shore who owns the Comedy Store nightclub here in Los Angeles...which doesn't make him any good at comedy but he does have "connections"]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3186. I look for gay sexing saturday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 05:07:50 UTC
From: Anime Porn Guy <todd_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dovqut$807$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128957

so you call me soon now (you know you are) or we not able do it
saturday. I got k-y jellie and everything.

3187. Re: stevie the asshole prooves deserves his treatment as if it wee needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 05:08:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135832884.996412.164860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128958

N9OGL wrote:
> True, by Steve's logic his behavior is what's inspiring others to
> behave badly...right?

and there fore he is responible for it
>
>
> Todd N9OGL

3188. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 05:09:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135832946.489750.316730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128959

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> KØHB wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> So did the asshole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> >>>> or wort or woof or what?
> >>>> I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
> >>>> something about a deed.
> >>> Dave, I don't recall him saying that. Can you repost that?
> >> It's around. You'll likely have to wade through countless Mark Morgan
> >> posts since I have no intention of doing so again. Why not try a google
> >> search on all of the "worf" stuff?
> >
> > indeed if you are using google to read this post then scroll up in this
> > thread
> >>> I do recall him asking Steve what a person's sexuality has to do with
> >>> amateur radio.
> >> You and Len are asked a number of things which you've apparently decided
> >> not to answer. I don't see why Steve can't choose things he'll answer
> >> or not answer.
> > why? becuase he insits that we may not choose what to answer
> >
> >> I answered Mark's question but I don't think he liked
> >> the answer.
> >
> > no you did not realy but you did come a lot closely than stevie
> >>> Or is "Q" your euphimism for Queer?
> >> It isn't my euphemism for anything. Maybe it is Mark's. He used the
> >> term "Q".
> >
> > "Q" is a proper name in this case
>
> Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".

I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
>
> Dave K8MN

3189. Re: why does it matter how tough the old tests were?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 05:10:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135833020.281968.86720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128960

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > except as a matter of refernece?
> >
> > after all the rules ae different and the nature odf radio ham or
> > otherwise is defferent?
> >
> > how hard should the teste be?
> >
> > as hard as they need to be to produce hams able to deal the ARS TODAY
> > no harder no easier
>
> It merely grants bragging rights, and the option of claiming that ham X
> is more of a ham than ham Y. It also leads to the belief that "Since I
> had to march uphill both ways through the snow and drought, with
> cardboard in the sole of my shoes, you must do it too."

indeed that is all I can see I ask to se if there is realy someting
there I try to treat my openets with respect, some of them of course
will alow one to dod that but...

3190. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 05:20:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135833611.544474.121120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128961

From: K0HB on Dec 28, 8:20 pm

>"Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>> ..... what is your specific proposal?
>
> I propose that new license applications be available
> in two classes, namely "Class B" and "Class A".
>
> The "Class B" learners permit would have an entry-level test
> (basic regulations, safety, operating procedures, basic DC
> and AC electronics). This class would have full frequency and
> mode privileges, power limited to 50W output. The permit
> would be issued for a period of 10 years, and be non-renewable.

Makes sense...

> The "Class A" license test would be of a difficulty level
> similar to the current Extra class test, and would have
> full privileges at power levels up to 1500W, equivalent to
> current Extra Class license holders. This license
> would be issued "for life" without requirement for
> renewal.

Also makes sense...

> Current licenses could be renewed indefinitely, and would
> retain their current operating privileges.

Makes more sense...

> Current Novice, Technician, General, and Advanced class
> licensees could upgrade to "Class A" at any time.

The only non-sense is in the objections of all those who
use their present "extra" license class title to show how
"good" they are and "better than average."

While a certain party in here will mumble on about class
"A" and "B" have been done before (as class designations)
the good thing about the alphabetic arbitrary "names" is
that none of those carry any emotional baggage which now
exists with the present six-class naming scheme (yes,
Jimmie, I know that the FCC is only issuing "new" licesnes
in three classes, but the old ones still exist in the FCC
databases).

VEs would have it easier with so few test-proctorings and that might mean long times between VE testing sessions, somewhat delaying entry of newcomers (to either A or B classes).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3191. Re: stveie advocates Todds murder yep that is the assole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 06:00:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135836005.129957.256620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128962

Mark,

I'm looking for it right now, and I repost it.

Todd N9OGL

3192. SOME OF STEVE "I'M A LIAR" ROBBERSON K4YZ PAST ABUSIVE POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 07:24:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135841071.539024.325200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128963

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)
Date: 7 Mar 2005 01:02:12 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <1110186132.541167.27770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
References: <420f2a51$1_1@127.0.0.1>
<1110069816.137921.213160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110080555.894958.287650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<9_qdndx9H_poDLffRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
<1110090988.500736.26430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<422AA88E.D39352B0@earthlink.net>
<1110096373.892870.133960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<6-qdnTHOoInFYLffRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
<1110122869.030889.226320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110174848.995005.321700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<y9GdnWyGo--gbLbfRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
<1110184561.431706.18520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110186136 4153 127.0.0.1 (7 Mar 2005
09:02:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 7 Mar 2005 09:02:16 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110184561.431706.18520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> First off you ****head, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
> can't justify that statement. secondly that's your ****ing opinion,
and
> in my view you and your little **** buddies on here are a small
> minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE ****ING THING, MY
> PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO **** YOU!!!!

There's five good reasons to put 10-penny nails in your coax.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For
Human?
Date: 10 Mar 2005 13:06:54 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <1110488814.697090.221550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
References: <1110291968.831474.21620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<JfidnUlYSvaxQ7DfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
<1110306329.306432.258790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110308191.718790.251850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110328392.150500.53110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110332720.491224.123030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110345663.195882.268020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110356228.460053.178650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110386172.332093.120100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110410171.035575.191520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110423019.118665.132380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<SMudndq8mvTVIbLfRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
<1110426907.959266.291380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<PKSdnVMRoK1rerLfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
<1110436258.179392.304050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<N7GdnV4fb-Si5K3fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
<1110485767.031858.240810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110488818 31253 127.0.0.1 (10 Mar 2005
21:06:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2005 21:06:58 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110485767.031858.240810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Bathrooman wrote:
> Toddy said:
> >...go suppress someone elses
> > free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.
>
> Here is yet another example of your ignorance. Amateur radio bands
are
> not PUBLIC AIRWAVES. Amateur radio is a licensed service for the
> exclusive use of licensed amateur radio operators. The PUBLIC

AIRWAVES
> are the standard AM, FM, and TV broadcast bands, to name three. I'm
> not making this up, this is how PUBLIC AIRWAVES are defined within
the
> meaning of the law.

Hear hear!

>Go back and study up for the novice test.

...and wait for the new one to come out!

> N9 Out Go the Legalities

N9 Obnoxious Gay Loudmouth

73

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For
Human?
Date: 10 Mar 2005 23:19:13 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1110525553.653107.255530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1110122869.030889.226320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110174848.995005.321700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110291968.831474.21620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<JfidnUlYSvaxQ7DfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
<1110306329.306432.258790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110308191.718790.251850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110328392.150500.53110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110332720.491224.123030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110345663.195882.268020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110356228.460053.178650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110386172.332093.120100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110410171.035575.191520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110423019.118665.132380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110439389.244395.216650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110522580.251207.193910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110525579 13067 127.0.0.1 (11 Mar 2005
07:19:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 Mar 2005 07:19:39 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110522580.251207.193910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>

User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:

> No where in the FCC rules governing the amateur radio service does it
> state that an information buletin can not be opinoinated about issues
> dealing with amateur radio.

Once you take "news" and add "opinion", it becomes editorial and
is no longer within the realm of an "information bulletin".

You're an idiot, Todd, and unfortunately for the rest of us it's
illegal to shoot idiots just on principle. Otherwise this episode
would be over already.

But it's illegal even for idiots to break the law, and sooner or
later the FCC will come knocking on your door.

Good luck trying to pass your rationalizations off on them.
They've logged a lot of time getting blockheads like you off the air,
and on occassion, into jail...where you belong.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and
N9OGL to WED..?!?!
Date: 26 Jun 2005 03:01:18 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <1119780078.458903.260420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
References: <1119528112.138439.59150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1119565248.724903.217890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119566364.520458.252500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1119656786.344246.158020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119697985.716826.304430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1119703427.128971.108870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119703962.356144.182820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119736388.604638.112190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119780083 25611 127.0.0.1 (26 Jun 2005
10:01:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 26 Jun 2005 10:01:23 +0000 (UTC)

In-Reply-To: <1119736388.604638.112190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > You are to be pittied.
> >
> > By who?
> >
> > You...?!?!
> >
> > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Anyone who starts a new thread titled:
>
> "WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
> WED..?!?!"
>
> is to be pittied.

And I asked...BY WHO? Or more correctly, by WHOM?

> Whatever gave you the idea that Tod and Mark might wed?

Nothing. It was a joke. But they are so much alike and share
similar persuations, that it's not beyond the realm of the possible
these days.

> Why do you continue with your gay inuendos?

Uhhhhhhhhhh...With Mark and Todd there's no innuendo. They are.

> Why don't you seek help?

For what?

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: could you be clearer
Date: 27 Jun 2005 04:35:02 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <1119872102.404647.57870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

References: <1119528112.138439.59150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1119565248.724903.217890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119566364.520458.252500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1119656786.344246.158020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119697985.716826.304430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1119703427.128971.108870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119703962.356144.182820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119736388.604638.112190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119780078.458903.260420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119824326.075055.268890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119827541.481b45575bc3215f6af9a01014ea18fc@teranews>
<1119827947.613406.323120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119830812.757384.92620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119832238.040951.64630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119834540.927208.190430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119872108 22460 127.0.0.1 (27 Jun 2005
11:35:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 27 Jun 2005 11:35:08 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1119834540.927208.190430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Sorry which post?
> > I have never said you are gay.
> >
> > You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?

That was his name, Mark, but to his credit, it wasn't him...this
time.

> > I was wondering even as I replied to it
> > or ther other post beofre this one
> >
> > I would not be certain Stevie was behind (although he may well be) but
> > there have been cases of people pretending to be stevie to make the
> > interaction More entertaining to to them
> >
> > I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)

Sponge Bob and Mr Bill...?!?!

> No, someone on here pretending to be me and it pisses me off...(SNIP)

And AGAIN you zip right past accepting ANY responsibility for YOUR
behaviour...

It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.

Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!

> because
> that's what got me thrown off the internet in the first place, someone
> pertending to be me.

No, no, NO!

It was YOU being YOU that got you thrown off, Todd!

And it's painfully apparent that you haven't learned a whole lot
form the experience, either!

> it was either steve or one of his little buddies
> trying once again to get people pissed off at me and have kick off
> again.

If you get kicked off again, it will be YOUR fault.

And it wasn't me, nor was it anyone I know.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: could you be clearer
Date: 28 Jun 2005 10:03:36 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 126
Message-ID: <1119978216.810953.155100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1119566364.520458.252500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1119656786.344246.158020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119697985.716826.304430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1119703427.128971.108870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119703962.356144.182820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119736388.604638.112190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119780078.458903.260420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119824326.075055.268890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119827541.481b45575bc3215f6af9a01014ea18fc@teranews>
<1119827947.613406.323120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119830812.757384.92620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119832238.040951.64630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119834540.927208.190430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1119872102.404647.57870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119923467.754789.233980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119978222 31285 127.0.0.1 (28 Jun 2005
17:03:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 28 Jun 2005 17:03:42 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1119923467.754789.233980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Sorry which post?
> > > > I have never said you are gay.
> > > >
> > > > You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?
> > >
> > That was his name, Mark, but to his credit, it wasn't him...this
> > time.
>
> assuming you this how Stevie

What?

Did you mean "How did you know this?"

Easy. I can read.

> > > > I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)
> > >
> > Sponge Bob and Mr Bill...?!?!
>
> None of your affair Stevie

You're right...I prefr my "affairs" woth women.

"Girlie men" don't qualify.

> > > No, someone on here pretending to be me and it pisses me off...(SNIP)
> > >
> > And AGAIN you zip right past accepting ANY responsibility for YOUR
> > behaviour...
>
> If true it is a trait you are also quite famier with Steve

"famier"...?!?!

What?

> > It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.
> >
> > Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
> > opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!
>
> Just which notes are you admiting were forgeries Stevie boy

I am not "admitting" anything, Mark.

And as you said, it's "none of your affair".

If you're really THAT interested, Mark, Just back up and go over
the last 6 weeks worth of RRAP drivvel.

> > > because
> > > that's what got me thrown off the internet in the first place, someone
> > > pertending to be me.
> >
> > No, no, NO!
> >
> > It was YOU being YOU that got you thrown off, Todd!
>
> Not it seems without your help Steve

Rights, Mark...Rights...

You can't whine about getting yours then demanding that others
can't have theirs.

Same with Toiddie. He likes to throw "IT'S MY RIGHT" around as if
saying so shields him from responsibility.

T'aint so.

> > And it's painfully apparent that you haven't learned a whole lot
> > form the experience, either!
>
> Pianfully to whom? you? Good going Todd

Todd's the one with the learning deficit, Mark.

If you're going to jump into a thread and muck around, at least
please have the attributes and "stories" straight.

> > > it was either steve or one of his little buddies
> > > trying once again to get people pissed off at me and have kick off
> > > again.
> >
> > If you get kicked off again, it will be YOUR fault.
>
> Why is that Stevie

Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a seasonal thing?

> > And it wasn't me, nor was it anyone I know.
>

> making that as a declaritive sentence has to be a lie

Nope.

> Case 1 the best BTW you realy don't know who did it and thus you can't
> say it was or was not anyone you know.

Sure I can say it.

Because no one on MY friends list was responsible.

There's a considerable "back channel" flow of communications going
on, sometimes moreso than others.

No one >>I<< know is responsible.

> Case 2 You do know which means you know the prep and are lying in
> claiming you don't the perp

Nope.

It is as I said.

Deal with it.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL
Date: 21 Jun 2005 03:43:59 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119350644 25829 127.0.0.1 (21 Jun 2005
10:44:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2005 10:44:04 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Well, Todd...You've only posted one item on RRAP since May and
already it's begun.

However, here's the benefit of the doubt: Here's a copy of the
e-mail I got along with headers. I am e-mailing it to you also.

Now you can take it to your ISP and let THEM deal with it.

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE:

Return-Path: <todd...@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (rly-xb02.mail.aol.com
[172.20.64.48]) by air-xb02.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINXB21-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:38:51 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINXB23-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:37:45 -0400
Received: from bla74.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id CBC5C1FD57F
for <k...@aol.com>; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID: <1958968.1119350265337.JavaMail.root@bla74.prod.google.com>
From: Todd <todd...@consolidated.net>
To: k...@aol.com
Subject: Molin: Stressed.
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:406544803:11327976
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0

Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:

YOU ***ING BITCH. THIS TIME YOU DON'T KNOW MY ISP AND SO YOU CAN'T
BITCH TO ANYBODY BUT GOOGLES. WHAT GOES A ROUND COMES A ROUND.
REMAMBER THAT.

Blog: Molin
Post: Stressed.
Link: http://molin.blogspot.com/2005/06/stressed.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

UNQUOTE

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL
Date: 22 Jun 2005 01:19:11 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <1119428351.654377.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
References: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

<1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.117.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119428357 26682 127.0.0.1 (22 Jun 2005
08:19:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2005 08:19:17 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.117.14;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:

> apparently you don't have the brains to understand that and
> consolidated communication were stupid enough to believe your lying
> ###.

I didn't lie, Todd.

I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
them.

However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
than adequate justification to pull your service.

You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.

It really is THAT simple.

> Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> talking about that information coming from a liar

Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
that race.

> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Satur...@4.00 p.m. CST

There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio.

"Shows" are broadcasting.

If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
you're breaking the law.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL
Date: 24 Jun 2005 02:48:35 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 249
Message-ID: <1119606515.794243.16760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119428351.654377.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1119432851.670107.198990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119511928.022355.136180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1119585148.748030.153930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119606522 8291 127.0.0.1 (24 Jun 2005
09:48:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2005 09:48:42 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1119585148.748030.153930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an_old_friend wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > ah Stevie Boy nice to see you haven't changed a bit.
>
> > well in point of fact that statement is lie, but I am not shocked.
> > Still taking anything not confroming to your particular POV as proof of
> > illigality or falsehood. I noticed the Nursie refference made it up to
> > RN yet last I recall you were an LPN or was it PN the lowest rungs on
> > the nursing ladder
>
> nothing about my admiting to lieing

Eight years, Markie, and you still can't get it right.

It's "LYING".

> > Gee...the style says Markie the Disgraced Pagan Liar, but too many
> >multi-syllable words spelled (or pretty darn close) correctly.
>
> > And who said I am an RN? I didn't.
>
> Noone I thought you were said were studing for RN...(SNIP)

That's pretty close, Markie. There are quite a few RN's who were
gald for the service.

> (UNSNIP)...and trying to
> upgrade but I guess only ham need to upgradefrom the lowest levels of a
> feild

Huh? What?

> > > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > > them.
>
> > Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
> > do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you
> > are so good

I take it that a lack of response means "No Comment"...

> > You do not "in some generate it" by repeating something, Markie.
> >You may retransmit it, copy it, report it, etc, but you do not
> ?"generate" it. Only the original author did that.
>
> simply a lie you generated the emails that isfact but forgive me you
> are not to confused with mere facts

No, facts do not confuse me.

Yes, your illiterate drivvel does.

I did not generate (author) the e mails in question. Even Todd
acknowledges that.

> > > However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> > > grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> > > than adequate justification to pull your service.
>
> > > You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
>
> > > It really is THAT simple.
>
>
> > and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
> > turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
> > turn the other cheek maxim too

And remember "Give Unto Ceasar That Which Is Ceasers, And Give
Unto God That Which Is Gods"

> > What does being a Christian or conforming to Christian principles
> >have to do with reporting a person breaking the law, Markie?
>
> You accused him of breaking the TOS not a law no crime was comitted at
> least not according to the facts you have presented there may have been
> a civil tort comttied by Todd

But I allege he DID commit a crime, Markie.

He facilitated the promulgation of those letters by providing the
resources by which they could be generated and he incited the behaviour
that resulted in it.

Besides...Why write "TOS" rules if you're not going to expect
people to abide by them or provide protection for others with them?

> > Is it your psotition that anyone calling 9-1-1 to report a crime
> >in progress is un-Christian?
>
> GIGO

You didn't answer my question, Markie. It only requires a "yes"
or "no"...Is it YOUR position that people who call 9-1-1 to report a
crime in progress are un-Christian?

> > Are the viewers of "America's Most Wanted" un-Christian?
>
> GIGO

You again refuse to answer a yes/no question.

Is it your position that people who view "America's Most Wanted"
are un-Christian?

> > > > Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> > > > talking about that information coming from a liar
>
> > > Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
> > > that race.
>
> > Nice spelling there from someone I do certainly remember as a member of
> > the spelling Nazi's
>
> > Compared to the absolute rape of the English language as
> >perpetrated by you, Markie, occassional transposed letters ae
> >nothing...at least they are the CORRECT letters...You all-too-often
> >don't even get THAT right!
>
> As is normal you don't bother to address the issue the issue that you
> are the spelling NAZI not I I am simply pointing YOUR Hipocrocy

I'm not a "spelling NAZI", Markie.

I simply am aghast that a person can graduate high school in the
United States with the absolutely horrific English skills you have.

And what is a "Hipocrocy"...?!?!

> > > "Shows" are broadcasting.
>
> > not always but then one must not use words in different manner than you
> > aprove of.

>
> > It's not what >>I<< approve of, Markie.
>
> > It's what the FCC approves
>
> WRONG the is the internet the FCC lacks any authority to determine how
> we use langauge any suggestion to contary is plain idoitcy

Markie, you REALLY owe it to yourself to be sure that you KNOW
what you're talking about before you comment on it.

We are not discussing Internet content. We're discussing Todd
illegal broadcasting on the 20 meter band.

> You simply believe YOU are apointed by someone (yourself? or is it the
> FCC? or God prehaps ? or some rice crispies?) to decide wether someone
> is guilty of some wrong doing

I didn't say he's "guilty" of anything, Markie. It's for a judge
or jury to decide. However Todd's starting to rack-up points towards a
"K1MAN Look-Alike" award.

> of.
> > sounds he mean he holds court on the freq, and time, but you
> > realy did know that
>
>
> > Know what?
>
> > That he's illegally using Amateur Radio allocations to
> >broadcast...?!?! Yeah, I know that...HE is the one with perception
> >problems.
>
> Really prove it? All that he daone to my knowledge is use language in a
> manner that does suggest he might be doing something wrong, nothing
> else

See what happens when you jump into the middle of an on-going rant
without first taking the time to see who's ranting about what, Markie?

Todd's already admitted to illegal broadcasting on TV and FM
frequencies, and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to
Federal Aviation rules and regulations.

Now he's come to RRAP, obstensibly to get approval for or to
advertise the presence of his "shows".

The problem is that NOone cares about his broadcasts. He's simply
using them as a sham for his self-promoting diatribes. Nothing more.

> > > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
> > > you're breaking the law.
>

> > and anything you say is right
> > >From an old friend (of ham radio not of your's Stevie)
>
> > been busybuilding a new home and such so i have missed you, and the
> > rest
>
> > Run out of Illiopolis, did they..?!?!
>
> Just decidedto move I do that every few years getting longer and longer
> as I get older but i do move every now and then

Unfortunatley not far enough away vis-a-vis RRAP.

> > I (don't really) wonder why...You're such a GREAT guy to have
> >around!
>
> > And what did Illinois do to deserve such a wretched reputation as
> >to be the birthplace of Lennie, Toiddie >>AND<< you...?!?!?
>
> Born in Illinois? gee that would news to me and esp to my mother. I can
> also assure that Illinois suffers in way from my living liveing there
> awhile I payed enough property taxes

You're right. I am sure they suffered plenty.

> > at least you have droped the 73's from your posted vitriol
>
> > You have gotten SLIGHTLY better at writing, although your
> >sentences are still disjointed, improperly punctuated and leave the
> >reader wondering if you fell asleep in the middle of a sentence when
> >you stopped writing.
>
> Your Point? sorry I missed it unless you are still to be enpowered to
> decide how people may write

I am not "eMpowered" to do anything but observe and comment.

Your "writing" is a travesty. It's a violet rape of the English
language, to say the least. Todd's a close second.

> > And BTW...you're NOT any "old friend" of Amateur Radio...I rate
> >you only about 2/10ths of a point above Lennie Anderson, but still well
> >below Brain Burke, who is trying desperately hard to get down there,
> >although he slips occassionally and leaves me with hope for his soul.
>
> I do thank you being ill thought of by you is certianly an endosement
> of my moral character

Only you would write a sentence which actually acknowledges and
agrees with my assessment of your "moral character", Markie.

> > I have no such delusions about you.
>

> I am sure you have many delusion about me, I don't want to know they
> all are

I still have no delusions about you, Markie, unless you include my
hopes that you'll seek help for your personal issues and/or buy a
"Hooked On Phonics" program.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL
Date: 27 Jun 2005 06:25:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <1119878756.172455.319210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119428351.654377.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1119432851.670107.198990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119511928.022355.136180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1119585148.748030.153930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119606515.794243.16760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119630889.907733.319820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119635451.602470.98180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119637235.166183.136610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119639833.184977.64430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119646180.767557.124890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119822643.707085.100980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.117.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119878761 31049 127.0.0.1 (27 Jun 2005
13:26:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 27 Jun 2005 13:26:01 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1119822643.707085.100980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.117.14;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an_old_friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >There's more, but those are sufficient
> > > >

> > > > Really, what other lies are you spreading steve??? and BTW I ran a low
> > > > power TV transmitter after wasting my time and money applying six times
> > > > for a license, with waivers which the FCC wouldn't even consider even
> > > > though the federal court have told them repeatively "you must consider
> > > > waivers" like I said steve you don't know the whole story nor, were you
> > > > there..
> > > >
> > > > Todd
> > >
> > > Practicing Civil disobeinece then?
> >
> > Sort of, I don't see why a person has to continously file applications,
> > a fee, and waivers if the FCC isn't even going to look at it. I applied
> > six times for a tv license for a community that dosen't have a local tv
> > service, each time I sent all that stuff in a week later I would get
> > all of it back. Then the FCC would go to court against pirate operators
> > and say "Oh, all this person has to do is apply for license and ask for
> > a waiver" We I did all that and it got me nowhere so I figured why
> > apply, after applying six times it pointless, so I ran a "pirate" TV
> > station
>
> Ok thanks for clearing that up for me.
>
> I certainly was not going to take Setvies wordonwhat happened

Of course you wouldn't. You don't deal well with the truth.

Todd Daugherty is a radio scofflaw. He's a wanna-be junior
broadcaster. He didn't get an FCC broadcast license because the FCC
wasn't about to let a foul-mouthed, illiterate idiot have a license,
especially when he couldn't get the simple act of getting the paperwork
right.

Sheeeeeeeeeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Todd Blames Everyone Except Person Truly Responsible For His
Predicament
Date: 24 Jun 2005 00:36:02 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 134
Message-ID: <1119598562.384288.325000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119428351.654377.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1119583681.072612.194030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119598568 29887 127.0.0.1 (24 Jun 2005
07:36:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2005 07:36:08 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1119583681.072612.194030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> >them.
>
> Steve, those letter your generated through the blog...On the blog there
> is a letter where people can send a copy of the post to a friend and
> add a comment...(SNIP)

Periods, Todd...Use periods.

> (UNSNIP)...someone was getting on there and sending the mail to you
> with my email address, I have proved it through a experiment and the
> header text proves it, It just that some dumb## at consolidated would
> listen to some crybaby from Tennessee instead of a paying customer...(SNIP)

And for the billionth time, Todd...

Those letters themselves wouldn't have mattered.

There was enough LEGITIMATE stuff to "can" you.

I reported it. Consolidated agreed.

And IF YOU HAD LISTENED TO ME in the first place, no one would have
been able to take advantage of the blog like that.

> (UNSNIP)...that's OK I'm make sure no one in this town and surround area
> subscribes to their internet service...(SNIP)

Yeah..uh huh...Right...

Chances are that if people find out WHY you were canned, they'll
be MORE likely to join Consolidated just becasue they know that
Consolidated is concerned with keeping trash off the Internet, even if
it is just one piece at a time.

> (UNSNIP)...that letter you post on here was
> sent via a different blog...but a moron crybaby like yourself would
> understand that.

I understand it just fine.

What YOU continue to ignore is that those letters weren't the only
reason you got canned.

>> However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
>> grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
>> than adequate justification to pull your service.
>>
>> >You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
>>It really is THAT simple.
>
> No Stebie, what you don't understand is that I am not forcing you to
> read my messages on this newsgroup, hell there are messages on here I
> don't read, but your STUPID that you can't "grasp" the concept that you
> don't have toi read them.

No, you're not.

But then this is a PUBLIC forum and a certain amout of decorum is
expected (or should be) "MF", "AH", "CS" and other such adjectives
are NOT language you typically hear walking down the street or walking
through Wal-Mart, and I don't think it's appropriate here.

Then when you DIRECTED it at me, well, that cinched it.

> >You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> > It really is THAT simple.
>
> No I lost my service because those Dumb###es at the phone company would
> rather listen to a moron from tennessee then a customer paying $36 a
> month for a service...(SNIP)

Seems to me the "moron" was the customer who thought that
$36/month was a license to be obnoxious, mistruthful and idiotic with.

> (UNSNIP)...but hey they ought to thank you for screwing them
> out of that monthly cash flow...and I'll push it here that NO ONE will
> go to their service...because they would rather listen to some @@@hole
> then a customer.

In this case the @@@hole WAS the customer.

So far, you've blamed...

me for being a crybaby...

Consolidated for actually enforcing their own regulations and
threats...

Buzz Corey for, well, who knows why...

The ONLY person you've NOT blamed is the person responsible for
your predicament...

YOU!

> > There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
> >"Shows" are broadcasting.
> > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation, you're breaking the law.
>
> Yet you not yet proved it, show me the law. A broadcast is a
> transmission directed to the general public either direct or relayed.

There a re no "shows" on Amateur Radio.

A "show" is a program prepared for broadcast for the general
public.

> an Information bulletin is "A message directed only to amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> amateur service."

Nice quote.

> The Subject matter I run is on radio, electronics, computers, and radio
> law....so Crybaby Steve, you go cry to all the f**king represenatives
> and the FCC you want my programs are legal until you or your little
> #####es at the FCC prove to me otherwise. that's the other difference
> between me and K1MAN....SO go CRY to the FCC you F**king ###hole.

If you'd "x" out the "bitches" and the "###hole", you would really
impessed me...

One step at a time, though, I guess.

One last question, Todd...

Do you think you're any more likely to get your broadband service
back by continuing to use profanity and call the people with their
fingers on the service keys names in a public forum...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Todd Blames Everyone Except Person Truly Responsible For
His Predicament
Date: 25 Jun 2005 06:39:06 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 215
Message-ID: <1119706746.777172.209260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119428351.654377.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1119583681.072612.194030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1119598562.384288.325000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119636391.863129.57100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.116.198
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119706750 15405 127.0.0.1 (25 Jun 2005
13:39:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 25 Jun 2005 13:39:10 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1119636391.863129.57100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=205.188.116.198;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> >And for the billionth time, Todd...
> >Those letters themselves wouldn't have mattered.
> >There was enough LEGITIMATE stuff to "can" you.
> >I reported it. Consolidated agreed.
>
> First off ####head...(SNIP)

Just an easy and familiar habit to drop back into, isn't it, Todd?

> (UNSNIP)...and for the billionth time as you would say...(SNIP)

Such as when...?!?!

> this isn't YOUR NEWSGROUP!!!!

No kidding, eh?

Where did I say it was?

> you don't own it, nor are you the moderator,

Was that a sentence? Was there a point?

> You steve are nothing more then an sadist ham cop who sits on the
> amateur newsgroups on the internet and watch what people post and then
> turn around and report it, why???

No, Todd....

I "reported" specific things you did and said TO ME.

> because you get your nuts off on it.

No, I don't.

> It really sad when a phone company won't listen to a paying customer,
> but will listen to a mental ill person like yourself.

Still not accepting responsibility for your own behaviour,
Todd...?!?!

You'll NEVER get past this until you realize that YOUR mouth and
YOUR behaviour.

Consolidated saw exactly the same stuff you and I did.

They acted on it.

They told you to stay away.

You didn't do it.

YOU lost YOUR broadband....Not me...

> >Yeah..uh huh...Right...
> >Chances are that if people find out WHY you were canned, they'll
> >be MORE likely to join Consolidated just becasue they know that
> >Consolidated is concerned with keeping trash off the Internet, even if
> >it is just one piece at a time.
>
> I know steve you probably live a shelter life, but out here in the
> "real world" people Do talk like that...(SNIP)

No, Todd, people do NOT, as a matter of routine life, talk "like
that".

Yes...they do it in porno's, some "action" movies, and rap music
videos.

Educated, responsible adults do NOT talk like that in public.

> here's an Idea don't go to New
> York City, you'll probably hear stuff that make my post look calm.

I've been to New York City. And Miami. And Los Angeles. And
Dallas, Atlanta, Nashville, etc etc etc.

People don't carry on like that as a matter of "real life"
conversations in public.

> but
> it really doubtful that people would go rushing to consolidated, they
> charge too much ($300-350 for startup fee) and ($36 to $40 a month) and
> when people hear that consolidated listen to a non-paying customer, who
> is a sadist, and NOT a paying customer, well...

Problem is that your scenario is wrong.

I am not a sadist.

But you ARE a liar, a cheat, a lawbreaker and deadbeat.

Let's get into a side by side debate over Consolidated's practices
and see who carries the day, eh, Todd...?!?!

> >What YOU continue to ignore is that those letters weren't the only
> >reason you got canned.
>
> and you continue to not to understand that what YOU did was wrong, when
> there were alternatives, but instead you have proven to ever one on
> here what I had been saying that your an ###hole!!

And YOU keep ignoring the fact that I DID those things, including
trying to get you to stop the offensive language and do something to
control your blog posters.

You refused.

Nothing I did was wrong, illegal or immoral.

That YOU don't LIKE it is another story.

> >No, you're not.
> >But then this is a PUBLIC forum and a certain amout of decorum is
> >expected (or should be) "MF", "AH", "CS" and other such adjectives
> >are NOT language you typically hear walking down the street or walking
> >through Wal-Mart, and I don't think it's appropriate here.
> >Then when you DIRECTED it at me, well, that cinched it
>
> again you don't have to read my post and let me say you are a hypocite,
> you have the balls to call me gay when I am not...(SNIP)

Then prove it.

> like I said steve,
> don't go to New York City, they use those "Adjectives" all the time...(SNIP)

Not in public. Not in schools, museums, theaters or other public
venues.

And people who make it a point of being offensive get hauled away.

> I know I've been there a few times. in the "real world" people use those
> words and a form of expression, look at rap music....it's not my fault
> you live a shelter life.

I don't live a sheltered life. (Coming from an almost-40 year old
deadbeat sponging off of mom and dad, that was cute, Todd!)

I don't listen to rap music for that very reason.

> >Seems to me the "moron" was the customer who thought that
> >$36/month was a license to be obnoxious, mistruthful and idiotic with.
>
> No #####head for $36 a month they should at lease here my side but they
> wouldn't hear anything of it.

You had your chance.

You blew it.

> >In this case the @@@hole WAS the customer.
>
> >So far, you've blamed...
> >me for being a crybaby...
> >Consolidated for actually enforcing their own regulations and
> >threats...
> >Buzz Corey for, well, who knows why...

> >The ONLY person you've NOT blamed is the person responsible for
> >your predicament...
> >YOU!
>
> and just like you Corn###### has poroven that he too is a ###hole who
> had alternatives to reading my post, but just like you he is a sadist
> who get's his nut off by acting like a netcop

He did nothing wrong, illegal or immoral.

> >There a re no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
> >A "show" is a program prepared for broadcast for the general
> >public.
>
> first off it depends on who it is directed to...(SNIP)

No, it doesn't.

> ...if it's directed just to
> amateur's then it legal, my shows like I said is on radio, electronic,
> computers and radio regulations, and until you or little buddies at the
> FCC say otherwise that it's illegal, by showing me a rule that states
> it.

If you're producing a "show", it's neither an "information
bulletin", nor legal within the FCC's parameters.

> >If you'd "x" out the "bitches" and the "###hole", you would really
> >impessed me...
> >One step at a time, though, I guess.
>
> I'm sorry steve....I forgot you don't live in the real world....

Sure I do. I just live in a more responsible, professional world
where mature adults don't have to resort to sandlot profanity to try
and "one-upmanship" someone.

> >Do you think you're any more likely to get your broadband service
> >back by continuing to use profanity and call the people with their
> >fingers on the service keys names in a public forum...?!?!
>
> oh callign someone gay when they are not, isn't insulting....you
> bastard

My mom and dad were married BEFORE I was born, Todd...

> I'm going to waste my time writing my name or my call simply because
> your not worth it.

Your callsign is becomming a laughing stock, Todd. People are
looking out for you now. Too late to try and hide it now.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on Todd's
irrational behaviour
Date: 5 Jun 2005 04:34:42 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <1117971282.042872.15120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <ec98e11d804924ced3552c426af05b2f@mixmaster.it>
<wuOdneAB_ukVxT_fRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117971288 31986 127.0.0.1 (5 Jun 2005
11:34:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2005 11:34:48 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <wuOdneAB_ukVxT_fRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

KC8GXW wrote:
> George Orwell wrote:
>
> > If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
> > honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
> > ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
> >
> > Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
> >
> > What a piece of work you are.
> >
>
> And you know this because? Toad bragged that his ISP told him to not
> come on the news groups but he refused to respect the ISP's authority.
> If Steve snitched toad out, then that is wrong, but you just saying it
> doesn't make it true!

I didn't "snitch toad out" for his newsgroup activities other than
his threats and profanities which I received via e-mail. Not all of
the stupid letters I received were via his blog. The headers tell the
tale and THAT'S what got him into trouble.

As for the suggestion that Todd was not responsible for the
threatening letters, I look at it this way...Even if he didn't send
some of them, he encouraged and incited others via his blog to act
irresponsibly.

I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his
blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.

Also, I am obviously NOT the only person who's been busting Todd's
chops with his ISP.

He played with fire...He got burnt...Oh well...

73

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up
Date: 11 Jun 2005 06:24:01 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 65
Message-ID: <1118496241.798224.41760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <V5Ype.170$rC7.9418889@news.sisna.com>
<1118349317.162240.197720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1118383478.570234.209720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<bRhqe.18$tx7.735336@news.sisna.com>
<1118439835.432495.97630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<rqwqe.5148$nj2.6601858@news.sisna.com>
<d8ec5q$43q$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1118496246 15534 127.0.0.1 (11 Jun 2005
13:24:06 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 11 Jun 2005 13:24:06 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <d8ec5q$43q$0@pita.alt.net>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Mona wrote:

> ...and the tale of the weak-bladdered duo is to be continued.

Your own anonymous status notwithstanding, this is not an unusual
situation. Once every 6 months or so an anonymous "LennieFan" pops up,
glad hands Lennie for a few days or couple weeks, then disappears as
quickly as they arrived.

Lennie's only NON-anonymous fans have been very few. First on his
list is Brian Burke, N0IMD. "Brain" is himself an archived chronic
liar and has numerous character issues unresolved. He cowers behind

"bb" and won't sign his name or callsign to posts alledging "spam mitigation". Bunk.

"Brain" has a laundry list of unanswered, unresolved questions going back over 5 years, yet he tries to hide his inadequacies behind false and misleading spindances against me.

The fact is that he's not only been caught with his britches down more than a fifty cent hooker on payday, but they are dragging behind him, tattered and forever unrepairable and unserviceable. Did it to himself, though...He keeps running headlong into that brick wall then complains about the headache.

There's Vipul Shah, KB1HMW. Actually, Vipul started out as "anonymous", but with a bit of research I "outted" him. What can we say about Vipul? I am sure he's basically a nice guy, but he's one of those immigrants who seems to think the entirety of the United States is going to morph into something HE can understand, rather than him adapting to the enviroment he's in. He carries that through to Amateur Radio, and has suggested on several occassions that Amateur Radio would be much better off if "we" saw things his way instead.

His primary motive for being in Amateur Radio, apparently, is hitting on "preeti fems". Now I've been an Amateur for several decades now, and have, on occassion, met a female Amateur who turned heads smartly, but they are far and very few between, and usually the spouse of some 6'3" linebacker named "Rocky". Anyone who got an Amateur ticket to "meet babes" is going to be sorely disappointed! Lord know's I've looked!

And let's not forget how buddybuddy Lennie and Todd Daugherty, N9OGL were a couple weeks ago...Need I say anything more about Todd? Foul mouthed, functionally illiterate, and too stupid to cover his own tracks when leaving ugly e-mails. But it doesn't surprise me...Lennie likes to punctuate his rants with a burst of profanity from time to time, so I am sure he was right at home. However Todd holds the crown...Now if he can just find another ISP since his foul, irresponsible mouth got him booted from the "local" service in Taylorville, IL.

Now we suddenly have "John". Allegedly a middle aged Amateur of unknown years experience, unknown level of licensure, unknown organizational affiliations, memberships, etc..."John" may very well be a "real" person (read that as "not a figment of Lennie's imagination") but I doubt it. It would not be above Lennie (or beyond him) to create yet another anonymous "buddy" to help him get through a rough time in the NG. It's happened before. I may be wrong, but that duck sure is quacking pretty loudly.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail

From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The
Laughter)
Date: 31 May 2005 14:08:32 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <1117573712.328894.258140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.117.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117573718 30720 127.0.0.1 (31 May 2005
21:08:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 31 May 2005 21:08:38 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=205.188.117.139;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Todd sent me a couple of challenges...thought you might get a
laugh from them:

Item First:

QUOTE

n9ogl has sent you a link to a weblog:
Are starting again at voice and giving everyone chance.
If you want I will let you write guest colum of other opinion.
Up to the chalenge, retard?

Todd

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: NEW WEBSITE
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/new-website.html

UNQUOTE

The "chalenge", Todd, is getting through your "posts" and
"letters" without getting a headache from deciphering your
semi-literate grammar.

There is no way on God's Green Earth that I would "guest write" a
column on a blog of yours, thereby appearing to lend even the most
indirect or unintentional "legitimacy" to your "blog".

Item Second:

QUOTE

K9OGL has sent you a link to a weblog:
Are starting again at voice and giving everyone chance.
If you want I will let you write guest colum of other opinion.
Up to the chalenge, retard?

Todd

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/arrl-lies.html

UNQUOTE

Who is "K9OGL", Todd? Can't even get your own call right?

And Todd...

If you're going to call someone else a "retard", you really ought
to not make the claim in a sentence that makes it appear as though the
claim was MADE by a "retard"...(Although in this case we would be
correct...)

And I repeat my previous assertion...There is no way on God's Green
Earth that I would "guest write" on your blog, thereby lending, however
unintentional, some "legitimacy" to your blog.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The
Laughter)
Date: 31 May 2005 23:58:47 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <1117609127.064231.182650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
References: <1117573712.328894.258140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1117579881.039889.158600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.117.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117609132 12377 127.0.0.1 (1 Jun 2005
06:58:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2005 06:58:52 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1117579881.039889.158600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.117.14;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey dumbass, I didn't sent you that shit because 1. no way in hell
> would I want you as a guest "writer" I think before you go around
> accusing people of something that you make sure it was sent by that
> person.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> todd...@consolidated.net

QUOTE

Return-Path: <todd...@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (rly-ya01.mail.aol.com
[172.18.141.83]) by air-ya03.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINYA34-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:16:01 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINYA16-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:15:58 -0400
Received: from bla161.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id D6C0F19674
for <k...@aol.com>; Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID:
<22590970.1117577752762.JavaMail.r...@bla161.prod.google.com>
From: n9ogl <todd...@consolidated.net>
To: k...@aol.com
Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:319993467:9395241
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
n9ogl has sent you a link to a weblog:

Wimpy mother ####er I offer you a man's chance and you slap my face.

Now I come to your place and slap your face but I use a baseball bat.
You will look good with your mouth at the back you neck.

now you done it bitch.

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/voice-gets-face-lift.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

UNQUOTE

I'll tell your what, Todd. I'll give you until 3PM central June
1st to figure out who it was before I call the Taylorville Police and

file a criminal complaint.

If need be, I'll drive to Taylorville and sign it myself, and I
will bring copies of the posts, headers and all, including this threat.

Get busy.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The
Laughter)
Date: 1 Jun 2005 08:24:19 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 103
Message-ID: <1117639459.239266.38420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
References: <1117573712.328894.258140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1117579881.039889.158600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117609127.064231.182650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.117.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117639464 5671 127.0.0.1 (1 Jun 2005
15:24:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2005 15:24:24 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1117609127.064231.182650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=205.188.117.139;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Hey dumbass, I didn't sent you that shit because 1. no way in hell
> > would I want you as a guest "writer" I think before you go around
> > accusing people of something that you make sure it was sent by that
> > person.
> >
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> > todd...@consolidated.net
>
> QUOTE
>
> Return-Path: <todd...@consolidated.net>
> Received: from rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (rly-ya01.mail.aol.com
> [172.18.141.83]) by air-ya03.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILINYA34-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:16:01 -0400

> Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILRELAYINYA16-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:15:58 -0400
> Received: from bla161.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id D6C0F19674
> for <k...@aol.com>; Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
> Message-ID:
> <22590970.1117577752762.JavaMail.r...@bla161.prod.google.com>
> From: n9ogl <todd...@consolidated.net>
> To: k...@aol.com
> Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Date: Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
> X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:319993467:9395241
> X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
> n9ogl has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> Wimpy mother ####er I offer you a man's chance and you slap my face.
>
> Now I come to your place and slap your face but I use a baseball bat.
> You will look good with your mouth at the back you neck.
>
> now you done it bitch.
>
> Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
> Post: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
> Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/voice-gets-face-lift.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> I'll tell your what, Todd. I'll give you until 3PM central June
> 1st to figure out who it was before I call the Taylorville Police and
> file a criminal complaint.
>
> If need be, I'll drive to Taylorville and sign it myself, and I
> will bring copies of the posts, headers and all, including this threat.
>
> Get busy.

Let's make that FOUR Letters

Return-Path: <todd...@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-ya06.mx.aol.com (rly-ya06.mail.aol.com
[172.18.141.88]) by air-ya03.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id

MAILINYA33-76f429dd13b2ce; Wed, 01 Jun 2005 11:16:29 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-ya06.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINYA65-76f429dd13b2ce; Wed, 01 Jun 2005 11:16:12 -0400
Received: from bla9.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 798951FD604
for <k...@aol.com>; Wed, 1 Jun 2005 08:16:11 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID: <20559220.1117638971492.JavaMail.r...@bla9.prod.google.com>
From: Todd <todd...@consolidated.net>
To: k...@aol.com
Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: NEW WEBSITE
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2005 08:16:11 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:422035459:13153337
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:

I check and there no way email can go one person from blog. I think
you forged email for news group which a crime.

So you have until 3pm tomorrow for apologize or I contact police about
impursonation and libel of me.

Got that, retard?

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: NEW WEBSITE
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/new-website.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The
Laughter)
Date: 1 Jun 2005 21:57:51 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <1117688271.126151.163900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1117573712.328894.258140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1117579881.039889.158600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117609127.064231.182650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1117639459.239266.38420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1117649545.154245.255660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.117.14

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117688276 4135 127.0.0.1 (2 Jun 2005
04:57:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 2 Jun 2005 04:57:56 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1117649545.154245.255660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.117.14;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> Another fake letter, I'm not going to waste my time with this crap.

QUOTE

Return-Path: <todd...@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-xj01.mx.aol.com (rly-xj01.mail.aol.com
[172.20.116.38]) by air-xj01.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINXJ14-500429e04a226e; Wed, 01 Jun 2005 14:55:27 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-xj01.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINXJ110-500429e04a226e; Wed, 01 Jun 2005 14:55:30 -0400
Received: from bla2.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 0DDDA1FD61C
for <k...@aol.com>; Wed, 1 Jun 2005 11:55:30 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID: <5993180.1117652130049.JavaMail.root@bla2.prod.google.com>
From: Todd <todd...@consolidated.net>
To: k...@aol.com
Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2005 11:55:30 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:289951131:9395241
X-Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:

I block all comment on the blog and now you can't post your ##### ######.
Whine in policy all you want ##### but you not ever be alowed post
again.

Remember to apologize before 3pm tomorrow or else.

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/arrl-lies.html

--
Powered by Blogger

http://www.blogger.com/
AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0

UNQUOTE

Five.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The
Laughter)
Date: 3 Jun 2005 00:49:51 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 82
Message-ID: <1117784991.922911.281420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1117573712.328894.258140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1117579881.039889.158600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117609127.064231.182650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<SLudnbdWwuvDOwLfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.117.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117784997 22860 127.0.0.1 (3 Jun 2005
07:49:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 3 Jun 2005 07:49:57 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <SLudnbdWwuvDOwLfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.117.14;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

KC8GXW wrote:

> That can't be the toad, his type can't hold a Louisville Slugger!

You may be right. I found this in my spambox this morning:

QUOTE:

This is the Postfix program at host blogger.com.

I'm sorry to have to inform you that your message could not be
be delivered to one or more recipients. It's attached below.

For further assistance, please send mail to <postmaster>

If you do so, please include this problem report. You can
delete your own text from the attached returned message.

The Postfix program

<todd...@consolidated.net>: host email.consolidated.net[216.176.95.140]
said:
550 <todd...@consolidated.net>... No such mailbox (in reply to RCPT
TO
command)

Final-Recipient: rfc822; todd...@consolidated.net
Action: failed
Status: 5.0.0
Diagnostic-Code: X-Postfix; host email.consolidated.net[216.176.95.140]
said:
550 <todd...@consolidated.net>... No such mailbox (in reply to RCPT
TO
command)

Received: from bla137.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2FEDC1968A
for <todd...@consolidated.net>; Thu, 2 Jun 2005 18:40:44 -0700
(PDT)
Message-ID:
<28226669.1117762143786.JavaMail.r...@bla137.prod.google.com>
From: Steve Robeson <k...@aol.com>
To: todd...@consolidated.net
Subject: Ultimate Insurance: Ultimate Insurance System - Annuity
Marketing Lead
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Thu, 2 Jun 2005 18:40:44 -0700 (PDT)

Steve Robeson has sent you a link to a weblog:

You'd better buy this insurance. I'm going to make sure that you need
it.

Steve

Blog: Ultimate Insurance
Post: Ultimate Insurance System - Annuity Marketing Lead
Link:
http://russultimateinsurancesystems.blogspot.com/2005/06/ultimate-insurance-system-_111776
143648160717.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

UNQUOTE

As much as it pains me to do so, I may owe Todd an apology for the
others. It now appears that someone tried to send a "message" to Todd
threatening him as "me".

Guess we just need to find out who...

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference
Date: 1 Jun 2005 00:50:57 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <1117612257.300868.38230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <jsGdnRLH7omwLwTfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
<1117566679.345852.26220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<ApOdncMCHaPEJQHfRVn-hQ@centurytel.net>
<1117577445.935886.187050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1117609572.912364.298360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117611393.330333.173360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.117.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117612262 16465 127.0.0.1 (1 Jun 2005
07:51:02 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2005 07:51:02 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1117611393.330333.173360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.117.14;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> >Right now, Todd, YOU are the one who has something to worry about
> >from "suits"...Acutally not a suit but a criminal complaint.
>
>
> criminal complaint for what???

The baseball bat threat you made in an e mail to me.

QUOTE:

n9ogl has sent you a link to a weblog:

Wimpy mother ~~####~~er I offer you a man's chance and you slap my face.

Now I come to your place and slap your face but I use a baseball bat.
You will look good with your mouth at the back you neck.

now you done it bitch.

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/voice-gets-face-lift.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

UNQUOTE

The headers are in the other thread.

From initial looks, it looks like YOUR e mail and YOUR "blog" sent
it.

So it's YOUR problem to fix.

Get busy.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference
Date: 1 Jun 2005 02:23:09 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <1117617789.214190.33110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <jsGdnRLH7omwLwTfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
<1117566679.345852.26220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<ApOdncMCHaPEJQHfRVn-hQ@centurytel.net>
<1117577445.935886.187050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1117609572.912364.298360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117611393.330333.173360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117612257.300868.38230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117615750.504341.323420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.32.193.10
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117617797 23537 127.0.0.1 (1 Jun 2005
09:23:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2005 09:23:17 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1117615750.504341.323420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=67.32.193.10;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> the one problem with that steve is my blog can not sent one message to

> one person, it doesn't have that capability. it can send messages out
> to a group on a mailing list and everyone recieves that message(s) and
> currently here is no one on that mailing list except me, So basically
> it's a fake.

The message came from YOUR e-mail address.

QUOTE

Return-Path: <todd...@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (rly-ya01.mail.aol.com
[172.18.141.83]) by air-ya03.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINYA34-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:16:01 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINYA16-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:15:58 -0400
Received: from bla161.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id D6C0F19674
for <k...@aol.com>; Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID:
<22590970.1117577752762.JavaMail.r...@bla161.prod.google.com>
From: n9ogl <todd...@consolidated.net>
To: k...@aol.com
Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:319993467:9395241
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0

So fix it.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Take The HINT Todd...No One Cares About Your Idiotic
"Broadcasts"
Date: 29 May 2005 03:17:39 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <1117361859.516522.87830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
References: <1117255012.541412.212390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<n-adnVr6ZMv_oQTfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
<1117350352.040510.132200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117361863 12798 127.0.0.1 (29 May 2005
10:17:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 May 2005 10:17:43 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1117350352.040510.132200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> But, according to the FCC it is the station transmitting the bulletin
> to determine if it is of interest to amateur radio operators....not
> some morons on the newsgroup on the internet.

Then why do you insist on bringing it here?

And don't you realize that since YOU are determining the content,
NOT the people listening, YOU are going to make a LOT of enemies?

You keep calling all the people who are potential listeners to your
broadcasts "morons"...How do you intend to develop any listener base by
insulting everyone you come into contact with.

Pay attention, Todd...

No one in this forum, and there are quite a few disparate
ideologies here, likes your idea or supports you. When this many
people who can't agree on anything else DO agree on ONE thing, you can
bet your bottom dollar that it's true. And we all agree you're an
idiot.

We don't care about your half-baked "theories". They are as dumb
as they come. They're unsafe, to boot.

Your opinions on FCC practice and policy are based upon your
emotional, knee jerk reactions based on YOUR failures to get a
broadcast license which was, in and of itself, due to YOUR unworthiness
for said licensure.

You're a loser all the way around, and we (yes...the "royal "we")
don't give a hoot about you or your opinions

It's not about "suppressing (your) free speech".."Speech" all you
want to...we just don't want to HEAR it, Todd. So if you keep running
into brick walls everytime you open your mouth, don't say you weren't
told.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL Lies
Date: 30 May 2005 01:47:15 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <1117442835.030577.226760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1117255012.541412.212390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<n-adnVr6ZMv_oQTfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ih9k7u5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
<_oKdnbRz47uJ5gffRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
<1117422837.919520.207330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117442841 14672 127.0.0.1 (30 May 2005
08:47:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 May 2005 08:47:21 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1117422837.919520.207330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> >However toddieboy thinks he can come up with any subject, add his on
> >warped opinions, declare it of interest to hams and present it as a
> >bulletin and he is within the rules. This 39 year old kid, still
> >sponging off his parents, doesn't have a clue.
>
> As long as it amateur related it's legal, no where in the FCC rules
> does it state that information bulletins can't be opinionated. It's you
> and he other's on here that don't have a clue.

The "clueless one" is going to be the midwestern "bulletin station
broadcaster" with a bushel-ful of 10-penny nails in his coax, Todd....

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL Lies
Date: 31 May 2005 04:04:37 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <1117537477.931250.53540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
References: <1117255012.541412.212390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<n-adnVr6ZMv_oQTfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>

<cuvyxnansvbet.ih9k7u5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
<_oKdnbRz47uJ5gffRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
<1117422837.919520.207330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117442835.030577.226760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117496771.314465.35660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<oFOme.13090$w21.1993@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
<1117502710.123381.52720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<%IPme.13115$w21.2663@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
<1117506188.850361.236680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117537484 12783 127.0.0.1 (31 May 2005
11:04:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 31 May 2005 11:04:44 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1117506188.850361.236680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> The difference between me and him Danny is I chck to see if the
> frequency is in use, if it is I move on. I pick a unused frequency to
> run my bulletin.

Obviously not, LiarToddie. There's already rumblings about your
diatribe just showing up in the middle of on-going QSO's.

> Your the one ingnorant and naive if you can understand
> that his transmissions (except for the interference) is legal, The FCC
> said so and your stupid that you can't understand that.

I really had a suck-butt night at work, and this was just what I
needed to add some laughter back into my routine.

Thanks, Todd! You are good for ONE thing... ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL Lies
Date: 1 Jun 2005 00:45:13 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <1117611913.867562.109510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.ih9k7u5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>

<_oKdnbRz47uJ5gffRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
<1117422837.919520.207330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117442835.030577.226760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117496771.314465.35660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<oFOme.13090$w21.1993@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
<1117502710.123381.52720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<%IPme.13115$w21.2663@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
<1117506188.850361.236680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1117537477.931250.53540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1117551963.955112.319200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<EJmdnWPpdpwzBAHfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net>
<1117563078.931801.191640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<A9ydncoviPqiKwHfRVn-ig@centurytel.net>
<1117578581.284407.237850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.117.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117611919 16150 127.0.0.1 (1 Jun 2005
07:45:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2005 07:45:19 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1117578581.284407.237850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.117.14;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> WELL I THINK YOUR FULL OF ####, NICE LYING STEVE TAUGHT YOU WELL....

I've not "taught" anyone anything here, Todd, save to point out
what a foul mouthed little toad you are...And I'd ave to say that your
"response" here pretty well validates that.

> BTW I HAVE ONE MORE WEEK THEN I GO BACK TO SCHOOL, AND THEN I GO IN THE
> FALL......

And we still ahve yet to see you unveil your "24/7" HF broadcasts
that you "promised".

One ToddLie after another.

> BUT YOU STILL A LITTLE ###HOLE ALONG WITH YOUR BOYFRIENDS
> STEVE, DAVE, AND COMMANDER ##########. SO SEND OFF YOU "LITTLE TAPE"

There you go with your male-oriented fetish response, Todd.

Just come out of the closet and get it over with. You'll be more
happy with your life...Or should I say "...more gay"...?!?!

> I'LL NOT ONLY SUE THE PEOPLE WHO MADE THAT FAKE PIECE OF ####, BUT I'LL
> SUE THE FCC FOR BELIEVING YOUR SORRY ###ES, AND HEY YOU CAN QUOTE ME ON

> THAT...#####!

We will.

And if the FCC "believes" the tape, it will only be after they run
it through electronic forensics to determine that it IS a legitimate
tape...so then you'll be up against the wall, Todd.

Go ahead..."sue"...! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from
Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess
Date: 14 Jul 2005 20:42:49 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <1121398969.635994.145030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1121293758.103980.293320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1121322167.606636.128860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1121351239.867114.69080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1121356667.130184.31730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1121357603.465839.193450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1121398975 10935 127.0.0.1 (15 Jul 2005
03:42:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jul 2005 03:42:55 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1121357603.465839.193450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> >So...are you going to do anything to fix it or just whine and
> >snivvel about it...(there's where my money is...)...???
>
> I on't know how I'm suppose to fix it but I do have a suggestion that
> you look up the definition of what a blog is, because frankily IMHO you
> don't know what a BLOG (AKA WEBLOG) is

Sure I do.

But the PROBLEM is that you foster and encourage the kind of
bahaviour that has created THIS problem.

You've been told, by more than me, but you refuse to fix the problem...

So YOU get to deal with the consequences.

Steve, K4YZ

3193. Re: stveie advocates Todds murder yep that is the assole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 07:39:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135841943.010114.39020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128964
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ"
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Question for K4YZ
Date: 16 May 2005 03:56:14 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <1116240974.668786.192240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References:
<1116196801.998427.213870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1116200797.622364.112760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30

X-Trace: posting.google.com 1116240980 1638 127.0.0.1 (16 May 2005
10:56:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 16 May 2005 10:56:20 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1116200797.622364.112760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> STEVE THE LIAR!!!!

Nope.

Old headers snipped. Here's what you really need to see:

> N9OGL wrote:
> > WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH
>
> Yep..she's gone. Thankfully she passed at home with loved ones
at
> hand.
>
> Can any of us say for certain how long we'll be here...?!?!
> Sudden sickness...a car accident...a stray bullet from a drive
> by...?!?! Who knows. The press is full of sad stories of early
> demise. And who knows who will be next...?!?!

Yep. That's exactly what I wrote.

And it was in the same post wherein I lamented the loss of my daughter and her untimely death. And everything I said in it is true.

E V E R Y DAY of the week there are articles about people who "died suddenly", people killed in automobile accidents, and innocents sitting in their own living rooms kiled by a stray bullet.

Not one word of it untrue.

Maybe next you'll be telling us about the black helicopters taking pictures of your house, Todd?

Or are you just taking lessons from Brian "Habitual Liar" Burke? He likes to take bits and pieces of other peoples posts and try to make threatening sounding posts too.

It doesn't work for him either...It only makes him look all the more foolhearty...Not that you need much help in THAT department, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

3194. Re: stveie advocates Todds murder yep that is the assole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 07:43:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135842226.581272.315160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128965

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped
Date: 9 May 2005 13:37:36 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <1115671056.062075.214870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
References: <5fd70b6c01c8d4ea31c312c8d2a37ae7@userbeam.net>
<d5f79$427f8404$d1cc796e$16187@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
<1115665926.752768.267990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1115668344.467184.165530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1115669165.708999.50070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1115669668.163785.191840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1115671060 31150 127.0.0.1 (9 May 2005
20:37:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 9 May 2005 20:37:40 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1115669668.163785.191840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH

Yep..she's gone. Thankfully she passed at home with loved ones at
hand.

Can any of us say for certain how long we'll be here...?!?!
Sudden sickness...a car accident...a stray bullet from a drive
by...?!?! Who knows. The press is full of sad stories of early
demise. And who knows who will be next...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3195. Re: Laughing at Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 09:51:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135849903.429139.306150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128966

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > >
> > > > So did the ###hole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> > > > or wort or woof or what?
> > >
> > > I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
> > > something about a deed.
> > >
> > > > Until Mark enrolls in a spelling class, I guess we'll just have to guess.
> > >
> > yeah...Like THAT will happen!
> >
> Like you will tell us what a person's sexuality has to do with amateur
> radio and RRAP.

I never said it did.

That's Markie's schtick claiming that I did.

Yours too, so it seems.

> > > He just told us that he failed the 5 wpm morse exam more than 100 times.
> > > How long are you willing to wait around?
> > >
> > I am willing to bet that his reported "100 times" was 99 more than
> > he attempted.
> >
> I'm willing to bet that you will avoid the truth for the rest of your
> life.

Then you may go ahead and sent the money to my CBA at your
discretion, Brain. Any amount over $1.00 you care to send will be
fine.

Steve, K4YZ

3196. Re: stevie the ###hole prooves (PROVES) deserves (DESERVES) his treatment as if it wee (WE) needed (Pass out on the keyboard again, Markie? Forget what you were writing?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 09:57:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135850271.923845.237120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128967

(a deceased child)@yahoo.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:

> KC8GXW wrote:
> > George Orwell wrote:
> >
> > > If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
> > > honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
> > > ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
> > >
> > > Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
> > >
> > > What a piece of work you are.
> > >
> >
> > And you know this because? Toad bragged that his ISP told him to not
> > come on the news groups but he refused to respect the ISP's authority.
> > If Steve snitched toad out, then that is wrong, but you just saying it
> > doesn't make it true!
>
> I didn't "snitch toad out" for his newsgroup activities other than
> his threats and profanities which I received via e-mail. Not all of
> the stupid letters I received were via his blog. The headers tell the
> tale and THAT'S what got him into trouble.
>
> As for the suggestion that Todd was not responsible for the
> threatening letters, I look at it this way...Even if he didn't send
> some of them, he encouraged and incited others via his blog to act
> irresponsibly.
>
> I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his
> blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.
>
> Also, I am obviously NOT the only person who's been busting
> Todd's
> chops with his ISP.
>
> He played with fire...He got burnt...Oh well...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

So far, Markie, You haven't "proven" anything other than you think
it's wrong to expect people to live by the rules they voluntarily
subscribe to.

> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Mark Morgan
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

Thank-you, Markie...One more log on the fire.

Steve, K4YZ

3197. More Evidence that FoS is FOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 10:07:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135850829.817953.160860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128968

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> Step back and take a good, hard look at the whole picture. Dud has
> been in this group for years doing the same things he's accusing of
> you, and he uses sock-puppets to boot. He has lied about many facets
> of his military 'career'...(SNIP)

Lie Number 1.

> he has lied about his status as an EMT...(SNIP)

Lie Number 2

> and has openly admitted to behavior that is marginally legal...(SNIP)

Lie Number 3.

> He has no credibility in or out of the newsgroup...(SNIP)

Lie Number 4.

> Not only that, but there have
> been many, many people who have prodded him on Usenet and he hasn't
> sued any of them yet. In case you haven't noticed, Dudly is all talk...(SNIP)

Lie Number 5. (Whew!)

> So like I said before, rest assured he doesn't have an attorney and
> he's not taking any sort of legal action.

Lie Number 6. No wonder you managed 2 court martials in four
years.

> On the microbial chance that
> he has gone totally insane, enjoin me as a defendant and I'll crash
> his party. OK?

Evidence that you enjoy public humiliation.

I'll bring along some of YOUR past posts of errors, deceit and
harrassment, Frankie. I am sure they'll give you your "due" credit for
them. Courts love disgraced liars as "character witnesses".

Steve, K4YZ

3198. More Markie Mularkie...Along With Some Lessons On Cartography

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 10:18:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135851507.668134.161420@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128969

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:

> > > but then I doubt he could
> > > find the place, in some of his posts he said that chaseel was near
> > > marquette
> >
> > First of all, there's no "chaseel" in Michigan, Markie.
> >
> > Secondly, Marquette is only 30 air miles, probably an extra 15
> > driving miles since it's not a straight line to get to "Chassell" from
> > there.
>
> wrong again

For ONCE you were right, Markie, but only because I didn't
consider it a big enough deal to map out on MAPQUEST. It's a total of
89 miles DRIVING.

However that STILL puts Chassell "near" Marquette...Unless you're
crawling on your hands and knees, a position I am sure you are
comfrotable in.

> > Since the continental United States is about 3000 miles by 2500
> > miles, I think the average person would consider thirty miles,
> > give-or-take, as "near".

I take a "stand corrected" on the thirty miles, however STILL
assert that even 90 miles is "near" when compared to other cities
within Michigan.

Steve, K4YZ

3199. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 10:31:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135852272.542602.238660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128970

taelor_robeson@hotmail.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:
> On 23 Dec 2005 06:28:39 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >an Old friend wrote:
> >> why doesn't try the truth
> >
> > Good question. Why don't you?
>
> I do

Obviously not.

You posted THIS response under a forged screen name defiling the
memory of a deceased child that was not your own.

To misrepresent your identity is, in and of itself, deceitful.
Ergo you clearly demonstrated that you are NOT truthful.

> >> he has been sping this lie that I posting drunk whn I don't drink?
> >
> > Re-read that line and then TRY and wonder why anyone who is
> >COMPETENT in English might wonder.
>
> you claim not to wonder but to KNOW ywhen you make that claim YOU LIE

Nope. No lie. You've acknowledged drinking in the past and your
actions are indicative of intoxication now.

> >> why do this after nothing ilegal immoral iresponaible or evn wrong if I
> >> were posting drunk after it is isn't driving drunk
> >
> > I never said it was illegal or immoral.
>
> more lie

Prove it.

Where did I say it was illegal or immoral to drink, Markie...?!?!

> > I said it's stupid and contributes to your already functionally
> >illiterate jibberish.
>
> more lie you never said that till now...(SNIP)

Not true. I've said it before.

> abd beside drink has no effect
> onmy writing since i don't drink so you lie agan

Then answer my previous question: Were you lying about liking a
cold beer in the past, or are you lying about drinking at ALL
now...?!?!

> > There's a lot of things you can do in this life that are neither
> >illegal or immoral, but are obviously stupid.
> >
> >> he avoided answered the question of why he is claiming that he has gone
> >> trhough my trash or had someone go through my trash looking fro achol
> >> bottles?
> >
> > No one had to, Markie. You've acknowledged drinking in this forum
> >in the past.
>
> agaoin avoid the issue you claimed to have knowledge of my tash

What's a "tash"...?!?! Is that like a "tush", because if it is, I
can assure you that's the LAST of my concerns.

> so you are claing to to have knowledge that would require you to comit
> a crime to get

Reading what you write in here is not a crime, Markie.

It's laborious, even frustrating at times, but it's not illegal.

> > Your writing and blatant lack of judgement make it easy to draw
> >obvious conclusions.
>
> obvious how?

Your spelling sucks.

Your sentences are incomplete.

You make outlandish statements.

You conduct yourself in a manner that a prudent person wouldn't
consider doing if they were otherwise in control of their faculties.

> >> why tell this lie?
> >
> > It's not a lie.
>
> it is a lie

Nope..

> >> the only reason i can see right off it is convince he is stalking me,
> >> and then try at some point to pull a rug from under me
> >
> > You're pulling your own rug while standing on it, Markie. The
> >subsequent fall is going to be painful and expensive.
>
> more threats from stvei

Who's "stvei"...?!?!

> idle threats at that

If you say so.

> >> but still what is the point?
> >
> > You'd better ask yourself that question several times a day...
>
> why ask myself about YOUR actions

Why indeed.

Steve, K4YZ

3200. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 10:41:13 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dp0ecq$s0s$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 128971
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:43b40bf5.536277466@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 13:04:19 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >
> >I find it surprising that the Full licence requirement no longer requires
> >Morse. It seems to be on a self destruct course which is a shame as most
of
> >you hard working Amateurs Full licence's seem to have been belittled by
> >this. If a Full Licence doesn't require Morse then the licence doesn't
mean
> >as much. I think that is a mistake. Technical competence seems to be a
> >bygone word.
>
>
> I find this puzzling. Please explain to me why you think a Morse Test
> pass at 12 wpm makes someone technically proficient? Surely a
> practical examination in some aspect(s) of circuit design, home
> construction and use of basic test equipment would be of far more
> value. I'd very much like to see such a practical section introduced
> as a replacement for the Morse Test.

It doesn't make them technically proficient to do Morse at 12wpm but it does mean they have actually got the basic skills to communicate in a different language, it used to make them technically proficient holding the Licence because it included more in depth knowledge and understanding of aspects of their hobby whereas now, the dropping on Morse and the simplified tick box answers makes the licence a much easier and less respected qualification.

> I've been a CW op all my amateur radio life (ie since 1961) and for
> the previous 10 years as an SWL. Not once have I felt superior to the
> many G8 friends I have who have never taken the morse test but whose
> technical proficiency in electronics is far greater than mine.

Of course the above is true, and you are a true Amateur and you admit and acknowledge that you aren't gods gift and there are others out there with a lower licence that surpass you in technical knowledge in certain fields rather than the nut jobs in here who just like to wind people up and belittle them calling them brain dead and lazy arsed because they think they are superior in "every" way.

>One
> very good friend of mine, a G8, is a Professor of Electrical

> Engineering at a well known UK University. He's written countless
> papers on antenna design, microwaves and the like, yet, for years, he
> was deprived the chance to operate on the HF bands simply because he
> had no interest in CW .... how ridiculous.

Peter, you have no argument with me over this. I also have no real interest in Morse either(at the moment) as Amateur radio is about far more than Morse. I don't like the attitude of a few in here with their Bigotry towards newcomers and class B either but.... I can see both sides and the old school who studied hard and were proud to get their Top Level licences feel that their efforts have been nullified as the licence has dropped the Morse requirement and is now a lower and easier thing to obtain. That said why spit Bile and hatred towards others.... it's supposed to be a Gentlemen's pursuit.

I also know many G8s who
> can send and receive Morse well in excess of 12wpm but who chose not
> to take the morse test. They use morse on the VHF/UHF and microwave
> bands for weak signal work such as meteor scatter, EME, etc.... far
> more demanding in terms of homemade gear and antenna systems than an
> HF bands CW QSO (most likely using commercial gear and commercial
> antenna).

No argument with you at all there....

> CW does not make you a better radio amateur but it does give some
> folk on this newgroup an inflated opinion of themselves. Perhaps that
> test and the RAE are only exams they have ever been able to pass? ;-)
>
> Peter, G3PHO

Absolutely my point Pete if you scroll through some of the messages I've posted over many months (not just in here) you would see me making the same point many times. I would rather talk on the radio rather than dot dah at people, "if all else fails you can get through" is the argument many put forward. So what? Its only a technical hobby and hardly likely to be used by the majority of Hams in an emergency. Most folk now have mobile phones and the chances of more than one cell being knocked out is, in reality, an unlikely event as the same power failures would take out the repeaters too. I certainly wouldn't use the radio to call for help if I had a mobile phone with me and I'm 99.9% certain I would more likely have my phone at my side than a radio of any description so why worry about getting through. Also if it was so easy to make contact where's the sense of accomplishment? That's why I like 11m. It's hard to make a contact but when you do it's a great feeling.

I take people as I find them Pete and if they are M3, Intermediate or Advanced as long as they spoke to me as I would speak to them, I can't genuinely see a problem. Its just a few nutjobs in here that have issues, not me.

Regards and a happy new year,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19

3201. Todd's Twisted Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 10:47:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135853229.758462.48240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128972

N9OGL wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > First off you ****head, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
> > can't justify that statement. secondly that's your ****ing opinion,
> and
> > in my view you and your little **** buddies on here are a small
> > minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE ****ING THING, MY
> > PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO **** YOU!!!!
>
> There's five good reasons to put 10-penny nails in your coax.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

OK. So what?

> Bathrooman wrote:
> > Toddy said:
> > >...go suppress someone elses
> > > free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.
> >
> > Here is yet another example of your ignorance. Amateur radio bands
> are
> > not PUBLIC AIRWAVES. Amateur radio is a licensed service for the
> > exclusive use of licensed amateur radio operators. The PUBLIC
> AIRWAVES
> > are the standard AM, FM, and TV broadcast bands, to name three. I'm
> > not making this up, this is how PUBLIC AIRWAVES are defined within
> the
> > meaning of the law.
>
> Hear hear!
>
> >Go back and study up for the novice test.
>
> ...and wait for the new one to come out!
>
> > N9 Out Go the Legalities
>
> N9 Obnoxious Gay Loudmouth

Uh huh. Your point? Where was I wrong?

> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > No where in the FCC rules governing the amateur radio service does it

> > state that an information buletin can not be opinoinated about issues
> > dealing with amateur radio.
>
> Once you take "news" and add "opinion", it becomes editorial and
> is no longer within the realm of an "information bulletin".
>
> You're an idiot, Todd, and unfortunately for the rest of us it's
> illegal to shoot idiots just on principle. Otherwise this episode
> would be over already.
>
> But it's illegal even for idiots to break the law, and sooner or
> later the FCC will come knocking on your door.
>
> Good luck trying to pass your rationalizations off on them.
> They've logged a lot of time getting blockheads like you off the air,
> and on occassion, into jail...where you belong.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You've still not made a "point", Toiddie.

Are you going to try?

> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > You are to be pittied.
> > >
> > > By who?
> > >
> > > You...?!?!
> > >
> > > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Anyone who starts a new thread titled:
> >
> > "WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
> > WED..?!?!"
> >
> > is to be pittied.
>
> And I asked...BY WHO? Or more correctly, by WHOM?
>
> > Whatever gave you the idea that Tod and Mark might wed?
>
> Nothing. It was a joke. But they are so much alike and share
> similar persuations, that it's not beyond the realm of the possible
> these days.
>

> > Why do you continue with your gay inuendos?
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...With Mark and Todd there's no innuendo. They are.
>
> > Why don't you seek help?
>
> For what?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Still waiting for a point.

Or are you just fond of recycling old posts?

You're gay. Mark's gay. You're both from the same part of the
country.

You're a bit more accurate with your written word, however the
profanites and quickness to lose your temper offset that.

> N9OGL wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Sorry which post?
> > > I have never said you are gay.
> > >
> > > You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?
>
> That was his name, Mark, but to his credit, it wasn't him...this
> time.
>
> > > I was wondering even as I replied to it
> > > or ther other post beofre this one
> > >
> > > I would not be certain Stevie was behind (although he may well be) but
> > > there have been cases of people pretending to be stevie to make the
> > > interaction More entertaining to to them
> > >
> > > I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)
>
> Sponge Bob and Mr Bill...?!?!
>
> > No, someone on here pretending to be me and it pisses me off...(SNIP)
>
> And AGAIN you zip right past accepting ANY responsibility for YOUR
> behaviour...
>
> It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.
>
> Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
> opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!
>
> > because

> > that's what got me thrown off the internet in the first place, someone
> > pertending to be me.
>
> No, no, NO!
>
> It was YOU being YOU that got you thrown off, Todd!
>
> And it's painfully apparent that you haven't learned a whole lot
> form the experience, either!
>
> > it was either steve or one of his little buddies
> > trying once again to get people pissed off at me and have kick off
> > again.
>
> If you get kicked off again, it will be YOUR fault.
>
> And it wasn't me, nor was it anyone I know.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Still no point, Toiddie, and MY point remains that YOUR conduct got
YOU booted from Consolodated.

> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Sorry which post?
> > > > > I have never said you are gay.
> > > > >
> > > > > You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?
> > >
> > > That was his name, Mark, but to his credit, it wasn't him...this
> > > time.
> >
> > assuming you this how Stevie
>
> What?
>
> Did you mean "How did you know this?"
>
> Easy. I can read.
>
> > > > > I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)
> > >
> > > Sponge Bob and Mr Bill...?!?!
> >
> > None of your affair Stevie
>
> You're right...I prefr my "affairs" woth women.
>

> "Girlie men" don't qualify.
>
> > > > No, someone on here pretending to be me and it pisses me off...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > And AGAIN you zip right past accepting ANY responsibility for YOUR
> > > behaviour...
> > >
> > If true it is a trait you are also quite famier with Steve
>
> "famier"...?!?!
>
> What?
>
> > > It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.
> > > >
> > > Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
> > > opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!
> > >
> > Just which notes are you admiting were forgeries Stevie boy
>
> I am not "admitting" anything, Mark.
>
> And as you said, it's "none of your affair".
>
> If you're really THAT interested, Mark, Just back up and go over
> the last 6 weeks worth of RRAP drivvel.
>
> > > > because
> > > > that's what got me thrown off the internet in the first place, someone
> > > > pertending to be me.
> > > >
> > > No, no, NO!
> > > >
> > > It was YOU being YOU that got you thrown off, Todd!
> > >
> > Not it seems without your help Steve
>
> Rights, Mark...Rights...
>
> You can't whine about getting yours then demanding that others
> can't have theirs.
>
> Same with Toiddie. He likes to throw "IT'S MY RIGHT" around as if
> saying so shields him from responsibility.
>
> T'aint so.
>
> > > And it's painfully apparent that you haven't learned a whole lot
> > > form the experience, either!
> > >

> > Pianfully to whom? you? Good going Todd
>
> Todd's the one with the learning deficit, Mark.
>
> If you're going to jump into a thread and muck around, at least
> please have the attributes and "stories" straight.
>
> > > > it was either steve or one of his little buddies
> > > > trying once again to get people pissed off at me and have kick off
> > > > again.
> > >
> > > If you get kicked off again, it will be YOUR fault.
> >
> > Why is that Stevie
>
> Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a seasonal thing?
>
> > > And it wasn't me, nor was it anyone I know.
> >
> > making that as a declaritive sentence has to be a lie
>
> Nope.
>
> > Case 1 the best BTW you realy don't know who did it and thus you can't
> > say it was or was not anyone you know.
>
> Sure I can say it.
>
> Because no one on MY friends list was responsible.
>
> There's a considerable "back channel" flow of communications going
> on, sometimes moreso than others.
>
> No one >>I<< know is responsible.
>
> > Case 2 You do know which means you know the prep and are lying in
> > claiming you don't the perp
>
> Nope.
>
> It is as I said.
>
> Deal with it.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Still no point.

Yaaaaaaaawwn.
>
>

>
> Path:
> g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL
> Date: 21 Jun 2005 03:43:59 -0700
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Lines: 50
> Message-ID: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119350644 25829 127.0.0.1 (21 Jun 2005
> 10:44:04 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2005 10:44:04 +0000 (UTC)
> User-Agent: G2/0.2
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
> posting-host=152.163.100.138;
> posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO
>
> Well, Todd...You've only posted one item on RRAP since May and
> already it's begun.
>
> However, here's the benefit of the doubt: Here's a copy of the
> e-mail I got along with headers. I am e-mailing it to you also.
>
> Now you can take it to your ISP and let THEM deal with it.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Return-Path: <todd...@consolidated.net>
> Received: from rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (rly-xb02.mail.aol.com
> [172.20.64.48]) by air-xb02.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILINXB21-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:38:51 -0400
> Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILRELAYINXB23-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:37:45 -0400
> Received: from bla74.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id CBC5C1FD57F
> for <k...@aol.com>; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
> Message-ID: <1958968.1119350265337.JavaMail.root@bla74.prod.google.com>
> From: Todd <todd...@consolidated.net>
> To: k...@aol.com
> Subject: Molin: Stressed.
> Mime-Version: 1.0

> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
> X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:406544803:11327976
> X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
>
> Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> YOU ***ING BITCH. THIS TIME YOU DON'T KNOW MY ISP AND SO YOU CAN'T
> BITCH TO ANYBODY BUT GOOGLES. WHAT GOES A ROUND COMES A ROUND.
> REMAMBER THAT.
>
> Blog: Molin
> Post: Stressed.
> Link: http://molin.blogspot.com/2005/06/stressed.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Path:
> g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL
> Date: 22 Jun 2005 01:19:11 -0700
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Lines: 41
> Message-ID: <1119428351.654377.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> References: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> <1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.117.14
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119428357 26682 127.0.0.1 (22 Jun 2005
> 08:19:17 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2005 08:19:17 +0000 (UTC)
> In-Reply-To: <1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> User-Agent: G2/0.2
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
> posting-host=64.12.117.14;
> posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO
>
>
>

> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > apparently you don't have the brains to understand that and
> > consolidated communication were stupid enough to believe your lying
> > ###.
>
> I didn't lie, Todd.
>
> I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> them.
>
> However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> than adequate justification to pull your service.
>
> You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> > Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> > talking about that information coming from a liar
>
> Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
> that race.
>
> > Todd N9OGL
> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> > 14.321.00 MHz
> > Satur...@4.00 p.m. CST
>
> There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
>
> "Shows" are broadcasting.
>
> If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
> you're breaking the law.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

And STILL no point...Other that recycling my assertions as to your misuse and abuse of your Amateur Radio license.

So...I could go on and on through these quotes you made, Toiddie, and keep coming up with the same result...That you have no point to make other than hoping that someone might get lost in all the headers you quoted and forget that the bottom line is that YOU got in trouble for YOUR actions.

Just like Markie's doing now.

Steve, K4YZ

3202. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 10:49:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135853353.089428.100490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128973

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the ###hole",
> > when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Are you saying that Mark has you confused with someone else?

Absolutley.

I wonder how he managed to spell "Brain" as "stvie"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3203. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 10:51:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135853467.558374.305450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128974

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the ###hole",
> > > when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Are you saying that Mark has you confused with someone else?
>
> he just proves there would be no point in apologizing for anything to
> stevie he is an ungratfull sack of ####

Well Mark, why don't you just try, starting with an apology for
defiling my daughter's memory along with a pledge to never do it again
and let's see where it leads...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3204. Re: SOME OF STEVE "I'M A LIAR" ROBBERSON K4YZ PAST ABUSIVE POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 11:27:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135855676.637244.118810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128975

Consolidated Communications are a bunch of assholes to believe this lying mother fucker who's name is Steve Robeson K4YZ. The asshole could get it that they were sent through blogger.com, which are owned by these fucking assholes (GOOGLES!) and I had no control over those people who post, and what REALLY pisses me off is the fuckers at CONSOLIDATED believed that wormly little bastard (K4YZ). Hell, the son of bitch admitted in one of those post that the messages were fake

QUOTE

"As much as it pains me to do so, I may owe Todd an apology for
the
others. It now appears that someone tried to send a "message" to Todd threatening him as "me".

Guess we just need to find out who"

UNQUOTE

But, no the little wormy fucker lies first claiming he did send those letters to consolidated and then later LIES that he didn't send the letters. And FYI a ISP is nothing more then a conduit, and had no really power to control what the person posts on the Internet. The US Federal courts has ruled that a message on the internet has just as much first amendment right protection as regular normal Print. As for my Blog, I have no control on what a person post, as a matter of fact some message that were sent later were sent not from my blog but from other blogs, and if you want I'll search googles and bring them up as well. But a good example was an email I recieved from VK3HAG, the message wasn't sent by him but from some one going through one of BLOGGER.COM blog site, and it wasn't my blog. But no Steve "I'm the moderator of rec.radio.amateur.policy" Robberson believes that I can tell the people, who I don't know to stop what they are doing. Or tell those other blog site owners how they should run their sites. Bottom line steve should get the "I'M AN ASSHOLE AWARD" along with those stupid fucks at Consolidated Communication" and any wormy little fuckers on here that supports that son of a bitch should also get that award as well.

Douchebags!!!

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

3205. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 11:28:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135855710.490450.120860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128976

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the ###hole",
> > > when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Are you saying that Mark has you confused with someone else?
>
> Absolutley.
>
> I wonder how he managed to spell "Brain" as "stvie"...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I've reread it and see no mistake. "Brain" and "stvie" are so unalike
that mispelling one won't result in the other. Do you have some other
tactic to try?

3206. Re: Laughing at Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 11:51:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135857089.067268.157090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128977

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > So did the ###hole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> > > > > or wort or woof or what?
> > > >
> > > > I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
> > > > something about a deed.
> > > >
> > > > > Until Mark enrolls in a spelling class, I guess we'll just have to guess.
> > >
> > > yeah...Like THAT will happen!
> >
> > Like you will tell us what a person's sexuality has to do with amateur
> > radio and RRAP.
>
> I never said it did.
>
> That's Markie's schtick claiming that I did.
>
> Yours too, so it seems.

If it has nothing to do with amateur radio or RRAP, why have you posted
it on rrap so much?

> > > > He just told us that he failed the 5 wpm morse exam more than 100 times.
> > > > How long are you willing to wait around?
> > >
> > > I am willing to bet that his reported "100 times" was 99 more than
> > > he attempted.
> >
> > I'm willing to bet that you will avoid the truth for the rest of your
> > life.
>
> Then you may go ahead and sent the money to my CBA at your
> discretion, Brain. Any amount over $1.00 you care to send will be
> fine.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It's a figure of speech. You'll not entice me to break the law.

3207. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 11:58:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135857488.965436.274300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128978

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K0HB on Dec 28, 8:20 pm
>
>
> >"Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote
> >
> >> ..... what is your specific proposal?
> >
> > I propose that new license applications be available
> > in two classes, namely "Class B" and "Class A".
> >
> > The "Class B" learners permit would have an entry-level test
> > (basic regulations, safety, operating procedures, basic DC
> > and AC electronics). This class would have full frequency and
> > mode privileges, power limited to 50W output. The permit
> > would be issued for a period of 10 years, and be non-renewable.
>
> Makes sense...
>
> > The "Class A" license test would be of a difficulty level
> > similar to the current Extra class test, and would have
> > full privileges at power levels up to 1500W, equivalent to
> > current Extra Class license holders. This license
> > would be issued "for life" without requirement for
> > renewal.
>
> Also makes sense...
>
> > Current licenses could be renewed indefinitely, and would
> > retain their current operating privileges.
>
> Makes more sense...
>
> > Current Novice, Technician, General, and Advanced class
> > licensees could upgrade to "Class A" at any time.
>
> The only non-sense is in the objections of all those who
> use their present "extra" license class title to show how
> "good" they are and "better than average."
>
> While a certain party in here will mumble on about class
> "A" and "B" have been done before (as class designations)
> the good thing about the alphabetic arbitrary "names" is
> that none of those carry any emotional baggage which now

> exists with the present six-class naming scheme (yes,
> Jimmie, I know that the FCC is only issuing "new" licesnes
> in three classes, but the old ones still exist in the FCC
> databases).
>
> VEs would have it easier with so few test-proctorings and
> that might mean long times between VE testing sessions,
> somewhat delaying entry of newcomers (to either A or B
> classes).
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

But will Jim allow it? He already has it in his mind that the FCC doesn't license amateur radio (Dec 10), so he must have stepped up to the plate as the authority that must be dealt with in these matters. So at the end of the day, it is Miccolis that must be convinced.

;^)

3208. looking for action sat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:10:43 UTC
From: NOGL <gay_ham@lambda.org>
Message-ID: <dp0jnm$sa2$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128996

anyone who want good time please email or post here. we get together
then I love you long time.

3209. Re: steve the asshole that cried worf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:12:38 UTC
From: NOGL <gay_ham@lambda.org>
Message-ID: <dp0jrb$sa2$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128997

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>>Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
>
>
> I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you

I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.

3210. Re: Kiddie Rapist Cmdr. Buzz Corey exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:15:37 UTC
From: NOGL <gay_ham@lambda.org>
Message-ID: <dp0k0t$sa2$3@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 128998

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> So, yes; if you are going to tell it, tell it straight.
>
>
> LRod
>
> Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite
>
> Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999
>
> http://www.woodbutcher.net
>

I love lrod long time once. not again though cause he just lie there say
nothing.

3211. More Mularkie from the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:32:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135859569.249315.300220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128979

K4YZ wrote:
> taelor_robeson@hotmail.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:
> > On 23 Dec 2005 06:28:39 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >an Old friend wrote:
> > >> why doesn't try the truth
> > >
> > > Good question. Why don't you?
> >
> > I do
>
> Obviously not.

not at all
>
> You posted THIS response under a forged screen name defiling the
> memory of a deceased child that was not your own.

you yourself gave me leave to do so. youself choose to "defile the
dead" to make jokes
>
> To misrepresent your identity is, in and of itself, deceitful.
> Ergo you clearly demonstrated that you are NOT truthful.

no misrpresentation exist the post is Signed Mark Morgan, no effort is
made to conceal my ID therefore no deciet

there fore you just lied and are not thrruthful
>
> > >> he has been sping this lie that I posting drunk whn I don't drink?
> > >
> > > Re-read that line and then TRY and wonder why anyone who is
> > >COMPETENT in English might wonder.
> >
> > you claim not to wonder but to KNOW ywhen you make that claim YOU LIE
>
> Nope. No lie. You've acknowledged drinking in the past and your
> actions are indicative of intoxication now.

no I have not
you are lying some more

I have never adknowledged anys such thing

you can not KNOW if I am drunk, any such claim is a lie
>
> > >> why do this after nothing ilegal immoral iresponaible or evn wrong if I

> > >> were posting drunk after it is isn't driving drunk
> > >
> > > I never said it was illegal or immoral.
> >
> > more lie
>
> Prove it.

aalrady done so many time
>
> Where did I say it was illegal or immoral to drink, Markie...?!?!
>
> > > I said it's stupid and contributes to your already functionally
> > >illiterate jibberish.
> >
> > more lie you never said that till now...(SNIP)
>
> Not true. I've said it before.
>
> > abd beside drink has no effect
> > onmy writing since i don't drink so you lie agan
>
> Then answer my previous question: Were you lying about liking a
> cold beer in the past, or are you lying about drinking at ALL
> now...?!?!

I have never said I like a cold beer

in fact I don't like beer at all

on the rare occsions when I do drink I drink other things but never
beer unless I am someone guest and being polite
>
> > > There's a lot of things you can do in this life that are neither
> > >illegal or immoral, but are obviously stupid.
> > >
> > >> he avoided answered the question of why he is claiming that he has gone
> > >> trhough my trash or had someone go through my trash looking fro achol
> > >> bottles?
> > >
> > > No one had to, Markie. You've acknowledged drinking in this forum
> > >in the past.
> >
> > agaoin avoid the issue you claimed to have knowledge of my tash
>
> What's a "tash"...?!?! Is that like a "tush", because if it is, I
> can assure you that's the LAST of my concerns.

more of your sexual obessions

you claim to have gone through my trash

>
> > so you are claing to to have knowledge that would require you to comit
> > a crime to get
>
> Reading what you write in here is not a crime, Markie.

never said it was

tresspessing and conspriasy to trepass are

>
> It's laborious, even frustrating at times, but it's not illegal.

more bullshit
>
> > > Your writing and blatant lack of judgement make it easy to draw
> > >obvious conclusions.
> >
> > obvious how?
>
> Your spelling sucks.

so?

I agree it does

>
> Your sentences are incomplete.

so?

>
> You make outlandish statements.

not realy you do try and twist them in outlandish staetment but I am
not responible for your distrotions
>
> You conduct yourself in a manner that a prudent person wouldn't
> consider doing if they were otherwise in control of their faculties.

in your opinion

>
> > >> why tell this lie?
> > >
> > > It's not a lie.
> >
> > it is a lie
>
> Nope..

syaing I ever posted drunk is a Lie

saying you KNOW I am ever intoxicated is a lie

you can not have such knowledge from your position in space and time

>
> > >> the only reason i can see right off it is convince he is stalking me,
> > >> and then try at some point to pull a rug from under me
> > >
> > > You're pulling your own rug while standing on it, Markie. The
> > >subsequent fall is going to be painful and expensive.
> >
> > more threats from stvei
>
> Who's "stvei"...?!?!
you

>
> > idle threats at that
>
> If you say so.

and now you admit the threat is idle

>
> > >> but still what is the point?
> > >
> > > You'd better ask yourself that question several times a day...
> >
> > why ask myself about YOUR actions
>
> Why indeed.

and yet you do

>
> Steve, K4YZ

do yourself a favout tell your attorney what you are doing as you
torpedo any case you have

if you don't have a lawyer I suggest you get one

3212. stveie dosn have a lawyer more lie from the stening plie os shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:35:46 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8rl7r1lsg09sbtpluk81ee8ocf7sa8d393@4ax.com>
Source record: 128982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making
false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3213. Re: stevie the asshole prooves (PROVES) deserves (DESERVES) his treatment as if it wee (WE) needed (Pass out on the keyboard again, Markie? Forget what you were writing?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:36:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135859811.861902.313970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128980

K4YZ wrote:
> (a deceased child)@yahoo.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:

with the full premission of her father
>
> > KC8GXW wrote:
> > > George Orwell wrote:
cut.
> >
> > I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his
> > blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.
> >
> > Also, I am obviously NOT the only person who's been busting
> > Todd's
> > chops with his ISP.
> >
> > He played with fire...He got burnt...Oh well...
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> So far, Markie, You haven't "proven" anything other than you think
> it's wrong to expect people to live by the rules they voluntarily
> subscribe to.

Indeed nice you to admit it

You can not be expected to live by the rules you claim to subcribe to

you can not be expected to follow even the rules you lay down

I have indeed proven that
>
> > everyone should be advised that The following person
> > has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in
general forges post and name
> >
> > he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> > he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
> >
> > STEVEN J ROBESON
> > 151 12TH AVE NW

> > WINCHESTER TN 37398
> > 931-967-6282
> >
> > BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
> >
> > Mark Morgan
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com
> > _____
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 140,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> Thank-you, Markie...One more log on the fire.

what log? what Fire

done nothing you claim is ok when you do it or someyou have given express to do so

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3214. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:39:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135859989.047760.94160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128981

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the ###hole",
> > > when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Are you saying that Mark has you confused with someone else?
>
> Absolutley.

then you are an idoit or a liar
>
> I wonder how he managed to spell "Brain" as "stvie"...?!?!

looks like liar it is
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3215. stveie askd me to poast this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:40:02 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <q7m7r1t8pt3ftjl8r7jq73ngq86f0v81l2@4ax.com>
Source record: 128984

Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making
false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3216. Re: More Evidence that FoS is FOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:43:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <jil7r1t2361cppg8t8dl8gvajn80l4aqis@4ax.com>
Source record: 128983

On 29 Dec 2005 02:07:09 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1135850829.817953.160860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> Step back and take a good, hard look at the whole picture. Dud has
>> been in this group for years doing the same things he's accusing of
>> you, and he uses sock-puppets to boot. He has lied about many facets
>> of his military 'career'...(SNIP)
>
> Lie Number 1.

Fact Number 1: You claimed that you were never a reservist, yet you
also claimed to have served in 1/24, a unit composed exclusively of
reservists. You lied.

>> he has lied about his status as an EMT...(SNIP)
>
> Lie Number 2

Fact Number 2: I already posted the link proving your application for
an EMT license was rejected. You provided a bogus excuse about some
sort of computer error.

>> and has openly admitted to behavior that is marginally legal...(SNIP)
>
> Lie Number 3.

Fact Number 3: You have admitted to using official emergency lights
without legal authorization, justifying your actions by claiming you
saved a life.

>> He has no credibility in or out of the newsgroup...(SNIP)
>
> Lie Number 4.

Who's your lawyer, Dud?

>> Not only that, but there have
>> been many, many people who have prodded him on Usenet and he hasn't
>> sued any of them yet. In case you haven't noticed, Dudly is all talk...(SNIP)
>
> Lie Number 5. (Whew!)

Who on Usenet have you sued?

>> So like I said before, rest assured he doesn't have an attorney and
>> he's not taking any sort of legal action.
>
> Lie Number 6. No wonder you managed 2 court martials in four
>years.

Who's your lawyer, Dud?

>> On the microbial chance that
>> he has gone totally insane, enjoin me as a defendant and I'll crash
>> his party. OK?
>
> Evidence that you enjoy public humiliation.

Who's your lawyer, Dud?

> I'll bring along some of YOUR past posts of errors, deceit and
>harrassment, Frankie. I am sure they'll give you your "due" credit for
>them. Courts love disgraced liars as "character witnesses".

Who's your lawyer, Dud?

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Who's your lawyer, Dud? Seriously. Post it or email it, I don't care.
I am hereby formally requesting contact information for your legal
representative for legal purposes.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3217. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:48:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135860522.348965.268330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128985

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the asshole",
> > > > when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Are you saying that Mark has you confused with someone else?
> >
> > he just proves there would be no point in apologizing for anything to
> > stevie he is an ungratfull sack of shit
>
> Well Mark, why don't you just try, starting with an apology for
> defiling my daughter's memory along with a pledge to never do it again
> and let's see where it leads...?!?!

not till after you apologize for calling me a rapist, a pedophile, a
drunk, thereatening to kill me promise to stop bring things up that
don't belong here

apolgize for saying tTodd father has AIDS, hta I have aid (or anyone
esle you have said has aids or any std)

stop bashing the disable

stop lying about being to Know someone you have met (and may the gods
grants Never will meet) is drunk physicaly or mental ill
and promise to stop altering text forgeing attribution
gee I can't add up it all

and then folow up by stoping your doing of the above

But why apologize to you

becuase in part it would too late you have already filed suit remember,
if I believeyou on that point there would be no reason to apologize

nothing has been done to you that you have not done to me

ntohing has been that you don't claim you have the power to do freely

that means you conesnt to it being doen to you

you object to the number of post and new threads but you claimYOU have the right to start as many as you like

that means you accept that I have the right, legaly

you claim you may "defile the dead" to make a joke and as attack thread against BB therfore you lack legal standing to object to being so treated yourself

indeed you have given me leave to use your daughters name as a email address
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3218. Re: newflash (NEWSFLASH) Mark (MARKIE) admits to making ero (ERROR) wrt to stevei (STEVIE) stament (STATEMENT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:49:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135860564.723453.270590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128986

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the ###hole",
> > > > when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Are you saying that Mark has you confused with someone else?
> >
> > Absolutley.
> >
> > I wonder how he managed to spell "Brain" as "stvie"...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I've reread it and see no mistake. "Brain" and "stvie" are so unalike
> that mispelling one won't result in the other. Do you have some other
> tactic to try?

prehaps not yet but I am sure he shall

3219. Re: Laughing at Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:51:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135860667.435830.38890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128987

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > So did the ###hole cry worf or wolf or word or wore or work or worm or worn or
> > > > > or wort or woof or what?
> > > >
> > > > I thought Mark explained that the boy cried, "Q" and went on to do
> > > > something about a deed.
> > > >
> > > > > Until Mark enrolls in a spelling class, I guess we'll just have to guess.
> > >
> > > yeah...Like THAT will happen!
> >
> > Like you will tell us what a person's sexuality has to do with amateur
> > radio and RRAP.
>
> I never said it did.

yes you did by your actions
>
> That's Markie's schtick claiming that I did.

you brought something about me Todd Franlk and BB for no reason then?
>
> Yours too, so it seems.
>
> > > > He just told us that he failed the 5 wpm morse exam more than 100 times.
> > > > How long are you willing to wait around?
> > >
> > > I am willing to bet that his reported "100 times" was 99 more than
> > > he attempted.
> >
> > I'm willing to bet that you will avoid the truth for the rest of your
> > life.
>
> Then you may go ahead and sent the money to my CBA at your
> discretion, Brain. Any amount over $1.00 you care to send will be
> fine.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3220. more the shit that is stevie fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:53:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135860808.863051.171780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128988

Anime Porn Guy wrote:Re: I look for gay sexing saturday
> so you call me soon now (you know you are) or we not able do it
> saturday. I got k-y jellie and everything.

the original is your fault stevie you started the sex oritatin sling in
my case and in other this is the reult

3221. the forger needs to get it right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:54:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135860875.540627.288570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128989

stveie did not ask to post this he did me leave to do so
taelor_robeson@hotmail.com wrote:
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> everyone should be advised that The following
> person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making
> false charges of child rape, rape in general
> forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing
> other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
> forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Mark Morgan
>
> k4yz@aol.com
>
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3222. Re: More Evidence that FoS is FOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 12:57:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135861061.701835.256290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128990

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 29 Dec 2005 02:07:09 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1135850829.817953.160860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
cut

> >> and has openly admitted to behavior that is marginally legal...(SNIP)
> >
> > Lie Number 3.
>
>
> Fact Number 3: You have admitted to using official emergency lights
> without legal authorization, justifying your actions by claiming you
> saved a life.

hmm I have missed that one
noted on the off chance I need it for future reference
cut
> >> Not only that, but there have
> >> been many, many people who have prodded him on Usenet and he hasn't
> >> sued any of them yet. In case you haven't noticed, Dudly is all talk...(SNIP)
> >
> > Lie Number 5. (Whew!)
>
>
> Who on Usenet have you sued?

indeed stevie has added a new lie to a list
>
>
> >> So like I said before, rest assured he doesn't have an attorney and
> >> he's not taking any sort of legal action.
> >
> > Lie Number 6. No wonder you managed 2 court martials in four
> >years.
>
>
> Who's your lawyer, Dud?
>
>
> >> On the microbial chance that
> >> he has gone totally insane, enjoin me as a defendant and I'll crash
> >> his party. OK?
> >
> > Evidence that you enjoy public humiliation.
>

>
> Who's your lawyer, Dud?
>
>
> > I'll bring along some of YOUR past posts of errors, deceit and
> >harrassment, Frankie. I am sure they'll give you your "due" credit for
> >them. Courts love disgraced liars as "character witnesses".
>
>
> Who's your lawyer, Dud?
>
>
> >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
> Who's your lawyer, Dud? Seriously. Post it or email it, I don't care.
> I am hereby formally requesting contact information for your legal
> representative for legal purposes.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3223. What's it all about? - FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:03:11 UTC
From: Orator For Decency <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135861391.024058.277720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128991
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

In case there are those who got a CB-type rig such as the 817
in their stockings and were misled by
those-who-ought-to-have-known-better
into thinking that they were Radio Hams, the weekly
FAQ is posted a couple of days early to enable the parents to take
the CB gear back to the shop before the shops close for
another Bank Holiday.....

Please remember that this FAQ is a positive exhortation
to you to exert yourselves to join our fraternity! (If you find
otherwise, then perhaps you are already classing yourself in the
mediocre groups of those who are criticised in the FAQ and from
whom we _MUST_ dissociate? If so - it's never too late for
a re-taxonomisation on your part - there's nothing elitist about
us, and we welcome all those who are prepared to put themselves
out in order to join our ranks!)

-----ooooo-----

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this

with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One such CB type is the so-called "Not-Ham". Otherwise
known as the CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham, this type
had their background in the hobby that is CB Radio and not
in the technical pursuits that lead up to a coveted Ham licence.
Easily recognised by their boasts of the criminal activity of
11 metre SSB operation, one wonders why they don't go back to
the CB Bands if such bands are dearer in their hearts than are the Ham

Bands? No _REAL Radio Hams associate with those who made an
illegal installation of transmitting equipment before being
in possession of an appropriate licence.

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

3224. stevei confesses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:10:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135861802.558526.302960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128992

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > First off you ****head, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
> > > can't justify that statement. secondly that's your ****ing opinion,
> > and
> > > in my view you and your little **** buddies on here are a small
> > > minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE ****ING THING, MY
> > > PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO **** YOU!!!!
> > >
> > There's five good reasons to put 10-penny nails in your coax.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> OK. So what?

you have advocated criminal behavoir agianst Todd
>
> > Bathrooman wrote:
> > > Toddy said:
cut
> > > N9 Out Go the Legalities
> > >
> > N9 Obnoxious Gay Loudmouth
>
> Uh huh. Your point? Where was I wrong?

in claiming Tood is gay

in claiming though your ACTION that being Gay is somehow relavant to
RRAP

and in showing yourself a liar in claiming you have clamied that
Gayness is relavant to RRAP

cut

> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You've still not made a "point", Toiddie.

you made the point yourself

cut

> > Steve, K4YZ
>

> Still waiting for a point.
>
> Or are you just fond of recycling old posts?
>
> You're gay. Mark's gay. You're both from the same part of the
> country.

I m not gay. Todd is not gay. as far I a know we are not from the same
part of country

you were lying

you were gay basshing

you were making sexual inuendo for some reason
>
> You're a bit more accurate with your written word, however the
> profanites and quickness to lose your temper offset that.
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
cut

> > And AGAIN you zip right past accepting ANY responsibility for YOUR
> > behaviour...
> >
> > It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.
> >
> > Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
> > opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!

as you have provided the uncouragement for MMR slit to threaten an
innocent with harm becuase he thought that feelow was me, and you
stired him up with your hate filled lies and inuendo

cut
And it wasn't me, nor was it anyone I know.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Still no point, Toiddie, and MY point remains that YOUR conduct got
> YOU booted from Consolodated.

todd point and Mine is that you are abusive
>
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Sorry which post?
cut
> > > > > > I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)
> > > > >

> > > > Sponge Bob and Mr Bill...?!?!
> > >
> > > None of your affair Stevie
> >
> > You're right...I prefr my "affairs" woth women.

mre sexual inedoe steve
> >
> > "Girlie men" don't qualify.
cut
> > > > It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.
> > > > >
> > > > Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
> > > > opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!
> > >
> > > Just which notes are you admiting were forgeries Stevie boy
> >
> > I am not "admitting" anything, Mark.
> >
> > And as you said, it's "none of your affair".

and yet you claim it your affiar what I may do in my own home
cut
> > > Not it seems without your help Steve
> >
> > Rights, Mark...Rights...
> >
> > You can't whine about getting yours then demanding that others
> > can't have theirs.

a lession you need to remember steve
cut
cut
> > Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
> > that race.
> >
> > > Todd N9OGL
> > > THE N9OGL SHOW
> > > 14.321.00 MHz
> > > Satur...@4.00 p.m. CST
> >
> > There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
> >
> > "Shows" are broadcasting.
> >
> > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
> > you're breaking the law.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> And STILL no point...Other that recycling my assertions as to your

> misuse and abuse of your Amateur Radio license.

you still don't read don't bother to understand what you are engaged in
>
> So...I could go on and on through these quotes you made, Toiddie,
> and keep coming up with the same result...That you have no point to
> make other than hoping that someone might get lost in all the headers
> you quoted and forget that the bottom line is that YOU got in trouble
> for YOUR actions.
>
> Just like Markie's doing now.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3225. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:15:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135862124.485253.157500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128993

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

> > ..... what is your specific proposal?

> I propose that new license applications be available
> in two classes, namely "Class B" and "Class A".

> The "Class B" learners permit would have an entry-level test
> (basic regulations, safety, operating procedures, basic DC
> and AC electronics). This class would have full frequency and
> mode privileges, power limited to 50W output.

You'll probably see that raised to 100-150 W on HF because there are so
many ~100 W rigs in existence.

> The permit
> would be issued for a period of 10 years, and be non-renewable.

But "re-takeable" - if someone took the Class B test again, they'd get
another 10 years as Class B - right?

> The "Class A" license test would be of a difficulty level
> similar to the current Extra class test, and would have
> full privileges at power levels up to 1500W, equivalent to
> current Extra Class license holders. This license
> would be issued "for life" without requirement for
> renewal.
>
> Current licenses could be renewed indefinitely, and would
> retain their current operating privileges.
>
> Current Novice, Technician, General, and Advanced class
> licensees could upgrade to "Class A" at any time.

Some questions, Hans (minor details, really):

1) What test would be required for upgrade to Class A for current
licenses?
Would the testing be the same for all current license classes - IOW,
would a current Novice face the same test to get Class A as a current
Advanced?

2) Would there be any experience requirement for Class B hams that
wanted to upgrade to Class A? IOW, could an unlicensed person go
for Class A "right out of the box"?

3) If the licenses are issued "for life", how would FCC know when an amateur expired unless next-of-kin sent official notification? (Yes, having
nonexpiring licenses means the apparent number of hams would cause apparent growth, but OTOH it would also make the numbers less and less a reflection of reality)

4) What would happen to the vanity callsign program under your plan? Obviously a Class A could get a callsign from any block, but what would be available to Class B?

One interesting side-effect of your plan is that a brand-new Class B will be allowed on
frequencies that an old-timer Advanced (or any other non-Extra) is not allowed on. Imagine the fracas that would cause!

bwaahaahaa!

Of course, the counterargument is that "it's an incentive for existing hams to upgrade to Class A!"

73 de Jim, N2EY

3226. more baseless atck form tha asshole of RRAP alwasy get interesting if me and stvei are oin at the same time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:15:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135862134.383185.323760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128994

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > > but then I doubt he could
> > > > find the place, in some of his posts he said that chaseel was near
> > > > marquette
> > >
> > > First of all, there's no "chaseel" in Michigan, Markie.
> > >
> > > Secondly, Marquette is only 30 air miles, probably an extra 15
> > > driving miles since it's not a straight line to get to "Chassell" from
> > > there.
> >
> > wrong again
>
> For ONCE you were right, Markie, but only because I didn't
> consider it a big enough deal to map out on MAPQUEST. It's a total of
> 89 miles DRIVING.

more stvie erro but no apoly for the mistake
>
> However that STILL puts Chassell "near" Marquette.
not by most people standards but stevie conties o lie and then per
Stevie SOP he adds a tack to mix by being insulting about being
corrected

>..Unless you're
> crawling on your hands and knees, a position I am sure you are
> comfrotable in.

why do you act this way Steve

I am sure every whom like to know
>
> > > Since the continental United States is about 3000 miles by 2500
> > > miles, I think the average person would consider thirty miles,
> > > give-or-take, as "near".
>
> I take a "stand corrected" on the thirty miles, however STILL
> assert that even 90 miles is "near" when compared to other cities
> within Michigan.

wrong again chassel is far nearing cites of Michigan than that
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3227. new dose of bile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:17:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135862263.344467.6970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128995
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Orator For Decency wrote:
> In case there are those who got a CB-type rig such as the 817
hmm in the USA the rig is aham rig one I would not have minded geting
but it is too pricey for what you get IMO

3228. why is stvei so afraid of the word "shit"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:22:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135862555.980294.199620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 128999

stveie will say over and over again Mularkie with use the word shit if he can bury it in an abrevation FoS or PoS and yet the biigest coward of RRAP other than anny mouses will not address the word itself

hypocrtiacal coward

3229. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:25:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135862738.084602.192590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129000

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> > > ..... what is your specific proposal?
cut
> > The permit
> > would be issued for a period of 10 years, and be non-renewable.
>
> But "re-takeable" - if someone took the Class B test again, they'd get
> another 10 years as Class B - right?

so it would seem
cut
> > Current Novice, Technician, General, and Advanced class
> > licensees could upgrade to "Class A" at any time.
>
> Some questions, Hans (minor details, really):
>
> 1) What test would be required for upgrade to Class A for current
> licenses?
> Would the testing be the same for all current license classes - IOW,
> would a current Novice face the same test to get Class A as a current
> Advanced?

obviously whcih would put the Bocvices tech (of all stripes) general
advanced on the same footing

I gues this violates your seniblity in "nothing they did not test for"
>
> 2) Would there be any experience requirement for Class B hams that
> wanted to upgrade to Class A? IOW, could an unlicensed person go
> for Class A "right out of the box"?

why not?
>
> 3) If the licenses are issued "for life", how would FCC know when an
> amateur expired unless next-of-kin sent official notification? (Yes,
> having
> nonexpiring licenses means the apparent number of hams would cause
> apparent growth, but OTOH it would also make the numbers less and less
> a reflection of reality)

obvious hand does blow it here no way the fcc is going to issue life
liecneses
>

> 4) What would happen to the vanity callsign program under your plan?
> Obviously a Class A could get a callsign from any block, but what would
> be available to Class B?
>
> ---
>
> One interesting side-effect of your plan is that a brand-new Class B
> will be allowed on
> frequencies that an old-timer Advanced (or any other non-Extra) is not
> allowed on. Imagine the fracas that would cause!
>
> bwaahaahaa!
>
> Of course, the counterargument is that "it's an incentive for existing
> hams to upgrade to Class A!"
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3230. more forgery on the net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:29:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135862990.749620.86150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129001

NOGL wrote:
> anyone who want good time please email or post here. we get together
> then I love you long time.

N0GL almost certainyl not write

but who did

beased theposting action I know k4yz and Frank with no call were online
posting to RRAP

mostly case then k4yz was foreging some more on RRAP

3231. more forgery on the net stevei bussy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:33:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135863183.417224.218540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129002

NOGL wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
cut
> >
>
> I love lrod long time once. not again though cause he just lie there say
> nothing.

N0GL almost certainyl not write

but who did

beased theposting action I know k4yz and Frank with no call were online
posting to RRAP

mostly case then k4yz was foreging some more on RRAP

3232. Re: What's it all about? - FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:35:04 UTC
From: Know Code <know_code@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <43b3e607$0$35265$dbd4d001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 129003
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Orator For Decency wrote:

> and we welcome all those who are prepared to put themselves
> out in order to join our ranks!)

You might want to re-word that.... do you know what 'putting out' means
to youngsters these days?

--

I fully support the Know Code debate.

3233. more proof of the harm stevie has done to RRAP Todd myself and the ARS ingeneral way to go stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:36:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135863408.656415.79110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129004

NOGL wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
> >
> >
> > I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
>
> I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.

N0GL almost certainyl not write

but who did

beased theposting action I know k4yz and Frank with no call were online posting to RRAP

mostly case then k4yz was foreging some more on RRAP

you said Todd was respoible for what his blogs inspire, therefore (unles you were lying) you believe you are responible for what YOUR pots inspire

to date we have these lying flase sex post from Todd (and other forged in my name) all your fault

and we have Mr Slit inspried to make threats against M Morgan of MQT MI, all your fault by your words

and Me trying to get through your think skull with use your daughters name in a maner you both grant me leave to do so and claim to find offensive, all by your words your fault

3234. Re: Frankie's Foolish Flutterings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:39:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135863547.424116.299940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129005

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 28 Dec 2005 01:06:25 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1135760785.759764.18110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
cut

> > >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > Who's your lawyer, Dud?
>
> Steve has to go get one NOW, since Mark called his bluff.
>
> Time for Steve to actually start writing checks.
>
> Then Mark counter sues, and sends another package of harassment (raped
> an old friend) to the Tennessee Nursing Board.
>
> Steve writes more checks in an attempt to use the courts to cover up
> his mistakes.

looks like we see his next tricks

some likely bad forgeries and more abusive posts

at least he isn't making more last warnings

3235. more bile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:41:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135863698.763298.127840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129006
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Know Code wrote:
> Orator For Decency wrote:
>
> > and we welcome all those who are prepared to put themselves
> > out in order to join our ranks!)
>
> You might want to re-word that.... do you know what 'putting out' means
> to youngsters these days?

good one pity is wasted on bile
>
>
> --
>
> I fully support the Know Code debate.

3236. Re: more forgery on the net stevei bussy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:53:52 UTC
From: Todd <todd_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp0pp3$2i6$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129007

an_old_friend wrote:
> NOGL wrote:
>
>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>
> cut
>
>>I love lrod long time once. not again though cause he just lie there say
>>nothing.
>
>
> N0GL almost certainyl not write
>
> but who did

lrod very hot. no good in bed though.

3237. hmm gues I was wrong steve is not going to stay online and provide us all the show he is famous for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:54:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135864493.647077.294210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129008

now aht does this mean?

does it means he has omse sense?

after all when I crossfire at him fast his shit filled posts don't draw as much attention from the sharks anny mouse posters

that logic would show stevei colour he is not outraged by the use (for which I now have a his kind premission as well as his example of like kind behavoir in the past) the name tealor robeson

it might that stevie has started listening to an attorney (unlikely in my estimmation)

but form this exchance we have stevie confessing to the endorsment of crime against a fellow ham, a minor crime in the eyes of the legal system but one that does not help his alleged" Case" against me

of course it could that is Frank not I he ran off in <aprearant> fear of, but he claims to have no fear of either of us

3238. I take over this fuking group you wait see

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:55:53 UTC
From: Todd <todd_boy@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp0pss$2i6$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129009

is enough crap already. and I not love anyone long time BITCHES!!!!!!!!

3239. Re: more forgery on the net stevei bussy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:55:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135864557.553907.177730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129010

Todd wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > NOGL wrote:
> >
> >>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >
cut
> > N0GL almost certainyl not write
> >
> > but who did
>
> lrod very hot. no good in bed though.

N0GL almost certainyl not write

but who did

beased theposting action I know k4yz and Frank with no call were online

posting to RRAP

mostly case then k4yz was foreging some more on RRAP

3240. why is only stevie allowed to change titles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 13:57:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135864668.898879.76830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129011

Re: stevie the ###hole prooves (PROVES) deserves (DESERVES) his
treatment as if it wee (WE) needed (Pass out on the keyboard again,
Markie? Forget what you were writing?)

No i was finished
more lies stveie more of your feeble effort at claiming I am drunk

3241. why is my dad lie so much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:02:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <vtq7r1to2k851p0h7voqr9iqo0pj0mqduv@4ax.com>
Source record: 129013

why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
lies about people

she wouldnot liekly get any more answer than the rest fo us on RRAP
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3242. steve proves he sees nothing wrong with retasking titles if he does it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:02:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135864798.446504.47800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129012

K4YZ wrote:Re: stevie the ###hole prooves (PROVES) deserves (DESERVES)
his treatment as if it wee (WE) needed (Pass out on the keyboard again,
Markie? Forget what you were writing?)
no
> (a deceased child)@yahoo.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:

BTW you have racked a new lie there I can not steal what I premission
to use

cut
> > he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> > he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
> >
> > STEVEN J ROBESON
> > 151 12TH AVE NW
> > WINCHESTER TN 37398
> > 931-967-6282
> >
> > BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on
RRAP a while
> >
> > Mark Morgan
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com
> > ----------
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 140,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> Thank-you, Markie...One more log on the fire.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3243. why does my dad give Mark premission to speak in my voice and complain that he does so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:02:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b3r7r1lqb7b2ampq2l8irtv7aq70g4f8qq@4ax.com>
Source record: 129014

On 28 Dec 2005 01:17:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
>> stive never cotrcact any lawyer
>
> Who never did what to a lawyer?
>
>> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
>> forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
>post to RRAP, Mark.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

why indeed sir

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3244. corecting stevei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:04:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <g5r7r1hvopumn8b18cb8vqq2e0v3ta65oq@4ax.com>
Source record: 129015

On 21 Dec 2005 21:43:58 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
>
>The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
>have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:
>
>w5amy@arrl.net
>
>steverobeson@hotmail.com
is used my express premission of Steve
On 28 Dec 2005 01:17:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
>> stive never cotrcact any lawyer
>
> Who never did what to a lawyer?
>
>> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
>> forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
>post to RRAP, Mark.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

>
>n8dos@grave.net
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3245. still more forgery all stevie fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:09:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135865365.623396.311580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129016

Todd wrote:
> is enough crap already. and I not love anyone long time BITCHES!!!!!!!!

by his own words

3246. ah well my mistake guess i will to do it right this time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:10:25 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <phr7r1p7nosier7fkacism52448tdikmcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 129017

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3247. correcting myself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:11:21 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <tjr7r19su094hsr0t6og03bib25v9p518a@4ax.com>
Source record: 129018

On 21 Dec 2005 21:43:58 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
>
>The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
>have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:
>
>w5amy@arrl.net
>
>steverobeson@hotmail.com
>
>n8dos@grave.net
>
>Steve, K4YZ
but you granted me premission for your girl

On 28 Dec 2005 01:17:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
>> stive never cotrcact any lawyer
>
> Who never did what to a lawyer?
>
>> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
>> forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
>post to RRAP, Mark.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3248. why does stevie chew of his feet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:12:26 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <ckr7r11cgfa6tgudeq2ha9a52a3cbrm64s@4ax.com>
Source record: 129019

On 21 Dec 2005 21:45:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Mark C. Morgan is a pathological liar and thief:
>
>The following screen names, e-mail aliases, and Amateur Radio callsigns
>have been stolen by him have been stolen by him:
>
>w5amy@arrl.net
>
>steverobeson@hotmail.com
>
>n8dos@grave.net
>
>Steve, K4YZ
but you granted me premission for your girl

On 28 Dec 2005 01:17:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
>> stive never cotrcact any lawyer
>
> Who never did what to a lawyer?
>
>> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
>> forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
>post to RRAP, Mark.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3249. oh well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:14:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135865692.699822.101730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129020

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
> lies about people

3250. Re: I take over this fuking group you wait see

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:21:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135866108.101583.69580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129021

ok steve, whatever turns you on

3251. stevei can stopp the behavoir he says he find offensive anytime by just proising to stop engaging in what provoked it in the first place

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:22:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135866147.192538.129720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129022

in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een more generous than I have in the past

I have said he must apologize

I offer (for now something more generous

He merely needs to promise to stop doing thing and promised not to do so in the future

he needs to pormise to stop making any refferences to sex sexuality a person relion or health stauts physcial or mental, and he needs to stop making threats or advocating violenece anagist the persons or property of others all otther in the NG

I make this offer in the Sprit of my ansesotrs and relitives this season of Hannacka (how ever this season spelled in english)

If he does this I promise to refrain from psting under his daughter name and to stop the flooding attack that does interfere with operation on RRAP

3252. Re: stevei can stopp the behavoir he says he find offensive anytime by just proising to stop engaging in what provoked it in the first place

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 14:31:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp0s02$58p$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129023

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
> more generous than I have in the past
>
> I have said I apologize
>

more stevie forgery. pathetic.
```

3253. Re: What's it all about? - FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:04:14 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <e6u7r1dsmsgkql772otbvkv9jkmqg0ffc2@4ax.com>
Source record: 129024
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Know Code wrote:

>Orator For Decency wrote:
>
>> and we welcome all those who are prepared to put themselves
>> out in order to join our ranks!)
>
>You might want to re-word that.... do you know what 'putting out' means
>to youngsters these days?

It is to be noted that the OP also said, elsewhere, that "I wouldn't
recommend anyone whose background is in transistorised QRP
to tackle the neutralising of a compact PA stage with 600 VDC on the
anodes after replacing the antenna coupling capacitor ".

So, take warning that the so-called FAQ is not to be taken literally;
there are safety criteria that limit its generality. The author has
yet to publish these.

It is, for example quite unclear what the difference between a compact
PA with 600VDC on the anodes, and a non-compact PA that is otherwise
the same.

In that context, it is best ignored.

from
Aero Spike

3254. Todd's Terrible Twisted Tales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:17:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135869428.281502.141360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129025

N9OGL wrote:
> ok steve, whatever turns you on

You need to follow the header trail, Toiddie.

That wasn't me. I don't post anonymously.

Steve, K4YZ

3255. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:18:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_6Tsf.3893$R84.38@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129026

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> You'll probably see that raised to 100-150 W on HF because
> there are so many ~100 W rigs in existence.

The 50W number was chosen because it's a "safe" level according to OET thinking.

If there were a 50W permit, manufacturers would quickly market a 50W rigs, just as they manufacture 10W versions of many popular rigs for the JA market.

> But "re-takeable" - if someone took the Class B test again,
> they'd get another 10 years as Class B - right?

Not in my proposal.

> 1) What test would be required for upgrade to Class A
> for current licenses?

Pass the Class A test.

> 2) Would there be any experience requirement for Class B
> hams that wanted to upgrade to Class A?

I originally proposed a "time in grade" requirement, but in retrospect I can't find a logical regulatory reason to defend the idea.

> 3) If the licenses are issued "for life", how would FCC know when
> an amateur expired unless next-of-kin sent official notification?

Since no benefits accrue to an "expired" ham, the FCC has no interest in their passing.

> 4) What would happen to the vanity callsign program under your plan?
> Obviously a Class A could get a callsign from any block, but what would
> be available to Class B?

Each new licensee would get a new call in sequential order. Vanity calls would be available to any licensee without regard to "blocks".

73, de Hans, K0HB

3256. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:19:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135869575.190814.152460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129027

an_old_friend wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
> > more generous than I have in the past
> >
> > I have said I apologize
> >
>
> more stevie forgery. pathetic.

What's pathetic is your failure to follow the header trail and
figure out if it was really me or not.

Embarrassed yourself again, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

3257. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:23:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135869808.590891.286840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129028

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
> lies about people

First of all, I haven't lied.

Secondly, if you'd paid attention or rad her site you'd kow she
was never able to speak a word.

> she wouldnot liekly get any more answer than the rest fo us on RRAP

You say "us" like someone other than you or a Fellow Feeble Fiver
is interested in your opinion, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

3258. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:27:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135870045.004791.181110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129029

Mark Morgan, Maligning A Dead Child wrote:

> but you granted me premission for your girl

No...I sarcastically encourage you to continue your bizzare
behaviour to your own detriment.

Steve, K4YZ

3259. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:31:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135870298.800069.316350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129030

Mark Morgan, Maligning A Deceased Child wrote:

From the subject line: "Re: ah well my mistake guess i will to do
it right this time"

Don't sweat it, Markie. Your mistakes are so prolific and
profound that no one is going to notice any one over another.

Steve, K4YZ

3260. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:35:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135870517.971829.208970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129031

an_old_friend wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:Re: stevie the ###hole prooves (PROVES) deserves (DESERVES)
> his treatment as if it wee (WE) needed (Pass out on the keyboard again,
> Markie? Forget what you were writing?)
> no
> > (a deceased child)@yahoo.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:
>
> BTW you have racked a new lie there I can not steal what I premission
> to use

Nope. You go right on ahead and do it.

I sarcastically encourage you to continue your bizzarre behaviour
to your own detriment.

I, on the otherhand, choose to continue to point out that you ARE
"using" a deceased child for "messaging points", as Lennie calls them.

Steve, K4YZ

3261. Re: rrap is dangerous

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:55:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3GTsf.3905$R84.755@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129032

"Iitoi" <Iitoi.20a5ie@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:Iitoi.20a5ie@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> To expand on a theme suggested by Scott Adams (or maybe it was Moms
> Mabley) I've decided that rrap is dangerous because it gives morons a
> vehicle express their opinion, and thus to inform other morons about
> what their fellow morons are thinking.
>
> This only serves to as a sort of moron-snowball, where the feedback
> validates, and reinforces moronic opinions, such as "it's OK to have a
> stash of pornographic cartoons of 8-year-old girls being sodomized by
> demonic anime(als)". And the one thing more dangerous than a moron
> with an opinion is lots of morons with the same opinion.
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRL at Baboquivari Peak
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

Good one!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3262. stveie wleches on his offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 15:58:42 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <4r18r1lg2aksl0e0vc6a4css07ongk3i1j@4ax.com>
Source record: 129033

On 29 Dec 2005 07:27:25 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan, Maligning A Dead Child wrote:
>
>> but you granted me premission for your girl
>
> No...I sarcastically encourage you to continue your bizzare
>behaviour to your own detriment.

you granted premission

now you claim your were lying when you gave it

who can tell when you are tleeing the truth and when you are not
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3263. steve lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:00:15 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <ft18r19dure71cnmo6od4erfpnccgcrpns@4ax.com>
Source record: 129034

On 29 Dec 2005 07:35:18 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> K4YZ wrote:Re: stevie the ###hole prooves (PROVES) deserves (DESERVES)
>> his treatment as if it wee (WE) needed (Pass out on the keyboard again,
>> Markie? Forget what you were writing?)
>> no
>> > (a deceased child)@yahoo.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:
>>
>> BTW you have racked a new lie there I can not steal what I premission
>> to use
>
> Nope. You go right on ahead and do it.
>
> I sarcastically encourage you to continue your bizzarre behaviour
>to your own detriment.

sarcasticaly encourgaed

you claime you were lying I believe that much but when were you lying
who can tell
>
> I, on the otherhand, choose to continue to point out that you ARE
>"using" a deceased child for "messaging points", as Lennie calls them.

more lies when you don't tell truth for even a single short who can I
know what you saying
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3264. Re: the depiectable word of the asshole of rrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:01:30 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <j228r1d5u82b86suv7h65mi3m5uj0mf415@4ax.com>
Source record: 129035

On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 14:16:59 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
wrote:

>be afraid. be very afraid.

why?

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3265. Re: A Last WARNING To Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:03:07 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <3528r1t1qta31j5a76n3q66cu0bv0ov4if@4ax.com>
Source record: 129036

On 28 Dec 2005 19:15:17 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>Yep. I already have. So has Hans (who, BTW, had a very impressive
>>military career).
>
>Why in the world would you do that? Do these folks' opinions of you
>matter that much to you that you'd want to reveal such information to
>them? Did someone dare you, and in order to keep face you felt you just
>"had" to post it? You should never allow anyone to manipulate you in
>such a manner. In turn, you should not be trying to manipulate others;
>take what's said on here with a grain of salt, and move on to .policy
>issues.
>
>When you turn off your PC, thoughts of the folks on here should be the
>last thing on your mind. I think more about the gecko running across my
>ceiling than all the nasty things that have been said about me on here
>over the past 14 years.
>
>Be nice or else I'll start posting the rec.radio FAQ on here again
>which I helped draft back in the 90s and force your to memorize it! I
>don't think it has been posted in the last 6 or 7 years now.

gee we have to nice guess youhave been reading RRAP for years
>
>73 from Hawaii,
>Jeff KH6O

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3266. Re: Michigan stalking laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:04:33 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <h628r1h0lmp4sg3v6el508962sp8mis35p@4ax.com>
Source record: 129037

On 28 Dec 2005 12:27:25 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark Freak wrote:
>> LMFAO at Mark. he and you will shit your pants when he get letter this
>> week. ah ahahahahaha!
>
>Why? If a letter should actually come it will be fairly easy for Mark
>to show that Steve has been harassing Mark in this newsgroup for years.

and that I have stevie presmission to use the name I Have been using

Indeed I welcome it since it would allow me to force steve into court

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3267. Re: again stevie who is your attorney so I don't throw his letter as junk mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:06:05 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <7a28r1p94hpc1si16p5t4b1j387ophrrjg@4ax.com>
Source record: 129038

On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 18:18:13 -0600, Mark Freak <freak_boy@mental.ward>
wrote:

>be afraid. be very afraid.
why?

are making a threat as it seem you are Mr Annymouse or is that Stevie

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3268. Re: After years and years and years of pointing it out, does anyone on RRAP think Len has an Amateur Radio License??? If so, repetetive Jim is here to straighten you out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:07:29 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <2c28r113bugfsdsn5fqb4tutif4enc7ht1@4ax.com>
Source record: 129039

On 28 Dec 2005 11:50:05 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > > > > rom: "Dee Flint" on Thurs, Dec 22 2005 3:30 pm
>> > > > > > >"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> cut
>> > > > > Gee, Len, you've never qualified for *any* amateur radio license...
>> > > >
>> > > > Gee, Jim, how many times and how many different ways can you say that?
>> > >
>> > > well jim has got in comon with stevie that he thinks things like this
>> > > are so vital he must keep pointing them out
>> >
>> > Maybe it's an early symptom of advanced age - keeps repeating himself.
>>
>> nah I think it is excessive expousure to OKkeyed RF
>
>OOK as well as all other modes require an EA. Maybe Jim hasn't
>performed an EA, or maybe his calcs are as accurate as his calc for the
>distance to the moon. Hi!

very likely

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while

and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3269. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:10:45 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9UTsf.11412$nm.8927@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129040

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
>> >> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
>> >> amateur radio.
>> >>
>> >> The options suggested so far seem to be:
>> >>
>> >> (a) 1 License
>> >> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
>> >> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>> >> (d) 3 Licenses
>> >> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>> >>
>> >> What I wonder about these is how the individual
>> >> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
>> >> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
>> >> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
>> >> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
>> >> in multiple license options.
>> >>
>> > That's just the beginning, Bill.
>> >
>> > The devil is in the details, limited by what FCC
>> > has written in various NPRMs and R&Os:
>> > - No existing licensee should lose privileges
>> > - No existing licensee should gain privileges without taking
>> > the required tests
>> > - No free upgrades
>> > - No significant extra admin work for FCC
>> > - FCC sees the optimum level as 3 license classes, none of
>> > which have a limited term and all of which are renewable.
>>
>> None of the above is defined by any FCC rules.
>
> That's true, Bill.
>
> But from FCC actions and reactions over the past 20 years
> plus, it's pretty clear that FCC is acting in accordance with
> those ideas.

It may appear so to you, but I don't believe such is the
case in an absolute sense. We'll just have to disagree on that.
I believe the ARRL also would disagree with you (IMHO).

>> At the
>> moment there are 3 licenses being issued, but even that
>> can be changed as the FCC is not locked into their
>> past decisions because of prior comment in any NPRM
>> and/or R&O.
>
> Of course! But at the same time, FCC isn't likely to change
> their mind in the near future on those issues unless somebody
> comes up with a really killer argument for the change.
>
>> > How does any proposed system handle all these requirements?
>>
>> It doesn't, nor does it have to. It becomes an issue of
>> making athe case for whatever is being proposed.
>
> And that has to be done in a way that will convince FCC.
> Particularly, to convince FCC to overrule decisions it made
> just recently.

Of course.

>> Clearly
>> the ARRL still believes and appears to be still supportive
>> of an entry level (learner's permit) despite what the FCC
>> may have already said.
>>
>>From reading the NPRM, it seems to me that FCC isn't against
> an entry-level license at all. FCC simply sees the Technician
> as the entry-level license for US ham radio, and also sees
> no reason to change that - even though several proposals
> have tried to change FCC's mind.

As proposed by the ARRL, the Learner's license
would (IMHO) involve a less intense
syllabus of material and access to some HF.
. IF that is the case,
and ARRL accepts FCC mindset to leave Tech
as entry level, then what gets changed to
make the Tech an entry level per ARRL mindset.
...and, can I presume that you would be in opposition
to the Tech being changed in that or any other way?

> What you're seeing is the classic "Law of Unintended Consequences".
> If FCC does what they propose, eliminating the code test will also
> eliminate any way for Technicians to get any HF privileges except
> by upgrade to General.

Ageed...which is why I believe there will be some
changes made sometime down the road.

>> > How do we convince FCC to accept the changes?
>>
>> By making clear and rational arguments and reasons for
>> whatever the proposed system may be.
>
> I'm sure that almost all the proposals and commenters thought they
> were making "clear and rational arguments".

Of course they did.

> But FCC said no to
> all of them involving more privs for Techs, new license classes,
> automatic upgrades, and much more.

Yet nothing in the FCC's rejection even comes close to stating
their decision is absolute/final and irrevocable based on the
princioples that you ascribe to the FCC.

>> > Those are the tough ones!
>> >
>> > K0HB's proposed 2 class system addresses all these issues.
>> > But FCC denied his ideas.
>>
(SNIP of history of nocode....because in the end, it came to pass
anywayregardless of who originated the idea.)

>> FCC also
>> left 13wpm and 20wpm as requirements for many years
>> with the lack of change/elimination of said 13/20 wpm
>> elements supposedly waiting for a "consensus" in the
>> amateur ranks.
>
> Perhaps. Yet anyone who could come up with a doctor's
> note could get a medical waiver. Such notes were never
> hard to get.

But in the overall perspective waivers were used only by
a relatively small percentage of new hams. In the few VE
sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.

>> In spite of the lack of any consensus on
>> code the FCC did, in fact, end 13/20wpm test elements
>> in April 2000 based on arguments and the FCC's own
>> conclusions at that time.
>
> Yep. FCC also reduced the written tests at the same time
> and closed off three license classes to new issues.

I presume you mean the FCC reduced the number
of written tests as opposed to the overall
difficulty of the test material since the syllabus for
the now three remaining test elements did not change.

(SNIP)

> End result is less admin work for FCC. No more medical
> waivers, only three written elements instead of five, and
> eventual elimination of some rules.

That eventual elimination, unless
changes are made by the FCC, could
well be upwards of 50+ years assuming there are
some Advanced hams who are in their 20s.

>> Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
>> in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
>> end up being revisited and changed at a later review.
>
> Agreed - but at the same time, getting them to do so
> is an uphill battle. Particularly when such an change will
> result in more work for FCC.

On the issue of a learners license I see no additional
work for FCC if there are only one or two other
licenses as some (e.g. Hans) have proposed.

>> So Jim, with that in mind, what is your specific proposal?
>
> I've given it here several times. Perhaps I'll dig it out and
> post it again.

Does it reflect any of the options I listed above?

> My point is not that change is impossible, but that FCC isn't
> likely to adopt changes that violate the above principles.

In your opinion that is. In fact, several of the principals you
listed are only your interpretation based on FCC decisions
as opposed to the FCC ever articulating or stating them
as fact.

> For example, more than one proposal wanted free upgrades.
> FCC said no to all of them, and gave reasons why. (See
> footnote 142...)

Neither of us may be around to collect on this bet, but I'll
bet you a dinner anywhere that sometime down the road
the FCC will "simplify" the rules and regs by renewing
Advanced as either Extra or General when the number
of Advanced drops to a small percentage of all
amateurs. I also believe that IF a learner's license does
come to pass, the FCC will make all current Novice
licenses renewable to that new license name AND will
make the rules for the existing Novice the same as whatever rules
and privileges are given to the new learner's class.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3270. reminding stevei of his word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:11:19 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <nh28r11fbah3jqi27qfprmi76cn4g09695@4ax.com>
Source record: 129041

On 28 Dec 2005 01:17:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
>> stive never cotrcact any lawyer
>
> Who never did what to a lawyer?
>
>> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
>> forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
>post to RRAP, Mark.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

stevei you have given premission to use the name not that I realy
needed but you gave you don't have the right to tae it back

go ask your lawyer,

you know the word you claim to have show him or her the original and
ask about your "case"

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3271. how can you believe stevies claims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:13:22 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <jl28r1hddnmo354vne3t7o6rd93j5bnhcg@4ax.com>
Source record: 129042

On 29 Dec 2005 07:17:08 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> ok steve, whatever turns you on
>
> You need to follow the header trail, Toiddie.

right back to you most likely
>
> That wasn't me. I don't post anonymously.

so you say

but you lie so much no one beleives you expcet perhaps Dave or Hans

you are the asshole that cried worf
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3272. Re: ah well my mistake guess i will to do it right this time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:17:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135873041.273510.183610@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129043

tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote:
> everyone should be advised that The following person
> has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general forges post and name
>
> he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282
>
> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
> and all done by steve express permission
> Mark Morgan
>
> k4yz@aol.com
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3273. more lying shit form the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:21:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135873282.736635.229750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129044

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
> > lies about people
>
> First of all, I haven't lied.

first of all you have lied

the easiest to prove is your claim that you know i have been
intoxicated when you say i have been

other lies that I am pedo or that I can pass on an STD since I don't
have any
>
> Secondly, if you'd paid attention or rad her site you'd kow she
> was never able to speak a word.

rad her site oh spelling cop

But did cover that "why ideed she might ask were she arround and able
ask why her father lies about people" note the presence of the arround
"AND ABLE"
>
> > she wouldnot liekly get any more answer than the rest fo us on RRAP
>
> You say "us" like someone other than you or a Fellow Feeble Fiver
> is interested in your opinion, Markie.

obvioulsy you think so as well
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3274. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:24:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135873491.035585.180770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129045

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > but then I doubt he could
> > > > > find the place, in some of his posts he said that chaseel was near
> > > > > marquette
> > > >
> > > > First of all, there's no "chaseel" in Michigan, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > Secondly, Marquette is only 30 air miles, probably an extra 15
> > > > driving miles since it's not a straight line to get to "Chassell" from
> > > > there.
> > >
> > > wrong again
> >
> > For ONCE you were right, Markie, but only because I didn't
> > consider it a big enough deal to map out on MAPQUEST. It's a total of
> > 89 miles DRIVING.
>
> more stvie erro but no apoly for the mistake

There's no need for an apology.

And I admitted my mistake, which is more than you ahve ever done,
Markie.

> > However that STILL puts Chassell "near" Marquette.
>
> not by most people standards

Yes, by "most people standards", Markie.

> but stevie conties o lie and then per
> Stevie SOP he adds a tack to mix by being insulting about being
> corrected

Was that a sentence?

> >..Unless you're
> > crawling on your hands and knees, a position I am sure you are
> > comfrotable in.
>
> why do you act this way Steve

>
> I am sure every whom like to know

I am sure "every whom" would like to know what school system let
you "graduate".

> > > > Since the continental United States is about 3000 miles by 2500
> > > > miles, I think the average person would consider thirty miles,
> > > > give-or-take, as "near".
> >
> > I take a "stand corrected" on the thirty miles, however STILL
> > assert that even 90 miles is "near" when compared to other cities
> > within Michigan.
>
> wrong again chassel is far nearing cites of Michigan than that

Again, another collection of random words seeking organization and
meaning.

Steve, K4YZ

3275. athe generous offer still stand depites stveie misdeeds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:28:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135873706.911952.205280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129046

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
> > > more generous than I have in the past
> > >
> > > I have said I apologize
> > >
> >
> > more stevie forgery. pathetic.
>
> What's pathetic is your failure to follow the header trail and
> figure out if it was really me or not.

how is that pathetic

it is obviously a likely outcome that you are the forger or in any case
by your words your responible for the forgery by inspiring it by your
own words
>
> Embarrassed yourself again, Markie.

not at all

you tried to decieve the NG again this time into making them overlook
my generous offer,

which stand depite your latest ill deeds

I am a better man than you, not that being a better man than is much to
boast about

you have merely to agree to stop your missdeed as outlined and I will
geting after you about them

simple as that
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3276. this offer is authentic and still open to stevie diispite his misdeeds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:28:39 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <pk38r1lvfomtuf3792pk0q4q65g5h91jj0@4ax.com>
Source record: 129048

an_old_friend
Dec 29, 9:22 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> - Find messages by this
author
Date: 29 Dec 2005 06:22:27 -0800
Local: Thurs, Dec 29 2005 9:22 am
Subject: stevei can stopp the behavoir he says he find offensive
anytime by just proising to stop engaging in what provoked it in the
first place
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse

in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
more generous than I have in the past

I have said he must apologize

I offer (for now something more generous

He merely needs to promise to stop doing thing and promised not to do
so in the future

he needs to pormise to stop making any refferences to sex sexuality a
person relion or health stauts physcial or mental, and he needs to
stop
making threats or advocating violenece anagist the persons or property
of others all otther in the NG

I make this offer in the Sprit of my ansesotrs and relitives this
season of Hannacka (how ever this season spelled in english)

If he does this I promise to refrain from psting under his daughter
name and to stop the flooding attack that does interfere with
operation
on RRAP

Reply

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3277. Re: more lying #### form the ###hole of RRAP, Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:30:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135873804.207001.200180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129047

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
> > > lies about people
> >
> > First of all, I haven't lied.
>
> first of all you have lied

Nope.

> the easiest to prove is your claim that you know i have been
> intoxicated when you say i have been

Markie, Markie, Markie...

If you could only appreciate what real people see.

> other lies that I am pedo or that I can pass on an STD since I don't
> have any

You are "pedo". You fit the profile so tightly that you should
be in one of those Isotoner Glove commercials.

And you ARE an "STD"...Stupider Than Dirt.

> > Secondly, if you'd paid attention or rad her site you'd kow she
> > was never able to speak a word.
>
> rad her site oh spelling cop

Obviously not.

> But did cover that "why ideed she might ask were she arround and able
> ask why her father lies about people" note the presence of the arround
> "AND ABLE"

Was that a sentence?

> > > she wouldnot liekly get any more answer than the rest fo us on RRAP
> >
> > You say "us" like someone other than you or a Fellow Feeble Fiver
> > is interested in your opinion, Markie.
>
> obvioulsy you think so as well

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

3278. more stinking shit from the ashole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:34:02 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <im38r1pbj84gigv5h7hv2an18copl0na44@4ax.com>
Source record: 129049

On 29 Dec 2005 02:31:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>taelor_robeson@hotmail.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:
>> On 23 Dec 2005 06:28:39 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >an Old friend wrote:
>> >> why doesn't try the truth
>> >
>> > Good question. Why don't you?
>>
>> I do
>
> Obviously not.
>
> You posted THIS response under a forged screen name defiling the
>memory of a deceased child that was not your own.

done by your leave
>
> To misrepresent your identity is, in and of itself, deceitful.
>Ergo you clearly demonstrated that you are NOT truthful.
>
>> >> he has been sping this lie that I posting drunk whn I don't drink?
>> >
>> > Re-read that line and then TRY and wonder why anyone who is
>> >COMPETENT in English might wonder.
>>
>> you claim not to wonder but to KNOW ywhen you make that claim YOU LIE
>
> Nope. No lie. You've acknowledged drinking in the past and your
>actions are indicative of intoxication now.

more lies I have never adknowledged ever being drunk online
nor off line
>
>> >> why do this after nothing ilegal immoral iresponaible or evn wrong if I
>> >> were posting drunk after it is isn't driving drunk
>> >
>> > I never said it was illegal or immoral.
>>
>> more lie
>
> Prove it.
>
> Where did I say it was illegal or immoral to drink, Markie...?!?!

>
>> > I said it's stupid and contributes to your already functionally
>> >illiterate jibberish.
>>
>> more lie you never said that till now...(SNIP)
>
> Not true. I've said it before.
>
>> abd beside drink has no effect
>> onmy writing since i don't drink so you lie agan
>
> Then answer my previous question: Were you lying about liking a
>cold beer in the past, or are you lying about drinking at ALL
>now...?!?!

I have sid I drink to intoxication ever
>
>> > There's a lot of things you can do in this life that are neither
>> >illegal or immoral, but are obviously stupid.
>> >
>> >> he avoided answered the question of why he is claiming that he has gone
>> >> trhough my trash or had someone go through my trash looking fro achol
>> >> bottles?
>> >
>> > No one had to, Markie. You've acknowledged drinking in this forum
>> >in the past.
>>
>> agaoin avoid the issue you claimed to have knowledge of my tash
>
> What's a "tash"...?!?! Is that like a "tush", because if it is, I
>can assure you that's the LAST of my concerns.
>
>> so you are claing to to have knowledge that would require you to comit
>> a crime to get
>
> Reading what you write in here is not a crime, Markie.

never said it was

going my trash involes you comitting a crime however
>
> It's laborious, even frustrating at times, but it's not illegal.
>
>> > Your writing and blatant lack of judgement make it easy to draw
>> >obvious conclusions.
>>
>> obvious how?
>
> Your spelling sucks.

so?

that is not evidence of intoxication
>
> Your sentences are incomplete.

dito
>
> You make outlandish statements.

not realy you distortions make some them pretty outlandish

>
> You conduct yourself in a manner that a prudent person wouldn't
>consider doing if they were otherwise in control of their faculties.

if you said "im My opinion" youd might have a true statement as it is
you just lied

>
>> >> why tell this lie?
>> >
>> > It's not a lie.
>>
>> it is a lie
>
> Nope..

saying I have ever posted drunk is lie

saying you know when that at any given moment I am intoxicated is lie

>
>> >> the only reason i can see right off it is convince he is stalking me,
>> >> and then try at some point to pull a rug from under me
>> >
>> > You're pulling your own rug while standing on it, Markie. The
>> >subsequent fall is going to be painful and expensive.
>>
>> more threats from stvei
>
> Who's "stvei"...?!?!

you
>
>> idle threats at that
>
> If you say so.

are you lying now? or telling the ruth

no one can tell with you

>
>> >> but still what is the point?
>> >
>> > You'd better ask yourself that question several times a day...

>>
>> why ask myself about YOUR actions
>
> Why indeed.

so answer thhe question

>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3279. stveie lies more SOP for the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:36:28 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <vv38r1h75vesn0e37675gjs9k91v7phbvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 129051

On 29 Dec 2005 07:19:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
>> > more generous than I have in the past
>> >
>> > I have said I apologize
>> >
>>
>> more stevie forgery. pathetic.
>
> What's pathetic is your failure to follow the header trail and
>figure out if it was really me or not.

why?
>
> Embarrassed yourself again, Markie.
no
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3280. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:37:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135874241.128710.226580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129050

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
> > > > more generous than I have in the past
> > > >
> > > > I have said I apologize
> > > >
> > >
> > > more stevie forgery. pathetic.
> >
> > What's pathetic is your failure to follow the header trail and
> > figure out if it was really me or not.
>
> how is that pathetic

You stated that the other post was a "stevie forgery".

You're calling something that wasn't mine "mine" was the pathetic
part.

> it is obviously a likely outcome that you are the forger or in any case
> by your words your responible for the forgery by inspiring it by your
> own words

Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...yyyyyeeeeeeeeeeeaaaaaaaaaaaahhhhh...riiiiiiiiiiiight.

> > Embarrassed yourself again, Markie.
>
> not at all

(laughing at Markie wiping egg off face....)

> you tried to decieve the NG again this time into making them overlook
> my generous offer,

The only "generous offer" you've made, Markie, was saving me a
step by giving me your "lawyer's" address. You'll still get a copy per
court officer.

> which stand depite your latest ill deeds

You're the only one with "ill deeds" here, Markie.

The perponderence of new subject lines with profanities and
references to my deceased daughter is evidence of that.

> I am a better man than you....(SNIP)

On Mars or the Moon, perhaps.

> not that being a better man than is much to boast about

I am sure that you find being better at anything nothing to boast about...It night screw up your "disability" checks.

> you have merely to agree to stop your missdeed as outlined and I will
> geting after you about them

More random words seeking organization and purpose, Markie. Try E N G L I S H.

> simple as that

Yep. As simple as that.

Steve, K4YZ

3281. why does my dady compond his lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:38:54 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <1148r11pvgt5nvnb2gso8a2kfct6hprpmt@4ax.com>
Source record: 129052

On 29 Dec 2005 07:23:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
>> lies about people
>
> First of all, I haven't lied.

sure have

example include raped an firend
todd is gay

you lie all the time
>
> Secondly, if you'd paid attention or rad her site you'd kow she
>was never able to speak a word.

coverd that I said if she was able
>
>> she wouldnot liekly get any more answer than the rest fo us on RRAP
>
> You say "us" like someone other than you or a Fellow Feeble Fiver
>is interested in your opinion, Markie.

obviouly you think so as well

as you felt you had to try and bury the post in the pile of your more
markie <scat reference removed> posts
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3282. stveie lossing what little grip he has on the real world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:41:45 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <u548r1pgkb8o1anm7nn5mf7tfjb9leot57@4ax.com>
Source record: 129053

On 29 Dec 2005 02:49:13 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> > And why are you addressing those comments to "stvie the ###hole",
>> > when it was ME that you were responding to...?!?!
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Are you saying that Mark has you confused with someone else?
>
> Absolutley.

realy I think you are you stevie all eight of you
>
> I wonder how he managed to spell "Brain" as "stvie"...?!?!\

right go take some meds go find a doc go get help
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3283. more stevei forgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:45:03 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <0b48r1di4p7ll2dp6v0b11s9cr4jjoabcp@4ax.com>
Source record: 129056

On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 06:12:38 -0600, NOGL <gay_ham@lambda.org> wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
>>
>>
>> I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
>
>I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3284. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:48:50 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <hd48r151ba6hq3f6l03ldppdpa0uhjn7gg@4ax.com>
Source record: 129058

On 28 Dec 2005 15:44:24 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: "K0HB" on Wed, Dec 28 2005 5:48 am
>
cut

> As I remarked before, it takes only ONE letter change to
> turn "Worf" into "wolf." Or vice-versa.
>
> Now, Herr Worf, I suggest you have a glass of "a drink fit
> for warriors" (as Worf has remarked more than once)...
> prune juice.

an exaclent suggested I wsh I had thought of it first I tip my hat to
you
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3285. stevei contiues to duck the question who is your lawyer and will you please have contact mine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:49:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135874944.684260.148800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129054

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
stay on the subject

3286. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:49:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135874958.505916.282650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129055

Mark Morgan Defiled the Memory of a Deceased Child To Write:

> in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
> more generous than I have in the past

You're in no position to be making demands, Markie

> I have said he must apologize

After you. Unconditional and with a solemn pledge that you'll
never again defile a child in the manner you are currently doing.

> I offer (for now something more generous

Uh huh...

> He merely needs to promise to stop doing thing and promised not to do
> so in the future

There's no "offer" here...Just more of the same rhetoric.

> he needs to pormise to stop making any refferences to sex sexuality a
> person relion or health stauts physcial or mental, and he needs to
> stop

Again, after YOU, Markie.

> making threats or advocating violenece anagist the persons or property
> of others all otther in the NG

STILL after you...

> I make this offer in the Sprit of my ansesotrs and relitives this
> season of Hannacka (how ever this season spelled in english)

If you'd exercise even 1/4th of your alleged 248 IQ, you could
have used a dictionary to spell it correctly...even pecking one letter
at a time to get it right.

> If he does this I promise to refrain from psting under his daughter
> name and to stop the flooding attack that does interfere with
> operation
> on RRAP

Mark, all of those posts are symptomatic of a very weak and sick
mind. If you were the alleged "better man" that you suggested you are
in another thread, you would have never started abusing her in the
first place.

No one, least of all me, is standing over your shoulder forcing you to do it. You started this and perpetuate this all on your own.

Indeed you'd impress the bejeebers out of a lot of folks (none-the-least-of-all me) if you stopped it just because it's the right thing to do.

But I compute the odds of that happening to be somewhat less likely than my chances with the Powerball or MegaMillions lotteries.

Steve, K4YZ

3287. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:51:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135875100.777972.309630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129057

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> stay on the subject

Markie, you claim that no one has the right to make demands of
others, yet here you are making demands.

It will happen when it happens...At our discretion and on our
schedule.

Be patient.

Steve, K4YZ

3288. more forgeies from the hand of stevies by his owrd words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:52:37 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <ng48r1prlvvt47rcgcfal6opfb7froi3hg@4ax.com>
Source record: 129060

On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 06:15:37 -0600, NOGL <gay_ham@lambda.org> wrote:

>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> So, yes; if you are going to tell it, tell it straight.
>>
>>
>> LRod
>>
>> Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite
>>
>> Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999
>>
>> http://www.woodbutcher.net
>>
>
>I love lrod long time once. not again though cause he just lie there say
>nothing.

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3289. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:56:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1135875390.745160.175410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129059

an_old_friend wrote:
> NOGL wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
> > >
> > >
> > > I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
> > >
> > I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.
> >
> N0GL almost certainyl not write

Oh?

How do YOU know?

Perhaps it was written by the same person who kept insisting that
"KY4Z" was psoting ehre when HE wasn't!
>
> but who did
>
> beased theposting action I know k4yz and Frank with no call were online
> posting to RRAP

So are you.

> mostly case then k4yz was foreging some more on RRAP

Most likely that your paranoia is showing, Markie.

> you said Todd was respoible for what his blogs inspire, therefore
> (unles you were lying) you believe you are responible for what YOUR
> pots inspire
>
> to date we have these lying flase sex post from Todd (and other forged
> in my name) all your fault
>
> and we have Mr Slit inspried to make threats against M Morgan of MQT
> MI, all your fault by your words

Not of MY words, Markie...of YOURS.

> and Me trying to get through your think skull with use your daughters
> name in a maner you both grant me leave to do so and claim to find
> offensive, all by your words your fault

Your use of my daughter's name will exact a toll on YOU, Markie...

Or stop now. You have a choice. Use The Force and make the right one. The other's going to be expensive.

Steve, K4YZ

3290. setvei the path asshole handywork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 16:56:55 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <lk48r1tmtqqf84ojb55erdo0qkis2gspa2@4ax.com>
Source record: 129063

>From: an_old_friend <konstans@mental.ward>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: stevei can stopp the behavoir he says he find offensive anytime
> by just proising to stop engaging in what provoked it in the first place
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 08:31:42 -0600
>Organization: http://groups.google.com
>Lines: 9
>Message-ID: <dp0s02$58p$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
>References: <1135866147.192538.129720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: mz6W05rrilqllOk0TQhhRQ.user.domitilla.aioe.org
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
>In-Reply-To:
>X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!news.exile.org!news.kjsl.com!magenta.plig.net!news.banetele.no!
koehntopp.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43824
>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
>> more generous than I have in the past
>>
>> I have said I apologize
>>
>
>more stevie forgery. pathetic.
stveie by his own keyboard or the hand of those he insprires trying
tries to under my effort at peace making

proving that tevei like the flamewars and is not to be trusted

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3291. more of stevei effort to continue the flame war

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:00:22 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135875622.654771.97710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129061

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark Morgan Defiled the Memory of a Deceased Child To Write:
>
> > in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
> > more generous than I have in the past
>
> You're in no position to be making demands, Markie

yes I am

if you wantme to do something YOU MUST pay my price
>
> > I have said he must apologize
>
> After you. Unconditional and with a solemn pledge that you'll
> never again defile a child in the manner you are currently doing.

but I have you premission in wring over your name and your admission
you did in fact send it

I will not apologize for treating you as you have treated me and others
over the years

>
> > I offer (for now something more generous
>
> Uh huh...
>
> > He merely needs to promise to stop doing thing and promised not to do
> > so in the future
>
> There's no "offer" here...Just more of the same rhetoric.

another lie Stvie
>
> > he needs to pormise to stop making any refferences to sex sexuality a
> > person relion or health stauts physcial or mental, and he needs to
> > stop
>
> Again, after YOU, Markie.

no after you at all you brought it up
>
> > making threats or advocating violenece anagist the persons or property
> > of others all otther in the NG
>

> STILL after you...

I have never threatened or advocated violence against anyone
>
> > I make this offer in the Sprit of my ansesotrs and relitives this
> > season of Hannacka (how ever this season spelled in english)
>
> If you'd exercise even 1/4th of your alleged 248 IQ, you could
> have used a dictionary to spell it correctly...even pecking one letter
> at a time to get it right.

I could have chosen to hunt up the words by why bother you don't want
peace you want a flamewar
>
> > If he does this I promise to refrain from psting under his daughter
> > name and to stop the flooding attack that does interfere with
> > operation
> > on RRAP
>
> Mark, all of those posts are symptomatic of a very weak and sick
> mind. If you were the alleged "better man" that you suggested you are
> in another thread, you would have never started abusing her in the
> first place.

I have never abused her at all

you use the name s of the dead to make jokes and debateing point same
as I have

>
> No one, least of all me, is standing over your shoulder forcing
> you to do it. You started this and perpetuate this all on your own.

never made any such claim

>
> Indeed you'd impress the bejeebers out of a lot of folks
> (none-the-least-of-all me) if you stopped it just because it's the
> right thing to do.

but it sin't the right thing to do

It is not right not to respond and try to reign your cyber terrism of
RRAP

>
> But I compute the odds of that happening to be somewhat less
> likely than my chances with the Powerball or MegaMillions lotteries.

becuase it you that is repsonible for this state of affiar your years
of abuse and bulling
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3292. stevei the pilot can't read maps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:01:15 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <ir48r1p200v4tbh8jke3995vi9klt1nb47@4ax.com>
Source record: 129065

> There's no need for an apology.

yes there is

you lied to NG
>
> And I admitted my mistake, which is more than you ahve ever done,
>Markie.

ahve?

I admit my mistakes when I make did so even today so lie again
>
>
>
>> > However that STILL puts Chassell "near" Marquette.
>
>> not by most people standards
>
>
>
> Yes, by "most people standards", Markie.

not by anyone here MMQT is along way away
>
>
>> but stevie conties o lie and then per
>> Stevie SOP he adds a tack to mix by being insulting about being
>> corrected
>
>
> Was that a sentence?

no

yet you avoided it anyway
>
>
>> >..Unless you're
>> > crawling on your hands and knees, a position I am sure you are
>> > comfrotable in.
>
>> why do you act this way Steve

why do you act this way?

>
>
>> I am sure every whom like to know
>
>
>
> I am sure "every whom" would like to know what school system let
>you "graduate".

maybe but that I will not tell you

nice try however d- minus for effort
>
>
>> > > > Since the continental United States is about 3000 miles by 2500
>> > > > miles, I think the average person would consider thirty miles,
>> > > > give-or-take, as "near".
>
>> > I take a "stand corrected" on the thirty miles, however STILL
>> > assert that even 90 miles is "near" when compared to other cities
>> > within Michigan.
>
>
>> wrong again chassel is far nearing cites of Michigan than that
>
>
>
> Again, another collection of random words seeking organization and
>meaning.

another lie from stevie
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3293. have your attonry cpontact my attorney

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:01:22 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135875682.060645.221550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129062

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > stay on the subject
>
> Markie, you claim that no one has the right to make demands of
> others, yet here you are making demands.

never made that claim
>
> It will happen when it happens...At our discretion and on our
> schedule.

yea right

do show this "attonery" some of your posts BTW might save you some
money
>
> Be patient.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3294. more from the forgers muse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:05:32 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135875932.740909.208980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129064

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > NOGL wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >>Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
> > >
> > > I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.
> >
> > N0GL almost certainyl not write
>
> Oh?
>
> How do YOU know?

I asid almost certianly
>
> Perhaps it was written by the same person who kept insisting that
> "KY4Z" was psoting ehre when HE wasn't!

you were posting at same I was by the tieme on your post

are you Now claiming that you are not posting?
> >
> > but who did
> >
> > beased theposting action I know k4yz and Frank with no call were online
> > posting to RRAP
>
> So are you.

yes I was
>
> > mostly case then k4yz was foreging some more on RRAP
>
> Most likely that your paranoia is showing, Markie.

paranoi means looking at the facts and suggesting that the most logical
explanation is true?

>
> > you said Todd was respoible for what his blogs inspire, therefore
> > (unles you were lying) you believe you are responible for what YOUR

> > pots inspire
> >
> > to date we have these lying flase sex post from Todd (and other forged
> > in my name) all your fault
> >
> > and we have Mr Slit inspried to make threats against M Morgan of MQT
> > MI, all your fault by your words
>
> Not of MY words, Markie...of YOURS.

nope yours

you claim in post about Todd reposted elsewhere recently that Todd is
responible for the "threats " you received even if he did not send
becuase he inspried them therfore you are also rsponible for the
actions you inspire

>
> > and Me trying to get through your think skull with use your daughters
> > name in a maner you both grant me leave to do so and claim to find
> > offensive, all by your words your fault
>
> Your use of my daughter's name will exact a toll on YOU, Markie...

only in that I find disageable to do
>
> Or stop now. You have a choice. Use The Force and make the right
> one. The other's going to be expensive.

more threats

your "lawyer" would surely advise agist waht you are doing steve

go ask him
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3295. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:08:43 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dp156r$92m$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 129066
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
> CW does not make you a better radio amateur but it does give some

If you're able to copy code, listen to any of the CW subbands -- you'll find nothing but good operators -- you'll hear none of the nonsense that occurs on the phone segments of the bands.

Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

3296. more lies from the asshole of RRAp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:10:13 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <o168r119t5e3m0fh16594pc0rmehtm5qef@4ax.com>
Source record: 129067

On 29 Dec 2005 01:57:52 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>(a deceased child)@yahoo.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:
done by your leave

that sort of mistake is one of the reasons laywr advise against
contact the other side

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3297. more poor scat reference from asshole of RRAp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:15:04 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <t368r1hoh87kkcuvaj8cn714lcflcjqhv5@4ax.com>
Source record: 129068

On 29 Dec 2005 02:18:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> > > but then I doubt he could
>> > > find the place, in some of his posts he said that chaseel was near
>> > > marquette
>> >
>> > First of all, there's no "chaseel" in Michigan, Markie.
>> >
>> > Secondly, Marquette is only 30 air miles, probably an extra 15
>> > driving miles since it's not a straight line to get to "Chassell" from
>> > there.
>>
>> wrong again
>
> For ONCE you were right, Markie, but only because I didn't
>consider it a big enough deal to map out on MAPQUEST. It's a total of
>89 miles DRIVING.
you lied again

how can you be ebelieved
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3298. staveie confesses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:20:32 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <2568r1tqtgenlmj8m6fh30n01j7vuoado8@4ax.com>
Source record: 129070

On 29 Dec 2005 02:47:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>> > First off you ****head, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
>> > can't justify that statement. secondly that's your ****ing opinion,
>> and
>> > in my view you and your little **** buddies on here are a small
>> > minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE ****ING THING, MY
>> > PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO **** YOU!!!!
>>
>> There's five good reasons to put 10-penny nails in your coax.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> OK. So what?

that is a confess to an effort to incite violance
cut
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3299. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:24:06 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dp163m$9j7$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 129072

>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > >From the 1976 ARRL License Manual:
>> > >
>> > > Study Question #31:

I missed your original post, and the followup I saw edited out the question, so I don't know from which class of license your #31 came from. But I thought it would be interesting to post #31 from each class:

Novice #31: Draw the schematic diagram of a circuit having the following components: a) Battery with internal resistance, b) resistive load, c) voltmeter, d) ammeter.

General #31: What is peak-envelope-power (PEP) in an emission from an rf amplifier? How can the PEP input to the final amplifying stage(s) supplying power to the antenna be determined?

Advanced #31: Why is neutralization important in amplifiers? What points in an amplifier circuit should be coupled to provide good neutralization?

Extra #31: What useful functions does a balanced modulator perform in a radio transmitter?

(I passed my General at the San Francisco FCC office in '76 and used this same study guide for my preparation.)

Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

3300. more posting of inncoence form the font of Forgery on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:25:59 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <e768r1dmggidmgg4ji075cgcajkru4t70v@4ax.com>
Source record: 129073

On 29 Dec 2005 07:17:08 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> ok steve, whatever turns you on
>
> You need to follow the header trail, Toiddie.

me think he dost protest too much
>
> That wasn't me. I don't post anonymously.

so you say
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3301. 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:26:17 UTC
From: Orator For Decency <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135877177.207160.193110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129069
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The spreading stain of the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme
has been allowed to contaminate Radio Hammery for
too long.

Now that the RSCB plays no part in licensing matters and
is little more than a money-hungry CBer's book publisher,
let's drive them out of business - if you haven't yet
resigned - then do so today _BEFORE_ your sub comes
up for renewal.

Don't forget - if you are one of the traditional _REAL_
Radio Hams - the RSCB is already taking you for
a sucker by using your hard-earned subscription
money to give free membership to the CBers
at the bottom end. If they're so eager to give
out free membership - let them have nothing
but non-paying members and then see how
long they can survive!

Show your disgust - resign today! Starve the
alien life form that is the gangrenous degeneration
of the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme of its life-blood!

3302. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:27:28 UTC
From: "�|��T?□Rt?@$t"
Message-ID: <dp16a8$j6r$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129071
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

SECONDED!!!

3303. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:34:11 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <n6Vsf.24749$b4.9014@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 129074
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Orator For Decency wrote:

> Show your disgust - resign today! Starve the
> alien life form that is the gangrenous degeneration
> of the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme of its life-blood!

I am resigning. I refuse to pay the windie licker tax.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

3304. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:36:07 UTC
From: class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
Message-ID: <43b41e50.81936129@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 129075
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote:

> On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 19:09:55 GMT,
> class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
> (zYYPK) wrote:
>
> >Nedlar <Sam@techno.invalid> wrote:
> >
> >> had to 'upgrade' to an M3
> >
> >
> >now now...when you say UPGRADE please remind everyone of the article it
> >appeared in.
>
>
> I dont know which article it was. All I do know is that any exam that
> gives you EXTRA privileges must be an upgrade.

arrl website.

'president says foundation licence is a hit' or something like that.

which reminds me....

- - - - - - - -

From: "Brian Reay" <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Subject: Re: Govt thinks AR will decline
Date: Fri, 9 Aug 2002 20:15:08 +0100

One of our M3s has already decided the ARRL are a better deal.

If the RSGB has less members it has less income from members therefore less
money to spend salaries and on freebies (by which I mean 'jollys' to
meetings etc.). Unless, of course, these guys are cleverer than we think and
can do more with less ;-)

I may have missed something but it seems logical to me.

73

Brian

3305. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:39:54 UTC
From: "jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <KbVsf.17021$f7.8847@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 129076
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If I was a member I would resign.......

3306. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:43:29 UTC
From: Know Code <know_code@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <43b42040$0$69034$dbd4d001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 129077
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

§|ª®T?ƒªRt?@$t wrote:
> SECONDED!!!
>
>

TURDED

--

I fully support the Know Code debate.
Loud is Good. It lets you trample over M3s and other undesirables.

3307. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:50:55 UTC
From: class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
Message-ID: <43b421b0.82800263@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 129078
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> "Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
> > CW does not make you a better radio amateur but it does give some
>
> If you're able to copy code, listen to any of the CW subbands -- you'll
> find nothing but good operators -- you'll hear none of the nonsense that
> occurs on the phone segments of the bands.
>
> Jeff KH6O

sadly , the people who need to learn this FACT are the very ones who
refused to even try a simple 5wpm morse test and instead ran away to do
the zero speed no-fail but COMPULSORY morse appreciation WITH CRIB SHEET.

3308. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:55:10 UTC
From: class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
Message-ID: <43b422df.83103946@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 129079
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Orator For Decency" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote:

> The spreading stain of the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme

i'm reminded of a posting some time ago....

- - - - - - - -

From: "Brian Reay" <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Subject: Re: Govt thinks AR will decline
Date: Fri, 9 Aug 2002 20:15:08 +0100

One of our M3s has already decided the ARRL are a better deal.

If the RSGB has less members it has less income from members therefore less money to spend salaries and on freebies (by which I mean 'jollys' to meetings etc.). Unless, of course, these guys are cleverer than we think and can do more with less ;-)

I may have missed something but it seems logical to me.

73

Brian

3309. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:56:39 UTC
From: Mike GW8IJT <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <41ima7F1f7e0oU1@individual.net>
Source record: 129080
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"ï¿½|ï¿½ï¿½T?ßªRt?@$t" <ï¿½|ï¿½ï¿½T?ßªRt?@$t@norway_coastline.net> wrote in message
news:dp16a8$j6r$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
> SECONDED!!!
>
>
That makes two twats.
Regards Mike.

--
"Remember that age and treachery will always triumph over youth and
ability" (David Brent).

3310. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 17:57:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XrVsf.3985$R84.2530@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129081
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> If you're able to copy code, listen to any of the CW subbands -- you'll
> find nothing but good operators ....

Jeffrey,

I'm an avid CW user, but your statement above is pure fantasy. Lousy operators on the CW bands are even MORE prevalent than on phone. Listen around the .058 watering fist watering holes and you find operators so poor it would piss of Mother Theresa that they're allowed on the air.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Grand Exalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

3311. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 18:07:02 UTC
From: class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
Message-ID: <43b425ab.83819631@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 129082
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Grand Exalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

is that some sort of Lodge job-title ?

3312. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 18:26:15 UTC
From: Mike Clayton <news2005d.10.mikelclayton@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <$IFdgycHpCtDFwZW@ntlworld.com>
Source record: 129087
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In message <43b40bf5.536277466@news.blueyonder.co.uk>, Peter
<microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> writes
>CW does not make you a better radio amateur but it does give some
>folk on this newgroup an inflated opinion of themselves. Perhaps that
>test and the RAE are only exams they have ever been able to pass? ;-)
>
>Peter, G3PHO

You have hit the nail on the head there.
--
Mike Clayton
'For evil to triumph it is only necessary for good men to do nothing'
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/mike_clayton

3313. Re: stveie dosn have a lawyer more lie from the stening plie os shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 18:33:40 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11r8b00ed1ghsc3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<taelor_robeson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8rl7r1lsg09sbtpluk81ee8ocf7sa8d393@4ax.com...
"snipped of stupidity"

You post this shit more often than some asshole trying to sell a radio. What do you expect to accomplish by this nonsense? If you have a beef with him, you have his address, go over to his house and kick his ass. Otherwise SHUT THE FUCK UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3314. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 18:47:32 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dp1b04$c7b$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 129084

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote

>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
>> Let's crunch some numbers: Our total MF/HF spectrum consists of just
>> 3.75 MHz, with only about half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for
>> communications at any one particular time of the day. If suddenly,
>> as if by magic, all licensees were granted MF/HF privileges, we could
>> possibly have 670,000 hams attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz.

>Watch this closely, boys and girls. We're about to be given a demonstra
>tion of
>"figures don't lie, but liars figure". In this case the person "figurin
>g" is no
>less than a self-proclaimed university "math lecturer", so we're seeing
>a pro at
>work!

"Self-proclaimed"? Send me an email and I'll give you the phone number of
the personnel office -- they'll verify that I've been a Step C Lecturer
for 20 years.

(I've never understood why liberals resort to name-calling and sarcasm; do
they lack the ability to provide a rebuttal in a calm and polite manner?)

>> Okay, I'll grant you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's
>> say at any one time, we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams
>> on the air, with two per QSO.

>One-fourth? That averages out to 6 hours of hamming every day by every
> ham, or
>167,500 US hams on the air at any given instant, 24/7.

It was a worst-case starting point. Feel free to take that 670,000 and
chop it down anyway you please, then divide that figure into bandwidth of
usable spectrum at a particular time of day. To be accurate, the 1.7 MHz
of 10m should be excluded at this time, bringing that 3.75 MHz of total
spectrum bandwidth down to 2.05 MHz.

2,050,000 Hz divided by (670,000 hams divided by 2 hams per QSO) gives the
worst-case scenario, about 6 Hz per QSO. Now you can take that figure and
use it in any reasonable and realistic manner you please.

>The most popular US operating event is the ARRL Field Day.

And if all 670 kilohams DID have HF privileges, the worst-case scenario above
might actually occur.

73,
Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

3315. stevei lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 18:59:31 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <7ac8r1lg873pfhtjvuavplbdmfv7tg92em@4ax.com>
Source record: 129085

On 29 Dec 2005 08:37:21 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
>> > > > more generous than I have in the past
>> > > >
>> > > > I have said I apologize
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > > more stevie forgery. pathetic.
>> >
>> > What's pathetic is your failure to follow the header trail and
>> > figure out if it was really me or not.
>>
>> how is that pathetic
>
> You stated that the other post was a "stevie forgery".

in point of fact I did goo look at it It is someone forgering a claim
that I was claiming it is your forgery
>
> You're calling something that wasn't mine "mine" was the pathetic
>part.

that was your act stevei boy
>
>> it is obviously a likely outcome that you are the forger or in any case
>> by your words your responible for the forgery by inspiring it by your
>> own words
>
>
>Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...yyyyyeeeeeeeeeeeaaaaaaaaaaaahhhhh...riiiiiiiiiiiight.

exactly

>
>> > Embarrassed yourself again, Markie.
>>
>> not at all
>
> (laughing at Markie wiping egg off face....)

more lies from the liar of RRAP
>
>> you tried to decieve the NG again this time into making them overlook
>> my generous offer,
>
> The only "generous offer" you've made, Markie, was saving me a
>step by giving me your "lawyer's" address. You'll still get a copy per
>court officer.

when old liar

you claimed to have filed on the 27th Iam should have beenseeved by
now
>
>> which stand depite your latest ill deeds
>
> You're the only one with "ill deeds" here, Markie.

big lie on your part
>
> The perponderence of new subject lines with profanities and
>references to my deceased daughter is evidence of that.

not realy but you realy don't understand the word
>
>> I am a better man than you....(SNIP)
>
> On Mars or the Moon, perhaps.

worng again
>
>> not that being a better man than is much to boast about
>
> I am sure that you find being better at anything nothing to boast
>about...It night screw up your "disability" checks.

no disablity check here never were

but and rest of that was a scrwed up
>
>> you have merely to agree to stop your missdeed as outlined and I will
>> geting after you about them
>
> More random words seeking organization and purpose, Markie. Try E
>N G L I S H.
>
>> simple as that
>
> Yep. As simple as that.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general

forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3316. more bullsht from the bullshit artist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:01:14 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <pgc8r195aocr55dqd81v7lmd965fq5j5ks@4ax.com>
Source record: 129086

On 29 Dec 2005 08:49:18 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan Defiled the Memory of a Deceased Child To Write:
>
>> in an effort to e the better that I am I offer stevie something een
>> more generous than I have in the past
>
> You're in no position to be making demands, Markie

sure I am
flsuhing the rest as I think i have seen before
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3317. more lies from stveie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:03:17 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <3ic8r1dn9a0bhg5r7dat2ilq2jsl1ig9i1@4ax.com>
Source record: 129088

On 29 Dec 2005 08:51:40 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> stay on the subject
>
> Markie, you claim that no one has the right to make demands of
>others, yet here you are making demands.
>
> It will happen when it happens...At our discretion and on our
>schedule.

then you lied when you said I would be served by the court officer

make up your mind and please keep your lies straight
>
> Be patient.

I am I have had to be

you have only been pormising to have aressted for 7-8 years comited
foraibly to mental hostipt almostthat long was going to have arrested
by Houghton Couty on 5th of this month

and threats besides
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3318. more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:05:43 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <klc8r19e5r3qi0mtfmqojrprtp9ktmlfpo@4ax.com>
Source record: 129090

On 29 Dec 2005 08:56:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> NOGL wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > >>Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
>> >
>> > I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.
>>
>> N0GL almost certainyl not write
>
> Oh?
>
> How do YOU know?

becuase the header was a fraud as anyone looking could tel

Yes there was a slight chance that Tood was the forger which I why I
siad almost certainly instead of certainly
>
cut
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3319. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:07:31 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <43b433ec$0$29564$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 129089
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"�|��T?□Rt?@$t" <�|��T?□Rt?@$t@norway_coastline.net> wrote in message
news:dp16a8$j6r$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
> SECONDED!!!
>

One Prays to Nick

Will our prayers be answered Nick ??

Tox you know why I like them

:-(

DieSea

3320. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:09:35 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135883375.082375.247140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129091
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

why is this posted to the USA?

3321. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:12:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ayWsf.1195$HI6.741@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129092

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote

> To be accurate, the 1.7 MHz of 10m should be
> excluded at this time...

Why? Is it not useful spectrum?

The ARRL 10m contest was run less than 2 weeks ago. I snagged a WAS in less
than 3 hours of play.

Here is a small sample of other representative scores:

Call QSOs Mults Hrs Score
NX5M 1880 180 32 981,360
N3OC 1359 167 28 657,312
W4MYA 1198 170 30 617,440
W5YAA 1219 141 20 516,906
K4FJ 1036 154 30 494,648
N4RV 1079 146 22.7 480,340
KR0B 999 140 32 440,160
N2RM 1037 141 13 431,742
VE1OP 954 123 14.6 401,472
KY1V 894 138 32 353,224
N4GN 828 135 ~12 344,520
K6RIM 883 124 12.7 343,728
NU4SC(@W4MEL) 804 136 30 338,912
KI9A(@WE9A) 710 123 17 276,380
K3WW 635 134 13 268,000
N4CW 515 135 225,990
W7RN(K5RC) 703 102 6 171,564
N4BAA 512 78 18 160,368
WX3B 614 104 14 156,416
W6OAT 473 107 8:00 148,516
W2AU 412 119 14.55 146,013
K0GAS 453 78 141,192
W4NF 480 106 7.5 124,444
KM5VI 597 92 ~18 117,944
AA3B 432 66 12 114,048
W6TE 521 93 11 112,158
K4IU 400 82 8.53 109,060
N3BB 414 56 3 92,736
VO1HE 423 88 9.5 90,288
K5NA 1300 88 32 457,600
K4OJ(N4KM) 1170 83 24 388,440
N4WW(K8NZ) 1099 84 34 369,264
N5DO 1004 77 27 309,232

K9BGL 878 81 284,472
N6ZZ 870 81 282,204
WJ9B 892 77 32 274,428
W9WI 749 80 240,000
W3BP 760 74 20 224,690
N2NT 666 79 12 210,456
N5ZK(W5ASP) 700 68 14 185,232
K8AJS 612 70 23.5 171,360
W0ZA 607 607 24 167,532
N5NA 564 69 15 155,664
KU8E 564 67 13.4 151,152
K2BA 506 56 7.73 113,344
K3JT 320 62 6.5 79,608
N3RD 300 64 7 76,800
K0RI 300 63 17 75,600
NN7ZZ(N5LZ) 307 57 8 69,996
KA2D 265 61 16 64,904

The preliminary "claimed score" list runs several hundred more lines, but I think this sample discredits your notion that 10m should be excluded from the "useful" spectrum.

>
> 2,050,000 Hz divided by (670,000 hams divided by 2 hams per QSO) gives the
> worst-case scenario, about 6 Hz per QSO. Now you can take that figure and
> use it in any reasonable and realistic manner you please.
>

Then you should have done so.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3322. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:12:08 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135883528.413773.155240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129093
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>
> > If you're able to copy code, listen to any of the CW subbands -- you'll
> > find nothing but good operators ....
>
> Jeffrey,
>
> I'm an avid CW user, but your statement above is pure fantasy. Lousy operators
> on the CW bands are even MORE prevalent than on phone. Listen around the .058
> watering fist watering holes and you find operators so poor it would piss of
> Mother Theresa that they're allowed on the air.

to reinforce your point May I inqurie: are you using sarcasm or any
other retoretical method in making that statement
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Grand Exalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

3323. Re: more lying shit form the asshole of RRAP,stevie robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:22:56 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <j9d8r15u6kfo7qeg2clsgnp1gj152p7vfp@4ax.com>
Source record: 129095

On 29 Dec 2005 08:30:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

more of your reatasking threads? why do you claim the preedle and deny
it other

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
>> > > lies about people
>> >
>> > First of all, I haven't lied.
>>
>> first of all you have lied
>
> Nope.

yes you have
>
>> the easiest to prove is your claim that you know i have been
>> intoxicated when you say i have been
>
> Markie, Markie, Markie...
>
> If you could only appreciate what real people see.

gee BB doesn't seem that I am intoxicated nor Len or Frank or Todd
even Dave agrees I am not drunk, he thinks I am insane ut he agrees
that I amnot drunk

therefore you need to face facts

you are lying when you say you know me to be intoxicated
>
>> other lies that I am pedo or that I can pass on an STD since I don't
>> have any
>
> You are "pedo".

you just lied again indeed if you are telling the truth about court
that will be one of the nails in your coffin if you can even get you
"case" to trai
l
> You fit the profile so tightly that you should
>be in one of those Isotoner Glove commercials.

and that sentence mean
>
> And you ARE an "STD"...Stupider Than Dirt.

not what you claiming when you said you catch an std from me

so you lied again

>
>> > Secondly, if you'd paid attention or rad her site you'd kow she
>> > was never able to speak a word.
>>
>> rad her site oh spelling cop
>
> Obviously not.

obviously I did and obviouly you missed being corected on your
spelling again

>
>> But did cover that "why ideed she might ask were she arround and able
>> ask why her father lies about people" note the presence of the arround
>> "AND ABLE"
>
> Was that a sentence?

no it iwasn't a sentence it is a sentence and response to your query

>
>> > > she wouldnot liekly get any more answer than the rest fo us on RRAP
>> >
>> > You say "us" like someone other than you or a Fellow Feeble Fiver
>> > is interested in your opinion, Markie.
>>
>> obvioulsy you think so as well
>
> Nope.

an obvious lie
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3324. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:23:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135884189.525125.297490@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129094

KØHB wrote:
> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
>
> > To be accurate, the 1.7 MHz of 10m should be
> > excluded at this time...
>
> Why? Is it not useful spectrum?
>
> The ARRL 10m contest was run less than 2 weeks ago. I snagged a WAS in less
> than 3 hours of play.
>
> Here is a small sample of other representative scores:
>
> Call QSOs Mults Hrs Score
> NX5M 1880 180 32 981,360
cut for breifity

are you willing should the issue arise (you know RRAPer and proof) to
make the full data avable if asked?

3325. new lie from stevie Stupidity can be passed by physical contact? No stevie is just trying to deny he lied about my being infected with an STD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:31:58 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <pce8r1phkmug1t1luai9mmncbgv3jc1q0k@4ax.com>
Source record: 129096

from one stveie posts s today
>> other lies that I am pedo or that I can pass on an STD since I don't
>> have any
>
> You are "pedo". You fit the profile so tightly that you should
>be in one of those Isotoner Glove commercials.
>
> And you ARE an "STD"...Stupider Than Dirt.

and from stveie nov 29
> I am "stating" that my only concern with you would be the STD I'd
>undoubtedly acquire after being assaulted by you.
>

now to may coments

today stevie claims he by STD he mean't std sexualy transmitted
deasae, but claims instaed he meant stupider than Dirt

meaning if he were teling the truth that he has just calims he could
become very stupid if he were assulted by me BTW the word is assautled
not raped since stve claims simple assualt would surely result in him
geting an STD

why do you lie so badly

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3326. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:39:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EXWsf.3757$nu6.1827@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129097

kb9rqz wrote:

> are you willing should the issue arise (you know RRAPer and proof) to make the
> full data avable if asked?

Yes.

http://lists.contesting.com/pipermail/cq-contest/2005-December/065854.html

3327. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:48:54 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135885734.097219.323720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129098

KØHB wrote:
> kb9rqz wrote:
>
> > are you willing should the issue arise (you know RRAPer and proof) to make the
> > full data avable if asked?
>
>
> Yes.

impress as proof Only flaw I saw was I ddi not find were you were id as
subitting that log but even that is nitpicking since the point is made
if anyone made those contacts
>
> http://lists.contesting.com/pipermail/cq-contest/2005-December/065854.html

3328. Re: hmm gues I was wrong steve is not going to stay online and provide us all the show he is famous for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:50:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Z5Xsf.4027$R84.941@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129099

an_old_friend wrote:
> now aht does this mean?

aida know. Aht does it mean?

> does it means he has omse sense?

Everybody has omse sense.

Dave K8MN

3329. Re: why is my dad lie so much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 19:54:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <maXsf.4030$R84.935@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129100

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
> lies about people

I'm confused, Colonel. I first, I thought you were asking why your father lies so much. After all, the subject of your poetic piece asks "why is my dad lie so much", or at least it would have asked it if there'd been a question mark, Mark.

Then you began referring to yourself by using a female pronoun. What gives?

Dave K8MN

3330. steve lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:04:41 UTC
From: taelor_robeson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <iag8r1h0ecrbv9a8r56r7gvfcrdr8vg6bl@4ax.com>
Source record: 129101

>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> K4YZ wrote:Re: stevie the ###hole prooves (PROVES) deserves (DESERVES)
>> his treatment as if it wee (WE) needed (Pass out on the keyboard again,
>> Markie? Forget what you were writing?)
>> no
>> > (a deceased child)@yahoo.com was forged by liar and thief Mark Morgan:
>>
>> BTW you have racked a new lie there I can not steal what I premission
>> to use
>
> Nope. You go right on ahead and do it.
>
> I sarcastically encourage you to continue your bizzarre behaviour
>to your own detriment.

sarcasticaly encourgaed

you claime you were lying I believe that much but
when were you lying
who can tell

my dad killd my grapa bfoere he kill me
>
> I, on the otherhand, choose to continue to point out that you ARE
>"using" a deceased child for "messaging points", as Lennie calls them.

more lies when you don't tell truth for even a
single short who can I
know what you saying
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following
person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making
false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing
other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398

931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3331. Re: more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:15:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9tXsf.4032$R84.78@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129102

tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote:
> On 29 Dec 2005 08:56:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> NOGL wrote:
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
>>>>>
>>>>> I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
>>>> I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.
>>> N0GL almost certainyl not write
>> Oh?
>>
>> How do YOU know?
>
> becuase the header was a fraud as anyone looking could tel

Uh, Colonel Morgan? Todd's call is N9OGL. The poster of the article
which you call a forgery is NOGL. They are not the same. The "9" in
Todd's call is not silent as we're not writing in the Klingon tongue.

> Yes there was a slight chance that Tood was the forger which I why I
> siad almost certainly instead of certainly

Tood? What a complete buffoon you are, Colonel.

Toodle-ooh.

Dave K8MN

3332. Re: hmm gues I was wrong steve is not going to stay online and provide us all the show he is famous for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:16:06 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp1g5p$6mu$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129103

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> now aht does this mean?
>
>
> aida know. Aht does it mean?
>
>> does it means he has omse sense?
>
>
> Everybody has omse sense.

is forgery by stevei again. stuf.
```

3333. Re: why is my dad lie so much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:17:44 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp1g8r$6mu$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129104

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
>> lies about people
>
>
> I'm confused, Colonel. I first, I thought you were asking why your
> father lies so much. After all, the subject of your poetic piece asks
> "why is my dad lie so much", or at least it would have asked it if
> there'd been a question mark, Mark.
>
> Then you began referring to yourself by using a female pronoun. What
> gives?

more forge by steve. this is dusterbed person.

3334. steve not man enuf to met me faice to face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:21:24 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp1gfo$6mu$3@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129105

if he was I would rip hi shead off his sholders and shov it down hisneck.

3335. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:22:18 UTC
From: Orator For Decency <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135887738.000751.117860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129106
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Then clearly we must support the latest VIP "member"
of the RSCB and all do what he suggests.

Peter Kirby _WILL_ be pleased with his new lap dog!

zYYPK wrote:
> "Orator For Decency" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote:
> > The spreading stain of the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme
> i'm reminded of a posting some time ago....
> - - - - - - - -
> From: "Brian Reay" <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
> Subject: Re: Govt thinks AR will decline
> Date: Fri, 9 Aug 2002 20:15:08 +0100
>
> One of our M3s has already decided the ARRL are a better deal.
> If the RSGB has less members it has less income from members therefore less
> money to spend salaries and on freebies (by which I mean 'jollys' to
> meetings etc.). Unless, of course, these guys are cleverer than we think and
> can do more with less ;-)
> I may have missed something but it seems logical to me.

3336. Re: more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:32:06 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135888326.912947.223060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129107

Dave Heil wrote:
> tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote:
> > On 29 Dec 2005 08:56:30 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>> NOGL wrote:
> >>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>> Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
> >>>>>
> >>>>> I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
> >>>> I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.
> >>> N0GL almost certainyl not write
> >> Oh?
> >>
> >> How do YOU know?
> >
> > becuase the header was a fraud as anyone looking could tel
>
> Uh, Colonel Morgan? Todd's call is N9OGL. The poster of the article
> which you call a forgery is NOGL. They are not the same. The "9" in
> Todd's call is not silent as we're not writing in the Klingon tongue.

you are correct i did miss that flaw in the forgery but then there
wereso many that it was easy to hide, none the less you are correct My
bad I apoligize to anyone that was confused

However it merely reinforces my conviction that it is almost certain
that Todd N9OGL did not prodcue that forgery leaves as I said the
Stevie the most likely suspect as I said
>
> > Yes there was a slight chance that Tood was the forger which I why I
> > siad almost certainly instead of certainly
>
> Tood? What a complete buffoon you are, Colonel.

back to poking fun at my disablites again

go ahead since i get stop you anyway helps me make any point I ever
want to about you
>
> Toodle-ooh.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

3337. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:33:29 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135888409.454461.302760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129108
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Orator For Decency wrote:
> Then clearly we must support the latest VIP "member"
> of the RSCB and all do what he suggests.
>
> Peter Kirby _WILL_ be pleased with his new lap dog!
cut
why is this shit being corsposeted to the states it concerns england
and english affair it is not the place of American has to make coment
on it

so orator take your shit where it belongs

3338. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:34:18 UTC
From: Orator For Decency <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135888458.434779.230690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129109
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Your posting is posted to Yankland for two reasons....

1. You cross-posted to rec.radio.amateur.policy and
rec.radio.amateur.misc
which although being international fora, also get sent to 3rd World
places like Yankland.

2. The google archive (formerly Deja Vu) sucks articles
from all over the globe, even, for example those in the Britland
hierarchy.

an Old friend wrote:
> why is this posted to the USA?

3339. forgery of forgery post my word must be VERY imporatnt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:34:24 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <g1i8r150fdt2b3f2n9a1varu8u0mcg4htc@4ax.com>
Source record: 129110

```
>From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: hmm gues I was wrong steve is not going to stay online and provide
> us all the show he is famous for
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 14:16:06 -0600
>Organization: http://groups.google.com
>Lines: 15
>Message-ID: <dp1g5p$6mu$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
>References: <1135864493.647077.294210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<Z5Xsf.4027$R84.941@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: mz6W05rrilqllOk0TQhhRQ.user.domitilla.aioe.org
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
>In-Reply-To:
>X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43924
>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> now aht does this mean?
>>
>>
>> aida know. Aht does it mean?
>>
>>> does it means he has omse sense?
>>
>>
>> Everybody has omse sense.
>
>is forgery by stevei again. stuf.

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
```

WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3340. another forgery of a foregry post such wight my words must that peopel want to be Me even for a ment here i RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:37:21 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <94i8r1ha7fg8mn3pfr6ptjgcp1obksqu65@4ax.com>
Source record: 129112

```
>From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: why is my dad lie so much
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 14:17:44 -0600
>Organization: http://groups.google.com
>Lines: 17
>Message-ID: <dp1g8r$6mu$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
>References: <vtq7r1to2k851p0h7voqr9iqo0pj0mqduv@4ax.com>
<maXsf.4030$R84.935@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: mz6W05rrilqllOk0TQhhRQ.user.domitilla.aioe.org
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
>In-Reply-To:
>X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43925
>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>> why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
>>> lies about people
>>
>>
>> I'm confused, Colonel. I first, I thought you were asking why your
>> father lies so much. After all, the subject of your poetic piece asks
>> "why is my dad lie so much", or at least it would have asked it if
>> there'd been a question mark, Mark.
>>
>> Then you began referring to yourself by using a female pronoun. What
>> gives?
>
>more forge by steve. this is dusterbed person.
In this case with them following a Dave post in each caseI suspect
that Dave himself is the poster trying to get his message out
unasnwered by looking at first glance that I had already answered

hat a tnagled web is woven here
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
```

forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3341. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:37:40 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <eTydndvqq7ix1CneUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 129111
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I really hesitate to even appear to lend any credence to the rant below by even responding ...

However, at the same time I feel compelled to state that, when I had the pleasure of operating 4U1ITU from ITU HQ in Geneva (not too long after the US insituted the "Foundation Class"), I happily worked a LOT of M3's (perhaps 50% of about 300 contacts worked in about 2 hours on 20m) and found them to be quite well up on how to POLITELY work a DX station in a pile-up (4U1ITU invariably generates a pileup when someone activates it).

I find the "give the youngsters the cold shoulder" suggestion below to be itself infantile and it's clearly not in the best interests of the future of ham radio.
We *must* bring in the kids who will be the future generation of hams - otherwise when we go SK there will BE no ham radio because there won't be enough hams to justify our frequency allocations.

73,
Carl - wk3c

"Pierian Spring" <radio.ham@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135615384.371675.193900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It seems that anyone of those who are involved
> in any way with childrens' organisations or with
> the inducing of children to tackle the kindergarten
> entrance examination (that is represented by
> the 6-year-olds' qualification of the M3/CB Fools'
> Licence) exhibit behavioural traits - infantile
> outbursts, deliberately insulting tirades and
> vicious temper tantrums - that one would expect only
> from the children in their care.
>
> Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
> to have anything to do with such mental derangees
> and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
> 16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
> way?
>
> Only then, by making it clear that young children are
> not, and cannot be in any way, part of the society
> of adult technocrats that is Radio Hammery will be be
> able to influence them not to attempt to join us until
> they have achieved maturity?
>

> Word will soon get around if children who have been
> conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
> for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
> speak to them.
>

3342. yet more forgeries of my name and choosen nick such power I msut have in the wolrd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:42:04 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <rei8r1lieo3e2cuuqmp1prc636hn18hm2r@4ax.com>
Source record: 129114

```
>From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: steve not man enuf to met me faice to face
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 14:21:24 -0600
>Organization: http://groups.google.com
>Lines: 2
>Message-ID: <dp1gfo$6mu$3@domitilla.aioe.org>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: mz6W05rrilqllOk0TQhhRQ.user.domitilla.aioe.org
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
>X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!news.albasani.net!morpheus.pingos.org!news.code-werk.net!open-n
ews-network.org!newsfeed.freenet.de!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43926
>
>if he was I would rip hi shead off his sholders and shov it down hisneck.

not realy more likely dave or stevie running scared since i am not
reacting as they wish
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
```

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3343. Re: steve not man enuf to met me faice to face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:44:14 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135889054.606115.266110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129113

an Old friend wrote:
> if he was I would rip hi shead off his sholders and shov it down hisneck.

another forgery How ever it is quite clear that Stevie is not man
enough to stand up to me face to face

Indeed Stevie does make me wish deuling were still legal, then I could
and would chalenge him to meet me on the feild of honnor, and one or
both of us would end up dead

soceity was a lot nicer back in the days of the code deullo and stevie
and other in todays world realy do make me wish that the law allowed
such old fashioned solutions

OTOH I fraankly doubt thatI would ever have occasion to meet stevei on
the feild of honnor even if were still legal likely he would be dead by
now, dead or VVVEEERRRYYYY good at deuling, or even more likely that he
would not shoot off his mouth feet legasl cases enough to have notice
him

3344. Re: hmm gues I was wrong steve is not going to stay online and provide us all the show he is famous for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:45:17 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135889116.942830.102680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129115

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >> now aht does this mean?
> >
> >
> > aida know. Aht does it mean?
> >
> >> does it means he has omse sense?
> >
> >
> > Everybody has omse sense.
>
> is forgery by stevei again. stuf.

this forgery realy does make think you did it dave I only doubt becuase
you have seemed more honnnaorable than stev in the past

3345. Re: another forgery of a foregry post such wight my words must that peopel want to be Me even for a ment here i RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:46:31 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp1huv$a1d$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129116

tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote:

> that Dave himself is the poster trying to get his message out
> unasnwered by looking at first glance that I had already answered

second glance is Dave hismelf doing it. he afraid of debate.

> hat a tnagled web is woven here

he just tnagled up his own self is all.

3346. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:47:20 UTC
From: Orator For Decency <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135889240.319210.277860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129117
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Your own response is infantile in parts - if you
disagree, you can be grown-up about it without
indulging in unnecessary gratuitous emotionalisms.

You are missing the point which is that the Hobby that
is about operating is CB Radio. Ham Radio OTOH is a technical
pursuit.

It is not infantile to say that we are adults in a society of
adults and that we do not wish to associate or be assocaited
with children.

The action of "bringing in" is also misguided - those of
a technical bent who are our natural successors will
gravitate towards us. We do not need to, nor should we,
go out and press non-technical ignoramuses and persuade
them that to think that they are Radio Hams.

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> I really hesitate to even appear to lend any credence to the rant below by
> even responding ...
> However, at the same time I feel compelled to state that, when I had the
> pleasure of operating 4U1ITU from ITU HQ in Geneva (not too long after the
> US insituted the "Foundation Class"), I happily worked a LOT of M3's
> (perhaps 50% of about 300 contacts worked in about 2 hours on 20m) and found
> them to be quite well up on how to POLITELY work a DX station in a pile-up
> (4U1ITU invariably generates a pileup when someone activates it).
> I find the "give the youngsters the cold shoulder" suggestion below to be
> itself infantile and it's clearly not in the best interests of the future of
> ham radio.
> We *must* bring in the kids who will be the future generation of hams -
> otherwise when we go SK there will BE no ham radio because there won't be
> enough hams to justify our frequency allocations.

3347. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:47:29 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135889248.926697.174320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129118
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Orator For Decency wrote:
> Your posting is posted to Yankland for two reasons....
no no idout the question why did you post to policy etc

take you hate filled shit and keep english matter english

3348. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:50:05 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <huSdnXw_tNdl1inenZ2dnUVZ8t2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 129119
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Orator For Decency wrote:
>
> The action of "bringing in" is also misguided - those of
> a technical bent who are our natural successors will
> gravitate towards us. We do not need to, nor should we,
> go out and press non-technical ignoramuses and persuade
> them that to think that they are Radio Hams.
>

Great, so let's demolish all the university's then.
--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

3349. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:50:53 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <GuedndCWndi60SnenZ2dnUVZ8qqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 129120
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an Old friend wrote:
> Orator For Decency wrote:
>> Your posting is posted to Yankland for two reasons....
> no no idout the question why did you post to policy etc
>
> take you hate filled shit and keep english matter english

You've noted quickly that he's not very nice :)

--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

3350. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:52:15 UTC
From: tox <tox@toxtox.co.com>
Message-ID: <30Ysf.15605$5v1.11843@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 129121
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"DieSea" <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom> wrote in message
news:43b433ec$0$29564$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk...
>

>
> Tox you know why I like them
>
> :-(
>
Indeed, I do.

Regards
tox

3351. wow the power I have here in RRAP that my every post most answered by a forgery?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:52:50 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <8ui8r1pjbcgpr92gmlm6kelhg2ajnms777@4ax.com>
Source record: 129123

```
>From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: another forgery of a foregry post such wight my words must that
> peopel want to be Me even for a ment here i RRAP
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 14:46:31 -0600
>Organization: http://groups.google.com
>Lines: 11
>Message-ID: <dp1huv$a1d$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
>References: <94i8r1ha7fg8mn3pfr6ptjgcp1obksqu65@4ax.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: mz6W05rrilqllOk0TQhhRQ.user.domitilla.aioe.org
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
>In-Reply-To:
>X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!feeder.enertel.nl!nntpfeed-01.ops.asmr-01.energis-idc.net!195.1
40.150.52.MISMATCH!ndsoftware.com!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43934
>
>tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote:
>
>> that Dave himself is the poster trying to get his message out
>> unasnwered by looking at first glance that I had already answered
>
>second glance is Dave hismelf doing it. he afraid of debate.
>
>> hat a tnagled web is woven here
>
>he just tnagled up his own self is all.

not at all just extremely flatered that you choose to take the time to
convince other that you in fact me

that you deem my words of enough value that I need to be discredited
is quite flatering

I realy do want to thank you for iporving my whole Day, woke in bad
mood with some forzen pipes (circutbreakser trips on some heating tap,

this sort of praise realy has brightend my day
```

the thing that should have you all realy laughing is that I am Under
oath < teling the truth in saying it akes me feel better

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3352. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:54:50 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135889690.804790.304270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129122
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

huLLy wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Orator For Decency wrote:
> >> Your posting is posted to Yankland for two reasons....
> > > no no idout the question why did you post to policy etc
> > >
> > > take you hate filled shit and keep english matter english
> >
> You've noted quickly that he's not very nice :)

it doesnot take much

and I beleive in honesty I call shit by it proper name ,shit
>
> --
> huLLy
> Tel: 07976 123278
> ICQ 136-987-925

3353. Im in a badd mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:58:04 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <dcj8r1dnv8ej7216k8gf7ljr78crnt23d2@4ax.com>
Source record: 129125

my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
tiolet wont flush

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3354. Re: why is my dad lie so much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 20:58:29 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135889909.747229.183420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129124

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
> > lies about people
>
> I'm confused, Colonel. I first, I thought you were asking why your
> father lies so much. After all, the subject of your poetic piece asks
> "why is my dad lie so much", or at least it would have asked it if
> there'd been a question mark, Mark.
>
> Then you began referring to yourself by using a female pronoun. What
> gives?

litery licens Dave you realy need to keep up I was peaking in the voice
of the Late Taeloy Robeson surely you have noticed that stveie si so up
in arms about it

BTW the timing has to you on the following forgery, tothink I once
thought better than that
>
> Dave K8MN

3355. still more forgeries of me looks like dave is behiond it wor at least up to his neck in it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:00:15 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <hgj8r1trbrqpuvpb0r9tm79ao5oim8lqgu@4ax.com>
Source record: 129126

> Dave Heil
> Dec 29, 2:54 pm show options
>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>From: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 19:54:58 GMT
>Local: Thurs, Dec 29 2005 2:54 pm
>Subject: Re: why is my dad lie so much
>Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse
>
>
>
>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
>> lies about people
>
>
>I'm confused, Colonel. I first, I thought you were asking why your
>father lies so much. After all, the subject of your poetic piece asks
>"why is my dad lie so much", or at least it would have asked it if
>there'd been a question mark, Mark.
>
>Then you began referring to yourself by using a female pronoun. What
>gives?
>
>
>Dave K8MN
>
>
>Reply
>
>
>
>
>
>
> an Old friend
> Dec 29, 3:17 pm show options
>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>From: an Old friend <konst...@mental.ward> - Find messages by this author
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 14:17:44 -0600
>Local: Thurs, Dec 29 2005 3:17 pm

>Subject: Re: why is my dad lie so much
>Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse
>
>
>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>> why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
>>> lies about people
>
>
>> I'm confused, Colonel. I first, I thought you were asking why your
>> father lies so much. After all, the subject of your poetic piece asks
>> "why is my dad lie so much", or at least it would have asked it if
>> there'd been a question mark, Mark.
>
>
>> Then you began referring to yourself by using a female pronoun. What
>> gives?
>
>
>
>more forge by steve. this is dusterbed person.
>
>Reply
>
note the time 23 mintues

is awfully fast and all of daves posts seem to have the same trialer
today
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3356. such poor forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:03:25 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <coj8r15p5130ps4f1qc8m6omgap5b2ito4@4ax.com>
Source record: 129128

On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 15:58:04 -0500, an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
wrote:

>my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
>tiolet wont flush
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3357. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:05:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135890304.541334.19470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129127

From: Jeffrey Herman on Dec 29, 10:47 am

>"K□B" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote
>>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffr...@Hawaii.Edu> wrote

>>> Let's crunch some numbers: Our total MF/HF spectrum consists of just
>>> 3.75 MHz, with only about half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for
>>> communications at any one particular time of the day. If suddenly,
>>> as if by magic, all licensees were granted MF/HF privileges, we could
>>> possibly have 670,000 hams attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz.
>
>>Watch this closely, boys and girls. We're about to be given a demonstration
>> of
>>"figures don't lie, but liars figure". In this case the person "figuring"
>> is no
>>less than a self-proclaimed university "math lecturer", so we're seeing
>>a pro at work!
>
>"Self-proclaimed"? Send me an email and I'll give you the phone number of
>the personnel office -- they'll verify that I've been a Step C Lecturer
>for 20 years.

There's NO need to call long-distance to the University of Hawaii.

Everyone can access www.hawaii.edu, click down a few pages to
Kapiolani Community College class schedules. Under that will be
two classes in Elementary Algebra I taught by Jeffrey Herman.
The 2006 class schedule begins 9 January, continues to May 12,
3 credits, course number 24.

ELEMENTARY ALGEBRA I is not exactly what one would call "higher
mathematics" in any mainland university.

A COMMUNITY COLLEGE is still a 2-year "junior college" even though
aligned with a University; a "university" is a collection of
individual colleges, has always been thus.

A LECTURER in mathematics carries with it the concept of some
professor of high or many degrees "lecturing in a hall" (mini-
auditorium size). Elementary Algebra I is, by title, an
INTRODUCTION to mathematics, not even close to beginning The
Calculus. Such a "lecturer" is really an INSTRUCTOR, a TEACHER.
Nothing wrong with being a teacher, such is well respected by
most folks.

>(I've never understood why liberals resort to name-calling and sarcasm; do
>they lack the ability to provide a rebuttal in a calm and polite manner?)

Well, I've never understood why someone has to "sign" his
postings using TITLES which work on the emotional perception
of others by omitting full details.

Being a "Chief Petty Officer" in the USCG is fine, but there
IS a difference between being on active duty and in the
reserves. Since the USCG had one of the smallest of all
military branches' communications networks, such a title is
hardly any relation to the HOBBY of amateur radio...which,
when not being used for the purpose of title-rank-privelege
personal pleasures, is about radio communications.

>>> Okay, I'll grant you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's
>>> say at any one time, we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams
>>> on the air, with two per QSO.
>
>>One-fourth? That averages out to 6 hours of hamming every day by every
>> ham, or
>>167,500 US hams on the air at any given instant, 24/7.
>
>It was a worst-case starting point. Feel free to take that 670,000 and
>chop it down anyway you please, then divide that figure into bandwidth of
>usable spectrum at a particular time of day. To be accurate, the 1.7 MHz
>of 10m should be excluded at this time, bringing that 3.75 MHz of total
>spectrum bandwidth down to 2.05 MHz.
>
>2,050,000 Hz divided by (670,000 hams divided by 2 hams per QSO) gives the
>worst-case scenario, about 6 Hz per QSO. Now you can take that figure and
>use it in any reasonable and realistic manner you please.

Actually, I would take your "worst-case scenario" and THROW IT
INTO THE DUMPSTER WITH "GREAT FORCE." [borrowing from Hans]

First of all, "the bands" as colloquial ham-speak for HF only
wouldn't be used ENTIRELY, even by the "highest" class hams. The
world above 30 MHz has 99+% of all the bandwidth of amateur radio
frequency allocations in the USA.

Secondly, "excluding" the 10 meter band is ridiculous. It is
entirely BELOW 30 MHz, thus qualifies as being IN "the bands"
(those below 30 MHz).

Third, there are SEVEN time zones among the United States. Not
all radio amateurs would be ON "the bands" at the same time,
certainly so during week/work days. For more practical
application, one can consider FIVE time zones (excluding the
far west and far east portions of Alaska where population is
less dense).

Fourth, the morseaholics would be down in the "lower halves" of
"the bands" playing with the morsemanship skills, pretending to
be "pros" at OOK CW. Their numbers would remain relatively the
same as now since there is NO great hue and cry by newcomers to

do the morse thing.

Fifth, the number of no-code-test Technicians is presently on
the order of approximately quarter million of all licensees
(Technician class is just over 300 thousand, or twice as many
as General class). Technician Plus is about 45 thousand. IF,
and only IF all those denied HF operating privileges before
were now given permission to operate below 30 MHz, the ACTUAL
"worst-case" scenario would call for ONLY a doubling of the
"bands" occupancy. There is NOTHING approaching the "6 Hz
per licensee worst-case" NOW, quite observable by just listening.

Sixth, the conventional QSO - as it is done now - is simplex.
One licensee is transmitting while the other is listening.
In some cases, "netting" has several licensees on the same
frequency, but only one transmitting at any one time. There
is NO WAY that all licensees would be ON THE AIR at the same
time.

>>The most popular US operating event is the ARRL Field Day.
>
>And if all 670 kilohams DID have HF privileges, the worst-case scenario above
>*might* actually occur.

NOT in the foreseeable future, i.e., the next decade IMHO.

Other than those five "60m" channels allocated for USA hams,
how much have radio amateurs gotten since 1979? [1979 is 26
years ago, a quarter century]

If you are really, really worried about spectrum space in "the
bands," then the time is NOW to start getting some change
momentum going. WRC-07 is only two years away. The ARRL has
been ineffectual in getting anything more than a few 60m
channels. The long-time "pro" hams haven't helped out on that
in their efforts of "citing need" to the FCC in regards to the
60m BAND petition.

>Jeff KH6O
>--
>Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
>Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

Hey, I can do that sort of thing in the same style if you can...

LenAnderson@ieee.org
--
Staff Sergeant, United States Army Signal Corps
Retired from regular hours aerospace electronics design engineer
Life Member, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
Aircraft flyer, ex-AMA19700
Nationally-published (many times) author
Qualified vehicle driver, International and California licensee

Industrial and Art Illustrator
Feline wrangler and server
Guest lecturer on aerospace at select California Magnet Schools
Neighborhood Association Speaker
Groundskeeper at select Southern California properties
Husband to my high school sweetheart

Sunnuvagun! :-)

3358. such flatery may turn my head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:08:45 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <f2k8r1tl1uf6v1t0rpahmip0o212hf42i0@4ax.com>
Source record: 129132

On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 15:58:04 -0500, an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
wrote:

>my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
>tiolet wont flush
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3359. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:08:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135890528.018252.207360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129129

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs, Dec 29 2005 3:58 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K0HB on Dec 28, 8:20 pm
>> >"Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote

>> >> ..... what is your specific proposal?
>> >
>> > I propose that new license applications be available
>> > in two classes, namely "Class B" and "Class A".

<snip of Hans' sensible posting text>

>> The only non-sense is in the objections of all those who
>> use their present "extra" license class title to show how
>> "good" they are and "better than average."
>>
>> While a certain party in here will mumble on about class
>> "A" and "B" have been done before (as class designations)
>> the good thing about the alphabetic arbitrary "names" is
>> that none of those carry any emotional baggage which now
>> exists with the present six-class naming scheme (yes,
>> Jimmie, I know that the FCC is only issuing "new" licesnes
>> in three classes, but the old ones still exist in the FCC
>> databases).
>>
>> VEs would have it easier with so few test-proctorings and
>> that might mean long times between VE testing sessions,
>> somewhat delaying entry of newcomers (to either A or B
>> classes).
>
>But will Jim allow it? He already has it in his mind that the FCC
>doesn't license amateur radio (Dec 10), so he must have stepped up to
>the plate as the authority that must be dealt with in these matters.
>So at the end of the day, it is Miccolis that must be convinced.
>
>;^)

Not to worry...Jimmie is on the job with his Nit Picker. :-)

[it's like a Zamboni but it works on slippery slopes...]

NPRM 05-143 dealt with only ONE regulation: Deletion or
retention of Test Element 1. The Commission wanted some
specific comment on that, not a gazillion new "petitions"
each having a complex New Rule Set...or the "let big brother

decide for youse" petition of the ARRL.

Jimmie has managed to make that ONE NPRM into some sort of cause celebre with "details" and "knowing what the FCC 'wants' and 'does'" insider information. Sigh...sort of like building a Mt. Everest using a little Bobcat.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3360. suc flatulance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:09:22 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <qvj8r1d3e9eu0fcci01vsrud3pefi2gskj@4ax.com>
Source record: 129133

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
> > tiolet wont flush
>
Im not so woried about bathing becuace that dnt
cum tntil saterday

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3361. such flatery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:10:16 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135890615.975878.192840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129130

an Old friend wrote:
> my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
> tiolet wont flush
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3362. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:10:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135890646.419998.215430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129131

From: K0HB on Dec 29, 7:18 am

><N...@AOL.COM> wrote

>> You'll probably see that raised to 100-150 W on HF because
>> there are so many ~100 W rigs in existence.
>
>The 50W number was chosen because it's a "safe" level according to OET thinking.
>
>If there were a 50W permit, manufacturers would quickly market a 50W rigs, just
>as they manufacture 10W versions of many popular rigs for the JA market.

The EXACT RF power output maximum is rather irrelevant. The
market can decide the de facto power level after the FCC picks
an arbitrary level to fit the greenies' anti-radiation mindset.

>> But "re-takeable" - if someone took the Class B test again,
>> they'd get another 10 years as Class B - right?
>
>Not in my proposal.

Jimmie has difficulty grasping new concepts.

>> 1) What test would be required for upgrade to Class A
>> for current licenses?
>
>Pass the Class A test.

Like I said, difficulty in grasping...

>> 2) Would there be any experience requirement for Class B
>> hams that wanted to upgrade to Class A?
>
>I originally proposed a "time in grade" requirement, but in retrospect I can't
>find a logical regulatory reason to defend the idea.

There isn't any logical regulatory reason for "time in grade."

All that existed before is the CONCEPT in some hams' minds
that amateur radio was a 'service' and thus had to be
'professional' as a guild or craft or union. Amateur radio is
basically a HOBBY and should be regulated as such.

>> 3) If the licenses are issued "for life", how would FCC know when
>> an amateur expired unless next-of-kin sent official notification?
>
>Since no benefits accrue to an "expired" ham, the FCC has no interest in their
>passing.

Were there EVER any benefits for an expired licensee? Other than
the follow-on-to-new-rules-changes grandfathering?

>> 4) What would happen to the vanity callsign program under your plan?
>> Obviously a Class A could get a callsign from any block, but what would
>> be available to Class B?
>
>Each new licensee would get a new call in sequential order. Vanity calls would
>be available to any licensee without regard to "blocks".

Well, on the practical side (of dealing with some amateurs), this
would put a crimp in the VANITY system of getting "snazzy 1x2"
callsigns for 'youngsters.' :-)

The 1x2 callsign has very much been an ego-trip for many hams
and deemed quite desireable with the 'guru' status of an 'old-
timer.'

With only ONE 'block' instead of five at one time, there isn't
any need for 'blocks' of favoritism. [new concepts are still
difficult for some to grasp]

I would suggest a stricter control at the Commission on CLUB
calls, perhaps with an extra alphabetic character reserved for
those in the future. It's been obvious that many hams have
violated the spirit of the club license in the past, fabricated
fictitious "clubs" in order to gather many licenses and callsigns
(for whatever their personal purpose). Such club calls have been
the SAME as the sequential callsign granting and, as such, take
out some of the callsigns that might be desired by others under
the Vanity system. There is little point in having club calls
essentially undifferentiable with individual calls as it is now.
Club activities would normally be different than individuals'
activities. But, that is a minor matter of no real consequence
now and that minor subject is only good for more flaming in here.

All in all, Hans, I can see your new concept is logical,
sensible, simpler in regulatory structure than it has been for
decades. The biggest problem will be with all those other
ego-boo types who NEED the 'seniority' emotional baggage of
being "better than others." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3363. well stevei we have clear proff that I hold a lot of crediblity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:14:17 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <18k8r1tjmrk1c942i710vu55ca7ohs5o2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 129135

One does not try to descriedit those without crediblity

either you and/or dave are doing so in which you would bigger liar
frauds than I already think you are (which is no mean feat if you care
to claim you have my compliments)

or that other see me a threat or at least that they enjoy the
flamewar, but the only person that seem to be enjoying the flamewar is
Stevie

well tood bad who ever is man (or woman enough) to stand forth
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3364. hmm preahsp I do owe stvei an pology the style of the forgery seem awfully clever for steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:15:56 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135890956.847402.300020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129134

```
an Old friend wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
> > > tiolet wont flush
> >
> Im not so woried about bathing becuace that dnt
> cum tntil saterday
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3365. really this is too muh such flatery may do more than turn my head I might have heart failure ah I see the gaol now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:19:51 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <hlk8r1ldltrutk6vc4raof2a8ee42it3l3@4ax.com>
Source record: 129136

```
>From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: suc flatulance
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 16:09:22 -0500
>Message-ID: <qvj8r1d3e9eu0fcci01vsrud3pefi2gskj@4ax.com>
>X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 2.0/32.652
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
>Lines: 12
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43947
>
>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
>> an Old friend wrote:
>> > my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
>> > tiolet wont flush
>>
>Im not so woried about bathing becuace that dnt
>cum tntil saterday
>_______________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

you caan do this I can't stop of course but you are just wasting
bandwidth
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
```

and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3366. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:27:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135891625.718585.171280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129137
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nedlar wrote:
> On 29 Dec 2005 17:08:43 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> wrote:
>
> >"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
> >> CW does not make you a better radio amateur but it does give some
> > >
> > >If you're able to copy code, listen to any of the CW subbands -- you'll
> > >find nothing but good operators -- you'll hear none of the nonsense that
> > >occurs on the phone segments of the bands.
> > >
> > >Jeff KH6O
> >
> Well said Jeff.

not true by what I hear

3367. Re: suc flatulance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:36:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <afl8r155niea6u8puafarm6t4ig7rlv9or@4ax.com>
Source record: 129139

```
an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org> wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
> > > tiolet wont flush
> >
> Im not so woried about bathing becuace that dnt
> cum tntil saterday
>
I hav too load the dishwarsher (get my boyfreind
drunk)

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3368. Re: suc flatulance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:38:31 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp1l0aflp1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129138

```
an Old friend wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>>my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
>>>tiolet wont flush
>>
> Im not so woried about bathing becuace that dnt
> cum tntil saterday

now my naybor mad becaues I piss in bacyard.
```

3369. mobil home poast by stevi is lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:44:26 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp1lbr$gl5$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129140

I live hear and it is a house I just buyed last month.

http://img208.exs.cx/img208/5048/607glenhaven9xk.jpg

3370. bubba ray cums callin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:50:26 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <6gm8r1pmsvl3rpuvsamas2tdoel34kj60n@4ax.com>
Source record: 129146

http://www.gothtrailerpark.com/index11b.html

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3371. well the forger certainly looooksto be the mental ward resedent he claims to be

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:50:45 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135893045.621932.256220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129141

an Old friend wrote:
> I live hear and it is a house I just buyed last month.
>
> http://img208.exs.cx/img208/5048/607glenhaven9xk.jp

3372. such flatery and such devoltion in a stalker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:50:54 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <3hm8r1lqd77s98l5hhegkms4nkrb0qodmd@4ax.com>
Source record: 129145

```
>From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: mobil home poast by stevi is lie
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 15:44:26 -0600
>Organization: http://groups.google.com
>Lines: 4
>Message-ID: <dp1lbr$gl5$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: mz6W05rrilqllOk0TQhhRQ.user.domitilla.aioe.org
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
>X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!news.glorb.com!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43955
>
>I live hear and it is a house I just buyed last month.
>
>http://img208.exs.cx/img208/5048/607glenhaven9xk.jpg

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3373. Re: another forgery of a foregry post such wight my words must that peopel want to be Me even for a ment here i RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:51:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kTYsf.4053$R84.2267@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129142

tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote:
>> From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>> Subject: Re: why is my dad lie so much
>> Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 14:17:44 -0600
>> Organization: http://groups.google.com
>> Lines: 17
>> Message-ID: <dp1g8r$6mu$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
>> References: <vtq7r1to2k851p0h7voqr9iqo0pj0mqduv@4ax.com>
<maXsf.4030$R84.935@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>> NNTP-Posting-Host: mz6W05rrilqllOk0TQhhRQ.user.domitilla.aioe.org
>> Mime-Version: 1.0
>> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>> X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
>> In-Reply-To:
>> X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>> User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>> Path: news.usenetzone.com!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
>> Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43925
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> why ideed she might ask were she arround and able ask why her father
>>>> lies about people
>>>
>>> I'm confused, Colonel. I first, I thought you were asking why your
>>> father lies so much. After all, the subject of your poetic piece asks
>>> "why is my dad lie so much", or at least it would have asked it if
>>> there'd been a question mark, Mark.
>>>
>>> Then you began referring to yourself by using a female pronoun. What
>>> gives?
>> more forge by steve. this is dusterbed person.

> In this case with them following a Dave post in each caseI suspect
> that Dave himself is the poster...

You'd suspect wrong, Colonel. All of my posts show up with my name and
my earthlink e-mail address and have for the past five years. Your own
devious record should be so pure.

> trying to get his message out
> unasnwered by looking at first glance that I had already answered

> hat a tnagled web is woven here

If you're involved and it isn't "tnagled" to begin with, it soon will be.

Dave K8MN

3374. poor foeries realy but flatering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:52:19 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135893139.681353.114820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129143

```
an Old friend wrote:
> an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org> wrote:
> > "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
> > > > tiolet wont flush
> > >
> > Im not so woried about bathing becuace that dnt
> > cum tntil saterday
> >
> I hav too load the dishwarsher (get my boyfreind
> drunk)
>
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3375. why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:53:14 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135893194.585298.117970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129144

an Old friend wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
> >
> >>an Old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
> >>>tiolet wont flush
> >>
> > Im not so woried about bathing becuace that dnt
> > cum tntil saterday
>
> now my naybor mad becaues I piss in bacyard.

why bother with these frauds you don't anyone is taking too seriously?

3376. hmm a Forer that talke too himself now that sounds like sevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:53:23 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <qlm8r1d74fp5brjcbn1j5o5ko3sp9v4pn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 129147

```
>From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: suc flatulance
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 15:38:31 -0600
>Organization: http://groups.google.com
>Lines: 13
>Message-ID: <dp1l0a$flp$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
>References: <qvj8r1d3e9eu0fcci01vsrud3pefi2gskj@4ax.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: mz6W05rrilqllOk0TQhhRQ.user.domitilla.aioe.org
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
>In-Reply-To:
>X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!news.albasani.net!morpheus.pingos.org!news.code-werk.net!open-n
ews-network.org!newsfeed.freenet.de!news.newsland.it!ilaria.aioe.org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43953
>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
>>
>>>an Old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>my heat taps faled on my mobile home so know my
>>>>tiolet wont flush
>>>
>> Im not so woried about bathing becuace that dnt
>> cum tntil saterday
>
>now my naybor mad becaues I piss in bacyard.

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282
```

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3377. Re: another forgery of a foregry post such wight my words must that peopel want to be Me even for a ment here i RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:57:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135893459.908977.211270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129148

Dave Heil wrote:
> tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote:
cut

> >>> there'd been a question mark, Mark.
> >>>
> >>> Then you began referring to yourself by using a female pronoun. What
> >>> gives?
> >> more forge by steve. this is dusterbed person.
>
> > In this case with them following a Dave post in each caseI suspect
> > that Dave himself is the poster...
>
> You'd suspect wrong, Colonel.

so you say but you also I do not beleive Jesus is devine

and you lie about other things
> All of my posts show up with my name and
> my earthlink e-mail address and have for the past five years. Your own
> devious record should be so pure.

why should my record be as "Pure"?

becuase you say so

I am more honest than you and far ore moral than you you don't like
those staments but they are facts

I don't flout the comandents of the Deities I worship, you do

>
> > trying to get his message out
> > unasnwered by looking at first glance that I had already answered
>
>
> > hat a tnagled web is woven here
>
> If you're involved and it isn't "tnagled" to begin with, it soon will be.

if for no other reason you or stevei will show up
>
> Dave K8MN

3378. Re: another forgery of a foregry post such wight my words must that peopel want to be Me even for a ment here i RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 21:58:14 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <uum8r1l3pqvtp33ha18uu0vkrlhpl30l6g@4ax.com>
Source record: 129150

On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 21:51:12 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>tealor_robeson@grave.net wrote:
>>> From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
cut
>>>> Then you began referring to yourself by using a female pronoun. What
>>>> gives?
>>> more forge by steve. this is dusterbed person.
>
>> In this case with them following a Dave post in each caseI suspect
>> that Dave himself is the poster...
>
>You'd suspect wrong, Colonel. All of my posts show up with my name and
>my earthlink e-mail address and have for the past five years. Your own
>devious record should be so pure.

btw why don't you tryand prove it
>
>> trying to get his message out
>> unasnwered by looking at first glance that I had already answered
>
>
>> hat a tnagled web is woven here
>
>If you're involved and it isn't "tnagled" to begin with, it soon will be.
>
>Dave K8MN
>

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3379. such terror some one hold of me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 22:00:12 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135893612.877281.45770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129149

an Old friend wrote:
> http://www.gothtrailerpark.com/index11b.html
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3380. looking more and more like ole dave forging for his buudy stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 22:01:46 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <t4n8r1tofncbl6f79u2cp4f0h6jvqnops3@4ax.com>
Source record: 129151

>From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: bubba ray cums callin
>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 16:50:26 -0500
>Message-ID: <6gm8r1pmsvl3rpuvsamas2tdoel34kj60n@4ax.com>
>X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 2.0/32.652
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains 140,000+ groups
>Lines: 6
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43961
>
>http://www.gothtrailerpark.com/index11b.html
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

if not someone is a real good job of dovetailing to him
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3381. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 22:17:43 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bgZsf.49844$Cj5.13158@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 129152
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an Old friend wrote:

> take you hate filled shit and keep english matter english

Oi! Yank! This is not an English group it's a UK one.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

3382. Re: why? <- because flatulence SUCKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 22:23:21 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <8909a87017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 129153

On 29 Dec 2005 13:53:14 -0800, an Old friend III wrote:
>
> why bother with these frauds you don't anyone is taking too seriously?
>

I believe them all. They are all you.

Want to take a turn at being Lloyd now?

3383. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 22:25:35 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <znZsf.49847$Cj5.6301@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 129154
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> I really hesitate to even appear to lend any credence to the rant below
> by even responding ...
>
> However, at the same time I feel compelled to state that, when I had the
> pleasure of operating 4U1ITU from ITU HQ in Geneva (not too long after
> the US insituted the "Foundation Class"), I happily worked a LOT of M3's
> (perhaps 50% of about 300 contacts worked in about 2 hours on 20m)

Did you ever wonder how /so/ many were ever able to break the pile up
with 10w? Are you beginning to get the drift?
--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

3384. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 22:32:02 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <WMqdnSTcncFB_ineRVnyiA@giganews.com>
Source record: 129155
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

pointyhead wrote:
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>> I really hesitate to even appear to lend any credence to the rant
>> below by even responding ...
>>
>> However, at the same time I feel compelled to state that, when I had
>> the pleasure of operating 4U1ITU from ITU HQ in Geneva (not too long
>> after the US insituted the "Foundation Class"), I happily worked a
>> LOT of M3's (perhaps 50% of about 300 contacts worked in about 2
>> hours on 20m)
>
> Did you ever wonder how /so/ many were ever able to break the pile up
> with 10w? Are you beginning to get the drift?

Depending on the location of the rx to the tx, dropping power can sometimes
increase the chances of getting through.

--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

3385. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 22:48:02 UTC
From: "�|��T?□Rt?@$t"
Message-ID: <dp1p22$n98$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129156
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

A bit rich coming from a sheep shagger!

"Mike GW8IJT" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:41ima7F1f7e0oU1@individual.net...
> "�|��T?□Rt?@$t" <�|��T?□Rt?@$t@norway_coastline.net> wrote in message
> news:dp16a8$j6r$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
>> SECONDED!!!
>>
>>
> That makes two twats.
> Regards Mike.
>
> --
> "Remember that age and treachery will always triumph over youth and
> ability" (David Brent).
>

3386. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-29 23:09:00 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g0_sf.25752$Dg6.24858@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 129157
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

huLLy wrote:

> Depending on the location of the rx to the tx, dropping power can sometimes
> increase the chances of getting through.

Aye, and I'll bet they all had their PL259's well soaked in brine.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

3387. Re: why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 00:33:27 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135902807.116800.10120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129158

Lloyd wrote:
> On 29 Dec 2005 13:53:14 -0800, an Old friend III wrote:
> >
> > why bother with these frauds you don't anyone is taking too seriously?
> >
>
> I believe them all. They are all you.

foolish and you ars fraud
>
> Want to take a turn at being Lloyd now?

not realy I like being me something you can't say in truth bgiven the
amount of time your spend being someone else

wether you are steve dave wisman someone else

3388. my new yirs eve costhume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 00:34:44 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <nvv8r1tcrc3u7d0hbohrsp55abedev9gq1@4ax.com>
Source record: 129159

I ffel pretty oh so prety
I feel prety,
Oh, so pretty,
I feel pretty and witti and GAY!
And I pity
Any girl who isn't me tonight.

http://tinyurl.com/dv5uk

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3389. stil more flatery from the forger come stevie just fess up you will feel better

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 00:42:06 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135903326.833624.319340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129160

```
an Old friend wrote:
> I ffel pretty oh so prety
> I feel prety,
> Oh, so pretty,
> I feel pretty and witti and GAY!
> And I pity
> Any girl who isn't me tonight.
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3390. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 00:48:31 UTC
From: Hils <hilsnews@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <eiFTR9UfPItDFw$b@newearth.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 129162
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Pun Krocker wrote

>> If I was a member I would resign.......
>>
>Seconded!

There's nothing stopping non-members from writing to Ofcom explaining why they're non-members, and making representations as individuals (for example, requesting a review of the current licensing scheme).

--
Hil

3391. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 00:52:13 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135903933.575671.183820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129161

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Dec 27 2005 8:45 pm
cut

> They do try to run people off who happen to disagree with them. I even
> entertained thoughts of leaving the group at one time, but I won't let
> them run me off. I plan to turn off the lights when this group is
> done. Between Steve and Mark, that may be sooner than I thought.

I am sorry for my part in that BB and most of the rest, but one looks
at ones opitions and makes one choices, in this case By trying to fight
fire with hotter flame I have some success not as much as I would like
when I get some new inspriation I will shifitng tactics a bit but
stevie posts a lot less attack threads this and and by reading my title
you generaly stay out of the them if you like

like I have said I have decided to reshape the wasteland of RRAP to
something I can be more comforatble in. prehaps ymay you are less other
than Stevie Dave and Hans. I offer my apolgies. To steve and Dave I
wish basicaly plague, to Hans the vsiosn to see whatever the heck you
are doing
cut

3392. Re: stveie dosn have a lawyer more lie from the stening plie os shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 01:26:22 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135905982.859437.200720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> <taelor_robeson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8rl7r1lsg09sbtpluk81ee8ocf7sa8d393@4ax.com...
> "snipped of stupidity"
>
> You post this shit more often than some asshole trying to sell a radio. What
> do you expect to accomplish by this nonsense? If you have a beef with him,
> you have his address, go over to his house and kick his ass. Otherwise SHUT
> THE FUCK UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

hmm I replied to this once but the net seems to have eaten that

you make an interesting suggestion, not one i endorse but interesting

you realy want an answer

the answer ois simple it seems the tareget off balance make him make
more msitakes and in general makes me safer

Carl Rove, and Bill Cllton both agree such tactics works since being
this barrage style I have stop receiving the death threat email for
example.

this negitive capaign is unpleasent to me as well but the over all is
better for me these would not be crosspoted over to .CB if stevei did
not insist on making his attacks there too

sorry for any incovenaince

3393. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 01:30:11 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135906211.755548.214930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129164
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hils wrote:
> Pun Krocker wrote
>
> >> If I was a member I would resign.......
> >>
> >Seconded!
>
> There's nothing stopping non-members from writing to Ofcom explaining
> why they're non-members, and making representations as individuals (for
> example, requesting a review of the current licensing scheme).

well making a gues based on how things in Americe Vsav the ARRL and FCC
the indivgual Hams are largely un willing to stand up and say something
with some kind of Occifcail scation for it, this si likly why there
were about 3000 coment the recent NPRM here in the USA out of 600,000
hams and about 300,000 arrl memebrs
>
> --
> Hil

3394. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 01:42:51 UTC
From: Know Code <know_code@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <43b49099$0$69034$dbd4d001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 129165
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an Old friend wrote:

> well making a gues based on how things in Americe Vsav the ARRL and FCC
> the indivgual Hams are largely un willing to stand up and say something
> with some kind of Occifcail scation for it, this si likly why there
> were about 3000 coment the recent NPRM here in the USA out of 600,000
> hams and about 300,000 arrl memebrs

Are you drunk, or just using so-called 'American' English.

--

I fully support the Know Code debate.
Loud is Good. It lets you trample over M3s and other undesirables.

3395. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 02:14:40 UTC
From: Hils <hilsnews@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3RWptlcQgJtDFwJT@newearth.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 129166
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an Old friend wrote

>> There's nothing stopping non-members from writing to Ofcom explaining
>> why they're non-members, and making representations as individuals (for
>> example, requesting a review of the current licensing scheme).
>
>well making a gues based on how things in Americe Vsav the ARRL and FCC
>the indivgual Hams are largely un willing to stand up and say something
>with some kind of Occifcail scation for it, this si likly why there
>were about 3000 coment the recent NPRM here in the USA out of 600,000
>hams and about 300,000 arrl memebrs

I suspect that the ARRL is rather more representative of hams in the US
than the RSGB is of hams in the UK, but there's something in what you
say. By and large, citizens respond to corrupt "leaders" by working
longer hours, drinking more alcohol, and blaming the French and the
Arabs. OTOH, the opportunity is there for hams to cut out the middlemen
and tell the government agency what they want.

--
Hil

3396. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 02:17:44 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <v169r1lcu33oovm022m5g1idb7h8dq50qe@4ax.com>
Source record: 129167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Know Code <know_code@wanadoo.nl> wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
>
> > well making a gues based on how things in Americe Vsav the ARRL and FCC
> > the indivgual Hams are largely un willing to stand up and say something
> > with some kind of Occifcail scation for it, this si likly why there
> > were about 3000 coment the recent NPRM here in the USA out of 600,000
> > hams and about 300,000 arrl memebrs
>
> Are you drunk, or just using so-called 'American' English.
>
I liek my beer very cold and my men hot your just
jaelous an likly a gay bacher.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3397. Re: my new yirs eve costhume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 02:37:16 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp26ge$86e$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129168

an Old friend wrote:
> I ffel pretty oh so prety
> I feel prety,

another Dave forge. why he so afrad of me? trieng to be litle me wont
work Dave. it is like ellen rosevelt said about no one make you imverior.

3398. more forgeries from the stevei inspired coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 02:43:57 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135910637.161406.86240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129169

an Old friend wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > I ffel pretty oh so prety
> > I feel prety,
>
> another Dave forge. why he so afrad of me? trieng to be litle me wont
> work Dave. it is like ellen rosevelt said about no one make you imverior.

such poor jobs

3399. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 02:46:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135910785.699574.303010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129170

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > You'll probably see that raised to 100-150 W on HF because
> > there are so many ~100 W rigs in existence.
>
> The 50W number was chosen because it's a "safe" level according to OET thinking.

OK. Also, almost any "100W" rig can be run at 50 W.
>
> If there were a 50W permit, manufacturers would quickly market a 50W rigs, just
> as they manufacture 10W versions of many popular rigs for the JA market.

Maybe.

My point is only that you'd probably see lots of comments wanting it
raised to 100-150 W.

Not a deal-killer in any event.

> > But "re-takeable" - if someone took the Class B test again,
> > they'd get another 10 years as Class B - right?
>
> Not in my proposal.

So it's one 10-year Class B per person - just like the old Novice. That
part might not
survive the process because FCC would have the additional admin work of
making
sure someone had never held a Class B before.

But again, it's not a deal-killer in any event.
>
> > 1) What test would be required for upgrade to Class A
> > for current licenses?
>
> Pass the Class A test.

So everyone is on an even footing WRT upgrading to Class A.

This raises another question....

Obviously after the change took place there would be only two test
elements - call them Element A and Element B.

Obviously getting a Class B would require passing Element B and
getting a Class A would require passing Element A. But would Class
A also require passing Element B if a person did not hold any class

of license before?

Or would Element A include everything that was in Element B?

Just a detail, really, but for completeness sake...

> > 2) Would there be any experience requirement for Class B
> > hams that wanted to upgrade to Class A?
>
> I originally proposed a "time in grade" requirement, but in retrospect I can't
> find a logical regulatory reason to defend the idea.

Plus it would be one more admin thing to do. OK
>
> > 3) If the licenses are issued "for life", how would FCC know when
> > an amateur expired unless next-of-kin sent official notification?
>
> Since no benefits accrue to an "expired" ham, the FCC has no interest in their
> passing.

The biggest problem I can see is that a lot of callsigns would become
unavailable
unless next-of-kin wrote letters to FCC.

Which may be good - or bad.

> > 4) What would happen to the vanity callsign program under your plan?
> > Obviously a Class A could get a callsign from any block, but what would
> > be available to Class B?
>
> Each new licensee would get a new call in sequential order. Vanity calls would
> be available to any licensee without regard to "blocks".
>
So there could be Class B hams with 1x2s and Class A hams with 2x3s....

Some might object to that.

However, since the rules would go into effect only after notice was
given,
all existing hams would have adequate time to upgrade to whatever "old"
class of license they wanted before the change took place. They would
also have adequate time to get a vanity call before the change.

In addition, the granting of vanity calls to Class B would be an added
incentive for them to upgrade before the license ran out, because their
callsign would be immediately available to others when their Class B
ran out - unless they upgraded.

I'm not sure whether I like this proposal or not. But that's beside the
point, really.

What's really interesting is that it meets practically all of the
criteria I mentioned earlier:

- Nobody loses any privileges
- Nobody gains any privileges without testing
- No real increase in admin work (Class A is really a rebadged,
lifetime Extra, and
Class B is one new class).
- Some admin work will actually decrease. Only one upgrade per ham will
be possible.
No renewals. Only two test elements.
- No big changes to the VEC or QPC systems

IOW, it's a complete proposal - just needs to be written up in the
proper format.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3400. Re: more forgeries from the stevei inspired coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 03:13:08 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <99032870133.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 129171

On 29 Dec 2005 18:43:57 -0800, an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
>
> an Old friend wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > I ffel pretty oh so prety
> > > I feel prety,
> >
> > another Dave forge. why he so afrad of me? trieng to be litle me wont
> > work Dave. it is like ellen rosevelt said about no one make you imverior.
>
> such poor jobs

You have to admit that the resemblance is striking. The photo looks
a lot like your old year book picture.

Didn't you date Lurlene from the junior class?

3401. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 03:23:07 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <uh99r1d1u5k4kbl7fhqonp27ggmcn96ckt@4ax.com>
Source record: 129172

On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 15:18:18 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <_6Tsf.3893$R84.38@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> You'll probably see that raised to 100-150 W on HF because
>> there are so many ~100 W rigs in existence.
>
>The 50W number was chosen because it's a "safe" level according to OET thinking.
>
>If there were a 50W permit.......

Why even have a "learner's permit" at all? Looks to me like the hobby
got along just fine all these years without one, so why start now? In
fact, I recently picked up a 1940 edition of the ARRL Handbook, and at
that time there was only one license with no learner's permit. The
concept (according to the second chapter) was to memorize the code
while building your first receiver, listen on your receiver to improve
your code while building your first transmitter, and all the while
studying for the written test. Sounds like a plan to me.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3402. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 03:46:20 UTC
From: Know Code <know_code@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <43b4ad8b$0$69034$dbd4d001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 129173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

an Old friend wrote:
> Know Code <know_code@wanadoo.nl> wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>well making a gues based on how things in Americe Vsav the ARRL and FCC
>>>the indivgual Hams are largely un willing to stand up and say something
>>>with some kind of Occifcail scation for it, this si likly why there
>>>were about 3000 coment the recent NPRM here in the USA out of 600,000
>>>hams and about 300,000 arrl memebrs
>>
>>Are you drunk, or just using so-called 'American' English.
>>
>
> I liek my beer very cold and my men hot your just
> jaelous an likly a gay bacher.

Nah, I prefer Mozart myself.....

--

I fully support the Know Code debate.
Loud is Good. It lets you trample over M3s and other undesirables.

3403. well stevei who have sued ofrom the interent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 03:59:50 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <m3c9r1lsk059j2ua8n302b0mei9fu8qlkl@4ax.com>
Source record: 129174

you claimed Frank was lying the other wehn he said you hadn't sued
anybody so who have you sued

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3404. reminding Stevei of his foolishness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:03:22 UTC
From: tealor_robeson@grave.net
Message-ID: <q8c9r1tggas8r92p2jjnercvfhqi0p39cn@4ax.com>
Source record: 129175

On 28 Dec 2005 01:17:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark Morgan, using a fake e mail address wrote:
>> stive never cotrcact any lawyer
>
> Who never did what to a lawyer?
>
>> BTW with the exalant response steve you can look
>> forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
>
> Good. Keep it up. Please, do it on EVERY future message that you
>post to RRAP, Mark.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

mistakes like this are why you should talking to lawyer before
shooting your keyboard off

it can be argued you have here given freely and of your accord
licsence to do what you claim is upsetting you

show you first post to your lawyer if if you have one might save you
some money

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3405. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:04:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135915455.969213.112470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129176

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 15:18:18 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote in <_6Tsf.3893$R84.38@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> >> You'll probably see that raised to 100-150 W on HF because
> >> there are so many ~100 W rigs in existence.
> >
> >The 50W number was chosen because it's a "safe" level according to OET thinking.
> >
> >If there were a 50W permit.......

> Why even have a "learner's permit" at all? Looks to me like the hobby
> got along just fine all these years without one, so why start now?

??

The current license structure is a direct descendant of the 1951
restructuring that
gave us a system with licenses called Novice, Technician, General,
Conditional, Advanced, and Extra.

> In
> fact, I recently picked up a 1940 edition of the ARRL Handbook, and at
> that time there was only one license with no learner's permit.

Read it some more. In 1940 there were three classes of US amateur radio

license - Class A, Class B and Class C. That system was in place from
1933 to 1951,

> The
> concept (according to the second chapter) was to memorize the code
> while building your first receiver, listen on your receiver to improve
> your code while building your first transmitter, and all the while
> studying for the written test. Sounds like a plan to me.

That's what I did.

The question is how much should be required to get the intitial
license.
In 1940, the minimum requirement for the Class B or C license was
13 wpm Morse Code, sending and receiving, plus a written test of about
50 questions that included multiple choice questions, drawing schematic
and block diagrams, and answering some essay questions.

Since then, the requirements have changed somewhat...

3406. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:06:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Km2tf.3991$nu6.3746@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129177

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> So there could be Class B hams with 1x2s and Class
> A hams with 2x3s....

Yup.

> Some might object to that.

There are also people who might object to pretty girls wearing lipstick, tight sweaters, and no bra, but I ignore them.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3407. Re: more forgeries from the stevei inspired coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:07:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135915629.375792.45610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129178

Lloyd wrote:
> On 29 Dec 2005 18:43:57 -0800, an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:
> >
cut
> You have to admit that the resemblance is striking.

No I don't care to lie and the photo in any way rsmebles me at time of
my life

I have certainly told some lies in my life but that would be a whooper
>The photo looks
> a lot like your old year book picture.

nope
>
> Didn't you date Lurlene from the junior class?

who?

3408. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:09:49 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135915789.024808.262530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129179

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 15:18:18 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote in <_6Tsf.3893$R84.38@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> >
> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> >> You'll probably see that raised to 100-150 W on HF because
> >> there are so many ~100 W rigs in existence.
> >
> >The 50W number was chosen because it's a "safe" level according to OET thinking.
> >
> >If there were a 50W permit.......
>
>
> Why even have a "learner's permit" at all? Looks to me like the hobby
> got along just fine all these years without one, so why start now? In
> fact, I recently picked up a 1940 edition of the ARRL Handbook, and at
> that time there was only one license with no learner's permit. The
> concept (according to the second chapter) was to memorize the code
> while building your first receiver, listen on your receiver to improve
> your code while building your first transmitter, and all the while
> studying for the written test. Sounds like a plan to me.

the best answer is to allow for some reduced size of a question pool
but the more I look at what is suggested the more I don't see the point

Jim attirude makes it look an awfull lot like just another scheme to
set anf maintian classism in the ARS
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3409. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:16:02 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135916162.222792.35840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129180

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 15:18:18 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> > wrote in <_6Tsf.3893$R84.38@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >
> > ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >
> > >> You'll probably see that raised to 100-150 W on HF because
> > >> there are so many ~100 W rigs in existence.
> > >
> > >The 50W number was chosen because it's a "safe" level according to OET thinking.
> > >
> > >If there were a 50W permit.......
> >
> > Why even have a "learner's permit" at all? Looks to me like the hobby
> > got along just fine all these years without one, so why start now?
> >
> ??
> >
> The current license structure is a direct descendant of the 1951
> restructuring that
> gave us a system with licenses called Novice, Technician, General,
> Conditional, Advanced, and Extra.

desendent Jim

for the past 25 years If have the dates right we have an entry license
the tech that placed no limits on powerin the band it had that was
restricted in band in large measure by the treaty alone

why go a for limited license now

the only reason given is to allow a somewht simplier question pool

one that seem doomed to get these new Novices (or whatever we call
them) subjected to diatribes we see in another thrad derected against
the holder of the UK Fl license and in yet another grupop the same
abuse in AU

I see no need for the USA to repeat the mistakes of the Mother Coutry
or of our nephews in AU (AU being founded as derect result of the
revoluation I call them our nephews)
>
> > In
> > fact, I recently picked up a 1940 edition of the ARRL Handbook, and at
> > that time there was only one license with no learner's permit.

cut

3410. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:18:22 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135916302.483764.180960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129181

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > So there could be Class B hams with 1x2s and Class
> > A hams with 2x3s....
>
> Yup.
>
> > Some might object to that.
>
> There are also people who might object to pretty girls wearing lipstick, tight
> sweaters, and no bra, but I ignore them.

Hans you proposals are well thought out they have some merit but one
have you read tany of the thread on the English Fl license holders or
the AU fellows

do you have in your bag of tricks some way of heading of such abuse? or
coments on why this propoasal will not endgender the same sort of
abuse?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

3411. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:31:49 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6ad9r1132759itike94ok0dhvfcjomm581@4ax.com>
Source record: 129182

On 29 Dec 2005 20:04:16 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1135915455.969213.112470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 15:18:18 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>> wrote in <_6Tsf.3893$R84.38@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>
>> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>> >
>> >> You'll probably see that raised to 100-150 W on HF because
>> >> there are so many ~100 W rigs in existence.
>> >
>> >The 50W number was chosen because it's a "safe" level according to OET thinking.
>> >
>> >If there were a 50W permit.......
>>
>> Why even have a "learner's permit" at all? Looks to me like the hobby
>> got along just fine all these years without one, so why start now?
>>
>??
>
>The current license structure is a direct descendant of the 1951
>restructuring that
>gave us a system with licenses called Novice, Technician, General,
>Conditional, Advanced, and Extra.

So the proposed "learner's permit" would be equivalent to..... what?

>> In
>> fact, I recently picked up a 1940 edition of the ARRL Handbook, and at
>> that time there was only one license with no learner's permit.
>>
>Read it some more. In 1940 there were three classes of US amateur radio
>
>license - Class A, Class B and Class C. That system was in place from
>1933 to 1951,

I browsed through it some more but I didn't see any mention of the
different classes of licenses. You may be right and it may be there,
but I haven't found it yet. Nor have I found any mention of a
"learner's permit".

>> The
>> concept (according to the second chapter) was to memorize the code
>> while building your first receiver, listen on your receiver to improve
>> your code while building your first transmitter, and all the while

>> studying for the written test. Sounds like a plan to me.
>
>That's what I did.
>
>The question is how much should be required to get the intitial
>license.
>In 1940, the minimum requirement for the Class B or C license was
>13 wpm Morse Code, sending and receiving, plus a written test of about
>50 questions that included multiple choice questions, drawing schematic
>and block diagrams, and answering some essay questions.

Sounds fair to me. And if you're going to keep the code then 13wpm is
just about right. When I learned Morse I found that it's hard to learn
at a rate slower than 12wpm. Plus, that's about the minimum speed
needed for any practical use (from what I hear across the spectrum).

>Since then, the requirements have changed somewhat...

I think the term is "dumbed down".

Still, I don't see any point in having a learner's permit. It's not
like driving a car.....

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3412. Censorship is painless!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:43:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LV2tf.4000$nu6.2710@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129183

I just finished setting up a bunch of Mark Morgan filters in Outlook Express, and the results are amazing! Rather than a constant stream of sewage and dreck, I now actually see mostly intelligent messages on rrap. Takes just little effort to start with (I had to invoke about a dozen filters to account for all his many alias's) but the results more than make up for the work.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3413. Re: Censorship is painless!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:49:19 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp2e84$g7b$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129184

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> I just finished setting up a bunch of Mark Morgan filters in Outlook Express,
> and the results are amazing!

I still see my poasts so you lose. is dumb to thinke you can fliter
them here and evrywhere. when stevi say he sorry then I stop the
Cllinton takticks.

3414. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 04:55:40 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <dp2ek5goq1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 129185

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> Still, I don't see any point in having a learner's permit. It's not
> like driving a car........
>

when I had leaners permit long time ago, I steped on wrong pedal an
drove dady's car into church doors. momma always say I going to hell
for that when she drinking but sheok rest time.

3415. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 07:09:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135926587.936887.77730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129186

an Old friend wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> > Still, I don't see any point in having a learner's permit. It's not
> > like driving a car........
> >
>
> when I had leaners permit long time ago, I steped on wrong pedal an
> drove dady's car into church doors. momma always say I going to hell
> for that when she drinking but sheok rest time.

more forgery np stevei you can go one either being a PoS or inspiring
them after al nothing I can realy to stop you

3416. amasing the the value some peopel and trying to descridit someone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 07:12:15 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1135926735.290963.114350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129187

an Old friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > I just finished setting up a bunch of Mark Morgan filters in Outlook Express,
> > and the results are amazing!
>
> I still see my poasts so you lose. is dumb to thinke you can fliter
> them here and evrywhere. when stevi say he sorry then I stop the
> Cllinton takticks.

amazing how mmuch fear I ainspire around here

and I guess i owe it all the Stevie and his inpsiring posts

3417. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 08:12:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135930344.276071.113140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129188
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Know Code wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
>
> > well making a gues based on how things in Americe Vsav the ARRL and FCC
> > the indivgual Hams are largely un willing to stand up and say something
> > with some kind of Occifcail scation for it, this si likly why there
> > were about 3000 coment the recent NPRM here in the USA out of 600,000
> > hams and about 300,000 arrl memebrs
>
>
> Are you drunk, or just using so-called 'American' English.

in point of fact not either I am dyslexic and simply spell badly

you are crssos your drivel to RRAP so RRAP regaulara like myself it and
coment
>
>
> --
>
> I fully support the Know Code debate.
> Loud is Good. It lets you trample over M3s and other undesirables.

3418. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 08:13:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135930415.062988.61200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

an Old friend wrote:
> Know Code <know_code@wanadoo.nl> wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> >
cut
> > Are you drunk, or just using so-called 'American' English.
> >
> I liek my beer very cold and my men hot your just
> jaelous an likly a gay bacher.

keep it up

show wht you are made of Stevie more of your forgeries or at least
works of your inspriation so so respobility
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3419. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 08:15:00 UTC
From: Know Code <know_code@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <43b4ec82$0$69034$dbd4d001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 129190
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> Know Code wrote:
>
>>an Old friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>well making a gues based on how things in Americe Vsav the ARRL and FCC
>>>the indivgual Hams are largely un willing to stand up and say something
>>>with some kind of Occifcail scation for it, this si likly why there
>>>were about 3000 coment the recent NPRM here in the USA out of 600,000
>>>hams and about 300,000 arrl memebrs
>>
>>
>>Are you drunk, or just using so-called 'American' English.
>
>
> in point of fact not either I am dyslexic and simply spell badly
>
> you are crssos your drivel to RRAP so RRAP regaulara like myself it and
> coment

Care to put that in English?

--

I fully support the Know Code debate.
Loud is Good. It lets you trample over M3s and other undesirables.

3420. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 08:17:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135930651.733070.306300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129191
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Know Code wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Know Code wrote:
> >
> >>an Old friend wrote:
cut
> >>Are you drunk, or just using so-called 'American' English.
> >
> >
> > in point of fact not either I am dyslexic and simply spell badly
> >
> > you are crssos your drivel to RRAP so RRAP regaulara like myself it and
> > coment
>
> Care to put that in English?

it is in english

learn to read though a few typos

or better still you could up to be at least ateenager in mental state
>
>
> --
>
> I fully support the Know Code debate.
> Loud is Good. It lets you trample over M3s and other undesirables.

3421. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 09:27:16 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <7av9r1l8jh1vjt22vhhcn0plhp0ruqdqag@4ax.com>
Source record: 129192
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hils wrote:

>Pun Krocker wrote
>
>>> If I was a member I would resign.......
>>>
>>Seconded!
>
>There's nothing stopping non-members from writing to Ofcom explaining
>why they're non-members, and making representations as individuals (for
>example, requesting a review of the current licensing scheme).

Some of us have been doing this for a number of years. Perhaps that
input helped persuade OFCOM to do some surveys....

from
Aero Spike

3422. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 10:19:34 UTC
From: Orator For Decency <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135937974.697709.194360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129193
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

You seem to be a Confused Babbler (CBer)

You have just upbraided me for discussing matters
of Britland, and now, here you are doing the same,
because Ofcom is a Britland institution.

(Cross-posted to rec.radio.cb to put you back where you
belong; you certainly exhibited Childish Broadcasting (CB)
in your recent posts)

an Old friend wrote:
> Hils wrote:
> > There's nothing stopping non-members from writing to Ofcom explaining
> > why they're non-members, and making representations as individuals (for
> > example, requesting a review of the current licensing scheme).
>
> well making a gues based on how things in Americe Vsav the ARRL and FCC
> the indivgual Hams are largely un willing to stand up and say something
> with some kind of Occifcail scation for it, this si likly why there
> were about 3000 coment the recent NPRM here in the USA out of 600,000
> hams and about 300,000 arrl memebrs

3423. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 10:32:59 UTC
From: Orator For Decency <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1135938779.063179.158690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129194
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

At 370 posts in only 4 days, it certainly seems as though
this is an idea whose time has come!

What a pity, though, that a number of the posts in the thread
served to illustrate the childish behaviour being criticised. Has
the infantility of the 6-year-old mind and its M3/CB Fools'
Licence contaminated Radio Hammery to that extent?

TIME TO PUT THE PROPOSALS BELOW INTO IMMEDIATE EFFECT!

Pierian Spring wrote:
> It seems that anyone of those who are involved
> in any way with childrens' organisations or with
> the inducing of children to tackle the kindergarten
> entrance examination (that is represented by
> the 6-year-olds' qualification of the M3/CB Fools'
> Licence) exhibit behavioural traits - infantile
> outbursts, deliberately insulting tirades and
> vicious temper tantrums - that one would expect only
> from the children in their care.
>
> Is it time for all _REAL_ Radio Hams to refuse
> to have anything to do with such mental derangees
> and their charges, and institute an age bar, perhaps
> 16-years-old, below which we will not associate in any
> way?
>
> Only then, by making it clear that young children are
> not, and cannot be in any way, part of the society
> of adult technocrats that is Radio Hammery will be be
> able to influence them not to attempt to join us until
> they have achieved maturity?
>
> Word will soon get around if children who have been
> conned by the various Billies-No_Mates into going
> for the M3/CB fools' Licence find that no-one will
> speak to them.

3424. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 12:10:50 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <et9tf.50085$Cj5.36697@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 129195
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

on 30/12/2005 10:32 Orator For Dementia said the following:
> At 370 posts in only 4 days, it certainly seems as though
> this is an idea whose time has come!
>
> What a pity, though, that a number of the posts in the thread
> served to illustrate the childish behaviour being criticised. Has
> the infantility of the 6-year-old mind and its M3/CB Fools'
> Licence contaminated Radio Hammery to that extent?
>
> TIME TO PUT THE PROPOSALS BELOW INTO IMMEDIATE EFFECT!
>
I see the voices in your head have made you answer your own post again.
...(_!_)...

3425. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 13:00:47 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dp3aud$4sf$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 129196
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yes indeed. Let's all ignore posters like this and get on with enjoying
radio in all its forms.

HNY to all when it comes :o)

Graham

--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)
"Orator For Decency" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135938779.063179.158690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> At 370 posts in only 4 days, it certainly seems as though
> this is an idea whose time has come!
>
> What a pity, though, that a number of the posts in the thread
> served to illustrate the childish behaviour being criticised. Has
> the infantility of the 6-year-old mind and its M3/CB Fools'
> Licence contaminated Radio Hammery to that extent?
>
> TIME TO PUT THE PROPOSALS BELOW INTO IMMEDIATE EFFECT!

// snip //

3426. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 14:12:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135951955.441246.226770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129197

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > So there could be Class B hams with 1x2s and Class
> > A hams with 2x3s....
>
> Yup.
>
> > Some might object to that.
>
> There are also people who might object to pretty girls wearing lipstick, tight
> sweaters, and no bra, but I ignore them.

I don't!

I pay lots of attention to pretty girls wearing lipstick, tight
sweaters, and no bra....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3427. I own grup now. stevi apolizse and I give back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 14:33:12 UTC
From: an Old friend <mark@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <43b547c9@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129198

See?

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3428. I stil cant flush my tiolett

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 14:39:25 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <4jhar11ntqhm11icqa0245kl7i285i517o@4ax.com>
Source record: 129199

dam heat tape

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3429. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 15:22:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135956156.646488.213650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129200

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> > > So there could be Class B hams with 1x2s and Class
> > > A hams with 2x3s....
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > > Some might object to that.
> >
> > There are also people who might object to pretty girls wearing lipstick, tight
> > sweaters, and no bra, but I ignore them.
>
> I don't!
>
> I pay lots of attention to pretty girls wearing lipstick, tight
> sweaters, and no bra....

WHERE !?!?!?!

3430. Re: How "Tough" Were the "Old" Exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 15:28:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135956515.611508.326170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129201

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:

> > What is funny is to hear some of the arguements. They really take on a
> > religious fervor at times LOL.

Indeed.

> Of course! The funniest part is how much I get attacked for presenting
> plain, simple facts...

Hi! Good one.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Dec10

3431. more stevi fogery mean he stilafraid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 15:34:04 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <43b55614@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129202

```
an Old friend wrote:
> dam heat tape
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

toilet unplgued now anyway. hahahahahahahaha on you!

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3432. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 15:39:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135957172.421166.253670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129203

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K0HB" on Wed, Dec 28 2005 5:48 am
>
> >Give us a hint on how many letters we need to change your
> >insult into English.
>
> As I remarked before, it takes only ONE letter change to
> turn "Worf" into "wolf." Or vice-versa.

Hans emulates a computer (a higher order of modem) , refusing to use his "wetware."

He takes a tip from Dave; deliberate misunderstanding.

> Now, Herr Worf, I suggest you have a glass of "a drink fit
> for warriors" (as Worf has remarked more than once)...
> prune juice.

He might feel better after a couple of hours. Or he might not.

In either case, I hope his proposal to modernize the amateur service makes it to an NPRM.

3433. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 15:49:23 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7Gctf.11150$3Z.1445@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129204

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:huJsf.3388$nu6.1591@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>> ..... what is your specific proposal?
>>
> I propose that new license applications be available
> in two classes, namely "Class B" and "Class A".
>
> The "Class B" learners permit would have an entry-level test
> (basic regulations, safety, operating procedures, basic DC
> and AC electronics). This class would have full frequency and
> mode privileges, power limited to 50W output. The permit
> would be issued for a period of 10 years, and be non-renewable.
>
> The "Class A" license test would be of a difficulty level
> similar to the current Extra class test, and would have
> full privileges at power levels up to 1500W, equivalent to
> current Extra Class license holders. This license
> would be issued "for life" without requirement for
> renewal.
>
> Current licenses could be renewed indefinitely, and would
> retain their current operating privileges.
>
> Current Novice, Technician, General, and Advanced class
> licensees could upgrade to "Class A" at any time.

Given the non-renewable aspect of your Class B and a difficulty level for Class A being set to approximate today's Extra; I think that presents a very large jump from B to A in one test element. Today, even with 3 element steps to Extra we see limited (i.e. about 15%) of today's hams going to Extra. Once code is gone, some of that will increase, but I suspect many people find their needs addressed at Tech or General.

Perhaps a set of 3 classes, A, B & C would make more sense wherby Class A would be as Hans proposes, Class C would be the non-renewable Class B he proposed and we call my suggested Class B a renewable version of the Class C. Class B would be 100% identical to Class C except it would be renewable and it would have a test element equivalent to todays General.

Just some more thoughts, what say you folks?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3434. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 15:49:33 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <43b559d0@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129205

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: "K0HB" on Wed, Dec 28 2005 5:48 am
>>
>>
>>>Give us a hint on how many letters we need to change your
>>>insult into English.
>>
>> As I remarked before, it takes only ONE letter change to
>> turn "Worf" into "wolf." Or vice-versa.
>
>
> Hans emulates a computer (a higher order of modem) , refusing to use
> his "wetware."
>
> He takes a tip from Dave; deliberate misunderstanding.
>
>
>> Now, Herr Worf, I suggest you have a glass of "a drink fit
>> for warriors" (as Worf has remarked more than once)...
>> prune juice.
>
>
> He might feel better after a couple of hours. Or he might not.
>
> In either case, I hope his proposal to modernize the amateur service
> makes it to an NPRM.

worf is just worf an stevi nkows it.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3435. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:02:38 UTC
From: Know Code <know_code@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <43b55a1c$0$2930$dbd4d001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 129206
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> Know Code wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Know Code wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an Old friend wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>>Are you drunk, or just using so-called 'American' English.
>>>
>>>
>>>in point of fact not either I am dyslexic and simply spell badly
>>>
>>>you are crssos your drivel to RRAP so RRAP regaulara like myself it and
>>>coment
>>
>>Care to put that in English?
>
>
> it is in english
>
> learn to read though a few typos

Few? Now there's the understatement of the year!

--

I fully support the Know Code debate.
Loud is Good. It lets you trample over M3s and other undesirables.

3436. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:22:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Y8dtf.4226$nu6.3498@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129207

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

> Given the non-renewable aspect of your Class B and a difficulty
> level for Class A being set to approximate today's Extra; I think
> that presents a very large jump from B to A in one test
> element.

My proposal gives you a generous 10 years to prepare.

> Perhaps a set of 3 classes, A, B & C would make more sense
> wherby Class A would be as Hans proposes, Class C would be the
> non-renewable Class B he proposed and we call my
> suggested Class B a renewable version of the Class C.
> Class B would be 100% identical to Class C except it would be
> renewable and it would have a test element equivalent to
> todays General.
>
> Just some more thoughts, what say you folks?
>

Your proposal perpetuates the caste system currently in place which stratifies and divides hams into arbitrary ranks. That mentality absolutely needs to be destroyed.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3437. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:24:33 UTC
From: an Old friend <mark@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <43b561e2@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129208
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

why you put shit in rrap to? kepe it out plaese.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3438. K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:25:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135959938.091103.97400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It seems to me that the FCC is taking it's sweet time sending the FINAL ORDER out on K1MAN. Why is that? perhaps the FCC is playing it cautious regarding K1MAN. I still have a lot questions regarding the NAL and I'm sure the US Court of Appeal would also have some question about it.

1. pecuniary interest

One of the things that K1MAN was fined by the FCC for was Pecuniary Interest. K1MAN was transmitting the address to his website (which has commercial goods for Sale) over the radio. The fact is the ARRL also has good for sale on their website and transmits the address of their website over the radio as well. So how is it that one group is allowed to do it and the other isn't?

2. Broadcasting

Another thing the FCC had fined K1MAN for was "broadcasting" although in the NAL the FCC didn't know what the fined for that should be set at. The question raised here is was the program he was running "directed to the general public" or "directed to amateurs"? If was directed to the public then it is a broadcast and if it was the other way it could fall within the scope of definition of "information bulletin". Amateur's don't believe that information bulletin should be opinionated yet there is no "official rulling" from the FCC that states that oher wise. The easies way to determine if a program is a broadcast or not is to determine if it was directed to the general public or directed to the amateur radio service and NOT by determining if the program is opinionate or not.

I am not really for nor aganist K1MAN, however, I would question a lot of the FCC on some of this stuff.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
Amateur Radio Operator

3439. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:27:57 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <0vnar1p75ckvpm4dvpodnprt4scu6adn02@4ax.com>
Source record: 129210

On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 09:49:33 -0600, an Old friend
<konstans@mental.ward> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "K0HB" on Wed, Dec 28 2005 5:48 am
>>>
>>>
>>>>Give us a hint on how many letters we need to change your
>>>>insult into English.
>>>
>>> As I remarked before, it takes only ONE letter change to
>>> turn "Worf" into "wolf." Or vice-versa.
>>
>>
>> Hans emulates a computer (a higher order of modem) , refusing to use
>> his "wetware."
>>
>> He takes a tip from Dave; deliberate misunderstanding.
>>
>>
>>> Now, Herr Worf, I suggest you have a glass of "a drink fit
>>> for warriors" (as Worf has remarked more than once)...
>>> prune juice.
>>
>>
>> He might feel better after a couple of hours. Or he might not.
>>
>> In either case, I hope his proposal to modernize the amateur service
>> makes it to an NPRM.
>
>worf is just worf an stevi nkows it.

ah such flatery from a forger hope you are happy with your handy work
stevie
>
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general

forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3440. more frogeries all the handiwork of steve by his words against Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:31:03 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <o0oar158ojob32o0lj0gilt5rqsqj3psci@4ax.com>
Source record: 129211

```
>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 09:49:33 -0600
>From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: just fess up stvei
>References: <qsm0r1pilt8ds9nsprmnredflnd1pmj371@4ax.com>
<1135636114.157688.210570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<eej123dcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
<1135646278.019504.17130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com> <doq63h$k7g$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
<1135648332.366406.50800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com> <doq81a$mh3$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
<1135649827.431396.14960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<uy1sf.2849$nu6.2832@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
<1135650999.280322.107060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<AP1sf.3226$R84.3124@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
<1135651398.905321.7110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<7Y4sf.2888$nu6.852@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
<1135664183.138209.209360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<0Hpsf.3536$R84.49@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
<1135813464.717978.245160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1135957172.421166.253670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
>In-Reply-To: <1135957172.421166.253670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Message-ID: <43b559d0@news.usenetzone.com>
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
>Lines: 36
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43981
>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "K0HB" on Wed, Dec 28 2005 5:48 am
>>>
>>>
>>>>Give us a hint on how many letters we need to change your
>>>>insult into English.
>>>
>>> As I remarked before, it takes only ONE letter change to
>>> turn "Worf" into "wolf." Or vice-versa.
>>
```

>>
>> Hans emulates a computer (a higher order of modem) , refusing to use
>> his "wetware."
>>
>> He takes a tip from Dave; deliberate misunderstanding.
>>
>>
>>> Now, Herr Worf, I suggest you have a glass of "a drink fit
>>> for warriors" (as Worf has remarked more than once)...
>>> prune juice.
>>
>>
>> He might feel better after a couple of hours. Or he might not.
>>
>> In either case, I hope his proposal to modernize the amateur service
>> makes it to an NPRM.
>
>worf is just worf an stevi nkows it.
>
>_____
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

yet anether rde and yte that is ok with doubel standrd steve

remmeber he statment that Todd is repsobile for threats made agianst
steve just becuase Todd created the atmosphere?

hoist by your own petard Maj Dud

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders making false charges of child rape, rape in general
forges post and name

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

BTW with the exalant response steve you can look forward to seeing this email addy on RRAP
a while
and all done by steve express permission
Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3441. Re: more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:34:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135960492.700067.24670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129212

I don't do any forging on here, If I have something to say I'll say it to people faces, I'm not a pussy to hide my identity. I know there a asshole on here pretending to be me, Todd and NOGL, Both are fakes but hey they must really like me to want to be me.

Todd N9OGL
N9OGL BIG HAM RADIO BOARD
http://12.156.123.39/hamradio/index.php

3442. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:40:55 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <i8oar19rg718lmqji0uhq2i3qa5thb9o7p@4ax.com>
Source record: 129213

On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 16:22:16 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Given the non-renewable aspect of your Class B and a difficulty
>> level for Class A being set to approximate today's Extra; I think
>> that presents a very large jump from B to A in one test
>> element.
>
>My proposal gives you a generous 10 years to prepare.
>
>>
>> Perhaps a set of 3 classes, A, B & C would make more sense
>> wherby Class A would be as Hans proposes, Class C would be the
>> non-renewable Class B he proposed and we call my
>> suggested Class B a renewable version of the Class C.
>> Class B would be 100% identical to Class C except it would be
>> renewable and it would have a test element equivalent to
>> todays General.
>>
>> Just some more thoughts, what say you folks?
>>
>
>Your proposal perpetuates the caste system currently in place which stratifies
>and divides hams into arbitrary ranks. That mentality absolutely needs to be
>destroyed.

glad to see your ointerest in ending this problem

a question that needs to be answered

why does the uresulting "Ham class" have to the exactly the equal of
the extra? do you or anyone in fact think that you can keep the OT's
from claiming the new "A's" are just dumbed down anyway

just what do Ham needs to know anyway, to get on the air today anyway?
thatis what the test should cover to assume a number for the classes
of license is assume we need a question set ofsuch and such a size

perhaps we could serve the interest in Class with several tests each
could be studied and taken in sections with CSSE's for each

perhaps in that would would some some set of tests that if you pass these 3 (out of say 5 test) or a given set on operating a class of license could exist allow the new hams to use a set up staion (the staionm ust be assemebled by the fulll ham)

but these sorts of changes would allow the ARS to all but eliate seperate classes and yet preserve what ever level is NEEDED to assure safe operation in the ARS
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3443. Re: more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:42:55 UTC
From: an Old friend <konstans@mental.ward>
Message-ID: <43b56638@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129214

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't do any forging on here, If I have something to say I'll say it
> to people faces, I'm not a pussy to hide my identity. I know there a
> asshole on here pretending to be me, Todd and NOGL, Both are fakes but
> hey they must really like me to want to be me.

big asole forgeing me to. he afraid of thryth.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3444. Re: more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:45:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135961107.553485.61590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129215

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't do any forging on here, If I have something to say I'll say it
> to people faces, I'm not a pussy to hide my identity. I know there a
> asshole on here pretending to be me, Todd and NOGL, Both are fakes but
> hey they must really like me to want to be me.

i certainly don'tbelieve you do Todd by way of explanation I tend to
express anything in less than certain terms unless we talking the
somelike the "the sun rises in the east"

I would also not assume their is only one forger if I were you, by
pattersn of behavoir I would say thier are at least 3 active concealed
foregr on RRAP these days
>
> Todd N9OGL
> N9OGL BIG HAM RADIO BOARD
> http://12.156.123.39/hamradio/index.php

3445. Re: more prrof that stveie lies when he blames mark for fring up sexuality..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:52:30 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135961550.075467.147880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129216

N9OGL wrote:
> Roberson your a liar, I'm not gay, go back to smoke your crack you
> crack whore.

Yes, you are gay! You e-mailed me and asked if you could give me a
blowjob!

Lloyd

3446. Re: amasing the the value some peopel and trying to descridit someone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 16:53:43 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135961623.253479.91850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129217

Die of your AIDS, Markie.

3447. Re: amasing the the value some peopel and trying to descridit someone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 17:02:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135962135.598467.184730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129218

N0VFP wrote:
> Die of your AIDS, Markie.

such fear and terror

realy wiseman or lloyd or stevie or whoever you are you can be helped
for fears and terror

happy hannuka

3448. Re: more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 17:05:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135962313.737251.242890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129219

an Old friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > I don't do any forging on here, If I have something to say I'll say it
> > to people faces, I'm not a pussy to hide my identity. I know there a
> > asshole on here pretending to be me, Todd and NOGL, Both are fakes but
> > hey they must really like me to want to be me.
>
> big asole forgeing me to. he afraid of thryth.

for example this forger help me make my point (and of course you can't
even tell the forgers apart by the nicks they use)

he is more likely mostly a troll type just want to stir up trouble so
he can watch while the poster of the N0VFP i think it was is deeply
frightened person scared of ANYTHING different preahps even his own
shadow
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3449. more of the result of stevie handywork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 17:07:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135962439.983955.97560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129220

an Old friend wrote:
> See?
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

stevie claimed toodd was repsonible for threats becuase todd poined the well aganst stevie and so this must be stevie fault for tring to do the same to me

sause for the goose stevie

3450. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 17:09:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135962568.731861.149430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129221
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Orator For Decency wrote:
> You seem to be a Confused Babbler (CBer)
>
poor coward always afraid of THEM

3451. yet more forgeries inspired by the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 17:09:53 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ndqar1p21kl1mormmktujevq9l2kgosmu1@4ax.com>
Source record: 129222

```
>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 08:33:12 -0600
>From: an Old friend <mark@mental.ward>
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
>X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: I own grup now. stevi apolizse and I give back
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Message-ID: <43b547c9@news.usenetzone.com>
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
>Lines: 7
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43978
>
>See?
>
>_______________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

you handy work stevie

aren't you proud

_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3452. such frighten forgers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 17:10:23 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ifqar1h09i3ob5jge8029q69cplph2lm6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 129223

```
>From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: I stil cant flush my tiolett
>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 09:39:25 -0500
>Message-ID: <4jhar11ntqhm11icqa0245kl7i285i517o@4ax.com>
>X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 2.0/32.652
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
>Lines: 6
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43979
>
>dam heat tape
>_______________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3453. Re: I stil cant flush my tiolett

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 17:11:24 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2gqar19hod9gnji66dj8eekpfc3mnc3om8@4ax.com>
Source record: 129224

On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 09:39:25 -0500, an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
wrote:

>dam heat tape
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

sorry to hear of your "problems"

you might try posting them under your own name, as I alwasy do after
a fashion

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3454. Re: I stil cant flush my tiolett say stevi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 17:28:46 UTC
From: "konstans@hotmail.con" <konstans@hotmail.con>
Message-ID: <43b57110@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129225

noebody cares

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3455. License -- how about this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 17:49:49 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qcsar1dl77843ea8q8s92j5b5pdsc9ngq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 129226

I've noticed that different hams tend to congregate in different parts
of the spectrum, maybe because different parts of the spectrum have
different characteristics requiring different skills. So why not just
have one license with three "endorsements" based on spectrum use:

-- MF & HF;
-- VHF;
-- UHF & up.

......or something along those lines?????

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3456. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 18:06:31 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <3mtar11ntqhm11icqa0245kl7i285i51o4@4ax.com>
Source record: 129227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

why u put shit on rrap wehn i now lkie solid waste

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3457. Re: more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 18:11:07 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <uttar19oappk63i3tbsi3n4sd9ukvddev7@4ax.com>
Source record: 129228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I don't do any forging on here, If I have something to say I'll say it
> to people faces, I'm not a pussy to hide my identity. I know there a
> asshole on here pretending to be me, Todd and NOGL, Both are fakes but
> hey they must really like me to want to be me.
>
I wnat to be inside you tood

>
> Todd N9OGL
> N9OGL BIG HAM RADIO BOARD
> http://12.156.123.39/hamradio/index.php
>
hav anys gay aminanitin on thier yet?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3458. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 18:26:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135967195.136266.231970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129229

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:huJsf.3388$nu6.1591@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
> >> ..... what is your specific proposal?
> >>
> > I propose that new license applications be available
> > in two classes, namely "Class B" and "Class A".
> >
> > The "Class B" learners permit would have an entry-level test
> > (basic regulations, safety, operating procedures, basic DC
> > and AC electronics). This class would have full frequency and
> > mode privileges, power limited to 50W output. The permit
> > would be issued for a period of 10 years, and be non-renewable.
> >
> > The "Class A" license test would be of a difficulty level
> > similar to the current Extra class test, and would have
> > full privileges at power levels up to 1500W, equivalent to
> > current Extra Class license holders. This license
> > would be issued "for life" without requirement for
> > renewal.
> >
> > Current licenses could be renewed indefinitely, and would
> > retain their current operating privileges.
> >
> > Current Novice, Technician, General, and Advanced class
> > licensees could upgrade to "Class A" at any time.
>
> Given the non-renewable aspect of your Class B and a difficulty
> level for Class A being set to approximate today's Extra; I think
> that presents a very large jump from B to A in one test
> element.

That depends on the level required of the B license, doesn't it?

Note that some things will be eliminated from the pools for
both Element A and Element B. For example, since both
licenses would have access to all amateur frequencies
and modes,
all the questions about various license-class subbands
and mode restrictions would disappear.

> Today, even with 3 element steps
> to Extra we see limited (i.e. about 15%) of today's hams

> going to Extra.

So far, anyway.

> Once code is gone,

??Once code is gone??

Or once the code *test* is gone?

> some of that will increase, but
> I suspect many people find their needs addressed at Tech or
> General.

Or maybe the code test isn't the problem it is often presented
to be.

More than half of the current US amateur licensees have passed
all the code testing they need for Extra, yet only about 15%
have gotten that license - even though the rules haven't changed
in almost six years.

> Perhaps a set of 3 classes, A, B & C would make more sense
> wherby Class A would be as Hans proposes, Class C would be the
> non-renewable Class B he proposed and we call my
> suggested Class B a renewable version of the Class C.
> Class B would be 100% identical to Class C except it would be
> renewable and it would have a test element equivalent to
> todays General.

So a person would start out with a Class C, and could upgrade to
Class B or Class A.

What that system does is essentially rebadge the current
Tech/General/Extra
system with a few changes.

> Just some more thoughts, what say you folks?

Is the Extra written so tough that it's unreasonable to expect hams to
pass it even after 10 years?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3459. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 20:21:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <7b5br1ls02kah7atpbe7j3dg7k34or9mpv@4ax.com>
Source record: 129233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Atheist.xxx@gmail.com wrote:
> On 11 Nov 2005 09:54:07 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Polymath wrote:
> >> What is Ham Radio?
> >>
> >you suose you could enlighten us what you get out posting a reporting
> >this drivel!
>
> you suose you could enlighten us what you get out posting a reporting
> your illiterate drivel!
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
>
my bog is bugerred up snice my heat tap cocked up.
I was gob-smacked wehn I seen the bloddy grooty
mess the lou may not that bfore I piss up agian at
teh ponce.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3460. Re: yet more forgeries inspired by the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 20:25:02 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b5979e@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129230

```
On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 12:09:53 -0500, konstans@hotmail.com <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 08:33:12 -0600
> >From: an Old friend <mark@mental.ward>
> >User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:1.4) Gecko/20030624 Netscape/7.1
> >X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
> >MIME-Version: 1.0
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> >Subject: I own grup now. stevi apolizse and I give back
> >Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
> >Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> >Message-ID: <43b547c9@news.usenetzone.com>
> >Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
> >Lines: 7
> >Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
> >Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43978
> >
> >See?
> >
> >_______________________________________
> >Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >More than 140,000 groups
> >Unlimited download
> >http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> you handy work stevie
>
> aren't you proud
>
> _______________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

you handy work stevie

aren't you proud

_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3461. Re: such frighten forgers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 20:26:00 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b597d8@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129231

On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 12:10:23 -0500, konstans@hotmail.com <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> >Subject: I stil cant flush my tiolett
> >Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 09:39:25 -0500
> >Message-ID: <4jhar11ntqhm11icqa0245kl7i285i517o@4ax.com>
> >X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 2.0/32.652
> >MIME-Version: 1.0
> >Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> >Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> >Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
> >Lines: 6
> >Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
> >Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:43979
> >
> >dam heat tape
> >_____
> >Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >More than 140,000 groups
> >Unlimited download
> >http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3462. fortyfour swinging door

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 20:26:19 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <ps5br11149rtkf48tncqojbj5o14qeu4d6@4ax.com>
Source record: 129235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

wehre can I find won?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3463. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 20:30:39 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <43c09768.93896486@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 129234
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Dec 2005 22:25:35 GMT, pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Did you ever wonder how /so/ many were ever able to break the pile up
>with 10w? Are you beginning to get the drift?

You should hear VK3MO on 20 metres ssb, S9+ at my location, when he's
running one watt... as every _REAL_ amateur should know the answer is
in the antenna not the RF output.

OK maybe lots of M3s can't resist pushing their newly bought gear up
to 100W or or so., Are you telling me you always keep to the 400W at
the antenna limit?

If you were on 160 metres in the 10W DC input days did you never go
above that? Dozens of G2s and G3s used to run in excess of 100W
output on 160m and some I know ran over 1KW. Some of those read this
newsgroup... bet their faces are red :-)

Nothing ever changes...

Peter, G3PHO

3464. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 20:36:42 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b59a5a@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 30 Dec 2005 08:25:38 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> It seems to me that the FCC is taking it's sweet time sending the FINAL
> ORDER out on K1MAN. Why is that? perhaps the FCC is playing it cautious

ffc's toilets are froze up.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3465. Re: amasing the the value some peopel and trying to descridit someone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 20:55:42 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b59ece$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129236

On 30 Dec 2005 09:02:15 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> N0VFP wrote:
> > Die of your AIDS, Markie.
>
> such fear and terror
>
> realy wiseman or lloyd or stevie or whoever you are you can be helped
> for fears and terror
>
> happy hannuka

a impostur like you wouldent know that I celibrate kwanzaa stevi.

happy kwanzaa

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3466. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 21:05:01 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <43b5a0f4$0$29575$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 129237
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> If you were on 160 metres in the 10W DC input days did you never go
> above that? Dozens of G2s and G3s used to run in excess of 100W
> output on 160m and some I know ran over 1KW. Some of those read this
> newsgroup... bet their faces are red :-)
>

Aye

And asked to QSY by Lands End Radio , by Telephone of course.

A G3 Of course , some time after your Callsign Wlat .

DieSea

3467. Open call sign database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 21:08:27 UTC
From: n9zle <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <flhtf.39053$dO2.18033@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 129238

The "Open Call" call sign database is currently under construction.

The callsign database will be available to all amateurs and be self updating
from various points on the internet.
I am looking for beta testers for the first version of the software. The
beta testers will receive a CD in the mail with the callsign database and
software. This software will give the user the ability to update his
database to keep it current.
If you would like to sign up as a beta tester and receive the CD please
visit the forum at
http://www.n9zle.com/forum

Future plans are to distribute this to all amateurs who want it for FREE,
and allow FREE updating.

73s N9ZLE

3468. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 21:33:33 UTC
From: Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic>
Message-ID: <NIhtf.17242$iz3.4015@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 129239
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 22:23:13 GMT, microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk (Peter)
> wrote:
>
>
>>One
>>very good friend of mine, a G8, is a Professor of Electrical
>>Engineering at a well known UK University. He's written countless
>>papers on antenna design, microwaves and the like, yet, for years, he
>>was deprived the chance to operate on the HF bands simply because he
>>had no interest in CW .... how ridiculous.
>
>
> As a Professor of Electrical Engineering, he must surely realise that
> to obtain one, or even _TWO_ degrees, students must sometimes pass
> examinations in subjects that do not entirely enthrall them. To get
> my degree in Business I.T.,

Business IT?

Bwaahaaahaaa!

"something that has been achieved with ease by many children
of 14".

Ever regret posting something? Hee! Hee!

Wiggy

3469. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 21:34:14 UTC
From: "dtolassi@hotmail.com" <dtolassi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135978454.513114.234110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

konstans@hotmail.con wrote:
> On 30 Dec 2005 08:25:38 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > It seems to me that the FCC is taking it's sweet time sending the FINAL
> > ORDER out on K1MAN. Why is that? perhaps the FCC is playing it cautious
>
> ffc's toilets are froze up.
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3470. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 21:38:27 UTC
From: "dtolassi@hotmail.com" <dtolassi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1135978707.119825.184620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Remember that Glenn has the money to fight the FCC and since we have
the finest government money can buy...
It's all about lawyers and who can last the longest. Glen's pockets
are deep.
Don't hold your breath waiting for fcc to react. They only take on
people they know dont have the resources to fight back.

3471. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 22:06:10 UTC
From: class-a-licensee-pkearn-zccpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
Message-ID: <43b5af3c.184587847@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 129242
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote:

> why is this xxxx being corsposeted to the states it concerns england
> and english affair it is not the place of American has to make coment
> on it

i dunno... why is it ?

3472. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 22:10:41 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <jobbr1tqsgqf76tgmc6fpftvt05mcgpsqj@4ax.com>
Source record: 129244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic> wrote:
> Walt Davidson wrote:
> > On Wed, 28 Dec 2005 22:23:13 GMT, microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk (Peter)
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> >>One
> >>very good friend of mine, a G8, is a Professor of Electrical
> >>Engineering at a well known UK University. He's written countless
> >>papers on antenna design, microwaves and the like, yet, for years, he
> >>was deprived the chance to operate on the HF bands simply because he
> >>had no interest in CW .... how ridiculous.
> >
> >
> > As a Professor of Electrical Engineering, he must surely realise that
> > to obtain one, or even _TWO_ degrees, students must sometimes pass
> > examinations in subjects that do not entirely enthrall them. To get
> > my degree in Business I.T.,
>
> Business IT?
>
> Bwaahaaahaaa!
>
> "something that has been achieved with ease by many children
> of 14".
>
> Ever regret posting something? Hee! Hee!
>
no I sey wath I meen an I meen wtah I say now belt
up unles your looking to chat up.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3473. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 22:14:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135980879.894305.187630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129243

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Dec 30 2005 7:39 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K0HB" on Wed, Dec 28 2005 5:48 am

>> >Give us a hint on how many letters we need to change your
>> >insult into English.
>>
>> As I remarked before, it takes only ONE letter change to
>> turn "Worf" into "wolf." Or vice-versa.
>
>Hans emulates a computer (a higher order of modem) , refusing to use
>his "wetware."
>
>He takes a tip from Dave; deliberate misunderstanding.

I disagree. Hans is a long-time mariner and his "wetware"
is more concerned with water than speculative fiction.

A "wharf" is most directly related to "wet" things, a
place to dock ships. Ships float in water. Ships need
good handlers with good "wetware" to maneuver them.

>> Now, Herr Worf, I suggest you have a glass of "a drink fit
>> for warriors" (as Worf has remarked more than once)...
>> prune juice.
>
>He might feel better after a couple of hours. Or he might not.

It's been said that "a prune is a plum with experience." :-)

Actually, I've always liked the taste of prunes, they
being both sweet and tart at the same time. A personal
favorite dessert is prune whip, mashed prunes in meringue.

If I need to "speed up" bowel movements I take a laxative. :-)

>In either case, I hope his proposal to modernize the amateur service
>makes it to an NPRM.

SO DO I!

One class of license, no morse code test, all bands anytime,
everything optional. Free, open, for life.

A one-time "entry level" license for those who need to
learn, adjust to a new environment...non-renewable, make
it in ten years or give up and go to another hobby.

It's almost too revolutionary...many of the existing hams
just can't handle that. "The bands" don't exist JUST for the
existing hams, they are for ALL in the immediate future.

Option is no failure.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3474. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 22:16:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135981013.011557.321120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129245

From: "K0HB" on Fri, Dec 30 2005 4:22 pm

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

>> Given the non-renewable aspect of your Class B and a difficulty
>> level for Class A being set to approximate today's Extra; I think
>> that presents a very large jump from B to A in one test
>> element.
>
>My proposal gives you a generous 10 years to prepare.

Absolutely generous, easily enough time to "prepare."

>> Perhaps a set of 3 classes, A, B & C would make more sense
>> wherby Class A would be as Hans proposes, Class C would be the
>> non-renewable Class B he proposed and we call my
>> suggested Class B a renewable version of the Class C.
>> Class B would be 100% identical to Class C except it would be
>> renewable and it would have a test element equivalent to
>> todays General.
>>
>> Just some more thoughts, what say you folks?
>
>Your proposal perpetuates the caste system currently in place which stratifies
>and divides hams into arbitrary ranks. That mentality absolutely needs to be
>destroyed.

I absolutely agree with that.

With just one "class" everyone is free to try any band, any
mode, as they wish. Those that want to specialize in certain
bands with specific modes can continue to do so.

The Commission is, and has been, quite free with OPTIONS open
to most licensees. To paraphrase Gene Kranz' famous line
during Apollo 13's near disaster, "Option is no failure!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3475. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 22:31:06 UTC
From: Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic>
Message-ID: <Kyitf.17319$iz3.14028@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 129246
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 21:33:33 GMT, Wiggy <mines.a.gin@tonic> wrote:
>
>
>>Business IT?
>>
>>Bwaahaaahaaa!
>>
>>"something that has been achieved with ease by many children
>>of 14".
>>
>>Ever regret posting something? Hee! Hee!
>
>
> You obviously don't even know the meaning of the term.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
Yes I do! When I did it 20 years ago, it was called CLAIT. Computer Literacy And Information Technology. Now it's been "bloated" like the software that comes with it to include all sorts of unnecessary and irrelevant crap!

I'm afraid you have performed an illegally operation and you are about to be shut down.

Wiggy

3476. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 22:56:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135983365.580957.199850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129247

konstans@hotmail.com wrote:
> On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 16:22:16 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> Given the non-renewable aspect of your Class B and a difficulty
> >> level for Class A being set to approximate today's Extra; I think
> >> that presents a very large jump from B to A in one test
> >> element.
> >
> >My proposal gives you a generous 10 years to prepare.
> >
> >>
> >> Perhaps a set of 3 classes, A, B & C would make more sense
> >> wherby Class A would be as Hans proposes, Class C would be the
> >> non-renewable Class B he proposed and we call my
> >> suggested Class B a renewable version of the Class C.
> >> Class B would be 100% identical to Class C except it would be
> >> renewable and it would have a test element equivalent to
> >> todays General.
> >>
> >> Just some more thoughts, what say you folks?
> >>
> >
> >Your proposal perpetuates the caste system currently in place which stratifies
> >and divides hams into arbitrary ranks. That mentality absolutely needs to be
> >destroyed.
>
> glad to see your ointerest in ending this problem
>
> a question that needs to be answered
>
> why does the uresulting "Ham class" have to the exactly the equal of
> the extra? do you or anyone in fact think that you can keep the OT's
> from claiming the new "A's" are just dumbed down anyway

I think the new full priv license should be at the General test level.
If you take Frank's suggestion to expire 100% of the present licenses
and everyone retests, then everyone will hold an "A" license within 10
years and they can complain all they want.

> just what do Ham needs to know anyway, to get on the air today anyway?
> thatis what the test should cover to assume a number for the classes
> of license is assume we need a question set ofsuch and such a size

And that is the question, "What is necessary?"

> perhaps we could serve the interest in Class with several tests each
> could be studied and taken in sections with CSSE's for each

And ARRL and W5YI pulling in $10 for each exam?

> perhaps in that would would some some set of tests that if you pass
> these 3 (out of say 5 test) or a given set on operating a class of
> license could exist allow the new hams to use a set up staion (the
> staionm ust be assemebled by the fulll ham)
>
> but these sorts of changes would allow the ARS to all but eliate
> seperate classes and yet preserve what ever level is NEEDED to assure
> safe operation in the ARS

Do you think Steve would help you set up a station? I'd rather not
rely on the "generosity" of other amateurs for my station.

3477. Re: License -- how about this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 23:03:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1135981103.488379.324660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129248

From: Frank Gilliland on Dec 30, 9:49 am

>I've noticed that different hams tend to congregate in different parts
>of the spectrum, maybe because different parts of the spectrum have
>different characteristics requiring different skills. So why not just
>have one license with three "endorsements" based on spectrum use:
>
>-- MF & HF;
>-- VHF;
>-- UHF & up.
>
>......or something along those lines?????

It's a logical thought concept...at first. Thinking more
about it will just "redistrict" the present ham population
along slightly different lines from what exists now.

There's been a half-century (almost) of such subdivision and
compartmenting U.S. radio amateurs up until the Restructuring
of 2000. It had gotten to be too complex for what it was
worth, both to the Commission and to most of the "amateur
community" (as well as pushing off newcomers).

Essentially ONE "class" of license is quite sufficient.

Those that "specialize" in working specific bands with
specific modes can go right on doing what they did before.
Those just won't get any especial perquisites in rank-
status-title for doing so. [TS for them] Let the ham
publications glorify them (in excelsior). There's no
point in having the license class subdivide, stratify,
and make them "different."

Those that have a yen to experiment, innovate, try out new
things in a one-class system would be free to do so, no
real restrictions other than their own abilities.

Yes, there is a "danger" to having the private spectral
playground of some occupied by what those specialists call
"interlopers." However, NOBODY "owns" spectral property
other than what the FCC stakes out in regulations. The
specialists only THINK they "own" certain spectrum...it was
never really "theirs" and their is no "ownership" by some
kind of eminent domain of private turf.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3478. Re: just fess up stvei

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 23:07:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135984046.683701.241130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129249

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> SO DO I!
>
> One class of license, no morse code test, all bands anytime,
> everything optional. Free, open, for life.
>
> A one-time "entry level" license for those who need to
> learn, adjust to a new environment...non-renewable, make
> it in ten years or give up and go to another hobby.
>
> It's almost too revolutionary...many of the existing hams
> just can't handle that. "The bands" don't exist JUST for the
> existing hams, they are for ALL in the immediate future.
>
> Option is no failure.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

If a person needs status that might come from holding an amateur
license, they will have to do so through deeds, not a government
supported merit madge system.

3479. Re: happy kwanzaa!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 23:15:04 UTC
From: Scott Evil <k95kdk@dlld.com>
Message-ID: <11rbfti7tut7fab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<konstans@hotmail.con> wrote in message news:43b5aa05@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> I liteing my mishumaa saba candels in proper way when puting stuf on mkeka
> mat at night and rejoyceing.
>
> is good.
> _____

Wuz de nite befo Crimmus. And all ower da hood. ereybody wuz' sleepin dey wuz sleepin' good.

We hunged up our stockins An hoped like de' heck That old Santa Clause Be bingin our check

All o'de fambily Wuz layin in de bed`s While Ripple and Thunderbird Danced through dey heads.

I passed out inna flo Right nex to my maw When I heard sech a fuss I thunk:"it mus be de law !

I looked out thru de bars What covered my doe spectin de sheriff Wiff a warrant fo sho.

And what did I see I said "Lawd look at dat ! Ther wuz a huge watermellon Pulled by giant warf rats.

Now ober all de years Santa Clause he be white But looks liken us bros Gets a black Santa dis nite.

Faster dan a po'lees car My home boy he came. He whupped on dem warf rats an called dem by name.

On Leroy on Lonzo And on Willie Lee On Saphire,on Chenequa Dey wuz a site to see.

As he landed dat watermellon Out der in da skreet I knowed it wuz fo 'sho' Da damndest site I ebber did see

He didnt go down no chimbley He picked da lock on my doe An I sez to myself "Shit he done dis befoe".

He had his big bag Full of presents I xpect Wid air Jordans and fake gold To wear roun my neck.

But he left no good prezents Jus started stealin my shit Got my drugs , got my guns Even got my burglars kit.

Wit my stuff in de bag Out de window he flewed I woudda tried to catch him but he stole my knife too.

He jumped on dat watermellon An whipped out a switch He wuz gone in a seccon Dat son of a bitch

Nex year I be hopin Anutha Sanna we get Cuz dis here Sanna Clause Jus ain`t werf a shit.

--
f = n_1 n_2 \langle \sigma v \rangle

http://www.geocities.com/woogawooga99/

Too weird to live, and too
rare to die. -Hunter S. Thomson

3480. Re: What's it all about? - FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 23:23:49 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135985029.072745.247110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129251
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Gareth Alun Evans (G4SDW) wrote:
> Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds...

Gareth - I'm not sure if anybody has suggested this in the past, but
you could save everybody's bandwidth by posting this tedious drivel on
a website, with a weekly (or even daily) link to it instead.

For example: http://www.g4kfk.co.uk/html/g4sdw_faq.html

73
Mike G4KFK

3481. Re: happy kwanzaa!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 23:33:49 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <trgbr1lkrl57ss23ug9ntui5r6ifnafqcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 129253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"Scott Evil" <k95kdk@dlld.com> wrote:
> <konstans@hotmail.con> wrote in message
> >news:43b5aa05@news.usenetzone.com...
> > I liteing my mishumaa saba candels in proper way when puting stuf on
> > mkeka mat at night and rejoyceing.
> >
> > is good.
> > _____________________________________
>
> Wuz de nite befo Crimmus. And all ower da hood. ereybody wuz' sleepin dey
> wuz sleepin' good.
>
> We hunged up our stockins An hoped like de' heck That old Santa Clause
> Be bingin our check
>
> All o'de fambily Wuz layin in de bed`s While Ripple and Thunderbird
> Danced through dey heads.
>
> I passed out inna flo Right nex to my maw When I heard sech a fuss I
> thunk:"it mus be de law !
>
> I looked out thru de bars What covered my doe spectin de sheriff Wiff a
> warrant fo sho.
>
> And what did I see I said "Lawd look at dat ! Ther wuz a huge
> watermellon Pulled by giant warf rats.
>
> Now ober all de years Santa Clause he be white But looks liken us bros
> Gets a black Santa dis nite.
>
> Faster dan a po'lees car My home boy he came. He whupped on dem warf
> rats an called dem by name.
>
> On Leroy on Lonzo And on Willie Lee On Saphire,on Chenequa Dey wuz a
> site to see.
>
> As he landed dat watermellon Out der in da skreet I knowed it wuz fo
> 'sho' Da damndest site I ebber did see
>
> He didnt go down no chimbley He picked da lock on my doe An I sez to
> myself "Shit he done dis befoe".
>
> He had his big bag Full of presents I xpect Wid air Jordans and fake

> gold To wear roun my neck.
>
> But he left no good prezents Jus started stealin my shit Got my drugs ,
> got my guns Even got my burglars kit.
>
> Wit my stuff in de bag Out de window he flewed I woudda tried to catch
> him but he stole my knife too.
>
> He jumped on dat watermellon An whipped out a switch He wuz gone in a
> seccon Dat son of a bitch
>
> Nex year I be hopin Anutha Sanna we get Cuz dis here Sanna Clause Jus
> ain`t werf a shit.

My ass cheese is green,
My ass cheese is black,
My ass cheese smells,
Like my husbands nutsack.

My lips smell like fish,
My lips smell like eggs,
My lips dangle down
Way beneath my legs.

My pussy runs hot,
My pussy runs cold,
My pussy looks rotten,
But it is quite old.

The more I scratch it,
The more sores I create,
The more I rub it,
The more I masturbate.

My twat oozes yellow,
My twat oozes green.
My twat has been used,
By a whole football team.

My warty puss looks good,
When it's hot, warm and wet.
My warty puss feels yummy,
When it's licked by my pet.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3482. Re: What's it all about? - FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 23:34:17 UTC
From: huLLy <s2f@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <gpudnW_GevBkXijeRVnyhw@giganews.com>
Source record: 129252
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

mike@g4kfk.co.uk wrote:
> Gareth Alun Evans (G4SDW) wrote:
>> Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds...
>
> Gareth - I'm not sure if anybody has suggested this in the past, but
> you could save everybody's bandwidth by posting this tedious drivel on
> a website, with a weekly (or even daily) link to it instead.
>
> For example: http://www.g4kfk.co.uk/html/g4sdw_faq.html
>
> 73
> Mike G4KFK

And who could forget:

http://groups.google.co.uk/group/uk.radio.amateur/browse_thread/thread/78624cf1a59b6d4a/1f75a40789763dfc?lnk=st&q=cycleman+uk.radio.amateur&rnum=1&hl=en#1f75a40789763dfc

I have photos taken from the newspaper report if you'd like them.

--
huLLy
Tel: 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

3483. Re: What's it all about? - FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-30 23:41:26 UTC
From: mike@g4kfk.co.uk
Message-ID: <1135986086.757675.172770@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129254
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

huLLy wrote:
> I have photos taken from the newspaper report if you'd like them.

Yes, please email them to mike@g4kfk.co.uk and I'll add them to the
anti-FAQ

73
Mike G4KFK

3484. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 00:21:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135988477.379426.314280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129255

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> >> >> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> >> >> amateur radio.
> >> >>
> >> >> The options suggested so far seem to be:
> >> >>
> >> >> (a) 1 License
> >> >> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> >> >> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> >> >> (d) 3 Licenses
> >> >> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> >> >>
> >> >> What I wonder about these is how the individual
> >> >> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> >> >> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> >> >> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> >> >> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> >> >> in multiple license options.
> >> >>
> >> > That's just the beginning, Bill.
> >> >
> >> > The devil is in the details, limited by what FCC
> >> > has written in various NPRMs and R&Os:
> >> > - No existing licensee should lose privileges
> >> > - No existing licensee should gain privileges without taking
> >> > the required tests
> >> > - No free upgrades
> >> > - No significant extra admin work for FCC
> >> > - FCC sees the optimum level as 3 license classes, none of
> >> > which have a limited term and all of which are renewable.
> >>
> >> None of the above is defined by any FCC rules.
> >
> > That's true, Bill.
> >
> > But from FCC actions and reactions over the past 20 years
> > plus, it's pretty clear that FCC is acting in accordance with
```

> > those ideas.
>
> It may appear so to you, but I don't believe such is the
> case in an absolute sense. We'll just have to disagree on that.
> I believe the ARRL also would disagree with you (IMHO).

When has the FCC acted in such a way as to *not* be in accordance
with those rules?

> >> At the
> >> moment there are 3 licenses being issued, but even that
> >> can be changed as the FCC is not locked into their
> >> past decisions because of prior comment in any NPRM
> >> and/or R&O.
> >
> > Of course! But at the same time, FCC isn't likely to change
> > their mind in the near future on those issues unless somebody
> > comes up with a really killer argument for the change.
> >
> >> > How does any proposed system handle all these requirements?
> >>
> >> It doesn't, nor does it have to. It becomes an issue of
> >> making athe case for whatever is being proposed.
> >
> > And that has to be done in a way that will convince FCC.
> > Particularly, to convince FCC to overrule decisions it made
> > just recently.
>
> Of course.

Not impossible, but an uphill go.

> >> Clearly
> >> the ARRL still believes and appears to be still supportive
> >> of an entry level (learner's permit) despite what the FCC
> >> may have already said.
> >>
> >>From reading the NPRM, it seems to me that FCC isn't against
> > an entry-level license at all. FCC simply sees the Technician
> > as the entry-level license for US ham radio, and also sees
> > no reason to change that - even though several proposals
> > have tried to change FCC's mind.
>
> As proposed by the ARRL, the Learner's license
> would (IMHO) involve a less intense
> syllabus of material and access to some HF.

My understanding is that they're just asking for some
more HF privileges for Techs.

> . IF that is the case,
> and ARRL accepts FCC mindset to leave Tech

> as entry level, then what gets changed to
> make the Tech an entry level per ARRL mindset.

Tech has been the defacto "entry level" since 2000.

> ...and, can I presume that you would be in opposition
> to the Tech being changed in that or any other way?

No, you can't. I'd have to see the proposed change first
before deciding if I'm fer it or agin it.
>
> > What you're seeing is the classic "Law of Unintended Consequences".
> > If FCC does what they propose, eliminating the code test will also
> > eliminate any way for Technicians to get any HF privileges except
> > by upgrade to General.
>
> Ageed...which is why I believe there will be some
> changes made sometime down the road.
>
> >> > How do we convince FCC to accept the changes?
> >>
> >> By making clear and rational arguments and reasons for
> >> whatever the proposed system may be.
> >
> > I'm sure that almost all the proposals and commenters thought they
> > were making "clear and rational arguments".
>
> Of course they did.

But FCC said no.

> > But FCC said no to
> > all of them involving more privs for Techs, new license classes,
> > automatic upgrades, and much more.
>
> Yet nothing in the FCC's rejection even comes close to stating
> their decision is absolute/final and irrevocable based on the
> princioples that you ascribe to the FCC.

Of course not! No regulatory agency is ever going to say that
any decision is final and/or irrevocable.
>
> >> > Those are the tough ones!
> >> >
> >> > K0HB's proposed 2 class system addresses all these issues.
> >> > But FCC denied his ideas.
> >>
> > (SNIP of history of nocode....because in the end, it came to pass
> > anywayregardless of who originated the idea.)

Point is, the FCC was pushing it for a long time.

> >> FCC also
> >> left 13wpm and 20wpm as requirements for many years
> >> with the lack of change/elimination of said 13/20 wpm
> >> elements supposedly waiting for a "consensus" in the
> >> amateur ranks.
> >
> > Perhaps. Yet anyone who could come up with a doctor's
> > note could get a medical waiver. Such notes were never
> > hard to get.
>
> But in the overall perspective waivers were used only by
> a relatively small percentage of new hams.

I've heard figures as high as 10%.

> In the few VE
> sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
> used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
> Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.

Who can say what constitutes "abuse" if the person got
a doctor's note?

> >> In spite of the lack of any consensus on
> >> code the FCC did, in fact, end 13/20wpm test elements
> >> in April 2000 based on arguments and the FCC's own
> >> conclusions at that time.
> >
> > Yep. FCC also reduced the written tests at the same time
> > and closed off three license classes to new issues.
>
> I presume you mean the FCC reduced the number
> of written tests as opposed to the overall
> difficulty of the test material since the syllabus for
> the now three remaining test elements did not change.

What FCC did was to reduce both the number of tests and
the total number of questions for each class of license.

> (SNIP)
>
> > End result is less admin work for FCC. No more medical
> > waivers, only three written elements instead of five, and
> > eventual elimination of some rules.
>
> That eventual elimination, unless
> changes are made by the FCC, could
> well be upwards of 50+ years assuming there are
> some Advanced hams who are in their 20s.

Only true if those hams continue to renew and never
ever upgrade.

> >> Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
> >> in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
> >> end up being revisited and changed at a later review.
> >
> > Agreed - but at the same time, getting them to do so
> > is an uphill battle. Particularly when such an change will
> > result in more work for FCC.
>
> On the issue of a learners license I see no additional
> work for FCC if there are only one or two other
> licenses as some (e.g. Hans) have proposed.

The big admin issue with new license classes is that the
database has to be re-done.

> >> So Jim, with that in mind, what is your specific proposal?
> >
> > I've given it here several times. Perhaps I'll dig it out and
> > post it again.
>
> Does it reflect any of the options I listed above?

I'll post it and you can decide.
>
> > My point is not that change is impossible, but that FCC isn't
> > likely to adopt changes that violate the above principles.
>
> In your opinion that is. In fact, several of the principals you
> listed are only your interpretation based on FCC decisions
> as opposed to the FCC ever articulating or stating them
> as fact.

They're observations based on FCC's behavior for more than
20 years. Can you cite examples where FCC did not act
according to them?
>
> > For example, more than one proposal wanted free upgrades.
> > FCC said no to all of them, and gave reasons why. (See
> > footnote 142...)
>
> Neither of us may be around to collect on this bet, but I'll
> bet you a dinner anywhere that sometime down the road
> the FCC will "simplify" the rules and regs by renewing
> Advanced as either Extra or General when the number
> of Advanced drops to a small percentage of all
> amateurs.

You don't have to bet me, Bill, we'll do dinner one of these
days eventually. I'm just sorry I missed the chance to
meet Carl in person when he was down here some months
back.

> I also believe that IF a learner's license does
> come to pass, the FCC will make all current Novice
> licenses renewable to that new license name AND will
> make the rules for the existing Novice the same as whatever rules
> and privileges are given to the new learner's class.

That's not unreasonable - particularly considering that
there are only about 29,000 Novices left and the number
keeps dropping every month.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3485. Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 00:27:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1135988828.472871.218060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129256

Reposted and updated slightly:

1) Three classes of license: Basic, Intermediate, Full (change the names if you don't like them - Third, Second, First, Novice, General, Extra, whatever)

2) HF/MF bands split into subbands by mode and split again by license class. Some bands (30 meters) may be split by mode only. Bottom of each band is CW only, middle is CW/digital, top is CW/phone/image. Percentage division about 20%/30%/50% (varies with band). "Digital" includes digital voice modes if bandwidth under 1 kHz.

3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures, and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main objective is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-50 watts on HF/MF and 25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level is below the point where RF exposure evaluation required). Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31, RTTY and many of the other common data modes like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or club trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum, including parts of all subbands-by-mode. Basic is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's still a reason to upgrade.

4) "Intermediate" license test is more complex 50-60 question exam on regs, procedures, safety and technical stuff. Intermediates get 300-400 watts on all bands, all modes. Intermediates can be VEs after qualification (see below), control ops for repeaters, and club trustees. Intermediates get all VHF/UHF and about three quarters (or more) of HF/MF spectrum.

5) "Full" license test is quite complex 100-120 question exam on regs, procedures, safety and technical stuff. Mostly technical, with some regs to cover expanded privs. Fulls get all privileges, modes, bands, etc. except that Fulls can be VEs only after qualification (see below).

6) All licenses are 10 year and fully renewable/modifiable. No age requirements or limits.

7) Basics have six-character calls, Intermediates have five- or six-character calls, and Fulls have four-, five-, or six-character calls. Nobody has to give up an existing callsign.

8) Separate 30-35 question test for VE qualification, open to Intermediates and Fulls, which allows them to be VEs. Existing

VEs are grandfathered.

9) Existing Novices, Techs and Tech Pluses become Basics,
existing Generals and Advanceds become Intermediates, and
existing Extras become Fulls. Existing hams can continue to
use their current privileges when they exceed privileges granted
by the new system as long as they retain license
documents showing their old license class. Existing Tech Pluses
who can show proof of license before Mar 21, 1987 get Intermediates.

10) Change to new system is at least six months to one year after
announcement to allow time for question pool reorganization and
so existing hams can upgrade under present rules if they want.

End result is a system that is easy to get into (Basic is
envisioned as a 21st century version of the Novice) and has
reasonable but meaningful steps to reach full privileges.
Testing matches the privs granted. Power levels are set about
one S-unit apart. Nobody loses any privileges. There are only
three license classes and four written tests, so FCC doesn't
have more work.

Example of new privileges:

80/75 meters
3500-3575 CW only
3575-3750 CW/data
3750-4000 CW/analog phone/image

Basic: 3525-3625 and 3900-4000
Intermediate: 3525-3750 and 3850-4000
Full: entire band

73 de Jim, N2EY

3486. Re: 2006 - The year that the RSCB goes bust?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 01:12:01 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rbmms59mpqkda@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129257
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Orator For Decency" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1135937974.697709.194360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> You seem to be a Confused Babbler (CBer)
>
> You have just upbraided me for discussing matters
> of Britland, and now, here you are doing the same,
> because Ofcom is a Britland institution.
>
> (Cross-posted to rec.radio.cb to put you back where you
> belong; you certainly exhibited Childish Broadcasting (CB)
> in your recent posts)
>
> an Old friend wrote:
>> Hils wrote:
>> > There's nothing stopping non-members from writing to Ofcom explaining
>> > why they're non-members, and making representations as individuals (for
>> > example, requesting a review of the current licensing scheme).
>>
>> well making a gues based on how things in Americe Vsav the ARRL and FCC
>> the indivgual Hams are largely un willing to stand up and say something
>> with some kind of Occifcail scation for it, this si likly why there
>> were about 3000 coment the recent NPRM here in the USA out of 600,000
>> hams and about 300,000 arrl memebrs
>
Don't give him to us, the CB'ers don't want him.

3487. Annual Preditions for 2006 Show with Art Bell & George Noorey !! (FRI / SAT / SUN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 01:51:19 UTC
From: M <Misback@Mdotnet.net>
Message-ID: <bec0d$43b5f20d$d1cc79bc$20367@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 129258
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.gene-scott,alt.slack,alt.tasteless,misc.invest.stocks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/12/30.html

1) FRIDAY: 2006 Predictions I w/Art Bell

Art Bell hosts the first of two shows featuring
Predictions for 2006 as made by callers.
He'll also review their predictions from last year.

http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/12/31.html

2) SATURDAY: 2006 Predictions II w/Art Bell:

Art Bell hosts his annual New Year's Eve Prediction
show featuring callers' predictions for 2006 and a
review of their predictions from last year.

http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2006/01/01.html

3) SUNDAY: Predictions Special w/George Noory & 8 Guests
Kicking off the New Year, George Noory presents
a special 4-hour Predictions Show featuring a group
of psychics and prognisticators, each appearing in
a half-hour time slot.

The line-up:

Joseph Jacobs
Dr. Louis Turi
The Merlin Project
Hans King
Sean David Morton
Gerald Celente
Robert Camp
Aaron Donahue

URL Main: www.coasttocoastam.com

3488. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 01:52:05 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dp4o85$dv0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 129260

On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 16:22:16 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>Your proposal perpetuates the caste system currently in place which stratifies
>and divides hams into arbitrary ranks. That mentality absolutely needs to be
>destroyed.

A Vietnamese proverb I include in my syllabus each semester says, "If you study you'll become what you desire; if you do not study you'll never become anything." That exactly describes what separates any particular segment of a population from another, including hams. There is no "caste system" in amateur radio, for a caste is defined being born into a particular social class and never being able to move from that class.

What separates an Extra from an Tech is not a "caste system" but rather who had the motivation to study versus who didn't.

You sound like a socialist, Hans -- a believer in one and only one class in a society.

No 73 for socialists,
Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

3489. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 01:56:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1135994183.674694.204410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129259

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Reposted and updated slightly:
>
> 1) Three classes of license: Basic, Intermediate, Full (change the
> names if you don't like them - Third, Second, First, Novice,
> General, Extra, whatever)
>
>
> 2) HF/MF bands split into subbands by mode and split again by
> license class. Some bands (30 meters) may be split by mode only.
> Bottom of each band is CW only, middle is CW/digital, top is
> CW/phone/image. Percentage division about 20%/30%/50% (varies with
> band). "Digital" includes digital voice modes if bandwidth under
> 1 kHz.
>
>
> 3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs,
> procedures, and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure
> stuff. Main objective is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics
> get 100-50 watts on HF/MF and 25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power
> level is below the point where RF exposure evaluation required).
> Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31, RTTY and many of the other
> common data modes like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control
> ops for repeaters, or club trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF
> and about half of HF/MF spectrum, including parts of all
> subbands-by-mode. Basic is meant as the entry level. Easy to
> get, lots of privs, yet there's still a reason to upgrade.
>
>
> 4) "Intermediate" license test is more complex 50-60 question exam
> on regs, procedures, safety and technical stuff. Intermediates
> get 300-400 watts on all bands, all modes. Intermediates can be
> VEs after qualification (see below), control ops for repeaters,
> and club trustees. Intermediates get all VHF/UHF and about
> three quarters (or more) of HF/MF spectrum.
>
>
> 5) "Full" license test is quite complex 100-120 question exam on
> regs, procedures, safety and technical stuff. Mostly technical,
> with some regs to cover expanded privs. Fulls get all
> privileges, modes, bands, etc. except that Fulls can be VEs
> only after qualification (see below).
>
>

> 6) All licenses are 10 year and fully renewable/modifiable. No
> age requirements or limits.
>
>
> 7) Basics have six-character calls, Intermediates have five- or
> six-character calls, and Fulls have four-, five-, or
> six-character calls. Nobody has to give up an existing callsign.
>
>
> 8) Separate 30-35 question test for VE qualification, open to
> Intermediates and Fulls, which allows them to be VEs. Existing
> VEs are grandfathered.
>
>
> 9) Existing Novices, Techs and Tech Pluses become Basics,
> existing Generals and Advanceds become Intermediates, and
> existing Extras become Fulls. Existing hams can continue to
> use their current privileges when they exceed privileges granted
> by the new system as long as they retain license
> documents showing their old license class. Existing Tech Pluses
> who can show proof of license before Mar 21, 1987 get Intermediates.
>
>
>
> 10) Change to new system is at least six months to one year after
> announcement to allow time for question pool reorganization and
> so existing hams can upgrade under present rules if they want.
>
>
> End result is a system that is easy to get into (Basic is
> envisioned as a 21st century version of the Novice) and has
> reasonable but meaningful steps to reach full privileges.
> Testing matches the privs granted. Power levels are set about
> one S-unit apart. Nobody loses any privileges. There are only
> three license classes and four written tests, so FCC doesn't
> have more work.
>
>
> Example of new privileges:
>
>
> 80/75 meters
> 3500-3575 CW only
> 3575-3750 CW/data
> 3750-4000 CW/analog phone/image
>
>
> Basic: 3525-3625 and 3900-4000
> Intermediate: 3525-3750 and 3850-4000
> Full: entire band

>
>
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

QP contains 10,000 questions. You take a test, 1 question at a time. Questions selected at random. You keep going til you miss one. No retakes, no upgrades. Each right question earns 10Hz of spectrum, your choice of frequency, but it must be made at the exam session. That is your lifetime allotment.

3490. Re: Annual Preditions for 2006 Show with Art Bell & George Noorey !! (FRI / SAT / SUN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 02:01:31 UTC
From: plowak <plowak@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rbpjogrl0frad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129261
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.gene-scott,alt.slack,alt.tasteless,misc.invest.stocks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

The voice of one...er two, crying in the wilderness...

...against a strong wind in a sand storm.

Listen to'm both, especially like the stuff about aliens.

3491. Re: Annual Preditions for 2006 Show with Art Bell & George Noorey !! (FRI / SAT / SUN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 02:03:45 UTC
From: Blash <blash1@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <BFDB5131.228AB%blash1@comcast.net>
Source record: 129262
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.gene-scott,alt.slack,alt.tasteless,misc.invest.stocks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I wonder how many of this group of psychics and prognisticators(sic)
used their "gift" to pick a lottery winner......

3492. Re: Annual Preditions for 2006 Show with Art Bell & George Noorey !! (FRI / SAT / SUN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 03:19:25 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <rkubr19jfci1doc3k0fchr7po7jd21i9en@4ax.com>
Source record: 129263
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.gene-scott,alt.slack,alt.tasteless,misc.invest.stocks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey the three night comedy show.

With a list characters like that Sunday should be a real belly
whopper. The question is do I want to record this garbage or not?

james

On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 20:51:19 -0500, "M" <Misback@Mdotnet.net> wrote:

>+<
>+<
>+<
>+<http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/12/30.html
>+<
>+<1) FRIDAY: 2006 Predictions I w/Art Bell
>+<
>+<Art Bell hosts the first of two shows featuring
>+<Predictions for 2006 as made by callers.
>+<He'll also review their predictions from last year.
>+<
>+<http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/12/31.html
>+<
>+<2) SATURDAY: 2006 Predictions II w/Art Bell:
>+<
>+<Art Bell hosts his annual New Year's Eve Prediction
>+<show featuring callers' predictions for 2006 and a
>+<review of their predictions from last year.
>+<
>+<http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2006/01/01.html
>+<
>+<3) SUNDAY: Predictions Special w/George Noory & 8 Guests
>+<Kicking off the New Year, George Noory presents
>+<a special 4-hour Predictions Show featuring a group
>+<of psychics and prognisticators, each appearing in
>+<a half-hour time slot.
>+<
>+<The line-up:
>+<
>+<Joseph Jacobs
>+<Dr. Louis Turi
>+<The Merlin Project
>+<Hans King

>+<Sean David Morton
>+<Gerald Celente
>+<Robert Camp
>+<Aaron Donahue
>+<
>+<URL Main: www.coasttocoastam.com

3493. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 03:30:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1135999805.574746.249120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Don't hold your breath waiting for fcc to react. They only take on
>people they know dont have the resources to fight back.

That's the truth....

Todd N9OGL

3494. Re: Annual Preditions for 2006 Show with Art Bell & George Noorey !! (FRI / SAT / SUN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 03:45:38 UTC
From: Mike RogeTrotters <mt60094s@gmail.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <61605$43b60d4e$d1cc5bb5$21967@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 129265
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.gene-scott,alt.slack,alt.tasteless,misc.invest.stocks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Blash" <blash1@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:BFDB5131.228AB%blash1@comcast.net...
> I wonder how many of this group of psychics and prognisticators(sic)
> used their "gift" to pick a lottery winner......
>

One of them who says he's a 'remote viewer' claims that
several of his protege's have picked several winning
lottery no's. Of course when they are asked to come
forward to substantiate the claims, the usual excuses
of 'confidentiality' and other such is usually stated.

3495. Re: Easier licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 03:50:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1136001010.924812.66110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129266

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Dec 20, 1:56 am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Dec 18 2005 11:01 am
>
> >What "obvious political spin"? Give us some examples of
> >where the ARRL history is "spun" or inaccurate. For example -
> >were there others besides amateurs who achieved low-power
> >2-way transatlantic shortwave radio communication before
> >November 1923?
>
> Yes, there were. :-)

IOW, no, there weren't. :-)

> But, trying to present all those, finding the references,
> noting them in here is all wasted effort on my part.

All you have to do is tell us who they were, what they did and when
they did it.

But I doubt you can.

> You will simply not accept any of it.

Maybe I will, Len. Or maybe someone else will.

Point is, you haven't provided any evidence to back up your claims.

So why should we believe you instead of ARRL?

What makes your "history" any more credible than theirs?

> If anyone else cares to look at the history of ALL radio
> they are welcome to peruse two texts by Hugh G. J. Aitken,
> "Syntony and Spark," "The Continuous Wave, Technology and
> American Radio 1900-1932, Princeton University Press.

You could scan the appropriate parts and post them to prove your point.
After all, you've
Demanded that others do the same to "prove" things.

Your AOL account includes a web page for each screen name. There's no
reason
you can't use them - is there?

> For those who wish to review Thomas H. White's history
> of USA radio, go to http://earlyradiohistory.us/ or

> find a much-more abbreviated history at the FCC website.

Been there. No contradictions to ARRL's version of events - is there?

You're misdirecting, Len.

> There are many others of the non-amateur histories, but
> you just don't want to hear of those, do you? :-(

History of *amateur* radio.
>
> U.S. radio amateurs were BANISHED from the "long
> waves" after WW1 and had to be on the "short waves" from
> 200 meter wavelengths and down.

Actually, that happened in 1912, although enforcement wasn't
perfect.

> BANISHMENT is banishment.

It was regulation. There was need to have more order among
the various users of radio. The old free-for-all systems just
didn't work.

> U.S. radio amateurs have NEVER been granted any band
> allocations below MF since the FCC was created in 1934.

So what?

Amateurs were "banished" to the "short-waves" because those
short waves were thought (in 1912) to be useless for long distance
communication. The professionals and regulators were all wrong
about that, however.
>
> 1923 was 82 years ago,

Why does that matter? The discussion is about amateur radio history
and your unfounded claims of "ARRL spin".

> This is the year 2005. Do try to keep up.

I'm way *ahead* of you, Len.

> >> >Nonsense, Len. The ARRL doesn't elect government officials.
> >
> >> It postures as if it does...stating bluntly that it is
> >> the "representative of amateur radio!" :-)
> >
> >Not the same thing at all.
>
> ARRL is a MINORITY special interest group,

"No-Code International" (NCI) is a minority special interest group,
too. Much smaller than
ARRL.

> Only one out of five licensed U.S. radio amateurs are
> members of the ARRL.

Less than one out of a hundred licensed U.S. radio amateurs are
members of NCI. This despite the fact that NCI membership is
free, never expires, and can be had for a few mouse clicks or a letter.

> >And who else represents amateur radio in the
> >USA on anyhting like as many issues?
>
> Okay, so this MINORITY "representative group" practices
> oligarchy.

No, they do not. They don't rule - they represent.

> So what? :-)

Seems to bother you no end that there is an organization
"devoted entirely to amateur radio".

It's clear from your many anti-ARRL tirades, Len, that you'd
really like it if there were *no* national amateur radio organization.

> >FCC makes the regulations.
>
> Ah, but, on 10 December, you wrote "FCC doesn't license
> radio amateurs!" :-)

Well, Len, that was a typo I made. I've corrected it already.

I wrote "FCC" when I meant to write "FAA". My bad - just a mistake.

Know why it sticks out so much? Because it's so unusual!

Now, about typos....

Was it a typo when you told K8MN to 'shut the hell up, you little USMC
feldwebel' ?

Was it a typo when you wrote, almost 6 years ago, that you were going
for Extra right out of the box?

Was it a typo when you lectured a US Coast Guard radio operator on his
military service as a radio operator in the classic "sphincters post"?

Was it a typo when you wrote that all amateurs with
expired-but-in-the-grace-period
licenses could legally operate their amateur radio stations?

Was it a typo when you accused the ARRL and some VEs of 'very mild
fraud' because of the licensing of some young children? (You never
presented any evidence of fraud other than the ages of the children)

Was it a typo when you twice accused a developer/contractor in your
area of 'payola' to the zoning commission - and the commission
accepting it?

Were all those things typos, Len? I didn't see any corrections to them.

I corrected my FAA typo.

> >> You didn't exist in the 1940s, Jimmie.

> >So what? The fact is there were other groups back then trying to
> >change the regulations.

> PROVE that fact.

That's easy.

FCC Docket 9295

"National Amateur Radio Council"

"Society of American Radio Amateurs"

> Show your work...

Just did.

But why should I? You don't.

> tell us why we should care.

You've called the ARRL a "one party system", "oligarchy"
and claimed all sorts of things about FCC "chuckling" over
comments.

Yet the fact is that two relatively-small groups had a big
effect on the 1951 restructuring. Those groups are long
gone, having disappeared soon after they got their way...

> >> Everyone in the military puts their LIFE on the line, 24/7, as
> >> long as they are in.

> Are you going to "argue" that now? Don't try...it will only
> make you look more foolish than you are in regards to
> national service.

The fact is that everyone in the military pledges their willingness
to face combat and mortal danger if and when called upon. No
argument about that.

But that's also true of many nonmilitary people as well. Law
enforcement
officers...firefighters and rescue workers....emergency medical team
members,
to name just a few, face the potential of mortal danger too.
>
> >You've built complete amateur radio stations yourself? I fon't think
> >so.
>
> Whether or not you "fon't think so" is irrelevant. I have.

Not true, Len. Without a station license, they cannot be an amateur
radio station.
>
> >> INCORRECT. I've built equipment "from scratch." INCLUDING
> >> the "sheet metal work." :-)
> >
> >Not amateur radio equipment for your own use in your own station.
> >
> >That's the point.
>
> It was radio equipment. No one paid me for it, ergo it was
> "amateur." :-)

No, it was *hobby* radio.

Or maybe it was a professional prototype that failed......;-)
>
> >> From the initial notes and sketches on paper to more detailed
> >> plans on vellum to getting the parts, doing the breadboards,
> >> finalizing the physical layouts, laying out the circuit boards,
> >> masking and etching the PCBs, "bending the tin" (an expression
> >> in aerospace for sheet-metal work), using the metal brake, using
> >> drill presses, mills, lathes, tapping the screw holes, wiring up
> >> the components, assembling everything, then testing and recording
> >> the operation of the finished product. That was just for HOBBY
> >> equipment, :-)
> >
> >But not for amateur radio equipment. And not using just your own
> >resources.
>
> Using ENTIRELY MY RESOURCES,

No. You used other people's tools...

> Paid for out of my own
> pocket. That classifies it as "amateur" as opposed to
> "professional."

No, it doesn't.

> I've used others' brake, mill, and lathes, exhanging services
> of mine for their loan of tools. All the rest were mine,
> owned outright. Fair exchange of services agreeable to all.

Not your own resources, then.

> Most "chassis" are easier to use purchased off the shelf. I have
> the Greenlee chassis punches on hand to do those jobs, had them a
> long time, even visited the very workshop in the department of
> Greenlee's factory that made them back in 1950. [it was, and
> remained, a tiny, tiny part of the overall Greenlee factory]

> >> At WORK I've done all that plus a lot more...and been responsible
> >> for the completion of the final design to established milestones,

> >> setting up and doing the environmental testing, going out in the
> >> field for the corporation to assist the customer, being responsible
> >> for million-dollar project completion plus all the interdisciplinary
> >> design review meetings and reports before managers as well as giving
> >> pitches for contracts up for bid.
> >
> >All by yourself? Or with the "help" of an engineering team?
>
> The travel departments at various companies arranged for my tickets
> and lodging reservations, accounts payable argued with me on my
> expense reports. I didn't have solo meetings with myself in design
> reviews, if that is what you mean. :-) [do you hold meetings
> with yourself at your work?]

IOW, you had the help of an engineering team. But you want all the
credit...
>
> >> >In other words, since there was no money in it for you...
> >
> >> No NEED,

>>> Can't you get anything straight?
> >
> >No need in your job. Job is for money. I got it right.
>
> No NEED for ME,

Because you don't want to be a radio amateur. There's no money in it
for you...

> My, my, you DO come across as an UNDERPAID engineer who is all
> the time talking about "money." :-)

Not me, Len. I'm not underpaid.

> Are you really a surreptuous socialist?

"Surreptuous"? What dies that mean?

Do you accept Social Security payments? That's socialized retirement.

Do you accept Medicare benefits? That's socialized medicine.

>A closet communist?
>
Nope. Then again, you're the one who wants one class of license so all
can be "equal"....

> Maybe you believe that you should get "free radios" because
> you are a radio amateur?

I've already gotten "free radios" because I'm a radio amateur, Len.

> >> Not AUTHORIZED,

Not QUALIFIED, either.

> >>. Do try to keep up...
> >
> >Neither qualified nor authorized, Len. Do try to be accurate.
>
> I am accurate.

Only in your mind....;-)

> I'm am quite QUALIFIED to not only operate
> a radio transmitter (or any RF emitter), but to design it,
> build a prototype, test it, align it, make it work.

You think you are, anyway.

But have you ever demonstrated any of that to amateur radio?

You claim to have written for "ham radio" magazine for
some years, but none of your articles in that magazine are
construction project articles. Nor are there any depictions of
actual radios you built yourself - there or anyplace else. No
websites, no articles, no pictures.

Also, the ability to design a radio and the qualifications to operate
it are not one and the same.

> I am not AUTHORIZED to USE it on frequencies which are
> regulated by an AUTHORIZING AGENCY.

Nor are you qualified to use it on the amateur bands.

> In this case, the agency is the FCC.

FCC's regulations say a license is required to operate an
amateur radio station. You have not qualified for the required
license, therefore, in the view of the TCC, you are Not Qualified.

> >> When were you on the Condor Network? Give us the year you
> >> operated on it. Describe it.
> >
> >Wasn't talking about the Condor Network. Was talking about the amateur
> >bands above 220 MHz. I've operated there - you've only listened.
>
> The amateur Condor Net operates ON the "220" band,

> not above it.

The 220 MHz band is above 220 MHz ;-)
>
> I have LEGALLY OPERATED on non-amateur bands both above and
> below the amateur "220" band since 1952.

But you have not LEGALLY OPERATED on any amateur band.

Anybody who has used 100 mW cb walkie talkie and an FRS radio
can say:

"I have LEGALLY OPERATED on non-amateur bands both above and
below the amateur "220" band since 1952."

> >I've been a radio amateur for 38 years, Len. Some other hams here
> >have been radio amateurs even longer.

> >Why should *we* change?
>
> It's not a question of "should,"

Sure it is. You keep telling others they should change, while
resisting change yourself.
>
> >I'm not saying you *should* change, Len. I'm simply pointing out
> >that you're a nonamateur tells others that *they* have to change
> >but doesn't accept change himself.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Mr.-I'm-an-amateur-for-38-years, the FCC,
> which is NOT requiring any commissioners or staff to be
> licensed in amateur radio, has been TELLING YOU WHAT TO DO
> IN RADIO OPERATION for 38 years. :-)

They've been telling you what to do as well, Len. In fact
they've been telling you what you *cannot* do for more
than 70 years: You cannot legally operate an amateur
radio station....

FCC says you're Not Qualified.

> >btw - you accused at least one developer of "payola" to the zoning
> >commission, and also that the zoning commission accepted the
> >"payola". Pretty serious charges. Care to back them up with facts?
>
> You are welcome to go search the Los Angeles Superior Court
> records, the Los Angeles City government records, if it is
> so "important" to you. :-)

IOW, you have no facts to back up your claims.
>
> City government activity involving zoning ordinances have
> NOTHING to do with radio,

Actually, it does, since radio requires antennas.

There's a valid analogy between real estate and radio spectrum, too:

Zoning ordinances are government regulation of land use.

FCC rules are government regulation of (civilian) radio use.

Both are intended to maximize the benefit of all concerned -
"the public", as it were.

Now you may say that you "own" your property, and that no
radio amateur "owns" any radio spectrum.

But if you think you "own" your house and land, try not paying
your real estate taxes and see how long you "own" it. Or try
resisting eminent domain...

The major point is that you resisted change when it applied
to your local zoning - as if the regulation of *other people's
property* was never to change without *your* approval. Yet
you want to impose your changes on others when it comes to
radio regulations.

> >Were you top of the form in Morse Code? I think not.

> I've never claimed to be "top of the form in morse code."

IOW, you weren't.

That's really the whole issue for you, isn't it, Len?

Which says a lot, because you toot your own horn endlessly
about your accomplishments..

> >> TRY to understand the 53 years ago morse code was NOT
> >> the ultimate skill in radio operation on communications
> >> circuits.

> >Depends on which "communications circuits" you mean.
>
> The Defense Communications System, and all its predecessors
> since WW2 days,

So what? Amateur radio isn't the "Defense Communications System".

> On the average, Washington Army Radio (WAR) relayed a MILLION
> teletypewriter messages per MONTH out of Fort Detrick, MD, in
> 1955.

Were you there? I think not.

> Some of those were the 220 thousand TTY messages
> relayed by station ADA in Tokyo of that same year. ADA did
> not communicate directly, RUAP to RUEP, but communicated to
> Anchorage, Seattle, San Francisco, Honolulu, Manila, Okinawa,
> Seoul, Pusan, and Saigon in 1955. Some USAF, USN messages
> were relayed through ADA, along with State Department messages
> to Manila. A few, but very few facsimiles were relayed,
> mostly for the USAF. Manila had a circuit through Asmara,
> Eritrea, to the USAEUR (U.S. Army in Europe).

With a staff numbering in the hundreds or even thousands, all working
full-time to transfer those messages. Also investment in equipment
and facilities totalling millions of dollars.

With the authority to override any civilian government objections, too.

> > You
> >always seem to spin away from "communications circuits"
> >like those used by the US Navy...
>
> I'm not "spinning" anything,

Sure you are. The US Navy was using Morse Code for "communications
circuits" with its ships long after you left the Army.

Mor important, you constantly harp on 1950s military communications
as if they had some overwhelming importance or significance to
amateur radio in 2005.

> TTY messaging carried
> the overwhelming mass of ALL messages involving the United
> States military a half century ago.

I think you'd like to believe that - true or not. No way can you give
Morse
Code *any* credit.

> Insofar as encrypted
> messages are concerned, TTY carried very nearly ALL of
> those. "DATA" modes now carry the overwhelming mass of
> messaging that goes on now...even in the United States Navy.

But so what? Amateur radio isn't the US military.

> >> NOT necessary in relaying tens or hundreds of thousands of
> >> messages a month worldwide.
> >
> >As if!
>
> No argument about it...teletypewriter mode was the overwhelming
> medium/mode of messaging a half century ago in the United States
> military.

How do you know? You weren't in the Navy - or the Air Force - or the
Marines - or the Coast Guard - or the US Merchant Marine.

Or amateur radio...

> >> Today the "need" for radiotelegraphy skill has atrophied down
> >> to some amateur radio hobbyists who cling to the myth that it
> >> is "necessary" for obtaining an amateur radio license.
> >
> >Spin, spin, spin....
>
> No "spin." That's just the way it is.

It's your spin, Len.

> >> Even
> >> then that myth is not universal nor does it represent any
> >> "consensus" among those that have obtained the "highest" CLASS

> >> amateur radio license. That's just the way it is...
> >
> >But you have no such license, yet you keep trying to force others to
> >change.
>
> What "force,"

Your attempts to get the rules changed, Len. You're not involved in
amateur
radio, but you want the rules changed for reasons you refuse to state.

At least the developer who got your local zoning changed was involved,
up-front and honest.

He was involved because he bought the 15 acre tract.

He was up-front and honest because he showed what he wanted to build
there, and
his motive for doing so (to make a profit).

> I am simply showing the TRUTH of the reality of communications
> modes in the REALITY of the radio world.

Not when it comes to amateur radio.

> The FCC gives you the OPTION of using any allocated mode, any
> amateur band.

That's right - because I'm qualified to operate an amateur radio
station,

You're not qualified to operate an amateur radio station, Len.

> One of those OPTIONAL modes is morse code on-off
> keying.

All authorized modes are OPTIONAL in amateur radio, Len.
No radio amateur has to use any specific mode.

Yet the testing for an amateur radio license requires
some knowledge of a wide variety of subjects - almost
all of which are optional.

If someone wants to legally operate an amateur radio
station on, say, 7020 kHz using Morse Code and
vacuum-tube equipment, that person will have to pass
tests that having nothing to do with 40 meters,
Morse Code or vacuum tubes.

> NO ONE is "taking that away from you" when the morse
> code test is removed from amateur licensing regulations.

Just as NO ONE took anything away from you that was rightfully
yours when the zoning was changed.

> You will continue to be FREE to use any OPTIONAL communications
> mode INSIDE your amateur frequency allocations.

Of course - because I'm qualified. You're not.

> The "force"
> that you imagine others try to put on you will remain, well,
> just imaginary.

Really?
>
> >You also haven't been a radio amateur at all.
>
> Neither has any staffer or commissioner at the FCC...and they
> can, not only tell YOU what to do in amateur radio, but they
> can also FORCE you to do things (or not do them) via the U.S.
> federal marshalls and federal court system.

You are not part of the FCC nor law enforcement, Len.

The fact is that none of the unlicensed FCC staffers or commissioners
is qualified to operate an amateur radio station. Just like the
President (aka Commander in Chief) is not qualified to operate
most military equipment (aircraft, ships, radios, etc.) but
nevertheless
is in command.

You're not in command, Len.

3496. some frogeries look like maybe tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 04:15:18 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b605d6@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129267

he use same headrs in places and slip himself up. I am veyr disaponted
in the bastard.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3497. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 04:47:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iaKdne66A4A_kCvenZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 129268

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3hfsf.10718$nm.2956@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Scattered around several other threads there have been several
> dialogs as to how many licenses the USA should have for
> amateur radio.
>
> The options suggested so far seem to be:
>
> (a) 1 License
> (b) 1 License plus a "lerner's license"
> (c) 2 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
> (d) 3 Licenses
> (e) 3 Licenses plus a "lerner's license"
>
> What I wonder about these is how the individual
> proponents of each would set the "difficulty
> level" of each in comparison to current Tech/Gen/Extra
> AND how they see privilege differences (in terms
> of power levels and/or band segments and modes)
> in multiple license options.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

You left out my concept. That is two licenses. These would be General and Extra (no "learner's permit" type of license). The difficulty levels would be comparable to today's General and Extra. Privileges would be the same as today's General and Extra. For General, that would mean blending the current Tech & General material to create a single test. My opinion is that test would need to be about 50 questions. The Extra could remain unchanged.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3498. A Bad Week For Leonard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 05:01:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1hotf.4510$R84.2080@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129269

My mail carrier delivered the January, 2006 issue of QST on December 24. It carries an interesting article "Secret Agent Hams: Remembering Camp X" by Gil McElroy VE3PKD. McElroy's piece mentions us that the camp was also the location of a high-powered radio station known as "Hydra" and the article states "Not surprisingly, hams had a central role in it all".

McElroy states that "Hydra was operated by former hams, recruited by Camp X administrators who went looking ofr them specifically, using prewar government listings to locate the best quality Amateur Radio operators. He goes on to name some of them and goes on to quote Lynn-Philip Hodgson, author of the book "Inside Camp X":

"...an amateur radio operator was an ideal candidate for handling clandestine radio activity of any description, be it interception or the actual transmitting of traffic. the radio amateur liked and understood his hobby, he was good at solving technical problems and keeping equipment running without seeking outside assistance. He was already capable of sending and receiving Morse Code efficiently, and needed only to be trained in the specialities of handling clandestine radio messages".

A number of photos are included in the article. The caption of one of them should prove galling to the factually challenged Leonard Anderson. It reads, "Bill Hardcastle VE3RY (right) reads the May 1942 issue of QST at Camp X while Bob Rowan consults 'The Radio Amateur's Handbook'."

Today's mail brought the January, 2006 copy of CQ. An article on the various voice modes mentions Fessenden's 1906 amplitude modulation feat. The letters section of the same issue features one sent by Steve Robeson K4YZ.

Happy New Year, Mr. Anderson.

Dave K8MN

3499. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 05:23:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tBotf.1699$Hl6.300@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129270

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Basic: 3525-3625 and 3900-4000
> Intermediate: 3525-3750 and 3850-4000
> Full: entire band
>

I missed where you explained why the bands needed to be divided by class. Is it more difficult to understand how to operate on 3524 than on 3526?

73, de Hans, K0HB

3500. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 05:59:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7amdnV1PoZFPgyveRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 129271

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:

[snip]

>
> What you're seeing is the classic "Law of Unintended Consequences".
> If FCC does what they propose, eliminating the code test will also
> eliminate any way for Technicians to get any HF privileges except
> by upgrade to General.
>

Perhaps it is not "Unintended". It may be precisely what the FCC wanted to do. It is a way of increasing the motivation to upgrade. In reading the NPRM, there is a distinct feeling, IMHO, that the FCC does NOT want people to stay at the introductory level.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3501. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 06:08:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Efptf.4520$R84.2242@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129272

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> there is a distinct feeling, IMHO, that the FCC does NOT want people to stay
> at the introductory level.
>

Well that's pretty obvious, isn't it, since they closed the introductory level
to new applicants at the last restructuring!

Which raises the next question --- who CARES what the >FCC< wants. They should
serve the wants of the people, not the other way around.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3502. "Vintage" On the Domestic Front!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 06:13:12 UTC
From: NewsGuy <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136009592.078129.209920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129273

Start the New Year off right with "vintage" episodes of Ham radio
operator LLoyd Davies' "On the Domestic Front!"

2004.06.03 - The Steve Holsten Interview
http://s37.yousendit.com/d.aspx?id=37UHCVP6ZW8BP1OUU1ULM4EAEN

2004.07.06 - Vonbluvens Msg Board
http://s37.yousendit.com/d.aspx?id=0G3YGZNDLOJWL1FSDQR9Z18Y8Z

2004.07.12 - Legalize Pot Debate; Von Disappears
http://s40.yousendit.com/d.aspx?id=1VWFMVLVT7FZU1HHI6C3F4EFBT

2004.11.05 - Voted for Bush
http://s37.yousendit.com/d.aspx?id=3BYC98DIC8RZR36G4RNE7O4YXP

Unknown date - LLoyd's Apology
http://s37.yousendit.com/d.aspx?id=14MIWE1NB380K05XN3T0AOYA6K

3503. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 06:23:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ftptf.4524$R84.2328@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129274

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote

> A Vietnamese proverb I include in my syllabus each semester says, "If you
> study you'll become what you desire; if you do not study you'll never
> become anything."

> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

I'll remember that for the next time I want to impress a Vienamese bimbo.

Meanwhile here's a proverb from Bokonon which I include in my lectures:

"Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before.
He is full of murderous resentment of people who
are ignorant without having come by their ignorance
the hard way."

>
> You sound like a socialist, Hans -- a believer in one and only one class
> in a society.
>

Quite the opposite, Jeffrey, I'm a staunch Libertarian, and I believe that the
only legitimate interest that government has in Amateur Radio licensing is to
determine if the applicant is qualified or not qualified, not to
social-engineer the Amateur Service into an arbitrary layer cake of
good/better/best operators.

72.5 <---- (when you don't care enough to give the very best),

de Hans, K0HB
--
Master Chief Petty Officer, US Navy
Philosophy Lecturer, University of RRAP System

3504. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 06:38:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9MSdnUm_c8T7tSveRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 129275

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9UTsf.11412$nm.8927@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> > Bill Sohl wrote:

[snip]

>> Perhaps. Yet anyone who could come up with a doctor's
>> note could get a medical waiver. Such notes were never
>> hard to get.
>
> But in the overall perspective waivers were used only by
> a relatively small percentage of new hams. In the few VE
> sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
> used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
> Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.
>

I only saw two cases of waivers being used. One was my ex-husband and I personally knew how severe his problem was. Naturally I was not a VE at those sessions. The other case was at a test session where I was taking my Extra exam. Someone did come in and present his waiver.

[snip]

>> Yep. FCC also reduced the written tests at the same time
>> and closed off three license classes to new issues.
>
> I presume you mean the FCC reduced the number
> of written tests as opposed to the overall
> difficulty of the test material since the syllabus for
> the now three remaining test elements did not change.
>

The syllabus for the Extra class license most certainly did change. The material that had formerly been on the Advanced license was rolled into the Extra exam. However, due to timing issues there was a very short window of time where anyone upgrading was taking the Extra exam that did not include that material as the question pool took a while to revise.

The syllabus for the Technician also changed although not as dramatically and again timing issues came into play so that there was a window where the exams had not yet been updated. But it was revised to cover the material that had been on the old Novice exam as well as including the Technician material.

The only syllabus that was unaffected was that of the General license.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3505. Re: License -- how about this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 07:17:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NOOdnZ4OU-HzrCveRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 129276

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:qcsar1dl77843ea8q8s92j5b5pdsc9ngq0@4ax.com...
> I've noticed that different hams tend to congregate in different parts
> of the spectrum, maybe because different parts of the spectrum have
> different characteristics requiring different skills. So why not just
> have one license with three "endorsements" based on spectrum use:
>
> -- MF & HF;
> -- VHF;
> -- UHF & up.
>
> .....or something along those lines?????
>

One license with "endorsement" is the same as having different licenses.
It's just a change in terminology.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3506. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 07:30:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OJ-dnX5fv-L-qSvenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 129277

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135988477.379426.314280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

[snip]

>
>
> Tech has been the defacto "entry level" since 2000.
>

Although the licensing structure was changed in 2000, the Tech license has been the defacto entry license for several years before that. I earned my original license in 1992. All the new licensees that I personally knew started at either Tech or Tech with code.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3507. Re: Annual Preditions for 2006 Show with Art Bell & George Noorey !! (FRI / SAT / SUN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 08:19:42 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rcfp2pitakkb9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129278
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.gene-scott,alt.slack,alt.tasteless,misc.invest.stocks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"M" <Misback@Mdotnet.net> wrote in message
news:bec0d$43b5f20d$d1cc79bc$20367@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
>
>
> http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/12/30.html
>
> 1) FRIDAY: 2006 Predictions I w/Art Bell
>
> Art Bell hosts the first of two shows featuring
> Predictions for 2006 as made by callers.
> He'll also review their predictions from last year.
>
> http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/12/31.html
>
> 2) SATURDAY: 2006 Predictions II w/Art Bell:
>
> Art Bell hosts his annual New Year's Eve Prediction
> show featuring callers' predictions for 2006 and a
> review of their predictions from last year.
>
> http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2006/01/01.html
>
> 3) SUNDAY: Predictions Special w/George Noory & 8 Guests
> Kicking off the New Year, George Noory presents
> a special 4-hour Predictions Show featuring a group
> of psychics and prognisticators, each appearing in
> a half-hour time slot.
>
> The line-up:
>
> Joseph Jacobs
> Dr. Louis Turi
> The Merlin Project
> Hans King
> Sean David Morton
> Gerald Celente
> Robert Camp
> Aaron Donahue
>
> URL Main: www.coasttocoastam.com

>

Predict this......how the hell does this have to do with rec.radio.cb?

3508. Re: Annual Preditions for 2006 Show with Art Bell & George Noorey !! (FRI / SAT / SUN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 08:27:45 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rcg83ej5j5a56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129279
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.gene-scott,alt.slack,alt.tasteless,misc.invest.stocks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mike RogeTrotters" <mt60094s@gmail.nosp.com> wrote in message
news:61605$43b60d4e$d1cc5bb5$21967@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Blash" <blash1@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:BFDB5131.228AB%blash1@comcast.net...
>> I wonder how many of this group of psychics and prognisticators(sic)
>> used their "gift" to pick a lottery winner......
>>
>
> One of them who says he's a 'remote viewer' claims that
> several of his protege's have picked several winning
> lottery no's. Of course when they are asked to come
> forward to substantiate the claims, the usual excuses
> of 'confidentiality' and other such is usually stated.
>
>

Fuckin crosspoters predict this, If you don't stop crossposting I will
personally make all of my posts to your group under a number of aliases till
hell freezes over.
My second prediction is you are all a bunch of livin in yo mamma's basement
playin D&D mother fuckers.
Third prediction is you won't know anything about me using what you
laughingly refer to as psychic abilities.

3509. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 09:56:15 UTC
From: Randy <NoSpam@WhoCares>
Message-ID: <dp5kejlpd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 129280

I absolutely agree with that.+

(if one agrees with this Oldster, all is good, otherwise you will spend a week as a cashier in the Wal-Mart checkout lane)

With just one "class" everyone is free to try any band, any mode, as they wish. Those that want to specialize in certain bands with specific modes can continue to do so.

(I think I'll try 11 meters, thank you)

The Commission is, and has been, quite free with OPTIONS open to most licensees. To paraphrase Gene Kranz' famous line during Apollo 13's near disaster, "Option is no failure!"

And may I say, "Age is no excuse."

So, Lennie, <Star Spangled Banner music> sully forth and do your best to address the varicose veins that make your legs appear as an Allied map of the Rhine River crossing of WW II. Those old legs must have jumped from an uncountable number of perfectly good aircraft...or were pushed from the backs of moving Deuce-and-a-Halfs to join the rest of the roadside detritus.

The latter is most likely how you served.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3510. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 10:07:20 UTC
From: Roger <Woger@Gleandale>
Message-ID: <dp5l3b$oh0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 129281

I think I love you, Dee.
I like women with strong opinions.
I like women who can out bench press 200 pounds with one hand.
I especially like women who squeeze my nuts into a corner.
Woger
AB8MQ

3511. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 12:51:29 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <Y8vtf.46453$ih5.3481@dukeread11>
Source record: 129282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:

> It seems to me that the FCC is taking it's sweet time sending the FINAL
> ORDER out on K1MAN. Why is that? perhaps the FCC is playing it cautious
> regarding K1MAN. I still have a lot questions regarding the NAL and I'm
> sure the US Court of Appeal would also have some question about it.
>
<SNIP>

Give it a rest. I don't care if they are taking their time or not. the fact is, K1MAN is a known problem and its about damned time someone did anything about him. so what if the FCC is taking their time (all the better to cross those t's and dot those i's with).

Lead, follow or get the hell out of the way! you are blocking the road!
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

3512. some fogeries defianetely tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 13:25:15 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b686bb@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129283

You silence prove it Todd. Why you heping stevi lies?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3513. Re: A Bad Week For Leonard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 13:41:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1136036461.758557.22530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129284

Dave Heil wrote:

> An article on the
> various voice modes mentions Fessenden's 1906 amplitude modulation feat.

Make that "feats", Dave, 'cause RF did a number of them.

The one most remembered is his Christmas Eve 1906 "broadcast" of voice
and music, which was received by both land stations and ships at sea.

That broadcast was repeated on New Year's Eve.100 years ago tonight!

But RF also had 2 way transatlantic voice communication working even
earlier.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3514. Balloon Launch This Afternoon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 13:42:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1136036570.894398.207180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129285

>From the reflectors:

"The University of Tennessee ARC is planning a balloon
launch on Saturday afternoon at about 1:30 PM, and they could use
YOUR help. They're going for the distance record with this launch,
and the balloon will include a CW beacon on HF, which will be
relaying telemetry and location information. Feedback from monitoring
stations will provide them with the data they need to achieve their
record. The beacon will be on 14.325 MHz (+ or - 2kHz)and will be
transmitting AA4UT/B every 15 seconds, with the full telemetry data
given every 4 minutes (stuff like altitude, latitude and longitude,
temperature, battery voltage, etc.)"

"If you copy their signals, please QSL to www.utarc.org They'd really
appreciate it."

(I presume the time is 1:30 PM EST)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3515. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 13:52:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1136037145.431029.138620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129286

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > Basic: 3525-3625 and 3900-4000
> > Intermediate: 3525-3750 and 3850-4000
> > Full: entire band

> I missed where you explained why the bands needed to be divided by class.

It's to serve as an upgrade incentive. Not everyone aspires to run high
power.

> Is it
> more difficult to understand how to operate on 3524 than on 3526?

Of course not.

Neither is it more difficult to understand how to operate a 100 watt
transmitter
than a 50 watt transmitter. But under your system, a Class B licensee
could
not legally operate a 100 watt transmitter.

RF exposure, you say? The RF exposure hazard (in the high gain
direction)
from a 50 watt UHF transmitter with a high gain antenna is far more
than that
from a 100 watt HF transmitter with a low-gain antenna at the same
distance.

Yet under your system, a Class B licensee could legally operate a 50
watt
UHF transmitter and high-gain antenna, but not not legally operate a
100 watt transmitter with low gain antenna.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3516. Re: some fogeries defianetely tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 13:58:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136037533.857477.197320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129287

proves what moron, that your a fake??

Todd N9OGL

3517. Re: A Bad Week For Leonard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 14:35:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1136039716.779685.304990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129288

Dave Heil wrote:
> My mail carrier delivered the January, 2006 issue of QST on December 24.
> It carries an interesting article "Secret Agent Hams: Remembering Camp
> X" by Gil McElroy VE3PKD.

Oh NO, Dave!

You didn't!

You mentioned....REALITY!

> The letters section of the same issue features one sent by Steve
> Robeson K4YZ.

Funny how certain members of RRAP show up in QST and CQ on a
fairly frequent occassion discussing "policy" issues while others just
spam RRAP.

Sheeeesh.

73 and Happy New Year.

Steve, K4YZ

3518. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 14:39:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136039946.135678.83250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129289

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > > Basic: 3525-3625 and 3900-4000
> > > Intermediate: 3525-3750 and 3850-4000
> > > Full: entire band
>
> > I missed where you explained why the bands needed to be divided by class.
>
> It's to serve as an upgrade incentive. Not everyone aspires to run high
> power.

Oh, another upgrade for upgrade's sake. And a completely arbitrary and
unnecessary division within hamdom.

> > Is it
> > more difficult to understand how to operate on 3524 than on 3526?
>
> Of course not.

A completely arbitrary and unnecessary division within hamdom.

> Neither is it more difficult to understand how to operate a 100 watt
> transmitter
> than a 50 watt transmitter.

It is on 10 meters. The law requires an EA at 100 watts, but not at
50.

But under your system, a Class B licensee
> could
> not legally operate a 100 watt transmitter.

See above.

> RF exposure, you say? The RF exposure hazard (in the high gain
> direction)
> from a 50 watt UHF transmitter with a high gain antenna is far more
> than that
> from a 100 watt HF transmitter with a low-gain antenna at the same
> distance.
>
> Yet under your system, a Class B licensee could legally operate a 50
> watt
> UHF transmitter and high-gain antenna, but not not legally operate a
> 100 watt transmitter with low gain antenna.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

That's why my proposal says "erp."

3519. Re: some fogeries defianetely tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 14:40:54 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <99ab87017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 129290

On 31 Dec 2005 05:58:53 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> proves what moron, that your a fake??
>
> Todd N9OGL

Following up to yourself again, Todd? You know you're not fooling
anyone.

3520. Feeble Five Fumblings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 14:48:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1136040534.128061.268160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129293

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> If a person needs status that might come from holding an amateur
> license, they will have to do so through deeds, not a government
> supported merit madge system.

There you guys go...Dissing Madge again...What did SHE ever do to
YOU...?!?!

I think Madge has a LOT of merits, most of all that she HAS an
Amateur license.

Lennie doesn't. That means Madge's stock is worth more in an
Amateur Radio forum.

Steve, K4YZ

3521. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 14:57:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1136041027.921145.83270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129294

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > NOGL wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > >>Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
> > > > >
> > > > I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.
> > > >
> > > N0GL almost certainyl not write
> > >
> > Oh?
> >
> > How do YOU know?
>
> I asid almost certianly

You "asid"...?!?!

> > Perhaps it was written by the same person who kept insisting that
> > "KY4Z" was psoting ehre when HE wasn't!
>
> you were posting at same I was by the tieme on your post

So...?!?!

> are you Now claiming that you are not posting?

Not posting WHAT? Anonymous posts? Most certainly.

I post under my callsign or my given name.

Unlike you.

> > > but who did
> > >
> > > beased theposting action I know k4yz and Frank with no call were online
> > > posting to RRAP
> >
> > So are you.
>
> yes I was
> >

> > > mostly case then k4yz was foreging some more on RRAP
> >
> > Most likely that your paranoia is showing, Markie.
>
> paranoi means looking at the facts and suggesting that the most logical
> explanation is true?

There was no "most logical" to your posts, Markie.

You jumped to a false conclusion.

> > > you said Todd was respoible for what his blogs inspire, therefore
> > > (unles you were lying) you believe you are responible for what YOUR
> > > pots inspire
> > >
> > > to date we have these lying flase sex post from Todd (and other forged
> > > in my name) all your fault
> > >
> > > and we have Mr Slit inspried to make threats against M Morgan of MQT
> > > MI, all your fault by your words
> >
> > Not of MY words, Markie...of YOURS.
>
> nope yours

Nope.

Yours.

> you claim in post about Todd reposted elsewhere recently that Todd is
> responible for the "threats " you received even if he did not send
> becuase he inspried them therfore you are also rsponible for the
> actions you inspire

The actions made reference to were directly posted via Todd's old
blog.

Ergo Todd WAS responsible.

> > > and Me trying to get through your think skull with use your daughters
> > > name in a maner you both grant me leave to do so and claim to find
> > > offensive, all by your words your fault
> >
> > Your use of my daughter's name will exact a toll on YOU, Markie...
>
> only in that I find disageable to do

Obviously not.

You continue to do so.

That pushed your "Creep Factor" right off the charts....Not that
it had far to go...

> > Or stop now. You have a choice. Use The Force and make the right
> > one. The other's going to be expensive.
>
> more threats

Nope.

A suggestion and a promise.

Steve, K4YZ

3522. Re: some fogeries defianetely tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 14:57:37 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b69c61@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129291

On 31 Dec 2005 14:40:54 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On 31 Dec 2005 05:58:53 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > proves what moron, that your a fake??
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Following up to yourself again, Todd? You know you're not fooling
> anyone.

you can see that to loyed? it is reponsmle from his heders, the bastard.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3523. Re: happy kwanzaa!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 14:59:42 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b69cde@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 18:15:04 -0500, Scott Evil <k95kdk@dlld.com> wrote:
>
> <konstans@hotmail.con> wrote in message news:43b5aa05@news.usenetzone.com...
> >
> > I liteing my mishumaa saba candels in proper way when puting stuf on mkeka
> > mat at night and rejoyceing.
> >
> > is good.
> > _____________________________________
>
> Wuz de nite befo Crimmus. And all ower da hood. ereybody wuz' sleepin dey
> wuz sleepin' good.

more Tood forges. get losst.

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3524. Re: A Bad Week For Leonard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 15:05:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136041511.629516.305990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129295

Dave Heil wrote:
> My mail carrier delivered the January, 2006 issue of QST on December 24.

Isn't that the annual "antique" issue?

> It carries an interesting article "Secret Agent Hams: Remembering Camp
> X" by Gil McElroy VE3PKD. McElroy's piece mentions us that the camp was
> also the location of a high-powered radio station known as "Hydra" and
> the article states "Not surprisingly, hams had a central role in it all".

An article in QST states "Not surprisingly, hams had a central role in
it all."

Why am I not suprised?

> McElroy states that "Hydra was operated by former hams, recruited by
> Camp X administrators who went looking ofr them specifically, using
> prewar government listings to locate the best quality Amateur Radio
> operators.

"Prewar government listings?" I thought Bush was the only President
guilty of such profiling?

"Best quality Amateur Radio operators?" The A-1 Operators was a
government list?

Yikes! That must have been in an ERA when the Democrats trusted
"thier" government. Oh, wait, wasn't that when the Dems had full
control of the government?

> He goes on to name some of them and goes on to quote
> Lynn-Philip Hodgson, author of the book "Inside Camp X":
>
> "...an amateur radio operator was an ideal candidate for handling
> clandestine radio activity of any description, be it interception or the
> actual transmitting of traffic. the radio amateur liked and understood
> his hobby, he was good at solving technical problems and keeping
> equipment running without seeking outside assistance. He was already
> capable of sending and receiving Morse Code efficiently, and needed only
> to be trained in the specialities of handling clandestine radio messages".

Secret Agent Man!

> A number of photos are included in the article. The caption of one of
> them should prove galling to the factually challenged Leonard Anderson.
> It reads, "Bill Hardcastle VE3RY (right) reads the May 1942 issue of QST
> at Camp X while Bob Rowan consults 'The Radio Amateur's Handbook'."

Why wouldn't an amateur be reading an amateur publication? Do you thnk QST would have included the photo where he's reading "Life?"

Would an amateur centered publication be posting the names of the professional engineers associated with the project? Maybe that wouldn't be self-serving?

> Today's mail brought the January, 2006 copy of CQ. An article on the
> various voice modes mentions Fessenden's 1906 amplitude modulation feat.
> The letters section of the same issue features one sent by Steve
> Robeson K4YZ.
>
> Happy New Year, Mr. Anderson.
>
> Dave K8MN

Steve will do anything to get hisself into the spotlight, even forge postings on RRAP. You would have thought he would have left all that attention seeking behind when he left the orphanage, but its just a part of who he is, now.

3525. hey tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 15:13:37 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <vu7dr11ntqhm11icqa0245kl7i285i51cu@4ax.com>
Source record: 129296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

you sohuld sgin up for tis

http://www.phoenixradio.org/index.php?inc=app

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3526. Re: A Bad Week For Leonard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 15:26:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136042814.603644.139200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129297

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > My mail carrier delivered the January, 2006 issue of QST on December 24.
> > It carries an interesting article "Secret Agent Hams: Remembering Camp
> > X" by Gil McElroy VE3PKD.
>
> Oh NO, Dave!
>
> You didn't!
>
> You mentioned....REALITY!
>
> > The letters section of the same issue features one sent by Steve
> > Robeson K4YZ.
>
> Funny how certain members of RRAP show up in QST and CQ on a
> fairly frequent occassion discussing "policy" issues while others just
> spam RRAP.
>
> Sheeeesh.
>
> 73 and Happy New Year.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

But it's not even a little bit funny when one RRAP member forges,
"Raped an old friend."

Why didn't you include that in your letter to CQ Magazine?

3527. Re: Feeble Five Fumblings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 15:53:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136044433.781073.281700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129298

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > If a person needs status that might come from holding an amateur
> > license, they will have to do so through deeds, not a government
> > supported merit madge system.
>
> There you guys go...Dissing Madge again...What did SHE ever do to
> YOU...?!?!

Wasn't Madge the dish soap lady?

> I think Madge has a LOT of merits, most of all that she HAS an
> Amateur license.

Didn't know that. What was her call?

> Lennie doesn't. That means Madge's stock is worth more in an
> Amateur Radio forum.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

What symbol does Madge's stock trade under?

Poor Steve, always trying to redirect a discussion of amateur radio
policy.

3528. Re: Balloon Launch This Afternoon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:00:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136044833.793840.199230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129299

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >From the reflectors:
>
> "The University of Tennessee ARC is planning a balloon
> launch on Saturday afternoon at about 1:30 PM, and they could use
> YOUR help. They're going for the distance record with this launch,

They better put someone on the West coast to watch for it coming
around. During the atmosphere expirements of IGY 57-58, nearly every
balloon circumnavigated the globe at least once.

> and the balloon will include a CW beacon on HF, which will be
> relaying telemetry and location information. Feedback from monitoring
> stations will provide them with the data they need to achieve their
> record. The beacon will be on 14.325 MHz (+ or - 2kHz)and will be
> transmitting AA4UT/B every 15 seconds, with the full telemetry data
> given every 4 minutes (stuff like altitude, latitude and longitude,
> temperature, battery voltage, etc.)"
>
> "If you copy their signals, please QSL to www.utarc.org They'd really
> appreciate it."
>
> (I presume the time is 1:30 PM EST)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

How is Mike's project coming along?

3529. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:01:09 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9Xxtf.2684$M%4.2629@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129300

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1135988477.379426.314280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:

(SNIP)

>> >> FCC also
>> >> left 13wpm and 20wpm as requirements for many years
>> >> with the lack of change/elimination of said 13/20 wpm
>> >> elements supposedly waiting for a "consensus" in the
>> >> amateur ranks.
>> >
>> > Perhaps. Yet anyone who could come up with a doctor's
>> > note could get a medical waiver. Such notes were never
>> > hard to get.
>>
>> But in the overall perspective waivers were used only by
>> a relatively small percentage of new hams.
>
> I've heard figures as high as 10%.

Perhaps, but that can't be verified easily.

>> In the few VE
>> sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
>> used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
>> Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.
>
> Who can say what constitutes "abuse" if the person got
> a doctor's note?

Exactly. In the end, it was the doctor's, if anyone, that
would have to be assessed as signing off on a waiver
that shouldn't have been issued.

>> >> In spite of the lack of any consensus on
>> >> code the FCC did, in fact, end 13/20wpm test elements
>> >> in April 2000 based on arguments and the FCC's own
>> >> conclusions at that time.
>> >
>> > Yep. FCC also reduced the written tests at the same time
>> > and closed off three license classes to new issues.

>>
>> I presume you mean the FCC reduced the number
>> of written tests as opposed to the overall
>> difficulty of the test material since the syllabus for
>> the now three remaining test elements did not change.
>
> What FCC did was to reduce both the number of tests and
> the total number of questions for each class of license.

Neither of which makes testing easier as long as
the total syllabus of questions remains the same.
If a student is given a list of 100 spelling words
to learn, it is neither easier or harder for the student
to pass if the spelling test has 20 words or 10 words.
In the end, the student still has to learn all the
words on the list.

>> (SNIP)
>>
>> > End result is less admin work for FCC. No more medical
>> > waivers, only three written elements instead of five, and
>> > eventual elimination of some rules.
>>
>> That eventual elimination, unless
>> changes are made by the FCC, could
>> well be upwards of 50+ years assuming there are
>> some Advanced hams who are in their 20s.
>
> Only true if those hams continue to renew and never
> ever upgrade.

Do you see any mass effort to upgrade by currently
licensed Novice or Advanced license holders? In fact,
there seems to be more than a handful of Advanced
that say they'll never upgrade so they can be ID'd
as having passed 13wpm morse.

>> >> Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
>> >> in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
>> >> end up being revisited and changed at a later review.
>> >
>> > Agreed - but at the same time, getting them to do so
>> > is an uphill battle. Particularly when such an change will
>> > result in more work for FCC.
>>
>> On the issue of a learners license I see no additional
>> work for FCC if there are only one or two other
>> licenses as some (e.g. Hans) have proposed.
>
> The big admin issue with new license classes is that the
> database has to be re-done.

In today's environment that shouldn't be a big deal at all.
The entire database could probably be imported into an
Excel file and given to some college computer science
majors and modified in a day or so. This stuff just isn't rocket
science anymore.

(SNIP)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3530. Re: some fogeries defianetely tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:03:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136045005.750464.212120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129301

I DON'T KNOW WHAT HELL YOUR TALKING ABOUT SLAPPY

TODD N9OGL

3531. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:04:32 UTC
From: "MattD.." <mattd145@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dp6a6g$1oqp$1@mattsnetwork.co.uk>
Source record: 129302
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

After replacing Walt Davidson with a small shell script on Saturday 31 Dec 2005 06:54, the following appeared on stdout:

>>Yes I do! When I did it 20 years ago, it was called CLAIT. Computer
>>Literacy And Information Technology. Now it's been "bloated" like the
>>software that comes with it to include all sorts of unnecessary and
>>irrelevant crap!
>
> I rest my case.

Indeed. I suspect you spend a vast portion of that course studying IT law and asset management rather than nuts and bolts, Walt. Am I right?
--
Radio glossary #44
Rotator: Someone who sits for hours twiddling the VFO knob but never transmitting.

3532. Re: hey tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:05:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136045132.524173.217220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Thanks, I bookmarked it, I'll have to check it out.

Todd N9OGL

3533. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:06:39 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <j0ytf.2687$M%4.132@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129304

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:9MSdnUm_c8T7tSveRVn-gA@comcast.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:9UTsf.11412$nm.8927@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> Yep. FCC also reduced the written tests at the same time
>>> and closed off three license classes to new issues.
>>
>> I presume you mean the FCC reduced the number
>> of written tests as opposed to the overall
>> difficulty of the test material since the syllabus for
>> the now three remaining test elements did not change.
>
> The syllabus for the Extra class license most certainly did change. The
> material that had formerly been on the Advanced license was rolled into
> the Extra exam. However, due to timing issues there was a very short
> window of time where anyone upgrading was taking the Extra exam that did
> not include that material as the question pool took a while to revise.
>
> The syllabus for the Technician also changed although not as dramatically
> and again timing issues came into play so that there was a window where
> the exams had not yet been updated. But it was revised to cover the
> material that had been on the old Novice exam as well as including the
> Technician material.

Agree as to the specific syllabus for Tech and Extra (both increased
in overall material). My point was that the total material covered
did not become less than it was before.

> The only syllabus that was unaffected was that of the General license.

Agreed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3534. Re: some frogeries look like maybe tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:07:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136045231.728787.68200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129305

WHAT THE HELL ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT???

TODD N9OGL

3535. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:07:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136045246.916679.225920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129306

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > What you're seeing is the classic "Law of Unintended Consequences".
> > If FCC does what they propose, eliminating the code test will also
> > eliminate any way for Technicians to get any HF privileges except
> > by upgrade to General.
> >
>
> Perhaps it is not "Unintended". It may be precisely what the FCC wanted to
> do.

It was a screwy idea anyway. Old Tech w/o HF, Old Tech with HF, Tech
Plus, New Tech w/o HF, New Tech (no Plus) with HF.

Good grief!

Forget incentives. License people to be "Amateur Radio Operator" and
be done with it.

3536. Re: Feeble Five Fumblings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:08:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1136045333.148302.73790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129307

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Poor Steve, always trying to redirect a discussion of amateur radio
> policy.

What "discussion"...?!?!?

There was you and Lennie tossing the same tired, feeble
anti-Amateur Radio rhetoric back and forth....Witness the "merit badge"
tripe...Over and over and over and.....At least I tried to get some
laughs from it...Rather than laughing AT you...

Steve, K4YZ

3537. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:11:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136045496.684136.83090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129308

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135988477.379426.314280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> (SNIP)
>
> >> >> FCC also
> >> >> left 13wpm and 20wpm as requirements for many years
> >> >> with the lack of change/elimination of said 13/20 wpm
> >> >> elements supposedly waiting for a "consensus" in the
> >> >> amateur ranks.
> >> >
> >> > Perhaps. Yet anyone who could come up with a doctor's
> >> > note could get a medical waiver. Such notes were never
> >> > hard to get.
> >>
> >> But in the overall perspective waivers were used only by
> >> a relatively small percentage of new hams.
> >
> > I've heard figures as high as 10%.
>
> Perhaps, but that can't be verified easily.

Ditto the number of Conditionals that "got waivers in other ways." ;^)
```

3538. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:15:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <98ytf.1822$HI6.97@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129309

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1136037145.431029.138620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

>> I missed where you explained why the bands needed to be divided by class.

> It's to serve as an upgrade incentive. Not everyone aspires to run high
> power.

When I upgraded from Conditional to Extra (Advanced was closed) in 1963 I didn't need any spiffy new freqs or higher power to motivate me. Sounds like giving lollipops to children if they'll first eat their spinach.

>> Is it more difficult to understand how to operate on 3524 than on 3526?

> Of course not.

Good answer!

73, de Hans, K0HB
Grand Exalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

3539. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:20:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136046001.284020.111910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129310

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:9UTsf.11412$nm.8927@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
> >> Perhaps. Yet anyone who could come up with a doctor's
> >> note could get a medical waiver. Such notes were never
> >> hard to get.
> >
> > But in the overall perspective waivers were used only by
> > a relatively small percentage of new hams. In the few VE
> > sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
> > used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
> > Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.
> >
>
> I only saw two cases of waivers being used. One was my ex-husband and I
> personally knew how severe his problem was. Naturally I was not a VE at
> those sessions.

I don't see a problem with that, but I still consider a pass/fail exam
for just one operating mode to be insane.

> The other case was at a test session where I was taking my
> Extra exam. Someone did come in and present his waiver.
>
> [snip]

Sometimes Extra VE's talk about the folks who have waivers, even the
ones they recommended the process to.

3540. Re: more prrof that stveie lies when he blames mark for fring up sexuality..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:23:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136046212.557977.8490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129311

Yes, you are gay! You e-mailed me and asked if you could give me a
blowjob!
Lloyd

no I didn't when did I ever send you a letter?? Either your a Liar or
it's a fake, I would guess the first one is the right answer.

Todd N9OGL

3541. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:31:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136046676.788962.86030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129312

>The actions made reference to were directly posted via Todd's old
>blog. Ergo Todd WAS responsible.

BUTSHIT Steve, I have no control over who uses my blog as far as the
email system on it goes, so you full of it steve..

Todd N9OGL

3542. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:31:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136046709.780937.87810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129313

KØHB wrote:
> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
>
> > A Vietnamese proverb I include in my syllabus each semester says, "If you
> > study you'll become what you desire; if you do not study you'll never
> > become anything."
>
> > Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> > Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System
>
> I'll remember that for the next time I want to impress a Vienamese bimbo.

Vietnamese proverbs, huh? I'm going to guess that Jeff wants his
"students" to think he's a vietnam vet.

> Meanwhile here's a proverb from Bokonon which I include in my lectures:
>
> "Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
> learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before.
> He is full of murderous resentment of people who
> are ignorant without having come by their ignorance
> the hard way."

Pokomon sounds very wise.

> > You sound like a socialist, Hans -- a believer in one and only one class
> > in a society.
> >
>
> Quite the opposite, Jeffrey, I'm a staunch Libertarian, and I believe that the
> only legitimate interest that government has in Amateur Radio licensing is to
> determine if the applicant is qualified or not qualified,

and to enforce...

not to
> social-engineer the Amateur Service into an arbitrary layer cake of
> good/better/best operators.

But, but, but if the Government couldn't determine who the very best
operators were, then QST would have no "antique radio" article to write
about in the January issue of QST.

3543. Re: Feeble Five Fumblings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 16:38:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136047135.475258.60970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129314

K4YZ wrote:

> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

Steve, I see you snipped your lies and my questions.

What was Madge's callsign?

What symbol does Madge's stock trade under?

> > Poor Steve, always trying to redirect a discussion of amateur radio
> > policy.
>
> What "discussion"...?!?!?
>
> There was you and Lennie tossing the same tired,

tired?

> feeble

feeble?

> anti-Amateur Radio rhetoric back and forth....

anti-Amateur Radio?

> Witness the "merit badge"
> tripe...Over and over and over and.........

That's right. "Merit Badge system."

> At least I tried to get some
> laughs from it...Rather than laughing AT you...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Now you claim to have a problem laughing AT people?

You're lying again.

3544. Re: Time for the _REAL_ Radio Ham to make a stand?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 17:08:46 UTC
From: pointyhead <ftscum@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yWytf.20483$mn1.12221@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 129315
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Peter wrote:

> You should hear VK3MO on 20 metres ssb, S9+ at my location, when he's
> running one watt... as every _REAL_ amateur should know the answer is
> in the antenna not the RF output.

Are yoiu having a laugh Peter? If so you're the only one laughing. M3CVN uses a G5RV - maybe that's the secret?!

> OK maybe lots of M3s can't resist pushing their newly bought gear up
> to 100W or or so., Are you telling me you always keep to the 400W at
> the antenna limit?

Yes.

> If you were on 160 metres in the 10W DC input days did you never go
> above that? Dozens of G2s and G3s used to run in excess of 100W
> output on 160m and some I know ran over 1KW. Some of those read this
> newsgroup... bet their faces are red :-)

I've only had 2 qso's on topband in my life and never broken the terms of my licence. At least the G2's and G3's /earned/ the right to be on the bands, they had nothing given to them.

> Nothing ever changes...

No it doesn't, there'll always be some apologist for mediocrity and the dumbing down of amateur radio to the level of six year olds and retards with the mental age of 6.

--
Proud Holder of Old Nick's Deputy First Class Badge

3545. Re: some fogeries defianetely tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 17:27:41 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b6bf8d@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129316

On 31 Dec 2005 08:03:25 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I DON'T KNOW WHAT HELL YOUR TALKING ABOUT SLAPPY
>
>
> TODD N9OGL

you try revunge stevi pretend me but not. it was all a scam you
was doing, you bastard.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3546. Re: some frogeries look like maybe tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 17:28:49 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b6bfd1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129317

On 31 Dec 2005 08:07:11 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> WHAT THE HELL ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT???
>
> TODD N9OGL

you no dam well, you bastard.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3547. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 17:39:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qcgdr1ldv40fahkakc3s3725o6spm8us4c@4ax.com>
Source record: 129318

On 31 Dec 2005 05:52:25 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1136037145.431029.138620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> > Basic: 3525-3625 and 3900-4000
>> > Intermediate: 3525-3750 and 3850-4000
>> > Full: entire band
>
>> I missed where you explained why the bands needed to be divided by class.
>
>It's to serve as an upgrade incentive. Not everyone aspires to run high
>power.

So what you're -really- talking about are low-power and a high-power
license classes?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3548. Re: some fogeries defianetely tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 17:41:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136050896.253955.41520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129319

I think you need to go back to smoking your crack....

Todd N9OGL

3549. Re: some frogeries look like maybe tood's work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 17:43:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136050982.371364.283150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129320

GO BACK TO SMOKING YOUR CRACK, CRACKHEAD

3550. Re: License -- how about this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 17:46:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bngdr15b6u0b56dl3i8mo7s4qhjjp0suuo@4ax.com>
Source record: 129321

On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 02:17:52 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
<NOOdnZ4OU-HzrCveRVn-vQ@comcast.com>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:qcsar1dl77843ea8q8s92j5b5pdsc9ngq0@4ax.com...
>> I've noticed that different hams tend to congregate in different parts
>> of the spectrum, maybe because different parts of the spectrum have
>> different characteristics requiring different skills. So why not just
>> have one license with three "endorsements" based on spectrum use:
>>
>> -- MF & HF;
>> -- VHF;
>> -- UHF & up.
>>
>> ......or something along those lines?????
>>
>
>One license with "endorsement" is the same as having different licenses.
>It's just a change in terminology.

Which is different than the current system...... how?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3551. Re: A Bad Week For Leonard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 17:53:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <m4hdr153q5e7tqvs9vvqi1rdhfjhg4pg22@4ax.com>
Source record: 129322

On 31 Dec 2005 06:35:16 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1136039716.779685.304990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>

>Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ

Who's your lawyer, Dud?

From http://www.llrx.com/features/cyberstalking.htm;

"There are some ways to help stop cyberstalking: self-regulatory,
ignore the stalking, and self-protection. Self-regulatory and
self-protection practices can include turning off the computer,
changing your email address a few times a year, or dropping out of
discussion groups."

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3552. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 18:06:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136052417.057476.156800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129324

Randy wrote:
>
> (I think I'll try 11 meters, thank you)

Bye and Good Luck!

3553. Re: License -- how about this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 18:15:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iYadnbDAPOxMVyveRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 129325

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:bngdr15b6u0b56dl3i8mo7s4qhjjp0suuo@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 02:17:52 -0500, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> <NOOdnZ4OU-HzrCveRVn-vQ@comcast.com>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:qcsar1dl77843ea8q8s92j5b5pdsc9ngq0@4ax.com...
>>> I've noticed that different hams tend to congregate in different parts
>>> of the spectrum, maybe because different parts of the spectrum have
>>> different characteristics requiring different skills. So why not just
>>> have one license with three "endorsements" based on spectrum use:
>>>
>>> -- MF & HF;
>>> -- VHF;
>>> -- UHF & up.
>>>
>>> .....or something along those lines?????
>>>
>>
>>One license with "endorsement" is the same as having different licenses.
>>It's just a change in terminology.
>
>
> Which is different than the current system..... how?
>
>

That was my point. It's not really significantly different than the current system. It's just renaming and a slight reshuffling.

VHF+UHF&up endorsement = current no-code Tech license
VHF+UHF&up+HF&MF = current Extra license (I'm assuming that you mean full band privileges so that would eliminate the Generals).

There's no sense in splitting VHF/UHF into separate endorsements as most of the people that I know in this area operate both 2m (i.e. VHF) and 440mHz (i.e. UHF).

Calling them "endorsements" has no benefits over calling them different licenses.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3554. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 18:17:11 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b6cb27@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 05:51:29 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > It seems to me that the FCC is taking it's sweet time sending the FINAL
> > ORDER out on K1MAN. Why is that? perhaps the FCC is playing it cautious
> > regarding K1MAN. I still have a lot questions regarding the NAL and I'm
> > sure the US Court of Appeal would also have some question about it.
> >
> <SNIP>
>
> Give it a rest. I don't care if they are taking their time or not. the fact
> is, K1MAN is a known problem and its about damned time someone did anything
> about him. so what if the FCC is taking their time (all the better to cross
> those t's and dot those i's with).
>
> Lead, follow or get the hell out of the way! you are blocking the road!

Todd isnt big enoufh to blok anybody even when he do make those forges, the
bastard. you not improtant enough tot ell Tood what to do either. why
you act like bully idiot stevi?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3555. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 18:40:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1136054411.045058.246270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129326

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1135988477.379426.314280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:

> (SNIP)
>
> >> >> FCC also
> >> >> left 13wpm and 20wpm as requirements for many years
> >> >> with the lack of change/elimination of said 13/20 wpm
> >> >> elements supposedly waiting for a "consensus" in the
> >> >> amateur ranks.
> >> >
> >> > Perhaps. Yet anyone who could come up with a doctor's
> >> > note could get a medical waiver. Such notes were never
> >> > hard to get.
> >>
> >> But in the overall perspective waivers were used only by
> >> a relatively small percentage of new hams.
> >
> > I've heard figures as high as 10%.
>
> Perhaps, but that can't be verified easily.

The FCC database does indicate if someone used a medical waiver.
You have to know the codes but they're pretty easy to figure out.

Ancient history now anyway, since medical waivers haven't existed
for almost six years now.

> >> In the few VE
> >> sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
> >> used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
> >> Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.
> >
> > Who can say what constitutes "abuse" if the person got
> > a doctor's note?
>
> Exactly. In the end, it was the doctor's, if anyone, that
> would have to be assessed as signing off on a waiver
> that shouldn't have been issued.

> >> >> In spite of the lack of any consensus on
> >> >> code the FCC did, in fact, end 13/20wpm test elements
> >> >> in April 2000 based on arguments and the FCC's own
> >> >> conclusions at that time.
> >> >
> >> > Yep. FCC also reduced the written tests at the same time
> >> > and closed off three license classes to new issues.
> >>
> >> I presume you mean the FCC reduced the number
> >> of written tests as opposed to the overall
> >> difficulty of the test material since the syllabus for
> >> the now three remaining test elements did not change.
> >
> > What FCC did was to reduce both the number of tests and
> > the total number of questions for each class of license.
>
> Neither of which makes testing easier as long as
> the total syllabus of questions remains the same.

I disagree!

> If a student is given a list of 100 spelling words
> to learn, it is neither easier or harder for the student
> to pass if the spelling test has 20 words or 10 words.
> In the end, the student still has to learn all the
> words on the list.

No, the student simply has to learn enough words to get
a passing grade.

And the number of tests was reduced as well, so the chances
of squeaking by improved!

> >> (SNIP)
> >>
> >> > End result is less admin work for FCC. No more medical
> >> > waivers, only three written elements instead of five, and
> >> > eventual elimination of some rules.
> >>
> >> That eventual elimination, unless
> >> changes are made by the FCC, could
> >> well be upwards of 50+ years assuming there are
> >> some Advanced hams who are in their 20s.
> >
> > Only true if those hams continue to renew and never
> > ever upgrade.
>
> Do you see any mass effort to upgrade by currently
> licensed Novice or Advanced license holders?

Nope. Novice total is down to about half what it was before
restructuring,
Advanced is down to about three-quarters. Part of that is clearly

attrition, and some is due to upgrading.

> In fact,
> there seems to be more than a handful of Advanced
> that say they'll never upgrade so they can be ID'd
> as having passed 13wpm morse.

Which simply proves their ignorance!

The simple possession of an Advanced is not
proof of 13 wpm code testing, because:

- For a decade or so, an Advanced could be had
with 5 wpm code and a medical waiver

- For a limited time after the 2000 restructuring,
an Advanced could be had by getting a 5 wpm General
and a CSCE for the Advanced written.

> >> >> Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
> >> >> in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
> >> >> end up being revisited and changed at a later review.

> >> > Agreed - but at the same time, getting them to do so
> >> > is an uphill battle. Particularly when such an change will
> >> > result in more work for FCC.

> >> On the issue of a learners license I see no additional
> >> work for FCC if there are only one or two other
> >> licenses as some (e.g. Hans) have proposed.
> >
> > The big admin issue with new license classes is that the
> > database has to be re-done.
>
> In today's environment that shouldn't be a big deal at all.

I know, but FCC sure seems to make a big deal about it.

For example, why in the world did FCC decide to renew
Tech Pluses as Techs?

Why doesn't FCC renew licenses when a modification
(address/name change, upgrade, etc.) is done? (see
below for possible reason).

> The entire database could probably be imported into an
> Excel file and given to some college computer science
> majors and modified in a day or so. This stuff just isn't rocket
> science anymore.

The problem is that since the database is official Government
information, it can't just be handed out that way. And with
over 700,000 entries in the amateur radio database alone,
(including grace period licenses), checking for mistakes

could be a major headache.

The main point in trying to understand the FCC mindset is
to help craft proposals that have a better-than-snowball's-chance
of actually being implemented.

There was a time when FCC would renew a license with
a modification. This helped me out back in the 1970s when
I moved a few times (school, job, etc.). Each move got me
a new 5 year term on the license.

The FCC went to 10 year license terms back in 1983-84 to
reduce paperwork.

But then FCC changed the rules so that renewal can only
be done if the license is within 90 days of expiring, or if
a vanity call is issued.

The vanity call thing is to avoid pro-rating the fee, IIRC.

But why not renew a ham's license whenever the amateur
moves? Doing so would reduce the number of interactions
each ham would have with FCC unless they didn't change
anything for 10 years.

One possible reason is enforcement. An enforcement tool
that FCC has used recently is to not routinely renew the license of
an amateur who is at odds with the Commission. (K1MAN?)
The license renewal is "under review" for as long as FCC deems
suitable. Obviously it helps not to be handing out renewals all
the time for that tool to be effective.

Another reason may be to keep the database more accurate.

73 es HNY de Jim, N2EY

3556. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 18:46:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1136054777.061977.224910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129327

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 31 Dec 2005 05:52:25 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> <1136037145.431029.138620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >KØHB wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> >> > Basic: 3525-3625 and 3900-4000
> >> > Intermediate: 3525-3750 and 3850-4000
> >> > Full: entire band
> >
> >> I missed where you explained why the bands needed to be divided by class.
> >
> >It's to serve as an upgrade incentive. Not everyone aspires to run high
> >power.
>
>
> So what you're -really- talking about are low-power and a high-power
> license classes?
>
No.

If you look at K0HB's license-structure idea, the main (in fact the
only difference in operating privileges between his Class A and Class
B licenses is the power allowed.

Class A gets full 1500 W

Class B gets 50 W

Hans' idea is that by limiting Class B to 50 W, the RF exposure
questions can be eliminated,
or at least greatly reduced. But the fact is that 50 W can still be an
RF exposure hazard on some frequencies (UHF in particular) if a high
gain antenna is used.

Deciding that the power level of 50 W is acceptable for Class B, but
100 W is not, is just
a matter of judgement. It's the same kind of judgement as saying that
3500-3525 kHz is not allowed for all license classes.

3557. Re: i did it agian (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 18:59:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <l6ldr1lsg7o4quq3404nhibfnsarbso9au@4ax.com>
Source record: 129329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 09:40:23 -0500, an_old_friend
<kb9rqz@hasmat.org > wrote:

>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>

my bog is bugerred up snice my heat tap cocked up.
I was gob-smacked wehn I seen the bloddy grooty
mess the lou may not that bfore I piss up agian at
teh ponce.

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3558. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:00:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KzAtf.1882$Hl6.951@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129328

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote

```
>
> So what you're -really- talking about are low-power and a high-power
> license classes?
>

Same like now, only more so.......

Three power levels....

Three frequency sets....

Longer clunkier calls for lower grades....

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
```

3559. morestevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:19:08 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <s3mdr1l8p4e39tnq2g5qu3la3qi07epp45@4ax.com>
Source record: 129330

On 31 Dec 2005 06:57:08 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > NOGL wrote:
>> > > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > > >>Then straighten Brian out. He thinks you mean "Queer".
>> > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > I just did but you had to bitch and moan about soemething didn't you
>> > > >
>> > > > I like make Brain moan. I love him long time.
>> > >
>> > > N0GL almost certainyl not write
>> >
>> > Oh?
>> >
>> > How do YOU know?
>>
>> I asid almost certianly
>
> You "asid"...?!?!
>
>> > Perhaps it was written by the same person who kept insisting that
>> > "KY4Z" was psoting ehre when HE wasn't!
>>
>> you were posting at same I was by the tieme on your post
>
> So...?!?!

simple lgoic eledue the asshole of RRAP
>
>> are you Now claiming that you are not posting?
>
> Not posting WHAT? Anonymous posts? Most certainly.

more eveasion

>
> I post under my callsign or my given name.

so you say say but you are a proven liar

>
> Unlike you.

worng again stevei\
>
>> > > but who did
>> > >
>> > > beased theposting action I know k4yz and Frank with no call were online
>> > > posting to RRAP
>> >
>> > So are you.
>>
>> yes I was
>> >
>> > > mostly case then k4yz was foreging some more on RRAP
>> >
>> > Most likely that your paranoia is showing, Markie.
>>
>> paranoi means looking at the facts and suggesting that the most logical
>> explanation is true?
>
> There was no "most logical" to your posts, Markie.

more stevie lies and evasion
>
> You jumped to a false conclusion.

psooibly which has othing to do with wether it was alogical jump
you need to learn engliah

>
>> > > you said Todd was respoible for what his blogs inspire, therefore
>> > > (unles you were lying) you believe you are responible for what YOUR
>> > > pots inspire
>> > >
>> > > to date we have these lying flase sex post from Todd (and other forged
>> > > in my name) all your fault
>> > >
>> > > and we have Mr Slit inspried to make threats against M Morgan of MQT
>> > > MI, all your fault by your words
>> >
>> > Not of MY words, Markie...of YOURS.
>>
>> nope yours
>
> Nope.

you deny sying Todd was respoinble for threats made against even is he
did not type the threat?
>
> Yours.
>
>> you claim in post about Todd reposted elsewhere recently that Todd is

>> responible for the "threats " you received even if he did not send
>> becuase he inspried them therfore you are also rsponible for the
>> actions you inspire
>
> The actions made reference to were directly posted via Todd's old
>blog.

yes so?
>
> Ergo Todd WAS responsible.

that isn't what you said before before
>
>> > > and Me trying to get through your think skull with use your daughters
>> > > name in a maner you both grant me leave to do so and claim to find
>> > > offensive, all by your words your fault
>> >
>> > Your use of my daughter's name will exact a toll on YOU, Markie...
>>
>> only in that I find disageable to do
>
> Obviously not.

more lies stevie

>
> You continue to do so.

yet more lies from you

>
> That pushed your "Creep Factor" right off the charts....Not that
>it had far to go...

what creep factor

I have never threatened your life you have threatened mine

I have never lied about you

you lir sbout me all the time
>
>> > Or stop now. You have a choice. Use The Force and make the right
>> > one. The other's going to be expensive.
>>
>> more threats
>
> Nope.

yes it is a threats asshole

>
> A suggestion and a promise.

it is demand made under threat of action

wether is is a legal does not alter t being a threat

>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3560. moreof the stevie inspried bs that he a famous for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:19:45 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <0emdr15qvpofpkksinil2i3ge2o9hc5gk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 129331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 09:40:23 -0500, an_old_friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org >
wrote:

>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3561. more stevie inpsired forrgery the handywaork of the assshole fof RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:20:34 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <vemdr19kp9e3cv4jbojp170ktdgi1cb40n@4ax.com>
Source record: 129332

```
>From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur
>Subject: Re: i did it agian (1/1)
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 13:59:09 -0500
>Message-ID: <l6ldr1lsg7o4quq3404nhibfnsarbso9au@4ax.com>
>References: <naqiq1hrtulh7fkae7jqpepl17rpj4u1pa@4ax.com>
>X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 2.0/32.652
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
>Lines: 20
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:44120 uk.radio.amateur:106826
>
>On Wed, 21 Dec 2005 09:40:23 -0500, an_old_friend
><kb9rqz@hasmat.org > wrote:
>
>>_________________________________________
>>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>>More than 140,000 groups
>>Unlimited download
>>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>>

_________________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3562. abe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:22:12 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <0imdr19t45e4f751s19pkktiil7ivp915l@4ax.com>
Source record: 129334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

```
Abraham Lincoln was a good old man
He hopped out the window with his dick in his hand
Said 'excuse me lady, I'm doing my duty
So pull down your pants and give me some boody'

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3563. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:25:47 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7jmdr1pmqh62u7h1kl19fia14qro4r4rnu@4ax.com>
Source record: 129333

On 30 Dec 2005 16:21:17 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
cut

>> > But FCC said no to
>> > all of them involving more privs for Techs, new license classes,
>> > automatic upgrades, and much more.
>>
>> Yet nothing in the FCC's rejection even comes close to stating
>> their decision is absolute/final and irrevocable based on the
>> princioples that you ascribe to the FCC.
>
>Of course not! No regulatory agency is ever going to say that
>any decision is final and/or irrevocable.

indeed meaning most of what you have been going aboiut is meaningless
cut

>> In the few VE
>> sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
>> used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
>> Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.
>
>Who can say what constitutes "abuse" if the person got
>a doctor's note?

accorsong the words of Stevie he is and by extention all VE's

Steveve has publicaly claimed that he refused to process a (inone case
that he admits to) a wiaver. likely there are other example s of VE's
doing this

it would be ilgeal but it has been done
>
cu

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3564. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:28:13 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <5smdr15tpdd81u1rip8sue13m7sen38feq@4ax.com>
Source record: 129335

On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 06:08:36 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>>
>> there is a distinct feeling, IMHO, that the FCC does NOT want people to stay
>> at the introductory level.
>>
>
>
>Well that's pretty obvious, isn't it, since they closed the introductory level
>to new applicants at the last restructuring!
>
>Which raises the next question --- who CARES what the >FCC< wants. They should
>serve the wants of the people, not the other way around.

hear they certainlt should serve the interest of the People in this
case what serves the interest of all the people since the RF belong to
us all includingthe example of Len aderson
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3565. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:29:18 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6vmdr11bkq1bupgt3acrr0dildk04kdd5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 129336

On 31 Dec 2005 08:07:27 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>
>> [snip]
>> >>
>> >> >
>> > What you're seeing is the classic "Law of Unintended Consequences".
>> > If FCC does what they propose, eliminating the code test will also
>> > eliminate any way for Technicians to get any HF privileges except
>> > by upgrade to General.
>> >
>>
>> Perhaps it is not "Unintended". It may be precisely what the FCC wanted to
>> do.
>
>It was a screwy idea anyway. Old Tech w/o HF, Old Tech with HF, Tech
>Plus, New Tech w/o HF, New Tech (no Plus) with HF.
>
>Good grief!
>
>Forget incentives. License people to be "Amateur Radio Operator" and
>be done with it.

this is suposed to a license arrangement not some carrot and stick S&M
sesion

one class for all

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3566. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:31:28 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <22ndr1tvba2o4tqtdik6r34hgen3anjlms@4ax.com>
Source record: 129337

On 31 Dec 2005 01:52:05 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>On Fri, 30 Dec 2005 16:22:16 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>>Your proposal perpetuates the caste system currently in place which stratifies
>>and divides hams into arbitrary ranks. That mentality absolutely needs to be
>>destroyed.
>
>A Vietnamese proverb I include in my syllabus each semester says, "If you
>study you'll become what you desire; if you do not study you'll never
>become anything." That exactly describes what separates any particular
>segment of a population from another, including hams. There is no "caste
>system" in amateur radio, for a caste is defined being born into a
>particular social class and never being able to move from that class.
>
>What separates an Extra from an Tech is not a "caste system" but rather
>who had the motivation to study versus who didn't.

a simple lie one of the core lies told by on the side of the ProCode
test issue

in may case it borders on being a personal slander but that is all to
comon too
>
>You sound like a socialist, Hans -- a believer in one and only one class
>in a society.
>
>No 73 for socialists,
>Jeff KH6O

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3567. more of stevie hate filled handywork of foreries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:33:37 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <n7ndr1hmb6mdb0m6jo05j024chr34asra5@4ax.com>
Source record: 129339

```
>From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur
>Subject: Re: more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP
>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 13:11:07 -0500
>Message-ID: <uttar19oappk63i3tbsi3n4sd9ukvddev7@4ax.com>
>X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 2.0/32.652
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
>Lines: 19
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:44029 uk.radio.amateur:106525
>
>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> I don't do any forging on here, If I have something to say I'll say it
>> to people faces, I'm not a pussy to hide my identity. I know there a
>> asshole on here pretending to be me, Todd and NOGL, Both are fakes but
>> hey they must really like me to want to be me.
>>
>I wnat to be inside you tood
>
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> N9OGL BIG HAM RADIO BOARD
>> http://12.156.123.39/hamradio/index.php
>>
>hav anys gay aminanitin on thier yet?
>_______________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3568. Nominations Sought For 2006 Dayton Hamvention® Awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:34:37 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136057677.430245.109330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.space

Dayton Hamvention® 2006
PO Box 964
Dayton Ohio 45401-0964
General Information (937) 276-6934
On-Line: http://www.hamvention.org

Press Release
(December 28, 2005)

Nominations Sought For 2006 Dayton Hamvention® Awards

The Dayton Hamvention ® now is accepting nominations for its 2006 awards for Radio Amateur of the Year, Special Achievement, and Technical Excellence. The deadline for nominations is February 20, 2006.

All amateur radio operators are eligible. The winners will be recognized at the 2006 Hamvention ®, which runs May 19-21.

· The Radio Amateur of the Year Award goes to an individual who has made a long-term, outstanding commitment to the advancement of Amateur Radio.

· The Technical Excellence Award is for the person who has made an outstanding technical advancement in the field of Amateur Radio.

· The Special Achievement Award honors someone who has made an outstanding contribution to the advancement of Amateur Radio, usually someone who has spearheaded a significant project.

The Hamvention® Awards Committee makes the decision on all awards based in part upon the information it receives--and not on the number of nominations submitted.

Documentation that informs the Awards Committee of a candidate's accomplishments may include magazine articles, newsletters, newspaper clippings, and even videos. (Note: These materials become the property of Hamvention and will not be returned.)

Nominations are due by February 20, 2006. Additional details on these awards and a nomination form are available on the Dayton Hamvention® Web site <http://www.hamvention.org/nominate.htm>. Nominations also are accepted via US mail to Dayton Hamvention® Awards, PO Box 964, Dayton, OH 45401.

The Dayton Hamvention® is the world's largest gathering of amateur radio operators. It is expected to bring more than 25,000 of the worlds

radio amateurs to the Dayton area next May.

For more information please send e-mail to: media@hamvention.org

3569. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:36:38 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <h9ndr1lelbhtd37fir27q4jeo5ksnskhia@4ax.com>
Source record: 129340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 30 Dec 2005 19:30:05 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>Don't hold your breath waiting for fcc to react. They only take on
>>people they know dont have the resources to fight back.
>
>That's the truth....
>
>Todd N9OGL

not quite allow me to correct you

they takeon those they THINK don't have the reasourses or that they
CAN bluff in folding

which is how the latest K1MAN flap started at least according to rumor

tthe rumor like this the FCC had decided to let sleeping dog lie in
the but someone did not get the word (and issued the NAL) based on his
assement of K1MAN resources and willingness to fight

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3570. more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:37:42 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <efndr1h3057bgs53q6egfhriqv09grs9ic@4ax.com>
Source record: 129341

```
>From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur
>Subject: Re: more Mularkie from the asshole master of RRAP
>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 13:11:07 -0500
>Message-ID: <uttar19oappk63i3tbsi3n4sd9ukvddev7@4ax.com>
>X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 2.0/32.652
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
>Lines: 19
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:44029 uk.radio.amateur:106525
>
>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> I don't do any forging on here, If I have something to say I'll say it
>> to people faces, I'm not a pussy to hide my identity. I know there a
>> asshole on here pretending to be me, Todd and NOGL, Both are fakes but
>> hey they must really like me to want to be me.
>>
>I wnat to be inside you tood
>
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> N9OGL BIG HAM RADIO BOARD
>> http://12.156.123.39/hamradio/index.php
>>
>hav anys gay aminanitin on thier yet?
>_______________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3571. still more forgeries from the handywork of the ASShole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:38:32 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1hndr1papgctm19nktmrkrql6romttse9p@4ax.com>
Source record: 129342

```
>From: konstans@hotmail.con (konstans@hotmail.con)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: amasing the the value some peopel and trying to descridit someone
>References: <LV2tf.4000$nu6.2710@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
<dp2e84$g7b$1@domitilla.aioe.org> <1135926735.290963.114350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1135961623.253479.91850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1135962135.598467.184730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
>Message-ID: <43b59ece$1@news.usenetzone.com>
>Date: 31 Dec 2005 04:55:42 +0800
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
>Lines: 21
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!konstans
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:44036
>
>
>On 30 Dec 2005 09:02:15 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> N0VFP wrote:
>> > Die of your AIDS, Markie.
>>
>> such fear and terror
>>
>> realy wiseman or lloyd or stevie or whoever you are you can be helped
>> for fears and terror
>>
>> happy hannuka
>
>a impostur like you wouldent know that I celibrate kwanzaa stevi.
>
>happy kwanzaa
>_______________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3572. Re: A Bad Week For Leonard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:41:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136058108.140384.144390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129343

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > My mail carrier delivered the January, 2006 issue of QST on December 24.
> > It carries an interesting article "Secret Agent Hams: Remembering Camp
> > X" by Gil McElroy VE3PKD.
>
> Oh NO, Dave!
>
> You didn't!
>
> You mentioned....REALITY!

yes Stevei reality a concept you are in contactwith even as DX
>
> > The letters section of the same issue features one sent by Steve
> > Robeson K4YZ.
>
> Funny how certain members of RRAP show up in QST and CQ on a
> fairly frequent occassion discussing "policy" issues while others just
> spam RRAP.

maye becuase RRAP is fiarer furm less baisied

We might inquire of Carl on the "Fairness" of the ARRL
>
> Sheeeesh.
>
> 73 and Happy New Year.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3573. amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:43:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136058186.078198.139120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129344

N9OGL wrote:
> GO BACK TO SMOKING YOUR CRACK, CRACKHEAD

amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for
the 2 of us Todd

3574. amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:44:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136058286.451241.7150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129345

N9OGL wrote:
> I think you need to go back to smoking your crack....
>
> Todd N9OGL

I don't think so I suspect it more likely that the dreck is the result
of soking crack than asign they need to do so some more

3575. Re: happy kwanzaa!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:46:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136058388.534744.3190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

konstans@hotmail.con wrote:
> I liteing my mishumaa saba candels in proper way when puting stuf on mkeka
> mat at night and rejoyceing.
>
> is good.
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ah the forger is even brave enough to have his work archived

Otoh Happy Kwanzaa to anyone into that holiday

3576. more malarkie for the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:49:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136058587.849964.65250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129347

K4YZ wrote:Re: Feeble Five Fumblings

always retasking existing thread to carry your hate
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > If a person needs status that might come from holding an amateur
> > license, they will have to do so through deeds, not a government
> > supported merit madge system.
>
> There you guys go...Dissing Madge again...What did SHE ever do to
> YOU...?!?!

more assholerambling

take your meds

the one from the "section 8" you appeartly received
>
> I think Madge has a LOT of merits, most of all that she HAS an
> Amateur license.
>
> Lennie doesn't. That means Madge's stock is worth more in an
> Amateur Radio forum.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3577. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:52:41 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136058761.682368.75080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN is a GOD of the tw-way. He will win and be declared the leader of
all free radio in the world. No power on earth can stop K1MAN.

N9OGL wrote:
> It seems to me that the FCC is taking it's sweet time sending the FINAL
> ORDER out on K1MAN. Why is that? perhaps the FCC is playing it cautious
> regarding K1MAN. I still have a lot questions regarding the NAL and I'm
> sure the US Court of Appeal would also have some question about it.
>
> 1. pecuniary interest
>
> One of the things that K1MAN was fined by the FCC for was Pecuniary
> Interest. K1MAN was transmitting the address to his website (which has
> commercial goods for Sale) over the radio. The fact is the ARRL also
> has good for sale on their website and transmits the address of their
> website over the radio as well. So how is it that one group is allowed
> to do it and the other isn't?
>
> 2. Broadcasting
>
> Another thing the FCC had fined K1MAN for was "broadcasting" although
> in the NAL the FCC didn't know what the fined for that should be set
> at. The question raised here is was the program he was running
> "directed to the general public" or "directed to amateurs"? If was
> directed to the public then it is a broadcast and if it was the other
> way it could fall within the scope of definition of "information
> bulletin". Amateur's don't believe that information bulletin should be
> opinionated yet there is no "official rulling" from the FCC that states
> that oher wise. The easies way to determine if a program is a broadcast
> or not is to determine if it was directed to the general public or
> directed to the amateur radio service and NOT by determining if the
> program is opinionate or not.
>
> I am not really for nor aganist K1MAN, however, I would question a lot
> of the FCC on some of this stuff.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> Amateur Radio Operator

3578. Re: A Bad Week For Leonard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:56:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136058988.407344.24770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129352

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 31 Dec 2005 06:35:16 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1136039716.779685.304990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
>
> >Steve "Yellow Dog" Robeson, K4YZ
>
> Who's your lawyer, Dud?

Steve has a lawyer?

That'll be news to Mark.

All Mark wants is an apology and Steve's promise not to bring up a person's sexuality on RRAP.

All Steve has to do is come through.

Instead he's going to drag his name through the mudd on RRAP and in the Michigan courts.

3579. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 19:59:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136059140.849435.33030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129353

What really get's me is that this imposter claims I forging post, has
yet has to prove it

Todd N9OGL

3580. Re: more prrof that stveie lies when he blames mark for fring up sexuality..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:01:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136059289.761759.217610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129354

N9OGL wrote:
> Yes, you are gay! You e-mailed me and asked if you could give me a
> blowjob!
> Lloyd
>
> no I didn't when did I ever send you a letter?? Either your a Liar or
> it's a fake, I would guess the first one is the right answer.

sorry Todd you are wrong he is liar AND a fake and a bad one at that
>
> Todd N9OGL

3581. more dam stevi bs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:01:53 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b6e3b1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 14:36:38 -0500, konstans@hotmail.com <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3582. such terror the forgers fear at the sight of our names Todd , amazing the power they give us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:02:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136059199.876832.215180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129355

konstans@hotmail.con wrote:
> On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 14:33:37 -0500, konstans@hotmail.com <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3583. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:02:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136059343.560592.57860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129356

Yeah, they came I'm forging post yet have not proven it. Reason why, I haven't been forging post and they are full of shit.

Todd N9OGL

3584. no, that was tood hate forgery again, the bastard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:03:46 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b6e422@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129349

On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 14:33:37 -0500, konstans@hotmail.com <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3585. Re: more malarkie for the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:03:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136059439.494434.62860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129357

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:Re: Feeble Five Fumblings
>
> always retasking existing thread to carry your hate

Profile AND trademark.

3586. still more Tood forgeries from the handywork of the ASShole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:06:05 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.con
Message-ID: <43b6e4ad@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129351

On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 14:38:32 -0500, konstans@hotmail.com <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

> >On 30 Dec 2005 09:02:15 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> N0VFP wrote:
> >> > Die of your AIDS, Markie.
> >>
> >> such fear and terror
> >>
> >> realy wiseman or lloyd or stevie or whoever you are you can be helped
> >> for fears and terror
> >>
> >> happy hannuka
> >>
> >a impostur like you wouldent know that I celibrate kwanzaa stevi.
> >>
> >happy kwanzaa

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3587. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:10:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136059842.801766.74160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129358

konstans@hotmail.com wrote:
> On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 06:08:36 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> there is a distinct feeling, IMHO, that the FCC does NOT want people to stay
> >> at the introductory level.
> >>
> >
> >
> >Well that's pretty obvious, isn't it, since they closed the introductory level
> >to new applicants at the last restructuring!
> >
> >Which raises the next question --- who CARES what the >FCC< wants. They should
> >serve the wants of the people, not the other way around.
>
> hear they certainlt should serve the interest of the People in this
> case what serves the interest of all the people since the RF belong to
> us all includingthe example of Len aderson

Ham Radio belongs to the people who benefit from our emergency planning
and our emergency exercises. They probably don't even know who they
are. Yet...

3588. Re: more prrof that stveie lies when he blames mark for fring up sexuality..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:10:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136059858.906020.75000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129359

He also a control freak, and need helps for his problems.

Todd N9OGL

3589. Re: more malarkie for the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:12:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136059972.225635.92320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129360

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:Re: Feeble Five Fumblings
> >
> > always retasking existing thread to carry your hate
>
> Profile AND trademark.

indeed that was only real fear when stevie was threatening to sue me

that the law on trademarks omight screwed up enough to make vulernable
or that stevie had found some other way in the increasing distroted
legal system might leave vulernable to him

3590. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:14:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136060041.255180.247210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129361

N9OGL wrote:
> What really get's me is that this imposter claims I forging post, has
> yet has to prove it
>
> Todd N9OGL

Sir I feel your pain, I have endured Steve since 1998 and he has not
improved with age

3591. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:16:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136060192.889108.93950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129363

N9OGL wrote:
> What really get's me is that this imposter claims I forging post, has
> yet has to prove it
>
> Todd N9OGL

Sir I feel your pain, I have endured Steve since 1998 and he has not
improved with age

proof is unneeded by steve and his fellow travelers indeed proving
anyting defeat their own point that is to spread hate and try to tar
their foes with there own missdeeds

it is slander with catch that the victum can't proove something very
important , monitary damages, meaning legal action falls apart, and Law
enforcement is busy eatuing donuts ans such to be beothered protecting
the citizen

3592. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:16:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.isdhbmo.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 129374

On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 06:08:36 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

>Which raises the next question --- who CARES what the >FCC< wants.

I care what the FCC wants. Whether I think that they are on the
right track or not is not the issue.

We the people engage professionals to do a job, in this case, be the
"Highway Patrol of the Airwaves". We frustrate that by second-guessing
them at every turn, telling them that we know better, and thereby not
letting them do the job that we hired them for.

If the bottom line is that the folks we engage do not do a
professional job, throw then out and get folks who will. This
presumes that you have better professional qualifications than they
do.

As an employer you know that scenario very well.

>They should serve the wants of the people, not the other way around.

No, they should provide for the NEEDS of the people. Otherwise,
it's like letting the kids live on candy bars and soda rather than
health-giving food.

Happy New Year to y'all.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3593. Re: more prrof that stveie lies when he blames mark for fring up sexuality..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:18:35 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <cppdr110uju3f52l53u4hmntljtsckmgg3@4ax.com>
Source record: 129364

On 31 Dec 2005 12:10:58 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>He also a control freak, and need helps for his problems.
>
>Todd N9OGL

and sincesteve works in hospital I am sure he insurance to pay for it

but of course he can't use it since someone might find out, and then
people would have proof aboout him and literly I don't think he could
live with that (that is the knowledge that his coworkers might hear
through hositpal grapevine would likely drive to him suicide)

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3594. more proof that no act iis to low for stavei and his fellow travelers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:19:56 UTC
From: konstans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9updr1hiaqik1m4ptrcj65dikqc4hd1k2e@4ax.com>
Source record: 129365

```
>From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur
>Subject: abe
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 14:22:12 -0500
>Message-ID: <0imdr19t45e4f751s19pkktiil7ivp915l@4ax.com>
>X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 2.0/32.652
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary server contains
140,000+ groups
>Lines: 11
>Path: news.usenetzone.com!not-for-mail
>Xref: newserver1 rec.radio.amateur.policy:44125 uk.radio.amateur:106829
>
>Abraham Lincoln was a good old man
>He hopped out the window with his dick in his hand
>Said 'excuse me lady, I'm doing my duty
>So pull down your pants and give me some boody'
>
>
>_______________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>More than 140,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

_______________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3595. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:23:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136060597.967499.140280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129366

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> konstans@hotmail.com wrote:
> > On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 06:08:36 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >> there is a distinct feeling, IMHO, that the FCC does NOT want people to stay
> > > >> at the introductory level.
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Well that's pretty obvious, isn't it, since they closed the introductory level
> > > >to new applicants at the last restructuring!
> > > >
> > > >Which raises the next question --- who CARES what the >FCC< wants. They should
> > > >serve the wants of the people, not the other way around.
> > >
> > > hear they certainlt should serve the interest of the People in this
> > > case what serves the interest of all the people since the RF belong to
> > > us all includingthe example of Len aderson
> >
> > Ham Radio belongs to the people who benefit from our emergency planning
> > and our emergency exercises. They probably don't even know who they
> > are. Yet...

absolutely len is merely one of those people there are billions of
other world wide but certain people would keep this resource hostage to
their own egos and need to have some paper allowing the say "I am
better than you"

3596. Re: still more Tood forgeries from the handywork of the ASShole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:25:27 UTC
From: Tdaugg@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b6e937@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129362

On 1 Jan 2006 04:06:05 +0800, konstans@hotmail.con <konstans@hotmail.con> wrote:
>
> On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 14:38:32 -0500, konstans@hotmail.com <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > >On 30 Dec 2005 09:02:15 -0800, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> N0VFP wrote:
> > >> > Die of your AIDS, Markie.
> > >>
> > >> such fear and terror
> > >>
> > >> realy wiseman or lloyd or stevie or whoever you are you can be helped
> > >> for fears and terror
> > >>
> > >> happy hannuka
> > > >
> > > >a impostur like you wouldent know that I celibrate kwanzaa stevi.
> > > >
> > > >happy kwanzaa

You cant prove a thing dickhead.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3597. nuge nudge say mo nore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:33:01 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <hjqdr1h5r8cg5r45ucu9eetr14l27pgoam@4ax.com>
Source record: 129369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> GO BACK TO SMOKING YOUR CRACK, CRACKHEAD
>
i lkie crack butt not teh smocking tipe know taht
im in to solid waste

wink wnik mudge nuge say mo nor

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3598. Worst e-bay of all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:34:28 UTC
From: rogguer-rogguer <scisorhands3@barberspolecrooners.us>
Message-ID: <cc242$43b6f974$d1cc5764$2759@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 129367
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

This has got to be the worst e-bay'er of all time bar none.

Far worse than even a certain 'seller of pre-owned
ham radio gear and/or vintage radios' that we all know of.

http://feedback.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userid=alisher71&ite
ms=25&page=1&frompage=-1&iid=-1&de=off

E-Bay should also be held accountable for facilitating this scammer.
Is all that e-Bay interested in is keeping their Seller's happy and
the Fee Revenus rolling in un-hindered ?? Seems so !

3599. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:36:42 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <fsqdr1logt82bj9stn7a23tcagqmi39qhb@4ax.com>
Source record: 129370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> What really get's me is that this imposter claims I forging post, has
> yet has to prove it
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
toodie toodie, pudding and pie.
Kissed the girls and made them cry.
When the boys came out to play,
He kissed them too, cause he was gay.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3600. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:37:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136061446.819704.20750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129368

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1135988477.379426.314280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > >> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > (SNIP)
> > >
> > >> >> FCC also
> > >> >> left 13wpm and 20wpm as requirements for many years
> > >> >> with the lack of change/elimination of said 13/20 wpm
> > >> >> elements supposedly waiting for a "consensus" in the
> > >> >> amateur ranks.
> > >> >
> > >> > Perhaps. Yet anyone who could come up with a doctor's
> > >> > note could get a medical waiver. Such notes were never
> > >> > hard to get.
> > >>
> > >> But in the overall perspective waivers were used only by
> > >> a relatively small percentage of new hams.
> > >
> > > I've heard figures as high as 10%.
> >
> > Perhaps, but that can't be verified easily.
>
> The FCC database does indicate if someone used a medical waiver.
> You have to know the codes but they're pretty easy to figure out.

Secret codes like on the DD-214?

> Ancient history now anyway, since medical waivers haven't existed
> for almost six years now.

Not ancient.

> > >> In the few VE
> > >> sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
> > >> used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
> > >> Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.
> > >
> > > Who can say what constitutes "abuse" if the person got

> > > a doctor's note?
> >
> > Exactly. In the end, it was the doctor's, if anyone, that
> > would have to be assessed as signing off on a waiver
> > that shouldn't have been issued.
>
> > >> >> In spite of the lack of any consensus on
> > >> >> code the FCC did, in fact, end 13/20wpm test elements
> > >> >> in April 2000 based on arguments and the FCC's own
> > >> >> conclusions at that time.
> > >> >
> > >> > Yep. FCC also reduced the written tests at the same time
> > >> > and closed off three license classes to new issues.
> > >>
> > >> I presume you mean the FCC reduced the number
> > >> of written tests as opposed to the overall
> > >> difficulty of the test material since the syllabus for
> > >> the now three remaining test elements did not change.
> > >
> > > What FCC did was to reduce both the number of tests and
> > > the total number of questions for each class of license.
> >
> > Neither of which makes testing easier as long as
> > the total syllabus of questions remains the same.
>
> I disagree!

Of course. You are one of the most disagreeing amateurs on RRAP.

> > If a student is given a list of 100 spelling words
> > to learn, it is neither easier or harder for the student
> > to pass if the spelling test has 20 words or 10 words.
> > In the end, the student still has to learn all the
> > words on the list.
>
> No, the student simply has to learn enough words to get
> a passing grade.
>
> And the number of tests was reduced as well, so the chances
> of squeaking by improved!

Nope. Wrong. False. The questions are random within each group.
Study of only 70% of eack does not guarantee a passing single exam, let
alone fewer exams.

> > >> (SNIP)
> > >>
> > >> > End result is less admin work for FCC. No more medical
> > >> > waivers, only three written elements instead of five, and
> > >> > eventual elimination of some rules.
> > >>

> > >> That eventual elimination, unless
> > >> changes are made by the FCC, could
> > >> well be upwards of 50+ years assuming there are
> > >> some Advanced hams who are in their 20s.
> > >
> > > Only true if those hams continue to renew and never
> > > ever upgrade.
> >
> > Do you see any mass effort to upgrade by currently
> > licensed Novice or Advanced license holders?
>
> Nope. Novice total is down to about half what it was before
> restructuring,
> Advanced is down to about three-quarters. Part of that is clearly
> attrition, and some is due to upgrading.

Some may be staying in that "rank" because of the reasons given by your
genious freind, Bruce/WA8ULX. But then he went and upgraded, busting
his own rant.

> > In fact,
> > there seems to be more than a handful of Advanced
> > that say they'll never upgrade so they can be ID'd
> > as having passed 13wpm morse.
>
> Which simply proves their ignorance!

Bruce has many, many other ways to prove his ignorance.

> The simple possession of an Advanced is not
> proof of 13 wpm code testing, because:
>
> - For a decade or so, an Advanced could be had
> with 5 wpm code and a medical waiver
>
> - For a limited time after the 2000 restructuring,
> an Advanced could be had by getting a 5 wpm General
> and a CSCE for the Advanced written.

That would be an -unexpired- CSCE for Advanced. My CSCE for G/A/E
expired a long time before that.

One of my VE's walked out when, after having passed both exams, I said
that I had no intention of taking a code exam. He didn't want his name
on any of my docs.

> > >> >> Bottom line, every statement or opinion offered by the FCC
> > >> >> in any NPRM and/or R&O is not cast in stone and can
> > >> >> end up being revisited and changed at a later review.
> >
> > >> > Agreed - but at the same time, getting them to do so
> > >> > is an uphill battle. Particularly when such an change will

> > >> > result in more work for FCC.
>
> > >> On the issue of a learners license I see no additional
> > >> work for FCC if there are only one or two other
> > >> licenses as some (e.g. Hans) have proposed.
> > >
> > > The big admin issue with new license classes is that the
> > > database has to be re-done.
> >
> > In today's environment that shouldn't be a big deal at all.
>
> I know, but FCC sure seems to make a big deal about it.

But don't they have a "code" for waiver hams?

> For example, why in the world did FCC decide to renew
> Tech Pluses as Techs?

Lack of leadership?

> Why doesn't FCC renew licenses when a modification
> (address/name change, upgrade, etc.) is done? (see
> below for possible reason).

Lack of leadership?

> > The entire database could probably be imported into an
> > Excel file and given to some college computer science
> > majors and modified in a day or so. This stuff just isn't rocket
> > science anymore.

"Rocket Surgery" Thanks, Frank.

> The problem is that since the database is official Government
> information, it can't just be handed out that way. And with
> over 700,000 entries in the amateur radio database alone,
> (including grace period licenses), checking for mistakes
> could be a major headache.

I'm thinking about 3 million for a "served in other ways" contractor to
take on the task of upgrading a 700K record database.

> The main point in trying to understand the FCC mindset is
> to help craft proposals that have a better-than-snowball's-chance
> of actually being implemented.

To hell with that. Why not tell the FCC what WE want rather than what
we think they might want to hear?

How much time have you spent in government or academia?

> There was a time when FCC would renew a license with
> a modification. This helped me out back in the 1970s when
> I moved a few times (school, job, etc.). Each move got me

> a new 5 year term on the license.

OK

> The FCC went to 10 year license terms back in 1983-84 to
> reduce paperwork.

And that is inconsistant with what they are doing today, right?

> But then FCC changed the rules so that renewal can only
> be done if the license is within 90 days of expiring, or if
> a vanity call is issued.
>
> The vanity call thing is to avoid pro-rating the fee, IIRC.
>
> But why not renew a ham's license whenever the amateur
> moves? Doing so would reduce the number of interactions
> each ham would have with FCC unless they didn't change
> anything for 10 years.

OK.

> One possible reason is enforcement. An enforcement tool
> that FCC has used recently is to not routinely renew the license of
> an amateur who is at odds with the Commission. (K1MAN?)
> The license renewal is "under review" for as long as FCC deems
> suitable. Obviously it helps not to be handing out renewals all
> the time for that tool to be effective.
>
> Another reason may be to keep the database more accurate.
>
> 73 es HNY de Jim, N2EY

HNY

3601. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd - n9oglloser.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:40:31 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <42rdr1dfab8a2sbj0dfs91s6tbaks3qt7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 129372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Yeah, they came I'm forging post yet have not proven it. Reason why, I
> haven't been forging post and they are full of shit.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
I nerver poast wtih any ones name but myne

mark morgan

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3602. stilmore forgery fro stve the asshole of rraap and his fellow travelrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:42:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136061731.002949.291650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

```
an Old friend wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > GO BACK TO SMOKING YOUR CRACK, CRACKHEAD
> >
> i lkie crack butt not teh smocking tipe know taht
> im in to solid waste
>
> wink wnik mudge nuge say mo nor
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

3603. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd -

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:47:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136062040.971699.222530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

an Old friend wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Yeah, they came I'm forging post yet have not proven it. Reason why, I
> > haven't been forging post and they are full of shit.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> I nerver poast wtih any ones name but myne
>
> mark morgan
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

interesting that a true statement fronn a forger

I never have posted without including my own as the poster

3604. Re: Worst e-bay of all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:47:34 UTC
From: Jeffrey D Angus <jangus@socal.rr.com>
Message-ID: <G7Ctf.9903$hI1.8739@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 129375
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

rogguer-rogguer wrote:

> This has got to be the worst e-bay'er of all time bar none.

Heh heh. Read one of his auctions.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5836762103

> E-Bay should also be held accountable for facilitating this scammer.
> Is all that e-Bay interested in is keeping their Seller's happy and
> the Fee Revenus rolling in un-hindered ?? Seems so !

How so? Looks like he did a complete meltdown over December.

Jeff

--
RESTRICTED AREA. Anyone intruding shall immediately become subject to
the jurisdiction of military law. Intruders will be subject to lethal
force, without warning, and on sight. USE OF DEADLY FORCE IS AUTHORIZED
under the Internal Security Act of 1950.

3605. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:47:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136062079.761864.260160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129376

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > konstans@hotmail.com wrote:

> > > hear they certainlt should serve the interest of the People in this
> > > case what serves the interest of all the people since the RF belong to
> > > us all includingthe example of Len aderson
> >
> > Ham Radio belongs to the people who benefit from our emergency planning
> > and our emergency exercises. They probably don't even know who they
> > are. Yet...
>
> absolutely len is merely one of those people there are billions of
> other world wide but certain people would keep this resource hostage to
> their own egos

That's like the American Red Cross saying "I need 3 operators per
shift, 3 shifts per day, around the clock until further notice," and
Steve Robeson/K4YZ saying, "You're gonna get no operator on the 1st
shift, two on the second shift, and one on the third shift because I
know what you need better than you do. Besides, leaving an operations
post unmanned is what we did in the Marines."

> and need to have some paper allowing the say "I am
> better than you"

"I am Extra, here me roar!"

3606. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:56:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136062613.201178.230910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129377

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 06:08:36 GMT, KØHB wrote:
>
> >Which raises the next question --- who CARES what the >FCC< wants.
>
> I care what the FCC wants.

Your income is tied to what the FCC wants.

Whether I think that they are on the
> right track or not is not the issue.

Correct for a barrister in your position.

> We the people engage professionals to do a job, in this case, be the
> "Highway Patrol of the Airwaves". We frustrate that by second-guessing
> them at every turn, telling them that we know better, and thereby not
> letting them do the job that we hired them for.

That usually involved the assistance of a barrister

> If the bottom line is that the folks we engage do not do a
> professional job, throw then out and get folks who will. This
> presumes that you have better professional qualifications than they
> do.

The NPRM and R/O of 98 sure didn't impress. How many of those folks
retired on a fat salary?

> As an employer you know that scenario very well.

Hans is an employer? Of what sort?

> >They should serve the wants of the people, not the other way around.
>
> No, they should provide for the NEEDS of the people.

Needs/Wants? Jim "needs" numerous license classes. As long as he can
stay on top.

Otherwise,
> it's like letting the kids live on candy bars and soda rather than
> health-giving food.
>
> Happy New Year to y'all.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Phil, Happy New Year to you, too!!!

3607. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 20:59:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136062785.144353.298580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129378

konstans@hotmail.com wrote:
> On 30 Dec 2005 16:21:17 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> cut
>
> >> > But FCC said no to
> >> > all of them involving more privs for Techs, new license classes,
> >> > automatic upgrades, and much more.
> >>
> >> Yet nothing in the FCC's rejection even comes close to stating
> >> their decision is absolute/final and irrevocable based on the
> >> princioples that you ascribe to the FCC.
> >
> >Of course not! No regulatory agency is ever going to say that
> >any decision is final and/or irrevocable.
>
> indeed meaning most of what you have been going aboiut is meaningless
> cut
>
> >> In the few VE
> >> sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
> >> used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
> >> Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.
> >
> >Who can say what constitutes "abuse" if the person got
> >a doctor's note?
>
> accorsong the words of Stevie he is and by extention all VE's
>
> Steveve has publicaly claimed that he refused to process a (inone case
> that he admits to) a wiaver. likely there are other example s of VE's
> doing this
>
> it would be ilgeal but it has been done
> >
> cu

Mark, that posting needs to go to Riley.

3608. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 21:04:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136063085.379570.258570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people names asshole, your gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

3609. Re: Worst e-bay of all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 21:05:24 UTC
From: Ron <wa0kds@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dp6rqk$oe1@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 129380
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Obviously this guy found out a way to make a quick buck. First list a couple hundred items in a short period of time that will bring big bucks, the guy had good feedback so everyone pays, then take the money and run.

I don't think eBay has a way to stop this from happening. It happened to me a couple of years ago with an auto parts dealer that was selling on eBay. He had a few thousand good feedback's so why would I not send him the money? within three weeks every feedback was neg. I didn't get the item or my money back.

People go bankrupt everyday.

rogguer-rogguer wrote:
> This has got to be the worst e-bay'er of all time bar none.
>
> Far worse than even a certain 'seller of pre-owned
> ham radio gear and/or vintage radios' that we all know of.
>
> http://feedback.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userid=alisher71&ite
> ms=25&page=1&frompage=-1&iid=-1&de=off
>
> E-Bay should also be held accountable for facilitating this scammer.
> Is all that e-Bay interested in is keeping their Seller's happy and
> the Fee Revenus rolling in un-hindered ?? Seems so !
>

3610. Re: Worst e-bay of all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 21:12:33 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1136063553.583660.308090@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129381
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, this seller is slightly worse than RadioMart(RM). I rarely buy
items on ebay that are new or hot (as in popular) items. The ebay
prices are generally not any better than just buying online, in which
case you have a credit card to protect your purchase.

Ebay is good for "classic" gear, test equipment, stuff like that. Even
then, you can still get burnt, but at least something shows up.

rogguer-rogguer wrote:
> This has got to be the worst e-bay'er of all time bar none.
>
> Far worse than even a certain 'seller of pre-owned
> ham radio gear and/or vintage radios' that we all know of.
>
> http://feedback.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userid=alisher71&ite
> ms=25&page=1&frompage=-1&iid=-1&de=off
>
> E-Bay should also be held accountable for facilitating this scammer.
> Is all that e-Bay interested in is keeping their Seller's happy and
> the Fee Revenus rolling in un-hindered ?? Seems so !

3611. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 21:21:16 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.isdkbgq.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 129382

On 31 Dec 2005 12:56:53 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>> I care what the FCC wants.
>
>Your income is tied to what the FCC wants.

My income is totally independent of what the FCC wants. The agency could be abolished tomorrow and my income will not change one bit (unless "tomorrow" is the day that the COL increase on my pension annuity and Social Security kicks in, as it will tomorrow). The FCC has no connection with that, nor with the private investments that also provide for my retirement income.

>The NPRM and R/O of 98 sure didn't impress. How many of those folks
>retired on a fat salary?

If you think that retiring on 50% of a salary that is 50% (or more) less than what one could get in the private sector is "fat", I have a (Weeatstone) bridge that I can sell you "real cheaply".

Do not forget that a Federal retirement annuity (prension) is not a "gift" from the taxpayers. It is an annuity that is bought by the employee with after-tax money and such purchase is not optional.

>> As an employer you know that scenario very well.
>
>Hans is an employer? Of what sort?

Ask him.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3612. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 22:01:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136063099.112836.317220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129384

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> konstans@hotmail.com wrote:
> > On 30 Dec 2005 16:21:17 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > >> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > >> >> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > >> > But FCC said no to
> > >> > all of them involving more privs for Techs, new license classes,
> > >> > automatic upgrades, and much more.
> > >>
> > >> Yet nothing in the FCC's rejection even comes close to stating
> > >> their decision is absolute/final and irrevocable based on the
> > >> princioples that you ascribe to the FCC.
> > >
> > >Of course not! No regulatory agency is ever going to say that
> > >any decision is final and/or irrevocable.
> >
> > indeed meaning most of what you have been going aboiut is meaningless
> > cut
> >
> > >> In the few VE
> > >> sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
> > >> used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
> > >> Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.
> > >
> > >Who can say what constitutes "abuse" if the person got
> > >a doctor's note?
> >
> > accorsong the words of Stevie he is and by extention all VE's
> >
> > Steveve has publicaly claimed that he refused to process a (inone case
> > that he admits to) a wiaver. likely there are other example s of VE's
> > doing this
> >
> > it would be ilgeal but it has been done
> > >

> > cu
>
> Mark, that posting needs to go to Riley.

it has gone to the FCC, the FBI, The TN BoN, the AF officers overseeing CAP, the police, and the ARRL VEC

if you know how to get it Riley himself please assist me I am more than willing to try the FCC again

3613. Re: abe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 22:02:22 UTC
From: Theo <theodore.twyler@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <OdDtf.18178$f7.3646@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 129383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Anyone of that time would have got shot for that!

3614. Re: Worst e-bay of all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 22:09:07 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <8kDtf.5485$nj1.4028@fe06.lga>
Source record: 129385
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ron" <wa0kds@yahoo.com> wrote in message > I don't think eBay has a way to stop this from happening. It happened
> to me a couple of years ago with an auto parts dealer that was selling on
> eBay. He had a few thousand good feedback's so why would I not send him
> the money? within three weeks every feedback was neg. I didn't get the
> item or my money back.
>
> People go bankrupt everyday.

Sometimes they die or get sick or ? Ebay should cover these odd events. Lord knows they can afford it.

--

Regards
B.H.

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

3615. Re: Worst e-bay of all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 22:16:35 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <8rDtf.5488$nj1.4027@fe06.lga>
Source record: 129388
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.onemadguy.com/alisher71sux/

3616. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 22:22:11 UTC
From: Tdaugg@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b70493@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129386

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole names, your gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.539/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3617. Re: more of stevie hate filled handywork of foreries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 22:25:46 UTC
From: Tdaugg@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b7056a@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129387

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.394/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3618. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 22:37:06 UTC
From: Tood@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b70812@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood N9OGL
http://812.156.123.399/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3619. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 22:51:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1136069507.467554.176540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129391

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 30, 5:56 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>> Reposted and updated slightly:
>
>> 1) Three classes of license: Basic, Intermediate, Full (change the
>> names if you don't like them - Third, Second, First, Novice,
>> General, Extra, whatever)

Brian, the best Jimmie can come up with is just warmed-over
EXISTING regulations with a slightly different bit of
cosmetic changing.

Note: There are only THREE license classes granted NOW.

>> 2) HF/MF bands split into subbands by mode and split again by
>> license class. Some bands (30 meters) may be split by mode only.
>> Bottom of each band is CW only, middle is CW/digital, top is
>> CW/phone/image. Percentage division about 20%/30%/50% (varies with
>> band). "Digital" includes digital voice modes if bandwidth under
>> 1 kHz.

Farf. There's BANDPLANS now, splitting "the bands" by mode
AND class.

>> 3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs,
>> procedures, and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure

>> 4) "Intermediate" license test is more complex 50-60 question exam
>> on regs, procedures, safety and technical stuff. Intermediates
>> get 300-400 watts on all bands, all modes. Intermediates can be

>> 5) "Full" license test is quite complex 100-120 question exam on
>> regs, procedures, safety and technical stuff. Mostly technical,

More Farf. Cosmetic changes to classes that exist NOW.

>> 6) All licenses are 10 year and fully renewable/modifiable. No
>> age requirements or limits.

NO change at all. Status quo-ism.

>> 7) Basics have six-character calls, Intermediates have five- or
>> six-character calls, and Fulls have four-, five-, or
>> six-character calls. Nobody has to give up an existing callsign.

Be absolutely SURE that the lowest class is readily identifiable
as the LOWEST one. Tsk, can't have those nasty "beginners"

messing up the playground!

>> 8) Separate 30-35 question test for VE qualification, open to
>> Intermediates and Fulls, which allows them to be VEs. Existing
>> VEs are grandfathered.

Oh my, something NEW! "Unbeliegable," said Arte Johnson.

So, "what was WRONG with the present system" that it needs
this spay-shull "test" to proctor a license exam...with the
answers readily available to them and NO need to make any
decisions such as on schematics or essay questions?

Geez, privatization in testing has been going on a LONG
time without any specific "testing of the VEs."

>> End result is a system that is easy to get into (Basic is
>> envisioned as a 21st century version of the Novice) and has
>> reasonable but meaningful steps to reach full privileges.

The Novice class was a numbers failure. That's apparent
to most folks other than Jimmie.

With a ONE-class license plus the ONCE-only "entry" license
it is EASIER than the above regurgitated existing system.

WHY is there a "privilege" system at all NOW? To keep "the
bands" free of "interlopers" that mess up the olde-tymers'
operations with "extraneous signals?"

>> Testing matches the privs granted.

It should, there is NO real change from the existing system.

>> Power levels are set about
>> one S-unit apart. Nobody loses any privileges. There are only
>> three license classes and four written tests, so FCC doesn't
>> have more work.

I N C O R R E C T !

The FCC has to ADDITIOMALLY TEST Volunteer Examiners. More
work for them. But, as in Latin ("who watches the watchers?")
who will test the VE applicants? Other VEs? Not unless they
have ALREADY been tested...which leads to an impossible
condition.

What's with this "power level" per "class" thing, anyway?

If that were meaningful, there would be FCC field teams out
there measuring field strengths and knocking on doors, etc.
Obviously there aren't and any existing "RF power output"
maximums in amateur radio operate on the honor system.
Ain't no extensive "RF power output" checking being done.

>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>QP contains 10,000 questions. You take a test, 1 question at a time.
>Questions selected at random. You keep going til you miss one. No
>retakes, no upgrades. Each right question earns 10Hz of spectrum, your
>choice of frequency, but it must be made at the exam session. That is
>your lifetime allotment.

HAR! :-)

Well, since Jimmie didn't come up with anything "new" other
than doing a Max Factor Thing with the existing regulations
(plus the NEW test for VEs), I'll just remind everyone of what
is in the regulations NOW...and has been since at least 1995:
The FCC states that each written test element Question Pool
must contain a MINIMUM of 10 times the number of required
questions.

There is NO maximum on the Question Pool. [I don't think there
ever was one] It's all up to the VE QPC on how many it wants
to generate and distribute. Make it 20 times, 30 times, 50,
even a 100 times the minimum in the QP...that will knock down
all those charges of "memorization."

Yawn.

Nappy Hoo Year!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3620. Re: still more Tood forgeries from the handywork of the ASShole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 22:57:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136069826.070551.41960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129393

From: Tda...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: still more Tood forgeries from the handywork of the
ASShole of RRAP
References: <1hndr1papgctm19nktmrkrql6romttse9p@4ax.com>
<43b6e4ad@news.usenetzone.com>
Message-ID: <43b6e937@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 04:25:27 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 27
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news2.google.com!news3.google.com!news.glorb.com!news.usenetzone.com!Td
augg

On 1 Jan 2006 04:06:05 +0800, konst...@hotmail.con
<konst...@hotmail.con> wrote:
>
> On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 14:38:32 -0500, konst...@hotmail.com <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > >On 30 Dec 2005 09:02:15 -0800, an_old_friend <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> N0VFP wrote:
> > >> > Die of your AIDS, Markie.
> > >>
> > >> such fear and terror
> > >>
> > >> realy wiseman or lloyd or stevie or whoever you are you can be helped
> > >> for fears and terror
> > >>
> > >> happy hannuka
> > >
> > >a impostur like you wouldent know that I celibrate kwanzaa stevi.
> > >
> > >happy kwanzaa

You cant prove a thing dickhead.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER ONE OF STEVE ROBBERSON'S BUTT BUDDIES PRETENDING TO BE ME.

BTW YOUR THE FUCKING DICKHEAD ASSHOLE

TODD N9OGL
toddaug555@yahoo.com

3621. Re: more prrof that stveie lies when he blames mark for fring up sexuality..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:01:49 UTC
From: Tood@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b70ddd@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129390

On Sat, 31 Dec 2005 15:18:35 -0500, konstans@hotmail.com <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> On 31 Dec 2005 12:10:58 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > >He also a control freak, and need helps for his problems.
> > >
> > >Todd N9OGL
>
> and sincesteve works in hospital I am sure he insurance to pay for it
>
> but of course he can't use it since someone might find out, and then
> people would have proof aboout him and literly I don't think he could
> live with that (that is the knowledge that his coworkers might hear
> through hositpal grapevine would likely drive to him suicide)
>

I not worry about Steve's problems, but he need to leave me alone.
Maybe New Years resolution should be make tonight.

Hey Mark, did you toilets finaly thaw out?

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.399/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3622. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:03:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070196.473721.218970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129395

From: Tda...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap
References: <efndr1h3057bgs53q6egfhriqv09grs9ic@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <43b70493@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 06:22:11 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 13
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news1.google.com!news3.google.com!news2.volia.net!news.germany.com!morp
heus.pingos.org!news.albasani.net!news.usenetzone.com!Tdaugg

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole names, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.539/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER FAKE.....I DON'T USE THAT SERVICE, THE ONLY SERVICE I USE IS
GOOGLES....SO GO FUCK OFF, OR GO FUCK YOUR GIRLFRIEND K4YZ

BITCH

TODD N9OGL

3623. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:03:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070196.270211.13480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129396

From: Tda...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap
References: <efndr1h3057bgs53q6egfhriqv09grs9ic@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <43b70493@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 06:22:11 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 13
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news1.google.com!news3.google.com!news2.volia.net!news.germany.com!morp
heus.pingos.org!news.albasani.net!news.usenetzone.com!Tdaugg

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole names, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.539/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER FAKE.....I DON'T USE THAT SERVICE, THE ONLY SERVICE I USE IS
GOOGLES....SO GO FUCK OFF, OR GO FUCK YOUR GIRLFRIEND K4YZ

BITCH

TODD N9OGL

3624. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:03:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070195.968918.13470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129397

From: Tda...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap
References: <efndr1h3057bgs53q6egfhriqv09grs9ic@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <43b70493@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 06:22:11 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 13
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news1.google.com!news3.google.com!news2.volia.net!news.germany.com!morp
heus.pingos.org!news.albasani.net!news.usenetzone.com!Tdaugg

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole names, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.539/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER FAKE.....I DON'T USE THAT SERVICE, THE ONLY SERVICE I USE IS
GOOGLES....SO GO FUCK OFF, OR GO FUCK YOUR GIRLFRIEND K4YZ

BITCH

TODD N9OGL

3625. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:03:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070196.696726.37040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129398

From: Tda...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap
References: <efndr1h3057bgs53q6egfhriqv09grs9ic@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <43b70493@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 06:22:11 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 13
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news1.google.com!news3.google.com!news2.volia.net!news.germany.com!morp
heus.pingos.org!news.albasani.net!news.usenetzone.com!Tdaugg

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole names, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.539/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER FAKE.....I DON'T USE THAT SERVICE, THE ONLY SERVICE I USE IS
GOOGLES....SO GO FUCK OFF, OR GO FUCK YOUR GIRLFRIEND K4YZ

BITCH

TODD N9OGL

3626. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:03:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070196.931416.15190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129394

From: Tda...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap
References: <efndr1h3057bgs53q6egfhriqv09grs9ic@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <43b70493@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 06:22:11 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 13
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news1.google.com!news3.google.com!news2.volia.net!news.germany.com!morp
heus.pingos.org!news.albasani.net!news.usenetzone.com!Tdaugg

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole names, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.539/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER FAKE.....I DON'T USE THAT SERVICE, THE ONLY SERVICE I USE IS
GOOGLES....SO GO FUCK OFF, OR GO FUCK YOUR GIRLFRIEND K4YZ

BITCH

TODD N9OGL

3627. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:03:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070197.056480.37060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129399

From: Tda...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap
References: <efndr1h3057bgs53q6egfhriqv09grs9ic@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <43b70493@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 06:22:11 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 13
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news1.google.com!news3.google.com!news2.volia.net!news.germany.com!morp
heus.pingos.org!news.albasani.net!news.usenetzone.com!Tdaugg

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole names, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.539/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER FAKE.....I DON'T USE THAT SERVICE, THE ONLY SERVICE I USE IS
GOOGLES....SO GO FUCK OFF, OR GO FUCK YOUR GIRLFRIEND K4YZ

BITCH

TODD N9OGL

3628. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:03:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070197.271085.180860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129400

From: Tda...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap
References: <efndr1h3057bgs53q6egfhriqv09grs9ic@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <43b70493@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 06:22:11 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 13
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news1.google.com!news3.google.com!news2.volia.net!news.germany.com!morp
heus.pingos.org!news.albasani.net!news.usenetzone.com!Tdaugg

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole names, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.539/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER FAKE.....I DON'T USE THAT SERVICE, THE ONLY SERVICE I USE IS
GOOGLES....SO GO FUCK OFF, OR GO FUCK YOUR GIRLFRIEND K4YZ

BITCH

TODD N9OGL

3629. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:03:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070197.507837.180870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129401

From: Tda...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap
References: <efndr1h3057bgs53q6egfhriqv09grs9ic@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <43b70493@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 06:22:11 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 13
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news1.google.com!news3.google.com!news2.volia.net!news.germany.com!morp
heus.pingos.org!news.albasani.net!news.usenetzone.com!Tdaugg

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole names, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood NOGL
http://812.156.123.539/azbb/azbb.php

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER FAKE.....I DON'T USE THAT SERVICE, THE ONLY SERVICE I USE IS
GOOGLES....SO GO FUCK OFF, OR GO FUCK YOUR GIRLFRIEND K4YZ

BITCH

TODD N9OGL

3630. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:04:40 UTC
From: Tood@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b70e88@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129392

On 31 Dec 2005 12:02:23 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Yeah, they came I'm forging post yet have not proven it. Reason why, I

Who came when you forge post? Must be realy dirty.

Tood NOGL

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3631. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:07:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070429.078741.49840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

```
From: T...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur
Subject: Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow
traveler have for the 2 of us Todd
References: <fsqdr1logt82bj9stn7a23tcagqmi39qhb@4ax.com>
<1136063085.379570.258570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Message-ID: <43b70812@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 06:37:06 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 13
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news2.google.com!news3.google.com!news.glorb.com!news.usenetzone.com!To
od

Who's the gay one?? I'm not the one faking people asshole, your
gay because you hide behind a FAKE name.

Smuck

Tood N9OGL
http://812.156.123.399/azbb/azbb.php
----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

ANOTHER FAKE.....FUCK OFF!!!!
```

3632. Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow traveler have for the 2 of us Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:10:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136070643.902421.88370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129403

```
From: T...@g00gles.com (NOGL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: amszing the terror that stveie "friends and fellow
traveler have for the 2 of us Todd
References: <43b605d6@news.usenetzone.com>
<1136045231.728787.68200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<43b6bfd1@news.usenetzone.com>
<1136050982.371364.283150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1136058186.078198.139120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1136059343.560592.57860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Message-ID: <43b70e88@news.usenetzone.com>
Date: 1 Jan 2006 07:04:40 +0800
Organization: Usenet Zone http://www.usenetzone.com Free Usenet Binary
server contains 140,000+ groups
Lines: 61
Path:
g2news1.google.com!news2.google.com!proxad.net!213.200.89.82.MISMATCH!tiscali!newsfeed1.ip
.tiscali.net!2001:1a50:0:1::9.MISMATCH!news.rh-tec.net!koehntopp.de!news.gnuher.de!news.al
basani.net!news.usenetzone.com!Tood

On 31 Dec 2005 12:02:23 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Yeah, they came I'm forging post yet have not proven it. Reason why, I

Who came when you forge post? Must be realy dirty.

Tood NOGL

GO FUCK YOURSELF STEVE
```

3633. Re: Worst e-bay of all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:12:02 UTC
From: Bill <exray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <d6018$43b71044$4232bd18$20737@COQUI.NET>
Source record: 129404
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Hill wrote:

> http://www.onemadguy.com/alisher71sux/
>
>

Hmmm, apparently the 100 or so negatives showing are just the tip of the iceberg before they closed the acct. $88,000+ just in the victims who posted to that site.
Damn.

-Bill

3634. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:23:07 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <o34er1do0a973rmq2prv9ma2ukrrc0tt01@4ax.com>
Source record: 129405

On 31 Dec 2005 10:46:17 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
<1136054777.061977.224910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 31 Dec 2005 05:52:25 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> <1136037145.431029.138620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>> >
>> >> > Basic: 3525-3625 and 3900-4000
>> >> > Intermediate: 3525-3750 and 3850-4000
>> >> > Full: entire band
>> >
>> >> I missed where you explained why the bands needed to be divided by class.
>> >
>> >It's to serve as an upgrade incentive. Not everyone aspires to run high
>> >power.
>>
>>
>> So what you're -really- talking about are low-power and a high-power
>> license classes?
>>
>No.
>
>If you look at K0HB's license-structure idea, the main (in fact the
>*only* difference in operating privileges between his Class A and Class
>B licenses is the power allowed.
>
>Class A gets full 1500 W
>
>Class B gets 50 W
>
>Hans' idea is that by limiting Class B to 50 W, the RF exposure
>questions can be eliminated,
>or at least greatly reduced. But the fact is that 50 W can still be an
>RF exposure hazard on some frequencies (UHF in particular) if a high
>gain antenna is used.
>
>Deciding that the power level of 50 W is acceptable for Class B, but
>100 W is not, is just
>a matter of judgement. It's the same kind of judgement as saying that
>3500-3525 kHz is not allowed for all license classes.

Screw it..... one license, no "classes", no "learner's permit". Anyone who is sincerely interested in the hobby will learn the crap and get their license, code or no code, including me. If that means fewer hams then so be it -- only the ARRL cares about increasing the number of hams. But even then, the ARRL might be suprised since the time spent on studying the different classes and priveleges will be better spent learning theory, communications and safety. Quality, not quantity.

And that's my final answer.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3635. Re: moreof the stevie inspried bs that he a famous for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:23:36 UTC
From: Tdaugg@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <Tdaugg-3112051723360001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 129406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <43b6eadb@news.usenetzone.com>, Tdaugg@g00gles.com (NOGL) wrote:

> Yeah, they came I'm forging post yet have not proven it. Reason why, I
> haven't been forging post and they are full of shit.
>
> Tood, NOGL
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

I'm have to admit I'm not really N9OGL, I'm trying to ruin him and it's
because I love him. The truth is I'm a fake and I'm sorry for being a
fake.

Tood, NOGL

3636. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:29:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136071774.882546.202000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129407

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Dec 30, 5:56 pm
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >> Reposted and updated slightly:
> >
> >> 1) Three classes of license: Basic, Intermediate, Full (change the
> >> names if you don't like them - Third, Second, First, Novice,
> >> General, Extra, whatever)
>
> Brian, the best Jimmie can come up with is just warmed-over
> EXISTING regulations with a slightly different bit of
> cosmetic changing.

Maybe Jim didn't get any mental stimulation prior to age five.

> Note: There are only THREE license classes granted NOW.

Precisely the number of license classes that Jim advocates!

> >> 2) HF/MF bands split into subbands by mode and split again by
> >> license class. Some bands (30 meters) may be split by mode only.
> >> Bottom of each band is CW only, middle is CW/digital, top is
> >> CW/phone/image. Percentage division about 20%/30%/50% (varies with
> >> band). "Digital" includes digital voice modes if bandwidth under
> >> 1 kHz.
>
> Farf. There's BANDPLANS now, splitting "the bands" by mode
> AND class.

Ghettos. Reminds me of some European social engineering of the 30's.

> >> 3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs,
> >> procedures, and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure
> >
> >
> >> 4) "Intermediate" license test is more complex 50-60 question exam
> >> on regs, procedures, safety and technical stuff. Intermediates
> >> get 300-400 watts on all bands, all modes. Intermediates can be
> >
> >> 5) "Full" license test is quite complex 100-120 question exam on
> >> regs, procedures, safety and technical stuff. Mostly technical,
> >
> More Farf. Cosmetic changes to classes that exist NOW.

Quitefine advocates Quitecomplex questions. "We've got a bleeder!"

> >> 6) All licenses are 10 year and fully renewable/modifiable. No
> >> age requirements or limits.
>
> NO change at all. Status quo-ism.

All we need now is no enforcement and it could be the 70's and 80's all over again.

> >> 7) Basics have six-character calls, Intermediates have five- or
> >> six-character calls, and Fulls have four-, five-, or
> >> six-character calls. Nobody has to give up an existing callsign.
>
> Be absolutely SURE that the lowest class is readily identifiable
> as the LOWEST one. Tsk, can't have those nasty "beginners"
> messing up the playground!
>
>
> >> 8) Separate 30-35 question test for VE qualification, open to
> >> Intermediates and Fulls, which allows them to be VEs. Existing
> >> VEs are grandfathered.
>
> Oh my, something NEW! "Unbeliegable," said Arte Johnson.
>
> So, "what was WRONG with the present system" that it needs
> this spay-shull "test" to proctor a license exam...with the
> answers readily available to them and NO need to make any
> decisions such as on schematics or essay questions?
>
> Geez, privatization in testing has been going on a LONG
> time without any specific "testing of the VEs."

Odd, but the General could proctor Technician exams, and the Advanced could proctor General exams. The Extra Exam had loads of VE questions, the General and Advanced had none.

As far as I'm concerned, since the VEC's are already disregarding FCC rules, we can dispense with the Extra Exam altogether and let the VEC's qualify "thier" examiners without any spay-shull FCC exam.

> >> End result is a system that is easy to get into (Basic is
> >> envisioned as a 21st century version of the Novice) and has
> >> reasonable but meaningful steps to reach full privileges.
>
> The Novice class was a numbers failure. That's apparent
> to most folks other than Jimmie.

It did give us a bunch of Technicians (General incognito) who couldn't do 13WPM.

> With a ONE-class license plus the ONCE-only "entry" license
> it is EASIER than the above regurgitated existing system.

It's easier for the FCC to maintain, and it's all that is necessary.

> WHY is there a "privilege" system at all NOW? To keep "the
> bands" free of "interlopers" that mess up the olde-tymers'
> operations with "extraneous signals?"

That hurts my gall bladder to hear you say that.

> >> Testing matches the privs granted.
>
> It should, there is NO real change from the existing system.

Which it what needs changing.

> >> Power levels are set about
> >> one S-unit apart. Nobody loses any privileges. There are only
> >> three license classes and four written tests, so FCC doesn't
> >> have more work.
>
> I N C O R R E C T !
>
> The FCC has to ADDITIOMALLY TEST Volunteer Examiners. More
> work for them. But, as in Latin ("who watches the watchers?")
> who will test the VE applicants? Other VEs? Not unless they
> have ALREADY been tested...which leads to an impossible
> condition.

Division by zero?

> What's with this "power level" per "class" thing, anyway?
>
> If that were meaningful, there would be FCC field teams out
> there measuring field strengths and knocking on doors, etc.
> Obviously there aren't and any existing "RF power output"
> maximums in amateur radio operate on the honor system.
> Ain't no extensive "RF power output" checking being done.

Maybe Jim is an ARRL Official Observer, has a mobile van with precision
measurement equipment on board...

> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >QP contains 10,000 questions. You take a test, 1 question at a time.
> >Questions selected at random. You keep going til you miss one. No
> >retakes, no upgrades. Each right question earns 10Hz of spectrum, your
> >choice of frequency, but it must be made at the exam session. That is
> >your lifetime allotment.
>
> HAR! :-)

Har? I was serious.

> ------
>
> Well, since Jimmie didn't come up with anything "new" other

> than doing a Max Factor Thing with the existing regulations
> (plus the NEW test for VEs), I'll just remind everyone of what
> is in the regulations NOW...and has been since at least 1995:
> The FCC states that each written test element Question Pool
> must contain a MINIMUM of 10 times the number of required
> questions.
>
> There is NO maximum on the Question Pool. [I don't think there
> ever was one] It's all up to the VE QPC on how many it wants
> to generate and distribute. Make it 20 times, 30 times, 50,
> even a 100 times the minimum in the QP...that will knock down
> all those charges of "memorization."
>
> Yawn.
>
> Nappy Hoo Year!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Happy Happy

3637. more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap - n9oglloser.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:31:53 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@hasmat.org>
Message-ID: <d45er11gh0ii12lbo1enjdcev7oj430amb@4ax.com>
Source record: 129413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Tood@g00gles.com (NOGL) wrote:
> STOP FOREGING MY NAME IS ALL I ASK. K?
>

my bog is bugerred up snice my heat tap cocked up.
I was gob-smacked wehn I seen the bloddy grooty
mess the lou may not that bfore I piss up agian at
teh ponce.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3638. Re: Another License Idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:36:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136072179.403966.290930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129412

KØHB wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote
>
> >
> > So what you're -really- talking about are low-power and a high-power
> > license classes?
> >
>
> Same like now, only more so.......
>
> Three power levels....
>
> Three frequency sets....
>
> Longer clunkier calls for lower grades....

Not interested.

3639. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:40:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136072416.088872.137420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129414

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > konstans@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > On 30 Dec 2005 16:21:17 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > >> news:1135817316.756530.149080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > >> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > >> >> news:1135727154.159450.57260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > >> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > cut
> > >
> > > >> > But FCC said no to
> > > >> > all of them involving more privs for Techs, new license classes,
> > > >> > automatic upgrades, and much more.
> > > >>
> > > >> Yet nothing in the FCC's rejection even comes close to stating
> > > >> their decision is absolute/final and irrevocable based on the
> > > >> princioples that you ascribe to the FCC.
> > > >
> > > >Of course not! No regulatory agency is ever going to say that
> > > >any decision is final and/or irrevocable.
> > >
> > > indeed meaning most of what you have been going aboiut is meaningless
> > > cut
> > >
> > > >> In the few VE
> > > >> sessions I assisted in I don't recall ever seeing one being
> > > >> used. Was the waiver process abused by some?
> > > >> Probably, but it wasn't a wide practice at all.
> > > >
> > > >Who can say what constitutes "abuse" if the person got
> > > >a doctor's note?
> > >
> > > accorsong the words of Stevie he is and by extention all VE's
> > >
> > > Steveve has publicaly claimed that he refused to process a (inone case
> > > that he admits to) a wiaver. likely there are other example s of VE's
> > > doing this
> > >
> > > it would be ilgeal but it has been done

> > > >
> > > cu
> >
> > Mark, that posting needs to go to Riley.
>
> it has gone to the FCC, the FBI, The TN BoN, the AF officers overseeing
> CAP, the police, and the ARRL VEC
>
> if you know how to get it Riley himself please assist me I am more than
> willing to try the FCC again

Unlike Steve, Riley actually goes to Dayton. You could hand it to him
there.

3640. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:40:26 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <lFEtf.46499$ih5.20228@dukeread11>
Source record: 129415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

konstans@hotmail.con wrote:
>>
>> Lead, follow or get the hell out of the way! you are blocking the road!
>
> Todd isnt big enoufh to blok anybody even when he do make those forges,
> the
> bastard. you not improtant enough tot ell Tood what to do either. why
> you act like bully idiot stevi?

who?
better take a close look at my headers again pal.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3641. Re: still more Tood forgeries from the handywork of the ASShole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:42:05 UTC
From: Tood@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b7174d@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129408

On 31 Dec 2005 14:57:06 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> ANOTHER ONE OF STEVE ROBBERSON'S BUTT BUDDIES PRETENDING TO BE ME.
>
> BTW YOUR THE FUCKING DICKHEAD ASSHOLE
>
> TODD N9OGL
> toddaug555@yahoo.com

STOP FOREGING MY NAME IS ALL I ASK. K?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3642. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:42:27 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <eHEtf.46500$ih5.42954@dukeread11>
Source record: 129416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

> K1MAN is a GOD of the tw-way. He will win and be declared the leader of
> all free radio in the world. No power on earth can stop K1MAN.
>
"one man can make a difference: with a well placed fact".
I would have used the word "bullet" for "fact", but that was not appropriate
in this venue.
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3643. Re: Worst e-bay of all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:42:43 UTC
From: RF Burns IVX <jones5004@umuzaclean.com>
Message-ID: <8daf1$43b72568$d1cc7a0b$5359@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 129417
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bill" <exray@coqui.net> wrote in message
news:d6018$43b71044$4232bd18$20737@COQUI.NET...
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > http://www.onemadguy.com/alisher71sux/
> >
> >
>
> Hmmm, apparently the 100 or so negatives showing are just the tip of the
> iceberg before they closed the acct. $88,000+ just in the victims who
> posted to that site.
> Damn.
>
> -Bill

Turns out this may be the one of the largest
online e-Bay facilitated scams to hit the State of Florida:

Link: http://www.wzzm13.com/news/news_article.aspx?storyid=48363

see also: http://alischersucks.blogspot.com/
see also: http://www.onemadguy.com/alisher71sux/

3644. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:44:19 UTC
From: Tood@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b717d3@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129409

STOP FOREGING MY NAME IS ALL I ASK. K?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3645. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:44:58 UTC
From: Tood@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b717fa@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129410

STOP FOREGING MY NAME IS ALL I ASK. K?

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3646. Re: more forgeris the responblity of the ASShole of RRap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:45:33 UTC
From: Tood@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <43b7181d@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 129411

STOP FOREGING MY NAME IS ALL I ASK. K?

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3647. Re: How many licenses should there be, why and what privileges?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:53:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136073181.710382.179390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129418

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 31 Dec 2005 12:56:53 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >> I care what the FCC wants.
> >
> >Your income is tied to what the FCC wants.
>
> My income is totally independent of what the FCC wants. The agency
> could be abolished tomorrow and my income will not change one bit
> (unless "tomorrow" is the day that the COL increase on my pension
> annuity and Social Security kicks in, as it will tomorrow). The FCC
> has no connection with that, nor with the private investments that
> also provide for my retirement income.

I didn't realize that you were no longer practicing your craft.

> >The NPRM and R/O of 98 sure didn't impress. How many of those folks
> >retired on a fat salary?
>
> If you think that retiring on 50% of a salary that is 50% (or more)
> less than what one could get in the private sector is "fat", I have
> a (Weeatstone) bridge that I can sell you "real cheaply".

Consider that the newer FERS states very clearly that your Social
Security IS your retirement. And I had been told all of my life that
it was a SUPPLEMENT to a retirement. The OF's in Federal Service are
getting a much better deal than that.

> Do not forget that a Federal retirement annuity (prension) is not a
> "gift" from the taxpayers. It is an annuity that is bought by the
> employee with after-tax money and such purchase is not optional.

Writing a sensible NPRM appears to be optional. Does the FCC trot out
that kind of crap to the boradcasters?

> >> As an employer you know that scenario very well.
> >
> >Hans is an employer? Of what sort?
>
> Ask him.

Will do.

3648. Re: more malarkie for the asshole of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-12-31 23:59:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136073582.709826.225500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 129420

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:Re: Feeble Five Fumblings
> > >
> > > always retasking existing thread to carry your hate
> >
> > Profile AND trademark.
>
> indeed that was only real fear when stevie was threatening to sue me
>
> that the law on trademarks omight screwed up enough to make vulernable
> or that stevie had found some other way in the increasing distroted
> legal system might leave vulernable to him

Great! Now you've finally given him some ammunition...